An updated sulfate transporter phylogeny uncovers a perennial-specific subgroup associated with

lignification

Samantha M. Surber[1,2], Chen Hsieh[3], Lan Na[4], Scott A. Harding[5], Chung-Jui Tsai[1,2,3,5,6]

[1] Department of Plant Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

[2] Center for Bioenergy Innovation, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

[3] Institute of Bioinformatics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

[4] Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

[5] Warnell School of Forestry, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

[6] Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

18 Running title: SULTR phylogeny and lignin-specific PtaSULTR3.2

20 Keywords: SULTR, Populus, sulfur assimilation, lignin biosynthesis, xylem

22 Corresponding author: Chung-Jui Tsai (cjtsai@uga.edu), 120 E. Green Street, Athens, GA 30602, USA

ABSTRACT

Sulfate-proton co-transporters (SULTRs) mediate sulfate uptake, transport, storage, and assimilation in plants. The SULTR family has historically been classified into four groups (SULTR1-SULTR4), with well-characterized roles for SULTR groups 1, 2, and 4. However, the functions of the large and diverse SULTR3 group remain poorly understood. Here, we present an updated phylogenetic analysis of SULTRs across angiosperms, including multiple early-divergent lineages. Our results suggest that the enigmatic SULTR3 group comprises four distinct subfamilies that predate the emergence of angiosperms, providing a basis for reclassifying the SULTR family into seven subfamilies. This expanded classification is supported by subfamily-specific gene structures and amino acid substitutions in the substrate-binding pocket. Structural modeling identified three serine residues uniquely lining the substrate-binding pocket of SULTR3.4, enabling three hydrogen bonds with the phosphate ion. The data support the proposed neofunctionalization of this subfamily for phosphate allocation within vascular tissues. Transcriptome analysis of *Populus tremula × alba* revealed divergent tissue expression preferences among *SULTR* subfamilies and between genome duplicates. We observed partitioned expression in vascular tissues among the four *SULTR3* subfamilies, with *PtaSULTR3.4a* and *PtaSULTR3.2a* preferentially expressed in primary and secondary xylem, respectively. Gene coexpression analysis revealed coordinated expression of *PtaSULTR3.4a* with genes involved in phosphate starvation responses and nutrient transport, consistent with a potential role in phosphate homeostasis. In contrast, *PtaSULTR3.2a* was strongly coexpressed with lignification and one-carbon metabolism genes and their upstream transcription regulators. *PtaSULTR3.2a* belongs to a eudicot-specific branch of the SULTR3.1 subfamily found only in perennial species, suggesting a specialized role in lignifying tissues. Together, our findings provide a refined phylogenetic framework for the SULTR family and suggest that the expanded SULTR3 subfamilies have undergone neofunctionalization during the evolution of vascular and perennial plants.

INTRODUCTION

Sulfur is an essential plant macronutrient taken up from the soil as inorganic sulfate (Kopriva et al. 2019). Uptake and plantwide distribution are mediated by a family of sulfate-proton co-transporters (SULTRs) historically classified into four groups, referred to as SULTR1 to SULTR4 (Takahashi et al. 2000). This Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*)-based nomenclature has been followed in many subsequent phylogenetic analyses (Takahashi et al. 2012; Gallardo et al. 2014; Ding et al. 2016; Xun et al. 2021; Zhao et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2024). Two recent studies classified the SULTR family into five groups but with inconsistent nomenclature and memberships (Heidari et al. 2023; Puresmaeli et al. 2023). Meanwhile, molecular characterization continues to shed light on all SULTR groups as evidenced by their varied subcellular localization, transport kinetics, tissue expression, and stress responsiveness (Takahashi 2019).

Among the different groups, SULTR4 transporters are tonoplast-localized and facilitate sulfate efflux from the vacuole (Kataoka et al. 2004b). SULTR1 and SULTR2 members are plasma membrane-localized with SULTR1 being high-affinity transporters involved in sulfate uptake by the roots (Takahashi et al. 2000; Yoshimoto et al. 2002), and SULTR2 being low-affinity transporters expressed primarily in the root cylinder for root-to-shoot sulfate transport (Takahashi et al. 1997; Takahashi et al. 2000). SULTR3 is the largest and most diverse group, with five genes in Arabidopsis—*AtSULTR3.1*, *AtSULTR3.2*, *AtSULTR3.3*, *AtSULTR3.4,* and *AtSULTR3.5* (Zuber et al. 2010; Takahashi et al. 2012). The subcellular distribution and function of SULTR3 members are less precisely known but recent reports suggest localization to the plasma membrane (Kataoka et al. 2004a; Yamaji et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020), endoplasmic reticulum (Zhao et al. 2016), and/or chloroplast (Cao et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2019).

SULTR3 members are enigmatic with respect to their sulfate transport capabilities. AtSULTR3.1, AtSULTR3.2, and AtSULTR3.3 did not show sulfate uptake activity in yeast (Takahashi et al. 2000). To date, successful restoration of yeast mutant growth on sulfate-containing media by SULTR3 has only been reported for LjSST1 (SULTR3.5) of lotus (*Lotus japonicus*) and MhSULTR3.1a of apple (*Malus hupehensis*), although the uptake kinetics were not determined (Krusell et al. 2005; Xun et al. 2021). Cao et al. (2013) used individual *sultr3* mutants to show that all Arabidopsis SULTR3 members, except AtSULTR3.5, contribute substantially to sulfate uptake by isolated chloroplasts. Although AtSULTR3.5 alone does not support sulfate uptake by isolated Arabidopsis chloroplasts or in yeast, its co-expression with *AtSULTR2.1* improved growth of yeast mutants over those expressing *AtSULTR2.1* alone (Kataoka et al. 2004a). This

cooperation of AtSULTR3.5 and AtSULTR2.1 in the vasculature contributes to root-to-shoot sulfate

transport in Arabidopsis (Kataoka et al. 2004a). In rice (*Oryza sativa*), two independent *low phytic acid*

(*lpa*) mutant alleles were both mapped to *OsSULTR3.3*, even though no *in vitro* transport activity of

OsSULTR3.3 for sulfate, phosphate, inositol, or inositol triphosphate could be demonstrated in yeasts or

Xenopus oocytes (Zhao et al. 2016). Similarly, SULTR3.4 was independently characterized as a SULTR-like

phosphorus distribution transporter (SPDT) involved in phosphate allocation from xylem to developing

tissues in rice, barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), and Arabidopsis (Yamaji et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020; Gu et al.

2022). OsSULTR3.4, HvSULTR3.4, and AtSULTR3.4 all function as an influx transporter for phosphate, but

not sulfate, in oocytes, and their knockout mutants showed impaired phosphorus distribution to

developing organs (Yamaji et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020; Gu et al. 2022). These studies highlight some of

the ongoing challenges in elucidating the evolution and function of the diverse SULTR3 group.

SULTR gene expression is sensitive to abiotic stressors, including drought and salt, in Arabidopsis (Cao et

al. 2014), barrelclover (*Medicago truncatula*) (Gallardo et al. 2014), poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*)

(Malcheska et al. 2017), maize (*Zea mays*) (Huang et al. 2018), wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. ssp.

durum)(Puresmaeli et al. 2023), and soybean (*Glycine max*) (Zhou et al. 2024). The responsiveness of

SULTR genes to drought and other abiotic stresses varies between studies in part because expression is

modulated not only by plant sulfur status (Vidmar et al. 1999; Takahashi et al. 2000; Rouached et al.

2008; Maruyama-Nakashita et al. 2015), but also by stress hormones like ABA (abscisic acid) and MeJA

(methyl jasmonic acid) (Cao et al. 2014; Xun et al. 2021). Notably, four of five *AtSULTR3* genes were

identified among the 124 transporter transcripts enriched in guard cells (Bauer et al. 2013). Moreover,

quintuple *sultr3* mutants showed impaired sulfate uptake for stress-induced ABA synthesis in Arabidopsis

(Chen et al. 2019). This supports sulfate as a xylem-borne signal that precedes localized ABA biosynthesis

and stomatal closure in response to drought (Ernst et al. 2010; Malcheska et al. 2017; Batool et al. 2018).

Studies on gene expression and the seasonal dynamics of wood and xylem sap sulfate abundance in

Populus tremula × alba have provided additional insights into the function of SULTR3 in stems. The

transcripts of *PtaSULTR3.1b*, *PtaSULTR3.2a*, and *PtaSULTR3.3a* peaked during active growth in the

summer (Dürr et al. 2010; Ko et al. 2011; Malcheska et al. 2013). The hydraulic transport network for

long-distance and lateral movements of solutes and water are more complex in large stature trees

(Pfautsch et al. 2015). In addition, the formation of large woody sinks depends heavily on sulfur

assimilation to supply one-carbon (C1) units for methyl-intensive lignification (Rajinikanth et al. 2007).

Here, we report an updated SULTR phylogeny using 20 angiosperm species, including several woody

perennials where SULTR function has been little explored. The inclusion of basal lineages revealed a

much more ancient origin of the expanded SULTR3 group than previously recognized. Multiple lines of

evidence, including gene structure, protein structural modeling with differential substrate docking, and

gene expression, support the functional divergence of SULTR3. We also discuss the potential association

of certain SULTR3 members with lignification in *Populus*.

METHODS

Sequence collection and annotation

SULTR protein sequences from 22 species were obtained from Phytozome v13 (https://phytozome-

next.jgi.doe.gov/)(Goodstein et al. 2012), and the list, including gene models, genome version, and

species, is provided in Supplemental Table S1. Sequences were aligned using the multiple sequence

alignment tool, T-Coffee (Notredame et al. 2000) for inspection. Sequences with large gaps,

misalignment, and/or gene structure misannotation were manually curated, sometimes in consultation

with independently annotated genome versions at NCBI. The full sequence dataset has been deposited

with the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI.gov). Exon-

intron annotation was retrieved from Phytozome for spikemoss (*Selaginella moellendorffii*), moss

(*Physcomitrium patens*), pineapple (*Ananas comosus*), blue columbine (*Aquilegia coerulea*), Arabidopsis

(*Arabidopsis thaliana*), poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*)

and the structure was illustrated using Gene Structure Draw Tool (https://www.bioinformatics.uni-

muenster.de/tools/strdraw/).

Phylogenetic reconstruction

Preliminary alignment of all 262 protein sequences performed with T-Coffee on EMBL-EBI (Madeira et al.

2024) was used in a model test with ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) implemented in IQ-

TREE v1.6.12 (Nguyen et al. 2015). This revealed a general JTT model for amino acid substitution rate

(Jones et al. 1992), gamma rate of 4 (G4), allowing for a proportion of invariable sites (I), and empirical

frequencies (F) [JTT+G4+I+F]. The model JTT+G4+I+F was used for maximum likelihood inference for

phylogenetic tree construction with IQ-TREE v1.6.12 and 1000 ultrafast bootstrap cycles. Trees were

visualized on TreeViewer (https://treeviewer.org/) (Bianchini and Sánchez-Baracaldo 2024) and edited in

MEGA-X (Kumar et al. 2018) for presentation. The full tree (Figure 1) is unrooted, while the SULTR3-

specific tree (Figure 2) is rooted on the basal spikemoss SULTR3 sequences.

Substrate binding site alignment and protein structural modeling

All poplar and Arabidopsis SULTR protein sequences were aligned as above. The structure-resolved

AtSULTR4.1 (AT5G13550) protein sequence was used as the reference to annotate key residues involved

in substrate and co-substrate binding and interactions according to Wang et al. (2021). Protein

sequences for PtaSULTR3.1a, PtaSULTR3.2a, PtaSULTR3.3a, PtaSULTR3.4a, and PtaSULTR3.5 from *Populus*

tremula × alba INRA 717-1B4 were used for AlphaFold3 (Abramson et al. 2024) modeling to investigate

substrate-binding pocket architecture and potential determinants of substrate specificity. AlphaFold3

models were generated using default parameters (seed 1), without template constraints. Each model

was visually inspected for fold quality and overall membrane topology. Structural comparisons and

visualizations were performed using PyMOL v3.0.0 (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Schrödinger,

LLC).

Gene expression analysis

RNA-Seq datasets from published *Populus tremula × alba* studies (Swamy et al. 2015; Xue et al. 2016;

Tsai et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2023) were obtained from the NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA) using the

`nfcore/fetchngs` pipeline (v1.11.0) (Ewels et al. 2020). Quality control and read trimming were

performed using Cutadapt v4.4 (Martin 2011) with parameters -q 30, -m 30, and --trim-n. Reads were

mapped to the *P. tremula* subgenome (*Populus tremula × alba* HAP1 v5.1) of the hybrid (Zhou et al.

2023) available on Phytozome v13. For expression quantification, kallisto v0.48.0 (Bray et al. 2016) was

used, with a *k*-mer size of 21 for indexing. Single-end reads were quantified with an estimated average

fragment length of 200 and a standard deviation of 20. Paired-end reads were quantified without the

stranded option. *SULTR* gene expression values were extracted and statistical significance of treatment

responses was performed using PRISM v10.1.0 (GraphPad Software, Boston, MA). Heatmap and bar

graphs were generated using Excel.

Gene coexpression analysis was performed using 174 RNA-seq datasets from *Populus tremula × alba*

stem vascular tissues, excluding the four studies cited above (Swamy et al. 2015; Xue et al. 2016; Tsai et

al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2023). The full list of datasets is provided in Supplemental Table S2. Data were

similarly processed to obtain expression values. Genes with low expression (TPM < 5 in all samples) were

filtered out and only those with a coefficient of variance (CV) > 50% were retained. Pairwise Pearson

correlation coefficients (PCC) were calculated between each of the remaining 19,787 genes and either

PtaSULTR3.2a or *PtaSULTR3.4a* across the 174 samples (Supplemental Table S3) using a python script

available at https://github.com/TsailabBioinformatics/sultr-coexpression-utils. Genes with PCC ≥ 0.7

relative to *PtaSULTR3.2a* or *PtaSULTR3.4a* were extracted for Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment using

ShinyGO v0.77 (Ge et al. 2020) implemented at http://aspendb.uga.edu/ShinyGO/. Further examination

of *SULTR3.2a* and *SULTR3.4a* expression in response to transgenic perturbations of the lignin

biosynthetic pathway in *P. trichocarpa* was based on the study by Wang et al. (2018). The list of datasets

is provided in Supplemental Table S4.

RESULTS

Differential expansion of SULTR subfamilies

A total of 262 SULTR protein sequences from 20 angiosperms and two early-divergent land plant lineages

were retrieved from Phytozome v13 (Goodstein et al. 2012) (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/) (Table

1). These SULTR proteins are typically annotated based on their Arabidopsis orthologs into four groups,

SULTR1 to SULTR4 (Takahashi et al. 2000; Takahashi et al. 2012). The number of sequences per group

varies due to differential gene expansion among the species studied. The SULTR4 subfamily consists of

one or two sequences in each sampled taxon, while the other three groups show varied expansions.

SULTR3 has the highest number of sequences, followed by SULTR1, whereas SULTR2 exhibits a modest

expansion, observed only in some eudicot species (Table 1).

We constructed a SULTR phylogenetic tree using maximum likelihood inference with IQ-TREE (Nguyen et

al. 2015) (Figure 1). The SULTR members formed distinct clusters in a topology consistent with previous

reports (Takahashi et al. 2012; Ding et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2024). This topology

suggests the existence of two ancient clades, based on the placement of SULTRs from the bryophyte

moss (*Physcomitrella patens*), as also suggested by Takahashi et al. (2012). The smaller Ancient Clade I

(AC-I) comprises the tonoplast SULTR4, whereas the larger Ancient Clade II (AC-II) encompasses the

other three SULTR groups (Figure 1). Within AC-II, the ancient vascular plant spikemoss (*Selaginella*

moellendorffii) contains two distinct groups that are basal to two subclades: one represented by SULTR1-

SULTR2 (referred to as AC-IIA) and the other by SULTR3 (AC-IIB). This suggests that the divergence of the

two subclades coincides with the evolution of vascular plants (Figure 1).

SULTR1 and SULTR2 originate from ancient local duplication

AC-IIA consists of two strongly supported nodes represented by the SULTR1 and SULTR2 subfamilies.

Both subfamilies are evolutionarily conserved in angiosperms, including the basal lineages Amborella

(*Amborella trichopoda*) and tulip tree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*). Interestingly, in both genomes, *SULTR1*

and *SULTR2* are found in close proximity with a divergent (head-to-head) orientation. This head-to-head

pattern has been maintained in nearly all sampled angiosperm taxa, despite lineage-specific variations,

including additional whole-genome or tandem duplications (see below, Supplemental Table S1). This

suggests that *SULTR1* and *SULTR2* originated from a local duplication event before the advent of

angiosperms. The data further indicates that the proximally located and oppositely oriented *SULTR1* and

SULTR2 copies are likely the founding members of each subfamily.

Monocot SULTR1s formed two clusters, each represented by all sampled taxa, including the basal lineage

Ananas comosus (pineapple) (Figure 1). This suggests their divergence early during monocot evolution.

Eudicot SULTR1s also formed two distinct clusters. The smaller cluster has limited species representation,

and there is only a single SULTR1 in the basal eudicot blue columbine (*Aquilegia coerulea*)(Figure 1). This

suggests that the expansion of SULTR1 in eudicots occurred later and only in certain taxa. In the smaller

eudicot SULTR1 cluster, four of the eight species—eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus grandis*), lettuce (*Lactuca*

sativa), monkey flower (*Mimulus guttatus*), and oak (*Quercus rubra*)—harbor tandem duplicates

(Supplemental Table S1). This suggests a tendency for lineage-specific local amplification of this SULTR1

subgroup.

The SULTR2 subfamily is smaller than SULTR1, with 1-2 copies of the two basal angiosperm lineages and

monocots (Figure 1, Table 1). However, the SULTR2 subfamily size is more variable among eudicots,

ranging from a complete absence in blue columbine to four to eight copies in the legumes (Table 1). In

the latter case, we detected whole genome, tandem, and other duplication events both before and after

the split of barrelclover and soybean, leading to a disproportionately expanded SULTR2 subfamily in

these lineages (Supplemental Table S1).

SULTR3 encompasses distinct subfamilies predating angiosperm evolution

AC-IIB encompasses the entire SULTR3 group, with spikemoss members at the basal position (Figure 1). This supports the vascular-specific origin of SULTR3s as previously suggested (Takahashi et al. 2012). Like AC-IIA, AC-IIB is divided into two well-supported nodes, each containing two distinct branches. The first node, hereafter AC-IIB-1, includes the SULTR3.1/3.2 and SULTR3.5 branches, while the second node, AC-IIB-2, comprises the SULTR3.3 and SULTR3.4 branches (Figure 1). Each of these four branches contains one or two members from Amborella and tulip tree, which are sister to all flowering plants (Table 1). This indicates that, like SULTR1 and SULTR2, the four SULTR3 branches evolved before the emergence of angiosperms.

To better understand the evolutionary history of AC-IIB, we constructed a phylogenetic tree using only SULTR3 sequences (Figure 2). The overall topology mirrors that of the AC-IIB group in Figure 1, with angiosperm members clustering into four branches predating the emergence of Amborella and tulip tree. Three of the four branches correspond to the SULTR3.3, SULTR3.4, and SULTR3.5 subfamilies, each with distinct monocot and dicot groups consistent with their early divergence during angiosperm evolution (Figure 2). Most species are represented by a single copy, while others harbor two to four paralogs (Table 1).

The SULTR3.1 branch (also called SULTR3.1/3.2 branch in previous papers, see below) has substantially expanded in both monocots and eudicots after their split. Within the monocots, we identified two strongly supported subgroups for grass SULTR3.1s, rooted with the lone member of pineapple (Figure 2), indicating their origin from a grass-specific duplication event (Figure 2). We also observed two distinct subgroups for eudicots, including blue columbine, indicating their divergence predates the common ancestor of eudicots (Figure 2). The larger subgroup includes all 12 sampled eudicot taxa, many of which have additional paralogs due to lineage-specific duplications. Examples include Brassicaceae (Arabidopsis AtSULTR3.1 and AtSULTR3.2), Salicoid (poplar PtSULTR3.1a and PtSULTR3.1b), and legume-specific duplications (Figure 2). In contrast, the smaller eudicot subgroup is only retained in blue columbine and six other sampled taxa in both rosids and asterids, all of which are perennial species (Figure 2). Distinct orthologs were also identified in other perennial eudicot genomes available on Phytozome v13, such as birch (*Betula platyphylla*), papaya (*Carica papaya*), chestnut (*Castanea dentata*), orange (*Citrus sinensis*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), peach (*Prunus persica*), and castor bean (*Ricinus communis*) of rosid, and

coffee (*Coffea arabica*) and hydrangea (*Hydrangea quercifolia*) of asterids. These findings suggest that

this SULTR3.1 subgroup may play an important role in the functionality of perennial species.

The duplication history of the SULTR3.1 branch has led to inconsistent gene and subfamily

nomenclatures in the literature. Specifically, Arabidopsis AtSULTR3.1 and AtSULTR3.2 are paralogs within

the same SULTR3.1 (large) subgroup, unlike AtSULTR3.3, AtSULTR3.4, and AtSULTR3.5 which represent

phylogenetically distinct subfamilies. In poplar, PtSULTR3.1 and PtSULTR3.2 are descendants of the

ancestral eudicot duplication in the larger and smaller SULTR3.1 subgroups, respectively, with their

Salicoid paralogs designated with "a" and "b" suffixes (*e.g.*, PtSULTR3.1a and PtSULTR3.1b) in the

literature (Dürr et al. 2010; Malcheska et al. 2017). In these examples, AtSULTR3.1/3.2 are orthologous to

PtSULTR3.1a/b, while the perennial-specific PtSULTR3.2a/b do not have direct orthologs in Arabidopsis

(Figure 2). We preserve the use of SULTR3.2 as a gene name in keeping with convention and refer to this

subfamily as SULTR3.1 for now. Phylogenomics-informed gene (re)naming is clearly needed but is beyond

the scope of this study (see Tweedie et al. 2025). Our analysis strongly supports four distinct SULTR3 (AC-

IIB) subfamilies SULTR3.1, SULTR3.5 (node AC-IIB-1), SULTR3.3, and SULTR3.4 (node AC-IIB-2), alongside

the two, well studied AC-IIA subfamilies SULTR1 and SULTR2. Together with the more divergent AC-I

SULTR4 group, angiosperm taxa contain seven evolutionarily conserved SULTR subfamilies (Figure 1),

rather than the historically proposed four (Takahashi et al. 2000).

Exon-intron structure varies with the evolution of *SULTR subfamilies*

Analysis of gene structure revealed variations that generally align with the SULTR subfamily evolution

inferred from our phylogenetic trees. *SULTR4* genes of the small AC-I clade have 17 exons with

evolutionarily conserved junctions among diverse lineages (Figure 3). However, multiple exon-fusion

events have occurred in the expanded AC-II subfamilies. Specifically, three fusions between exons 8 and

9, exons 10 to 12, and exons 13 and 14 are shared across all AC-II subfamilies and species, including basal

spikemoss members (Figure 3). Among them, *Sm184750* (basal to AC-IIB) is likely the founding member

with just these three exon fusions. This gene structure is retained in *SULTR1* (AC-IIA), *SULTR3.3* and

SULTR3.4 (AC-IIB-2) members.

SULTR2 members (AC-IIA) have an additional fusion between exons 6 and 7. This fusion is absent in the

basal Amborella *SULTR2* which retains the same gene structure as the presumed AC-II founding member

Sm184750 and the sister *SULTR1* branch (Figure 3). Interestingly, this fusion is present in the more

distantly related spikemoss *Sm169988* basal to AC-IIB (Figure 3). Similarly, the *SULTR3.1* and *SULTR3.5*

subfamilies share a conserved fusion of exons 1 and 2 across basal, monocot, and eudicot lineages of the

AC-IIB-1 subclade, as well as the less related *Sm113596* basal to AC-IIA (Figure 3). The independent

occurrence of the same exon fusion pattern in unrelated lineages may suggest convergent evolution.

Alternatively, the minor inconsistencies noted above may reflect limitations in current phylogenetic

reconstructions in correctly positioning distant orthologs from early-divergent lineages, such as moss

and spikemoss. This limitation is evident for the SULTR4 clade (Figure 1) as previously reported

(Takahashi et al. 2012).

Finally, gene structure analysis also revealed multiple unusual or lineage-specific fusion events. Examples

include grass-specific fusions, including secondary fusions between above-mentioned exon fusion

events, in *SULTR3.1* and *SULTR3.4* members (Figure 3, Phytozome v13). Furthermore, half of the

Arabidopsis *SULTR* family members have unique exon fusion events conserved in Brassicaceae, indicative

of their unusual evolution. This suggests that using Arabidopsis genes as sole references for comparative

studies may introduce confounding factors for evolutionary inference.

Protein modeling reveals binding pocket substitutions in expanded SULTR3 subfamilies

The recently resolved protein structure of Arabidopsis AtSULTR4.1 (Wang et al. 2021) was used as a

template to identify key substrate binding residues in other Arabidopsis and poplar SULTR proteins. The

key residue Glu347 (AtSULTR4.1 numbering), essential for co-substrate proton sensing and transport, is

conserved across all SULTRs (Figure 4A). Key residues lining the sulfate binding pocket, including Gln112,

Tyr116, Ser392, and Arg(Lys)393, are also conserved (Figure 4A). Mutations to Ala at any of these

positions resulted in a near-total loss of sulfate transport activity (Wang et al. 2021). However, two

residues within the predicted binding pocket showed subfamily-specific substitutions. Ala153 is replaced

by Ser in SULTR3.3 and SULTR3.4 (node AC-IIB-2), while Ser390 is replaced by Pro in SULTR3.1 and

SULTR3.5 (node AC-IIB-1) or by Ala in SULTR3.3 (Figure 4A). Ser390 is thought to interact with Arg393,

which likely provides positive electrostatic potential to stabilize substrate binding (Wang et al. 2021).

Whether these substitutions contribute to the reported difficulty in demonstrating *in vitro* sulfate

transport activity for SULTR3s (Takahashi et al. 2000; Kataoka et al. 2004a) or to the neofunctionalization

of SULTR3.4 as SPDT for phosphate transport (Yamaji et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020) is a possibility that

merits consideration.

To explore this further, we performed AlphaFold3 (Abramson et al. 2024) structural modeling for five

representative poplar AC-IIB members: PtaSULTR3.1a, PtaSULTR3.2a, PtaSULTR3.5 (AC-IIB-1),

PtaSULTR3.3a, and PtaSULTR3.4a (AC-IIB-2). Structural alignments revealed conserved overall folding

across AC-IIB members (Figure 4B). Most models accommodated sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) and phosphate (PO_4^{3-})

ions in similar positions (Figure 4C). However, SULTR3.4a displayed a distinct binding mode, with PO_4^{3-}

positioned differently from SO_4^{2-}, suggesting potential structural selectivity. The Ala→Ser153

substitution in AC-IIB-2 introduces a polar hydroxyl group capable of hydrogen bonding. In SULTR3.4a,

this residue, along with Ser390 and Ser392, forms a triad of serines that interact with the docked PO_4^{3-}

(Figure 4C), which may help stabilize its higher negative charge (−3). Although SULTR3.3 also contains

Ser153, its Ser→Ala390 substitution likely disrupts favorable PO_4^{3-} interactions. The other AC-IIB-1

SULTR3 members possess only one Ser residue proximal to the substrate, suggesting suboptimal

coordination at the binding site (Figure 4C).

Expression divergence and tissue partitioning in expanded *SULTR3* subfamilies

We analyzed the expression of poplar *SULTR* genes using published RNA-Seq datasets, including the JGI

tissue atlas from *P. tremula* × *P. alba* INRA 717-1B4 (Zhou et al. 2023). The AC-I *PtaSULTR4* transcripts

were detected in all tissues, with *PtaSULTR4.1* being higher than *PtaSULTR4.2* in mature leaves and

young elongating roots (Figure 5). Among the AC-IIA members, the two *PtaSULTR1.1* paralogs were

expressed in all tissues but showed distinct tissue preferences. *PtaSULTR1.1a* transcript levels were

highest in the bark and xylem of the primary stem (internodes 3-6), while *PtaSULTR1.1b* transcripts were

low overall but predominated in roots (Figure 5). The *PtaSULTR2.1* paralogs were generally poorly

expressed, except in primary xylem where *PtaSULTR2.1b* was strongly expressed. *PtaSULTR2.2*, the

ancient inverted tandem duplicate of *PtaSULTR1.1b*, was well expressed throughout the plant with a

clear bias for mature leaves (Figure 5).

Members of the expanded AC-IIB subfamilies exhibited substantial expression divergence or partitioning,

with many showing restricted tissue distribution. For instance, the singleton *PtaSULTR3.5* was only

detected at low levels in buds and roots (Figure 5). No bark expression was detected for the *PtaSULTR3.1*

and *PtaSULTR3.5* (AC-IIB-1) subfamilies. *PtaSULTR3.1a* was poorly expressed overall, but the paralogous

PtaSULTR3.1b was well expressed in both leaves and roots (Figure 5). The *PtaSULTR3.2* pair showed

clearly xylem-biased and developmentally conditioned expression in stems. Both genes were expressed

in primary xylem, but *PtaSULTR3.2a* expression increased substantially in secondary xylem as

PtaSULTR3.2b expression sharply decreased (Figure 5). Primary xylem-biased expression was also

detected for the *PtaSULTR3.4* paralogs, with *PtaSULTR3.4a* being higher than *PtaSULTR3.4b*. *PtaSULTR3.3*

is the only AC-IIB subfamily that showed broad tissue expression, but this expression was partitioned

between the paralogs. *PtaSULTR3.3a* was mainly expressed in stem and root tissues, whereas

PtaSULTR3.3b was detected in leaves (Figure 5).

Differential responses of xylem-biased *SULTR3.2a* and *SULTR3.4a* to drought and lignification

Some *PtaSULTR* genes have previously been shown to be sensitive to seasonal changes in wood or to

drought stress in leaves and roots based on qRT-PCR studies (Dürr et al. 2010; Malcheska et al. 2013;

Malcheska et al. 2017). Here, we explored data from stress and transgenic perturbation RNA-Seq

experiments (Xue et al. 2016; Tsai et al. 2020; Swamy et al. 2015) to analyze *SULTR* expression responses,

focusing particularly on vascular tissues and the expanded *SULTR3* subfamilies (excluding *PtaSULTR3.5*

which was below detection). We observed a partitioned drought response both between subfamilies and

between paralogs, consistent with the partitioned tissue preference discussed above (Figures 5-6). The

dominant *PtaSULTR3.1* subfamily members, *PtaSULTR3.1b* and *PtaSULTR3.2a*, were significantly

upregulated by drought in roots and secondary xylem, respectively, while their poorly expressed

paralogs were unresponsive (Figure 6). Similar patterns were observed for the *PtaSULTR3.3* and

PtaSULTR3.4 subfamilies, with the dominant *PtaSULTR3.3a* and *PtaSULTR3.4a* significantly upregulated in

drought-stressed bark and root, respectively. Although both *PtaSULTR3.1b* and *PtaSULTR3.4a* were

drought-responsive in root tissue, the expression of *PtaSULTR3.1b* was an order of magnitude higher

than that of *PtaSULTR3.4a*. In summary, the data showed that each of the three vascular tissues

responded to drought stress by upregulating a distinct AC-IIB (*SULTR3*) subfamily member: *PtaSULTR3.1b*

in roots, *PtaSULTR3.2a* in xylem, and *PtaSULTR3.3a* in bark.

We next examined *SULTR3* expression in the secondary xylem (internodes 60-80) of erect or inclined

poplar trees during normal wood (NW) or tension wood (TW) development (Swamy et al. 2015). The

secondary xylem-predominant *PtaSULTR3.2a* was down-regulated by more than 70% in TW (Figure 7A).

TW in angiosperms is characterized by cellulose-enriched gelatinous fibers with overall reduced lignin

accrual (Groover 2016). To further investigate the potential connection between *SULTR3* expression and

cell wall composition, we utilized RNA-Seq data from young developing xylem (15 to 30 cm from the

shoot tip) of *4CL1* (*4-coumarate:CoA ligase*)-knockout poplar with reduced lignin accumulation (Zhou et

al. 2015; Tsai et al. 2020). We observed a 40-50% downregulation of both *PtaSULTR3.2a* and

PtaSULTR3.4a in the low-lignin *4cl1* mutants (Figure 7B). Interestingly, *PtaSULTR3.4a* transcript

abundance was higher than that of *PtaSULTR3.2a*, presumably reflecting the younger stems used in this

study compared to the TW experiment (Swamy et al. 2015; Tsai et al. 2020). Together, these results

suggest that *PtaSULTR3.2a* expression correlated positively with lignification during stem development,

while *PtaSULTR3.4a* response was conditional and limited to primary xylem, where it was most highly

expressed (Figures 5 and 7).

Gene coexpression of *PtaSULTR3.4a* with phosphorus starvation response and *PtaSULTR3.2a* with

lignification

To further explore the functional association of the xylem-biased *PtaSULTR3.2a* and *PtaSULTR3.4a*, we

performed gene-centered coexpression analysis using 174 published RNA-seq datasets from xylem, bark,

and stem tissues (Supplemental Table S2). We identified 419 genes coexpressed with *PtaSULTR3.4a*

(Pearson correlation coefficient or PCC ≥ 0.7), which showed significant enrichment in Gene Ontology

(GO) terms associated with "cellular response to phosphate starvation", "sulfolipid biosynthetic process",

"response to nitrate", "sulfate transport", and "phosphate ion transport" (Figure 8A). Indeed,

PtaSULTR3.4a was strongly coexpressed with *PtaSULTR3.4b* (PCC = 0.91), *PtaSULTR2.1b* (0.82), and

PtaSULTR2.2 (0.72), as well as genes encoding multiple phosphate transporters (Supplemental Table S3),

consistent with previous reports linking the SULTR3.4 subfamily to phosphorus allocation (Yamaji et al.

2017; Ding et al. 2020). *PtaSULTR3.4a* was also highly coexpressed with genes known to be sensitive to

phosphorus starvation, including orthologs of SPX domain-containing proteins and purple acid

phosphatases (Supplemental Table S3) (Duan et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2011; Gan et al. 2015; Chen et al.

2022). Our analysis suggests a close coordination of *PtaSULTR3.4a* with a network of transporters and

phosphorus responses genes.

In contrast, a smaller group of 87 genes was coexpressed with *PtaSULTR3.2a*, with significant GO

enrichment in "regulation of secondary cell wall biogenesis", "response to wounding", and "secondary

metabolic process" (Figure 8A). Specifically, *PtaSULTR3.2* was coexpressed with genes encoding multiple

lignin biosynthetic enzymes (phenylalanine ammonia lyase [PAL], cinnamate 4-hydroxylase, 4CLs,

hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA shikimate/quinate hydroxycinnamoyl transferase, ferulic acid 5-hydroxylase), C1

metabolism enzymes (serine hydroxymethyltransferase [SHMT] and *S*-adenosylmethionine [SAM]

synthases), and transcriptional factors regulating secondary wall biogenesis, such as SND (secondary

wall-associated NAC domain) and MYB proteins (Zhong et al. 2011) (Supplemental Table S3). We then

plotted PCC values for 58 genes involved in lignin biosynthesis, C1 metabolism, and their upstream

transcriptional regulators (Supplemental Table S3). We found a median PCC of 0.60 with *PtaSULTR3.2a*

but no correlation was observed with *PtaSULTR3.4a* (Figure 8B). Notably, *SULTR3.2a* was positively

coexpressed with C1 metabolism genes encoding Met synthases, SAM synthases, homocysteine *S*-

methyltransferases, and *S*-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase of the activated methyl cycle, as well as

SHMT and Gly decarboxylase complex enzymes involved in Ser-Gly interconversion (Hanson and Roje

2001)(Figure 8B, Supplemental Table S3). For *PAL* and *4CL* gene families involved in both lignin and

flavonoid biosynthesis, *PtaSULTR3.2a* coexpression was observed specifically for lignin-associated

PtaPAL2 (Kao et al. 2002), *Pta4CL1* and *Pta4CL5* isoforms (Harding et al. 2002; Tsai et al. 2020)

(Supplemental Table S3).

To further substantiate the link between *SULTR3.2a* and lignification, we mined additional RNA-Seq

datasets from transgenic *Populus trichocarpa* with reduced lignin by RNA interference (RNAi) of pathway

genes (Wang et al. 2018)(Supplemental Table S4). We confirmed significant down-regulation of

SULTR3.2a in lignin-reduced transgenic plants, but only for perturbations at the 4CL (consistent with

Figure 7B), caffeoyl-CoA *O*-methyltransferase (CCoAOMT), cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR), or caffeic

acid *O*-methyltransferase (COMT) steps (Figure 8C). These enzymatic steps involve either CoA-thioester

as a co-substrate (4CL) or co-product (CCR) or SAM as a co-factor (CCoAOMT and COMT). Both CoA and

SAM are derived from sulfur-containing amino acids (Hanson and Roje 2001; Kupke et al. 2003). In

contrast, *SULTR3.4a* was down-regulated in *4CL*-silenced *P. trichocarpa* as in *P. tremula × alba* (Figures 7B

and 8C), but exhibited variable responses to other lignin gene perturbations, indicative of an indirect

connection. Together, independent transgenic experiments in two poplar species and large-scale

coexpression analysis all support a previously undescribed link between *SULTR3.2a* and lignification.

DISCUSSION

The evolutionary expansion and functional diversification of SULTR transporters from bacteria to higher

plants has been extensively discussed (Takahashi et al. 2012). However, important questions remain,

especially regarding the large and vascular plant-specific SULTR3 group, whose members exhibit little to

no sulfate transport activity on their own (Takahashi et al. 2000; Kataoka et al. 2004a). SULTR3 members

exhibit variability in their membrane localization and have been reported to facilitate chloroplast sulfate

assimilation, enhance sulfate transport by other SULTR partners, and contribute to phosphorus

distribution via the vasculature (Kataoka et al. 2004a; Cao et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2016; Yamaji et al.

2017; Chen et al. 2019; Ding et al. 2020; Gu et al. 2022). By including multiple early-divergent species at

key evolutionary junctures for phylogenetic inference, we updated the SULTR family classification into

seven distinct subfamilies dating back to basal angiosperms, with four belonging to the enigmatic

SULTR3 group. Consistent with experimental evidence from the Arabidopsis, rice, and barley orthologs

(Yamaji et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020; Gu et al. 2022), we provide protein modeling and gene coexpression

data supporting the potential neofunctionalization of SULTR3.4 in phosphate homeostasis. We further

identified a perennial-specific subgroup within the SULTR3.1 subfamily, with the poplar member

PtaSULTR3.2a showing strong coexpression with lignin biosynthetic genes and responsiveness to lignin

pathway perturbations.

Early evolution of seven divergent SULTR subfamilies in angiosperms

Our phylogenetic analysis supports several features of the plant SULTR family evolution previously

suggested by Takahashi et al. (2012), based on the placement of moss and spikemoss members. These

include the split of AC-I (SULTR4) and AC-II (SULTR1-SULTR3) clades early during land plant evolution, and

the subsequent split of AC-IIA (SULTR1-SULTR2) and AC-IIB (SULTR3) subclades, with AC-IIB arising

specifically in vascular plants (Figure 1). While AC-IIA is further split into the SULTR1 and SULTR2

subfamilies, the much large AC-IIB was previously considered as one (SULTR3) subfamily with four

subgroups (Takahashi et al. 2012). However, our phylogenetic reconstruction with basal angiosperm

lineages uncovered four strongly supported SULTR3 subfamilies that predate the split of Amborella and

tulip tree (Figure 1). Examination of the recently sequenced camphor tree (*Cinnamomum kanehirae* v3)

(Chaw et al. 2019) and water lily (*Nymphaea colorata* v1.2)(Zhang et al. 2020) genomes available on

Phytozome v13 confirmed the presence of four AC-IIB subfamilies in other early-divergent angiosperm

taxa, suggesting their origin in the last common ancestor of all flowering plants.

Gene structure analysis provided further support for distinct evolution of the AC-IIB subfamilies. It is

important to note that gene structure changes, such as intron losses, can impact expression and

contribute to functional diversification as effectively, if not more so, than mutations within exons

(Morello and Breviario 2008). This was evidenced by preferential yet partitioned expression, both among

SULTR3 subfamilies and between gene duplicates, in vascular tissues of poplar (Figure 5). Drought stress

stimulated expression of *PtaSULTR3.1b*, *PtaSULTR3.2a*, and *PtaSULR3.3a* in a root-, xylem-, and bark-

biased manner, respectively (Figure 6). These examples serve to illustrate the diverse roles of the four

SULTR3 subfamilies beyond the historically established vacuolar (SULTR4), high-affinity (SULTR1), and

low-affinity (SULTR2) sulfate transport activities. The four SULTR3 subfamilies likely differentiated as

mechanisms for internal distribution and utilization of sulfate evolved in angiosperms (Takahashi 2019).

Protein modeling insights into substrate binding pocket sequences

Despite differences in tissue expression and transport kinetics, the proton and sulfate binding pocket

residues predicted to be essential for activity (Wang et al. 2021) are conserved in AC-I SULTR4 and AC-IIA

SULTR1/SULTR2 subfamilies (Figure 4). However, the expanded AC-IIB (SULTR3) members exhibit

subfamily-specific substitutions within the binding pocket, coinciding with reported catalytic divergence.

Among AC-IIB members, only SULTR3.1 and SULTR3.5 have been reported to exhibit sulfate uptake

activity in yeast, although these findings lack kinetic characterization (Krusell et al. 2005; Xun et al. 2021)

and have not been consistently observed across tested species (Takahashi et al. 2000). For instance,

Arabidopsis AtSULTR3.5 was found to be nonfunctional by itself but can enhance the activity of

AtSULTR2.1 significantly (Kataoka et al. 2004a). Reverse genetics analysis revealed that mutations to

AtSULTR3.1 were more detrimental to sulfate uptake by isolated chloroplasts than mutations to any

other AC-IIB members (Cao et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2019). Mutations in another SULTR3.1 subfamily

member, AtSULTR3.2, has been linked to altered sulfate translocation in developing seeds of Arabidopsis

(Zuber et al. 2010). SULTR3.1 and SULTR3.5 differ from the canonical AC-I and AC-IIA subfamilies at

position 390 (Pro vs. Ser). Ser390 is thought to interact with Arg393 to stabilize the sulfate ion

electrostatically within the binding pocket (Wang et al. 2021). The Ser→Pro390 substitution may impair

sulfate ion coordination, potentially contributing to the poor sulfate transport of SULTR3.1 and SULTR3.5

(Takahashi et al. 2000; Kataoka et al. 2004a).

AC-IIB-2 subfamilies SULTR3.3 and SULTR3.4 differ from the other SULTRs at position 153, where Ala is

replaced by Ser in the substrate-binding pocket (Figure 4). Furthermore, Ser390 is conserved in SULTR3.4

but replaced by Ala in SULTR3.3. In SULT3.4, the three serine residues—Ser153, Ser390, and Ser392—are

predicted to enable PO_4^{3-} interaction based on protein-substrate modeling (Figure 4). Multiple studies

have shown that SULTR3.4 subfamily members transport phosphate rather than sulfate (Yamaji et al.

2017; Ding et al. 2020; Gu et al. 2022). Interestingly, phosphate ion coordination in the binding pocket is

disrupted in the SULTR3.3 model due to the Ser→Ala390 substitution (Figure 4), consistent with the lack

of *in vitro* phosphate or sulfate transport activity reported for rice OsSULTR3.3 (Zhao et al. 2016).

However, mutations in *OsSULTR3.3* reduced phytate and total phosphorus concentrations in rice grains

(Zhao et al. 2016), suggesting a noncanonical function. Together, these findings highlight unique

structural adaptations in SULTR3.4/SPDT that support PO_4^{3-} interaction, providing a mechanistic basis

for its proposed neofunctionalization in phosphate allocation within the vasculature (Yamaji et al. 2017;

Ding et al. 2020). The data also hint at the importance of Ser153 and Ser390 for substrate selectivity.

Whether the Pro and Ala substitutions at position 390 contribute to the functional diversification of

other SULTR3 subfamilies, either as nonfunctional transporter-like facilitators or through noncanonical

roles, remains to be investigated experimentally.

PtaSULTR3.4 coexpression with phosphorus transport and starvation response genes

Poplar *PtaSULTR3.4a* and its less expressed genome duplicate *PtaSULTR3.4b* were preferentially

expressed in primary xylem. Of the seven Salicoid genome duplicate pairs in the SULTR family,

PtaSULTR3.4s are the only pair that retains expression conservation in stem vascular tissues. Consistent

with a role of the SULTR3.4/SPDT subfamily in phosphorus distribution and metabolism (Yamaji et al.

2017; Ding et al. 2020), *PtaSULTR3.4a*-coexpressed genes included several phosphate transporters and

phosphate starvation response markers (Gan et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2022). This group also included

genes encoding nitrate-responsive regulators, transporters for nitrate, amino acids, auxin, and various

metal ions, as well as plasma membrane H^+-ATPases necessary to energize transporters for

transmembrane nutrient uptake (Palmgren 2001). The coordinated acquisition of nitrogen and

phosphorus, and the crosstalk between nitrate- and phosphorus-responsive signaling pathways have

been extensively reported, including in poplar (Gan et al. 2015; Hu and Chu 2020; Ueda et al. 2020). This

coordination also extends to other mineral nutrients (Raddatz et al. 2020; Wei et al. 2023). Similarly,

sulfur assimilation is sensitive to availability of not only sulfate but also nitrate (Kopriva et al. 2004). Our

gene coexpression analysis implicated SULTR3.4 as an important player in these coordinated responses.

Secondary xylem-biased *PtaSULTR3.2a* expression and lignification

Extensive prior work has illustrated temporal associations between *SULTR* gene expression and sulfate

circulation in poplar stems throughout the year (Rennenberg et al. 2007; Dürr et al. 2010; Malcheska et

al. 2013). The sulfate assimilation pathway interfaces with C1 metabolism for the production of SAM,

which is a methyl donor for the biosynthesis of lignin and other cell wall polysaccharides (Rajinikanth et

al. 2007; Srivastava et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2019). However, there is little information linking SULTRs

with wood formation. A connection was recently suggested via a xylem-expressed microRNA, *miR395c*,

which regulated sulfate assimilation genes and low-affinity SULTR2.1b to affect ABA synthesis and

secondary cell wall biosynthesis in poplar (Liu et al. 2022).

In poplar, the AC-IIB-1 member *SULTR3.2a* is the dominant *SULTR* transcript in secondary xylem

undergoing secondary cell wall thickening. A functional association between PtaSULTR3.2a and

lignification is supported by its reduced expression in low-lignin xylem, either via genetic perturbation in

4cl1 mutants or in TW of trees subjected to stem bending (Figure 7). Significantly lower *SULTR3.2a*

expression was also detected in transgenic RNAi *P. trichocarpa* (Wang et al. 2018) targeting 4CL, CCR,

CCoAOMT and COMT—enzymatic steps involving sulfur-containing CoA or SAM (Figure 8C). In addition,

xylem *PtaSULTR3.2a* expression increased during drought stress, a condition generally associated with

enhanced lignification (Moura et al. 2010). We found strong coexpression of *PtaSULTR3.2a* with genes

involved in lignin biosynthesis, C1 metabolism, and secondary cell wall biogenesis (Rajinikanth et al.

2007; Zhong et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2019; Donev et al. 2023), accounting for 17% of the 87

PtaSULTR3.2a-coexpressed genes. While strictly correlative, the conclusion that PtaSULTR3.2a is more

closely associated with lignification than other PtaSULTRs is supported by data from a wide range of

experimental approaches (Supplemental Table S2), including transgenic perturbation of lignin genes in

two distinct species (*P. trichocarpa* of section Tacamahaca and *P. tremula × alba* of section *Populus*).

Given that PtaSULT3.2a belongs to a small subgroup of the SULTR3.1 subfamily retained only in perennial

dicots, its preferential expression in secondary xylem and strong coexpression with lignification genes

are consistent with perennial-specific functional diversification.

In summary, our analysis suggests that the enigmatic SULTR3 contains four distinct subfamilies with an

ancient origin predating angiosperm evolution. Variations in exon-intron structure, substrate binding

pocket residues, and tissue expression preferences likely contribute to the functional diversification of

the four SULTR3 subfamilies. The previously reported neofunctionalization of the SULTR3.4 subfamily

associated with phosphorus distribution (Yamaji et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020; Gu et al. 2022) gained

credence in poplar based on structural modeling and coexpression analysis. In addition, we provided

evidence supporting the functional diversification of PtaSULTR3.2a associated with lignification in poplar

and likely other perennial species. These functional predictions await future experimental verification.

Finally, this work highlights the need for phylogeny-informed gene and gene family nomenclatures as
discussed elsewhere in this special issue.
605

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
The authors thank the advice of Ethan Baldwin and Jim Leebens-Mack on phylogenetic analysis and Ran
Zhou on bioinformatics analysis.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS
C.-J.T. conceived the idea, S.M.S. performed all analyses with assistance from C.H. for RNA-seq and gene
coexpression, L.N. performed protein modeling analysis, S.M.S. drafted the manuscript, S.A.H. and C.-J.T.
revised the manuscript.
614

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Table S1. List of SULTR sequences used in the phylogenetic analysis
Table S2. List of RNA-Seq datasets from *Populus tremula × alba* stem tissues used in coexpression
analysis
Table S3. Genes and their Pearson correlation coefficients with *PtaSULTR3.2a* and *PtaSULTR3.4a*
Table S4. List of RNA-Seq datasets from transgenic *Populus trichocarpa*
621

FUNDING
The work was supported by the Center for Bioenergy Innovation (CBI), U.S. Department of Energy, Office
of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program under Award Number ERKP886. S.M.S was
supported by the Foundation for Food and Agriculture Research fellowship program, C.S. was supported
by a Government Scholarship for Overseas Study from the Ministry of Education in Taiwan, and L.N. was
supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Award Number 2400220.

628
629

630 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** None declared.
631

632 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**
633 Gene models and genome versions for protein sequences used for phylogenetic analysis are provided in
634 Supplementary Table S1. Accession numbers for RNA-Seq datasets used in gene expression analysis are

SRR6255832 to SRR6255842 for tissue expression, RR1292611, SRR1292615, and SRR1295980-

SRR1295983 for stem bending response, SRP041959 for drought response, and PRJNA589632 for lignin

perturbation response in *P. tremula × alba*. Accession numbers for the 174 RNA-Seq datasets used for

gene coexpression analysis are provided in Supplemental Table S2. The accession number for additional

RNA-Seq data from lignin-reduced transgenic *P. trichocarpa* is PRJNA314500 and individual sample

accession numbers are provided in Supplemental Table S4.

REFERENCES

Abramson, J., J. Adler, J. Dunger, R. Evans, T. Green, A. Pritzel, O. Ronneberger, L. Willmore, A.J. Ballard, J. Bambrick, S.W. Bodenstein, D.A. Evans, C.-C. Hung, M. O'Neill, D. Reiman, K. Tunyasuvunakool, Z. Wu, A. Žemgulytė, E. Arvaniti, C. Beattie, O. Bertolli, A. Bridgland, A. Cherepanov, M. Congreve, A.I. Cowen-Rivers, A. Cowie, M. Figurnov, F.B. Fuchs, H. Gladman, R. Jain, Y.A. Khan, C.M.R. Low, K. Perlin, A. Potapenko, P. Savy, S. Singh, A. Stecula, A. Thillaisundaram, C. Tong, S. Yakneen, E.D. Zhong, M. Zielinski, A. Žídek, V. Bapst, P. Kohli, M. Jaderberg, D. Hassabis and J.M. Jumper. 2024. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature. 630:493-500.

Batool, S., V.V. Uslu, H. Rajab, N. Ahmad, R. Waadt, D. Geiger, M. Malagoli, C.B. Xiang, R. Hedrich, H. Rennenberg, C. Herschbach, R. Hell and M. Wirtz. 2018. Sulfate is incorporated into cysteine to trigger ABA production and stomatal closure. Plant Cell. 30:2973-2987.

Bauer, H., P. Ache, S. Lautner, J. Fromm, W. Hartung, Khaled A.S. Al-Rasheid, S. Sonnewald, U. Sonnewald, S. Kneitz, N. Lachmann, Ralf R. Mendel, F. Bittner, Alistair M. Hetherington and R. Hedrich. 2013. The stomatal response to reduced relative humidity requires guard cell-autonomous ABA synthesis. Current Biology. 23:53-57.

Bianchini, G. and P. Sánchez-Baracaldo. 2024. TreeViewer: Flexible, modular software to visualise and manipulate phylogenetic trees. Ecology and Evolution. 14:e10873.

Bray, N.L., H. Pimentel, P. Melsted and L. Pachter. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol. 34:525-7.

Cao, M.-J., Z. Wang, M. Wirtz, R. Hell, D.J. Oliver and C.-B. Xiang. 2013. SULTR3;1 is a chloroplast-localized sulfate transporter in Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal. 73:607-616.

Cao, M.-J., Z. Wang, Q. Zhao, J.-L. Mao, A. Speiser, M. Wirtz, R. Hell, J.-K. Zhu and C.-B. Xiang. 2014. Sulfate availability affects ABA levels and germination response to ABA and salt stress in rabidopsis thaliana. Plant Journal. 77:604-615.

Chaw, S.-M., Y.-C. Liu, Y.-W. Wu, H.-Y. Wang, C.-Y.I. Lin, C.-S. Wu, H.-M. Ke, L.-Y. Chang, C.-Y. Hsu, H.-T. Yang, E. Sudianto, M.-H. Hsu, K.-P. Wu, L.-N. Wang, J.H. Leebens-Mack and I.J. Tsai. 2019. Stout camphor tree genome fills gaps in understanding of flowering plant genome evolution. Nature Plants. 5:63-73.

Chen, N., S. Tong, J. Yang, J. Qin, W. Wang, K. Chen, W. Shi, J. Li, J. Liu and Y. Jiang. 2022. PtoWRKY40 interacts with PtoPHR1-LIKE3 while regulating the phosphate starvation response in poplar. Plant Physiology. 190:2688-2705.

Chen, Y., X. Xiao, R. Yang, Z. Sun, S. Yang, H. Zhang, B. Xing, Y. Li, Q. Liu, Q. Lu, Y. Shi, Y. Yuan, C. Miao and P. Li. 2024. Genome-wide identification and expression-pattern analysis of sulfate transporter

(SULTR) gene family in cotton under multiple abiotic stresses and fiber development. Functional & Integrative Genomics. 24:108.

Chen, Z., P.X. Zhao, Z.Q. Miao, G.F. Qi, Z. Wang, Y. Yuan, N. Ahmad, M.J. Cao, R. Hell, M. Wirtz and C.B. Xiang. 2019. SULTR3s function in chloroplast sulfate uptake and affect ABA biosynthesis and the stress response. Plant Physiol. 180:593-604.

Ding, G., G.J. Lei, N. Yamaji, K. Yokosho, N. Mitani-Ueno, S. Huang and J.F. Ma. 2020. Vascular cambium-localized AtSPDT mediates xylem-to-phloem transfer of phosphorus for its preferential distribution in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant. 13:99-111.

Ding, Y., X. Zhou, L. Zuo, H. Wang and D. Yu. 2016. Identification and functional characterization of the sulfate transporter gene GmSULTR1;2b in soybean. BMC Genomics. 17:373.

Donev, E.N., M. Derba-Maceluch, Z. Yassin, M.L. Gandla, S. Pramod, E. Heinonen, V. Kumar, G. Scheepers, F. Vilaplana, U. Johansson, M. Hertzberg, B. Sundberg, S. Winestrand, A. Hörnberg, B. Alriksson, L.J. Jönsson and E.J. Mellerowicz. 2023. Field testing of transgenic aspen from large greenhouse screening identifies unexpected winners. Plant Biotechnology Journal. 21:1005-1021.

Duan, K., K. Yi, L. Dang, H. Huang, W. Wu and P. Wu. 2008. Characterization of a sub-family of Arabidopsis genes with the SPX domain reveals their diverse functions in plant tolerance to phosphorus starvation. The Plant Journal. 54:965-975.

Dürr, J., H. Bücking, S. Mult, H. Wildhagen, K. Palme, H. Rennenberg, F. Ditengou and C. Herschbach. 2010. Seasonal and cell type specific expression of sulfate transporters in the phloem of Populus reveals tree specific characteristics for SO(4)(2-) storage and mobilization. Plant Mol Biol. 72:499-517.

Ernst, L., J.Q. Goodger, S. Alvarez, E.L. Marsh, B. Berla, E. Lockhart, J. Jung, P. Li, H.J. Bohnert and D.P. Schachtman. 2010. Sulphate as a xylem-borne chemical signal precedes the expression of ABA biosynthetic genes in maize roots. J Exp Bot. 61:3395-405.

Ewels, P.A., A. Peltzer, S. Fillinger, H. Patel, J. Alneberg, A. Wilm, M.U. Garcia, P. Di Tommaso and S. Nahnsen. 2020. The nf-core framework for community-curated bioinformatics pipelines. Nature Biotechnology. 38:276-278.

Gallardo, K., P.-E. Courty, C. Le Signor, D. Wipf and V. Vernoud. 2014. Sulfate transporters in the plant's response to drought and salinity: regulation and possible functions. Frontiers in Plant Science. 5

Gan, H., Y. Jiao, J. Jia, X. Wang, H. Li, W. Shi, C. Peng, A. Polle and Z.-B. Luo. 2015. Phosphorus and nitrogen physiology of two contrasting poplar genotypes when exposed to phosphorus and/or nitrogen starvation. Tree Physiology. 36:22-38.

Ge, S.X., D. Jung and R. Yao. 2020. ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants. Bioinformatics. 36:2628-2629.

Goodstein, D.M., S. Shu, R. Howson, R. Neupane, R.D. Hayes, J. Fazo, T. Mitros, W. Dirks, U. Hellsten, N. Putnam and D.S. Rokhsar. 2012. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Research. 40:D1178-D1186.

Gu, M., H. Huang, H. Hisano, G. Ding, S. Huang, N. Mitani-Ueno, K. Yokosho, K. Sato, N. Yamaji and J.F. Ma. 2022. A crucial role for a node-localized transporter, HvSPDT, in loading phosphorus into barley grains. New Phytologist. 234:1249-1261.

Hanson, A.D. and S. Roje. 2001. One-carbon metabolism in higher plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology. 52:119-137.

Harding, S.A., J. Leshkevich, V.L. Chiang and C.J. Tsai. 2002. Differential substrate inhibition couples kinetically distinct 4-coumarate:coenzyme A ligases with spatially distinct metabolic roles in quaking aspen. Plant Physiology. 128:428-438.

Heidari, P., S. Hasanzadeh, S. Faraji, S. Ercisli and F. Mora-Poblete. 2023. Genome-wide characterization of the sulfate transporter gene family in oilseed crops: Camelina sativa and Brassica napus. Plants. 12:628.

Hu, B. and C. Chu. 2020. Nitrogen–phosphorus interplay: old story with molecular tale. New Phytologist.
225:1455-1460.
Huang, Q., M. Wang and Z. Xia. 2018. The SULTR gene family in maize (*Zea mays* L.): Gene cloning and
expression analyses under sulfate starvation and abiotic stress. Journal of Plant Physiology.
220:24-33.
Jones, D.T., W.R. Taylor and J.M. Thornton. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from
protein sequences. Comput Appl Biosci. 8:275-82.
Kalyaanamoorthy, S., B.Q. Minh, T.K.F. Wong, A. von Haeseler and L.S. Jermiin. 2017. ModelFinder: fast
model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods. 14:587-589.
Kao, Y.Y., S.A. Harding and C.J. Tsai. 2002. Differential expression of two distinct phenylalanine ammonia-
lyase genes in condensed tannin-accumulating and lignifying cells of quaking aspen. Plant
Physiology. 130:796-807.
Kataoka, T., N. Hayashi, T. Yamaya and H. Takahashi. 2004a. Root-to-shoot transport of sulfate in
Arabidopsis. Evidence for the role of SULTR3;5 as a component of low-affinity sulfate transport
system in the root vasculature. Plant Physiol. 136:4198-204.
Kataoka, T., A. Watanabe-Takahashi, N. Hayashi, M. Ohnishi, T. Mimura, P. Buchner, M.J. Hawkesford, T.
Yamaya and H. Takahashi. 2004b. Vacuolar sulfate transporters are essential determinants
controlling internal distribution of sulfate in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 16:2693-704.
Ko, J.-H., C. Prassinos, D. Keathley, K.-H. Han and C. Li. 2011. Novel aspects of transcriptional regulation in
the winter survival and maintenance mechanism of poplar. Tree Physiology. 31:208-225.
Kopriva, S., T. Hartmann, G. Massaro, P. Hönicke and H. Rennenberg. 2004. Regulation of sulfate
assimilation by nitrogen and sulfur nutrition in poplar trees. Trees. 18:320-326.
Kopriva, S., M. Malagoli and H. Takahashi. 2019. Sulfur nutrition: impacts on plant development,
metabolism, and stress responses. Journal of Experimental Botany. 70:4069-4073.
Krusell, L., K. Krause, T. Ott, G. Desbrosses, U. Krämer, S. Sato, Y. Nakamura, S. Tabata, E.K. James, N.
Sandal, J. Stougaard, M. Kawaguchi, A. Miyamoto, N. Suganuma and M.K. Udvardi. 2005. The
sulfate transporter SST1 is crucial for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in Lotus japonicus root nodules.
Plant Cell. 17:1625-36.
Kumar, S., G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz and K. Tamura. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
Analysis across Computing Platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 35:1547-1549.
Kupke, T., P. Hernández-Acosta and F.A. Culiáñez-Macià. 2003. 4'-Phosphopantetheine and coenzyme a
biosynthesis in plants. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 278:38229-38237.
Liu, C., D. Ma, Z. Wang, N. Chen, X. Ma and X.-Q. He. 2022. MiR395c regulates secondary xylem
development through sulfate metabolism in poplar. Frontiers in Plant Science. 13
Madeira, F., N. Madhusoodanan, J. Lee, A. Eusebi, A. Niewielska, A.R.N. Tivey, S. Meacham, R. Lopez and
S. Butcher. 2024. Using EMBL-EBI Services via Web Interface and Programmatically via Web
Services. Current Protocols. 4:e1065.
Malcheska, F., A. Ahmad, S. Batool, H.M. Müller, J. Ludwig-Müller, J. Kreuzwieser, D. Randewig, R.
Hänsch, R.R. Mendel, R. Hell, M. Wirtz, D. Geiger, P. Ache, R. Hedrich, C. Herschbach and H.
Rennenberg. 2017. Drought-enhanced xylem sap sulfate closes stomata by affecting ALMT12 and
guard cell ABA synthesis. Plant Physiol. 174:798-814.
Malcheska, F., A. Honsel, H. Wildhagen, J. Dürr, C. Larisch, H. Rennenberg and C. Herschbach. 2013.
Differential expression of specific sulphate transporters underlies seasonal and spatial patterns
of sulphate allocation in trees. Plant, Cell & Environment. 36:1285-1295.
Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. 2011.
17:3.
Maruyama-Nakashita, A., A. Watanabe-Takahashi, E. Inoue, T. Yamaya, K. Saito and H. Takahashi. 2015.
Sulfur-responsive elements in the 3□-nontranscribed intergenic region are essential for the

773   induction of SULFATE TRANSPORTER 2;1 gene expression in arabidopsis roots under sulfur
774   deficiency. Plant Cell. 27:1279-1296.

775 Morello, L. and D. Breviario. 2008. Plant spliceosomal introns: not only cut and paste. Curr Genomics.
776   9:227-38.

777 Moura, J.C.M.S., C.A.V. Bonine, J.D.O.F. Viana, M.C. Dornelas and P. Mazzafera. 2010. Abiotic and biotic
778   stresses and changes in the lignin content and composition in plants. Journal of Integrative Plant
779   Biology. 52:360-376.

780 Nguyen, L.T., H.A. Schmidt, A. von Haeseler and B.Q. Minh. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
781   algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 32:268-74.

782 Notredame, C., D.G. Higgins and J. Heringa. 2000. T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate
783   multiple sequence alignment. J Mol Biol. 302:205-17.

784 Palmgren, M.G. 2001. PLANT PLASMA MEMBRANE H+-ATPases: Powerhouses for Nutrient Uptake.
785   Annual Review of Plant Biology. 52:817-845.

786 Pfautsch, S., T. Hölttä and M. Mencuccini. 2015. Hydraulic functioning of tree stems—fusing ray anatomy,
787   radial transfer and capacitance. Tree Physiology. 35:706-722.

788 Puresmaeli, F., P. Heidari and S. Lawson. 2023. Insights into the sulfate transporter gene family and its
789   expression patterns in durum wheat seedlings under salinity. Genes. 14:333.

790 Raddatz, N., L. Morales de los Ríos, M. Lindahl, F.J. Quintero and J.M. Pardo. 2020. Coordinated Transport
791   of Nitrate, Potassium, and Sodium. Frontiers in Plant Science. 11

792 Rajinikanth, M., S.A. Harding and C.-J. Tsai. 2007. The glycine decarboxylase complex multienzyme family
793   in Populus. Journal of Experimental Botany. 58:1761-1770.

794 Rennenberg, H., C. Herschbach, K. Haberer and S. Kopriva. 2007. Sulfur metabolism in plants: Are trees
795   different? Plant Biology. 9:620-637.

796 Rouached, H., M. Wirtz, R. Alary, R.d. Hell, A.B. Arpat, J.-C. Davidian, P. Fourcroy and P. Berthomieu.
797   2008. Differential regulation of the expression of two high-affinity sulfate transporters, SULTR1.1
798   and SULTR1.2, in *Arabidopsis*   Plant Physiology. 147:897-911.

799 Srivastava, A.C., F. Chen, T. Ray, S. Pattathil, M.J. Peña, U. Avci, H. Li, D.V. Huhman, J. Backe, B.
800   Urbanowicz, J.S. Miller, M. Bedair, C.E. Wyman, L.W. Sumner, W.S. York, M.G. Hahn, R.A. Dixon,
801   E.B. Blancaflor and Y. Tang. 2015. Loss of function of folylpolyglutamate synthetase 1 reduces
802   lignin content and improves cell wall digestibility in Arabidopsis. Biotechnology for Biofuels.
803   8:224.

804 Swamy, P.S., H. Hu, S. Pattathil, V.J. Maloney, H. Xiao, L.-J. Xue, J.-D. Chung, V.E. Johnson, Y. Zhu, G.F.
805   Peter, M.G. Hahn, S.D. Mansfield, S.A. Harding and C.-J. Tsai. 2015. Tubulin perturbation leads to
806   unexpected cell wall modifications and affects stomatal behaviour in Populus. Journal of
807   Experimental Botany. 66:6507-6518.

808 Takahashi, H. 2019. Sulfate transport systems in plants: functional diversity and molecular mechanisms
809   underlying regulatory coordination. Journal of Experimental Botany. 70:4075-4087.

810 Takahashi, H., P. Buchner, N. Yoshimoto, M.J. Hawkesford and S.-H. Shiu. 2012. Evolutionary relationships
811   and functional diversity of plant sulfate transporters. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2

812 Takahashi, H., A. Watanabe-Takahashi, F.W. Smith, M. Blake-Kalff, M.J. Hawkesford and K. Saito. 2000.
813   The roles of three functional sulphate transporters involved in uptake and translocation of
814   sulphate in Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal. 23:171-182.

815 Takahashi, H., M. Yamazaki, N. Sasakura, A. Watanabe, T. Leustek, J.A. Engler, G. Engler, M. Van Montagu
816   and K. Saito. 1997. Regulation of sulfur assimilation in higher plants: a sulfate transporter
817   induced in sulfate-starved roots plays a central role in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
818   S A. 94:11102-7.

819 Tsai, C.-J., P. Xu, L.-J. Xue, H. Hu, B. Nyamdari, R. Naran, X. Zhou, G. Goeminne, R. Gao, E. Gjersing, J.
820   Dahlen, S. Pattathil, M.G. Hahn, M. Davis, J. Ralph, W.A. Boerjan and S.A. Harding. 2020.

Compensatory guaiacyl lignin biosynthesis at the expense of syringyl lignin in *4CL1*-knockout
poplar. Plant Physiology. 183:123-136.
Tweedie, S., S. Martin and E. Bruford. 2025. Towards an official gene nomenclature for Populus
trichocarpa. Tree Physiology
Ueda, Y., T. Kiba and S. Yanagisawa. 2020. Nitrate-inducible NIGT1 proteins modulate phosphate uptake
and starvation signalling via transcriptional regulation of SPX genes. Plant Journal. 102:448-466.
Vidmar, J.J., J.K. Schjoerring, B. Touraine and A.D.M. Glass. 1999. Regulation of the hvst1 gene encoding a
high-affinity sulfate transporter from Hordeum vulgare. Plant Molecular Biology. 40:883-892.
Wang, J.P., M.L. Matthews, C.M. Williams, R. Shi, C. Yang, S. Tunlaya-Anukit, H.-C. Chen, Q. Li, J. Liu, C.-Y.
Lin, P. Naik, Y.-H. Sun, P.L. Loziuk, T.-F. Yeh, H. Kim, E. Gjersing, T. Shollenberger, C.M. Shuford, J.
Song, Z. Miller, Y.-Y. Huang, C.W. Edmunds, B. Liu, Y. Sun, Y.-C.J. Lin, W. Li, H. Chen, I. Peszlen, J.J.
Ducoste, J. Ralph, H.-M. Chang, D.C. Muddiman, M.F. Davis, C. Smith, F. Isik, R. Sederoff and V.L.
Chiang. 2018. Improving wood properties for wood utilization through multi-omics integration in
lignin biosynthesis. Nature Communications. 9:1579.
Wang, L., K. Chen and M. Zhou. 2021. Structure and function of an Arabidopsis thaliana sulfate
transporter. Nature Communications. 12:4455.
Wang, L., Z. Li, W. Qian, W. Guo, X. Gao, L. Huang, H. Wang, H. Zhu, J.W. Wu, D. Wang and D. Liu. 2011.
The Arabidopsis purple acid phosphatase AtPAP10 is predominantly associated with the root
surface and plays an important role in plant tolerance to phosphate limitation. Plant Physiol.
157:1283-99.
Wei, M., M. Zhang, J. Sun, Y. Zhao, S. Pak, M. Ma, Y. Chen, H. Lu, J. Yang, H. Wei, Y. Li and C. Li. 2023.
PuHox52 promotes coordinated uptake of nitrate, phosphate, and iron under nitrogen deficiency
in Populus ussuriensis. J Integr Plant Biol. 65:791-809.
Xue, L.-J., C.J. Frost, C.-J. Tsai and S.A. Harding. 2016. Drought response transcriptomics are altered in
poplar with reduced tonoplast sucrose transporter expression. Scientific Reports. 6:33655.
Xun, M., J. Song, J. Shi, J. Li, Y. Shi, J. Yan, W. Zhang and H. Yang. 2021. Genome-wide identification of
sultr genes in *Malus domestica* and low sulfur-induced MhSultr3;1a to increase cysteine-
improving growth. Frontiers in Plant Science. 12
Yamaji, N., Y. Takemoto, T. Miyaji, N. Mitani-Ueno, K.T. Yoshida and J.F. Ma. 2017. Reducing phosphorus
accumulation in rice grains with an impaired transporter in the node. Nature. 541:92-95.
Yoshimoto, N., H. Takahashi, F.W. Smith, T. Yamaya and K. Saito. 2002. Two distinct high-affinity sulfate
transporters with different inducibilities mediate uptake of sulfate in Arabidopsis roots. Plant
Journal. 29:465-473.
Zhang, J., M. Li, A.C. Bryan, C.G. Yoo, W. Rottmann, K.A. Winkeler, Cassandra M. Collins, V. Singan, E.A.
Lindquist, S.S. Jawdy, L.E. Gunter, N.L. Engle, X. Yang, K. Barry, T.J. Tschaplinski, J. Schmutz, Y. Pu,
A.J. Ragauskas, G.A. Tuskan, W. Muchero and J.-G. Chen. 2019. Overexpression of a serine
hydroxymethyltransferase increases biomass production and reduces recalcitrance in the
bioenergy crop Populus. Sustainable Energy & Fuels. 3:195-207.
Zhang, L., F. Chen, X. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Zhao, R. Lohaus, X. Chang, W. Dong, S.Y.W. Ho, X. Liu, A. Song, J. Chen,
W. Guo, Z. Wang, Y. Zhuang, H. Wang, X. Chen, J. Hu, Y. Liu, Y. Qin, K. Wang, S. Dong, Y. Liu, S.
Zhang, X. Yu, Q. Wu, L. Wang, X. Yan, Y. Jiao, H. Kong, X. Zhou, C. Yu, Y. Chen, F. Li, J. Wang, W.
Chen, X. Chen, Q. Jia, C. Zhang, Y. Jiang, W. Zhang, G. Liu, J. Fu, F. Chen, H. Ma, Y. Van de Peer and
H. Tang. 2020. The water lily genome and the early evolution of flowering plants. Nature.
577:79-84.
Zhao, H., T. Frank, Y. Tan, C. Zhou, M. Jabnoune, A.B. Arpat, H. Cui, J. Huang, Z. He, Y. Poirier, K.-H. Engel
and Q. Shu. 2016. Disruption of Os3;3 reduces phytate and phosphorus concentrations and
alters the metabolite profile in rice grains. New Phytologist. 211:926-939.

Zhao, Q., J. Geng, Y. Du, S. Li, X. Yuan, J. Zhu, Z. Zhou, Q. Wang and J. Du. 2022. The common bean
(*Phaseolus vulgaris*) SULTR gene family: genome-wide identification, phylogeny, evolutionary
expansion and expression patterns. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment. 36:724-736.
Zhong, R., R.L. McCarthy, C. Lee and Z.-H. Ye. 2011. Dissection of the transcriptional program regulating
secondary wall biosynthesis during wood formation in poplar. Plant Physiology. 157:1452-1468.
Zhou, J., Y. Dong, Y. Liu, Y. Huang, W. Jiang, X. Zheng, H. Zhang, N. Gong and X. Bai. 2024. Identification
and expression analysis of sulfate transporter genes family and function analysis of
GmSULTR3;1a from soybean. Int J Mol Sci. 25
Zhou, R., J.W. Jenkins, Y. Zeng, S. Shu, H. Jang, S.A. Harding, M. Williams, C. Plott, K.W. Barry, M.
Koriabine, M. Amirebrahimi, S. Talag, S. Rajasekar, J. Grimwood, R.J. Schmitz, R.K. Dawe, J.
Schmutz and C.-J. Tsai. 2023. Haplotype-resolved genome assembly of *Populus tremula* × *P. alba*
reveals aspen-specific megabase satellite DNA. Plant Journal. 16:1003-1017.
Zhou, X., T.B. Jacobs, L.-J. Xue, S.A. Harding and C.-J. Tsai. 2015. Exploiting SNPs for biallelic CRISPR
mutations in the outcrossing woody perennial *Populus* reveals 4-coumarate:CoA ligase specificity
and redundancy. New Phytologist. 208:298-301.
Zuber, H., J.C. Davidian, G. Aubert, D. Aimé, M. Belghazi, R. Lugan, D. Heintz, M. Wirtz, R. Hell, R.
Thompson and K. Gallardo. 2010. The seed composition of Arabidopsis mutants for the group 3
sulfate transporters indicates a role in sulfate translocation within developing seeds. Plant
Physiol. 154:913-26.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference of 262 SULTR sequences from 22 plant genomes.

Angiosperm SULTRs form two ancient clades, AC-I and AC-II. The AC-I clade represents the SULTR4

subfamily. The AC-II clade consists of two subclades, with AC-IIA represented by the SULTR1 and SULTR2

subfamilies, and AC-IIB by SULTR3. AC-IIB is present exclusively in vascular plants with four well-

supported groups organized into two branches in all angiosperm taxa. The AC-IIB-1 branch contains

SULTR3.1 and SULTR3.5 subfamilies, whereas AC-IIB-2 includes SULTR3.3 and SULTR3.4 subfamilies.

Bootstrap values for major nodes are shown.

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference of AC-IIB members from 20 angiosperm genomes.

The 125 angiosperm SULTR3 members form two branches each with two nodes, rooted on the two

Selaginella moellendorffi sequences. The four color-coded nodes represent SULTR3.1 and SULTR3.5 of

AC-IIB-1, and SULTR3.3 and SULTR3.4 of AC-IIB-2. The perennial-specific subgroup within the SULTR3.1

subfamily is highlighted in darker shade. Bootstrap values for major nodes are shown.

Figure 3. Exon-intron structures of *SULTR* genes of nine representative species. Genes are arranged by

phylogenetic classification, with basal lineages shown on top of each group. Conserved exon fusion

events are colored and gray denotes lineage-specific fusion events. Introns are not drawn to scale and

first exons are trimmed for alignments. Boldface indicates perennial-specific SULTR3.1 subfamily

members.

Figure 4. Sequence and structural comparisons of SULTR members. **A**, Sequence alignments of

Arabidopsis thaliana and *Populus trichocarpa* SULTRs near the predicted substrate-binding pocket.

Amino acid residue numbering follows the structure-resolved AtSULTR4.1 (AT5G13550), shown at the

top. Other sequences are arranged by phylogenetic grouping. Boldface indicates perennial-specific

SULTR3.1 subfamily members. Green- and purple-shaded positions denote residues critical to proton and

sulfate binding or interaction, respectively. **B**, Structure alignment of AlphaFold3 models of PtaSULTR3.1a

(yellow), PtaSULTR3.2a (purple), PtaSULTR3.3a (blue), PtaSULTR3.4a (green), and PtaSULTR3.5 (grey)

showing overall fold conservation. The dotted circle denotes the substrate-binding site. **C**, Close-up views

of the substrate-binding pockets docked with sulfate (SO_4^{2-}, red spheres, top row) or phosphate (PO_4^{3-},

blue spheres, bottom row). Key residues are highlighted, with AtSULTR4 numbering shown in

parentheses.

Figure 5. Heatmap illustration of *SULTR* gene expression across *Populus* tissues. Genes are arranged by

phylogenetic grouping and genome duplicates are denoted as a and b. Boldface indicates perennial-

specific SULTR3.1 subfamily members. Data were from JGI tissue atlas of Zhou et al. 2023.

Figure 6. Drought stress response of *Populus SULTR3* members. The four *SULTR3* subfamilies showed

partitioned tissue response to drought stress, with divergent expression strengths between genome

duplicates. Bars are means ± SE of n = 3 biological replicates. Significance testing was conducted using

the two-sample *t*-test against well-watered samples (**, $P \leq 0.01$; * $P \leq 0.05$). Data were from Xue et al.

2016.

Figure 7. Xylem expression responses of *Populus SULTR3* members to genetic or environmental

perturbations. **A**, Transcript abundance in normal wood (NW) versus tension wood (TW) from *P. tremula*

× alba stem internodes 60-80 (Swamy et al. 2015). **B**, Transcript abundance in *P. tremula × alba* control

versus lignin-reduced *4cl1* xylem of young stem internodes 15-30 cm from the shoot tip (Tsai et al. 2020).

Bars are means ± SE of n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using two-sample

t-test (***, $P \leq 0.001$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; * $P \leq 0.05$).

Figure 8. Gene-centered coexpression analysis of xylem-biased *PtaSULT3.2a* and *PtaSULTR3.4a*. **A,** GO

enrichment of 87 and 419 genes co-expressed (Pearson correlation coefficient [PCC] ≥ 0.7) with

PtaSULT3.2a and *PtaSULTR3.4a*, respectively, across 174 published *P. tremula × alba* RNA-seq datasets

from stem vascular tissues. **B,** Boxplot distribution of PCC values against 58 genes annotated and color-

coded for their involvement in lignin biosynthesis, C1 metabolism, and upstream transcription factors

(TFs). The gene list is provided in Supplemental Table S3. **C,** Heatmap depictions of lignin content

differentials and expression fold changes of *SULTR3.2a* and *SULTR3.4a* in transgenic *P. trichocarpa* with

downregulated lignin biosynthetic genes (Wang et al. 2018). Statistical significance was determined using

two-sample *t*-test (boldfaced, $P \leq$.01; italic-underlined, $P \leq$.05).

Log₂(1+TPM) scale: $Log_2(1+TPM)$ — 0, 2, 4, 6, 8

A

- regulation of secondary cell wall biogenesis
- response to wounding
- secondary metabolic process
- cellular response to phosphate starvation
- sulfolipid biosynthetic process
- response to nitrate
- sulfate transport
- phosphate ion transport

SULTR3.2a   *SULTR3.4a*

Fold enrichment
10 20 40 60

$-\log_{10}$(FDR)
2.5 5 7.5 10

B

Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC)

- Lignin
- C1
- TFs

SULTR3.2a   *SULTR3.4a*

C

	PAL2/4/5	*C3H3*	*HCT1/6*	*4CL1/5*	*CCoAOMT1/2*	*CCR2*	*F5H1/2*	*COMT2*	*CAD1/2*
Δ lignin (%)	**-6.2**	**-7.9**	**-2.6**	**-5.8**	**-4.4**	*-2.1*	**-1.7**	**-4.3**	*-2.2*
Log2 fold change *SULTR3.2a*	*0.2*	**0.2**	-0.2	**-0.8**	*-0.8*	**-0.4**	0.1	**-0.5**	0.2
Log2 fold change *SULTR3.4a*	-0.1	**0.4**	0.1	**-1.7**	-0.8	**1.2**	**-0.5**	0.0	0.4

Table 1. SULTR family members in 22 plant species.

Species	AC-I	AC-IIA		AC-IIB				Total
				AC-IIB-1		AC-IIB-2		
	SULTR4	SULTR1	SULTR2	SULTR3.1	SULTR3.5	SULTR3.3	SULTR3.4	
Bryophyte								
Physcomitrium patens[a]	1	3[b]						4
Lycophyte								
Selaginella moellendorffii[a]	1	1[b]		2[c]				4
Angiosperms								
Amborella trichopoda[a]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Liriodendron tulipifera[a]	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	9
Eudicots								
Aquilegia coerulea[a]	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	7
Rosid								
Arabidopsis thaliana	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	12
Capsella rubella	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	12
Eucalyptus grandis	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	11
Glycine max	2	4	8	4	2	3	4	27
Medicago truncatula	1	3	4	2	1	2	2	15
Populus trichocarpa	2	2	3	4	1	2	2	17
Quercus rubra	1	4	2	2	1	1	1	12
Vitis vinifera	1	2	2	4	2	1	2	14
Asterids								
Lactuca sativa	2	4	2	1	3	1	2	15
Mimulus guttatus	1	6	2	3	1	1	2	16
Solanum lycopersicum	1	3	3	3	1	1	1	13
Monocots								
Ananas comosus[a]	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	9
Brachypodium distachyon	1	3	1	2	1	1	1	10
Oryza sativa	1	3	2	2	2	1	1	12
Pancicum virgatum	2	5	2	3	2	1	2	17
Sorghum bicolor	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	11
Zea mays	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	9
Total	29	64	42	46	28	24	29	262

[a] early-divergent species; [b] AC-IIA gene numbers in early-divergent species before the split of SULTR1 and SULR2; [c] AC-IIB gene number in *Selaginella moellendorffii* before the split of AC-IIB-1 and AC-IIB-2.