Close-Up of vesicular ER Exit Sites by Volume Electron Imaging using FIB-SEM

Athul Nair[2], Akhil Nair[2], Patrick Stock[2], Arkash Jain[2], Elliott Somerville[2], Anwesha Sanyal[1,2], Tom Kirchhausen[1,2,3,*]

[1]Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[2]Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[3]Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[*] Corresponding author:

Tom Kirchhausen. Ph.D.

Harvard Medical School

200 Longwood Ave

Boston, MA 02115

kirchhausen@crystal.harvard.edu

phone: +1 617 713 8888 / fax: +1 617 713 8898

Running title: *Vesicular ERES in mammalian cells*

Keywords: endoplasmic reticulum, secretory pathway, COPI, Deep learning, Automated Segmentation in Electron Microscopy (ASEM)

Summary

Nair et. al. show abundance of vesicular ERES in mammalian cells imaged by volume focused ion beam electron microscopy.

Abstract

Volume electron microscopy by high-pressure freeze substitution combined with block-face focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB-SEM) provides comprehensive 3D views of subcellular architecture, essential for understanding cellular activity in context. Using Automated Segmentation in Electron Microscopy (ASEM)— a 3D-Unet convolutional neural network trained with sparse annotations—we characterized the spatial organization of endoplasmic reticulum exit sites (ERES), the initial locations for membrane remodeling in protein secretion, in cells not overexpressing secretory cargo.

The ASEM model, trained on 50–70 nm in diameter COPI vesicles, successfully identified vesicles adjacent to the Golgi apparatus of HeLa, SVG-A, and iPSC-derived neurons. It also revealed abundant vesicles of similar appearance, often within a group of ~5–40 vesicles clustered within a 250 nm^3 region adjacent to flattened endoplasmic reticulum (ER) domains, forming what we propose are COPII-mediated vesicular ER-exit sites. Elsewhere, smaller assemblies of 1–3 vesicles appeared alongside tubular ER networks emerging from similarly enlarged ER domains previously reported as the only ERES in HeLa cells.

These findings underscore the power of large-scale volume electron microscopy to resolve contradictions regarding membrane organization in vesicular trafficking. We encourage the scientific community to use our publicly accessible repository, containing open-source code, trained models, annotations, predictions, and FIB-SEM datasets, to facilitate continued advances in automated segmentation methods.

INTRODUCTION

Volume electron microscopy provides unparalleled views into the 3D subcellular organization, offering a wealth of contextual information critical for understanding membrane dynamics. Among these, focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB-SEM) enables large-scale, three-dimensional imaging of intracellular structures with near-isotropic high resolution. Most FIB-SEM datasets achieve 8-nm resolution (Narayan et al., 2014; Knott et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017; Hoffman et al., 2020)), with recent developments reaching 4-5 nm (e.g. (Xu et al., 2021; Müller et al., 2020; Sanyal et al., 2024; Gallusser et al., 2022; Weigel et al., 2021; Heinrich et al., 2021)). When applied to cells preserved by high-pressure freezing and freeze substitution (HPFS), FIB-SEM maintains native ultrastructure while providing a comprehensive view of macromolecular assemblies, membranes, and organelles—within individual cells or even across clusters of cells in intact tissues. This approach has detected spatial relationships that were previously obscured by the limited depth of field in conventional thin-section transmission electron microscopy (TEM), which images 30- to 300-nm-thick sections, representing only a small fraction of the whole cell (e.g., (Ladinsky et al., 1994; Zeuschner et al., 2006)).

Despite its potential, the complexity of such FIB-SEM datasets makes direct visual inspection impractical. To address this limitation, AI-based methods powered by sparsely labeled ground truths and a 3D-Unet convolutional neural networks have been developed to automate segmentation, greatly improving the identification and characterization of subcellular structures (Heinrich et al., 2021; Gallusser et al., 2022; Mekuč et al., 2022). The Cell Organelle Segmentation in Electron Microscopy (COSEM) project at the Janelia Research Campus uses deep learning to train models for detecting and quantifying intracellular structures within large-scale EM datasets (Xu et al., 2021). The Automated Segmentation of Intracellular Structures in Electron Microscopy (ASEM) pipeline, independently developed by our group, also uses deep neural networks to identify organelles ranging from clathrin-coated vesicles and nuclear pores to mitochondria, the Golgi apparatus, and the endoplasmic reticulum (Gallusser et al., 2022; Galbraith, 2023). These AI-driven approaches now subtantially accelerate the detailed characterization of organelles in both normal (Gallusser et al., 2022; Heinrich et al., 2021) and metabolically altered states (Parlakgül et al., 2022).

Taking advantage of these advances, we investigated a fundamental membrane remodeling event concerning the anterograde trafficking of cargo from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the Golgi apparatus. Trafficking that originates at ER exit sites (ERES) was initially proposed to involve COPII coat assembly at the ER surface with the resulting ~50–70 nm COPII-coated vesicles then functioning as transport carriers (Balch et al., 1994) [reviewed in (Béthune and Wieland, 2018)]. Support for this model originated from *in vitro* reconstitution assays showing formation of ~50 nm COPII vesicles from ER membranes of yeast and mammalian cells [reviewed in in (Béthune and Wieland, 2018)]. High-resolution 3D immuno-gold labelling transmission electron microscopy of ~400 nm sections of frozen human liver derived HepG2 cells provided further *in vivo* morphological evidence, revealing COPII and cargo-containing ~50 nm vesicles alongside ~200 nm tubular structures near expanded ER domains (Zeuschner et al., 2006). Cryo-tomography of cryo-FIB milled INS-1 rat insulinoma cells

active in secretion showing omega-shaped buds emerging from flattened ER cisternae, closely associated with clusters of ~52–64 nm coated and uncoated vesicles, as well as small ~100 nm pearled tubules, but the resolution was insufficient to definitively identify the vesicle coat type (Carter et al., 2020). The tubules might correspond to the previously proposed "mega-carriers" or extended tubular carriers implicated in the ER-to-Golgi transport of large cargoes, such as lipoproteins and ~300-nm procollagen assemblies (Gorur et al., 2017; Raote and Malhotra, 2019). Observations showing vesicles and pearled-like tubules at the region between the ER and Golgi in fat bodies and imaginal discs of flies were later reported using volume focused ion beam electron microscopy (FIB-SEM) (Yang et al., 2021).

Recently, concerns were raised about the interpretation of these structural studies supporting vesicle-mediated transport from ER exit sites (ERES), citing potential limitations related to section thickness, limited sampling volume, and, in some cases, artifacts introduced by chemical fixation (Weigel et al., 2021). To address these issues, Lippincott-Schwartz and colleagues applied high-resolution volumetric FIB-SEM imaging at isotropic 4–8 nm resolution, using cells preserved by HPFS. They complemented this approach with correlative light-electron microscopy using cryo-structured illumination (Hoffman et al., 2020). Observing cells undergoing synchronized cargo release in cells ectopically expressing cargo or COPII subunits, they concluded that only tubular and not vesicular carriers emerged from enlarged ER domains. They also reported a similar presence of tubular ERES and absence of vesicular ERES in non-transfected Hela cells, challenging the classical model of COPII vesicles as the key transport intermediates for conventional cargo in mammalian cells.

We report here a resolution of this apparent contradiction by imaging cells devoid of ectopic expression and preserved by HPFS, using high-resolution volumetric FIB-SEM. We analyzed the 3D images aided by the ASEM pipeline, trained to independently recognize COPI vesicles and endoplasmic reticulum (ER). This approach enabled reliable identification of 50–70 nm COPI-coated vesicles adjacent to the Golgi apparatus, as well as COPI-like vesicles located near the ER and spatially separated from the Golgi. These vesicles typically formed clusters of 3 to 40, adjacent to flattened ER cisternae, and appeared throughout the cell volume in all mammalian cell types examined. We refer to these assemblies as vesicular ERES. In the same HeLa cell lacking ectopic expression of COPII subunits and secretory cargo analyzed by (Weigel et al., 2021), we detected 1–3 isolated vesicles as part of tubular ERES. The FIB-SEM data identifying these tubular ERES were made available in the COSEM database after publication of that study. Together, these findings support the coexistence of both vesicular and tubular ERES in mammalian cells.

RESULTS

FIB-SEM Imaging

We used volume FIB-SEM to image cells preserved by HPFS (Hoffman et al., 2020; Studer et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2021). Our dataset included two human astrocyte-derived SVG-A cells (SVG-A1 and SVG-A2), and a human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neuron (iN) cultured *in vitro* (Table S1). Volume images were acquired using crossbeam FIB-SEM at an isotropic resolution of 5 × 5 × 5 nm per voxel. We also analyzed a volumetric dataset of the HeLa-2 cell from the COSEM project, acquired with a custom-modified FIB-SEM at 4 × 4 × 5.24 nm per voxel (Table S1).

COPI Vesicles

COPI vesicles, which measure 50–70 nm in diameter, localize to the periphery of the Golgi apparatus. They mediate selective retrograde transport from the Golgi to the ER and support bidirectional trafficking between Golgi cisternae (Béthune and Wieland, 2018). These vesicles are coated by COPI coatomers but lack a strongly electron-dense coat in FIB-SEM images of HPFS-preserved samples stained with OsO_4 and uranyl acetate. They are nonetheless readily distinguishable from nearby clathrin-coated vesicles, which are slightly larger (70–90 nm) and have a prominent electron-dense coat.

Ground truth segmentations of COPI vesicles were generated by annotating COPI vesicles at the Golgi periphery in FIB-SEM images from SVG-A1 and SVG-A2 cells. We trained the ASEM model (Gallusser et al., 2022) using annotations from 42, 47, and 51 manually segmented COPI vesicles across three distinct regions of interest in SVG-A1, and 32 vesicles from a fourth region in SVG-A2. Annotated volumes ranged from 204 to 250 voxels in x and y axes and 110 to 250 voxels along the z-axis, providing sufficient context for accurate neural network segmentation. An independent set of 35 COPI vesicles within a 180 × 180 × 210 voxel region of SVG-A1 excluded from training was reserved for validation, as detailed in the Methods section. Annotations were performed on datasets acquired at 5 nm resolution using Neuroglancer, a WebGL-based volumetric data viewer (https://github.com/google/neuroglancer) and WebKnossos (Boergens et al., 2017).

The ASEM COPI model achieved a Dice coefficient of 0.8482 during training and 0.4176 for validation, with a final loss (binary cross entropy) of 0.0095 after 167,000 epochs (~21 hours of training). Visual inspection confirmed accurate identification of COPI vesicles adjacent to the Golgi apparatus in the training and validation datasets, as well as in additional regions not included in training of the SVG-A, iN and HeLa-2 cells (Figs. 1, 2).

A second class of slightly larger vesicles, approximately 90-110 nm in diameter, characterized by a distinct electron-dense coat typical of clathrin-coated vesicles and localized to the distal side of the trans-Golgi network (TGN), was used as an internal control to test the specificity of the COPI model. While these vesicles were not recognized by our COPI ASEM model (Fig. 2), they were accurately identified by our clathrin-coat ASEM model (Fig. 2), previously trained using ground truth annotations of endocytic clathrin-coated pits in plasma membrane images (Gallusser et al., 2022); likewise, the clathrin-coat ASEM model did not misidentify COPI

154 vesicles (Fig. 2). These results illustrate the specificity and robustness of our COPI and clathrin coat models,

155 underscoring the importance of tailored training for specific vesicle classes.

Vesicular Endoplasmic Reticulum Exit Sites (ERES)

Additional COPI-like vesicles, also measuring approximately 50–70 nm in diameter, were identified by our

ASEM COPI model across the cell volumes of SVG-A, iN, and HeLa cells. Initial visual inspection using

Neuroglancer showed that these vesicles were often located far from the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 1). They were

typically members of small clusters of similar vesicles, of which only one or a few had been detected by ASEM.

We initially identified approximately 400 such sites across in the partial volumes of SVG-A and iN cells and

across a fraction of the full volume of the non-transfected HeLa-2 cell.

To better characterize these vesicle populations within their subcellular context, we took advantage of the

extensive distribution of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) as a three-dimensional spatial reference. Using our

previously trained and validated ASEM ER segmentation model cells (Gallusser et al., 2022), we identified ER

within the same cells. These vesicles, recognized by our ASEM COPI model and located away from the Golgi

apparatus, most likely COPII vesicles, were typically within ~120 nm of flattened, expanded ER cisternae.

Detailed visual inspection of a random subset of 30 sites in SVG-A cells and 43 sites in HeLa cells revealed

considerable variability in vesicle cluster sizes, ranging from 5 to 40 vesicles per cluster within volumes

approximately 400 nm in all directions (Figs. 3, 4). These observations suggest a previously unrecognized

spatial association of COPII vesicles with ER membranes throughout the cell, potentially indicating an early

intermediate step in the secretory trafficking pathway.

Our hypothesis that vesicular structures represent a distinct membrane remodeling event, possibly

corresponding to vesicular ER exit sites (ERES), gained support from spatial correlation analyses. We

compared the positions of all 247 vesicular ERES identified in the HeLa-2 cell with 779 tubular ERES

annotated in the COSEM project dataset (Weigel et al., 2021), which employed an automated tubular ERES

segmentation model not used in the original publication. The vesicular ERES showed no preferential

distribution within the cell volume. Among these, 179 vesicular ERES, containing vesicle clusters, were

spatially distinct from 705 tubular ERES, highlighting heterogeneity in ERES organization. The remaining 68

vesicular ERES, each associated with 1–3 vesicles, localized adjacent to 74 tubular ERES, including instances

where two vesicular ERES neighbored a single tubular ERES (Fig. 4B–C). This spatial segregation supports

the idea that vesicular ERES form a distinct subpopulation within the broader ERES network.

DISCUSSION

We present a unified description of the three-dimensional architecture of ER exit sites (ERES) in mammalian cells, clarifying recent disagreements about the mechanisms underlying the earliest step of the secretory pathway. Specifically, we address the controversy regarding whether tubular or vesicular carriers mediate trafficking from the ER. We obtained high-resolution, isotropic, volume FIB-SEM images from various mammalian cell types preserved by HPFS. These datasets, independently acquired by our laboratory and the COSEM team at Janelia Research Campus, enabled comprehensive three-dimensional reconstructions of this complex subcellular organization. Using automated segmentation powered by our deep-learning-based ASEM pipeline, trained to distinguish COPI vesicles from ER structures, we identified numerous small clusters of 50–70 nm, COPI-like vesicles closely apposed to flattened ER cisternae. We have termed these clusters, presumably of COPII-coated vesicles, vesicular ERES. Our findings demonstrate that vesicular ERES coexist with tubular ERES -- the latter comprising the tubular extensions previously proposed as exclusive transport carriers originating from the ER.

Our identification of vesicular ERES is consistent with earlier tomographic reconstructions from cryo-protected HpG2 cells, imaged by transmission electron microscopy and immuno-gold labeled with an antibody specific for Sec23 and Sec 31 from COPII (Zeuschner et al., 2006). These observations also agree with the 3D architecture of vesicular ERES previously described as clusters of small vesicles adjacent to enlarged ER cisternae in fat cells and imaginal discs from flies imaged using volume FIB-SEM (Yang et al., 2021). In that study, COPII-containing ER buds were shown adjacent to vesicles similarly decorated with COPII. Further support comes from cryogenic high-resolution electron tomography of INS-1 cells, imaged without fixation or staining, which revealed clear examples of coated ER buds adjacent to vesicular clusters containing both coated and uncoated vesicles (Carter et al., 2020). Although the structural resolution in these reconstructions was insufficient to definitively assign the coat composition, the arrangement is consistent with vesicular ERES. Taken together, these morphological observations support conclusions suggesting the existence of COPII-coated vesicular carriers, independently reached through numerous biochemical experiments conducted under diverse conditions, including analyses of partially and fully purified membranes and COPII components carriers (Balch et al., 1994) [reviewed in (Béthune and Wieland, 2018)].

Although broadly accepted, this model has been challenged by the alternative view that COPII facilitates cargo sorting at ERES but does not directly form transport vesicles. Rather, COPII may remain at the ER membrane, not associating with cargo carriers moving toward the Golgi apparatus. Support for this hypothesis comes from live-cell fluorescence microscopy experiments showing fluorescently tagged COPII markers remaining stationary at ERES, while cargo-containing structures lacking COPII fluorescence move (Westrate et al., 2020; Hammond and Glick, 2000; Shomron et al., 2021). Furthermore, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments indicated rapid exchange of COPII subunits between ERES-associated spots and the cytosol. It has also been suggested that stable COPII assemblies at ERES form tubular necks at the base of ER protrusions, which after membrane fission yield COPII-free tubular carriers traveling to the Golgi (Shomron

et al., 2021). However, this interpretation faces limitations inherent to the resolution of fluorescence microscopy. The observed fluorescent spots were diffraction-limited (~300 nm in the x/y plane, ~600 nm along the z-axis), dimensions consistent with the optical point spread function. Consequently, these images could not reliably discriminate between COPII-coated buds or tubular necks and individual vesicles or vesicle clusters separated by distances of 300–400 nm, as demonstrated by higher-resolution electron microscopy from our studies and those of others (Carter et al., 2020; Zeuschner et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2021). Thus, these experiments do not unequivocally distinguish whether the observed COPII dynamics reflect exchange between membrane-bound and cytosolic pools or represent rapid, asynchronous cycles of vesicle coating and uncoating within unresolved clusters.

To address these discrepancies further, (Weigel et al., 2021) combined cryo-structured illumination microscopy (cryo-SIM) with high-resolution FIB-SEM imaging to morphologically characterize ERES, identified by fluorescently tagged COPII subunits and secretory cargo. Their FIB-SEM analysis, primarily conducted at 8 × 8 × 8 nm resolution on HeLa cells overexpressing the fluorescent markers, concluded that tubular extensions alone defined ERES, excluding vesicular structures. However, reliance on colocalization with fluorescent COPII puncta could have inadvertently overlooked detection of vesicular ERES.

Our unbiased analysis using higher-resolution FIB-SEM images (5 × 5 × 5 nm) clearly reveals vesicular ERES in diverse mammalian cell types. Positional correlation analyses between tubular ERES identified with the ERES model by the COSEM team and vesicular ERES we detected in non-expressing HeLa cells confirm the coexistence of these distinct morphological entities. We propose that vesicular ERES primarily mediate the transport of relatively small cargo, whereas tubular ERES facilitate trafficking of larger cargo. Moreover, the morphological similarities between tubular ERES and vesicular-tubular clusters (VTCs), which correspond to the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) (Nakano, 2022; Martínez-Menárguez et al., 1999), suggest that some tubular ERES serve as sorting stations involved in both retrograde and anterograde traffic.

In conclusion, the most parsimonious model integrating the morphological and cell biochemical available data suggests that COPII assembles at specialized ER sites distributed throughout the cell, coordinating cargo capture and sorting with membrane deformation. This assembly process can result in either fully enclosed COPII-coated vesicles or partially assembled coats functioning as scaffolds for extended membrane carriers, such as the tubular structures observed in mammalian cells and yeast. Following vesicle formation, COPII rapidly disassembles, often before the vesicle has moved far from its ER membrane of origin, like the uncoating dynamics of endocytic clathrin-coated vesicles (CCVs) and of CCVs originating from the trans-Golgi network. The uncoated COPII vesicles and tubular carriers then traffic toward the Golgi apparatus along microtubules, guided by motor proteins.

Finally, our study highlights how high-resolution electron microscopy, applied at the cellular-volume scale, enables detailed structural insights from 3D imaging data. Our ASEM deep learning pipeline achieved accurate

and efficient segmentation of vesicles and ER structures. We provide unrestricted access to our datasets and

user-friendly tools developed for the ASEM pipeline. Our publicly available repository,

https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project, includes FIB-SEM volumes, training datasets, segmentation models

and reconstructions, and our GitHub repository at https://github.com/kirchhausenlab/incasem hosts easily

accessible open-source code. By making these resources freely available, we aim to enable the broader

scientific community to explore, validate, and extend our findings, fostering further discoveries at the

intersection of computational and cell biology.

Material and Methods

Cells

Growth conditions for mycoplasma-free human fetal immortalized astrocyte SVG-A cells and iPSC-derived neurons (iNs) were as described previously (Gallusser et al., 2022) (Sanyal et al., 2024), (Gallusser et (Table I). Conditions for HeLa cells were described in (Weigel et al., 2021).

High-Pressure Freezing, Freeze-Substitution and Embedding

Procedures for high-pressure freezing, freeze-substitution (HP-FS), and embedding of SVG-A cells and iNs were as described previously (Gallusser et al., 2022; Sanyal et al., 2024). Briefly, SVG-A cells were plated on 6 × 0.1 mm sapphire disks (616-100; Technotrade International; six disks per dish) and grown in MEM medium (Corning 10009CV) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals S11150) (Sanyal et al., 2024) . iNs were plated on 6 x 0.1 mm sapphire disks pre-coated with Matrigel as detailed in (Sanyal et al., 2024). For freezing, two sapphire disks—one with cells—were separated by a 100 µm stainless steel spacer (Technotrade 1257-100) and processed using either a Leica EM ICE (Leica Microsystems; SVG-A cells) or Wohlwend HPF Compact 03 (Technotrade; iNs) high-pressure freezer. Frozen samples were transferred under liquid nitrogen to cryotubes containing frozen substitution medium (2% OsO_4, 0.1% uranyl acetate, and 3% water in acetone).

Freeze-substitution was performed in an EM AFS2 apparatus (Leica Microsystems) programmed for sequential warming: −140°C to −90°C (2 h), −90°C for 24 h, −90°C to 0°C (12 h), and 0°C to 22°C (1 h). Samples on sapphire disks were sequentially rinsed three times with anhydrous acetone, propylene oxide (Electron Microscopy Sciences), and 50% resin (Embed 812 mix; EMS 14121) in propylene oxide. Disks were then transferred into embedding molds (EMS 70900) containing 100% resin and polymerized at 65°C for 48 h. After polymerization, sapphire disks were removed from the resin blocks by sequential immersion in liquid nitrogen and boiling water.

Crossbeam FIB-SEM Isotropic Imaging

As previously described (Gallusser et al., 2022), polymerized resin blocks were removed from molds and mounted onto aluminum pin stubs (Ted Pella) with conductive silver epoxy (EPO-TEK H20S, EMS), exposing the free face. The exposed face was carbon-coated (20 nm) using a Quorum Q150R ES sputter coater (Quorum Technologies) and loaded into a Zeiss Crossbeam 540 microscope for FIB-SEM imaging. After eucentric correction, the stage was tilted to 54° with a working distance of 5 mm for beam alignment. Following SEM localization of the cell of interest, a platinum protective layer was deposited by gas injection. A coarse trench adjacent to the cell was milled with a 30 kV/30 nA gallium ion beam, and the exposed block face was polished at 30 kV/7 nA.

Sequential imaging employed interlaced milling (30 kV/3 nA gallium beam) and SEM imaging (1.5 kV/400 pA) at 5 nm intervals, yielding isotropic voxels (5 nm in X/Y). Registration marks were created atop the platinum layer

with a 1.5 kV/50 pA gallium beam, enhanced by 1.5 kV/5 nA electron irradiation, followed by a secondary platinum

coating. FIB-SEM images represented averaged signals from the Inlens and ESB detectors, captured with a

pixel dwell time of 10–15 µs. Sequential z-plane images were aligned using the Fiji plugin Register Virtual Stack

Slices (https://imagej.net/plugins/register-virtual-stack-slices) with translation and shrinkage constraints

(Schroeder et al., 2021).

Annotations

COPI Vesicles

Ground truth manual annotations for selected COPI vesicles (50–70 nm diameter) were performed approximately

every third plane, followed by automatic volumetric completion using WebKnossos (Boergens et al., 2017).

Binary masks were created at least 47 voxels from the edges of the 3D FIB-SEM images from three regions

adjacent to the trans-Golgi network in SVG-A1 cells, containing 42, 47, and 51 vesicles, respectively, and one

region in SVG-A2 cells with 32 vesicles. Dimensions of the training regions were 204 x 204 x 204, 110 x 250 x

250 and 225 x 225 x 225 voxels in SVG-A1 and 225 x 225 x 225 voxels in SVG-A2; dimensions of the validation

region in SVG-A1 were 180 x 180 x 210 voxels.

Dispersed COPI–like Vesicles and Vesicular ERES

The COPI ASEM model trained on SVG-A cells identified numerous vesicles in HeLa cells. To catalog these,

voxels labeled as positive within 60 nm were grouped into single objects (e.g., 331 vesicles). Visual inspection

revealed many of these as bona fide COPI vesicles clustered near the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 2). Vesicles in

regions larger than 400 nm or within 320 nm in any dimension were computationally excluded, leaving 273

dispersed vesicles throughout the cell. Of these, visual inspection of the 247 identified objects were individually

or occasionally paired vesicles clustered with similar vesicles undetected by the COPI ASEM model.

We calculated the shortest geometric distance between these vesicles and ER structures segmented using our

ER ASEM model, identifying 247 vesicles within 120 nm of the ER, designated vesicular endoplasmic reticulum

exit sites (vesicular ERES). Neuroglancer-based visual inspection confirmed that 179 vesicles belonged to

clusters of 5–55 vesicles in regions ≤400 nm, while the remaining 68 appeared as isolated vesicles near tubular

ERES identified by the COSEM project (Fig. 4).

Relationship Between Vesicular and Tubular ERES

To compare vesicular ERES identified by the COPI ASEM model with tubular ERES defined by the COSEM

project in HeLa-2 cells, FIB-SEM data were analyzed as follows: (1) Voxels labeled as positive by the COSEM

ERES model were grouped into single objects if they were within 140 nm of each other (n = 779), a threshold

selected based on visual inspection due to larger predicted tubular ERES sizes. (2) X/Y/Z coordinates of 247

vesicular ERES predicted by the COPI ASEM model were compared with coordinates of tubular ERES from the

COSEM ERES model to identify vesicles located within 50 nm. (3) Predictions were classified into isolated

vesicular ERES (n = 179), vesicular ERES associated with one (n = 68) or two (n = 6) tubular ERES, and isolated tubular ERES (n = 705). Classification accuracy was confirmed by visual inspection using Neuroglancer.

Computational Requirements for training and predictions

Data fetching and augmentation were executed in parallel using eight CPU cores, and training was performed on a single Nvidia DGX-A100 GPU. Each iteration of the ASEM neural network training required approximately 1 second, with total training durations typically ranging from 100,000 to 170,000 iterations (~20–30 hours, including validation). Predictions performed using 100 workers, processing input and output volumes of 364 × 364 × 364 voxels and 270 × 270 × 270 voxels respectively, required approximately 8 minutes per single-cell image stack.

Model Training and Predictions

COPI Vesicles

The ASEM COPI model training using FIB-SEM data from SVG-A1 cells converged after 167,000 iterations, achieving a Dice coefficient of 0.392 and loss function (binary cross entropy) value of 0.008. Visual inspection using Neuroglancer of predicted segmentations (~4.08 billion cubic voxels), which were not part of the training set, confirmed accurate identification of bona fide COPI vesicles adjacent to the Golgi and COPI-like vesicles distant from the Golgi. These segmentations represented vesicles with diameters of 50–80 nm, alone or as clusters of 2–7. Similar accuracy was observed upon applying the COPI ASEM model to iN and HeLa cell datasets not used during training.

Clathrin-Coated Vesicles

Previously, we demonstrated the efficacy of the clathrin-coated pit ASEM model trained on endocytic clathrin-coated pits for identifying clathrin-coated vesicles near the cell surface and distal side of the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Table I, cells 12 and 13 (Gallusser et al., 2022)). Using this model, we predicted clathrin-coated vesicles in the SVG-A1 cell. Neuroglancer-based visual inspection verified these predictions, identifying vesicles near the TGN ranging in diameter from 110 to 130 nm and clearly distinct from COPI vesicles detected by the COPI ASEM model.

Statistical Analysis

The FIB-SEM samples from different cell types are biological replicates, e.g. fully processed independently of each other. Because the study focuses on description of non-quantifiable morphological features, statistical testing is not applicable and was not applied.

Data availability

The datasets of raw FIB-SEM cell images, ground truth annotations, probability maps predicted by the models, and corresponding segmentation masks are publicly available at the AWS ASEM bucket: https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project.

An online tool has been created to view 3D volumes relevant to this study and others. The tool is available at http://asem-viewer-env.eba-rrnvmfwa.us-east-1.elasticbeanstalk.com/ and allows users to quickly select and visualize cells and volumes (raw data, prediction results, and ground truth labels) in the browser using Neuroglancer.

Code Availability & Usage

The ASEM pipeline software and step-by-step usage instructions are publicly available at https://github.com/kirchhausenlab/incasem (ASEM deep learning pipeline). Users comfortable with Linux and who have access to an NVIDIA GPU should follow the standard installation and usage instructions from GitHub.

For users with limited experience in these areas or without a GPU, we provide two alternatives to facilitate usage. Option 1: A Google Colab notebook, available as ASEM_Notebook.ipynb, provides a cloud-based alternative that eliminates the need for a local installation. The notebook can be access from the *Interactive Demo* section of the README.md in the incasem GitHub. This option is ideal for users who wish to explore ASEM as a demo and access cloud-hosted GPUs. Note that a Colab membership may be required for extended use. Option 2: Through the GitHub installation, users can run incasem in command-line mode or via a GUI that assists with configuring and launching training, fine-tuning, and predictions. For access details, see *the UI Installation*section of README.md in the incasem GitHub.

Trained neural network models are available at https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project, with usage instructions provided at https://github.com/kirchhausenlab/incasem. The models used to detect COPI vesicles for the primary analysis is 2631.

Figure and Video legends

Figure 1. FIB-SEM images and deep-learning neural network ASEM Predictions of ER and COPI vesicles.

(A, B) Single-plane views from a FIB-SEM volume image of a portion from an interphase SVG-A cell prepared by HPFS and visualized at 5-nm isotropic resolution. Binary masks generated by the ASEM models for ER and COPI highlight predicted structures: yellow for the ER, light blue for COPI vesicles highlighting examples at a location near the Golgi apparatus corresponding to bona fide COP-I vesicles **(A)** and at location adjacent to the ER for COPI-like vesicles assigned as part of the vesicular ERES **(B)**. Scale bars: 1 μm and 300 nm in insets**.**

Figure 2. ASEM Predictions of COPI vesicles and Clathrin-Coated Vesicles Near the Golgi Apparatus (Associated to Videos 1-4)

(A-E) Left panels: Single-plane views from FIB-SEM volume images of the indicated cell types, prepared by HPFS and visualized during interphase at 5-nm isotropic resolution. Central panels: examples of ground truths used for training **(A)** and validation **(B)** for COPI vesicles (green) near the Golgi apparatus imaged at different planes of the same cell. Right panels, predictions near the Golgi apparatus for COPI vesicles (light blue) and clathrin-coated vesicles (CCV, magenta), generated by two different ASEM models trained with COPI and endocytic clathrin coated pits, respectively. Scale bars: 300 nm. Right most panels: Volumetric renditions of the ground truths and ASEM predictions of COPI and clathrin coated vesicles (CCV).

Figure 3. vesicular ERES (Associated to Videos 5-7)

Left panels: Single-plane views from FIB-SEM volume images of the indicated cell types, prepared by HPFS and visualized during interphase at 5-nm isotropic resolution. Middle panels: ASEM model predictions of COPI-like vesicles (light blue) clustered near the predicted ER (yellow). Scale bars: 300 nm. Right panels: Manually annotated volumetric renditions of vesicles and ER in vesicular ERES.

Figure 4. Comparison of Predictions of Vesicular and Tubular ERES (Associated to Videos 8-10)

(A) Left panels: Single-plane views of a HeLa cell imaged by FIB-SEM, prepared by HPFS and visualized during interphase at 4 × 4 × 5.24 nm resolution. The views highlight representative examples of stand-alone vesicular and tubular ERES, and a case where both types are juxtaposed. Middle panels: Predictions of COPII vesicles (light blue) and adjacent ER (yellow) from ASEM COPI and ER models, and tubular membranes (dark orange) from the COSEM model. Scale bars: 300 nm. Right panels: Manually annotated volumetric renditions of COPII vesicles and ER in single vesicular and tubular ERES and in a region containing both types.

(B) Top and bottom panels: Z-projection views of centroid positions for vesicular (cyan) and tubular (white) ERES. Vesicular ERES (n = 247) were identified using COPI and ER ASEM models; tubular ERES (n = 779) were annotated from the same HeLa-2 cell using the tubular ERES COSEM model.

(C) Summary of spatial relationships between vesicular and tubular ERES shown in **(B)**. Among the 247 vesicular ERES, 179—each containing clusters of 5–50 vesicles—were spatially distinct from the 779 tubular ERES and are referred to as stand-alone vesicular ERES. The remaining 68 vesicular ERES, each containing 1–3 vesicles, overlapped with 74 tubular ERES and are referred to as mixed ERES. No isolated vesicles were associated with the other 705 tubular ERES.

Video 1. Manual annotations of COPI vesicles (blue) adjacent to the Golgi apparatus, rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of the same SVG-A cell shown in Fig. 2A and B, using WebKnossos.

Video 2. Manual annotations of COPI (blue) and clathrin-coated vesicles (cyan) adjacent to the Golgi apparatus, rendered through the FIB-SEM volume of the SVG-A cell shown in Fig. 2C, using WebKnossos.

Video 3. Manual annotations of COPI vesicles (blue) adjacent to the Golgi apparatus, rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of the iN cell shown in Fig. 2D, using WebKnossos.

Video 4. Manual annotations of COPI vesicles (blue) adjacent to the Golgi apparatus, rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of the HeLa cell shown in Fig. 2E, using WebKnossos.

Video 5. Manual annotations of COPII vesicles (blue) adjacent to the annotated ER (yellow), identified as vesicular ERES rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of the SVG-A cell shown in Fig. 3A, using WebKnossos.

Video 6. Manual annotations of COPII vesicles (blue) adjacent to the annotated ER (yellow), identified as vesicular ERES rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of the iN cell shown in Fig. 3B, using WebKnossos.

Video 7. Manual annotations of COPII vesicles (blue) adjacent to the annotated ER (yellow), identified as vesicular ERES rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of the HeLa cell shown in Fig. 3C, using WebKnossos.

Video 8. Manual annotation of COPII vesicles (blue) adjacent to the annotated ER (yellow), identified as vesicular ERES rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of a region of the HeLa cell shown in Fig. 4A, using WebKnossos.

Video 9. Manual annotation of a tubular ERES (dark orange) adjacent to the annotated ER (yellow), rendered through the passing FIB-SEM volume of a different region of the HeLa cell shown in Fig. 4A, using WebKnossos.

478   **Video 10.** Example of a mixed ERES, illustrated by manual annotations in WebKnossos. A single COPII-
479   coated vesicle (blue), adjacent to the annotated ER (yellow), defines a vesicular ERES in close spatial
480   association with a tubular ERES (dark orange). The annotations are rendered through the FIB-SEM volume of
481   a distinct region of the HeLa cell shown in Fig. 4A.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. O'Connor for his help setting up and maintaining the computing infrastructure and to members of the Kirchhausen laboratory for help and encouragement. The research was supported by a National Institute of General Medical Sciences Maximizing Investigators' Research Award GM130386 and by the NNF Center of Optimized Oligo Escape and Control of Disease awarded to T. Kirchhausen and N. Hatzakis. Athul Nair and Akhil Nair were supported in part by discretionary funds available to T. Kirchhausen. Acquisition of the FIB-SEM microscope was supported by a generous grant from Biogen to T. Kirchhausen, and the high-pressure freeze substitution device was made available by S.C. Harrison. Acquisition of the computing hardware including the DGX's GPU-based computers, CPU clusters, fast access memory, archival servers, and workstations that made possible this study were supported by generous grants from the Massachusetts Life Sciences Center to T. Kirchhausen and by an equipment supplement to the National Institute of General Medical Sciences Maximizing Investigators' Research Award GM130386. Construction of the server room housing the computing hardware was made possible with generous support from the PCMM Program at Boston Children's Hospital.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: T. Kirchhausen conceptualized and designed the experiments, drafted the manuscript, and finalized it in close consultation with all co-authors. A. Nair and A. Nair, as undergraduate students, generated and curated the ground truth annotations used for training, implemented the computational pipeline to train the ASEM neural network, obtained models, made predictions, and analyzed the volumetric FIB-SEM data. E. Somerville and A. Sanyal prepared the samples for FIB-SEM. E. Somerville also maintained the FIB-SEM instrument and performed data collection and pre-processing. P. Stock developed the online tool for 3D data visualization, created the publicly accessible AWS data repository and supported deep learning and data analysis efforts. A. Jain implemented the publicly available ASEM user interface software and usage instructions.

REFERENCES

Balch, W.E., J.M. McCaffery, H. Plutner, and M.G. Farquhar. 1994. Vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein is sorted and concentrated during export from the endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell*. 76:841–852. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(94)90359-x.

Béthune, J., and F.T. Wieland. 2018. Assembly of COPI and COPII Vesicular Coat Proteins on Membranes. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 47:1–21. doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-070317-033259.

Boergens, K.M., M. Berning, T. Bocklisch, D. Bräunlein, F. Drawitsch, J. Frohnhofen, T. Herold, P. Otto, N. Rzepka, T. Werkmeister, D. Werner, G. Wiese, H. Wissler, and M. Helmstaedter. 2017. webKnossos: efficient online 3D data annotation for connectomics. *Nat. Methods*. 14:691–694. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4331.

Carter, S.D., C.M. Hampton, R. Langlois, R. Melero, Z.J. Farino, M.J. Calderon, W. Li, C.T. Wallace, N.H. Tran, R.A. Grassucci, S.E. Siegmund, J. Pemberton, T.J. Morgenstern, L. Eisenman, J.I. Aguilar, N.L. Greenberg, E.S. Levy, E. Yi, W.G. Mitchell, W.J. Rice, C. Wigge, J. Pilli, E.W. George, D. Aslanoglou, M. Courel, R.J. Freyberg, J.A. Javitch, Z.P. Wills, E. Area-Gomez, S. Shiva, F. Bartolini, A. Volchuk, S.A. Murray, M. Aridor, K.N. Fish, P. Walter, T. Balla, D. Fass, S.G. Wolf, S.C. Watkins, J.M. Carazo, G.J. Jensen, J. Frank, and Z. Freyberg. 2020. Ribosome-associated vesicles: A dynamic subcompartment of the endoplasmic reticulum in secretory cells. *Sci. Adv.* 6:eaay9572. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay9572.

Galbraith, C.G. 2023. Pumping up the volume. *J Cell Biol*. 222:e202212042. doi:10.1083/jcb.202212042.

Gallusser, B., G. Maltese, G.D. Caprio, T.J. Vadakkan, A. Sanyal, E. Somerville, M. Sahasrabudhe, J. O'Connor, M. Weigert, and T. Kirchhausen. 2022. Deep neural network automated segmentation of cellular structures in volume electron microscopy. *J Cell Biol*. 222:e202208005. doi:10.1083/jcb.202208005.

Gorur, A., L. Yuan, S.J. Kenny, S. Baba, K. Xu, and R. Schekman. 2017. COPII-coated membranes function as transport carriers of intracellular procollagen I. *J. Cell Biol.* 216:1745–1759. doi:10.1083/jcb.201702135.

Hammond, A.T., and B.S. Glick. 2000. Dynamics of Transitional Endoplasmic Reticulum Sites in Vertebrate Cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 11:3013–3030. doi:10.1091/mbc.11.9.3013.

Heinrich, L., D. Bennett, D. Ackerman, W. Park, J. Bogovic, N. Eckstein, A. Petruncio, J. Clements, S. Pang, C.S. Xu, J. Funke, W. Korff, H.F. Hess, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, S. Saalfeld, A.V. Weigel, C.P. Team, R. Ali, R. Arruda, R. Bahtra, and D. Nguyen. 2021. Whole-cell organelle segmentation in volume electron microscopy. *Nature*. 1–6. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03977-3.

Hoffman, D.P., G. Shtengel, C.S. Xu, K.R. Campbell, M. Freeman, L. Wang, D.E. Milkie, H.A. Pasolli, N. Iyer, J.A. Bogovic, D.R. Stabley, A. Shirinifard, S. Pang, D. Peale, K. Schaefer, W. Pomp, C.-L. Chang, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, T. Kirchhausen, D.J. Solecki, E. Betzig, and H.F. Hess. 2020. Correlative three-dimensional super-resolution and block-face electron microscopy of whole vitreously frozen cells. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*. 367:eaaz5357. doi:10.1126/science.aaz5357.

Knott, G., H. Marchman, D. Wall, and B. Lich. 2008. Serial Section Scanning Electron Microscopy of Adult Brain Tissue Using Focused Ion Beam Milling. *J Neurosci*. 28:2959–2964. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3189-07.2008.

Ladinsky, M.S., J.R. Kremer, P.S. Furcinitti, J.R. McIntosh, and K.E. Howell. 1994. HVEM tomography of the trans-Golgi network: structural insights and identification of a lace-like vesicle coat. *J. cell Biol.* 127:29–38. doi:10.1083/jcb.127.1.29.

Martínez-Menárguez, J.A., H.J. Geuze, J.W. Slot, and J. Klumperman. 1999. Vesicular Tubular Clusters
between the ER and Golgi Mediate Concentration of Soluble Secretory Proteins by Exclusion from COPI-
Coated Vesicles. *Cell*. 98:81–90. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80608-x.

Mekuč, M.Ž., C. Bohak, E. Boneš, S. Hudoklin, R. Romih, and M. Marolt. 2022. Automatic segmentation and
reconstruction of intracellular compartments in volumetric electron microscopy data. *Comput Meth Prog Bio*.
223:106959. doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.106959.

Müller, A., D. Schmidt, C.S. Xu, S. Pang, J.V. D'Costa, S. Kretschmar, C. Münster, T. Kurth, F. Jug, M.
Weigert, H.F. Hess, and M. Solimena. 2020. 3D FIB-SEM reconstruction of microtubule–organelle
interaction in whole primary mouse β cells. *J Cell Biology*. 220:e202010039. doi:10.1083/jcb.202010039.

Nakano, A. 2022. The Golgi Apparatus and its Next-Door Neighbors. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10:884360.
doi:10.3389/fcell.2022.884360.

Narayan, K., C.M. Danielson, K. Lagarec, B.C. Lowekamp, P. Coffman, A. Laquerre, M.W. Phaneuf, T.J. Hope,
and S. Subramaniam. 2014. Multi-resolution correlative focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy:
applications to cell biology. *Journal of structural biology*. 185:278–284. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2013.11.008.

Parlakgül, G., A.P. Arruda, S. Pang, E. Cagampan, N. Min, E. Güney, G.Y. Lee, K. Inouye, H.F. Hess, C.S. Xu,
and G.S. Hotamışlıgil. 2022. Regulation of liver subcellular architecture controls metabolic homeostasis.
Nature. 603:736–742. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04488-5.

Raote, I., and V. Malhotra. 2019. Protein transport by vesicles and tunnels. *J. Cell Biol.* 218:737–739.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201811073.

Sanyal, A., G. Scanavachi, E. Somerville, A. Saminathan, A. Nair, R.F.B.D.C. Correia, B. Aylan, E. Sitarska, A.
Oikonomou, N.S. Hatzakis, and T. Kirchhausen. 2024. Neuronal constitutive endolysosomal perforations
enable α-synuclein aggregation by internalized PFFs. *J. Cell Biol.* 224:e202401136.
doi:10.1083/jcb.202401136.

Schroeder, A.B., E.T.A. Dobson, C.T. Rueden, P. Tomancak, F. Jug, and K.W. Eliceiri. 2021. The ImageJ
ecosystem: Open-source software for image visualization, processing, and analysis. *Protein Sci*. 30:234–
249. doi:10.1002/pro.3993.

Shomron, O., I. Nevo-Yassaf, T. Aviad, Y. Yaffe, E.E. Zahavi, A. Dukhovny, E. Perlson, I. Brodsky, A.
Yeheskel, M. Pasmanik-Chor, A. Mironov, G.V. Beznoussenko, A.A. Mironov, E.H. Sklan, G.H. Patterson,
Y. Yonemura, M. Sannai, C. Kaether, and K. Hirschberg. 2021. COPII collar defines the boundary between
ER and ER exit site and does not coat cargo containers. *J. Cell Biol.* 220:e201907224.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201907224.

Studer, D., B.M. Humbel, and M. Chiquet. 2008. Electron microscopy of high pressure frozen samples:
bridging the gap between cellular ultrastructure and atomic resolution. *Histochem Cell Biol*. 130:877–889.
doi:10.1007/s00418-008-0500-1.

Weigel, A.V., C.-L. Chang, G. Shtengel, C.S. Xu, D.P. Hoffman, M. Freeman, N. Iyer, J. Aaron, S. Khuon, J.
Bogovic, W. Qiu, H.F. Hess, and J. Lippincott-Schwartz. 2021. ER-to-Golgi protein delivery through an
interwoven, tubular network extending from ER. *Cell*. 184:2412-2429.e16. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.035.

Westrate, L.M., M.J. Hoyer, M.J. Nash, and G.K. Voeltz. 2020. Vesicular and uncoated Rab1-dependent cargo
carriers facilitate ER to Golgi transport. *J. Cell Sci.* 133:jcs239814. doi:10.1242/jcs.239814.

Wu, Y., C. Whiteus, C.S. Xu, K.J. Hayworth, R.J. Weinberg, H.F. Hess, and P.D. Camilli. 2017. Contacts
between the endoplasmic reticulum and other membranes in neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114:E4859–
E4867. doi:10.1073/pnas.1701078114.

Xu, C.S., K.J. Hayworth, Z. Lu, P. Grob, A.M. Hassan, J.G. García-Cerdán, K.K. Niyogi, E. Nogales, R.J.
Weinberg, and H.F. Hess. 2017. Enhanced FIB-SEM systems for large-volume 3D imaging. *eLife*. 6:185.
doi:10.7554/elife.25916.

Xu, C.S., S. Pang, G. Shtengel, A. Müller, A.T. Ritter, H.K. Hoffman, S. Takemura, Z. Lu, H.A. Pasolli, N. Iyer,
J. Chung, D. Bennett, A.V. Weigel, M. Freeman, S.B. van Engelenburg, T.C. Walther, R.V. Farese, J.
Lippincott-Schwartz, I. Mellman, M. Solimena, and H.F. Hess. 2021. An open-access volume electron
microscopy atlas of whole cells and tissues. *Nature*. 599:147–151. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03992-4.

Yang, K., M. Liu, Z. Feng, M. Rojas, L. Zhou, H. Ke, and J.C. Pastor-Pareja. 2021. ER exit sites in Drosophila
display abundant ER-Golgi vesicles and pearled tubes but no megacarriers. *Cell Rep.* 36:109707.
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109707.

Zeuschner, D., W.J.C. Geerts, E. van Donselaar, B.M. Humbel, J.W. Slot, A.J. Koster, and J. Klumperman.
2006. Immuno-electron tomography of ER exit sites reveals the existence of free COPII-coated transport
carriers. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8:377–383. doi:10.1038/ncb1371.

TABLE I

Cell ID# 81
Description: SVG-A cells were purchased from ATCC (CRL 8621) and cultured in MEM media (Corning 10-009-CV) supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals S11150H). FIB-SEM image is from cell SVG-A2.
Protocol: HPFS via Leica EM ICE
Contributions: Prepared for imaging by Anwesha Sanyal and Elliott Somerville; imaging by Tegy Vadakkan
Publication: This study
Voxel size: 5 nm x 5 nm x 5 nm
S3 Data Location: https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project/tree/datasets/81/
TK Lab Viewer: *TK Lab Data Viewer*

Cell ID# 84
Description: SVG-A cells were purchased from ATCC (CRL 8621) and cultured in MEM media (Corning 10-009-CV) supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals S11150H). FIB-SEM image is from cell SVG-A1.
Protocol: HPFS using Leica EM ICE
Contributions: Prepared for imaging by Anwesha Sanyal and Elliott Somerville; imaging by Tegy Vadakkan
Publication: This study
Voxel size: 5 nm x 5 nm x 5 nm
S3 Data Location: https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project/tree/datasets/84/
TK Lab Viewer: *TK Lab Data Viewer*

Cell ID# 131
Description: IPSCs Derived Neurons (INs), cultured in StemFlex media (Life Technologies A33493) and differentiated as described (Sanyal et al., 2024).
Protocol: HPFS using Wolhwend HPF Compact 03
Contributions: Prepared for imaging by Anwesha Sanyal and Elliott Somerville; imaging by Elliott Somerville
Publication: This study
Voxel size: 5 nm x 5 nm x 5 nm
S3 Data Location: https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project/tree/datasets/131/
TK Lab Viewer: *TK Lab Data Viewer*

Cell ID# 3E
Description: Hela-2 Wild-type, interphase HeLa cell (ATCC CCL-2)
Protocol: HPFS is described in (Xu et al., 2021)
Contributions: Sample provided by Aubrey Weigel (HHMI/Janelia), prepared for imaging by Gleb Shtengel (HHMI/Janelia); imaging by C. Shan Xu (HHMI/Janelia)
Publication: (Xu et al., 2021)
Voxel size: 4 nm x 4 nm x 5.24 nm
S3 Data Location: https://open.quiltdata.com/b/asem-project/tree/datasets/3E/
TK Lab Viewer: *TK Lab Data Viewer*
OpenOrganelle: https://www.openorganelle.com/datasets/jrc_hela-2

References

Sanyal, A., G. Scanavachi, E. Somerville, A. Saminathan, A. Nair, R.F.B.D.C. Correia, B. Aylan, E. Sitarska, A. Oikonomou, N.S. Hatzakis, and T. Kirchhausen. 2024. Neuronal constitutive endolysosomal perforations enable α-synuclein aggregation by internalized PFFs. *J. Cell Biol.* 224:e202401136. doi:10.1083/jcb.202401136.

Xu, C.S., S. Pang, G. Shtengel, A. Müller, A.T. Ritter, H.K. Hoffman, S. Takemura, Z. Lu, H.A. Pasolli, N. Iyer, J. Chung, D. Bennett, A.V. Weigel, M. Freeman, S.B. van Engelenburg, T.C. Walther, R.V. Farese, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, I. Mellman, M. Solimena, and H.F. Hess. 2021. An open-access volume electron microscopy atlas of whole cells and tissues. *Nature.* 599:147–151. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03992-4.

A

1um

Golgi

ASEM COPI prediction
ASEM ER prediction

300nm

B

1um

vesicular ERES

300nm

Figure I

ground truth

ASEM COPI prediction
ASEM CCV prediction

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

ASEM COPI prediction
ASEM ER prediction
COSEM tubular ERES prediction

HeLa

300 nm

HeLa

300 nm

HeLa

300 nm

vesicular ERES

tubular ERES

mixed ERES

B
side view

HeLa
top view

6 um

C

vesicular ERES   mixed ERES   tubular ERES

| this study 179 | this study 68 | COSEM 74 | COSEM 705 |

Figure 4