Repurposing Quetiapine as an Adjuvant Therapeutic Agent for Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

[1]Ling He*, [1]Purva Joshi, [1]Angeliki Ioannidis, [1]Anoushka Kathiravan, [1]Mohammad Saki, [1,2,3]Frank Pajonk

[1]Department of Radiation Oncology, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA

[2]Department of Neurosurgery, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA

[3]Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center at UCLA

*Correspondence address: Ling He, PhD, Department of Radiation Oncology, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, 10833 Le Conte Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1714, Phone: +1 310 206 8733, Fax: +1 310 206 1260, email: LingHe@mednet.ucla.edu

Abstract

Background: The lack of a molecular target in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) makes it one of the most challenging breast cancers to treat. Current standard-of-care primarily relies on cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiotherapy, but long-term outcomes remain poor largely due to early relapse, therapy resistance, and high incidents of metastases. More recently, dopamine signaling has emerged as a novel area of interest in cancer biology. Dopamine receptors have been implicated in tumor progression, maintenance of cancer stem-like cells, and therapy resistance in various malignancies, including TNBC. Quetiapine (QTP), an FDA-approved atypical antipsychotic and DRD2/DRD3 antagonist, has shown preclinical efficacy in glioblastoma. However, its anti-tumor potential in TNBC remains unexplored.

Methods: Clonogenic survival and mammosphere-forming assays were used to evaluate QTP's anti-tumor activity in TNBC cell lines (SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-MB-231). Cells were treated with QTP alone or in combination with a single dose of 4 Gy radiation or standard chemotherapeutic agents (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, 5-fluorouracil). Apoptotic cell death was evaluated using Annexin V/propidium iodide flow cytometry, while DNA double-strand breaks were assessed through γ-H2AX staining. Transwell assays were conducted to examine QTP's impact on both untreated bulk tumor cells and those surviving sublethal radiation exposure. Additionally, the effect of QTP on DNA repair dynamics was investigated by monitoring the resolution of γ-H2AX foci over time following treatment.

Results: QTP significantly reduced clonogenicity and self-renewal in all TNBC models tested, both alone and in combination with radiation or chemotherapy. In apoptosis

assays, QTP treatment induced a marked increase in early and late apoptotic cell

populations. QTP also promoted DNA double-strand break formation and delayed repair,

as indicated by persistent γ-H2AX foci at 24 hours post-treatment. Additionally, QTP

impaired the migratory capacity of both untreated and radiation-surviving cells.

Combination treatments with QTP and doxorubicin produced synergistic effects, resulting

in complete loss of colony-forming ability and mammosphere formation.

Conclusions: The data presented in this study support the repurposing of quetiapine as

an adjuvant therapeutic agent alongside radiotherapy and chemotherapy in TNBC. By

targeting apoptosis, DNA repair, and cancer cell migration, QTP offers a novel, multi-

faceted approach to improving outcomes in this high-risk breast cancer subtype.

Keywords

Triple-negative breast cancer, quetiapine, dopamine receptor, cancer stem cell,

radiation therapy, chemotherapy

Introduction

Breast cancer remains the most commonly diagnosed cancer among women, accounting for approximately 30 % (or 1 in 3) of all new female cancer cases each year. Among these, triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) constitutes an estimated 10 – 15 % of all cases, which translates to roughly 30,000 to 45,000 new diagnoses annually in the United States (1, 2) (https://www.nationalbreastcancer.org/breast-cancer-facts/). TNBC is defined by a lack of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), that are commonly used as therapeutic targets in other breast cancer subtypes. Due to this absence of targetable biomarkers, TNBC has been recognized as one of the most aggressive and therapeutically challenging forms of breast cancer (3-5).

Despite continued advances in early detection and prevention strategies, the American Cancer Society predicts that the number of newly diagnosed invasive breast cancer cases is expected to rise further in 2025 (https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/breast-cancer/about/how-common-is-breast-cancer.html), highlighting the persistent burden of the disease. TNBC is associated with rapid tumor progression, increased rates of distant metastasis, early relapse -often within the first three years of diagnosis- and significantly worse overall survival compared to other forms of breast cancer (6, 7). The current standard-of-care relies heavily on cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiotherapy, as targeted hormonal and HER2-directed therapies are ineffective in this case (8, 9). However, the clinical benefit of chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy is often limited by TNBC's pronounced intra-tumoral heterogeneity, high proliferative rate, and frequent enrichment

of therapy-resistant cancer stem-like cells, which attribute to treatment failure and

recurrence (10, 11). Collectively, these challenges underscore an urgent and unmet need

for the development of novel therapeutic strategies that can effectively overcome

treatment resistance, eradicate tumor-initiating cells, and ultimately improve long-term

outcomes for patients with this aggressive disease subtype.

Dopamine is a well-characterized neurotransmitter that plays a central role in regulating

numerous complex brain functions, including mood, motivation, cognition, and motor

control (12, 13). Interestingly, beyond its neurological roles, emerging evidence has

highlighted dopamine signaling as a potential modulator in cancer biology (14-18). In

particular, the D2-like class of dopamine receptors -which includes DRD2, DRD3, and

DRD4- has been found to be upregulated in various malignancies and linked to cancer

cell stemness (19-21). Moreover, reduced cancer risk has been correlated with disorders

such as schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease (19, 22), in which dopaminergic drugs

are used, suggesting a potential protective role of dopamine receptor antagonism in

cancer development.

Quetiapine (QTP), an FDA-approved atypical antipsychotic, functions primarily as an

antagonist of dopamine receptors D2 and D3 (DRD2/DRD3) and is widely used in the

clinical management of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. In our previous work, we

demonstrated the therapeutic potential of QTP in treating glioblastoma, one of the most

aggressive brain tumors, by targeting therapy-resistant cancer stem-like cells (21). Based

on these findings, we sought to investigate whether QTP could exert similar anti-tumor

effects when used in combination with radiotherapy or chemotherapeutic agents in TNBC.

Materials and Methods

TCGA data mining and analysis

Data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Invasive Breast Carcinoma cohort (assessed via cBioPortal on February 10, 2025) was used for this analysis. Expression data (RNA-Seq V2 RSEM Z scores) and overall survival data for DRD2 and DRD3 were retrieved. Only patients with available data for both gene expression and survival were included in the analysis (N=1980). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed using a Z-score cut-off of 1.0, and the patients were stratified into subgroups based on expression levels: up-regulated, down-regulated, and unchanged. These stratified groups were then used to estimate overall survival differences.

Cell lines

The human SUM159-PT triple-negative breast cancer cell line was purchased from Asterand (Detroit, MI) and cultured in F12 Medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 5 % fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 units/ml), streptomycin (100 µg/ml), 5 µg/ml insulin (Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN), 0.1 % 1M HEPES buffer (Invitrogen) and 1 µg/ml hydrocortisone (Pfizer, New York, NY), under log-phase growth conditions. BT-549 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines were obtained from Amrican Type Culture Collection (ATCC). MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher) with 10% FBS, and penicillin-streptomycin, while BT-549 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and insulin (0.023 IU/ml). All cell lines were grown

in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5 % CO2 and routinely tested for mycoplasma

infection (#G238, Applied biological Materials, Ferndale, WA). The unique identity of all

cell line was confirmed by DNA fingerprinting (Laragen, Culver City, CA).

Drug treatment

Quetiapine (#KS-1099, Key Organic) was dissolved at a stock concentration of 10 mM in

DMSO, and 1 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM, 20 µM were used. Paclitaxel (#S1150,

Selleckchem) was dissolved at a stock concentration of 5 mM in DMSO, and 1 nM, 5 nM,

10 nM were used. 5-fluorouracil (#S1209, Selleckchem) was dissolved at a stock

concentration of 10 mM in DMSO, and 0.5 µM, 1 µM, 5 µM were used. Doxorubicin

(#15007, Cayman Chemical) was dissolved at a stock concentration of 5 mM in DMSO,

and 5 nM, 10 nM, 20 nM were used.

Quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR

Total RNA was isolated using TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA synthesis was carried

out using the SuperScript Reverse Transcription IV (Invitrogen). Quantitative PCR was

performed in the QuantStudio™ 3 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad,

CA, USA) using the PowerUp™ SYBRTM Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). C_t for

each gene was determined after normalization to GAPDH and $\Delta\Delta C_t$ was calculated

relative to the designated reference sample. Gene expression values were then set equal

to $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ as described by the manufacturer of the kit (Applied Biosystems). All PCR

primers were synthesized by Invitrogen with PPIA as the housekeeping gene (for primer

sequences see **Supplementary Table 1**).

Clonogenic survival assay

SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-MB-231 cells were trypsinized and plated into 6-well

plates at a density of 100-200 cells per well. The following day, cells were treated with

either DMSO, QTP, chemotherapeutic drugs or QTP plus chemotherapeutic drugs at the

indicated concentrations. Culture media was refreshed every three days. After two weeks,

colonies were fixed and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. Colonies consisting of at least

50 cells were counted in each group and presented as percentage of colonies formed

relative to the number of cells initially plated.

Mammosphere Formation Assay with Extreme Limiting Dilution Analysis (ELDA)

SUM159-PT and BT-549 mammospheres were dissociated by TrypLE™ and plated into

96-well ultra-low attachment plates in mammosphere media (DMEM-F12, 10 ml/500 ml

B27 [Invitrogen], 5 µg/ml bovine insulin [Sigma], 4 µg/ml heparin [Sigma], 20 ng/ml basic

fibroblast growth factor [bFGF, Sigma], and 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor [EGF,

Sigma]). For ELDA, cells were seeded at varying densities: 1 to 512 cells per well for 0

Gy conditions, 2 to 1024 cells per well for 4 Gy, and 4 to 2048 cells per well for 8 Gy. Cells

were treated with either QTP (10 µM) or DMSO solvent control one hour prior to receiving

a single dose of 0, 4, or 8 Gy radiation the next day. Growth factors (EGF and bFGF) were

replenished every 3 days. Mammospheres were cultured for 2-3 weeks, after which wells

containing spheres were counted. Results were expressed as the percentage of wells

with sphere formation relative to the initial number of cells plated.

Irradiation

Cells were irradiated at room temperature using an experimental X-ray irradiator (Gulmay

Medical Inc. Atlanta, GA) at a dose rate of 5.519 Gy/min. The X-ray beam was operated

at 300 kV and hardened using a 4 mm Be, a 3 mm Al, and a 1.5 mm Cu filter and calibrated

using NIST-traceable dosimetry. Corresponding controls were sham irradiated.

Annexin V/PI Staining and Flow Cytometry

SUM159-PT and BT-549 monolayer cells were plated onto 10-cm petri dishes and treated

with QTP (10 µM) one hour prior to receiving a single dose of 4 Gy irradiation the following

day. 72 hours post-irradiation, cells were harvested, washed twice with cold PBS, and

resuspended in 1X Annexin V binding buffer at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/ml. For

each sample, 100 µl of cell suspension was incubated with 5 µl of FITC-conjugated

Annexin V and 5 µl of propidium iodide (PI, 50 µg/ml) for 15 minutes at room temperature

in the dark. Following incubation, 400 µl of binding buffer was added, and samples were

immediately analyzed using a BD LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Bioscience, San Jose,

CA), acquiring a minimum of 50,000 events per sample. Data analysis were performed

using FlowJo v10 software. Cells positive for Annexin V and negative for PI were defined

as early apoptotic, double-positive cells as late apoptotic or necrotic, and double-negative

cells are viable cells.

Cell Proliferation

SUM159-PT and BT-549 monolayers were trypsinized and seeded at a density of 10,000 cells per 4-cm petri dish. The following day, cells were treated with either DMSO or QTP (10 µM), with or without a single dose of 4 Gy radiation. Cell proliferation was assessed by harvesting and counting cells on day 5, 10, 15, and 20 post-treatment.

Migration/Invasion Assay

Serum-starved SUM159-PT or BT-549 monolayers were trypsinized and plated onto Transwell inserts (#08-771-12, Fisher Scientific) at a density of 1 x 10^5 cells per well. QTP or solvent control (DMSO) was added to the medium in the bottom chamber containing 10% FBS. A negative control using 1% FBS medium was included to eliminate the FBS gradient between the upper and lower chambers. After 16-24 hours of incubation, cells were fixed with 10% formalin. Non-migrated cells on the upper side of the membrane were gently removed with cotton swabs, while migrated cells on the lower side were stained with 0.5% crystal violet. Images were captured using a digital microscope (BZ-9000, Keyence, Itasca, IL) and quantified using Image J software.

For experiments involving irradiation, SUM159-PT or BT-549 monolayers were plated onto 10-cm Petri dishes and treated with 10 µM QTP, with or without a single dose of 4 Gy irradiation. 48 hours post-irradiation, the cells were serum starved overnight. The following day, cells were trypsinized and plated onto Transwell inserts at 1 x 10^5 cells per well. The bottom chamber contained 10% FBS medium to create an FBS gradient that

promotes cell migration. After 16-24 hours, cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet

as described above.

γ-H2AX immunofluorescence staining

SUM159-PT monolayer cells were seeded onto the round glass coverslips at a density of

1×10^5 cells/well in the 6-well plate. The following day, cells were treated with DMSO or

QTP (10 μM), with or without a single dose of 4 Gy radiation. 6 hours and 24 hours post-

treatment, the coverslips were fixed, permeabilized, and blocked with 10% goat serum in

PBS for 1 hour at RT. The γ-H2AX primary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, #9718s,

1:250) was then applied and incubated overnight at 4°C. The next day, the secondary

antibodies Alexa Fluor 594 Goat Anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (H/L) antibody

(1:1,000 (Invitrogen)) was applied for 60 min, with subsequent nuclear counterstaining

with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, Cat# H3570, 1:5000). The sections were sealed with

VECTASHIELD® PLUS Antifade Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories, Cat#H-1900)

and images were taken with a digital microscope (BZ-9000, Keyence, Itasca, IL).

Statistics

All data are represented as mean ± standard error mean of at least 3 biologically

independent experiments. A *P* value of < 0.05 in a 2-sided student t-test or ANOVA

indicated a statistically significant difference.

Results

Up-regulated DRD2 expression is associated with decreased overall survival in invasive breast cancer patients

To determine whether the expression levels of dopamine receptors DRD2 and DRD3 correlate with clinical outcomes in invasive breast cancer, we analyzed overall survival (OS) data from 1,980 invasive breast cancer patients within the TCGA Provisional dataset. Patients were stratified into three subgroups based on DRD2 and DRD3 expression levels (upregulated, downregulated, or unchanged), using a Z-score cutoff of ±1.0. Our analysis revealed that patients exhibiting upregulated DRD2 expression demonstrated significantly poorer median overall survival (111.10 months; p = 0.0245) compared to patients with unchanged DRD2 expression (157.83 months) **(Fig. 1a/b)**. In contrast, the median survival for patients with downregulated DRD2 expression (151.17 months) did not significantly differ from patients with normal expression (p = 0.801) **(Suppl. Fig. 1a/b)**. Furthermore, no significant difference in median survival was observed between patients with either upregulated or downregulated DRD3 expression and those with unchanged DRD3 expression levels **(Fig. 1c/d; Suppl. Fig. 1c/d)**.

Dopamine receptor expression in human triple-negative breast cancer cell lines under baseline and post-radiation conditions

We next examined the expression of dopamine receptors (DRD2 and DRD3) in established human TNBC cell lines. Cells were cultured both as monolayers and as mammospheres, the latter of which enriches for stem/progenitor cell populations.

Interestingly, expression levels of DRD2 and DRD3 were significantly elevated in

mammosphere cultures compared to their corresponding monolayer controls across all

three TNBC lines tested (SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-MB-231) (**Fig. 2a**; black dashed

line indicates baseline). Given that radiation can alter the surface expression of certain

receptors, we also investigated DRD2 and DRD3 levels following exposure to single

doses of 0, 4, or 8 Gy radiation under both adherent and mammosphere conditions. At 24

hours post-irradiation, DRD2 and DRD3 expression showed slight increases. However,

these changes were not statistically significant **(Fig. 2b)**. Collectively, our results indicate

that dopamine receptors are enriched within TNBC stem/progenitor cell populations, and

their expression remains largely unaffected by sub-lethal radiation doses, suggesting

receptor stability in radioresistant cell populations.

Quetiapine decreases clonogenicity and self-renewal in triple-negative breast cancer

To evaluate the potential anti-tumor effects of QTP in TNBC, we first performed

clonogenic survival assays using all three TNBC lines (SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-

MB-231). Treatment with QTP resulted in a significant, dose-dependent reduction in

colony formation capacity across all tested cell lines, and the effects seemed to reach a

plateau at approximately 10 µM concentration. These findings strongly suggest that QTP

has a robust inhibitory effect on the clonogenicity of tumor cells **(Fig. 2a-d)**. Next, we

examined whether QTP enhances cellular radiosensitivity by performing mammosphere-

forming assays with increasing doses of radiation (0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 Gy) using SUM159-

PT and BT-549 cells. Intriguingly, QTP treatment did not exhibit significant radiosensitizing

effects, as the surviving fraction of mammosphere remained largely unaffected by

combined radiation and QTP treatments compared to radiation alone **(Fig. 2e/f)**.

Microscopic examination of mammospheres revealed that QTP treatment resulted in

substantially fewer and smaller mammospheres compared to DMSO or radiation alone

groups **(Fig. 2g)**. Additionally, extreme limiting dilution analyses demonstrated that QTP

significantly reduced mammosphere-forming capacity and stem cell frequency, both in

sham-irradiated cells and in cells receiving single doses of 4 or 8 Gy radiation **(Fig. 2h-**

k). Taken together, our results demonstrate that while QTP strongly suppresses

clonogenic growth and the self-renewal capacities of TNBC cells, it does not directly

increase tumor cell radiosensitivity.

Quetiapine induces apoptosis and inhibits migratory capacity in TNBC cells

To elucidate the cellular mechanisms underlying the anti-tumor effects of QTP in TNBC

cells, we cultured SUM159-PT and BT-549 cells in monolayers and treated them with 10

µM QTP, either alone or in combination with a single dose of 4 Gy radiation. Cell viability

and proliferation were monitored at a regular interval (every 5 days) over a 20-day period.

Notably, QTP treatment resulted in a significant reduction in cell proliferation at day 10

post-treatment in SUM159-PT cells **(Fig. 4a)**, while in BT-549 cells, the inhibitory effects

were pronounced as early as day 5 **(Fig. 4b)**. Furthermore, combined treatment with QTP

and radiation markedly reduced cell viability compared to radiation alone in both lines

(Fig. 4c/d).

To determine whether the observed inhibition of cell proliferation resulted from induction

of cell apoptosis, we conducted Annexin V/PI staining followed by flow cytometry analysis.

Our results revealed that QTP treatment significantly reduced the percentage of viable

cells and markedly increased the proportion of early apoptotic cells (defined as the

Annexin V-positive/PI-negative). Additionally, there was a substantial increase in late

apoptotic or necrotic populations (Annexin V-positive/PI-positive) **(Fig. 4e-g)**.

TNBC is known for its aggressive behavior, often spreading more rapidly and frequently

than other breast cancer subtypes, which leads to a high risk of early metastasis. To

investigate whether QTP impacts the migratory/invasive properties of TNBC cells, we

performed transwell migration assay using two experimental approaches **(Fig. 4h)**. In the

first setup, QTP was added to the lower chamber to assess its effect as a chemoattractant

inhibitor. Here, we demonstrated that QTP significantly reduced the migratory capacity of

both SUM159-PT and BT-549 cells, as evidenced by a decreased number of cells

penetrating through the transwell membrane **(Fig. 4i-k)**. In the second approach, cells

were pre-treated with QTP alone or in combination with a single dose of 4 Gy radiation,

prior to seeding. The pre-treated cells displayed markedly impaired migration capacity

compared to untreated and radiation-only controls **(Fig. 4l-n)**. These findings suggest that

QTP effectively inhibits TNBC cell motility independently and in combination with radiation,

highlighting its potential to suppress metastatic progression.

Quetiapine induces DNA double-strand breaks and impairs DNA repair in TNBC cells

Based on our observations that QTP reduces cell viability, impairs self-renewal, and

suppresses migratory potential in TNBC cells, we next sought to explore whether its anti-

tumor effects are associated with the induction of genomic instability and disruption of

DNA repair mechanisms. Given that radiation therapy primarily exerts its cytotoxic effects

through the induction of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), and the ability to repair such

damage is essential for tumor cell survival and therapy resistance. In order to examine

whether QTP contributes to DNA damage or interferes with the repair process, we

evaluated the formation and resolution of γ-H2AX foci, a widely accepted marker of DSBs,

at 6 hours and 24 hours following exposure to a single dose of 4 Gy radiation, in the

presence or absence of QTP using SUM159-PT cells. At 6 hours post-radiation, QTP-

treated cells exhibited a marked increase in γ-H2AX positive cells compared to both

DMSO control and cells treated with radiation alone, suggesting that QTP enhances the

induction of DNA damage in TNBC cells **(Fig. 5a/b)**. Notably, while radiation-induced γ-

H2AX foci typically resolve by 24 hours post-radiation as a result of efficient DNA repair,

QTP-treated cells displayed a persistent γ-H2AX signal at this later time point **(Fig. 5c/d)**.

This indicates a significant delay in DNA repair and suggests that QTP may impair the

resolution of DSBs.

Anti-tumor effects of QTP and chemotherapeutic agents in TNBC cells

To evaluate the potential of QTP as a combination therapy in TNBC, we investigated

whether it could enhance the efficacy of standard chemotherapeutic agents commonly

used in clinical settings. Specifically, we tested QTP in combination with doxorubicin

(DOX), paclitaxel (TAXOL), and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in SUM159-PT cells. Given the high

potency of QTP at 10 µM, we reduced its concentration to 5 µM to better assess

synergistic interactions. Clonogenic survival assays revealed a substantial reduction in

colony-forming ability when QTP was combined with low doses of 5-FU (0.5 µM) **(Fig. 6a)**

or TAXOL (0.5 µM) **(Fig. 6b)**, indicating enhanced cytotoxicity beyond single-agent

treatments. Notably, the combination of QTP and DOX demonstrated a clear dose-

dependent suppression of clonogenicity **(Fig. 6c)**.

To confirm the broader applicability of this synergy, we extended the analysis to two

additional TNBC cell lines, BT-549 and MDA-MB-231. In BT-549 cells, the combined

treatment of 5 µM QTP with 20 nM DOX eliminated colony formation **(Fig. 6d)**. Similarly,

MDA-MB-231 cells showed a near-complete loss of clonogenic potential when treated

with 5 µM QTP in combination with either 10 nM or 20 nM DOX **(Fig. 6e)**. These consistent

findings across multiple TNBC lines support the conclusion that QTP significantly

sensitizes TNBC cells to doxorubicin and other chemotherapeutic agents.

Furthermore, we examined whether this synergistic interaction extended to the self-

renewal capacity of TNBC cells using the mammosphere-forming assay. The combination

of QTP and DOX completely abolished sphere formation in BT-549 cells **(Fig. 6f)**, and

similar effects were observed in SUM159-PT cells treated with 10 µM QTP plus DOX **(Fig.**

6g). These results indicate that QTP not only enhances the cytotoxic effects of

chemotherapy on bulk tumor cells but also effectively targets cancer stem-like cells,

supporting its potential as a valuable adjuvant to conventional chemotherapy in the

treatment of TNBC.

Discussion

The psychiatric drug quetiapine, a second-generation atypical antipsychotic commonly

used for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, has recently demonstrated

promising anti-tumor effects in several preclinical cancer models (21, 23). Despite these

encouraging results, its therapeutic efficacy has not yet been evaluated in triple-negative

breast cancer, a highly aggressive subtype characterized by limited treatment options and

poor patient prognosis. In this study, we report, for the first time, that QTP not only inhibits

tumor clonogenicity and self-renewal but also enhances the efficacy of both radiation and

chemotherapeutic agents in multiple TNBC cell models.

More importantly, these effects are observed when QTP is used alone and are further

amplified in combination with clinically relevant treatments, such as ionizing radiation,

doxorubicin, paclitaxel, and 5-fluorouracil. This suggests a synergistic or additive

interaction between QTP and standard-of-care therapies, potentially offering a new

combination strategy for this highly aggressive subtype. Mechanistically, we show that

QTP induces apoptosis and promotes the accumulation of DNA double-strand breaks.

Notably, QTP also delays the resolution of γ-H2AX foci over time, suggesting that it

disrupts the DNA damage response and impairs DNA repair process. This is particularly

significant in the context of radiotherapy or genotoxic chemotherapy, where DNA repair

pathways are critical for tumor cell survival (24, 25). Additionally, QTP suppresses the

bulk tumor cell migration, as well as the cells that survive sublethal radiation, indicating

its potential to prevent metastatic progression and recurrence.

These observations are in line with previous reports on the anti-tumor activity of dopamine receptor antagonists (15, 26, 27). Prior studies have demonstrated that other antipsychotic agents such as thioridazine and domperidone could target cancer stem-like cells and reduce self-renewal in TNBC via DRD2 inhibition and subsequent suppression of downstream pathways such as STAT3 and JAK/STAT signaling (26, 27). Our findings extend these insights by identifying QTP, a DRD2/DRD3 antagonist with a well-established clinical safety profile, as a novel agent capable of replicating these effects while offering additional therapeutic advantages. Unlike previous studies that focused solely on monotherapy, our work highlights the potential of QTP as a promising adjuvant therapeutic agent when combined with radiation and chemotherapy.

TNBC patients have a higher likelihood of developing brain metastases compared to those with hormone receptor-positive subtypes. These metastases not only occur more frequently but also tend to develop earlier in the course of the disease (28). Once brain involvement is detected, the prognosis is generally poor, with median overall survival typically ranging from 4 to 9 months, making it a major driver of morbidity and mortality in patients with metastatic TNBC (29-31). Beyond the physical challenges posed by metastasis, many TNBC patients also suffer from the neurological and psychological side effects of systemic chemotherapy, including cognitive dysfunction ("chemo brain"), anxiety, depression, and sleep disturbances. These symptoms can severely compromise quality of life and hinder adherence to treatment regimens (32-34). Here QTP presents as a compelling candidate for repurposing in oncology due to several favorable

pharmacological properties. It readily crosses the blood-brain barrier, has a well-

documented safety profile with a low incidence of severe side effects, and is widely used

in clinical practice for managing psychiatric conditions. In previous studies, QTP has been

shown to effectively eliminate glioma-initiating cells, highlighting its capacity to act against

therapy-resistant cancer stem-like cells within the brain (21). These findings raise the

possibility that QTP may also exhibit therapeutic efficacy against TNBC brain metastases.

This multifunctional profile could be advantageous in managing the complex needs of

TNBC patients with central nervous system (CNS) involvement, and it warrants further

investigation in preclinical and clinical settings.

Despite these promising findings, our study has several limitations. Most notably, all

experiments were conducted *in vitro*, and the complexities of the tumor microenvironment,

drug metabolism, and immune interactions could potentially influence its therapeutic

efficacy *in vivo*. Future studies are needed to evaluate its efficacy in TNBC xenograft or

patient-derived orthotopic mouse models to confirm its anti-tumor and anti-metastatic

potential in a physiologically relevant context. Furthermore, although QTP is considered

to act as a DRD2/DRD3 antagonist, it interacts with several other receptors (e.g.,

serotonin and adrenergic receptors), and their contributions to the observed phenotypes

remain to be explored. Lastly, the molecular pathways downstream of DRD2/DRD3 that

mediate QTP's effects in TNBC warrant further mechanistic study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study provides new insight into the anti-tumor properties of QTP in

TNBC and identifies it as a promising candidate for combination therapies. By impairing

DNA repair, inducing apoptosis, and suppressing migratory and stem-like features of

TNBC cells, QTP has the great potential to overcome some of the major therapeutic

challenges in this aggressive breast cancer subtype. These findings lay the groundwork

for future preclinical and clinal studies aimed at repurposing QTP as an adjuvant

treatment strategy for TNBC, potentially improving patient outcomes through a more cost-

effective, well-tolerated therapeutic approach.

List of Abbreviations

TNBC: triple-negative breast cancer; QTP: quetiapine; ER: estrogen receptor; PR: progesterone receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; DRD2: dopamine receptors D2; DRD3: dopamine receptors D3; DRD4: dopamine receptors D4; PI: propidium iodide; TAXOL: paclitaxel; DOX: doxorubicin; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil; DSBs: DNA double-strand breaks; CNS: central nervous system.

ORCHID information: Ling He: 0000-0002-8692-7530; Frank Pajonk: 0000-0003-4067-9751.

Author contributions: FP and LH conceived and designed the experiments. LH and PJ performed most of the experiments, AK, AI, and MS helped with some experiments. LH drafted the original manuscript, FP edited and revised the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by UCLA JCCC postdoctoral fellowship to LH. FP was supported by grants from the *National Cancer Institute* (R01CA260886, R01CA281682).

Availability of data and materials

All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary

information.

Consent for publication

The content of this paper has not been submitted to any other scientific publications. All

the authors have declared that no financial conflict of interest exists. All authors have

approved the submission of this work for publication in Breast Cancer Research.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Evaluation of overall survival based on DRD2 and DRD3 signatures in invasive breast cancer patients.

(a) Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (OS) in invasive breast cancer patients stratified by DRD2 mRNA expression levels. The red line represents patients with upregulated DRD2 expression, while the blue line indicates those with unchanged expression. Patients in the DRD2 upregulated group exhibited significantly worse OS compared to the unchanged group (log-rank test, p=0.0245). The number of patients at risk is displayed below the Kaplan-Meier curve. **(b)** Summary table showing the total number of cases, deceased cases, and median survival of patients with either upregulated or unchanged DRD2 expression. **(c)** Kaplan-Meier analysis of OS in invasive breast cancer patients based on DRD3 mRNA expression levels. The red line denotes patients with upregulated DRD3 expression, while the blue line represents those with unchanged expression. OS in the DRD3 upregulated subgroup was not significantly different from the unchanged group (log-rank test, p=0.0694). The number of patients at risk is displayed below the Kaplan-Meier curve. **(d)** Summary table presenting the total number of cases, deceased cases, and median survival of patients with upregulated or unchanged DRD3 expression.

Figure 2. Dopamine receptor expression in human triple-negative breast cancer cell lines under baseline and post-radiation conditions.

(a) Relative expression levels of DRD2 and DRD3 in mammospheres compared to their corresponding monolayers in SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-MB-231 triple-negative breast cancer cell lines, as assessed by qRT-PCR. **(b/c)** DRD2 and DRD3 expression levels in mammospheres and monolayers of SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-MB-231 following exposure to a single dose of 4 Gy or 8 Gy radiation, measured at 24 hours post-treatment. All experiments have been performed with at least 3 biological independent repeats. *P*-values were calculated using one-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. Quetiapine decreases clonogenicity and self-renewal in triple-negative breast cancer.

(a-c) Clonogenic assays performed on patient-derived triple-negative breast cancer cells (SUM159-PT, BT-549, and MDA-MB231) treated with quetiapine at 1, 2.5, 5, 10, or 20 µM, or with DMSO (solvent control). **(d)** The colony number was counted and presented as the percentage relative to the initial number of cells plated. **(e/f)** Surviving fraction of SUM159-PT and BT-549 mammospheres treated with quetiapine at 1, 5, or 10 µM. **(g)** Representative images of SUM159-PT and BT-549 mammospheres treated with quetiapine (10 µM), with or without a single 4 Gy or 8 Gy radiation dose. **(h)** Mammosphere forming capacity of SUM159-PT and BT-549 spheres treated with quetiapine (10 µM), with or without a single 4 Gy or 8 Gy radiation dose. **(i/j)** Extreme Limiting Dilution Analysis (ELDA) plots showing the relationship between the number of cells plated per well and the fraction of negative wells (no colonies) in SUM159-PT and BT-549 mammospheres following the combination of radiation and quetiapine. **(k)** Estimated stem cell frequencies and 95% confidence intervals in each treatment group.

All experiments have been performed with at least 3 biological independent repeats. *P*-

values were calculated using one-way ANOVA.

Figure 4. Quetiapine promotes apoptosis and reduces the migratory capacity of

TNBC cells.

(a-d) Quantification of cell number and viability in SUM159-PT and BT-549 cells treated

with 10 µM QTP, with or without a single dose of 4 Gy radiation, assessed on days 5, 10,

15, and 20 post-treatment. **(e)** Representative Annexin V/PI dot plots of SUM159-PT and

BT-549 cells treated with QTP (10 µM), with or without a single 4 Gy dose of radiation,

analyzed 72 hours post-treatment. **(f/g)** Quantification of viable, early apoptotic, and late

apoptotic/necrotic cell populations. **(h)** Schematic illustration of two transwell migration

assay setups. **(i-k)** Transwell invasion assay of SUM159-PT and BT-549 cells with QTP

(10 µM) added to the lower chamber of an 8-µm pore insert. Media containing 1% FBS

and 10% FBS served as negative and positive control, respectively. Migrated cells were

quantified per high-power field using Image J. **(l-n)** Invasion assay of SUM159-PT and

BT-549 cells pretreated with QTP (10 µM) for 48 hours, with or without a single dose of 4

Gy radiation, followed by migration analysis. All experiments have been performed with

at least 3 biological independent repeats. *P*-values were calculated using one-way

ANOVA. * *p*-value < 0.05, ** *p*-value < 0.01, *** *p*-value < 0.001, **** *p*-value < 0.0001.

Figure 5. Quetiapine induces DNA double-strand breaks and delays DNA repair in

TNBC cells.

(a) Representative immunofluorescence images of γ-H2AX staining in SUM159-PT cells treated with 10 µM QTP, with or without a single dose of 4 Gy radiation, analyzed 6 hours post-radiation. **(b)** Quantification of γ-H2AX-positive cells per high-power field using Image J. **(c/d)** Representative γ-H2AX immunofluorescence images and corresponding quantification at 24 hours post-radiation under the same treatment conditions. All experiments have been performed with at least 3 biological independent repeats. P-values were calculated using one-way ANOVA. * p-value < 0.05, ** p-value < 0.01, *** p-value < 0.001, **** p-value < 0.0001.

Figure 6. Anti-tumor effects of quetiapine and chemotherapeutic agents in TNBC cells.

(a-c) Quantification of plating efficiency in SUM159-PT cells treated with 5 µM QTP in combination with increasing concentrations of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), paclitaxel (TAXOL), or doxorubicin (DOX), assessed via clonogenic survival assays. **(d/e)** Clonogenic survival of BT-549 and MDA-MB-231 cells treated with 5 µM QTP in combination with 5 nM, 10 nM, or 20 nM DOX. **(f/g)** Mammosphere-forming assays of BT-549 and SUM159-PT cells treated with varying doses of QTP in combination with DOX to evaluate effects on self-renewal. All experiments have been performed with at least 3 biological independent repeats. P-values were calculated using two-way ANOVA. * p-value < 0.05, ** p-value < 0.01, *** p-value < 0.001, **** p-value < 0.0001.

Reference:

1. Siegel RL, Kratzer TB, Giaquinto AN, Sung H, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2025.
CA Cancer J Clin. 2025;75(1):10-45.

2. Almansour NM. Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: A Brief Review About
Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Signaling Pathways, Treatment and Role of Artificial
Intelligence. Front Mol Biosci. 2022;9:836417.

3. Cleator S, Heller W, Coombes RC. Triple-negative breast cancer: therapeutic
options. Lancet Oncol. 2007;8(3):235-44.

4. Zagami P, Carey LA. Triple negative breast cancer: Pitfalls and progress. NPJ
Breast Cancer. 2022;8(1):95.

5. Yin L, Duan JJ, Bian XW, Yu SC. Triple-negative breast cancer molecular
subtyping and treatment progress. Breast Cancer Res. 2020;22(1):61.

6. Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI, Hanna WM, Kahn HK, Sawka CA, et al. Triple-
negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. Clin Cancer Res.
2007;13(15 Pt 1):4429-34.

7. Dent R, Hanna WM, Trudeau M, Rawlinson E, Sun P, Narod SA. Pattern of
metastatic spread in triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat.
2009;115(2):423-8.

8. Won KA, Spruck C. Triple-negative breast cancer therapy: Current and future
perspectives (Review). Int J Oncol. 2020;57(6):1245-61.

9. Lee J. Current Treatment Landscape for Early Triple-Negative Breast Cancer
(TNBC). J Clin Med. 2023;12(4).

10.   Luond F, Tiede S, Christofori G. Breast cancer as an example of tumour heterogeneity and tumour cell plasticity during malignant progression. Br J Cancer. 2021;125(2):164-75.

11.   Fultang N, Chakraborty M, Peethambaran B. Regulation of cancer stem cells in triple negative breast cancer. Cancer Drug Resist. 2021;4(2):321-42.

12.   Bromberg-Martin ES, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O. Dopamine in motivational control: rewarding, aversive, and alerting. Neuron. 2010;68(5):815-34.

13.   Speranza L, di Porzio U, Viggiano D, de Donato A, Volpicelli F. Dopamine: The Neuromodulator of Long-Term Synaptic Plasticity, Reward and Movement Control. Cells. 2021;10(4).

14.   Ahangari G, Norioun H. The dual role of dopamine and serotonin in cancer progression and inflammation: Mechanisms and therapeutic potential. Neuroscience. 2025;569:184-94.

15.   Moura C, Vale N. The Role of Dopamine in Repurposing Drugs for Oncology. Biomedicines. 2023;11(7).

16.   Jayachandran P, Battaglin F, Strelez C, Lenz A, Algaze S, Soni S, et al. Breast cancer and neurotransmitters: emerging insights on mechanisms and therapeutic directions. Oncogene. 2023;42(9):627-37.

17.   Rosas-Cruz A, Salinas-Jazmin N, Velazquez MAV. Dopamine Receptors in Cancer: Are They Valid Therapeutic Targets? Technol Cancer Res Treat. 2021;20:15330338211027913.

18.   Wang X, Wang ZB, Luo C, Mao XY, Li X, Yin JY, et al. The Prospective Value of Dopamine Receptors on Bio-Behavior of Tumor. J Cancer. 2019;10(7):1622-32.

19.   Weissenrieder JS, Neighbors JD, Mailman RB, Hohl RJ. Cancer and the

Dopamine D(2) Receptor: A Pharmacological Perspective. J Pharmacol Exp Ther.

2019;370(1):111-26.

20.   Bhat K, Saki M, Vlashi E, Cheng F, Duhachek-Muggy S, Alli C, et al. The dopamine

receptor antagonist trifluoperazine prevents phenotype conversion and improves survival

in mouse models of glioblastoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(20):11085-96.

21.   Bhat K, Saki M, Cheng F, He L, Zhang L, Ioannidis A, et al. Dopamine Receptor

Antagonists, Radiation, and Cholesterol Biosynthesis in Mouse Models of Glioblastoma.

J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021;113(8):1094-104.

22.   Li H, Li J, Yu X, Zheng H, Sun X, Lu Y, et al. The incidence rate of cancer in patients

with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. Schizophr Res. 2018;195:519-28.

23.   Su CM, Lin SS, Wang HC, Hsu FT, Chung JG, Hsu LC. The inhibitory effect and

mechanism of quetiapine on tumor progression in hepatocellular carcinoma in vivo.

Environ Toxicol. 2022;37(1):92-100.

24.   Huang R, Zhou PK. DNA damage repair: historical perspectives, mechanistic

pathways and clinical translation for targeted cancer therapy. Signal Transduct Target

Ther. 2021;6(1):254.

25.   Huang RX, Zhou PK. DNA damage response signaling pathways and targets for

radiotherapy sensitization in cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):60.

26.   Tegowski M, Fan C, Baldwin AS. Thioridazine inhibits self-renewal in breast cancer

cells via DRD2-dependent STAT3 inhibition, but induces a G(1) arrest independent of

DRD2. J Biol Chem. 2018;293(41):15977-90.

27.   Shakya R, Byun MR, Joo SH, Chun KS, Choi JS. Domperidone Exerts Antitumor

Activity in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cells by Modulating Reactive Oxygen Species

and JAK/STAT3 Signaling. Biomol Ther (Seoul). 2023;31(6):692-9.

28.   Saraf A, Grubb CS, Hwang ME, Tai CH, Wu CC, Jani A, et al. Breast cancer

subtype and stage are prognostic of time from breast cancer diagnosis to brain metastasis

development. J Neurooncol. 2017;134(2):453-63.

29.   Lin NU, Claus E, Sohl J, Razzak AR, Arnaout A, Winer EP. Sites of distant

recurrence and clinical outcomes in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer:

high incidence of central nervous system metastases. Cancer. 2008;113(10):2638-45.

30.   Kaplan MA, Isikdogan A, Koca D, Kucukoner M, Gumusay O, Yildiz R, et al.

Biological subtypes and survival outcomes in breast cancer patients with brain

metastases (study of the Anatolian Society of Medical Oncology). Oncology.

2012;83(3):141-50.

31.   Gao C, Wang F, Suki D, Strom E, Li J, Sawaya R, et al. Effects of systemic therapy

and local therapy on outcomes of 873 breast cancer patients with metastatic breast

cancer to brain: MD Anderson Cancer Center experience. Int J Cancer. 2021;148(4):961-

70.

32.   Anand U, Dey A, Chandel AKS, Sanyal R, Mishra A, Pandey DK, et al. Cancer

chemotherapy and beyond: Current status, drug candidates, associated risks and

progress in targeted therapeutics. Genes Dis. 2023;10(4):1367-401.

33.   Dubey A, Agrawal S, Agrawal V, Dubey T, Jaiswal A. Breast Cancer and the Brain:

A Comprehensive Review of Neurological Complications. Cureus. 2023;15(11):e48941.

34.   Cerulla N, Arcusa A, Navarro JB, de la Osa N, Garolera M, Enero C, et al. Cognitive

impairment following chemotherapy for breast cancer: The impact of practice effect on

results. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2019;41(3):290-9.

Figure 1.

a

b

	Number of cases, Total	Number of cases, Deceased	Median months survival (95% CI)
Cases with high-expression in **DRD2** gene	61	40	111.10
Cases without alteration in **DRD2** gene	1919	1103	157.83

c

d

	Number of cases, Total	Number of cases, Deceased	Median months survival (95% CI)
Cases with high-expression in **DRD3** gene	48	24	157.83
Cases without alteration in **DRD3** gene	1932	1119	155.73

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.