Life-cycle-related gene expression patterns in the brown algae

Pélagie Ratchinski[1], Olivier Godfroy[2], Benjamin Noel[3], Jean-Marc Aury[3], J. Mark Cock[1,*]

[1]Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Algal Genetics Group, Integrative Biology of Marine Models Laboratory, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France
[2]Sorbonne Université, CNRS, CMAR, Integrative Biology of Marine Models Laboratory, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France
[3]Génomique Métabolique, Genoscope, Institut François Jacob, CEA, CNRS, Université Evry, Université Paris-Saclay, Evry 91057, France
*Corresponding author. E-mail address: cock@sb-roscoff.fr (J.M. Cock)

Keywords: brown algae, early development, *Dictyota dichotoma, Ectocarpus* species 7, gene clustering, life cycle, seaweed, transcriptomics

Abstract

Brown algae are important primary constituents of marine coastal ecosystems, characterised by complex life cycles and various levels of complex multicellular development. However, the molecular processes that underlie development and life cycle progression in the brown algae remain poorly understood. In this study, pairwise comparisons of gametophyte and sporophyte transcriptomes across ten diverse brown algal species showed that the total number of genes exhibiting generation-biased or generation-specific expression in each species was correlated with the degree of dimorphism between life cycle generations. However, analysis of gene ontology terms assigned to the generation-biased/generation-specific genes indicated that each generation (i.e. the sporophyte and the gametophyte) also has characteristic broad life-cycle-related features that have been conserved during evolution. A more detailed analysis of *Ectocarpus* species 7, identified progressive transcriptome changes over its entire life cycle with a particularly marked change in transcriptome composition during the first day of sporophyte development, characterised by downregulation of flagellar and transcription factor genes and upregulation of a subset of translation genes. Comparison with a similar transcriptomic time series for the evolutionarily-distant (about 250 My) brown alga *Dictyota dichotoma* indicated considerable conservation of co-expressed gene modules between the two species, particularly for modules that were enriched in genes assigned to evolutionarily-conserved functional categories. This study therefore identified broad life-cycle- and development-related patterns of gene expression that are conserved across the brown algae.

Introduction

Brown algae are major primary components of diverse and widespread coastal ecosystems, often forming extensive underwater forests (Bringloe *et al.*, 2020; Eger *et al.*, 2023). The group includes large (up to 50 metres) multicellular organisms that rival many land plant species in complexity. From a developmental point of view, brown algae are particularly interesting because they evolved complex multicellularity independently of land plants and animals (Cock *et al.*, 2010). Taxonomically, these seaweeds are grouped into the class Phaeophyceae, which is a major taxon within the stramenopiles, and therefore very distant, phylogenetically, from the Archaeplastida and the Opisthokonta lineages that gave rise to land plants and animals, respectively. Consequently, brown algae represent interesting alternative systems to investigate the evolution and molecular bases of developmental processes.

Most brown algae have haploid-diploid life cycles involving an alternation between two independent multicellular generations, the sporophyte and the gametophyte (Cock *et al.*, 2014). These seaweeds are therefore capable of deploying two different multicellular developmental programs, each at the

appropriate stage of their complex life cycles. The ecological functions of brown algal haploid-diploid
life cycles can be difficult to study, particularly when one of the generations is microscopic. However,
there is evidence, in kelps for example, that microscopic stages (gametophytes, but possibly also very
young sporophyte stages) may have a survival function, allowing a population to persist when the
macroscopic sporophyte stage is not present (Carney and Edwards, 2006). A study of populations of
filamentous brown algae of the genus *Ectocarpus* in the field indicated that gametophytes grew
epiphytically on the brown alga *Scytosiphon promiscuus* (then classified taxonomically as *Scytosiphon*
lomentaria) in the spring, whereas sporophytes grew throughout the year on abiotic substrata. These
observations indicate that the sporophyte may be the most important generation for persistence of
the population in this genus (Couceiro *et al.*, 2015). Note, however, that a principally asexual
population of *Ectocarpus siliculosus* located at a second site inhabited both epiphytic and epilithic
niches indicating that the relationship between life cycle generation and niche may be complex
(Couceiro *et al.*, 2015). The phenology of the brown alga *D. dichotoma* also appears to be complex
with, for example, overlapping generations and sporophytes and gametophytes reported to occur
simultaneously (Tronholm *et al.*, 2008).
68
The relative sizes of the two life cycle generations varies considerably across brown algae, with either
the sporophyte or the gametophyte being the largest generation (dimorphism) or the two
generations having very similar sizes and morphologies (isomorphy). Transitions between life cycles
with different degrees of dimorphism have occurred frequently during the evolution of the brown
algae (Cock *et al.*, 2014). Variations on this central sexual life cycle have been observed in culture for
several species and these variations can provide insights into the mechanisms that control life cycle
progression. For example, if *Ectocarpus* gametes fail to fuse with a gamete of the opposite sex to
form a zygote, they are often capable of germinating parthenogenetically to produce a haploid
partheno-sporophyte that is morphologically and functionally indistinguishable from a diploid
sporophyte (Müller, 1967). The existence of these haploid sporophytes indicates that life cycle
generation identity is not determined by ploidy in this species and is therefore presumably under
genetic control.
81
The occurrence of two multicellular generations during the life cycles of most brown algae allows
genetic screens to be carried out for mutations that cause switching between the development
programs of the two generations, i.e. mutations that lead to deployment of the incorrect program at
a specific point in the life cycle (Coelho and Cock, 2020). Using this approach, two three-amino-acid-
loop-extension (TALE) homeodomain transcription factor (HD TF) genes, *OUROBOROS (ORO)* or
SAMSARA (SAM), have been show to be necessary for deployment of the sporophyte generation
developmental program in *Ectocarpus* (Coelho *et al.*, 2011; Arun *et al.*, 2019). Mutations in either of
these genes suppresses the ability of the alga to produce a functional sporophyte, leading to
deployment of the gametophyte program at life cycle stages where a sporophyte should normally be
produced. In addition, *Ectocarpus* sporophytes have been shown to produce a diffusible factor that
can induce sporophyte development in gametophyte initial cells (Arun *et al.*, 2013; Yao *et al.*, 2021).
The diffusible factor does not induce life cycle generation switching in *oro* or *sam* mutant
gametophyte initial cells, indicating that it acts upstream of ORO and SAM (Arun *et al.*, 2013, 2019).
95
The genetic pathways that underlie the deployment of the sporophyte and gametophyte
developmental programs in brown algae are still poorly understood. Mutations in a small number of
genes have been shown to lead to developmental defects in *Ectocarpus* (Macaisne *et al.*, 2017;
Godfroy *et al.*, 2017, 2023) but a mutation in the *IMMEDIATE UPRIGHT* gene is the only lesion that
specifically affects the development of one of the two generations (Peters *et al.*, 2008).
Transcriptomics has been used to identify genes that are differentially expressed between the two
generations in *Ectocarpus* (Arun *et al.*, 2019; Lipinska *et al.*, 2019; Bourdareau *et al.*, 2021). These
transcriptomic analyses focused on comparisons of adult sporophyte and gametophyte thalli and
have allowed the identification of several hundred genes that are differentially expressed between

these two stages of development. However, the analyses were not designed to detect genes that are
important during early development and do not provide any information about the kinetics of gene
expression over development. In recent years, several detailed studies have generated gene
expression data for multiple developmental stages or organs for several different brown algal species
(Pearson *et al.*, 2019; Shao *et al.*, 2019; Shan *et al.*, 2020; Zhang *et al.*, 2021; Graf *et al.*, 2022; Liang
et al., 2023; Uji *et al.*, 2024), including a transcriptomic analyses of early stages of *Dictyota*
dichotoma sporophyte development (Bogaert *et al.*, 2017). This latter study detected changes in the
transcriptome less than one hour after fertilisation, providing evidence for *de novo* transcription in
the zygote, and identified a set of genes with diverse functions that were differentially regulated
during early sporophyte development.
115
In the current study, transcriptomic data, recently generated by the Phaeoexplorer project (Denoeud
et al., 2024), was used to analyse differential gene expression between the adult sporophyte and
gametophyte generations of a broad range of brown algae. The proportion of genes that showed
generation-biased or generation-specific expression across these two stages was correlated with the
level of dimorphism between the two generations indicating that the differentially expressed genes
are primarily linked to morphological differentiation. However, analysis of gene ontology terms also
identified underlying, life-cycle-related characteristics that have been conserved during evolution,
with general classes of gene function being conserved across sporophytes of the ten species, and
different general classes of gene function being conserved across the gametophytes. In addition,
analysis of transcriptomic data corresponding to multiple stages of the *Ectocarpus* life cycle,
generated by combining published RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data with newly-generated
timepoints, identified co-expression modules containing genes with specific expression patterns
during life cycle progression. This analysis identified several modules that exhibited marked
expression pattern changes during early sporophyte evolution, providing insights into key genetic
events during this period. Comparison with a set of gene co-expression modules generated for *D.*
dichotoma identified conserved features shared by these two distantly related brown algal species.
132
133 **Results**
134
135 **Across the brown algal lineage, the proportion of generation-biased genes is correlated with the**
136 **degree of life cycle dimorphism**
137
RNA-seq data for the adult sporophyte and gametophyte generations of ten different brown algal
species (Figure 1A, Table S1) were analysed to obtain a broad overview of generation-biased gene
expression across the brown algae. The species analysed had markedly different haploid-diploid life
cycles, ranging from sporophyte dominance, through sporophyte-gametophyte isomorphy to
gametophyte dominance (Figure S1). As previously observed in a smaller-scale analysis that looked at
four different brown algal species (Lipinska *et al.*, 2019), the proportion of generation-
biased/generation-specific genes (see Materials and Methods for definitions of generation-biased
and generation-specific genes) identified in each species was positively correlated with the degree of
intergenerational dimorphism (Figure 1B, S1, Table S2). Surprisingly, however, species with strongly
dimorphic life cycles had greater numbers of both sporophyte- and gametophyte-biased/specific
genes, indicating either that gene downregulation plays an important role in the establishment of
dimorphic generations or that there is also cryptic complexification of the more morphologically
simple generation.
151
We also noted that, depending on the species, only between 10% and 24% (Table S2) of genes were
expressed during one generation but not the other (defined for this analysis as mean TPM ⩾1 for one
generation but mean TPM <1 in the other generation), indicating that most genes are expressed
during both generations of the life cycle. There is therefore considerable scope for many genes to
have functions during both generations of the life cycle. In terms of selection, this observation

indicates that most genes are potentially directly exposed to purifying selection during the haploid
phase (where there is no effect of masking by a second allele of each locus) and few genes are
expressed solely in a diploid context where masking would be most effective.
160
161 **The sporophyte and gametophyte generations express characteristic general functional categories**
162 **of genes**
163
To evaluate the degree of conservation of generation-biased/specific gene sets across the ten brown
algal species an orthogroup analysis was carried out (Table S3) using Orthofinder (Emms and Kelly,
2019). Pairwise comparisons of generation-biased/specific genes that had orthologues in all ten
species indicated that the sets of generation-biased/specific genes were poorly conserved across
species, even for closely-related species such as the two *Saccharina* spp. (Figure 1C). None of the
orthogroups exhibited conserved generation bias across all the ten species. The lack of conservation
of generation-biased/specific gene sets across species is in line with observations made in the earlier
study that compared four brown algal species (Lipinska *et al.*, 2019). However, despite the poor
conservation of generation-biased/specific expression at the individual gene level, analysis of
enriched gene ontology terms across the entire generation-biased/specific gene sets from the ten
studied brown algae identified distinct sets of enriched terms for both the sporophyte-
biased/specific and the gametophyte-biased/specific genes (Figure 2) and the general classes of
enriched gene ontology term were conserved for each individual generation across the ten species.
For example, the sporophyte-biased/specific gene sets tended to be enriched in genes with predicted
functions related to membrane transport and metabolism whereas the gametophyte-biased/specific
gene sets tended to be enriched in genes with predicted functions related to flagella biosynthesis
and function, protein biosynthesis and DNA-related functions (Figure 2). These analyses identified an
underlying similarity between sporophyte generations across species and between gametophyte
generations across species, and indicated that these generation-characteristic patterns of gene
expression are present even in species where the two generations are isomorphic or nearly
isomorphic.
185
186 **Changes in gene expression patterns over the course of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 life cycle reflect**
187 **the cyclic transitions between life cycle stages**
188
The above analyses specifically provided information about gene regulation patterns underlying
morphological and functional differences between the adult stages of the two generations. To obtain
a broader view of gene expression during the life cycle, RNA-seq samples corresponding to multiple
stages of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 life cycle were analysed: replicate samples corresponding to two
very early stages (24 h and 48 h after gamete release; Figure S2) of sporophyte development were
generated and combined with publicly-available samples corresponding to eight other stages of the
life cycle (Table S1).
196
In a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) the dispersion of the samples corresponding to these ten life
cycle stages mirrored the cyclic organisation of the alga life cycle (Figure 3A). Together, the two main
axes explained 57% of the observed variability, with adult stages grouping together at one end of the
first axis and early stages localised at the other end. The second axis approximately separated the
sporophyte and gametophyte phases of the life cycle. The PCA pattern indicated gradual changes in
transcriptome content between each stage of the life cycle, so that successive stages possessed
overlapping but different transcriptomes.
204
205 **The first day of sporophyte development corresponds to a major shift in the pattern of gene**
206 **expression**
207

The PCA also indicated marked differences between the gamete stage and all the other stages of the
life cycle (Figure 3A). To further investigate this observation, the Trendy R package (Bacher *et al.*,
2018) was used to calculate the fit of segmented regression models to individual gene expression
patterns in order to identify individual genes that best matched the overall pattern of regression
breakpoints. When this approach was applied to the sporophyte developmental series, a good
regression fit ($R^2 > 0.5$) could be calculated for 5,196 genes and 32% of these genes exhibited a
change in expression (i.e. a regression breakpoint) during the transition from the gamete stage to the
sporophyte initial cell (24 h) stage (Figure 3B), indicating that this transition involves a major shift in
the pattern of gene expression.
217
To complement the above analysis, DESeq2 (Love *et al.*, 2014) was used to identify genes that were
differentially expressed between the free-swimming gamete and the sporophyte initial cell stage.
This analysis detected 10,955 differentially expressed genes, corresponding to 4,372 and 6,583
significantly up- and down-regulated genes, respectively. These differentially expressed genes
represent 58% of the total number of genes in the *Ectocarpus* species 7 genome (Figure 3C). For
comparison, when adult sporophytes and gametophytes stage were compared (Figure 1B), 3,726
upregulated and 2,577 downregulated genes (35% of the total number of genes overall) were
detected. The DESeq2 analysis therefore supported the conclusion that a marked change in gene
expression pattern occurred between the free-swimming gamete stage and the sporophyte initial
cell stage.
228
Interestingly, in the comparison between gametes and the sporophyte initial cell, the mean fold
change in transcript abundance for downregulated genes at the transition between free-swimming
gamete and initial cell was significantly higher than the mean fold change for genes that were
upregulated at this transition (Wilcoxon rank sum test *p*-values < 2.10^{-16}; Figure 3D). This observation
suggested narrower expression ranges for the downregulated genes and this hypothesis was
supported by a comparison of tau values (a measure of breadth of expression) for the downregulated
and upregulated genes, which showed that the downregulated genes had significantly higher tau
values (Wilcoxon rank sum test *p*-values < 2.10^{-16}; Figure S3). A comparison across the ten life cycle
stages also showed that 61% of the genes downregulated at the gamete to initial cell transition were
most strongly expressed at the gamete stage (data in Tables S4, S5).
239
240 **Analysis of gene co-expression modules indicated coordinated regulation of genes associated with**
241 **several cellular processes**
242
To further analyse gene expression patterns over the life cycle, a weighted correlation network
analysis (WGCNA) approach (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008) was used to group genes according to
their patterns of expression across the ten life cycle stages. Using a cut-off of normalised counts ⥸45
(DESeq2 analysis), 16,077 of the 18,370 *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes were classed as being
significantly expressed at at least one stage of the life cycle. Of these genes, 14,277 could be assigned
to one of 23 gene expression modules, which varied in size from 42 to 2,414 genes (Figures S4, 4,
Table S6). The modules were given arbitrary colour names (Figure 4F). The 24th "grey" module
groups the 1,800 genes that were not assigned to a co-expression module (Figure 4F, Table S6).
251
The 23 gene co-expression modules were analysed for enriched gene ontology (GO) terms (Figures 5
and S5). For 11 of the 23 gene co-expression modules, the set of enriched GO terms were sufficiently
related to allow a general biological function to be manually assigned to the module (Figure 4A).
These modules indicated that at least a proportion of the genes involved in several cellular processes
(photosynthesis, DNA modification, flagella biosynthesis/function, transcription and translation) are
co-ordinately regulated during the life cycle.
258

The PCA and differential expression analyses described above provided evidence for a large-scale
modification of the transcriptome during the transition between the gamete and sporophyte initial
cell stage. As expected, changes in expression level at this transition strongly influenced the grouping
of genes into expression modules (Figure 4F) and several modules were enriched in genes that had
been identified as differentially expressed at this first transition (Figure 4C). The following two
sections will focus on these modules to further characterise this important early developmental
transition.
266
267 **Sporophyte down-regulated gene modules highlighted DNA- and flagella-related functions in**
268 **gametes**
269
Seven modules were significantly enriched in genes that were downregulated during the transition
from gametes to the sporophyte initial cell (Figure 4C, Table S6). For three of these modules, "pink",
"salmon" and "turquoise", the genes were also upregulated during the gametophyte stages (Figure
4D). The "pink" module was enriched in gene ontology terms related to nucleosome assembly (Figure
S5) and the "salmon" module, which contained a large number of monoexonic genes, was enriched
in genes predicted to have DNA-related functions (enriched gene ontology terms included "DNA
integration", "DNA recombination", "DNA repair", "DNA helicase" and "telomere maintenance";
Figure S5). The "turquoise" module, which had an expression profile very similar to that of the
"salmon" module (Figure 4D), was enriched in genes with predicted functions related to the flagella
(enriched gene ontology terms included "dynein complex", "cilium", "BBSome", "microtubule-based
movement", "axonemal dynein complex assembly", "cilium movement" and "microtubule motor
activity"), and therefore presumably important for gamete motility (Figure S5). The upregulation of
"turquoise" module genes in gametophytes is probably explained by the production of flagellated
gametes in the gametangia of fertile thalli although it is not clear why the genes were also expressed
a quite a high level in pre-fertile, adult gametophytes. It is possible that transcripts already
accumulate at this stage to prepare for the production of large numbers of gametes at fertility or
that some of these genes are also involved in other cellular functions, for example related to the
cytoskeleton.
288
Cell-wall biosynthesis functions were not significantly enriched in the "turquoise", "salmon" and
"pink" modules but these modules contained the majority of annotated genes from two families of
carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), the GT23 family (four genes in the "turquoise" and two
genes in the "salmon" module out of ten genes in total) and the PL41 family (nine genes in the
"turquoise" module, five genes in the "salmon" module and three genes in the "pink" module out of
22 expressed, annotated PL41 genes; Figure S6). The GT23 family is predicted to encode
fucosyltransferases, which would transfer fucosyl groups onto chitin oligosaccharides or
glycoproteins, and the PL41 family contains mannose-specific alginate lyases (Inoue and Ojima, 2019;
Mazéas *et al.*, 2024). While the role of GT23 enzymes in gametes is unclear, it is possible that the
polysaccharide depolymerisation action of PL41 lyases may facilitate gamete release from
plurilocular sporangia in a manner analogous to the actions of pectate lyases and
rhamnogalacturonan lyases during fruit ripening in land plants (Méndez-Yañez *et al.*, 2020; Uluisik
and Seymour, 2020; Al-Hinai *et al.*, 2024).
302
The remaining four modules that were significantly enriched in genes downregulated during the
transition from the gamete to the early sporophyte ("blue", "green", "red" and "grey60") did not
exhibit gene upregulation during the gametophyte generation (Figure 4C, D, Table S6). No significant
GO term enrichment was detected for the "blue" and "green" modules. The "red" and "grey60"
modules were enriched in transcription factors and related GO terms such as "DNA-binding domain"
(Figure S5). To investigate this point further a recently established dataset of 325 transcription-
associated proteins (TAPs) for *Ectocarpus* species 7 (Denoeud *et al.*, 2024) was enriched with the 90
Esv-1-7 domain proteins (Macaisne *et al.*, 2017) from this species (Table S5, Figure S7), as the latter

have been hypothesised to function as zinc finger transcription factors (Macaisne *et al.*, 2017), and
the expression of these genes analysed in gametes. Transcripts of approximately a third of the genes
(147) in this transcription-associated dataset were more abundant in gametes than at the sporophyte
initial cell stage (24 h) but the proportion was similar to the proportion for all the genes in the
genome indicating that there was not an overall enrichment of the gamete transcriptome in
transcription-associated genes.

Taken together, analysis of the seven modules highlighted the importance of DNA-related functions,
including nucleosome assembly and transcription, and flagella-related functions in gametes.

Subsets of translation-related genes are upregulated in the sporophyte initial cell but the gamete
already expresses some translation-related and photosynthesis genes

Nine modules were significantly enriched in genes upregulated during the transition between
gametes and the sporophyte initial cell (Figure 4C, Table S6). Most of the nine modules could not be
clearly associated with a general cellular process but three ("cyan", "magenta" and "greenyellow")
were enriched in functions related to translation (Figures 4A, 5). These three modules exhibited very
similar expression profiles (Figure 5A) but were enriched in different classes of translation-related
gene (Figure 5B-E). The "greenyellow" module contained the RNA polymerase I gene which
synthesises rRNA and was enriched in GO terms related to "nucleolus", "small-subunit processome",
"ribosome large subunit assembly", "ribosome biogenesis" and "rRNA processing". This module was
therefore essentially related to the biosynthesis of the ribonucleic component of the ribosome
(Figure 5D). The "cyan" and "magenta" modules were enriched in GO terms such as "tRNA
aminoacylation for protein translation", "tRNA processing", "translation initiation" (Figure 5E). These
two clusters included 25 of the 49 aminoacyl-tRNA-transferases annotated in the *Ectocarpus* species
7 genome, and also included a number of genes related to transcription initiation (Figure 5C).
Notably, the "cyan" module included nine eIF3-subunit-encoding genes out of a total of 15 annotated
genes in the genome (Figure 5C). Interestingly, the "cyan" and "greenyellow" modules were enriched
($p < 0.05$ clusterProfiler) in genes with a mitochondrial targeting sequence (Figure 4B), whereas the
"magenta" module was enriched ($p < 0.05$ clusterProfiler) in genes that encode proteins targeted to
the plastid. The plastid-targeted proteins had predicted functions other than photosynthesis (e.g.
translation and transport).

The "cyan", "greenyellow", and "magenta" modules clustered together based on their average
expression profiles (Figure 4F), suggesting that the three groups of translation-related functions
share some level of co-expression. Taken together, the gene content of these three clusters suggest
that there is a marked increase in translation in the initial cell compared to the gamete, both in the
cytosolic and the organellar compartments. Importantly, however, not all translation-related genes
exhibited marked upregulation during the transition from the gamete to the initial cell stage. For
example, the transcripts of the many ribosomal-protein-encoding genes that were grouped in the
"purple" module were already present in gametes at relatively high levels (Figures 5B, S8). The
ribosomal protein genes are an interesting case because, whilst the majority of these genes were
assigned to the "purple" module, corresponding essentially to constitutive expression, a minority of
the members of this broad family exhibited more complex expression patterns and were assigned to
other modules (Figures 5B, S8). In general, this latter minority of genes tend to encode proteins that
are located in close vicinity in the P-stalk within the ribosome (Figure S9). The functional significance
of this clustering is unclear but it has been proposed that the P-stalk influences translation fidelity
and the pool of mRNAs associated with the ribosome (Wawiórka *et al.*, 2017; Dopler *et al.*, 2024). We
also noted that ribosomal protein genes with non-constitutive expression patterns tended to have
paralogues that were constitutively expressed (Figure S8). Interestingly, cytosolic and organellar
ribosomal protein genes did not exhibit the same patterns of expression with respect to the life

cycle, with the genes encoding organellar proteins exhibiting more complex expression patterns (i.e.
they were assigned to multiple modules; Figure 5B).

The "royalblue" module is another example of a module which did not exhibit marked changes in
expression between the gamete and the sporophyte initial cell. This module was highly enriched in
genes related to photosynthesis or encoding chloroplast-targeted proteins (Figure 4A,B,C). Based on
the transcriptomic data, therefore, both cytosolic translation and photosynthesis appear to be
processes that are already important at the gamete stage, although it is possible that transcripts
related to these processes may accumulate in gametes but be translated at a later stage.

Comparative analysis of life-cycle-related gene expression between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D.*
dichotoma

To determine whether the developmental and life-cycle-related transcription patterns observed in
Ectocarpus species 7 corresponded to common, fundamental features that are shared with other
brown algae, a comparative analysis was carried out between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D.*
dichotoma. These two species are phylogenetically distant within the brown algal tree (Akita *et al.*,
2022). Based on a recent estimate (Choi *et al.*, 2024), their common ancestor dates to about 250
Mya. Consequently, if a feature is shared between the two species, this is an indication that it may
have been conserved over a significant part of the evolutionary history of the brown algal lineage.

Replicated transcriptomic data was available for seven stages of the *D. dichotoma* life cycle (Table
S1). In a PCA analysis (Figure S10) the adult sporophyte and gametophyte stages clustered together,
presumably reflecting the isomorphy between the two generations. Consequently, the distribution of
stages in the PCA did not follow the progression of the life cycle, as had been observed for
Ectocarpus species 7. However, the first dimension clearly separated male gametes from the other *D.*
dichotoma life cycle stages and the second dimension separated early developmental stages from
adult stages. *D. dichotoma* has flagellated male gametes and non-flagellated egg cells. The latter
were clustered with early sporophyte stages (zygote and 8h embryo), suggesting that the markedly
different gene expression patterns observed in male gametes from the two species compared to
other life cycle stages were related to their being actively swimming, flagellated cells rather than
being a universal characteristic of all brown algal gametes.

Life-cycle-related gene expression in *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* was compared using two
different approaches. The first aimed to determine whether the co-expression patterns of *Ectocarpus*
species 7 genes grouped into modules were mirrored by the *D. dichotoma* orthologues of these
genes. The second involved independently clustering *D. dichotoma* genes into co-expression modules
using life cycle transcriptomic data and then determining whether there were similarities between
the modules established for the two species.

To optimally identify conserved one-to-one orthologues between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D.*
dichotoma, an orthologue analysis was carried out specifically for the two species using Orthofinder
(Emms and Kelly, 2019). This approach identified 8,527 one-to-one orthologous relationships
between the 18,370 *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes and the 20,583 *D. dichotoma* genes (Table S7). This
orthologue dataset was used to compare gene expression patterns in the two species.

***D. dichotoma* orthologues of sets of genes from *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules exhibited conserved**
co-expression for some modules that grouped genes involved in the same cellular process

To determine whether the co-expression patterns of genes in *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules was
conserved in *D. dichotoma,* the *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes in each module were substituted with
their *D. dichotoma* orthologues and various parameters, notably the preservation of the density of

the module and the preservation of the connectivity, were evaluated (Table S8) using the *D.*
dichotoma transcriptomic data for the seven different life cycle stages (Table S1). The density
preservation of a module was measured by evaluating whether the average correlation for the
module was conserved in the second species and whether the module summary profile (module
eigengene) still explained a high proportion of the variance after the genes were substituted with
orthologues from the second species. Connectivity preservation, on the other hand, determined
whether the correlation pattern between the genes was conserved after substitution. Various
density and connectivity statistics based on functions in both the WGCNA R package and the NetRep
R package were aggregated to estimate conservation of gene co-expression for each *Ectocarpus*
species 7 module in *D. dichotoma*.

Generally, the modules with the best conservation statistics in this analysis were those that had been
identified as being highly enriched in *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes with predicted housekeeping
functions (Figure 6A). Thus, the "photosynthesis" ("royalblue"), the "ribosome" ("purple"), the
"cilium and flagellum" ("turquoise") and various translation-associated ("greenyellow", "cyan", and
"magenta") modules all showed a high level of conservation. The expression pattern of the
"darkgreen" module was also considered to be conserved in *D. dichotoma* despite the fact only three
orthologues were detected. The "darkgreen" module consists principally of genes located within the
non-recombining sex-determining region (SDR) of the female (U) and male (V) sex chromosomes
(Ahmed *et al.*, 2014) and is therefore a special case because the co-expression pattern is determined
not only by gene expression but also by gene presence (i.e. presence of the U or V sex chromosome
in gametophytes). The module was considered to be conserved in *D. dichotoma* because the three
orthologues are located in the SDR in both species.

For modules that had good conservation statistics between the two species, *D. dichotoma*
orthologues of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes that were best correlated with the module eigengene
also exhibited a strong correlation with the module eigengene after the *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes
had been replaced with the *D. dichotoma* orthologues (Figure 6B). For example, for the "purple" and
"royalblue" modules (which had good conservation statistics; Figure 6A) in the top part of Figure 6B,
the *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes that were best correlated with the module eigengene are positioned
on the left. In the bottom part of the figure the *D. dichotoma* orthologues of these genes are also
positioned on the left and they also show strong correlation with the module eigengene. This
conservation of correlation with the module eigengene between orthologues was not observed for
modules such as "midnightblue" that had poor conservation statistics between the two species
(Figure 6B).

To summarise, this analysis indicated that the co-expression pattern of the genes in a *Ectocarpus*
species 7 module following replacement with the *D. dichotoma* orthologues was only conserved for a
subset of the modules. The modules that exhibited conservation of co-expression across the two
species in this analysis tended to contain sets of genes that functioned in a basic, evolutionarily-
conserved cellular process. Moreover, conservation of module co-expression patterns across the two
species appeared to be conferred preferentially by orthologues of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes
that showed the best correlation with the module eigengene.

A subset of life-cycle-related gene co-expression modules were conserved between *Ectocarpus*
species 7 and *D. dichotoma*

For the second approach to compare life-cycle-regulated gene expression in *Ectocarpus* species 7 and
D. dichotoma, WGCNA was used to define co-expressed gene modules independently for *D.*
dichotoma using the replicated transcriptomic data for the seven different *D. dichotoma* life cycle
stages (Table S1; Figure S4B). The number of modules defined for *D. dichotoma* was lower than the
number that had been obtained for *Ectocarpus* species 7 (nine compared with 23; Figure S10, Tables

S6, S9, S10), presumably because data was available for fewer life cycle stages and because the *D.*
dichotoma adult sporophyte and gametophyte generations are isomorphic and therefore have more
similar overall gene expression patterns (Figure S10A). As for the *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules,
analysis of enriched GO terms (Figure S11) allowed general biological functions to be manually
assigned to a subset of the modules (five of the nine modules; Figure S10A).

Correlation between modules across the two species was assessed based on the number of shared
one-to-one orthologues in pairwise comparisons. Fisher's exact test was used to determine whether
Ectocarpus species 7 and *D. dichotoma* modules shared a greater number of one-to-one orthologues
than expected from a random distribution. This analysis identified several pairs of life-cycle-related
gene co-expression modules with significantly conserved gene contents between the two species but
not all modules were conserved (Figure 6C). As in the first analysis described above, conservation
tended to be strongest for *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules that had been manually assigned general
functional annotations and which had registered the highest values for module preservation statistics
in the first analysis (Figure 6A).

"Purple" was one of the most strongly conserved *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules: 131 of its 284 one-
to-one orthologues were shared with the *D. dichotoma* "blue" module (Figure 6C), with most of the
shared orthologues predicted to encode ribosomal proteins. However, the *D. dichotoma* "blue"
module exhibited a marked downregulation in male gametes, which was not observed for the
Ectocarpus species 7 "purple" module. In contrast, the *Ectocarpus* species 7 "turquoise" module,
shared 371 (of a total of 1155) one-to-one orthologues with the *D. dichotoma* "green" module,
principally with predicted cilium- and flagellum-related functions (Figure 6C,D), and these two
modules also exhibited similar life-cycle-related expression patterns, in particular high levels of
expression in male gametes. Therefore, both the gene content and the expression patterns of these
two modules appear to have been conserved over evolution. Most of the one-to-one orthologues in
the translation-related *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules "cyan", "greenyellow" and "magenta"
corresponded with genes in the *D. dichotoma* "turquoise" module, consistent with the poorer
resolution of the *D. dichotoma* modules (Figure 6C). Nonetheless, all of these modules exhibited a
lower level of gene expression in male gametes, indicating conservation of their expression patterns
over evolutionary time.

Taken together, the comparison between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* indicated that there
has been partial conservation of life-cycle-related gene expression patterns between the two species,
in particular for modules corresponding to clearly-identifiable cellular functions. Moreover, some
modules exhibited conservation not only in terms of gene (orthologue) content but also exhibited
similar expression patterns over the course of the life cycle, proving further support for the (partial)
conservation of life-cycle-related gene expression patterns over time.

The above analyses provided no evidence that transcription-related modules were conserved,
overall, between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* but a more detailed analysis was carried out
to determine whether life-cycle-related expression patterns might have been conserved for
individual transcription factor genes. For this, 214 transcription factors with one-to-one orthologues
in the two species were selected and their expression patterns (normalized expression z-scores)
analysed across six life cycle stages that were approximately equivalent between the two species
(gamete, zygote, early sporophyte, adult sporophyte, adult male gametophyte and adult female
gametophyte; Figure S12, Table S1). High Pearson correlation coefficients were obtained for a subset
of the transcription factors but none of the correlations were significant at $p = 0.05$ after correction
for multiple testing (Table S11). However, the applied correction was stringent because a large
number of orthologous genes were considered so the most strongly correlated transcription factors
may nonetheless merit further study in the future. We noted, for example, that all three members of
the TALE homeodomain transcription factor family, which includes the life cycle regulators *ORO* and

SAM (Arun *et al.*, 2019), were among the genes that exhibited high Pearson correlation coefficients in
this analysis (Table S11, Figure 6E).
520
521   **Discussion**
522
523   **Subsets of genes with related functions are co-expressed during the life cycle**
524
525   This study analysed replicated RNA-seq samples for ten different stages of the *Ectocarpus* species 7
526   life cycle to provide an overview of life-cycle-related gene expression in this species. Clustering of
527   genes into co-expression modules indicated that subsets of the genes associated with several cellular
528   processes, including transcription, protein synthesis, flagella biosynthesis/function and
529   photosynthesis, are co-ordinately regulated during the life cycle.
530
The coordinated expression patterns of the genes in these modules implies coordinated regulation of
transcription and/or mRNA stability over the course of the life cycle. The regulatory systems that
mediate this coordinated expression are currently unknown for brown algae but information about
the mechanisms that co-ordinately regulate these processes in other eukaryote lineages can provide
some indications of possible regulators. For example, in *Ectocarpus* species 7, four different modules
were enriched in translation-related genes. In other eukaryotic lineages, a large proportion of
ribosomal protein genes are regulated by the mTOR/PKA pathway (Martin *et al.*, 2004; Ni and
Buszczak, 2023). Interestingly, genes predicted to encode cytosolic and organellar ribosomal proteins
were regulated differently in *Ectocarpus* species 7. Note also that this conservation of expression
patterns provides additional support for the transfer of the *Arabidopsis* ribosomal protein gene
nomenclature (Scarpin *et al.*, 2023) to *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes
(https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/EctsiV2) based on sequence similarity.
543
In land plants, photosynthesis-related genes have been shown to be regulated by both light and
developmental cues and the regulatory pathways have been characterised. Phytochrome signalling
counteracts negative regulation of photosynthesis genes by transcription factors such as
PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR (PIF), PIF-LIKE (PIL) and GOLDEN2-LIKE1 (GLK1), which act
downstream of various developmentally regulated pathways (Waters *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2017).
However, orthologues of these transcription factors have not been found in brown algae and,
consequently, coordinated regulation of photosynthetic genes in brown algae, as was observed here
for the "royalblue" module, presumably involves a different set of actors.
552
As far as flagella- and cilium-related genes are concerned, these organelles are widespread in
eukaryotes and the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA) probably possessed cilium-related
structures (Carvalho-Santos *et al.*, 2011). In Metazoans, two transcription factor families, FOXJ1 and
RFX, control the formation of core components of all types of cilia (Choksi *et al.*, 2014). However,
although *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* also possesses an *RFX* gene, this family of transcription factors
has only been shown to regulate ciliogenesis in metazoans and is not found outside the opisthokonts
(Chu *et al.*, 2010). In *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, ciliogenesis is under the control of XAP5 (Li *et al.*,
2018), a transcription factor that is widely distributed across eukaryotic lineages. Neither *FOXJ1* nor
RFX have been found in brown algal genomes, but *Ectocarpus* species 7 does possess an *XAP5*
homologue (LocusID Ec-05_002220). This gene therefore represents a possible candidate for future
analysis of the regulation of this pathway.
564
These examples illustrate how candidate regulatory genes may be identifiable for cellular processes
that are highly conserved across eukaryotes but candidates are more difficult to identify for modules
that group brown-algal-specific genes of unknown function or functions such as the PL41 and GT23
gene families that do not have equivalents in other eukaryotic lineages. In some instances, regulatory
genes may show similar expression patterns to the genes they regulate as a result of auto-regulation,

and therefore be included within a co-expression module, but this will not be the case for all
regulators.
572
573 **Identification of marked transcriptome changes during early sporophyte development**
574
Analysis of RNA-seq data for multiple developmental stages of *Ectocarpus* species 7 provided a broad
overview of gene expression throughout the life cycle, with a particular focus on the early stages of
sporophyte development. Progression through the life cycle was correlated with concomitant,
progressive changes in the composition of the transcriptome (Figure 3A) and a particularly marked
change in composition occurred at the transition from the gamete stage to the initial stage of
sporophyte development. Most of the gene co-expression modules identified in this study exhibited
changes in transcript abundance at this transition and more than half of the genes in the genome
were differentially expressed between the two stages.
583
Periods of large-scale changes in transcriptome composition have been observed during early
development of both animals and land plants (Xue *et al.*, 2013; Anderson *et al.*, 2017; Vastenhouw *et*
al., 2019; Zhao *et al.*, 2019), where they tend to coincide with the maternal-to-zygotic transition
(MZT). The MZT corresponds to the switch from reliance on maternal transcripts supplied to the
transcriptionally-inactive egg cell to mRNAs produced by transcription of the zygote genome (Tadros
and Lipshitz, 2009; Vastenhouw *et al.*, 2019). During the MZT, induction of the expression of genes in
the zygote genome, which is referred to as zygotic gene activation (ZGA), coincides with a phase of
degradation of maternal transcripts in both animals and land plants. The exact timing of MZT varies
across species, occurring rapidly in land plants, only hours after zygote formation (Anderson *et al.*,
2017; Chen *et al.*, 2017; Zhao *et al.*, 2019), but considerably later in animals, for example occurring
three days after fertilisation in 8-cell human embryos (Xue *et al.*, 2013). However, even in animals, 1-
cell stage embryos exhibit a distinct transcriptome pattern (Xue *et al.*, 2013).
596
Ectocarpus is not oogamous and both male and female gametes are small, flagellated cells that swim
actively for a significant part of their lifetime. It therefore seems likely that these cells are already
transcriptionally active during the gamete stage, in which case they would not rely solely on
maternally-produced transcripts (although this has not yet been investigated experimentally).
Consequently, it is not clear whether there is a specific phase of degradation of maternal transcripts
in gametes equivalent to the phases of maternal transcript degradation that have been observed at
the MZT in land plants and animals. However, the marked change in transcriptome composition
during early development is a feature that is shared with animals and land plants, underlining the
broad importance of large-scale transcriptional changes during early development.
606
In terms of functional categories, fewer genes involved in flagellar function or transcription were
expressed after the transition from the gamete stage to the initial stage of sporophyte development.
Downregulation of flagellar genes is expected for this transition from a flagellated to a non-
flagellated cell. The reduction in the number of expressed transcription factor genes is more
surprising but it is likely that the nature of the transcription factor genes expressed or repressed at
this stage is more important than the overall number of transcription factor genes expressed. Trends
in transcription factor gene up- and down-regulation are not consistent across species, with a
decrease in the number of different transcription factor gene transcripts observed in *Arabidopsis*
(Zhao *et al.*, 2019) but an increase in rice (Anderson *et al.*, 2017), maize (Chen *et al.*, 2017) and
human (Xue *et al.*, 2013), coinciding with the MZT. Moreover, in rice and maize, specific loci that play
key roles during embryogenesis such as members of the BABYBOOM and WUSCHEL gene families are
upregulated at the MZT/ZGA, supporting the idea that the identity of the specific transcription factor
genes expressed is more important than the overall numbers (Anderson *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*,
2017). Note that *Ectocarpus* has AP2 domain and homeodomain proteins but no close homologues of

the BABYBOOM and WUSCHEL families so it is likely that different transcriptional regulators are
involved in regulating development at the equivalent stage in brown algae.
623
Analysis of modules that exhibited overall gene upregulation between the gamete and early
sporophyte stages identified translation as a general function that was upregulated during this
transition. However, the upregulation of these genes does not appear to have corresponded to *de*
novo induction of translation because a subset of translation-related genes was already expressed at
the gamete stage. The overall gene expression pattern is more indicative of a step increase in
translation capacity or complexity in the early sporophyte compared to the gamete.
630
631 **Conservation of life-cycle-related gene expression patterns across brown algal species**
632
Comparison of generation-biased gene expression between adult gametophyte and sporophyte
stages across ten brown algal species identified a strong positive correlation between the degree of
dimorphism between generations and the number of GBGs identified per species, indicating that the
majority of GBG expression is related directly or indirectly to thallus morphology, at least for the
adult stages analysed. Orthologue analysis indicated only weak overlap between GBG sets from
different species, supporting an earlier conclusion that there is rapid turnover of GBG sets in brown
algae (Lipinska *et al.*, 2019). Interestingly, however, analysis of GO enrichment in GBG sets indicated
that several broad functional categories were conserved across gametophyte-biased gene sets,
whereas different broad functional categories were conserved across sporophyte-biased gene sets
(Figure 2). This observation suggests that the two generations have conserved life-cycle-related
functional characteristics that are independent of morphological complexity or the degree of
dimorphism between generations.
645
The analysis of whole adult individuals to study GBG expression therefore identified some interesting
conserved life-cycle-related features but the resolution of this approach is limited because only one
sporophyte stage and one gametophyte stage was analysed per species and because, at the adult
stage, the data represent an average view of the transcriptomes of multiple different cell types. The
comparative analysis of multiple stages of early sporophyte development in *Ectocarpus* species 7 and
D. dichotoma allowed a more detailed analysis of developmentally regulated gene expression and,
notably, showed that there was considerable conservation of co-expressed gene modules between
two species, particularly for modules that were enriched in genes involved in evolutionarily-
conserved functional categories. Moreover, when the known life cycle regulatory genes *SAM* was
analysed, there was clear evidence of conservation of its expression patterns across the two species.
These results underline the importance of carrying out detailed transcriptomic analyses to identify
and investigate conserved life cycle regulatory pathways.
658
659 **Materials and Methods**
660
661 **Life cycle stages and variants on the sexual cycle**
662
Both *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* have haploid-diploid life cycles that involve alternation
between a sporophyte and a gametophyte generation (Müller, 1967; van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995).
During the sexual life cycle, the diploid sporophyte generation produces haploid meio-spores through
meiosis. These spores germinate into haploid gametophytes that can be male or female (dioicy).
Male and female gametes, produced, respectively, by male and female gametophytes, fuse to
produce a diploid zygote, which, in turn, develops into the next sporophyte generation. The zoids
(gametes and spores) are the only flagellated stages of the life cycle. In *Ectocarpus* species 7, the
germinating zygote undergoes a developmentally symmetrical first division to produce a basal
filament, which then branches to establish a basal filament system consisting of round and elongated
cells. Once this basal system is established, upright filaments consisting of cylindrical cells grow up

into the medium and these filaments bear the sexual structures, plurilocular sporangia and unilocular
sporangia (Peters *et al.*, 2008). *Ectocarpus* species 7 also exhibits variations on this basic sexual life
cycle, at least in culture (Müller, 1967). For example, parthenogenesis of unfertilised gametes gives
rise to haploid partheno-sporophytes. A few hours after release from the plurilocular sporangia,
unfertilized free-swimming male gametes attach to the substrate, lose their flagella and acquire a
round shape. Upon attachment they start to develop a cell wall. After about two days, the initial cell
elongates and divides, producing the first cells of the sporophytic basal filaments. All but one of the
sporophyte stages analysed in this study corresponded to partheno-sporophyte samples. Partheno-
sporophytes exhibit identical morphology and reproductive functionality to diploid sporophytes and
pure samples of early-stage diploid sporophytes are difficult to obtain because of a high level of
gamete parthenogenesis in genetic crosses (Coelho *et al.*, 2012a).
684
685 **Publicly available RNA-seq datasets**
686
RNA-seq data for the sporophyte and gametophyte generations of the following ten species was
recovered from the Phaeoexplorer website (https://phaeoexplorer.sb-roscoff.fr/) or from public
databases: *Macrocystis pyrifera, Saccharina latissima, Saccharina japonica, Saccorhiza polyschides,
Myriotrichia claveaformis, Pylaiella littoralis, D. dichotoma, Sphacelaria rigidula, Ectocarpus* species 7
and *Scytosiphon promiscuus*. In addition, RNA-seq data was collected for multiple stages of the *D.
dichotoma* and *Ectocarpus* species 7 life cycles. All the accession numbers for these data are listed in
Table S1.
694
695 **Comparisons of orthologues across multiple brown algal species**
696
To compare orthologues across the ten brown algal species analysed for generation-biased gene
expression an orthogroup analysis was carried out using Orthofinder (Emms and Kelly, 2019) version
2.5.2. Strict selection of only one-to one orthologues did not identify sufficient orthologues (1,038),
principally due to gene model fragmentation in the lower quality genome assemblies. A more relaxed
selection approach was therefore used, taking into account genome assembly quality. This relaxed
approach, which required exactly one orthologue from the best quality assemblies (*D. dichotoma,
Ectocarpus* species 7, *P. littoralis, S. latissima, S. promiscuus* and *S. rigidula*), between zero and two
orthologues for *M. pyrifera*, zero or one orthologue for *S. japonica*, and any number of orthologues
for *S. polyschides* and *M. claveaformis*, identified 9,317 orthogroups (Table S3). The assumption
underlying this approach was that the multiple gene models in the poor-quality genomes
corresponded to fragments of a single gene but note that we cannot rule out the possibility that a
minority of these groups of genes corresponded to tandem gene duplications. To calculate
generation bias when a species possessed more than one gene model in a particular orthogroup,
each model was given a score of +1, -1 or 0 if the expression data indicated that they were
sporophyte-biased/specific, gametophyte-biased/specific or neither, respectively. The mean of these
values was then calculated and the orthologue was classed as sporophyte-biased, gametophyte-
biased or unbiased if the value was >0, <0 or 0, respectively.
714
715 **Algal strains and culture conditions**
716
Ectocarpus species 7 strain Ec32 gametophytes were grown in sterile Provasoli-enriched (0.5x)
natural sea water at 13°C under 12h:12h day:night conditions until they reached maturity.
Synchronous gamete release was induced by removing excess seawater and incubating in the dark
and in the cold for 1 h. Sterile Provasoli-enriched (0.5x) natural sea water (Starr and Zeikus, 1993;
Coelho *et al.*, 2012b) was then added and the gametophytes incubated for 30 min to allow gamete
release. The medium was filtered through 1 µm cell strainer (pluriSelect, Leipzig, Germany) to
eliminate any contaminating gametophytic fragments. The gamete suspension was then diluted to a
concentration of 1.5 million cells per mL (A_{450nm} = 0.01) to produce a density of 300 cells per mm² on

plastic 150 cm Petri dishes after gamete settlement. After gamete release, the Petri dishes were
incubated at 13°C under 12h:12h day:night conditions at a light intensity of 40 µmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1} for 24 or
48 h. Cultures were sampled in the late afternoon to ensure homogeneity with regard to circadian
rhythms. Sampling was carried out by scraping the bottom of the culture plate with a cell scraper
(Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) after the seawater medium had been removed. The cell suspension
was centrifuged at 14000 g for 15 min and the pellet flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.
731
732 **RNA extraction and RNA-seq sequencing and mapping**
733
RNA was extracted using the Macherey Nagel Nucleospin Plant and Fungi kit (Hoerdt, France). Frozen
pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (500 µL of PFL buffer, 40 µL of PFR buffer and 50 µL of 1 M
DTT per sample) and the cells were lysed by three 30-second treatments at 7800 rpm in 2 mL "tough
micro-organism" lysis tubes (VK05) in a Precellys Evolution homogeniser (Bertin Technologies,
Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France), followed by a 5 min incubation at 56°C. RNA extraction followed
the manufacturer's instructions except that a DNA digestion step was added after the first wash
(digestion on the column with 5 µL of Qiagen DNAse I in 75 µL RDD buffer for 20 s before a second
wash with PFW I buffer). The PFW II buffer wash step was carried out three times. RNA was eluted in
50 µL of RNAse-free H$_2$O. RNA-seq libraries were constructed after oligo-dT selection and sequenced
by Genewiz (Leipzig, Germany) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000, using a standard unstranded paired-
end protocol to produce a minimum of 50 million reads per condition. Data quality was assessed with
FastQC (Andrews, 2016) version 0.11.0 or 0.11.9 and reads were trimmed with Trim Galore (Krueger,
2015) version 0.6.5 with parameters --illumina --length 50 --quality 24 --stringency 6 --max_n 3.
Genomes were indexed and data was mapped onto the v2 version of the *Ectocarpus* species 7
reference genome (Sterck *et al.*, 2012; Cormier *et al.*, 2017) using HISAT2 (Kim *et al.*, 2019) version
2.1.0 with default parameters (the parameter --rna-strandedness was specified according to the
information in Table S1). The output was converted to bam format and ordered and indexed using
the SAMtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2021) version 1.10 functions order and index. Quantification of
transcript abundance was carried out using the featureCounts function (Liao *et al.*, 2014) from the
Subread package version 2.0.1 on CDS features grouped by Parent or LocusID.
754
755 **Identification of differentially expressed genes**
756
Differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq2 (Love *et al.*, 2014) version 1.34.0 in R
version 4.1.2. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed if they had an adjusted
(Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate) *p*-value of <0.05 and an absolute log$_2$(FoldChange) greater
than 1. Breakpoints in gene expression trends were analysed using the Trendy R package (Bacher *et*
al., 2018) version 1.16.0 with the functions trendy (maxK = 3, pvalCut = 0.05) and topTrendy
(adjR2Cut = 0.5). DESeq2 version 1.34.0 was also used to calculate normalised counts
(NormalisedCounts) using the median of ratios method. Transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) values
were calculated from counts of mapped reads using an in-house custom script. Based on the
differential expression analyses and the TPM values, genes were classified into six categories:
gametophyte-biased (mean gametophyte and mean sporophyte TPM values both ⊠1, log2(fold
change) ⊠1, adjusted *p*-value <0.05), sporophyte-biased (mean gametophyte and mean sporophyte
TPM values both ⊠1, log2(fold change) ⊠-1, adjusted *p*-value <0.05), gametophyte-specific (mean
TPM < 1 for the sporophyte and ⊠1 for the gametophyte, log2(fold change) ⊠1, adjusted *p*-value
<0.05), sporophyte-specific (mean TPM <1 for the gametophyte and ⊠1 for the sporophyte, log2(fold
change) ⊠-1, adjusted *p*-value <0.05), unbiased genes (mean gametophyte and mean sporophyte
TPM values ⊠ 1, log2(fold change) <1 or >-1 and/or adjusted *p*-value ⊠ 0.05) and unexpressed genes
(mean gametophyte and mean sporophyte TPM values both <1).
774
775 **Gene ontology and prediction of subcellular localisation**
776

777 Gene ontology term annotations were extracted from the Interproscan annotation files generated by
778 the Phaeoexplorer consortium (https://phaeoexplorer.sb-roscoff.fr/downloads/; (Denoeud *et al.*,
779 2024). The subcellular localisation of proteins was predicted by identifying amino-terminal targeting
780 peptides using HECTAR version 1.3 (Gschloessl *et al.*, 2008).
781
782 **Calculation of breadth of expression and Jaccard indices**
783
784 Breadth of expression (tau) was calculated using a custom script in R based on the following formula:
785 $$\tau = (\Sigma(1 - \hat{x}_i))/(n-1)$$
786 where $\hat{x}_i$ is the expression level of gene i normalized by the maximum expression value across all
787 conditions (mean $\log_2$(NormalisedCounts +1)), and n is the number of conditions.
788
789 Jaccard indices were calculated and plotted using vegan version 2.6.8 (https://cran.r-
790 project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html).
791
792 **Enrichment analysis**
793
794 Enrichment of co-expression modules in differentially-expressed genes, predicted subcellular
795 localisations or gene ontology terms were computed using the enricher function from the R package
796 clusterProfiler (Yu *et al.*, 2012) version 4.2.2. *p*-values were adjusted for multiple testing based on
797 the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate.
798
799 **Identification of modules of co-expressed genes**
800
For both the *Ectocarpus* species 7 and the *D. dichotoma* datasets, raw counts were normalised using
the estimateSizeFactors and counts (with option normalized = TRUE) functions from the R package
DESeq2 (Love *et al.*, 2014) version 1.34.0. Genes with a normalised count below 45 in all the samples
analysed for the relevant species were removed. The normalised counts matrix was then
transformed with the log1p (=$\log_2$(x+1)) R function. To fit a scale-free topology model, the soft-
thresholding power was selected using the pickSoftThreshold function, and gene modules were built
using the blockwiseModules function from the WGCNA R package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008)
version 1.71. For *Ectocarpus* species 7, this function was run with options power = 16, maxBlockSize =
16100 (which yields a topological matrix in one block), minModuleSize = 30, reassignThreshold = 0,
mergeCutHeight = 0.2, pamRespectsDendro = FALSE, randomSeed = T, corType = "Pearson". For *D.*
dichotoma, the function was run with power = 18, maxBlockSize = 16000 (which yields a topological
matrix in one block), minModuleSize = 30, reassignThreshold = 0, mergeCutHeight = 0.25,
pamRespectsDendro = FALSE, randomSeed = T, corType = "Pearson". Complete module annotation
and module membership (MM) scores (or kME, representing the correlation between the gene and
the module eigengene) can be found, together with associated *p*-values, in Supplementary Tables S6
and S8. *D. dichotoma* gene module descriptions are in Figure S10B.
817
818 **Conservation of gene co-expression modules between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma***
819
An orthologue analysis was carried out specifically for *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* using
Orthofinder (Emms and Kelly, 2019) version 2.5.2 to optimise the identification of one-to-one
orthologues for these two species (Table S7). The one-to-one orthologue set generated by this
analysis was then used to evaluate module conservation across the two species. The WGCNA
package modulePreservation function (networkType = "signed", nPermutations = 20,
maxGoldModuleSize = 1000, maxModuleSize = 2500) and the NetRep package (Ritchie *et al.*, 2016)
version 1.2.7 modulePreservation function (nPerm=10000, on the WGCNA-computed correlation and
TOM matrices) were used to compute module preservation statistics. In addition, the silhouette
coefficient for modules was computed using the silhouette_SimilarityMatrix function from the

CancerSubtypes R package (Xu *et al.*, 2017) version 1.20.0 on the correlation matrix. All values can be
found in Table S8. The gene correlation heatmap (Figure 6B) was produced using the NetRep (Ritchie
et al., 2016) version 1.2.7 plotCorrelation function. The gold module was a random sample of 1,000
Ectocarpus species 7 genes that provided a control distribution of gene expression patterns for
comparisons with *D. dichotoma* modules. Cross-species module comparisons were visualized as a
contingency table (Figure 6C) constructed by interpolating orthologous gene counts between the
Ectocarpus species 7 and *D. dichotoma* datasets. For each pair of modules, a list of orthologues
present in at least one of the two modules was established and a Fisher's exact test was performed
on Boolean vectors indicating whether each gene was present in each of the two modules to assess
the statistical association between module assignment in both species. The objective was therefore
to determine whether each pair of *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* modules shared a greater
number of one-to-one orthologues than expected from a random distribution. Fisher's exact test was
applied using the fisher.test function from the R stats package (version 4.1.2). Cells in the
contingency table were colour-coded according to -$\log_{10}$(*p*-value).

The contingency table (Figure 6C) was constructed by interpolating gene counts between the
Ectocarpus species 7 and *D. dichotoma* datasets and using the fisher.test function (alternative =
"greater") from the R stats package (version 4.1.2).

Detection of conservation of gene expression patterns between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D.*
dichotoma

To assess gene expression pattern preservation between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma,* a z-
score (mean for one condition − mean across all conditions) / standard deviation) was computed
from the $\log_2$(NormalisedCounts+1) values for each of the six common timepoints between the
Ectocarpus species 7 and *D. dichotoma* datasets (Table S1). A Pearson correlation coefficient was
then calculated using the R stats package (version 4.1.2) cor.test function (alternative = "greater",
method = "pearson").

Manual reannotation of ribosomal protein genes and three-dimensional representation of
ribosomal proteins

Ectocarpus species 7 ribosomal proteins were manually annotated using the recent *Arabidopsis*
thaliana nomenclature (Scarpin *et al.*, 2023) as a reference (Table S12). *Ectocarpus* species 7
ribosomal proteins were compared with the *A. thaliana* ribosomal protein dataset using BLASTp and
the annotations transferred based on the best BLASTp match. To visualise differentially expression in
relation to the structure of the ribosome, *Ectocarpus* species 7 ribosomal proteins were mapped
onto the *Triticum aestivum* ribosome structure (Protein data bank model 4V7E; (Gogala *et al.*, 2014)
and the mapping was visualised using UCSF ChimeraX version 1.9 (Meng *et al.*, 2023).

General data treatment and figure preparation tools

Several R modules were used at multiple stages of the study. These included rtracklayer (Lawrence *et*
al., 2009) version 1.52.1, Tidyverse (Wickham *et al.*, 2019) version 2.0.0, and sjmisc (Lüdecke, 2018)
version 2.8.9, which were used to handle and analyse data, and ggplot2 (Wickham *et al.*, 2016)
version 3.4.2 and Pheatmap (Kolde, 2019) version 1.0.12, which were used to generate figures.

Data availability

All the new sequence data generated by this study have been deposited in the European
Bioinformatics Institute/European Nucleotide Archive (EBI/ENA) database and are publicly available
(see Table S1 for details).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Conceptualization: P.R., O.G., J.M.C.; Formal analysis: P.R., O.G.; Investigation: P.R., O.G.; Resources: B.N., J.-M.A; Data Curation: P.R., O.G.; Writing - Original Draft: P.R.; Writing - Review & Editing: all authors; Visualization: P.R., O.G.; Supervision: O.G., J.M.C.; Project administration: J.M.C.; Funding acquisition: : J.M.C.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

Funding

This work was supported by the ANR project Epicycle (ANR-19-CE20-0028-01), the France Génomique National infrastructure project Phaeoexplorer (ANR-10-INBS-09), the CNRS and Sorbonne University. PR acknowledges support from the PhD funding program of École Normale Supérieure de Lyon.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Julia Morales for helpful discussions about ribosomal proteins, Laurence Dartevelle and Elodie Rolland for help with algal cultures, Delphine Scornet, Masakazu Hoshino, Inka Bartsch, Akira F. Peters, Samuel Boscq, Shannon DeVanney for providing seaweed photographs. We are grateful to the Roscoff Bioinformatics platform ABiMS (http://abims.sb-roscoff.fr), which is part of the Institut Français de Bioinformatique (ANR-11-INBS-0013) and BioGenouest network, for providing both help and computing and storage resources.

Figures

Figure 1. Generation-biased gene expression across the brown algae
A. Cladogram of the brown algae showing the ten species for which generation-biased gene expression was compared. Adapted from (Cock *et al.*, 2014). **B.** Generation-biased gene expression in relation to life cycle dimorphism. Pie diagrams indicating the proportions of sporophyte-specific (dark red), sporophyte-biased (light red), gametophyte-specific (dark blue), gametophyte-biased (light blue) and unbiased (grey) genes in species with different haploid-diploid life cycles ranging from sporophyte-dominant through isomorphic to gametophyte-dominant (indicated by the red and blue wedges). sp., species. **C.** Overlaps (Jaccard index) between sets of gametophyte-biased/specific and sporophyte-biased/specific genes across the ten species.

Figure 2. Biological function gene ontology term enrichment in the generation-biased/specific gene sets of the ten species
Conserved general functional categories are indicated by coloured boxes. padj, *p*-value adjusted for multiple testing based on the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate.; GA, gametophyte; SP, sporophyte.

Figure 3. Gene expression patterns during the *Ectocarpus* species 7 life cycle
A. Principal component analysis of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 gene expression across ten life cycle stages. The numbers in circles refer to the differential gene expression analyses illustrated in C and D. Most of the stages analysed consisted entirely of non-flagellated cells with the exception of the gamete stage and mature sporophyte and gametophyte stages, which bear flagellated spores and

gametes, respectively, in sporangia and gametangia, respectively. **B.** Percentage changes in gene
expression (regression breakpoints) during *Ectocarpus* species 7 sporophyte development (from left
to right, transitions between free-swimming male gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24 hours after
gamete release), elongating sporophyte initial cell (48 hours after gamete release), sporophyte 2-5
cell stage, non-fertile adult sporophyte and fertile sporophyte). **C.** Proportions of differentially
expressed genes in the transitions between 1) free-swimming male gamete and sporophyte initial
cell stage, and 2) adult sporophyte and gametophyte stages, determined using DESeq2 (Table S1). **D.**
Density distribution of the $|\log_2(\text{FoldChange})|$ for upregulated and downregulated genes in the
differential gene expression analysis described in C. Dotted lines indicate mean values.
942
Figure 4. Characterisation of gene co-expression modules in *Ectocarpus* species 7
A. Functional categories manually assigned to modules based on Gene Ontology term enrichment
analysis of each module. **B.** Enrichments in sub-cellular localisations based on HECTAR predictions:
mitochondria (small ellipses), plastid (tube shape with thylakoids) and signal peptide/anchor peptide
for secreted proteins. Note that HECTAR does not predict nuclear localisation. **C.** Proportion of
differentially expressed genes (DESeq2 adjusted *p*-value < 0.05 and |log2FoldChange| > 1) in each
gene module in the transitions between 1) free-swimming male gametes and sporophyte initial cell
stage, and 2) adult sporophyte and gametophyte (Table S1). Significant enrichment (indicated by a
darker shade of green or orange) means that the proportion of differentially expressed genes in the
module was significantly greater (ClusterProfiler adjusted *p*-value < 0.05) than the proportion for the
entire genome. **D.** Average module gene expression profile computed on genes with a WGCNA
module MM > 0.86 for, from left to right: free-swimming male gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24
hours after gamete release), elongating sporophyte initial cell (48 hours after gamete release),
sporophyte 2-5 cell stage, non-fertile adult sporophyte, fertile sporophyte, non-fertile female and
male gametophytes, fertile female and male gametophytes developmental stages. **E.** Number of
genes in the module positively or negatively correlated with the module eigengene. **F.** Module
eigengene dendrogram showing the relationship between module eigengenes.
960
Figure 5. Expression of translation-related genes during *Ectocarpus* species 7 development
A. Mean expression profile computed for genes with a WGCNA module MM > 0.86 for (from left to
right): free-swimming male gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24 hours after gamete release),
elongating sporophyte initial cell (48 hours after gamete release), sporophyte 2-5 cell stage, non-
fertile adult sporophyte, fertile sporophyte, non-fertile female and male gametophyte, fertile female
and male gametophyte developmental stages in modules "purple", "cyan", "greenyellow",
"magenta". **B and C.** Heatmaps showing $\log_2(\text{NormalisedCounts}+1)$ values across the same
developmental timepoints as in **A** for manually reannotated ribosomal protein genes corresponding
to cytosolic, chloroplast and mitochondrial ribosomal subunits (**B**) and translation-related genes (**C**).
Left annotation track: differential expression analysis results for the transitions between 1) free-
swimming male gamete and sporophyte initial cell stage, and 2) adult sporophyte and adult
gametophyte; right annotation track: WGCNA module colours. **D.** Schematic representation of
translation-related functions enriched in the "greenyellow", "purple", "magenta", "cyan" modules.
When it was possible to manually assign a general function to a module, the annotation is indicated
in brackets after the module name. **E.** Gene ontology terms significantly enriched in the sets of genes
clustered within the "purple", "greenyellow", "cyan", and "magenta" modules in *Ectocarpus* species
7. Enrichment is indicated as log2 of the ratio of the proportion of genes assigned to the GO term in
the module divided by the proportion for the whole genome. CC, cellular component; BP, biological
process; MF, molecular function.
980
Figure 6. Conservation of life-cycle-related gene co-expression patterns between *Ectocarpus*
species 7 and *D. dichotoma*
A. WGCNA density and connectivity median rank statistics indicating the degree of conservation of
gene co-expression patterns when of *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes in *Ectocarpus* species 7 co-

expression modules were substituted with their *D. dichotoma* orthologues. Manually-assigned
general biological functions are indicated in boxes. SDR, sex-determining region. Module size
indicates the number of one-to-one orthologues in each module. The modules "darkred" and
"darkturquoise" were not included in this analysis because they had only one and zero orthologues,
respectively (Table S6). TF, transcription factor; SDR, sex-determining region. **B.** Correlation heatmap
comparing three *Ectocarpus* species 7 modules between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*:
"midnightblue" (very poor conservation), "purple", and "royalblue" (good conservation). The lower
half of the heatmap was calculated based on the expression pattern of the *D. dichotoma* orthologue
of each *Ectocarpus* species 7 gene in each module. **C.** Counts of shared one-to-one orthologues
between gene co-expression modules defined for *Ectocarpus* species 7 (x-axis) and *D. dichotoma* (y-
axis). The colour code represents the $\log_{10}(p$-value) for Fisher's exact test (red bar), which was
applied to determine whether pairs of modules shared a greater number of one-to-one orthologues
than expected from a random distribution. Numbers after the module names indicate the number of
one-to-one orthologues in each module. The "darkturquoise" module was not included in this
analysis because it contained zero orthologues (Table S6) **D.** Heatmap showing the expression levels
($\log_2$(NormalisedCounts+1)) of 20 selected one-to-one orthologous flagellum-related genes in
Ectocarpus species 7 and *D. dichotoma*. *Ectocarpus* species 7 timepoints: free-swimming male
gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24 hours after gamete release), elongating sporophyte initial cell (48
hours after gamete release), sporophyte 2-5 cell stage, non-fertile adult sporophyte, fertile
sporophyte, non-fertile female and male gametophytes, fertile female and male gametophytes. *D.*
dichotoma timepoints: sperm, egg cell, zygote, embryo, adult non-fertile sporophytes, and female
and male gametophytes. **E.** Computed z-score based on $\log_2$(NormalisedCounts+1) values for three
TALE homeodomain transcription factors over six developmental stages shared between *Ectocarpus*
species 7 and *D. dichotoma*, namely sperm, zygote, early sporophyte, non-fertile sporophyte, female
and male gametophyte. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the two expression datasets
(cor) and the associated *p*-value are indicated.

1011
1012 **Supplementary Data**
1013
Figure S1. Schematic life cycles and photographs of the sporophyte and gametophyte generations
of brown algal species analysed in this study illustrating the different degrees of generational
dimorphism.
Size bars indicate the approximate sizes of each generation of each life cycle, providing an indication
of the degree of dimorphism between the two generations. The life cycle and the morphology and
size of the sporophyte and gametophyte generations of *Saccharina japonica* (not shown) are highly
similar to those of *Saccharina latissima*. Photograph credits: *M. pyrifera* sporophyte, Shannon
DeVanney; *S. latissima*, Samuel Boscq (Roscoff Biological Station); *M. clavaeformis*, Akira F. Peters
(Bezhin Rosco); *S. promiscuus* sporophyte, Masakazu Hoshino (Kobe University); *P. littoralis*
gametophyte, Inka Bartsch (Alfred Wegener Institut) and Delphine Scornet (Roscoff Biological
Station); *P. littoralis* sporophyte, Alfred Wegener Institut and Delphine Scornet (Roscoff Biological
Station); *S. polyschides* gametophyte, *M. pyrifera* gametophyte, *E. siliculosus*, Delphine Scornet
(Roscoff Biological Station).
1027
1028 **Figure S2. Light microscopy images of *Ectocarpus* species 7 initial cell and elongating initial cell**
1029 **A.** *Ectocarpus* species 7 strain Ec32 initial cell (settled male Ec32 gamete, 24 h after release). **B.**
1030 *Ectocarpus* species 7 strain Ec32 elongating initial cell (settled male gamete, 48 h after release).
1031 Inverted Leica microscope, magnification: x200, scale bar: 100 µm.
1032
1033 **Figure S3. Breadth of expression (tau) of genes that were differentially expressed, or not, across**
1034 **the transition from gamete to sporophyte initial cell.**
1035 Significantly downregulated and upregulated genes were identified using DESeq2. Dotted lines
1036 indicate mean tau values.

1037

Figure S4. Hierarchical cluster trees showing co-expression modules identified using WGCNA.
A. *Ectocarpus* species 7. **B.** *D. dichotoma.* Grouped branches correspond to modules, which are indicated by coloured boxes underneath the tree.

Figure S5. Enriched GO terms in *Ectocarpus* species 7 gene modules
Statistically-significant enriched GO terms in *Ectocarpus* species 7 gene modules. Enrichment is indicated as log2 of the ratio of the proportion of genes assigned to the GO term in the module divided by the proportion for the whole genome. When it was possible to manually assign a general function to a module, the annotation is indicated in brackets after the module name. padj, *p*-value adjusted for multiple testing based on the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate.

Figure S6. Transcript abundance heatmap for *Ectocarpus* species 7 CAZYme genes
Heatmaps with log_2(NormalisedCounts+1) for replicate samples of (from left to right) free-swimming male gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24 hours after gamete release), elongating sporophyte initial cell (48 hours after gamete release), sporophyte 2-5 cell stage, non-fertile adult sporophyte, fertile sporophyte, non-fertile female and male gametophyte, fertile female and male gametophyte *Ectocarpus* species 7 developmental stages for GT23 and PL41 annotated genes. Left annotation track: differential expression (DEG) analysis results in the transitions between (1) free-swimming male gamete and sporophyte initial cell stage, and (2) adult sporophyte and gametophyte; right annotation track: WGCNA module colours.

Figure S7. Transcript abundance heatmap for *Ectocarpus* species 7 transcription factor genes that have one-to-one *D. dichotoma* orthologues
Hierarchically clustered heatmap of log_2(NormalisedCounts+1) for 325 *Ectocarpus* species 7 TAP genes (Denoeud *et al.*, 2024) and 90 EsV1-7 domain genes (Macaisne *et al.*, 2017) for replicate samples of the following life cycle stages: (from left to right) free-swimming male gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24 hours after gamete release), elongating sporophyte initial cell (48 hours after gamete release), sporophyte 2-5 cell stage, non-fertile adult sporophyte, fertile sporophyte, non-fertile female and male gametophytes, fertile female and male gametophytes. Left annotation track: differential expression (DEG) analysis results in the transitions between 1) free-swimming male gamete and initial cell stage, and 2) sporophyte and gametophyte; right annotation track: WGCNA module colours.

Figure S8. Transcript abundance heatmap for *Ectocarpus* species 7 ribosomal genes
Heatmap with log_2(NormalisedCounts+1) for (from left to right) free-swimming male gamete, sporophyte initial cell (24 hours after gamete release), elongating sporophyte initial cell (48 hours after gamete release), sporophyte 2-5 cell stage, non-fertile adult sporophyte, fertile sporophyte, non-fertile female and male gametophyte, fertile female and male gametophyte *Ectocarpus* species 7 developmental stages for ribosomal protein annotated genes. Left annotation track: differential expression analysis results in the transitions between (1) free-swimming male gamete and sporophyte initial cell stage, and (2) adult sporophyte and gametophyte; right annotation track: WGCNA module colours.

Figure S9. Three dimensional representations of a ribosome illustrating differential expression of ribosomal protein genes
A., B. Lateral views. **C.** Top view. **D.** Bottom view. **E. and F.** Intersubunit views of the small and large subunit, respectively. Small and large subunit ribosomal proteins are coloured in red and purple, respectively. Darker colour indicates that the gene encoding a protein was significantly upregulated between the gamete and sporophyte initial cell stages. Proteins coloured in white are present in the *Triticum aestivum* ribosome, which was used as a reference, but no equivalent was found in *Ectocarpus* species 7. RNAs are shown as ribbons, ribosomal RNAs in grey, messenger and transfer

1089 RNAs in green. A, P and T indicate the aminoacyl, peptidyl and exit tRNA binding sites, respectively.
1090 En, mRNA entry site; Ex, mRNA exit site; Tu, nascent peptide tunnel exit.
1091

Figure S10. *D. dichotoma* PCA and modules
A. Principal component analysis of *D. dichotoma* gene expression across seven life cycle stages. **B.**
Characterisation of *D. dichotoma* gene co-expression modules generated using WGCNA. Left to right:
manually-assigned functional categories based on GO term enrichment; number of genes in the
module positively or negatively correlated with the module eigengene; average module gene
expression profiles for WGCNA modules across sperm (1 h after release), egg cell (15 min after
release), zygote (1h after release), embryo (8h after fertilisation), fertile sporophyte, fertile female
and male gametophyte life cycle stages; module eigengene dendrogram. The average module gene
expression profiles were calculated using genes with a WGCNA MM >0.86.
1101

1102 **Figure S11. Enriched GO terms *D. dichotoma* gene modules**
1103 Statistically-significant enriched GO terms in *D. dichotoma* gene modules. Enrichment is indicated as
1104 log2 of the ratio of the proportion of genes assigned to the GO term in the module divided by the
1105 proportion for the whole genome. padj, *p*-value adjusted for multiple testing based on the
1106 Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate.
1107

Figure S12. Transcript abundance heatmap for one-to-one orthologous transcription factors
between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*
Heatmap representing expression of one-to-one orthologous genes from *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D.*
dichotoma presented as the z-score of the $\log_2$(NormalisedCounts+1) for the following equivalent
developmental stages in *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*: sperm, zygote, early sporophyte,
adult sporophyte, female and male gametophyte. The lefthand tracks show Pearson correlation
coefficients and $\log_{10}$ Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted *p*-values (log10 padj).
1115

1116 **Table S1. RNA-seq data used in this study**
1117 GA, gametophyte; SP, sporophyte; pSP, partheno-sporophyte; GBG, generation-biased genes.
1118

Table S2. Generation-biased and generation-specific gene sets in ten species of brown algae based
on comparisons of adult sporophyte and gametophyte generations.
A. Overview of the generation-biased and generation-specific gene sets of each species. **B.** Complete
proteomes of the ten species indicating the results of the comparison of adult sporophytes and
gametophytes, including $\log_2$(fold change), *p*-value, mean TPM and assigned generation-biased
expression class. TPM, transcripts per kilobase million.
1125

Table S3. List of orthogroups for the ten brown algal species analysed for generation-biased gene
expression.
The genomes analysed (with ENA accession numbers or links to ORCAE in brackets) correspond to:
Dictyota dichotoma strain ODC1387m (GCA_964200555), *Ectocarpus* species 7 strain Ec32
(https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/EctsiV2), *Macrocystis pyrifera* strain P11B4
(GCA_964200385), *Myriotrichia clavaeformis* strain Myr cla04 (GCA_964200105), *Pylaiella littoralis*
strain U1.48 (GCA_964200295), *Saccharina latissima* strain SLPER63f7 (GCA_964200175), *Saccharina*
japonica strain Ja (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/Sacja), *Saccorhiza*
polyschides strain SpolBR94m (GCA_964200605), *Scytosiphon promiscuus* strain Ot110409-Otamoi-
16-male (GCA_964200365) and *Sphacelaria rigidula* strain Sph rig Cal Mo 4-1-68b (GCA_964200075).
The one-to-one orthologues correspond to the 9,317 orthogroups identified using the relaxed criteria
described in the Material and Methods.
1138

1139 **Table S4. Counts of RNA-seq reads mapped to *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes**
1140 This data was used to calculate the gene expression levels reported in Table S4.

1141

Table S5. Functional and expression-related information for *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes
List of all *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes with transcript abundance under each of the conditions analysed, measured as $\log_2$(NormalisedCounts +1), WGCNA module assignment (Colour), WGCNA module membership (MM) scores and associated *p*-values (pMM) for each gene in each module, the functional annotation for each gene (description), the manually assigned functional category (Manual.functional.category), the DESeq2 output for the comparison between free-swimming male gamete and sporophyte initial cell stage (gamete_initial), and adult sporophyte and gametophyte (sporophyte_gametophyte) with the corresponding differential expression (DE) annotation, the HECTAR output for each gene with the predicted targeting category and corresponding scores.

Table S6. Overview of the gene co-expression modules for *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*
The grey modules contain all the genes that could not be assigned to a co-expression module. The gold module was a random sample of 1,000 *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes that provided a null distribution of gene expression patterns for the comparisons with *D. dichotoma* modules.

Table S7. Orthofinder orthogroup analysis of *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*.
The genomes analysed (with ENA accession numbers or links to ORCAE in brackets) correspond to: *Dictyota dichotoma* strain ODC1387m (GCA_964200555) and *Ectocarpus* species 7 strain Ec32 (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/EctsiV2).

Table S8. Conservation of life-cycle-related co-expression modules between *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*
For each *Ectocarpus* species 7 module: preservation statistics computed by the WGCNA modulePreservation function (200 permutations), preservation statistics computed by the NetRep modulePreservation function (10,000 permutations) with the associated $\log_{10}$(*p*-value) (Bonferroni-corrected for WGCNA), and the silhouette score for each module in *D. dichotoma*. WGCNA - medianRank statistic, aggregation of the densityMedianRank and connectivityMedianRank statistics; WGCNA − medianRankDensity.pres, WGCNA density median rank preservation statistic; WGCNA − medianRankConnectivity.pres, WGCNA connectivity median rank preservation statistic; WGCNA - propVarExplained.pres, coherence: proportion of module variance explained by the module eigengene (=summary profile = eigenvector of the 1st principal component across all observations for every node composing the module); WGCNA − meanSignAwareKME.pres, average node contribution: average Pearson correlation coefficient to the module's summary profile; WGCNA - meanSignAwareCorDat.pres, density of correlation structure: how strongly modules are correlated in the test dataset, average node correlation; WGCNA - meanAdj.pres, average edge weight: average connection strength between nodes; WGCNA − meanMAR.pres, average module adjacency ratio; WGCNA: cor.kIM, concordance of intramodular connectivity: similarity of the relative rank of each nodes' weighted degree (intramodular connectivity) across datasets; WGCNA − cor.kME, concordance of node contribution: preservation of relative rank of nodes (ordered by Pearson correlation coefficient to the module's summary profile) across datasets; WGCNA − cor.kMEall; concordance of node contribution: preservation of relative rank of nodes (ordered by Pearson correlation coefficient to the module's summary profile) across datasets taking into account the kME of the gene for all modules; WGCNA - cor.cor, concordance of correlation structure: quantifies how similar the correlation structure is across datasets; WGCNA − cor.MAR, concordance of module adjacency ratio: concordance of the ration between the maximum and the minimum adjacency in the module; WGCNA - separability.pres, separability preservation; NetRep - avg.weight, average edge weight: average connection strength between nodes; NetRep - coherence, coherence, i. e. proportion of module variance explained by the module eigengene (=summary profile = eigenvector of the 1st principal component across all observations for every node composing the module); NetRep − cor.cor, concordance of correlation structure: quantifies how similar the correlation structure is across datasets; NetRep - cor.degree, concordance of intramodular connectivity:

similarity of the relative rank of each nodes' weighted degree (intramodular connectivity) across datasets; NetRep - cor.contrib, concordance of node contribution: preservation of relative rank of nodes (ordered by Pearson correlation coefficient to the module's summary profile) across datasets; NetRep - avg.cor, density of correlation structure: how strongly modules are correlated in the test dataset, average node correlation; NetRep - avg.contrib, average node contribution: average Pearson correlation coefficient to the module's summary profile; silhouette.cluster.width, Silhouette coefficient; silhouette.distance.to.average, Silhouette coefficient. The "gold" module was a random sample of 1,000 *Ectocarpus* species 7 genes that provided a control distribution of gene expression patterns for comparisons with *D. dichotoma* modules. The "darkturquoise" module was not included in this analysis because it contained zero orthologues (Table S5).

Table S9. Counts of RNA-seq reads mapped to *D. dichotoma* genes
This data was used to calculate the gene expression levels reported in Table S8.

Table S10. Functional and expression-related information for *D. dichotoma* genes
List of all *D. dichotoma* genes with the $\log_2$(NormalisedCounts+1) under each condition used for the analysis, WGCNA module assignment (Colour), WGCNA module membership (MM) scores and associated *p*-values (pMM) for each gene in each module.

Table S11. Correlation between the expression patterns of orthologous transcription factors in *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma*
Correlation was evaluated based on comparisons six approximately equivalent stages for the two species (Table S1). The names of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 and *D. dichotoma* genes in each orthogroup are given in Figure S12. padj, *p*-value adjusted for multiple testing based on the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate.

Table S12. Manual re-annotation of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 ribosomal proteins.
The e-values and scores are for BLASTp queries of the *Ectocarpus* species 7 ribosomal proteins against the *Arabidopsis thaliana* ribosomal protein dataset.

References

Ahmed S, Cock JM, Pessia E, Luthringer R, Cormier A, Robuchon M, Sterck L, Peters AF, Dittami SM, Corre E, Valero M, Aury JM, Roze D, Van de Peer Y, Bothwell J, Marais GA, Coelho SM (2014) A Haploid System of Sex Determination in the Brown Alga *Ectocarpus* sp. **Curr Biol** 24:1945–1957.

Akita S, Vieira C, Hanyuda T, Rousseau F, Cruaud C, Couloux A, Heesch S, Cock JM, Kawai H (2022) Providing a phylogenetic framework for trait-based analyses in brown algae: Phylogenomic tree inferred from 32 nuclear protein-coding sequences. **Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution** 168:107408.

Al-Hinai TZS, Mackay CL, Fry SC (2024) Fruit softening: evidence for rhamnogalacturonan lyase action *in vivo* in ripe fruit cell walls. **Annals of Botany** 133:547–558.

Anderson SN, Johnson CS, Chesnut J, Jones DS, Khanday I, Woodhouse M, Li C, Conrad LJ, Russell SD, Sundaresan V (2017) The Zygotic Transition Is Initiated in Unicellular Plant Zygotes with Asymmetric Activation of Parental Genomes. **Dev Cell** 43:349-358.e4.

Andrews S (2016) FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. **http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/**.

Arun A, Coelho SM, Peters AF, Bourdareau S, Pérès L, Scornet D, Strittmatter M, Lipinska AP, Yao H,
Godfroy O, Montecinos GJ, Avia K, Macaisne N, Troadec C, Bendahmane A, Cock JM (2019)
Convergent recruitment of TALE homeodomain life cycle regulators to direct sporophyte
development in land plants and brown algae. **Elife** 8:e43101.

Arun A, Peters NT, Scornet D, Peters AF, Cock JM, Coelho SM (2013) Non-cell autonomous regulation
of life cycle transitions in the model brown alga *Ectocarpus*. **New Phytol** 197:503–510.

Bacher R, Leng N, Chu L-F, Ni Z, Thomson JA, Kendziorski C, Stewart R (2018) Trendy: segmented
regression analysis of expression dynamics in high-throughput ordered profiling experiments.
BMC Bioinformatics 19:380.

Bogaert KA, Beeckman T, De Clerck O (2017) Two-step cell polarization in algal zygotes. **Nat Plants**
3:16221.

Bourdareau S, Tirichine L, Lombard B, Loew D, Scornet D, Wu Y, Coelho SM, Cock JM (2021) Histone
modifications during the life cycle of the brown alga *Ectocarpus*. **Genome Biology** 22:12.

Bringloe TT, Starko S, Wade RM, Vieira C, Kawai H, Clerck OD, Cock JM, Coelho SM, Destombe C,
Valero M, Neiva J, Pearson GA, Faugeron S, Serrão EA, Verbruggen H (2020) Phylogeny and
Evolution of the Brown Algae. **Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences** 39:281–321.

Carney LT, Edwards MS (2006) Cryptic Processes in the Sea: A Review of Delayed Development in the
Microscopic Life Stages of Marine Macroalgae. **Algae** 21:161–168.

Carvalho-Santos Z, Azimzadeh J, Pereira-Leal JB, Bettencourt-Dias M (2011) Evolution: Tracing the
origins of centrioles, cilia, and flagella. **J Cell Biol** 194:165–175.

Chen J, Strieder N, Krohn NG, Cyprys P, Sprunck S, Engelmann JC, Dresselhaus T (2017) Zygotic
Genome Activation Occurs Shortly after Fertilization in Maize. **The Plant Cell** 29:2106–2125.

Choi S-W, Graf L, Choi JW, Jo J, Boo GH, Kawai H, Choi CG, Xiao S, Knoll AH, Andersen RA, Yoon HS
(2024) Ordovician origin and subsequent diversification of the brown algae. **Curr Biol**:S0960-
9822(23)01769-4.

Choksi SP, Lauter G, Swoboda P, Roy S (2014) Switching on cilia: transcriptional networks regulating
ciliogenesis. **Development** 141:1427–1441.

Chu JSC, Baillie DL, Chen N (2010) Convergent evolution of RFX transcription factors and ciliary genes
predated the origin of metazoans. **BMC Evol Biol** 10:130.

Cock JM et al. (2010) The *Ectocarpus* genome and the independent evolution of multicellularity in
brown algae. **Nature** 465:617–621.

Cock JM, Godfroy O, Macaisne N, Peters AF, Coelho SM (2014) Evolution and regulation of complex
life cycles: a brown algal perspective. **Curr Opin Plant Biol** 17:1–6.

Coelho SM, Cock JM (2020) Brown algal model organisms. **Ann Rev Genet** 54:71–92.

Coelho SM, Godfroy O, Arun A, Le Corguillé G, Peters AF, Cock JM (2011) *OUROBOROS* is a master
regulator of the gametophyte to sporophyte life cycle transition in the brown alga
Ectocarpus. **Proc Natl Acad Sci USA** 108:11518–11523.

Coelho SM, Scornet D, Rousvoal S, Peters N, Dartevelle L, Peters AF, Cock JM (2012a) Genetic crosses
between *Ectocarpus* strains. **Cold Spring Harb Protoc** 2012:262–265.

Coelho SM, Scornet D, Rousvoal S, Peters NT, Dartevelle L, Peters AF, Cock JM (2012b) How to
cultivate *Ectocarpus*. **Cold Spring Harb Protoc** 2012:258–261.

Cormier A, Avia K, Sterck L, Derrien T, Wucher V, Andres G, Monsoor M, Godfroy O, Lipinska A,
Perrineau M-M, Van De Peer Y, Hitte C, Corre E, Coelho SM, Cock JM (2017) Re-annotation,
improved large-scale assembly and establishment of a catalogue of noncoding loci for the
genome of the model brown alga *Ectocarpus*. **New Phytol** 214:219–232.

Couceiro L, Le Gac M, Hunsperger HM, Mauger S, Destombe C, Cock JM, Ahmed S, Coelho SM, Valero
M, Peters AF (2015) Evolution and maintenance of haploid-diploid life cycles in natural
populations: The case of the marine brown alga *Ectocarpus*. **Evolution** 69:1808–1822.

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T, McCarthy SA,
Davies RM, Li H (2021) Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. **Gigascience** 10:giab008.

Denoeud F et al. (2024) Evolutionary genomics of the emergence of brown algae as key components
of coastal ecosystems. **Cell** 187:6943–6965.

Dopler A et al. (2024) P-stalk ribosomes act as master regulators of cytokine-mediated processes. **Cell**
Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867424011395
[Accessed November 25, 2024].

Eger AM, Marzinelli EM, Beas-Luna R, Blain CO, Blamey LK, Byrnes JEK, Carnell PE, Choi CG, Hessing-
Lewis M, Kim KY, Kumagai NH, Lorda J, Moore P, Nakamura Y, Pérez-Matus A, Pontier O,
Smale D, Steinberg PD, Vergés A (2023) The value of ecosystem services in global marine kelp
forests. **Nat Commun** 14:1894.

Emms DM, Kelly S (2019) OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics.
Genome Biol 20:238.

Godfroy O, Uji T, Nagasato C, Lipinska AP, Scornet D, Peters AF, Avia K, Colin S, Mignerot L,
Motomura T, Cock JM, Coelho SM (2017) DISTAG/TBCCd1 Is Required for Basal Cell Fate
Determination in *Ectocarpus*. **Plant Cell** 29:3102–3122.

Godfroy O, Zheng M, Yao H, Henschen A, Peters AF, Scornet D, Colin S, Ronchi P, Hipp K, Nagasato C,
Motomura T, Cock JM, Coelho SM (2023) The *baseless* mutant links protein phosphatase 2A
with basal cell identity in the brown alga *Ectocarpus*. **Development** 150:dev201283.

Gogala M, Becker T, Beatrix B, Armache J-P, Barrio-Garcia C, Berninghausen O, Beckmann R (2014)
Structures of the Sec61 complex engaged in nascent peptide translocation or membrane
insertion. **Nature** 506:107–110.

Graf L, Shin Y, Yang JH, Hwang IK, Yoon HS (2022) Transcriptome analysis reveals the spatial and
temporal differentiation of gene expression in the sporophyte of *Undaria pinnatifida*. **Algal**
Research 68:102883.

Gschloessl B, Guermeur Y, Cock J (2008) HECTAR: a method to predict subcellular targeting in
heterokonts. **BMC Bioinf** 9:393.

Inoue A, Ojima T (2019) Functional identification of alginate lyase from the brown alga Saccharina
japonica. **Sci Rep** 9:4937.

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL (2019) Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. **Nat Biotechnol** 37:907–915.

Kolde R (2019) Pheatmap: pretty heatmaps. **R package version** 1:726.

Krueger F (2015) Trim Galore!: A wrapper around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently apply adapter
and quality trimming to FastQ files, with extra functionality for RRBS data. **Babraham**
Institute Available at: https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1370294643762929691 [Accessed October 8,
2024].

Langfelder P, Horvath S (2008) WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis.
BMC Bioinformatics 9:559.

Lawrence M, Gentleman R, Carey V (2009) rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing with genome
browsers. **Bioinformatics** 25:1841–1842.

Li L, Tian G, Peng H, Meng D, Wang L, Hu X, Tian C, He M, Zhou J, Chen L, Fu C, Zhang W, Hu Z (2018)
New class of transcription factors controls flagellar assembly by recruiting RNA polymerase II
in *Chlamydomonas*. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A** 115:4435–4440.

Liang Z, Wang X, Zhang P, Liu W, Wang W, Liu F (2023) Chronological development of the
morphological, physiological, biochemical, and transcriptomic changes provides insights into
the mechanisms of gametogenesis in Saccharina japonica. **J Appl Phycol** 35:785–802.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. **Bioinformatics** 30:923–930.

Lipinska AP, Serrano-Serrano ML, Cormier A, Peters AF, Kogame K, Cock JM, Coelho SM (2019) Rapid
turnover of life-cycle-related genes in the brown algae. **Genome Biol** 20:35.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. **Genome Biol** 15:550.

Lüdecke D (2018) sjmisc: Data and Variable Transformation Functions. **Journal of Open Source**
Software 3:754.

Macaisne N, Liu F, Scornet D, Peters AF, Lipinska A, Perrineau M-M, Henry A, Strittmatter M, Coelho
SM, Cock JM (2017) The *Ectocarpus IMMEDIATE UPRIGHT* gene encodes a member of a novel
family of cysteine-rich proteins with an unusual distribution across the eukaryotes.
Development 144:409–418.

Martin DE, Soulard A, Hall MN (2004) TOR regulates ribosomal protein gene expression via PKA and
the Forkhead transcription factor FHL1. **Cell** 119:969–979.

Mazéas L, Bouguerba-Collin A, Cock JM, Denoeud F, Godfroy O, Brillet-Guéguen L, Barbeyron T,
Lipinska AP, Delage L, Corre E, Drula E, Henrissat B, Czjzek M, Terrapon N, Hervé C (2024)
Candidate genes involved in biosynthesis and degradation of the main extracellular matrix
polysaccharides of brown algae and their probable evolutionary history. **BMC Genomics**
25:950.

Méndez-Yañez A, González M, Carrasco-Orellana C, Herrera R, Moya-León MA (2020) Isolation of a
rhamnogalacturonan lyase expressed during ripening of the Chilean strawberry fruit and its
biochemical characterization. **Plant Physiology and Biochemistry** 146:411–419.

Meng EC, Goddard TD, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Pearson ZJ, Morris JH, Ferrin TE (2023) UCSF
ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis. **Protein Science** 32:e4792.

Müller DG (1967) Generationswechsel, Kernphasenwechsel und Sexualität der Braunalge *Ectocarpus*
siliculosus im Kulturversuch. **Planta** 75:39–54.

Ni C, Buszczak M (2023) The homeostatic regulation of ribosome biogenesis. **Semin Cell Dev Biol**
136:13–26.

Pearson GA, Martins N, Madeira P, Serrão EA, Bartsch I (2019) Sex-dependent and -independent
transcriptional changes during haploid phase gametogenesis in the sugar kelp Saccharina
latissima. **PLOS ONE** 14:e0219723.

Peters AF, Scornet D, Ratin M, Charrier B, Monnier A, Merrien Y, Corre E, Coelho SM, Cock JM (2008)
Life-cycle-generation-specific developmental processes are modified in the *immediate*
upright mutant of the brown alga *Ectocarpus siliculosus*. **Development** 135:1503–1512.

Ritchie SC, Watts S, Fearnley LG, Holt KE, Abraham G, Inouye M (2016) A Scalable Permutation
Approach Reveals Replication and Preservation Patterns of Network Modules in Large
Datasets. **cels** 3:71–82.

Scarpin MR, Busche M, Martinez RE, Harper LC, Reiser L, Szakonyi D, Merchante C, Lan T, Xiong W,
Mo B, Tang G, Chen X, Bailey-Serres J, Browning KS, Brunkard JO (2023) An updated
nomenclature for plant ribosomal protein genes. **The Plant Cell** 35:640–643.

Shan T, Li Q, Wang X, Pang S (2020) Full-length transcriptome sequencing and comparative
transcriptomic analysis of different developmental stages of the sporophyll in Undaria
pinnatifida (Laminariales: Alariaceae). **J Appl Phycol** 32:2081–2092.

Shao Z, Zhang P, Lu C, Li S, Chen Z, Wang X, Duan D (2019) Transcriptome sequencing of Saccharina
japonica sporophytes during whole developmental periods reveals regulatory networks
underlying alginate and mannitol biosynthesis. **BMC Genomics** 20:975.

Starr RC, Zeikus JA (1993) UTEX-The culture collection of algae at the University of Texas at Austin
1993 list of cultures. **J Phycol** 29 (Suppl.):1–106.

Sterck L, Billiau K, Abeel T, Rouzé P, Van de Peer Y (2012) ORCAE: online resource for community
annotation of eukaryotes. **Nat Methods** 9:1041.

Tadros W, Lipshitz HD (2009) The maternal-to-zygotic transition: a play in two acts. **Development**
136:3033–3042.

Tronholm A, Sansón M, Afonso-Carrillo J, De Clerck O (2008) Distinctive morphological features, life-
cycle phases and seasonal variations in subtropical populations of *Dictyota dichotoma*
(Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae). **Bot Mar** 51:132–144.

Uji T, Kandori T, Mizuta H (2024) Identification of differential gene expression related to reproduction
in the sporophytes of Saccharina japonica. **Front Plant Sci** 15 Available at:

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1417582/full
[Accessed November 20, 2024].

Uluisik S, Seymour GB (2020) Pectate lyases: Their role in plants and importance in fruit ripening.
Food Chemistry 309:125559.

van den Hoek C, Mann DG, Jahns HM (1995) Algae: An Introduction to Phycology. Cambridge:
Cambridge University Press.

Vastenhouw NL, Cao WX, Lipshitz HD (2019) The maternal-to-zygotic transition revisited.
Development 146:dev161471.

Wang P, Hendron R-W, Kelly S (2017) Transcriptional control of photosynthetic capacity:
conservation and divergence from *Arabidopsis* to rice. **New Phytol** 216:32–45.

Waters MT, Wang P, Korkaric M, Capper RG, Saunders NJ, Langdale JA (2009) GLK transcription
factors coordinate expression of the photosynthetic apparatus in *Arabidopsis*. **Plant Cell**
21:1109–1128.

Wawiórka L, Molestak E, Szajwaj M, Michalec-Wawiórka B, Mołoń M, Borkiewicz L, Grela P,
Boguszewska A, Tchórzewski M (2017) Multiplication of Ribosomal P-Stalk Proteins
Contributes to the Fidelity of Translation. **Molecular and Cellular Biology** 37:e00060-17.

Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan LD, François R, Grolemund G, Hayes A, Henry L,
Hester J (2019) Welcome to the Tidyverse. **Journal of open source software** 4:1686.

Wickham H, Chang W, Wickham MH (2016) Package 'ggplot2.' **Create elegant data visualisations**
using the grammar of graphics Version 2:1–189.

Xu T, Le TD, Liu L, Su N, Wang R, Sun B, Colaprico A, Bontempi G, Li J (2017) CancerSubtypes: an
R/Bioconductor package for molecular cancer subtype identification, validation and
visualization. **Bioinformatics** 33:3131–3133.

Xue Z, Huang K, Cai C, Cai L, Jiang C, Feng Y, Liu Z, Zeng Q, Cheng L, Sun YE, Liu J, Horvath S, Fan G
(2013) Genetic programs in human and mouse early embryos revealed by single-cell RNA
sequencing. **Nature** 500:593–597.

Yao H, Scornet D, Jam M, Hervé C, Potin P, Correia LO, Coelho SM, Cock JM (2021) Biochemical
characteristics of a diffusible factor that induces gametophyte to sporophyte switching in the
brown alga *Ectocarpus*. **Journal of Phycology** 57:742–753.

Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y (2012) clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes
among gene clusters. **OMICS** 16:284–287.

Zhang J, Li Y, Luo S, Cao M, Zhang L, Li X (2021) Differential gene expression patterns during
gametophyte development provide insights into sex differentiation in the dioecious kelp
Saccharina japonica. **BMC Plant Biol** 21:335.

Zhao P, Zhou X, Shen K, Liu Z, Cheng T, Liu D, Cheng Y, Peng X, Sun M-X (2019) Two-Step Maternal-to-
Zygotic Transition with Two-Phase Parental Genome Contributions. **Dev Cell** 49:882-893.e5.

1427

A Manual functional category
B Subcellular localisation
C DEG proportion

significant
Upregulated
Downregulated
Not DE

not significant
Upregulated
Downregulated
Not DE

D Gene expression profile

Developmental timeline

E Number of genes negatively or positively correlated with the module eigengene

Negative   Positive

1000   0   1000   2000

F Module eigengene dendrogram

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2

DNA modification

Cilium, flagellum, microtubules

Sex-biased genes/ SDR

Photosynthesis

Transcription factor

Nucleosome assembly

Translation

Translation

Translation

Ribosome

Transcription factor

Unassigned genes

brown
salmon
turquoise
darkgreen
royalblue
red
tan
pink
blue
green
black
midnightblue
yellow
lightcyan
cyan
greenyellow
magenta
darkred
darkturquoise
purple
lightgreen
grey60
lightyellow
Grey

Eigengene dendrogram