Mechanism of bacterial outer membrane exchange revealed by quantitative microscopy

Elias J. Topo[1], Carolina Basurto De Santiago[1], Pengbo Cao[2,3], Daniel Wall[2#] and Beiyan Nan[1#]

[1]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station TX 77843, USA

[2]Department of Molecular Biology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

[3]Present address: School of Medicine, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China

Running title: OME molecular mechanism

#Address correspondence to Daniel Wall, dwall2@uwyo.edu; Beiyan Nan bnan@tamu.edu

Keywords: *Myxococcus xanthus*, single molecule tracking, cell surface protein, outer membrane exchange, kin recognition, membrane fusion, fusogen

Abstract

Kin recognition, the ability to distinguish self from nonself at the cellular level is critical to multicellular life. *Myxococcus xanthus* is a multicellular bacterium that cooperates among genetically-related cells and reduces exploitation by nonkin through outer membrane exchange (OME) of common goods and toxins. The polymorphic cell surface receptor called TraA and its partner protein TraB mediate kin recognition by OME, but its molecular mechanism remains unknown. Here we used quantitative microscopy techniques to characterize the stoichiometry of the intracellular TraAB complexes and the intercellular TraA-TraA interactions. We visualized the OME of single protein particles between cells and revealed that OME depends on the free diffusion of outer membrane (OM) contents. Based on the predicted structures, we propose a model that TraAB overcomes the repulsion between OMs by stressing the membranes and reducing the contact area, analogous to the eukaryotic soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNAREs), which mediate plasma membrane fusion. Our working model provides a novel pathway that leads to an underlying conserved mechanism for membrane fusion that is a foundation process for multicellularity.

Introduction

The ability to distinguish self from nonself at the cellular level is critical to multicellular life. This process ensures that highly related or clonal cells interact cooperatively while excluding unrelated cells, which are often detrimental to multicellularity. For organisms that build multicellularity by aggregating cells from their environment, self/nonself-recognition, or kin recognition, is of paramount importance for coalescing a cooperative collective.

Myxobacteria are a group of aggregative multicellular organisms that possess known systems for distinguishing relatedness at the genus and species levels. Specifically, *Myxococcus xanthus* uses the type VI secretion system (T6SS) and outer membrane exchange (OME) for this purpose (Vassallo & Wall, 2019, Vassallo *et al.*, 2020, Gong *et al.*, 2018). The T6SS is used by many Gram-negative bacteria for various types of social conflicts and virulence and is relatively well understood (Cherrak *et al.*, 2019). In contrast, OME is restricted to myxobacteria and is not as well studied.

OME self-recognition occurs through homotypic binding between adjacent cells, mediated by their polymorphic cell surface receptor called TraA and its operonic partner, TraB (Pathak *et al.*, 2013, Cao & Wall, 2017). Following cell-cell recognition, TraAB catalyzes OME, which involves the bidirectional transfer of different outer membrane proteins (OMPs) and lipids between cells (Nudleman *et al.*, 2005, Pathak *et al.*, 2012b, Wall, 2014a). This process is thought to occur by transient outer membrane (OM) fusion,

where TraAB acts as a fusogen on each interacting cell (Cao *et al.*, 2015, Cao & Wall, 2019).

The TraA protein contains a signal peptide (SP), a variable domain (VD) responsible for

recognition specificity, a cysteine-rich repeat region that likely functions as a presentation

stalk for the VD, and a MYXO-CTERM sorting tag that is likely lipidated to anchor it to

the cell surface (Sah *et al.*, 2020, Guo *et al.*, 2025). TraB contains an SP, an OM β-barrel,

and an OmpA cell-wall-binding domain. Forward genetic screens suggest that TraAB are

the only proteins required for OME (Dey & Wall, 2014).

OME serves two broad functions: mediating cooperation and discriminating

between cells (Sah & Wall, 2020). For cooperation, OME can rescue genetic defects in

recipient cells by transferring a wild-type protein from donor cells (Hodgkin & Kaiser,

1977, Pathak *et al.*, 2012b). OME can also rescue lethal OM defects by transferring

lipopolysaccharides (LPS) from healthy donors (Vassallo & Wall, 2016). Additionally,

when individual cells coalesce into tissue-like assemblages, genetically identical cells can

have distinct life histories that result in different adaptations depending on their age

and/or microenvironment exposures. Here, OME facilitates the transition to

homogeneous and synchronized cell populations with respect to their OM components,

thus facilitating cooperation. Finally, adaptive traits suited to particular environments

can be transferred to naïve siblings via OME (Subedi *et al.*, 2024), thus preparing or

adapting them against future stresses.

OME also functions to discriminate against distant kin. This occurs by the exchange

of dozens of polymorphic lipoprotein toxins that belong to six different families (Dey *et*

al., 2016, Vassallo *et al.*, 2017, Vassallo & Wall, 2019, Weltzer *et al.*, 2025). Therefore, when

partnering cells are clonal, they are not intoxicated because they express a cognate set of

immunity proteins, which themselves are not exchanged. In contrast, when nonclonal

cells fortuitously possess compatible TraA receptors, a second discrimination step occurs,

wherein cells are reciprocally poisoned because they lack a complete set of immunity

proteins. These steps result in an extremely high level of specificity for self-recognition,

ensuring that highly related collectives form for multicellular functions, such as fruiting

body development.

Membrane fusion plays a central role in many biological processes in eukaryotic

cells, but in bacteria there are few examples and little is known. Here, we sought to

address key questions about the molecular mechanism of OME. First, we sought to

understand the nature of TraAB multimeric complexes that form upon cell-cell contact

and OME engagement. Following the coalescing of receptors, these complexes are readily

visualized as foci when using functional fluorescent protein fusions to TraA or TraB.

However, the stoichiometry of the intercellular TraA junction and the intracellular TraAB

complexes remains unknown. Second, since client OME cargos do not contain specificity

factors for transfer, but must be diffusible in a fluid OM, we aimed to measure the fluidity

of *M. xanthus* OM. Third, do these foci junctions serve as conduits for the transfer of client

proteins, and what trajectories do these proteins follow upon OME? Using

photobleaching and single molecule tracking techniques, we addressed these questions

and proposed an updated model of OME in myxobacteria.

Results

Stoichiometry of the intercellular TraA foci

TraAB are thought to function as fusogens to catalyze OM fusion between cells. By using fluorescent fusion reporters to TraA or TraB they were found to coalesce into foci aggregates upon cell contact (Cao & Wall, 2019). Here we sought to address (i) how many TraA molecules are present in these foci? (ii) Do all the TraA foci contain the same stoichiometry, and (iii) do interacting cells contribute the same number of TraA proteins in each focus? To answer these questions, we used a functional monomeric super folder green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled TraA (TraA-GFP) expressed from the heterologous *pilA* promoter and placed it in a Δ*traA* strain (Cao & Wall, 2019). We mixed this strain with wild-type (unlabeled) cells at a 1:2 ratio and spotted the cell mixture on a 1.5% agar surface. As reported (Cao & Wall, 2019), TraA-GFP distributed uniformly throughout the OM of isolated cells. However, upon cell-cell contact, we observed two types of TraA foci at contact junctions, bright foci occurred between two labeled cells and dim foci between labeled and unlabeled cells (**Fig. 1A**).

The intensities of TraA-GFP foci appeared heterogeneous, regardless of whether only one or both cells were labeled (**Fig. 1A**), suggesting that individual TraA foci do not adopt the same stoichiometry. We then subjected the TraA-GFP foci to photobleaching using a 488-nm laser (0.5 kW/cm^2) and monitored the decrease of fluorescent signals continuously at 67 Hz (15 ms/frame). The intensity of these foci decreased in discrete steps of the same size,

which we define as Δi that reflected the photobleach of one GFP molecule (**Fig. 1B**).

Occasionally we saw larger bleach steps where the intensity decreased by $2\Delta i$, indicating that

two molecules were bleached simultaneously (**Fig. 1B**). Therefore, the number of Δi bleach

steps equals the number of TraA-GFP molecules within the focus (**Fig. 1B, Movie S1**).

Consistent with the variation of their fluorescent intensities, the 174 foci between labeled

cells lost fluorescence after 2 to 10 steps of photobleaching, indicating that these foci

contained 2 to 10 TraA-GFP molecules (**Fig. 1B, Movie S1**). The numbers of TraA-GFP

molecules in each focus displayed a typical Gaussian distribution. Importantly, we never

observed any foci that was bleached in a single step of Δi, suggesting that at least one TraA

molecule in each juxtaposed cell is required to establish the intercellular junction. This result

was consistent with the observation that TraA-GFP expressing cells cannot form TraA foci

when it contacts a $\Delta traA$ cell (Cao & Wall, 2019). In addition, 46% of these foci contained odd

numbers of TraA molecules, indicating that the formation of these foci does not necessarily

require the same number of TraA molecules from two juxtaposed cells.

Among the 204 foci found between labeled and unlabeled cells, the number of TraA-GFP

molecules also showed a nearly symmetric distribution between 1 and 6, roughly half as

many as detected in the foci between two labeled cells (**Fig. 1C**). The detection of foci that

contained only one TraA-GFP molecule further confirmed that a single TraA molecule from

each contacting cell was sufficient to establish an intercellular TraA junction. Taken together,

TraA foci at cell-cell contact sites contain molecules from both juxtaposed cells where each

cell may contribute different numbers of TraA molecules.

Fig. 1. TraA forms heterogeneous foci at cell-cell contact sites. **A)** Representative images of the TraA-GFP foci. The Δ*traA traA-gfp* cells were mixed with wild-type (unlabeled) at a 1:2 ratio. White arrows indicate foci formed between labeled cells and red arrows between labeled and unlabeled cells. **B)** Examples of the photobleached foci that contain 4 and 6 TraA-GFP molecules, respectively. The decrease step of fluorescence corresponds to one GFP molecule being photobleached (Δ*i*). **C)** The number of TraA-GFP molecules in each focus between labeled cells (left, n = 174) and between labeled and unlabeled ones (right, n = 204).

TraA and TraB form complexes at a 1:1 ratio

TraA requires its partner OMP TraB to form functional complexes (Pathak *et al.*, 2012a, Cao

& Wall, 2017). Although TraB does not play a specificity role in kin recognition, TraA and

TraB colocalize into the foci between adjacent cells in an interdependent manner, suggesting

that these proteins form intracellular complexes (Cao & Wall, 2019). However, the

stoichiometry of these complexes is unknown. To address this question, we used a strain that

expressed both TraA-mCherry and TraB-GFP and photobleached both mCherry and GFP

simultaneously using Hamamatsu W-VIEW GEMINI™ beam-splitter. TraA and TraB

colocalized in the foci between juxtaposed cells (**Fig. 2A**). To simplify analysis, we recorded

the photobleach of both fluorophores at 5 Hz (200 ms/frame, **Movie S2**). Using this method,

we found that the numbers of TraA-mCherry in each focus varied between 2 and 12 in the 59

analyzed foci (**Fig. 2B**), consistent with the photobleach result from TraA-GFP (**Fig. 1C**).

Importantly, the TraB-GFP molecules displayed a similar distribution pattern (**Fig. 2B**). We

further investigated the correlation between TraA and TraB in each focus and found that each

TraA molecule correlated with 0.98 TraB molecules, indicating that TraA and TraB form

complexes at a 1:1 ratio (**Fig. 2C**).

M. xanthus **contains a highly fluid OM**

The model bacterium *Escherichia coli* has a rigid OM (Rojas *et al.*, 2018, Sun *et al.*, 2022). As a

consequence, the lateral mobility of OMPs is restricted due to the formation of large OMP

islands and the selective presence of bulky LPS molecules in the outer leaflet (Rassam *et al.*,

2015, Benn *et al.*, 2021). In contrast, *M. xanthus* cells are flexible and can exchange a broad

range of OM molecules efficiently, including OMPs, LPS, and dyes (Ducret *et al.*, 2013,

Vassallo *et al.*, 2015, Pathak *et al.*, 2012a). Since heterologous proteins, like a lipidated GFP,

are readily exchanged as cargos, OME does not require other *cis* specificity sequences for

transfer. Instead, these cargos are likely transferred between cells by diffusion, which

requires a fluid OM (Cao & Wall, 2020, Cao & Wall, 2019). However, the fluidity of *M. xanthus*

OM has not been measured.

To measure membrane fluidity, we used the diffusion rate of OMPs as a proxy. Here, we

used a lipoprotein where a type II signal sequence was fused to the fluorescent protein

Fig. 2. TraA and TraB form intracellular complexes at a 1:1 ratio. **A)** TraA-mCherry and TraB-GFP colocalize in the foci between adjacent cells. **B)** From 59 foci analyzed, the number of TraA and TraB proteins in each focus show similar distribution patterns. **C)** The correlation between the numbers of TraA and TraB in individual foci suggests a 1:1 ratio in the intracellular TraAB complexes. In each focus, the number of TraB is plotted against that of TraA. The numbers of foci that have the same TraA:TraB ratio are indicated by color.

mCherry and expressed as a recombinant SS$_{OM}$-mCherry reporter in *M. xanthus.* This simple

lipoprotein tag was sufficient for the OM localization of mCherry and enabled it to be

transferred by OME (Wei *et al.*, 2011). We reengineered this strain by replacing mCherry with

the photoactivatable mCherry (PAmCherry). mCherry and PAmCherry are of the same size

and share 95.8% protein sequence identity. We used a 405-nm excitation laser (0.2 kW/cm^2,

0.1 s) to activate the fluorescence of a few labeled SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles randomly (here

we refer isolated fluorescence spots as single particles rather than "single molecules" because

each spot could contain more than one molecule, albeit only the fluorescence of one molecule

was activated). We imaged the activated particles at 67 Hz using a 561-nm laser with total

internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Fu *et al.*, 2018, Nan *et al.*, 2015, Nan *et al.*,

2013). Using this setting, only a thin section of each cell close to the coverslip was illuminated,

which included the cell surface. As free SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles diffuse extremely fast in

the cytoplasm, entering and exiting the focal plane frequently, they usually appear as blurry

objects that cannot be followed close to the cell surface (Fu *et al.*, 2018, Zhang *et al.*, 2023b).

For this reason, the noise from the SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry molecules that were not yet inserted into

the OM was negligible. To exclude the potential influence of cell-cell contact, we only

analyzed the dynamics of SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles in isolated cells. Using single particle

tracking photo-activated localization microscopy (sptPALM), we identified 1,518 fluorescent

particles that remained in focus for 4 - 12 frames (0.4 - 1.2 s) and plotted their mean squared

displacements (MSDs) against time lag (Δt). The linear relationship between MSD and Δt

indicated that single SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles moved by typical diffusion (**Fig. 3A, B,**

Movie S3). The diffusion coefficient (*D*) of the entire population was 0.19 ± 0.04 μm²/s (n =

1518, **Fig. 3C**), in the same order of magnitude as the unrestricted diffusion of proteins in the

cytoplasm, IM, and periplasm in other bacteria, such as *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* (Parry *et*

al., 2014, Lucena *et al.*, 2018, Tran *et al.*, 2024). Importantly, the diffusion of SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry

was about 10-fold faster than the restricted diffusion of *M. xanthus* proteins in the IM and

periplasm (Fu *et al.*, 2018, Nan *et al.*, 2013, Zhang *et al.*, 2023b, Ramirez Carbo *et al.*, 2024),

suggesting that SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry diffuses with little restriction in the OM.

The bacterial IM is known to be fluid, where many proteins diffuse freely (Lucena *et al.*,

2018). As a control, we engineered a strain that localizes mCherry to the IM (SS$_{IM}$-mCherry)

(Wei *et al.*, 2011) by replacing mCherry with PAmCherry. As expected, we found that the

recombinant SS$_{IM}$-PAmCherry also diffused freely, with a *D* value of 0.22 ± 0.02 μm²/s (n =

2848), close to that of SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry (**Fig. 3, Move S4**). Taken together, like the IM, the

OM of *M. xanthus* is highly fluid and such fluidity provides the mechanistic foundation for

OME.

OME depends on the diffusion of OM components

OME between *M. xanthus* cells has been imaged in real-time (Ducret *et al.*, 2013, Cao & Wall,

2019). However, the mechanism of OME is not fully understood. For instance, does OME

depend on the diffusion of the client cargos, or the exchange of large OM fragments? To

Fig. 3. SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry diffuses rapidly in the *M. xanthus* OM. **A)** Single PAmCherry particles diffuse freely in both the OM and IM. The overall distribution of SS$_{OM}$- and SS$_{IM}$-PAmCherry is displayed using the composite of 100 consecutive frames taken at 15-ms intervals. Single-particle trajectories of PAmCherry were generated from the same frames. Individual trajectories are distinguished by colors. **B)** The linear relationship between MSD and Δt indicates that PAmCherry diffuses freely in both OM (n = 1518) and IM (n = 2848). **C)** PAmCherry shows similar diffusion coefficients in OM (n = 1518) and IM (n = 2848).

investigate the dynamics and trajectories of client proteins during OME, we visualized and

tracked single SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles. If OME requires diffusion, then SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry

particles should quickly travel between cells. Alternatively, if cells exchange bulk OM

fragments, the exchange of SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles should be slow due to the size of such

fragments.

To test these possibilities, we used cells that expressed SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry as donors and

Δ*traA* P$_{pilA}$-*traA-gfp* cells as recipients. We mixed these strains at a 1:1 ratio on a 1.5% agar

surface. To increase the chance of capturing OME, we first exposed cells under the 405-nm

laser for 2 s, where the majority of PAmCherry was photoactivated (Fu *et al.*, 2018). We then

excited the fluorescence of PAmCherry and GFP using the 561-nm and 488-nm lasers,

respectively, and imaged both channels simultaneously using the beam-splitter. As SS$_{OM}$-

PAmCherry particles moved rapidly (**Fig. 3**), we had to track their movements at a high frame

rate (67 Hz). For this reason, we could only image each field for a few seconds before the

reporters' photobleach (**Fig. 1**). In these short time windows, OME was rarely visualized.

Nevertheless, we captured OME of 39 SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles (**Fig. 4A-C, Movie S5-S7**).

Their MSD showed a linear relationship with Δ*t*, with a *D* value of 0.21 ± 0.07 μm^2/s (n = 39),

close to the intracellular diffusion rate of SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles. These results indicate

that cell-cell contact does not hinder OMP diffusion, and that OME depends on the diffusion

of OM content, rather than the transfer of large OM fragments (**Fig. 4D**).

By closely tracking particle trajectories during OME, we found six cases where SS$_{OM}$-

PAmCherry particles transferred to recipient cells and then returned to the donors (**Fig. 4A,**

Movie S5). This observation was consistent with OME occurring bidirectional, where

'recipients' can also act as donors. Strikingly, we identified five SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles

being transferred between more than two cells. For instance, the particle shown in **Fig. 4B**

and **Movie S6** passed three cell-cell boundaries. Thus, through TraAB-mediated kin

Fig. 4. OME requires cargo diffusion. **A - C)** Example trajectories of single SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles during OME. The cells expressing SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry (magenta) and TraA-GFP (green) were mixed at a 1:1 ratio. Arrows point to the exchanged particles. On the right side of each time-lapse series, the outlines of the donors and recipients are marked with purple and green dotted lines, respectively. The trajectories of particles are marked with black segmented lines. Their start and end positions are marked with purple and blue circles, respectively. Scale bars, 1 μm. The uncropped videos for these OME events are Movies S5, S6, and S7. In A) and B), the initial positions of the donor cell poles are marked with white dashed lines in the time-lapse images. In C), the positions of two TraA foci are marked with green circles on the right panel. **D)** The linear relationship between MSD and Δt of the 39 transferred particles indicates they diffuse freely during OME.

recognition, client proteins can be serially transferred between clonemates to achieve long-

range OME among multiple adjacent cells.

TraA foci do not correlate as the channels for OME

Here we sought to investigate the role of TraAB complexes in OME and if they serve as

transfer portals. To answer these questions, we followed the trajectories of single-particle

OME events between cells that made lateral contacts. Such juxtaposed side-by-side cells

frequently formed multiple TraA foci, which allowed us to ask whether these foci correlated

as the sites where SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles crossed the cell-cell boundaries. However, out

of the seven SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles that transferred through lateral side-by-side cells,

Fig. 5. OMs in juxtapose cells are stressed at cell-cell contact sites. **A)** cryo-EM images show that in the same cell, the OM is relaxed at non-contact sites while stressed at cell-cell contact sites. **B)** The OM stress compresses the periplasms at cell-cell contact sites. Periplasmic thickness was measured as the distance between the centers of the OM and IM densities from seven contacting cell pairs, 30 measurements/pair. The *p* value was calculated using a one-way ANOVA test between two unweighted, independent samples.

none of them passed through the TraA foci (**Fig. 4C, Movie S7**). These observations strongly

suggest that TraAB complexes do not form transfer channels for OME.

Repulsive interaction between cells obstructs OME

Cells lacking functional TraAB or expressing incompatible TraA variants make close contacts

but do not transfer their OM contents (Wei *et al.*, 2011). Why are physical cell-cell contacts

alone insufficient for OM fusion? To answer this question, we investigate cell-cell contacts

using cryogenic electron microscopy (cryoEM). To avoid the potential interference from

extracellular polysaccharides (EPS), we grew *pilA⁻* cells that do not produce EPS (Yang *et al.*,

2010) in liquid culture, concentrated cells by centrifugation, and captured micrographs of *M.*

xanthus cells in close contact, where we found cell groups of various sizes. As OME does not

occur in liquid, these cells displayed the character of OMs before fusion (**Fig. 5A**). Analyzing

these micrographs, we found two remarkable features. First, regardless of group size, direct

touch between OMs was not observed (**Fig. 5A**). The distances between the centers of the OM

densities at side-to-side cell contact sites varied between 20.4 nm and 34.5 nm, with an

average distance of 28.8 ± 3.4 nm (measure from three pairs of cells, 30 measurements/pair).

Therefore, the interaction between the OMs is under the regime of electrostatic repulsion and

beyond the range of van der Waals attraction, 0.3 – 0.5 nm (Batsanov, 2001). Such repulsion

is comparable to the one between liposomes that prevents their fusion in aqueous media

(Jahn *et al.*, 2024). Hence juxtaposed cells are always separated by a thin water layer and the

repulsion between cells physically obstructs OM fusion. Second, the periplasms appeared

compressed at cell-cell contact sites (**Fig. 5A**). Strikingly, in the cells that contact others only

on one side, while the contact sides displayed compressed periplasms with the thickness (the

distance between the centers of the OM and IM densities) of 30.4 ± 3.8 nm (measured from

seven pairs of cells, 30 measurements/pair, **Fig. 5B**), the non-contact sides retained relaxed

periplasms of 37.5 ± 5.9 nm thickness (measured from the same seven pairs of cells, 30

measurements/pair, **Fig. 5B**). This result shows that cell-cell contacts increase the surface

tension of OMs. Therefore, similar to plasma membranes that do not fuse spontaneously

(Rand & Parsegian, 1984), repulsion must be overcome to bring OMs closer, which poses a

major energy barrier in OM fusion.

Molecular mechanism for TraAB-mediated OM fusion

To understand how one intercellular TraAB-TraAB dimer induces OM fusion, we used

AlphaFold (Jumper *et al.*, 2021) to predict the structures of TraA and TraB. Using the

sequences of the processed proteins (amino acids 37 – 684 for TraA, excluding its SP and the

cleaved MYXO-CTERM (Sah *et al.*, 2020) and 23 – 543 for TraB, excluding its SP), AlphaFold

generated highly-confident structure models for both proteins in their monomeric states (**Fig.**

6A). TraA monomer forms a dumbbell-like structure where the N-terminal VD, containing

at least eight β-sheets, forms the first dumbbell head. The shaft is an extended cysteine-rich

region, which makes a 180° turn at the end, forming the second dumbbell head (**Fig. 6A**). This

region, containing more than 70 cysteines, forms at least eight β-hairpins that each consists

of two antiparallel β-strand connected by a short loop (**Fig. 6A**). We predict that at cell

surfaces where thiol groups are oxidized, this region is stiffened by dozens of disulfide

bonds. Consistent with a previous finding that the VD determines the recognition between

TraA variants (Cao & Wall, 2017), the AlphaFold structure showed two TraA molecules

interacting through their VDs, forming a head-to-head dimer (**Fig. 6A**). Strikingly, the length

of a TraA dimer is ~ 28 nm, matching the distance between OMs in tightly packed cell groups.

The TraB protein consists of a N-terminal β-barrel and a C-terminal OmpA-like domain,

linked together by short thrombospondin type 3 (TSP3) repeats predicted to bind calcium

(Dai *et al.*, 2017) (**Fig. 6A**). Consistent with their colocalization and 1:1 stoichiometry (**Fig. 2**),

AlphaFold also predicted a 1:1 TraAB complex in which the second dumbbell head of TraA

is positioned on top of the β-barrel in TraB (**Fig. 6A**). However, AlphaFold did not predict

significant conformational changes in TraA after its dimerization and interaction with TraB

(**Fig. 6A**). Nevertheless, because TraB is diffusive in isolated cells but colocalizes with TraA

in the relatively stationary intercellular foci (Cao & Wall, 2019), TraA dimerization could

introduce conformational changes in TraB, which likely promotes binding to peptidoglycan

(PG) and thus restrains the diffusion of the TraAB-TraAB dimers.

Based on these predictions, we propose a bulge-and-slide working model for TraAB-

mediated OM fusion that has similarities to the eukaryotic soluble N-ethylmaleimide-

sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNAREs) that mediate vesicle membrane

fusion. To facilitate fusion, membranes must be brought close together, and one way to do

this is to minimize their contact area. Eukaryotes utilize membrane-anchored SNARE

proteins to establish point-to-point contacts that perturb the bilayer structure and thereby

lower the energy barrier for fusion. Once SNARE proteins from juxtaposed membranes

establish contact, the SNARE complex tighten their coiled-coil domains into bundles,

mimicking a zipper that pulls the membranes together until they merge (Jahn *et al.*, 2024)(**Fig.**

6D). Through this mechanism, one to three SNARE complexes are sufficient to catalyze

membrane fusion (Jahn *et al.*, 2024, Sinha *et al.*, 2011, Shi *et al.*, 2012, van den Bogaart *et al.*,

2010). Similarly, we propose that when two cells that express compatible TraA variants

approach each other, TraA molecules establish point-to-point contacts between them.

Diffusing TraA molecules can then form intercellular dimers in a head-to-head orientation,

which is consistent with TraA foci formation between topologically opposed OMs. The

TraAB complexes could anchor to PG through the OmpA domain in TraB and thus forms

relatively stationary junction foci like SNARE complexes (**Fig. 6B**). While the TraA molecules

in a dimer are not likely to form SNARE-like zipper (**Fig. 6D**), when juxtaposed cells slide

against each other, the movements of cells pull the PG-anchored TraAB complexes toward

opposite directions that tugs on the OM and generates bulges at the base of each complex

(**Fig. 6B**). As the cells continue to slide, due to the rigidity of TraA, such stressed OM bulges

are primed for membrane fusion as they are forced into juxtaposed OMs, resulting in OM

fusion between cells (**Fig. 6B**). In agreement with our model, transmission electron

microscopy (TEM) images showed that cells overexpressing TraAB contacted each other

through OM bulges (Vassallo *et al.,* 2015) (**Fig. 6C**).

Fig. 6. Predicted structures of TraA, TraB, and their complexes suggest a mechanism for OM fusion comparable to the eukaryotic SNARE system. **A)** The predicted structures of TraA, TraB, and their complex. **B)** A proposed bulge-and-slide mechanism for TraAB-mediated OM fusion. When two cells with compatible TraA molecules make contact, TraA molecules form head-to-head dimers first through their VDs and TraB anchors such TraA dimers to PG. As the juxtaposed cells slide against each other, the two TraAB complexes move in opposite directions, pulling the OMs. Such mechanical stress will generate OM bulges and the continuous movements of cells will either break these bulges or bring them near the OM of the adjacent cell and thus trigger OME. Structure elements are colored according to their pLDDT (predicted local-distance difference test) scores, which indicate the prediction confidence. **C)** OM bulges - between cells that overexpress TraAB. Cyro-fixed thin section TEM images were adapted from (Vassallo *et al.*, 2015). Scale bars, 500 nm. **D)** SNARE-mediated plasma membrane fusion in eukaryotic cells. Assembly of SNAREs requires four different SNARE motifs on three or four separate proteins. Once a SNARE complex forms, the four coiled-coil domains transform into a four-helix bundle that "zips" the two membranes together.

Discussion

Multicellular organisms independently evolved from unicellular ancestors at least 25 times during evolution (Grosberg & Strathmann, 2007). Myxobacteria achieve multicellularity through the aggregation of single cells. While forming aggregates is relatively straightforward, it is less understood how individual cells from genetically mixed populations establish stable multicellular structures. For instance, how do individual cells maintain cooperative cell-cell interactions while keeping cheaters at bay? Myxobacteria achieve the transition from solitary, single-cell life into cooperative, multicellular tissues through kin recognition and the exchange of cellular contents. Specifically, the homotypic binding by their polymorphic cell surface TraA protein and its cohort protein TraB, leads to OM content sharing and further discrimination against nonself by OME of polymorphic toxins. To better understand the mechanism of OME we used quantitative microscopy and single molecule tracking. We showed that the ratio of TraA and TraB in cell-cell junctions is 1:1. Moreover, we used the strong heterologous *pilA* promoter to overexpress the chromosomal copy of the *traAB* operon to generate TraA foci of various stoichiometry, ranging from 2 to 12. Our results confirmed that each partnering cell contributes at least one TraAB complex to form a functional junction. Because the native, low expression of *traAB* is sufficient for OME (Wei *et al.*, 2011), we speculate that the minimum number of TraAB complexes in junctions for OME is two. Indeed, the foci that contained two TraAB complexes only accounted for ~5% of all the foci we detected, which explains why such foci were hard

to image when TraAB were expressed with the native promoter (Cao & Wall, 2019, Vassallo

et al., 2015). Thus, overexpression increased both the number and size of such foci. The fact

that TraA and TraB both peaked around six molecules in the foci suggests that when

overexpressed, TraB could form trimmers through its OM β-barrel domains. The wide range

of stoichiometry and the fact that two partnering cells do not necessarily contribute equal

numbers of TraAB proteins in foci junctions, suggest that TraAB do not form intercellular

channels with defined structures.

Instead, our results invoke TraAB serving as fusogens to transiently catalyze the OM

fusion between cells and thus allow rapid and facile cargo exchange. This model presumes

that the OM of *M. xanthu*s is fluid, distinct from the rigid OMs from other Gram-negative

bacteria such as *E. coli* (Cao & Wall, 2020). By tracking single molecules of the lipidated SS$_{OM}$-

PAmCherry, we showed this reporter rapidly diffuses in the OM. This analysis confirmed

the fluidity of *M. xanthus*, showing that diffusion rates in the OM were similar to unrestricted

protein diffusion rates in the cytoplasm, IM, and periplasm in other bacteria. It is unclear how

widely such fluid OMs exist in Gram-negative bacteria. Nevertheless, our method can be

easily applied to measure OM fluidity in other organisms.

Further support of the membrane fusion comes from tracking OME at single-particle

resolution. Here SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry particles were observed to transfer from donor into

recipient cells at rates that are nearly identical to their diffusion rates. Strikingly, particles

were also observed to enter recipient cells and then return to the original donor, supporting

prior observations of bidirectional transfer mediated by OM fusion (Cao & Wall, 2019).

Finally, in tracking experiments, particles also traveled across multiple cell boundaries when

those cells were adjacent to one another. This result indicates that multiple cells can

simultaneously form fusion junctions, which are in a tissue-like state. This latter point is also

supported by prior findings of serial cell-cell transfer of SitA toxins (Vassallo *et al.*, 2017).

The last question we addressed is whether TraAB foci serve as transfer portals of OME.

However, our observations did not find a correlation between TraA foci and single particle

transfer of the SS_{OM}-PAmCherry reporter. Instead, transfer occurs at various sites along

juxtaposed cells, which are not directly correlated with TraAB foci. Given these findings, we

propose TraAB foci serve as sites to nucleate membrane fusion, which then proceeds bulge-

and-slide fashion along adjacent cells. This model is supported by cryo-EM micrographs of

cell cross-sections, which show regions along cell lengths that look to be involved OM fusion

(Vassallo *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, fluorescent time-lapse microscopy of gliding *M. xanthus*

cells engaged in OME shows the functional TraA-mCherry reporter dynamically coalescing

in a zipper-like fashion along the lengths of the interacting cells (Cao & Wall, 2019). Taken

together, we envision TraAB initiating an OM fusion junction that widens along the cell

length. Fission occurs when cell move apart from one another.

The outer leaflet of the *M. xanthus* OM contains LPS, consisting of the lipid A membrane

anchor and extended aqueous exposed polysaccharide O-antigen repeats (Perez-Burgos *et al.*,

2019). Additional types of lipids may also reside in their outer leaflet, but that is not fully

understood (Lorenzen *et al.*, 2014). Since TraAB has no known connection to the IM or

cytoplasmic energy sources, we propose that when cells slide against each other, the

mechanical force of cell movements could pull OMs outward through the rigid TraAB-TraAB

dimer and eventually cause OM fusion (**Fig. 6B**). Our bulge-and-slide model is similar to the

eukaryotic SNARE mechanism in that it overcomes the same energy barrier that obstructs

membrane fusion (**Fig. 6D**). However, in contrast to the SNARE complexes that require four

SNARE motifs from three to four proteins, the TraAB-TraAB dimer is simpler but contains

the same essential structural elements: the interaction domains in TraA and the membrane

anchor in TraA and TraB (**Fig. 6A**). Different from the SNARE complexes that pull membrane

together through dramatic conformational changes (**Fig. 6D**), the energy for zippering could

come from the sliding between cells by either motility or cell growth (**Fig. 6B**). Nevertheless,

we do not rule out the possibility that conformational changes in TraA also play important

roles in OM fusion. In this regard, a candidate conformational switch within the diverse TraA

family is the invariant Ser-Cys-Asn-Cys-Cys-Pro motif found in the C-terminal stalk region

(Cao *et al.*, 2019). Here the adjacent Cys residues may form a sterically constrained disulfide

bond that brakes upon tension by TraA-TraA receptors adhering as cells move in opposite

directions. Our model explains two important observations. First, whereas TraA and TraB do

not depend on each other to localize to OMs, their function as cell-cell adhesins and for the

formation of junction foci requires both proteins. In this case, TraA is required for kin

recognition and forming intercellular dimers, while TraB is essential to anchor such dimers

to PG and to restrain their diffusion. This latter point is supported by the finding that deletion

of the OmpA domain from TraB results in a mutant protein able to form foci and act as an

adhesion with TraA, but is not functional for OME (Balagam *et al.*, 2021). Second, OME

requires cell motility (Wall *et al.*, 1998, Wall & Kaiser, 1998, Wei *et al.*, 2011, Wall, 2014b). Our

model predicts that the relative movements pull on OMs through the rigid TraA-TraA

dimers, and such mechanical stress will produce pronounced OM bulges that bring OMs

together, while also perturbing the bilayer structure, and thereby lowering the energy barrier

to the point where fusion occurs spontaneously (**Fig. 6B**). Our model further predicts that

after a fusion event, fission occurs when cells move apart from each other. In future studies,

it will be interesting to test if exogenous expression of TraAB in other bacteria with fluid OMs

facilitates OME. However, there are technical issues reconstituting the MYXO-CTERM

sorting pathway for TraA cell surface localization in a heterologous host (Sah *et al.*, 2020, Guo

et al., 2025). Nevertheless, if successful, this method can be used in synthetic biology for

generating bacterial multicellular structures.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Vegetative *M. xanthus* cells were grown in liquid CYE medium (10 mM MOPS pH 7.6, 1% (w/v) Bacto™ casitone (BD Biosciences), 0.5% yeast extract and 8 mM $MgSO_4$) at 32 °C, in 125-ml flasks with vigorous shaking, or on CYE plates that contains 1.5% agar.

Strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. To create P_{pilA}-*SS*$_{OM}$-*PAmCherry* (pPC60), fragments of P_{pilA}-*SS*$_{OM}$ and *PAmCherry* were PCR amplified (Q5, New England Biolabs), and the resulting amplicons containing 25 bp overhangs were ligated into the pSWU19 vector (linearized with EcoRI and XbaI) in Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs). Construction of the SS$_{IM}$–PAmCherry fusion was done following the published method (Wei *et al.*, 2011). This construct was made by PCR amplification of genomic DNA with primers P_{pilA}-F2 and SS$_{IM}$–mCherry-R (Table 1). The 5′ tail of the latter primer encodes 81 bp from MXAN_1176 that encode the N-terminal IM localization signal plus the downstream 10 amino acids (CKDSDKKESM) for IM retention (Wei *et al.*, 2011). This PCR product was cloned to the 5′ end of PAmCherry at the XbaI site. Plasmids were verified by PCR, restriction enzyme digestion, and if necessary, DNA sequencing. Verified plasmids were then electroporated into *M. xanthus* cells and selected with appropriate antibiotics. The strains, plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in **Table 1**.

445 **Table 1. Strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study.**

Strain name	Description	Source
DZ4469	DZ2 *pilA::tet*, Tc^R	(Vlamakis *et al.*, 2004)
DW1483	DK8601 (*aglQ1 pilA::tet*) Δ*traAB*, Tc^R	(Cao & Wall, 2017)
DW2279	DW1483 pPC44, Km^R, Tc^R	(Cao & Wall, 2019)
DW2285	DW1483 pPC49, Km^R, Tc^R	(Cao & Wall, 2019)
DW2302	DW8601 pPC60 Km^R, Tc^R	This study
BN360	DW8601 pBN-IMPAC Km^R, Tc^R	This study

Plasmid name	Description	Source
pPC60	P$_{pilA}$-SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry in pSWU19, Km^R	This study
pPC41	P$_{pilA}$-*traA-gfp-traB* in pSWU19, Km^R	(Cao & Wall, 2019)
pPC44	P$_{pilA}$-*traA-mCherry-traB* in pSWU19, Km^R	(Cao & Wall, 2019)
pPC49	P$_{pilA}$-*traA-traB-gfp* in pSWU19, Km^R	(Cao & Wall, 2019)
pBN-IMPAC	P$_{pilA}$-SS$_{IM}$-PAmCherry in pSWU19, Km^R	This study

Primer name	Sequence (from 5' to 3')	Use
pSWU19-EcoRI-P$_{pilA}$-F	AGGAAACAGCTATGACCATGATTACGAATTCCGTCATGTTGGACGAGGT	pPC60
P$_{pilA}$-SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry-R	GCCCTTGCTCACTCTAGAAGGAAACGAGCAACCG	pPC60
P$_{pilA}$-SS$_{OM}$-PAmCherry-F	GTTTCCTTCTAGAGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG	pPC60
PAmCherry-XbaI-R	TGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAAAGCTTTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC	pPC60
P$_{pilA}$-F2	GGATCTAGATGCATGCGGCCGCGTCATGTTGGACGAGGTC	pBN-IMPAC
SS$_{IM}$-mCherry-R	CACATCTAGACATGGATTCCTTCTTGTCGGAGTCCTTGCAGGCGGTGAGGGTAAGGCAGAGGAGGACAGTCGTCAGGAATTGGGTCCGCATGGTGACTCTCCTCCGGGGGTCCTCAGAGAAGGTTG	pBN-IMPAC

Light microscopy and image analysis

For all imaging experiments, 5 ml cells or cell mixtures were spotted on a 1.5% (w/v) agar

pad containing 10 mM MOPS pH 7.6 and 8 mM MgSO$_4$. All microscopy images were

captured using an Andor iXon Ultra 897 EMCCD camera (effective pixel size 160 nm) on an

inverted Nikon Eclipse-Ti™ microscope with a 100× 1.49 NA TIRF objective. GFP and

mCherry were photobleached using the 488-nm and 561-nm lasers (0.5 kW/cm^2), respectively

at the stated frame rates. sptPALM was performed as previously described (Fu *et al.*, 2018).

M. xanthus cells were grown in CYE to OD$_{600}$ around 1. PAmCherry was activated using a

405-nm laser (0.3 kW/cm^2), excited and imaged using a 561-nm laser (0.2 kW/cm^2). Images

were acquired at 67 Hz (15 ms/frame). For each sptPALM experiment, single PAmCherry

particles were localized in at least 100 individual cells from three biological replicates.

sptPALM and cell morphology data were analyzed as previously described using a MATLAB

(MathWorks) script (Fu *et al.*, 2018, Zhang *et al.*, 2023a, Zhang *et al.*, 2023b). Briefly, cells were

identified using differential interference contrast images. Single PAmCherry particles inside

of cells were fitted by a symmetric 2D Gaussian function; its center was assumed to be the

particle's position (Fu *et al.*, 2018). Particles in consecutive frames were considered to belong

to the same trajectory when they were within a user-defined distance of 320 nm (two pixels).

Sample trajectories were generated using the TrackMate plugin (Ershov *et al.*, 2022) in the

ImageJ suite (https://imagej.net). For simplicity, we considered all the mobile particles of

these proteins displaying typical diffusion and determined their D from a linear fit to the first

four points of the MSD using a simpler formula MSD = $4D\Delta t$ (Fu *et al.*, 2018, Lee *et al.*, 2016).

Error bars were the standard derivation of 1,000 bootstrap samples using the published

method (Morgenstein *et al.*, 2015).

Cryo-EM

Bacterial strains were grown overnight in CYE media with appropriate antibiotics,

incubated with shaking at 32 °C and 250 rpm to an optical density of OD_{600} 0.6. Cells were

collected by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm and room temperature for 3 min and

resuspended in CYE media to a final OD_{600} of 12. The cell suspension (3 µl) was applied

to C Flat-1.2/1.3 200 mesh copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences) that were glow

discharged for 30 s at 15 mA. Grids were plunge-frozen in liquid ethane with an FEI

Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4 °C, 100% humidity with a waiting time

of 30 s, two-side blotting time of 2.5 - 3 s, and blotting force of 0. All subsequent grid

handling and transfers were performed in liquid nitrogen. Grids were clipped onto cryo-

FIB autogrids (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We used a Titan Krios G4 transmission electron

microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific), equipped with a Gatan K3 direct electron detector

and a Gatan BioContinuum energy filter. Imaging was performed using a 15 eV slit width

on the energy filter to enhance image contrast. Micrographs were recorded in counted

mode at a nominal magnification of 30,000 x, using a dose rate of 14.65

electrons/pixel/second over a 2.6-second exposure, resulting in a total accumulated dose

of 50 electrons/$Å^2$. Low magnification images were taken at 6500 x with a pixel size of

1.39 nm.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants GM129000 to B. N. and

GM140886 to D.W.

Movie captions

Movie S1. TraA-GFP foci being photobleached. Time-lapse images were recorded at 67 Hz

and played at the same frame rate (real time).

Movie S2. Simultaneous photobleaching of TraA-mCherry (right) and TraB-GFP (left) in foci

between adjacent cells. Time-lapse images were recorded at 5 Hz and played at the same

frame rate (real time).

Movie S3. Diffusion of OM$_{ss}$-PAmCherry particles. Time-lapse images were recorded at 67

Hz and played at the same frame rate (real time).

Movie S4. Diffusion of IM$_{ss}$-PAmCherry particles. Time-lapse images were recorded at 67

Hz and played at the same frame rate (real time).

Movie S5. OME is bidirectional. The donor cell expresses OM$_{ss}$-PAmCherry (magenta) and

the recipient TraA-GFP (green). The particle was first transferred to the recipient and then

returned to the donor. Time-lapse images were recorded at 67 Hz and played at 7 frames/s,

about 1/10 speed of real time.

Movie S6. Serial cell-cell transfer of OM$_{ss}$-PAmCherry particle by OME. Donor cell expresses

OM$_{ss}$-PAmCherry (magenta) and the recipients TraA-GFP (green). Particle was serially

transferred, crossing three cell-cell boundaries. Time-lapse images were recorded at 67 Hz

and played at 7 frames/s, about 1/10 speed of real time.

Movie S6. TraAB foci do not function as OME conduits. Donor cell expresses OM_{SS}-

PAmCherry (magenta) and the recipients TraA-GFP (green). Two OM_{SS}-PAmCherry

particles transferred across cell boundaries, but not through the TraAB foci. Time-lapse

images were recorded at 67 Hz and played at 7 frames/s, about 1/10 speed of real time.

References

References

Balagam, R., Cao, P., Sah, G.P., Zhang, Z., Subedi, K., Wall, D., and Igoshin, O.A. (2021)
Emergent Myxobacterial Behaviors Arise from Reversal Suppression Induced by Kin
Contacts. *mSystems* **6**: e0072021.

Batsanov, S.S. (2001) Van der Waals Radii of Elements. *Inorganic Materials* **37**: 871-885.

Benn, G., Mikheyeva, I.V., Inns, P.G., Forster, J.C., Ojkic, N., Bortolini, C., Ryadnov, M.G.,
Kleanthous, C., Silhavy, T.J., and Hoogenboom, B.W. (2021) Phase separation in the outer
membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**.

Cao, P., Dey, A., Vassallo, C.N., and Wall, D. (2015) How Myxobacteria Cooperate. *J Mol Biol*
427: 3709-3721.

Cao, P., and Wall, D. (2017) Self-identity reprogrammed by a single residue switch in a cell surface
receptor of a social bacterium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**: 3732-3737.

Cao, P., and Wall, D. (2019) Direct visualization of a molecular handshake that governs kin
recognition and tissue formation in myxobacteria. *Nature communications* **10**: 3073.

Cao, P., and Wall, D. (2020) The Fluidity of the Bacterial Outer Membrane Is Species Specific:
Bacterial Lifestyles and the Emergence of a Fluid Outer Membrane. *Bioessays* **42**:
e1900246.

Cao, P., Wei, X., Awal, R.P., Muller, R., and Wall, D. (2019) A highly polymorphic receptor
governs many distinct self-recognition types within the *Myxococcales* order. *mBio* **10**:
10.1128/mbio.02751-02718.

Cherrak, Y., Flaugnatti, N., Durand, E., Journet, L., and Cascales, E. (2019) Structure and Activity
of the Type VI Secretion System. *Microbiol Spectr* **7**.

Dai, S., Sun, C., Tan, K., Ye, S., and Zhang, R. (2017) Structure of thrombospondin type 3 repeats
in bacterial outer membrane protein A reveals its intra-repeat disulfide bond-dependent
calcium-binding capability. *Cell Calcium* **66**: 78-89.

Dey, A., Vassallo, C.N., Conklin, A.C., Pathak, D.T., Troselj, V., and Wall, D. (2016) Sibling
Rivalry in *Myxococcus xanthus* Is Mediated by Kin Recognition and a Polyploid Prophage.
Journal of Bacteriology **198**: 994-1004.

Dey, A., and Wall, D. (2014) A genetic screen in *Myxococcus xanthus* identifies mutants that
uncouple outer membrane exchange from a downstream cellular response. *J Bacteriol* **196**:
4324-4332.

Ducret, A., Fleuchot, B., Bergam, P., and Mignot, T. (2013) Direct live imaging of cell-cell protein
transfer by transient outer membrane fusion in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Elife* **2**: e00868.

Ershov, D., Phan, M.S., Pylvanainen, J.W., Rigaud, S.U., Le Blanc, L., Charles-Orszag, A.,
Conway, J.R.W., Laine, R.F., Roy, N.H., Bonazzi, D., Dumenil, G., Jacquemet, G., and
Tinevez, J.Y. (2022) TrackMate 7: integrating state-of-the-art segmentation algorithms into
tracking pipelines. *Nat Methods* **19**: 829-832.

Fu, G., Bandaria, J.N., Le Gall, A.V., Fan, X., Yildiz, A., Mignot, T., Zusman, D.R., and Nan, B.
(2018) MotAB-like machinery drives the movement of MreB filaments during bacterial
gliding motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**: 2484-2489.

Gong, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhou, X.W., Anwar, M.N., Hu, X.Z., Li, Z.S., Chen, X.J., and Li, Y.Z. (2018)
Competitive Interactions Between Incompatible Mutants of the Social Bacterium
Myxococcus xanthus DK1622. *Front Microbiol* **9**: 1200.

Grosberg, R.K., and Strathmann, R.R. (2007) The evolution of multicellularity: A minor major
transition? *Annu Rev Ecol Evol S* **38**: 621-654.

Guo, T., Haft, D.H., and Wall, D. (2025) Myxosortase: an intramembrane protease that sorts
MYXO-CTERM proteins to the cell surface. *mBio*: e0406724.
Hodgkin, J., and Kaiser, D. (1977) Cell-to-cell stimulation of motility in nonmotile mutants of
Myxococcus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **74**: 2938-2942.
Jahn, R., Cafiso, D.C., and Tamm, L.K. (2024) Mechanisms of SNARE proteins in membrane
fusion. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **25**: 101-118.
Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K.,
Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S.A.A., Ballard, A.J.,
Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S.,
Reiman, D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M., Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T.,
Bodenstein, S., Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A.W., Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P., and
Hassabis, D. (2021) Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*
596: 583-589.
Lee, T.K., Meng, K., Shi, H., and Huang, K.C. (2016) Single-molecule imaging reveals modulation
of cell wall synthesis dynamics in live bacterial cells. *Nature communications* **7**: 13170.
Lorenzen, W., Bozhuyuk, K.A., Cortina, N.S., and Bode, H.B. (2014) A comprehensive insight
into the lipid composition of *Myxococcus xanthus* by UPLC-ESI-MS. *J Lipid Res* **55**: 2620-
2633.
Lucena, D., Mauri, M., Schmidt, F., Eckhardt, B., and Graumann, P.L. (2018) Microdomain
formation is a general property of bacterial membrane proteins and induces heterogeneity
of diffusion patterns. *BMC Biol* **16**: 97.
Morgenstein, R.M., Bratton, B.P., Nguyen, J.P., Ouzounov, N., Shaevitz, J.W., and Gitai, Z. (2015)
RodZ links MreB to cell wall synthesis to mediate MreB rotation and robust morphogenesis.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
Nan, B., Bandaria, J.N., Guo, K.Y., Fan, X., Moghtaderi, A., Yildiz, A., and Zusman, D.R. (2015)
The polarity of myxobacterial gliding is regulated by direct interactions between the
gliding motors and the Ras homolog MglA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: E186-193.
Nan, B., Bandaria, J.N., Moghtaderi, A., Sun, I.H., Yildiz, A., and Zusman, D.R. (2013) Flagella
stator homologs function as motors for myxobacterial gliding motility by moving in helical
trajectories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**: E1508-1513.
Nudleman, E., Wall, D., and Kaiser, D. (2005) Cell-to-cell transfer of bacterial outer membrane
lipoproteins. *Science* **309**: 125-127.
Parry, B.R., Surovtsev, I.V., Cabeen, M.T., O'Hern, C.S., Dufresne, E.R., and Jacobs-Wagner, C.
(2014) The bacterial cytoplasm has glass-like properties and is fluidized by metabolic
activity. *Cell* **156**: 183-194.
Pathak, D.T., Wei, X., Bucuvalas, A., Haft, D.H., Gerloff, D.L., and Wall, D. (2012a) Cell contact-
dependent outer membrane exchange in myxobacteria: genetic determinants and
mechanism. *PLoS Genet* **8**: e1002626.
Pathak, D.T., Wei, X., Bucuvalas, A., Haft, D.H., Gerloff, D.L., and Wall, D. (2012b) Cell contact-
dependent outer membrane exchange in myxobacteria: genetic determinants and
mechanism. *PLoS Genet.* **8**: e1002626.
Pathak, D.T., Wei, X., Dey, A., and Wall, D. (2013) Molecular recognition by a polymorphic cell
surface receptor governs cooperative behaviors in bacteria. *PLoS Genet.* **9**: e1003891.
Perez-Burgos, M., Garcia-Romero, I., Jung, J., Valvano, M.A., and Sogaard-Andersen, L. (2019)
Identification of the lipopolysaccharide O-antigen biosynthesis priming enzyme and the O-
antigen ligase in *Myxococcus xanthus*: critical role of LPS O-antigen in motility and

development. *Mol Microbiol* **112**: 1178-1198.

Ramirez Carbo, C.A., Faromiki, O.G., and Nan, B. (2024) A lytic transglycosylase connects bacterial focal adhesion complexes to the peptidoglycan cell wall. *Elife* **13**.

Rand, R.P., and Parsegian, V.A. (1984) Physical force considerations in model and biological membranes. *Can J Biochem Cell Biol* **62**: 752-759.

Rassam, P., Copeland, N.A., Birkholz, O., Toth, C., Chavent, M., Duncan, A.L., Cross, S.J., Housden, N.G., Kaminska, R., Seger, U., Quinn, D.M., Garrod, T.J., Sansom, M.S., Piehler, J., Baumann, C.G., and Kleanthous, C. (2015) Supramolecular assemblies underpin turnover of outer membrane proteins in bacteria. *Nature* **523**: 333-336.

Rojas, E.R., Billings, G., Odermatt, P.D., Auer, G.K., Zhu, L., Miguel, A., Chang, F., Weibel, D.B., Theriot, J.A., and Huang, K.C. (2018) The outer membrane is an essential load-bearing element in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nature* **559**: 617-621.

Sah, G.P., Cao, P., and Wall, D. (2020) MYXO-CTERM sorting tag directs proteins to the cell surface via the type II secretion system. *Mol Microbiol* **113**: 1038-1051.

Sah, G.P., and Wall, D. (2020) Kin recognition and outer membrane exchange (OME) in myxobacteria. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **56**: 81-88.

Shi, L., Shen, Q.T., Kiel, A., Wang, J., Wang, H.W., Melia, T.J., Rothman, J.E., and Pincet, F. (2012) SNARE proteins: one to fuse and three to keep the nascent fusion pore open. *Science* **335**: 1355-1359.

Sinha, R., Ahmed, S., Jahn, R., and Klingauf, J. (2011) Two synaptobrevin molecules are sufficient for vesicle fusion in central nervous system synapses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**: 14318-14323.

Subedi, K., Roy, P.C., Saiz, B., Basile, F., and Wall, D. (2024) Cell-cell transfer of adaptation traits benefits kin and actor in a cooperative microbe. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**: e2402559121.

Sun, J., Rutherford, S.T., Silhavy, T.J., and Huang, K.C. (2022) Physical properties of the bacterial outer membrane. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**: 236-248.

Tran, B.M., Punter, C.M., Linnik, D., Iyer, A., and Poolman, B. (2024) Single-protein Diffusion in the Periplasm of *Escherichia coli*. *J Mol Biol* **436**: 168420.

van den Bogaart, G., Holt, M.G., Bunt, G., Riedel, D., Wouters, F.S., and Jahn, R. (2010) One SNARE complex is sufficient for membrane fusion. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**: 358-364.

Vassallo, C., Pathak, D.T., Cao, P., Zuckerman, D.M., Hoiczyk, E., and Wall, D. (2015) Cell rejuvenation and social behaviors promoted by LPS exchange in myxobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: E2939-2946.

Vassallo, C.N., Cao, P., Conklin, A., Finkelstein, H., Hayes, C.S., and Wall, D. (2017) Infectious polymorphic toxins delivered by outer membrane exchange discriminate kin in myxobacteria. *Elife* **6**.

Vassallo, C.N., Troselj, V., Weltzer, M.L., and Wall, D. (2020) Rapid diversification of wild social groups driven by toxin-immunity loci on mobile genetic elements. *ISME J* **14**: 2474-2487.

Vassallo, C.N., and Wall, D. (2016) Tissue repair in myxobacteria: A cooperative strategy to heal cellular damage. *Bioessays* **38**: 306-315.

Vassallo, C.N., and Wall, D. (2019) Self-identity barcodes encoded by six expansive polymorphic toxin families discriminate kin in myxobacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**: 24808-24818.

Vlamakis, H.C., Kirby, J.R., and Zusman, D.R. (2004) The Che4 pathway of *Myxococcus xanthus* regulates type IV pilus-mediated motility. *Mol Microbiol* **52**: 1799-1811.

Wall, D. (2014a) Molecular recognition in myxobacterial outer membrane exchange: functional,
social and evolutionary implications. *Mol Microbiol* **91**: 209-220.
Wall, D., (2014b) Social interactions mediated by outer membrane exchange. In: Myxobacteria:
Genomics, Cellular and Molecular Biology. Z. Yang, Higgs, P.I. (ed). Norfolk, UK: Caister
Academic Press, pp.
Wall, D., and Kaiser, D. (1998) Alignment enhances the cell-to-cell transfer of pilus phenotype.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **95**: 3054-3058.
Wall, D., Wu, S.S., and Kaiser, D. (1998) Contact stimulation of Tgl and type IV pili in
Myxococcus xanthus. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 759-761.
Wei, X., Pathak, D.T., and Wall, D. (2011) Heterologous protein transfer within structured
myxobacteria biofilms. *Mol Microbiol* **81**: 315-326.
Weltzer, M.L., Guo, T., and Wall, D. (2025) Crosstalk between polymorphic toxin-immunity
systems involved in kin discrimination. *mBio* **0**: e00468-00425.
Yang, Z., Lux, R., Hu, W., Hu, C., and Shi, W. (2010) PilA localization affects extracellular
polysaccharide production and fruiting body formation in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Mol*
Microbiol **76**: 1500-1513.
Zhang, H., Venkatesan, S., Ng, E., and Nan, B. (2023a) Coordinated peptidoglycan synthases and
hydrolases stabilize the bacterial cell wall. *GitHub*.
Zhang, H., Venkatesan, S., Ng, E., and Nan, B. (2023b) Coordinated peptidoglycan synthases and
hydrolases stabilize the bacterial cell wall. *Nature communications* **14**: 5357.