miR-631 targets the oncogene *RAB11A*: implications for oral squamous cell carcinoma

pathogenesis and therapeutics

Harsha Pulakkat Muralidharan[1*], Radha Mishra[1], Champaka Gopal[2] and Arun Kumar[1*]

[1]Department of Developmental Biology and Genetics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

560012, India; [2]Department of Pathology, Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology, Bangalore

560029, India

*Joint corresponding authors:

Email ID: harshapm@iisc.ac.in (HPM)

Email ID: arunk@iisc.ac.in (AK)

Short title: miR-631 targets *RAB11A*

Abstract

Despite technological advancements, the five-year survival rate for oral squamous cell

carcinoma (OSCC) remains low, highlighting the urgent need to develop more effective

therapies. With this objective, we intended to identify the potential therapeutic tumor

suppressor microRNAs (miRs) in OSCC. In a previous work from our laboratory, we treated

OSCC cells with 5-Azacytidine, a global DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, and subsequently

performed a microRNA microarray analysis and identified 50 upregulated miRs. Of these,

miR-631 that had no previously known roles in OSCC was selected for the further analysis in

the present study. Our experiments show that miR-631 reduces proliferation of OSCC cells

and binds to the 3'UTR of the oncogene *RAB11A* and reduces its expression at both the

transcript and protein levels. The upregulation of miR-631 is shown to be due to its promoter

demethylation after the 5-Azacytidine treatment. We demonstrate that miR-631 inhibits

proliferation and anchorage-independent growth in soft agar and increases apoptosis of OSCC

cells, in part, via targeting *RAB11A*. The inverse correlation in the levels of miR-631 and

RAB11A in various cancer cell lines and in a majority (58.33%) of OSCC patient samples

suggests the biological relevance of their interaction. The nude mice OSCC xenograft study

suggests the tumor suppressive nature of miR-631. Further, miR-631 and *RAB11A* interaction

reduces the Wnt signaling in OSCC. Based on our results, we propose that miR-631 functions

as a tumor suppressor and holds promise as a potential therapeutic agent for treating OSCC.

Key words: microRNAs; tumor suppressor; miR-631; *RAB11A*; OSCC; oral cancer.

Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) or oral cancer, a predominant malignancy of the epithelial layer of the oral cavity, accounts for a staggering 90% of all oral cancers [1]. Alarmingly, the Global Cancer Observatory reported 377,713 cases of OSCC in 2020, with a projected 40% rise by 2040 [GLOBOCAN 2022; https://gco.iarc.fr/]. In India, oral cancer ranks as the most common cancer in males and the fourth most common cancer in females [GLOBOCAN 2022; https://gco.iarc.fr/]. Despite advancements, the five-year survival rate for OSCC remains dismally below 50%, underscoring the urgent need for more effective therapeutic strategies [2]. OSCC is driven by a range of risk factors, including tobacco and alcohol consumption, smoking, betel quid chewing [3], HPV 16 or HPV 18 infections [4], nutrient deficiencies [5], genetic susceptibility, and poor oral hygiene [6].

Current treatment strategies for OSCC primarily rely on combinations of surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and targeted approaches, such as EGFR inhibitors like cetuximab [7]. While these methods have improved outcomes to some extent, the search for innovative therapies continues. In this context, microRNAs (miRs) have emerged as promising therapeutic agents across various diseases. These small, non-coding RNA molecules, typically ~18-25 nucleotides long, are transcribed by RNA polymerases II and III and regulate gene expression by binding to complementary sequences in target genes [8]. miRs influence diverse cellular processes, including cell-cell communication, development, immune responses, epigenetic regulation, circadian rhythms, and hallmarks of cancer [9]. Most commonly, they target the 3' untranslated regions (3'UTRs) of genes to inhibit translation or to degrade the RNA transcripts. However, their versatility extends further. Our group has recently demonstrated that miR-617 can activate gene expression by binding to the promoter region of *DDX27* [10]. miRs have also been shown to modulate gene expression by interacting with coding regions or 5'UTRs [9,11]. The therapeutic potential of miRs is already being explored in various diseases. For example, miR-155, miR-21, miR-92, and miR-16 have shown promise in treating T-cell lymphoma, Alport syndrome, wound healing, and mesothelioma, respectively [12]. Notably, miR-122 has advanced to phase II clinical trials for Hepatitis C treatment [12], thus further highlighting the transformative potential of these molecules in modern medicine.

miRs can act either as oncomiRs, which positively regulate oncogenes, or as tumor suppressor miRs, which negatively regulate oncogenes. A previous study from our laboratory

has revealed that miR-155 functions as an oncomiR in OSCC by negatively regulating the

CDC73 tumor suppressor gene [13]. Recent studies from our laboratory showed how tumor

suppressor miR-6741-3p and miR-198 target *SRSF3* and *TOPORS* genes, respectively, in

OSCC [14, 15]. The action of miRs is highly context- and tissue-specific. Factors such as the

amplification or deletion of miR genes, defects in miR biogenesis pathways, alterations in miR

transcription control, and dysregulated epigenetic modifications can contribute to cancer

development [16]. Smoking and alcohol consumption, the two primary risk factors for oral

cancer, are known to influence the epigenetic regulation of several protein-coding genes and

miRs directly associated with OSCC development [17]. Many miRs are epigenetically

dysregulated in OSCC [18]. Further, OSCC patient tissue samples exhibit higher levels of gene

hypermethylation compared to their normal counterparts [19]. Hypermethylation of gene

promoters, a hallmark of epigenetic regulation, can silence gene expression [10, 15]. When

tumor suppressor genes are affected, this silencing directly contributes to tumor development.

Encouragingly, reversing such methylation patterns can reactivate these critical genes, offering

a potential therapeutic strategy [20]. In a similar vein, miR genes with tumor-suppressive

potential are also susceptible to hypermethylation in cancer cells. Profiling the methylation

status of miRs in OSCC could uncover novel tumor suppressors, paving the way for innovative

diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. With this rationale, a previous study by our group

treated cells from an OSCC cell line SCC131 with 5-Azacytidine, a DNA methyl transferase

(DNMT) inhibitor, and using the microRNA microarray analysis of probes for 2,549

microRNAs showed the upregulation of 50 miRs and the downregulation of 28 miRs, including

the upregulation of miR-631 with a significant fold change of 4.39 [14]. Given the upregulation

in the microRNA microarray analysis [14], we conducted further experiments to validate the

role of miR-631 as a tumor suppressor in OSCC and explored its potential as a therapeutic

target for this disease. Further, this study also investigated the mechanism behind its

upregulation in OSCC cells treated with 5-Azacytidine, impact on various cancer hallmarks,

biological relevance using patient tumor samples, potential target genes as well as therapeutic

potential, using a xenograft nude mouse model.

Results

Validation of miR-631 upregulation in SCC131 cells post 5-Azacytidine treatment

As expected, the qRT-PCR analysis showed a significant upregulation of miR-631 in
5-Azacytidine treated SCC131 cells compared to those treated with the control (DMSO),
thereby validating the microarray data **(S1A Fig)**. The semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis also
showed a similar result **(S1B Fig)**.

miR-631 overexpression reduces proliferation of OSCC cells

miRs with tumor suppressive potential are often downregulated in cancer. Since miR-
631 expression increased in SCC131 cells after the 5-Azacytidine treatment, we aimed to
determine if it has a tumor suppressive nature. For this purpose, miR-631 was first cloned in
the pcDNA3-EGFP vector to generate the pmiR-631 construct. The effect of miR-631 on cell
proliferation was then analyzed by transiently transfecting pcDNA3-EGFP and pmiR-631
separately in cells from SCC131 and SCC084 OSCC cell lines, and the cell proliferation was
assessed by the trypan blue dye exclusion assay. Both SCC131 and SCC084 cells showed
reduced cell proliferation over the period of 4 days upon transfection with pmiR-631 in
comparison to those transfected with the vector **(S2A Fig)**. The effect of miR-631 on cell
proliferation was further confirmed by the BrdU incorporation assay in cells from both cell
lines **(S2B Fig)**. The results showed that miR-631 significantly reduces proliferation of cells
from both cell lines transfected with pmiR-631 as compared to those transfected with the vector
(S2 Fig).

Demethylation of the *MIR631* promoter causes miR-631 upregulation post 5-
Azacytidine treatment of cells

Since miR-631 was upregulated following the 5-Azacytidine treatment, we
hypothesized that this might be due to the removal of methylation from the *MIR631* promoter.
Since the promoter for this intronic miR remains uncharacterized, we first sought to
characterize the promoter of the *MIR631* gene, which is located in an intron between exons 5
and 6 in the antisense strand of the host gene *NEIL1* (Nei like DNA glycosylase 1) on
chromosome 15 **(S3 Fig)**. The direction of transcription for *MIR631* is opposite to the host gene
NEIL1 **(S3 Fig)**. Based on the bioinformatic prediction using the DBTSS, Promoter 2.0 and
Alggen Promo databases, a putative *MIR631* promoter sequence was retrieved **(S4 Fig)**, which
was then cloned in the pGL3-Basic vector to generate the pProm-MIR631 construct. pProm-

MIR631, pGL3-Control (positive control) and pGL3-Basic (negative control) were then

transiently transfected separately in SCC131 cells and the dual-luciferase reporter assay was

used to determine the promoter activity of the cloned *MIR631* sequence. The cloned *MIR631*

sequence in pProm-MIR631 showed an enhanced luciferase activity as compared to the pGL3-

Basic vector, suggesting that it represents the *MIR631* promoter **(Fig 1A)**. We then looked for

the methylation of a CpG-rich region in the *MIR631* promoter, using the sodium bisulfite PCR

sequencing **(Fig 1B)**. The results showed 70% methylation of CpG sites in 5-Azacytidine

treated cells compared to 98.60% methylation of CpG sites in DMEM-treated cells **(Fig 1C)**,

suggesting that the observed upregulation of miR-631 in 5-Azacytidine treated SCC131 cells

is due to demethylation of its promoter.

Fig 1. Characterization of the *MIR631* promoter and deciphering the mechanism of miR-

631 upregulation in SCC131 cells. (A) The dual-luciferase reporter assay shows an increased

luciferase activity for the putative promoter fragment cloned in pProm-MIR631. pGL3-Basic

is a promoter less vector, whereas pGL3-Control harbors the SV40 promoter and was used a

positive control. Each bar in the dual-luciferase reporter assay is an average of three technical

replicates. (B) Schematic representation of the *MIR631* promoter with a 212 bp long CpG

island harboring 14 CpG sites. Pre-miR-631 represents the location of the precursor sequence

of miR-631. (C) Schematic representation of the methylation pattern of the CpG island. Filled

circles represent methylated CpG sites, whereas empty circles represent non-methylated CpG

sites. Each line represents a single TA clone. *Abbreviations:* RLU, Relative light unit; Meth

Fp, Methylation specific forward primer; and, Meth Rp, Methylation specific reverse primer.

***In silico* identification and qRT-PCR analysis of putative gene targets of miR-631**

miRs are known to exert their effects through target genes. Therefore, to select a target

gene for miR-631, five different target gene prediction tools were used: TargetScan, miRwalk,

DIANA, miRabel, and miRDB **(S1 Table)**. We first focused on identifying target genes that

were commonly predicted by these tools **(S1 Table)** such as *AKR1B1* (Aldo-keto reductase

family member B1), *OASL* (Oligo adenyl synthase), *RAP1B* (Rab associated protein 1B),

RAB11A (Ras GTPase family member 11A) and *PTPRE* (Protein tyrosine phosphatase

receptor). *PTPRE* is already a known target of miR-631. We then also considered factors such

as the number of target sites present in the 3'UTR of each target gene, the predicted free energy

of binding between miR-631 and the target gene, and the accessibility of the target site for miR-

631 binding, using bioinformatics tools like STARFold [https://sfold.wadsworth.org/cgi-bin/], and UNAFold [https://www.unafold.org/] (**S2 Table).** Finally, we selected the following two potential target genes for further investigation: *RAB11A*, and *RAP1B*. To determine if miR-631 regulates these genes, we transfected pmiR-631 and the vector separately in SCC131 cells and assessed their transcript levels (**Figs 2A and S5)**. The results showed that miR-631 regulates the transcript level of *RAB11A* **(Fig 2A)**. miR-631 did not regulate the transcript level of *RAP1B* (**S5 Fig**). As expected, *PTPRE* was found to be regulated by miR-631 **(S5 Fig)**. miR-631 also regulated the protein level of RAB11A **(Fig 2A)**. To determine if there is dose-dependent regulation of RAB11A by miR-631, we transfected different quantities of pmiR-631 in SCC131 cells and assessed the levels of RAB11A transcript and protein **(Fig 2B)**. The results showed that the levels of both transcript and protein of RAB11A decreases in a dose-dependent manner with increasing quantities of pmiR-631 **(Fig 2B)**. Since miR-631 was found to be upregulated following the 5-Azacytidine treatment of SCC131 cells, we next examined the transcript and protein levels of RAB11A in 5-Azacytidine treated SCC131 cells **(Fig 2C)**. As expected, the miR-631 level was upregulated and RAB11A transcript and protein levels were downregulated in 5-Azacytidine treated cells compared to those treated with DMSO, further suggesting that miR-631 regulates the level of RAB11A **(Fig 2C)**.

Fig 2. Identification of *RAB11A* as a gene target for miR-631. (A) Overexpression of miR-631 using the pmiR-631 construct in SCC131 cells leads to reduced RAB11A transcript and protein levels. (B) The dose-dependent regulation of RAB11A transcript and protein levels in SCC131 cells with increasing quantities of pmiR-631. (C) Reduced levels of RAB11A transcript and protein following the 5-Azacytidine treatment of SCC131 cells with the concomitant increase in miR-631 levels. Each bar for qRT-PCR is an average of two technical replicates.

Confirmation of the direct interaction between miR-631 and 3'UTR of *RAB11A*

The bioinformatics analysis predicted the presence of a target site (TS) in the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* for the seed region (SR) of miR-631 **(Fig 3A)**, suggesting a potential interaction between them. Notably, the predicted TS for miR-631 showed conservation across different species, as indicated by the TargetScan program **(Fig 3A).** We then used the dual-luciferase reporter assay to experimentally verify the direct interaction between miR-631 and the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* and therefore generated the following constructs in the pMIR-REPORT™ vector: p3'UTR-RAB11A-S with the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* in a sense-orientation, p3'UTR-RAB11A-M

harboring the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* with mutated TS, and p3'UTR-PTPRE-S with the 3'UTR of
PTPRE in a sense-orientation. We also generated another construct for miR-631 (pmiR-631-
M) in the pcDNA3-EGFP vector in which the SR was mutated by the site-directed mutagenesis
(Fig 3B). We then co-transfected different *RAB11A* 3'UTR constructs (e.g., p3'UTR-RAB11A-
S and p3'UTR-RAB11A-M) separately with the vector, pmiR-631 or pmiR-631-M in SCC131
cells and determined the luciferase activity. The cells co-transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-
S and pmiR-631 showed a significantly reduced luciferase activity as compared to those co-
transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and pcDNA3-EGFP **(Fig 3C)**. The cells co-transfected
with p3'UTR-RAB11A-M and pmiR-631 showed luciferase activity comparable to those co-
transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and pcDNA3-EGFP, due to the absence of a functional
TS in 3'UTR of *RAB11A* in the p3'UTR-RAB11A-M construct **(Fig 3C)**. Similarly, cells co-
transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and pmiR-631-M showed no difference in the luciferase
activity as compared to those co-transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and pcDNA3-EGFP
(Fig 3C), due to the absence of SR in pmiR-631-M construct. As expected, the cells transfected
with p3'UTR-PTPRE-S and pmiR-631 showed a significantly reduced luciferase activity
compared to those co-transfected with p3'UTR-PTPRE-S and pcDNA3-EGFP **(Fig 3C)**. These
results suggested that the SR of miR-631 interacts directly with the TS of the 3'UTR of
RAB11A in a sequence-specific manner.

Fig 3. Confirmation of a direct interaction between miR-631 and the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*
(A) Conservation of the putative TS in the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* across different species for the
SR of miR-631. (B) Schematic representation of the constructs used in the dual-luciferase
reporter assay. The X indicates abrogated TS or SR in a construct. (C) The dual-luciferase
reporter assay in SCC131 cells co-transfected with different constructs. Note, reduced RLU in
cells co-transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and pmiR-631 compared to those co-transfected
with the vector control and pmiR-631. Each bar is an average of three technical replicates.
Abbreviations: TS, Target Site; and SR, Seed Region.

Overexpression of RAB11A increases proliferation of OSCC cells

As previously discussed, miRs carry out their effects by targeting specific genes. Since
miR-631 reduces proliferation of both SCC131 and SCC084 cells, we wanted to determine the
role of its target gene *RAB11A* on cell proliferation. To investigate this, the pRAB11A construct
harboring the entire ORF of *RAB11A* and the cloning vector pcDNA3.1(+) were transiently
transfected separately in SCC131 and SCC084 cells and the cell proliferation was determined,

using the trypan blue dye exclusion method. The results revealed a significant increase in the proliferation of both SCC131 and SCC084 cells transfected with pRAB11A compared to those transfected with the vector, indicating the pro-proliferative role of *RAB11A* in OSCC **(S6A Fig).** The same hypothesis was further tested using the BrdU incorporation assay, and the absorbance values indicated that both SCC131 and SCC084 cells transfected with pRAB11A showed increased cell proliferation compared to those transfected with the vector, further confirming the pro-proliferative role of *RAB11A* **(S6B Fig)**.

Inverse correlation of miR-631 and *RAB11A* levels across various cancer cell lines and OSCC patient samples

Prior experiments have validated that miR-631 targets *RAB11A* and reduces its expression at both the protein and transcript levels. To comprehend the biological significance of the interaction of miR-631 and *RAB11A*, we assessed the expression of both miR-631 and *RAB11A*, using the qRT-PCR analysis in various cancer cell lines. In general, we observed an inverse correlation in the expression of miR-631 and its target gene *RAB11A* among the cell lines **(S7 Fig)**. For example, the level of miR-631 was reduced in A549 cells, whereas the level of *RAB11A* was increased in these cells **(S7 Fig)**.

We also assessed the expression levels of miR-631 and *RAB11A* in 36 matched normal oral tissue and OSCC patient samples by qRT-PCR **(S8 Fig)**. The miR-631 expression was downregulated in 11/36 patient samples [viz., patient samples 50, 52, 55, 56, 62, 64, 68, 72, 82, 86, and 90], and its level was upregulated in 17/36 samples [viz., patient samples 38, 41, 54, 58, 61, 66, 69, 71, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 87, 88, and 89]. The *RAB11A* expression was upregulated in 13/36 patient samples [viz., 52, 55, 56, 57, 62, 64, 65, 68, 70, 76, 85, 89, and 90], whereas its levels was downregulated in 20/36 patient samples [viz., patient samples 38, 41, 50, 58, 61, 66, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 86, 87, and 88]. In 8/36 samples, miR-631 levels did not show any change [viz., patient samples 57, 63, 65, 70, 74, 75, 83, and 85]. The levels of *RAB11A* did not show any change in 3/36 patient samples [viz., patient samples 54, 63, and 79]. miR-631 was downregulated, whereas *RAB11A* was upregulated in 7/36 samples [viz., patient samples 52, 55, 56, 62, 64, 68, 90]. miR-631 showed upregulation and *RAB11A* showed downregulation in 14/36 samples [viz., patient samples 38, 41, 58, 61, 66, 69, 71, 74, 77, 78, 80, 81, 87, and 88]. Overall, 21/36 (58.33%) patient samples (viz. patient samples 38, 41, 52, 55, 56, 58, 61, 62, 64, 66, 68, 69, 71, 74, 77, 78, 80, 81, 87, 88 and 90)

280   showed an inverse correlation in the expression of miR-631 and *RAB11A* **(S8 Fig)**, suggesting
281   the physiological relevance of their interaction.

Expression of *RAB11A* at the protein level is regulated by the presence of its 3'UTR

As shown above, the reduced level of RAB11A results due to a direct binding of miR-
631 to the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* **(Fig 3)**. To investigate if the protein levels of RAB11A depend
on the presence or the absence of its 3'UTR, we used the following constructs: pRAB11A
harboring a complete *RAB11A* ORF without its 3'UTR, pRAB11A-UTR-S harboring a
complete ORF of *RAB11A* and its 3'UTR in a sense orientation, and pRAB11A-UTR-M
harboring a complete ORF of *RAB11A* with its 3'UTR with mutated TS **(Fig 4A)**. We then
transiently transfected the vector control [pcDNA3.1(+)] only or pRAB11A, pRAB11A-UTR-
S and pRAB11A-UTR-M separately with pmiR-631 in SCC131 and SCC084 cells and
assessed the levels of RAB11A protein by Western blotting **(Figs 4A and S9A)**. The results
showed that the RAB11A level is reduced in cells co-transfected with the vector and pmiR-631
compared to those transfected with the vector control only, because of miR-631 targeting the
endogenous *RAB11A* **(Figs 4A and S9A)**. An increased level of RAB11A was observed in
cells co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A as compared to those co-transfected with
the vector and pmiR-631 **(Figs 4A and S9A)**. A reduced level of RAB11A was observed in
cells co-transfected with pRAB11A-UTR-S and pmiR-631 as compared to those co-transfected
with pRAB11A and pmiR-631, due to the presence of a functional TS in the 3'UTR of
pRAB11A-UTR-S **(Figs 4A and S9A)**. Further, an increased level of RAB11A was observed
in cells co-transfected with pRAB11A-UTR-M and pmiR-631 as compared with those co-
transfected with pRAB11A-UTR-S and pmiR-631, because of the absence of TS in the 3'UTR
of pRAB11A-UTR-M **(Figs 4A and S9A)**. These observations suggested that the RAB11A
expression depends on the presence or absence of its 3'UTR and is, in part, regulated by miR-
631.

miR-631 regulates cancer hallmarks, in part, by targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*

We then wanted to understand the effect of miR-631-mediated regulation of *RAB11A*
on a few hallmarks of cancer, such as cell proliferation, anchorage-independent growth, and
apoptosis. To this end, we co-transfected pRAB11A or pRAB11A-UTR-S, and pRAB11A-
UTR-M constructs separately with pmiR-631 in SCC131 and SCC084 cells and assessed cell
proliferation using both trypan blue dye exclusion **(Figs 4B and S9B)** and BrdU incorporation
(Figs 4C and S9C) assays. As expected, we observed a decreased rate of proliferation of cells

co-transfected with pmiR-631 and vector compared with those transfected with the vector only **(Figs 4B, 4C, S9B and S9C)** due to targeting of endogenous *RAB11A* by miR-631. Further, we observed a decreased rate of cell proliferation in cells co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A-UTR-S compared with those co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A, due to the presence of a functional TS in the 3'UTR of pRAB11A-UTR-S **(Figs 4B, 4C, S9B and S9C)**. As expected, we observed no significant difference in the rate of proliferation of cells co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A compared with those co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A-UTR-M, due to the absence of a functional TS in 3'UTR of pRAB11A-UTR-M. These observations highlighted the negative regulation of cell proliferation by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*.

Fig 4. Regulation of *RAB11A* by miR-631 is mediated by its 3'UTR and the impact of this regulation on proliferation of SCC131 cells. (A) The RAB11A protein levels are dependent on the presence or absence of a functional TS in its 3'UTR for miR-631. Schematic diagrams of different *RAB11A* constructs are shown above the Western blots. (B) The negative regulation of cell proliferation by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*, using the trypan blue dye exclusion assay. (B) The negative regulation of cell proliferation by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A,* using the BrdU incorporation assay. Each datapoint is an average of three values.

To investigate the effect of miR-631-mediated regulation of *RAB11A* on anchorage-independent growth of OSCC cells, we transfected the vector only or co-transfected pRAB11A, pRAB11A-UTR-S and pRAB11A-UTR-M separately with pmiR-631 in SCC084 and SCC131 cells and observed the colony formation ability by the soft agar colony-forming assay **(Figs 5A and S10A)**. As expected, we observed a reduced number of colonies in cells co-transfected with pmiR-631 and the vector compared with those transfected with the vector only **(Figs 5A and S10A)**. Further, a reduced number of colonies was observed in cells co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A-UTR-S compared with those co-transfected with pRAB11A and pmiR-631 **(Figs 5A and S10A)**, due to binding of miR-631 to the TS in the pRAB11A-UTR-S. Further, no significant difference in the number of colonies was observed in cells co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A compared with those co-transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A-UTR-M, due to the absence of a functional TS in pRAB11A-UTR-M **(Figs 5A and S10A)**. These results clearly suggested a negative regulation of miR-631 on the anchorage-independent growth, in part, by targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*.

To determine if miR-631 regulates apoptosis by targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*, we

transfected the vector only or co-transfected pRAB11A, pRAB11A-UTR-S and pRAB11A-

UTR-M separately with pmiR-631 in SCC084 and SCC131 and performed caspase-3 assay

(Figs 5B and S10B). We observed a significant increase in the rate of apoptosis in cells co-

transfected with pmiR-631 and the vector compared with those transfected with the vector only

(Figs 5B and S10B). The percentage of caspase-3 positive cells was significantly higher in

cells transfected pmiR-631 and the vector compared with those co-transfected with pRAB11A

and pmiR-631, due to ectopic expression of oncogenic *RAB11A* **(Figs 5B and S10B)**. Further,

no significant difference in the percentage of caspase-3 positive cells was observed in cells co-

transfected with pmiR-631 and pRAB11A compared with those co-transfected with pmiR-631

and pRAB11A-UTR-M, due to the absence of a functional TS in pRAB11A-UTR-M **(Figs 5B**

and S10B). These observations suggested that miR-631 positively regulates apoptosis of

SCC131 and SCC084 cells, in part, by directly targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*.

Fig. 5. Regulation of anchorage-independent growth and apoptosis by miR-631, in part,

via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* in SCC131 cells. (A) The negative regulation of

anchorage-independent growth by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*.

Representative microphotographs of the colonies for SCC131 cells co-transfected with pmiR-

631 and different *RAB11A* overexpression constructs or vector control from the soft agar

colony-forming assay are shown on the right. The bar represents 100 nm. (B) The positive

regulation of apoptosis by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*. Each

datapoint is an average of three values.

Role of the miR-631/*RAB11A* axis in regulating Wnt signaling in OSCC cells

One of the aberrant pathways implicated in oral cancer is the Wnt signaling pathway

[21]. To gauge the activation of Wnt signaling, a gold-standard reporter assay employing the

TOPflash reporter construct (TCF Reporter Plasmid) was used. This construct incorporates a

minimal fos promoter coupled to TCF-binding sites upstream to the firefly luciferase gene.

When the Wnt signaling is activated, the luciferase activity increases due to the greater amount

of beta-catenin binding to the TCF site. In our study, we assessed the luciferase activity

following the overexpression of pRAB11A or pmiR-631 in both SCC131 and SCC084 cells

(S11 Fig). The results revealed a significant increase in the luciferase activity with RAB11A

overexpression **(S11A Fig)**, whereas miR-631 overexpression led to a reduced luciferase

activity **(S11B Fig)**. These experiments confirmed the activation of Wnt signaling in OSCC

cells by RAB11A, which was diminished when miR-631 was overexpressed **(S11 Fig)**.

To determine whether RAB11A-mediated Wnt signaling activation in OSCC cells is

regulated by miR-631, we employed the same *RAB11A* constructs (i.e., pRAB11A, pRAB11A-

UTR-S and pRAB11A-UTR-M) used earlier. We co-transfected SCC131 cells with the

TOPflash reporter plasmid and pmiR-631 or TOPflash reporter plasmid and vector and

determined the luciferase activity. The results showed a decreased luciferase activity in cells

co-transfected with the TOPflash reporter plasmid and pmiR-631 compared to those co-

transfected with the TOPflash reporter plasmid and vector **(S12 Fig)**. A significantly increased

luciferase activity was observed in cells co-transfected with TOPflash, pmiR-631 and

pRAB11A compared to those co-transfected with TOPflash and pmiR-631, suggesting that

RAB11A enhances the Wnt signaling **(S12 Fig)**. However, the luciferase activity decreased in

SCC131 cells co-transfected with TOPflash, pRAB11A-UTR-S and pmiR-631 compared to

those co-transfected with TOPflash, pRAB11A-UTR-M and pmiR-631 **(S12 Fig).** This

resulted due to the absence of miR-631 TS in the pRAB11A-UTR-M construct. The above

observations suggested that RAB11A contributes to OSCC progression by enhancing Wnt

signaling. Conversely, miR-631 acts as a negative regulator of Wnt signaling at least, in part,

by targeting *RAB11A*. Similar results were observed in SCC084 cells as well **(S12 Fig)**.

miR-631 synthetic mimic suppresses *in vivo* tumor growth in nude mice

Since miR-631 showed anti-proliferative role in OSCC, we then wanted to investigate

the efficacy of a synthetic mimic of miR-631 in reducing OSCC xenografts in nude mice. To

achieve this, we first optimized the dosage of a synthetic miR-631 mimic in reducing RAB11A

levels in SCC131 cells. To this end, we first transfected increasing quantities of the miR-631

mimic in SCC131 cells and assessed the levels of miR-631 and RAB11A **(S13 Fig)**. Notably,

all the three quantities of the mimic (i.e., 200 nM, 400 nM and 600 nM) demonstrated a

significant decrease in both RAB11A transcript and protein levels, accompanied by a

corresponding increase in miR-631 transcript levels **(S13 Fig)**. We then chose the 400 nM of

the synthetic miR-631 mimic as the dosage for the subsequent experiments in nude mice **(S13**

Fig).

To determine if the synthetic miR-631 mimic reduces OSCC xenografts in nude mice,

we transfected SCC131 cells with both the miR-631 mimic and a mimic control separately.

Post 24 hr of transfection, we injected equal number of cells from each transfection in both

flanks of three female nude mice in each group, i.e., control mimic and synthetic miR-631 **(Fig**
6A). Tumor growth was monitored for 23 days post-injection. As expected, there was a
significant reduction in weight and volume of OSCC xenografts in the group treated with the
synthetic miR-631 mimic compared to those in the control group **(Fig 6B)**. We also excised
the xenografts from the mice on Day 23, and determined the levels of miR-631 and RAB11A
to see if the synthetic miR-631 mimic is able to reduce the levels of RAB11A transcript and
protein. The results showed that the levels of both RAB11A transcript and protein are reduced
in OSCC xenografts treated with the miR-631 mimic compared to those treated with the control
mimic **(Fig 6C)**. These findings confirm that miR-631 acts as a tumor suppressor in OSCC.

Fig 6. The effect of a synthetic miR-631 mimic on SCC131 cells-derived xenografts in
nude mice. (A) Top panel: Photographs of nude mice showing tumor growth on Day 23 of
injection of cells pre-treated with a synthetic miR-631 Mimic (MM) or Mimic Control (MC).
Bottom Panel: Excised xenografts from each mouse on Day 23. Xenografts are circled by red
dash lines and labelled Left (L) and Right (R) according to the flanks they were excised from.
Note, there was no tumor formation in nude mouse, MC3. (B) Effect of a synthetic miR-631
mimic on tumor volumes during a time course of 7-23 days, and overall tumor weight of
xenografts on Day 23. (C) qRT-PCR and Western blot analyzes of excised xenografts from the
miR-631 mimic and the mimic control groups. Note, increased levels of miR-631 (Top panel)
are correlated with reduced levels of RAB11A (Bottom panel) in xenografts with the miR-631
mimic as compared to those with the mimic control.

Discussion

Studies have shown that miR-631 functions as a tumor suppressor in multiple cancers [22-26]. For example, miR-631 targets the 3'UTR of the *PTPRE* oncogene in hepatocellular carcinoma, whereas it targets the *ZAP70* oncogene in prostate cancer to inhibit invasion and migration of prostate cancer cells [22, 23]. In the context of multiple myeloma, miR-631 targets and downregulates the *UBCH10* gene [24]. It re-sensitizes doxorubicin-resistant chondrosarcoma cells by targeting the *APLN* gene [25]. It also targets the *E2F2* gene in non-small cell lung carcinoma and inhibits the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway [26]. Additionally, it plays important roles in female reproductive physiology, and polymorphisms associated with miR-631 are linked to recurrent implantation failure [27]. Bioinformatic predictions indicate that it is differentially expressed in ovarian carcinoma and is predicted to be downregulated in medulloblastoma as well [28, 29]. Our study has shown that miR-631 reduces proliferation of OSCC cells and OSCC xenografts in nude mice, thus further strengthening its potential as a tumor suppressor **(Figs S2 and 6)**. Thus, miR-631 is another tumor suppressor microRNA in a growing list of tumor suppressor microRNAs that play a role in the pathogenesis of OSCC such as let-7a, let-7b, let-7c, let-7d, let-7e, let-7f, let-7g, let-7i, miR-26a, miR-99a-5p, miR-137, miR-139-5p, miR-143-3p, miR-184, miR-375, miR-617, miR-198, miR-6741-3p [10, 14, 15, 18].

The upregulation of miR-631 in SCC131 cells following the 5-Azacytidine treatment could be due to one of the two possibilities: 1) demethylation of the *MIR631* gene promoter or 2) demethylation of the promoter of a transcriptional factor, which upon upregulation, binds to the *MIR631* promoter and upregulates its expression. To investigate the first possibility, we characterized the *MIR631* promoter and assessed its methylation pattern in 5-Azacytidine-treated and untreated cells, using sodium bisulfite sequencing **(Fig 1)**. The analysis showed reduced methylation across 14 CpG sites in the *MIR631* promoter in the 5-Azacytidine-treated cells compared to untreated cells **(Fig 1)**, suggesting that the demethylation of the *MIR631* promoter causes its upregulation in SCC131 cells. The demethylation of two other tumor suppressor miR-617 and miR-198 promoters has also been shown to cause their upregulations in OSCC cells following the 5-Azacytidine treatment [10, 16].

Each miR exerts its effect through multiple target genes, making its role context-specific and tissue-specific. But in the context of OSCC, there are no known targets for miR-631. We shortlisted predicted target genes for miR-631 using five miR target gene prediction

tools and focused on those that are commonly identified across all tools for further analysis.
After a detailed analysis using various bioinformatic tools as mentioned earlier and *in vitro*
assays, *RAB11A* was observed to be the direct target of miR-631 **(Fig 3C)**. We found that miR-
631 reduces RAB11A transcript and protein levels in a dose-dependent manner **(Fig 2B).** Since
miR-631 shows upregulation post 5-Azacytidine treatment, we also confirmed that the same
treatment renders RAB11A levels down because of its upregulation **(Fig 2C)**.

RAB11A is a member of Ras associated GTPase family and is located on chromosome
15q22.31 **(S3 Fig)**. It is a known oncogene with roles in skin cancer, NSCLC, breast cancer,
pancreatic cancer, and gastric cancer [30, 31]. In the context of breast cancer, miR-320a, and
miR-452 are shown to downregulate the expression of *RAB11A* to control tumor progression
[32, 33]. RAB11A upregulates YAP and Cyclins in NSCLC, whereas it upregulates p-Akt in
gastric cancer [30, 31]. In case of ovarian cancer, RAB11A is downregulated by miR-193a-5p
[34]. However, it was recently shown in head and neck carcinoma that RAB11A suppresses
cancer progression by regulating EGFR recycling and epithelial cell adhesion molecule
(EpCAM) exosome secretion in cells [35]. As a previously established oncogene having no
characterized functions known in OSCC, we looked further at the role of *RAB11A* in OSCC.
The effect of RAB11A on the cell proliferation was assessed by overexpressing the *RAB11A*
ORF in SCC131 and SCC084 cells. As expected, RAB11A was shown to increase the rate of
proliferation of OSCC cells, indicating its pro-proliferative nature, which is a known feature of
oncogenes **(S6 Fig)**.

To analyze the biological relevance of the interaction between miR-631 and *RAB11A*,
we assessed their transcript levels in different cancer cell lines such as U2Os, A549, HeLa,
Ln229 and MDA-MB-231 along with SCC131 and SCC084. A549 and U2Os with the lowest
levels of miR-631 showed higher expression levels of *RAB11A,* whereas Ln229 and MB-231
with comparatively higher levels of miR-631 showed reduced levels of *RAB11A* **(S7 Fig)**.
Thus, in general, an inverse correlation was observed in the expression pattern of miR-631 and
RAB11A across the cell lines **(S7 Fig)**. Similar analysis was also conducted in 36 matched
normal oral tissue and OSCC patient samples **(S8 Fig)**. Of the 36 patient samples, 21 (58.33%)
showed an inverse correlation in the expression levels of miR-631 and *RAB11A*, suggesting the
physiological relevance of their interaction **(S8 Fig)**. However, no inverse correlation in their
expression levels was observed in rest of the OSCC samples **(S8 Fig)**. We believe that this
could be due to tumor heterogeneity, redundancy of splicing factors, additional regulatory
levels of *RAB11A*, variable etiopathogenesis, and the heterogeneous genetic constitution of

each patient [36, 37]. Similar inconsistencies in expression levels of miRs and their target genes
have also been reported by other studies [10, 14, 15, 38].

Given the potential for multiple miRNAs to regulate a single gene target, we
specifically investigated whether the observed regulation results from a direct interaction
between miR-631 and the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*. It was found that miR-631 exerts its regulation
on RAB11A levels only when the target site is present in the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* **(Figs 3, 4A,**
and S9A), and when the target site was mutated, RAB11A levels were not regulated by miR-
631 **(Figs 3, 4A and S9A)**. Since the anti-proliferative nature of miR-631 and pro-proliferative
nature of RAB11A are already shown by our experiments **(Figs S2 and S6)**, we then wanted
to check the effect of their interaction on a few hallmarks of cancer such as cell proliferation,
anchorage-independent growth, and apoptosis. Both trypan blue dye exclusion cell
proliferation and BrdU incorporation assays showed that miR-631 regulates proliferation of
both SCC131 and SCC084 cells, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* **(Figs 4B, 4C,**
S9B and **S9C)**. Another interesting cancer hallmark we investigated was the ability of cancer
cells to form colonies when grown in a soft agar growth medium, which indicated their
potential to grow in an anchorage-independent manner. Even this potential was enhanced by
RAB11A, whereas miR-631 reduced anchorage-independent growth **(Figs 5A and S10A)**, in
part, by targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*. Further, miR-631 as a tumor suppressor increased
cellular apoptosis in both SCC131 and SCC084 cells, in part, by targeting the 3'UTR of
RAB11A **(Figs 5B and S10B)**. RAB11A is already known for its potential in promoting cancer
progression hallmarks in other cancers such as breast, gastric, and ovarian cancers [29-33]. Our
study thus further supports these observations.

Since miR-631 targets *RAB11A* in its downstream functions, we therefore looked for
the different pathways this interaction could be impacting. It was previously shown that
RAB11A promotes Wnt signaling pathway and aids in cancer progression in pancreatic cancer
[39]. As Wnt signaling has not been studied in OSCC so far, we went ahead and checked the
activation of Wnt signaling in SCC131 and SCC084 cells, using the TOPFlash Wnt reporter
plasmid [40]. The Wnt activation was analyzed in the overexpression background of *RAB11A*,
and it was found to be upregulated **(S11 Fig)**. However, upon miR-631 overexpression, the
Wnt activation was significantly reduced, indicating that miR-631 downregulates Wnt
Signaling by binding to the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*, and this regulation was reduced when the target
site of miR-631 was mutated **(S12 Fig)**. It was shown in a previous study that RAB11A sustains
Wnt signaling in pancreatic cancer [39], and it mediates the proliferation and motility of

esophageal cancer cells through Wnt signaling [41]. In OSCC, Wnt signaling is a widely dysregulated biomolecular pathway [42]. For example, it was shown that an increased WNT5A expression in the tumor tissue is likely to promote progression of OSCC [42]. Also, overexpression of *β*-catenin promoted cisplatin resistance in OSCC in *vitro* and *in vivo* [43]. Further, many Wnt ligands such as WNT1, WNT3A, WNT7B, WNT11, FZD7, TCF4, DKK1/2/3, and SFRP1/2/4/5 are known to be dysregulated in OSCC [21]. However, the present study is the first one to explore the effect of RAB11A on Wnt signaling in the context of OSCC.

Since the discovery of microRNA molecules as potential targets for cancer therapy, studies have investigated their applications in cancer treatment [14, 15, 44]. Synthetic miR mimics are artificially designed small RNA molecules that replicate the function of endogenous microRNAs. However, they undergo chemical modifications to enhance stability and reduce degradation. These modifications primarily involve replacing the 2′-hydroxy group of ribose with fluoro (F) or methoxy (OMe) residues to prevent nucleolytic degradation and improve duplex stability as well as substituting phosphodiester bonds with a phosphorothioate moiety to resist DNase cleavage [44]. In cancers, a tumor suppressor miR mimic can bind to its oncogene targets and suppress their action [45]. Since miR-631 was shown to be a tumor suppressor in OSCC and one of its target genes is an oncogene *RAB11A*, we wanted to assess its tumor suppressive potential in *in vivo* OSCC xenograft nude mouse model, using a synthetic miR-631 mimic. The results showed that the miR-631 mimic reduces tumor weight and growth of OSCC xenografts in nude mice **(Fig 6)**, and thus confirming that miR-631 is indeed a tumor suppressor in OSCC, and it can be used for developing a potential therapeutic agent for OSCC, and perhaps other cancers as well. In a systemic mouse model for non-small cell lung cancer, introduction of tumor suppressor miR-34a mimic led to decrease in tumor burden [46]. Relevant tumor growth inhibition and survival improvement were observed in nude mice bearing *TP53*-mutated multiple myeloma xenografts treated with miR-34a mimics [46]. More et al. [14] have recently shown that the tumor suppressor miR-6741-3p mimic reduces size and weight of OSCC xenografts in nude mice. Further, Sarkar et al. [15] have showed that a miR-617 synthetic mimic reduces size and weight of OSCC xenografts in nude mice. Similarly, miR-198 mimic also reduces size and weight of OSCC xenografts in nude mice [15].

In summary, our study is the first to establish the tumor-suppressive role of miR-631 in OSCC, demonstrating its ability to regulate key cancer hallmarks, modulate Wnt signaling, and suppress tumor growth in nude mice, in part, by targeting the oncogene *RAB11A*. We have

identified promoter methylation as the primary cause of miR-631 downregulation in OSCC

and observed an inverse correlation in expression levels of miR-631 and *RAB11A* in various

cancer cell lines and OSCC patient samples, suggesting the physiological relevance of their

interaction. Further, the present study highlights the potential of miR-631 as a future

therapeutic target for OSCC.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

The cell lines, UPCI: SCC131 and UPCI: SCC084, used in this study are a kind gift

from Dr. Susanne M. Gollin (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) [47] and also

purchased from the Leibniz institute DSMZ (http://www.dsmz.de). A549 cells were procured

from Prof. Upendra Nongthomba's laboratory, Department of Developmental Biology and

Genetics, Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore. U2Os cells were obtained from Prof.

Ganesh Nagaraju's laboratory, Department of Biochemistry, IISc, Bangalore. MB-231 cells

were obtained from Dr. Ramray Bhat's laboratory, Department of Developmental Biology and

Genetics, IISc, Bangalore. HeLa cells were obtained from Dr. Sachin Kotak's laboratory,

Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, IISc, Bangalore. Ln229 was obtained from

Prof. Kumaravel Somasundaram's laboratory Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology,

IISc, Bangalore.

In silico **identification of targets for miR-631**

Five gene target prediction programs, namely miRDB [48; https://mirdb.org/cgi-

bin/search.cgi], DIANA-microT-CDS [49; https://dianalab.e

ce.uth.gr/html/dianauniverse/index.php?r=microT_CDS], miRwalk [50;

http://mirwalk.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/], miRabel [51; http://bioinfo.univ-

rouen.fr/mirabel/view/result.php?page=mir], and TargetScan [52,

https://www.targetscan.org//] were used to identify target genes for miR-631 **(S1 Table)**.

Patient sample collection

This study used a total of 36 matched normal oral tissue and OSCC patient samples that

were collected at the Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology (KMIO), Bangalore, from 13th

July, 2018 to 14th November, 2018. The study was conducted with written informed consent

from the patients and approval from the ethics committee of the KMIO (approval #KMIO/MEC/021/05.January.2018). It adhered to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. The samples, consisting of surgically resected tissues from oral cancerous lesions and adjacent normal tissues (taken from the farthest margin of surgical resection), were preserved in RNALater^{TM} (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and stored at -80˚C until further use. Tumors were staged according to the TNM (Tumor, Node, and Metastasis) classification of the UICC (International Union against Cancer; https://www.uicc.org/). The summary of the patient cohort is tabulated in **S3 Table**, and details of clinicopathological features for each patient sample is mentioned in **S4 Table**.

Total RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA from cell lines and tissues was isolated using the TRI Reagent^{TM} (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). RNA was quantitated using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using 1–2 μg of total RNA and a Verso cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The expression of miR-631 was determined as suggested by Sharbati-Tehrani [53], using specific primers **(S5 Table)**. The change in expression of *RAB11A* was determined using qRT-PCR and transcript specific primers **(S5 Table)**.

***In silico* identification of the putative *MIR631* promoter**

The putative promoter sequence for the *MIR631* gene was retrieved from the DBTSS database [54; https://dbtss.hgc.jp/], and verified using promoter identifying algorithms such as Promoter 2.0 [55; https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/Promoter-2.0/] and Alggen Promo [56; http://alggen.lsi.upc.es/].

Plasmid constructs

To overexpress miR-631 in cells, the pmiR-631 construct was generated in the pcDNA3-EGFP vector, downstream to the CMV promoter. The promoter characterization of *MIR631* was conducted using constructs where putative promoter fragment retrieved from the DBTSS database was cloned upstream to the luciferase gene in the pGL3-Basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to generate pProm-MIR631. Overexpression of *RAB11A* was carried out by cloning *RAB11A* ORF in the pcDNA3.1(+) vector to generate pRAB11A.

The direct binding of miR-631 to the *RAB11A* 3'UTR was confirmed by a dual-luciferase reporter assay. For this experiment, two constructs namely p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and p3'UTR-RAB11A-M were generated. The p3'UTR-RAB11A-S construct includes an intact 7-mer target site for miR-631 binding in the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* and was cloned in the pMIR-REPORT™ vector (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). Whereas, the p3'UTR-RAB11A-M construct containing a mutated 3'UTR that lacks the miR-631 target site was generated by site-directed mutagenesis, using a standard laboratory protocol [57]. As a positive control, the 3' UTR of the *PTPRE* gene was also cloned in the pmiR-REPORT™ vector, and the construct was named as p3'UTR-PTPRE-S.

To further examine the ability of miR-631 to downregulate RAB11A protein levels via direct binding to the *RAB11A* 3'UTR, two additional constructs were generated: pRAB11A-UTR-S and pRAB11A-UTR-M. To this end, the *RAB11A* ORF that was cloned in the pcDNA3.1(+) vector (i.e., pRAB11A) was linked to either the *RAB11A* 3'UTR in a sense orientation (pRAB11A-UTR-S) or the mutated 3'UTR lacking the miR-631 binding site (pRAB11A-UTR-M). All the constructs used in the study were validated by restriction enzyme digestion and Sanger sequencing on a 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Details of the primers used in generating the above constructs are given in **S6 Table**.

Cell culture, transient transfection, and reporter assays

Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1X antibiotic-antimycotic solution [DMEM and 1X antibiotic-antimycotic solution purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA; FBS purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA] in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37˚C.

SCC131 or SCC084 cells were seeded at a density of 2×10^6 cells/well in a 6-well plate and transiently transfected with an appropriate construct or co-transfected with a combination of constructs, using the Lipofectamine™ 2000 transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol. Post 48 hr of transfection, total RNA and protein were isolated from cells as described below.

The direct interaction between the 3'UTR of the target gene and miR-631 as well as the promoter activity of the constructs were determined, using a dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). For this purpose, 5×10^4 cells/well were transfected with different constructs as mentioned above. The assay was carried out after 48 hr of transfection

in SCC131 cells, using the dual-luciferase reporter assay and the VICTOR X multilabel plate

reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The transfection efficiency in the dual-luciferase

reporter assay was normalized by co-transfecting with the pRL-TK control vector [10, 13].

For the Wnt signaling analysis, the TOPflash reporter plasmid (Sigma-Aldrich, St.

Louis, MO, USA) was transiently transfected along with other overexpression construct(s) for

in SCC131 cells (5×10^4 cells/well) as described by Choi et al. [40]. The transfection efficiency

in the dual-luciferase reporter assay was normalized by co-transfecting with the pRL-TK

control vector and the TOPflash control vector (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) [10, 13].

5-Azacytidine treatment of cells

679   SCC131 cells were grown for 24 hr and treated with 5-Azacytidine (Sigma-Aldrich, St.

680 Louis, MO, USA) at a final concentration of 5 µM or the vehicle control DMSO (Sigma-

681 Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) separately for 5 days. Total RNA, protein, and genomic DNA

682 were isolated from 5-Azacytidine- and DMSO-treated cells for further studies [10].

Methylation analysis of the _MIR631_ promoter

Genomic DNA isolated from 5-Azacytidine- and DMSO-treated cells were treated with

3.25 M sodium bisulphite (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) to convert non-methylated

cytosines to uracil, according to Frommer et al. [58]. The converted DNA was subsequently

used in PCR, using the following primers specifically designed for bisulfite-treated DNA:

forward primer (5'- AGATCGTTAAGTAGGTAAGGGGTG-3') and reverse primer (5'-

CACTCACAAAATAACCTCCTAAACC-3'). The 212bp long amplified fragments were

cloned in a TA-cloning vector pTZ57R (Fermentas, Waltham, MA, USA) and 10 clones from

each treatment were sequenced on a 3730xl DNA Analyzer to identify methylated and

unmethylated cytosines.

Western blot hybridization

Lysates from cells were made using the CelLytic™ M Cell Lysis Reagent (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), while the CelLytic ™ MT Mammalian Tissue Lysis Reagent

(Sigma- Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to prepare lysates from oral tissue samples.

After resolving the proteins in the lysates in a SDS-PAGE gel (Sodium dodecyl sulfate-

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis), they were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Pall Corp.,

Port Washington, NY, USA), using a semi-dry transfer apparatus. The blotting membrane was

then kept for blocking in 5% skimmed milk (Nestle, Gurgaon, India) in 1X PBST (Phosphate

buffered saline tween 20).

After the blocking, three PBST washes were given, and the membrane was incubated

with corresponding primary and secondary antibodies. An anti-RAB11A antibody (1:10,000

dilution, cat#ab128913) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). The anti-mouse

β-actin (1:10,000 dilution, cat# A5441) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA)

was used as a loading control. The anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1.5:5,000

dilution, cat# HP03) and anti-mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000 dilution,

cat# HP06) were purchased from Bangalore Genei (Bangalore, India).

Cell proliferation assays

The rate of proliferation of SCC131 and SCC084 cells transfected with an appropriate

construct or co-transfected with a combination of constructs was assessed by the trypan blue

dye exclusion assay as described by [59]. Cell proliferation was also determined using a

BrdU cell proliferation assay kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), as described in Sarkar et al.

[10].

Apoptosis assay

The CaspGLOW Fluorescein Active Caspase-3 Staining kit (BioVision, Milpitas, CA,

USA) was used to quantify the apoptosis of cells transfected with the appropriate constructs,

according to the manufacturer's instructions and as described by Rather et al. [13].

Soft agar colony-forming assay

Tumor cells can overcome anoikis to proliferate and form colonies in suspension within

a semi-solid medium such as soft agar [60]. The anchorage-independent growth of cells co-

transfected with a combination of constructs was analyzed by the soft agar colony-forming

assay in 35 mm tissue culture dishes, following a standard laboratory protocol [10].

***In vivo* assay for tumor growth**

The effect of a synthetic miR-631 mimic on OSCC tumor growth was assayed in 6

weeks old female NU/J athymic nude mice. Briefly, $2×10^6$ SCC131 cells/well were seeded in

two 6-well plates. Following overnight incubation of the cells in a CO_2 incubator, each of the

six wells of a plate was transfected with 400 nM of a synthetic miR-631 mimic. The same

procedure was repeated for the mimic control. Post 24 hr of transfection, cells from each plate

were harvested and pooled together. Further, 3×10^6 transfected cells from each pooled group of cells were suspended in 200 μL of 1:1 dilution of DPBS and matrigel [Corning Matrigel Growth Factor Reduced Basement Membrane Matrix, LDEV-free, cat # 354230, NY, USA] in a 1.5 mL tube (6 tubes/pooled group). Cell suspension from each tube was then subcutaneously injected in each posterior flank of each of the three mice. Tumors were allowed to grow in animals, and tumor volumes were measured using a Vernier calliper every alternate day till the termination of the experiment.

Tumor volumes were calculated using the following formula: $V = L \times W^2 \times 0.5$, where L and W represent the length and width of the tumor respectively [10, 14, 15]. The animals were photographed, and the tumor xenografts were harvested at the end of the study. Harvested xenografts were also photographed and weighed. This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health and ARRIVE guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org/). The animal experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, IISc, Bangalore (approval certificate# CAF/Ethics/936/2023). All mice were maintained on a 12:12 hr light/dark cycle in proper cages with sufficient food and water. At the end of the study, animals were euthanized under sterile conditions using isofluracil (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) followed by cervical dislocation by trained personnel. The miRIDIAN miRNA hsa-miR-631-Mimic (cat#C-300957-01-0020) and miRIDIAN miRNA Mimic Negative Control #1 (cat#CN-001000-01-20) were purchased from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO, USA).

Statistical analysis

A two-tailed student's t-test was performed using the GraphPad PRISM8 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego) to analyze the statistical significance of the difference between two data sets, with Welch's correction wherever required. Differences with P-value 0.05 (*), P-value <0.01 (**), P-value <0.001 (***), and P-value <0.0001 (****) were considered statistically significant, whereas P-value >0.05 was considered as statistically non-significant (ns).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the patients for providing the normal and tumor oral samples.

Figure legends

Fig 1. Characterization of the *MIR631* promoter and deciphering the mechanism of miR-
631 upregulation in SCC131 cells. (A) The dual-luciferase reporter assay shows an increased
luciferase activity for the putative promoter fragment cloned in pProm-MIR631. pGL3-Basic
is a promoter less vector, whereas pGL3-Control harbors the SV40 promoter and was used a
positive control. Each bar in the dual-luciferase reporter assay is an average of three technical
replicates. (B) Schematic representation of the *MIR631* promoter with a 212 bp long CpG
island harboring 14 CpG sites. Pre-miR-631 represents the location of the precursor sequence
of miR-631. (C) Schematic representation of the methylation pattern of the CpG island. Filled
circles represent methylated CpG sites, whereas empty circles represent non-methylated CpG
sites. Each line represents a single TA clone. *Abbreviations:* RLU, Relative light unit; Meth
Fp, Methylation specific forward primer; and, Meth Rp, Methylation specific reverse primer.

Fig 2. Identification of *RAB11A* as a gene target for miR-631. (A) Overexpression of miR-
631 using the pmiR-631 construct in SCC131 cells leads to reduced RAB11A transcript and
protein levels. (B) The dose-dependent regulation of RAB11A transcript and protein levels in
SCC131 cells with increasing quantities of pmiR-631. (C) Reduced levels of RAB11A
transcript and protein following the 5-Azacytidine treatment of SCC131 cells with the
concomitant increase in miR-631 levels. Each bar for qRT-PCR is an average of two technical
replicates.

Fig 3. Confirmation of a direct interaction between miR-631 and the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*
(A) Conservation of the putative TS in the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* across different species for the
SR of miR-631. (B) Schematic representation of the constructs used in the dual-luciferase
reporter assay. The X indicates abrogated TS or SR in a construct. (C) The dual-luciferase
reporter assay in SCC131 cells co-transfected with different constructs. Note, reduced RLU in
cells co-transfected with p3'UTR-RAB11A-S and pmiR-631 compared to those co-transfected
with the vector control and pmiR-631. Each bar is an average of three technical replicates.
Abbreviations: TS, Target Site; and SR, Seed Region.

Fig 4. Regulation of *RAB11A* by miR-631 is mediated by its 3'UTR and the impact of this

regulation on proliferation of SCC131 cells. (A) The RAB11A protein levels are dependent

on the presence or absence of a functional TS in its 3'UTR for miR-631. Schematic diagrams

of different *RAB11A* constructs are shown above the Western blots. (B) The negative regulation

of cell proliferation by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*, using the trypan

blue dye exclusion assay. (B) The negative regulation of cell proliferation by miR-631, in part,

via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*, using the BrdU incorporation assay. Each datapoint is an

average of three values.

Fig. 5. Regulation of anchorage-independent growth and apoptosis by miR-631, in part,

via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* in SCC131 cells. (A) The negative regulation of

anchorage-independent growth by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*.

Representative microphotographs of the colonies for SCC131 cells co-transfected with pmiR-

631 and different *RAB11A* overexpression constructs or vector control from the soft agar

colony-forming assay are shown on the right. The bar represents 100 nm. (B) The positive

regulation of apoptosis by miR-631, in part, via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A*. Each

datapoint is an average of three values.

Fig 6. The effect of a synthetic miR-631 mimic on SCC131 cells-derived xenografts in

nude mice. (A) Top panel: Photographs of nude mice showing tumor growth on Day 23 of

injection of cells pre-treated with a synthetic miR-631 Mimic (MM) or Mimic Control (MC).

Bottom Panel: Excised xenografts from each mouse on Day 23. Xenografts are circled by red

dash lines and labelled Left (L) and Right (R) according to the flanks they were excised from.

Note, there was no tumor formation in nude mouse, MC3. (B) Effect of a synthetic miR-631

mimic on tumor volumes during a time course of 7-23 days, and overall tumor weight of

xenografts on Day 23. (C) qRT-PCR and Western blot analyzes of excised xenografts from the

miR-631 mimic and the mimic control groups. Note, increased levels of miR-631 (Top panel)

are correlated with reduced levels of RAB11A (Bottom panel) in xenografts with the miR-631

mimic as compared to those with the mimic control.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Validation of miR-631 upregulation post 5-Azacytidine treatment.

S2 Fig. Effect of miR-631 on OSCC cell proliferation.

S3 Fig. Diagrammatic representation of the genomic location of miR-631 and pre-miR-
631 stem-loop.

S4 Fig. Diagrammatic representation of the *MIR631* promoter sequence.

S5 Fig. Regulation of predicted target genes by miR-631.

S6 Fig. The effect of *RAB11A* on cell proliferation.

S7 Fig. Expression levels of miR-631 and *RAB11A* in different cancer cell lines.

S8 Fig. Expression levels of miR-631 and *RAB11A* in 36 matched normal oral tissue and
OSCC patient samples.

S9 Fig. The negative regulation of RAB11A by miR-631 is mediated by its 3'UTR and the
impact of this regulation on proliferation of SCC084 cells.

S10 Fig. Regulation of anchorage-independent growth and apoptosis by miR-631, in part,
via targeting the 3'UTR of *RAB11A* in SCC084 cells.

S11 Fig. RAB11A enhances Wnt signaling, while miR-631 negatively regulates it in
SCC131 and SCC084 cells.

S12 Fig. miR-631 negatively regulates Wnt signaling, in part, by targeting the 3'UTR of

***RAB11A* in SCC131 and SCC084 cells.**

S13 Fig. Optimization of the dosage for a synthetic miR-631 mimic in SCC131 cells.

S1 Table. Predicted target genes for miR-631.

S2 Table. Bioinformatics analysis of selected target genes.

S3 Table. Summary of the patient samples used in the study.

S4 Table. Clinicopathological parameters of the patients used in the study.

S5 Table. Details of primers used in qRT-PCR.

S6 Table. Details of plasmid constructs used in the study.

S1 Raw images.

References

1. Badwelan M, Muaddi H, Ahmed A, Lee KT, Tran SD. Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma and

Concomitant Primary Tumors, What Do We Know? A Review of the Literature. Current

Oncology. 2023 Mar 27;30(4):3721–34. doi:10.3390/curroncol30040283.

2. Tan Y, Wang Z, Xu M, Li B, Huang Z, Qin S, et al. Oral squamous cell carcinomas: state of
the field and emerging directions. Int J Oral Sci. 2023;15(1):44. doi: 10.1038/s41368-023-
00249-w.

3. Jethwa AR, Khariwala SS. Tobacco-related carcinogenesis in head and neck cancer. Cancer
Metastasis Rev. 2017 Sep; 36(3):411–23. doi: 10.1007/s10555-017-9689-6.

4. Dunn LA, Fury MG, Sherman EJ, Ho AA, Katabi N, Haque SS, et al. Phase I study of
induction chemotherapy with afatinib, ribavirin, and weekly carboplatin and paclitaxel for
stage IVA/IVB human papillomavirus-associated oropharyngeal squamous cell cancer. Head
& Neck. 2018 Feb; 40(2):233–41. doi: 10.1002/hed.24938.

5. Donaldson MS. Nutrition and cancer: A review of the evidence for an anti-cancer diet. Nutr
J. 2004 Oct 20; 3(1):19. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-3-19.

6. Dharmawardana N, Goddard T, Woods C, Watson DI, Ooi EH, Yazbeck R. Development
of a non-invasive exhaled breath test for the diagnosis of head and neck cancer. Br J Cancer.
2020 Dec 8; 123(12):1775–81. doi: 10.1038/s41416-020-01051-9.

7. Das B, Nagpal N. Understanding the biology of oral cancer. 8. RA258-67. In Medical
science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research. 2002.
PMID: 12444391.

8. Sarkar N, Kumar A. microRNAs: New-Age Panacea in Cancer Therapeutics. *Indian J Surg
Oncol*. 2021;12(Suppl 1):52-56. doi: 10.1007/s13193-020-01110-w.

9. O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C. Overview of microRNA biogenesis, mechanisms of
actions, and circulation. Front Endocrinol. 2018 Aug 3; 9:402. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00402.

10. Sarkar N, Mishra R, Gopal C, Kumar A. miR-617 interacts with the promoter of *DDX27*
and positively regulates its expression: implications for cancer therapeutics. Front Oncol. 2024
Jun 13; 14:1411539. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1411539.

11. Sarkar N, Kumar A. Paradigm shift: microRNAs interact with target gene promoters to
cause transcriptional gene activation or silencing. Experimental Cell Research. 2025 Jan
;444(2):114372. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2024.114372.

12. Hanna J, Hossain GS, Kocerha J. The potential for microRNA therapeutics and clinical
research. Front Genet. 2019 May 16; 10:478. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00478.

13. Rather MI, Nagashri MN, Swamy SS, Gopinath KS, Kumar A. Oncogenic microRNA-155
down-regulates tumor suppressor *CDC73* and promotes oral squamous cell carcinoma cell
proliferation. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2013 Jan; 288(1):608–18. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M112.425736.

14. More DA, Singh N, Mishra R, Muralidharan HP, Gopinath KS, Gopal C, et al. Intronic
miR-6741-3p targets the oncogene SRSF3: Implications for oral squamous cell carcinoma
pathogenesis. PLoS ONE. 2024 May 23; 19(5): e0296565. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0296565.

15. Kaushik P, Mishra R, Gopal C, Kumar A. miR-198 targets TOPORS: implications for oral
squamous cell carcinoma pathogenesis. Front Oncol. 2024 Dec 4; 14:1485802. doi:
10.3389/fonc.2024.1485802.

16. Peng Y, Croce CM. The role of microRNAs in human cancer. Sig Transduct Target Ther.
2016 Jan 28; 1(1):15004. doi: 10.1038/sigtrans.2015.4.

17. Gasche JA, Goel A. Epigenetic mechanisms in oral carcinogenesis. Future Oncol. 2012

Nov;8(11):1407–25. doi: 10.2217/fon.12.138.

18. D'Souza W, Kumar A. microRNAs in oral cancer: Moving from bench to bed as next
generation medicine. *Oral Oncol.* 2020; 111:104916. doi:
10.1016/j.oraloncology.2020.104916.

19. Jithesh PV, Risk JM, Schache AG, Dhanda J, Lane B, Liloglou T, et al. The epigenetic
landscape of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer. 2013 Feb; 108(2):370–9. doi:
10.1038/bjc.2012.568.

20. Christman JK. 5-Azacytidine and 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine as inhibitors of DNA
methylation: mechanistic studies and their implications for cancer therapy. Oncogene. 2002
Aug 12; 21(35):5483–95. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205699.

21. Purwaningsih NMS, Khor GH, Nik Mohd Rosdy NMM, Abdul Rahman EO. Wnt pathway
in oral cancer: A review update. *Saudi Dent J.* 2021;33(8):813-818. doi:
10.1016/j.sdentj.2021.08.002.

22. Fu D, Liu B, Zang LE, Jiang H. MiR-631/*ZAP70*: A novel axis in the migration and
invasion of prostate cancer cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications.
2016 Jan; 469(3):345–51. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.11.093.

23. Chen B, Liao Z, Qi Y, Zhang H, Su C, Liang H, et al. miR-631 inhibits intrahepatic
metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting *PTPRE*. Front Oncol. 2020 Dec 4;
10:565266. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.565266.

24. Xi H, Li L, Du J, An R, Fan R, Lu J, et al. hsa-miR-631 resensitizes bortezomib-resistant
multiple myeloma cell lines by inhibiting UbcH10. Oncology Reports. 2017 Jan; 37(2):961–8.
doi: 10.3892/or.2016.5318.

25. Chen JC, Shih HC, Lin CY, Guo JH, Huang C, Huang HC, et al. MicroRNA-631
resensitizes doxorubicin-resistant chondrosarcoma cells by targeting Apelin. Int J Mol Sci.
2023 Jan 3;24(1):839. doi: 10.3390/ijms24010839.

26. Lv H, Yu J, Zhang H, Qian X, Wang Q, Lu B, et al. MicroRNA-631 deriving from bone
marrow mesenchymal stem cell exosomes facilitates the malignant behavior of non-small cell
lung cancer via modulating the E2F family of transcription factor 2/phosphatidylinositol
3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway. Bioengineered. 2022 Apr 1;13(4):8382–95. doi:
10.1080/21655979.2022.2036891.

27. Lee HA, Ahn EH, Jang HG, Kim JO, Kim JH, Lee YB, et al. Association Between miR-
605 A>G, miR-608 G>C, miR-631 I>D, miR-938 C>T, and miR-1302-3 C>T polymorphisms
and risk of recurrent implantation failure. Reprod Sci. 2019 Apr ;26(4):469–75. doi:
10.1177/1933719118773413.

28. Chen SF, Liu Z, Chaurasiya S, Dellinger TH, Lu J, Wu X, et al. Identification of core
aberrantly expressed microRNAs in serous ovarian carcinoma. Oncotarget.; 2018. doi:
10.18632/oncotarget.24942.

29. Shaanbanpour Aghamaleki F, Mollashahi B, Aghamohammadi N, Rostami N, Mazloumi
Z, Mirzaei H, et al. Bioinformatics analysis of key genes and pathways for medulloblastoma
as a therapeutic target. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2019 Jan 1; 20(1):221–7. doi:
10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.1.221.

30. Dong Q, Fu L, Zhao Y, Du Y, Li Q, Qiu X, et al. Rab11a promotes proliferation and
invasion through regulation of YAP in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget. 2017 Apr
25;8(17):27800–11. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.15359.

31. Du J, Fu L, Hao J, Lin X, Dong Q. Rab11a is overexpressed in gastric cancer and regulates
FAK/AKT signaling. J Oncol. 2020; 3494396. doi: 10.1155/2020/3494396.

1001

1002 32. Wang B, Yang Z, Wang H, Cao Z, Zhao zY, Gong C, et al. MicroRNA-320a inhibits
1003 proliferation and invasion of breast cancer cells by targeting RAB11A. Am J Cancer Res.
1004 2015;5(9):2719–29. PMID: 26609479

1005

1006 33. Li W, Li G, Fan Z, Liu T. Tumor-suppressive microRNA-452 inhibits migration and
1007 invasion of breast cancer cells by directly targeting RAB11A. Oncology Letters. 2017 Aug;
1008 14(2):2559–65. doi: 10.3892/ol.2017.6426.

1009

1010 34. Wang Y, Li N, Zhao J, Dai C. MiR-193a-5p serves as an inhibitor in ovarian cancer cells
1011 through RAB11A. Reproductive Toxicology. 2022 Jun 1; 110:105–12. doi:
1012 10.1016/j.reprotox.2022.04.003.

1013

1014 35. Yoshida K, Htike K, Eguchi T, Kawai H, Eain HS, Tran MT, et al. Rab11 suppresses head
1015 and neck carcinoma by regulating EGFR and EpCAM exosome secretion. Journal of Oral
1016 Biosciences.2024 Mar; 66(1):205–16. doi: 10.1016/j.job.2023.11.007.

1017

1018 36. Michor F, Polyak K. The origins and implications of intratumor heterogeneity. *Cancer Prev*
1019 *Res (Phila)*. 2010;3(11):1361-1364. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.

1020

1021   37. Ghosh RD, Pattatheyil A, Roychoudhury S. Functional Landscape of Dysregulated

1022   MicroRNAs in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Clinical Implications. *Front Oncol*. 2020;

1023   10:619. Published 2020 May 12. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00619.

1024

1025   38. Mallela K, Shivananda S, Gopinath KS, Kumar A. Oncogenic role of miR-130a in oral

1026   squamous cell carcinoma. Sci Rep. 2021 Apr 8;11(1):7787. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-87388-

1027   4.

1028

1029   39. Yu L, Li X, Li H, Chen H, Liu H. Rab11a sustains GSK3β/Wnt/β-catenin signaling to

1030   enhance cancer progression in pancreatic cancer. Tumour Biol. 2016 Oct;37(10):13821–9. doi:

1031   10.1007/s13277-016-5172-1.

1032

1033   40. Choi JY, Lai JK, Xiong ZM, Ren M, Moorer MC, Stains JP, et al. Diminished canonical β-

1034   catenin signaling during osteoblast differentiation contributes to osteopenia in progeria. J Bone

1035   Miner Res. 2018 Nov;33(11):2059–70. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3549.

1036

1037   41. Zhao D, Wang B, Chen H. RAB11A mediates the proliferation and motility of esophageal

1038   cancer cells via WNT signaling pathway. *Acta Biochim Pol*. 2020;67(4):531-538. doi:

1039   10.18388/abp.2020_5392.

1040

1041   42. Prgomet Z, Axelsson L, Lindberg P, Andersson T. Migration and invasion of oral squamous

1042   carcinoma cells is promoted by WNT5A, a regulator of cancer progression. *J Oral Pathol Med*.

1043   2015;44(10):776-784. doi: 10.1111/jop.12292.

1044

1045   43. Li L, Liu HC, Wang C, et al. Overexpression of β-Catenin Induces Cisplatin Resistance in

1046   Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Biomed Res Int*. 2016; 5378567. doi: 10.1155/2016/5378567.

1047

1048

1049 44. Garreau M, Weidner J, Hamilton R, Kolosionek E, Toki N, Stavenhagen K, et al. Chemical

1050 modification patterns for microRNA therapeutic mimics: a structure-activity relationship

1051 (SAR) case-study on miR-200c. Nucleic Acids Research. 2024 Apr 12;52(6):2792–807. doi:

1052 10.1093/nar/gkae141.

1053

1054 45. Trang P, Wiggins JF, Daige CL, Cho C, Omotola M, Brown D, et al. Systemic Delivery of

1055 Tumor Suppressor microRNA Mimics Using a Neutral Lipid Emulsion Inhibits Lung Tumors

1056 in Mice. Molecular Therapy. 2011 Jun;19(6):1116–22. doi: 10.1038/mt.2011.48.

1057

1058 46. Di Martino MT, Leone E, Amodio N, et al. Synthetic miR-34a mimics as a novel

1059 therapeutic agent for multiple myeloma: in vitro and in vivo evidence. *Clin Cancer Res*.

1060 2012;18(22):6260-6270. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.

1061

1062 47. White JS, Weissfeld JL, Ragin CCR, Rossie KM, Martin CL, Shuster M, et al. The

1063 influence of clinical and demographic risk factors on the establishment of head and neck

1064 squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. Oral Oncol. 2007 Aug;43(7):701–12. doi:

1065 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2006.09.001.

1066

1067 48. Chen Y, Wang X. miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA

1068 targets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020 Jan 8;48(D1): D127–31. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz757.

1069

1070 49. Paraskevopoulou MD, Georgakilas G, Kostoulas N, Vlachos IS, Vergoulis T, Reczko M,

1071 et al. DIANA-microT web server v5.0: service integration into miRNA functional analysis

1072 workflows. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jul;41: W169-173. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt393.

1073

1074 50. Sticht C, De La Torre C, Parveen A, Gretz N. miRWalk: An online resource for prediction

1075 of microRNA binding sites. PLoS One.; 2018. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0206239.

1076

1077 51. Quillet C, Saad, G, Ferry, Y, Anouar, N, Vergne, T, Lecroq, et al. Improving bioinformatics
1078 prediction of microRNA targets by ranks aggregation. Frontiers in Genetics; 2020. doi:
1079 10.3389/fgene.2019.01330.

1080

1081 52. McGeary SE, Lin KS, Shi CY, et al. The biochemical basis of microRNA targeting
1082 efficacy. *Science*. 2019;366(6472):eaav1741. doi: 10.1126/science.aav1741.

1083

1084 53. Sharbati-Tehrani S, Kutz-Lohroff B, Bergbauer R, Scholven J, Einspanier R. miR-Q: a
1085 novel quantitative RT-PCR approach for the expression profiling of small RNA molecules such
1086 as miRs in a complex sample. BMC Mol Biol. 2008 Apr 10; 9:34. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-9-
1087 34.

1088

1089 54. Suzuki A, Kawano S, Mitsuyama T, Suyama M, Kanai Y, Shirahige K, et al.
1090 DBTSS/DBKERO for integrated analysis of transcriptional regulation. Nucleic Acids Res.
1091 2018 Jan 4;46(D1): D229–38. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1001.

1092

1093 55. Steen Knudsen. Promoter 2.0: for the recognition of PolII promoter sequences.
1094 Bioinformatics 15; 1999. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/15.5.356.

1095

1096 56. Farré D, Roset R, Huerta M, Adsuara JE, Roselló L, Albà MM, et al. Identification of
1097 patterns in biological sequences at the ALGGEN server: PROMO and MALGEN. Nucleic
1098 Acids Res. 2003 Jul 1;31(13):3651–3. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg605.

1099

1100 57. Ho SN, Hunt HD, Horton RM, Pullen JK, Pease LR. Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap
1101 extension using the polymerase chain reaction. *Gene*. 1989;77(1):51-59. doi: 10.1016/0378-
1102 1119(89)90358-2.

1103

1104   58. Frommer M, McDonald LE, Millar DS, Collis CM, Watt F, Grigg GW, et al. A genomic

1105   sequencing protocol that yields a positive display of 5-methylcytosine residues in individual

1106   DNA strands. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992 Mar 1;89(5):1827–31. doi:

1107   10.1073/pnas.89.5.1827.

1108

1109   59. Karimi L, Zeinali T, Hosseinahli N, Mansoori B, Mohammadi A, Yousefi M, et al. miRNA-

1110   143 replacement therapy harnesses the proliferation and migration of colorectal cancer cells in

1111   vitro. J Cell Physiol. 2019 Nov; 234(11):21359–68. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28745.

1112

1113   60. Horibata S, Vo TV, Subramanian V, Thompson PR, Coonrod SA. Utilization of the soft

1114   agar colony formation assay to identify inhibitors of tumorigenicity in breast cancer cells. J

1115   Vis Exp. 2015 May 20;(99): e52727. doi: 10.3791/52727.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5

Fig 6