Inulin reduces *Ralstonia* invasion by promoting cooperation between *Lysinibacillus*

***sphaericus* and *Pseudoarthrobacter* spp.**

Tao Yan[1, #], Ying Guan[1, #], Xinlan Mei[1], Ziru Zhang[1], Tianxiang Zhu[1], Yang Gao[1],

Yangchun Xu[1], Qirong Shen[1], Zhong Wei[1], Tianjie Yang[1, *]

[1] Key Lab of Organic-based Fertilizers of China, Jiangsu Provincial Key Lab of Solid

Organic Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Solid Organic

Wastes, Educational Ministry Engineering Center of Resource-saving fertilizers,

Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210095, China

* Correspondence: tjyang@njau.edu.cn

# These authors contributed equally

Abstract

In field production, beneficial microbial agents are typically applied along with key

resources that beneficial microbes prefer to utilize. This practice aims to help the

beneficial microbes colonize the field and exert their disease resistance functions.

However, the mechanisms by which these key resources influence the colonization

and disease resistance effects of beneficial microbes remain unknown. In this study,

we found that inulin can enhance the growth capacity of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus*

HR92 and its ability to inhibit *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Further investigation revealed

that inulin can enhance strain HR92's flagellar assembly, bacterial chemotaxis, fatty

acid metabolism, and siderophore synthesis capabilities, ultimately improving strain

HR92's effectiveness in controlling bacterial wilt. Moreover, adding inulin to the soil

can enrich *Pseudarthrobacter* strains. This genus enhances the expression of genes

involved in the synthesis of the antibacterial substance HR92, and competes for

nutrients with *Ralstonia solanacearum*, thereby further inhibiting the occurrence of

bacterial wilt disease. Additionally, the combination of inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter*

strains upregulates the valine and leucine pathway genes in strain HR92. These genes

play a crucial role in the synthesis of surfactin and the antimicrobial VOC

3-methyl-1-butanol. We have demonstrated that the addition of key resources not

only enhances the control efficacy of beneficial bacteria against soil-borne diseases

but also enriches the "helpers" of beneficial bacteria in soil.

Importance

Ralstonia solanacearum is a plant pathogen that can cause bacterial wilt in several

important crops. Given the limitations of beneficial bacterial inoculation, we propose

a strategy to enhance the biocontrol efficacy of beneficial microorganisms by utilizing

key resources. In this study, we demonstrate that key resources inulin can improve

the biological control effects of beneficial bacteria, thereby maintaining plant health.

Furthermore, we found that increasing the concentration of inulin altered the

diversity of the rhizosphere microbiota and specifically enriched the abundance of

Pseudarthrobacter, which compete with pathogens for nutrients on one hand and,

on the other hand, synergize with inulin to enhance the biocontrol efficacy of the

beneficial biacterium HR92. Together, our study reveals that key resources can

enhance the biocontrol efficacy of beneficial bacteria and enrich potential beneficial

bacteria in resident microbial communities, further reducing tomato diseases.

Keywords: Tomato bacterial wilt, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, rhizosphere, inulin,

Lysinibacillus sphaericus

Introduction

Soil-borne plant pathogens cause major economic losses to agricultural production due to lack of efficient control methods (1–5). In recent years, researchers have increasingly explored the use of biological control methods, which are ecologically friendly and exhibit specificity in pathogen suppression (6–9). Beneficial microbes can prevent pathogenic invasion of plant root systems through various mechanisms, they can secrete antagonistic substances that directly inhibit the growth of pathogens or their "helpers" (10), preventing colonization or invasion of plant roots. Some beneficial bacteria compete with pathogens for rhizosphere resources, thereby suppressing pathogen growth through nutrient competition. Moreover, introduced beneficial microbes can stimulate soil native resident microbiomes (11), engaging in metabolic interactions that fortify the rhizosphere, creating a more resilient barrier that pathogens find difficult to breach (10, 12–15). Studies have shown that *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* is an effective biocontrol agent against bacterial wilt in tomatoes (16–19). Specifically, *L. sphaericus* inhibits the growth of *R. solanacearum* through the secretion of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as dicarboxylic esters and 3-methyl-1-butanol (20), as well as cyclic lipopeptides like surfactin and bacitracin (21–23).

Due to the limited availability of nutrients in the rhizosphere and the complex conditions of the field environment (24, 25), the inoculated beneficial bacteria often lack a competitive edge over soil native resident microbiomes, preventing them from efficiently colonizing the rhizosphere and exhibiting their disease-suppressive functions (26–28). Recent studies have proposed providing nutritional carriers for inoculated beneficial bacteria to enhance their biocontrol efficacy in the rhizosphere (29–34). For instance, adding organic fertilizers, biochar, or amino acid-based fertilizers along with the beneficial microbes has been shown to improve their survival in the rhizosphere. However, these organic carriers are generally utilized by a wide range of soil microorganisms, lacking specificity, and cannot be exclusively exploited by beneficial bacteria. In recent years, research in the field of gut

microbiota has suggested adding resources preferentially utilized by beneficial

bacteria along with their introduction to target and enhance their colonization in the

gut (35, 36). Due to the analogous roles of the rhizosphere and the gut in host

immunity and ecological function, we hypothesize that the application of targeted

resources capable of selectively enhancing the colonization efficiency of beneficial

bacteria in the rhizosphere will promote their stable establishment in the root

environment (37–39), ultimately improving their biocontrol performance. Soil

microorganisms in the rhizosphere are often strongly influenced by plant root

exudates, with sugars in these exudates playing a crucial role in recruiting beneficial

bacteria and maintaining plant health (40–42). Thus, we focused on soluble sugars

from root exudates to identify a compound capable of selectively enhancing the

colonization capacity of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus*. Through this approach, we aim to

explore how such a resource influences the biocontrol potential of beneficial bacteria

within the complex rhizosphere environment.

Unlocking the potential of specific resources to enhance the targeted colonization of

beneficial bacteria in the rhizosphere, while understanding their effects on soil native

microbial communities, is crucial for managing soil-borne diseases in agricultural

production. We utilized *L. sphaericus* HR92 along with inulin, which has been shown

to boost both the growth and antibacterial activity of strain HR92. By inoculating the

beneficial bacteria and varying concentrations of inulin to the tomato roots, we

observed the colonization potential of strain HR92 and its impact on the assembly of

bacterial communities in the tomato rhizosphere. Our findings reveal that inulin not

only directly enhances strain HR92 colonization and disease suppression capabilities

but also enriches specific soil native resident microbiomes. These native microbes,

on one hand, exhibit inhibitory effects on pathogens and, on the other, enhance the

disease resistance of beneficial bacteria. Finally, we used transcriptomics to

determine the influence of inulin and native soil microbes on strain HR92.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions

The plant pathogen used in this study was *Ralstonia solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 (GenBank: GU390462) (43). The beneficial bacterium used in this study was *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* HR92 (GenBank: JF700465.1) (19). The strain was routinely grown in nutrient broth medium at 30°C with shaking at 170 rpm (10.0 g glucose L^{-1}; 5.0 g peptone L^{-1}, 0.5 g yeast extract L^{-1}, and 3.0 g beef extract L^{-1}, pH 7.0).

In *vitro* co-culture experiments, we utilized strain *Ralstonia solanacearum* QL-Rs1115, which was tagged with the pYC12-mCherry plasmid (excitation light: 587 nm, absorption light: 610 nm) (14), and strain HR92, which carries green fluorescence (excitation light: 488 nm, absorption light: 510 nm).

Greenhouse experiment setup and sample collection

Surface-sterilized tomato seeds (Solan*um lycopersicum,* cultivar "Hong'ai") were germinated on water-agar plates for 3 days before sowing into 54-well trays filled with growth substrate (50 g substrate per well; commercially available from Jiangsu-Xingnong Substrate Technology Co., Ltd). At the three-leaf stage (17 days after sowing), a subset of tomato plants of similar size was selected and transplanted into nine-cell plant trays containing either natural or sterilized soil (200 g per cell). Seedling were grown under controlled greenhouse conditions (25-30°C, 16/8 h day/night cycle, 200 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} light density, and 70% relative humidity).

Seven days after transplantation, strain HR92 and inulin were inoculated into the rhizosphere soil of each plant. The final density of strain HR92 was 1×10^8 CFU g^{-1} soil. The final concentration of inulin was adjusted according to each treatment. Seven days after inoculating strain HR92 and inulin, *R. solanacearum* was inoculated into the rhizosphere soil of each plant at a final density of 1×10^6 CFU g^{-1} soil.

The experiment comprised four treatments: (i) Only pathogen: plants inoculated only with *R. solanacearum* (RS); (ii) HR92: beneficial bacteria HR92 introduced one week prior to inoculation with *R. solanacearum*; (iii) HR92 + 0.1% wv^{-1} inulin: strain HR92 and inulin (1 g kg^{-1} soil) introduced one week prior to inoculation with *R.*

solanacearum; (iv) HR92 + 0.3% wv^{-1} inulin: strain HR92 and inulin (3 g kg^{-1} soil)

introduced one week prior to inoculation with *R. solanacearum* (Fig. S1). Each

treatment had four biological replicates, with each replicate consisting of five

individual tomato plants, resulting in a total of 80 plants (20 plants per treatment).

Tomato plants regularly watered with sterile water, and plant positions were

randomized within treatments every two days.

Seven days after inoculation with *R. solanacearum*, the tomato plants began to

exhibit disease symptoms. Once the disease reached a stable state, the incidence of

disease was recorded, and soil samples from the rhizosphere of both healthy and

diseased plants were collected and stored at -80°C. Disease severity (DS) was

quantified using a disease index (DI) ranging from **0** to **4** (**0**, no signs of wilting; **1**,

1%–25% lead area wilted; **2**, 26%–50% leaf area wilted; **3**, 51%–75% leaf area wilted;

and **4**, 76%–100% leaf area wilted), and was calculated using the formula: DS = ∑

(number of diseased plants with given index × DI)/ (total number of plants infected ×

highest DI) (44).

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of soil using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit

(MoBio, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The purity and

concentration of DNA were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000

spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and a Qubit 2.0

fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified with primers 563F and

802R (Table S3) (45). A two-step dual indexing strategy for Illumina MiSeq (Illumina,

San Diego, CA, USA) sequencing was employed. The PCR thermocycler program

included an initial denaturation temperature of 95°C for 2 min, then 25 cycles of 95°C

for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and a final elongation step of 72°C for 5 min.

The PCR amplification was confirmed by gel electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels. PCR

products were purified using AxyPrep PCR Clean-up Kit (Axygen Biosciences, CA, USA).

The following library construction and Illumina MiSeq sequencing (2 × 300 bp) were

performed by Shanghai Biozeron Biological Technology (Shanghai, China).

Raw amplicon reads were processed using the DADA2 pipeline v.1.14.1 (46). In brief,

reads were quality checked and primers were removed using trimLeft in the filter

AndTrim function. According to the sequence quality, the 16S rRNA gene reads were

filtered using default parameters (confidence level at 80 %). Chimeras were removed

after merging denoised pair-end sequences. Each unique amplicon sequence variant

(ASV) was assigned to taxa according to SILVA database v.138.1 (47) for the 16S rRNA

gene. For bacteria, non-bacterial ASVs including chloroplasts and mitochondrial reads

were removed.

Real-time quantitative PCR analysis

Quantification of strain HR92 (19) and *R. solanacearum* (48) was performed by qPCR

using the qTOWER Real-Time PCR system (Analytik jena, Jena, Germany). The specific

primers utilized are listed in Table S3. Twenty-microliter reactions were containing 10

µL of 2X TB Green Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, Beijing, China), 2 µL of DNA, 0.8 µL of each

primer (10 µM), 0.4 µL of ROX Reference Dye (TaKaRa, Beijing, China) and 6 µL of

Nuclease-free water. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min,

followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. Standard

curves were used to calculate the gene copy numbers of the target group for each

reaction. Each sample was run in triplicate, and results were expressed as log10

values of the gene copy numbers per gram of soil.

Screening of rhizosphere bacteria

Bacteria were isolated from the rhizosphere soil of five healthy tomato plants by

suspending 1 g of soil in 9 ml of sterile distilled water, followed by vigorous vortexing

and serial dilution. One hundred microliters of serial dilutions (10^{-4}-10^{-7}) were plated

onto 1/3 TSA agar plates (tryptone 5 g L^{-1}, soy peptone 1.65 g L^{-1}, NaCl 1.65 g L^{-1} and

agar 15 g L^{-1}). Plates were incubated at 28°C for 5 days, after which 32 single colonies

were selected from each soil sample based on bacterial morphology.

The isolates were identified based on 16S rRNA gene sequence using universal

primers 27F and 1492R (Table S3) (49). PCR products were sequenced by Sangon

Biotech (Shanghai Co., Ltd, China). 16S rRNA gene sequencing results were blasted

against the NCBI database for homologous sequences.

Carbon resource utilization patterns of the bacterial isolates

The resource utilization patterns of four bacterial isolates were assessed across 74

distinct monocarbon resources (Table S2), including amino acids, organic acids, and

sugars commonly found in tomato root exudates. Bacterial isolates were grown

overnight in TSB medium (tryptone 15 g L^{-1}, soy peptone 5 g L^{-1} and NaCl 5 g L^{-1}) at

30°C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were washed three times with 0.85% wv^{-1} NaCl

and resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.5. Then, 5 µl of each suspension was added to a

384-well microtiter plate containing 40 µl of OS minimal medium (50) supplemented

with 5 µl of 1 mM of different carbon sources. Plates were incubated at 30°C with

agitation at 170 rpm, and bacteria growth was measured after 48 h using a

SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

The 48-hour biomass (OD_{600}) of each bacterial strain cultured in water was used as a

control. T-tests were conducted to compare the biomass of bacteria under each

resource condition with the biomass under the control condition. A significance level

of $p < 0.05$ indicated that the bacteria could utilize the respective resource.

Utilization efficiency was calculated using the formula: (biomass under resource

condition - control)/ control. Utilization efficiency was categorized into different

levels: below 20% was marked as *, 20%-40% as **, 40%-60% as ***, and above 60%

as ****.

Effect of inulin on bacterial growth

The bacterial strains HR92, P265 (*Pseudarthrobacter siccitolerans*), and P397

(*Pseudarthrobacter oxydans*), and *R. solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 were grown overnight

in NB medium at 30°C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were washed three times with

0.85% wv^{-1} NaCl and resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.5. Then, 2 µL of each suspension

was added to a 96-well microtiter plate containing 178 µL of NB medium and 20 µL

of 3% inulin (w/v). Plates were incubated at 30°C with agitation at 170 rpm, and

bacteria growth (OD_{600}) was measured after 48h using a SpectraMax M5

spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). After measurement,

the bacterial suspension was transferred to a 96-well filter membrane plate and

centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes to collect the supernatant (supernatant 1).

Impact of Inulin on _R. solanacearum_ suppression by bacterial supernatant

Two microliters of bacterial solution (OD_{600} = 0.5) were added to a 96-well microtiter

plate containing 178 µl of NB medium and 20 µl of supernatant 1. Plates were

incubated at 30°C with agitation at 170 rpm, and bacteria growth (OD_{600}) was

measured after 48 h using a SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices,

Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The inhibition ability of strain HR92 or _Pseudoarthrobacter_

strains against _R. solanacearum_ was analyzed by examining the biomass of _R._

solanacearum.

Impact of inulin on _R. solanacearum_ suppression when coculturing with

rhizosphere bacteria

2 µL of bacterial solution (OD_{600} = 0.5) of strain HR92, P265 or P397 were individually

added with 2 µL of _R. solanacearum_-RFP to a 96-well microtiter plate containing 176

µl of NB medium and 20 µl of 3% inulin (w/v). Plates were incubated at 30°C with

agitation at 170 rpm. After 48 h, the OD_{600} value and red fluorescence value were

measured. We use red fluorescence (RFU) and OD600 to calculate the relative

abundance of _R. solanacearum_ (51). Afterwards, we compared the relative

abundance of _R. solanacearum_ in different treatments to demonstrate the inhibitory

effect of rhizosphere bacteria on pathogens during co-culture: log_{10} (RFU/OD_{600}

value).

Effect of _Pseudoarthrobacter_ spp. and inulin on the ability of strain HR92 to inhibit

**R. solanacearum**

This experiment followed a three-step workflow that was similar to previous

inhibition assays. Initially, 2 µL of bacterial suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) of strain P265 or

P397 were incubated in 178 µL of NB medium with 20 µL of 3% inulin (w/v) at 30°C

with agitation at 170 rpm for 48 h. The resulting bacterial suspension was transferred

to a 96-well filter membrane plate and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min to collect

the supernatant (supernatant 2-1). Next, 2 µL of bacterial suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) of

strain HR92 was incubated with 178 µL of NB medium and 20 µL of supernatant 2-1

under same conditions for 48 h. The bacterial suspension was then centrifuged, and

the supernatant (supernatant 2-2) was collected. Finally, 2 µL of *R. solanacearum*

suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) was incubated with 178 µL of NB medium and 20 µL of

supernatant 2-2 at 30°C with agitation at 170 rpm for 48 h. Bacterial growth (OD_{600})

was measured using a SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices,

Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The effect of *Pseudoarthrobacter* spp. and inulin on the ability

of strain HR92 to inhibit *R. solanacearum* was analyzed by examining the biomass of

R. solanacearum.

259 Effect of strain HR92 on the ability of *Pseudoarthrobacter* spp. to inhibit *R.*

260 solanacearum*

This experiment followed a three-step workflow similarly to the previous inhibition

assays. Initially, 2 µL of strain HR92 suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) were incubated with 178

µL of NB medium and 20 µL of 3% inulin (w/v) at 30°C with agitation at 170 rpm for

48 h, and the supernatant (supernatant 3-1) was collected after centrifugation. Next,

2 µL of *Pseudoarthrobacter* strains (strain P265 or P397) suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) was

incubated with 178 µL of NB medium and 20 µL of supernatant 3-1 under similar

conditions for 48 h, followed by centrifugation to collect the supernatant

(supernatant 3-2). Finally, 2 µL of *R. solanacearum* suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) was

incubated with 178 µL of NB medium and 20 µL of supernatant 3-2 at 30°C with

agitation at 170 rpm for 48 h, and bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was measured using a

SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The

effect of strain HR92 on the ability of *Pseudoarthrobacter* spp. to inhibit *R.*

solanacearum was analyzed by examining the biomass of *R. solanacearum*.

274 Effect of 3-methyl-1-butanol on the growth of *R. solanacearum*

The experiment was conducted using divided Petri dishes, with a 1 cm diameter filter

paper was placed on one side and NB medium on the other. 3-methyl-1-butanol at

concentrations ranging from 100% purity to dilutions in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)

were applied to the filter paper (20 µl per dish). 5 µl of *R. solanacearum* (OD_{600} = 0.5)

was inoculated onto the NB medium, and plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 h.

After incubation, 1 ml of sterile water was used to wash the bacterial colonies, and

then 50 µl of the bacterial suspension was diluted to 200 µl for OD_{600} measurements

using a SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

DMSO was used as a blank control.

RNA extraction and RNA-seq

Total RNA was extracted from the bacterial cells using TRIzol® Reagent according the

manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Then RNA quality was determined

using 2100 Bioanalyser (Agilent, CA, USA) and quantified using the ND-2000

(NanoDrop Technologies, DE, USA). RNA-seq strand-specific libraries were prepared

following TruSeq RNA sample preparation Kit from Illumina (San Diego, CA), using

5μg of total RNA. Shortly, rRNA removal by RiboZero rRNA removal kit (Epicenter,

Wisconsin, USA), fragmented using fragmentation buffer cDNA synthesis, end repair,

A-base addition and ligation of the Illumina-indexed adaptors were performed

according to Illumina's protocol. Libraries were then size selected for cDNA target

fragments of 200–300 bp on 2% Low Range Ultra Agarose followed by PCR amplified

using Phusion DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts, USA) for 15

PCR cycles. After quantified by TBS380, paired-end libraries were sequenced by

Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencing (2 × 150bp, Shanghai BIOZERON Co., Ltd).

The raw paired end reads were trimmed and quality controlled by Trimmomatic with

parameters   (SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15   MINLEN:75)   (version   0.36

http://www.usadellab.org/cms/uploads/supplementary/Trimmomatic).   Then   clean

reads were separately aligned to reference genome with orientation mode using

Rockhopper (http://cs.wellesley.edu/~btjaden/Rockhopper/) software. Rockhopper

was a comprehensive and user-friendly system for computational analysis of

bacterial RNA-seq data. As input, Rockhopper takes RNA sequencing reads generated

by high-throughput sequencing technology. This software was used to calculate gene

expression levels with default parameters.

Differential expression analysis and functional enrichment

To identify differential expression genes (DEGs) between the two different samples,

the expression level for each transcript was calculated using the fragments per

kilobase of read per million mapped reads (RPKM) method. edgeR (52) was used for

differential expression analysis. The DEGs between two samples were selected using

the following criteria: the logarithmic of fold change was greater than 2 and the false

discovery rate (FDR) should be less than 0.05. To understand the functions of the

differential expressed genes, Gene Ontology (GO) functional enrichment and Kyoto

Encyclopedia and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis were carried out by Goatools

(53) and KOBAS (54) respectively. DEGs were significantly enriched in GO terms and

metabolic pathways when their Bonferroni-corrected P-value was less than 0.05.

Data analysis and statistics

All data analyses were performed using R version 4.3.1. Microbiome community

diversity was calculated as ASVs. Changes in community composition were assessed

with a principal component analysis (PCA) by using the R package "microceo" v1.9.0

(55), function adonis in R package "vegan" v2.6.4 (56) was conducted to evaluate the

significant difference in community structure across the different treatments. The

"ONE_Tukey_HSD2" function was uesd to compare the differences between different

treatments. The "LorMe" v1.1.0 package (57) in the laboratory was employed to

construct microbial co-occurrence networks. The "ComplexHeatmap" v1.10.2

package (58) was utilized to depict the heatmap of bacterial resource utilization

ability.

Results

Inulin promotes the growth of HR92 and enhance its ability to control pathogens

Screening experiments conducted in vitro indicated that none of the twelve

water-soluble sugar compounds tested promoted the growth of R. solanacearum

($F_{13,28}$ = 8.483, p < 0.001, Fig. S1). Subsequently, the effects of these twelve sugars on

the growth and antimicrobial ability of HR92 were examined. It was found that inulin

had the most significant growth-promoting effect on HR92 ($F_{8,18}$ = 11.598, p < 0.001,

Fig. 1A), with an increase of up to 14.8%. Additionally, inulin significantly enhanced

HR92's inhibition of R. solanacearum, achieving the highest inhibition rate of 27.8%

($F_{8,18}$ = 10.738, p < 0.001, Fig. 1B). Therefore, inulin was selected for subsequent pot

experiments to determine its impact on the disease suppression efficacy of HR92 at

different concentrations (Fig. S2).

Inoculation with HR92 resulted in a significant reduction in the disease index of

tomatoes, with the addition of inulin further decreasing the disease index. The

lowest disease index was observed in the treatment with 0.3% inulin, which achieved

a disease of 0.27, representing a 70% reduction compared to the control ($F_{3,12}$ =

45.34, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1C). Similarly, the population of the *R. solanacearum* in the

rhizosphere exhibited a similar trend, with the lowest population detected following

the addition of 0.3% inulin, representing a two-order-of-magnitude reduction

compared to the pathogen-only treatment ($F_{3,12}$ = 46.95, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1D). Notably,

HR92 alone did not efficiently colonize tomato roots ($F_{1,6}$ = 0.6, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1E).

However, the addition of inulin significantly improved the colonization efficiency of

HR92 ($F_{3,12}$ = 13.223, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1E). While increasing the concentration of inulin

led to a slight increase in HR92 abundance in the rhizosphere, there was no

significant difference compared to the 0.1% inulin concentration ($F_{1,6}$ = 5.99, p =

0.068, Fig. 1E). Consistent with the in vitro findings, a 0.3% inulin concentration did

not further growth of HR92 compared to the 0.1% concentration ($F_{3,8}$ = 14.51, $p <$

0.05 , Fig. S3). The abundance of HR92 in the tomato rhizosphere was significantly

negatively correlated with both the disease severity index of tomatoes ($R = -0.82$, $p <$

0.05) and the population of *R. solanacearum* ($R = -0.9$, $p < 0.05$), indicating the

crucial role of HR92 in aiding tomato plants to resist pathogen invasion (Fig. S4).

Fig. 1 Screening of sugar compounds and the effects of inulin and HR92 application on tomato

bacterial wilt. (A) Growth response of HR92 to different water-soluble sugars, with the red

dashed line representing the growth of HR92 in the absence of sugars (water control). (B)

Inhibitory activity of HR92 against *R. solanacearum* in the presence of different water-soluble

sugars, with the red dashed line representing HR92's baseline inhibitory capacity. (C) Tomato

disease index under different treatments (N = 4). (D) Absolute abundance of *R. solanacearum* in

the tomato rhizosphere under different treatments, quantified by qPCR using species-specific

primers (one-way ANOVA with correction by Tukey's HSD test; N = 4). (E) Absolute abundance of

HR92 in the tomato rhizosphere under different treatments, quantified by qPCR using

species-specific primers (one-way ANOVA with correction by Tukey's HSD test; N = 4). Different

letters in the bar graph indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

HR92 and inulin alter the bacterial community of the tomato rhizosphere

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was conducted to reveal the differences in the

rhizosphere bacterial community among the four treatments. There were no

significant differences in the Simpson and Shannon diversity indices between the

control group and the HR92 or HR92+0.1% inulin treatment groups. However, the

addition of 0.3% inulin with HR92 significantly decreased both the Simpson and

Shannon indices of the tomato rhizosphere bacterial community (Shannon: $F_{3,12}$ =

9.26, p = 0.02, Fig 2A; Simpson $F_{3,12}$ = 3.36, p = 0.04, Fig 2B).

Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) revealed significant differences in the bacterial

community composition among the four treatments. Inoculating HR92 can alter the

tomato rhizosphere microbial community, and the addition of inulin can further

modify the microbial community structure, with distinct differences observed

between the 0.1% and 0.3% inulin treatments, being especially clear along the PCo1,

which explained 29.1% of the total variation in bacterial community composition

(PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.460, p = 0.001, Fig. 2C).

Further analysis of the relative abundance of bacteria in different treatments

revealed that bacteria amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) primarily belonged to 10

phyla, including *Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*,

Chloroflexi, *Actinobacteria*, *Patescibacteria*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Myxococcota*, and

Firmicutes. Although the composition of dominant bacterial phyla was similar across

treatments, the relative abundance of certain dominant phyla differed significantly.

Specifically, the phylum *Patescibacteria* was significantly enriched in the treatment

with 0.3% inulin and HR92 (Fig. 2D).

Fig. 2 Microbial community analysis under different treatments. (A) Alpha diversity analysis of the
rhizosphere microbiome across treatments using the Shannon index. (B) Alpha diversity analysis
using the Simpson index. (C) PCoA of the rhizosphere soil microbiota under each treatment. (D)
Relative abundance of the top 10 most abundant phyla in the rhizosphere soil across treatments.
The asterisk indicates phyla enriched specifically in the HR92+0.3% inulin treatment compared to
the other treatments. Different letters above the boxes of each compartment indicate a
significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA with correction by Tukey's HSD test).

Inulin stimulates native soil resident microbes to assist HR92 in resisting *R.*

solanacearum invasion

Following the application of 0.3% inulin in combination with HR92, the colonization

of HR92 in the rhizosphere did not significantly increase (Fig. 1E). However, the

disease index of tomatoes and the population of rhizosphere *R. solanacearum*

further decreased (Fig. 1C, D). We hypothesize that, beyond its direct effect on HR92,

the 0.3% inulin may enrich certain native soil resident microbes that contribute to

pathogen suppression. Subsequently, 21 genera significantly enriched in the

treatment with 0.3% inulin were identified, defining them as potential key taxa (Table

S1, $p < 0.05$).

Further analysis of co-occurrence networks and distribution patterns of dominant

genera across the four treatments identified key taxa. Notably, among the top ten

nodes in the co-occurrence network based on betweenness centrality, two ASVs,

ASV2 (*Pseudarthrobacter*) and ASV201(*Agromyces*), were recognized as potential

keystone bacteria (Fig. 3A). The relative abundance of ASV2 was significantly

correlated with inulin concentration, with its abundance further increasing under the

0.3% inulin treatment, whereas ASV201 was enriched exclusively in the 0.3% inulin

treatment (ASV2: $F_{3,12}$ = 13.16, $p < 0.001$; ASV201: $F_{3,12}$ = 19.18, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3B).

Both ASV2 (R = -0.82, $p < 0.05$) and ASV201 (R = -0.81, $p < 0.05$) exhibited significant

negative correlations with the abundance of *R. solanacearum*, and

Pseudarthrobacter (R = -0.77, $p < 0.05$) and *Agromyces* (R = -0.75, $p < 0.05$) also

demonstrated significant negative correlations with the abundance of *R.*

solanacearum (Fig. S5A, B). Thus, *Pseudarthrobacter* and *Agromyces* are considered

potential key taxa that interact synergistically with HR92 to confer disease resistance.

Additionally, microorganisms enriched under the 0.3% inulin treatment were

predominantly concentrated within modules 2 and 3 of the co-occurrence network,

both of which were significantly negatively correlated with pathogen abundance

(module 2: $R = -0.69$, $p < 0.05$; module 3: $R = -0.82$, $p < 0.05$; Fig. S5C, D), suggesting

their crucial roles in disease suppression.

Tax4Fun functional prediction indicated that *Pseudarthrobacter* is primarily

associated with secondary metabolite synthesis, basic metabolism, and isoflavonoid

biosynthesis, suggesting that *Pseudarthrobacter* may mediate disease resistance via

these pathways (Fig. S6). Given its significant association with disease suppression

and its tenfold higher abundance compared to *Agromyces* in the tomato rhizosphere,

Pseudarthrobacter likely plays a more prominent role in mediating rhizosphere

interactions. Therefore, subsequent validation experiments focused on

Pseudarthrobacter.

Fig. 3 Co-occurrence network analysis. (A) The co-occurrence network at the ASV level, where the
purple nodes represent ASVs enriched under high-concentration inulin treatment. Key nodes and
their properties are detailed below the network graph. (B) Relative abundance of ASV2 and
ASV201 in the rhizosphere microbial communities across four treatments (N = 4; one-way ANOVA
with correction by Tukey's HSD test). (C) Linear regression between the relative abundance of
ASV2 and ASV201 and the population of *R.solanacearum* in the tomato rhizosphere ($p < 0.05$).
Different letters in the graph indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Pseudarthrobacter* strains in cooperative interaction with HR92 to suppress *R.
***solanacearum* invasion**

We isolated eight representative strains of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. from soil treated

with 0.3% inulin for subsequent in vitro validation. Two strains with significant results,

Pseudarthrobacter siccitolerans P265 and *Pseudarthrobacter oxydans* P397, were

selected for further characterization (Fig. S7). Inulin significantly promoted the

growth of strain P265 and P397 (strain P265: t-test: $t_{6.32}$ = -2.82, p = 0.029; strain

P397: t-test: $t_{8.67}$ = -6.42, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 4A), indicating that these bacteria effectively

utilized inulin as a resource. We subsequently assessed the potential of strain P265

and P397 to inhibit pathogenic activity. In vitro assays demonstrated that the

supernatants from P265 and P397 did not directly inhibit *R. solanacearum* (strain

P265: $F_{2,11}$ = 0.69, p = 0.5; strain P397: $F_{2,11}$ = 1.15, p = 0.5, Fig. S8). However, under

co-culture conditions, both P265 and P397 inhibited the growth of *R. solanacearum*,

the inhibition rate of P265 was 41%, and that of P397 was 41.4%, with the addition

of inulin showing no significant influence on this inhibitory effect (strain P265: $F_{2,9}$ =

130.13, p < 0.001; strain P397: $F_{2,9}$ = 56.58, p < 0.001; Fig. 4B). These observations

suggest that the inhibitory mechanism may involve nutrient competition between

these strains and *R. solanacearum*.

To explore nutrient competition we examined the utilization of 74 different

rhizosphere carbon sources by P265, P397, and *R. solanacearum*. The results

indicated that P265 and P397 utilized a broader range of resources compared to *R.*

solanacearum (Fig. S9、Table 2, p < 0.05). The overlap in resource utilization between

P265 and *R. solanacearum* was 0.94, and between P397 and *R. solanacearum* was

0.91 (Fig. 4C), indicating potential competition for carbon resources between

Pseudarthrobacter strains and *R. solanacearum*.

Additionally, the supernatant from the co-culture of strain HR92 with P265 or P397

showed an enhanced inhibitory effect against *R. solanacearum* (strain P265: $F_{3,12}$ =

19.18, p < 0.001; strain P397: $F_{3,12}$ = 22.35, p < 0.001; Fig. 4D). We hypothesized that

either strain HR92 induced antibacterial activity in strains P265 and P397 or that

these strains augmented strain HR92's antibacterial function. To test this hypothesis,

first, we fed the supernatant of strain HR92 to P265 and P397. Subsequently, we fed

the supernatants of P265 and P397 to *R. solanacearum* and found that the growth of

R. solanacearum was not affected (strain P265: $F_{2,18}$ = 1.834, p = 0.18; strain P397:

$F_{2,18}$ = 1.55, p = 0.24, Fig. S10). This indicates that strain HR92 was unable to confer

the ability to antagonize *R. solanacearum* to P265 or P397. Conversely, we fed the

supernatant of strain P265 or P397 to strain HR92 and found that the supernatant of

strain HR92 had a stronger ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*, with enhancements of

12% and 6.6%, respectively (strain P265: $F_{2,9}$ = 46.23, p < 0.001; strain P397: $F_{2,9}$ =

33.306, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 4E). In pot experiments, consistent with the in vitro findings,

strains P265 and P397 enhanced the ability of strain HR92 to mitigate tomato

bacterial wilt. Notably, the combined treatment of strain HR92, *Pseudarthrobacter*

strains, and inulin provided the best protection against tomato bacterial wilt, with a

disease index of 0.3375 (P265) and 0.35 (P397), achieving control efficiencies of 61.9%

(P265) and 60.5% (P397) ($F_{6,21} = 87.21$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 4F).

Fig. 4 Investigation of the antibacterial effects of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. and its influence on the

antibacterial activity of HR92. (A) Effect of inulin on the growth of *Pseudarthrobacter siccitolerans*

(P265) and *Pseudarthrobacter oxydans* (P397). Asterisk means $p < 0.05$ and three asterisks means

$p < 0.001$ under student's t test (N = 4). (B) Inhibition of *R. solanacearum* by strains P265 and

P397 under co-culture conditions with and without the addition of inulin. (C) Resource utilization

overlap between strain P265/P397 and *R. solanacearum*, indicating the potential nutritional

competition. (D) Enhanced antibacterial activity of the supernatant after co-culture of strain

HR92 with strains P265 and P397. The arrows at the top of the box plot represent the feeding

procedure. Specifically, they indicate feeding the pathogen with the supernatant from co-cultures

of strain P267 or P397 and strain HR92. (E) Increased antibacterial activity of HR92 after being

cultured with the supernatants from strains P265/P397. The arrows at the top of the box plot

represent the feeding procedure. Specifically, they indicate feeding strain HR92 with the

supernatant from strain P267 or P397, followed by feeding the pathogen with the supernatant

from strain HR92. (F) Pot experiments showing enhanced tomato disease resistance when HR92,

Pseudarthrobacter strains, and inulin were applied together. Different letters in the graph indicate

significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Pseudarthrobacter strains and inulin enhance HR92's synthesis of cyclic lipopeptide

surfactin and 3-methyl-1-butanol

Transcriptomic analysis was conducted to elucidate the individual and combined effects of inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter* strains on strain HR92. Inulin upregulated genes involved in flagellar assembly, bacterial chemotaxis, fatty acid biosynthesis, and siderophore biosynthesis in strain HR92, indicating that inulin enhances the motility of HR92 in the rhizosphere, thereby increasing its colonization quantity(Fig. S11). Strains P265 and P397 collectively influenced genes expression in strain HR92, with significant differential expression observed in genes related to the synthesis of 4-aminobutyrate, methyl-accepting, butanediol and bacitracin, all of which are associated with strain HR92's antimicrobial activity (Fig. S12). Bacitracin is a broad-spectrum antibiotic known for its antimicrobial properties. Furthermore, the combined action of *Pseudarthrobacter* strains and inulin enhanced the synthesis of amino acids such as valine and leucine in HR92 (Fig. S13, Fig. S14). Subsequent Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) identified three gene modules in strain HR92 that were linked to its antimicrobial activity (Fig. S15). Within the black and pink modules, two genes highly correlated with the modules and exhibiting the highest correlation with strain HR92's antimicrobial effect were identified: LYS2002816 and LYS2001501. These genes were associated with butanediol and NAD(P)H, both of which exhibited a significant positive correlation with strain HR92's antimicrobial capability (LYS2002816: R = 0.79, p <0.05, LYS2001501: R = 0.73, p <0.05, Fig. S16). The abundance of these genes was highest in strain P265 or P397, HR92, and inulin treatment (LYS2002816: $F_{5,12}$ = 2.9, p < 0.05, Figure 5A; LYS2001501: $F_{5,12}$ = 5.8, p < 0.05, Figure 5B). Literature review indicated that butanediol contributes to the synthesis of the volatile antimicrobial compound 3-methyl-1-butanol in strain HR92, whereas fatty acids, NAD(P)H, valine, and leucine are involved in the synthesis of the cyclic lipopeptide surfactin (Fig. 5C, D), ultimately enhancing strain HR92's ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*.

To further elucidate the role of 3-methyl-1-butanol, we tested its effect on *R. solanacearum* growth. Our results showed that 50% and 100% concentrations of 3-methyl-1-butanol could inhibit the growth of *R. solanacearum*, with 50%

concentration resulting in a 16.4% inhibition rate and 100% concentration achieving

a 40% inhibition rate ($F_{4,10}$ = 6.839, $p < 0.05$, Fig. S17).

Fig. 5 Synergistic enhancement of HR92 antibacterial capability by *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. and
inulin. (A) Expression levels of HR92 genes associated with butanediol synthesis across six
treatments, quantified by RPKM tables. (B) Expression levels of HR92 genes involved in NAD(P)H
synthesis across six treatments, quantified by RPKM tables. Different letters in the graph indicate
significant differences (Duncan's multiple range test). (C) Schematic diagram illustrating the
involvement of fatty acids, NAD(P)H, valine, and leucine in the biosynthesis of the cyclic
lipopeptide surfactin. (D) Schematic diagram showing the pathway through which butanediol is
converted to 3-methyl-1-butanol via pyruvate, valine biosynthesis, and leucine biosynthesis
pathways. Red font highlights the pathways or genes influenced by the synergistic action of
Pseudarthrobacter spp. and inulin.

Discussion

There is growing interest in harnessing beneficial bacteria for plant disease

biocontrol, yet strategies to maxmize their effectiveness remain insufficiently

explored. Here, our study emphasizes the important role of inulin in promoting the

growth and pathogen-suppressing capabilities of the beneficial strain HR92, along

with its synergistic interactions with native soil microbes to manage *R. solanacearum*

infections in tomatoes. We also investigated whether increased inulin

concentrations could further improve the biocontrol efficacy of strain HR92. Both *in*

vitro and *in vivo* experiments demonstrated that inulin positively influenced bacterial

colonization and biocontrol efficiency. In general, higher inulin concentrations

selectively enriched *Pseudarthrobacter* species in the soil, which acted synergistically
with strain HR92 to enhance biocontrol outcomes.

Inulin promoted strain HR92 growth and augmented its pathogen-suppressing ability.
Initially, we observed a threefold increase in strain HR92 population in the tomato
rhizosphere upon inulin treatment. This finding is consistent with previous studies
showing that inulin selectively stimulates the growth of beneficial bacteria, such as
Bifidobacterium, *Lactobacillus*, and *Bacteroides,* while inhibiting potential pathogens
(59). Additionally, inulin has been shown to increase the production of lactic acid,
acetic acid, and other volatile compounds by probiotic strains, indicating a positive
synergistic interaction between inulin and beneficial microbes (60). These findings
support our results that inulin, as a prebiotic, promotes the growth of beneficial
bacteria. We further discovered that the disease severity index for tomatoes treated
with both inulin and strain HR92 decreased by 31.2% compared to those treated with
strain HR92 alone. Previous research has demonstrated that strain HR92 could
effectively control *R. solanacearum* (19), suggesting a direct impact of strain HR92 on
the *R. solanacearum*. This can be interpreted as the biocontrol efficacy of beneficial
bacteria being closely related to their abundance in the rhizosphere (61), and that
plant-derived carbon sources could enhance the metabolic activity of specific
beneficial bacteria, thereby improving their disease resistance (62, 63). Furthermore,
we observed that increasing the inulin concentration from 0.1% to 0.3% did not
further promote the growth of strain HR92, which may be due to strain HR92 already
obtaining sufficient nutrients at the 0.1% concentration. The optimal inulin
concentration for promoting strain HR92 growth under complex environmental
conditions remains to be further investigated.

Inulin and its varying concentrations significantly influenced rhizosphere microbial
diversity and community structure. While a low inulin concentration (0.1%) had no
significant effect on the Simpson and Shannon diversity indices, a higher
concentration (0.3%) reduced these indices. This can be explained by higher
concentrations of inulin potentially altering community structure by selectively
enriching certain functional bacteria, thereby reducing the relative abundance of

other bacterial groups. Our findings revealed distinct differences in bacterial
community composition between the two inulin concentrations treatments. Previous
studies have indicated that the concentration of exogenous carbon sources in soil has
a significant selective effect on native microbial communities (40, 64). Taken together,
different concentrations of inulin can regulate the interactions and structure of
native microbial communities, maintaining higher diversity at lower concentrations
while promoting the enrichment of specific functional bacteria at higher
concentrations. Since a higher concentration of inulin further reduced disease
severity and the abundance of *R. solanacearum* in the rhizosphere, without altering
the abundance of strain HR92 in the rhizosphere. Therefore, we hypothesize that,
beyond directly benefiting strain HR92, a 0.3% inulin concentration may also enrich
certain native soil microbes that aid in suppressing pathogens. In fact, in the
co-occurrence network analysis, *Pseudoarthrobacter* and *Agromyces* were
significantly enriched under high inulin concentration treatment and identified as key
network hubs, rowten showing a significant negative correlation with the pathogen.
This suggests that they may support strain HR92's pathogen suppression function
through competition or antagonistic interactions. Interestingly, previous studies have
indicated that *Pseudoarthrobacter* is adept at collaborating with other beneficial
bacteria to perform better ecological functions (65). On the other hand, strains
belonging to the genus *Pseudoarthrobacter* are known as copiotrophic root
colonizers (66, 67) and have been proposed as a key members of the root
microbiome (68). In summary, the present study highlights the importance of the
synergistic interaction between inulin, *Pseudoarthrobacter* and HR92 in managing
Ralstonia solanacearum.
Our study also demonstrates that the combined effects of inulin and
Pseudoarthrobacter strains significantly enhance the antibacterial activity of HR92
against *R. solanacearum*, primarily through the upregulation of genes related to the
synthesis of key metabolites, such as surfactin and 3-methyl-1-butanol. The
inhibitory effects of these metabolites on pathogens have been well-documented.
The cyclic lipopeptide surfactin, a polypeptide compound composed of fatty acids

and amino acids, exhibits notable antimicrobial, antiviral, and plant
stress-resistance-promoting activities, playing a particularly prominent role in disease
defense (69). Transcriptomic analysis in this study revealed that inulin and
Pseudoarthrobacter strains promoted the synthesis of surfactin by upregulating
metabolic pathways related to fatty acids and amino acids, such as valine and leucine.
Furthermore, 3-methyl-1-butanol, as a volatile antimicrobial compound, has shown
high efficacy in inhibiting various pathogens. Its mode of action involves binding to
microbial cell membranes, inserting into the bilayer structure, and altering
membrane permeability, which destabilizes the membrane, causes leakage of cellular
contents such as ions and ATP, and ultimately leads to cell death, effectively inhibiting
pathogen growth (70, 71). Our co-expression network analysis of HR92 genes
indicated that the butanediol and NADPH metabolic pathways are closely associated
with the antibacterial potential of HR92, possibly by promoting the synthesis of
3-methyl-1-butanol. Further experimental validation confirmed that high
concentrations of 3-methyl-1-butanol exhibit strong inhibitory effects on *R.*
solanacearum, which is consistent with other studies on volatile organic compounds
(VOCs) as disease suppressants (72). In summary, these results suggest that the
synergistic effects of inulin and *Pseudoarthrobacter* can significantly enhance HR92's
ability to suppress *R. solanacearum* by promoting the synthesis of key antibacterial
metabolites.
In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the inulin significantly enhances strain
HR92 colonization, chemotaxis, fatty acid metabolism, and siderophore production in
tomato roots. The addition of 0.3% inulin had a greater impact than 0.1%, notably
enriching *Pseudoarthrobacter* species within the rhizosphere. These species
promoted the synthesis of butanediol and bacitracin in strain HR92, thereby
enhancing its antimicrobial capabilities. Furthermore, *Pseudoarthrobacter* spp.
competed with *R. solanacearum* for root-associated resources, reducing the
abundance of pathogenic bacteria via nutrient competition. The combined effects of
Pseudarthrobacter spp. and inulin further upregulated strain HR92's valine and
leucine metabolic pathways. Valine, leucine, fatty acids, and NAD(P)H are essential

precursors for *L. sphaericus* to synthesize the antimicrobial cyclic lipopeptide
surfactin. Additionally, butanediol is converted into the antimicrobial VOC
3-methyl-1-butanol through valine and leucine metabolic pathways. Thus, the
cooperative interaction between *Pseudoarthrobacter* spp. and inulin enhanced strain
HR92's ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*, ultimately reducing the incidence of
tomato disease. This research provides valuable insights into the synergistic
interactions between exogenous additives and beneficial microbes, offering a
foundation for developing advanced, targeted formulations for sustainable and
effective disease management (Fig 6).

Fig. 6 Pathways by which inulin enhances HR92's disease-resistance mechanisms.
Blue arrows indicate promotion effects, red solid lines indicate direct inhibition effects, red
dashed lines indicate inhibition of pathogen growth through nutrient competition.

Data availability

667 All metagenomics reads are publicly available in the SRA database under the
668 accession number PRJNA1198698.

Acknowledgments

670 This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

(42477125, 42325704, and 42090060), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (KYT2024001), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20230988), the Jiangsu Carbon Peak & Carbon Neutrality Science and Technology Innovation Special Fund (BE2022423), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2024YFC3406000).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

681   1.   Liu J, Yao Q, Li Y, Zhang W, Mi G, Chen X, Yu Z, Wang G. 2019. Continuous cropping of soybean alters the bulk and rhizospheric soil fungal communities in a Mollisol of Northeast PR China. Land Degrad Dev 30:1725–1738.

684   2.   Zheng GD, Shi LB, Wu HY, Peng DL. 2012. Nematode communities in continuous tomato-cropping field soil infested by root-knot nematodes. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil & Plant Science 62:216–223.

687   3.   Tsiafouli MA, Thébault E, Sgardelis SP, De Ruiter PC, Van Der Putten WH, Birkhofer K, Hemerik L, De Vries FT, Bardgett RD, Brady MV, Bjornlund L, Jørgensen HB, Christensen S, Hertefeldt TD, Hotes S, Gera Hol WH, Frouz J, Liiri M, Mortimer SR, Setälä H, Tzanopoulos J, Uteseny K, Pižl V, Stary J, Wolters V, Hedlund K. 2015. Intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity across Europe. Global Change Biology 21:973–985.

4.   Raaijmakers JM, Mazzola M. 2016. Soil immune responses. Science 352:1392–1393.

5.   Delgado-Baquerizo M, Guerra CA, Cano-Díaz C, Egidi E, Wang J-T, Eisenhauer N, Singh BK, Maestre FT. 2020. The proportion of soil-borne pathogens increases with warming at the global scale. Nat Clim Chang 10:550–554.

6.   Andreote FD, Pereira E Silva MDC. 2017. Microbial communities associated with plants: learning from nature to apply it in agriculture. Current Opinion in Microbiology 37:29–34.

7.   Yuan W, Ruan S, Qi G, Wang R, Zhao X. 2022. Plant growth-promoting and antibacterial activities of cultivable bacteria alive in tobacco field against *Ralstonia solanacearum*.

Environmental Microbiology 24:1411–1429.

8.   Sanguin H, Sarniguet A, Gazengel K, Moënne-Loccoz Y, Grundmann GL. 2009. Rhizosphere

bacterial communities associated with disease suppressiveness stages of take-all decline in

wheat monoculture. New Phytologist 184:694–707.

9.   Zhou X, Wang J, Liu F, Liang J, Zhao P, Tsui CKM, Cai L. 2023. Author Correction:

Cross-kingdom synthetic microbiota supports tomato suppression of *Fusarium* wilt disease.

Nat Commun 14:6755.

10.   Li M, Pommier T, Yin Y, Wang J, Gu S, Jousset A, Keuskamp J, Wang H, Wei Z, Xu Y, Shen Q,

Kowalchuk GA. 2022. Indirect reduction of *Ralstonia solanacearum* via pathogen helper

inhibition. ISME J 16:868–875.

11.   Wu B, Wang X, Yang L, Yang H, Zeng H, Qiu Y, Wang C, Yu J, Li J, Xu D, He Z, Chen S. 2016.

Effects of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens ZM9 on bacterial wilt and rhizosphere microbial

communities of tobacco. Applied Soil Ecology 103:1–12.

12.   Wang N, Ding J, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Zhang L, Wei Y, Li J, Xu T, Ding G. 2023. *Bacillus velezensis*

BER1 enriched *Flavobacterium daejeonense* -like bacterium in the rhizosphere of tomato

against bacterial wilt. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 99:fiad054.

13.   Wang X, Li G-H, Zou C-G, Ji X-L, Liu T, Zhao P-J, Liang L-M, Xu J-P, An Z-Q, Zheng X, Qin Y-K,

Tian M-Q, Xu Y-Y, Ma Y-C, Yu Z-F, Huang X-W, Liu S-Q, Niu X-M, Yang J-K, Huang Y, Zhang K-Q.

2014. Bacteria can mobilize nematode-trapping fungi to kill nematodes. Nat Commun

5:5776.

14.   Wei Z, Yang T, Friman V-P, Xu Y, Shen Q, Jousset A. 2015. Trophic network architecture of

root-associated bacterial communities determines pathogen invasion and plant health. Nat

Commun 6:8413.

15.   Hase S, Shimizu A, Nakaho K, Takenaka S, Takahashi H. 2006. Induction of transient ethylene

and reduction in severity of tomato bacterial wilt by *Pythium oligandrum*. Plant Pathology

55:537–543.

16.   Sakthivel K, Manigundan K, Gautam RK, Singh PK, Balamurugan A, Kumar A, Sharma SK.

2023. Microbe-enriched farm yard manure (MFYM) approach for the suppression of

Ralstonia solanacearum Yabuuchi (Smith) inciting bacterial wilt disease in eggplant

(*Solanum melongena L.*). Plant Soil 491:303–315.

17.   Jamal QMS, Ahmad V. 2022. Lysinibacilli: A Biological Factories Intended for Bio-Insecticidal,

Bio-Control, and Bioremediation Activities. JoF 8:1288.

18.   Shabanamol S, Thampi M, Sajana P, Varghese S, Karthika S, George TK, Jisha MS. 2021.

Characterization of the major antifungal extrolite from rice endophyte *Lysinibacillus*

sphaericus against Rhizoctonia solani. Arch Microbiol 203:2605–2613.

19.   Huang J, Wei Z, Tan S, Mei X, Yin S, Shen Q, Xu Y. 2013. The rhizosphere soil of diseased

tomato plants as a source for novel microorganisms to control bacterial wilt. Applied Soil

Ecology 72:79–84.

20.   Yoon, M.Y. Lysinibacillus sphaericus TC1 Strain and Method for Controlling Plant Diseases

Using the Same. Patent Application No. KR20140051676A, 2 May 2014.

21.   Akintayo SO, Treinen C, Vahidinasab M, Pfannstiel J, Bertsche U, Fadahunsi I, Oellig C,

741   Granvogl M, Henkel M, Lilge L, Hausmann R. 2022. Exploration of surfactin production by

742   newly isolated *Bacillus* and *Lysinibacillus* strains from food-related sources. Letters in

743   Applied Microbiology 75:378–387.

744   22.   Zhi Y, Wu Q, Xu Y. 2017. Genome and transcriptome analysis of surfactin biosynthesis in

745   *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* MT45. Sci Rep 7:40976.

746   23.   Sakthivel K, Manigundan K, Gautam RK, Singh PK, Yogeshwari, Subramani T, Sharma SK,

747   Khande R. 2018. Bioefficacy of antimicrobial peptide biosynthesis-gene-linked antagonistic

748   *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* strains for management of bacterial plant diseases in Andaman

749   and Nicobar Islands, India. JEB 39:517–521.

750   24.   Wei Z, Huang J, Yang T, Jousset A, Xu Y, Shen Q, Friman V-P. 2017. Seasonal variation in the

751   biocontrol efficiency of bacterial wilt is driven by temperature-mediated changes in

752   bacterial competitive interactions. J Appl Ecol 54:1440–1448.

753   25.   El-Hassan SA, Gowen SR. 2006. Formulation and Delivery of the Bacterial Antagonist

754   *Bacillus subtilis* for Management of Lentil Vascular Wilt Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp.

755   *lentis*. Journal of Phytopathology 154:148–155.

756   26.   Albright MBN, Louca S, Winkler DE, Feeser KL, Haig S-J, Whiteson KL, Emerson JB, Dunbar J.

757   2022. Solutions in microbiome engineering: prioritizing barriers to organism establishment.

758   The ISME Journal 16:331–338.

27.   Kaminsky LM, Trexler RV, Malik RJ, Hockett KL, Bell TH. 2019. The Inherent Conflicts in

Developing Soil Microbial Inoculants. Trends in Biotechnology 37:140–151.

28.   El Fantroussi S, Agathos SN. 2005. Is bioaugmentation a feasible strategy for pollutant

removal and site remediation? Current Opinion in Microbiology 8:268–275.

29.   Tao C, Li R, Xiong W, Shen Z, Liu S, Wang B, Ruan Y, Geisen S, Shen Q, Kowalchuk GA. 2020.

Bio-organic fertilizers stimulate indigenous soil Pseudomonas populations to enhance plant

disease suppression. Microbiome 8:137.

30.   Gu Y, Hou Y, Huang D, Hao Z, Wang X, Wei Z, Jousset A, Tan S, Xu D, Shen Q, Xu Y, Friman V-P.

2017. Application of biochar reduces *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection via effects on

pathogen chemotaxis, swarming motility, and root exudate adsorption. Plant Soil

415:269–281.

31.   Jin X, Rahman MKU, Ma C, Zheng X, Wu F, Zhou X. 2023. Silicon modification improves

biochar's ability to mitigate cadmium toxicity in tomato by enhancing root colonization of

plant-beneficial bacteria. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 249:114407.

32.   Wang X, Zhang Y, Xu W, Cheng J, Liu J, Pei W, Wang J, Chuang S. 2023. Amino acid fertilizer

strengthens its effect on crop yield and quality by recruiting beneficial rhizosphere

microbes. J Sci Food Agric 103:5970–5980.

33.   Hu Y, Li Y, Yang X, Li C, Wang L, Feng J, Chen S, Li X, Yang Y. 2021. Effects of integrated

biocontrol on bacterial wilt and rhizosphere bacterial community of tobacco. Sci Rep

11:2653.

34.   Yuan S, Wang L, Wu K, Shi J, Wang M, Yang X, Shen Q, Shen B. 2014. Evaluation of

Bacillus-fortified organic fertilizer for controlling tobacco bacterial wilt in greenhouse and

field experiments. Applied Soil Ecology 75:86–94.

35.   Matsuzaki C, Takagi H, Saiga S, Kinoshita Y, Yamaguchi M, Higashimura Y, Yamamoto K,

Yamaguchi M. 2024. Prebiotic effect of galacto- *N* -biose on the intestinal lactic acid

bacteria as enhancer of acetate production and hypothetical colonization. Appl Environ

Microbiol e01445-23.

36.   Bertelsen RJ, Jensen ET, Ringel-Kulka T. 2016. Use of probiotics and prebiotics in infant

feeding. Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology 30:39–48.

37.   Sánchez ÓJ, Ospina DA, Montoya S. 2017. Compost supplementation with nutrients and

microorganisms in composting process. Waste Management 69:136–153.

38.   Xiong Q, Liu D, Zhang H, Dong X, Zhang G, Liu Y, Zhang R. 2020. Quorum sensing signal

autoinducer-2 promotes root colonization of *Bacillus velezensis* SQR9 by affecting biofilm

formation and motility. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 104:7177–7185.

39.   Xu Z, Zhang H, Sun X, Liu Y, Yan W, Xun W, Shen Q, Zhang R. 2019. *Bacillus velezensis* Wall

Teichoic Acids Are Required for Biofilm Formation and Root Colonization. Appl Environ

Microbiol 85:e02116-18.

40.   Wen T, Xie P, Liu H, Liu T, Zhao M, Yang S, Niu G, Hale L, Singh BK, Kowalchuk GA, Shen Q,

Yuan J. 2023. Tapping the rhizosphere metabolites for the prebiotic control of soil-borne

bacterial wilt disease. Nat Commun 14:4497.

41.   Xu Z, Xie J, Zhang H, Wang D, Shen Q, Zhang R. 2019. Enhanced Control of Plant Wilt

Disease by a Xylose-Inducible *degQ* Gene Engineered into *Bacillus velezensis* Strain

SQR9XYQ. Phytopathology® 109:36–43.

42.   Kim L, Mogk A, Schumann W. 1996. A xylose-inducible *Bacillus subtilis* integration vector

and its application. Gene 181:71–76.

43.   Wei Z, Yang X, Yin S, Shen Q, Ran W, Xu Y. 2011. Efficacy of Bacillus-fortified organic fertiliser

in controlling bacterial wilt of tomato in the field. Applied Soil Ecology 48:152–159.

44.   Guo J-H, Qi H-Y, Guo Y-H, Ge H-L, Gong L-Y, Zhang L-X, Sun P-H. 2004. Biocontrol of tomato

wilt by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Biological Control 29:66–72.

45.   Cardenas E, Wu W-M, Leigh MB, Carley J, Carroll S, Gentry T, Luo J, Watson D, Gu B,

Ginder-Vogel M, Kitanidis PK, Jardine PM, Zhou J, Criddle CS, Marsh TL, Tiedje JM. 2010.

Significant Association between Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria and Uranium-Reducing Microbial

Communities as Revealed by a Combined Massively Parallel Sequencing-Indicator Species

Approach. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:6778–6786.

46.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2:

High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583.

47.   Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña

AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA,

McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA,

Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of

high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336.

48.   Schönfeld J, Heuer H, Van Elsas JD, K. Smalla. 2003. Specific and Sensitive Detection of

Ralstoniasolanacearum in Soil on the Basis of PCR Amplification of *fliC* Fragments. Appl

Environ Microbiol 69:7248–7256.

49.   Eden PA, Schmidt TM, Blakemore RP, Pace NR. 1991. Phylogenetic Analysis of Aquaspirillum

magnetotacticum Using Polymerase Chain Reaction-Amplified 16S rRNA-Specific DNA.

International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 41:324–325.

50.   Scheublin TR, Sanders IR, Keel C, Van Der Meer JR. 2010. Characterisation of microbial

communities colonising the hyphal surfaces of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The ISME

Journal 4:752–763.

51.   Eisenhauer N, Schulz W, Scheu S, Jousset A. 2013. Niche dimensionality links biodiversity

and invasibility of microbial communities. Functional Ecology 27:282–288.

52.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR : a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26:139–140.

53.   Klopfenstein DV, Zhang L, Pedersen BS, Ramírez F, Warwick Vesztrocy A, Naldi A, Mungall CJ,

Yunes JM, Botvinnik O, Weigel M, Dampier W, Dessimoz C, Flick P, Tang H. 2018. GOATOOLS:

A Python library for Gene Ontology analyses. Sci Rep 8:10872.

54.   Wu J, Mao X, Cai T, Luo J, Wei L. 2006. KOBAS server: a web-based platform for automated

annotation and pathway identification. Nucleic Acids Research 34:W720–W724.

55.   Liu C, Cui Y, Li X, Yao M. 2021. *microeco*: an R package for data mining in microbial

839   community ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 97:fiaa255.

840   56.   Dixon P. 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. J Vegetation

841   Science 14:927–930.

842   57.   Wang N, Zhang Y, Jiang G. 2024. LorMe: Lightening One-Code Resolving Microbial Ecology

843   Solution.

844   58.   Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. 2016. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in

845   multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics 32:2847–2849.

846   59.   Havenaar R, Bonnin-Marol S, Van Dokkum W, Petitet S, Schaafsma G. 1999. Inulin:

847   Fermentation and microbial ecology in the intestinal tract. Food Reviews International

848   15:109–120.

849   60.   Oliveira RPDS, Perego P, De Oliveira MN, Converti A. 2012. Growth, organic acids profile and

850   sugar metabolism of Bifidobacterium lactis in co-culture with *Streptococcus thermophilus*:

851   The inulin effect. Food Research International 48:21–27.

852   61.   Wang NR, Wiesmann CL, Melnyk RA, Hossain SS, Chi M-H, Martens K, Craven K, Haney CH.

853   2022. Commensal *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Strains Protect *Arabidopsis* from Closely

854   Related *Pseudomonas* Pathogens in a Colonization-Dependent Manner. mBio

855   13:e02892-21.

62.   Stringlis IA, Yu K, Feussner K, De Jonge R, Van Bentum S, Van Verk MC, Berendsen RL,

Bakker PAHM, Feussner I, Pieterse CMJ. 2018. MYB72-dependent coumarin exudation

shapes root microbiome assembly to promote plant health. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115.

63. Feng H, Fu R, Luo J, Hou X, Gao K, Su L, Xu Y, Miao Y, Liu Y, Xu Z, Zhang N, Shen Q, Xun W,

Zhang R. 2023. Listening to plant's Esperanto via root exudates: reprogramming the

functional expression of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. New Phytologist

239:2307–2319.

64. Ling N, Raza W, Ma J, Huang Q, Shen Q. 2011. Identification and role of organic acids in

watermelon root exudates for recruiting *Paenibacillus polymyxa* SQR-21 in the rhizosphere.

European Journal of Soil Biology 47:374–379.

65. Naloka K, Kuntaveesuk A, Muangchinda C, Chavanich S, Viyakarn V, Chen B, Pinyakong O.

2024. *Pseudomonas* and *Pseudarthrobacter* are the key players in synergistic phenanthrene

biodegradation at low temperatures. Sci Rep 14:11976.

66. Samal S, Singh D, Upadhyay RS, Lokesh Babu P, Geat N. 2024. Hydrolytic genes of

antagonistic rhizobacteria strains on Fusarium udum causing wilt disease in pigeonpea. J

Plant Pathol https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-024-01641-z.

67. Ghasemi Z, Ghaderian SM, Rodríguez-Garrido B, Prieto-Fernández Á, Kidd PS. 2018. Plant

species-specificity and effects of bioinoculants and fertilization on plant performance for

nickel phytomining. Plant Soil 425:265–285.

68. Adamo I, Acin-Albiac M, Röttjers S, De Prado DR, Benito BM, Zamora J, Godara R,

García-Jiménez B, Jiang-Rempel P, Cline LC, Acedo A. 2024. Short impact on soil microbiome

of a *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* QST713 based product that correlates with higher potato

yield across USA. Front Plant Sci 15:1332840.

69. Laird M, Piccoli D, Weselowski B, McDowell T, Renaud J, MacDonald J, Yuan Z-C. 2020.

Surfactin-producing Bacillus velezensis 1B-23 and *Bacillus* sp. 1D-12 protect tomato against

bacterial canker caused by Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis. J Plant Pathol

102:451–458.

70. Huang R, Li GQ, Zhang J, Yang L, Che HJ, Jiang DH, Huang HC. 2011. Control of Postharvest

Botrytis Fruit Rot of Strawberry by Volatile Organic Compounds of *Candida intermedia*.

Phytopathology® 101:859–869.

71. Zhao X, Zhou J, Tian R, Liu Y. 2022. Microbial volatile organic compounds: Antifungal

mechanisms, applications, and challenges. Front Microbiol 13:922450.

72. Zhou X, Zhang J, Shi J, Khashi U Rahman M, Liu H, Wei Z, Wu F, Dini-Andreote F. 2024.

Volatile-mediated interspecific plant interaction promotes root colonization by beneficial

bacteria via induced shifts in root exudation. Microbiome 12:207.

891