Inulin reduces *Ralstonia* invasion by promoting cooperation between *Lysinibacillus*

***sphaericus* and *Pseudarthrobacter* spp.**

Tao Yan[1, #], Ying Guan[1, #], Xinlan Mei[1], Ziru Zhang[1], Tianxiang Zhu[1], Yang Gao[1],

Xiaofang Wang[1], Yangchun Xu[1], Qirong Shen[1], Zhong Wei[1], Tianjie Yang[1, *]

[1] Jiangsu Provincial Key Lab of Solid Organic Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative

Innovation Center of Solid Organic Wastes, Educational Ministry Engineering Center

of Resource-saving fertilizers, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, Jiangsu

211800, China

* Correspondence: tjyang@njau.edu.cn

# These authors contributed equally

Abstract

In field production, beneficial microbial agents are typically applied along with key

resources that beneficial microbes prefer to utilize. This practice aims to help the

beneficial microbes colonize the field and exert their disease resistance functions.

However, the mechanisms by which these key resources influence the colonization

and disease resistance effects of beneficial microbes remain unknown. In this study,

we found that inulin can enhance the growth capacity of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus*

HR92 and its ability to inhibit *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Further investigation revealed

that inulin can enhance strain HR92's flagellar assembly, bacterial chemotaxis, fatty

acid metabolism, and siderophore synthesis capabilities, ultimately improving strain

HR92's effectiveness in controlling bacterial wilt. Moreover, adding inulin to the soil

can enrich *Pseudarthrobacter* strains. This genus enhances the expression of genes

involved in the synthesis of the antibacterial substance HR92, and competes for

nutrients with *Ralstonia solanacearum*, thereby further inhibiting the occurrence of

bacterial wilt disease. Additionally, the combination of inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter*

strains upregulates the valine and leucine pathway genes in strain HR92. These genes

play a crucial role in the synthesis of surfactin and the antimicrobial VOC

3-methyl-1-butanol. We have demonstrated that the addition of key resources not

only enhances the control efficacy of beneficial bacteria against soil-borne diseases

but also enriches the "helpers" of beneficial bacteria in soil.

Importance

Ralstonia solanacearum is a plant pathogen that can cause bacterial wilt in several

important crops. Given the limitations of beneficial bacterial inoculation, we propose

a strategy to enhance the biocontrol efficacy of beneficial microorganisms by utilizing

key resources. In this study, we demonstrate that key resources inulin can improve

the biological control effects of beneficial bacteria, thereby maintaining plant health.

Furthermore, we found that increasing the concentration of inulin altered the

diversity of the rhizosphere microbiota and specifically enriched the abundance of

Pseudarthrobacter, which compete with pathogens for nutrients on one hand and,

on the other hand, synergize with inulin to enhance the biocontrol efficacy of the

beneficial bacterium HR92. Together, our study reveals that key resources can

enhance the biocontrol efficacy of beneficial bacteria and enrich potential beneficial

bacteria in resident microbial communities, further reducing tomato diseases.

Keywords: Tomato bacterial wilt, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, rhizosphere, inulin,

Lysinibacillus sphaericus

Introduction

Soil-borne plant pathogens cause major economic losses to agricultural production due to lack of efficient control methods (1–5). In recent years, researchers have increasingly explored the use of biological control methods, which are ecologically friendly and exhibit specificity in pathogen suppression (6–9). Beneficial microbes can prevent pathogenic invasion of plant root systems through various mechanisms, they can secrete antagonistic substances that directly inhibit the growth of pathogens or their "helpers" (10), preventing colonization or invasion of plant roots. Some beneficial bacteria compete with pathogens for rhizosphere resources, thereby suppressing pathogen growth through nutrient competition. Moreover, introduced beneficial microbes can stimulate soil native resident microbiomes (11), engaging in metabolic interactions that fortify the rhizosphere, creating a more resilient barrier that pathogens find difficult to breach (10, 12–15). Studies have shown that *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* is an effective biocontrol agent against bacterial wilt in tomatoes (16–19). Specifically, *L. sphaericus* inhibits the growth of *R. solanacearum* through the secretion of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as dicarboxylic esters and 3-methyl-1-butanol (20), as well as cyclic lipopeptides like surfactin and bacitracin (21–23).

Due to the limited availability of nutrients in the rhizosphere and the complex conditions of the field environment (24, 25), the inoculated beneficial bacteria often lack a competitive edge over soil native resident microbiomes, preventing them from efficiently colonizing the rhizosphere and exhibiting their disease-suppressive functions (26–28). Recent studies have proposed providing nutritional carriers for inoculated beneficial bacteria to enhance their biocontrol efficacy in the rhizosphere (29–34). For instance, adding organic fertilizers, biochar, or amino acid-based fertilizers along with the beneficial microbes has been shown to improve their survival in the rhizosphere. However, these organic carriers are generally utilized by a wide range of soil microorganisms, lacking specificity, and cannot be exclusively exploited by beneficial bacteria. In recent years, research in the field of gut

microbiota has suggested adding resources preferentially utilized by beneficial
bacteria along with their introduction to target and enhance their colonization in the
gut (35, 36). Due to the analogous roles of the rhizosphere and the gut in host
immunity and ecological function, we hypothesize that the application of targeted
resources capable of selectively enhancing the colonization efficiency of beneficial
bacteria in the rhizosphere will promote their stable establishment in the root
environment (37–39), ultimately improving their biocontrol performance. Soil
microorganisms in the rhizosphere are often strongly influenced by plant root
exudates, with sugars in these exudates playing a crucial role in recruiting beneficial
bacteria and maintaining plant health (40–42). Thus, we focused on soluble sugars
from root exudates to identify a compound capable of selectively enhancing the
colonization capacity of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus*. Through this approach, we aim to
explore how such a resource influences the biocontrol potential of beneficial bacteria
within the complex rhizosphere environment.
Unlocking the potential of specific resources to enhance the targeted colonization of
beneficial bacteria in the rhizosphere, while understanding their effects on soil native
microbial communities, is crucial for managing soil-borne diseases in agricultural
production. We utilized *L. sphaericus* HR92 along with inulin, which has been shown
to boost both the growth and antibacterial activity of strain HR92. By inoculating the
beneficial bacteria and varying concentrations of inulin to the tomato roots, we
observed the colonization potential of strain HR92 and its impact on the assembly of
bacterial communities in the tomato rhizosphere. Our findings reveal that inulin not
only directly enhances strain HR92 colonization and disease suppression capabilities
but also enriches specific soil native resident microbiomes. These native microbes,
on one hand, exhibit inhibitory effects on pathogens and, on the other, enhance the
disease resistance of beneficial bacteria. Finally, we used transcriptomics to
determine the influence of inulin and native soil microbes on strain HR92.
103

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions

The plant pathogen used in this study was *Ralstonia solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 (GenBank: GU390462) (43), and the beneficial bacterium was *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* HR92 (GenBank: JF700465.1) (19). The strain was routinely grown in nutrient broth medium at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm in NB medium (nutrient broth, 10.0 g glucose L^{-1}; 5.0 g peptone L^{-1}, 0.5 g yeast extract L^{-1}, and 3.0 g beef extract L^{-1}, pH 7.0).

In *vitro* co-culture experiments, we used strain *R. solanacearum* QL-RFP, that QL-Rs1115 was tagged with the stable pYC12-mCherry plasmid (excitation wavelength: 587 nm, absorption wavelength: 610 nm) (14). The tagged plasmid had no significant impact on growth and virulence of the pathogen *R. solanacearum* (14). The strain *L. sphaericus* HR92 carries green fluorescence plasmid (excitation wavelength: 488 nm, absorption wavelength: 510 nm). The pathogen cells were harvested by centrifugation (6000 rpm, 10 min), washed twice with sterile saline solution (0.9% NaCl), and diluted to a density of 10^8 colony-forming units (CFU) ml^{-1} based on the optical density 600 (OD_{600} = 0.5) before all the experiments.

Effects of various sugars on the growth of *Ralstonia solanacearum* and HR92

The bacterial strain HR92, and *R. solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 were grown overnight in NB medium at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were washed three times with 0.85% NaCl and resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.5 (approximate 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). Bacteria was cultured in a 96-well microtiter plate. Each well contained a total of 200 μL culture liquid: 178 μL NB medium, 20 μL of 1mM sugars solution (final concentration 0.1mM), and 2 μL bacterial suspension. We set treatment without sugars (the same volume sterile water instead) as control. The microtiter plate was incubated at 30 °C with agitation of 170 rpm. Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was measured after 48 h by using a SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). All treatments were performed with three replicates.

Effect of various sugars on the ability of strain HR92 to inhibit *R. solanacearum*

We selected sugars that did not directly promote the growth of *R. solanacearum* for

subsequent experiments. The bacterial strains HR92 and R. solanacearum QL-RFP

were grown overnight in NB medium at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were

washed three times with 0.85% NaCl and resuspended to an OD600 of 0.5

(approximately 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). The bacteria were cultured in 96-well microtiter

plates. Each well contained a total of 200 μL of culture: 176 μL NB medium, 20 μL

of 1 mM sugar solution (final concentration 0.1 mM), and 2 μL each of HR92 and

QL-RFP. We set treatment without sugars (the same volume sterile water instead) as

control. The microtiter plates were incubated at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm.

Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was measured after 48 hours using a SpectraMax M5

spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). In addition, red

fluorescence of QL-RFP (excitation wavelength: 587 nm; emission wavelength:

610 nm) was measured, and the biomass of *R. solanacearum* under co-culture with

HR92 and sugars was calculated using log (RFU/OD_{600}). All treatments were

performed with three replicates.

Greenhouse experiment setup and sample collection

Surface-sterilized tomato seeds (*Solanum lycopersicum,* cultivar "Hong'ai") were

germinated on water-agar plates for 3 days before sowing into 72-well trays filled

with growth substrate (50 g substrate per well; commercially available from

Jiangsu-Xingnong Substrate Technology Co., Ltd). At the three-leaf stage (17 days

after sowing), a subset of tomato plants of similar size was selected and transplanted

into seedling cups containing natural soil (200 g per cell). Seedlings were grown

under controlled greenhouse conditions (25-30 °C, 16/8 h day/night cycle, 200 μmol

m^{-2} s^{-1} light density, and 70% relative humidity).

Part1: Inulin enhances the disease-suppressive effect of HR92

Seven days after transplantation, strain HR92 and inulin were inoculated together

into the rhizosphere soil of each plant. The final density of strain HR92 was 10^8 CFU

g^{-1} soil. The final concentration of inulin was adjusted according to each treatment.

Seven days after co-inoculation of strain HR92 and inulin, the pathogen *R.*

solanacearum QL-Rs1115 was inoculated into the rhizosphere soil of each plant at a

final density of 10^6 CFU g^{-1} soil. The greenhouse experiment comprised four

treatments, including only pathogen, only HR92, HR92 with 0.1% inulin (1 g kg^{-1} soil),

and HR92 with 0.3% inulin (3 g kg^{-1} soil) (Fig. S1). Each treatment had four biological

replicates, with each replicate consisting of five individual tomato plants, resulting in

a total of 80 plants (20 plants per treatment x 4 treatments). Tomato plants regularly

watered with sterile water, and their positions were randomized every two days.

Seven days after inoculation with *R. solanacearum*, the tomato plants began to

exhibit disease symptoms. Disease index was recorded seven days after

transplantation on a scale of 0 to 4 (0, no signs of wilting; 1, 1%–25% lead area wilted;

2, 26%–50% leaf area wilted; 3, 51%–75% leaf area wilted; and 4, 76%–100% leaf

area wilted), and was calculated using the formula: DS = ∑ (number of diseased

plants with given index × DI)/ (total number of plants infected × highest DI) (44). Soil

samples from the rhizosphere of both healthy and diseased plants were collected

and stored at -80 °C.

Part II: P265, P397, and inulin enhance the disease-suppressive effect of HR92.

In a separate set of experiments with a similar design, we further evaluated the

effects of two key bacterial strains (P265 and P397) in enhancing the biocontrol

effect of HR92. Seven days after transplantation, strains P265, P397, and HR92 were

co-inoculated into the rhizosphere together with inulin. The total bacterial density

was adjusted to 10^8 CFU g^{-1} soil. Seven days after this co-inoculation, *R.*

solanacearum QL-Rs1115 was introduced at 10^6 CFU g^{-1} soil. Treatments and

replication were conducted as in Part I, with appropriate controls included for

comparison. Each treatment had four biological replicates, with each replicate

consisting of five individual tomato plants, resulting in a total of 140 plants (20 plants

per treatment x 7 treatments).

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of soil using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit

(MoBio, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The purity and

concentration of DNA were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000

spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and a Qubit 2.0

fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene

was amplified with primers 563F and 802R (Table S3) (45). A two-step dual indexing

strategy for Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) sequencing was employed.

The PCR thermocycler program included an initial denaturation temperature of 95 °C

for 2 min, then 25 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and a

final elongation step of 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR amplification was confirmed by gel

electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels. PCR products were purified using AxyPrep PCR

Clean-up Kit (Axygen Biosciences, CA, USA). The following library construction and

Illumina MiSeq sequencing (2 × 300 bp) were performed by Shanghai Biozeron

Biological Technology (Shanghai, China).

Raw amplicon reads were processed using the DADA2 pipeline v.1.14.1 (46). In brief,

reads were quality checked and primers were removed using trimLeft in the filter

AndTrim function. According to the sequence quality, the 16S rRNA gene reads were

filtered using default parameters (confidence level at 80%). Chimeras were removed

after merging denoised pair-end sequences. Each unique amplicon sequence variant

(ASV) was assigned to taxa according to SILVA database v.138.1 (47) for the 16S rRNA

gene. For bacteria, non-bacterial ASVs including chloroplasts and mitochondrial reads

were removed.

Real-time quantitative PCR analysis

Quantification of strain HR92 (19) and *R. solanacearum* (48) was performed by qPCR

using the qTOWER Real-Time PCR system (Analytik jena, Jena, Germany). The specific

primers utilized are listed in Table S3. Twenty-microliter reactions were containing 10

μL of 2X TB Green Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, Beijing, China), 2 μL of DNA, 0.8 μL of each

primer (10 μM), 0.4 μL of ROX Reference Dye (TaKaRa, Beijing, China) and 6 μL of

Nuclease-free water. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 3 min,

followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Standard

curves were used to calculate the gene copy numbers of the target group for each

reaction. Each sample was run in triplicate, and results were expressed as log10

values of the gene copy numbers per gram of soil.

Screening of Key bacteria

Bacteria were isolated from the rhizosphere of five healthy tomato plants co-treated

with HR92 and inulin. Soil samples (1 g) were suspended in sterile water, vortexed,

and serially diluted before plating. One hundred microliters of serial dilutions

(10^{-4}-10^{-7}) were plated onto 1/3 TSA plates (Tryptic Soy Agar, tryptone 5 g L^{-1}, soy

peptone 1.65 g L^{-1}, NaCl 1.65 g L^{-1} and agar 15 g L^{-1}). Plates were incubated at 28 °C

until single colonies existed on the medium.

All bacterial isolates were identified based on 16S rRNA gene sequence using

universal primers 27F and 1492R (Table S3) (49). PCR products were sequenced by

Sangon Biotech (Shanghai Co., Ltd, China). 16S rRNA gene sequencing results were

blasted against the NCBI database for homologous sequences.

Effect of inulin on bacterial growth

The bacterial strain HR92, P265 (*Pseudarthrobacter siccitolerans*), and P397

(*Pseudarthrobacter oxydans*), and *R. solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 were grown overnight

in NB medium at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were washed three times with

0.85% NaCl and resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.5 (approximate 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). Bacteria

was cultured in a 96-well microtiter plate. Each well contained a total of 200 µL

culture liquid: 178 µL NB medium, 20 µL 3% inulin (final concentration 0.3%), and 2

µL bacterial suspension. We set treatment without inulin (the same volume sterile

water instead) as control. The microtiter plate was incubated at 30 °C with agitation

of 170 rpm. Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was measured after 48 h by using a SpectraMax

M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). All treatments

were performed with four replicates.

Effects of supernatants from HR92, P265, or P397 on *R. solanacearum* growth

induced by inulin

After measuring bacterial growth, we obtained bacterial supernatant induced by

inulin (**supernatant a**) by centrifuging bacterial suspension at 3000 rpm for 15 min

with a 96-well filter membrane plate. 2 µL of *R. solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 suspension

(OD_{600} = 0.5) were added to a 96-well microtiter plate with each well containing 178

µl of NB medium and 20 µl of **supernatant a**. We set a treatment without

supernatant (replaced with the same volume of sterile water) as the control. The

plate was incubated at 30 °C with agitation of 170 rpm. Ralstonia growth (OD_{600}) was

measured after 48 h by using a SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular

Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). All treatments were performed with five replicates.

256 Effect of inulin on the ability of strain HR92, P265, or P397 to inhibit *R.*

257 *solanacearum* under co-culture conditions

The bacterial strain HR92, P265, P397 and *R. solanacearum* QL-RFP were grown

overnight in NB medium at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were washed three

times with 0.85% NaCl and resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.5 (approximate 10^7 CFU

mL^{-1}). Bacteria was cultured in a 96-well microtiter plate. Each well contained a total

of 200 μL culture liquid: 176 μL NB medium, 20 μL 3% inulin (final concentration

0.3%), 2 μL QL-RFP, and 2 μL of one of the following strains: P265, P397, or HR92. We

set treatment without strain and inulin (the same volume sterile water instead) as

control. The microtiter plate was incubated at 30 °C with agitation of 170 rpm.

Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was measured after 48 h by using a SpectraMax M5

spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). In addition, red

fluorescence of QL-RFP (excitation wavelength: 587 nm; emission wavelength:

610 nm) was measured, and the biomass of *R. solanacearum* under co-culture with

HR92 and sugars was calculated using $log(RFU/OD_{600})$. All treatments were

performed with four replicates.

272 Carbon resource utilization patterns of the bacterial isolates

The resource utilization patterns of QL-RS1115, HR92, P265 or P397 were assessed

across 74 distinct carbon resources (Table S2), including amino acids, organic acids,

and sugars commonly found in tomato root exudates. The bacterial strains were

grown overnight in NB medium at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm. Cells were washed

three times with 0.85% NaCl and resuspended to an OD_{600} of 0.5 (approximate 10^7

CFU mL^{-1}). Then, 5 μL of each strain was added to a 384-well microtiter plate

containing 40 μL of OS minimal medium (50) supplemented with 5 μL of 1 mM of

different carbon sources (14). Plates were incubated at 30 °C with agitation at 170

rpm, and bacteria growth was measured after 48 h using a SpectraMax M5

spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). We set treatment

without carbon resource (the same volume sterile water instead) as control. T-tests

were conducted to compare the biomass of bacteria under each resource condition

with the biomass under the control condition. A significance level of $p < 0.05$

indicates that the bacteria can utilize the respective resource. Utilization efficiency

was calculated using the formula: (biomass under resource condition - control)/

control x 100. Utilization efficiency was categorized into different levels: below 20%

was marked as *, 20%-40% as **, 40%-60% as ***, and above 60% as ****. All

treatments were performed with four replicates.

Effects of *Pseudarthrobacter* and HR92 combination on *R. solanacearum* growth

induced by inulin

Strains HR92, P265, P397, and *Ralstonia solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 were grown

overnight in NB medium at 30°C with shaking at 170 rpm. After incubation, the cells

were washed three times with 0.85% NaCl and resuspended to an OD600 of 0.5

(approximately 10^7 CFU/mL). The bacteria were inoculated into a 96-well microtiter

plate, with each well containing a total volume of 200 μL: 176 μL NB medium, 20 μL 3%

inulin (final concentration 0.3%), and 2 μL of HR92, P265, or P397 suspension. Wells

without P265 or P397 (replaced with the same volume of sterile water) were used as

controls. The microtiter plate was incubated at 30°C with shaking at 170 rpm for 48

hours. After incubation, the bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15

minutes using a 96-well filter membrane plate to obtain the bacterial supernatant

(**supernatant b**).Then, 2 μL of *R. solanacearum* QL-Rs1115 suspension (OD600 = 0.5)

was added to each well of a new 96-well microtiter plate containing 178 μL of NB

medium and 20 μL of **supernatant b**. Wells without P265 or P397 (replaced with the

same volume of sterile water, only HR92 was included) were used as controls for

supernatant b. The plate was incubated at 30°C with shaking at 170 rpm. After 48

hours, the growth of *R. solanacearum* (OD600) was measured using a SpectraMax

M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). All treatments

were performed with four replicates.

Effect of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. on the ability of strain HR92 to inhibit *R.*

solanacearum

This experiment followed a three-step workflow that was similar to previous
inhibition assays. Initially, 2 μL of bacterial suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) of strain P265 or
P397 were incubated in 198 μL of NB medium at 30 °C with agitation at 170 rpm for
48 h. The resulting bacterial suspension was transferred to a 96-well filter membrane
plate and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min to collect the supernatant (**supernatant**
c-1). Next, 2 μL of bacterial suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) of strain HR92 was incubated
with 178 μL of NB medium and 20 μL of **supernatant c-1** under same conditions for
48 h. The bacterial suspension was then centrifuged, and the supernatant
(**supernatant c-2**) was collected. Finally, 2 μL of *R. solanacearum* suspension (OD_{600} =
0.5) was incubated with 178 μL of NB medium and 20 μL of **supernatant c-2** at 30 °C
with agitation at 170 rpm for 48 h. We set treatment without **supernatant c-2** (the
same volume sterile water instead) as control. Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was
measured using a SpectraMax M5 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale,
CA, USA). The effect of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. on the ability of strain HR92 to inhibit
R. solanacearum was analyzed by examining the biomass of *R. solanacearum*. All
treatments were performed with four replicates.

Effect of strain HR92 on the ability of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. to inhibit *R.*
solanacearum

This experiment followed a three-step workflow similarly to the previous inhibition
assays. Initially, 2 μL of strain HR92 suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) were incubated with 198
μL of NB medium at 30 °C with agitation at 170 rpm for 48 h, and the supernatant
(**supernatant d-1**) was collected after centrifugation. Next, 2 μL of *Pseudarthrobacter*
strains (strain P265 or P397) suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) was incubated with 178 μL of
NB medium and 20 μL of **supernatant d-1** under similar conditions for 48 h, followed
by centrifugation to collect the supernatant (**supernatant d-2**). Finally, 2 μL of *R.*
solanacearum suspension (OD_{600} = 0.5) was incubated with 178 μL of NB medium
and 20 μL of **supernatant d-2** at 30 °C with agitation at 170 rpm for 48 h. We set
treatment without **supernatant d-2** (the same volume sterile water instead) as
control. Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) was measured using a SpectraMax M5
spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The effect of strain

HR92 on the ability of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. to inhibit *R. solanacearum* was
analyzed by examining the biomass of *R. solanacearum*. All treatments were
performed with four replicates.

RNA extraction and RNA-seq

Total RNA was extracted from the bacterial cells using TRIzol® Reagent according the
manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Then RNA quality was determined
using 2100 Bioanalyser (Agilent, CA, USA) and quantified using the ND-2000
(NanoDrop Technologies, DE, USA). RNA-seq strand-specific libraries were prepared
following TruSeq RNA sample preparation Kit from Illumina (San Diego, CA), using
5μg of total RNA. Shortly, rRNA removal by RiboZero rRNA removal kit (Epicenter,
Wisconsin, USA), fragmented using fragmentation buffer cDNA synthesis, end repair,
A-base addition and ligation of the Illumina-indexed adaptors were performed
according to Illumina's protocol. Libraries were then size selected for cDNA target
fragments of 200–300 bp on 2% Low Range Ultra Agarose followed by PCR amplified
using Phusion DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts, USA) for 15
PCR cycles. After quantified by TBS380, paired-end libraries were sequenced by
Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencing (2 × 150bp, Shanghai BIOZERON Co., Ltd).

The raw paired end reads were trimmed and quality controlled by Trimmomatic with
parameters   (SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15   MINLEN:75)   (version   0.36
http://www.usadellab.org/cms/uploads/supplementary/Trimmomatic).   Then   clean
reads were separately aligned to reference genome with orientation mode using
Rockhopper (http://cs.wellesley.edu/~btjaden/Rockhopper/) software. Rockhopper
was a comprehensive and user-friendly system for computational analysis of
bacterial RNA-seq data. As input, Rockhopper takes RNA sequencing reads generated
by high-throughput sequencing technology. This software was used to calculate gene
expression levels with default parameters.

Differential expression analysis and functional enrichment

To identify differential expression genes (DEGs) between the two different samples,
the expression level for each transcript was calculated using the fragments per
kilobase of read per million mapped reads (RPKM) method. edgeR (51) was used for

differential expression analysis. The DEGs between two samples were selected using

the following criteria: the logarithmic of fold change was greater than 2 and the false

discovery rate (FDR) should be less than 0.05. To understand the functions of the

differential expressed genes, Gene Ontology (GO) functional enrichment and Kyoto

Encyclopedia and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis were carried out by Goatools

(52) and KOBAS (53) respectively. DEGs were significantly enriched in GO terms and

metabolic pathways when their Bonferroni-corrected P-value was less than 0.05.

Effect of 3-methyl-1-butanol on the growth of pathogen *R. solanacearum*

In order to investigate the effect of key microbial metabolite on pathogen growth, we

used standard chemical compound of 3-methyl-1-butanol and *R. solanacearum* to

conduct a plate confrontation assay. The experiment was conducted using divided

Petri dishes, with a 1 cm diameter filter paper was placed on one side and NA

medium on the other. 3-methyl-1-butanol at concentrations ranging from 100%

purity to dilutions in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were applied to the filter paper (20

μl per dish). 5 μl of *R. solanacearum* (OD_{600} = 0.5) was inoculated onto the NB

medium, and plates were incubated at 30 ℃ for 48 h. After incubation, 1 ml of sterile

water was used to wash the bacterial colonies, and then 50 μl of the bacterial

suspension was diluted to 200 μl for OD_{600} measurements using a SpectraMax M5

spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). DMSO was used as a

blank control. All treatments were performed with three replicates.

Data analysis and statistics

All data analyses were performed using R version 4.3.1. Microbiome community

diversity was calculated as ASVs. Changes in community composition were assessed

with a principal component analysis (PCA) by using the R package "microceo" v1.9.0

(54), function adonis in R package "vegan" v2.6.4 (55) was conducted to evaluate the

significant difference in community structure across the different treatments. The

"ONE_Tukey_HSD2" function was uesd to compare the differences between different

treatments. The "LorMe" v1.1.0 package (56) in the laboratory was employed to

construct microbial co-occurrence networks. The "ComplexHeatmap" v1.10.2

package (57) was utilized to depict the heatmap of bacterial resource utilization

ability.

Results

Effect of inulin on promoting pathogen suppression of *L. sphaericus* HR92

To identify potential specific resource, we screened 13 water-soluble sugars based on the criterion that they should not directly promote pathogen growth while supporting the proliferation and antagonistic activity of beneficial bacteria. Initial in vitro assays revealed that, except for allulose, none of the tested water-soluble sugars significantly affected the growth of *R. solanacearum* ($F_{13,28}$ = 8.48, p < 0.001; Fig. S2), indicating minimal risk of inadvertently stimulating pathogen development. We next assessed the effects of these 12 sugars on the beneficial strain *L. sphaericus* HR92 and its ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*. Most sugars enhanced strain HR92 growth and strengthened its antagonistic activity, with inulin exhibiting the most pronounced effect. Specifically, inulin significantly promoted HR92 growth ($F_{8,18}$ = 11.60, p < 0.001; Fig. S3A), with a maximum increase of 14.8%, and markedly improved its inhibitory effect against *R. solanacearum*, achieving the highest inhibition rate of 27.8% ($F_{8,18}$ = 10.74, p < 0.001; Fig. S3B). Given its dual benefits, inulin was selected for subsequent experiments (Fig. S1).

In order to further verify effect of inulin on enhancing pathogen suppression of strain HR92, we conducted a greenhouse experiment. Inoculation of strain HR92 significantly reduce disease index of tomato plants, and inulin addition strengthened the disease suppression of strain HR92 with a dose effect ($F_{3,12}$ = 45.34, p < 0.05, Fig. 1A). The lowest disease index was observed in the treatment with 0.3% inulin and strain HR92, which reduced by a 70% reduction compared to the control (CK, Fig. 1B). Similarly, the *R. solanacearum* density in the rhizosphere of treatment with 0.3% inulin and strain HR92 was the lowest ($F_{3,12}$ = 46.95, p < 0.05, Fig. 1B). Interestingly, though 0.1% inulin increased the abundance of strain HR92 in the rhizosphere, higher inulin concentration inulin (0.3%) did not further bring strain HR92 to a higher density ($F_{3,12}$ = 13.223, p < 0.05, Fig. 1C). Additionally, we tested effect of inulin

432   concentration on the growth of strain HR92. Consistent with the in planta findings,

433   0.1% inulin significantly increased the growth of strain HR92, while 0.3% showed no

434   significant improvement ($F_{3,8}$ = 14.51, $p < 0.05$, Fig. S4). These findings indicate the

435   effect of inulin on improving pathogen suppression of strain HR92, but not in a dose

436   dependent way.

437
Fig. 1 **Effects of inulin on enhancing pathogen suppression by strain HR92 in tomato bacterial**
wilt.
(A) Tomato disease index under different treatments (N = 4). (B) Absolute abundance of *R.*
solanacearum in the tomato rhizosphere under different treatments, quantified by qPCR using
species-specific primers (one-way ANOVA with correction by Tukey's HSD test; N = 4). (C)
Absolute abundance of HR92 in the tomato rhizosphere under different treatments, quantified by
qPCR using species-specific primers (one-way ANOVA with correction by Tukey's HSD test; N = 4).
Different letters in the bar graph indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

446
Strain HR92 and inulin alter the bacterial community of the tomato rhizosphere

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was conducted to reveal the differences in the

rhizosphere bacterial community among the four treatments. There were no

significant differences in the Simpson and Shannon diversity indices between the

control group and the HR92 or HR92+0.1% inulin treatment groups. However, the

addition of 0.3% inulin with strain HR92 significantly decreased both the Simpson

and Shannon indices of the tomato rhizosphere bacterial community (Shannon: $F_{3,12}$

= 9.26, p = 0.02, Fig 2A; Simpson $F_{3,12}$ = 3.36, p = 0.04, Fig 2B).

Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) revealed significant differences in the bacterial

community composition among the four treatments. Inoculating HR92 can alter the

tomato rhizosphere microbial community, and the addition of inulin can further

modify the microbial community structure, with distinct differences observed

between the 0.1% and 0.3% inulin treatments, being especially clear along the PCo1,

which explained 29.1% of the total variation in bacterial community composition

(PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.460, p = 0.001, Fig. 2C).

Further analysis of the relative abundance of bacteria in different treatments

revealed that bacteria amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) primarily belonged to 10

phyla, including *Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*,

Chloroflexi, *Actinobacteria*, *Patescibacteria*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Myxococcota*, and

Firmicutes. Although the composition of dominant bacterial phyla was similar across

treatments, the relative abundance of certain dominant phyla differed significantly.

Specifically, the phylum *Patescibacteria* was significantly enriched in the treatment

with 0.3% inulin and strain HR92 (Fig. 2D).

Fig. 2 **Microbial community analysis under different treatments.** (A) Alpha diversity analysis of
the rhizosphere microbiome across treatments using the Shannon index. (B) Alpha diversity
analysis using the Simpson index. (C) PCoA of the rhizosphere soil microbiota under each
treatment. (D) Relative abundance of the top 10 most abundant phyla in the rhizosphere soil
across treatments. The asterisk indicates phyla enriched specifically in the HR92+0.3% inulin
treatment compared to the other treatments. Different letters above the boxes of each
compartment indicate a significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA with correction by

Tukey's HSD test).

Inulin stimulates native soil resident microbes to assist strain HR92 in resisting *R.*
***solanacearum* invasion**

Following the application of 0.3% inulin in combination with HR92, the colonization

of HR92 in the rhizosphere did not significantly increase (Fig. 1C). However, the

disease index of tomatoes and the population of rhizosphere *R. solanacearum*

further decreased (Fig. 1A, B). We hypothesize that, beyond its direct effect on HR92,

the 0.3% inulin may enrich certain native soil resident microbes that contribute to

pathogen suppression. Subsequently, 21 genera significantly enriched in the

treatment with 0.3% inulin were identified, defining them as potential key taxa (Table

S1, $p < 0.05$).

Further analysis of co-occurrence networks and distribution patterns of dominant

genera across the four treatments identified key taxa. Notably, among the top ten

nodes in the co-occurrence network based on betweenness centrality, two ASVs,

ASV2 (*Pseudarthrobacter*) and ASV201 (*Agromyces*), were recognized as potential

keystone bacteria (Fig. 3A). The relative abundance of ASV2 was significantly

correlated with inulin concentration, with its abundance further increasing under the

0.3% inulin treatment, whereas ASV201 was enriched exclusively in the 0.3% inulin

treatment (ASV2: $F_{3,12}$ = 13.16, $p < 0.001$; ASV201: $F_{3,12}$ = 19.18, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3B).

Both ASV2 (R = -0.82, $p < 0.05$) and ASV201 (R = -0.81, $p < 0.05$) exhibited significant

negative correlations with the abundance of *R. solanacearum*, and

Pseudarthrobacter (R = -0.77, $p < 0.05$) and *Agromyces* (R = -0.75, $p < 0.05$) also

demonstrated significant negative correlations with the abundance of *R.*

solanacearum (Fig. S5A, B). Thus, *Pseudarthrobacter* and *Agromyces* are considered

potential key taxa that interact synergistically with HR92 to confer disease resistance.

Additionally, microorganisms enriched under the 0.3% inulin treatment were

predominantly concentrated within modules 2 and 3 of the co-occurrence network,

both of which were significantly negatively correlated with pathogen abundance

(module 2: R = -0.69, $p < 0.05$; module 3: R = -0.82, $p < 0.05$; Fig. S5C, D), suggesting

their crucial roles in disease suppression.

Tax4Fun functional prediction indicated that *Pseudarthrobacter* is primarily

associated with secondary metabolite synthesis, basic metabolism, and isoflavonoid

biosynthesis, suggesting that *Pseudarthrobacter* may mediate disease resistance via

these pathways (Fig. S6). Given its significant association with disease suppression

and its tenfold higher abundance compared to *Agromyces* in the tomato rhizosphere,

Pseudarthrobacter likely plays a more prominent role in mediating rhizosphere

interactions. Therefore, subsequent validation experiments focused on

Pseudarthrobacter.

Fig. 3 **Co-occurrence network analysis.** (A) The co-occurrence network at the ASV level, where
the purple nodes represent ASVs enriched under high-concentration inulin treatment. Key nodes
and their properties are detailed below the network graph. (B) Relative abundance of ASV2 and
ASV201 in the rhizosphere microbial communities across four treatments (*N* = 4; one-way ANOVA
with correction by Tukey's HSD test). (C) Linear regression between the relative abundance of
ASV2 and ASV201 and the population of *R.solanacearum* in the tomato rhizosphere (*p* < 0.05).
Different letters in the graph indicate significant differences (*p* < 0.05).

***Pseudarthrobacter* strains in cooperative interaction with HR92 to suppress *R.**
***solanacearum* invasion**

To evaluate the effect of *Pseudarthrobacter* on disease suppression and explore its

underlying mechanisms, we isolated 50 culturable bacterial strains from soil treated

with 0.3% inulin. Among these, strains P265 and P397 were identified as members of

the genus *Pseudarthrobacter*. These two strains were used to investigate the specific

mechanisms involved. First, we examined the effect of inulin on the growth of the

two bacterial strains and found that inulin significantly promoted the growth of strain

P265 and P397 (strain P265: t-test: $t_{6.32}$ = -2.82, p = 0.029; strain P397: t-test: $t_{8.67}$ =

-6.42, p < 0.001; Fig. 4A), indicating that these bacteria effectively utilized inulin as a

resource. We subsequently assessed the potential of strain P265 and P397 to inhibit

pathogenic activity. In vitro assays demonstrated that the supernatants from P265

and P397 did not directly inhibit $R.$ $solanacearum$ (strain P265: $F_{2,11}$ = 0.69, p = 0.5;

strain P397: $F_{2,11}$ = 1.15, p = 0.5, Fig. S8). However, under co-culture conditions, both

P265 and P397 inhibited the growth of $R.$ $solanacearum$, the inhibition rate of P265

was 41%, and that of P397 was 41.4%, with the addition of inulin showing no

significant influence on this inhibitory effect (strain P265: $F_{2,9}$ = 130.13, p < 0.001;

strain P397: $F_{2,9}$ = 56.58, p < 0.001; Fig. 4B). These observations suggest that the

inhibitory mechanism may involve nutrient competition between these strains and $R.$

$solanacearum$.

To explore nutrient competition we examined the utilization of 74 different

rhizosphere carbon sources by P265, P397, and $R.$ $solanacearum$. The results

indicated that P265 and P397 utilized a broader range of resources compared to $R.$

$solanacearum$ (Table 2, p < 0.05). The overlap in resource utilization between P265

and $R.$ $solanacearum$ was 0.94, and between P397 and $R.$ $solanacearum$ was 0.91 (Fig.

4C), indicating potential competition for carbon resources between

$Pseudarthrobacter$ strains and $R.$ $solanacearum$.

Additionally, the supernatant from the co-culture of strain HR92 with P265 or P397

showed an enhanced inhibitory effect against $R.$ $solanacearum$ (strain P265: $F_{3,12}$ =

19.18, p < 0.001; strain P397: $F_{3,12}$ = 22.35, p < 0.001; Fig. 4D). We hypothesized that

either strain HR92 induced antibacterial activity in strains P265 and P397 or that

these strains augmented strain HR92's antibacterial function. To test this hypothesis,

first, we fed the supernatant of strain HR92 to P265 and P397. Subsequently, we fed

the supernatants of P265 and P397 to $R.$ $solanacearum$ and found that the growth of

$R.$ $solanacearum$ was not affected (strain P265: $F_{2,18}$ = 1.834, p = 0.18; strain P397:

$F_{2,18}$ = 1.55, p = 0.24, Fig. S9). This indicates that strain HR92 was unable to confer the

ability to antagonize $R.$ $solanacearum$ to P265 or P397. Conversely, we fed the

supernatant of strain P265 or P397 to strain HR92 and found that the supernatant of

strain HR92 had a stronger ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*, with enhancements of

12% and 6.6%, respectively (strain P265: $F_{2,9}$ = 46.23, p < 0.001; strain P397: $F_{2,9}$ =

33.306, p < 0.001; Fig. 4E). In pot experiments, consistent with the in vitro findings,

strains P265 and P397 enhanced the ability of strain HR92 to mitigate tomato

bacterial wilt. Notably, the combined treatment of strain HR92, *Pseudarthrobacter*

strains, and inulin provided the best protection against tomato bacterial wilt, with a

disease index of 0.3375 (P265) and 0.35 (P397), achieving control efficiencies of 61.9%

(P265) and 60.5% (P397) ($F_{6,21}$ = 87.21, p < 0.001, Fig. 4F).

Fig. 4 **Investigation of the antibacterial effects of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. and its influence on**

the antibacterial activity of HR92. (A) Effect of inulin on the growth of *Pseudarthrobacter*

siccitolerans (P265) and *Pseudarthrobacter oxydans* (P397). Asterisk means p < 0.05 and three

asterisks means p < 0.001 under student's t test (N = 4). (B) Inhibition of *R. solanacearum* by

strains P265 and P397 under co-culture conditions with and without the addition of inulin. (C)

Resource utilization overlap between strain P265/P397 and *R. solanacearum*, indicating the

potential nutritional competition. (D) Enhanced antibacterial activity of the supernatant after

co-culture of strain HR92 with strains P265 and P397. The arrows at the top of the box plot

represent the feeding procedure. Specifically, they indicate feeding the pathogen with the
supernatant from co-cultures of strain P267 or P397 and strain HR92. (E) Increased antibacterial
activity of HR92 after being cultured with the supernatants from strains P265/P397. The arrows
at the top of the box plot represent the feeding procedure. Specifically, they indicate feeding
strain HR92 with the supernatant from strain P267 or P397, followed by feeding the pathogen
with the supernatant from strain HR92. (F) Pot experiments showing enhanced tomato disease
resistance when HR92, *Pseudarthrobacter* strains, and inulin were applied together. Different
letters in the graph indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Pseudarthrobacter strains and inulin enhance cyclic lipopeptide surfactin and
3-methyl-1-butanol production by HR92

Transcriptomic analysis was conducted to elucidate the individual and combined

effects of inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter* strains on strain HR92. Inulin upregulated

genes involved in flagellar assembly, bacterial chemotaxis, fatty acid biosynthesis,

and siderophore biosynthesis in strain HR92, indicating that inulin enhances the

motility of HR92 in the rhizosphere, thereby increasing its colonization quantity(Fig.

S10). Strains P265 and P397 collectively influenced genes expression in strain HR92,

with significant differential expression observed in genes related to the synthesis of

4-aminobutyrate, methyl-accepting, butanediol and bacitracin, all of which are

associated with strain HR92's antimicrobial activity (Fig. S11). Bacitracin is a

broad-spectrum antibiotic known for its antimicrobial properties. Furthermore, the

combined action of *Pseudarthrobacter* strains and inulin enhanced the synthesis of

amino acids such as valine and leucine in HR92 (Fig. S12, Fig. S13). Subsequent

Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) identified three gene

modules in strain HR92 that were linked to its antimicrobial activity (Fig. S14). Within

the black and pink modules, two genes highly correlated with the modules and

exhibiting the highest correlation with strain HR92's antimicrobial effect were

identified: LYS2002816 and LYS2001501. These genes were associated with

butanediol and NAD(P)H, both of which exhibited a significant positive correlation

with strain HR92's antimicrobial capability (LYS2002816: R = 0.79, p <0.05,

LYS2001501: R = 0.73, p <0.05, Fig. S15). The abundance of these genes was highest

in strain P265 or P397, HR92, and inulin treatment (LYS2002816: $F_{5,12}$ = 2.9, $p < 0.05$,

Figure 5A; LYS2001501: $F_{5,12}$ = 5.8, $p < 0.05$, Figure 5B). Literature review indicated

that butanediol contributes to the synthesis of the volatile antimicrobial compound

3-methyl-1-butanol in strain HR92, whereas fatty acids, NAD(P)H, valine, and leucine

are involved in the synthesis of the cyclic lipopeptide surfactin (Fig. 5C, D), ultimately

enhancing strain HR92's ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*.

To further elucidate the role of 3-methyl-1-butanol, we tested its effect on *R.*

solanacearum growth. Our results showed that 50% and 100% concentrations of

3-methyl-1-butanol could inhibit the growth of *R. solanacearum*, with 50%

concentration resulting in a 16.4% inhibition rate and 100% concentration achieving

a 40% inhibition rate ($F_{4,10}$ = 6.839, $p < 0.05$, Fig. S16).

Fig. 5 **Synergistic enhancement of HR92 antibacterial capability by *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. and**
inulin. (A) Expression levels of HR92 genes associated with butanediol synthesis across six
treatments, quantified by RPKM tables. (B) Expression levels of HR92 genes involved in NAD(P)H
synthesis across six treatments, quantified by RPKM tables. Different letters in the graph indicate
significant differences (Duncan's multiple range test). (C) Schematic diagram illustrating the
involvement of fatty acids, NAD(P)H, valine, and leucine in the biosynthesis of the cyclic
lipopeptide surfactin. (D) Schematic diagram showing the pathway through which butanediol is
converted to 3-methyl-1-butanol via pyruvate, valine biosynthesis, and leucine biosynthesis
pathways. Red font highlights the pathways or genes influenced by the synergistic action of
Pseudarthrobacter spp. and inulin.

Discussion

There is growing interest in harnessing beneficial bacteria for plant disease

biocontrol, yet strategies to maxmize their effectiveness remain insufficiently

explored. Here, our study emphasizes the important role of inulin in promoting the
growth and pathogen-suppressing capabilities of the beneficial strain HR92, along
with its synergistic interactions with native soil microbes to manage *R. solanacearum*
infections in tomatoes. We also investigated whether increased inulin
concentrations could further improve the biocontrol efficacy of strain HR92. Both *in*
vitro and *in vivo* experiments demonstrated that inulin positively influenced bacterial
colonization and biocontrol efficiency. In general, higher inulin concentrations
selectively enriched *Pseudarthrobacter* species in the soil, which acted synergistically
with strain HR92 to enhance biocontrol outcomes.

Inulin promoted strain HR92 growth and augmented its pathogen-suppressing ability.
Initially, we observed a threefold increase in strain HR92 population in the tomato
rhizosphere upon inulin treatment. This finding is consistent with previous studies
showing that inulin selectively stimulates the growth of beneficial bacteria, such as
Bifidobacterium, *Lactobacillus*, and *Bacteroides,* while inhibiting potential pathogens
(58). Additionally, inulin has been shown to increase the production of lactic acid,
acetic acid, and other volatile compounds by probiotic strains, indicating a positive
synergistic interaction between inulin and beneficial microbes (59). These findings
support our results that inulin, as a prebiotic, promotes the growth of beneficial
bacteria. We further discovered that the disease severity index for tomatoes treated
with both inulin and strain HR92 decreased by 31.2% compared to those treated with
strain HR92 alone. Previous research has demonstrated that strain HR92 could
effectively control *R. solanacearum* (19), suggesting a direct impact of strain HR92 on
the *R. solanacearum*. This can be interpreted as the biocontrol efficacy of beneficial
bacteria being closely related to their abundance in the rhizosphere (60), and that
plant-derived carbon sources could enhance the metabolic activity of specific
beneficial bacteria, thereby improving their disease resistance (61, 62). Furthermore,
we observed that increasing the inulin concentration from 0.1% to 0.3% did not
further promote the growth of strain HR92, which may be due to strain HR92 already
obtaining sufficient nutrients at the 0.1% concentration. The optimal inulin

concentration for promoting strain HR92 growth under complex environmental
conditions remains to be further investigated.

Inulin and its varying concentrations significantly influenced rhizosphere microbial
diversity and community structure. While a low inulin concentration (0.1%) had no
significant effect on the Simpson and Shannon diversity indices, a higher
concentration (0.3%) reduced these indices. This can be explained by higher
concentrations of inulin potentially altering community structure by selectively
enriching certain functional bacteria, thereby reducing the relative abundance of
other bacterial groups. Our findings revealed distinct differences in bacterial
community composition between the two inulin concentrations treatments. Previous
studies have indicated that the concentration of exogenous carbon sources in soil has
a significant selective effect on native microbial communities (40, 63). Taken together,
different concentrations of inulin can regulate the interactions and structure of
native microbial communities, maintaining higher diversity at lower concentrations
while promoting the enrichment of specific functional bacteria at higher
concentrations. Since a higher concentration of inulin further reduced disease
severity and the abundance of *R. solanacearum* in the rhizosphere, without altering
the abundance of strain HR92 in the rhizosphere. Therefore, we hypothesize that,
beyond directly benefiting strain HR92, a 0.3% inulin concentration may also enrich
certain native soil microbes that aid in suppressing pathogens. In fact, in the
co-occurrence network analysis, *Pseudarthrobacter* and *Agromyces* were significantly
enriched under high inulin concentration treatment and identified as key network
hubs, rowten showing a significant negative correlation with the pathogen. This
suggests that they may support strain HR92's pathogen suppression function through
competition or antagonistic interactions. Interestingly, previous studies have
indicated that *Pseudarthrobacter* is adept at collaborating with other beneficial
bacteria to perform better ecological functions (64). On the other hand, strains
belonging to the genus *Pseudarthrobacter* are known as copiotrophic root colonizers
(65, 66) and have been proposed as a key members of the root microbiome (67). In

summary, the present study highlights the importance of the synergistic interaction between inulin, *Pseudarthrobacter* and HR92 in managing *Ralstonia solanacearum*.

Our study also demonstrates that the combined effects of inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter* strains significantly enhance the antibacterial activity of HR92 against *R. solanacearum*, primarily through the upregulation of genes related to the synthesis of key metabolites, such as surfactin and 3-methyl-1-butanol. The inhibitory effects of these metabolites on pathogens have been well-documented. The cyclic lipopeptide surfactin, a polypeptide compound composed of fatty acids and amino acids, exhibits notable antimicrobial, antiviral, and plant stress-resistance-promoting activities, playing a particularly prominent role in disease defense (68). Transcriptomic analysis in this study revealed that inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter* strains promoted the synthesis of surfactin by upregulating metabolic pathways related to fatty acids and amino acids, such as valine and leucine. Furthermore, 3-methyl-1-butanol, as a volatile antimicrobial compound, has shown high efficacy in inhibiting various pathogens. Its mode of action involves binding to microbial cell membranes, inserting into the bilayer structure, and altering membrane permeability, which destabilizes the membrane, causes leakage of cellular contents such as ions and ATP, and ultimately leads to cell death, effectively inhibiting pathogen growth (69, 70). Our co-expression network analysis of HR92 genes indicated that the butanediol and NADPH metabolic pathways are closely associated with the antibacterial potential of HR92, possibly by promoting the synthesis of 3-methyl-1-butanol. Further experimental validation confirmed that high concentrations of 3-methyl-1-butanol exhibit strong inhibitory effects on *R. solanacearum*, which is consistent with other studies on volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as disease suppressants (71). In summary, these results suggest that the synergistic effects of inulin and *Pseudarthrobacter* can significantly enhance HR92's ability to suppress *R. solanacearum* by promoting the synthesis of key antibacterial metabolites.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the inulin significantly enhances strain HR92 colonization, chemotaxis, fatty acid metabolism, and siderophore production in

tomato roots. The addition of 0.3% inulin had a greater impact than 0.1%, notably

enriching *Pseudarthrobacter* species within the rhizosphere. These species promoted

the synthesis of butanediol and bacitracin in strain HR92, thereby enhancing its

antimicrobial capabilities. Furthermore, *Pseudarthrobacter* spp. competed with *R.*

solanacearum for root-associated resources, reducing the abundance of pathogenic

bacteria via nutrient competition. The combined effects of *Pseudarthrobacter* spp.

and inulin further upregulated strain HR92's valine and leucine metabolic pathways.

Valine, leucine, fatty acids, and NAD(P)H are essential precursors for *L. sphaericus* to

synthesize the antimicrobial cyclic lipopeptide surfactin. Additionally, butanediol is

converted into the antimicrobial VOC 3-methyl-1-butanol through valine and leucine

metabolic pathways. Thus, the cooperative interaction between *Pseudarthrobacter*

spp. and inulin enhanced strain HR92's ability to inhibit *R. solanacearum*, ultimately

reducing the incidence of tomato disease. This research provides valuable insights

into the synergistic interactions between exogenous additives and beneficial

microbes, offering a foundation for developing advanced, targeted formulations for

sustainable and effective disease management (Fig 6).

Fig. 6 Pathways by which inulin enhances HR92's disease-resistance mechanisms.

Blue arrows indicate promotion effects, red solid lines indicate direct inhibition effects, red

dashed lines indicate inhibition of pathogen growth through nutrient competition.

Data availability

743　All metagenomics reads are publicly available in the SRA database under the

744　accession number PRJNA1198698.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Key Technology R&D Program of China (2023YFD1701505), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2024YFC3406003), Guangxi Science and Technology Program (GuiKe AA24010003), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (42477125, 42090060, 42325704), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20230988), the Technology Innovation Special Fund of Jiangsu Province for Carbon Dioxide Emission Peaking and Carbon Neutrality (BE2022423).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Liu J, Yao Q, Li Y, Zhang W, Mi G, Chen X, Yu Z, Wang G. 2019. Continuous cropping of soybean alters the bulk and rhizospheric soil fungal communities in a Mollisol of Northeast PR China. Land Degrad Dev 30:1725–1738.

2. Zheng GD, Shi LB, Wu HY, Peng DL. 2012. Nematode communities in continuous tomato-cropping field soil infested by root-knot nematodes. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil & Plant Science 62:216–223.

3. Tsiafouli MA, Thébault E, Sgardelis SP, De Ruiter PC, Van Der Putten WH, Birkhofer K, Hemerik L, De Vries FT, Bardgett RD, Brady MV, Bjornlund L, Jørgensen HB, Christensen S, Hertefeldt TD, Hotes S, Gera Hol WH, Frouz J, Liiri M, Mortimer SR, Setälä H, Tzanopoulos J, Uteseny K, Pižl V, Stary J, Wolters V, Hedlund K. 2015. Intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity across Europe. Global Change Biology 21:973–985.

4. Raaijmakers JM, Mazzola M. 2016. Soil immune responses. Science 352:1392–1393.

5. Delgado-Baquerizo M, Guerra CA, Cano-Díaz C, Egidi E, Wang J-T, Eisenhauer N, Singh BK, Maestre FT. 2020. The proportion of soil-borne pathogens increases with warming at the global scale. Nat Clim Chang 10:550–554.

6. Andreote FD, Pereira E Silva MDC. 2017. Microbial communities associated with plants: learning from nature to apply it in agriculture. Current Opinion in Microbiology 37:29–34.

7. Yuan W, Ruan S, Qi G, Wang R, Zhao X. 2022. Plant growth-promoting and antibacterial activities of cultivable bacteria alive in tobacco field against *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Environmental Microbiology 24:1411–1429.

8. Sanguin H, Sarniguet A, Gazengel K, Moënne-Loccoz Y, Grundmann GL. 2009. Rhizosphere bacterial communities associated with disease suppressiveness stages of take-all decline in wheat monoculture. New Phytologist 184:694–707.

9. Zhou X, Wang J, Liu F, Liang J, Zhao P, Tsui CKM, Cai L. 2023. Author Correction: Cross-kingdom synthetic microbiota supports tomato suppression of Fusarium wilt disease. Nat Commun 14:6755.

10. Li M, Pommier T, Yin Y, Wang J, Gu S, Jousset A, Keuskamp J, Wang H, Wei Z, Xu Y, Shen Q, Kowalchuk GA. 2022. Indirect reduction of Ralstonia solanacearum via pathogen helper inhibition. ISME J 16:868–875.

11. Wu B, Wang X, Yang L, Yang H, Zeng H, Qiu Y, Wang C, Yu J, Li J, Xu D, He Z, Chen S. 2016. Effects of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens ZM9 on bacterial wilt and rhizosphere microbial communities of tobacco. Applied Soil Ecology 103:1–12.

12. Wang N, Ding J, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Zhang L, Wei Y, Li J, Xu T, Ding G. 2023. *Bacillus velezensis* BER1 enriched *Flavobacterium daejeonense* -like bacterium in the rhizosphere of tomato against bacterial wilt. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 99:fiad054.

13. Wang X, Li G-H, Zou C-G, Ji X-L, Liu T, Zhao P-J, Liang L-M, Xu J-P, An Z-Q, Zheng X, Qin Y-K, Tian M-Q, Xu Y-Y, Ma Y-C, Yu Z-F, Huang X-W, Liu S-Q, Niu X-M, Yang J-K, Huang Y, Zhang K-Q. 2014. Bacteria can mobilize nematode-trapping fungi to kill nematodes. Nat Commun 5:5776.

14. Wei Z, Yang T, Friman V-P, Xu Y, Shen Q, Jousset A. 2015. Trophic network architecture of root-associated bacterial communities determines pathogen invasion and plant health. Nat Commun 6:8413.

15. Hase S, Shimizu A, Nakaho K, Takenaka S, Takahashi H. 2006. Induction of transient ethylene
and reduction in severity of tomato bacterial wilt by *Pythium oligandrum*. Plant Pathology
55:537–543.

16. Sakthivel K, Manigundan K, Gautam RK, Singh PK, Balamurugan A, Kumar A, Sharma SK.
2023. Microbe-enriched farm yard manure (MFYM) approach for the suppression of
Ralstonia solanacearum Yabuuchi (Smith) inciting bacterial wilt disease in eggplant (Solanum
melongena L.). Plant Soil 491:303–315.

17. Jamal QMS, Ahmad V. 2022. Lysinibacilli: A Biological Factories Intended for Bio-Insecticidal,
Bio-Control, and Bioremediation Activities. JoF 8:1288.

18. Shabanamol S, Thampi M, Sajana P, Varghese S, Karthika S, George TK, Jisha MS. 2021.
Characterization of the major antifungal extrolite from rice endophyte Lysinibacillus
sphaericus against Rhizoctonia solani. Arch Microbiol 203:2605–2613.

19. Huang J, Wei Z, Tan S, Mei X, Yin S, Shen Q, Xu Y. 2013. The rhizosphere soil of diseased
tomato plants as a source for novel microorganisms to control bacterial wilt. Applied Soil
Ecology 72:79–84.

20. Yoon, M.Y. Lysinibacillus sphaericus TC1 Strain and Method for Controlling Plant Diseases
Using the Same. Patent Application No. KR20140051676A, 2 May 2014.

21. Akintayo SO, Treinen C, Vahidinasab M, Pfannstiel J, Bertsche U, Fadahunsi I, Oellig C,
Granvogl M, Henkel M, Lilge L, Hausmann R. 2022. Exploration of surfactin production by
newly isolated Bacillus and Lysinibacillus strains from food-related sources. Letters in
Applied Microbiology 75:378–387.

22. Zhi Y, Wu Q, Xu Y. 2017. Genome and transcriptome analysis of surfactin biosynthesis in
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens MT45. Sci Rep 7:40976.

23. Sakthivel K, Manigundan K, Gautam RK, Singh PK, Yogeshwari, Subramani T, Sharma SK,
Khande R. 2018. Bioefficacy of antimicrobial peptide biosynthesis-gene-linked antagonistic
Lysinibacillus sphaericus strains for management of bacterial plant diseases in Andaman and
Nicobar Islands, India. JEB 39:517–521.

24. Wei Z, Huang J, Yang T, Jousset A, Xu Y, Shen Q, Friman V-P. 2017. Seasonal variation in the
biocontrol efficiency of bacterial wilt is driven by temperature-mediated changes in bacterial
competitive interactions. J Appl Ecol 54:1440–1448.

25. El-Hassan SA, Gowen SR. 2006. Formulation and Delivery of the Bacterial Antagonist *Bacillus
subtilis* for Management of Lentil Vascular Wilt Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lentis*.
Journal of Phytopathology 154:148–155.

26. Albright MBN, Louca S, Winkler DE, Feeser KL, Haig S-J, Whiteson KL, Emerson JB, Dunbar J.
2022. Solutions in microbiome engineering: prioritizing barriers to organism establishment.
The ISME Journal 16:331–338.

27. Kaminsky LM, Trexler RV, Malik RJ, Hockett KL, Bell TH. 2019. The Inherent Conflicts in
Developing Soil Microbial Inoculants. Trends in Biotechnology 37:140–151.

28. El Fantroussi S, Agathos SN. 2005. Is bioaugmentation a feasible strategy for pollutant
removal and site remediation? Current Opinion in Microbiology 8:268–275.

29. Tao C, Li R, Xiong W, Shen Z, Liu S, Wang B, Ruan Y, Geisen S, Shen Q, Kowalchuk GA. 2020.
Bio-organic fertilizers stimulate indigenous soil Pseudomonas populations to enhance plant
disease suppression. Microbiome 8:137.

30. Gu Y, Hou Y, Huang D, Hao Z, Wang X, Wei Z, Jousset A, Tan S, Xu D, Shen Q, Xu Y, Friman V-P.

2017. Application of biochar reduces Ralstonia solanacearum infection via effects on
pathogen chemotaxis, swarming motility, and root exudate adsorption. Plant Soil
415:269–281.

31. Jin X, Rahman MKU, Ma C, Zheng X, Wu F, Zhou X. 2023. Silicon modification improves
biochar's ability to mitigate cadmium toxicity in tomato by enhancing root colonization of
plant-beneficial bacteria. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 249:114407.

32. Wang X, Zhang Y, Xu W, Cheng J, Liu J, Pei W, Wang J, Chuang S. 2023. Amino acid fertilizer
strengthens its effect on crop yield and quality by recruiting beneficial rhizosphere microbes.
J Sci Food Agric 103:5970–5980.

33. Hu Y, Li Y, Yang X, Li C, Wang L, Feng J, Chen S, Li X, Yang Y. 2021. Effects of integrated
biocontrol on bacterial wilt and rhizosphere bacterial community of tobacco. Sci Rep
11:2653.

34. Yuan S, Wang L, Wu K, Shi J, Wang M, Yang X, Shen Q, Shen B. 2014. Evaluation of
Bacillus-fortified organic fertilizer for controlling tobacco bacterial wilt in greenhouse and
field experiments. Applied Soil Ecology 75:86–94.

35. Matsuzaki C, Takagi H, Saiga S, Kinoshita Y, Yamaguchi M, Higashimura Y, Yamamoto K,
Yamaguchi M. 2024. Prebiotic effect of galacto- *N* -biose on the intestinal lactic acid bacteria
as enhancer of acetate production and hypothetical colonization. Appl Environ Microbiol
e01445-23.

36. Bertelsen RJ, Jensen ET, Ringel-Kulka T. 2016. Use of probiotics and prebiotics in infant
feeding. Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology 30:39–48.

37. Sánchez ÓJ, Ospina DA, Montoya S. 2017. Compost supplementation with nutrients and
microorganisms in composting process. Waste Management 69:136–153.

38. Xiong Q, Liu D, Zhang H, Dong X, Zhang G, Liu Y, Zhang R. 2020. Quorum sensing signal
autoinducer-2 promotes root colonization of Bacillus velezensis SQR9 by affecting biofilm
formation and motility. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 104:7177–7185.

39. Xu Z, Zhang H, Sun X, Liu Y, Yan W, Xun W, Shen Q, Zhang R. 2019. *Bacillus velezensis* Wall
Teichoic Acids Are Required for Biofilm Formation and Root Colonization. Appl Environ
Microbiol 85:e02116-18.

40. Wen T, Xie P, Liu H, Liu T, Zhao M, Yang S, Niu G, Hale L, Singh BK, Kowalchuk GA, Shen Q,
Yuan J. 2023. Tapping the rhizosphere metabolites for the prebiotic control of soil-borne
bacterial wilt disease. Nat Commun 14:4497.

41. Xu Z, Xie J, Zhang H, Wang D, Shen Q, Zhang R. 2019. Enhanced Control of Plant Wilt Disease
by a Xylose-Inducible *degQ* Gene Engineered into *Bacillus velezensis* Strain SQR9XYQ.
Phytopathology® 109:36–43.

42. Kim L, Mogk A, Schumann W. 1996. A xylose-inducible Bacillus subtilis integration vector and
its application. Gene 181:71–76.

43. Wei Z, Yang X, Yin S, Shen Q, Ran W, Xu Y. 2011. Efficacy of Bacillus-fortified organic fertiliser
in controlling bacterial wilt of tomato in the field. Applied Soil Ecology 48:152–159.

44. Guo J-H, Qi H-Y, Guo Y-H, Ge H-L, Gong L-Y, Zhang L-X, Sun P-H. 2004. Biocontrol of tomato
wilt by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Biological Control 29:66–72.

45. Cardenas E, Wu W-M, Leigh MB, Carley J, Carroll S, Gentry T, Luo J, Watson D, Gu B,
Ginder-Vogel M, Kitanidis PK, Jardine PM, Zhou J, Criddle CS, Marsh TL, Tiedje JM. 2010.
Significant Association between Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria and Uranium-Reducing Microbial

Communities as Revealed by a Combined Massively Parallel Sequencing-Indicator Species
Approach. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:6778–6786.

46. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2:
High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583.

47. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña
AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA,
McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA,
Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of
high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336.

48. Schönfeld J, Heuer H, Van Elsas JD, K. Smalla. 2003. Specific and Sensitive Detection of
Ralstoniasolanacearum in Soil on the Basis of PCR Amplification of *fliC* Fragments. Appl
Environ Microbiol 69:7248–7256.

49. Eden PA, Schmidt TM, Blakemore RP, Pace NR. 1991. Phylogenetic Analysis of Aquaspirillum
magnetotacticum Using Polymerase Chain Reaction-Amplified 16S rRNA-Specific DNA.
International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 41:324–325.

50. Scheublin TR, Sanders IR, Keel C, Van Der Meer JR. 2010. Characterisation of microbial
communities colonising the hyphal surfaces of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The ISME
Journal 4:752–763.

51. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR⊡: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26:139–140.

52. Klopfenstein DV, Zhang L, Pedersen BS, Ramírez F, Warwick Vesztrocy A, Naldi A, Mungall CJ,
Yunes JM, Botvinnik O, Weigel M, Dampier W, Dessimoz C, Flick P, Tang H. 2018. GOATOOLS:
A Python library for Gene Ontology analyses. Sci Rep 8:10872.

53. Wu J, Mao X, Cai T, Luo J, Wei L. 2006. KOBAS server: a web-based platform for automated
annotation and pathway identification. Nucleic Acids Research 34:W720–W724.

54. Liu C, Cui Y, Li X, Yao M. 2021. *microeco⊡*: an R package for data mining in microbial
community ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 97:fiaa255.

55. Dixon P. 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. J Vegetation Science
14:927–930.

56. Wang N, Zhang Y, Jiang G. 2024. LorMe: Lightening One-Code Resolving Microbial Ecology
Solution.

57. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. 2016. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics 32:2847–2849.

58. Havenaar R, Bonnin-Marol S, Van Dokkum W, Petitet S, Schaafsma G. 1999. Inulin:
Fermentation and microbial ecology in the intestinal tract. Food Reviews International
15:109–120.

59. Oliveira RPDS, Perego P, De Oliveira MN, Converti A. 2012. Growth, organic acids profile and
sugar metabolism of Bifidobacterium lactis in co-culture with Streptococcus thermophilus:
The inulin effect. Food Research International 48:21–27.

60. Wang NR, Wiesmann CL, Melnyk RA, Hossain SS, Chi M-H, Martens K, Craven K, Haney CH.
2022. Commensal Pseudomonas fluorescens Strains Protect *Arabidopsis* from Closely
Related Pseudomonas Pathogens in a Colonization-Dependent Manner. mBio 13:e02892-21.

61. Stringlis IA, Yu K, Feussner K, De Jonge R, Van Bentum S, Van Verk MC, Berendsen RL, Bakker
PAHM, Feussner I, Pieterse CMJ. 2018. MYB72-dependent coumarin exudation shapes root

microbiome assembly to promote plant health. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115.

62.   Feng H, Fu R, Luo J, Hou X, Gao K, Su L, Xu Y, Miao Y, Liu Y, Xu Z, Zhang N, Shen Q, Xun W,
Zhang R. 2023. Listening to plant's Esperanto via root exudates: reprogramming the
functional expression of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. New Phytologist
239:2307–2319.

63.   Ling N, Raza W, Ma J, Huang Q, Shen Q. 2011. Identification and role of organic acids in
watermelon root exudates for recruiting Paenibacillus polymyxa SQR-21 in the rhizosphere.
European Journal of Soil Biology 47:374–379.

64.   Naloka K, Kuntaveesuk A, Muangchinda C, Chavanich S, Viyakarn V, Chen B, Pinyakong O.
2024. Pseudomonas and Pseudarthrobacter are the key players in synergistic phenanthrene
biodegradation at low temperatures. Sci Rep 14:11976.

65.   Samal S, Singh D, Upadhyay RS, Lokesh Babu P, Geat N. 2024. Hydrolytic genes of
antagonistic rhizobacteria strains on Fusarium udum causing wilt disease in pigeonpea. J
Plant Pathol https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-024-01641-z.

66.   Ghasemi Z, Ghaderian SM, Rodríguez-Garrido B, Prieto-Fernández Á, Kidd PS. 2018. Plant
species-specificity and effects of bioinoculants and fertilization on plant performance for
nickel phytomining. Plant Soil 425:265–285.

67.   Adamo I, Acin-Albiac M, Röttjers S, De Prado DR, Benito BM, Zamora J, Godara R,
García-Jiménez B, Jiang-Rempel P, Cline LC, Acedo A. 2024. Short impact on soil microbiome
of a Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST713 based product that correlates with higher potato
yield across USA. Front Plant Sci 15:1332840.

68.   Laird M, Piccoli D, Weselowski B, McDowell T, Renaud J, MacDonald J, Yuan Z-C. 2020.
Surfactin-producing Bacillus velezensis 1B-23 and Bacillus sp. 1D-12 protect tomato against
bacterial canker caused by Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis. J Plant Pathol
102:451–458.

69.   Huang R, Li GQ, Zhang J, Yang L, Che HJ, Jiang DH, Huang HC. 2011. Control of Postharvest
Botrytis Fruit Rot of Strawberry by Volatile Organic Compounds of *Candida intermedia*.
Phytopathology 101:859–869.

70.   Zhao X, Zhou J, Tian R, Liu Y. 2022. Microbial volatile organic compounds: Antifungal
mechanisms, applications, and challenges. Front Microbiol 13:922450.

71.   Zhou X, Zhang J, Shi J, Khashi U Rahman M, Liu H, Wei Z, Wu F, Dini-Andreote F. 2024.
Volatile-mediated interspecific plant interaction promotes root colonization by beneficial
bacteria via induced shifts in root exudation. Microbiome 12:207.

A

Node	Genus	node_betw	No.module
ASV2	*Pseudarthrobacter*	2312.96	3
ASV201	*Agromyces*	2485.808	2

Module 2
Module 3
Enriched in HR92+0.3% Inulin treatment

B

Relative abundance of ASV2

Relative abundance of ASV201

C

R.*solanacearum* Lg(copies/g dry soil)

R=-0.82, *p*=9.4e-05

R=-0.81, *p*=0.00014

A Butanediol_dependent

B NAD(P)H_dependent

C

Precursor pool
Val
Leu
Fatty acid
NADPH

→ FA-Glu-Leu-Leu → FA-Glu-Leu-Leu-Val-Asp-Leu →

R = C$_n$H$_{2n+1}$
n=10,11,12,13,14

surfactin

Surfactin

D

Butanediol → Pyruvate →(Valine biosynthesis)→ KIV →(Leucine biosynthesis)→ 2-KIC → 3-methyl-1-butanol

L. sphaericus HR92

colonization ability
fatty acid metabolism

3-methyl-1-butanol、surfactin

Inulin

R.solanacearum Rs1115

Up-regulated key
genes/pathways

Pseudarthrobacter spp.

enrich

compete for rhizosphere resources

Facilitation ⊣ Direct inhibition ⊣ Nutritional competition The combined effect