Title: Phosphorus fertility regulates microbial carbon use efficiency and SOM decomposition in

non-allophanic Andosols.

Authors:

Wako KOIZUMI[1], Timothy J CLOUGH[2], Soichi KOJIMA[1], Tomoyuki MAKINO[1], Soh

SUGIHARA[3], Ryosuke TAJIMA[1], Yoshitaka UCHIDA[4], Toru HAMAMOTO[1]*

Address:

[1]Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University, 468-1 Aramaki Aoba Aoba-ku

Sendai Miyagi 9808572, Japan

[2]Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand

[3]Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology,

3-5-8 Saiwai-cho, Fuchu-shi, Tokyo 1838509, Japan

[4]Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita 9 Nishi 9 Kita-Ku, Sapporo,

Hokkaido, 060-8589, Japan

*Correspondence: T Hamamoto, toru.hamamoto.d1@tohoku.ac.jp

Number of Figures: three

Number of Tables: two

Keywords: Microbial carbon use efficiency; Microbial respiration; Soil organic matter priming;

Phosphorus-mining; Non-allophanic Andosol

Abstract

Phosphorus (P) availability affects soil carbon (C) cycling such as microbial carbon use

efficiency (CUE) and priming effects (PEs). While non-allophanic Andosols are characterized

by high organic C content and strong P retention, the effects of different soil P fertility levels on

C dynamics in these soils remain poorly understood. In this study, we conducted a 20-day

incubation experiment using ^{13}C-enriched glucose to investigate how different soil P levels

(Truog-P: 157 mg P kg^{-1} and 12 mg P kg^{-1}) impacted microbial C dynamics in non-allophanic

Andosols under different field management practices. Our results showed that soil organic

matter (SOM) priming strongly depends on soil P levels, although total primed CO_2-C

emissions were low in these soils. The addition of glucose alone promoted positive PEs

compared to the addition of glucose with nitrogen (N). In high P soils, glucose and N addition

resulted in negative PEs, whereas in low P soils, the same treatment stimulated microbial SOM

mining, resulting in positive PEs. Additionally, higher CUE was found in high P soils than in

low P soils after 20 days of incubation. These findings suggest that soil P levels influence both

substrate-induced microbial assimilation and SOM decomposition. In P-limited soils, microbial

P requirements drive SOM decomposition, which in turn regulates CUE. This study contributes

to an understanding of the role of P in soil C cycling and provides insights into soil fertility

management strategies for improving C sequestration in non-allophanic Andosols.

1. Introduction

Soil carbon (C) plays a central role in the global C cycle with the potential to mitigate the elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentration as soil organic matter (SOM) (Jackson et al., 2017). Soil microbes can release soil organic C (SOC) via respiration and emitting it as CO_2 (Wieder et al., 2013). Increases in the stock of SOC may occur as a result of increased microbial biomass, in response to inputs of C to the soil (Kallenbach et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021).

Microbial C use efficiency (CUE), the ratio of C allocated to microbial biomass growth to that respired as CO_2, is positively correlated with SOC levels (Feng et al., 2023). The magnitude of CUE as also been shown to depend on microbial community structure (Maynard et al., 2017), and various abiotic factors such as soil pH (Jones et al., 2019; Schroeder et al., 2024), clay content (Islam et al., 2023), nutrient availability (Manzoni et al., 2012, Spohn et al., 2016), and substrate type (Jones et al., 2018). Limited nutrient availability and unbalanced substrate additions may lower CUE by promoting excessive enzyme allocation and respiration, described as overflow metabolism (e.g., Tempest and Neijssel 1992; Russell & Cook 1995; Schimel and Weimtraub 2003; Keiblinger et al., 2010). According to Manzoni et al. (2012), CUE is also influenced by nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) availability, since microbes require these

nutrients to balance anabolic and catabolic processes. Phosphorus is an essential nutrient for soil

microbes, as it is a key component of DNA, RNA, and has a critical role in phospholipid

synthesis, and energy transfer. Depletion of P resources constrains microbial investment in

biomass production, shifting the carbon allocation toward enzyme production, which can lower

CUE (Zhai et al., 2022). In addition, limited P availability represents a significant agronomic

challenge, as it directly constrains plant productivity and undermines soil health. Microbes

compete with plants for P, thereby limiting plant activity by reducing the plant-available P in

P-limited soil (Jian et al., 2024).

An imbalance of available nutrients also leads to microbial SOM decomposition and nutrient

acquisition, observed as positive priming effects (PEs) (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov 2008;

Nottingham et al., 2015; Bernard et al., 2022). For example, the addition of a high C:N substrate

induces microbial N acquisition from SOM, a process known as 'N mining', and positive PEs

are found when compared to the addition of low C:N substrates, (Craine et al., 2007; Xu et al.,

2024). Nutrient mining also applies to P, with high C:P substrates inducing positive PEs to occur

under P-limited soil conditions. Although there have been extensive studies on N-induced PEs,

research focused on P-induced PEs has been limited yet (Qin et al., 2024). The input of P has

been shown to potentially enhance CUE and negative PEs by alleviating nutrient imbalances

and increasing P availability, which may improve SOM stability (Sawada et al., 2023; Li et al.,

2025). However, studies in grassland soil have also reported no effect on CUE following P

fertilization (Widding et al., 2020). There remains a critical knowledge gap in understanding

how P availability influences microbial CUE across different soil types and quality or amount of

substrate.

Derived from volcanic ash, Andosols, mainly located on the Pacific coast (i.e., Japan, Indonesia,

and New Zealand; Takahashi, 2020), are important for soil C sequestration due to their

abundance of Al-organic matter complexes which contributes to high SOM stability (Nanzyo,

2002; Takahashi and Dahlgren, 2016). Andosols are classified according to their significant P

retention and are mainly divided into two groups: allophanic and non-allophanic, which are

distinguished by the active Al composition (Takahashi and Dahlgren, 2016; WRB, 2022).

Non-allophanic Andosols, dominated by Al-organic matter complexes, account for about 30%

of Japanese arable Andosols (Saigusa and Matsuyama, 1998). Non-allophanic Andosols are

characterized by high acidity and high P sorption due to Al-organic matter complexes (Shoji et

al., 1987). Thus, non-allophanic variants display greater Al phytotoxicity, which regulates the

availability of P, consequently restricting crop growth (Ito et al., 2011). Given the dependence of

soil microbial activity on P availability, it is highly expected that microbial CUE is affected by

the amount of available P. However, not only is microbial activity suppressed by low P

availability it is also limited by Al toxicity, associated with low pH (Kunito et al., 2016).

However, it remains unknown how CUE responds to P fertility in non-allophanic Andosols.

In this study, we conducted an incubation experiment to investigate the effect of P availability

on microbial C utilization in non-allophanic Andosols. We used ^{13}C glucose to evaluate the

impact of P availability on CUE and soil ^{13}C recovery. We hypothesized that: (i) in unfertilized

soils, substrate additions would promote P-mining, accelerating the positive PEs; and (ii) higher

CUE would be observed in P-fertilized soils, as the nutrient balance would better match

microbial requirements compared to unfertilized soils.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Soil sampling

Soil samples were collected at 0-10 cm depth in April 2023 from two contrasting fertilization

fields at Field Science Center, Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University,

Japan (38°74'N, 140°75 ʿE, 190 m above mean sea-level). The soils were classified as

non-allophanic Andosols according to the Soil Classification System of Japan (Obara et al.,

2011, Table S1). Two contrasting soils with different P content were sampled (Table 1 and S1).

The high P soil (HP), which had been P-fertilized since 1987, had a high inorganic P content

(157 mg P kg^{-1} soil). The amount of P input from the triple superphosphate and the fused

magnesium phosphate varied depending on the cultivation years, ranging from 3.1 to 36.1 g

m□² yr□¹. Additionally, cattle manure was applied in certain years. In contrast, the low P soil

(LP), cultivated since 2009 without P fertilization, had a low inorganic P content (12 mg P kg^{-1}

soil). Detailed fertilization and cultivation histories are described in Table S2. The collected

soils were sieved through a 2 mm sieve, and all visible roots were removed, then soils were

stored at 4℃ until further use.

Table 1. Chemical and biological properties in HP and LP soils (mean (SD)).

Soil	pH$_{(CaCl_2)}$		Total C		Total N		C:N	MBC		MBP		log(MBC:MBP)	DP		Truog P		HCl-P	
			(g C kg^{-1})		(g N kg^{-1})			(mg C kg^{-1})		(mg P kg^{-1})		molar basis	(mg P kg^{-1})		(mg P kg^{-1})		(g P kg^{-1})	
HP	5.29	(0.03)	88.07	(3.38)	5.23	(0.23)	16.83	1368.71	(56.55)	75.06	(18.31)	1.68	122.39	(6.31)	157.31	(5.07)	1.41	(0.029)
LP	4.49	(0.06)	91.24	(1.99)	5.36	(0.10)	17.01	1343.65	(142.09)	21.31	(11.63)	2.31	29.13	(1.33)	12.10	(0.31)	0.54	(0.063)

MBC, Microbial biomass carbon: MBP, microbial biomass phosphorus: MBC: MBP, their molar-based ratio: DP, dissolved inorganic phosphorus.

Truog P and HCl-P represent Truog-extractable P and 1M HCl-extractable P, respectively.

2.2. Experimental design

Prior to the experiment, the sieved soils were pre-incubated in the dark at 25□ for 14 days and

maintained at a gravimetric moisture content of 45% (w/w). Pre-incubated soil was placed in

plastic bags (each equivalent to 100 g dry weight). Soil samples received a substrate solution

containing either: (1) water ("Control"), (2) ^{13}C-enriched glucose ("Glc"), or (3) ^{13}C-enriched

glucose and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ ("Glc+N"). There were 24 samples in total (two soils × three glucose

levels × four replicates). The rate of added ^{13}C-enriched glucose (4 atom%, D-GLUCOSE

$(U-^{13}C_6, 99\%)$, Cambridge, 450 mg C kg^{-1} soil) was set to be 25 to 50% of the MBC in order to

increase microbial activity while avoiding exponential microbial growth and shifting microbial

community structure (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2008; Sawada et al., 2023). The

concentration of added mineral N (61 mg N kg^{-1} soil) was determined according to mean

microbial C:N:P ratios, 60:7:1 (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007). The experiment was then started

by wetting to 55% gravimetric soil moisture content with each substrate solution.

2.3. CO_2 emission

For measurement of soil respiration, each treatment was placed in a 450 mL airtight glass jar

with a CO_2 alkali trap (5 mL of 1 M NaOH) and incubated at 25□ for 20 days. Ten mL of 0.01

M HCl solution was also placed in the glass jar to maintain the soil moisture. The NaOH

solution was collected and replaced with a fresh solution on days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 20,

respectively. The collected NaOH solutions received 8 mL of 1 M $SrCl_2$ to form $SrCO_3$

precipitate and this was titrated using a 1 M HCl solution (Zibilske 1994; Qiao et al., 2014).

After titrating, the precipitations were washed 3 times with 30mL water and then dried at 105□.

The dried $SrCO_3$ was packed in tin capsules to analyze for ^{13}C enrichment on DELTA V plus

isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The

calculation of glucose-derived CO_2-C_{glc} was adapted from Mehnaz et al., (2019) and Brant et al.

(2006):

$$CO_2\text{-}C_{glc} = CO_2\text{-}C \times (^{13}C_c - {}^{13}C_{none}) / (^{13}C_{label} - {}^{13}C_{none}) \quad (1)$$

Where CO_2-C is the cumulative CO_2-C respired from the soil with glucose addition; $^{13}C_c$, $^{13}C_{none}$

and ^{13}C labels are the ^{13}C atom% values of the CO_2-C measured in the NaOH solutions with and

without glucose addition and the added glucose substrate, respectively. Soil-derived CO_2-C

(CO_2-C_{soil}) in glc and glcN treatments was calculated as the difference between the total

(CO_2-C)and glucose-derived CO_2-C_{glc}.

2.4. Soil microbial biomass carbon and phosphorus

Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was determined with a modified chloroform fumigated

method (Beck et al., 1997). Briefly, two samples of 5 g dry soil of each sample with and without

24 h fumigation using chloroform were extracted with 25 mL of 0.05 M K_2SO_4 and analyzed for

total organic C using a TOC-TN analyzer (TOC-V CPH/CPN, Shimadzu, Japan). MBC was

calculated from the difference of their concentrations in samples with and without fumigation

divided by an extraction efficiency of 0.45 (Beck et al., 1997). The ^{13}C atom% in each extract

was measured on an IRMS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The ^{13}C atom% of the microbial

biomass ($^{13}C_{mic}$) and the glucose-derived C in the microbial biomass ($MBC_{glucose}$) were also

calculated by the following equation (Mehnaz et al., 2019):

$^{13}C_{mic} = (C_f \times {}^{13}C_f \ - \ C_{nf} \times {}^{13}C_{nf}) / (C_f - C_{nf})$ (2)

$MBC_{glucose} = MBC \times \ ({}^{13}C_{mic,c} - {}^{13}C_{mic,none}) \ / ({}^{13}C_{label} - {}^{13}C_{mic,none})$ (3)

where C_f and $^{13}C_f$ are the amount of C and ^{13}C atom% in the fumigated extracts, and C_{nf} and

$^{13}C_{nf}$ are them in the nonfumigated extracts, respectively.

Organic carbon within K_2SO_4 extracts from non-fumigated soil was denoted as Dissolved

organic carbon (DOC). The terms $^{13}C_{mic,c}$ and $^{13}C_{mic,none}$ are the $^{13}C_{mic}$ values with and without

glc addition.

Soil microbial biomass phosphorus (MBP) was measured using an anion exchange membrane

method (Kouno et al., 1995) where hexanol was used as the fumigant instead of chloroform.

The extracted P was determined with the malachite green colorimetric method (Ohno and

Zibilske, 1991; Jeannotte et al., 2004; Song et al., 2019). The extracts were diluted appropriately

to avoid interference with HCl (Angelo et al., 2001) and analyzed calorimetrically (630 nm)

using a microplate reader (iMark, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The MBP was calculated from

the difference in extracted P concentrations between samples with and without fumigation

divided by 0.40 (Brookes et al., 1982).

2.5. Inorganic phosphorus

Phosphorus within non-applied hexanol extracts for analysis of MBP was denoted as dissolved

inorganic P (DP). In addition, 1M HCl extractable P described by DeLuca et al. (2015) was also

measured. It represents recalcitrant inorganic P, which absorbs to Fe/Al oxides, clay particles or

inorganic compounds and released by proton released by microbes or plants, thus it was denoted

as HCl-P. It was measured by extracting 0.5 g of dry mass equivalent soil with 10 ml of 1 M

HCl for 3 h at 200 rev min^{-1} at room temperature. After filtering, the inorganic P was

determined by the malachite green method at 630 nm using a microplate reader (iMark, Bio-Rad

Laboratories, USA) as for the MBP analysis.

2.6. Carbon use efficiency and Priming effects

The calculations of carbon use efficiency (CUE) and priming effects (PEs) were adapted from

Mehnaz et al., (2019). Microbial glucose CUE ($CUE_{glucose}$) of the added glucose was calculated

using the following equation:

$$CUE_{glucose} = MBC_{glucose} / (MBC_{glucose} + CO_2\text{-}C_{glucose}) \quad (4)$$

The PEs were calculated as the increase in native soil organic C released after substrate

application also using the following equation (Mehnaz et al., 2019):

$$PEs = CO_2\text{-}C_{soil} - CO_2\text{-}C_{ctr} \quad (5)$$

Where $CO_2\text{-}C_{soil}$ and $CO_2\text{-}C_{ctr}$ are the soil-derived CO_2-C respired from the soil with glucose

addition and the cumulative CO_2-C respired from the soil without glucose addition, respectively.

2.7. Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed with R (version 4.2.2; R Core Team, 2023). We

conducted a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) including the interaction between soils and

substrates to assess their effects on the total CO_2-C, glucose-derived and soil-derived CO_2-C,

and total MBC, glucose-derived and soil-derived MBC, DOC, MBP, Pi and DP using linear

model. Model was validated by examining residuals using the DHARMa package (Hartig 2022).

The data were further analyzed using Tukey's HSD test to find pairwise differences between soil

type and substrate combinations at $\alpha = 0.05$ using multcomp package (Hothorn et al., 2008). The

effects of soil P fertility on each result, N addition on PE, and time on MBP, MBC: MBP, and

DP were determined by independent samples t-tests.

3. Results

3.1. CO_2 emission

The HP soil had a higher cumulative CO_2 emission (339.3 mg C kg^{-1} soil) than the LP soil

(308.6 mg C kg^{-1} soil) and there were significant differences when averaged across substrate

treatments during the incubation period (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1). Substrate additions significantly

stimulated the CO_2 emissions from both soils at day 1 immediately after the incubation

commenced (Fig. S1). The glc treatments had significantly higher cumulative CO_2 emissions

than the glcN treatments in each soil (Fig. 1).

The cumulative SOM-derived CO_2 emission was higher in the HP soil than the LP soil

averaging across the substrate treatments ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 1). Substrate additions increased

SOM-derived CO_2 emission in each soil type 6 days after incubation ($p < 0.001$). In the HP soil,

the glc treatment increased the SOM-derived CO_2 emissions, while in the LP soil, glc and glcN

treatments significantly increased the SOM-derived CO_2 emissions. The cumulative

glucose-derived CO_2 emission showed no significant differences among soils and substrate

treatments throughout the incubation period (Fig. S2). The glucose-derived CO_2 emission

peaked at 161.2 mg C kg^{-1} in the HP soil and 154.5 mg C kg^{-1} in the LP soil on day 1

immediately after substrate addition when averaged across the substrate treatments (Fig. S1).

Fig. 1. Cumulative CO_2 emissions (mg C kg^{-1} soil) over 20-days incubation. Treatments include

soil without any amendment (Ctr), soil with ^{13}C-enriched glucose (glc), and soil with

^{13}C-enriched glucose and nitrogen substrates (glcN). Different color bars show cumulative CO_2

emissions (mean ± SD, n=4, but n=3 in LP Ctr due to an operational error during the

experiment) derived from added glucose (light green) and soil organic matter (grey). Uppercase

and lowercase letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in total and SOM-derived CO_2-C

among treatments, respectively.

The PEs during incubation were lower in the HP soil than the LP across the treatments ($p<0.05$

at 1, 6, 8 days of incubation, $p<0.01$ and $p<0.001$ at 2 days and 12, 20 days of incubation,

respectively) (Fig. 2 and Table S4). The glc addition had significantly higher PEs compared to

the glcN addition in both soils. The addition of glc and glcN in the LP soil showed positive PEs.

However, the effect of substrate treatments on PEs in the HP soil contrasted with the LP soil; the

glc addition showed a positive PEs, but glcN addition showed negative PEs. The total primed

CO_2-C as a % of added glucose C ranged from –0.71 to 12.88 % (Table S4).

Fig. 2. The priming effects (PEs) in HP and LP soils over 20 days. Treatments include soil with

[13]C-enriched glucose (glc) and soil with ^{13}C-enriched glucose and nitrogen substrates (glcN).

Green and blue lines show the priming effect (mean ± SD, n = 4) in glc and glcN, respectively,

for each soil. * (p <0.05), ** (p <0.01) and blank (not significant) indicate significance level of

N addition effect for each soil separately.

3.2. Microbial biomass and soil chemical properties

The HP soil had significantly higher total microbial biomass carbon (MBC_{Total}) than the LP soil

at 20 days of incubation, whereas no significant differences were observed after 2 days of

incubation (Fig. 3 and Table S5). Substrate additions did not affect MBC_{Total} in either soil at

either 2 or 20 days of incubation (Table S5). For the SOM-derived microbial biomass carbon

(MBC_{SOM}), substrate additions significantly decreased MBC_{SOM} in the HP soil compared to the

control at 2 days of incubation (Fig. 3 and Table S5). In contrast, the LP soil showed no

differences in MBC_{SOM} among substrate treatments at 2 days of incubation. Glucose-derived

microbial biomass carbon (MBC_{Glc}) showed no significant differences among soils and substrate

treatments at 2 days of incubation (Fig. 3 and Table S5). However, at 20 days of incubation,

MBC_{Glc} was higher in the HP soil than in the LP soil. In both soils, MBC_{Glc} was significantly

lower at 20 days of incubation compared to 2 days.

Fig. 3. Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) (mg C kg^{-1} soil) in 2 and 20-days incubation.

Treatments include soil without any amendment (Control), soil with ^{13}C-enriched glucose (glc),

and soil with ^{13}C-enriched glucose and nitrogen substrates (glcN). Different color bars show

cumulative MBC (mean ± SD, n =4, but n =3 in LP Ctr due to an operational error during the

experiment) derived from added glucose (light green) and soil organic matter (grey). Uppercase

and lowercase letters indicate significant differences (p <0.05) in glucose-derived MBC and

SOM-derived MBC among substrate treatments and soils at each sampling time, respectively.

The HP soil had significantly higher MBP and a lower log ratio of MBC:MBP compared to the

LP soil at 2 and 20 days of incubation (Table S5). However, substrate additions had no

significant effect on MBP during the incubation period.

Soil pH, DP, and HCl-P were consistently higher in the HP soils than in the LP soil during the

incubation period (Table S3). DP increased from 0 to 2 days of incubation in HP soil ($p < 0.001$),

while there was no significant difference in LP soil ($p = 0.065$). Then DP decreased from 2 to 20

days in LP soil ($p < 0.001$), whereas no significant difference was found in HP soil ($p = 0.41$).

3.3. Microbial carbon use efficiency

Microbial carbon use efficiency (CUE) showed no significant differences between the two soils

or substrate additions at 2 days after incubation (Table 2). However, at 20 days after incubation,

CUE in the HP soil was higher than in the LP soil ($p < 0.05$). The HP soil with glc showed the

highest CUE, while the LP soil with glc had the lowest CUE at 20 days after incubation.

Table 2. Carbon use efficiency (CUE) in the HP and LP soils at 2 and 20 days after incubation (mean (SD)). Different letters indicate significant

differences (p <0.05) among treatments for each soil type.

		CUE			
Soil	Substrate	2-day		20-day	
HP	glc	0.65 (0.025)		0.44 (0.015)	a
	glcN	0.61 (0.038)		0.42 (0.009)	ab
LP	glc	0.62 (0.035)		0.39 (0.012)	b
	glcN	0.63 (0.012)		0.43 (0.025)	a
ANOVA P values					
Soil		n.s		<0.05	
Substrate		n.s		n.s	
Soil × Sub		n.s		<0.01	

4. Discussion

Our results demonstrate that substrate additions stimulated soil-derived CO_2 emissions, and

consequently overall microbial respiration, regardless of soil P fertility. Notably, we

observed higher soil-derived CO_2 emissions in soils with glucose-only addition compared to

soils with glucose+N addition (Fig. 3). This result suggests that N-mining, SOM degradation

to acquire N, occurred (Li et al., 2017). The substrate addition promoted soil-derived CO_2

emission, with greater increases observed in low-P soil than in high-P soil (Fig. 3 and Table

S4). This suggests that the impact of substrate addition on primed CO_2 emission depends on

soil P fertility. Our study also found that the low-P soil had significantly lower DP and

consistently higher MBC:P ratios compared to the high-P soil throughout the incubation

period (Tables 1, S3, and S5). Under nutrient-imbalanced conditions, microbes invest

abundant elements into decomposing SOM to obtain limited nutrients, thereby maximizing

their productivity (Allison and Vitousek, 2005; Mooshammer et al., 2014).

Furthermore, when comparing soils treated with both glucose and N substrates, negative PEs

were found in high-P soil, whereas positive priming effects were observed in low-P soil (Fig.

2 and Table S4). These results indicate that substrate-induced soil-derived CO_2 emissions

from low-P soil reflect SOM degradation driven by P-mining, supporting our first hypothesis.

Moreover, MBP levels in low-P soil remained stable throughout the incubation period,

possibly because positive priming induced P-mining and maintained microbial P (Spohn,

2016).

Our results showed lower primed CO_2-C as a % of added glucose C in non-allophanic

Andosols compared to previous studies. In the current, values ranged from −1 to 13 % in the

non-allophanic Andosols over 20 days incubation compared to values of 28 to 420 % for

other soil types (Table S4) (Shahbaz et al., 2018; Mehnaz et al., 2019; Sawada et al., 2023).

The values in prior research on other soil types were calculated from data between 18 to 42

days of the entire incubation period. Despite the lower PEs in this study, the size of the MBC

pool was the same or larger compared to the size in these studies. These lower PEs in the

non-allophanic Andosols were possibly due to the abundance of Al-organic matter

complexes. The stability of SOM depends on Al-organic matter complexes and soil acidity

(Miyazawa et al., 2013). The Al-organic matter complexes promote the soil aggregates

formation and are also suggested to physically suppress the microbial SOM decomposition

(Matsui et al., 2016). Thus, it is suggested that the low PEs shown in this study are a

reflection of SOM stability found in non-allophanic Andosols.

As for assimilation, in agreement with our second hypothesis, the high-P soil had

significantly higher CUE than the low-P soil after 20 days of incubation (Fig. 1, 3 and Table

2). Combined with the PE results, our findings suggest that under P-limited conditions, SOM

decomposition associated with microbial P maintenance regulates CUE. The measurement of

CUE in the short term (6 to 48 h) might reflect the utilization of glucose for gross production

(Brant et al., 2006; Geyer et al., 2016), while measurements beyond 48 h might indicate the

efficiency of the soil stability and recycling of the microbial necromass and exudates (Geyer

et al., 2016; Kalu et al., 2024). After 20 days of incubation, in the low-P soil, microbes

allocated more energy to catabolic pathways to acquire phosphorus, inducing lower CUE

than in the high-P soil (Burnard et al., 2022).

After 2 days of incubation, CUE showed no significant differences among soils (Table 2). In

this study, it is possible that the effect of soil P fertility on microbial assimilation of

substrates after 2 days of incubation was offset by the chemical properties specific to

non-allophanic Andosols. Soil C content is one of the regulating factors of microbial

assimilation (Kamble and Bååth, 2014); Andosols have a large amount of organic C content

due to Al-soil organic matter complexes (Takahashi and Dahlgren, 2016). Moreover, it has

been suggested that non-allophanic Andosols have higher organic C contents than other

Andosols (Nanzyo et al., 1993). Previous studies showed that microbial growth and CUE are

strongly related to C availability rather than N and P availability (Wang et al., 2021; Morris

et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2025). In this study, we found that high and low-P soils contain

approximately 10% TC, this high C content may have influenced CUE after 2 days of

incubation. Additionally, soil pH is also one of the controlling factors of CUE; our soils

showed low $pH_{(CaCl2)}$, around 5.2 and 4.3, in high and low-P soils, respectively. Soil pH can

alter the composition of microbial communities and consequently affect CUE (Manzoni et al.,

2012; Zhen et al., 2019). Rousk et al. (2011) reported that fungal growth is suppressed at pH

>5.5, contrasted with bacterial growth limited at pH <5.5. Keiblinger et al. (2010) reported

that fungi induced higher CUE than bacteria, and fungal CUE was affected by C availability

and bacterial CUE limited by N and P availability, respectively. Thus, it is possible that the

microbial community composition was adapted to low pH conditions and the high C content

of the soil and these factors may have contributed to the marginal effect of P fertility on CUE

in the non-allophanic Andosol. Previously it was suggested that there was a relationship

between CUE and pH or Al^{3+}(Jones et al., 2019), in our study, the amount of exchangeable

Al was 21.30 meq kg^{-1} and 0 meq kg^{-1} in the high and low-P soil, respectively. It is possible

that the lower CUE in the low P soil after 20 days of incubation was due to the toxicity of

exchangeable Al, as reflected in the differing amounts of Al^{3+}. We were not able to measure

the temporal effect of Al toxicity on CUE, and this requires more research.

5. Conclusion

This study showed that substrate induced SOM decomposition in a non-allophanic Andosol

depends significantly on soil P status. The combined addition of glucose with N substrate

promoted positive priming effects (PEs) under low P conditions, while negative PEs

occurred under high P conditions. Throughout the incubation, the priming effects in the low

P soil were greater than in high P soil. Additionally, in the low P soil, substrate additions did

not affect MBP and the ratio of MBC:P throughout the incubation period. These results

suggest that a lack of P affected the microbial impact on SOM decomposition, possibly due

to the mechanism of maintaining microbial P. Greater CUE was observed in the high P soil

than in the low P soil after 20 days of incubation, with no difference due to P fertility after 2

days of incubation. This suggests that under P-limited conditions, SOM decomposition

associated with microbial P maintenance regulates CUE, and that contrasting P fertilities

differently affect substrate-induced microbial assimilation and SOM decomposition. Future

research is needed to reveal the mechanism of microbial P acquisition coupled to SOM

decomposition in non-allophanic Andosols.

Author's Contributions

W.K., T.M., and T.H. planned and designed the research. W.K. and T.H. conducted the

incubation experiments. W.K. and T.H. analyzed the data, wrote the main manuscript, and

prepared the figures and tables. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows

(23K14056), and the Yamaguchi Educational and Scholarship Foundation. This study was

supported by Support system for young researchers to use research equipment in Tohoku

University.

References

Allison, S. D., & Vitousek, P. M. (2005). Responses of extracellular enzymes to simple and

complex nutrient inputs. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 37(5), 937–944.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2004.09.014

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects

Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1), 1–48.

https://doi.org/10.18637/JSS.V067.I01

Beck, T., Joergensen, R. G., Kandeler, E., Makeschin, F., Nuss, E., Oberholzer, H. R., &

Scheu, S. (1997). An inter-laboratory comparison of ten different ways of measuring

soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 29(7), 1023–1032.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00030-8

Bernard, L., Basile-Doelsch, I., Derrien, | Delphine, Fanin, N., Fontaine, S., Guenet, |

Bertrand, Karimi, | Battle, Marsden, C., & Maron, P.-A. (2022). R E V I E W Advancing

the mechanistic understanding of the priming effect on soil organic matter

mineralisation. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14038

Blagodatskaya, E., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2008). Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects

and their dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: Critical

review. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 45(2), 115–131.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S00374-008-0334-Y/FIGURES/6

Brant, J. B., Sulzman, E. W., & Myrold, D. D. (2006). Microbial community utilization of

added carbon substrates in response to long-term carbon input manipulation. Soil

Biology and Biochemistry, 38(8), 2219–2232.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2006.01.022

Brookes, P. C., Powlson, D. S., & Jenkinson, D. S. (1982). Measurement of microbial

biomass phosphorus in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 14(4), 319–329.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(82)90001-3

Cleveland, C. C., & Liptzin, D. (2007). C:N:P stoichiometry in soil: Is there a "Redfield ratio"

for the microbial biomass? Biogeochemistry, 85(3), 235–252.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S10533-007-9132-0/TABLES/4

Craine, J. M., Morrow, C., & Fierer, N. (2007). MICROBIAL NITROGEN LIMITATION

INCREASES DECOMPOSITION. Ecology, 88(8), 2105–2113.

https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1847.1

D'Angelo E, Crutchfield J, Vandiviere M (2001) Rapid, sensitive, microscale determination

of phosphate in water and soil. J Environ Qual 30:2206–2209.

doi:10.2134/jeq2001.2206

DeLuca, T. H., Glanville, H. C., Harris, M., Emmett, B. A., Pingree, M. R. A., de Sosa, L. L.,

Morenà, C., & Jones, D. L. (2015). A novel biologically-based approach to evaluating

soil phosphorus availability across complex landscapes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry,

88, 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2015.05.016

Geyer, K. M., Kyker-Snowman, E., Grandy, A. S., & Frey, S. D. (2016). Microbial carbon

use efficiency: accounting for population, community, and ecosystem-scale controls

over the fate of metabolized organic matter. Biogeochemistry, 127(2–3), 173–188.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S10533-016-0191-Y/FIGURES/4

Islam, M. R., Singh, B., & Dijkstra, F. A. (2023). Microbial carbon use efficiency of glucose

varies with soil clay content: A meta-analysis. Applied Soil Ecology, 181, 104636.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSOIL.2022.104636

Ito, T., Kikawa, N., Saigusa, M., 2011. Phosphorus sorption and bioavailability in allophanic

and non-allophanic Andosols. Pedologist 55 (2), 84–88 (in Japanese).

Jackson, R. B., Lajtha, K., Crow, S. E., Hugelius, G., Kramer, M. G., & Piñeiro, G. (2017).

The Ecology of Soil Carbon: Pools, Vulnerabilities, and Biotic and Abiotic Controls.

Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48(Volume 48, 2017), 419–445.

https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ECOLSYS-112414-054234/CITE/REFWORKS

Jeannotte, R., Sommerville, D. W., Hamel, C., & Whalen, J. K. (2004). A microplate assay to

measure soil microbial biomass phosphorus. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 40(3),

201–205. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00374-004-0760-4/TABLES/4

Jiang, M., Crous, K. Y., Carrillo, Y., Macdonald, C. A., Anderson, I. C., Boer, M. M., Farrell,

M., Gherlenda, A. N., Castañeda-Gómez, L., Hasegawa, S., Jarosch, K., Milham, P. J.,

Ochoa-Hueso, R., Pathare, V., Pihlblad, J., Piñeiro, J., Powell, J. R., Power, S. A., Reich,

P. B., … Ellsworth, D. S. (2024). Microbial competition for phosphorus limits the CO_2

response of a mature forest. Nature 2024 630:8017, 630(8017), 660–665.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07491-0

Jiang, Q., Yan, L., Wang, H., Dai, X., Meng, S., Fu, X., Yang, F., Ma, Z., Shi, W., Lv, W.,

Wang, Y., & Gao, D. (2025). Nutrient additions have minor impact on microbial carbon

use efficiency. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 385, 109582.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGEE.2025.109582

Jones, D. L., Hill, P. W., Smith, A. R., Farrell, M., Ge, T., Banning, N. C., & Murphy, D. v.

(2018). Role of substrate supply on microbial carbon use efficiency and its role in

interpreting soil microbial community-level physiological profiles (CLPP). Soil Biology

and Biochemistry, 123, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2018.04.014

Jones, D. L., Cooledge, E. C., Hoyle, F. C., Griffiths, R. I., & Murphy, D. v. (2019). pH and

exchangeable aluminum are major regulators of microbial energy flow and carbon use

efficiency in soil microbial communities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 138, 107584.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2019.107584

Kallenbach, C. M., Frey, S. D., & Grandy, A. S. (2016). Direct evidence for

microbial-derived soil organic matter formation and its ecophysiological controls.

Nature Communications 2016 7:1, 7(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13630

Kalu, S., Seppänen, A., Mganga, K. Z., Sietiö, O. M., Glaser, B., & Karhu, K. (2024).

Biochar reduced the mineralization of native and added soil organic carbon: evidence of

negative priming and enhanced microbial carbon use efficiency. Biochar, 6(1), 1–14.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S42773-023-00294-Y/TABLES/1

Kamble, P. N., & Bååth, E. (2014). Induced N-limitation of bacterial growth in soil: Effect of

carbon loading and N status in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 74, 11–20.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2014.02.015

Keiblinger, K. M., Hall, E. K., Wanek, W., Szukics, U., Hämmerle, I., Ellersdorfer, G., Böck,

S., Strauss, J., Sterflinger, K., Richter, A., & Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. (2010). The

effect of resource quantity and resource stoichiometry on microbial

carbon-use-efficiency. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 73(3), 430–440.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1574-6941.2010.00912.X

Kouno, K., Tuchiya, Y., & Ando, T. (1995). Measurement of soil microbial biomass

phosphorus by an anion exchange membrane method. Soil Biology and Biochemistry,

27(10), 1353–1357. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(95)00057-L

Kunito, T., Isomura, I., Sumi, H., Park, H. D., Toda, H., Otsuka, S., Nagaoka, K., Saeki, K.,

& Senoo, K. (2016). Aluminum and acidity suppress microbial activity and biomass in

acidic forest soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 97, 23–30.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2016.02.019

Li, Q., Tian, Y., Zhang, X., Xu, X., Wang, H., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2017). Labile carbon and

nitrogen additions affect soil organic matter decomposition more strongly than

temperature. Applied Soil Ecology, 114, 152–160.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSOIL.2017.01.009

Li, S., Cui, Y., Moorhead, D. L., Dijkstra, F. A., Sun, L., Xia, Z., Gao, Y., Ma, Q., & Yu, W.

(2025). Phosphorus limitation regulates the responses of microbial carbon metabolism

to long-term combined additions of nitrogen and phosphorus in a cropland. Soil Biology

and Biochemistry, 200, 109614. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2024.109614

Manzoni, S., Taylor, P., Richter, A., Porporato, A., & Ågren, G. I. (2012). Environmental and

stoichiometric controls on microbial carbon-use efficiency in soils. New Phytologist,

196(1), 79–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1469-8137.2012.04225.X

Maynard, D. S., Crowther, T. W., & Bradford, M. A. (2017). Fungal interactions reduce

carbon use efficiency. In Ecology Letters (Vol. 20, Issue 8, pp. 1034–1042). Blackwell

Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12801

Mehnaz, K. R., Corneo, P. E., Keitel, C., & Dijkstra, F. A. (2019). Carbon and phosphorus

addition effects on microbial carbon use efficiency, soil organic matter priming, gross

nitrogen mineralization and nitrous oxide emission from soil. Soil Biology and

Biochemistry, 134, 175–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2019.04.003

Miyazawa, M., Takahashi, T., Sato, T., Kanno, H., & Nanzyo, M. (2013). Factors controlling

accumulation and decomposition of organic carbon in humus horizons of Andosols: A

case study for distinctive non-allophanic Andosols in northeastern Japan. Biology and

Fertility of Soils, 49(7), 929–938.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S00374-013-0792-8/TABLES/3

Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., & Richter, A. (2014).

Stoichiometric imbalances between terrestrial decomposer communities and their

resources: Mechanisms and implications of microbial adaptations to their resources.

Frontiers in Microbiology, 5(FEB), 58015.

https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2014.00022/BIBTEX

Morris, K. A., Richter, A., Migliavacca, M., & Schrumpf, M. (2022). Growth of soil

microbes is not limited by the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in a

Mediterranean oak-savanna. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 169, 108680.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2022.108680

Nanzyo, M., Dahlgren, R., & Shoji, S. (1993). Chemical characteristics of volcanic ash soils.

In Developments in soil science (Vol. 21, pp. 145-187). Elsevier.

Nanzyo, M. (2002). Unique properties of volcanic ash soils. Global Environmental

Research-English Edition-, 6(2), 99-112.

Nottingham, A. T., Turner, B. L., Stott, A. W., & Tanner, E. V. J. (2015). Nitrogen and

phosphorus constrain labile and stable carbon turnover in lowland tropical forest soils.

Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 80, 26–33.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2014.09.012

Obara, H., T. Ohkura, Y. Takata, K. Kohyama, Y. Maejima, and T. Hamazaki. 2011.

"Comprehensive Soil Classification System of Japan First Approximation." Nogyo

Kankyo Gijutsu Kenkyusho Hokoku Bulletin of National Institute for

Agro-Environmental Sciences 29:1–73. http://doi.org/10.24514/00002990

Ohno, T., & Zibilske, L. M. (1991). Determination of Low Concentrations of Phosphorus in

Soil Extracts Using Malachite Green. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 55(3),

892–895. https://doi.org/10.2136/SSSAJ1991.03615995005500030046X

Qiao, N. A., Schaefer, D., Blagodatskaya, E., Zou, X., Xu, X., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2014).

Labile carbon retention compensates for CO2 released by priming in forest soils. Global

Change Biology, 20(6), 1943-1954.

Qin, W., Feng, J., Zhang, Q., Yuan, X., Zhou, H., & Zhu, B. (2024). Nitrogen and

phosphorus addition mediate soil priming effects via affecting microbial stoichiometric

balance in an alpine meadow. Science of The Total Environment, 908, 168350.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2023.168350

R Core Team. (2023). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,

Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rousk, J., Brookes, P. C., & Bååth, E. (2011). Fungal and bacterial growth responses to N

fertilization and pH in the 150-year 'Park Grass' UK grassland experiment. FEMS

Microbiology Ecology, 76(1), 89–99.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1574-6941.2010.01032.X

Russell, J. B., & Cook, G. M. (1995). Energetics of Bacterial Growth: Balance of Anabolic

and Catabolic Reactions. In MICROBIOLOGICAL REVIEWS (Vol. 59, Issue 1).

https://journals.asm.org/journal/mr

Saigusa, M., Matsuyama, N., 1998. Distribution of allophanic Andosols and non-allophanic

Andosols in Japan. Tohoku J. Agr. Res. 48, 75–83.

Sawada, K., Kunito, T., Watanabe, T., Kitagawa, N., Lyu, H., Nguyen, H. L., Toyota, K., &

Funakawa, S. (2023). Positive priming effects through microbial P-mining in tropical

forest soils under N2-fixing trees. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 69(4), 209–214.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2023.2199777

Schimel, J. P., & Weintraub, M. N. (2003). The implications of exoenzyme activity on

microbial carbon and nitrogen limitation in soil: a theoretical model. Soil Biology and

Biochemistry, 35(4), 549–563. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00015-4

Schroeder, J., Dǎmǎtîrcǎ, C., Bölscher, T., Chenu, C., Elsgaard, L., Tebbe, C. C., Skadell, L.,

& Poeplau, C. (2024). Liming effects on microbial carbon use efficiency and its

potential consequences for soil organic carbon stocks. Soil Biology and Biochemistry,

191, 109342. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2024.109342

Shahbaz, M., Kumar, A., Kuzyakov, Y., Börjesson, G., & Blagodatskaya, E. (2018). Priming

effects induced by glucose and decaying plant residues on SOM decomposition: A

three-source 13 C/ 14 C partitioning study. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.03.004

Shoji, S., Suzuki, Y., & Saigusa, M. (1987). Clay Mineralogical and Chemical Properties of

Nonallophanic Andepts (Andisols) from Oregon, USA. Soil Science Society of America

Journal, 51(4), 986–990. https://doi.org/10.2136/SSSAJ1987.03615995005100040030X

Song, S. hui, Wen, Y. jie, Zhang, J. yao, & Wang, H. (2019). Rapid spectrophotometric

measurement with a microplate reader for determining phosphorus in NaHCO3 soil

extracts. Microchemical Journal, 146, 210–213.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2019.01.002

Spohn, M. (2016). Element cycling as driven by stoichiometric homeostasis of soil

microorganisms. VIEWS IN BASIC AND APPLIED ECOLOGY, 17, 471–478.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2016.05.003

Spohn, M. (2016). Element cycling as driven by stoichiometric homeostasis of soil

microorganisms. VIEWS IN BASIC AND APPLIED ECOLOGY, 17, 471–478.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2016.05.003

Takahashi, T., & Dahlgren, R. A. (2016). Nature, properties and function of

aluminum–humus complexes in volcanic soils. Geoderma, 263, 110–121.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEODERMA.2015.08.032

Takahashi, T. (2020). The diversity of volcanic soils: focusing on the function of

aluminum–humus complexes. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 66(5), 666–672.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2020.1769453

Tao, F., Huang, Y., Hungate, B. A., Manzoni, S., Frey, S. D., Schmidt, M. W. I., Reichstein,

M., Carvalhais, N., Ciais, P., Jiang, L., Lehmann, J., Wang, Y.-P., Houlton, B. Z., Ahrens,

B., Mishra, U., Hugelius, G., Hocking, T. D., Lu, X., Shi, Z., … Luo, Y. (2023).

Microbial carbon use efficiency promotes global soil carbon storage. Nature 2023, 1–5.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06042-3

Tempest, D., & Neijssel, O. M. (1992). Physiological and energetic aspects of bacterial

metabolite overproduction. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 100(1-3), 169-176.

Wang, C., Qu, L., Yang, L., Liu, D., Morrissey, E., Miao, R., Liu, Z., Wang, Q., Fang, Y., &

Bai, E. (2021). Large-scale importance of microbial carbon use efficiency and

necromass to soil organic carbon. Global Change Biology, 27(10), 2039–2048.

https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15550

Widdig, M., Schleuss, P. M., Biederman, L. A., Borer, E. T., Crawley, M. J., Kirkman, K. P.,

Seabloom, E. W., Wragg, P. D., & Spohn, M. (2020). Microbial carbon use efficiency in

grassland soils subjected to nitrogen and phosphorus additions. Soil Biology and

Biochemistry, 146, 107815. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2020.107815

Wieder, W. R., Bonan, G. B., & Allison, S. D. (2013). Global soil carbon projections are

improved by modelling microbial processes. Nature Climate Change 2013 3:10, 3(10),

909–912. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1951

IUSS Working Group WRB. (2022). World reference base for soil resources. International

soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps (4th ed.).

International Union of Soil Sciences (IUSS). https://www3.ls.tum.de/boku/?id=1419

Xu, S., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Wu, Y., Liu, L., Yang, Y., Li, Y., Yu, Y., Zhu,

B., & Yao, H. (2024). Positive soil priming effects are the rule at a global scale. Global

Change Biology, 30(9). https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17502

Zhai, Z., Luo, M., Yang, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, X., Zhang, C., Huang, J., & Chen, J. (2022).

Trade-off between microbial carbon use efficiency and microbial phosphorus limitation

under salinization in a tidal wetland. CATENA, 209, 105809.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATENA.2021.105809

Zheng, Q., Hu, Y., Zhang, S., Noll, L., Böckle, T., Richter, A., & Wanek, W. (2019). Growth

explains microbial carbon use efficiency across soils differing in land use and geology.

Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 128, 45–55.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2018.10.006

Zibilske, L. M. (1994). Carbon mineralization. Methods of soil analysis: Part 2

microbiological and biochemical properties, 5, 835-863.