LazypipeX: Customizable Virome Analysis Pipeline Enabling Fast and

Sensitive Virus Discovery from NGS data

Ilya Weinstein[1,2*], Olli Vapalahti[1,2,3], Ravi Kant[1,2,4#] and Teemu Smura[2,3#]

[1] Department of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

[2] Department of Virology, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

[3] Department of Virology and Immunology, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, 00014

Helsinki, Finland

[4] Department of Tropical Parasitology, Institute of Maritime and Tropical Medicine, Medical University

of Gdansk, 81-519 Gdynia, Poland

* Correspondence: Ilja.Weinstein@helsinki.fi.

# Equal contributions

12 ABSTRACT

Metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) is pivotal for detecting known and novel viruses in

diverse samples; however, its efficacy relies on robust bioinformatics pipelines. We present LazypipeX

with multiple advanced features, including flexible annotation strategies that can be adjusted to match

different datasets and applications. Using a synthetic benchmark, we show that a simple two-round

annotation strategy designed for speed and low false positive rate required in virus diagnostics can

reduce execution time to a fraction of the BLASTN search without any loss in accuracy. Additionally,

using real data, we show that annotation strategies based on combinations of fast-to-accurate homology

searches reduce execution time from 5- to 20-fold compared to the BLASTN/BLASTP baseline. Using

one of these "annotation chains", we characterized multiple novel complete viral genomes that were

missed by the Lazypipe v1/v2 and CZ ID analyses. LazypipeX is a highly efficient and versatile tool for

virome analysis, offering customizable and transparent workflows that can facilitate virus discovery and

identification in diverse mNGS applications.

Key words: bioinformatics pipeline; metagenomics; mNGS; virus discovery; virome

26 1   INTRODUCTION

Rapid developments in mNGS have enabled virologists to assess the true diversity of viruses in various

samples (1). This is particularly true for viruses that do not propagate in cell culture and for novel or

emerging viruses that fail to be detected using conventional PCR- or antigen-based methods. mNGS is

a high-throughput, unbiased technology that can identify both known and novel pathogens and has

great potential for viral discovery, surveillance, and broad-spectrum clinical diagnostics (1–3).

Virome identification with mNGS is heavily dependent on bioinformatic analysis, and it is increasingly

recognized that applied bioinformatic methods will significantly influence the results (3, 4).

Bioinformatics analysis of mNGS data is an actively evolving field, with a wide range of tools available

for individual steps and pipelines that support end-to-end workflows (1, 5). These pipelines can be

categorized into three primary functions: characterizing viral diversity and abundance, virus

identification or diagnostics, and facilitating novel virus discovery. In this study, we focused on pipelines

for virus diagnostics and discovery. Open source pipelines that have been applied in virus diagnostics

include metaMix (6), RIEMS (7), Kaiju (8), VIP (9), DAMIAN (2), Jovian (10), and CZ ID (3), with an

excellent comparative evaluation presented by De Vries et al. (4). Pipelines for virus discovery focus

on sensitivity and, as suggested by several authors, benefit from integrating protein alignments and/or

protein domain annotation to identify highly divergent emerging and novel viruses, although at the cost

of heavier computation (2, 3, 11, 12). Based on this notion, most pipelines that include protein-level

alignments or protein domain annotations are applicable to virus discovery. There are also a number of

open-source pipelines that were specifically designed to support the discovery of novel pathogens from

mNGS data, such as VirusSeeker (11), DAMIAN (2), CZ ID (3) and Microseek (12). We have previously

published two mNGS pipelines, Lazypipe1 and Lazypipe2, primarily designed for virus discovery (13,

14), which have been successfully applied to characterize viromes and identify novel viruses in clinical

samples (15), ticks (16–18), mosquitoes (19, 20), snakes (18), farm animals (21, 22), and wildlife (23–

27).

Here, we describe LazypipeX with multiple new features, including the novel concept of annotation

strategies that can incorporate multiple nucleotide-, protein- and hidden Markov model (HMM)-based

searches into contig annotations. Using a synthetic benchmark, we showed that a conceptually simple

two-round strategy, where the first round uses a fast Minimap2 search (28) against a virus-only

database, followed by a second round using BLASTN (29) against larger databases to filter false

positives, can achieve a 4- to 8-fold speedup compared to a one-round BLASTN search, without any

loss in accuracy. When combined with background filtering, the speedup achieved was 30-fold, with

minimal loss in precision. Using real data, we showed that combining varying fast-to-accurate homology

searches reduced the execution time by 5- to 20-times compared to the BLASTN/P baseline. Usability

for virus discovery was illustrated by using LazypipeX to characterize multiple divergent novel viral

genomes from arthropod data.

2   MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1   LazypipeX outline

LazypipeX is a highly customizable UNIX-based pipeline for automated assembly and taxonomic

profiling of metagenomic libraries, building upon the original Perl, C++, and R architectures to enable

high-throughput virus identification and discovery. The workflow begins with quality preprocessing using

fastp (30), followed by nontarget background read filtering using BWA-MEM (31), Sambamba (32), and

SeqKit (33). Post-assembly via MEGAHIT (34) or SPADES (35), the pipeline introduces a modular

"annotation strategy" concept, allowing users to arrange in chains (and rounds) multiple fast-to-accurate

homology searches — such as Minimap2, BLASTN/P/X, DIAMOND, and HMMscan — against

precompiled, regularly updated NCBI NT, UniRef100, and viral HMM databases (e.g., Pfam and
NeoRdRp). Taxonomic binning is performed using a bitscore-weighted model to generate detailed
abundance tables and interactive Krona graphs (36).

2.2　Improved background filtering

LazypipeX supports multiple background filters to remove host or contaminant eukaryotic reads and
contigs. This pre-assembly and post-assembly filtering accelerates annotation and allows for a smaller
reference database. Multiple filters can be applied in tandem for pooled samples (e.g. arthropods) or
samples contaminated by multiple sources. Because human nucleic acids often contaminate libraries,
filtering *Homo sapiens* along with other backgrounds is recommended. The pipeline includes
precompiled background filters for ~20 species, including human, mosquitoes, ticks, bats, and various
mammals. Users can also add custom filters by providing a FASTA file path in the configuration file.

2.3　Flexible and transparent annotation strategies

84　LazypipeX introduces the concept of an "annotation strategy", which can be tailored for different use
85　cases. Users can select strategies that chain homology searches to improve sensitivity or strategies
86　that arrange them in rounds to boost precision. We benchmarked several predefined one-round, two-
87　round, and chained strategies for virus identification and discovery, all accessible via the pipeline
88　command line.

2.3.1　Fast one-round strategies

90　*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$ and Minimap.RefSeq$_{Vi}$:* Minimap2 all contigs against NCBI NT or RefSeq viruses. These
91　provide efficient preliminary annotations at the cost of higher false-positive rates.

2.3.2　Two-round strategies for virus identification

Two-round strategies combine a fast initial search against a virus-only database (e.g., Minimap2) with
a sensitive secondary search (BLASTN) against a broader database to prune false positives. This
reduces the search space for the slower algorithm. For example, if the execution time scales linearly
with the size of the query and reference data, the execution time for the annotation of all contigs with a
slower search against the complete database can be expressed as:

1)　$T_1 = S(Q_{all})\, S(R_{all})/V_{slow}$

And the execution time for annotating only virus contigs in two-rounds can be expressed as:

2)　$T_2 = S(Q_{all})\, S(R_{vi})\, /\, V_{fast} + S(Q_{vi})\, S(R_{all})\, /\, V_{slow}$

where $S(Q_{all})$ is the size of the assembly, $S(Q_{vi})$ is the size of the virus part of the assembly, $S(R_{all})$ is
the size of the reference database, $S(R_{vi})$ is the size of the virus part of the reference, V_{slow} and V_{fast} are
the speeds of the slower and faster search, respectively.

The ratio of execution times can be expressed as:

$\quad$ 3) $\quad \dfrac{T_2}{T_1} \approx \dfrac{S(Q_{all})\, S(R_{vi})\, /\, V_{fast} + S(Q_{vi})\, S(R_{all})\, /\, V_{slow}}{S(Q_{all})\, S(R_{all})\, /\, V_{slow}} = \dfrac{S(R_{vi})\, V_{slow}}{S(R_{all})\, V_{fast}} + \dfrac{S(Q_{vi})}{S(Q_{all})}$

$\quad$ For example, if $V_{slow}/V_{fast} \approx 10\%$, and if viruses make up ~10% of the query data and 15% of the
$\quad$ reference data, then T2/T1 $\approx$ 0.115, leading to a ~9-fold speedup. We argue that these assumptions
$\quad$ are realistic. For example, in the NT release from 2024/01/01, virus sequences constituted 15.3% of
$\quad$ the database, and the proportion of assembled viral data in most metagenomic datasets is below 10%
$\quad$ (e.g. in (37) library from rRNA depleted tissue RNA this was 1.68%). Furthermore, Minimap2, although
$\quad$ not specifically evaluated against BLASTN, has been reported to be tens of times faster than long-read
$\quad$ genomic mappers.

$\quad$ We tested three strategies using this logic:

$\quad \bullet$ $\;$ *Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$ -Blastn.NT$_{ABV}$:* In the first round, all contigs are mapped with Minimap2 against NT
$\qquad$ viruses (NT$_{Vi}$; database descriptions are provided in Table 1). In the second round, pre-labelled
$\qquad$ viral contigs are annotated with BLASTN against NT archaea, bacteria, and viruses (NT$_{ABV}$). This
$\qquad$ strategy includes background filtering and assumes that most eukaryotic sequences are removed
$\qquad$ before the annotation step. This allows to reduce the database for the second round to NT$_{ABV}$.
$\quad \bullet$ $\;$ *Minimap.RefSeq$_{Vi}$-Blastn.RefSeq$_{ABV}$:* In the first round, all contigs are mapped with Minimap2
$\qquad$ against RefSeq virus (RefSeq$_{Vi}$). In the second round, pre-labelled viral contigs are annotated using
$\qquad$ BLASTN against RefSeq archaea, bacteria, and viruses (RefSeq$_{ABV}$). This strategy also included
$\qquad$ background filtering.
$\quad \bullet$ $\;$ *Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$-Blastn.NT:* In the first round, all contigs are mapped using Minimap2 against *NT$_{Vi}$*. In
$\qquad$ the second round, the pre-labelled viral contigs are annotated using BLAST against the complete
$\qquad$ NT database.

$\quad$ The first two require background filtering and utilize archaea-bacteria-virus (ABV) segments of NT and
$\quad$ RefSeq. The third strategy omits filtering and uses complete NT, making it suitable for samples with
$\quad$ complex backgrounds (e.g., fecal or environmental samples).

Table 1 List of reference databases supported by LazypipeX.

Database	Description
Minimap.NT_{ABV}, Minimap.NT_{Vi}	Fasta and accession-to-taxid files for annotations with Minimap2. NCBI NT archaea-bacteria-viruses and NT viruses.
Blastn.NT_{ABV} and Blastn.NT_{Vi}	BLASTN index for NT archaea-bacteria-viruses and NT viruses.
Minimap.RefSeq_{ABV} and Minimap.RefSeq_{Vi}	Fasta and accession-to-taxid files for annotations with Minimap2. RefSeq archaea-bacteria-viruses and RefSeq viruses.
Blastn.RefSeq_{ABV} and Blastn.RefSeq_{Vi}	BLASTN index for RefSeq archaea-bacteria-viruses and RefSeq viruses
Blastp.UniRef100_{ABV} and Blastp.UniRef100_{Vi}	BLASTP index for UniRef100 archaea-bacteria-viruses and viruses
Diamond.UniRef100_{ABV} and Diamond.UniRef100_{Vi}	Diamond index for UniRef100 archaea-bacteria-viruses and viruses
HMMscan.PFAM_{Vi}	Viral HMM profiles from PFAM database (Mistry et al., 2021)
HMMscan.RdRp	NeoRdRp2 database (Sakaguchi et al., 2024)

2.3.3   Chained strategies for virus discovery

Chained annotation strategies maximize sensitivity by passing contigs with no hits through
progressively more sensitive (and often slower) search engines. Strategies *Vi.chain1–4* require
eukaryotic background filtering and utilize archaea-bacteria-virus (ABV) segments of NT and
UniRef100. Vi.chain3.env omits filtering and uses complete databases, making it suitable for samples
with complex backgrounds (e.g., fecal or environmental samples).

The chains are structured as follows (for database abbreviations see Table 1):

• *Vi.chain0* (Baseline): BLASTN (NT_{ABV}) → BLASTP (UniRef100_{ABV})
• *Vi.chain1*: Minimap2 (NT_{ABV}) → BLASTN (NT_{ABV}) → BLASTP (UniRef100_{ABV})
• Vi.chain2: Minimap2 (NT_{ABV}) → BLASTP (UniRef100_{ABV})
• Vi.chain3: Minimap2 (NT_{ABV}) → DIAMOND blastp (UniRef100_{ABV})
• Vi.chain4: Minimap2 (NT_{ABV}) → SANSparallel (UniProtKB)
• Vi.chain3.env: Minimap2 (NT) → DIAMOND blastp (UniRef100)

2.3.4   Supported searches engines

These include Minimap2, BLASTN/P/X, DIAMOND blastp/x, SANSparallel, and HMMscan (28, 38–40).
All searches utilized bitscore or e-value cut-offs defined in the main configuration file (default values
given in Table 2). For protein-level searches (BLASTP, DIAMOND blastp, SANSparallel, HMMscan),
ORFs ≥72 nt were extracted using MGA (default) or orfipy (41).

Table 2 LazypipeX default search engine parameters.

Tool	Key Options	Filter/Selection
Minimap2	-x asm20 --secondary yes --cs -s 200	peak_DP_alignment_score>=200, top hits, no ties
BLASTN/P/X	-evalue 10 -max_target_seqs 5	bitscore >= 200, top hits, no ties
DIAMOND blastp/x	--evalue 10 --max_target_seqs 5 --min-orf 72 --very-sensitive	bitscore>= 200, top hits, no ties
HMMscan	-E 0.01	Top hits with ties
SANSparallel	-m SANStopHtaxid --SANS_H 5 -R	bitscore >= 200, top hits, no ties

2.3.5   Supported reference databases

These are listed in Table 1 and can be installed to local volumes using *install_db.pl* utility. The default

nucleotide database is NCBI NT, which was also the most common reference database employed by

eight out of 13 pipelines examined by de Vries et al. (4) and by CZ ID (3). For the default protein

database, we chose UniRef100, similar to GenomeDetective, which uses UniRef90 (42). Other potential

options include NCBI NR (e.g., DAMIAN (2) and CZ ID (3)) and RefSeq proteins (e.g., metaMix ((6)).

However, we selected UniRef100 because it is highly representative, while remaining an order of

magnitude smaller than the NR.

Supported databases were built from RefSeq (release 228), NT (version 2024/01/01), and UniRef100

(version 2024/11/27) by filtering archaeal, bacterial, and viral sequences using get_species_taxids.sh

(43) and SeqKit (33), followed by default indexing calls. The Minimap2 database comprised FASTA

files combined with accession-to-taxid TSV files. *HMMscan.PFAM$_{Vi}$* was compiled by downloading all

viral HMM profiles from Pfam (44); we also used the original NeoRdRp 2.1 database (45).

2.4   Additional features

LazypipeX now integrates the ICTV VMR metadata (46), including *host.source* and

*genome.*composition, into annotation tables. It also adds support for DIAMOND blastp/blastx (40) and

HMMscan (47), with new ABV and Vi databases derived from UniRef100, Pfam (44) and NeoRdRp 2.1

(45).

LazypipeX can output IGV reports (48) for the identified viral contigs. The pipeline bins contigs by

species, aligns them to the top reference hits via Minimap2, and produces interactive IGV visualizations

of genome coverage and variants. These reports facilitate the identification of contig overlaps, sequence

similarities, and gene coverage (e.g., polymerases) in fragmented assemblies. Example IGV reports for

ten mosquito pools are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18348371.

2.5   Benchmarking

2.5.1   Benchmarking background filtering

We evaluated LazypipeX background filtering on two datasets: a simulated human metagenome from

MetaShot (49) and two *Rattus norvegicus* liver libraries (37). For the MetaShot benchmark, reads were

filtered using a human reference genome (acc. GCF_000001405.40) and validated against the

sequence labels included in the benchmark. For the rat samples, reads were filtered against the *R.*

norvegicus reference (GCF_036323735.1) and validated by comparing results to LazypipeX BLASTN-

based binning. Performance was assessed by iterating over the BWA MEM (31) alignment score (AS)

and mapping quality (mapq) thresholds.

2.5.2   Benchmarking strategies for virus identification on simulated data

We evaluated LazypipeX with one- and two-round strategies using a MetaShot simulated human

metagenome (20.5M PE 150bp reads, 80 viral and 70 bacterial pathogens) (49).

To assess time savings versus accuracy, we compared two-round strategies (*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$-*

Blastn.NT$_{ABV}$ and *Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$-Blastn.NT*) against their one-round equivalents (*Blastn.NT$_{ABV}$* and

Blastn.NT). We also tested *Minimap.RefSeq$_{Vi}$-Blastn.RefSeq$_{ABV}$*, standalone Minimap2 rounds

(*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$, Minimap.RefSeq$_{Vi}$*), and a common metagenomic approach, DIAMOND blastp against

complete NR (*Diamondp.NR)*.

For performance baselines, we included Lazypipe v2.1 (Minimap against NT) and the CZ ID pipeline

(Illumina v8.3, NCBI index dated 2024/02/06 with human host subtraction) (3). Most local runs utilized

human background filtering (GCF_000001405.40). The databases used included RefSeq (rel. 228), NT

(2024/01/01), UniRef100 (2024/11/27), and NR (2025/08/07). For all compared pipelines, reported

taxids were linked to the NCBI taxonomy dated 2025/09/10 using a taxonkit (50). For CZ, ID read counts

were selected from the NT count field when this was non-zero, and from the NR count field when the

NT count was zero. For all pipelines, taxa with fewer than 10 reads and taxa labelled as bacteriophages

were ignored. All local runs were performed on a Linux/Unix CPU supercluster with 30 cores, with each

running at 2.1 GHz.

2.5.3   Benchmarking virus discovery on real data

We benchmarked chained strategies (*Vi.chain0-4* and *Vi.chain3.env*) on Illumina libraries from 90

Ochlerotatus mosquito pools (acc. PRJNA852425) previously analyzed using Lazypipe1 and

downstream BLASTX (19). Background was filtered using the human (GCF_000001405.40) and four

mosquito reference genomes (GCF_002204515.2, GCF_035046485.1, GCF_016801865.2, and

CA_964187845.1). The results were compared against *Vi.chain0* baseline and Lazypipe2.

A novel horsefly (*Tabanidae sp*.) library was used to test HMMscan integration. Filtering utilized a

related *Chrysops caecutiens* reference (acc. GCA_963971475.1). The annotation chain followed

Vi.chain1 (Minimap2 → BLASTN → BLASTP), supplemented with HMMscan (Pfam → NeoRdRp v2.1).

Finally, LazypipeX was compared against CZ ID (v8.3) using the horsefly and two mosquito samples

(SRR19859382–3). All local runs used default settings on a 30-core (2.1 GHz) Linux cluster.

3   RESULTS

3.1   Background filtering

The results of background filtering on the simulated data are presented in Table 3. Filtering by mapping quality (mapq) was less effective than filtering by alignment score (AS). At the default threshold (AS $\geq$ 100), 99.998% of human reads were removed, while all non-retroviral reads were retained. Notably, 96.375% of human endogenous retroviral (HERVs) reads were removed, likely due to the presence of these sequences in the reference genome. For accurate ERV binning, we recommend strategies that bypass background filtering (see Discussion). The results for the rat samples (Supp. Table 1) similarly favored AS filtering; the default threshold (AS $\geq$ 100) removed 63.1-70.2% of rodent reads while retaining 99.95-100.00% viral and ~100.00% bacterial reads.

Table 3 Benchmarking background filtering on simulated data from (49). Selected filter highlighted. Filter, applied filter with AS representing alignment score and mapq mapping quality threshold, Total, total fraction of reads filtered, Homo sapiens, human reads filtered, Bacteria, bacterial reads filtered, Viruses, all viral reads filtered, HERV, reads from Human Endogeneous Retroviruses filtered, Viruses excl. Retroviridae, filtered viral reads excluding Retroviridae reads.

Filter	Total	Homo sapiens	Bacteria	Viruses	HERV	Viruses excl. Retroviridae
AS0	99.587 %	100.000 %	91.696 %	97.529 %	99.544 %	96.804 %
AS30	94.687 %	100.000 %	0.021 %	21.982 %	96.453 %	0.151 %
AS50	94.686 %	99.999 %	0.002 %	21.913 %	96.450 %	0.046 %
AS75	94.685 %	99.999 %	0.001 %	21.887 %	96.444 %	0.008 %
AS100	94.684 %	99.998 %	0.000 %	21.861 %	96.354 %	0.000 %
AS125	94.681 %	99.997 %	0.000 %	21.701 %	95.649 %	0.000 %
AS150	76.305 %	80.631 %	0.000 %	11.793 %	51.980 %	0.000 %
mapq0	99.587 %	100.000 %	91.696 %	97.529 %	99.544 %	96.804 %
mapq10	90.708 %	94.938 %	16.278 %	26.474 %	52.932 %	20.282 %
mapq20	89.851 %	94.855 %	1.954 %	12.879 %	50.600 %	2.122 %
mapq30	89.159 %	94.219 %	0.382 %	10.595 %	45.364 %	0.459 %
mapq40	89.043 %	94.117 %	0.038 %	10.147 %	44.656 %	0.022 %
mapq50	88.564 %	93.623 %	0.005 %	8.680 %	38.240 %	0.005 %
mapq60	88.285 %	93.331 %	0.001 %	8.266 %	36.431 %	0.000 %

3.2   Virus identification from simulated data

We evaluated LazypipeX (several strategies), Lazypipe2, and CZ ID using a human-simulated metagenome (49). The species-level precision, recall, F1-score (harmonic mean of precision and recall), execution time, memory usage, and erroneous predictions are detailed in Table 4.

The highest accuracy was achieved by LazypipeX annotations against the complete NT database, without host filtering. Both one-round (*Blastn.NT*) and two-round (*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$-Blastn.NT*) strategies yielded identical errors: two false negatives (FN) – *ML29* (misclassified as *Mammarenavirus lassaense*) and *Orthobornavirus bornaense* (contig unannotated), and two false positives (FP) – *Orthopoxvirus cowpox* (misclassified *Vaccinia virus*) and *ASM Simian foamy virus* (misclassified *Human spumaretrovirus*). The two-round version achieved a 4.3X speedup (~27h vs. ~117h).

LazypipeX annotations against NT_{Vi}/NT_{ABV} (with background filtering) reported two FPs and three FNs – two filtered endogenous retroviruses and *ML29*. The execution time dropped from ~32h (one-round) to ~4h (two-round), an 8.4X speedup. LazypipeX annotations against the smaller RefSeq database (*Minimap.RefSeq_Vi-Blastn.RefSeq_ABV* and *Minima.RefSeq_Vi*) had higher error rates, resulting in three FPs and six FNs. Overall, transitioning from one-round *BLASTN.NT* to two-round NT_{ABV} annotations (*Minimap.NT_Vi-Blastn.NT_ABV*) provided ~30X speedup with identical recall and minimal precision loss.

Annotating with DIAMOND Blastp against NR (*Diamondp.NR*) showed low accuracy reporting five FPs and failed to detect 15 viral pathogens (Table 4). Lazypipe2 was hindered by low precision, primarily due to a high number of unlabeled bacteriophages. The CZ ID also reported multiple FPs, including four FP eukaryotic viruses and 14 unlabeled bacteriophages. The FNs for CZ ID included two retroviruses, likely filtered with the host genome, as well as *Betapapillomavirus 2* and *Bocaparvovirus primate1* (Table 4). The latter two were likely misclassified as *Human papillomavirus* and *Human bocavirus*, which may be attributed to discrepancies between the applied taxonomies.

Table 4 Accessing LazypipeX accuracy of virus taxon retrieval, execution time and memory consumption on simulated data from (Fosso et al., 2017). Compared pipelines are ordered by the descending F1-score. Column headers: TP, number of true positive species, FP, number of false positive species, FN, number of false negative species, F1, F1-score, Maxrss, maximum memory consumption.

[1]Other false negatives for Diamond.NR: Alphainfluenzavirus influenzae, Cytomegalovirus humanbeta5, Morbillivirus hominis, Orthoebolavirus zairense, Orthohepadnavirus hominoidei, Orthomarburgvirus marburgense, Phlebovirus napoliense, TTV-like mini virus and Uukuvirus uukuniemiense.
[2]Other false positives for Lazypipe2: Bacteriophage sp., Bifidobacterium phage Bbif-1, Caudoviricetes sp., Escherichia phage Lambda_ev058 and phi458, Herelleviridae sp., Inoviridae sp., Maltschvirus maltsch, Myoviridae sp. ctk251 and ctZhz2, RockefellervirusIPLA5, Siphoviridae sp. ctX581, Streptococcus phage IPP16, Streptococcus satellite phage Javan375 and uncultured human fecal virus.
[3]Other false positives for CZID: Biseptimavirus BU01, Caudoviricetes sp., Crassvirales sp., Myoviridae sp. ctk251/ctuJM17, Phietavirus B236, Podoviridae sp. ct7Ex2, Siphoviridae sp. ctCCX1/ctGz830/ctSqC25/ctX581/ctxvK3, uncultured human fecal virus and virus sp. ctJrn16.

Strategy	TP	FP	FN	Precision	Recall	F1	Execution Time	Maxrss (MB)	Betapapillomavirus 2	Betaretrovirus maspfimon	Bocaparvovirus primate1	Human endogenous retrovirus K	Human endogenous retrovirus	Human endogenous retrovirus W	Mopeia Lassa virus reassortant 29	Orthobornavirus bornaense	Other False Negatives	AGM simian foamy virus	Betaretrovirus squmon	Borna disease virus	Human bocavirus	Human papillomavirus	Orthobunyavirus khatangaense	Orthopneumovirus bovis	Orthopoxvirus cowpox	Phlebovirus toscanaense	Similispumavirus chlaet	Similispumavirus pantrotro	Simplexvirus humanalpha1	Spleen focus-forming virus	unknown Hepeviridae	Other False Positives
Minimap.NT_Vi - Blastn.NT	80	2	2	97.6%	97.6%	97.6%	1:03:09:17	110,985					FN	FN				FP							FP							
Blastn.NT	80	2	2	97.6%	97.6%	97.6%	4-21:17:37	25,857					FN	FN				FP							FP							
Minimap.NT_Vi - Blastn.NT_ABV	79	2	3	97.5%	96.3%	96.9%	3:53:04	20,827				FN	FN	FN										FP	FP							
Blastn.NT_ABV	79	2	3	97.5%	96.3%	96.9%	1-08:28:43	25,713				FN	FN	FN										FP	FP							
Minimap.NT_Vi	79	2	3	97.5%	96.3%	96.9%	1:08:28	12,166				FN	FN	FN											FP					FP		
Minimap.RefSeq_Vi - Blastn.RefSeq_ABV	77	3	5	96.3%	93.9%	95.1%	0:50:09	10,374	FN		FN	FN	FN	FN					FP										FP	FP		
Minimap.RefSeq_Vi	77	3	5	96.3%	93.9%	95.1%	0:45:51	10,066	FN		FN	FN	FN	FN					FP										FP	FP		
Lazypipe2 --ann minimap	79	16	3	83.2%	96.3%	89.3%	3:43:05	70,548				FN	FN	FN											FP							FP[2]
Diamondp.NR	66	5	15	93.0%	81.5%	86.8%	1-07:50:35	33,550	FN	FN		FN	FN	FN	FN	FN[1]		FP	FP	FP					FP						FP	
CZID NT/NR	78	20	4	79.6%	95.1%	86.7%	NA	NA	FN		FN		FN	FN						FP	FP	FP				FP		FP		FP	FP[3]

3.3 Virus discovery from real data

3.3.1 Benchmarking against BLAST baseline

We compared the identification of viral sequences from 90 mosquito samples (19) using five chained strategies (*Vi.chain1-4* and *Vi.chain3.env*) and Lazypipe2. As "true" viral sequences or taxa are unknown for real data, we used *Vi.chain0* (BLASTN (NT_{ABV}) → BLASTP ($UniRef100_{ABV}$)) as the ground-truth baseline to measure the relative accuracy and time savings compared to BLAST-based workflows.

In this evaluation, we focused on viral sequences ≥ 500 bp, defining true positives (TP) as sequences
identified by both the evaluated and baseline strategies, and false positives (FP) or negatives (FN) as
sequences unique to the evaluated or baseline strategies, respectively. Precision, recall, and the F1-
metric were calculated using the total base-pair counts for these categories (Fig. 1 and Supp. Table 2).

Figure 1 Overall performance of chained strategies (and Lazypipe2 –ann minimap) on the mosquito dataset.
Precision, recall, F1-metric and Time were calculated relative to Vi.chain0 baseline as described in the text.

All LazypipeX strategies achieved ≥ 99.7% precision. *Vi.chain1* (introducing Minimap2 before BLASTN)
provided a 5-fold speedup with negligible loss in recall (~1 kbp of FNs). *Vi.chain2* and *Vi.chain3*
(substituting BLASTN with Minimap2 and BLASTP with DIAMOND) yielded 6-fold and 20-fold
speedups, respectively, with minimal loss in recall (~31 kbp and ~47 kbp of FNs, respectively).
Vi.chain3.env (no background filtering) doubled the FNs to ~91 kbp, likely due to contigs with close
eukaryotic homologs excluded from the baseline. *Vi.chain4* (substituting BLASTP with SANSparallel)
matched the 20-fold speedup of *Vi.chain3* but showed the lowest recall (87.0%). Lazypipe2 recall was
significantly lower (77.6%) because it only permitted annotation against a single database. For this
benchmark, the default NT database was used, which resulted in poor detection of distant homologs.

The average runtimes for mosquito samples (median library size 92.7 Mbp) were reduced from 20 hours
to as little as 1 hour (*Vi.chain3/4*), illustrating time savings of up to 95% compared to the BLAST
baseline.

3.3.2   Identified viral sequences

Here, we characterized viral sequences identified using the LazypipeX *Vi.chain1* strategy (Minimap2
(NT_{ABV}) → BLASTN (NT_{ABV}) → BLASTP ($UniRef100_{ABV}$)) from the mosquito dataset and compared
these with previously published findings (19). We also examined a novel rhabdovirus discovered in a
horsefly sample using *Vi.chain1* augmented with the HMMscan search. Viral discoveries were

compared between *Vi.chain1* and CZ ID for the horsefly and two mosquito samples (SRR19859382-
83). The detailed LazypipeX contig lists and CZ ID reports are provided in Supp. Table 3 and
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18348611, respectively.

For the mosquito dataset, *Vi.chain1* identified 1,242 viral contigs ($\geq$ 1 kbp; 3,559 kbp total). Of these,
Minimap2 identified 78.1 %, BLASTN 1.6 % and BLASTP 20.3 %. To evaluate chained annotations for
virus discovery, we focused on contigs identified via Minimap2 and BLASTP.

3.3.2.1 Identified rhabdoviruses

Within *Vi.chain1*, Minimap2 identified 44 contigs ($\geq$ 1 kbp; Supp. Table 3), covering all rhabdoviruses
previously reported in this dataset (19). BLASTP identified two additional contigs representing
complete rhabdovirus genomes, provisionally named *Kuusamo primrhavirus* and *Inari merhavirus*,
whereas HMMscan detected a 12.7 kbp contig provisionally named *Lieksa stangrhavirus* (horsefly
sample). Taxonomic classification and virus names were based on phylogenetic and ORF analyses
(Supp. Text 1), incorporating the names of the Finnish municipalities where the samples originated.

3.3.2.2 Identified negeviruses

Within *Vi.chain1*, Minimap2 identified 56 contigs ($\geq$ 1 kbp; Supp. Table 3), covering all negeviruses
previously reported for this dataset (19). BLASTP identified four additional complete negevirus-like
sequences (11.1-11.4 kbp) and 21 partial sequences. Comparison of these BLASTP findings with
previously published negeviruses (19) confirmed a very low nucleotide (nt) level homology (qcov $\leq$ 3%).
The seven largest BLASTP findings were provisionally named as isolates of *Kuusamo* and *Hanko*
negev-like viruses based on phylogenetic and ORF analyses (Supp. Text 1), and their municipalities of
origin.

3.3.2.3 Identified totiviruses and artiviruses

Within *Vi.chain1*, Minimap2 identified 315 contigs ($\geq$ 1 kbp; Supp. Table 3), covering 49 of the 52
Totiviridae species previously reported for this dataset (19). This search failed to report *Hanko totivirus*
10 (2.7 kbp), Ilomantsi totivirus 3 (1.2 kbp), and *Karstula totivirus (1.1 kbp)*, potentially due to high
similarity to other totivirus-like sequences. BLASTP identified five additional complete totivirus-like
whole genomes (7.5-7.7 kbp), which were provisionally named as isolates of *Joensuu artivirus* based
on phylogenetic and ORF analyses (Supp. Text 1), and their municipalities of origin. Comparison with
previously reported totivirus sequences (19) confirmed a very low nucleotide-level homology (qcov
<14%) for all five contigs.

3.3.2.4 Identified flaviviruses

Minimap2 identified 10 contigs ($\geq$ 1 kbp; Supp. Table 3), covering all *Flaviviridae* species previously
reported for this dataset (19). BLASTP identified six additional contigs that were mapped to *Flaviviridae*.
These were provisionally named *Palkane flavivirus*, *Palkane-like flavivirus*, *Hameenlinna flavivirus,* and
Lestijarvi flavivirus based on phylogenetic analysis (Supp. Text 1) and their municipalities of origin.

Comparison with previously reported *Flaviviridae* sequences (19) revealed 72.0% nucleotide identity
between *Hameenlinna flavivirus* (acc. BK071037) and *Hanko virus* (acc. ON949929.1). All other
sequences showed no significant homology at the nucleotide level.

3.3.3   Comparison of virus discovery by CZ ID and LazypipeX

Comparing the CZ ID and LazypipeX findings for *Rhabdoviridae* from the horsefly and the two mosquito
samples (acc. SRR19859382-83) revieled that, while CZ ID identified the complete *Inari merhavirus*
genome, it only recovered the L-gene for *Lieksa stangrhavirus* and 138-606 bp fragments of *Kuusamo*
primrhavirus (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18348611), all of which were captured as complete
genomes using LazypipeX. Similarly, for negeviruses and arti- or totiviruses, CZ ID recovered
fragmented sequences (0.1-1.1 kbp) and failed to report the full genomes of *Kuusamo negev-like virus*
(isolate *FIN/PP-2018/83*) and *Joensuu artivirus* (isolate *FIN/PP-2018/83*) reported by LazypipeX. No
Flaviviridae contigs were detected in either pipeline in these samples. Notably, CZ ID did not report any
novel large ($\geq$ 1 kbp) rhabdo, nege, arti, or totivirus sequences that were not captured by LazypipeX.

DISCUSSION

LazypipeX is a versatile and efficient pipeline for virus discovery and identification from metagenomic
next-generation sequencing (mNGS) data, offering significant advancements in speed, accuracy, and
flexibility.

The pipeline's background filtering enables transparent removal of host and contaminant sequences by
specifying database accessions and metadata in the configuration file. This approach helped achieve
a marked speedup for a simulated benchmark with high host-sequence backgrounds (Table 4), driven
by reduced data size and a smaller reference database for annotation. Benchmarking on real and
synthetic datasets demonstrated high filtering accuracy (Table 3 and Supp. Table 1). The pipeline
supports pre-built filters for common use cases, custom filter creation, and the simultaneous application
of multiple filters. These capabilities make LazypipeX adaptable to diverse scenarios, such as clinical
samples from human or animal patients, vector pools (e.g., mosquitoes and ticks), and wildlife
specimens lacking species-level identification.

Like its predecessors, LazypipeX employs *de novo* assembly to generate contigs, enhancing the
sensitivity and specificity of homology searches. This approach is shared by pipelines that classify
assemblies instead of or in addition to classifying raw reads (2, 3, 6, 7, 10). LazypipeX integrates both
nucleotide and protein alignments, a feature that is rare in mNGS pipelines. A recent comparison found
that only two of the 13 pipelines used both alignment types (Alawi et al., 2019; Vilsker et al., 2019), and
only DAMIAN incorporated Hidden Markov Models (de Vries et al., 2021). LazypipeX users can tailor
the annotation procedure by chaining homology searches (e.g., Minimap2, BLASTN/P/X, DIAMOND,
SANSparallel, and HMMscan) against different databases, optimizing the sensitivity or specificity
depending on the dataset. This flexibility supports iterative adjustment of the pipeline to match diverse
goals in viral metagenomics.

The two-round annotation strategy in LazypipeX significantly enhanced virus identification efficiency.
An initial fast search against a virus-only database, followed by a second round using BLASTN against
a larger database, achieved a four- to 8-fold speedup without compromising accuracy (Table 4). When
combined with eukaryotic background filtering, this approach yielded a 30-fold speedup compared to
single-round BLASTN against the complete NT database. Compared to Lazypipe2, DIAMOND blastp
on NR, and CZ ID, LazypipeX's two-round strategy (*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$-Blastn.NT* and *Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$-*
Blastn.NT$_{ABV}$) demonstrated superior accuracy in identifying eukaryotic viral taxa (Table 4). For
example, the DIAMOND blastp missed 15 viral pathogens, likely due to high protein-level similarity
among related viruses. These results highlight the strength of LazypipeX's nucleotide-based searches
for the detection of known viruses.

LazypipeX two-round strategy is conceptually similar to other efforts to perform fast alignments prior to
more accurate but slower refinement steps. The idea was inspired by the VirusSeeker pipeline, which
starts with the fast identification of candidate viral sequences against virus-only nucleotide and protein
databases, and then removes false positives with alignments against NCBI NT and NR (11). Similarly,
in CZ ID, reads are first mapped with fast nucleotide and protein aligners prior to aligning contigs using
BLAST against targeted databases (3). In GenomeDetective, viral reads are identified using a fast
DIAMOND search on UniRef90 prior to assembling and running BLASTN/BLASTX on RefSeq (42).
Lazypipe complements these approaches by offering the flexibility to choose different search engines
and databases for both scanning and refinement stages.

LazypipeX's chained annotation strategies proved to be highly effective for virus discovery. In a real
dataset of 90 mosquito pooled samples, the *Vi.chain1* strategy (Minimap2/BLASTN/BLASTP) reduced
execution time 5-fold compared to the BLASTN/P baseline, with precision and recall above 99.7% and
98.9%, respectively. Substituting BLASTP with faster tools, like DIAMOND or SANSparallel (*Vi.chain3,*
Vi.chain4), achieved a 20-fold speedup, although *Vi.chain4* showed lower recall (87.0%). *Vi.chain1*
retrieved nearly all previously reported viral sequences (19) via its initial Minimap2 search, while the
subsequent BLASTP search identified novel divergent viruses, including multiple complete genomes.
The older Lazypipe (v2), which is limited to one search at a time, had a much lower recall (78.6%).
Incorporating HMMscan into *Vi.chain1* enabled the discovery of a novel rhabdovirus whole-genome
sequence in a horsefly sample, which CZ ID only partially recovered (L gene only). Comparisons with
CZ ID for rhabdo-, nege-, arti/toti-, and flaviviruses further demonstrated the superior recovery of novel
genomes by lazypipeX. Findings by BLASTP in *Vi.chain1* were characterized using ORF, homology,
and phylogenetic analyses, which confirmed that most of these were unrelated to viral sequences
previously reported for this dataset, revealing complete genomes for at least six novel viruses (Supp.
Text 1). These results underscore the utility of LazypipeX for detecting divergent viruses in complex
mNGS data.

Based on our benchmarking, we proposed general guidelines for selecting LazypipeX strategies across
various use cases (Table 5). For virus identification, we recommend two-round strategies against NT$_{ABV}$

for tissue samples, while the complete NT database is preferable for samples potentially containing retroviral sequences or complex eukaryotic backgrounds (Table 5).

Table 5 Guidelines for selecting annotation strategies.

Sequence Data	Analysis Goals	Recommended Annotation Strategy
Illumina libraries from tissue samples and other samples with clearly defined eukaryotic background	Virus identification excluding retroviruses. BLASTN accuracy with up to 30X speedup compared to BLASTN on complete NT.	*background filter + Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$ - Blastn.NT$_{ABV}$*
	Virus identification including retroviruses. BLASTN accuracy with up to 8X speedup compared to BLASTN.	*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$ - Blastn.NT*
	Virus discovery excluding retroviruses. Precision/recall at ~100%/100% of combined BLASTN/BLASTP with up to 5X speedup.	*background filter + Vi.chain1*
	Virus discovery excluding retroviruses. Precision/recall at ~100%/99% of combined BLASTN and BLASTP with up to 20X speedup.	*background filter + Vi.chain3*
Illumina libraries from environmental, fecal and other samples with complex eukaryotic backgrounds	Virus identification including retroviruses. BLASTN accuracy with up to 8X speedup compared to BLASTN.	*Minimap.NT$_{Vi}$ - Blastn.NT*
	Virus discovery including retroviruses. Precision/recall at ~100%/98% of combined BLASTN and BLASTP with up to 20X speedup.	*Vi.chain3.env*

For virus discovery from tissue samples, we suggest using either *Vi.chain1* or *Vi.chain3*. *Vi.chain3* is particularly well suited for large datasets, as it achieved a 20-fold speedup relative to the BLASTN/P baseline with only a marginal reduction in accuracy (Supp. Table 2). Conversely, *Vi.chain1* offers a 5-fold speedup with virtually no loss in accuracy; this remains a viable option for analyzing smaller datasets or utilizing larger high-performance computing resources. For virus discovery from environmental, fecal, and other samples with complex eukaryotic backgrounds, we recommend using *Vi.chain3.env*, as this will also bin eukaryotic sequences.

Future perspectives

We plan to extend the Lazypipe series to field-based applications, specifically targeting integration with the Oxford Nanopore MinION platform. Future iterations will be optimized for both offline and online processing modes, enabling rapid on-site analysis of mNGS data in remote or resource-limited settings.

4   Author Contributions

Conceptualization of the project: I.W., R.K., T.S., and O.V.; Conceptualization of the pipeline: I.W., R.K., and T.S.; Implementation, updates, and code distribution: I.W.; Reference Database Curation: I.W.; Benchmarking: I.W.; Testing and Reporting: I.W., R.K., and T.S.; Writing — Original Draft Preparation: I.W.; Writing — Review & Editing: O.V., R.K., and T.S.; Supervision of the study: R.K., T.S., and O.V.; Funding Acquisition: R.K. and O.V.

5   Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the CSC IT Center for Science, Finland, for providing computational resources.

6   Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

7   Data Availability Statement

The LazypipeX user manual and source code are available at https://bitbucket.org/plyusnin/lazypipe/ and https://www.helsinki.fi/en/projects/lazypipe. The virus sequences produced are publicly available in the NCBI GenBank database (accession codes provided in Supp. Table 4).

8   Funding

This research was funded by the Academy of Finland (grant number 339510), PREPARE-TID (EU grant number 101137132), DURABLE (EU HERA), VEO – European Union's Horizon 2020 (grant number 874735), the Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, and Helsinki University Hospital Funds. The funding bodies were not directly involved in designing or implementing the research described in this manuscript.

9   REFERENCES

1.   Bassi C, Guerriero P, Pierantoni M, Callegari E, Sabbioni S. 2022. Novel Virus Identification through Metagenomics: A Systematic Review. 12. Life 12:2048.

2.   Alawi M, Burkhardt L, Indenbirken D, Reumann K, Christopeit M, Kröger N, Lütgehetmann M, Aepfelbacher M, Fischer N, Grundhoff A. 2019. DAMIAN: an open source bioinformatics tool for fast, systematic and cohort based analysis of microorganisms in diagnostic samples. Sci Rep 9.

3.   Kalantar KL, Carvalho T, de Bourcy CFA, Dimitrov B, Dingle G, Egger R, Han J, Holmes OB, Juan Y-F, King R, Kislyuk A, Lin MF, Mariano M, Morse T, Reynoso LV, Cruz DR, Sheu J, Tang J, Wang J, Zhang MA, Zhong E, Ahyong V, Lay S, Chea S, Bohl JA, Manning JE, Tato CM, DeRisi JL. 2020. IDseq—An open source cloud-based pipeline and analysis service for metagenomic pathogen detection and monitoring. GigaScience 9:giaa111.

4.   de Vries JJC, Brown JR, Fischer N, Sidorov IA, Morfopoulou S, Huang J, Munnink BBO, Sayiner A, Bulgurcu A, Rodriguez C, Gricourt G, Keyaerts E, Beller L, Bachofen C, Kubacki J, Cordey S, Laubscher F, Schmitz D, Beer M, Hoeper D, Huber M, Kufner V, Zaheri M, Lebrand A, Papa A, van Boheemen S, Kroes ACM, Breuer J, Lopez-Labrador FX, Claas ECJ. 2021. Benchmark of thirteen bioinformatic pipelines for metagenomic virus diagnostics using datasets from clinical samples. J Clin Virol 141:104908.

5.   Ibañez-Lligoña M, Colomer-Castell S, González-Sánchez A, Gregori J, Campos C, Garcia-Cehic
D, Andrés C, Piñana M, Pumarola T, Rodríguez-Frias F, Antón A, Quer J. 2023. Bioinformatic
Tools for NGS-Based Metagenomics to Improve the Clinical Diagnosis of Emerging, Re-Emerging
and New Viruses. 2. Viruses 15:587.

6.   Morfopoulou S, Plagnol V. 2015. Bayesian mixture analysis for metagenomic community profiling.
Bioinformatics 31:2930–2938.

7.   Scheuch M, Höper D, Beer M. 2015. RIEMS: A software pipeline for sensitive and comprehensive
taxonomic classification of reads from metagenomics datasets. BMC Bioinformatics 16.

8.   Menzel P, Ng KL, Krogh A. 2016. Fast and sensitive taxonomic classification for metagenomics
with Kaiju. Nat Commun 7:11257.

9.   Li Y, Wang H, Nie K, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Wang J, Niu P, Ma X. 2016. VIP: an integrated pipeline
for metagenomics of virus identification and discovery. Sci Rep 6:23774.

10.   Zwagemaker F, Schmitz D, Nooij S, kroonma, Laros JFJ. 2021. DennisSchmitz/Jovian: 1.2.07.
Zenodo.

11.   Zhao G, Wu G, Lim ES, Droit L, Krishnamurthy S, Barouch DH, Virgin HW, Wang D. 2017.
VirusSeeker, a computational pipeline for virus discovery and virome composition analysis.
Virology 503:21–30.

12.   Pérot P, Bigot T, Temmam S, Regnault B, Eloit M. 2022. Microseek: A Protein-Based
Metagenomic Pipeline for Virus Diagnostic and Discovery. 9. Viruses 14:1990.

13.   Plyusnin I, Vapalahti O, Sironen T, Kant R, Smura T. 2023. Enhanced Viral Metagenomics with
Lazypipe 2. Viruses 15:431.

14.   Plyusnin I, Kant R, Jääskeläinen AJ, Sironen T, Holm L, Vapalahti O, Smura T. 2020. Novel NGS
pipeline for virus discovery from a wide spectrum of hosts and sample types. Virus Evol
6:veaa091.

15.   Lehikoinen J, Nurmi K, Ainola M, Clancy J, Nieminen JK, Jansson L, Vauhkonen H, Vaheri A,
Smura T, Laakso SM, Eklund KK, Tienari PJ. 2024. Epstein-Barr Virus in the Cerebrospinal Fluid
and Blood Compartments of Patients With Multiple Sclerosis and Controls. Neurol Neuroimmunol
Neuroinflammation 11:e200226.

16.   Kuivanen S, Levanov L, Kareinen L, Sironen T, Jääskeläinen AJ, Plyusnin I, Zakham F, Emmerich
P, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Hepojoki J, Smura T, Vapalahti O. 2019. Detection of novel tick-borne
pathogen, Alongshan virus, in Ixodes ricinus ticks, south-eastern Finland, 2019. Eurosurveillance
24.

17.   Zakham F, Albalawi AE, Alanazi AD, Truong Nguyen P, Alouffi AS, Alaoui A, Sironen T, Smura
T, Vapalahti O. 2021. Viral RNA Metagenomics of Hyalomma Ticks Collected from Dromedary
Camels in Makkah Province, Saudi Arabia. Viruses 13:1396.

18.   Alfaro-Alarcón A, Hetzel U, Smura T, Baggio F, Morales JA, Kipar A, Hepojoki J. 2022. Boid
492   Inclusion Body Disease Is Also a Disease of Wild Boa Constrictors. Microbiol Spectr 10:e01705-
493   22.

494   19.   Truong Nguyen PT, Culverwell CL, Suvanto MT, Korhonen EM, Uusitalo R, Vapalahti O, Smura
495   T, Huhtamo E. 2022. Characterisation of the RNA Virome of Nine Ochlerotatus Species in Finland.
496   Viruses 14:1489.

497   20.   Korhonen EM, Truong Nguyen PT, Faolotto G, Suvanto MT, Nicosia AM, Crobu MG, Grasso I,
498   Vapalahti O, Smura T, Ravanini P, Huhtamo E. 2024. Complete coding sequence of an Aedes
499   flavivirus strain isolated from Aedes albopictus collected in Northern Italy. Microbiol Resour
500   Announc 13:e00146-24.

501   21.   Virtanen J, Zalewski A, Kołodziej-Sobocińska M, Brzeziński M, Smura T, Sironen T. 2021.
502   Diversity and transmission of Aleutian mink disease virus in feral and farmed American mink and
503   native mustelids. Virus Evol 7:veab075.

504   22.   Virtanen J, Hautala K, Utriainen M, Dutra L, Eskola K, Airas N, Uusitalo R, Ahvenainen E, Smura
505   T, Sironen T, Vapalahti O, Kant R, Virtala A-MK, Kinnunen PM. 2023. Equine dermatitis outbreak
506   associated with parapoxvirus. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.01.555671.

507   23.   Forbes KM, Webala PW, Jääskeläinen AJ, Abdurahman S, Ogola J, Masika MM, Kivistö I,
508   Alburkat H, Plyusnin I, Levanov L, Korhonen EM, Huhtamo E, Mwaengo D, Smura T, Mirazimi A,
509   Anzala O, Vapalahti O, Sironen T. 2019. Bombali Virus in Mops condylurus Bat, Kenya. Emerg
510   Infect Dis 25:955–957.

511   24.   Mull N, Erdin M, Smura T, Sironen T, Forbes KM. 2023. Novel Ozark Orthohantavirus in Hispid
512   Cotton Rats (Sigmodon hispidus), Arkansas, USA. Emerg Infect Dis 29:2576–2578.

513   25.   Erdin M, Smura T, Kalkan KK, Cetintas O, Cogal M, Irmak S, Matur F, Polat C, Sironen T, Sozen
514   M, Oktem IMA. 2024. Detection of divergent Orthohantavirus tulaense provides insight into wide
515   host range and viral evolutionary patterns. Npj Viruses 2:1–13.

516   26.   Alburkat H, Smura T, Bouilloud M, Pradel J, Anfray G, Berthier K, Dutra L, Loiseau A, Niamsap
517   T, Olander V, Sepulveda D, Venkat V, Charbonnel N, Castel G, Sironen T. 2024. Evolution and
518   genetic characterization of Seoul virus in wild rats Rattus norvegicus from an urban park in Lyon,
519   France 2020–2022. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 18:e0012142.

520   27.   Ogola JG, Alburkat H, Smura T, Kareinen L, Kant R, Korhonen EM, Lunn TJ, Masika M, Webala
521   PW, Nyaga P, Anzala O, Vapalahti O, Forbes KM, Sironen TA. 2024. Detection and genetic
522   characterization of alphacoronaviruses in co-roosting bat species, southeastern Kenya. medRxiv
523   https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.23.24319537.

524   28.   Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34:3094–
525   3100.

29.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J
Mol Biol 215:403–410.

30.   Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890.

31.   Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
ArXiv Prepr ArXiv13033997.

32.   Tarasov A, Vilella AJ, Cuppen E, Nijman IJ, Prins P. 2015. Sambamba: fast processing of NGS
alignment formats. Bioinformatics 31:2032–2034.

33.   Shen W, Le S, Li Y, Hu F. 2016. SeqKit: A Cross-Platform and Ultrafast Toolkit for FASTA/Q File
Manipulation. PLOS ONE 11:e0163962.

34.   Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution
for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics
31:1674–1676.

35.   Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. 2020. Using SPAdes De Novo
Assembler. Curr Protoc Bioinforma 70:e102.

36.   Ondov BD, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2011. Interactive metagenomic visualization in a Web
browser. BMC Bioinformatics 12:385.

37.   Erdin M, Altan E, Suomalainen N, Alburkat H, Aivelo T, Sironen T, Smura T. 2025. Genetic
characterization of rat hepatitis E virus (Rocahepevirus ratti) in urban brown rats (Rattus
norvegicus) in Helsinki, Finland. Arch Virol 170:215.

38.   Somervuo P, Holm L. 2015. SANSparallel: interactive homology search against Uniprot. Nucleic
Acids Res 43:W24–W29.

39.   Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped
BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids
Res 25:3389–3402.

40.   Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat
Methods 12:59–60.

41.   Singh U, Wurtele ES. 2021. orfipy: a fast and flexible tool for extracting ORFs. Bioinformatics
37:3019–3020.

42.   Vilsker M, Moosa Y, Nooij S, Fonseca V, Ghysens Y, Dumon K, Pauwels R, Alcantara LC, Vanden
Eynden E, Vandamme A-M, Deforche K, de Oliveira T. 2019. Genome Detective: an automated
system for virus identification from high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 35:871–873.

43.   Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009.
BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.

560 44. Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, Tosatto SCE,
561   Paladin L, Raj S, Richardson LJ, Finn RD, Bateman A. 2021. Pfam: The protein families database
562   in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res 49:D412–D419.

563 45. Sakaguchi S, Nakano T, Nakagawa S. 2024. NeoRdRp2 with improved seed data, annotations,
564   and scoring. Front Virol 4.

565 46. Lefkowitz EJ, Dempsey DM, Hendrickson RC, Orton RJ, Siddell SG, Smith DB. 2018. Virus
566   taxonomy: the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). Nucleic
567   Acids Res 46:D708–D717.

568 47. Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. PLOS Comput Biol 7:e1002195.

569 48. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Turner D, Mesirov JP. 2023. igv.js: an embeddable JavaScript
570   implementation of the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV). Bioinformatics 39:btac830.

571 49. Fosso B, Santamaria M, D'Antonio M, Lovero D, Corrado G, Vizza E, Passaro N, Garbuglia A,
572   Capobianchi M, Crescenzi M, others. 2017. MetaShot: an accurate workflow for taxon
573   classification of host-associated microbiome from shotgun metagenomic data. Bioinformatics
574   33:1730–1732.

575 50. Shen W, Ren H. 2021. TaxonKit: A practical and efficient NCBI taxonomy toolkit. J Genet
576   Genomics Yi Chuan Xue Bao 48:844–850.