Neuroanatomical and behavioral characterization of corticotropin releasing

factor-expressing lateral Habenula neurons in mice

William J. Flerlage[1,#], Shawn C. Gouty[1, #], Emily H. Thomas[1, #], Sarah C. Simmons[1],

Mumeko C. Tsuda[2], Oana L. Rujan[1], Regina C. Armstrong[4], Catherine M. Davis[1], Laxmi

Iyer[3], Emily Petrus[4], Brian M. Cox[1], T. John Wu[5], Fereshteh S. Nugent[1].

[1]Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Department of Pharmacology

and Molecular Therapeutics, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA.

[2] Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Preclinical Behavior and

Modeling Core, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA.

[3]Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Bethesda MD

20817

[4]Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Department of Anatomy,

Physiology, and Genetics, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA.

[5] Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Department of Gynecologic

Surgery and Obstetrics, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA.

[#] These authors contributed equally

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Fereshteh S. Nugent, Ph.D., Edward Hebert School of Medicine, Department of

Pharmacology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, 4301 Jones

Bridge Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20814, fereshteh.nugent@usuhs.edu

Abstract

The lateral habenula (LHb) is a critical hub for stress-related behaviors, yet the sources of its corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) inputs remain poorly defined. Using high-resolution imaging, RNAscope, and viral tracing, we identified a novel, intrinsic population of CRF-expressing LHb neurons (LHb^{CRF}). These neurons are primarily VGLUT2+, though a rostral subpopulation co-expresses GAD2. While chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons did not impact place preference or anxiety-like behaviors, it selectively biased defensive strategies toward passive action-locking during the Visual Looming Shadow Test (VLST). Notably, this activation prolonged escape latencies in males and post-escape shelter stays in females. Electrophysiological and optogenetic characterization revealed significant sexual dimorphism: male LHb^{CRF} neurons are more numerous and intrinsically excitable, whereas female LHb^{CRF} neurons exhibit stronger local excitatory connectivity. These findings establish LHb^{CRF} neurons as a sexually dimorphic circuit component that could modulate sex-specific defensive strategies under threat via divergent cellular and synaptic mechanisms between the sexes.

Introduction

The lateral habenula (LHb) is an evolutionarily conserved brain region crucial for behavioral selection, in response to stressors and rewards; thereby guiding goal-directed motivated behavior[1-3]. Ongoing research continues to unveil nuanced roles for the LHb in both normal behavior and the pathophysiology of mental illness. Aversive stimuli as well as the omission of expected reward generally activate the LHb, and its hyperactivity is implicated in anhedonic and negative states associated with depression, anxiety, and drug addiction. Conversely, reward-related stimuli inhibit LHb activity which generates positive motivational states. These findings highlight LHb's therapeutic potential, as evidenced by the anti-depressant effects of deep brain stimulation of the LHb and ketamine's NMDA receptor antagonism of LHb neurons [1-5].

The majority of LHb neurons are glutamatergic, and express markers such as the vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2) [6]. However, a small subset also expresses classical GABAergic markers, including glutamic acid decarboxylase 1 (GAD1), GAD2, the GABA transporter 1 (GAT-1), and the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), suggesting the presence of a functional intrinsic inhibitory circuit within the LHb[7-11]. Notably, some LHb neurons co-express both glutamatergic and GABAergic markers. For example, parvalbumin-expressing LHb neurons (LHb[PV]) comprise three distinct subpopulations: a majority that are VGLUT2+ and glutamatergic, a subset that expresses only GABAergic markers, and a subpopulation that co-expresses both glutamatergic and GABAergic markers (e.g., VGLUT2 and GAD2)[7]. Most LHb glutamatergic outputs directly and indirectly modulate key monoaminergic systems, primarily the dopamine and serotonin pathways that encode behavioral avoidance and

reward prediction errors. Mechanistically, this involves suppressing dopamine release

from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and serotonin release from the raphe nuclei by

exciting GABAergic neurons, particularly those in the rostromedial tegmental area

(RMTg), thus shifting behavior away from reward-seeking[2,12-14]. Consequently, LHb is

increasingly recognized as a critical structure in the neural circuits that govern mood,

motivation, and decision-making by processing a diverse array of appetitive and

aversive signals that are crucial for adaptive behavior, allowing organisms to learn from

both positive and negative experiences[5].

LHb neuronal activity is regulated by glutamatergic, GABAergic, and glutamate/GABA

co-releasing synaptic inputs from various regions in the forebrain and midbrain such as

the medial prefrontal cortex[15,16], entopeduncular nucleus/globus pallidus[17,18], lateral

preoptic area (LPO) [29], ventral pallidum[19], lateral hypothalamus (LH/LHA) [20],

ventromedial hypothalamus[16,21,22] , septum[23], central amygdala (CeA) [21], and bed

nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST)[21]. It also receives reciprocal inputs from the

VTA[24], laterodorsal tegmental nucleus[25], periaqueductal gray (PAG)[15,16], and locus

coeruleus[1,15] forming complex feedback loops that modulate activity. Given the

widespread expression of various G protein-coupled receptors on LHb neurons and

their afferents, LHb neuronal activity is subject to neuromodulatory actions of both fast

and slow synaptic transmission from glutamate and GABA, as well as other

neurotransmitters and neuromodulators including endocannabinoids[26], acetylcholine[27],

serotonin[28-30], norepinephrine[31] and several co-released neuropeptides such as orexin[8],

neuropeptide Y[32,33], dynorphin[16,34] and corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF)[35-38]. These

diverse glutamatergic, GABAergic, and neuromodulatory signals provide the LHb with

information related to motivation, reward, aversion, and stress.

Specifically regarding CRF neuromodulatory actions, hypothalamic (paraventricular

nucleus of hypothalamus, PVH) and extra-hypothalamic CRF systems mediate stress

responses, and their dysfunction is implicated in stress-related disorders. CRF's central

action through its receptors CRFR1/2 (both G protein-coupled receptors) is cell type-,

region- and pathway-specific and depends on prior stress exposure[39]. Additionally, sex

differences in CRF signaling may contribute to female vulnerability to anxiety and

depression[40]. Our previous studies demonstrated that the rodent LHb is highly

responsive to CRF[35,36,38], increasing LHb neuronal excitability via CRFR1-PKA-

dependent suppression of different potassium currents (small conductance potassium

SK channels in rat LHb[38]; M-type potassium channels in mouse LHb[35]). In rat LHb, CRF

reduces presynaptic GABA release via retrograde endocannabinoid signaling, without

changing glutamatergic activity, whereas in mouse LHb, CRF attenuates both

GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission[35,38]. We also showed that early life stress

and brain trauma augment endogenous LHb CRF-CRFR1 signaling, contributing to LHb

hyperexcitability[36,38].

Building on these findings, here we investigated the source of CRF inputs to the LHb

which remained unclear. Using viral-based Cre-mediated strategies in CRF transgenic

mouse lines, we mapped LHb-projecting CRF neurons and discovered a previously

uncharacterized neural subpopulation of LHb that expresses CRF (LHb[CRF] neurons).

We then traced the major downstream targets of LHb[CRF] axonal projections, revealing a

complex architecture of both local intra-habenular microcircuits and widespread long-

range projections. Our mapping identified LHb^{CRF} terminals across multiple brain

regions, including diencephalic regions such as the LH/LHA and zona incerta (ZI), as

well as critical midbrain and hindbrain centers involved in defense and motivation, such

as the PAG, superior colliculus (SC), VTA, and RMTg. For the initial characterization of

behavioral relevance of these neurons, we employed a chemogenetic gain-of-function

strategy using Gq-DREADDs. This approach was specifically chosen over inhibition

because chemogenetic silencing of major LHb projection targets such as the VTA and

RMTg often fail to modulate baseline behaviors like locomotion or anxiety unless the

animal is specifically challenged by a stressor[15]. Furthermore, given that the

chemogenetic activation of other distinct LHb subpopulations, such as Pituitary

Adenylate Cyclase-Activating Polypeptide (PACAP)-expressing neurons, has been

shown to paradoxically produce rewarding effects and decrease fear[41], we sought to

determine the specific valence and behavioral impact of the LHb^{CRF} population upon

their activation. We utilized a battery of assays including the Open Field Test (OFT),

Elevated Zero Maze (EZM), and Light-Dark Task (LDT) as critical controls to ensure

that chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons did not simply induce generalized

anxiety or motor deficits. To test the role of these neurons in motivated and defensive

states, we employed Conditioned Place Preference (CPP) and the Visual Looming

Shadow Test (VLST). Specifically, the VLST was chosen for the assessment of innate

defensive behaviors, as hypothalamic CRF neurons and LHb neurons have been shown

to play a significant role in the modulation of this behavior [42-45]. Our results reveal that

LHb^{CRF} neurons may play a specific role in innate threat-evoked defensive behaviors,

characterized by increased frequency and duration of action-locking behaviors and sex-

dependent differences in escape latencies and post-escape safety-seeking upon

chemogenetic activation. We also identified significant sexual dimorphism in the

population size, basal excitability, and local excitatory connectivity of LHb^{CRF} neurons.

These findings suggest that while both sexes exhibit an increased adoption of action-

locking behaviors upon LHb^{CRF} circuit activation, they may do so through potentially

divergent cellular and synaptic mechanisms. Specifically, the higher absolute number

and increased intrinsic excitability of LHb^{CRF} neurons in males, contrasted with the

significantly stronger local synaptic gain observed in females, may contribute to the

observed sex-dependent variations in escape latency and post-escape safety-seeking

behavior.

Results

In the present study, we used CRH-Cre::Ai14 or CRH-Cre::Ai6 reporter lines in

conjunction with the standard CRH-Cre line to facilitate the specific identification and

visualization of CRF+ neurons for our RNAscope, electrophysiology, local circuit

mapping as well as chemogenetic and optogenetic experiments. It is important to note

that all three lines utilize the same Cre-driver to target the CRF population; the reporter

lines (Ai14/Ai6) simply offer the technical advantage of endogenous fluorophore

expression (tdTomato or ZsGreen) upon Cre-mediated recombination. Extensive

literature has validated that these Cre-dependent reporter strains reliably recapitulate

the expression patterns of the underlying Cre-driver line without altering the

physiological or behavioral characteristics of the target neuronal population [46,47].

Specifically, the CRH-Cre line has been widely demonstrated to target CRF neurons

with high specificity and efficiency across various brain regions, and the addition of the

Ai reporter alleles does not introduce confounding variables in behavioral

responses[45,48-52].

A subpopulation of CRF projections to the LHb originates within the LHb itself. To

identify CRF projections to the LHb, we used Cre-dependent retrograde viral tracing by

bilaterally injecting retrograde adeno-associated virus (rgAAV-DIO-YFP) into the LHb of

CRH-Cre male and female mice (n=3, Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). This

revealed an intrinsic subpopulation of LHb neurons expressing CRF (LHb^{CRF}).

Beyond this local population, our mapping identified several prominent long-range CRF-

expressing inputs:

• Primary Afferents: High densities of retrogradely labeled CRF+ cell bodies were

observed in the pretectal area (PRT), the paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus

(PVT), and the PAG.

• Secondary Midbrain Inputs: Substantial labeling was also identified in the

midbrain reticular nucleus (MRN) and SC.

• Hypothalamic and Limbic Contributions: Smaller but consistent populations of

CRF+ neurons were detected in the PVH, posterior hypothalamus (PH), LH/LHA,

BNST, and LPO.

To determine if LHb^{CRF} neurons constitute a subclass of LHb^{PV} neurons, we also

performed anti-parvalbumin immunostaining on retrogradely labeled LHb^{CRF} neurons.

The absence of detectable parvalbumin expression in LHb^{CRF} neurons indicates that

these may constitute distinct subpopulations, separate from LHb^{PV} neurons.

(Supplementary Figure 2).

LHb^CRF Neurons express VGLUT2 and GAD2 with a minority expressing vGAT mRNA.

To characterize the neurochemical identity of LHb^CRF neurons, we performed a comprehensive, large-scale RNAscope experiment utilizing Zeiss AxioScan imaging and QuPath automated analysis (Supplementary Figure 3), across the rostrocaudal axis spanning five AP levels. While we initially attempted to utilize a Crh probe, technical limitations regarding non-specific binding led us to utilize CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice, where the tdTomato reporter identifies CRF+ neurons. Our data (Figures 2–3 and Supplementary Figures 3–7) suggest that LHb^CRF neurons are primarily concentrated in the rostral portion of the LHb (Bregma -1.06 to -1.34 mm, Figures 2-3 and Supplementary Figures 4–7). Within these levels, the population exhibits a distinct spatial organization: a dense cluster is situated in the medial LHb (LHbM), bordering the medial habenula, while a significant subpopulation extends into the lateral LHb (LHbL). As the axis moves caudally (Bregma -1.58 mm, Supplementary Figures 6-7), the population density decreases significantly. To ensure our quantification was not confounded by potential structural dimorphism, we analyzed the total surface area of the LHb across all five AP levels using the Allen Brain Atlas and Paxinos templates. We identified a total of 1,388 CRF+ cells across 13 mice (n = 833 in 7 males; n = 555 in 6 females). We found no significant differences in LHb surface area between sexes (Supplementary Figure 3; $F_{(1, 55)} = 1.924$, $p = 0.17$, 2-way ANOVA), allowing for an unbiased comparison of cell counts. Our results show that while the topographical distribution is identical between sexes, male mice possess a significantly higher

absolute number of LHb[CRF] neurons than females (Figure 4; Student's t-test, p < 0.05).

We further characterized these cells by their transcriptional profile, confirming that 100%

express VGLUT2. Approximately 17–18% co-express GAD2, while a negligible minority

(2–5%, primarily in males) co-express vGAT and VGLUT2 (Figure 4; Chi-Square test, p

< 0.01). These findings identify LHb[CRF] neurons as a predominantly VGLUT2+

subpopulation with some co-expressing GAD2+ and a significant sex-dependent

variations in total population size.

215   LHb[CRF] neurons provide both local and long-range projections.

To characterize the anatomical outputs of the LHb[CRF] population, we mapped their

efferent projections using AAV5-Syn-FLEX-ChrimsonR-tdTomato in CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice

of both sexes (Figures 5-6). We utilized ChrimsonR because its red-shifted excitation

spectrum offers superior tissue penetration and reduced light scattering compared to

traditional blue-light-activated opsins, providing a significantly more robust platform for

mapping distal terminal fields[53,54]. The integration of the Ai6 reporter line allowed us to

rigorously validate our targeting specificity by confirming that ChrimsonR-tdTomato

expression was strictly restricted to GFP-labeled CRF+ neurons within the LHb

boundaries. Utilizing high-resolution Zeiss AxioScan microscopy, this standardized

approach enabled the identification of LHb[CRF] axonal projections within the LHb itself,

as well as several key long-range targets.These distal projections included the LH/LHA,

ZI, SC, PAG, VTA, substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC), as well as the dorsal raphe

(DR), RMTg, and median raphe (MnR). These projection patterns were observed across

both male and female mice (Figures 5–6). Our findings were further validated using two

additional anterograde tracing strategies in males (AAV8-DIO-mCherry) and females

(AAV5-EF1a-ChR2-EYFP). These complementary methods confirmed the axonal

projections identified by our ChrimsonR approach within the LHb and major midbrain

structures, including the RMTg, PAG, and SNC (Supplementary Figures 8-9). Together,

these data establish that LHb^{CRF} neurons form a widespread network reaching multiple

diencephalic, midbrain, and hindbrain centers.

Behavioral correlates of LHb^{CRF} neuronal activity

To establish causal links between LHb^{CRF} neuronal activity and behavior, we employed

a Cre-dependent chemogenetic approach using excitatory Designer Receptors

Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs). Mice across multiple cohorts

underwent behavioral testing (7-day intervals) in one of two sequences: light-dark test

(LDT) followed by elevated zero maze (EZM), or open field test (OFT) followed by

conditioned place preference (CPP) and then visual looming shadow test (VLST). The

inclusion of EZM, LDT, and OFT was crucial for interpreting CPP and VLST data by

controlling for potential non-specific effects of Gq-DREADD activation of LHb^{CRF}

neurons on locomotion and general anxiety. To selectively activate LHb neurons

expressing CRF, we employed a Cre-dependent chemogenetic approach using two

different constructs of DREADDs. In adult male and female CRH-Cre mice (postnatal

day 42 [P42]), we performed bilateral stereotaxic injections into the LHb of an adeno-

associated viral (AAV) vector encoding an excitatory Gq-coupled DREADD. Specifically,

we utilized AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry in CRH-Cre mice to drive Cre-

dependent expression of hM3D(Gq) tagged with mCherry (Supplementary Figure 10).

Given the expression of the tdTomato reporter in CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice, we used an

alternative Cre-dependent viral construct, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-HA-hM3D(Gq)-IRES-

mCitrine, to avoid spectral overlap and ensure clear visualization of DREADD-

expressing cells (Figure 7). To activate LHb^{CRF} neurons expressing Gq-DREADDs in

CRH-Cre or CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice, mice received i.p. injections of 0.3mg/kg JHU37160

or vehicle (saline) 30 min prior to behavioral assay, at least four weeks post-surgery

(consistent with our previous study[55]). During CPP conditioning, mice received

JHU37160 or saline prior to the second of two daily conditioning sessions (days 2-3),

but not during baseline evaluation (day 1) or testing (day 4). We confirmed that Gq-

DREADD expression was restricted to the LHb^{CRF} neurons by mCherry expression

(Supplementary Figure 10B) or HA-hM3Dq immunostaining (Figure 7C-E) and that bath

application of JHU37160 (100nM) significantly increased neuronal excitability of LHb^{CRF}

neuron expressing DREADD construct (Figure 7B).

Chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons did not induce anxiety-like or risk-

taking behaviors. In EZM and LDT, mice generally prefer to spend more time in the

closed arms of the EZM or the dark chamber of the LDT box. However, they may also

be motivated to explore the open arms of the EZM or the bright area of the LDT

apparatus. Increased exploration of the open arms and light chamber is believed to

reflect lower anxiety/more novelty seeking/risk-taking behaviors in mice. As shown in

example traces of automated tracking of mouse movement in the EZM and LDT in

Supplementary Figure 10C-D, activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons by JHU37160 in CRH-Cre

mice expressing Gq-DREADD did not alter time spent in the open arms of EZM or the

light chamber of LDT [Supplementary Figure 10E-G, EZM: Male (n=5-7/group); arm

effect: F (1, 20) = 280.1, p<0.0001, JHU37160 effect: F (1, 20) = 0.031, p=0.86, arm x

JHU37160 interaction: F (1, 20) = 0.34, p=0.56; Supplementary Figure 10H-J, EZM:

Female (n=5-6/group); arm effect: F (1, 18) = 30.39, p<0.0001, JHU37160 effect: F (1,

18) = 0.00039, p=0.98, arm x JHU37160 interaction: F (1, 18) = 0.35, p=0.55;

Supplementary Figure 10K-M, LDT: Male (n=5-7/group); chamber effect: F (1, 20) =

98.61, p<0.0001, JHU37160 effect: F (1, 20) = 0.07734, p=0.78, chamber x JHU37160

interaction: F (1, 20) = 0.34, p=0.56; Supplementary Figure 10O-P, LDT: Female (n=5-

6/group); chamber effect: F (1, 18) = 34.68, p<0.0001, JHU37160 effect: F (1, 18) =

9.026e-005, p=0.99, chamber x JHU37160 interaction: F (1, 18) = 1.266, p=0.27, two-

way ANOVA].

Chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons did not induce CPP. To control for Gq-

DREADD effects on locomotor activity and general anxiety with EZM and LDT in CRH-

Cre::Ai14 lines, we performed OFT where we consistently observed that chemogenetic

excitation of LHb^{CRF} neurons by JHU37160 in CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice did not affect

locomotor activity or promote anxiety-like behaviors in the OFT in either sex as shown in

example traces in Figure 7F-G. CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice expressing Gq-DREADD injected

with either JHU37160 or saline spent significantly less time in the center of the

apparatus compared to the edges (periphery) and their total distance traveled was not

altered by our chemogenetic manipulation [Figure 7J-K, OFT: Male (n=10-12/group);

region effect: F (1, 40) = 6656, p<0.0001, JHU37160 effect: F (1, 40) = 0.000, p=0.99,

region x JHU37160 interaction: F (1, 40) = 0.018, p=0.89; Figure 7L-M, OFT: Female

(n=9-10/group); region effect: F (1, 34) = 4476, p<0.0001, JHU37160 effect: F (1, 18) =

0.000, p=0.99, region x JHU37160 interaction: F (1, 34) = 0.5729, p=0.45, two-way

ANOVA]. We then performed CPP to evaluate whether chemogenetic activation of

LHb^{CRF} neurons is rewarding. We found that CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice expressing Gq-

DREADD injected with JHU37160 during place conditioning spent equal time in

JHU37160-paired and unpaired sides as seen in CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice expressing Gq-

DREADD that received only saline during conditioning (Figure 7H-I and 7N,O).

Chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons increased passive action-locking

behavioral responses to threat. Finally, we tested whether chemogenetic activation of

LHb^{CRF} neurons biases threat-evoked responses towards active (escape) or passive

(action-locking/freezing) defensive behaviors and further assessed how this activation

influences the temporal dynamics of these responses, including escape latency, action-

locking duration, and post-escape shelter stayin mice (Figure 8). In CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice

expressing Gq-DREADDs, administration of JHU37160 (0.3 mg/kg) significantly

increased the frequency and duration of passive action-locking/freezing responses in

both males and females compared to saline-treated controls (Figure 8B: effect of

JHU37160: $p < 0.05$, Chi-squared tests; 10C: JHU37160 effect: $F (1, 31) = 8.027$, $p <$

0.01, sex effect: $F (1, 31) = 0.2792$ $p=0.601$, JHU37160 x sex interaction: $F (1, 31)$

$= 0.1724$, $p=0.68$). Interestingly, we observed that activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons

significantly prolonged the latency to initiate escape in male mice but had no such effect

in females (Figure 8D; JHU37160 effect: $F(1, 35) = 9.030$, $p < 0.01$; sex effect: $F(1, 35)$

$= 0.062$, $p = 0.80$; JHU37160 x sex interaction: $F(1, 35) = 3.805$, $p = 0.05$). Further

analysis revealed sex-specific differences in post-threat behavior. Gq-activation in

females resulted in a significantly prolonged stay within the shelter following escape

(Figure 8E; JHU37160 effect: $F (1, 34) = 1.707$, $p=0.2001$; sex effect: $F (1, 34) = 6.192$,

p<0.05; JHU37160 x sex interaction: F (1, 34) = 7.991, p<0.01). Collectively, these

findings demonstrate that LHb^{CRF} neurons selectively increase the prevalence and

duration of passive defensive strategies in both sexes, with sex-specific effects

manifesting as differences in escape latency and shelter-seeking duration.

LHb^{CRF} neurons exhibit higher baseline spontaneous activity in male mice

compared to female mice.

To further investigate baseline physiological differences in **LHb^{CRF}** activity, , we further

evaluated basal levels of spontaneous activity and neuronal excitability of LHb^{CRF}

neurons (labeled with tdTomato) in CRH-Cre::Ai14 male and female mice (Figure 9A).

We observed that male LHb^{CRF} neurons exhibited higher levels of spontaneous activity

with more LHb^{CRF} neurons in tonic and bursting mode of activity than female LHb^{CRF}

neurons (Figure 9B). In contrast, LHb^{CRF} neuronal excitability in response to

depolarization did not differ between male and female CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice (Figure 9C)

(Figure 9B, male: n=49 cells/22 mice, female: n=41 cells/9 mice in pie charts, *p<0.05,

Chi squared tests; Figure 9C, male: n=42 cells/22 mice, female: n=30 cells/9 mice in

excitability, effect of sex: F (1, 740) = 0.096, p=0.75, 2-way ANOVA).

LHb^{CRF} neurons in females form stronger local excitatory connections within the

LHb.

To functionally validate our anterograde tracing and confirm the existence of a local

microcircuit, we employed an optogenetic approach in CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice. By injecting

AAV5-Syn-FLEX-ChrimsonR-tdTomato into the LHb, we specifically targeted and

excited LHb^{CRF} neurons, identifying them via endogenous GFP labeling (Figure 10A–B).

We performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from neighboring GFP-negative (non-

CRF) neurons while optically stimulating ChrimsonR-expressing CRF+ cells and their

local terminals. These experiments revealed robust light-evoked excitatory postsynaptic

currents (oEPSCs), providing functional evidence of a direct intra-habenular

glutamatergic microcircuit. We verified that these connections are monosynaptic, as the

application of TTX abolished most of the oEPSCs, while subsequent 4-AP treatment

recovered the currents nearly to baseline levels (Figure 10C). Recordings at a holding

potential of +10 mV (the reversal potential for AMPA and NMDA receptor-mediated

currents) showed no detectable oIPSCs or oEPSCs. However, at +40 mV, mixed

oEPSCs were reliably evoked in both male and female mice (Figure 10D–E).Our

optogenetic dissection further revealed that this local circuitry is sexually dimorphic.

While the raw input/output (I/O) curves across varying LED intensities showed no

significant difference between sexes (Figure 10F; sex effect: $F(1, 242) = 0.071$, $p =$

0.789), normalized data, which controlled for variability in viral expression by scaling

responses between minimum and maximum synaptic values, revealed a significant

leftward shift in females (Figure 10G; sex effect: $F(1, 242) = 11.27$, $p < 0.001$). This

indicates that female LHb^{CRF} neurons form significantly stronger local excitatory

connections than their male counterparts. This enhanced synaptic gain in females is

driven by a higher probability of presynaptic glutamate release, as evidenced by a

significantly lower paired-pulse ratio (PPR) compared to males (Figure 10H; sex effect:

$F(1, 190) = 16.23$, $p < 0.0001$). In contrast, we found no sex differences in the

AMPA/NMDA ratio (Figure 10I; $p = 0.975$), suggesting that this sexual dimorphism is

mediated by presynaptic release dynamics rather than differences in postsynaptic

receptor composition or plasticity. Collectively, these data establish a high-gain local

excitatory drive in females that may underlie their distinct behavioral responses to

threat.

Discussion

The LHb's broad involvement in various adaptive behaviors and neuropsychiatric

disorders, including depression, schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, and attention-

deficit hyperactivity disorder, continues to fuel significant clinical interest[56-64]. Despite

displaying relatively uniform electrophysiological properties, LHb neurons exhibit

substantial heterogeneity in their transcriptional profiles, afferents, and

projections[6,7,65,66]. Furthermore, neuromodulation profoundly shapes LHb neuronal

activity through mechanisms such as the synaptic co-release of multiple

neuromodulators alongside neurotransmitters, the expression of diverse

neuromodulatory receptors, and the integration of various neuromodulatory signals,

potentially contributing to the functional specializations of distinct LHb neuron

subpopulations[2]. Given this less explored facet of LHb function, and building on our

previous demonstration of the LHb's responsiveness to the key stress neuromodulator

CRF[35,36,38], we specifically investigated the origin of these previously uncharacterized

CRF inputs to the LHb. Our investigation revealed several brain regions including a

distinct subpopulation of LHb neurons that express CRF (LHb[CRF]). LHb[CRF] neurons

universally co-express VGLUT2. Within this population, we identified a notable subset of

VGLUT2+/GAD2+ neurons , which together could serve as a source of both local intra-

habenular microcircuits and widespread distal neuromodulatory inputs to downstream

targets. Using gain-of-function chemogenetic strategies, we further demonstrate that

LHb^{CRF} neurons may play a pivotal role in biasing behavioral selection toward passive

defensive responses (i.e., action-locking behaviors in VLST). While this effect is

observed across both sexes, our findings suggest that sexually dimorphic cellular and

synaptic mechanisms may underlie these shared passive behavioral outputs, while also

driving distinct sex differences in the temporal dynamics of the response.

Retrograde mapping in adult CRH-Cre mice identified the PRT, PAG, PVT, and the LHb

itself as the primary sources of CRF inputs to the LHb. These major drivers are

complemented by significant contributions from the MRN and SC, as well as consistent

inputs from the PVH, PH, LH/LHA, BNST, and LPO. While many of these pathways

including local intra-habenular microcircuits and long-range limbic inputs are well-

documented[1,2,9,11,67-70], the recently identified PVT-LHb connection specifically highlights

the evolving understanding of thalamic influence on this region. Notably, we found no

existing literature documenting a direct connection between the PRT and the LHb,

suggesting this may be a previously uncharacterized pathway[69]. We acknowledge that

our retrograde strategy likely provides a conservative or "limited view" of the total CRF

inputs, as certain pathways may be less amenable to retrograde transduction via rgAAV

vectors. The lack of prior anatomical evidence for the PRT-LHb connection underscores

the need for further investigation to validate this finding and explore the functional role

of these PRT^{CRF} neurons in LHb-mediated behaviors.

We then specifically focused on the newly discovered subpopulation of CRF-

expressing LHb neurons (LHb^{CRF}), mapping their axonal projection targets and

characterizing their physiological and behavioral profiles in both sexes. Our RNAscope

analysis revealed that these neurons are primarily concentrated in the rostral LHb, exhibiting a distinct spatial organization: a dense cluster resides in the medial LHb (LHbM) bordering the medial habenula, while a significant subpopulation extends into the lateral LHb (LHbL). While this topographical distribution is identical between sexes, we found that males possess a significantly higher absolute number of LHb^{CRF} neurons than females. Transcriptional profiling confirmed that 100% of these neurons express VGLUT2. Approximately 17-18% co-express GAD2, while only a negligible minority (primarily in males) co-express vGAT. Crucially, our optogenetic data provides functional evidence for this excitatory topography; light-evoked currents recorded from local non-CRF neurons confirmed that these rostral LHb^{CRF} neurons form a functional intra-habenular circuit, with females exhibiting significantly stronger synaptic connections. Notably, although a GAD2+/VGLUT2+/vGAT- population has been reported to provide local inhibition within the LHb[8], our functional experiments demonstrated that stimulation of LHb^{CRF} neurons evoked purely glutamatergic oEPSCs in local targets with no detectable inhibitory component. This suggests that the GAD2-expressing LHb^{CRF} subset likely corresponds to the distinct excitatory class recently defined by Quina et al. (2020), which serves as a glutamatergic output pathway to the mesopontine tegmentum[71]. Therefore, we conclude that the LHb^{CRF} population is functionally excitatory, and the GAD2-positive fraction likely contributes to long-range excitatory projections rather than local inhibition. While a minor fraction of VGLUT2+ LHb^{CRF} neurons were found to be vGAT+/GAD2-, potentially providing an inhibitory substrate (particularly in males), we did not encounter these functional connections in our recordings, as no inhibitory currents were observed. Regarding our synaptic

validation, it is of note that TTX did not completely abolish oEPSCs. While this treatment typically blocks all mono- and polysynaptic currents, the use of ChrimsonR can result in sufficient terminal depolarization to trigger neurotransmitter release even in the absence of action potentials, leaving a small residual oEPSC. Nevertheless, the recovery of the majority of the oEPSC amplitude following 4-AP treatment confirms the monosynaptic nature of this excitatory circuit.

Complementing this local microcircuitry, our anterograde tracing studies identified widespread LHb[CRF] axonal projections not only within the LHb but also to several key long-range targets. These distal projections include hypothalamic/thalamic structures including LH/LHA and ZI, midbrain structures including SC, PAG, VTA and SNC and hindbrain including DR, RMTg, and MnR. Notably, all of these regions have been previously reported as primary output targets of LHb neurons in male and female mice[2,69,70,72]. Collectively, this diverse projection profile, paired with a potent local excitatory microcircuit, positions LHb[CRF] neurons as a central hub capable of orchestrating complex behavioral and physiological responses through widespread influence on midbrain and hindbrain nuclei.

The expression of VGLUT2 in LHb[CRF] neurons, coupled with the lack of parvalbumin expression, could indicate that LHb[CRF] neurons are a distinct subpopulation separate from LHb[PV] neurons. This finding also excludes the possibility that LHb[CRF] neurons are a component of the LHb[PV] GABAergic interneurons responsible for local inhibition of glutamatergic neurons[11]. Our future research will focus on determining whether the intrinsic and extrinsic axonal terminals of LHb[CRF] neurons co-release glutamate and CRF to modulate synaptic transmission within the LHb and its distal downstream

targets. Furthermore, we aim to elucidate the specific behavioral significance of these

dual-transmitter circuits in the context of stress and defensive strategies.

Our chemogenetic investigation reveals a role for LHb^{CRF} neurons in biasing behavioral

selection toward passive strategies, specifically increasing the frequency and duration

of action-locking/freezing in response to looming threats in both sexes. However, the

temporal execution of these defenses is strikingly dimorphic: Gq-activation prolonged

escape latencies in males, whereas in females, it resulted in a protracted post-escape

shelter stay. This increased shelter occupancy in females likely reflects heightened fear

levels or a persistent perception of threat, necessitating a longer period to re-establish a

sense of safety before re-emerging[43].

Our data also suggests that these distinct behavioral phenotypes may be driven by

divergent physiological strategies. While males possess a significantly higher absolute

number of LHb^{CRF} neurons and higher basal spontaneous activity characterized by

increased tonic and bursting firing modes, their local synaptic connections are less

efficient than those in females. In contrast, female LHb^{CRF} neurons exhibit lower intrinsic

excitability but form more potent local excitatory connections, characterized by a higher

probability of glutamate release (lower PPR).

This enhanced local synaptic gain in females likely provide the physiological substrate

for their prolonged action-locking and persistent shelter-seeking behavior. We propose

that the lower intrinsic excitability observed in females may represent a homeostatic

compensatory response to this high-gain synaptic drive, maintaining circuit stability.

Conversely, the behavioral effects in males may rely on a higher population-level output

and a higher baseline firing rate.

Intriguingly, while basal spontaneous activity differed between sexes, we found no sex-

specific differences in overall neuronal excitability in response to direct depolarization.

This discrepancy may be explained by the high proportion of bursting neurons in males.

Because bursting neurons typically elicit fewer action potentials per depolarization

compared to tonically active neurons during excitability protocols, the prevalence of this

firing mode in males likely offsets their higher tonic activity, resulting in similar

aggregate excitability levels across sexes.

While we have yet to dissect the specific synaptic weights of LHb^{CRF} projections to distal

targets (e.g., PAG or RMTg), it is highly probable that these sex-dependent differences

in population size, baseline firing, and local synaptic gain collectively dictate the distinct

behavioral outcomes observed under threat.

The hypothalamic PVH and specific extrahypothalamic CRF neurons, including the

BNST (which we found both to provide weak CRF projections to the LHb), are

implicated in threat-driven defensive behaviors[73]. The looming shadow test also

underscores the critical involvement of both LHb neurons[44] and PVN^{CRF} neurons[43] in

threat-provoked defensive responses. This test exhibits strong face validity as the active

fleeing (flight) or passive staying (action-locking/freezing) behaviors observed closely

resemble human reactions to imminent danger. Importantly, dysregulation of these

defensive mechanisms, such as rigid and persistent freezing or escape, and prolonged

threat reactivity, are also key features of stress-related psychopathologies like anxiety

and PTSD[74-79].

Fiber photometric recordings of PVN^{CRF} neurons in the looming shadow test

demonstrated that augmented PVN^{CRF} neuronal activity precedes escape responses

elicited by a looming or advancing threat[43]. Moreover, optogenetic inhibition of PVN^{CRF}

neurons attenuates escape behavior[43]. Consequently, these findings suggest that

PVN^{CRF} activity encodes an anticipatory or preparatory signal for the initiation of

escape. Furthermore, distinct subpopulations of LHb neurons are involved in either

predicting or reflecting the selection and execution of threat-driven action-locking or

escape behaviors in the looming shadow test[44]. Fiber photometry of LHb population

activity revealed an increase in calcium signal time-locked with escape and a decrease

time-locked with action-locking. However, miniscope calcium imaging with single-cell

resolution uncovered distinct LHb neuronal clusters: some increase their activity before

and during escape while others decrease their activity before action-locking, while

others increase activity before action-locking while decreasing activity before escape.

The former outcome is reminiscent of PVN^{CRF} neuron activity during this test. Our

results suggest the possibility that LHb^{CRF} neurons represent a functionally distinct LHb

subpopulation that may encode action-locking defensive behaviors in response to an

imminent threat. Future miniscope calcium imaging studies can further elucidate the role

of LHb^{CRF} neurons and other LHb-projecting CRF neurons in the selection and

execution of appropriate defensive responses. Our previous work also demonstrated

that mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) in male and female mice shifts threat responses

towards action-locking in the looming shadow test, and prolongs escape latency in

female mice specifically[36]. Considering that mTBI-induced LHb hyperactivity is partly

driven by an increase in CRF-CRFR1 signaling within the LHb in both sexes, it is crucial

to investigate how LHb^{CRF} circuits contribute to the altered defensive behaviors

observed after mTBI. Overall, our findings highlight that LHb^{CRF} neuronal activation

shifts mouse defensive behaviors towards action-locking in both sexes. However, this

activation produces distinct temporal profiles: it delays defensive reactions (increased

escape latency) in males and heightens fear responses (prolonged shelter stay) in

females. These findings suggest that while the core behavioral output, passive action-

locking, is shared, the underlying temporal execution and post-threat recovery may be

governed by sex-specific LHb^{CRF} circuit dynamics.

Curiously, our chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons did not alter place preference

in either sex while activation of distinct subpopulations of CRF neurons could exert

either place preference (e.g., NAc^{CRF} or CeA^{CRF} neurons) or aversion (e.g., BNST^{CRF})[80].

One limitation of chemogenetic activation with sustained activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons is

its lack of the millisecond-scale temporal precision offered by optogenetics. This

limitation hinders a clear understanding of the immediate behavioral response to LHb^{CRF}

neuronal stimulation. Therefore, future studies using behavioral assays like the real-time

place preference paradigm could better address whether LHb^{CRF} neuronal stimulation

evokes aversive (avoidance) or appetitive (approach) motivation.

In summary, our work has unveiled a previously uncharacterized subpopulation of

LHb^{CRF} neurons that form both local microcircuits and long-range projections to the

midbrain and hindbrain. Our findings establish the LHb^{CRF} population as a novel,

sexually dimorphic component of the LHb's heterogeneous circuit architecture that

could bias defensive strategies toward action-locking under threat and may underlie the

sex-dependent variations in escape latency and post-escape shelter seeking observed

during the VLST. Further characterizing the precise functional connectivity and

behavioral contributions of these neurons based on their unique projection targets

represents a significant and timely direction for the field. Given that CRF

neuromodulation is inherently cell-type, region, and pathway-specific, dissecting these

distinct LHb^{CRF} circuits will provide essential insights into the nuanced mechanisms by

which CRF orchestrates complex behavioral states and sex-specific stress responses.

Methods

Animals

Male and female mice, aged 9-13 weeks, were used for all experiments (viral injections

at postnatal day 42 (P42); experiments commencing at 9 weeks of age). All procedures

adhered to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the ARRIVE

guidelines 2.0, and were approved by the Uniformed Services University Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee. The following mouse strains were used: CRH-ires-

CRE (B6(Cg)-$Crh^{tm1(cre)Zjh}$/J, Strain #: 012704), n = 32; CRH-Cre::Ai14 [generated by

crossing homozygous CRH-ires-Cre mice (n=16 breeders) with Ai14 Cre reporter mice

(n=12 breeders)(B6.Cg-$Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm14(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}$/J, Strain #: 007914)] or Ai6

Cre reporter mice (n=4 breeders) (B6.Cg-$Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm6(CAG-ZsGreen1)Hze}$/J, Strain#:

:007906), reporter lines (n=101), total n = 165. Mice were acquired from Jackson

Laboratories at P35-P49 and allowed at least 72 hours of acclimation before

experimental procedures began. Different numbers of male and female mice were used

due to stock availability from the supplier and in-house breeding. For chemogenetic

experiments, animals were randomly assigned to groups, and all data acquisition and

analysis were performed by investigators blinded to the group assignments

(Gq+saline/Gq+JHU37160). Seven mice were excluded from chemogenetic studies due

to incorrect LHb targeting. Mice were group-housed in standard cages under a

12hr/12hr light-dark cycle with standard laboratory lighting conditions (lights on, 0600-

1800, ~200 lux), with ad libitum access to food and water. All procedures were

performed 2-4 hours after the start of the light cycle. All efforts were made to minimize

animal suffering and reduce the number of animals used throughout this study.

Virus injections for retrograde and anterograde tracings and chemogenetics

Mice (P42) were anesthetized with 1-3% isoflurane vaporized in oxygen and secured in

a stereotaxic frame. Body temperature was maintained at 37°C throughout the

procedure and during recovery using a heating pad. The scalp was shaved, and a

midline incision was made to expose the skull. The following viral vectors were

bilaterally injected into the LHb: rgAAV-EF1a-DIO EYFP (Addgene #27056), AAV5-Syn-

FLEX-rc[ChrimsonR-tdTomato (Addgene#62723), AAV5-Ef1a-DIO

hChR2(E123T/T159C)-EYFP (Addgene #35509), AAV8-hSyn-DIO-mCherry (Addgene

#50459), AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (Addgene #44361), AAV8-hSyn-DIO-

HA-hM4D(Gi)-IRES-mCitrine (Addgene #50455). Viral vectors (~50 nL/side) were

infused at a rate of 1nL/sec over 5 minutes using a Nanoject III Injector (Drummond)

and pulled glass pipettes into the LHb (coordinates from bregma: AP, -1.35 mm; ML,

±0.5 mm; DV, -3.0 mm). The injection pipette was left in place for 5 minutes post-

infusion to allow for viral diffusion. During recovery (approximately 30-60 minutes), mice

were placed in a warm cage (heating pad beneath) or under a heating lamp to prevent

hypothermia. Post-operative care included continuous monitoring until purposeful

movement was regained. Animal health and weight were monitored and recorded for at

least 3 days post-surgery. Mice received a subcutaneous injection of 5 mg/kg carprofen

(for analgesia) immediately after surgery and were monitored daily for signs of pain,

with carprofen administered as needed. Viral expression was confirmed via

fluorescence and/or immunohistochemistry at the conclusion of behavioral experiments.

Mice lacking bilateral viral expression in the LHb were excluded from data analysis.

In Situ Hybridization (RNAscope)

Multiplex fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) was performed using the RNAscope

Fluorescent Multiplex Assay (Advanced Cell Diagnostics [ACD], Newark, CA) to detect

mRNA transcripts for *Slc17a6* (VGLUT2), *Slc32a1* (VGAT), and *Gad2* (GAD2).

Tissue Preparation: Male and female CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice were anesthetized with

ketamine/xylazine (85/10 mg/kg, i.p.) and transcardially perfused with 100 ml of 1x PBS

followed by 100 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were post-fixed in 4% PFA

(24 h), cryoprotected in 20% sucrose (72 h), and frozen on dry ice. Coronal sections

(20μm) spanning the LHb (Bregma -0.94 to -2.30 mm; Paxinos and Franklin, 2007)

were collected via cryostat (Leica CM1900) and mounted on glass slides.

Sequential Imaging and RNAscope Assay: Because the endogenous tdTomato

signal is quenched during the RNAscope protease and dehydration steps, a sequential

imaging strategy was employed:

1. Pre-assay Imaging (Scan 1): Prior to the FISH assay, slides were scanned under

a 20x objective (Zeiss Axioscan) to capture the endogenous tdTomato (red)

signal from CRF+ neurons.

2. RNAscope Processing: Following Scan 1, coverslips were removed in 4x SSC,

and sections were washed in 1x PBS. Sections were baked at 60° C, post-fixed

in 4% PFA (1h at 4°C), and dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series. Following

hydrogen peroxide treatment and antigen retrieval, sections were treated with Protease III.

3. Hybridization and Detection: Sections were incubated (2h at 40°C) with a multiplex probe cocktail: *Slc17a6*-C1 (Cat# 319171), *Slc32a1*-C3 (Cat# 319191), and *Gad2*-C4 (Cat# 439371). Signals were amplified using AMPs 1–3 and developed with HRP-conjugated Vivid fluorophores (1:5000 dilution): Vivid 520 (*Slc17a6*), Vivid 570 (*Slc32a1*), and Vivid 650 (*Gad2*).

4. Post-assay Imaging (Scan 2): After signal development, sections were counterstained and mounted with ProLong Gold with DAPI (Life Technologies). A second scan was performed under identical settings to capture the FISH signals.

Image Processing and Registration: To visualize the colocalization of mRNA transcripts with CRF+ (tdTomato) neurons, Scan 1 (pre-assay) and Scan 2 (post-assay) images were aligned and merged using RNAscope HiPlex Image Registration Software v2.1 (ACD). This process allowed for the simultaneous analysis of *vGlut2*, *vGat*, and *Gad2* expression within the previously identified tdTomato-labeled CRF+ population.

Image Analysis and RNAscope Quantification

QuPath Identification and Annotation: Quantitative analysis of CRF+ (tdTomato+) neurons and their co-expression of *Slc32a1* (vGAT), *Gad2* (GAD2), and *Slc17a6* (vGLUT2) mRNA was performed using QuPath (v0.5.0) image analysis software. The LHb was anatomically delineated in each section using the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas in conjunction with the Paxinos and Franklin Mouse Brain Atlas as reference. The LHb perimeter was manually outlined using the closed polygon annotation tool to create a defined Region of Interest (ROI) for automated analysis.

Cell Detection and Phenotypic Classification: Individual CRF+ neurons were identified

and segmented within the LHb ROI using the Cell Detection tool, which utilized the

tdTomato fluorescence signal for primary cell identification. Following detection, cells

were systematically classified based on the presence of multiplexed RNAscope puncta.

Phenotypes were categorized as:

• Single-positive: vGLUT2+, vGAT+, or GAD2+

• Dual-positive: vGLUT2+/vGAT+, vGLUT2+/GAD2+, or vGAT+/GAD2+

• Triple-positive: vGLUT2+/vGAT+/GAD2+

Anatomical Sampling and Statistical Representation: Quantification was conducted on

coronal sections from CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice (n=13; 7 males, 6 females). To ensure an

unbiased longitudinal assessment, cells were quantified across five distinct anterior-

posterior (AP) levels of the LHb, ranging from Bregma -1.06 to -2.18mm.

Data are reported as the absolute number of CRF+ cells per section. Phenotypic

distributions (e.g., percentage of dual- or triple-positive neurons) were calculated as a

proportion of the total CRF+ population, noting that 100% of the identified CRF+

neurons were also positive for vGLUT2.

Immunohistochemistry

At least three weeks following viral injection, similar to RNAScope procedure, mice were

anesthetized and perfused transcardially and brain sections (20 μm) were cut using a

cryostat, generating a series from Bregma 2.10 to -5.68mm AP. Sections were mounted

on slides. For immunolabeling, LHb sections from CRH-Cre mice injected with rgAAV-

EF1a-DIO EYFP (for parvalbumin staining) or CRH-Cre:Ai14 mice injected with AAV8-

DIO-HA-hM3D(Gq)-mCitrine (for HA-tag staining) were blocked in 0.3% Triton X-100

containing 10% Normal Goat Serum (NGS) in 1x PBS for 1 hour and then incubated

overnight at room temperature in carrier solution (5% NGS in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1x

PBS) with: mouse anti-parvalbumin IgG1 antibody (1:1000, Sigma, P3088) to detect

parvalbumin expression in retrogradely labeled LHb^{CRF} neurons or rabbit anti-human

influenza hemagglutinin (HA) antibody (1:500, Cell Signaling) to detect HA-hM3Dq in

tdTomato-expressing LHb neurons.

Sections were rinsed in 1x PBS and incubated for 2 hours in Alexa Fluor® 594-labeled

Goat anti-Mouse IgG1 or Alexa Fluor® 647-labeled Goat anti-Rabbit. Sections were

rinsed again in 1x PBS and coverslipped with Prolong Gold mounting medium

containing DAPI. Background staining was assessed by omitting the primary antibody

(negative control). Brain tissue sections with known parvalbumin immunoreactivity

(cortex, hippocampus) were also processed as positive control tissues. Images were

captured using a Leica DMRXA Fluorescence microscope.

Anterograde Projection Mapping and Histological Imaging

At least three weeks following viral injection, mice were anesthetized and underwent

transcardial perfusion with 4% PFA, following the same standardized protocol used for

RNAscope and immunohistochemistry. Brains were post-fixed, cryoprotected, and

subsequently sectioned at 20 µm using a cryostat. To ensure a comprehensive mapping

of projection targets, a continuous series of coronal sections was collected across the

rostrocaudal axis, spanning from Bregma +2.10 to -5.68 mm. To map the efferent

projection patterns of LHb^{CRF} neurons, three distinct anterograde viral strategies were

employed. In our primary approach (Figures 5–6), CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice of both sexes

were injected with AAV5-Syn-FLEX-ChrimsonR-tdTomato. For automated histological

imaging, sections were scanned using a Zeiss Axioscan equipped with a 20x objective.

This configuration permitted the simultaneous capture of the endogenous GFP (green)

signal—representing the global population of CRF+ neurons via the Ai6 reporter—and

the tdTomato (red) signal, marking the specific axonal projections originating from

ChrimsonR-expressing CRF+ neurons in the LHb. The resulting high-resolution mosaic

images were utilized to verify injection site specificity and to trace efferent fibers across

multiple neuroanatomical targets along the rostrocaudal axis. Two alternative strategies

were initially used to map these projections: AAV8-hSyn-DIO-mCherry injections in

male CRH-Cre mice and AAV5-Ef1a-DIO-ChR2-EYFP injections in female CRH-Cre

mice. While these initial experiments identified consistent projections to the LHb, RMTg,

SNc, and PAG, we subsequently transitioned to the ChrimsonR-tdTomato approach in

the Ai6 reporter line. This refined strategy allowed for a more rigorous, sex-balanced

comparison and, when combined with the Zeiss Axioscan, provided superior sensitivity

in detecting additional efferent projections. Consequently, the results from the initial

ChR2 and mCherry experiments were documented as supplementary data

(Supplementary Figures 8 and 9), with images captured using a Leica DMRXA

fluorescence microscope.

Quantification of Retrogradely Labeled CRF+ Neurons

To quantify the regional distribution of LHb-projecting CRF+ neurons, EYFP-labeled cell

bodies were identified and mapped using the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas in conjunction

with the Paxinos and Franklin Mouse Brain Atlas. Analysis was conducted on coronal

sections from three CRH-Cre mice (n = 3; 2 males, 1 female). The total number of

retrogradely labeled neurons analyzed per subject was 2,211 (CRH-Cre 1), 3,309

(CRH-Cre 2), and 1,750 (CRH-Cre 3). To ensure representative sampling across the

rostrocaudal axis, labeled cells were counted within defined regions of interest (ROIs)

across three consecutive sections (inter-section interval of 0.12 mm). Data are

expressed as a percentage of the total population of labeled neurons identified across

all ROIs:

Percentage of Labeled Neurons = (Cells in ROI / Total Labeled Cells) X 100

Forebrain and hypothalamic ROIs included the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis

(BNST; Bregma +0.14 to -0.22 mm), lateral preoptic area (LPO; Bregma +0.38 to -0.22

mm), paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVH; Bregma -0.34 to -1.46 mm), and

lateral hypothalamus (LHA; Bregma -0.34 to -1.34 mm). Midbrain and thalamic targets

sampled included the paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVT; Bregma -1.34 to -2.30

mm), lateral habenula (LHb; Bregma -1.06 to -2.30 mm), and posterior hypothalamus

(PH; Bregma -2.06 to -2.80 mm). Posterior midbrain and brainstem structures included

the pretectal area (PRT, including the medial pretectal nucleus; Bregma -2.46 to -2.80

mm), midbrain reticular nucleus (MRN; Bregma -2.54 to -3.80 mm), superior colliculus

(SC; Bregma -2.80 to -3.92 mm), and the periaqueductal gray (PAG; Bregma -2.30 to -

4.04 mm). Figure 1 and supplementary Figure 1 include the data derived from this

experiment.

Ex Vivo Slice Electrophysiology and Optogenetics

Slice Preparation

Electrophysiology experiments were conducted using male and female CRH-Cre::Ai14

reporter mice or CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice. For optogenetic experiments, CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice

were previously injected with a Cre-dependent anterograde vector (AAV5-Syn-FLEX-

ChrimsonR-tdTomato) into the LHb. To allow for robust opsin expression and trafficking

to distal terminals, all physiological recordings were performed at a minimum of three

weeks post-injection. Mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated.

Brains were rapidly dissected and placed in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF)

containing (in mM): 126 NaCl, 21.4 $NaHCO_3$, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH_2PO_4, 2.4 $CaCl_2$, 1.00

$MgSO_4$, 11.1 glucose, 0.4 ascorbic acid; saturated with 95% O_2-5% CO_2.

Sagittal or coronal slices (220μm) containing the LHb were cut using a vibratome (Leica;

Wetzlar, Germany) and incubated in oxygenated ACSF at 34°C for at least 1 hour prior

to recording. For all experiments, slices were transferred to a recording chamber and

perfused with ascorbic-acid-free ACSF maintained at 28–30°C.

General Recording Conditions

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed using an upright microscope

(Olympus BX51WI) equipped with infrared-differential interference contrast (IR-DIC)

microscopy. Patch pipettes (3–6 MΩ) were controlled via a MultiClamp 700B amplifier,

digitized with a DigiData 1440A, and analyzed using pCLAMP 10 (Molecular Devices)

and GraphPad Prism 10. Signals were filtered at 3kHz and digitized at 10kHz. Input and

series resistances were monitored throughout; data were excluded if these values

fluctuated by more than 10%.

Intrinsic Excitability and Firing Patterns

To assess intrinsic properties of LHb^{CRF} neurons in male and female CRH-Cre::Ai14

mice, pipettes were filled with a potassium gluconate-based internal solution (130 mM

K-gluconate, 15 mM KCl, 4 mM ATP-Na+, 0.3 mM GTP-Na+, 1 mM EGTA, and 5 mM

758 HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.28 with KOH, osmolarity adjusted to 275-280 mOsm).

759 Spontaneous neuronal activity and action potential (AP) firing patterns (e.g., tonic vs.

760 bursting) were recorded in cell-attached voltage-clamp mode (V = 0) for approximately

761 one minute. To assess excitability, neurons were maintained at -65 to -70mV via

762 manual DC injection. Increasingly depolarizing current steps (5s duration) were applied

763 at +10pA intervals (ranging from +10 to +100pA) with a 20s inter-stimulus interval. The

764 number of APs generated per step was quantified and averaged by experimental group.

765 **Optogenetic dissection of local LHb^{CRF} connectivity**

766 To investigate local synaptic connections, recordings were obtained from non-CRF LHb

767 neurons (GFP-negative) while optogenetically stimulating local CRF+ terminals within

768 the LHb in male and female CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice (injected with AAV5-Syn-FLEX-

769 ChrimsonR-tdTomato). For these experiments, pipettes were filled with a cesium

770 gluconate-based internal solution (117 mM CsGlc, 2.8 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 2 mM

771 ATP-Na+, 0.3 mM GTP-Na+, 0.6 mM EGTA, and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.28, osmolality

772 275–280 mOsm). Optogenetic stimulation (590nm LED; X-Cite XLED1, Lumen

773 Dynamics) was delivered through a 40x0.8NA objective of an upright microscope

774 (Olympus, BX51WI). Light pulses (1ms) were delivered at intensities of 0.1-

775 1.0mW/mm^2. To determine the input/output (I/O) relationship of the local synapses, peak

776 AMPAR-mediated oEPSCs were recorded at a holding potential of -70mV across increasing

777 LED intensity levels (0–100%). To control for variations in viral expression levels, data were

778 then normalized for each neuron and expressed as a percentage of the minimum and maximal

779 amplitude responses recorded across the intensity range.

780 Neurons were also held at specific potentials to further characterize synaptic inputs:

- +10mV: To confirm the absence of inhibitory currents (oIPSCs) at the glutamate reversal potential.

- +40mV: To evoke mixed AMPA- and NMDA-mediated oEPSCs.

The NMDAR-mediated component was isolated at +40mV by measuring current amplitude 20ms post-AMPAR peak (~50ms post-LED trigger). For paired-pulse ratio (PPR) experiments, two light pulses were delivered with a 100ms inter-pulse interval and a 20s inter-trial interval. Peak amplitudes for both evoked oEPSCs (P1 and P2) were measured relative to a stable baseline, which was defined as the average current during a 20–50ms window immediately preceding the first light-evoked current. The PPR was calculated as the ratio of the peak amplitude of the second response to the first (P2/P1) at each intensity level.

Pharmacological Validation

To confirm monosynaptic, glutamatergic transmission, a subset of experiments utilized bath application of the sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1μM), followed by the potassium channel blocker 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 50μM) to rescue monosynaptic oEPSCs.

Behavioral assays with chemogenetics

Four weeks post-viral injections, behavioral testing began with separate cohorts of CRH-Cre or CRH-Cre::Ai14 male and female mice expressing Gq DREADD in LHb. Mice received intraperitoneal injections of either 0.3mg/kg of the DREADD ligand, JHU37160, or vehicle (equivalent volumes of 0.9% saline) 30 min prior to behavioral testing, consistent with our previous study[55]. In the CPP test, DREADD-injected mice underwent conditioning with JHU37160 paired with either the preferred or non-preferred

side of the chamber in a balanced (unbiased) manner. In these cohorts, JHU37160 or

saline was administered only during conditioning days (days 2-3), not during habituation

(day 1) or testing (day 4).

Open field test (OFT)

Mice were placed in an open field arena (40 cm x 40 cm) with dim white lighting (~5lux)

and allowed to explore freely for 15 minutes. Animal movement was tracked using Any-

Maze video tracking software (Stoelting Co.), recording distance traveled and time

spent in the periphery and center zones.

Elevated zero maze (EZM)

To assess anxiety and risk-taking behavior, mice were placed in an elevated zero maze

and allowed to freely explore for 10 minutes with dim white lighting (~5lux). The maze,

elevated 40 cm above the floor, comprises a ring-shaped arena with four arms (5 cm x

30 cm): two enclosed arms with 20-cm high walls and two open arms. An overhead

camera and Any-Maze software (Stoelting Co.) were used to track the animal's

movement, quantifying the number of entries and the time spent in both the open and

closed arms, along with the total distance traveled.

Light-Dark Test (LDT)

The light-dark box test, similar in concept to the elevated zero maze but without the

height factor, consists of a 40 cm x 40 cm box divided into a dark, enclosed chamber

and a bright, exposed chamber (~750lux). While mice prefer the dark chamber, they

also explore the bright area. Less time spent in the light chamber and more time in the

dark is interpreted as higher anxiety. An overhead camera and Any-Maze software

(Stoelting Co.) tracked the animal's position, recording distance traveled and time spent

in each chamber.

Conditioned place preference (CPP)

We employed a three-compartment apparatus to conduct CPP testing. This apparatus

featured outer compartments with distinct visual cues (e.g., circles vs. triangles walls)

and a small central compartment lacking specific cues. Gates allowed mice to freely

traverse the three compartments. Anymaze software was used to track the time spent in

each compartment during the pre-test, conditioning, and test phases. The CPP

procedure comprised: Pretest (Day 1): To assess baseline preferences, each mouse

was placed in the central compartment and allowed to explore all three compartments

for 20 minutes. Conditioning (Days 2-3): Mice underwent conditioning sessions wherein

they were confined to one outer compartment and administered saline, followed by

confinement to the opposite compartment (after a >4-hour interval) and administration of

either saline or JHU37160 (30 minutes prior to confinement). The pairing of saline or

JHU37160 with a specific compartment was balanced across animals. Test (Day 4):

Mice were given free access to all compartments, and the time spent in the

saline/JHU37160-paired compartment was measured to determine place preference.

Place preference was quantified by calculating a preference score: time spent in the

saline/JHU37160-paired compartment during the post-test minus time spent in that

compartment during the pre-test (post-pre).

Looming-shadow test

This test simulates a predator threat to induce defensive behaviors in mice, which

manifest as either passive action-locking/freezing or active escape. The testing

environment consisted of a 17 x 10 x 8.5-inch plastic arena with a 21-inch LCD monitor

positioned overhead to present the visual stimulus. A 6 x 6 x 3-inch shelter was placed

on one side of the arena. Behavior was recorded with a camera positioned laterally

above the arena. First, mice were habituated to the arena for two days (10

minutes/day). On the test day, there was a 5-minute habituation period within the arena

before stimulus presentation and then mice were presented with five looming visual

stimuli, with at least a 1-minute inter-trial interval. The stimulus comprised of a 2-cm

black disk expanding to 20 cm over 9 seconds, divided into three phases: 2 seconds at

2 cm, 5 seconds of expansion, and 2 seconds at 20 cm. Defensive responses were

classified as: escape (active movement to the shelter), action-locking/freezing (absence

of movement or repeated, discontinuous freezing) and action-locking to escape

behavior (action-locking that transitions to escape in which mice exhibit an immediate

freezing behavior followed by a delayed escape to the shelter). Mice were excluded as

non-responders if they exhibited no discernible reaction to the looming stimulus (no

freezing, no behavioral change, and failure to reach the shelter within 8 seconds). Video

recordings were used to blindly score the type of defensive behavior and the latency to

escape. For each subject, escape latencies, shelter stay, and action locking duration

were averaged across the five looming trials; these mean values were then used for all

subsequent statistical analyses and are presented as individual data points.

Statistics and reproducibility

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using

unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests, Chi-square tests, and two-way ANOVA with

Bonferroni post hoc tests. A significance threshold of $P < 0.05$ was used for all

analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 10.

Data availability

874 Data supporting the conclusions of this study are available from the corresponding

875 author upon reasonable request.

References

1   Hu, H., Cui, Y. & Yang, Y. Circuits and functions of the lateral habenula in health and in disease.
Nat Rev Neurosci **21**, 277–295 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0292-4
2   Groos, D. & Helmchen, F. The lateral habenula: A hub for value-guided behavior. *Cell reports* **43**,
113968 (2024). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.113968
3   Baker, P. M. *et al.* Lateral Habenula Beyond Avoidance: Roles in Stress, Memory, and Decision-
Making With Implications for Psychiatric Disorders. *Front Syst Neurosci* **16**, 826475 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2022.826475
4   Proulx, C. D., Hikosaka, O. & Malinow, R. Reward processing by the lateral habenula in normal
and depressive behaviors. *Nature neuroscience* **17**, 1146–1152 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3779
5   Wang, D. *et al.* Learning shapes the aversion and reward responses of lateral habenula neurons.
eLife **6**, e23045 (2017). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.23045
6   Hashikawa, Y. *et al.* Transcriptional and Spatial Resolution of Cell Types in the Mammalian
Habenula. *Neuron* **106**, 743–758 e745 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.011
7   Nguyen, T. V. T., Nakamura, T. & Ichijo, H. Topographic Organization of Glutamatergic and
GABAergic Parvalbumin-Positive Neurons in the Lateral Habenula. *eNeuro* **11** (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0069-24.2024
8   Flanigan, M. E. *et al.* Orexin signaling in GABAergic lateral habenula neurons modulates
aggressive behavior in male mice. *Nature neuroscience* **23**, 638–650 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0617-7
9   Zhang, L. *et al.* A GABAergic cell type in the lateral habenula links hypothalamic homeostatic and
midbrain motivation circuits with sex steroid signaling. *Translational psychiatry* **8**, 50 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-018-0099-5
10   Webster, J. F., Lecca, S. & Wozny, C. Inhibition Within the Lateral Habenula-Implications for
Affective Disorders. *Front Behav Neurosci* **15**, 786011 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.786011
11   Webster, J. F. *et al.* Disentangling neuronal inhibition and inhibitory pathways in the lateral
habenula. *Sci Rep* **10**, 8490 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65349-7
12   Quina, L. A. *et al.* Efferent Pathways of the Mouse Lateral Habenula. *Journal of Comparative
Neurology* **523**, 32–60 (2015). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23662
13   Zahm, D. S. & Root, D. H. Review of the cytology and connections of the lateral habenula, an
avatar of adaptive behaving. *Pharmacology, biochemistry, and behavior* **162**, 3–21 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2017.06.004
14   Hong, S., Jhou, T. C., Smith, M., Saleem, K. S. & Hikosaka, O. Negative reward signals from the
lateral habenula to dopamine neurons are mediated by rostromedial tegmental nucleus in

primates. *J Neurosci* **31**, 11457–11471 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1384-
11.2011
15 Mathis, V. P., Williams, M., Fillinger, C. & Kenny, P. J. Networks of habenula-projecting cortical
neurons regulate cocaine seeking. *Science Advances* **7**, eabj2225 (2021).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.abj2225
16 Flerlage, W. J. *et al.* Dysregulation of kappa opioid receptor neuromodulation of lateral habenula
synaptic function following a repetitive mild traumatic brain injury. *Pharmacology Biochemistry*
and Behavior **243**, 173838 (2024). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2024.173838
17 Wallace, M. L. *et al.* Genetically Distinct Parallel Pathways in the Entopeduncular Nucleus for
Limbic and Sensorimotor Output of the Basal Ganglia. *Neuron* **94**, 138–152 e135 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.017
18 Li, H., Pullmann, D. & Jhou, T. C. Valence-encoding in the lateral habenula arises from the
entopeduncular region. *Elife* **8** (2019). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.41223
19 Liu, B., Cao, Y., Wang, J. & Dong, J. Excitatory transmission from ventral pallidum to lateral
habenula mediates depression. *World J Biol Psychiatry* **21**, 627–633 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1080/15622975.2020.1725117
20 Lecca, S. *et al.* Aversive stimuli drive hypothalamus-to-habenula excitation to promote escape
behavior. *Elife* **6** (2017). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30697
21 Liu, X., Huang, H., Zhang, Y., Wang, L. & Wang, F. Sexual Dimorphism of Inputs to the Lateral
Habenula in Mice. *Neuroscience bulletin* **38**, 1439–1456 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-
022-00885-y
22 Golden, S. A. *et al.* Basal forebrain projections to the lateral habenula modulate aggression
reward. *Nature* **534**, 688–692 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18601
23 Zhang, G. W. *et al.* Transforming Sensory Cues into Aversive Emotion via Septal-Habenular
Pathway. *Neuron* **99**, 1016–1028.e1015 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.023
24 Root, D. H. *et al.* Single rodent mesohabenular axons release glutamate and GABA. *Nature*
neuroscience **17**, 1543–1551 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3823
25 Lima, L. B. *et al.* Afferent and efferent connections of the interpeduncular nucleus with special
reference to circuits involving the habenula and raphe nuclei. *The Journal of comparative*
neurology **525**, 2411–2442 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24217
26 Hwang, E. K. *et al.* Basal forebrain-lateral habenula inputs and control of impulsive behavior.
Neuropsychopharmacology **49**, 2060–2068 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-024-01963-7
27 Wolfe, C. I. C. *et al.* Muscarinic Acetylcholine M$_2$ Receptors Regulate Lateral
Habenula Neuron Activity and Control Cocaine Seeking Behavior. *The Journal of Neuroscience*
42, 5552–5563 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0645-22.2022
28 Fu, R. *et al.* Anxiety during alcohol withdrawal involves 5-HT2C receptors and M-channels in the
lateral habenula. *Neuropharmacology* **163**, 107863 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.107863
29 Zuo, W. *et al.* Serotonin stimulates lateral habenula via activation of the post-synaptic serotonin
2/3 receptors and transient receptor potential channels. *Neuropharmacology* **101**, 449–459
(2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2015.10.012
30 Shabel, S. J., Proulx, C. D., Trias, A., Murphy, R. T. & Malinow, R. Input to the lateral habenula
from the Basal Ganglia is excitatory, aversive, and suppressed by serotonin. *Neuron* **74**, 475–481
(2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.037
31 Root, D. H. *et al.* Norepinephrine activates dopamine D4 receptors in the rat lateral habenula. *J*
Neurosci **35**, 3460–3469 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4525-13.2015

32   Cheon, M., Park, H. & Chung, C. Protein kinase C mediates neuropeptide Y-induced reduction in
inhibitory neurotransmission in the lateral habenula. *Neuropharmacology* **180**, 108295 (2020).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2020.108295
33   Cheon, M., Park, H., Rhim, H. & Chung, C. Actions of Neuropeptide Y on Synaptic Transmission in
the Lateral Habenula. *Neuroscience* **410**, 183–190 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2019.04.053
34   Simmons, S. C. *et al.* Early life stress dysregulates kappa opioid receptor signaling within the
lateral habenula. *Neurobiol Stress* **13**, 100267 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2020.100267
35   Simmons, S. C. *et al.* AKAP150-anchored PKA regulates synaptic transmission and plasticity,
neuronal excitability and CRF neuromodulation in the mouse lateral habenula. *Communications
Biology* **7**, 345 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06041-8
36   Flerlage, W. J. *et al.* Effects of Repetitive Mild Traumatic Brain Injury on Corticotropin-Releasing
Factor Modulation of Lateral Habenula Excitability and Motivated Behavior. *J Neurotrauma*
(2024). https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2024.0184
37   Zuo, W. *et al.* Roles of corticotropin-releasing factor signaling in the lateral habenula in anxiety-
like and alcohol drinking behaviors in male rats. *Neurobiol Stress* **15**, 100395 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100395
38   Authement, M. E. *et al.* A role for corticotropin-releasing factor signaling in the lateral habenula
and its modulation by early-life stress. *Science signaling* **11** (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aan6480
39   Henckens, M. J., Deussing, J. M. & Chen, A. Region-specific roles of the corticotropin-releasing
factor-urocortin system in stress. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **17**, 636–651 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.94
40   Bangasser, D. A. & Wiersielis, K. R. Sex differences in stress responses: a critical role for
corticotropin-releasing factor. *Hormones* **17**, 5–13 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42000-018-
0002-z
41   Levinstein, M. R. *et al.* PACAP-expressing neurons in the lateral habenula diminish negative
emotional valence. *Genes Brain Behav*, e12801 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12801
42   Sandoval, K. C., Rychlik, J. & Choe, K. Y. Calcium Dynamics in Hypothalamic Paraventricular
Oxytocin Neurons and Astrocytes Associated with Social and Stress Stimuli. *eNeuro* **12** (2025).
https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0196-24.2025
43   Daviu, N. *et al.* Paraventricular nucleus CRH neurons encode stress controllability and regulate
defensive behavior selection. *Nature neuroscience* **23**, 398–410 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0591-0
44   Lecca, S. *et al.* Heterogeneous Habenular Neuronal Ensembles during Selection of Defensive
Behaviors. *Cell reports* **31**, 107752 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107752
45   Rasiah, N. *et al.* Homeostatic scaling ensures behavioural stability during corticosterone negative
feedback. *Molecular psychiatry* (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-025-03391-5
46   Madisen, L. *et al.* A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for
the whole mouse brain. *Nature neuroscience* **13**, 133–140 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2467
47   Madisen, L. *et al.* Transgenic mice for intersectional targeting of neural sensors and effectors
with high specificity and performance. *Neuron* **85**, 942–958 (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.022
48   Chen, Y., Molet, J., Gunn, B. G., Ressler, K. & Baram, T. Z. Diversity of Reporter Expression
Patterns in Transgenic Mouse Lines Targeting Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone-Expressing
Neurons. *Endocrinology* **156**, 4769–4780 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1673

49 Füzesi, T., Daviu, N., Wamsteeker Cusulin, J. I., Bonin, R. P. & Bains, J. S. Hypothalamic CRH
neurons orchestrate complex behaviours after stress. *Nature communications* **7**, 11937 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11937
50 Birnie, M. T. *et al.* Stress-induced plasticity of a CRH/GABA projection disrupts reward behaviors
in mice. *Nature communications* **14**, 1088 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36780-x
51 Paretkar, T. & Dimitrov, E. The Central Amygdala Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)
Neurons Modulation of Anxiety-like Behavior and Hippocampus-dependent Memory in Mice.
Neuroscience **390**, 187–197 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.08.019
52 Birnie, M. T. *et al.* Stress-induced plasticity of a CRH/GABA projection disrupts reward behaviors
in mice. *Nature communications* **14**, 1088 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36780-x
53 Lin, J. Y., Knutsen, P. M., Muller, A., Kleinfeld, D. & Tsien, R. Y. ReaChR: a red-shifted variant of
channelrhodopsin enables deep transcranial optogenetic excitation. *Nature neuroscience* **16**,
1499–1508 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3502
54 Goyer, D. & Roberts, M. T. Long-range Channelrhodopsin-assisted Circuit Mapping of Inferior
Colliculus Neurons with Blue and Red-shifted Channelrhodopsins. *J Vis Exp* (2020).
https://doi.org/10.3791/60760
55 Flerlage, W. J. *et al.* Involvement of Lateral Habenula Dysfunction in Repetitive Mild Traumatic
Brain Injury-Induced Motivational Deficits. *J Neurotrauma* **40**, 125–140 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2022.0224
56 Lawson, R. P. *et al.* Disrupted habenula function in major depression. *Molecular psychiatry* **22**,
202–208 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.81
57 Weidacker, K. *et al.* Avoiding monetary loss: A human habenula functional MRI ultra-high field
study. *Cortex* **142**, 62–73 (2021). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2021.05.013
58 Abraham, M. E. *et al.* Investigating Deep Brain Stimulation of the Habenula: A Review of Clinical
Studies. *Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface* **26**, 292–301 (2023).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurom.2022.05.005
59 Samanci, B., Tan, S., Michielse, S., Kuijf, M. L. & Temel, Y. The habenula in Parkinson's disease:
Anatomy, function, and implications for mood disorders – A narrative review. *Journal of*
chemical neuroanatomy **136**, 102392 (2024).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchemneu.2024.102392
60 Proulx, C. D., Hikosaka, O. & Malinow, R. Reward processing by the lateral habenula in normal
and depressive behaviors. *Nature neuroscience* **17**, 1146–1152 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3779
61 Arfuso, M., Salas, R., Castellanos, F. X. & Krain Roy, A. Evidence of Altered Habenular Intrinsic
Functional Connectivity in Pediatric ADHD. *J Atten Disord* **25**, 749–757 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054719843177
62 Lee, Y.-A. & Goto, Y. The Habenula in the Link Between ADHD and Mood Disorder. *Frontiers in*
Behavioral Neuroscience **15** (2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.699691
63 Lawson, R. P., Drevets, W. C. & Roiser, J. P. Defining the habenula in human neuroimaging
studies. *NeuroImage* **64**, 722–727 (2013).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.076
64 Zhu, Y. *et al.* Connectome-Based Biomarkers Predict Subclinical Depression and Identify
Abnormal Brain Connections With the Lateral Habenula and Thalamus. *Frontiers in psychiatry* **10**
(2019). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00371
65 Wallace, M. L. *et al.* Anatomical and single-cell transcriptional profiling of the murine habenular
complex. *Elife* **9** (2020). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.51271

66 Cerniauskas, I. *et al.* Chronic Stress Induces Activity, Synaptic, and Transcriptional Remodeling of
the Lateral Habenula Associated with Deficits in Motivated Behaviors. *Neuron* **104**, 899–915
e898 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.09.005
67 Zhang, L., Hernandez, V. S., Vazquez-Juarez, E., Chay, F. K. & Barrio, R. A. Thirst Is Associated
with Suppression of Habenula Output and Active Stress Coping: Is there a Role for a Non-
canonical Vasopressin-Glutamate Pathway? *Frontiers in neural circuits* **10**, 13 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2016.00013
68 Margolis, E. B. & Fields, H. L. Mu Opioid Receptor Actions in the Lateral Habenula. *PLoS One* **11**,
e0159097 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159097
69 Cui, J., Qian, J., Wang, J. & Shi, L. Decoding the dual regulatory systems: Contemporary insights
into the lateral habenula and rostromedial tegmental nucleus physiology. *Neurobiology of
Disease* **214**, 107049 (2025). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2025.107049
70 Huang, H., Liu, X., Wang, L. & Wang, F. Whole-brain connections of glutamatergic neurons in the
mouse lateral habenula in both sexes. *Biology of Sex Differences* **15**, 37 (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-024-00611-5
71 Quina, L. A., Walker, A., Morton, G., Han, V. & Turner, E. E. GAD2 Expression Defines a Class of
Excitatory Lateral Habenula Neurons in Mice that Project to the Raphe and Pontine Tegmentum.
eNeuro **7** (2020). https://doi.org/10.1523/eneuro.0527-19.2020
72 Quina, L. A. *et al.* Efferent pathways of the mouse lateral habenula. *The Journal of comparative
neurology* **523**, 32–60 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23662
73 Chudoba, R. & Dabrowska, J. Distinct populations of corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) neurons
mediate divergent yet complementary defensive behaviors in response to a threat.
Neuropharmacology **228**, 109461 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2023.109461
74 Bastos, A. F. *et al.* Stop or move: Defensive strategies in humans. *Behavioural brain research*
302, 252–262 (2016). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2016.01.043
75 Sippel, L. M., Taverna, E. & Marshall, A. D. In vivo defensive behaviors, fear, and attention bias
to physical and negative evaluation threats. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **154**, 104108
(2022). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2022.104108
76 Roelofs, K., Hagenaars, M. A. & Stins, J. Facing Freeze: Social Threat Induces Bodily Freeze in
Humans. *Psychological Science* **21**, 1575–1581 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797610384746
77 Roelofs, K. Freeze for action: neurobiological mechanisms in animal and human freezing.
Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London **372** (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0206
78 Kearney, B. E. & Lanius, R. A. The brain-body disconnect: A somatic sensory basis for trauma-
related disorders. *Frontiers in neuroscience* **16**, 1015749 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.1015749
79 Sege, C. T., Bradley, M. M. & Lang, P. J. Avoidance and escape: Defensive reactivity and trait
anxiety. *Behav Res Ther* **104**, 62–68 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.03.002
80 Baumgartner, H. M., Schulkin, J. & Berridge, K. C. Activating Corticotropin-Releasing Factor
Systems in the Nucleus Accumbens, Amygdala, and Bed Nucleus of Stria Terminalis: Incentive
Motivation or Aversive Motivation? *Biological psychiatry* **89**, 1162–1175 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.01.007

1095

Acknowledgements: The opinions and assertions contained herein are the private

opinions of the authors and are not to be construed as official or reflecting the views of

the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences or the Department of Defense

or the Government of the United States. This work was supported by the National

Institute of Mental Health (NIH/NIMH) Grant# R21 MH132136 to FSN. The funding

agency did not contribute to writing this article or deciding to submit it. We gratefully

acknowledge the Preclinical Behavior and Modeling Core for providing access to and

support with their behavioral equipment, which was essential for the successful

completion of this study.

Author contributions: FSN and WJF designed the study. WJF, SCS, and EHT

performed electrophysiology. TJW, SCG, and EHT were responsible for breeding CRH-

Cre::Ai14 and CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice. SCG performed microscopy, RNAscope and

immunohistochemistry, with assistance from LI and EP for RNAscope optimization.

SCG, SCS, and WJF conducted viral stereotaxic injections. WJF, EHT, MCT, and SCS

carried out chemogenetic behavioral assays. CMD assisted in analysis and

interpretation of behavioral data. Data analysis and figure preparation were performed

by WJF, SCG, SCS, EHT, OLR, and FSN. The initial manuscript draft was written by

WJF, SCG, EHT, RCA, CMD, EP, BMC, and FSN. All authors critically reviewed and

approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest. Fereshteh Nugent is

an Editorial Board Member for *Communications Biology*, but was not involved in the

editorial review of, nor the decision to publish this article.

1118

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Retrograde Identification of CRF-Expressing Projections to the Lateral Habenula (LHb)

A) Schematic of the viral strategy using a Cre-dependent retrograde vector (rgAAV-DIO-EYFP) injected into the LHb of CRH-Cre mice. This approach selectively labels the cell bodies of CRF-expressing neurons that provide direct input to the LHb. **B)** Quantitative distribution of retrogradely labeled cell bodies across identified brain regions. Data are presented as the percentage of total labeled neurons per animal (n=3 mice: 2 males, 1 female). Individual symbols (circles, triangles, squares) represent data from single subjects (CRH-Cre 1–3); bars indicate the mean. High densities of labeled cells were observed in the pretectal area (PRT), periaqueductal gray (PAG), LHb, and paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVT). Total cells analyzed per subject: CRH-Cre 1 (n=2211), CRH-Cre 2 (n=3309), and CRH-Cre 3 (n=1750). **C, E:** Low-magnification coronal sections with mouse atlas overlays at the indicated Bregma levels (-1.58 mm and -2.80 mm), showing the spatial distribution of EYFP+ neurons. **D, F:** High-magnification images of key regions of interest (ROIs). **D** highlights labeling in the LHb and PVT; **F** illustrates labeling in the medial pretectal nucleus (MPT, part of the PRT), PAG, and midbrain reticular nucleus (MRN). Supplementary Figure 1 contains representative images of the remaining brain regions identified as containing CRF+ neurons projecting to the LHb. Abbreviations: *BNST*, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; *LPO*, lateral preoptic area; *LHA*, lateral hypothalamus; *PH*, posterior hypothalamus; *PVH*, paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus; *SC*, superior colliculus; *fr*, fasciculus retroflexus. Scale bars: C, E = 1mm; D, F = 200μm.

Figure 2: Molecular Characterization of CRF+ Neurons in the male LHb at Bregma -1.06mm.

Multiplexed RNAscope *in situ* hybridization was utilized to identify the neurotransmitter phenotype of CRF+ (tdTomato-labeled) neurons in male CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice at Bregma -1.06 mm. (**A**, **D**, **G**, **J**): Low-magnification hemicoronal views integrated with mouse atlas overlays, showing regional expression patterns of *Crh* (tdTomato), *Slc32a1* (vGAT), *Gad2* (GAD2), and *Slc17a6* (vGLUT2). (**B**–**L**): High-magnification images corresponding to panels A, D, G, and J, highlighting bilateral expression within the lateral habenula (LHb) and providing high-resolution details of the left LHb. (**M**–**U**): Dual-channel merged images demonstrating the colocalization (overlay) of CRF with inhibitory markers (*vGAT*, *GAD2*) and the excitatory marker (*vGLUT2*). (**V**–**X**): All-channel merged images illustrating the simultaneous colocalization of *vGAT*, *GAD2*, and *vGLUT2* within LHb CRF+ neurons. Abbreviations: *LHb*, lateral habenula; *mHb*, medial habenula; *Hipp*, hippocampus; *sm*, stria medullaris; *3V*, third ventricle; *PVT*, paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus. Scale bars: A = 1mm; B, C = 100 μm.

CRF

vGAT

GAD2

VGLTU2

CRF&vGAT

CRF &GAD2

CRF &VGLTU2

All merged

1161

Figure 3: Molecular Characterization of CRF+ Neurons in the female LHb at

Bregma -1.06mm.

Multiplexed RNAscope *in situ* hybridization was utilized to identify the neurotransmitter

phenotype of CRF+ (tdTomato-labeled) neurons in female CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice at

Bregma -1.06 mm. (**A**, **D**, **G**, **J**): Low-magnification hemicoronal views integrated with

mouse atlas overlays, showing regional expression patterns of *Crh* (tdTomato), *Slc32a1*

(vGAT), *Gad2* (GAD2), and *Slc17a6* (vGLUT2). (**B**–**L**): High-magnification images

corresponding to panels A, D, G, and J, highlighting bilateral expression within the

lateral habenula (LHb) and providing high-resolution details of the left LHb. (**M**–**U**): Dual-

channel merged images demonstrating the colocalization (overlay) of CRF with

inhibitory markers (*vGAT, GAD2*) and the excitatory marker (*vGLUT2*). (**V**–**X**): All-

channel merged images illustrating the simultaneous colocalization of *vGAT, GAD2*,

and *vGLUT2* within LHb CRF+ neurons. Abbreviations: *LHb*, lateral habenula; *mHb*,

medial habenula; *Hipp*, hippocampus; *sm*, stria medullaris; *3V*, third ventricle; *PVT*,

paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus. Scale bars: A = 1mm; B, C = 100 μm.

Figure 4: LHb^{CRF} neurons are predominantly glutamatergic and exhibit sex-dependent distribution.

(A–P) High-resolution representative images from male (left columns) and female (right columns) mice showing the distribution of *Slc32a1* (vGAT), *Gad2* (GAD2), and *Slc17a6* (VGLUT2) mRNA. Given the low prevalence of the *vGAT+/CRF+* subpopulation (quantified in R and U), panels A–P represent the predominant neurochemical phenotypes: CRF+ neurons expressing vGLUT2 alone, GAD2 alone, or the co-expression of both markers. **(K, L):** Magenta arrows indicate CRF+ neurons co-expressing GAD2. **(M, N):** Yellow arrows indicate CRF+ neurons co-expressing VGLUT2. **(O, P):** White arrows highlight representative neurons showing triple colocalization of CRF, vGLUT2, and GAD2. **(Q)** Analysis of the number of CRF+ neurons identified per section across five anterior-posterior (AP) levels (-1.06 to -2.18 mm). The inset bar graph represents the total summation of CRF+ neurons across all analyzed levels. Male mice (squares, n=7) exhibited a significantly higher number of total LHb CRF+ neurons compared to females (circles, n=6). **(R)** Bar graph illustrating the frequency of total CRF+ neurons expressing various combinations of GABAergic and glutamatergic markers. Notably, 100% of identified CRF+ neurons co-express VGLUT2. While the vast majority are purely glutamatergic, small subpopulations exhibit dual-phenotypes, co-expressing either GAD2 or vGAT in addition to vGLUT2. No CRF+ neurons were found to be exclusively GABAergic. **(S–T)** High-resolution representative images from male **(S)** and female **(T)** mice showing the discrete subpopulation of CRF+ neurons co-expressing vGAT and VGLUT2 (indicated by white arrows). **(U)** Pie charts illustrating the co-expression of GABAergic markers within the total CRF+/vGLUT2+

population. In both sexes, the majority of CRF+ neurons (76–80%) are purely

glutamatergic. A consistent proportion (17–18%) also express GAD2. A negligible but

statistically distinct subpopulation expresses vGAT in the absence of GAD2 (as shown

in S, T). This phenotype exhibited a sex difference, with a higher prevalence in males

(5%) compared to females (2%), demonstrating that males possess a significantly larger

fraction of CRF glutamatergic neurons carrying the vesicular GABA transporter.

Scale bars: 10μm. 2-way ANOVA, Student's t-test Chi-square test; **$p<0.01$.

1215

1216

Figure 5: Anterograde Mapping of LHb CRF+ Neuronal Projections in male mice.

(A) Schematic of the viral approach: a Cre-dependent anterograde vector (AAV5-syn-

FLEX-ChrimsonR-tdTomato) was injected into the LHb of male CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice

(n=2). (**B**–**D**) Low-magnification hemicoronal view (**B**) and high-magnification images

(**C**, **D**) of the LHb at Bregma -1.06 mm. Images show the colocalization of endogenous

GFP (from the Ai6 reporter line) and ChrimsonR-tdTomato, confirming the selective

transduction of LHb CRF+ neurons. (**E**–**G**) Representative hemicoronal (E) and high-

magnification (F, G) images illustrating tdTomato-labeled axonal processes originating

from LHb CRF+ neurons within the lateral hypothalamus (LH) and zona incerta (ZI) at

Bregma -1.82mm. (**H**–**J**) Midbrain Projections. Representative hemicoronal (H) and

high-magnification (I, J) images showing dense tdTomato-labeled axonal innervation

within the superior colliculus (**SC**) and periaqueductal gray (**PAG**) at Bregma -3.52mm.

(**K**–**M**) Dopaminergic and Monoaminergic Nuclei. Representative hemicoronal (K) and

high-magnification (L, M) views of labeled LHb CRF+ fibers within the ventral tegmental

area (VTA) and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) at Bregma -3.52 mm. (**N**–**P**)

Hindbrain and Raphe Nuclei. Representative hemicoronal (N) and high-magnification

(O, P) images illustrating projection patterns within the periaqueductal gray (PAG),

dorsal raphe (DR), rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg), and median raphe (MnR) at

Bregma -4.36mm. Abbreviations: PVT, paraventricular nucleus of thalamus; RMC, red

nucleus, magnocellular part; mHb, medial habenula; mt, mammillotegmental tract; Hipp,

hippocampus. Scale bars: B, E, H, N = 1mm; K = 600μm; F = 500μm; O = 400μm; C =

300 μm; I, J, L, M, P = 200μm; D, G= 100μm.

1239

Figure 6: Anterograde Mapping of LHb CRF+ Neuronal Projections in female mice.

1241 **(A)** Schematic of the viral approach: a Cre-dependent anterograde vector (AAV5-syn-

1242 FLEX-ChrimsonR-tdTomato) was injected into the LHb of female CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice

(n=2). (**B**–**D**) Low-magnification hemicoronal view (**B**) and high-magnification images (**C**, **D**) of the LHb at Bregma -1.06 mm. Images show the colocalization of endogenous GFP (from the Ai6 reporter line) and ChrimsonR-tdTomato, confirming the selective transduction of LHb CRF+ neurons. (**E**–**G**) Representative hemicoronal (E) and high-magnification (F, G) images illustrating tdTomato-labeled axonal processes originating from LHb CRF+ neurons within the lateral hypothalamus (LH) and zona incerta (ZI) at Bregma -1.82mm. (**H**–**J**) Midbrain Projections. Representative hemicoronal (H) and high-magnification (I, J) images showing dense tdTomato-labeled axonal innervation within the superior colliculus (**SC**) and periaqueductal gray (**PAG**) at Bregma -3.52mm. (**K**–**M**) Dopaminergic and Monoaminergic Nuclei. Representative hemicoronal (K) and high-magnification (L, M) views of labeled LHb CRF+ fibers within the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) at Bregma -3.52 mm. (**N**–**P**) Hindbrain and Raphe Nuclei. Representative hemicoronal (N) and high-magnification (O, P) images illustrating projection patterns within the periaqueductal gray (PAG), dorsal raphe (DR), rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg), and median raphe (MnR) at Bregma -4.36mm. Abbreviations: PVT, paraventricular nucleus of thalamus; RMC, red nucleus, magnocellular part; mHb, medial habenula; mt, mammillotegmental tract; Hipp, hippocampus. Scale bars: B, E, H, N = 1mm; K = 600μm; O = 400μm; C, D = 300 μm; F, L, P = 200μm; G, I, J, M =100μm.

Figure 7: Chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons failed to elicit changes in locomotor activity or anxiety-like behavior in the open field test (OFT) and did not induce place preference or aversion in the conditioned place preference Test (CPP). A Injection schematic: AAV8-DIO-HA-hM3Dq-mCherry into the LHb of a CRH-Cre::Ai14 mouse. **B** Representative traces of action potential generation in response to an 80pA current step in an LHb^{CRF} neuron expressing hM3Dq+ before and after bath application of 100 nM JHU37160. **C-E** Fluorescent images of a coronal LHb section from a CRH-Cre::Ai14 mouse injected with AAV-DIO-HA-hM3Dq, stained with anti-HA antibody, confirming co-expression of tdTomato (red) and HA-hM3Dq (green) in LHb^{CRF} neurons, scale bar 250μm. **F-I** Representative track plots showing mouse movement in the OFT (**F-G**) and in the saline-/JHU37160-paired and unpaired compartments of the CPP (**H-I**) for saline- or JHU37160-injected CRH-Cre::Ai14 Gq-DREADD mice. **J-O** show behavioral data in OFT and CPP in male (squares) and female (circles) mice treated with either saline (open symbols) or JHU37160 (filled symbols). **J-K** and **L-M** Quantification of OFT performance revealed no significant differences between JHU37160- and saline-treated mice of either sex in total distance traveled or time spent in the center or periphery of the arena. **N-O** CPP analysis showed no evidence of place preference in JHU37160-paired CRH-Cre::Ai14 Gq-DREADD mice, comparable to saline-paired ones. Abbreviations: *LHb*, lateral habenula; *mHb*, medial habenula; *Hipp*, hippocampus; *sm*, stria medullaris; *PVT*, paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus. Scale bar: C = 250 μm. *****p<0.0001, two-way ANOVA.

1290

Figure 8: Chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons shifts defensive strategies

toward passive action-locking in the Visual Looming Shadow Test (VLST).

(A) Representative image sequences illustrating the two primary defensive responses to a visual looming stimulus: Escape (top row) and action-locking (bottom row).

(B) Pie charts illustrating the percentage of trials resulting in action-locking (teal) vs. escape (orange) as well as action-locking to escape (purple) behaviors in male and female CRH-Cre::Ai14 mice expressing hM3Dq. In both sexes, administration of the DREADD agonist JHU37160 significantly increased the prevalence of action-locking behaviors. **(C–E)** show behavioral parameters in VLST in male (squares) and female (circles) mice treated with either saline (open symbols) or JHU37160 (filled symbols).

(C) Action-locking duration: Activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons resulted in an overall increase in the duration of action-locking in both male and female mice. Of note, three mice (one male Gq+JHU, one female Gq+Saline, and one female Gq+JHU) did not exhibit any action-locking behavior across all trials. **(D)** Latency to escape: Activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons significantly increased latencies to escape in males. **(E)** Shelter Stay Duration: Post-escape behavior analysis revealed that chemogenetic activation of LHb^{CRF} neurons significantly prolonged the duration spent within the shelter specifically in female mice. Data are presented as mean ±SEM. # p=0.08, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, Chi-square test, 2-way ANOVA.

Figure 9: LHb^{CRF} neurons in male CRH-Cre::TdTomato mice exhibit greater

spontaneous tonic and bursting activity than in females. A Coronal habenula slice

images from a CRH-Cre::tdTomato mouse, visualized using IR-DIC (left) and

fluorescence (right; scale bar = 40 μm). **B** Representative traces and pie charts

illustrating spontaneous neuronal activity and the percentage of silent (black), tonic

(blue), or bursting (pink) activity patterns in tdTomato-labeled LHb^{CRF} neurons from

male (n=49 cells/22 mice) and female (n=41 cells/9 mice) CRH-Cre::TdTomato mice

(voltage-clamp, cell-attached recordings at V=0 mV). **C** Action potential (AP) generation

in response to depolarizing current steps in tdTomato-labeled LHb^{CRF} neurons, recorded

under conditions of intact synaptic transmission, with representative traces from male

(black open squares, n=42 cells/22 mice) and female (black open circles, 30 cells/9

mice) CRH-Cre::TdTomato mice. *p<0.05; Chi-square test. Scale bars: A = 40 μm.

1325

Figure 10: LHb CRF+ Neurons form local monosynaptic glutamatergic connections with non-CRF neurons.

(A) Schematic illustrating the injection of a Cre-dependent anterograde vector (AAV5-Syn-FLEX-ChrimsonR-tdTomato) into the LHb of CRH-Cre::Ai6 mice to dissect local synaptic connectivity. **(B)** High-magnification image demonstrating the restricted expression of ChrimsonR-tdTomato within LHb CRF+ neurons and their local terminal fields. **(C)** Schematic and representative traces of optically evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (oEPSCs) recorded from a non-CRF (GFP-negative) LHb neuron. Inward currents recorded at -70mV (black trace) were almost completely abolished by the sodium channel blocker TTX (1μM; red trace) and subsequently rescued by the addition of the potassium channel blocker 4-AP (50μM; blue trace), confirming the monosynaptic nature of the connection. **(D, E)** Representative traces of paired-pulse oEPSCs recorded at -70mV from male (D) and female (E) mice across increasing LED intensities (10–100%). Bottom traces illustrate mixed AMPA- and NMDA-mediated oEPSCs recorded at +40mV at maximal (100%) LED intensity. **(F)** Mean oEPSC amplitudes (measured from the first pulse) across increasing LED intensities for male (squares) and female (circles) mice. **(G)** Normalized oEPSC amplitudes expressed as a percentage of the minimum and maximum response per cell to account for variability in viral expression. **(H)** Paired-Pulse Ratio (PPR). Quantification of the PPR (P2/P1) across LED intensities male (squares) and female (circles) mice. **(I)** AMPA/NMDA Ratios. Mean AMPA/NMDA ratios recorded from LHb CRF$^-$ neurons in male (squares) and female (circles) mice, showing no significant sex differences in postsynaptic receptor composition. In all graphs, n =cells/mice. Data are presented as mean±SEM.

1350   Abbreviations: *Hipp*, hippocampus; *mHb*, medial habenula; *PVT*, paraventricular

1351   nucleus of the thalamus. Scale bars: B = 200μm. ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001; Student's t-

1352   test and 2-way ANOVA.

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363