**The biased adenosine-rich content of the HIV-1 genome serves as a molecular signature**

**that facilitates efficient packaging**

Hung R. Vuong[1], Qianzi Zhou[1], Sydney Lesko[2], Kasyap Tenneti[1], Keanu Davis[1], Shanyqua

Scott[2], Moming Guo[1], Daphne Boodwa-Ko[1], Jenna E. Eschbach[1], Kamya Gopal[3], Jessica M.

Porter[1], Qibo Wang[1], Ming Xia[1], Anthony Boateng[1], Yiqing Wang[1], Shawn Mohammed[1],

Nakyung Lee[1], Alice Telesnitsky[3, 4], Nathan Sherer[2], Sebla B. Kutluay[1*]

[1]Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis,

Missouri, USA

[2]McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research and Institute for Molecular Virology, University of

Wisconsin, Madison, USA.

[3]Cell and Molecular Biology Program, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI

USA.

[4]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann

Arbor, MI USA.

*Corresponding author: kutluay@wustl.edu

**SIGNIFICANCE**

How HIV-1 selectively packages its genome (gRNA) into virions is poorly understood. To probe

this, we replaced the viral nucleocapsid (NC) protein with heterologous RNA-binding domains

(RBDs) from cellular hnRNP and SR protein families. Remarkably, despite their distinct RNA-

binding specificities, all Gag-RBD chimeras successfully recruited the gRNA to the plasma

membrane, suggesting that the initial gRNA recognition is not rate limiting. Only the Gag-SRSF5

chimera packaged gRNA efficiently which correlated with its capacity to multimerize on

adenosine-rich sequences on the gRNA. Notably, several Gag chimeras exhibited strong

dominant negative effects, underscoring NC functions as a targetable step in virus replication.

Together, these findings uncover that the biased nucleotide content of the HIV-1 gRNA

facilitates its selective packaging into virus particles.

## ABSTRACT

The HIV-1 genome (gRNA) has an unusually biased nucleotide content and is rich in adenosines. Selective packaging of the gRNA is thought to be driven by specific binding of the nucleocapsid (NC) domain of the viral Gag protein to the packaging signal ($\Psi$) in the host cell cytosol. However, deletion of regions within $\Psi$ reduces—but does not completely abolish—genome packaging. To probe whether another feature of the gRNA may contribute to the selective gRNA packaging process, we replaced NC with heterologous RNA-binding domains (RBDs) with distinct RNA-binding properties. Surprisingly, despite disparate RNA binding specificities, all Gag-RBD chimeras successfully recruited the gRNA to the plasma membrane, suggesting that the initial gRNA recognition in the cytosol is not rate limiting. Notwithstanding, many chimeras exhibiting G/C binding specificity were arrested at the assembly stage. Only the Gag-SRSF5 chimera, which multimerized efficiently on adenosine-rich sequences on the gRNA, assembled efficiently and packaged gRNA at near wild-type levels. Importantly, rationally designed mutations that altered the A/G-rich binding specificity of Gag-SRSF5 decreased genome encapsidation efficiency. Furthermore, many Gag chimeras displayed potent dominant negative activities, highlighting NC functions as a targetable step in virus replication. Together, our findings reveal an unexpected aspect of the HIV-1 gRNA, its biased nucleotide content, as a key driver of selective genome packaging.

## INTRODUCTION

Selective packaging of viral genomes is essential for replication and contributes to rapid viral evolution. For HIV-1, the nucleocapsid (NC) domain of the major HIV-1 structural protein Gag mediates the selective encapsidation of a non-covalent dimer of the viral genomic RNA (gRNA) into assembling virions[1-5]. This process is highly efficient, with nearly all HIV-1 particles containing a dimer of gRNA[1]. How such remarkable enrichment is achieved despite competition from abundant cellular mRNAs in infected cells remains a long-standing question.

Efficient gRNA packaging is widely thought to be initiated in the cytosol through high affinity binding of NC to specific sites within the highly structured cis-acting packaging signal, Ψ, located near the 5' end of the gRNA[6-11]. However, deletions within Ψ do not result in a complete loss of packaging[12-14]. Moreover, Gag binds with high affinity to both Ψ-containing and Ψ-null RNAs at physiological ionic strength[15] and packages cellular mRNAs into virus particles in the absence of HIV-1 gRNA[16,17]. Hence, selective binding of Gag to Ψ is insufficient to account for selective gRNA packaging.

Although Gag-Ψ interactions initiate in the cytosol[10,18], it remains unclear whether this early recognition event is essential for selective gRNA packaging. Live-cell imaging studies suggest that a small number of Gag molecules are needed to traffic and stably anchor the gRNA at the plasma membrane (PM)[19-21], where they nucleate the recruitment of additional Gag molecules to form high-order Gag multimers and drive virus budding[3,22-24]. RNA also contributes structurally to virions[25] and capsid (CA) mutations that disrupt Gag lattice assembly impair Ψ binding and genome packaging[26,27]. Together, these findings suggest that multiple Gag-binding sites within the gRNA increase local Gag concentration and promote Gag–Gag interactions during assembly[3,7]. However, the specific gRNA features that serve as a scaffold for Gag multimerization remain unknown.

The HIV-1 gRNA exhibits a strongly biased nucleotide content: rich in adenosines (~36%) and

poor in cytosines (~18%) with purines overrepresented in all codon positions of all HIV-1

genes[28,29]. Herein, we tested whether this bias promotes Gag-gRNA interactions and facilitates

selective gRNA packaging. To this end, we generated Gag chimeras with altered RNA binding

specificities by replacing the NC domain with RNA binding domains (RBDs) from the

heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) and serine/arginine-rich splicing factor

(SRSF) proteins. We hypothesized that chimeras with a preference for purine-rich sequences

would efficiently package gRNA. Remarkably, despite disparate RNA binding specificities, all

chimeras recruited the gRNA to the PM, suggesting that the initial gRNA recognition event is not

rate limiting. However, only Gag-SRSF5, which preferentially binds A/G-rich sequences,

packaged gRNA at near wild-type (WT) levels. Gag-SRSF5 efficiently multimerized on the HIV-1

gRNA at the PM and exhibited a purine-rich RNA binding specificity similar to WT Gag in

immature virus particles. Mutations that reduced its A/G-rich binding specificity also impaired

gRNA packaging. While all chimeras were non-infectious and many exhibited particle release

defects, they co-assembled with WT Gag and potently inhibited virus infectivity. Together, these

results indicate that cytosolic gRNA binding and PM trafficking alone are insufficient for selective

gRNA packaging; rather, efficient Gag multimerization on A-rich HIV-1 gRNA at the PM drives

preferential genome encapsidation over cellular RNAs.

**RESULTS**

**Gag-SRSF5 chimera efficiently packages the HIV-1 gRNA into virus particles**

We generated HIV-1$_{NL4-3}$-based proviral clones in which the NC domain of Gag was replaced

with tandem RBDs, including RNA-recognition motifs (RRMs) and K homology domains (KH),

derived from members of the hnRNP and SRSF protein families. We reasoned that RBDs with a

preference for binding to A-rich sequences might substitute for the genome packaging function

of NC. The selected hnRNP and SRSF proteins were chosen for their established ability to bind

to HIV-1 RNAs, but more importantly for their well-defined RNA binding specificities—hnRNP A1

recognizes "AGG" motifs; hnRNP F binds to G-rich oligonucleotides; hnRNP K binds to short C-

rich tracks; SRSF1, 4 and 5 recognize "AAG" motifs [30-35]. Controls included included

replacement of NC with leucine zipper domain from GCN4 (bZIP) that facilitates Gag

multimerization in the absence of NC [36] and complementation by NC itself (ΔNC-NC). All

chimeras expressed similar levels of Gag and Gag-Pol as well as their processing intermediates

in cells **(Fig. 1A).** Expression of the viral Env and Nef proteins, generated from spliced HIV-1

transcripts, was also comparable between WT Gag and Gag-RBD chimeric viruses, suggesting

that the isolated RBDs did not alter viral mRNA splicing (**Fig. S1A**). Particle release was largely

impaired for the Gag-hnRNP A1, -hnRNP F and hnRNP K chimeras **(Fig. 1A)**, whereas Gag-

SRSF1 and Gag-SRSF4 exhibited more modest release defects, and Gag-SRSF5 release was

largely unaffected **(Fig. 1A)**. Gag-SRSF5 particles contained levels of Gag-Pol and integrase

comparable to WT Gag and ΔNC-NC virions, indicating normal Gag-Pol incorporation and

processing **(Fig. 1A)**. However, given the multiple functions of NC in HIV-1 replication beyond

packaging[37-40], all chimeric viruses including Gag-SRSF5 were non-infectious (**Fig. S1B**).

As intended, all Gag-RBD chimeras bound to RNAs in cells and formed Gag-RNA adducts, with

each chimera associating with total RNA at levels significantly higher than WT Gag (**Fig. 1B,**

**S1C**). However, RT-qPCR analysis of purified virus particles revealed that only Gag-SRSF5

packaged gRNA with an efficiency resembling WT Gag **(Fig. 1C)**. Interestingly, host 7SL RNA

which is known to be enriched in HIV-1 virions[10,41-43], was also packaged at comparable levels to

WT Gag in Gag-SRSF5 particles (**Fig. S1D**). On the other hand, Gag-SRSF5 appeared to be

less selective for gRNA compared to WT Gag and encapsidated a higher proportion of multiply

spliced HIV-1 RNAs (**Fig. S1D, S1E**), possibly in part due to its known splicing regulatory

functions. In agreement, RNA-seq analysis of virion-incorporated RNAs further showed that

~30% of RNAs in Gag-SRSF5 particles were HIV-1-derived **(Fig. 1D)**, while cellular non-

rRNAs—predominantly composed of mRNAs and 7SL RNA—were enriched approximately

twofold compared to WT Gag and ΔNC-NC virions **(Fig. 1E, S1F)**. Northern blotting both

confirmed these results and demonstrated that gRNA and 7SL RNA packaged in Gag-SRSF5

particles were intact (**Fig. S1G**). Among the remaining chimeras, Gag-hnRNP A1 was the next

most efficient at gRNA packaging after taking into account the reduced number of released virus

particles (**Fig. S1E**), with approximately 20% of RNAs in Gag-hnRNP A1 virus particles HIV-1-

derived (**Fig. 1D**).

Single-virion imaging using YFP-tagged Gag and HIV-1 gRNA labeled using the bacteriophage-

derived MS2 system[19,44-46] showed that ~70% of Gag-SRSF5 particles encapsidated gRNA **(Fig.**

**1F, 1G).** Though we didn't directly assess whether the packaged genomes are monomers or

dimers, gRNA signal intensity per virion trended lower for Gag-SRSF5 particles relative to WT

Gag and Gag-ΔNC-NC (**Fig. 1H-J**), a result consistent with the hypothesis that not all Gag-

SRSF5 particles were packaging gRNA as dimers. Expectedly[1], ~90% of WT and ΔNC-NC

virions contained gRNA, whereas most ΔNC particles lacked detectable genomes (**Fig. 1F, 1G**).

Virion-associated Gag-YFP levels were comparable between WT and Gag–SRSF5 particles

(**Fig. 1H**), whereas ΔNC particles incorporated substantially less Gag-YFP (**Fig. 1H**), consistent

with impaired assembly.

To further examine the morphology of Gag-SRSF5 particles, we performed thin-section

transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of purified virions. Like WT and ΔNC-NC particles,

Gag-SRSF5 particles were ~100nm in diameter but contained eccentrically localized

condensates or displayed immature morphology **(Fig. 1K, 1L).** Biochemical fractionation of viral

cores from Gag-SRSF5 particles revealed unstable or improperly assembled cores, similar to

those observed for ΔNC and bZIP viruses, likely due to the presence of aberrant Gag

processing intermediates (**Fig. S1H-J**). These defects correlated with early reverse transcription

defects of Gag-SRSF5 particles in target cells (**Fig. S1K**) and a corresponding reduction in

virion infectivity (**Fig. S1B**).

**Gag-RBD chimeras efficiently recruit HIV-1 gRNA to the PM but many display assembly**

**defects**

The release defects of the several Gag-RBD chimeras prompted us to evaluate at what step of

virus assembly they were blocked at, which we reasoned would help explain what makes WT

Gag and Gag-SRSF5 successful at gRNA packaging. Like WT Gag, all Gag-RBD chimeras

localized in the cytosol and at the PM at steady state **(Fig. 2A, S2A, S2B)**. The control Gag

myristoylation mutant (G2A) which is defective in PM anchoring and was enriched in the cytosol

fraction (**Fig. 2A, S2A**). Surprisingly, all Gag-RBD chimeras recruited gRNA to the PM as

efficiently as WT Gag, with hnRNP A1 exhibiting markedly higher levels **(Fig. 2B)**. Notably,

Gag-hnRNP A1 preferentially trafficked gRNA to the PM relative to multiply spliced viral RNAs,

whereas other chimeras, including Gag-SRSF5, were comparably less selective **(Fig. 2B)**. In

contrast, the abundance of the control host GAPDH mRNA at the PM was independent of the

presence of Gag with a functional NC domain or RBDs (**Fig. 2B**). Single cell imaging of dually

labeled viruses confirmed that all Gag chimeras efficiently recruited the gRNA to the PM **(Fig.**

**2C, 2D, S2C, S2D)**. However, only Gag-SRSF5 formed WT-like foci with gRNA at the PM

whereas the other Gag chimeras often formed sheet-like assemblies at the PM consistent with

their assembly defects **(Fig. 2D, S2C, S2D)**. We also noted that a fraction of Gag-hnRNP F

displayed strong nuclear localization in many cells (**Fig. 2D**), potentially mediated by the central

glycine-tyrosine-arginine-rich (GYR) domain that lies between hnRNP F RRM2 and RRM3 and

functions as a nuclear localization signal[47].

To interrogate whether Gag-RBD chimeras defective for assembly could multimerize at the PM

but not generate the membrane curvature necessary for ESCRT-III recruitment[48], we conducted

TEM analyses in virus producer cells. Consistent with results from our fluorescence imaging

studies (**Fig. 2D, S2D**), Gag-RBD chimeras with release defects formed extended electron-

dense sheet-like structures at the PM **(Fig. 2E)**, indicating that they were capable of

multimerization and lattice formation. However, unlike WT Gag and ΔNC-NC, which formed

well-defined curved buds, the electron dense sheet-like structures from hnRNP A1, F, K and

Gag-SRSF1 chimeras had little to no membrane curvature. In contrast, Gag–SRSF4 and Gag–

SRSF5 generated buds more similar in size and morphology to WT and ΔNC-NC controls **(Fig.**

**2E)**. Altogether, these data demonstrate that Gag-RBD chimeras possessing diverse RNA-

binding modules can recruit the gRNA to the PM. However, defects in post-recruitment events

impair membrane curvature, budding, and efficient gRNA packaging for most chimeras.

**Gag-RBD chimeras exhibiting diverse RNA-binding specificities bind similarly to HIV-1**

**gRNA in cells**

To identify the feature of Gag-SRSF5 that allows it to package gRNA almost as efficiently as

WT Gag, we examined the RNA-binding specificities of Gag-RBD chimeras using PAR-CLIP at

different stages of virus particle assembly. In cells, similar to WT Gag, only a small fraction of

RNAs bound by most Gag–RBD chimeras were HIV-1–derived (**Fig. S3A**). This indicates that

the ability of Gag-SRSF5 to package gRNA is not due its association with higher levels of gRNA

in the cytosol. In contrast, Gag-hnRNP A1 consistently bound 5-10-fold more HIV-1 RNAs than

WT Gag (**Fig. S3A**), consistent with its enhanced ability to recruit gRNA to the PM (**Fig. 2B**).

Also similar to WT Gag, most Gag chimeras—except Gag-hnRNP F— were heavily associated

with cellular tRNAs (via the matrix domain[11]) and mRNAs (**Fig. 3A**); with mRNAs constituting a

larger fraction of cell-derived RNAs bound by the Gag-hnRNP A1, -SRSF4, and -SRSF5

chimeras (**Fig. 3A**). WT Gag and all chimeras also bound to introns, possibly reflecting a

subpopulation of Gag accumulating in the nucleus[49-51]. Nuclear trafficking of Gag-hnRNP F (**Fig.**

**2D**) could explain why tRNAs represented a smaller fraction of reads relative to intron-mapping

reads for this chimera (**Fig. 3A**), as well as hnRNP F's known strong association with

introns[33,35,47]. Motif analysis of bound mRNAs showed that the sequence preferences of Gag–

RBD chimeras aligned with the known RNA-binding specificities of their respective hnRNP/SR

protein–derived RBDs **(Fig. S3B)**[33,35,52-55].

On the HIV-1 genome, WT Gag and Gag-ΔNC-NC bound to discrete sites within the 5' leader,

including SL1 and SL3 (**Fig. 3B, S3C**), consistent with prior reports[10]. Surprisingly, all Gag-RBD

chimeras also preferentially bound these sites despite their diverse RNA-binding specificities,

though the extent with which they were bound to SL1 and SL3 varied (**Fig. 3B, S3C**). We also

tested whether progressive deletions of structured RNA elements within the 5' leader would

affect the efficiency of gRNA packaging by Gag-SRSF5 (**Fig. S3D**), observing only modest (2-

fold) relative reductions in packaging for a subset of mutations (**Fig. S3E, S3F**). We interpreted

these data as consistent with the hypothesis that features outside of the 5' leader are also

contributing significantly to the efficiency of genome packaging.

**Gag-SRSF5 multimerizes on the A-rich HIV-1 gRNA sequences**

Prior CLIP studies have established a correlation between Gag multimerization during virion

assembly and activation of a switch in Gag RNA-binding specificity; from G-rich sequences

towards A-rich motifs [10]. To determine if a similar specificity switch underpinned Gag-SRSF5's

ability to packaging gRNA similar to WT Gag, we performed comparative CLIP experiments for

all Gag chimeras from isolated PM fractions and immature virus particles. In membrane

fractions, WT Gag and Gag-RBD chimeras all bound higher overall levels of RNA relative to in

the cytoplasm (**Fig. 1B, S1C** vs. **Fig. 3C, S4A)**, consistent with enhanced engagement with

cognate RNAs upon multimerization at the PM during the onset of virus particle assembly. In

contrast, in virions, only Gag-SRSF5 yielded levels of protein:RNA complexes similar to WT

Gag, whereas Gag-SRSF1 and Gag-SRSF4 were largely impaired in RNA-binding **(Fig. 3D,**

**S4B)**. Expectedly, viral RNA-derived CLIP reads were enriched in virions relative to cells for WT

Gag **(Fig. S4C)**, but relatively low overall for Gag-SRSF5 **(Fig. S4C)**, consistent with its less

selective gRNA packaging (e.g. **Fig. 1G**).

Strikingly, in immature virus particles, the Gag-SRSF5 binding profile on gRNA closely mirrored

that of WT Gag and Gag-ΔNC-NC (**Fig. 3E**), with a statistically significant correlation nearing

that between WT Gag vs. Gag-ΔNC-NC (**Fig. S4D**). In virus particles, Gag-SRSF5-bound host

mRNAs also resembled those bound by WT Gag and Gag-ΔNC-NC, with mRNAs, intron-

containing RNA, and 7SL RNA representing the most frequently bound RNAs (**Fig. S4E).**

Moreover, A/G-rich motifs were enriched in cellular mRNAs bound by Gag-SRSF5, WT Gag,

and Gag-ΔNC-NC in virus particles **(Fig. S4F)**. In contrast to the genome binding profiles for WT

Gag and all Gag chimeras in the cytoplasm **(Fig. S3C)**, WT Gag and Gag-SRSF5 was

associated with a larger number of sites throughout the HIV-1 gRNA at the PM and less

prominently at the 5' UTR **(Fig. S4G),** consistent with a switch in binding specificity to A-rich

sequences during the onset of virus particle assembly. Consistent with this model, mutation of

Gag to reduce multimerization at the PM (Gag-CAΔCTD) markedly restricted Gag's capacity to

bind across A-rich regions of the gRNA (**Fig. S4G**). Gag-hnRNP A1 and Gag-hnRNP F, which

bind to G-rich sequences in cells, similarly showed little to no increase in A-rich binding sites at

the PM (**Fig. S3C vs. Fig. S4G**). Taken together, these results provided strong support for the

notion that the abilities of Gag and Gag-SRSF5 to efficiently multimerize on the A-rich gRNA

may underlie their similar capacities to efficiently package the HIV-1 genome.

**RNA binding properties of Gag-SRSF5 variants with reduced gRNA packaging efficiency**

To more directly test whether Gag-SRSF5's preference towards adenosine-rich motifs is

needed to package gRNA, we introduced mutations within the SRSF5 RRMs rationally designed

to reduce purine binding. To this end, we targeted two tandem RRMs bridged by a flexible linker

in SRSF5, predicted to mediate recognition of a minimal GGA motif based on a prior structural

study of SRSF1's RRM2 domain[56]. In this model, SRSF1 RRM2 residues D136, K138 and D139

were shown to serve as the basis for the extensive hydrogen binding network that defines the

purine-rich (GGA)-specific recognition event. Guided by this model, we designed two Gag-

SRSF5 mutants, mGGA-1 and mGGA-2, carrying substitutions in the homologous residues (e.g.

D123, K125, D126), predicted to weaken GGA-specific RNA recognition (**Fig. S5A**). In addition,

we engineered mutants predicted to shift the RNA-binding specificity of SRSF5 towards a CCG

motif (mCCG-1 and mCCG-2) or a GGG motif (mGGG) (**Fig. S5A**).

Reassuringly, these mutations did not impair the overall ability of Gag-SRSF5 to bind RNA in

cells, and all Gag-SRSF5 variants immunoprecipitated with RNA at higher than WT levels **(Fig.**

**S5B, S5C)**. In contrast, in virus particles, the variants bound to less RNA than parental Gag-

SRSF5 **(Fig. S5D, S5E)**. Consistent with our expectations, CLIP analysis showed that binding

sites for Gag-SRSF5 mCCG-2 and mGGG mutants in cellular RNAs were less adenosine-rich

and more cytosine-rich (**Fig. S5F, S5G**), while uracil and guanosine frequencies were

unchanged (**Fig. S5H, S5I**). Because 4SU-based crosslinking may underestimate shifts in

specificity, we repeated CLIP using 6-thioguanosine. Consistent with altered specificity, Gag-

SRSF5 mCCG-2 and mGGG mutants crosslinked to higher levels of RNA (**Fig. S5J**).

Altogether, the above data support the intended shift toward C-rich or G-rich sequence

recognition **(Fig. S5G, S5J)** and away from A-rich sequence recognition **(Fig. S5F)**.

Gag-SRSF5 mGGA-1 and mGGA-2 mutants packaged approximately two-fold lower levels of

gRNA, multiply spliced HIV-1 RNAs, and 7SL RNA relative to the parental Gag-SRSF5 control,

though this difference was not deemed statistically significant for gRNA **(Fig. 4A-C)**. The Gag-

SRSF5 mCCG-1, mCCG-2 and mGGG mutants also exhibited stronger defects in  packaging of

gRNA, multiply spliced viral RNAs, and 7SL RNA **(Fig. 4A-C)**.  As additional specificity controls,

packaging of cellular RPL36A, RPS6 and RPS16 mRNAs that we and others have found to be

abundantly incorporated into HIV-1 VLPs[16] was largely unaffected **(Fig. S5K-M)**.

We next determined the features of SRSF5 that enabled it to package gRNA efficiently

compared to the closely related SRSF4 protein, despite these proteins' similar reported

sequence binding specificities. We noted that SRSF4 RRM2 β3' and β3''β4 sheets near the

RNA binding pocket were more electronegative, and therefore introduced the respective SRSF4

substitutions into the Gag-SRSF5 backbone (β3' mut and β3''β4 mut). In addition, we replaced

the linker, RRM1, and RRM2 of Gag-SRSF5 with that of SRSF4. All mutants were well

expressed and supported efficient particle release **(Fig. 4D)**. While Gag-SRSF5 β3' mut and

β3''β4 mut variants packaged gRNA at levels equivalent to Gag-SRSF5, chimeras containing

the SRSF4 linker, RRM1, or RRM2 displayed significantly reduced gRNA packaging **(Fig. 4E)**.

Only the SRSF4 linker mutant reduced packaging of multiply spliced viral RNAs **(Fig. 4F)**,

indicating a more specific effect on gRNA packaging for the SRSF4 RRM/linker swaps.

Together, these findings further supported the conclusion that A-rich RNA binding facilitates

gRNA packaging and suggested that unique features within the SRSF5 RRM and linker

domains regulate Gag-SRSF5's selective packaging ability of the HIV-1 gRNA.

**Gag-RBD chimeras potently interfere with virion infectivity**

Given the release defects of Gag-RBD chimeras and their potential relevance to antiviral

strategies, we next tested their capacity to inhibit HIV-1 replication when expressed in *trans*. In

co-transfected cells, WT Gag enhanced but didn't fully restore virion incorporation of Gag-

hnRNP A1, F and K with comparably small effects on Gag-SRSF1, 4 and 5 **(Fig. 5A, S6A)**. Co-

assembly of Gag-hnRNP A1, Gag-hnRNP K, Gag-SRSF1, Gag-SRSF4, and Gag-SRSF5 with

WT Gag reduced viral titers by ≥100 fold, with negligible effects on particle release **(Fig. 5B,**

**S6B)**. Except for Gag-hnRNPK, which reduced gRNA packaging by ~3-fold, Gag-RBD chimeras

did not interfere with gRNA packaging and Gag-SRSF5 modestly increased the amount of

packaged gRNA (**Fig. S6C**). Thus, the potent dominant negative effects of Gag-RBD chimeras

were unlikely to result from interference with gRNA packaging *per se.* Notably, Gag-hnRNP K

exerted the strongest dominant negative effect despite low levels of incorporation into particles

in comparison to WT Gag **(Fig. 5A, 5B)**, with these effects confirmed as dose-dependent **(Fig.**

**5C, S6D)**. Interestingly, co-transfection with Gag-ΔNC and Gag-bZIP also led to a significant

decrease in infectivity (**Fig. 5B**), suggesting that the number of NC copies per virion is finely

tuned.

To further map the dominant negative activities, we next generated Gag-RBD chimeras

encoding each single RRM from hnRNP A1, F, K, or SRSF 1, 4, and 5 **(Fig. S7A)**, and further

screened RRMs derived from other hnRNP/SR proteins that naturally bear a single RBD (e.g.

hnRNP C, SRSF2, SRSF3, SRSF7). All Gag single-RBD chimeras generated virus particles

with release efficiencies that largely correlated with Gag-RBD chimera expression level in cells

**(Fig. S7B),** but these particles were universally non-infectious **(Fig. S7C)**. Interestingly, while all

Gag chimeras bound RNA in cells **(Fig. S7B),** none—including the Gag-SRSF5-RRM1 and

Gag-SRSF5-RRM2 chimeras—could package gRNA **(Fig. S7CD**. In competition assays, most

but not all chimeras reduced the infectivity of WT virions without impairing particle release (**Fig.**

**5D, S7E**), with unique patterns emerging. For example, the individual RRMs of hnRNP A1

reduced infectivity by 10-fold (**Fig. S7E**) as compared to the ~100-fold reduction previously

observed for these RRMs in tandem (**Fig. 5B, 5D**), suggesting cooperativity. For the Gag-

hnRNP K and Gag-SRSF4 chimeras, the bulk of their inhibitory activity could be mapped to their

KH2 and RRM1 domains, respectively (**Fig. S7E**). Interestingly, while the full RBD Gag-hnRNP

F chimera had no effect on infectivity (**Fig. 5B, 5D**), the Gag-hnRNP F-RRM1 chimera was

potently dominant negative (**Fig. S7E**). Of the proteins with single RBDs, Gag-SRSF2 and Gag-

SRSF3 were the most potent, whereas Gag-SRSF7 did not inhibit infectivity and Gag-hnRNP

C1 was only modestly inhibitory (**Fig. 5D**). With the exception of the Gag-SRSF3-RRM1

chimera, that decreased gRNA packaging by 5-fold, none of the chimeras interfered with WT

Gag's ability to package gRNA (**Fig. S7F**), again suggesting effects on virion structural integrity.

Dose-response analysis further identified Gag-hnRNP K-KH2 as the most potent inhibitor **(Fig.**

**5E, S7G)**. Although the precise mechanisms by which Gag-RBD chimeras inhibit infectivity

remain to be determined, these results demonstrate that perturbing the precision of NC-RNA

recognition can potently inhibit HIV-1 replication even downstream of successful gRNA

packaging.

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings challenge the prevailing model that NC-mediated recognition of the 5'-UTR in the

cytosol is the primary determinant of HIV-1 genome packaging. We show that heterologous

RBDs with diverse RNA-binding specificities can substitute for NC to recruit gRNA to the PM,

albeit with varying selectivity (**Fig. 2B**). Despite successful recruitment, only Gag-SRSF5

packaged gRNA at near WT levels which correlated with its unique ability to multimerize on the

A-rich genome at the PM and in immature virus particles. Together, these results indicate that

PM recruitment of gRNA alone is insufficient and that additional post-recruitment events

facilitate efficient genome packaging.

An unexpected finding from our study is that Gag-RBD chimeras with diverse RNA-binding

specificities bound regions within Ψ in cells, while still maintaining their established RNA-binding

preferences for cellular RNA targets. Multiple studies have shown that WT Gag recognizes Ψ

via high affinity interactions with unpaired guanosines within SL1 and SL3[11,57-59]. Because the

Gag-RBD chimeras tested here, with the exception of Gag-hnRNP K, preferentially bind to

single-stranded G-rich or A/G-rich sequences, it is possible that they engaged Ψ through similar

interactions with unpaired guanosines. Moving forward, it will be interesting to determine

whether RNA-binding modules that recognize dsRNAs can similarly recruit the HIV-1 gRNA to

the PM and successfully replace NC. In addition, understanding whether Ψ and HIV-1 gRNA

bear unique structural features that more readily destine the gRNA for PM trafficking by diverse

RBDs will provide crucial insight into selective gRNA packaging.

The well-defined specificity of the hnRNP and SRSF proteins studied herein allowed us to

obtain direct evidence to support the notion that A/G-rich binding by Gag-SRSF5 facilitates

efficient HIV-1 genome packaging. It is, however, intriguing why the closely related Gag-SRSF1

and Gag-SRSF4 chimeras with similar RNA-binding properties failed to package gRNA. We

propose that this selectivity does not necessarily argue against the putative role of A/G-rich

binding in efficient genome packaging, because  additional factors likely differ among these

proteins. For example, Gag-SRSF1 and -SRSF4 are bound to less RNA in virions than Gag-

SRSF5 (**Fig. 3D**), and in cells only one of their two RRMs (RRM1) bound RNA robustly,

whereas both RRMs of SRSF5 were engaged in strong RNA binding (**Fig. S7A**). Consistent

with weak binding, neither of the two SRSF4 RRMs could substitute for SRSF5 RRMs (**Fig. 4E**).

Moreover, the individual SRSF5 RRMs were insufficient for gRNA packaging (**Fig. S7C**), also

consistent with the notion that both RRMs of SRSF5 bear unique features and functioned

cooperatively.

The finding that gRNA encapsidation efficiency decreased when the electropositive RRM linker

of SRSF5 was replaced with the more electronegative linker of SRSF4 also suggests that

electrostatic interactions contribute to gRNA packaging (**Fig. 4E**). This aligns with prior work

wherein basic residues within the RNA-binding domains from the HIV-1 Rev protein or the

herpes simplex type 1 ICP27 protein were found successfully substitute for NC residues in  the

Rous sarcoma virus Gag NC protein [60]. The modular comparative strategy based on Gag-RBD

chimeras developed for this study should provide additional opportunities for further dissection

of the key structure-function relationships that underpin the differential control of NC-gRNA

interactions in the cytoplasm and at the PM.

Beyond providing a unique tool for studying gRNA packaging, our Gag-RBD chimeras will also

be instrumental in investigating functions of NC in budding, reverse transcription and

integration[38,40,61]. Defining what enables specific chimeras to support or disrupt these steps will

clarify essential NC activities for HIV-1 replication. Considering that a single dimeric gRNA in a

virion is bound by a magnitude of viral (e.g. integrase and reverse transcriptase) and host RNA-

binding proteins (e.g. APOBEC3 family), the ability to package gRNAs independent of NC by

Gag-SRSF5 can help determine the interdependency of how these diverse RNA-binding

proteins interact with the same gRNA. Given the potent dominant negative activities of Gag-

RBD chimeras, understanding how they inhibit HIV-1 replication can reveal new vulnerabilities

of HIV-1 for therapeutic development. Finally, our study also has implications for gene therapy

vector design, as it raises the possibility that rational tuning of codon usage may improve

packaging efficiency while maintaining functional protein output.

The unusual nucleotide composition of HIV-1 gRNA has, remarkably, remained stable through

multiple decades and over 90 million infections of humans despite the HIV-1 genome being

highly variable. Our study raises the possibility that selective packaging of the HIV-1 genome

also contributes to maintaining this A-richness. Given HIV-1's high mutation rate, a less

restrictive mechanism of selecting viral genomes for packaging — through efficient virion

assembly on viral RNAs with high adenosine bias rather than specific binding of viral proteins to

a packaging signal made up of conserved RNA sequences — could provide Gag with a general

mechanism for selective detection and packaging of genomes despite their constant

diversification. Besides HIV-1, a large number of other viruses with RNA genomes (e.g. HTLV-1

and Rubella) also have a biased nucleotide composition[62]. While our study suggests that

nucleotide composition may serve as a molecular signature for HIV-1 genome packaging,

similar principles are likely applicable to a broad range of RNA viruses.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Dr. Wandy Beatty and the Molecular Microbiology Imaging Facility at the Washington

University School of Medicine for their assistance with confocal microscopy and transmission

electron microscopy experiments. We thank Evan Sherer for her assistance with single cell

imaging studies. H.R.V. was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research

Fellowship under Grant No. DGE-1745038, DGE-2139839 and the National Institute of Allergy

and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32AI007172.

This work was supported by NIH grants U54 AI170660 (A.T., S.B.K., N.S.), R01 AI179691

(S.B.K., N.S.) and R56 AI110221 (N.S.).

CLIP-seq and RNA-seq data were deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus Database with

accession numbers GSE281422, GSE281423, and GSE281424.

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1. Gag-SRSF5 chimera efficiently packages HIV-1 gRNA. A.** HEK293T cells were

transfected with HIV-1$_{NL4-3}$ proviral plasmids encoding the indicated Gag-RBD chimeras. Cell

lysates and purified virions were analyzed with immunoblotting for Gag-Pol (GP), Gag, Capsid

(p24) and Integrase (IN).  **B.** Representative PAR-CLIP autoradiogram of Gag:RNA adducts

(top) and corresponding anti-HA immunoblot of Gag proteins (bottom) immunoprecipitated from

cells that were transfected with protease null proviral plasmids encoding the indicated Gag-RBD

chimeras. **C.** RT-qPCR quantification of relative copies of gRNA from purified Gag-RBD

chimeric virus particles (WT set to 1). Data show the average of 3 independent experiments,

error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were done by one-

way ANOVA with Dunnet's multiple comparison test for correction, whereby n.s. denotes non-

significant comparisons. Other group comparisons were $P<0.01$ but are not displayed due to

clarity. **D-E.** Proportion of reads that map to viral RNA (D) and cellular non-ribosomal RNAs (E)

obtained from total RNA-seq experiments performed on purified virions. Data show the average

of 2-3 independent experiments, error bars represent the SEM. **F.** Representative fluorescence

microscopy images of HIV-1 particles harvested from 293T cells generating two-color viruses

encoding a Gag chimera-YFP fusion protein and gRNAs engineered to carry MS2 RNA stem

loops (MSL) that are tightly bound by self-encoded MS2-mCherry tracker proteins. Cartoon

depiction of the two-color (Gag-YFP/MS2-mCherry) reporter virus is depicted above the images.

**G.** Percentage of Gag-YFP+ particles that contain gRNA (mCherry$^+$YFP$^+$) based on single-virion

analysis is shown. Data show the mean from 3 independent experiments, error bars show the

standard deviation. **H-J**. Violin plots showing Gag-YFP (H), MS2-mCherry (I) and MS2-

mCherry/Gag-YFP (J) mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios measured for WT, Gag-ΔNC,

and Gag-SRSF5 particles shown in panel F. 60 particles were quantified for each condition. **K.**

Representative thin section electron microscopy (TEM) images showing WT Gag, Gag-ΔNC,

Gag-ΔNC-NC, Gag-bZIP, and Gag-SRSF5 virions. Scale bar = 100 nm. **L.** Violin plot showing

the particle diameters (n=200) obtained from panel K. For panels G-L \*$P<0.05$; \*\*\*\* $P<0.0001$;

n.s. non-significant by one-way ANOVA with Dunnet's multiple comparison test for correction.

**Figure 2. Gag-RBD chimeras efficiently recruit and retain gRNA at the PM. A.** Immunoblot

analysis of Gag from membrane (M) and cytoplasmic (C) fractions isolated from 293T cells

transfected with the indicated proviral (PR null) Gag-RBD plasmids using a mouse monoclonal

anti-HA antibody. **B.** RT-qPCR quantitation of the relative PM/cytosol ratios of gRNA, multiply

spliced HIV-1 mRNA, and GAPDH mRNA copies. Data show the average of three independent

experiments, error bars represent SEM. \*\*\*\* $P<0.0001$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnet's

correction. Non-significant comparisons are not displayed for clarity. **C.** Quantification of Gag-

gRNA colocalization at the PM from fluorescence imaging of HeLa cells generating the two-

color (Gag-YFP/MS2-mCherry) reporter viruses described in Fig. 1F modified to encode the

indicated Gag-RBD chimera. **D.** Representative fluorescence microscopy images of HeLa cells

generating the two-color (Gag-YFP/MS2-mCherry) reporter viruses. . Scale bars represent 20

µm in full images and 2.5 µm in regions of interest (ROI) at the PM. ROIs are indicated by

yellow dotted boxes. Yellow arrowheads indicate where Gag and gRNA colocalize. **E.**

Representative TEM images from two independent experiments showing virion assembly sites

at the PM of 293T cells transfected with the indicated Gag plasmids. Scale bar represents 500

nm.

**Figure 3. CLIP-seq analysis of Gag-RBD chimeras reveals the unique ability of Gag-**

**SRSF5 to multimerize on the gRNA in virus particles. A.** Classification of read clusters that

map to cellular RNAs generated from Gag-CLIP experiments done in cell lysates; total number

of reads is indicated below each pie chart. **B.** Representative read density distribution within the

5' leader (1-400 nt, x-axis) from Gag-RBD CLIP experiments done in cell lysates. Location of

stem loops 1 and 3 (SL1, SL3) are indicated by black lines. **C-D.** Representative

autoradiograms of Gag-RNA complexes (top) and immunoblot analysis of Gag proteins (bottom)

immunoprecipitated from the PM fraction (C) or immature virus particles (D) in CLIP

experiments. (*) marks the IgG-heavy chain from the immunoprecipitating anti-HA antibody. **E.**

Read density distribution on full-length HIV-1 gRNA (x-axis) from WT Gag, Gag-ΔNC-NC and

Gag-SRSF5 CLIP-seq experiments done in immature virus particles.

**Figure 4. RNA binding properties of Gag-SRSF5 variants with impaired gRNA packaging.**

**A-C.** RT-qPCR quantification of relative copies of gRNA, multiply spliced HIV-1 RNA, and 7SL

RNA from HIV-1 particles collected from 293T cells expressing the indicated Gag. Data show

the average of 3 independent experiments and the error bars represent SEM. **D.** HEK293T cells

were transfected with proviral plasmids (PR null) encoding the indicated Gag-SRSF5/SRSF4

chimeric proteins. Cell lysates and purified virus particles were harvested 2 days post-

transfection and analyzed with immunoblotting for Gag. **E-F.** RT-qPCR quantification of relative

copies of gRNA (E) and multiply spliced HIV-1 RNAs (F) from HIV-1 particles collected from

293T cells expressing the indicated Gag. Data show the average of 3 independent experiments

and the error bars represent SEM. (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$ by one-

way ANOVA with Dunnet's multiple comparison test for correction, whereby n.s. denotes non-

significant comparisons). Some n.s. comparisons are not displayed due to clarity.

**Figure 5. Gag-RBD chimeras are potently dominant negative. A.** Proviral plasmids encoding

ΔGag or WT Gag was co-transfected with the indicated Gag-RBD proviral plasmids in 293T

cells. 2 days post-transfection, cell lysates and purified virions were harvested for immunoblot

analysis of Gag using a mouse monoclonal antibody against the HA-tag. **B.** 293T cells were

transfected with equal ratio of Gag-RBD chimeric HIV-1 proviral plasmids and a WT Gag HIV-1

proviral plasmid. Progeny virions were titered on TZM-bl reporter cells, with dextran-sulfate

added to limit infection to one cycle. The titers were presented as relative to WT which was set

to 1. **C.** Infectious titers of viruses released from 293T cells co-transfected with a WT Gag

proviral plasmid and variable amounts of the Gag-RBD chimeras. **D.** 293T cells were

transfected as in Panel B but with the indicated Gag-RBD chimeras bearing single RRMs. Mean

infectious titers of released viruses on TZM-bl indicator cells is shown. **E.** Infectious titers of

viruses released from 293T cells co-transfected with a WT Gag proviral plasmid and variable

amounts of the single domain Gag-RBD chimeras. Data show the mean of four (panel B, C) and

three (panel D, E) independent replicates with error bars displaying the SEM. ****$P<0.0001$ by

one-way ANOVA with Dunnet's correction. Non-significant comparisons are not displayed for

clarity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

HIV-1 NL4-3-based proviral plasmids bearing heterologous RNA-binding domains from hnRNP and SRSF proteins were generated by conventional cloning. These clones were subsequently transfected into HEK293T and HeLa cells to study expression and assembly properties as well as gRNA packaging through the assays detailed in SI Appendix, Materials and Methods.

**REFERENCES**

1. Chen, J., Nikolaitchik, O., Singh, J., Wright, A., Bencsics, C.E., Coffin, J.M., Ni, N., Lockett, S., Pathak, V.K., and Hu, W.S. (2009). High efficiency of HIV-1 genomic RNA packaging and heterozygote formation revealed by single virion analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *106*, 13535-13540. 10.1073/pnas.0906822106.

2. Moore, M.D., and Hu, W.S. (2009). HIV-1 RNA dimerization: It takes two to tango. AIDS Rev *11*, 91-102.

3. Duchon, A., and Hu, W.S. (2024). HIV-1 RNA genome packaging: it's G-rated. mBio *15*, e0086123. 10.1128/mbio.00861-23.

4. Aldovini, A., and Young, R.A. (1990). Mutations of RNA and protein sequences involved in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 packaging result in production of noninfectious virus. J Virol *64*, 1920-1926. 10.1128/jvi.64.5.1920-1926.1990.

5. Gorelick, R.J., Nigida, S.M., Jr., Bess, J.W., Jr., Arthur, L.O., Henderson, L.E., and Rein, A. (1990). Noninfectious human immunodeficiency virus type 1 mutants deficient in genomic RNA. J Virol *64*, 3207-3211. 10.1128/jvi.64.7.3207-3211.1990.

6. Kuzembayeva, M., Dilley, K., Sardo, L., and Hu, W.S. (2014). Life of psi: how full-length HIV-1 RNAs become packaged genomes in the viral particles. Virology *454-455*, 362-370. 10.1016/j.virol.2014.01.019.

7. Rein, A. (2019). RNA Packaging in HIV. Trends Microbiol *27*, 715-723. 10.1016/j.tim.2019.04.003.

8. De Guzman, R.N., Wu, Z.R., Stalling, C.C., Pappalardo, L., Borer, P.N., and Summers, M.F. (1998). Structure of the HIV-1 nucleocapsid protein bound to the SL3 psi-RNA recognition element. Science *279*, 384-388. 10.1126/science.279.5349.384.

9. Ding, P., Kharytonchyk, S., Waller, A., Mbaekwe, U., Basappa, S., Kuo, N., Frank, H.M., Quasney, C., Kidane, A., Swanson, C., et al. (2020). Identification of the initial nucleocapsid recognition element in the HIV-1 RNA packaging signal. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 17737-17746. 10.1073/pnas.2008519117.

10. Kutluay, S.B., Zang, T., Blanco-Melo, D., Powell, C., Jannain, D., Errando, M., and Bieniasz, P.D. (2014). Global changes in the RNA binding specificity of HIV-1 gag regulate virion genesis. Cell *159*, 1096-1109. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.057.

11. Nikolaitchik, O.A., Somoulay, X., Rawson, J.M.O., Yoo, J.A., Pathak, V.K., and Hu, W.S. (2020). Unpaired Guanosines in the 5' Untranslated Region of HIV-1 RNA Act Synergistically To Mediate Genome Packaging. J Virol *94*. 10.1128/JVI.00439-20.

12. Clever, J.L., and Parslow, T.G. (1997). Mutant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomes with defects in RNA dimerization or encapsidation. J Virol *71*, 3407-3414.

13. Laham-Karam, N., and Bacharach, E. (2007). Transduction of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vectors lacking encapsidation and dimerization signals. J Virol *81*, 10687-10698. 10.1128/JVI.00653-07.

14. McBride, M.S., and Panganiban, A.T. (1997). Position dependence of functional
hairpins important for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA encapsidation
in vivo. J Virol *71*, 2050-2058.
15. Comas-Garcia, M., Datta, S.A., Baker, L., Varma, R., Gudla, P.R., and Rein, A.
(2017). Dissection of specific binding of HIV-1 Gag to the 'packaging signal' in
viral RNA. Elife *6*. 10.7554/eLife.27055.
16. Rulli, S.J., Hibbert, C.S., Mirro, J., Pederson, T., Biswal, S., and Rein, A. (2007).
Selective and Nonselective Packaging of Cellular RNAs in Retrovirus Particles.
Journal of Virology *81*, 6623-6631. doi:10.1128/jvi.02833-06.
17. Muriaux, D., Mirro, J., Harvin, D., and Rein, A. (2001). RNA is a structural
element in retrovirus particles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *98*, 5246-5251.
10.1073/pnas.091000398.
18. Kutluay, S.B., and Bieniasz, P.D. (2010). Analysis of the initiating events in HIV-1
particle assembly and genome packaging. PLoS Pathog *6*, e1001200.
10.1371/journal.ppat.1001200.
19. Jouvenet, N., Simon, S.M., and Bieniasz, P.D. (2009). Imaging the interaction of
HIV-1 genomes and Gag during assembly of individual viral particles. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A *106*, 19114-19119. 10.1073/pnas.0907364106.
20. Sardo, L., Hatch, S.C., Chen, J., Nikolaitchik, O., Burdick, R.C., Chen, D.,
Westlake, C.J., Lockett, S., Pathak, V.K., and Hu, W.S. (2015). Dynamics of HIV-
1 RNA Near the Plasma Membrane during Virus Assembly. J Virol *89*, 10832-
10840. 10.1128/jvi.01146-15.
21. Chen, J., Rahman, S.A., Nikolaitchik, O.A., Grunwald, D., Sardo, L., Burdick,
R.C., Plisov, S., Liang, E., Tai, S., Pathak, V.K., and Hu, W.S. (2016). HIV-1 RNA
genome dimerizes on the plasma membrane in the presence of Gag protein.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *113*, E201-208. 10.1073/pnas.1518572113.
22. Freed, E.O. (2015). HIV-1 assembly, release and maturation. Nat Rev Microbiol
*13*, 484-496. 10.1038/nrmicro3490.
23. Ivanchenko, S., Godinez, W.J., Lampe, M., Kräusslich, H.-G., Eils, R., Rohr, K.,
Bräuchle, C., Müller, B., and Lamb, D.C. (2009). Dynamics of HIV-1 Assembly
and Release. PLOS Pathogens *5*, e1000652. 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000652.
24. Kutluay, S.B., and Bieniasz, P.D. (2010). Analysis of the Initiating Events in HIV-
1 Particle Assembly and Genome Packaging. PLOS Pathogens *6*, e1001200.
10.1371/journal.ppat.1001200.
25. Muriaux, D., Mirro, J., Harvin, D., and Rein, A. (2001). RNA is a structural
element in retrovirus particles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
*98*, 5246-5251. doi:10.1073/pnas.091000398.
26. Duchon, A., Santos, S., Chen, J., Brown, M., Nikolaitchik, O.A., Tai, S., Chao,
J.A., Freed, E.O., Pathak, V.K., and Hu, W.-S. (2021). Plasma Membrane
Anchoring and Gag:Gag Multimerization on Viral RNA Are Critical Properties of
HIV-1 Gag Required To Mediate Efficient Genome Packaging. mBio *12*, e03254-
03221. doi:10.1128/mbio.03254-21.
27. Lei, X., Gonçalves-Carneiro, D., Zang, T.M., and Bieniasz, P.D. (2023). Initiation
of HIV-1 Gag lattice assembly is required for recognition of the viral genome
packaging signal. eLife *12*, e83548. 10.7554/eLife.83548.

28. Kypr, J., and Mrazek, J. (1987). Unusual codon usage of HIV. Nature *327*, 20.
10.1038/327020a0.
29. van der Kuyl, A.C., and Berkhout, B. (2012). The biased nucleotide composition
of the HIV genome: a constant factor in a highly variable virus. Retrovirology *9*,
92. 10.1186/1742-4690-9-92.
30. Han, Siew P., Tang, Yue H., and Smith, R. (2010). Functional diversity of the
hnRNPs: past, present and perspectives. Biochemical Journal *430*, 379-392.
10.1042/bj20100396.
31. Jeong, S. (2017). SR Proteins: Binders, Regulators, and Connectors of RNA. Mol
Cells *40*, 1-9. 10.14348/molcells.2017.2319.
32. Sertznig, H., Hillebrand, F., Erkelenz, S., Schaal, H., and Widera, M. (2018).
Behind the scenes of HIV-1 replication: Alternative splicing as the dependency
factor on the quiet. Virology *516*, 176-188. 10.1016/j.virol.2018.01.011.
33. Huelga, S.C., Vu, A.Q., Arnold, J.D., Liang, T.Y., Liu, P.P., Yan, B.Y., Donohue,
J.P., Shiue, L., Hoon, S., Brenner, S., et al. (2012). Integrative genome-wide
analysis reveals cooperative regulation of alternative splicing by hnRNP proteins.
Cell Rep *1*, 167-178. 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.02.001.
34. Pandit, S., Zhou, Y., Shiue, L., Coutinho-Mansfield, G., Li, H., Qiu, J., Huang, J.,
Yeo, G.W., Ares, M., Jr., and Fu, X.D. (2013). Genome-wide analysis reveals SR
protein cooperation and competition in regulated splicing. Mol Cell *50*, 223-235.
10.1016/j.molcel.2013.03.001.
35. Kutluay, S.B., Emery, A., Penumutchu, S.R., Townsend, D., Tenneti, K.,
Madison, M.K., Stukenbroeker, A.M., Powell, C., Jannain, D., Tolbert, B.S., et al.
(2019). Genome-Wide Analysis of Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein
(hnRNP) Binding to HIV-1 RNA Reveals a Key Role for hnRNP H1 in Alternative
Viral mRNA Splicing. J Virol *93*. 10.1128/jvi.01048-19.
36. Accola, M.A., Strack, B., and Göttlinger, H.G. (2000). Efficient particle production
by minimal Gag constructs which retain the carboxy-terminal domain of human
immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsid-p2 and a late assembly domain. J Virol *74*,
5395-5402. 10.1128/jvi.74.12.5395-5402.2000.
37. Derdowski, A., Ding, L., and Spearman, P. (2004). A novel fluorescence
resonance energy transfer assay demonstrates that the human
immunodeficiency virus type 1 Pr55Gag I domain mediates Gag-Gag
interactions. J Virol *78*, 1230-1242. 10.1128/jvi.78.3.1230-1242.2004.
38. Levin, J.G., Mitra, M., Mascarenhas, A., and Musier-Forsyth, K. (2010). Role of
HIV-1 nucleocapsid protein in HIV-1 reverse transcription. RNA Biol *7*, 754-774.
10.4161/rna.7.6.14115.
39. Darlix, J.L., Gabus, C., Nugeyre, M.T., Clavel, F., and Barré-Sinoussi, F. (1990).
Cis elements and trans-acting factors involved in the RNA dimerization of the
human immunodeficiency virus HIV-1. J Mol Biol *216*, 689-699. 10.1016/0022-
2836(90)90392-y.
40. Gao, K., Gorelick, R.J., Johnson, D.G., and Bushman, F. (2003). Cofactors for
human immunodeficiency virus type 1 cDNA integration in vitro. J Virol *77*, 1598-
1603. 10.1128/jvi.77.2.1598-1603.2003.
41. Onafuwa-Nuga, A.A., Telesnitsky, A., and King, S.R. (2006). 7SL RNA, but not
the 54-kd signal recognition particle protein, is an abundant component of both

infectious HIV-1 and minimal virus-like particles. Rna *12*, 542-546.
10.1261/rna.2306306.
42.   Itano, M.S., Arnion, H., Wolin, S.L., and Simon, S.M. (2018). Recruitment of 7SL
RNA to assembling HIV-1 virus-like particles. Traffic *19*, 36-43.
10.1111/tra.12536.
43.   Eckwahl, M.J., Arnion, H., Kharytonchyk, S., Zang, T., Bieniasz, P.D.,
Telesnitsky, A., and Wolin, S.L. (2016). Analysis of the human immunodeficiency
virus-1 RNA packageome. Rna *22*, 1228-1238. 10.1261/rna.057299.116.
44.   Becker, J.T., and Sherer, N.M. (2017). Subcellular Localization of HIV-1 gag-pol
mRNAs Regulates Sites of Virion Assembly. J Virol *91*. 10.1128/jvi.02315-16.
45.   Guerrero, J.F., Lesko, S.L., Evans, E.L., and Sherer, N.M. (2024). Studying
Retroviral Life Cycles Using Visible Viruses and Live Cell Imaging. Annu Rev
Virol *11*, 125-146. 10.1146/annurev-virology-100422-012608.
46.   GC, K., Lesko, S., Emery, A., Burnett, C., Gopal, K., Clark, S., Swanstrom, R.,
Sherer, N.M., Telesnitsky, A., and Kharytonchyk, S. (2025). HIV-1 single-
transcription start-site mutants display complementary replication functions that
are restored by reversion. Journal of Virology *0*, e02139-02124.
doi:10.1128/jvi.02139-24.
47.   Van Dusen, C.M., Yee, L., McNally, L.M., and McNally, M.T. (2010). A glycine-
rich domain of hnRNP H/F promotes nucleocytoplasmic shuttling and nuclear
import through an interaction with transportin 1. Mol Cell Biol *30*, 2552-2562.
10.1128/mcb.00230-09.
48.   Lee, I.H., Kai, H., Carlson, L.A., Groves, J.T., and Hurley, J.H. (2015). Negative
membrane curvature catalyzes nucleation of endosomal sorting complex
required for transport (ESCRT)-III assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*,
15892-15897. 10.1073/pnas.1518765113.
49.   Tuffy, K.M., Maldonado, R.J.K., Chang, J., Rosenfeld, P., Cochrane, A., and
Parent, L.J. (2020). HIV-1 Gag Forms Ribonucleoprotein Complexes with
Unspliced Viral RNA at Transcription Sites. Viruses *12*. 10.3390/v12111281.
50.   Chang, J., and Parent, L.J. (2023). HIV-1 Gag co-localizes with euchromatin
histone marks at the nuclear periphery. J Virol *97*, e0117923. 10.1128/jvi.01179-
23.
51.   Lochmann, T.L., Bann, D.V., Ryan, E.P., Beyer, A.R., Mao, A., Cochrane, A., and
Parent, L.J. (2013). NC-mediated nucleolar localization of retroviral gag proteins.
Virus Res *171*, 304-318. 10.1016/j.virusres.2012.09.011.
52.   Sanford, J.R., Wang, X., Mort, M., Vanduyn, N., Cooper, D.N., Mooney, S.D.,
Edenberg, H.J., and Liu, Y. (2009). Splicing factor SFRS1 recognizes a
functionally diverse landscape of RNA transcripts. Genome Res *19*, 381-394.
10.1101/gr.082503.108.
53.   Änkö, M.L., Müller-McNicoll, M., Brandl, H., Curk, T., Gorup, C., Henry, I., Ule, J.,
and Neugebauer, K.M. (2012). The RNA-binding landscapes of two SR proteins
reveal unique functions and binding to diverse RNA classes. Genome Biol *13*,
R17. 10.1186/gb-2012-13-3-r17.
54.   Li, J., Li, G., Qi, Y., Lu, Y., Wang, H., Shi, K., Li, D., Shi, J., Stovall, D.B., and
Sui, G. (2021). SRSF5 regulates alternative splicing of DMTF1 pre-mRNA

through modulating SF1 binding. RNA Biol *18*, 318-336.
10.1080/15476286.2021.1947644.

55.  Buratti, E., Muro, A.F., Giombi, M., Gherbassi, D., Iaconcig, A., and Baralle, F.E.
(2004). RNA folding affects the recruitment of SR proteins by mouse and human
polypurinic enhancer elements in the fibronectin EDA exon. Mol Cell Biol *24*,
1387-1400. 10.1128/mcb.24.3.1387-1400.2004.

56.  Cléry, A., Sinha, R., Anczuków, O., Corrionero, A., Moursy, A., Daubner, G.M.,
Valcárcel, J., Krainer, A.R., and Allain, F.H.-T. (2013). Isolated pseudo–RNA-
recognition motifs of SR proteins can regulate splicing using a noncanonical
mode of RNA recognition. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
*110*, E2802-E2811. doi:10.1073/pnas.1303445110.

57.  Keane, S.C., Heng, X., Lu, K., Kharytonchyk, S., Ramakrishnan, V., Carter, G.,
Barton, S., Hosic, A., Florwick, A., Santos, J., et al. (2015). Structure of the HIV-1
RNA packaging signal. Science *348*, 917-921. 10.1126/science.aaa9266.

58.  Wilkinson, K.A., Gorelick, R.J., Vasa, S.M., Guex, N., Rein, A., Mathews, D.H.,
Giddings, M.C., and Weeks, K.M. (2008). High-Throughput SHAPE Analysis
Reveals Structures in HIV-1 Genomic RNA Strongly Conserved across Distinct
Biological States. PLOS Biology *6*, e96. 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060096.

59.  Abd El-Wahab, E.W., Smyth, R.P., Mailler, E., Bernacchi, S., Vivet-Boudou, V.,
Hijnen, M., Jossinet, F., Mak, J., Paillart, J.-C., and Marquet, R. (2014). Specific
recognition of the HIV-1 genomic RNA by the Gag precursor. Nature
Communications *5*, 4304. 10.1038/ncomms5304.

60.  Rice, B.L., Lochmann, T.L., and Parent, L.J. (2020). RNA-Binding Domains of
Heterologous Viral Proteins Substituted for Basic Residues in the RSV Gag NC
Domain Restore Specific Packaging of Genomic RNA. Viruses *12*.
10.3390/v12040370.

61.  Sette, P., O'Connor, S.K., Yerramilli, V.S., Dussupt, V., Nagashima, K.,
Chutiraka, K., Lingappa, J., Scarlata, S., and Bouamr, F. (2016). HIV-1
Nucleocapsid Mimics the Membrane Adaptor Syntenin PDZ to Gain Access to
ESCRTs and Promote Virus Budding. Cell Host Microbe *19*, 336-348.
10.1016/j.chom.2016.02.004.

62.  Gaunt, E.R., and Digard, P. (2022). Compositional biases in RNA viruses:
Causes, consequences and applications. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA *13*, e1679.
10.1002/wrna.1679.

**A**

Gag (M)

64
51

Gag (C)

64
51

WT  ΔNC  G2A  hnRNP A1  hnRNP F  hnRNP K  SRSF1  SRSF4  SRSF5

**B**

■ gRNA  ■ multiply spl.  ■ GAPDH

relative copies

****

WT  ΔNC  G2A  hnRNP A1  hnRNP F  hnRNP K  SRSF1  SRSF4  SRSF5

**C**

%GFP-YFP+ cells with MS2-mCherry at PM

WT  ΔNC  ΔNC-NC  SRSF5  hnRNPA1  hnRNPF  hnRNPK

**D**

WT  ΔNC  ΔNC-NC  hnRNP A1  hnRNP F  hnRNP K  SRSF5

MS2-mCherry

Gag-YFP

Merge with DAPI

Scale bar = 20µm

ROI

Scale bar = 2.5µm

**E**

WT  ΔNC-NC  hnRNP A1  hnRNP F

hnRNP K  SRSF1  SRSF4  SRSF5

Scale bar = 0.5µm