# Global genome analysis identifies glycolipids and lipoteichoic acid alanylation as contributors to Group B streptococcal diabetic wound infection

1    Luke R. Joyce[1], Madeline S. Akbari[1], Dustin T. Nguyen[1], Brady L. Spencer[1,2], Jeremy
2    Rahkola[3], Kevin S. McIver[4], Alexander R. Horswill[1,3], Kelly S. Doran[1,*], and Rebecca A.
3                                    Keogh[1,*]

[1]Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado Anschutz, Aurora, CO, USA
[2]Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Cancer Biology, University of Viriginia School
of Medicine, Virginia, USA
[3]Department of Veterans Affairs, Eastern Colorado Healthcare System, Aurora, CO, USA.
[4]Cell Biology and Molecular Genetics, Maryland Pathogen Research Institute, University of
Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA
10
11   *Correspondence: Kelly.Doran@CUAnschutz.edu, Rebecca.Keogh@ CUAnschutz.edu
12

## ABSTRACT

Individuals with diabetes frequently develop chronic, hyper-inflammatory, non-healing
wounds, which are the leading cause of all non-traumatic amputations. Group B
*Streptococcus* (GBS) is a prominent bacterium isolated from diabetic wound infections
and in a murine model of diabetic wound infection, GBS stimulates an influx of neutrophils
into the wound. Utilizing a transposon mutant screen, we identified 291 bacterial genes
required for fitness during diabetic wound infection including enzymes involved in
glycolipid biosynthesis and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) alanylation. GBS mutants lacking either
LTA alanylation (Δ*dltA*) or all glycolipids (Δ*iagB*) are attenuated in a murine diabetic
wound infection. GBS induces primary and secondary degranulation in primary human
neutrophils and the Δ*iagB* mutant is significantly more susceptible to neutrophil killing by
cationic antimicrobial peptides and reactive oxygen species than Δ*dltA*. Finally, we found
that depletion of neutrophils led to significantly greater bacterial recovery, highlighting the
importance of neutrophil defense during diabetic wound infection.
28

## INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a group of metabolic diseases, projected to affect over 700 million
people by 2045 [1]. A frequent complication of diabetes is the development of wounds on
the foot and lower limbs [2,3]. These wounds often become chronic, failing to heal within 4
to 6 weeks, due to an unresolved inflammatory phase [4,5]. This inflammation, primarily
driven by neutrophils and macrophages leads to the production of reactive oxygen
species (ROS), cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs), matrix metalloproteases, and
pro-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines, which perpetuate inflammation and limit the
reformation of tissue [6,7].

Diabetic wounds frequently become colonized by a variety of bacterial species
which can result in chronic infection [8–11]. While some infections are limited to the wound

itself, if the infection is uncontrolled, bacteria can penetrate deeper tissue leading to bone
and systemic infections, which drive the need for amputation [3,12,13]. Some of the most
prominent bacterial species identified in diabetic wound infection are

*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, Group B *Streptococcus* (GBS),
*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and many anaerobic species [8,9,14,15]. These infections are
often difficult to treat due to high levels of antibiotic resistance and tolerance amongst
isolates [8,16,17]. Interestingly, work by Sook et al. has demonstrated that *S. aureus* evolves
antibiotic resistance in a diabetic host, and that hyperglycemia potentiates the expansion
of resistant populations *in vivo* [18]. Further complicating treatment of diabetic wounds is
that bacteria in chronic wounds often live in biofilm communities [19,20]. Biofilms, consisting
of bacterial cells, DNA, and proteins often lead to a physical barrier which is difficult to
treat by antibiotics or routine debridement of tissues [19]. These biofilms can be mono or
polymicrobial in nature, and work by Ch'ng et al. has found that *S. aureus* can assist in
*E. faecalis* biofilm formation via heme cross-feeding [21].

GBS is a Gram-positive bacterium which colonizes approximately 25% of all
individuals in the gastrointestinal and vaginal tract [22–24]. In a healthy host, GBS typically
colonizes without any adverse health outcomes; however, GBS can cause urinary tract
infections [25] and during pregnancy, GBS can ascend vertically and cause intrauterine
infections, leading to inflammation and adverse pregnancy outcomes [26,27]. Further,
gestational diabetes mellitus has been identified as a risk factor for increased GBS
vaginal colonization [28,29]. In immunocompromised individuals such as neonates, and
adults with underlying conditions such as diabetes and cancer, GBS can cause diverse
infections including pneumonia, meningitis, cardiovascular disease and sepsis [30–34]. GBS
is frequently isolated from diabetic wounds, despite it rarely colonizing the wounds of non-
diabetic individuals [11,14,15,35–40]. In addition, GBS alone is sufficient to cause infection and
inflammation in the diabetic wound environment [41]. Despite this, little is known about
which bacterial factors aid in GBS wound establishment, immune cell evasion, and
persistence in this niche.

To address this, we previously developed a murine model of GBS diabetic wound
infection and found that GBS infection is exacerbated in a diabetic host when compared
to a non-diabetic [41]. RNA-seq on wound tissues revealed that diabetic mice upregulate
numerous genes encoding neutrophil effectors such as MPO, elastase, the subunits of
calprotectin, as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in response to GBS
infection when compared to uninfected controls. We further found that the abundance of
these neutrophil effectors was significantly higher in diabetic mice in comparison to non-
diabetic upon GBS infection. These data support that GBS encounters high levels of
inflammation in the diabetic wound microenvironment, but currently, little is known
regarding the bacterial factors that promote survival in this niche. To date only the
hemolysin/cytolysin (β-H/C, hemolytic pigment) and the plasminogen binding protein,
PbsP, have been shown to contribute to GBS diabetic wound infection [41].

Here, we observe that GBS infection drives an influx of neutrophils into the diabetic
wound microenvironment, and that GBS and neutrophils co-localize spatially in diabetic
wound tissues. We next utilize a global unbiased approach of transposon sequencing
(Tn-seq) and identify GBS genes that are required for fitness in the diabetic wound
environment. We find 291 genes that are significantly underrepresented in this niche,

including genes involved in metabolism, capsule biosynthesis, the production of
membrane glycolipids and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) alanylation. Membrane lipids are a vital
part of host-pathogen interactions [42] and we have recently characterized the role of GBS
glycolipids during systemic infection and meningitis disease progression [43–45]. Utilizing
GBS glycolipid mutants and a strain deficient in LTA alanylation, we confirm our Tn-seq
results by finding that all four mutants are attenuated in diabetic wound infection. We
further show the importance of GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation to keratinocyte
interactions and survival against neutrophil killing by cationic antimicrobial peptides
(CAMPs) and reactive oxygen species (ROS).  Together, these data demonstrate the
global gene requirement for GBS fitness in the diabetic wound and further identify GBS
glycolipids and LTA alanylation as contributors to neutrophil survival in this niche.

**RESULTS**

***Neutrophils are the most abundant cell type GBS interacts with during diabetic***
***wound infection.***

The diabetic wound is a hyper inflammatory niche with an abundance of immune
cells such as phagocytes present [6,46], however, it is unknown which immune cell
populations are the most abundant in response to GBS infection. To address this, we
wounded diabetic mice and inoculated the wounds with either GBS WT or PBS controls
($n$ = 7 per group) and at 48 hpi, euthanized and perfused mice before harvesting wound
tissues. We used flow cytometry to quantify different populations of immune cells [47]
(Figure S1A). The primary populations of immune cells present in both the uninfected
(mock) and GBS infected wounds were neutrophils, followed by macrophages (Figure
1A).  Upon infection with GBS, we observed a significant decrease in the numbers of live
cells and live CD45$^+$ cells as a percent of collected singlets (Figure S1B,C), suggesting
greater cell death, inclusive of immune cells, in the infected wounds compared to
uninfected controls. Live neutrophils as a percent of collected singlets did not change
between uninfected and infected wounds (Figure S1D); however, neutrophils did
represent a greater proportion of immune cells as the percent of neutrophils of live CD45$^+$
cells compared to the mock controls (Figure 1B). Additionally, a significant decrease in
the percent of macrophages of live CD45$^+$ cells compared to mock controls was observed
(Figure 1B). This suggests that despite increased immune cell death, the remaining live
immune cell population is skewed further toward neutrophils in infected wounds. This is
consistent with our previous RNA sequencing results that demonstrated a greater
abundance of neutrophil effectors such as MPO and elastase, calprotectin, and CXCL1
in GBS infected diabetic wound tissues when compared to uninfected mice [41]. No
differences in the CD11b$^-$CD11c$^-$ (including lymphocytes) and the MHCII$^-$SSC$^{lo}$ (including
monocytes and NK cells) populations as a percent of CD45$^+$ cells were observed (Figure
S1E,F). A significant decrease in the percent of CD24$^+$ cells and dendritic cells was
observed (Figure S1G,H), possibly due to the proportional increase of neutrophils in the
wound. Additionally, we did not observe major alterations in percentages of immune cell
populations in the blood of GBS infected and uninfected controls (Figure S1I). These data
indicate that neutrophils are the most abundant immune cell type GBS encounters in the
wound microenvironment at this time point of infection.

Next, we utilized the same innate immune flow panel to determine which immune
cells were present in a non-diabetic vs. a diabetic host during GBS infection (Figure S2).
Interestingly, we observed a significant decrease in live cells as percentage of singlets as
well as a significant decrease in CD45$^+$ cells as a proportion of live cells in a diabetic host
when compared to non-diabetic. These data suggest that the non-diabetic host has more
live immune cells in response to GBS infection in the wound (Figure S2A,B). Neutrophils
were the most abundant population amongst live cells in both a non-diabetic and diabetic
host, highlighting their importance in combatting GBS during wound infection regardless
of diabetic status. However, there were significantly more neutrophils in a non-diabetic
host than diabetic (Figure S2C,D), and no differences between the other immune
populations (Figure S2E-J).

To further confirm the immune profile of diabetic wounds, we utilized multispectral
quantitative imaging of wound tissues excised from diabetic mice infected with WT GBS
fluorescently tagged with GFP or the mock PBS control. Infected mice developed a robust
wound under the epidermal layer (Figure 1C) when compared to the uninfected control.
We then chose a region of interest spanning the wound and epidermal layer (boxed in
white) for further analysis of immune populations. Pathology of the GBS infected region
of interest confirmed a greater proportion of immune cells when compared to the PBS
control. GBS co-localized with Ly6G positive cells, confirming the influx of neutrophils to
the site of infection (Figure 1D). Conversely, higher levels of F4/80 staining were seen in
the PBS control group. These data are the first to demonstrate the spatial dynamics of
GBS and immune cells during diabetic wound infection and highlight that GBS and
neutrophils interact within this microenvironment.

### Genome-wide analysis of GBS factors required for survival in the diabetic wound

We next sought to identify which genetic factors GBS requires to colonize and survive in
the diabetic wound. We utilized a GBS Tn mutant library [48–50] in the CJB111 (Serotype V)
background [51,52] and our previously described diabetic wound infection model as
described in materials and methods [41]. Groups of mice (4 per group) were infected with
~1.5 x 10$^7$ CFU of the GBS Tn library in biological triplicate. Mice were monitored over
the course of infection, and at 48 hours post infection (hpi) mice were euthanized and
wound tissue collected (Figure 2A). The input and wound recovered libraries were
processed as described in the materials and methods.

To identify transposon insertion sites, sequenced reads were mapped to the GBS
CJB111 genome (CP063198) (Figure S3), which identified 291 genes as significantly
underrepresented (adj. p-value < 0.05, log2FC < -0.5) and 213 genes as significantly
overrepresented (adj. p-value < 0.05, log2FC > 0.5) in the wound compared to the input
library (Figure 2B) (Table S1). The significant gene hits are distributed across the genome
and were then assigned clusters of orthologous groups of proteins (COGs). The number
of significant gene hits in each COG were normalized to the total number of genes in each
COG, to determine the percentage of genes in each COG that were under- or
overrepresented *in vivo*. COGs including nucleotide transport and metabolism,
carbohydrate transport and metabolism, inorganic ion transport and metabolism, lipid
transport and metabolism, and cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis had the greatest

proportion of underrepresented genes in the diabetic wound (Figure 2C). We identified
multiple genes known to contribute to GBS infection as significantly underrepresented
such as genes that are involved in β-H/C biosynthesis, capsule biosynthesis, two-
component regulatory systems, glutamine transport, and purine metabolism (Table 1 and
Table S1).

**Table 1. Significantly underrepresented genes in the diabetic wound.**

| Locus Tag | Gene | Description | L2FC | Adj. P-Value[a] | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Virulence Factors** | | | | | |
| ID870_05935 | cylA | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein | -3.66 | 0 | Keogh et al[41] |
| ID870_05930 | cylB | ABC transporter permease | -2.03 | 0 | Pritzlaff et al[53] |
| ID870_05910 | cylJ | cylJ protein | -0.92 | 0 | Armistead et al[54] |
| ID870_05925 | cylE | cylE protein | -0.9 | 0 | |
| ID870_05950 | cylG | 3-oxoacyl-ACP reductase | -0.88 | 0.0008 | |
| ID870_05905 | cylK | CylK protein | -0.78 | 0 | |
| ID870_05915 | cylI | beta-ketoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase family protein | -0.61 | 0 | |
| ID870_05340 | sodA | superoxide dismutase | -1.91 | 0 | Rajagopal et al[55] |
| ID870_05245 | prsA | peptidylprolyl isomerase | -1.52 | 0 | |
| ID870_03375 | hylB | hyaluronate lyase | -0.79 | 0 | Kolar et al[56] |
| ID870_07370 | perR | peroxide-responsive transcriptional repressor PerR | -0.61 | 0.04178 | Dubbs et al[57] |
| ID870_04625 | srtA | class A sortase | -1.06 | 0 | Lalioui et al [58] |
| ID870_04175 | esxA2 | WXG100 family type VII secretion target | -0.85 | 0.03819 | Spencer et al[59] |
| ID870_04190 | esaB | EsaB/YukD family protein | -1.35 | 0.03125 | |
| ID870_04220 | - | hypothetical protein - transmembrane | -0.73 | 0.04834 | |
| ID870_04265 | - | hypothetical protein | -0.64 | 0.00153 | |

| ID870_042 90 | - | T7SS lipoprotein protein | -0.73 | 0 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TCS systems** | | | | | |
| ID870_056 70 | TCS8_S/*vicK* | cell wall metabolism sensor histidine kinase VicK | -2 | 0 | Faralla et al[60] Thomas et al[61] |
| ID870_030 10 | TCS12_S | HAMP domain-containing histidine kinase | -1.44 | 0 | Faralla et al[60] Thomas et al[61] |
| ID870_044 55 | *ciaR* | response regulator transcription factor | -1.31 | 0 | Quach et al[62] |
| ID870_044 60 | *ciaH* | HAMP domain-containing histidine kinase | -1.02 | 0 | Spencer et al [63] |
| ID870_104 25 | TCS19_R | response regulator transcription factor | -0.86 | 0.00766 | Faralla et al[60] Thomas et al[61] |
| ID870_057 10 | TCS7_R | response regulator transcription factor | -0.59 | 0.03302 | Faralla et al[60] Thomas et al[61] |
| **Capsule/Cell wall/membrane** | | | | | |
| ID870_007 15 | *dltX* | teichoic acid D-Ala incorporation-associated protein | -1.68 | 0.03105 | Poyart et al[64] |
| ID870_007 25 | *dltB* | D-alanyl-lipoteichoic acid biosynthesis protein | -2.6 | 0 | Poyart et al [65] |
| ID870_007 30 | *dltC* | D-alanine--poly(phosphoribitol) ligase subunit | -1.73 | 0.01571 | |
| ID870_034 80 | *cpsY* | LysR family transcriptional regulator | -2.83 | 0 | Noble et al[66] |
| ID870_035 50 | *cpsL* | oligosaccharide flippase family protein | -1.78 | 0 | Carlin et al[67], Uchiy |
| ID870_035 60 | *neuC* | UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase | -0.58 | 0.01066 | |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ID870_035 70 | neuA | N-acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase | -0.72 | 0.00463 | ama et al [68] |
| ID870_054 55 | pbp2b | penicillin-binding protein 2 | -1.68 | 0 | |
| ID870_086 25 | pbp1B | penicillin-binding protein | -0.85 | 0 | |
| ID870_087 10 | pbp3 | D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase | -0.98 | 0 | |
| ID870_057 25 | iagA | glycosyltransferase | -4.87 | 0 | Doran et al [45] |
| ID870_075 65 | accD | acetyl-CoA carboxylase carboxyltransferase subunit beta | -1 | 0.04354 | |
| ID870_075 75 | fabZ | 3-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase | -1.85 | 0.03968 | |
| ID870_076 10 | fabH | ketoacyl-ACP synthase III | -1.51 | 0 | |
| ID870_087 55 | uppP | undecaprenyl-diphosphate phosphatase | -0.73 | 0 | |
| ID870_100 50 | mprF | bifunctional lysylphosphatidylglycerol flippase/synthetase MprF | -0.73 | 0 | Joyce et al [44] |
| **Metabolism** | | | | | |
| ID870_005 05 | ahpC | peroxiredoxin | -2.32 | 0 | |
| ID870_005 85 | purA | adenylosuccinate synthase | -6 | 0 | Rajag opal et al [69] |
| ID870_008 50 | glnR | MerR family transcriptional regulator | -1.67 | 0.01484 | |
| ID870_013 00 | manA | mannose-6-phosphate isomerase class I | -0.79 | 0.04253 | |
| ID870_023 15 | glnQ | amino acid ABC transporter ATP-binding protein | -1.47 | 0 | Tamur a et al [70] |
| ID870_023 20 | glnP | ABC transporter substrate-binding protein/permease | -1.17 | 0 | |
| ID870_041 30 | pyrF | orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase | -3.4 | 0 | |
| ID870_041 35 | pyrE | orotate phosphoribosyltransferase | -1.54 | 0 | |
| ID870_041 45 | pyrB | aspartate carbamoyltransferase catalytic subunit | -1.86 | 0 | |
| ID870_041 50 | carA | glutamine-hydrolyzing carbamoyl-phosphate synthase small subunit | -1.13 | 0.00215 | |
| ID870_041 55 | carB | carbamoyl-phosphate synthase large subunit | -1.28 | 0 | |

| ID | Gene | Description | Value | p | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ID870_045 45 | *guaA* | glutamine-hydrolyzing GMP synthase | -6.78 | 0 | Rajag opal et al[69] Ipe et al [71] |
| ID8 _05480 | *ppc* | phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase | -2.51 | 0 | |
| ID870_071 85 | *nrdE* | class 1b ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase subunit alpha | -1.42 | 0 | |
| ID870_071 90 | *nrdI* | class Ib ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase assembly flavoprotein NrdI | -1.14 | 0 | |
| ID870_071 95 | *nrdF* | class 1b ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase subunit beta | -2.16 | 0 | |
| ID870_075 35 | *manN* | PTS mannose/fructose/sorbose transporter family subunit IID | -0.98 | 0 | |
| ID870_075 40 | *manO* | DUF956 family protein | -1.52 | 0.04152 | |
| ID870_093 05 | *purB* | adenylosuccinate lyase | -7.52 | 0 | Rajag opal et al[69] |
| ID870_093 15 | *purK* | 5-(carboxyamino)imidazole ribonucleotide synthase | -1.46 | 0.00522 | |
| ID870_093 20 | *purE* | 5-(carboxyamino)imidazole ribonucleotide mutase | -2.44 | 0 | |
| ID870_093 25 | *purD* | phosphoribosylamine--glycine ligase | -3.05 | 0 | |
| ID870_093 90 | *purH* | Bifunctional phosphoribosylaminoimidazoleca rboxamide formyltransferase/IMP cyclohydrolase | -3.74 | 0 | |
| ID870_094 00 | *purN* | phosphoribosylglycinamide formyltransferase | -3.43 | 0 | |
| ID870_094 05 | *purM* | phosphoribosylformylglycinamidi ne cyclo-ligase | -2.91 | 0 | |
| ID870_094 10 | *purF* | amidophosphoribosyltransferase | -1.54 | 0 | |
| ID870_094 20 | *purC* | Phosphoribosylaminoimidazolesu ccinocarboxamide synthase | -4.15 | 0 | |
| ID870_098 00 | *guaB* | IMP dehydrogenase | -3.11 | 0 | Rajag opal et al[69] Ipe et al [71] |

| ID870_102 70 | *nrdD* | anaerobic ribonucleoside-triphosphate reductase | -1.16 | 0 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ID870_102 90 | *nrdG* | anaerobic ribonucleoside-triphosphate reductase activating protein | -1.2 | 0.00279 |

[a] Adj. P-Value <0.0001 is assigned 0 by TRANSIT.

Interestingly, among the most significantly underrepresented genes was *iagA*
(Figure 2D, Table 1) which is a glycosyltransferase involved in the biosynthesis of the
LTA anchor, diglucosyl-diacylglycerol (Glc$_2$-DAG) in GBS [43,45] (Figure 2E), and is in an
operon with the first glycolipid biosynthesis gene, *iagB*, that is responsible for glucosyl-
DAG (Glc-DAG) biosynthesis [43]. An additional gene in glycolipid biosynthesis pathway,
*mprF* responsible for synthesizing the amino acylated glycolipid lysyl-glucosyl-DAG (Lys-
Glc-DAG) [44,72] and multiple genes involved in the alanylation of LTA (*dlt* operon) [65] were
also significantly underrepresented in the diabetic wound compared to input (Figure
2D,E). The two remaining genes in the biosynthetic pathway, namely *iagB* and *ltaS*
genes, were not identified in the Tn-seq dataset. However, upon further investigation, we
observed that there were very few to no transposon insertions present in these genes in
the input libraries, thus making it hard to identify their importance with this approach. GBS
glycolipids have recently been shown to impact GBS bloodstream survival [43] and
disruption and inflammation of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [44,45], while alanylation of LTA
has been shown to be important for bloodstream survival [64]; yet the contribution of these
pathways to GBS survival in the diabetic wound have yet to be elucidated.

***GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation are required for epithelial cell invasion and***
***survival in the diabetic wound.***

To colonize the diabetic wound, GBS must interact with epidermal keratinocyte
cells, a highly specialized epithelial cell [73]. To investigate the direct interaction between
GBS WT CJB111 as well as isogenic glycolipid mutants in *iagB*, [43] *iagA* [43], *mprF* [44] and
*dltA* and human keratinocytes, we used the immortalized human keratinocyte cell line,
N/TERT-2G [74]. GBSΔ*iagB* is devoid of all glycolipids, GBSΔ*iagA* lacks Glc$_2$-DAG the LTA
anchor, GBSΔ*mprF* lacks the lysine decorated lipids Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG, and the
GBSΔ*dltA* mutant is unable to add alanine modifications to its LTA polymer [65] (Figure 2E).
*In vitro* assays for adhesion and invasion were performed as described previously for
other cell lines [63,75]. There was no significant difference in the ability of the mutant strains
to adhere to N/TERT cells (Figure 3A); however, there were significantly fewer mutant
bacteria recovered from the intracellular compartment compared to GBS WT (Figure 3B)
indicating GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation may play roles in invasion of human
keratinocytes. Furthermore, it was observed that GBSΔ*iagB* has significantly reduced
intracellular survival over time compared to GBS WT, whereas all other mutant strains
survived like GBS WT (Figure 3C). These observations indicate GBS glycolipids and LTA
alanylation may contribute to GBS persistence in the wound.

To assess if there were alterations to the cell envelope of the mutants that may
impact their interactions with keratinocytes, we performed transmission electron
microscopy (TEM) (Figure S4A). We observed that GBSΔ*iagB* appears to have a general
thickening of the cell envelope, along with GBSΔ*mprF* appearing to have minor thickening
as well. GBSΔ*iagA* has no difference in appearance compared to GBS WT. Interestingly,
GBSΔ*dltA* has a significantly altered inner electron dense ring compared to GBS WT and
the other mutants, confirming prior work by Saar-Dover *et al.*[76] In general all strains
retained the polysaccharide capsule as assessed by flow cytometry using anti-sera
targeting the type V capsule (Figure S4B). Interestingly, both GBSΔ*iagB* and GBSΔ*iagA*
exhibited a slight, but significant, increase in capsule abundance compared to WT, which
was restored to WT levels in the complemented strains. Additionally, no difference in
membrane fluidity was observed between all strains as measured by anisotropy (Figure
S4C).

To confirm our Tn-seq results and to elucidate the role of glycolipids and LTA
alanylation during diabetic wound infection, we utilized our established streptozotocin
induced diabetic wound model of infection [77] with GBS WT CJB111, Δ*iagB*, Δ*iagA*, Δ*mprF*
and *dltA* mutant strains. After 48 hpi, all mutants were significantly attenuated in
comparison to WT GBS (Figure 3D). Notably, in addition to having reduced bacterial
burden in the wound, mutant strains were not able to spread systemically compared to
WT GBS as evidenced by significantly reduced recovery of mutant bacteria from the
kidneys (Figure 3E). Additionally, far fewer mice had detectable CFU in the kidneys
compared to WT infected mice (Figure 3F). These data indicate that GBS glycolipids and
LTA alanylation play an important role in GBS wound survival and systemic spread during
diabetic infection.

Next, we assessed how GBS glycolipids and LTA modification would impact the
abundance of neutrophil chemoattractant, CXCL1, and the leukocyte-derived enzyme
myeloperoxidase (MPO) in the diabetic wound at the endpoint of infection. Wounds from
mice infected with GBSΔ*iagB* and GBSΔ*iagA* had a significant decrease in both CXCL1
compared to GBS WT whereas wounds infected with GBSΔ*mprF* and GBSΔ*dltA* had no
significant difference in CXCL1 abundance (Figure 3F). Interestingly, only GBSΔ*iagA*
infected wounds had a significant decrease in the abundance of MPO compared to GBS
WT infected wounds, suggesting high levels of neutrophils were still present in the
GBSΔ*iagB,* GBSΔ*mprF,* and GBSΔ*dltA* wounds to combat the infection (Figure 3G). We
next utilized an early time point (5 hpi), to determine whether the loss of glycolipids
impacted the influx of neutrophils to the site of infection. Mice infected with either WT,
GBSΔ*iagB* (to determine impact of all glycolipids) and GBSΔ*dltA,* showed similar CFU
recovery and abundance of CXCL1 and MPO (Figure S5). These data suggest that WT
and mutant strains encounter similar levels of neutrophils early in infection and that the
enhanced susceptibility of the GBSΔ*iagB* and GBSΔ*dltA* mutants to neutrophil killing led
to enhanced clearance by 48 h.

**GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation are vital for surviving neutrophil killing.**

To further understand the interaction between GBS and neutrophils, we isolated
primary human neutrophils from four independent donors (two female and two male) to

assess primary human neutrophil killing of GBS in diabetic glucose levels. Primary human
neutrophils were conditioned in media containing 22 mM glucose to mimic hyperglycemia
[46] before being exposed to opsonized bacteria. To assess the role of all GBS glycolipids,
including the LTA anchor, we used a GBS∆*iagB* mutant and to assess impact of LTA
alanylation we used the GBS∆*dltA* mutant. A significant decrease in survival was
observed for GBS∆*iagB* compared to GBS WT, which is consistent to our previous study
[43] of GBS∆*iagB* susceptibility to neutrophil killing under non-diabetic conditions; however,
no significant difference was observed between GBS∆*dltA* and GBS WT (Figure 4A). The
phenotype of the GBS∆*iagB* mutant was rescued when the strain was complemented with
a plasmid containing the *iagB* gene (+pJIagB). GBS∆*dltA* is known to be more susceptible
to neutrophil killing and bloodstream clearance [64] so we assessed opsonophagocytic
neutrophil mediated killing over time using the human HL60-neutrophil like cell line that
is routinely maintained and differentiated in high glucose media. Interestingly, we
observed that GBS∆*iagB* is significantly more susceptible to neutrophil killing at 1, 2 and
3 h compared to GBS WT, whereas only after 2 and 3 h of infection was there a significant
difference between GBS∆*dltA* and GBS WT (Figure 4B). Additionally, after 3 h of
exposure there was less than 1% survival for both mutants whereas WT maintains
approximately 15% survival. These data indicate that GBS glycolipids and LTA
alanylation both contribute to thwarting neutrophil clearance.

Since GBS∆*iagB* and GBS∆*dltA* are more susceptible to neutrophil killing, we next
assessed if these mutants exhibited an altered phagocytic uptake compared to WT using
imaging flow cytometry. Opsonized GFP-labelled GBS WT, GBS∆*iagB*, and GBS∆*dltA*
were exposed to HL60 cells for 15 minutes. Interestingly, we observed a significantly
higher percent of GFP-positive cells when incubated with GBS∆*iagB* compared to WT
and GBS∆*dltA* (Figure 4C). We next assessed how many bacteria are present in each
cell that was GFP positive (Figure 4D,E) and observed GBS WT had more low numbers
(1-2 GBS per cell) compared to the mutant strains, whereas GBS∆*iagB* and GBS∆*dltA*
had significantly higher numbers (3 or more GBS/cell) compared to WT. Representative
images are shown in Figure 4F. Interestingly, over 80% of GFP positive HL60s had GBS
both inside and membrane associated compared to the inside or membrane only location,
confirming phagocytosis of GBS (Figure S5D-F). These data demonstrate that the loss of
GBS glycolipids results in higher frequency in phagocytosis, which along with the loss of
LTA alanylation results in increased bacteria per neutrophil.

***Glycolipids contribute to GBS survival against CAMPs and ROS.***

Neutrophils utilize multiple antimicrobial components to kill bacteria, especially
CAMPs such as cathelicidin and ROS such as hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorite [78–81].
The alanylation of LTA has previously been shown to contribute to GBS evasion of
CAMPs by regulating the density of the cell wall [64]. Similarly, we have recently
demonstrated that all three GBS glycolipids aid in the protection against CAMP-mediated
killing, likely through a charge repulsion mechanism [43]. Here, we observed GBS∆*iagB* is
more susceptible to neutrophil killing compared to GBS WT and to GBS∆*dltA*. To assess
if the same is true with CAMP susceptibility, empty vector strains of GBS WT, GBS∆*iagB*,
GBS∆*dltA*, and complement strains GBS∆*iagB*+pJIagB and GBS∆*dltA*+pJDltA were
incubated with 20 µM of the mouse cathelicidin, mCRAMP, for 30 min. We observed that

the GBSΔ*iagB* mutant exhibited significantly more mCRAMP sensitivity compared to GBS
WT and GBSΔ*dltA* strains, and the complemented strains restored survival to WT levels
(Figure 4C). Possible explanations for this difference in CAMP susceptibility are
alterations in membrane fluidity [82]. However, no difference in membrane fluidity was
observed between all strains as measured by anisotropy, indicating CAMP susceptibility
is independent of membrane fluidity in these mutants (Figure S4C).

ROS is another major mechanism of bacterial killing employed by neutrophils and
diabetic wounds have increased levels of ROS compared to nondiabetic wounds [83,84];
thus, we hypothesized that glycolipids and LTA alanylation would contribute to ROS
survival. To investigate this, empty vector strains of GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB*, GBSΔ*dltA*, and
complement strains GBSΔ*iagB*+pJIagB and GBSΔ*dltA*+pJDltA were incubated with
0.08% hypochlorite (HOCl) for 1 h resulting in a significant decrease in survival of the
GBSΔ*iagB* mutant compared to GBS WT, which was rescued to WT survival levels in the
GBSΔ*iagB*+pJIagB complement strain (Figure 4D).  No difference in survival was
observed between GBSΔ*dltA*, GBSΔ*dltA*+pJDltA, and GBS WT. To further confirm ROS
susceptibility and assess oxidative DNA damage; GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB* and GBSΔ*dltA*
were exposed to 100 µg of hydrogen peroxide for 1 h resulting in a significant decrease
in survival of the GBSΔ*iagB* mutant compared to GBS WT. No difference between GBS
WT and GBSΔ*dltA* was observed (Figure 4E). Similarly, we measured oxidative DNA
damage via ELISA and observed a significant increase in fold change of oxidative DNA
damage in the GBSΔ*iagB* strain compared to untreated, GBS WT, and GBSΔ*dltA* strains
(Figure 4F). No difference was observed between GBSΔ*dltA* and GBS WT or untreated
cells. These data suggest that LTA alanylation is important for resisting CAMPs; however,
GBS glycolipids promote resistance to both CAMPs and ROS.

### GBS induces primary and secondary degranulation in primary human neutrophils

An important mechanism of neutrophil killing of bacteria is degranulation.
Neutrophils produce four types of granules: azurophilic/primary, specific/secondary,
gelatinase/tertiary and secretory, with high concentrations of CAMPs, ROS, proteinases
and additional antimicrobial factors harbored in the primary and secondary granules [78–
81]. To assess induction of degranulation we exposed primary human neutrophils
conditioned in media containing 22 mM glucose to mimic hyperglycemia to opsonized
GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB* and GBSΔ*dltA* for 30 min and assessed degranulation using flow
cytometry for established human degranulation markers [85] (Figure S3B). We observed a
significant increase in azurophilic/primary degranulation, indicated by the increased
surface expression of CD63 (Figure 5A) and specific/secondary degranulation, indicated
by the increased surface expression of CD66b (Figure 5B) and CD15 (Figure S6B), of
neutrophils exposed to GBS regardless of the GBS strain, compared to uninfected control
neutrophils. Interestingly, the GBSΔ*iagB* mutant, even though more susceptible to ROS
and CAMPs, induces significantly higher levels of azurophilic/primary degranulation
compared to GBS WT and GBSΔ*dltA* mutant. While GBS infection resulted in no
difference in surface expression of gelatinase/tertiary degranulation marker CD11b
between infected and uninfected neutrophils, we did observe an increase in surface CD18
expression on infected neutrophils compared to uninfected neutrophils, suggesting
tertiary degranulation is not stimulated or is stimulated to a lesser extent (Figure S6C).

These data indicate that neutrophils recognize GBS, regardless of the missing glycolipids
or LTA alanylations, and trigger primary and secondary degranulation to combat them.

### Neutrophils influence GBS burden in the wound and kidneys of diabetic mice.

Neutrophils are highly abundant during diabetic wound infection and promote high
inflammation in the microenvironment [46,86], as we have also observed during GBS
infection (Figure 1). We therefore sought to investigate the contribution of neutrophils to
GBS clearance in a diabetic host, and we hypothesized that depletion of neutrophils in
the diabetic wound would lead to enhanced recovery of the GBS$\Delta iagB$ and GBS$\Delta dltA$
mutants in comparison to non-depleted controls. To investigate this, systemic neutrophil
depletion of diabetic mice was performed via intraperitoneal injection of anti-Ly6G
antibody, and non-depleted mice were injected with isotype (anti-IgG2a antibody) control
one day before infection. Neutrophil depletion in wound tissues was confirmed by flow
cytometry (Figure S7A,B). Neutrophil depleted and control diabetic mice were infected
with either GBS WT, GBS$\Delta iagB$ or GBS$\Delta dltA$ mutants using our streptozotocin induced
diabetic wound infection model. Depletion of neutrophils lead to a significant increase
bacterial burden of GBS WT and GBS$\Delta iagB$ as well as an increase in GBS$\Delta dltA$ in the
diabetic wound compared to the non-depleted controls at 48 hpi (Figure 6A). While GBS
burdens were consistently higher in depleted compared to non-depleted controls, the
GBS$\Delta iagB$ and GBS$\Delta dltA$ mutants still exhibited a decreased burden when compared to
GBS WT within the neutrophil depleted group (Figure 6A). Similarly, depletion of
neutrophils in non-diabetic mice resulted in significantly higher recovered CFU and
greater weight loss in the depleted group vs control (Figure S7C,D). Depletion of
neutrophils in diabetic mice also led to greater GBS dissemination into the kidneys based
on CFU recovered (Figure 6B). Interestingly, significant increases in bacterial burdens in
the kidneys of depleted mice infected with GBS$\Delta iagB$ and GBS$\Delta dltA$ compared to non-
depleted mice was observed and a higher percentage of mice had CFU in the kidneys in
the depleted groups vs non-depleted groups (Figure 6C). Taken together these data
indicate that neutrophils play an important role in controlling GBS persistence in the
wound and preventing systemic spread in the diabetic host. They further suggest that
circulating neutrophils can control both the GBS$\Delta iagB$ and GBS$\Delta dltA$ during invasive
infection *in vivo*.

## DISCUSSION

The diabetic wound is hyperinflammatory, with high levels of neutrophils and
macrophages potentiating inflammation and limiting reformation of the extracellular matrix
[87]. However, prior to this work it was unknown how the presence of GBS in diabetic wound
infection would affect immune cell populations. Using flow cytometry, we found that
neutrophils are the most abundant immune cell GBS encounters during initial diabetic
wound infection (48 hpi), making up greater than 65% of all immune cells. We also found
a significant increase in the percentage of neutrophils residing in the diabetic wound
infected with GBS compared to uninfected controls. We then compared the immune
populations in GBS infected wounds in a healthy and a diabetic host. While neutrophils
were the most abundant population regardless of host status, we saw a significant

decrease in the percentage of live immune cells in diabetic mice, suggesting GBS may
be killing immune cells at a greater level in a host with diabetes. In addition to flow
cytometry, we performed multispectral immunohistochemistry on GBS and mock infected
wounds to visualize the localization of both GBS and immune cells during diabetic wound
infection. Wounds infected with GBS led to the formation of a large bolus of bacteria within
the wound underneath the area of initial incision. This area contained GBS that seemed
to co-localize with neutrophils at the wound site. This was not the case for all immune
populations as macrophages were more present in the tissue section from mock infected
wounds in comparison to GBS infected. These data are the first to spatially characterize
the GBS-host interactions within diabetic wound infection and demonstrate the proximity
of neutrophils and the bacterium within the wound. Further, it highlights the need for
mechanistic studies that identify GBS factors that contribute to neutrophil survival in this
niche.

Despite the growing evidence that GBS is present in diabetic wound infections,
little is known about the genetic factors required for fitness and survival in the wound.
Here, we used a highly saturated Tn-seq library and identified 291 significantly
underrepresented genes in diabetic wound infection, indicating they may be required for
fitness. One of the most significantly underrepresented genes was *iagA*, which encodes
for an enzyme involved in GBS glycolipid biosynthesis and is responsible for synthesizing
the LTA anchor, $Glc_2$-DAG, [43,45]. Additionally, multiple genes encoding enzymes involved
in the alanylation of GBS LTA were significantly under-represented [65], suggesting that
both LTA anchoring and modification are important for GBS to establish itself in this niche.
Further investigation of the dataset identified *mprF,* another gene in the GBS glycolipid
biosynthesis pathway, and the enzyme responsible for lysinylation of Glc-DAG and
phosphatidylglycerol [44]. Two genes in these biosynthetic pathways (*iagB* and *ltaS*) were
not identified in our Tn-seq screen, however, very few transposon insertions in these
genes were present in the input library. *LtaS* is known to be essential in other Gram-
positive bacteria [88,89]; however, *iagB* is not essential thus highlighting a limitation of
largescale datasets and potential for false negative hits. In this work, we characterize the
role of GBS glycolipids and the alanylation of LTA in diabetic wound infection. Using our
isogenic glycolipid mutants (Δ*iagB,* Δ*iagA,* Δ*mprF*) and our newly constructed LTA
alanylation mutant (Δ*dltA*), we confirmed our Tn-seq results observing significant
attenuation of these mutants in the diabetic wound compared to GBS WT 48 hpi
Throughout this work, we focused on the mechanisms by which all GBS glycolipids and
LTA modification contribute to survival in the diabetic wound using the GBSΔ*iagB* (lacking
all glycolipids) and GBSΔ*dltA* (unable to add alanine modifications to LTA) mutant strains.
Analysis of these mutants at an early time point (5 hpi) revealed that CFU was similar
between all strains, demonstrating that these mutants are able to establish infection and
are cleared between 5 and 48 h. Further, levels of MPO and CXCL1 were similar in all
infected tissues suggesting that immune cells are called to the site of infection at a similar
rate. Further we show that complementation of GBSΔ*iagB* in the diabetic wound restores
CFU to GBS WT levels (Figure S7E).

Little is known about GBS interaction with keratinocytes, with the only factor known
to aid adherence to keratinocytes being the adhesin, PbsP [41]. Interestingly, we observed
the GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation do not play a role in adherence to human
keratinocytes but are important for invasion into the intracellular compartment, as has

been observed previously for the BBB endothelial cells [43–45]. Further, we found that the
GBSΔ*iagB* mutant had reduced intracellular survival over two hours of incubation with
keratinocytes when compared to WT and other strains. These data suggest that all the
glycolipids together contribute to survival once inside keratinocytes. The glycolipid
mutant, GBSΔ*mprF,* is more susceptible to low pH compared to GBS WT [44] highlighting
the glycolipid mutants may be more susceptible to lysosomal killing compared to GBS
WT once inside the host cell. It is currently unknown what receptors GBS binds to on
keratinocytes, the mechanisms of invasion, and additional GBS factors such as
membrane vesicles that may promote invasion into the intracellular compartment of
keratinocytes. Thus, further investigation into the mechanisms of interactions of GBS and
keratinocytes are warranted, as this may serve as a mechanism to escape from immune
cells in the wound and act as potential reservoirs for persistence colonization of the
diabetic wound after debridement of the wound.

We have previously found that GBS glycolipids are important for survival against
neutrophils, as strains lacking glycolipids have enhanced susceptibility to antimicrobial
peptides. However, these studies were performed with neutrophils cultured in
normoglycemic levels of glucose. Individuals with diabetes are known to have aberrant
neutrophil function, largely driven by hyperglycemia [90]. We therefore cultured neutrophils
in media containing levels of glucose that are physiologically relevant in a diabetic host
to assess the role of GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation to neutrophil survival in high
glucose conditions. Interestingly, we observed GBSΔ*iagB* is phagocytized at a higher
frequency than GBS WT and GBSΔ*dltA* and both mutants had higher number of GBS per
neutrophil compared to GBS WT. These observations demonstrate that GBS glycolipids
are important for preventing uptake by neutrophils and once engulfed, important for
protecting against killing mechanisms. Here, we also focused on a second mechanism of
neutrophil killing, degranulation, using our glycolipid devoid strain, GBSΔ*iagB*, and our
LTA alanylation mutant, GBSΔ*dltA*. Using primary human neutrophils exposed to high
glucose conditions, we observed all GBS strains, regardless of mutation, triggered both
primary and secondary degranulation. This suggests that the mechanism by which
neutrophils recognize GBS and elicit degranulation is not dependent on GBS glycolipids
or LTA modification. Neutrophil granules, particularly the primary/azurophilic and
secondary/specific granules, harbor a variety of antimicrobial properties such as CAMPs
and ROS. Due to the hyperglycemia in diabetic individuals, neutrophils are
transcriptionally primed to undergo NETosis [46]. Work by Derré-Bobillot et al. identified a
secreted GBS nuclease, NucA involved in the degradation of the DNA matrix, or major
backbone of NETs [46,91]. Further, our group has found that this nuclease is highly
upregulated in bacteria recovered from the diabetic wound in comparison to input [41]. NucA
was not identified in the Tn-seq screen, likely because it is a secreted factor that the rest
of the population is still producing, preventing it from being underrepresented; however,
it may be important in the diabetic wound microenvironment, and its contribution to GBS
survival in the diabetic wound is ongoing.

Cationic antimicrobial peptides such as cathelicidin and defensins are small
peptides used by the host to target invading pathogens and are an important antimicrobial
factor in neutrophil granules. We and others have shown that GBS glycolipids and LTA
alanylation are important for resisting CAMP mediated killing although potentially via
different mechanisms [43,64,76]. Saar-Dover *et al* observed that both GBSΔ*dltA* and

GBSΔ*mprF* have a more negatively charged cell surface; however, the *mprF* mutant is
not susceptible to CAMPs [76]. Interestingly, they observed the GBSΔ*dltA* has a significantly
altered cell wall density resulting in CAMP susceptibility. Based on TEM images here and
our previous study, we identified the GBSΔ*iagB* mutant has a significantly more negatively
charged cell membrane compared to GBS WT, suggesting the susceptibility to CAMPs is
via different mechanism[43]. Interestingly we observed that GBSΔ*iagB* was significantly
more attenuated than the GBSΔ*dltA* after 30 min of exposure to mouse cathelicidin, even
though the membrane fluidity is the same between these mutants and GBS WT. A
possible explanation is that while the alanylation of LTA is required for proper cell wall
density to mediate CAMP resistance[76], the loss of the glycolipids, including the LTA
anchor, results in a more severe change in cell wall dynamics possibly making the
membrane hyper-attractive to the positively charged CAMPs. Another major antimicrobial
property of neutrophil granules is the production of ROS, especially hydrogen peroxide
which is used by myeloperoxidase to generate hypochlorite. Not only do neutrophils
generate high amounts of ROS, but the diabetic wound contains a large amount of ROS
compared to non-diabetic wounds [83,84]. Like the CAMP phenotype, we observed GBS
devoid of glycolipids is more susceptible to both hypochlorite and hydrogen peroxide
killing and resulting oxidative DNA damage compared to both WT and Δ*dltA* mutant. This
could be due to increased lipid peroxidation of the cellular membrane lacking glycolipids
which may generate even more ROS, resulting in increased damage to the cell and death
[92], but further investigation is needed to identify why glycolipids may protect against ROS
attack.

Among the significantly underrepresented genes identified in this Tn-seq are
multiple factors required for metabolism such as purine metabolism, glutamine
metabolism, and mannose utilization. These genes are important for bacterial
pathogenesis [93] and were recently identified to be important for *Enterococcus* colonization
of non-diabetic wounds [94]. We also identified multiple GBS virulence factors such as the
β-H/C *cyl* operon and the type VII secretion system (T7SS) as under-represented in the
diabetic wound. The loss of the GBS β-H/C has been previously shown to impact
bloodstream survival and phagocytic defense, and we have also observed its importance
to diabetic wound persistence [41,95]. Similarly, the role of the T7SS has recently been
implicated in cytotoxicity to host cells and promotes colonization of the vaginal tract [59,96].
With the high number of neutrophils and macrophages present in the diabetic wound the
T7SS may have important functions for defending against these immune cells and
potentially against other bacterial species that colonize this polymicrobial environment.
Interestingly, multiple two component systems (TCS) were significantly underrepresented
in the Tn-seq. One such TCS, CiaH/R has been implicated in monitoring cell wall/surface
stress [62,97]. GBS TCS 8, which has homology to the VicR/K (WalR/K in some species)
system in other streptococci was also significantly underrepresented [61,98]. Interestingly
three currently uncharacterized TCS systems, TCS 7, 12 and 19 [61] were also significantly
underrepresented. The fact that these TCS systems were significantly underrepresented
suggests the strong need for GBS adaptation to the diabetic wound microenvironment. It
further suggests these TCS systems may play a pivotal, currently unknown, role in GBS
niche adaption, which requires further investigation.

Overall, using Tn-seq, this work has identified multiple GBS factors that are required to colonize the diabetic wound and to evade the large number of immune cells present there (Figure 7). Neutrophils are important first line responder to invading pathogens and the ability for GBS to evade the multiple killing mechanism they possess is vital to establishment in this niche. The characterization of the role that GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation play during colonization of the wound and evasion of neutrophil killing highlights important cell wall properties that could be targeted by small molecules to aid in the clearance of GBS. Future studies aim to elucidate the roles of other genetic factors identified in the Tn-seq and their contribution to diabetic wound colonization. Ultimately, this work will provide increased knowledge of GBS pathogenesis and virulence potential, aiding in future treatments and may have broad implications to other bacterial species that may colonize the diabetic wound.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the members of the Doran and Horswill labs for constructive discussions in the preparation of this manuscript, Dr. Robb Welty at the Biophysics Shared Research Facility at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus for help with anisotropy experiments, the Electron Microscopy Core Facility at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus for help with TEM and the Human Immune Monitoring Shared Resource, specifically Troy Schedin and Angela Minic within the University of Colorado Cancer Center (P30CA0434) for their expert assistance in analysis of diabetic wound tissues. This work was funded in part by the American Heart Association grant 23POST1013835 to L.R.J, NIH/NIAID F31AI178881 to M.S.A, F32AI172126 and K99AI180373-02 to R.A.K., R21AI171853 to K.S.D and A.R.H, R01AI178692 to K.S.D.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: LRJ, KSD, RAK; Methodology: LRJ, MSA, DTN, BLS, RAK; Validation: LRJ, MSA, DTN, BLS, JK, KSD, RAK ; Formal analysis: LRJ, MSA, DTN, JK, RAK; Investigation: LRJ, MSA, DTN, RAK; Resources: JK, KSM, ARH, KSD; Writing original draft: LRJ, RAK; Editing and reviewing: LRJ, MSA, DTN, BLS, JK, KSM, ARH, KSD, RAK; Visualization: LRJ, DTN, RAK; Project administration: LRJ, KSD, RAK; Funding acquisition: LRJ, KSM, ARH, KSD, RAK

## DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests

 **FIGURES**

Figure 1. **Neutrophils are abundant in the diabetic wound during infection.** A) Wound immune cell populations measured by flow cytometry. B) A significant increase of neutrophils (left) and significant decrease of macrophages (right) as a percent of CD45+ immune cells is observed in GBS infected diabetic wounds at 48 h compared to uninfected diabetic wounds. C) Multispectral immunohistochemistry microscopy images of diabetic wound tissues mock or GBS infected. Scale bar 800 μm. D) White boxed region of interested showing CD45 pathology, GFP expressing GBS in the wound, Ly6G+ neutrophil marker, F4/80+ macrophage marker, and merged image. Scale bar 100 μm. Significance determined by B) two-tailed T-Test; **p<.01; ***p<.001.

**Figure 2. Essential GBS Genes During Diabetic Wound Infection.** A) Experimental
set-up for Tn-seq. B) CIRCOS plot showing from outer ringer to inner ring; dark grey,
genome length in Kb; maroon, negative Log2Fold Change (L2FC); red, positive L2FC;
statistically significant genes (adj. p-value ≤ 0.05) shown in green and non-significant
genes in light grey. C) COG pathway analysis of percent of statistically significant genes
in each COG for both over- (red) and under- (maroon) represented genes. (Numbers)
indicate the total number of genes in each pathway.  D) Linear plot of significantly over
(red) and underrepresented (maroon) genes ordered by genomic position. E) Glycolipid
biosynthesis and LTA decoration pathway in GBS.

**Figure 3. Glycolipid biosynthesis enzymes and LTA alanylation are necessary for epithelial cell invasion and survival in diabetic wound infection**. *In vitro* assays for A) total cell associated (adherence) and B) intracellular GBS after 2 h infection, of GBS mutants N/TERTs indicate GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation are dispensable for adhesion but are important for invasion of skin epithelial cells. C) Intracellular survival of GBS mutants over 2 h indicates GBS glycolipids are important for intracellular survival. Each assay was performed in biological triplicate, with three technical replicates per assay. Mean and SEM indicated. Diabetic mice were inoculated with 1 x 10⁷ CFU of GBS WT, ΔiagB, ΔiagA, ΔmprF, and ΔdltA isogeneic mutants and bacterial burdens were assessed after 48 h in the D) wound and E) kidneys. Significantly less CFU of all four mutants are recovered from the wound and kidneys of infected mice. F) % of mice in each infection group with detectable CFU in kidneys. G) Chemokine CXCL-1 and H) myeloperoxidase protein production measured by ELISA from wound tissues. Median indicated. GBS WT; *n* = 15, Δ*iagB; n* = 12, Δ*iagA*; *n* = 11, Δ*mprF*; *n* = 16, and Δ*dltA;* n = 11. Significance determined by A-C) One-way ANOVA with Fisher LSD and D,E,G,H) Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001, ****p<.0001. ns; not significant.

**Figure 4. GBS susceptibility to neutrophil killing factors.** A) Primary human neutrophil killing of GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB*, pJIagB, GBSΔ*dltA*, and pJDltA in high glucose infected at MOI 25 for 1 h. % Survival calculated as the ratio of CFU with neutrophils versus CFU without neutrophils. B) HL60-neutrophil like cell killing of GBS WT, Δ*iagB* and Δ*dltA* at MOI 0.001 for 3 h. % Survival calculated as the ratio of CFU in normal serum versus CFU in heat-killed serum in the presence of HL60 cells. C) Phagocytosis frequency of GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB,* and GBSΔ*dltA* by HL60 cells infected at MOI 8-10 measured by imaging flow cytometry indicated as percent GFP positive HL60 cells, D) 1-2 GBS per cell and E) 3 or more GBS per cell. GBSΔ*iagB* is phagocytized at a higher rate than GBS WT and both GBSΔ*iagB* and GBSΔ*dltA* have higher number of bacteria per neutrophil compared to WT. F) Representative image of GBS WT phagocytosis in HL60 cells. G) Percent survival of GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB*, pJIagB, GBSΔ*dltA*, and pJDltA to D) mouse cathelicidin mCRAMP after 30 min and H) hypochlorite (HOCl). I) Percent survival of GBS WT, GBSΔ*iagB*, and GBSΔ*dltA*, to 100 µg $H_2O_2$ after 1 h and J) Oxidative DNA damage caused by $H_2O_2$ measured via ELISA.  A) Mean and SEM, B,G-J) Mean and SD. C-E) Min to Max. Significance determined by A) Mann-Whitney B-J) One-way ANOVA with Fishers LSD test; *$p<.05$, **$p<.01$, ***$p<.001$. Each assay was performed in at least biological triplicate.

**Figure 5. GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation is not required for degranulation in primary human neutrophils.** Neutrophil degranulation measured in primary human neutrophils conditioned in high glucose exposed to opsonized GBS by flow cytometry after 30 min. A) Azurophilic/primary (CD63+) granules: left; representative histogram of counts of CD63+ neutrophils and right; median fluorescent intensity (MFI) of CD63. Specific/secondary granules B) CD66b+. A significant increase in both primary and secondary degranulation compared to uninfected neutrophils is observed. A-C) Mean and SEM. A-C) Significance determined by One-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test; *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001, ****p<.0001, ns; not significant. Assay was performed in at biological quadruplicate.

637
**Figure 6. Neutrophils play an important role in combating GBS in the diabetic**
**wound.** Control (anti-IgG2a antibody, closed circles) and neutrophil depleted diabetic
mice (anti-Ly6G antibody, open circles) were infected with 1 x 10$^7$ GBS WT, $\Delta iagB$, and
$\Delta dltA$ and bacterial burdens were assessed at 48h in the A) wound and B) kidney.
Significantly higher bacterial burdens were recovered in the wound and kidney in depleted
mice compared to control mice. C) % of mice in each group with CFU in the kidney.
Control: GBS WT; $n$ = 11, $\Delta iagB$; $n$ = 13, and $\Delta dltA$; n = 12. Depleted: GBS WT; $n$ = 12,
$\Delta iagB$; $n$ = 13, and $\Delta dltA$; n = 10. Significance determined by A,B) Kruskal-Wallis test with
Dunn's multiple comparisons, C) Fishers exact test; *p<.05, **p<.01, ****p<.0001.

**Figure 7. GBS glycolipids and LTA alanylation promote diabetic wound colonization.** GBS infects the diabetic wound where it encounters a large population of neutrophils in the wound. Neutrophils are recruited to the wound to control the infection. The diabetic wound is rich in multiple antimicrobial molecules such as cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMP) and reactive oxygen species (ROS). GBSΔ*dltA* is unable to modify lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and is more susceptible to both neutrophil killing and CAMPs compared to GBS WT, whereas *GBSΔiagB* lacking all glycolipids is hypersusceptible to neutrophil killing, CAMPs and ROS attack.

**REFERENCES**

1. Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B.B., Stein, C., Basit, A., Chan, J.C.N., Mbanya, J.C., et al. (2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract *183*, 109119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119.

2. Hingorani, A., Lamuraglia, G.M., Henke, P., Meissner, M.H., Loretz, L., Zinszer, K.M., Driver, V.R., Frykberg, R., Carman, T.L., Marston, W., et al. (2016). The management of diabetic foot: A clinical practice guideline by the Society for Vascular Surgery in collaboration with the American Podiatric Medical Association and the Society for Vascular Medicine. J Vasc Surg *63*, 3S-21S. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2015.10.003.

3. Uccioli, L., Izzo, V., Meloni, M., Vainieri, E., Ruotolo, V., and Giurato, L. (2015). Non-healing foot ulcers in diabetic patients: general and local interfering conditions and management options with advanced wound dressings. J Wound Care *24*, 35–42. https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2015.24.Sup4b.35.

4. Loots, M.A.M., Lamme, E.N., Zeegelaar, J., Mekkes, J.R., Bos, J.D., and Middelkoop, E. (1998). Differences in cellular infiltrate and extracellular matrix of chronic diabetic and venous ulcers versus acute wounds. Journal of Investigative Dermatology *111*, 850–857. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1747.1998.00381.x.

5. Eming, S.A., Krieg, T., and Davidson, J.M. (2007). Inflammation in wound repair: Molecular and cellular mechanisms. Journal of Investigative Dermatology *127*, 514–525. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jid.5700701.

6. Grice, E.A., and Segre, J.A. (2012). Interaction of the microbiome with the innate immune response in chronic wounds. Adv Exp Med Biol *946*, 55–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-0106-3_4.

7. Singer, A.J., and Clark, R.A.F. (1999). Cutaneous Wound Healing. New England Journal of Medicine *341*, 738–746. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199909023411006.

8. Kalan, L.R., Meisel, J.S., Loesche, M.A., Horwinski, J., Soaita, I., Chen, X., Uberoi, A., Gardner, S.E., and Grice, E.A. (2019). Strain- and Species-Level Variation in the Microbiome of Diabetic Wounds Is Associated with Clinical Outcomes and Therapeutic Efficacy. Cell Host Microbe *25*, 641-655.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.03.006.

9. Keogh, R.A., Huyvaert, S., Moore, G.D., Horswill, A.R., and Doran, K.S. (2024). Virulence characteristics of Gram-positive bacteria isolated from diabetic foot ulcers. FEMS Microbes *5*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsmc/xtae013.

695    10.    Rahim, K., Saleha, S., Zhu, X., Huo, L., Basit, A., and Franco, O.L. (2017).
696           Bacterial Contribution in Chronicity of Wounds. Microb Ecol *73*, 710–721.
697           https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0867-9.

698    11.    Gardner, S.E., Hillis, S.L., Heilmann, K., Segre, J.A., and Grice, E.A. (2013). The
699           neuropathic diabetic foot ulcer microbiome is associated with clinical factors.
700           Diabetes *62*, 923–930. https://doi.org/10.2337/db12-0771.

701    12.    Jeffcoate, W.J., and Harding, K.G. (2003). Diabetic foot ulcers. Lancet *361*, 1545–
702           1551. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13169-8.

703    13.    Jeffcoate, W.J., Price, P., and Harding, K.G. (2004). Wound healing and
704           treatments for people with diabetic foot ulcer. Diabetes Metab Res Rev *20*, 78–89.
705           https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.476.

706    14.    Perim, M.C., Borges, J. da C., Celeste, S.R.C., Orsolin, E. de F., Mendes, R.R.,
707           Mendes, G.O., Ferreira, R.L., Carreiro, S.C., and Da Silva Pranchevicius, M.C.
708           (2015). Aerobic bacterial profile and antibiotic resistance in patients with diabetic
709           foot infections. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop *48*, 546–554.
710           https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0146-2015.

711    15.    Wolcott, R.D., Hanson, J.D., Rees, E.J., Koenig, L.D., Phillips, C.D., Wolcott,
712           R.A., Cox, S.B., and White, J.S. (2016). Analysis of the chronic wound microbiota
713           of 2,963 patients by 16S rDNA pyrosequencing. Wound Repair and Regeneration
714           *24*, 163–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12370.

715    16.    ALbeloushi, A., Elbehiry, A., Marzouk, E., Zahran, R., and Edrees, H. (2019).
716           Highlight on multidrug resistance of enterococcus faecalis recovered from diabetic
717           foot patients. J Pure Appl Microbiol *13*, 481–489.
718           https://doi.org/10.22207/JPAM.13.1.54.

719    17.    Kalan, L.R., and Brennan, M.B. (2019). The role of the microbiome in nonhealing
720           diabetic wounds. Ann N Y Acad Sci *1435*, 79–92.
721           https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13926.

722    18.    Shook, J.C., Genito, C.J., Darwitz, B.P., Tyson, K.J., Velez, A.Z., Bridwell, S.K.,
723           Parsons, J.B., Rowe, S.E., Marshall, C.W., Conlon, B.P., et al. (2025). Diabetes
724           potentiates the emergence and expansion of antibiotic resistance. *1591*.
725           https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ads1591.

726    19.    James, G.A., Swogger, E., Wolcott, R., Pulcini, E.D., Secor, P., Sestrich, J.,
727           Costerton, J.W., and Stewart, P.S. (2008). Biofilms in chronic wounds. Wound
728           Repair and Regeneration *16*, 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-
729           475X.2007.00321.x.

730    20.    Watters, C., Everett, J.A., Haley, C., Clinton, A., and Rumbaugh, K.P. (2014).
731           Insulin treatment modulates the host immune system to enhance Pseudomonas

aeruginosa wound biofilms. Infect Immun *82*, 92–100.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00651-13.

21.  Ch'ng, J.-H., Muthu, M., Chong, K.K.L., Wong, J.J., Tan, C.A.Z., Koh, Z.J.S.,
Lopez, D., Matysik, A., Nair, Z.J., Barkham, T., et al. (2022). Heme cross-feeding
can augment Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis dual species
biofilms. ISME J. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-022-01248-1.

22.  Cowley, E.S., Chaves, I.Z., Osman, F., Suen, G., Anantharaman, K., and
Hryckowian, A.J. (2023). Determinants of Gastrointestinal Group B Streptococcus
Carriage in Adults. Preprint, https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.553755
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.553755.

23.  Doran, K.S., and Nizet, V. (2004). Molecular pathogenesis of neonatal group B
streptococcal infection: No longer in its infancy. Mol Microbiol *54*, 23–31.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04266.x.

24.  Wilkinson, H.W. (1978). Group B Streptococcal Infection in Humans. Annu Rev
Microbiol *32*, 41–57. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.32.100178.000353.

25.  Kline, K.A., and Lewis, A.L. (2016). Gram-positive uropathogens, polymicrobial
urinary tract infection, and the emerging microbiota of the urinary tract. Urinary
Tract Infections: Molecular Pathogenesis and Clinical Management, 459–502.
https://doi.org/10.1128/9781555817404.ch19.

26.  Romero, R., Dey, S.K., and Fisher, S.J. (2014). Preterm labor: one syndrome,
many causes. Science *345*, 760–765. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251816.

27.  Vornhagen, J., Armistead, B., Santana-Ufret, V., Gendrin, C., Merillat, S.,
Coleman, M., Quach, P., Boldenow, E., Alishetti, V., Leonhard-Melief, C., et al.
(2018). Group B streptococcus exploits vaginal epithelial exfoliation for ascending
infection. Journal of Clinical Investigation *128*, 1985–1999.
https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI97043.

28.  Wang, H., Li, N., Chivese, T., Werfalli, M., Sun, H., Yuen, L., Hoegfeldt, C.A.,
Elise Powe, C., Immanuel, J., Karuranga, S., et al. (2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas:
Estimation of Global and Regional Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Prevalence for
2021 by International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group's
Criteria. Diabetes Res Clin Pract *183*, 109050.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109050.

29.  Mercado-Evans, V., Zulk, J.J., Hameed, Z.A., and Patras, K.A. (2024).
Gestational diabetes as a risk factor for GBS maternal rectovaginal colonization: a
systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth *24*, 1–16.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-024-06694-7.

30.  Zhou, Y., Wang, L.-Q., Yan, Q., Lee, C.-C., Hsu, M.-H., Liao, W.-T., Zhang, L.,
and Chiu, C.-H. (2022). Genomic Analysis of Group B Streptococcus from

Neonatal Sepsis Reveals Clonal CC17 Expansion and Virulence- and Resistance-Associated Traits After Intrapartum Antibiotic Prophylaxis. Clinical Infectious Diseases *75*, 2153–2160. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac331.

31. Uggen, E., Olaisen, C., Valsø, R., Gunnar, L., Simonsen, S., Magne, R., Jensen, B., Width, F., Bjørn, G., Åsvold, O., et al. (2024). Incidence of invasive infections with Group B streptococcus in adults in Norway 1996 – 2019 : a nationwide registry - based case – control study. Infection. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-024-02210-3.

32. Edwards, M.S., and Baker, C.J. (2005). Group B streptococcal infections in elderly adults. Clinical Infectious Diseases *41*, 839–847. https://doi.org/10.1086/432804.

33. Melin, P. (2011). Neonatal group B streptococcal disease: from pathogenesis to preventive strategies. Clinical Microbiology and Infection *17*, 1294–1303. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03576.x.

34. Nguyen, L.M., Omage, J.I., Noble, K., McNew, K.L., Moore, D.J., Aronoff, D.M., and Doster, R.S. (2021). Group B streptococcal infection of the genitourinary tract in pregnant and non-pregnant patients with diabetes mellitus: An immunocompromised host or something more? Preprint, https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.13501 https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.13501.

35. NS Raja (2007). Microbiology of diabetic foot infections in a teaching hospital in Malaysia: a retrospective study of 194 cases. Journal of microbiology immunology and infection *40*, 39.

36. Heravi, F.S., Zakrzewski, M., Vickery, K., Malone, M., and Hu, H. (2020). Metatranscriptomic Analysis Reveals Active Bacterial Communities in Diabetic Foot Infections. Front Microbiol *11*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01688.

37. Citron, D.M., Goldstein, E.J.C., Merriam, C.V., Lipsky, B.A., and Abramson, M.A. (2007). Bacteriology of moderate-to-severe diabetic foot infections and in vitro activity of antimicrobial agents. J Clin Microbiol *45*, 2819–2828. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00551-07.

38. Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Ma, G., Tian, Z., and Wang, B. (2022). The contribution of intestinal Streptococcus to the pathogenesis of diabetic foot ulcers: An analysis based on 16S rRNA sequencing. Int Wound J *19*, 1658–1668. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13766.

39. Blancas, D., Santin, M., Olmo, M., Alcaide, F., Carratala, J., and Gudiol, F. (2004). Group B Streptococcal Disease in Nonpregnant Adults: Incidence, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcome. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases *23*, 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-003-1098-9.

40. Loesche, M., Gardner, S.E., Kalan, L., Horwinski, J., Zheng, Q., Hodkinson, B.P.,
Tyldsley, A.S., Franciscus, C.L., Hillis, S.L., Mehta, S., et al. (2017). Temporal
Stability in Chronic Wound Microbiota Is Associated With Poor Healing. Journal of
Investigative Dermatology *137*, 237–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2016.08.009.

41. Keogh, R.A., Haeberle, A.L., Langouët-Astrié, C.J., Kavanaugh, J.S., Schmidt,
E.P., Moore, G.D., Horswill, A.R., and Doran, K.S. (2022). Group B Streptococcus
adaptation promotes survival in a hyperinflammatory diabetic wound environment.
Sci Adv *8*. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add3221.

42. Joyce, L.R., and Doran, K.S. (2023). Gram-positive bacterial membrane lipids at
the host-pathogen interface. PLoS Pathog *19*, 1–7.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011026.

43. Joyce, L.R., Kim, S., Spencer, B.L., Christensen, P.M., Palmer, K.L., Guan, Z.,
Siegenthaler, J.A., and Doran, K.S. (2024). Streptococcus agalactiae glycolipids
promote virulence by thwarting immune cell clearance. Sci Adv *10*, 1–15.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adn7848.

44. Joyce, L.R., Manzer, H.S., Mendonça, J. da C., Villarreal, R., Nagao, P.E., Doran,
K.S., Palmer, K.L., and Guan, Z. (2022). Identification of a novel cationic
glycolipid in Streptococcus agalactiae that contributes to brain entry and
meningitis. PLoS Biol *20*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001555.

45. Doran, K.S., Engelson, E.J., Khosravi, A., Maisey, H.C., Fedtke, I., Equils, O.,
Michelsen, K.S., Arditi, M., Peschel, A., and Nizet, V. (2005). Blood-brain barrier
invasion by group B Streptococcus depends upon proper cell-surface anchoring
of lipoteichoic acid. Journal of Clinical Investigation *115*, 2499–2507.
https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI23829.

46. Shrestha, S., Lee, Y.-B., Lee, H., Choi, Y.-K., Park, B.-Y., Kim, M.-J., Youn, Y.-J.,
Kim, S.-H., Jung, S.-J., Song, D.-K., et al. (2024). Diabetes Primes Neutrophils for
Neutrophil Extracellular Trap Formation through Trained Immunity. Research *7*,
1–14. https://doi.org/10.34133/research.0365.

47. Spencer, B.L., Nguyen, D.T., Marroquin, S.M., Gapin, L., O'Brien, R.L., and
Doran, K.S. (2025). Characterization of the Cellular Immune Response to Group
B Streptococcal Vaginal Colonization. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.29.635275.

48. Burcham, L.R., Akbari, M.S., Alhajjar, N., Keogh, R.A., Radin, J.N., Kehl-Fie, T.E.,
Belew, A.T., El-Sayed, N.M., McIver, K.S., and Doran, K.S. (2022). Genomic
Analyses Identify Manganese Homeostasis as a Driver of Group B Streptococcal
Vaginal Colonization. mBio *13*. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00985-22.

49. Burcham, L.R., Le Breton, Y., Radin, J.N., Spencer, B.L., Deng, L., Hiron, A.,
Ransom, M.R., Mendonça, J. da C., Belew, A.T., El-Sayed, N.M., et al. (2020).

Identification of zinc-dependent mechanisms used by Group B Streptococcus to
overcome calprotectin-mediated stress. mBio *11*, 1–18.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.14.252064.

50.  Akbari, M.S., Joyce, L.R., Spencer, B.L., Brady, A., McIver, K.S., and Doran, K.S.
(2025). Identification of glyoxalase A in group B Streptococcus and its contribution
to methylglyoxal tolerance and virulence. Infect Immun *0*, e0054024.
https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00540-24.

51.  Spencer, B.L., Chatterjee, A., Duerkop, B.A., Baker, C.J., and Doran, K.S. (2021).
Complete Genome Sequence of Neonatal Clinical Group B Streptococcal Isolate
CJB111. Microbiol Resour Announc *10*, 14–16.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.01268-20.

52.  Flores, A.R., Galloway-Peña, J., Sahasrabhojane, P., Saldaña, M., Yao, H., Su,
X., Ajami, N.J., Holder, M.E., Petrosino, J.F., Thompson, E., et al. (2015).
Sequence type 1 group B Streptococcus, an emerging cause of invasive disease
in adults, evolves by small genetic changes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*, 6431–
6436. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504725112.

53.  Pritzlaff, C.A., Chang, J.C.W., Kuo, S.P., Tamura, G.S., Rubens, C.E., and Nizet,
V. (2001). Genetic basis for the β-haemolytic/cytolytic activity of group B
streptococcus. Mol Microbiol *39*, 236–248. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
2958.2001.02211.x.

54.  Armistead, B., Oler, E., Waldorf, K.A., Rajagopal, L., and Disease, I. (2019). The
double life of Group B Streptococcus: Asymptomatic colonizer and potent
pathogen. J Mol Biol *431*, 2914–2931.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.01.035.The.

55.  Rajagopal, L. (2009). Understanding the regulation of Group B Streptococcal
virulence factors. Future Microbiol *4*, 201–221.
https://doi.org/10.2217/17460913.4.2.201.

56.  Kolar, S.L., Kyme, P., Tseng, C.W., Soliman, A., Kaplan, A., Liang, J., Nizet, V.,
Jiang, D., Murali, R., Arditi, M., et al. (2015). Group B Streptococcus Evades Host
Immunity by Degrading Hyaluronan. Cell Host Microbe *18*, 694–704.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.11.001.

57.  Dubbs, J.M., and Mongkolsuk, S. (2012). Peroxide-sensing transcriptional
regulators in bacteria. J Bacteriol *194*, 5495–5503.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00304-12.

58.  Lalioui, L., Pellegrini, E., Dramsi, S., Baptista, M., Bourgeois, N., Doucet-
Populaire, F., Rusniok, C., Zouine, M., Glaser, P., Kunst, F., et al. (2005). The
SrtA sortase of Streptococcus agalactiae is required for cell wall anchoring of
proteins containing the LPXTG motif, for adhesion to epithelial cells, and for

colonization of the mouse intestine. Infect Immun *73*, 3342–3350.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.6.3342-3350.2005.

59.   Spencer, B.L., Job, A.M., Robertson, C.M., Hameed, Z.A., Serchejian, C., Wiafe-
Kwakye, C.S., Mendonça, J.C., Apolonio, M.A., Nagao, P.E., Neely, M.N., et al.
(2023). Heterogeneity of the group B streptococcal type VII secretion system and
influence on colonization of the female genital tract
https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.15115.

60.   Faralla, C., Metruccio, M.M., De Chiara, M., Mu, R., Patras, K.A., Muzzi, A.,
Grandi, G., Margarit, I., Doran, K.S., and Janulczyk, R. (2014). Analysis of two-
component systems in group B streptococcus shows that RgfAC and the novel
FspSR modulate virulence and bacterial fitness. mBio *5*, 1–12.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00870-14.

61.   Thomas, L., and Cook, L. (2020). Two-component signal transduction systems in
the human pathogen streptococcus agalactiae. Infect Immun *88*, 1–16.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00931-19.

62.   Quach, D., Van Sorge, N.M., Kristian, S.A., Bryan, J.D., Shelver, D.W., and
Doran, K.S. (2009). The ciar response regulator in group b streptococcus
promotes intracellular survival and resistance to innate immune defenses. J
Bacteriol *191*, 2023–2032. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01216-08.

63.   Spencer, B.L., Deng, L., Patras, K.A., Burcham, Z.M., Sanches, G.F., Nagao,
P.E., and Doran, K.S. (2019). Cas9 contributes to group b streptococcal
colonization and disease. Front Microbiol *10*, 1–15.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01930.

64.   Poyart, C., Pellegrini, E., Marceau, M., Baptista, M., Jaubert, F., Lamy, M.C., and
Trieu-Cuot, P. (2003). Attenuated virulence of Streptococcus agalactiae deficient
in D-alanyl-lipoteichoic acid is due to an increased susceptibility to defensins and
phagocytic cells. Mol Microbiol *49*, 1615–1625. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
2958.2003.03655.x.

65.   Poyart, C., Lamy, M.C., Boumaila, C., Fiedler, F., and Trieu-Cuot, P. (2001).
Regulation of D-alanyl-lipoteichoic acid biosynthesis in Streptococcus agalactiae
involves a novel two-component regulatory system. J Bacteriol *183*, 6324–6334.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.21.6324-6334.2001.

66.   Noble, K., Lu, J., Guevara, M.A., Doster, R.S., Chambers, S.A., Rogers, L.M.,
Moore, R.E., Spicer, S.K., Eastman, A.J., Francis, J.D., et al. (2021). Group B
Streptococcus cpsE Is Required for Serotype V Capsule Production and Aids in
Biofilm Formation and Ascending Infection of the Reproductive Tract during
Pregnancy. ACS Infect Dis *7*, 2686–2696.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.1c00182.

67.    Carlin, A.F., Chang, Y.C., Areschoug, T., Lindahl, G., Hurtado-Ziola, N., King,
923            C.C., Varki, A., and Nizet, V. (2009). Group B Streptococcus suppression of
924            phagocyte functions by protein-mediated engagement of human Siglec-5. Journal
925            of Experimental Medicine *206*, 1691–1699. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20090691.

926    68.    Uchiyama, S., Sun, J., Fukahori, K., Ando, N., Wu, M., Schwarz, F., Siddiqui,
927            S.S., Varki, A., Marth, J.D., and Nizet, V. (2019). Dual actions of group B
928            Streptococcus capsular sialic acid provide resistance to platelet-mediated
929            antimicrobial killing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *116*, 7465–7470.
930            https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815572116.

931    69.    Rajagopal, L., Vo, A., Silvestroni, A., and Rubens, C.E. (2005). Regulation of
932            purine biosynthesis by a eukaryotic-type kinase in Streptococcus agalactiae. Mol
933            Microbiol *56*, 1329–1346. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04620.x.

934    70.    Tamura, G.S., Nittayajarn, A., and Schoentag, D.L. (2002). A glutamine transport
935            gene, glnQ, is required for fibronectin adherence and virulence of group B
936            streptococci. Infect Immun *70*, 2877–2885. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.70.6.2877-
937            2885.2002.

938    71.    Ipe, D.S., Sullivan, M.J., Goh, K.G.K., Hashimi, S.M., Munn, A.L., and Ulett, G.C.
939            (2021). Conserved bacterial de novo guanine biosynthesis pathway enables
940            microbial survival and colonization in the environmental niche of the urinary tract.
941            ISME Journal *15*, 2158–2162. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00934-w.

942    72.    Joyce, L.R., Guan, Z., and Palmer, K.L. (2021). Streptococcus pneumoniae, s.
943            Pyogenes and s. agalactiae membrane phospholipid remodelling in response to
944            human serum. Microbiology (United Kingdom) *167*.
945            https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.001048.

946    73.    Eckert, R.L., and Rorke, E.A. (1989). Molecular biology of keratinocyte
947            differentiation. Environ Health Perspect *80*, 109–116.
948            https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8980109.

949    74.    Smits, J.P.H., Niehues, H., Rikken, G., Van Vlijmen-Willems, I.M.J.J., Van De
950            Zande, G.W.H.J.F., Zeeuwen, P.L.J.M., Schalkwijk, J., and Van Den Bogaard,
951            E.H. (2017). Immortalized N/TERT keratinocytes as an alternative cell source in
952            3D human epidermal models. Sci Rep *7*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-
953            017-12041-y.

954    75.    Patras, K.A., Wang, N.Y., Fletcher, E.M., Cavaco, C.K., Jimenez, A., Garg, M.,
955            Fierer, J., Sheen, T.R., Rajagopal, L., and Doran, K.S. (2013). Group B
956            Streptococcus CovR regulation modulates host immune signalling pathways to
957            promote vaginal colonization. Cell Microbiol *15*, 1154–1167.
958            https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12105.

76.  Saar-Dover, R., Bitler, A., Nezer, R., Shmuel-Galia, L., Firon, A., Shimoni, E.,
Trieu-Cuot, P., and Shai, Y. (2012). D-Alanylation of Lipoteichoic Acids Confers
Resistance to Cationic Peptides in Group B Streptococcus by Increasing the Cell
Wall Density. PLoS Pathog 8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002891.

77.  Akbari, M.S., Keogh, R.A., Radin, J.N., Sanchez-Rosario, Y., Johnson, M.D.L.,
Horswill, A.R., Kehl-Fie, T.E., Burcham, L.R., and Doran, K.S. (2023). The impact
of nutritional immunity on Group B streptococcal pathogenesis during wound
infection. mBio 0. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00304-23.

78.  Amulic, B., Cazalet, C., Hayes, G.L., Metzler, K.D., and Zychlinsky, A. (2012).
Neutrophil function: From mechanisms to disease. Annu Rev Immunol 30, 459–
489. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-074942.

79.  Xu, X., and Håkansson, L. (2002). Degranulation of primary and secondary
granules in adherent human neutrophils. Scand J Immunol 55, 178–188.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3083.2002.01041.x.

80.  Niyonsaba, F., Madera, L., Afacan, N., Okumura, K., Ogawa, H., and Hancock,
R.E.W. (2013). The innate defense regulator peptides IDR-HH2, IDR-1002, and
IDR-1018 modulate human neutrophil functions. J Leukoc Biol 94, 159–170.
https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.1012497.

81.  Zanetti, M., Gennaro, R., and Romeo, D. (1995). Cathelicidins: a novel protein
family with a common proregion and a variable C-terminal antimicrobial domain.
FEBS Lett 374, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(95)01050-O.

82.  Lee, T.H., Hofferek, V., Separovic, F., Reid, G.E., and Aguilar, M.I. (2019). The
role of bacterial lipid diversity and membrane properties in modulating
antimicrobial peptide activity and drug resistance. Curr Opin Chem Biol 52, 85–
92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.05.025.

83.  Lan, C.C.E., Wu, C.S., Huang, S.M., Wu, I.H., and Chen, G.S. (2013). High-
Glucose environment enhanced oxidative stress and increased interleukin-8
secretion from keratinocytes. Diabetes 62, 2530–2538.
https://doi.org/10.2337/db12-1714.

84.  Ma, X.X., Liu, Q.K., Kuai, L., Ma, X., Luo, Y., Luo, Y., Song, J.K., Fei, X.Y., Jiang,
J.S., Wang, M.X., et al. (2023). The role of neutrophils in diabetic ulcers and
targeting therapeutic strategies. Int Immunopharmacol 124.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110861.

85.  Mollinedo, F. (2019). Neutrophil Degranulation, Plasticity, and Cancer Metastasis.
Trends Immunol 40, 228–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2019.01.006.

86.  Wang, L., Zhou, X., Yin, Y., Mai, Y., Wang, D., and Zhang, X. (2019).
Hyperglycemia induces neutrophil extracellular traps formation through an

NADPH oxidase-dependent pathway in diabetic retinopathy. Front Immunol *10*,
1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.03076.

87.     Ganesh, S., Don, K.R., and Priya.a, J. (2020). The role of matrix
        metalloproteinases in wound healing. International Journal of Pharmaceutical
        Research *12*, 702–707. https://doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2020.SP2.087.

88.     Gründling, A., and Schneewind, O. (2007). Synthesis of glycerol phosphate
        lipoteichoic acid in Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *104*, 8478–
        8483. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701821104.

89.     Percy, M.G., and Gründling, A. (2014). Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in
        gram-positive bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol *68*, 81–100.
        https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-112949.

90.     Dowey, R., Iqbal, A., Heller, S.R., Sabroe, I., and Prince, L.R. (2021). A
        Bittersweet Response to Infection in Diabetes; Targeting Neutrophils to Modify
        Inflammation and Improve Host Immunity. Front Immunol *12*, 1–21.
        https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.678771.

91.     Derré-Bobillot, A., Cortes-Perez, N.G., Yamamoto, Y., Kharrat, P., Couvé, E., Da
        Cunha, V., Decker, P., Boissier, M.C., Escartin, F., Cesselin, B., et al. (2013).
        Nuclease A (Gbs0661), an extracellular nuclease of Streptococcus agalactiae,
        attacks the neutrophil extracellular traps and is needed for full virulence. Mol
        Microbiol *89*, 518–531. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12295.

92.     Li, D., Pi, W., Sun, Z., Liu, X., and Jiang, J. (2022). Ferroptosis and its role in
        cardiomyopathy. Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy *153*, 113279.
        https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113279.

93.     Goncheva, M.I., Chin, D., and Heinrichs, D.E. (2022). Nucleotide biosynthesis: the
        base of bacterial pathogenesis. Trends Microbiol *30*, 793–804.
        https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.12.007.

94.     Tan, C.A.Z., Chong, K.K.L., Yeong, D.Y.X., Ng, C.H.M., Ismail, M.H., Yap, Z.H.,
        Khetrapal, V., Tay, V.S.Y., Drautz-Moses, D.I., Ali, Y., et al. (2024). Purine and
        carbohydrate availability drive Enterococcus faecalis fitness during wound and
        urinary tract infections. mBio *15*. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02384-23.

95.     Liu, G.Y., Doran, K.S., Lawrence, T., Turkson, N., Puliti, M., Tissi, L., and Nizet,
        V. (2004). Sword and shield: Linked group B streptococcal β-hemolysin/cytolysin
        and carotenoid pigment function to subvert host phagocyte defense. Proc Natl
        Acad Sci U S A *101*, 14491–14496. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0406143101.

96.     Spencer, B.L., Tak, U., Mendonça, J.C., Nagao, P.E., Niederweis, M., and Doran,
        K.S. (2021). A type VII secretion system in Group B Streptococcus mediates
        cytotoxicity and virulence. PLoS Pathog *17*, e1010121.
        https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010121.

97. Mascher, T., Zähner, D., Merai, M., Balmelle, N., De Saizieu, A.B., and Hakenbeck, R. (2003). The Streptococcus pneumoniae cia regulon: CiaR target sites and transcription profile analysis. J Bacteriol *185*, 60–70. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.1.60-70.2003.

98. Alves, L.A., Harth-Chu, E.N., Palma, T.H., Stipp, R.N., Mariano, F.S., Höfling, J.F., Abranches, J., and Mattos-Graner, R.O. (2017). The two-component system VicRK regulates functions associated with Streptococcus mutans resistance to complement immunity. Mol Oral Microbiol *32*, 419–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/omi.12183.

99. Madoff, L.C., Michel, J.L., and Kasper, D.L. (1991). A monoclonal antibody identifies a protective C-protein alpha-antigen epitope in Group B streptococci. Infect Immun *59*, 204–210. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.59.1.204-210.1991.

100. Casadaban, M.J., and Cohen, S.N. (1980). Analysis of gene control signals by DNA fusion and cloning in Escherichia coli. J Mol Biol *138*, 179–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(80)90283-1.

101. Hooven, T.A., Bonakdar, M., Chamby, A.B., and Ratner, A.J. (2019). A Counterselectable Sucrose Sensitivity Marker Permits Efficient and Flexible Mutagenesis in Streptococcus agalactiae. Appl Environ Microbiol *85*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03009-18.

102. Mu, R., Kim, B.J., Paco, C., Del Rosario, Y.D., Courtney, H.S., and Doran, K.S. (2014). Identification of a group B streptococcal fibronectin binding protein, SfbA, that contributes to invasion of brain endothelium and development of meningitis. Infect Immun *82*, 2276–2286. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01559-13.

103. Chang, J.C., Jimenez, J.C., and Federle, M.J. (2015). Induction of a quorum sensing pathway by environmental signals enhances group A streptococcal resistance to lysozyme. Mol Microbiol *97*, 1097–1113. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13088.

104. Jeng, A., Sakota, V., Li, Z., Datta, V., Beall, B., and Nizet, V. (2003). Molecular genetic analysis of a group A Streptococcus operon encoding serum opacity factor and a novel fibronectin-binding protein, SfbX. J Bacteriol *185*, 1208–1217. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.4.1208-1217.2003.

105. DeJesus, M.A., Ambadipudi, C., Baker, R., Sassetti, C., and Ioerger, T.R. (2015). TRANSIT - A Software Tool for Himar1 TnSeq Analysis. PLoS Comput Biol *11*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004401.

106. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics *25*, 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

107. Mills, K.B., Maciag, J.J., Wang, C., Crawford, J.A., Enroth, T.J., Keim, K.C.,
Dufrêne, Y.F., Robinson, D.A., Fey, P.D., Herr, A.B., et al. (2024).
Staphylococcus aureus skin colonization is mediated by SasG lectin variation.
Cell Rep *43*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114022.

108. Lang, J., Capasso, A., Jordan, K.R., French, J.D., Kar, A., Bagby, S.M., Barbee,
J., Yacob, B.W., Head, L.S., Tompkins, K.D., et al. (2020). Development of an
adrenocortical cancer humanized mouse model to characterize anti-PD1 effects
on tumor microenvironment. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism
*105*, 26–42. https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgz014.

109. Miller, R.J., Crosby, H.A., Schilcher, K., Wang, Y., Ortines, R. V., Mazhar, M.,
Dikeman, D.A., Pinsker, B.L., Brown, I.D., Joyce, D.P., et al. (2019). Development
of a Staphylococcus aureus reporter strain with click beetle red luciferase for
enhanced in vivo imaging of experimental bacteremia and mixed infections. Sci
Rep *9*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52982-0.

110. Bhattacharya, M., Berends, E.T.M., Chan, R., Schwab, E., Roy, S., Sen, C.K.,
Torres, V.J., and Wozniak, D.J. (2018). Staphylococcus aureus biofilms release
leukocidins to elicit extracellular trap formation and evade neutrophil-mediated
killing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *115*, 7416–7421.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721949115.

111. Bhattacharya, M., Berends, E.T.M., Zheng, X., Hill, P.J., Chan, R., Torres, V.J.,
and Wozniak, D.J. (2020). Leukocidins and the Nuclease Nuc Prevent Neutrophil-
Mediated Killing of Staphylococcus aureus Biofilms. Infect Immun *88*, 1–16.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00372-20.

112. Kao, P.H.-N., Ch'ng, J.-H., Chong, K.K.L., Stocks, C.J., Wong, S.L., and Kline,
K.A. (2023). Enterococcus faecalis suppresses Staphylococcus aureus-induced
NETosis and promotes bacterial survival in polymicrobial infections. FEMS
microbes *4*, xtad019. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsmc/xtad019.

113. Freeman, C.D., Hansen, T., Urbauer, R., Wilkinson, B.J., Singh, V.K., and Hines,
K.M. (2024). Defective pgsA contributes to increased membrane fluidity and cell
wall thickening in Staphylococcus aureus with high-level daptomycin resistance.
mSphere *9*, e0011524. https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00115-24.

114. Tiwari, K.B., Sen, S., Gatto, C., and Wilkinson, B.J. (2021). Fluorescence
Polarization (FP) Assay for Measuring Staphylococcus aureus Membrane Fluidity.
In Staphylococcus aureus: Methods and Protocols, K. C. Rice, ed. (Springer US),
pp. 55–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1550-8_8.

1106

1107

## Materials and Methods

### Bacterial strains

All strains used in the study are listed in STAR Methods. GBS strains were grown statically at 37°C in Todd-Hewitt broth (THB). *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in lysogeny broth at 37°C or 30°C with rotation at 225 rpm. Erythromycin and spectinomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) were supplemented to media at 300 and 100 µg/mL for *E. coli* or 5 and 100 µg/mL for GBS strains, respectively.

### Animals

For murine diabetic wound 8 to 12-week-old male mice from the C57Bl/6J or *Lepr^db^* background were used. Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus protocol no. 00987 and were performed using accepted veterinary standards. The University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus is AAALAC accredited, and its facilities meet and adhere to the standards in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

### Routine molecular biology techniques

All PCR reactions utilized Phusion polymerase (New England Biolabs). PCR products and restriction digest products were purified using Qiagen PCR purification kit (Qiagen) per manufacturer protocols. See Table S3 for primers. Plasmids were extracted using Qiagen plasmid mini and maxiprep kits (Qiagen) per manufacturer protocols. Restriction enzyme digests utilized XhoI, PstI, BamHI, and SacII (New England Biolabs) for at least 3 h at 37°C. Ligations utilized T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) at 16°C overnight. All plasmid constructs were sequence confirmed by Nanopore amplicon sequencing (Quintara Biosciences).

### Construction of *dltA* and *cpsD* knockout plasmid

Construction of the *dltA* clean deletion knockout plasmid was performed as previously described [43,44]. Briefly, approximately 1.5 kb upstream and downstream of the CJB111 *dltA* (ID870_00720), or *cpsD* (ID870_03500) and the spectinomycin cassette from plasmid JC303 [103] was amplified using PCR. Plasmid, pMBSacB [101], and the PCR products were digested using appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated overnight and transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* MC1061 as described [43]. Cultures were pelleted using an Eppendorf 5810R centrifuge at 3900 x g for 10 min at room temperature. Plasmid was extracted as described above and sequence verified via Nanopore whole plasmid sequencing (Quintara Biosciences).

### Deletion and complementation of CJB111 *dltA* and *cpsD*

GBS electrocompetent cells were generated as described and double crossover homologous recombination knockout strategy was performed as described previously

[43,44]. For *dltA,* after the second cross-over, GBS was incubated in THB + 0.75 M sucrose
and 100 µg/mL spectinomycin at 37°C before plating on THB agar supplemented with
100 µg/mL spectinomycin, whereas *cpsD* is a clean deletiona dn no spectinomycin
cassette. Colonies were PCR screened for loss of gene using primers outside of the
recombination arms and grown in THB at 37˚C and stocked. Sequence confirmation of
the mutants was done via Nanopore sequencing (Quintara Biosciences). The pJDltA
complementation plasmid was constructed as previously described [43,44]. CJB111 *dltA*
gene was amplified, restriction digested and ligated overnight into pDCErm [104] plasmid
backbone. Ligations were transformed into *E. coli* for maintenance. Complement
plasmids sequence confirmed as above and were electroporated into GBS
electrocompetent cells prepared as described above.

1158

## Murine model of diabetic wound infection

8 to 12-week-old male mice from the C57Bl/6J or *Lepr^{db}* background were used
throughout the study. Two weeks prior to infection mice were given low dose injections of
Streptozotocin (50mg/kg) (CAS-No: 18883-66-4) dissolved immediately before injection
in 100 µL of 50 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 4.5) for 5 consecutive days, followed by a
glucose chaser (250 µL) to prevent hypoglycemia [77]. Mice then sat for a minimum of one
week for blood sugar to normalize before infection. Mice were infected as previously
described [77]. Briefly, the day before infection mice were anesthetized via isoflurane
inhalation, shaved and treated with Nair on the back. Tail snips were performed and blood
sugar measured via glucometer to ensure all mice had blood glucose levels >200 mg/dl.
The following day, mice were weighed and anesthetized via isoflurane inhalation and
injected with lidocaine intra-dermally. Mice were wounded with a 6 mm biopsy punch and
inoculated with 1 x $10^7$ CFU GBS or a PBS control unless otherwise indicated. Wounds
were wrapped with the surgical adhesive Tegaderm for 5 h before adhesive removal and
monitoring of animals. After 48 h of infection, mice were sacrificed and wound as well as
kidneys were harvested for further analysis. Tissues were placed into 500 µl of sterile
PBS in 2.0-ml conical tubes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) along with 1.0-mm-diameter
zirconia/silica beads (BioSpec catalog no. 1107911) and homogenized by bead beating
two times for 60 s in a BioSpec mini bead beater. Tissue homogenates were plated on
GBS CHROMagar [SB282(B)], which allows only for the growth of GBS (in pink) and
*Enterococcus* spp. (in blue). These experiments were approved by the committee on the
use and care of animals at the University of Colorado–Anschutz Medical Campus protocol
no. 00987. For neutrophil depletion experiments, mice were injected with 200 µg of either
anti-Ly6G (BioXCell, clone 18A, catalog BE0075-1) or anti-IgG2a isotype control antibody
(BioXCell, clone 2A3, catalog BE0089) diluted in buffered reagent diluent (BioXCell,
catalog IP0070) the day before infection.

1185

## Diabetic wound transposon library infection

Triplicate cultures of the pooled CJB111 pKrmit transposon library [49] were grown
overnight at 37˚C in THB with kanamycin at 300 µg/mL and grown to exponential phase
without kanamycin before libraries were normalized to ~1.5 x $10^7$ CFU/10 µL and *Lepr^{db}*

mice were infected as described above. Input library and wound homogenate was plated
in duplicated on CHROMagar Strep B with 300 μg/mL kanamycin and incubated overnight
at 37°C to collect recovered transposon mutants. Bacterial growth from spread plates
were collected and 4 mice per library pooled together before genomic DNA was extracted
using ZymoBiomics DNA miniprep Kit (Zymo Research).

1195

## Transposon library sequencing

Libraries were prepared and sequenced at the University of Minnesota Genomics Center
(UMGC) according to https://www.protocols.io/view/transposon-insertion-sequencing-tn-
seq-library-pre-rm7vzn6d5vx1/v1. Briefly, genomic DNA was enzymatically fragmented,
and adapters added using the NEB Ultra II FS kit (New England Biolabs), and ~50 ng of
fragmented adapted gDNA was used as a template for enrichment by PCR (16 cycles)
for the transposon insertions using mariner-specific
(TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACC)
and Illumina P7 primers. The enriched PCR products were diluted to 1ng/ul and 10 ul was
used as a template for an indexing PCR (9 cycles) using Nextera_R1 (iP5) and
Nextera_R2 (iP7) primers. Sequencing was performed using 150- base paired-end format
on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system to generate ~40 million reads per library.

1208

## Tn-seq bioinformatics analyses

Bioinformatic analyses were performed as previously described [50] Reads were trimmed
using Cutadapt (v 4.2) with the following parameters: sequence length with a minimum of
12 bases, removal of flanking "N" bases, reads were trimmed of 3' "G" bases, and reads
were trimmed with the reverse complemented mariner transposon sequence
(ACTTATCAGCCAACCTGTTA). TRANSIT (v 3.2.7) [105] was used to align trimmed reads
to the CJB111 genome (CP063198) for analysis of transposon insertion sites. The Transit
PreProcessor processed reads using default parameters with the Sassetti protocol, no
primer sequence, and mapped them to the genome using Burrows-Wheeler Alignment
(BWA) [106]. Insertion sites were normalized using the total trimmed reads (TTR) in
TRANSIT and analyzed using the site-restricted resampling to compare the insertion
counts recovered in wound vs the input library. Resampling was performed using default
parameters, with the addition of ignoring TA sites within 5% of the 5' and 3' end of the
gene. All sequencing reads have been deposited into NCBI SRA under BioProject
accession PRJNA1232139.

1224

## N/TERT adherence, invasion and intracellular survival assays

Human immortalized N/TERT-2G keratinocyte cells [74,107] were seeded at 1 x $10^5$ cells in
keratinocyte serum-free medium (KSFM, Gibco) supplemented with 0.3 mM calcium
chloride (PromoCell) in a 24-well tissue culture treated plate and incubated at 5% $CO_2$
and 37°C. Assays to determine the total number of bacteria adhered to host cells or
intracellular bacteria were performed using GBS empty vector and complement strain as

described previously [43,44]. Briefly, exponential phase bacteria were normalized to 1 x $10^8$
CFU/mL in PBS and 1 x $10^5$ CFU per well at multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. The total
cell associated GBS were recovered after 30 min incubation. Cells were washed four
times with 1X PBS (Sigma) and detached by addition of 100 µL of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA
solution (Gibco) and incubation for 5 min at 37°C before lysing the eukaryotic cells with
the addition of 400 µL of 0.025% Triton X-100 (Sigma) and vigorous pipetting. The lysates
were serially diluted and plated on THB agar and incubated overnight to determine CFU.
Bacterial invasion assays were performed as described above except infection plates
were incubated for 2 h before incubation with 100 µg gentamicin (Sigma) and 5 µg
penicillin (Sigma) supplemented media for an additional 2 h to kill all extracellular bacteria,
prior to being trypsinized, lysed, and plated as described. Experiments were performed
in biological triplicate with three technical replicates per experiment.

1243

## Dissociation of wound tissue and blood for flow cytometry

Single cell suspension preparation was performed using methods previously described
by our group [47].  In brief, following euthanasia, mice were perfused with PBS+heparin and
wounds were excised, minced with a razor blade, and suspended in 2.4 mL RPMI 1640
medium (Corning). Digestion enzymes were obtained from Miltenyi Biotec Multi Tissue
Dissociation Kit 1 and resuspended according to manufacturer instructions. 100 µL
Enzyme D, 50 µL Enzyme R, 12.5 µL Enzyme A were added to each tissue sample in
RPMI. Tissues were mechanically dissociated using gentleMACS™ C Tubes (Miltenyi
Biotec; protocol Multi H) for 30 sec, incubated on a rocking shaker at 37°C for 30 min,
followed by an additional 30 sec of mechanical dissociation and 30 min incubation at 37°C
while rocking. The digestion mixture was strained through a 70 µm filter, and the single
cells were washed with RPMI and spun down at 300 x g for 5 min. The cell pellet was
resuspended in Ammonium-Chloride-Potassium red blood cell lysis buffer (150 mM
NH4Cl, 10 mM KHCO3, 0.1 mM Na2EDTA) for 1 min at room temperature and diluted in
RPMI. Following centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended in MACS staining buffer
(1X PBS, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% BSA) to generate single cell suspensions. Blood was
obtained from right ventricle cardiac puncture. Approximately 200 µL were taken from
each animal and mixed a small amount of heparin suspended in PBS. Ammonium-
Chloride-Potassium red blood cell lysis buffer was added to blood samples to a final
volume of 1 mL, and incubated for 3 min. Samples were then spun down at 300 x g for 5
min and the resulting pellet was washed again in red blood cell lysis buffer with a repeat
spin. The cell pellet was resuspended in MACS staining buffer to generate single cell
suspensions as noted above.

1267

## Antibody staining of single cell suspensions for flow cytometry analysis

1269  Antibody staining methods and panels were performed as previously described [47]. Fixable
1270  Viability Dye eFluor™ 506 (ThermoFisher; 1:800 dilution) in PBS was added to single cell
1271  suspensions and allowed to incubate for 15 min at room temperature. Conjugated
1272  antibodies listed in Table S4 were then added to wound and blood single cell suspensions
1273  along with anti-mouse CD16/32 (ThermoFisher, Fc block, clone 93) for 30 min at room

temperature. To analyze human neutrophils, antibodies against surface markers listed in Table S4 were added with human FcR Blocking Reagent (Miltenyi Biotec). Stained neutrophils were then washed and fixed using Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (eBioscience) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were analyzed on the LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer. Data were analyzed with BD FlowJo software version 10.10.0. Single-stained beads (Versacomp Antibody Capture Bead Kit; Beckman Coulter) were used as compensation controls. A detailed gating strategy is included in Figure S1 for wound and blood and Figure S6 for neutrophil degranulation.

**Multispectral Immunohistochemistry and Image Analysis**

Diabetic mice were wounded and infected with either WT CJB111 expressing GFP or mock infected with PBS as described above. After 48h of infection, animals were sacrificed and wounds excised and sent to the Human Immune Monitoring Shared Resource (HIMSR) at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Center. Multispectral immunohistochemistry (mIHC) analyses were performed using Vectra Automated Quantitative Pathology Systems (Akoya Biosciences) as described previously [108]. Tissues were formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded and sectioned onto slides. Whole tissues were analyzed with the following antibodies; MsCD11b (Novus, NB110-89474), Msly6G (CST, 87048S), HuCitH3 (abcam, ab5103), MsGFP/YFP (CST, 2956S), msCD11c (CST, 97585S), MsCD45 (CST, 70257S), MsEpCAM (CST, 93790S), MsF480 (CST, 30325S), and DAPI. All slides were deidentified and imaged by the Anschutz Medical Campus Human Immune Monitoring Shared Resource (HIMSR) core (RRID:SCR_021985) on Akoya Biosciences PhenoImager HT scanner. Regions of interest (ROI) were selected, and multispectral images were collected with the 20× objective. A training set of nine representative images was used to train the analyses algorithms for tissue and cell segmentation and phenotyping using in Form software (Akoya Biosciences). Representative autofluorescence was measured on an unstained control slide and subtracted from study slides.

**Primary human neutrophil killing**

Primary human neutrophils were isolated from healthy human donors (female $n$ = 2, male $n$ = 2) per the COMIRB protocol number 17-1926 as previously described [109–111]. Neutrophils were resuspended in RPMI (Gibco, no phenol red) with 22 mM glucose to mimic diabetes [46]. Mid-exponential phase GBS were normalized to 1 x $10^8$ CFU/mL in Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Gibco), and 1mL GBS was opsonized in 10% pooled human serum (Complement Tech) at 37˚C with rotation for 30 min. Opsonized GBS were washed once with HBSS before being resuspended in 1 mL of 4 x $10^6$ neutrophils (MOI of 25) and split into two Eppendorf tubes containing 500 µL each. One tube was incubated at 37˚C, with rotation, for 1 h and the second tube used for time 0 h counts. At each time point, 500 µL of 0.05% Saponin (Sigma) was added to the tube and vortex vigorously to lyse neutrophils. 20 µL was removed in duplicate and serial diluted and 50 µL was spread plate on THA containing plates and incubate at 37˚C overnight for

1316 CFU enumeration. Percent survival was calculated as the ratio of CFU at 1 h verses 0 h
1317 and normalized to the no neutrophil control (RPMI only tubes) set to 100%.

1318

**1319 HL60 opsonophagocytic killing**

HL60 opsonophagocytic killing assays were performed as previously described [43]. Briefly,
HL60 cells purchased from ATCC (ATCC CCL-240) were differentiated with 1.25%
DMSO (Sigma) in RPMI for 4 days. Differentiated HL60 cells were harvested and checked
for > 65% viability before starting the assay in accordance with a well-characterized
protocol. In a 96 well plate (Corning), 50 µL containing ~1 x$10^3$ CFU of mid-exponential
phase GBS, normalized in HBC buffer (1X HBSS, 0.5% BSA, and 2.2 mM $CaCl_2$, pH 7.2)
was added. 50 µL of either 20% normal human serum (Complement Tech) or 20% heat-
killed human serum (60˚C for >30 min) in HBC buffer were added to each well and
incubated at 37˚C, with shaking, for 15 min. 100 µL of HL60 cells (~5 x$10^5$) were added
to each well and incubated at 37˚C with shaking, MOI ~0.001, as previously described.
Over a 3h time course, 10 µL's were removed and indicated times, serial diluted and
plated on THB agar for enumeration. Assays were performed in biological triplicate with
technical duplicates per replicate. Percent survival was calculated as the ratio of CFU in
normal serum versus CFU in heat-killed serum, and no HL60 control wells were set to
100%.

1335

**1336 HL60 opsonophagocytic uptake measured by imagestream flow cytometry**

GBS were opsonized as described above and HL60-neutrophil like cells were infected at
an MOI of 8 - 10 for 15 minutes at 37˚C with rotation. Following incubation tubes were
transferred to ice and washed in MACS staining buffer described above. To stain the host
cell surface, HL-60s were incubated with anti-human CD11b (cat no. 301309, clone
[ICRF44]) and anti-human CD45 (cat no. 304011, clone [HI30]) both conjugated to APC
along with human FcR Blocking Reagent (Miltenyi Biotec). Cells were fixed using
Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (eBioscience) according to the
manufacturer's protocol. Samples were run on the Imagestream Mark II cytometer (Cytek
Bioscieces) using the 60x objective. Samples were then analyzed with the IDEAS v6.4
software (Cytek Biosciences). For analysis masks were made using the object and
adaptive erode functions for generating cell, inside the cell and the membrane masks.
The samples were first gated on area vs aspect ratio for single cells, and only in focused
cells were included. Focused cells were then gated on CD45$^+$CD11b$^+$ expression using
the membrane mask and intensity per area feature. GBS bacteria on the membrane,
inside the cell or both were numerate with the threshold function mask and the spot count
feature. The assay was performed in biological quadruplicate.

1353

**1354 Primary human neutrophil degranulation**

1355 To measure degranulation in primary human neutrophils, neutrophils were isolated as
1356 described above and infected with opsonized GBS at an MOI of 25 in 22 mM glucose as

described above for 30 min at 37˚C in a 96 well plate. Antibody staining and flow cytometry analysis is described above using known markers of degranulation [85,112].

**Cationic antimicrobial peptide killing**

mCRAMP killing assays were performed with concentrations as previously described [43]. A total of 2-4 x $10^5$ CFU of mid-exponential phase cultures were exposed to 20 µM mCRAMP (AnaSpec) in THB for 30 min at 37˚C. Dilutions were plated for CFU enumeration.

**Reactive oxygen species susceptibility and 8-OHdG ELISA**

Overnight cultures were normalized to 1 x $10^8$ CFU/mL and 2 mL of bacteria were exposed to 0.08% hypochlorite (Clorox) or 100 µg of hydrogen peroxide (Millipore, 386790) for 1 h at 37˚C before 10 µL was removed for serial dilution and plating for CFU on THA plates. The rest of the bacteria exposed to hydrogen peroxide were pelleted and stored at -20 ˚C for 8-OHdG Quantification via OxiSelect Oxidative DNA Damage ELISA Kit (Cell Biolabs, STA-320) per manufacturer protocol. Biological triplicate was performed.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

TEM was performed at the electron microscopy core facility at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus. Approximately 8 mL of mid-exponential (OD$_{600nm}$ 0.5 – 0.6) growing bacteria were pelleted and cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer and embedded in 3% agarose. Samples were trimmed into small bocks and rinsed three rinses in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, they were post-fixed in 2% osmium tetroxide and 0.8 % K$_3$[Fe(CN$_6$)] in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were rinsed with water and en bloc stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate for 1 h. They were dehydrated with increasing concentration of ethanol, infiltrated with SPURR resin and polymerized in a 60˚C oven overnight. Blocks were sectioned with a diamond knife (Diatome) on a UC7 ultramicrotome (Leica) and collected onto copper grids, post stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Images were acquired on a Tecnai T12 transmission electron microscope (ThermoFisher) equipped with a LaB$_6$ source at 80 kV using an NS15 (15 Mpix) camera (AMT).

**GBS capsule flow cytometry**

Flow cytometry for capsule expression was performed using CJB111 WT, CJB111Δ*iagB*, CJB111Δ*iagA*, CJB111Δ*mprF,* CJB111Δ*dltA,* and CJB111Δ*cpsD* empty vector strains, the respective complement strains, pJIagB, pJIagA, pJMprF, and pJDltA, and GBS A909 (Ia Capsule). Overnight cultures were washed in HBC buffer (Hanks' balanced salt solution [HBSS]-bovine serum albumin-calcium buffer; 1× HBSS without magnesium or calcium, 0.5% bovine serum albumin, 2.2 mM CaCl$_2$) and incubated with adsorbed anti-

1397 Group B serotype V antisera (SSI Diagnostica Group, cat. no 22461) at a 1:5000 dilution.
1398 Bacteria were washed via centrifugation and labeled with a goat anti-rabbit Ig conjugated
1399 to AF647 (SouthernBiotech, cat. no 4010-31) at a 1:5000 dilution. Bacteria were fixed in
1400 5% formalin and analyzed on a BD LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences) using the BD
1401 FacsDiva software (v9). Data were anlyzed with BD FlowJo software v 10.10.

1402

**Anisotropy**

The small molecule probe 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (Sigma; DPH) was used to
evaluate membrane fluidity as previously described [113,114]. Briefly, pellets from overnight
cultures were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) twice and resuspended in
fresh PBS to ~ 1 x $10^8$ CFU/mL in 3 mL of PBS and incubated with 5 µM of DPH for 15
min at 37°C and 200 rpm and samples were kept at 37°C using a water bath until
analyzed. Anisotropy measurements were taken on a Jobin Yvon FluoroMax-3
spectrofluorometer with polarizers in the L geometry at the Biophysics Shared Research
Facility at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus. DPH was excited at 360
nm and the fluorescence intensity was monitored at 430 nm. Samples were maintained
at 37°C using the temperature-controlled sample compartment of the spectrofluorometer.
Measurements were performed on biological quadruplicate. The anisotropy of DPH was
calculated from the differences in the fluorescence intensity detected with the polarizers
in the vertical and horizontal orientations and data is reported in arbitrary units (AU).
Biological quadruplicate was performed.

1418

1419 **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

1420 Statistical details of each experiment including statistical tests, number of samples, and
1421 significance threshold can be found in the figure legends. All statistical analysis was
1422 performed using GraphPad Prism software version 10 (San Diego, California) unless
1423 otherwise indicated, and statistical significance was accepted at p-values of < 0.05.