Pigeons exhibit low susceptibility and poor transmission capacity for H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b high pathogenicity avian influenza virus

Cecilia Di Genova[1*], Caroline J Warren[1*], Simon Johnson[1], Sofia Riccio[1], Kelly Roper[1], Saumya S. Thomas[1], Audra-Lynne Schlachter[2], David Jorge[2], Kajal Ralh[1], Jafar Hassan[1], Elizabeth Billington[1], Ian H. Brown[1,3±], Marek J Slomka[1], Ashley C. Banyard[1,3@], Joe James[1,3@]

[1]Department of Virology, Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA-Weybridge), Woodham Lane, Addlestone, Surrey, KT15 3NB, UK

[2]Department of Pathology, Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA-Weybridge), Woodham Lane, Addlestone, Surrey, KT15 3NB, UK

[3]WOAH/FAO International Reference Laboratory for Avian Influenza, Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA-Weybridge), Woodham Lane, Addlestone, Surrey, KT15 3NB, UK

±Current address: The Pirbright Institute, Pirbright, Woking, United Kingdom

@ Corresponding author Email: Ashley.banyard@apha.gov.uk and Joe.James@APHA.gov.uk

*Authors contributed equally

Key words: Pigeon; high pathogenicity avian influenza virus; H5N1; HPAIV; transmission; pathogenicity

Abstract

The ongoing panzootic of H5N1 high pathogenicity avian influenza virus (HPAIV) has caused the deaths of over half a billion wild birds and poultry, and has led to spillover events in both wild and domestic mammals, alongside sporadic human infections. A key driver of this panzootic is the apparent high viral fitness across diverse avian species, which facilitates an increased interface between wild and domestic species. *Columbiformes* (pigeons and doves) are commonly found on poultry premises and are highly connected to humans in urban settlements, yet relatively little is known about their potential role in contemporary HPAIV disease ecology. Here we investigated the epidemiological role of pigeons (*Columba livia*) by determining their susceptibility using decreasing doses of clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV (genotype AB). We investigated infection outcomes and transmission potential between pigeons and to chickens for each dose. Following direct inoculation, pigeons did not develop clinical signs, and only those inoculated with the highest dose shed viral RNA or seroconverted to H5N1-AB, revealing a MID_{50} of 10^5 EID_{50}. Even in the high dose group, only low-level shedding and environmental contamination was observed, and low-level viral RNA were present in the tissues of directly inoculated pigeons, with no distinct pathological lesions. Pigeons did not transmit the virus to naive pigeons or chickens placed in direct contact. Overall, these findings suggest that pigeons have a low susceptibility to clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV and are less likely to significantly contribute to disease ecology, incursions into poultry, or pose a significant zoonotic threat.

Word count: 249

Introduction

Avian influenza viruses (AIVs) are a diverse group of economically important pathogens, classified according to their subtype determined by their haemagglutinin (HA, H1-H16 and H19) and neuraminidase (NA, N1-N9) genes [1, 2]. High pathogenicity AIV (HPAIV) outbreaks in *gallinaceous* poultry (e.g. chickens and turkeys), are typified by rapid onset of high morbidity and mortality [3]. Among HPAIVs, H5Nx viruses of the A/goose/Guangdong/1/1996 (gs/Gd) lineage remain a major global concern and continue to evolve into new clades based on the phylogeny of the HA glycoprotein [4]. In addition, gs/Gd lineage H5Nx viruses have undergone extensive reassortment among the NA and internal genetic segments creating a range of different subtypes and genotypes within each subtype [5]. Europe has experienced clade 2.3.4.4 epizootics since 2014 [6], where clade 2.3.4.4b has been particularly prominent since autumn 2020 [5, 7, 8], with significant wild bird mortality and damaging economic impacts upon the poultry industry [9]. During autumn 2021, H5N1 emerged as the dominant subtype among European clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIVs and has been maintained and disseminated in wild bird populations, particularly aquatic bird species, facilitating spread to the Americas (North America and then South America) via bird migration [10], and onward towards the Antarctic region [11]. This is the largest recorded HPAIV panzootic in terms of detections in wild birds and numbers of poultry outbreaks [12]. Alongside high infection in wild bird and poultry, there have been numerous reports of infections in wild and domestic mammals with clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1, including sustained infection in domestic cattle in the United States of America (USA), and sporadic human infections, which underline the zoonotic potential and pandemic risk [13]. Since 2021, a high degree of genetic diversity has been observed within the H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV, particularly within the internal gene segments though reassortment with local indigenous low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses (LPAIVs). As a result, over 12 different genotypes have been detected in wild birds or poultry in the United Kingdom (UK) alone, including genotype AB among the dominant genotypes, which was also the dominant genotype in continental Europe [5, 14].

A key hallmark of this H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV panzootic is the broad avian host range which the viruses exhibit, and the apparent increased fitness in some wild bird species compared to

previous H5Nx gs/Gd HPAIVs [12, 15, 16]. In the UK, there have been extensive infections in seabird

populations including *Laridae* (gulls) and *Sulidae* (e.g. gannets) [17, 18]. Among wild bird species, the

order *Columbiformes* (pigeon and doves) have been viewed as potential bridging species to captive

birds and humans for several infectious diseases [19, 20]. Pigeon behaviour includes foraging on

agricultural land, along with colonisation of urban habitats shared with waterfowl (in municipal parks)

and humans [21-23]. *Columbiformes* exist as a single family called *Columbidae*, consisting of 41 groups

of pigeons and doves, and representing over 300 species [24], and the terms pigeon and dove are used

interchangeably for any of the species. *Columbiformes* are very common worldwide, and five species

of *Columbidae* are regularly seen in the UK, including the feral pigeon (*Columba livia*), stock dove (*C.

oenas*), wood pigeon (*C. palumbus*), collared dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*) and turtle dove (*S. turtur*)

[24]. Feral pigeons, common pigeons, racing pigeons, city pigeons and rock doves are all the same

species (*C. livia*) which descended from wild rock doves following domestication at least 2000–5000

years ago; they are abundant worldwide, and have high connectivity with human settlements [24].

Historically, *Columbiformes* have been regarded as a relatively unimportant hosts for AIV

infections, including HPAIVs [25-27]. However, multiple different subtypes of AIV have been

identified in pigeons, including H1, H2, H3, H5, H6, H7, H9 and H11, suggested at least a limited

capacity for pigeons to harbour certain influenza viruses [28]. In addition, the emergence and global

spread of clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIVs since autumn of 2021 has been attributed in part to the broad

species range of these viruses, yet relatively little is known about the role of pigeons during this

panzootic. Through recent passive wild bird surveillance programmes (targeting wild birds which are

found dead) in the UK and continental Europe, few HPAIV H5Nx positive pigeons have been reported

[12, 29], suggesting an absence of high mortality in pigeons, but also reducing surveillance samples,

and still leaving questions on their infection status. Similarly, infrequent mortalities have been observed

in free-living *Columbiformes* in other regions, such as in the USA [30]. However, clade 2.3.4.4b

detections appear to be an overrepresented clade in pigeons compared to other H5 clades or subtypes

[28]. Therefore, given these considerations, it remains unclear whether subclinical infection and

transmission of these HPAIV can occur in pigeons. Their frequent presence at poultry facilities and

close association with humans underscore the need to assess the potential role pigeons may play, not

only in terms of their susceptibility to contemporary clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV, but also in determining whether they are capable of sustaining inapparent infections within their populations. This is essential to evaluate their capacity to act as a bridging host for virus transmission to commercial poultry species, such as chickens, and to assess any associated zoonotic risk [19].

Here we selected one of the major European H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV genotypes, namely AB (AIV09), which became epidemiologically prominent during 2022 [5, 8]. We used this virus to quantify the susceptibility of pigeons (*C. livia*) to H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV, and to investigate the impact in terms of clinical disease, pathology, tissue tropism, seroconversion and viral shedding dynamics following infection. We also explored the ability of pigeons to maintain infection by assessing pigeon-to-pigeon transmission and assessed the ability of pigeons to act as bridging species to poultry by assessing pigeon-to-chicken transmission.

Methods

Virus

The genotype of H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV used for this study was A/chicken/England/014330/2022 (H5N1) (GISAID accession number: EPI_ISL_13370704), herewith referred to as H5N1-AB. This isolate is representative of the dominant genotype which circulated in the UK and continental Europe during the 2021-2023 epizootic and is classified as genotype AB or AIV-09 as defined by the European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) [31] and Byrne, James [5] respectively. H5N1-AB was propagated in 9-day-old specified pathogen free (SPF) embryonated fowls' eggs (EFE) and titrated in EFEs to determine 50% egg infectious dose (EID_{50}), as previously described [15]. The H5N1-AB stock was diluted in 0.1M pH 7.2 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to prepare the desired dose for all *in vivo* infections. The precise dose was confirmed by titration of the inoculum in EFEs.

Animals and pre-sampling

Forty-eight domestic pigeons (*C. livia*) and twenty-four high-health-status Hy-Line Brown chickens (*Gallus gallus*) were sourced from Simons Rodents (Cambridge, UK) and Hy-Line UK Ltd (Studley, UK), respectively. The pigeons were approximately three months old at the time of procurement, while

the high-health-status chickens were reared from one-day-old to three-weeks of age in clean

environment. Both species were acclimatised for seven days prior to infection. The birds were housed

under controlled conditions, with temperatures maintained at 21–22°C and relative humidity between

50–60%. Prior to inoculation, approximately 1 ml of blood was collected from the brachial vein of each

bird, and oropharyngeal (Op) and cloacal (C) swabs were obtained. All birds tested negative for

antibodies against H5N1-AB via haemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay and were also negative for

influenza A viral RNA (vRNA) in swab samples.

***In vivo* study design**

To assess a response to three different H5N1-AB inoculation doses, three separate identical rooms were

used, with pigeons and chickens randomly allocated into experimental groups. For a single given dose

(and room), three groups were used, consisting of; (**i**) directly inoculated pigeons (n=8), (**ii**) contact

pigeons (n=8), and (**iii**) contact chickens (n=8) (Figure S1), where the 'donor' (D0) and 'recipient' (R1)

birds were designated as directly inoculated and contact birds, respectively. For each dose (and room),

pigeons were housed in "walk-in chicken runs" (Omlet, UK), consisting of a plastic-coated metal cage

measuring $2.2 \times 2.2 \times 1.5$ metres. These enclosures were equipped with wall-hanging and floor-located

feeding troughs and drinkers, side-mounted apex, and flat perches and nesting boxes. Chickens were

housed on the floor of the same cages on autoclaved straw litter, with access to floor-located feeding

troughs, drinkers, scratch mats, and boxed shelters. On the inoculation day, the D0 pigeons were

separated for six hours from the R1 birds (pigeons and chickens) and inoculated with 0.1 mL of

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing H5N1-AB, via the intra-nasal and intra-ocular routes. The

majority of the inoculum was administered intra-nasally, and a single drop (~20 μl) was administered

intra-ocularly. The inoculum doses were 10^2 (low), 10^4 (medium), and 10^6 (high) EID_{50}. At 3 days post-

infection (dpi), two D0 pigeons from each dose group were culled for post-mortem (PM) analysis. All

surviving birds were culled at 14 dpi, with PM analysis also performed at this time on three D0 pigeons

from the high-dose room only.

Clinical samples and processing

Op and C swabs were taken daily from all birds from 1 to 14 dpi. Swabs were immersed in 1 mL

Leibovitz L-15 Medium (L-15) (Gibco, USA), and stored at -80˚C until required for RNA

extraction. Blood was collected at 14 dpi by cardiac puncture, under terminal anaesthesia, from all

surviving birds. Sera were separated from clotted blood by centrifugation, heat-inactivated at 56°C for

30 minutes, and used for downstream serological analyses. At PM a range of tissues were collected

including feathers, cervical trachea, pectoralis muscle, heart, liver, pancreas, spleen, kidney, lung, caecal

tonsil, bursa, jejunum, caecum, ileum, colon, proventriculus and brain. Tissues were stored in bijoux

tubes at -80˚C, and a section of each was transferred into L-15 medium and roughly chopped;

supernatants were used for RNA extraction. In addition, environmental samples were collected before

inoculation and daily from 1-10 dpi, then at 12 and 14 dpi from the high dose room only as drinking

water (wall-hanging and floor located drinkers from pigeons and chickens, respectively) and faeces

(pigeon faeces were collected from high perches and/or nesting boxes). Additionally, the chicken

scratch-mat was swabbed, and a sample of soiled straw-litter taken. Pigeon feathers were also collected

daily in the housing environment, with these being identified by their appearance and colour, to

determine the species of origin. RNA was extracted directly from all liquid samples, swabs (scratch

mat) were processed as described above, and solid samples (faeces, straw litter and environmental-

origin feathers) were prepared as a 10% (volume/volume) suspension in PBS (pH 7.2) as previously

described [32].

Clinical scoring and monitoring

Clinical inspections were carried out twice daily from 1 to 3 dpi, then daily until end of study (14 dpi).

Birds were monitored against pre-defined clinical score sheets as previously described [15] by

experienced animal technicians.

RNA Extraction and real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR)

vRNA was extracted from swabs, environmental samples and PM tissue homogenates, using MagMAX

CORE extraction chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) upon a Kingfisher (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Glasgow, UK) as previously described [15]. For H5N1-AB vRNA detection, matrix (M)-

gene RT-PCR primers and probes were used [33]. A ten-fold dilution series of H5N1-AB vRNA at a

known EID_{50} was used to establish standard curves using MXPro software (Aria) to determine relative

equivalent units (REU), as previously described [15]. For M-gene RT-PCR, Cq values <36.00 ($>10^{1.98}$

REU) were considered as AIV positive, sub-threshold values in the range Cq 36.01–39.99 and Cq 40.00

(No Cq) were discussed, but were interpreted as negative as previously defined [15]. For sample

producing a subthreshold Ct value by M-gene RT-PCR, an H5 RT-PCR, which specifically detects clade

2.3.4.4b H5 HPAIV RNA [34] was also performed. An individual bird was considered as productively

infected based on at least one positive swab and/or positive seroconversion to H5N1-AB (described

below).

Serology

Sera were tested for H5N1-AB HA reactive antibodies by the haemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay

using four haemagglutination units of H5N1-AB. Pigeon sera were pre-absorbed with packed chicken

red blood cells prior to testing as previously described [35]. Sera with a reciprocal HI titre ≥16 were

considered positive, values <16 are discussed but considered negative according to international

standards [35].

Pathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Following post-mortem, harvested tissue samples were fixed in 10% (v/v) buffered formalin for a

minimum period of five days and routinely processed for histopathology, as previously described [36].

Briefly, four-micron thick serial tissue sections were either immunolabelled against influenza A virus

NP (Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark), or were stained with haematoxylin and eosin

(H&E) as previously described [15].

Statistical analysis and determining minimum infectious dose (MID)

All statistical analyses were performed using Prism version 8.4 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA,

USA), as indicated on the corresponding figure. The minimum infectious dose (MID) and 50% MID

(MID_{50}) were calculated as previously described [37].

Results

A high dose of H5N1-AB is required to establish infection and seroconversion in pigeons.

To assess the virulence, pathogenesis, and transmission characteristics of H5N1-AB in pigeons, groups of eight D0 pigeons were inoculated with one of three H5N1-AB doses; low (10^2 EID$_{50}$), medium (10^4 EID$_{50}$), or high (10^6 EID$_{50}$).

Pigeons inoculated with the low or medium doses did not shed H5N1-AB vRNA from the Op or C cavities (Fig. 1A, B, D & E). A single pigeon in the medium-dose group at 2 dpi exhibited a single subthreshold level of vRNA from in the OP cavity (Fig. 1B), but this was not detectable using an H5 RT-PCR. In contrast, vRNA was shed by seven of the eight pigeons (87.5%) in the high-dose group between 1 and 7 dpi (Fig. 1C, F & G). However, OP shedding levels remained low, with mean peak vRNA titres of $10^{3.74}$ REU, and an individual maximum titre reaching $10^{4.12}$ REU. Cloacal shedding was comparatively lower and more sporadic, only a single C swab was positive for vRNA from pigeon #48 at 5dpi with a titre of $10^{2.41}$ REU. Four of the eight pigeons exhibited subthreshold cloacal shedding, all these were also detectable by the H5 RT-PCR (Fig. 1F & G). No clinical signs were observed in the D0 pigeons throughout the duration of the study (1-14dpi), except for one pigeon in the high-dose group (Pigeon #43) at 1 dpi. This pigeon displayed transient clinical signs which included shivering and a hunched posture, with lowered tail and wings (Table S1).

Consistent with the absence of vRNA shedding, pigeons in the low- and medium- dose groups did not seroconvert, as evidenced by HI titres <1/16 against homologous H5N1-AB at 14 dpi (Fig. 2A & B). In contrast, all pigeons in the high-dose group seroconverted, demonstrating detectable antibodies to H5N1-AB by HI (Fig. 2C & D).

Together from shedding and seroconversion data for D0 pigeons, a dose of 10^2 or 10^4 EID$_{50}$ resulted in no detectable infection in pigeon (n=0/6), whereas a dose of 10^6 EID$_{50}$ resulted in 100% infection (n=6/6). The estimated MID$_{50}$ for H5N1-AB in pigeons was therefore >10^4 but ≤10^6 EID$_{50}$, and the calculated MID$_{50}$ was 10^5 EID$_{50}$.

Transmission of H5N1-AB is ineffective between pigeons and from pigeons to chickens.

To investigate the transmission efficiency and dynamics of H5N1-AB within species (pigeon-to-pigeon)

and between species (pigeon-to-chicken), we introduced, and cohoused, contact (R1) pigeons and

chickens to each dose group (low, medium and high).

Pigeon-to-pigeon transmission efficiency was determined to be 0% (0/8) across all three dose

groups based on H5N1-AB vRNA shedding from the R1 birds (Fig. 1A–F, teal symbols). One R1

contact pigeon (pigeon #39) in the high-dose group exhibited a single subthreshold level of vRNA in

an Op sample at 2 dpi ($10^{0.929}$ REU) (Fig. 1C), although no vRNA was detected in this sample using the

H5 RT-PCR. Additionally, none of the contact pigeons in any dose group seroconverted to H5N1-AB

(Fig. 2A–C). Among the R1 pigeons, negative but subthreshold HI titres (<16 HI) were detected in five

of eight (62.5%) and one of eight (12.5%) pigeons cohoused in the medium- and high-dose groups,

respectively (Fig. 2B & C). None of the R1 pigeons across all dose groups exhibited clinical signs until

study-end at 14 dpi with the exception of one R1 pigeon (#01) in the low-dose group (which exhibited

huddling and altered body position) (Table S1).

Pigeon-to-chicken transmission efficiency was similarly 0% (0/8). None of the contact R1

chickens in any dose group shed detectable H5N1-AB (Fig. 1A–F, pink symbols), nor did they

seroconvert to H5N1-AB (Fig. 2B–C). Additionally, no clinical signs were observed among any of the

R1 chickens during the study period (up to 14 dpi) (Table S1).

Limited environmental H5N1-AB contamination resulted from pigeon infection.

We also investigated the extent of environmental H5N1-AB contamination in the high-dose group by

collecting various samples throughout the study period (1–14 dpi). Only a single sample from floor-

level drinking water at 5 dpi was positive for vRNA, albeit at low levels with a titre of $10^{2.32}$ REU. All

other environmental samples were negative (either undetectable or subthreshold). Subthreshold vRNA

titres were obtained from a single pigeon faecal sample collected at 3 dpi, and a sample collected from

the floor-level scratch mat at 7 dpi (Fig. 3). Both these subthreshold detections of vRNA were also

detectable by the H5 RT-PCR. No vRNA was detected in the elevated drinking water, pigeon feathers,

bedding, or chicken faeces.

H5N1-AB did not exhibit widespread tissue dissemination in infected pigeons.

The tissue tropism of the H5N1-AB was evaluated in pigeons in the high dose group following direct

inoculation. vRNA levels were quantified in tissue extracts obtained from various organs of two D0

pigeons at 3 dpi (pigeons #41 and #42) and three D0 pigeons at 14 dpi (pigeons #43, #46, and #47) (Fig.

4). At 3 dpi, vRNA was only detected in tissues from pigeon #41 while pigeon #42 was negative across

all tissues. In pigeon #41, vRNA was detected in the brain, caecal tonsil, caecum, colon, heart, kidney,

lung and proventriculus; the highest vRNA levels were found in the brain, caecal tonsil, and lung, with

titres of $10^{4.02}$, $10^{3.33}$, and $10^{3.32}$ REU, respectively (Fig. 4). Subthreshold levels of vRNA were identified

in the bursa, cervical trachea, jejunum and spleen, vRNA was also detectable in these samples by the

H5 RT-PCR. In contrast, only subthreshold levels of vRNA were identified in the lung and spleen of

pigeon #42 (Fig. 4), although these were also detected by an H5 RT-PCR. By 14 dpi, at study-end,

vRNA was undetectable in tissues from all three pigeons (pigeons #43, #46, and #47) (Fig. 4).

To further characterise tissue tropism, IHC analysis and histopathological examinations were

performed on all the tissues obtained from the same five D0 pigeons in the high-dose group, including

the two pigeons at 3 dpi (#41 and #42) and three pigeons at 14 dpi (#43, #46, and #47). Histopathological

investigations revealed mild multifocal acute airsacculitis in pigeons #41 (3 dpi), #43, and #47 (both 14

dpi). Pigeon #41 additionally exhibited mild multifocal acute dermatitis, moderate multifocal folliculitis

of the feather pulp, and moderate multifocal pale irregular areas in the pancreas, suggestive of post-

insult regeneration of the parenchyma. No other pathological lesions were identified in any of the

examined tissues. IHC analysis did not reveal detectable viral nucleoprotein staining in any of the

tissues assessed (Fig. S2).

Discussion

The ongoing panzootic which started in 2021 is the largest H5Nx HPAIV outbreak on record for Europe

and the Americas in terms of number of infected wild birds and poultry incursions, with the scale and

extent of spread attributed to enhanced fitness in wild birds, and the broader avian species range [15,

38]. In this study we demonstrated the limited susceptibility, absent inter- and intra- species

transmission, and low-level tissue dissemination in pigeons (*C. livia*) following inoculation with a

contemporary European H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV (genotype AB). This study clearly showed that

pigeons are unlikely to act as a direct bridging species due to productive infection for the transmission

of this virus to chickens, as an important commercial poultry species. However, their role as an indirect

bridging species, through fomite transmission, was not assessed in this work and requires further study.

Our findings indicate that a high infectious dose (10^6 EID$_{50}$) of H5N1-AB is required to cause

detectable infection in the directly inoculated (D0) pigeons. Infection among all eight (100%) high dose

D0 pigeons was confirmed by vRNA shedding and/or positive serology. D0 pigeons inoculated with

low (10^2 EID$_{50}$), or medium (10^4 EID$_{50}$) doses exhibited no detectable vRNA shedding and no

seroconversion, demonstrating the limited susceptibility of pigeons to these viral doses. Even when

pigeons were infected in the high-dose group, shedding was minimal, was predominantly detected from

OP samples, and was short-lived (up to 7 dpi). In some instances, our analyses included subthreshold

detections of vRNA by M-gene RT-PCR (vRNA titres >Ct 36 or <$10^{1.98}$ REU). While we have presented

these data and attributed REU values, these detections arise late in the RT-PCR amplification. In these

instances, we also tested the RNA using an H5 RT-PCR assay which detects vRNA from a different

gene segment to further increase our confidence in detection and quantification of vRNA. Indeed,

previous H5N1 gs/Gd HPAIV outbreak investigations have shown that such high Ct value samples, as

measured by M-gene RT-PCR testing, are unlikely to represent infectious virus [39, 40]. Therefore,

these subthreshold detections are of little significance. Indeed, this consideration was reflected in the

absence of pigeon-to-pigeon transmission and pigeon-to-chicken transmission with H5N1-AB, which

correlated with low viral environmental contamination. Environmental contamination has been

consistently shown to be associated with efficient H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV transmission among

ducks [15, 37] and pheasants [41], with viral environmental contamination reported during UK H5N1

HPAIV poultry farm outbreaks in recent years [32]. These low levels of shedding, environmental vRNA,

and absence of transmission to either pigeons or chickens further underscored the limited potential for

pigeons to act as significant amplifiers of H5N1 HPAIV in shared habitats with other avian species.

Several studies have historically conducted experimental infection studies with AIV in

pigeons. A review published in 2014 summarised the findings from 22 experimental pigeon infection

studies conducted with a range of LPAIVs and HPAIVs and concluded that minimal mortalities and

low-level virus shedding over brief periods were generally observed in pigeons [42]. Specifically,

investigations with earlier gs/Gd lineage H5Nx HPAIVs have also shown similar results; experimental

infection with clade 2.2 and clade 2.3.2.1 H5N1 HPAIVs demonstrated low levels of viral shedding

[43]. Studies with H5N2, H5N8 and H5N6 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAIV also found inconsistent, short-term and

at low viral shedding viral shedding [44-47]. At most, these studies with earlier H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4

HPAVs reported infrequent pigeon mortalities. Recently, and comparably to our study, Root et al (2024)

investigated infection and transmission dynamics in common pigeons (*C. livia*) following experimental

infection with a contemporary American clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV, representative of the North

American epizootic [48]. Root et al (2024) used a dose of $10^{6.6}$ plaque forming units (PFU), in excess,

but broadly equivalent to the high dose used in this study (10^6 EID_{50}), to inoculate eight pigeons, some

of which failed to shed virus and only a single bird shed infectious levels exceeding 10^3 PFU. Six of

eight (75%) inoculated pigeons demonstrated seroconversion [48].

The maximal shedding titres observed by Root et al. (2024) are broadly equivalent to those

reported in our study (10^3 PFU compared to $10^{4.12}$ REU)[48]. Importantly both of these titres are

considerably lower than those shed from the Op cavity of ducks and chickens following experimental

infection with a similar clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV from the same epizootic, which typically range

from 10^4 to 10^7 REU in ducks and 10^4 to 10^6 REU in chickens [15]. Consistent with the low levels of

vRNA shedding from infected pigeons in our study, we also observed very low levels of vRNA in the

environmental sources, particularly in the drinking water; whereby water sources have previously

correlated with detectable levels of vRNA and the potential for transmission [15]. In our study, low

levels of vRNA were detected only in a single sample of drinking water. Water contamination from

ducks infected with a similar European clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV, from the same epizootic, was

consistently positive during the shedding period, with maximal titres of $10^{4.60}$ REU [15]. Therefore,

water contamination by pigeons was not only significantly less frequent, but also >2 $\log_{10}$ lower ($10^{2.32}$

REU) compared to than from infected ducks. By considering the proportions of D0 pigeons infected by

a given dose, the MID_{50} of H5N1-AB was calculated as 10^5 EID_{50}. While there may be some genotype

variation, this pigeon MID_{50} is comparatively higher than MID_{50} values calculated from experimental

infections of other avian species with similar clade 2.3.4.4b viruses, including ducks ($<10^2$ to 10^3)[15,

37, 49] and chickens ($10^{3.2}$ to 10^5) [15, 50-52]. Overall, these studies indicate that pigeons are less

susceptible to H5 clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV infection, compared to ducks and chickens.

The low vRNA shedding titres and negligible environmental contamination coupled with the

relatively high MID_{50} in pigeons likely explains the lack of transmission we observed between pigeons

in this study. Root et al. (2024) also investigated transmission from 8 directly infected pigeons to two

contact pigeons, both (100%) of the contact pigeons shed virus on a single day post contact, and

seroconverted via HI, suggesting some transmission had occurred. This observed transmission

difference from our study may be explained by housing density or experimental design differences,

which may have altered the frequency or degree of contact between pigeons. Equally, despite both

viruses being clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV representatives of the current panzootic, these viruses differ

in their internal genes and so impact of genotype must be considered. We selected an AB genotype

representative of the dominant genotype detection in the UK and continental Europe [5, 31], whereas

Root et al. (2024) selected an American H5N1 HPAIV genotype. Soon after the start of the European

H5N1 HPAIV epizootic in 2021, the virus was translocated into North America via bird migration where

it underwent extensive reassortment with indigenous American LPAIVs. Thus, genetic differences

within the virus may have different propensities for infection and transmission in pigeons. However,

the general observations of a lack of clinical signs and low shedding titres were consistent between the

two genotypes in pigeons.

Pigeons are frequently observed both on and near poultry farms and have a high connectivity

with human activity; with confirmation that pigeons were one of the most frequently observed avian

species on poultry farms using camera trap studies [53]. In addition, air sampling from directly inside

the poultry houses affirmed presence of genomic DNA from *Columbiformes* [54], indicating strong

interactions between pigeons and poultry. Therefore, we investigated the transmission risk from pigeons

to chickens, through co-housing. Consistent with the low virus shedding in pigeons, and lack of

environmental contamination and pigeon-to-pigeon transmission, we observed 0% transmission to co-

housed chickens, based on shedding and seroconversion in the contact chickens. One previous study

with H5N6 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAIV similarly showed 0% transmission from infected pigeons to chickens

[46]; however, this has not been assessed by any study with contemporary H5N1 HPAIV, but indicates

a limited ability of pigeons to act as bridging species for poultry incursions of H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4b

HPAIVs. While in this study we investigated the ability of pigeons to directly transmit H5N1 clade

2.3.4.4b to chickens and pigeons, we did not assess the role pigeons may play as 'vectors' in indirect

transmission, as this was outside of the scope of this study. Rodents have been hypothesised to act in

this way though mechanically transferring contaminated material into poultry houses [55]. It is

conceivable that pigeons and *Passeriformes* (perching birds) may also act as vectors for fomite

transmission, although this requires further study. However, the limited risk of pigeons to act as bridging

species is supported by a lack of association being observed in the UK between *Columbiformes*

distribution and infected poultry premises during the 2021-2023 panzootic, when spatial generalized

additive models were used based on public bird observations reports [56].

Following direct infection of pigeons, we observed no clinical signs and no mortalities; this

finding is broadly consistent with the outcomes of other similar experimental pigeon infection studies

[42, 44, 45, 47, 48]. We detected overall low vRNA tissue titres, but viral dissemination occurred in one

of the two pigeons at 3dpi, with the highest vRNA titres in the brain, lung, and caecal tonsil. Importantly,

no nucleoprotein was detected in any tissue by IHC. We also did not observe any histopathological

lesions associated with viral associated tissue damage. Together, these results indicated that while vRNA

was present at low levels, H5N1-AB was not replicating to high levels in these organs, suggesting that,

although systemic dissemination of the virus can potentially occur in pigeons, it is highly variable

between pigeons and does not result in efficient replication producing high virus titres. By 14 dpi, no

vRNA was detected in any tissues, suggesting efficient viral clearance in pigeons [57]. A similarly low

level of virus dissemination in pigeon tissues has been previously identified following infection with

earlier gs/Gd clades [43]. However, there do appear to be virus strain-specific differences in terms of

infectivity and infection outcome in pigeons. Lui et al (2022) performed pigeon experimental infection

studies with two clade 2.3.4.4 H5N6 HPAIVs [46]. One strain caused no clinical disease with minimal

viral shedding, whereas the other strain caused 25% mortality, with 58% of pigeons displaying

neurological signs and wide dissemination in tissues with this strain [46]. In addition, there have been

several documented reports describing infection and mortalities of *Columbiformes* with H5Nx HPAIV,

including common pigeons [42, 58], wood pigeons [59] and African mourning doves (*S. decipiens*)

[60]. A recent case of natural HPAI H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b infection was recorded in a wood pigeon (*C.*

palumbus) found deceased in a wildlife centre in Germany [59]. Gross examination revealed mild

splenomegaly and discolouration of the pancreas, while histopathological analysis identified neuronal

necrosis within the grey matter of the cerebral hemispheres and brainstem, demonstrating pronounced

neurotropism of the virus [59]. This wood pigeon mortality may have been due to a greater individual

susceptibility to systemic H5N1 HPAIV infection, with resultant death or the ability of different

genotypes to infect. Similarly, natural infection of pigeons with an older H5N1 clade 2.2.1 HPAIV in

Egypt was associated with 50% mortalities, with congestion of the internal organs, particularly the lungs

and brain being observed [58]. Interestingly, when an isolate from this outbreak was assessed in

experimental pigeon infections, some clinical signs did ensue, but with reduced mortality (10%)

compared to the field observations [58]. Passive surveillance of found dead wild birds in the UK since

the start of the clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 HPAIV panzootic in October 2021 has shown H5 HPAIV infection

in only 23 *Columbidae* out of 3465 (0.66%) [16].

All these above observations suggest that while in some instances H5 HPAIV infection can be

fatal in pigeons, mortality is more likely to occur in a field environment. This outcome is possibly due

to different exposure doses, routes or repeated exposure being achieved in some instances under field

conditions, with co-morbidities and environmental stressors rendering the pigeons potentially more

susceptible to HPAIV infection or with more severe disease outcomes. This may also explain differences

in experimental studies, since pigeons are not routinely raised as specified pathogen free and often

naturally sourced, consequently the exact health status is often unknown and likely not uniform. Pigeons

in the wild have a high propensity for infection with a range of bacterial and viral pathogens [20, 61].

One of the most significant is avian paramyxovirus type 1 (APMV-1), also referred to Newcastle disease

virus (NDV) when virulent forms are seen in poultry [62, 63]. APMV-1 have specific lineages referred

to as pigeon paramyxovirus type 1 (PPMV-1) in pigeons, and while prevalence is difficult to assess,

between 5 - 17% common pigeons have been found as actively infected, dependent on season [64]. The

pigeons used in our study were confirmed negative for APMV-1 infection. However, interestingly co-

morbidities between HPAIV and PPMV-1 have been hypothesised for exacerbated mortality in natural

infected pigeons in South Africa [65], although the interaction between co-infections and impact on

disease outcomes require further study.

Despite these sporadic instances of mortality, most surveillance and experimental infection

data, including from this study, have shown that pigeons have a low susceptibility to H5Nx clade

2.3.4.4b HPAIVs, and indeed many other AIVs. The underlying reason behind this is likely multi-

factorial. For a species to be susceptible to AIV, the host cell must be susceptible (possess the right

receptor for the virus) and permissive (possess host factors to allow viral replication). Influenza viruses

bind to sialic acid (Sia) and the majority of AIVs, including the H5N1-AB used in this study, have a

preference for α2-3-linked sialic acid (2-3Sia), instead of α2-6-linked sialic acid (2-6Sia) [66]. Previous

analyses of pigeon tissues demonstrated that the epithelial surfaces of the larynx, trachea, bronchus, and

bronchiole of pigeons contained abundant 2-6Sia, with little or no 2-3Sia present [67]. In contrast,

chicken, turkey and duck tissues demonstrated mainly 2-3Sia being present in respiratory tissues [67,

68]. While more detailed investigation of the pigeon glycome is required, these observations suggest a

potential mechanism for their low susceptibility. Due to the paucity of pigeon host-specific reagents,

AIV replication dynamics and related host-responses during AIV infection in pigeon cells remain

largely unexplored. However, analysis of the pigeon immune response to HPAIV has found relatively

little change in either cytokines production [57] or proinflammatory factors [43] following infection

with H5 HPAIV. Interestingly, it has been noted that pigeons appear to have a higher basal expression

of interferon stimulated genes (ISG) compared to other avian species [43]. This observation may be

linked to different efficiencies of pigeon MDA5 to sense PAMPs, and eliciting an interferon response,

compared to other birds [69]. Therefore, it is also possible that higher basal ISG expression may

contribute to the low pigeon susceptibility to infection.

Under the prevailing circumstances of the ongoing H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4b panzootic [70], the

negligible role of pigeons in active disease ecology including direct transmission of these viruses is at

least reassuring, particularly given their widespread presence in urban environments and agricultural

landscapes. While the virus can infect pigeons at high doses, their limited shedding, lack of efficient

transmission to co-housed birds, and minimal environmental contamination suggest they are unlikely

to contribute significantly directly to the epidemiology of this virus in wild bird populations or

commercial poultry. However, the indirect role pigeons may play though fomite transmission (i.e.

bringing contaminated material into a poultry premises) requires further investigation. These findings

have important implications for surveillance and control strategies, as they suggest that targeted

interventions should focus on species with higher susceptibility and transmission potential, such as wild

waterfowl, seabirds, and poultry. Further research into other *Columbiformes* species and their

interactions with high-risk avian hosts could provide additional insights into their role, if any, in the

spread of H5N1 HPAIV.

Author statements

Ethics statements

The experiments were approved by the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) Animal Welfare and

Ethical Review Body (AWERB), ensuring compliance with UK legislation and alignment with UK

Home Office project licence PP7633638 and PP9307748. All work involving infectious HPAIV was

performed in licensed Containment Level 3 (CL-3) laboratories and animal housing facilities at APHA,

meeting Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens level 3 (ACDP-3) and Specified Animal

Pathogens Order level 4 (SAPO-4) requirements. All birds had access to food and water *ad libitum.*

Conflict of interest

463 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding statement

Funding was provided by the Defra and the Devolved Administrations of Scotland and Wales, through

SE2227 'FluFocus' and SV3400. This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological

Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) and Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra,

UK) research initiative 'FluTrailmap' [grant number BB/Y007271/1]. Funded by the European Union

under grant agreement (101084171) - (Kappa-Flu). Views and opinions expressed are however those of

the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or REA. Neither the

European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Craig Ross for technical advice and assistance inoculating the pigeons, and colleagues in the Animal Sciences Department at APHA for animal husbandry, clinical assessment and sample collection.

Author contribution

Conceptualisation: MJS, AB, JJ; formal analysis: CDG, CJW, MJS, JJ; investigation: CDG, CJW, SJ, SR, KR, ST, ALS, DJ, KR, JH, EB; resources: MJS, AB, JJ; writing—original draft: CDG, CJW, DJ, MJS, JJ; writing—review and editing: MJS, JJ, AB, IHB. All authors have read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. H5N1-AB vRNA shedding from directly inoculated pigeons and contact pigeons and chickens.

A-F. H5N1-AB vRNA shedding from pigeons which were directly inoculated (D0, blue) with low (10^2 EID$_{50}$) (**A & D**), medium (10^4 EID$_{50}$) (**B & E**) or high (10^6 EID$_{50}$) (**C & F**) doses of H5N1-AB. Shedding is also shown from pigeons (teal) and chickens (pink) placed in direct contact (R1) with D0 pigeons. Shedding is shown from swab samples collected from the oropharyngeal (Op) (**A-C**) and cloacal (C) (**D-F**) cavities. **G.** H5N1-AB shedding from each individual D0 pigeon infected with the high dose (10^6 EID$_{50}$) is presented as separate graphs for Op (blue) and C (orange). Grey shading indicates no data generated as these pigeons were culled for post-mortem analysis at 3dpi. **A-G.** Viral RNA titres were determined by M-gene RT-PCR and converted into relative equivalency units (REU). Dotted horizontal lines indicate the positive threshold at $10^{1.98}$ REU.

Fig. 2. Seroconversion of pigeons directly inoculated with H5N1-AB and from pigeons and chickens placed in direct contact.

Haemagglutinin inhibition (HI) titres from sera collected at 14 days post infection (dpi) from pigeons

which were directly inoculated (D0, blue) with low (10^2 EID_{50}) (**A**), medium (10^4 EID_{50}) (**B**) or high

(10^6 EID_{50}) (**C**) doses of H5N1-AB, or contact (R1) pigeons (teal) and chickens (pink) cohoused with

each group. HI titres were derived using homologous H5N1 HPAIV antigen. Graphs show geometric

mean ± geometric SD. **D.** Individual HI titres plotted for pigeons directly infected with the high

(10^6 EID_{50}) H5N1-AB. Dotted horizontal lines indicate the positive threshold at $10^{1.98}$ REU.

Fig. 3. Detection of H5N1-AB vRNA in the environment of pigeons and chickens.

Indicated samples were collected from the environment of pigeons directly inoculated with a high dose

(10^6 EID_{50}) of H5N1-AB, which also contained direct contact pigeons and chickens. Environmental

samples associated with either chickens or pigeons were collected on indicated days post infection (dpi).

H5N1-AB vRNA titres were determined by M-gene RT-PCR, with Ct values converted into relative

equivalency units (REUs) and displayed graphically as a heat map with high values represented with

more intense colouring. Values below the threshold are shown in shades of grey, values above the

positive threshold are shown in shades of blue; dotted line in the heatmap indicates the positive

threshold at $10^{1.98}$ REU. Cells with crosses indicate no sample obtained. Mean Op and C shedding from

the D0 pigeons is also indicated.

Fig. 4. H5N1-AB vRNA distribution in tissues from inoculated pigeons.

Tissues were dissected from two pigeons at 3 days post infection (dpi) and three pigeons at 14 dpi

following infection with a high (10^6 EID_{50}) dose of H5N1-AB. Viral RNA titres were determined by M-

gene RT-PCR, converted into relative equivalent units (REUs) and displayed graphically as a heat map,

with higher titres represented by more intense colouring. Subthreshold viral RNA levels are shown in

shades of grey, levels above the positive threshold are shown in shades of blue; dotted line in the

heatmap indicates the positive threshold at $10^{1.98}$ REU.

References

1.   **Alexander DJ**. An overview of the epidemiology of avian influenza. *Vaccine* 2007;25(30):5637-5644.

2.   **Fereidouni S, Starick E, Karamendin K, Genova CD, Scott SD et al.** Genetic characterization of a new candidate hemagglutinin subtype of influenza A viruses. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2023;12(2):2225645.

3.   **Alexander DJ, Brown IH**. History of highly pathogenic avian influenza. *Rev Sci Tech* 2009;28(1):19-38.

4.   **The World Health Organization Global Influenza Program Surveillance Network**. Evolution of H5N1 avian influenza viruses in Asia. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;11(10):1515-1521.

5.   **Byrne AMP, James J, Mollett BC, Meyer SM, Lewis T et al.** Investigating the Genetic Diversity of H5 Avian Influenza Viruses in the United Kingdom from 2020-2022. *Microbiol Spectr* 2023;11(4):e0477622.

6.   **Alarcon P, Brouwer A, Venkatesh D, Duncan D, Dovas CI et al.** Comparison of 2016-17 and Previous Epizootics of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5 Guangdong Lineage in Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2018;24(12):2270-2283.

7.   **Lewis NS, Banyard AC, Whittard E, Karibayev T, Al Kafagi T et al.** Emergence and spread of novel H5N8, H5N5 and H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4 highly pathogenic avian influenza in 2020. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* 2021;10(1):148-151.

8.   **Pohlmann A, King J, Fusaro A, Zecchin B, Banyard Ashley C et al.** Has Epizootic Become Enzootic? Evidence for a Fundamental Change in the Infection Dynamics of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza in Europe, 2021. *mBio* 2022;13(4):e00609-00622.

9.   **Chatsuwan MZ, E.; Taylor, E.; Horton, D; Wright, H**. 2023. Industry Reinfected: Spotlight on the Economic and Public Health Impacts of Avian Flu. https://www.fairr.org/resources/reports/industry-reinfected-avian-flu [accessed December 2024].

10.   **Caliendo V, Lewis NS, Pohlmann A, Baillie SR, Banyard AC et al.** Transatlantic spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 by wild birds from Europe to North America in 2021. *Scientific Reports* 2022;12(1):11729.

11.   **Banyard AC, Bennison A, Byrne AMP, Reid SM, Lynton-Jenkins JG et al.** Detection and spread of high pathogenicity avian influenza virus H5N1 in the Antarctic Region. *Nature Communications* 2024;15(1):7433.

12.   **European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)**. Annual report on surveillance for avian influenza in poultry and wild birds in Member States of the European Union in 2021. *EFSA Journal* 2022;20(9):e07554.

13.   **Peacock TP, Moncla L, Dudas G, VanInsberghe D, Sukhova K et al.** The global H5N1 influenza panzootic in mammals. *Nature* 2025;637(8045):304-313.

14.   **European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL)**. 2025. EURL | Avian Flu Data Portal. https://eurlaidata.izsvenezie.it/epidemio.php [accessed April 2025].

15.   **James J, Billington E, Warren CJ, De Sliva D, Di Genova C et al.** Clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 high pathogenicity avian influenza virus (HPAIV) from the 2021/22 epizootic is highly duck adapted and poorly adapted to chickens. *J Gen Virol* 2023;104(5).

16.   **The Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA)**. 2025. Research and analysis: Bird flu (avian influenza): cases in wild birds. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/avian-influenza-in-wild-birds [accessed Jan 2025].

17.   **Falchieri M, Reid SM, Ross CS, James J, Byrne AMP et al.** Shift in HPAI infection dynamics causes significant losses in seabird populations across Great Britain. *Vet Rec* 2022;191(7):294-296.

18.   **Lane JV, Jeglinski JWE, Avery-Gomm S, Ballstaedt E, Banyard AC et al.** High pathogenicity avian influenza (H5N1) in Northern Gannets (Morus bassanus): Global spread, clinical signs and demographic consequences. *Ibis* 2024;166(2):633-650.

19.   **Abolnik C**. A current review of avian influenza in pigeons and doves (Columbidae). *Vet Microbiol* 2014;170(3-4):181-196.

20.   **Marlier D, Vindevogel H**. Viral infections in pigeons. *The Veterinary Journal* 2006;172(1):40-51.

21.   **Rose E, Nagel P, Haag-Wackernagel D**. Spatio-temporal use of the urban habitat by feral pigeons (Columba livia). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 2006;60(2):242-254.

22.   **Blechman AD**. *Pigeons: the fascinating saga of the world's most revered and reviled bird*:
Open Road+ Grove/Atlantic; 2007.
23.   **Jerolmack C**. How pigeons became rats: The cultural-spatial logic of problem animals. *Social*
problems 2008;55(1):72-94.
24.   **Gibbs D, Barnes E, Cox J**. *Pigeons and doves: a guide to the pigeons and doves of the world*:
A&C Black; 2001.
25.   **Tudor DC**. Pigeon health and disease. 1991.
26.   **Panigrahy B, Senne DA, Pedersen JC, Shafer AL, Pearson JE**. Susceptibility of pigeons to
avian influenza. *Avian Dis* 1996;40(3):600-604.
27.   **Kohls A, Lüschow D, Lierz M, Hafez HM**. Influenza A virus monitoring in urban and free-
ranging pigeon populations in Germany, 2006-2008. *Avian Dis* 2011;55(3):447-450.
28.   **Cui N, Wang P, Huang Q, Yuan Z, Su S et al.** Detection of Avian Influenza Virus in Pigeons.
Viruses 2025;17(4).
29.   **European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)**. Annual Report on surveillance for avian influenza
in poultry and wild birds in Member States of the European Union in 2020. *EFSA Journal*
2021;19(12):e06953.
30.   **APHIS (Animal and Plant health Inspection Service) USDA (United States Department**
of Agriculture). 2024. Detections of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza in Wild Birds.
https://www.aphis.usda.gov/livestock-poultry-disease/avian/avian-influenza/hpai-detections/wild-
birds [accessed December 2024].
31.   **European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL)**. 2025. EURL: Avian Flu Data Portal.
https://eurlaidata.izsvenezie.it/epidemio.php#:~:text=wild%20birds%20cases.-,Genotypes,genotypes
%20present%20in%20each%20country. [accessed 6th January 2025].
32.   **James J, Warren CJ, De Silva D, Lewis T, Grace K et al.** The Role of Airborne Particles in
the Epidemiology of Clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 High Pathogenicity Avian Influenza Virus in Commercial
Poultry Production Units. *Viruses* 2023;15(4).
33.   **Spackman E, Senne DA, Myers TJ, Bulaga LL, Garber LP et al.** Development of a real-
time reverse transcriptase PCR assay for type A influenza virus and the avian H5 and H7 hemagglutinin
subtypes. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;40(9):3256-3260.
34.   **James J, Seekings AH, Skinner P, Purchase K, Mahmood S et al.** Rapid and sensitive
detection of high pathogenicity Eurasian clade 2.3.4.4b avian influenza viruses in wild birds and
poultry. *J Virol Methods* 2022;301:114454.
35.   **World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH)**. Chapter 3.3.4. Avian influenza (including
infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses). *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines*
for Terrestrial Animals 2021.
36.   **Núñez A, Brookes SM, Reid SM, Garcia-Rueda C, Hicks DJ et al.** Highly Pathogenic Avian
Influenza H5N8 Clade 2.3.4.4 Virus: Equivocal Pathogenicity and Implications for Surveillance
Following Natural Infection in Breeder Ducks in the United Kingdom. *Transbound Emerg Dis*
2016;63(1):5-9.
37.   **Seekings AH, Warren CJ, Thomas SS, Mahmood S, James J et al.** Highly pathogenic avian
influenza virus H5N6 (clade 2.3.4.4b) has a preferable host tropism for waterfowl reflected in its
inefficient transmission to terrestrial poultry. *Virology* 2021;559:74-85.
38.   **Graziosi G, Lupini C, Catelli E, Carnaccini S**. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI)
H5 Clade 2.3.4.4b Virus Infection in Birds and Mammals. *Animals (Basel)* 2024;14(9).
39.   **Slomka MJ, Irvine RM, Pavlidis T, Banks J, Brown IH**. Role of real-time RT-PCR platform
technology in the diagnosis and management of notifiable avian influenza outbreaks: experiences in
Great Britain. *Avian Dis* 2010;54(1 Suppl):591-596.
40.   **Slomka MJ, To TL, Tong HH, Coward VJ, Hanna A et al.** Challenges for accurate and
prompt molecular diagnosis of clades of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 viruses emerging in
Vietnam. *Avian Pathol* 2012;41(2):177-193.
41.   **Seekings AH, Liang Y, Warren CJ, Hjulsager CK, Thomas SS et al.** Transmission
dynamics and pathogenesis differ between pheasants and partridges infected with clade 2.3.4.4b H5N8
and H5N1 high-pathogenicity avian influenza viruses. *Journal of General Virology* 2024;105(1).
42.   **Abolnik C**. A current review of avian influenza in pigeons and doves (Columbidae). *Veterinary*
Microbiology 2014;170(3-4):181-196.

43.   **Morris KM, Mishra A, Raut AA, Gaunt ER, Borowska D et al.** The molecular basis of
differential host responses to avian influenza viruses in avian species with differing susceptibility.
Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, Original Research 2023;13.
44.   **Bosco-Lauth AM, Marlenee NL, Hartwig AE, Bowen RA, Root JJ**. Shedding of clade 2.3.
4.4 H5N8 and H5N2 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses in peridomestic wild birds in the US.
Transboundary and emerging diseases 2019;66(3):1301-1305.
45.   **Sánchez-González R, Ramis A, Nofrarías M, Wali N, Valle R et al.** Infectivity and
pathobiology of H7N1 and H5N8 high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses for pigeons (Columba livia
var. domestica). *Avian Pathol* 2021;50(1):98-106.
46.   **Liu K, Gao R, Wang X, Han W, Ji Z et al.** Pathogenicity and transmissibility of clade 2.3.4.4
highly pathogenic avian influenza virus subtype H5N6 in pigeons. *Veterinary Microbiology*
2020;247:108776.
47.   **Jeong S, Kwon JH, Lee SH, Kim YJ, Jeong JH et al.** Subclinical Infection and Transmission
of Clade 2.3.4.4 H5N6 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus in Mandarin Duck (Aix galericulata)
and Domestic Pigeon (Columbia livia domestica). *Viruses* 2021;13(6).
48.   **Root JJ, Porter SM, Lenoch JB, Ellis JW, Bosco-Lauth AM**. Susceptibilities and viral
shedding of peridomestic wildlife infected with clade 2.3.4.4b highly pathogenic avian influenza virus
(H5N1). *Virology* 2024;600:110231.
49.   **Slomka MJ, Puranik A, Mahmood S, Thomas SS, Seekings AH et al.** Ducks Are Susceptible
to Infection with a Range of Doses of H5N8 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus (2016, Clade
2.3.4.4b) and Are Largely Resistant to Virus-Specific Mortality, but Efficiently Transmit Infection to
Contact Turkeys. *Avian Dis* 2019;63(sp1):172-180.
50.   **Leyson C, Youk S-s, Smith D, Dimitrov K, Lee D-H et al.** Pathogenicity and genomic
changes of a 2016 European H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (clade 2.3. 4.4) in
experimentally infected mallards and chickens. *Virology* 2019;537:172-185.
51.   **DeJesus E, Costa-Hurtado M, Smith D, Lee D-H, Spackman E et al.** Changes in adaptation
of H5N2 highly pathogenic avian influenza H5 clade 2.3. 4.4 viruses in chickens and mallards. *Virology*
2016;499:52-64.
52.   **Seekings AH, Warren CJ, Thomas SS, Lean FZX, Selden D et al.** Different Outcomes of
Chicken Infection with UK-Origin H5N1-2020 and H5N8-2020 High-Pathogenicity Avian Influenza
Viruses (Clade 2.3.4.4b). *Viruses* 2023;15(9).
53.   **Graziosi G, Lupini C, Favera FD, Martini G, Dosa G et al.** Characterizing the domestic-
wild bird interface through camera traps in an area at risk for avian influenza introduction in Northern
Italy. *Poultry Science* 2024;103(8):103892.
54.   **Bossers A, de Rooij MM, van Schothorst I, Velkers FC, Smit LA**. Detection of airborne
wild waterbird-derived DNA demonstrates potential for transmission of avian influenza virus via air
inlets into poultry houses, the Netherlands, 2021 to 2022. *Euro Surveill* 2024;29(40).
55.   **Velkers FC, Blokhuis SJ, Veldhuis Kroeze EJB, Burt SA**. The role of rodents in avian
influenza outbreaks in poultry farms: a review. *Veterinary Quarterly* 2017;37(1):182-194.
56.   **Vickers SH, Raghwani J, Banyard AC, Brown IH, Fournie G et al.** Utilizing citizen science
data to rapidly assess changing associations between wild birds and avian influenza outbreaks in
poultry. *Proc Biol Sci* 2024;291(2031):20241713.
57.   **Hayashi T, Hiromoto Y, Chaichoune K, Patchimasiri T, Chakritbudsabong W et al.** Host
cytokine responses of pigeons infected with highly pathogenic Thai avian influenza viruses of subtype
H5N1 isolated from wild birds. *PLoS One* 2011;6(8):e23103.
58.   **Mansour SM, ElBakrey RM, Ali H, Knudsen DE, Eid AA**. Natural infection with highly
pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1 in domestic pigeons (Columba livia) in Egypt. *Avian Pathol*
2014;43(4):319-324.
59.   **Peters M, King J, Wohlsein P, Grund C, Harder T**. Genuine lethal infection of a wood
pigeon (Columba palumbus) with high pathogenicity avian influenza H5N1, clade 2.3.4.4b, in
Germany, 2022. *Vet Microbiol* 2022;270:109461.
60.   **Letsholo SL, James J, Meyer SM, Byrne AMP, Reid SM et al.** Emergence of High
Pathogenicity Avian Influenza Virus H5N1 Clade 2.3.4.4b in Wild Birds and Poultry in Botswana.
Viruses 2022;14(12).

61.   **Santos HM, Tsai C-Y, Catulin GEM, Trangia KCG, Tayo LL et al.** Common bacterial,
viral, and parasitic diseases in pigeons (Columba livia): A review of diagnostic and treatment strategies.
Veterinary Microbiology 2020;247:108779.
62.   **World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH)**. Chapter 3.3.14. Newcastle disease
(infection with Newcastle disease virus). *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial*
Animals 2021.
63.   **Dortmans JC, Koch G, Rottier PJ, Peeters BP**. Virulence of Newcastle disease virus: what
is known so far? *Vet Res* 2011;42(1):122.
64.   **Annaheim D, Vogler BR, Sigrist B, Vogtlin A, Hussy D et al.** Screening of Healthy Feral
Pigeons (Columba livia domestica) in the City of Zurich Reveals Continuous Circulation of Pigeon
Paramyxovirus-1 and a Serious Threat of Transmission to Domestic Poultry. *Microorganisms*
2022;10(8).
65.   **Abolnik C, Pieterse R, Peyrot BM, Choma P, Phiri TP et al.** The Incursion and Spread of
Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5N8 Clade 2.3.4.4 Within South Africa. *Avian Dis*
2019;63(sp1):149-156.
66.   **Yang J, Daines R, Chang P, Karunarathna TK, Qureshi M et al.** The Haemagglutinin
Genes of the UK Clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 Avian Influenza Viruses from 2020 to 2022 Retain Strong Avian
Phenotype. *bioRxiv* 2024:2024.2007.2009.602706.
67.   **Liu Y, Han C, Wang X, Lin J, Ma M et al.** Influenza A virus receptors in the respiratory and
intestinal tracts of pigeons. *Avian Pathology* 2009;38(4):263-266.
68.   **James J, Thomas SS, Seekings AH, Mahmood S, Kelly M et al.** Evaluating the epizootic and
zoonotic threat of an H7N9 low-pathogenicity avian influenza virus (LPAIV) variant associated with
enhanced pathogenicity in turkeys. *Journal of General Virology* 2024;105(7).
69.   **Shao Q, Fu F, Zhu P, Yu X, Wang J et al.** Pigeon MDA5 inhibits viral replication by
triggering antiviral innate immunity. *Poultry Science* 2023;102(10):102954.
70.   **Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)**. 2025. Global Avian
Influenza Viruses with Zoonotic Potential situation update. https://www.fao.org/animal-
health/situation-updates/global-aiv-with-zoonotic-potential/en [accessed Jan 2025].
713

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Pigeon ID