Bacterial metabolic signatures in MASLD predicted through gene-centric studies in stool metagenomes

Juan Manuel Medina-Méndez[1,2], Paula Iruzubieta[2], Raúl Fernández-López[1], Javier Crespo[2], Fernando de la Cruz[1,*]

Author affiliations

[1] Instituto de Biomedicina y Biotecnología de Cantabria (IBBTEC), Universidad de Cantabria-CSIC, Santander, Spain

[2] Servicio de Gastroenterología y Hepatología. Grupo de Investigación Clínica y Traslacional en Enfermedades Digestivas. Instituto de Investigación Valdecilla (IDIVAL). Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain

[*] Corresponding author: delacruz@unican.es; Tel.: +34-942-201942

Abstract

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) is a multifactorial condition influenced by the gut microbiome (GM). While previous studies have reported inconsistent associations between MASLD and key microbial clades using low-resolution 16S rRNA profiling, we employed high-resolution metagenomic sequencing and multi-marker taxonomic classification across three independent cohorts to identify robust microbial and functional signatures of MASLD. We consistently detected a depletion of *Agathobacter rectalis*, a known butyrate producer, in MASLD patients. Functionally, MASLD was characterized by a depletion of genes involved in butyrate and methane biosynthesis -particularly within the crotonyl-butyryl-CoA axis- alongside an enrichment of genes

driving the production of endogenous alcohols such as ethanol and 1-propanol. Genes encoding these fermentative pathways, often organized in operons like *pdu* and *tor*, were more abundant in MASLD samples, indicating a potential shift toward alcohol-producing metabolism. These geno-metabolic changes were accompanied by a broader displacement of beneficial taxa and an increase in accessory gene content across the GM, underscoring the limitations of taxonomy-based disease associations. Many of the differentially abundant genes were also found on plasmids, suggesting that horizontal gene transfer contributes to strain-level metabolic variability relevant to MASLD progression. Our findings support a model in which GM-driven metabolic shifts -rather than taxonomic changes alone- play a central role in MASLD pathogenesis, highlighting the importance of functional and mobile genetic element profiling for uncovering mechanistic links between the microbiome and liver disease.

Keywords

MASLD, gut microbiome, metagenomic sample, metabolic gene, RPKSM, differential gene abundance

1. Introduction

Metabolic-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) is the most common liver disease worldwide, affecting approximately one quarter of the global population [1]. Clinically, MASLD is defined by the presence of steatosis (i.e., accumulation of intrahepatic triglycerides) in more than 5% of hepatocytes in association with metabolic risk factors (particularly, obesity and type 2 diabetes) and in the absence of excessive alcohol consumption (≥30 g per day for men and ≥20 g per day for women) or other chronic liver diseases [2]. However, the term encompasses a spectrum of pathological situations, ranging from simple steatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which can further progress to fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [3]. MASLD also significantly increases the

risk of cardiovascular disease, extrahepatic malignancies (e.g., colorectal or breast cancer), liver-related

complications or chronic kidney disease [4]. The pathogenesis of MASLD is multifactorial, involving

genetic, environmental, inflammatory and metabolic factors, and it has a bidirectional association with

components of the metabolic syndrome, particularly insulin resistance [5].

The gut microbiome (GM) interacts with the liver via the gut-liver axis (GLA) through the portal

vein, which transports GM-derived metabolites towards the liver. This axis can be disrupted by multiple

interconnected factors, affecting the progression of MASLD and fibrosis [6]. An alteration of the GM

composition and/or their associated metabolic production can lead to an increased production of

harmful metabolites such as pathogen-associated molecular patterns, which induce inflammatory

responses in the liver when they reach it through the bloodstream, leading to liver injury [7, 8]. The

transient of these endotoxins into portal circulation is facilitated by an increased intestinal permeability

caused by a disruption of tight junction proteins in the intestinal membrane [9]. Also, an alteration of

the bile acid composition and circulation affects liver metabolism and function. Because they play a role

in the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism, their dysregulation contributes to gut inflammation

and MASLD [10, 11].

Several studies have tried to establish a causal link between bacterial metabolism and MASLD

[12]. However, metabolic levels can also be influenced by host metabolism, diet or environmental

factors. Similarly, metataxonomic analysis have explored the relationship between GM composition

and MASLD [13, 14]. Although 16S rRNA gene sequencing provides taxonomic resolution at the genus

or species level, it fails to capture strain-level metabolic variability. Bacterial genomic plasticity allows

key metabolic genes, such as those potentially relevant to MASLD pathogenesis, to be encoded in their

accessory genome, including mobile genetic elements, further complicating the association between

taxonomy and metabolic function [15]. In this study, we used genes encoding the metabolic enzymes

involved in the final steps of microbial pathways leading to the production of butyrate, ethanol,

propanol and methane as proxies to determine their abundance in MASLD. To achieve this, we analyzed

their abundance in over 550 whole metagenomes obtained from fecal samples of MASLD and healthy

patients, stratified across three different cohorts.

2. Results

We retrieved 554 metagenomic sequencing libraries from the human fecal samples collected in

five different studies and stored in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) [16]. These samples were

organized in three MASLD cohorts, each split into two phenotypic groups of comparison, as detailed in

Table 1.

Cohort	Phenotypic group	N samples	Reference	Accession number
1	Healthy control	117	[17]	PRJNA544527
	MASLD, initial fibrosis (F0-F2)	73	[18]	PRJEB14215
		48	[19]	PRJNA420817
2	MASLD, initial fibrosis (F0-F2)	72	[20]	PRJNA373901
	MASLD, advanced fibrosis (F3-F4)	14		
3	Healthy control	105	[21]	PRJEB6337
	Cirrhosis MASLD	125		

Table 1. MASLD patient cohorts analyzed in this study. Each cohort (column 1) was divided into two phenotypic comparison
groups: control vs. disease (column 2). The cohorts include N MASLD patients and corresponding whole-metagenomic stool
samples derived from them (column 3). The disease group in Cohort 1 consists of 73 and 48 MASLD patients with initial
fibrosis from [18] and [19], respectively. Cohort 2 was divided into initial fibrosis (control) and advanced fibrosis. Details on
cohort organization are provided in the Materials and Methods section. Patients were originally recruited in the listed
studies (column 4), with metagenomic data and medical metadata downloaded from the indicated ENA accession numbers
(column 5).

Bacterial taxonomic signatures are inaccurate MASLD predictors

Certain bacterial clades have been positively correlated with MASLD progression, including *Enterobacteriaceae* [14, 22] such as *Escherichia / Shigella spp*. [20, 22], *Bacteroidaceae* like *Bacteroides spp.* [20, 23], *Veillonellaceae* [14] or *Streptococcus spp.* [24]. Conversely, other clades have been reported to exhibit an inverse correlation with MASLD progression, including *Ruminococcaceae* [14] such as *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* [20, 25], *Lachnospiraceae* like *Agathobacter rectalis* [20, 25] or *Dorea longicatena* [25], *Clostridiaceae* like *Clostridium spp.* [22], or even *Pseudomonas spp.* [24]. However, these studies often yield conflicting results. For example, while [23] reported a positive correlation between *Ruminoccocus spp.* abundance and MASLD progression, this finding contradicts the one of the main findings in [20, 25]. Similarly, *Prevotella spp.* was found to decrease with MASLD development [26] and fibrosis stage [23], yet [24] reported an increase in this genus in MASLD patients. Additional examples of discordant taxonomic signatures in MASLD, such as *Blautia spp.* or *Roseburia spp.,* are reviewed in [27].

To investigate whether any bacterial genera is systematically altered in MASLD, we profiled the taxonomic composition of the GM communities across all samples from the three cohorts using MetaPhlAn [28, 29]. Unclassified and low abundant genera (i.e., those with an average relative abundance of 0) were excluded, as well as genera present in only one group, as detailed in Materials and Methods. This analysis identified 30 bacterial genera shared across all three cohorts (Fig. 1A) that exhibited significant differences in relative abundance between conditions in at least one cohort (Fig. 1C). The presence of differentially-abundant genera exclusively in one cohort could be attributed to heterogeneous demographic variables such as geographical location, age, sex, or ethnicity, as well as environmental factors like diet, physical activity, comorbidities or drug exposure [30, 31], rather than

being directly related to the MASLD phenotype. These 30 genera accounted for 60%, 32% and 49,5% of

the bacterial fraction in each cohort, respectively (Fig. 1B). Thus, nearly half of the bacterial genera

identified in at least one cohort are shared across all cohorts (30 out of 69), comprising between one-

third and two-thirds of their total gut bacterial abundance. Among these 30 shared genera, only

Gemmiger, Streptococcus, Mediterraneibacter, Ruminococcus and an unclassified *Lachnospiraceae*

exhibited a consistent differential abundance pattern between across every cohort. However, only the

unclassified *Lachnospiraceae* showed statistically significant differences between the groups (Fig. 1C).

These findings suggest that no bacterial genera are consistently and robustly altered in MASLD.

Figure 1 (Next page). Abundance of GM bacterial genera in MASLD. (A) Number of bacterial genera common to the three
cohorts. **(B)** Average relative abundance of bacterial genera predicted by MetaPhlAn in the three cohorts of study. See Table
1 for details of the group composition from each cohort. The blue section of the pie charts reflects the average relative
abundance of bacterial genera common to the three cohorts (n=30 genera), whereas the grey and green sections reflect,
respectively, the abundances of non-common and minor genera (i.e., with an average relative abundance = 0 in at least one
of the cohorts). **(C)** Relative abundance of bacterial genera in the metagenomes of the fecal samples, in logarithmic scale.
Each facet represents a cohort of study. Differences in abundances were evaluated using a pairwise Mann-Whitney test with
a Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment for multiple testing. Horizontal bars represent median levels, while boxes and whiskers
represent the data from first to third quartiles and from the quartiles to the minimum and maximum, respectively. Genera
depicted in green across the x-axis have a consistent differential abundance pattern between groups over the three cohorts.
Only genus *Lachnospiraceae_unclassified*, depicted in red, has a consistent and statistically significant increase between
groups across cohorts. (*) $p<0.05$, (**), $p<0.01$, (***), $p<0.001$, (****) $p<0.0001$. Non-starred genera present non-significant
differences in abundance between groups.
125

A

Average relative abundance of bacterial genera in MASLD

C

Bacterial genes involved in the formation of butyrate are depleted in MASLD

The absence of consistent taxonomic signatures across multiple MASLD cohorts does not exclude a potential role for the GM in MASLD development. Certain microbial metabolites regulate GLA integrity and have been suggested to influence MASLD progression [32–34]. These compounds are catabolized from dietary carbohydrates and proteins that escape upper gastrointestinal digestion by the GM through fermentative pathways that are predominant in the anaerobic environment of the colon [35, 36]. Once absorbed into the bloodstream, they are transported to the liver via the portal vein, where they can exert both beneficial and detrimental effects [37, 38]. For this reason, we interrogated the metagenomic fecal samples from the patient cohorts for metabolic signatures that could explain the MASLD phenotype. First, we focused on the genes encoding enzymes involved in butyrate formation as a proxy for the bacteria that carry them, independently of their taxa. To validate our hypothesis, we analyzed the genic abundance of the final two enzymes in butyrate production. We selected butyrate as a target because it is crucial for maintaining GLA homeostasis by supporting the colonic epithelial barrier [39, 40]. It also promotes lipid oxidation [41, 42], induces hepatic gluconeogenesis [43], and prevents insulin resistance [44], all of which are key factors in MASLD. In mice, both butyrate-producing probiotics [45] and sodium butyrate administration [46–48] have been shown to prevent steatosis and slow MASLD progression. Additionally, fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) from healthy to NASH mice increased cecal butyrate levels and reduced steatosis [49].

Bacterial butyrate can be formed through two different terminal reactions (Fig. 2A). The first is a dephosphorylation of butyryl-P that yields one ATP through an energetically favorable reaction that is catalyzed by a butyrate kinase encoded by *buk.* In the precursor reaction, butyryl-P is formed through a phosphorylation of butyryl-CoA catalyzed by a phosphate butyryl transferase encoded by *ptb*.

Butyrate also accumulates after the transference of a CoA cofactor from butyryl-CoA to acetate through

butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA transferase, encoded by *but*. This CoA-transferase route also conserves the

energy of the CoA bond in the newly formed CoA-moiety of the co-substrate. Butyryl-CoA is produced

from crotonyl-CoA through two different enzymes: butyryl--CoA trans-2-oxidorreductase, encoded by

fabV, and butyryl--CoA dehydrogenase, encoded by *bcd.* This pathway is further reviewed in the human

GM by [50].

We quantified the abundance of these genes in the metagenomic fecal samples of the two

groups across the three MASLD cohorts, as described in Materials and Methods. Genes involved in the

production of butyrate and its precursors, butyryl-P and butyryl-CoA, were significantly depleted in the

GM of cirrhosis MASLD patients compared to the healthy group (Fig. 2B, bottom), and they also

decreased significantly with MASLD progression (Fig. 2B, up). Precursor gene *fabV* was nearly an order

of magnitude less abundant than *ptb* and *bcd* in both cohorts, underscoring the greater prevalence of

bcd over *fabV* in the CoA-transferase route. Additionally, *ptb* did not exhibit significant differences in

Cohort 2. *but* was significantly depleted in the control group in both cohorts, whereas *buk* showed no

differences in Cohort 2 either. Notably, the depletion of butyrate genes did not replicate in MASLD

patients from Cohort 1.

2A)

167
168 **Figure 2. Butyrate-producing genes are depleted in MASLD. (A)** Characterization of the terminal reactions involved in the
169 formation of bacterial butyrate from crotonyl-CoA. Enzymes, EC numbers, coding genes and KOs, as well as the reaction
170 type, are indicated for each metabolic step according to the annexed legend. **(B)** Abundance of butyrate-producing genes
171 in Cohorts 2 and 3, in logarithmic scale. Boxplots represent the genic abundance from the metagenomic measures of the

fecal samples, and differences in abundances were evaluated using a pairwise Mann-Whitney test with a Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment for multiple testing. Upper and lower facets represent abundances in Cohorts 2 and 3, respectively. See Table 1 for details of the group composition from each cohort. Left and right facets represent abundances of precursor and terminal coding genes, respectively, as described in (A). Horizontal bars represent median levels, while boxes and whiskers represent the data from first to third quartiles and from the quartiles to the minimum and maximum, respectively. RPKSM: reads per kilobase per genic size per million reads. (*) $p < 0.05$, (**), $p < 0.01$, (***), $p < 0.001$, (****) $p < 0.0001$, ns: non-significant.

Bacterial genes involved in the formation of SCAs are increased in MASLD

Short chain alcohols (SCAs) are a metabolic family also suggested to regulate GLA integrity, with several studies linking GM endogenous alcohol production to MASLD. The first, published over a decade ago, reported elevated peripheral blood ethanol and fecal *Escherichia* spp. 16S-rRNA levels in NASH patients [51]. Similar findings were reported in a pediatric cohort, where children with MASLD exhibited higher levels of fecal ethanol, *Prevotella* spp., and *Gammaproteobacteria* 16S [52]. A more recent study showed that postprandial peripheral blood ethanol and fecal *Lactobacillaceae* 16S-rRNA levels increased in NASH patients after selective inhibition of host ADH, an effect that was reversed with broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment [53]. In 2019, Yuan and colleagues [54] identified a high-alcohol-producing strain of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* capable of causing MASLD through ethanol production. Specifically, they found that FMT from a NASH patient containing this strain induced MASLD in healthy mice, whereas removing the strain before FMT prevented the disease. Furthermore, ethanol produced by this *K. pneumoniae* strain was shown to disrupt fat homeostasis in hepatic cells and cause mitochondrial dysfunction oxidative stress and ROS accumulation [55], which are critical to NASH development as they drive lipid peroxidation and inflammation [56]. Additionally, a recent computational study identified a positive correlation between the abundance of two fermentative pathways in certain *Clostridia* families and fatty-liver disease in patients. These pathways include the production of ethanol from pyruvate and the heterolactic fermentative pathway [57].

With this ample evidence, we hypothesized that genes encoding the enzymes involved in the

formation of endogenous SCAs could be another metabolic mechanism involved in MASLD pathology.

We focused on ethanol and propanol, which are produced by the reduction of the carbonyl group in

their corresponding aldehydes. Bacterial ethanol is formed from acetaldehyde through a fermentative

reaction that can be catalyzed by an NADH-dependent, bifunctional acetaldehyde-ethanol

dehydrogenase encoded by *adhE*, an NADPH-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase encoded by *adhB* [58,

59] and a NADPH-dependent aldehyde reductase encoded by two different genes: *ahr* and *yahK*, with

the corresponding liberation of phosphorylated reducing power in form of $NADP^+$ [60, 61]. Precursor

acetaldehyde can be formed from 1) ethanolamine degradation, through a reaction catalyzed by

ethanolamine ammonia-lyase which is encoded by *eutB* and *eutC* genes and liberates ammonia as a by-

product [62], 2) acetyl-CoA, through a fermentative reaction catalyzed by bifunctional AdhE and by a

CoA-acetylating acetaldehyde dehydrogenase encoded by *mhpF* [63, 64], and 3) acetate, through a

dehydration catalyzed by aldehyde dehydrogenase B, encoded by *aldB* [65] (Fig. 3A, top). Bacterial 1-

propanol is formed from propionaldehyde through a fermentative reaction catalyzed by a propanol

dehydrogenase encoded by two different genes: *adhP* (a propanol-preferring alcohol dehydrogenase)

and *pduQ.* Propionaldehyde can be formed by two different reactions: a CoA transference from

propionyl-CoA catalyzed by a propionaldehyde dehydrogenase encoded by *pduP*; and a dehydration of

1,2 propanediol catalyzed by a propanediol dehydratase composed by three subunits encoded by *pduC*,

pduD and *pduE* [66] (Fig. 3A, bottom).

We quantified the abundance of genes involved in bacterial SCA formation in the metagenomic

samples of the three cohorts as described above. *mhpF* and *aldB*, involved in ethanol production, and

yahK and *ahr*, involved in acetaldehyde production, were significantly enriched in the GM of MASLD

patients from Cohort 1 (Fig. 3B, up) and Cohort 3 (Fig. 3B, bottom) compared to healthy groups. Their

abundance also increased with MASLD progression (Fig. 3B, middle). *mhpF* and *ahr* were depleted

compared to *aldB* and *yahK* respectively in all three cohorts. All genes involved in the formation of 1-

propanol and propionaldehyde were also significantly enriched in MASLD patients by more than half

order of magnitude, increasing with MASLD progression (Fig. 3C). These findings reveal a systematic

increase of SCA-producing genes in MASLD.

Figure 3. SCA-producing genes are increased in MASLD. (A) Characterization of the terminal reactions involved in the
formation of bacterial ethanol (up) and propanol (down). Enzymes, EC numbers, coding genes and KOs, as well as the
reaction type, are indicated for each metabolic step according to the annexed legend in Fig. 2A. Non-candidate genes are
colored in grey. **(B)** Abundance of *mhpF, aldB, yahK* and *ahr* genes involved in the last two reactions from pyruvate to ethanol
in the three cohorts. **(C)** Abundance of *pduC-E, pduP, pduQ* and *adhP* genes, involved in the last two reactions from 1,2-
propanediol and propionyl-CoA to 1-propanol in the three cohorts. Boxplot organization and distribution in facets, as well
as statistical tests, were elaborated analogously as Fig. 2C.

Genes associated with methane production are decreased in MASLD, while *tor* operons driving TMA

accumulation are elevated

Circulating trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO) levels have also been linked to MASLD severity [67]

and all-cause mortality in MASLD patients [68]. Higher plasmatic TMAO levels also increase

cardiovascular disease risk -a condition associated with MASLD- in both mice [69] and clinical patients

[70]. Therefore, we investigated whether bacterial TMAO could be another metabolic mechanism

involved in MASLD. Methane production in the GM occurs via a two-step process: trimethylamine

(TMA) demethylation and CoM methylation, followed by the reduction of methyl-CoM (Fig. 4A). TMA

originates from choline degradation through the *cut* operon [71] and can either be oxidized in the liver

to TMAO [72] or reduced back to TMA under anaerobic conditions via the *torCAD* and *torZY* operons.

Specifically, *torA* and *torZ* encode two TMAO reductases, whose molecular mechanisms are reviewed

in [73]. CoM methylation occurs through two well-characterized enzymatic systems: a TMA-specific

(composed of *mttC*, *mtbA* and *mttB*) and a methanol-specific pathway (composed of *mtaC, mtaA* and

mtaB) [74–76]. The final reduction of methyl-CoM to methane is catalyzed by methyl-CoM reductase

(MCR), primarily encoded by the *mcrBDCGA* operon [77], with an alternative isozyme (*mrtBGA*) [78].

The resulting heterodisulfide (CoB-S-S-CoM) is then reduced to CoM and CoB by a heterodisulfide

reductase encoded by operon *hdrABC*, which regenerate both cofactors [79]. Additionally, methyl-CoM

is replenished through tetrahydromethanopterin CoM-methyltransferase (encoded by operon

mtrABCDEFGH), which methylates CoM [80].

We measured the abundance of these genes in the samples of the three MASLD cohorts as

described above. The MCR I and II coding genes, involved in reducing methyl-CoM to methane,

significantly decreased in the GM of MASLD patients (Fig. 4B, up). Their abundance also declined with

MASLD progression (Fig. 4B, bottom). Concordantly, *hdr* and *mtr* genes, responsible for replenishing

CoM and transferring it to a methyl group to form precursor methyl-CoM, were significantly decreased

in MASLD (Fig. 4C, up), and showed a further decrease with MASLD progression (Fig. 4C, bottom).

Interestingly perhaps, *hdrA* was two orders of magnitude more abundant than the rest of the genes

from the operon. *mtbA, mttB, mtaA* and *mtaB* were significantly decreased in MASLD (Fig. 4E, up).

However, they did not exhibit differences between the initial and advanced stages of MASLD (Fig. 4E,

bottom). Notably, the higher abundance of methane-producing genes observed in the healthy group

was not replicated in Cohort 3. In contrast, bacterial genes involved in TMA regeneration showed an

opposite trend. The *torCAD* and *torZY* operons were significantly increased in the GM of MASLD

patients, and their abundance increased with MASLD progression (Fig. 4D). These findings suggest that

methane production from TMA and methanol that naturally occurs in a healthy state is disrupted in

MASLD, revealing another potential microbial pathway involved in MASLD pathogenesis.

Finally, we measured the abundance of five housekeeping genes (HKGs), as described in

Material and Methods, to confirm that observed differences in genic abundance between sample

groups were truly due to MASLD phenotype and not influenced by other biological factors nor spurious

technical artifacts derived from the quantification method. We found no differences in gene abundance

between groups for any HKG in any of the cohorts (Supplementary Fig. 1).

4A)

Choline — HGM — *Acetaldehyde* → TMA

cut (choline utilization) operon

Methylcobamide:CoM methyltransferase MtbA	2.1.1.247	*mtbA*	K14082
TMA--corrinoid protein methyltransferase	2.1.1.250	*mttB*	K04483
TMA-specific corrinoid protein	X	*mttC*	K14084

a [methyl-Co(III) TMA-specific corrinoid protein]
CoM

a [Co(I) TMA-specific corrinoid protein]

CoM
a [methyl-Co(III) TMA-specific corrinoid protein] a [Co(I) TMA-specific corrinoid protein]

NADPH + H / O₂ *NADP+ / H₂O* → TMAO

| Flavin-containing monooxygenase 1 | 1.14.13.148 | FMO1 | Host (liver) |
| Flavin-containing monooxygenase 3 | | FMO3 | |

→ Host (circulation)

Menaquinone + H₂O Menaquinol + H
Quinone Quinol

TMAO reductase 1		*torA*	K07811	torCAD operon
Cytochrome C menaquinol dH		*torC*	K03532	
torA-specific chaperone	1.7.2.3	*torD*	K03533	
TMAO reductase 2		*torZ*	K07812	torZY operon
Cytochrome C quinol dH		*torY*	K07821	

Methanol → Methyl-CoM → **CH₄**

Methylcobamide:CoM methyltransferase MtaA	2.1.1.246	*mtaA*	K14080
Methanol--corrinoid protein methyltransferase	2.1.1.90	*mtaB*	K04480
Methanol-specific corrinoid protein	X	*mtaC*	K14081

		mtrA	K00577
		mtrB	K00578
		mtrC	K00579
Tetrahydromethanopterin S-methyltransferase	2.1.1.86	*mtrD*	K00580
		mtrE	K00581
		mtrF	K00582
		mtrG	K00583
		mtrH	K00584

Methyl-CoM reductase I		*mcrA*	K00399	RED. I
		mcrB	K00401	
		mcrG	K00402	
	2.8.4.1	*mcrC*	K03421	
		mcrD	K03422	
Methyl-CoM reductase II		*mrtA*	K00399	RED. II
		mrtB	K00401	
		mrtG	K00402	

CoB   CoB-CoM heterodisulfide

2 a reduced ferredoxin [iron-sulfur] cluster
2 CO₂

2 an oxidized ferredoxin [iron-sulfur] cluster
2 formate

CoB-CoM heterodisulfide reductase	1.8.98.6	*hdrA*	K03388
		hdrB	K03389
		hdrC	K03390

Coenzyme M + Coenzyme B

B

Methyl-CoM reductase I   Methyl-CoM reductase II

Cohort 1   Cohort 2

log10 (RPKSM)

mcrA *mcrB* *mcrG* *mcrC* *mcrD*   *mrtA* *mrtB* *mrtG*

C

D

Figure 4. Genes involved in methane production are decreased in MASLD, whereas _tor_ operons responsible for TMA accumulation are increased in MASLD. (A) Characterization of the terminal reactions involved in the formation of microbial methane. Enzymes, EC numbers, coding genes and KOs, as well as the reaction type, are indicated for each metabolic step according to the annexed legend in Fig. 2A. **(B)** Abundance of genes coding for methyl-coM reductase I (_mcr_) and methyl-CoM reductase II (_mrt_). **(C)** Abundance of genes coding for CoB-CoM heterodisulfide reductase (_hdr_) and tetrahydromethanopterin S-methyltransferase (_mtr_). **(D)** Abundance of _tor_ operons. **(E)** Abundance of genes coding for the Mtb and Mta complexes. Facets represent genic operons. Boxplot organization and statistical tests were elaborated analogously as Fig. 2C.

Candidate metabolic genes are accessory in the GM

Another possible explanation for the absence of a consistent taxonomic signature associated with MASLD is that the 16S rRNA gene is probably not a sufficiently robust marker to unravel a causal link between GM composition and MASLD phenotype through metataxonomic studies. GM bacterial species have pleomorphic genomes, consisting of up to thousands of genomically distinct strains that share a conserved genetic core but possess an accessory genome that is highly variable among them

[81, 82]. This accessory genome is often encoded in plasmids and other mobile genetic elements which

are subject to frequent changes and can readily transfer between different bacterial strains [15, 83].

To test this hypothesis, we assessed whether candidate metabolic genes involved in MASLD

were core or accessory across human GM genomes. Their presence was determined as described in

Materials and Methods. Briefly, we defined the presence of a target gene as the percentage of strains

within a given genus that encode it. A gene was classified as core if present in >80% of congeneric

genomes, as accessory if present in 20-80%, and as highly accessory if present in <20% genomes. The

most abundant genera in the GM (n=22) belong to class Clostridia, specifically to orders *Lachnospirales*

and *Oscillospirales* (Fig. 6A). Butyrate-producing genes from the butyryl-CoA pathway (*bcd* and *but*)

were core in nearly half of these genera, while those from the butyryl-P pathway (*ptb* and *buk*) were

core only in *Flavonifractor, Clostridium* and *Coprococcus.* Notably, butyrate-producing genes were

highly accessory across several genera, including *Lachnospira, Ruminococcus_B* and *E,*

Ruthenibacterium, Blautia_A, *Fusicatenibacter*, *Dorea_A,* and *Mediterraneibacter*, and were

completely absent in *Ruminococcus_C* and *D*. In contrast, acetaldehyde and ethanol-producing genes

were highly accessory across all genera except *Streptococcus*, an heterolactic genus that constitutively

encoded *aldhB* in all its genomes (specifically in *S. epidermis, S. salivarius* and *S. thermophilus,*

Supplementary Fig. 2) and exhibited moderated presence (20-80%) of *yahK/ahr*. The acetaldehyde-

producing gene *mhpF* was nearly absent in the GM, except for a few genomes of *Flavonifractor* and

Agathobacter. Propanaldehyde and propanol-producing genes from the *pdu* operon were core in three

genera: *Ruminococcus_B* (*R_B. gnavus*), *Anaerobutyricum* (*A. hallii*) and *Flavonifractor* (*F. plautii*),

though *pduE* was unexpectedly absent in *Ruminococcus_B*. These genes were highly accessory in

Blautia_A (*B_A. wexlerae*), *Streptococcus*, *Medierraneibacter* or *Agathobacter* (*A. rectalis*, formerly

Eubacterium rectale). Notably, *A. hallii* and *F. plautii* were the only species encoding both core butyrate

and propanol-producing genes. See Supplementary Fig. 2 for within-species distribution.

Beyond their accessory nature within GM pangenomes, candidate metabolic genes were also

encoded in plasmids (Fig. 6B). Genes involved in ethanol formation such as *aldhB, yahK* and *ahr* were

among the most widely distributed, being present in hundreds of different plasmids. In contrast,

butyrate-producing genes (*fabV, but, ptb,* and *buk*) and propanol-related genes (*pduC, pduD, pduE,*

pduP, and *pduQ*) were detected in fewer plasmids, further supporting their variable presence across

GM genomes. A notable exception was *bcd*, which exhibited a broader presence across both

chromosomal and plasmid-encoded sequences. These findings reinforce the idea that non-essential

metabolic functions, especially ethanol production, are encoded in highly mobile genetic elements,

contributing to their accessory nature within the GM.

Gene	HMM size (aa)	N gene (+) plasmids
fabV	394	46
bcd	379	819
but	446	36
ptb	303	120
buk	358	3
mhpF	312	144
aldhB	512	1,203
yahK	350	871
ahr	339	538
pduC	553	31
pduD	224	2
pduE	174	2
pduP	461	6
pduQ	370	290
adhP	336	1,007

Figure 5. Candidate metabolic genes involved in MASLD are predominantly accessory in the human GM. (A) Heatmap showing the presence of candidate genes involved in MASLD across the most abundant genera in the human GM. The x-axis represents genes associated with butyrate and SCAs production, as well as HKGs. The y-axis lists the most abundant genera. Genic presence is expressed as the percentage of congeneric genomes encoding each target gene, with colors indicating classification: black for core genes (>80% of genomes), dark blue for accessory genes (20-80% genomes), light blue for highly accessory genes (<20% genomes) and white for genes completely absent in the genus. Hierarchical clustering was applied to the y-axis to group genera based on genic presence similarity. **(B)** Presence of candidate genes in RefSeq200 annotated plasmids. Column 3 represents the number of different plasmids that encode at least one copy of each gene.

3. Discussion

MASLD is a liver pathology with multiple factors involved. One of them is GM and its associated metabolism, which causes an effect on GLA integrity. Several studies have tried to ascribe a causality between GM composition and MASLD. However, there are many inconsistent taxonomic signatures in key GM clades such as *Blautia spp.* or *Roseburia spp.* whose abundance has been positively and negatively correlated to MASLD progression across multiple studies [27]. To confirm if there is a specific clade associated with MASLD, we profiled the taxonomic composition of the GM present in the fecal samples of healthy and MASLD donors from three different cohorts of study (Fig. 1). Although we identified five candidate bacterial genera showing consistent differences in their relative abundance between groups across all the cohorts, only an unclassified *Lachnospiraceae* showed statistically significant differences between groups (Fig. 1C). This result indicates that there is no clear-cut relationship between alterations in GM composition and MASLD.

16S rRNA profiling in metataxonomic studies provides a limited view of GM changes [27]. Due to bacterial genome plasticity, the GM exhibits vast strain diversity [81] which remains undetected by 16S rRNA abundances despite its potential impact on metabolic functions. Previously, we reported that geno-metabolic shifts in GM propionate production associated with inflammatory bowel disease did not align with species-level variations [84]. Given the role of metabolic profiles in phenotypic

differences, we focused on identifying abundance differences in the genes responsible for producing

metabolites potentially implicated in MASLD across several patient cohorts.

Butyrate-producing genes are depleted in the GM of MASLD patients (Fig. 2B), particularly those

involved in the crotonyl-butyryl CoA axis: *fabV* (butyryl-CoA oxidoreductase), *bcd* (butyryl-CoA

dehydrogenase) and *but* (butyryl-CoA:acetate-CoA transferase, Fig. 2A). While *ptb* and *buk* where also

higher in both healthy subcohorts, their levels did not differ significantly between initial and advanced

MASLD groups within Cohort 2. This suggests that CoA transfer is the predominant pathway for butyrate

production in the GM, as previously reported [85]. However, the smaller differences in *ptb* and *buk* in

Cohort 2 may result from a shared MASLD phenotype across different fibrosis stages, coupled with a

smaller sample size compared to Cohort 3. Additionally, two other enzymes -structured as two-subunit

systems-, can transfer CoA from different cofactors to butyrate to produce butyryl-CoA: butyryl-

CoA:acetoacetate-CoA transferase (encoded by *atoD* and *atoA*) and acetate CoA-transferase (encoded

by by *ctfA* and *ctfB*). These were not included in this study as they catalyze the reverse reaction,

consuming butyrate to form butyryl-CoA [86]. Notably, the depletion of butyrate genes was not

observed in MASLD patients from Cohort 1.

Genes involved in the formation of ethanol and propanol through connected fermentative

reactions (Fig. 3A) are increased in MASLD. Genes *mhpF* and *aldB* -encoding two aldehyde

dehydrogenases that produce ethanol-, and *yahK* and *ahr* -encoding two NADPH-dependent aldehyde

reductases that form acetaldehyde- are significantly increased in the GM of MASLD patients compared

to the healthy groups (Fig. 3B). Genes involved in the production of 1-propanol structured in operon

pdu are also increased in MASLD (Fig. 3C). Specifically, *pduCDE* -encoding a propanediol dehydratase-,

pduP -encoding a propionaldehyde dehydrogenase- and *pduQ* and *adhP* -encoding two distinct

propanol dehydrogenases-. The marked differential abundances of propanol-producing genes,

organized in the *pdu* operon and *adhP* and unexplored so far, suggest that propanol could play an even

more critical role than ethanol in MASLD onset and progression.

The abundance of genes in the TMA-methane metabolic axis is disrupted in MASLD. Genes

involved in methane formation (Fig. 4A) are depleted in the pathology, including both MCR I and II

coding-genes (Fig. 4B), which reduce methyl-CoM to methane, and *mtr* and *hdr* genes (Fig. 4C),

dedicated to regenerating methyl-CoM. In contrast, TMAO-reductive genes, organized in *torCAD* and

torZY operons and responsible for regenerating TMA, are increased in MASLD (Fig. 4D). These results

suggest that methane production is impaired in MASLD. The opposing trend in the abundance of *tor*

operons further indicates that this impairment stems from a metabolic bottleneck that leads to TMA

accumulation instead of its conversion to methyl-CoM, effect that is driven by TMAO reductases I and

II.

Finally, we determined that metabolic genes associated with MASLD exhibit a highly accessory

profile within the GM (Fig. 5A). Butyrate-producing genes, particularly those from the butyryl-CoA

pathway (*bcd* and *but*), are highly accessory in nearly half of the most abundant GM genera, while SCA-

producing genes display an even more pronounced accessory profile. In fact, butyryl-CoA pathway

genes are more pervasive in the GM than those from the butyryl-P pathway. The previously reported

absence of a consistent taxonomic signature in MASLD [27], also observed in this study (Fig. 1) likely

stems from this genomic plasticity. Strain-level metabolic variability in the GM generates substantial

phenotypic differences within species, leading to variable virulence or metabolic profiles, as previously

observed in pathogenic and commensal *E. coli* strains [87, 88] Further supporting their mobility,

candidate genes are frequently encoded in plasmids (Fig. 5B) with ethanol formation genes (*aldhB*,

yahK, and *ahr*) being the most widely distributed, while butyrate and propanol-related genes are

present to a lesser extent. Since non-essential, adaptive metabolic pathways are often encoded in

plasmids across many bacterial species [88–90], their presence likely drives phenotypic differences in

MASLD without altering the overall species composition detected by conventional 16S rRNA-based

metataxonomic studies. Given that MASLD is partially driven by bacterial metabolism, strains from

different species probably may produce similar liver-impacting metabolites, potentially contributing to

MASLD pathogenesis.

4. Conclusion

Our study demonstrates that the gut microbiome's contribution to MASLD extends beyond

taxonomic composition and is deeply rooted in strain-level functional diversity shaped by genome

plasticity and horizontal gene transfer. While species like *Agathobacter rectalis* emerge as consistent

taxonomic indicators of disease, it is the accessory, plasmid-encoded metabolic genes -particularly

those involved in the production of butyrate, ethanol, and propanol- that better capture the metabolic

reprogramming characteristic of MASLD. These findings underscore the limitations of traditional

marker-based taxonomic profiling and highlight the need to integrate functional metagenomics and

mobile genetic element analyses to fully understand microbiome-disease interactions. By identifying

metabolically relevant microbial functions consistently altered in MASLD, our work provides new

insights into disease mechanisms and points toward strain-level and pathway-specific targets for

diagnostic and therapeutic development.

Materials and Methods

Downloading of shotgun-sequencing metagenomic data

A total of 554 whole shotgun metagenomic libraries generated from the fecal samples of MASLD

and healthy subjects were downloaded from the ENA using the accession numbers corresponding to

five different studies, and they were stratified in three MASLD cohorts as detailed in Table 1.

Information on the collection and processing of fecal samples, bacterial DNA extraction and sequencing

protocols can be found in the original studies. Additionally, we retrieved the medical metadata

associated with each sample donor to confirm their MASLD status and classify samples into two

phenotypic groups within each cohort. Samples lacking a clearly defined MASLD status were excluded

from this study. All data was downloaded between April and March 2023.

Description of MASLD patient cohorts

Cohort 1 consists of two sets of European, obese MASLD patients aged 20-64 years with initial

fibrosis (F0-F2) and varying degrees of steatosis: 73 patients from [18] and 10 patients from [19]. In the

latter group, 48 fecal samples were collected at five time points during the study (two samples from

day 1 were not obtained). Diagnoses were confirmed through hepatic biopsy performed during obesity

surgery, and none of the subjects had alcoholic liver disease, hepatitis or diabetes. The control group

for comparison includes 117 healthy patients from [17]. Cohort 2 comprises 86 American MASLD

patients from [20], including 72 with initial (F0-F2) and 14 with advanced fibrosis (F3-F4). Diagnoses

were confirmed through hepatic biopsy and magnetic resonance imaging, and none of the patients had

alcoholic liver disease, hepatitis or diabetes. In this manuscript, the initial fibrosis group is referred to

as "healthy" for group comparison purposes, despite including patients with early-stage MASLD. Cohort

3 includes 230 Han Chinese patients from [21]: 125 diagnosed with cirrhotic MASLD and 105 with no

hepatic pathology. None of these subjects had hepatitis. All cohorts consist of one metagenomic stool

sample per patient, except for the 48 samples collected at multiple time points from 10 patients in the

[19] study.

Quality control of metagenomic reads

Shotgun sequencing reads were trimmed to remove Illumina adapter remnants and low-quality

regions   (<Q25)   using   BBDuk   from   the   BBTools   suite   (version   37.62)

(https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/) [91]. Read quality was assessed with FastQC (version

0.12.1) (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) [92] and summarized using

MultiQC (version 1.22.3) [93].

Taxonomic signatures associated with MASLD

To determine whether any bacterial clade is systematically increased or decreased in MASLD

across the three cohorts, we profiled the taxonomic composition of GM communities in fecal samples

using MetaPhlAn (version 4.0.6) [28, 29]. Briefly, MetaPhlAn estimates the relative abundance of

bacterial genera by aligning the metagenomic reads against a curated database of 5 million unique

clade-specific marker genes derived from 1 million microbial genomes spanning 26,970 species-level

genome bins. For this study, we used the database version *mpa_vOct22_CHOCOPhlAnSGB_202212*,

downloaded on August 22, 2023, and added the *--ignore_eukaryotes* flag to skip profiling eukaryotic

organisms. Post-processing of the MetaPhlAn output involved removing low-abundant clades (i.e.,

those with an average relative abundance of 0), excluding genera predicted as "unclassified", and

verifying that excluded genera were not exclusively present in only one of the comparison groups (Fig.

1).

Isolation of target gene families in the GM

We constructed families of the human GM-metabolic genes encoding the enzymes involved in the

production of butyrate, ethanol, propanol, TMA, methane and their precursor metabolites, as

represented in Figs. 2-4. The Unified Human Gastrointestinal Genome (UHGG, version 2.0.2) [81] served

as the reference database for this purpose. This resource, comprising over 289,000 bacterial and

archaeal genomes and more than 665 million proteins, represents the most comprehensive collection

of characterized human GM genes to date. Each gene family was built by retrieving a seed of sequences

based on gene and protein annotation from the UHGG, assessing their similarity with lab-validated

enzymatic sequences when available. Validated gene sequences were retrieved from MetaCyc [94] and

used as controls to assess their phylogenetic proximity to the retrieved gene seeds. Gene families were

aligned using MAFFT (version 7.271) [95] and phylogenetic trees were constructed with IQ-TREE

(version 2.0.3) [96]. Low phylogenetic distances between control and retrieved sequences served as a

criterion for defining gene families. Duplicated sequences, gene fragments and phylogenetically distant

genes were excluded after inspecting the trees. To improve gene identification and classification, we

then built Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profiles for each target gene family using *HMMER hmpress*

(version 3.4) (http://hmmer.org/) [97]. MetaCyc and KEGG [94, 98] were also used to validate gene and

enzyme names, KEGG Orthology groups (KOs), the biochemical reactions involved in the formation of

each metabolite, and their enzyme commission (EC) numbers. The same protocol was applied to isolate

five HKG families, universal single-copy marker genes in prokaryotes, used as controls. These HKGs and

their encoded proteins were: *argS* (arginyl-tRNA synthetase), *dnaA* (chromosomal replication initiator

protein DnaA), *rpoA*, *rpoB* and *rpoC* (alpha, beta and gamma subunits of the DNA-directed RNA

polymerase, respectively).

Analysis of gene abundance by read-based metagenomic quantification

We quantified the abundance of target genes in the metagenomic samples from the three cohorts

by aligning the quality-controlled reads against the isolated gene families using DIAMOND (version

2.0.14) [99]. Alignments were filtered to retain only the best match for each read and gene family

sequence by using the *"--max-hsps 1"* option, with an E-value threshold $<1e^{-10}$. These parameters were

based on experimentally validated recommendations for detecting genes in fecal metagenomic

samples with DIAMOND [100]. The total number of aligned reads for each gene family was normalized

using a modified RPKM formula, referred to as RPKSM. This measure was used as an indicator of gene

abundance, and it is defined as the number of aligned reads per kilobase per gene family size per million

reads, to account for variations in gene length, gene family size (i.e., the number of sequences in each

gene family) and library size, respectively (Figs. 2-4, Supplementary Fig. 1).

Presence of candidate metabolic genes across the human GM genomes and annotated plasmids

To determine whether candidate metabolic genes involved in MASLD were core or accessory across

the human GM, we analyzed their presence in both UHGG genomes and curated RefSeq200 plasmids

[101]. Since many UHGG genomes originate from metagenome-assembled or single-cell-amplified

genomes, they may be incomplete, potentially leading to false positive annotations. To minimize this

risk, we included only UHGG genomes with >95% completeness and containing the five HKGs described

above. ORFs were predicted using Prodigal (version 2.6.3) [102], retaining only those >90% of the HMM

size. We then used HMMER *hmmscan* with the following parameters: protein-pHMM coverage

threshold >90%, E-value <0.01 and independent E-value (i-E value) <0.01, strictly enforcing the 90%

threshold to avoid capturing ORFs with similar protein domains. This approach yielded 31,227 genomes

classified as complete and containing the five HKGs.

To classify genes as core or accessory, we defined their presence as the percentage of strains within

a given genus that encode the gene, considering only the most abundant genera in the GM (Fig. 1) with

>100 genomes meeting the completeness criteria. Same process was replicated at species level

(Supplementary Fig. 2). Genes were categorized as core if present in >80% of congeneric genomes, as

accessory if present in 20-80%, and as highly accessory if present in <20% genomes (Fig. 6A).

Additionally, we assessed whether these genes could be encoded in annotated plasmids. Using the

same constraints, we predicted ORFs from the RefSeq200 plasmid collection, which includes 23,309

plasmids encoding over 2 million ORFs. ORFS were retained if they met the >90% HMM size threshold,

with *hmmscan* parameters identical to those applied in the UHGG genome analysis (Fig. 6B).

R packages and statistical analysis

Data manipulation was performed using R 4.1.3 and the *tidyverse* package (version 1.3.2) [103]. Figures were generated with the R packages *ggplot2* (version 3.4.2) [104], *ggpubr* (version 0.6.0) [105] and *pheatmap* (version 1.0.12) [106]. Mann-Whitney tests with a Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment for multiple testing were applied on the relative abundance of bacterial genera (Fig. 1C) and genic abundance (Figs. 2B, 3B-C, 4B-E, 5) between phenotypic groups in the metagenomic fecal samples of each cohort to assess the significance of the differences. The statistical analysis was conducted using the R package *rstatix* (version 0.7.2) [107].

References

1. Riazi K, Azhari H, Charette JH, et al (2022) The prevalence and incidence of NAFLD worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 7:. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00165-0

2. Marchesini G, Day CP, Dufour JF, et al (2016) EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Hepatol 64:1388–1402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.004

3. Allen AM, Therneau TM, Ahmed OT, et al (2022) Clinical course of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and the implications for clinical trial design. J Hepatol 77:1237–1245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.07.004

4. Powell EE, Wong VWS, Rinella M (2021) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. The Lancet 397:2212–2224

5. Yki-Järvinen H (2014) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease as a cause and a consequence of metabolic syndrome. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2:901–910

6. Martín-Mateos R, Albillos A (2021) The Role of the Gut-Liver Axis in Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Fatty Liver Disease. Front Immunol 12

7. Carpino G, Ben M Del, Pastori D, et al (2020) Increased Liver Localization of Lipopolysaccharides in Human and Experimental NAFLD. Hepatology 0: https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31056/suppinfo

8. Li Q, Rempel JD, Yang J, Minuk GY (2022) The Effects of Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns on Peripheral Blood Monocytes in Patients with Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. J Clin Exp Hepatol 12:808–817. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jceh.2021.11.011

9. Miele L, Valenza V, La Torre G, et al (2009) Increased intestinal permeability and tight junction alterations in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 49:1877–1887. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.22848

10. Wang W, Zhao J, Gui W, et al (2018) Tauroursodeoxycholic acid inhibits intestinal inflammation and barrier
disruption in mice with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Br J Pharmacol 175:469–484.
https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14095

11. Kuang J, Wang J, Li Y, et al (2023) Hyodeoxycholic acid alleviates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease through modulating
the gut-liver axis. Cell Metab 35:1752-1766.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2023.07.011

12. Yang C, Wu J, Yang L, et al (2024) Altered gut microbial profile accompanied by abnormal short chain fatty acid
metabolism exacerbates nonalcoholic fatty liver disease progression. Sci Rep 14:22385.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-72909-8

13. Chierico F Del, Nobili V, Vernocchi P, et al (2016) Gut Microbiota Profiling of Pediatric Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver
Disease and Obese Patients Unveiled by an Integrated Meta-omics-Based Approach.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28572/suppinfo

14. Lee G, You HJ, Bajaj JS, et al (2020) Distinct signatures of gut microbiome and metabolites associated with significant
fibrosis in non-obese NAFLD. Nat Commun 11:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18754-5

15. Redondo-Salvo S, Fernández-López R, Ruiz R, et al (2020) Pathways for horizontal gene transfer in bacteria revealed
by a global map of their plasmids. Nat Commun 11:3602. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17278-2

16. Leinonen R, Akhtar R, Birney E, et al (2011) The European nucleotide archive. Nucleic Acids Res 39:.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq967

17. Poyet M, Groussin M, Gibbons SM, et al (2019) A library of human gut bacterial isolates paired with longitudinal
multiomics data enables mechanistic microbiome research. Nat Med 25:1442–1452.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0559-3

18. Hoyles L, Fernández-Real JM, Federici M, et al (2018) Molecular phenomics and metagenomics of hepatic steatosis
in non-diabetic obese women. Nat Med 24:1070–1080. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0061-3

19. Mardinoglu A, Wu H, Bjornson E, et al (2018) An Integrated Understanding of the Rapid Metabolic Benefits of a
Carbohydrate-Restricted Diet on Hepatic Steatosis in Humans. Cell Metab 27:559-571.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.01.005

20. Loomba R, Seguritan V, Li W, et al (2017) Gut Microbiome-Based Metagenomic Signature for Non-invasive Detection
of Advanced Fibrosis in Human Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Cell Metab 25:1054-1062.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.04.001

21. Qin N, Yang F, Li A, et al (2014) Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. Nature 513:59–64.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13568

22. Lanthier N, Rodriguez J, Nachit M, et al (2021) Microbiota analysis and transient elastography reveal new extra-
hepatic components of liver steatosis and fibrosis in obese patients. Sci Rep 11:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-
020-79718-9

23. Er^ Ome Boursier J, Mueller O, Barret M, et al (2015) The Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Is Associated
With Gut Dysbiosis and Shift in the Metabolic Function of the Gut Microbiota.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28356/suppinfo

24. Caussy C, Tripathi A, Humphrey G, et al (2019) A gut microbiome signature for cirrhosis due to nonalcoholic fatty
liver disease. Nat Commun 10:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09455-9

25. Oh TG, Kim SM, Caussy C, et al (2020) A Universal Gut-Microbiome-Derived Signature Predicts Cirrhosis. Cell Metab
32:878-888.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.06.005

26.   Shen F, Zheng RD, Sun XQ, et al (2017) Gut microbiota dysbiosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases International 16:375–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1499-3872(17)60019-5

27.   Aron-Wisnewsky J, Vigliotti C, Witjes J, et al (2020) Gut microbiota and human NAFLD: disentangling microbial
signatures from metabolic disorders. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 17:279–297

28.   Segata N, Waldron L, Ballarini A, et al (2012) Metagenomic microbial community profiling using unique clade-specific
marker genes. Nat Methods 9:811–814. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2066

29.   Blanco-Míguez A, Beghini F, Cumbo F, et al (2023) Extending and improving metagenomic taxonomic profiling with
uncharacterized species using MetaPhlAn 4. Nat Biotechnol 41:1633–1644. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-
01688-w

30.   McPherson S, Hardy T, Dufour JF, et al (2017) Age as a Confounding Factor for the Accurate Non-Invasive Diagnosis
of   Advanced   NAFLD   Fibrosis.   American   Journal   of   Gastroenterology   112:740–751.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2016.453

31.   ponziani20_confounding_NAFLD

32.   Chu H, Duan Y, Yang L, Schnabl B (2019) Small metabolites, possible big changes: A microbiota-centered view of non-
alcoholic fatty liver disease. Gut 68:359–370. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316307

33.   Dai X, Hou H, Zhang W, et al (2020) Microbial Metabolites: Critical Regulators in NAFLD. Front Microbiol 11

34.   Martin-Grau M, Monleón D (2024) The Role of Microbiota-Related Co-Metabolites in MASLD Progression: A
Narrative Review. Curr Issues Mol Biol 46:6377–6389

35.   Oliphant K, Allen-Vercoe E (2019) Macronutrient metabolism by the human gut microbiome: Major fermentation
by-products and their impact on host health. Microbiome 7:. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-019-0704-8

36.   Donaldson GP, Lee SM, Mazmanian SK (2015) Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. Nat Rev Microbiol
14:20–32

37.   Albillos A, de Gottardi A, Rescigno M (2020) The gut-liver axis in liver disease: Pathophysiological basis for therapy.
J Hepatol 72:558–577

38.   Iruzubieta P, Medina JM, Fernández-López R, et al (2020) A role for gut microbiome fermentative pathways in fatty
liver disease progression. J Clin Med 9

39.   Donohoe DR, Garge N, Zhang X, et al (2011) The microbiome and butyrate regulate energy metabolism and
autophagy in the mammalian colon. Cell Metab 13:517–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.02.018

40.   Wang HB, Wang PY, Wang X, et al (2012) Butyrate enhances intestinal epithelial barrier function via up-regulation
of tight junction protein claudin-1 transcription. Dig Dis Sci 57:3126–3135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-012-
2259-4

41.   Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, et al (2009) Butyrate improves insulin sensitivity and increases energy expenditure in mice.
Diabetes 58:1509–1517. https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-1637

42.   Li Z, Yi CX, Katiraei S, et al (2018) Butyrate reduces appetite and activates brown adipose tissue via the gut-brain
neural circuit. Gut 67:1269–1279. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314050

43.   De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Goncalves D, et al (2014) Microbiota-generated metabolites promote
metabolic benefits via gut-brain neural circuits. Cell 156:84–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.12.016

44.   Sanna S, van Zuydam NR, Mahajan A, et al (2019) Causal relationships among the gut microbiome, short-chain fatty
632   acids and metabolic diseases. Nat Genet 51:600–605

633   45.   Endo H, Niioka M, Kobayashi N, et al (2013) Butyrate-Producing Probiotics Reduce Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
634   Progression in Rats: New Insight into the Probiotics for the Gut-Liver Axis. PLoS One 8:.
635   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063388

636   46.   Jin CJ, Sellmann C, Engstler AJ, et al (2015) Supplementation of sodium butyrate protects mice from the development
637   of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). British Journal of Nutrition 114:1745–1755.
638   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515003621

639   47.   Zhou D, Chen YW, Zhao ZH, et al (2018) Sodium butyrate reduces high-fat diet-induced non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
640   through upregulation of hepatic GLP-1R expression. Exp Mol Med 50:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-018-0183-1

641   48.   Zhou D, Pan Q, Xin FZ, et al (2017) Sodium butyrate attenuates high-fat diet-induced steatohepatitis in mice by
642   improving gut microbiota and gastrointestinal barrier. World J Gastroenterol 23:60–75.
643   https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i1.60

644   49.   Zhou D, Pan Q, Shen F, et al (2017) Total fecal microbiota transplantation alleviates high-fat diet-induced
645   steatohepatitis in mice via beneficial regulation of gut microbiota. Sci Rep 7:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-
646   01751-y

647   50.   Louis P, Flint HJ (2017) Formation of propionate and butyrate by the human colonic microbiota. Environ Microbiol
648   19:29–41

649   51.   Zhu L, Baker SS, Gill C, et al (2013) Characterization of gut microbiomes in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)
650   patients: A connection between endogenous alcohol and NASH. Hepatology 57:601–609.
651   https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26093

652   52.   Michail S, Lin M, Frey MR, et al (2015) Altered gut microbial energy and metabolism in children with non-alcoholic
653   fatty liver disease. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiu002

654   53.   Meijnikman AS, Davids M, Herrema H, et al (2022) Microbiome-derived ethanol in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.
655   Nat Med 28:2100–2106. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-02016-6

656   54.   Yuan J, Chen C, Cui J, et al (2019) Fatty Liver Disease Caused by High-Alcohol-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Cell
657   Metab 30:675-688.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2019.08.018

658   55.   Chen X, Zhang Z, Li H, et al (2020) Endogenous ethanol produced by intestinal bacteria induces mitochondrial
659   dysfunction in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia) 35:2009–
660   2019. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.15027

661   56.   Fromenty B, Roden M (2023) Mitochondrial alterations in fatty liver diseases. J Hepatol 78:415–429

662   57.   Ruuskanen MO, Åberg F, Männistö V, et al (2021) Links between gut microbiome composition and fatty liver disease
663   in a large population sample. Gut Microbes 13:1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1888673

664   58.   Pony P, Rapisarda C, Terradot L, et al (2020) Filamentation of the bacterial bi-functional alcohol/aldehyde
665   dehydrogenase AdhE is essential for substrate channeling and enzymatic regulation. Nat Commun 11:.
666   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15214-y

667   59.   Hitschler L, Nissen LS, Kuntz M, Basen M (2021) Alcohol dehydrogenases AdhE and AdhB with broad substrate ranges
668   are important enzymes for organic acid reduction in Thermoanaerobacter sp. strain X514. Biotechnol Biofuels 14:.
669   https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-021-02038-1

60.   Pick A, Rühmann B, Schmid J, Sieber V (2013) Novel CAD-like enzymes from Escherichia coli K-12 as additional tools
in chemical production. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97:5815–5824. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4474-5

61.   Merchel Piovesan Pereira B, Adil Salim M, Rai N, Tagkopoulos I (2021) Tolerance to Glutaraldehyde in Escherichia
coli Mediated by Overexpression of the Aldehyde Reductase YqhD by YqhC. Front Microbiol 12:.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.680553

62.   Moreira de Gouveia MI, Daniel J, Garrivier A, et al (2023) Diversity of ethanolamine utilization by human commensal
Escherichia coli. Res Microbiol 174:. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2022.103989

63.   Dellomonaco C, Clomburg JM, Miller EN, Gonzalez R (2011) Engineered reversal of the β-oxidation cycle for the
synthesis of fuels and chemicals. Nature 476:355–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10333

64.   Eram MS, Ma K (2013) Decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetaldehyde for ethanol production by hyperthermophiles.
Biomolecules 3:578–596

65.   Kwok KH, Weiner H (2005) Isolation and characterization of an aldehyde dehydrogenase encoded by the aldB gene
of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 187:1067–1073. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.3.1067-1073.2005

66.   Dank A, Zeng Z, Boeren S, et al (2021) Bacterial Microcompartment-Dependent 1,2-Propanediol Utilization of
Propionibacterium freudenreichii. Front Microbiol 12:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.679827

67.   Chen YM, Liu Y, Zhou RF, et al (2016) Associations of gut-flora-dependent metabolite trimethylamine-N-oxide,
betaine and choline with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adults. Sci Rep 6:. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19076

68.   Flores-Guerrero JL, Post A, van Dijk PR, et al (2021) Circulating trimethylamine-N-oxide is associated with all-cause
mortality in subjects with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Liver International 41:2371–2382.
https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14963

69.   Wang Z, Klipfell E, Bennett BJ, et al (2011) Gut flora metabolism of phosphatidylcholine promotes cardiovascular
disease. Nature 472:57–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09922

70.   Roncal C, Martínez-Aguilar E, Orbe J, et al (2019) Trimethylamine-N-Oxide (TMAO) Predicts Cardiovascular Mortality
in Peripheral Artery Disease. Sci Rep 9:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52082-z

71.   Martínez-del Campo A, Bodea S, Hamer HA, et al (2015) Characterization and detection of a widely distributed gene
cluster that predicts anaerobic choline utilization by human gut bacteria. mBio 6:.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00042-15

72.   Goris M, Cea-Rama I, Puntervoll P, et al (2023) Increased Thermostability of an Engineered Flavin-Containing
Monooxygenase to Remediate Trimethylamine in Fish Protein Hydrolysates. Appl Environ Microbiol 89:e0039023.
https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00390-23

73.   Leimkühler S (2020) The biosynthesis of the molybdenum cofactors in <scp> Escherichia coli </scp>. Environ
Microbiol 22:2007–2026. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15003

74.   Jay Ferguson D, Adrian Krzycki J (1997) Reconstitution of Trimethylamine-Dependent Coenzyme M Methylation with
the Trimethylamine Corrinoid Protein and the Isozymes of Methyltransferase II from Methanosarcina barkeri

75.   Ferguson DJ, Krzycki JA, Grahame DA (1996) Specific roles of methylcobamide:coenzyme M methyltransferase
isozymes in metabolism of methanol and methylamines in Methanosarcina barkeri. Journal of Biological Chemistry
271:5189–5194. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.9.5189

76.   Kurth JM, Nobu MK, Tamaki H, et al (2021) Methanogenic archaea use a bacteria-like methyltransferase system to
demethoxylate aromatic compounds. ISME Journal 15:3549–3565. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01025-6

77.   Gendron A, Allen KD (2022) Overview of Diverse Methyl/Alkyl-Coenzyme M Reductases and Considerations for Their
Potential Heterologous Expression. Front Microbiol 13:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.867342

78.   Thauer RK (2019) Methyl (Alkyl)-Coenzyme M Reductases: Nickel F-430-Containing Enzymes Involved in Anaerobic
Methane Formation and in Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane or of Short Chain Alkanes. Biochemistry 58:5198–5220.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.9b00164

79.   Wagner T, Koch J, Ermler U, Shima S (2017) Methanogenic heterodisulfide reductase (HdrABC-MvhAGD) uses two
noncubane [4Fe-4S] clusters for reduction. Science (1979) 357:699–703. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0425

80.   Aziz I, Kayastha K, Kaltwasser S, et al (2024) Structural and mechanistic basis of the central energy-converting
methyltransferase complex of methanogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 121:.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2315568121

81.   Almeida A, Nayfach S, Boland M, et al (2021) A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut
microbiome. Nat Biotechnol 39:105–114. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0603-3

82.   Rosconi F, Rudmann E, Li J, et al (2022) A bacterial pan-genome makes gene essentiality strain-dependent and
evolvable. Nat Microbiol 7:1580–1592. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01208-7

83.   Yu MK, Fogarty EC, Eren AM (2024) Diverse plasmid systems and their ecology across human gut metagenomes
revealed by PlasX and MobMess. Nat Microbiol 9:830–847. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01610-3

84.   Medina JM, Fernández-López R, Crespo J, Cruz F de la (2021) Propionate fermentative genes of the gut microbiome
decrease in inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Med 10:. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10102176

85.   Louis P, McCrae SI, Charrier C, Flint HJ (2007) Organization of butyrate synthetic genes in human colonic bacteria:
Phylogenetic conservation and horizontal gene transfer. FEMS Microbiol Lett 269:240–247.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00629.x

86.   Cui Y, Ma X, Lee SH, et al (2023) Production of butyl butyrate from lignocellulosic biomass through Escherichia coli-
Clostridium beijerinckii G117 co-culture. Process Biochemistry 128:58–67.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2023.02.005

87.   Gonzalez-Alba JM, Baquero F, Cantón R, Galán JC (2019) Stratified reconstruction of ancestral Escherichia coli
diversification. BMC Genomics 20:. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6346-1

88.   Palomino A, Gewurz D, DeVine L, et al (2023) Metabolic genes on conjugative plasmids are highly prevalent in
Escherichia coli and can protect against antibiotic treatment. ISME Journal 17:151–162.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-022-01329-1

89.   Abriouel H, Pérez Montoro B, de la Fuente Ordoñez JJ, et al (2019) New insights into the role of plasmids from
probiotic Lactobacillus pentosus MP-10 in Aloreña table olive brine fermentation. Sci Rep 9:.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47384-1

90.   Pudlo NA, Urs K, Crawford R, et al (2022) Phenotypic and Genomic Diversification in Complex Carbohydrate-
Degrading Human Gut Bacteria

91.   Bushnell B (2012) BBTools: https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbtools/

92.   Andrews S (2010) FastQC: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

93.   Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M (2016) MultiQC: Summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples
in a single report. Bioinformatics 32:3047–3048. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

94.   Caspi R, Billington R, Fulcher CA, et al (2018) The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. Nucleic
748   Acids Res 46:D633–D639. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx935

749   95.   Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance
750   and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

751   96.   Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, et al (2020) IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic
752   Inference in the Genomic Era. Mol Biol Evol 37:1530–1534. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015

753   97.   Eddy S (2023) HMMER: biosequence analysis using profile hidden Markov models

754   98.   Kanehisa M, Goto S (2000) KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes

755   99.   Buchfink B, Reuter K, Drost HG (2021) Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale using DIAMOND. Nat
756   Methods 18:366–368. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01101-x

757   100.   Treiber ML, Taft DH, Korf I, et al (2020) Pre-and post-sequencing recommendations for functional annotation of
758   human fecal metagenomes. BMC Bioinformatics 21:. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-3416-y

759   101.   O'Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, et al (2016) Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: Current status,
760   taxonomic   expansion,   and   functional   annotation.   Nucleic   Acids   Res   44:D733–D745.
761   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1189

762   102.   Hyatt D, Chen GL, LoCascio PF, et al (2010) Prodigal: Prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site
763   identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11:119. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119

764   103.   Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al (2019) Welcome to the Tidyverse. J Open Source Softw 4:1686.
765   https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

766   104.   Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, 2nd ed. Springer Cham

767   105.   Kassambara A (2023) ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots

768   106.   Kolde R (2019) pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps

769   107.   Kassambara A (2023) rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests

770