TITLE

The Association Between SLIT2 in Human Vitreous Humor and Plasma and

Neurocognitive Test Scores

RUNNING TITLE

SLIT2 Association with Neurocognitive Testing

AUTHORS

Sara I. Shoushtari[1,#], Easton Liaw[2,4,#], Sreevardhan Alluri[3], Zahra Sheikh[3], Sudhir

Kumar[1,4], Courtney Huynh[1,4], Insa M. Schmidt[1,4], Steven Ness[3], Xuejing Chen[3], Nicole

H. Siegel[3], Sushrut S. Waikar[1,4], Thor D. Stein[5,6,7], Weining Lu[1,4,*], Manju L.

Subramanian[3,*]

AFFILIATIONS

1. Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118

2. Boston University Sargent College of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences,

Boston, MA 02215

3. Boston Medical Center, Department of Ophthalmology, Boston, MA 02118

4. Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Nephrology

Section, Department of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118

5. Boston University Alzheimer's Disease and CTE Center, Boston University

Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118

6. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Boston Medical Center,

Boston, MA 02118

7. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, VA Boston Healthcare System,

Boston, MA 02130

#These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding Authors

Weining Lu, MD. Email: wlu@bu.edu

Manju L. Subramanian, MD. Email: manju.subramanian@bmc.org

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

ABSTRACT

Background: Slit Guidance Ligand 2 (SLIT2) binds Roundabout (ROBO) guidance

receptors to direct axon pathfinding and neuron migration during nervous system

development. SLIT2 expression has previously been linked to dementia risk.

Objective: To study the association between SLIT2 expression in human vitreous humor

and plasma samples and neurocognitive test scores in a cross-sectional cohort study

utilizing a novel, highly-sensitive Meso Scale Discovery (MSD) assay for SLIT2

detection.

Methods: Seventy-nine individuals with a mean age of 55.79 ± 12.03 years underwent

eye surgery with collection of vitreous humor, blood (plasma) collection, and

neurocognitive assessment. Vitreous humor and plasma samples were analyzed by

SLIT2 MSD electrochemiluminescence immunoassay. Associations between SLIT2

levels in vitreous humor and plasma were analyzed using GraphPad Prism.

Results: We found up to a 7-fold higher level of SLIT2 in human vitreous humor

compared to plasma. Lower vitreous SLIT2 levels were associated with a lower

Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score and Immediate Recall Verbatim (IRV) z-

score, and higher plasma SLIT2 was associated with a lower MoCA score. In

multivariate analysis using single and multiple predictor models, the same significant

associations were found when adjusted for age, sex, race, diabetic status, diabetic

retinopathy status, glaucoma status, and Apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype.

<u>Conclusions</u>: SLIT2 protein levels are significantly associated with MoCA score and IRV

z-score in middle-aged individuals. The relationship remained significant when adjusted

for demographics, co-morbidity, and APOE genotype, suggesting SLIT2 may be a

sensitive biomarker for detection of mild cognitive impairment and early dementia, and

warrants further studies.

KEYWORDS

ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, DEMENTIA, NEUROCOGNITIVE TESTS,

NEURODEGENERATION, NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL TESTS, PLASMA,

ROUNDABOUT PROTEINS, VITREOUS HUMOR

BACKGROUND

Neurodegenerative disorders can be a cause of neurocognitive impairment and

are classified by pathological changes with potential destruction of neural tissue.[1–3] One

change known in neurodegenerative disorders is an accumulation of proteins causing

pathological damage.[4,5] Protein abnormalities that lead to inadequate clearance and

subsequent aggregation can cause this pathological degeneration.[3,6] These changes

can occur decades before the onset of cognitive symptoms.

Mild neurocognitive impairment (MCI) has an approximate prevalence of 6% in

individuals aged 60-64 and increases to 25% in individuals aged 80-84.[7] Approximately

5-10% of individuals with MCI progress to major neurocognitive disorder or dementia

each year,[7,8] the most common of which is Alzheimer's dementia,[9] with an estimated

prevalence of 6.5% in middle-aged and senior populations, or 5.7 million in the United

States.[10] The prevalence of Alzheimer's disease is expected to double in the next four

decades.[10] Early-onset dementia is a subset making up approximately 4-6% of

Alzheimer's Disease cases, with an onset before age 65, and is notable for its

significant genetic predisposition and rapid progression.[11,12]

Neural-derived proteins have been demonstrated previously to have potential

relationships with neurocognitive dysfunction.[13] For example, increased neurofilament

light (NfL) protein levels have been associated with cognitive impairment.[14,15] Studies

have been conducted to assess a variety of proteins involved in the pathological

changes of neurodegeneration, such as beta-amyloid (Aβ), t-tau, p-T181-tau, and

GFAP.[16,17] In early-onset dementia, studies have found decreased Aβ and increased

total tau in CSF samples.[11] Our group has studied protein biomarkers in ocular fluid and

pathological proteins associated with late-onset Alzheimer's Disease and MCI.[18–22]

Additionally, we have demonstrated that relatively higher concentrations of

neurodegenerative biomarkers exist in ocular fluid, such as vitreous fluid, as compared

with plasma.[18]

Slit Guidance Ligand 2 (SLIT2) protein is a ligand that binds to Roundabout

(ROBO) guidance receptors expressed during vascular and neuronal development.[23–25]

It is commonly found in cerebral tissue[26,27] and functions as a chemorepulsive guidance

to direct axon pathfinding and neuron migration,[25,28,29] such as the development of the

optic chiasm[30] and neurodegenerative disease.[31–33] Proteomic studies have found that

SLIT2 levels are increased in Aβ overexpression mouse models[34] and are correlated

with Aβ levels in human tissue.[35] SLIT2 has also been shown to co-localize with Aβ.[34]

We therefore hypothesize that SLIT2 may also be an additional neurodegenerative

biomarker associated with cognitive impairment.

Prior reports[33,35–37] have suggested a link between SLIT2 protein levels and late-

onset dementia and Alzheimer's Disease through studies on cognitive stimulation in the

workplace, proteomic profiling, and forward genetic screening. In a population-based

proteomic study, increased levels of plasma SLIT2 protein were shown to be associated

with an increased hazard ratio for late-onset dementia, suggesting that SLIT2 may be a

potential biomarker candidate for cognitive functional decline and progression to

dementia.[36] However, this proteomic finding has not been validated by a current

commercially available SLIT2 immunoassay due to their low sensitivity and dynamic

range. Additionally, there is no currently published data on SLIT2 protein levels in the

early-onset dementia population.

SLIT2 protein is highly expressed in ocular neurons.[38] However, SLIT2 in the

vitreous humor and its association with cognitive status have not been previously

investigated. In this study, we hypothesize that the SLIT2 protein measured in human

vitreous humor and plasma is associated with cognitive function based on

neuropsychological assessment.

METHODS

Study Design

This is a cross-sectional cohort study conducted at Boston University Medical

Campus and Boston Medical Center (BUMC/BMC) to assess the association of

biomarkers in eye fluid and plasma with neurocognitive test scores. This study utilized

previously stored eye fluid and plasma samples from prior research that investigated the

association of biomarkers to eye disease and neurocognitive function. The BUMC/BMC

institutional review board provided approval and oversight (study reference number H-

37370, principal investigator MLS). The study was completed in accordance with

institutional ethical standards and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study participant inclusion criteria included age 18 years or older, primary

language English or Spanish, and those scheduled for pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) in at

least one eye for a clinical eye condition. Surgical indications for vitrectomy included

eye diseases such as rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, macular hole, epiretinal

membrane, vitreous hemorrhage, and tractional retinal detachment. Study activities for

Spanish-speaking patients were completed with a bilingual research assistant. Written

informed consent was obtained from all patients who participated in the study, and

participants were not excluded for existing ocular or medical comorbidities. Upon

completing the consent process, the study activities included neuropsychological

testing, vitreous humor samples collected during PPV, and blood samples collected

during a research visit.

Historical Data Collection

Demographic information and clinical history of enrolled participants were

collected from participant-reported questionnaires and electronic medical records.

Demographic information included age, race, and sex. Clinical history included past

medical history and ophthalmic history. A baseline ophthalmic exam, including

assessment of common eye conditions such as glaucoma, age-related macular

degeneration, and diabetic retinopathy, was completed prior to eye surgery.

Neuropsychological testing

Neuropsychological testing was conducted with all enrolled participants within a

3-month window before or after their surgery date. Our group collaborated with the

Boston University Alzheimer's Disease and Research Center, which recommended

neuropsychological testing within 180 days of fluid specimen collection and participated

in training research assistants to conduct the assessments. Validated tools for testing

included the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)[39] and the Immediate Recall

Verbatim (IRV).[40] Test scores (MoCA raw score and IRV z-score) are standardized and

allow for comparison between individuals.[41,42]

Biospecimen Collection

Vitreous and blood samples were collected between September 2019 and

August 2021. Vitreous samples were collected at the start of each vitrectomy procedure

by the surgical team. 0.5–1.0 mL of undiluted vitreous fluid was aspirated via the

vitrectomy probe into an attached sterile 3-mL syringe. Infusion of saline into the

vitreous cavity was immediately undertaken in order to re-pressurize the posterior

chamber of the eye, and the vitrectomy subsequently proceeded according to the

standard of care for the respective ocular condition. The syringe containing the vitreous

specimen was capped using sterile technique and directly handed to a research

assistant, who labeled it with a predetermined non-identifiable study number and placed

the sample on ice for transport. Blood samples were collected from all study

participants at a research visit prior to surgery, and eighteen milliliters of whole blood

were drawn into Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-treated purple top tubes and

also placed on ice for transport. Once collected, vitreous and blood biospecimens were

immediately centrifuged, aliquoted into 200 microliter Eppendorf tubes at the Molecular

Genetics Core Laboratory (MGCL) at BU Medical Campus and stored in -80°C freezers.

De-identified blood samples were processed into their component plasma and buffy

coat. Samples were thawed once prior to immunoassay analysis between August and

November 2023, with no additional freeze-thaw cycles. Plasma and vitreous samples

were utilized for SLIT2 protein analysis, and the buffy coat was used for Apolipoprotein

E (APOE) genotyping.

SLIT2 MSD Assay to measure protein expression in the vitreous and plasma

To measure the low SLIT2 protein concentration in the human vitreous humor

and plasma samples, we developed a highly sensitive Meso Scale Discovery (MSD)

SLIT2 immunoassay using a SLIT2 antibody pair (Catalog # ab253658, Abcam,

Waltham, MA, USA) and GOLD 96-Well Small Spot Streptavidin SECTOR Plates

(Catalog # L45SA, Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, MD, USA) with an

electrochemiluminescence detection technique, which has a greater dynamic range and

more precise and accurate readings for low concentrations of SLIT2 at picogram levels.

Details on this internally developed SLIT2 MSD assay are currently in preparation and

will be reported separately. In a process similar to a sandwich enzyme-linked

immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA), we detected and quantified standard SLIT2

recombinant protein and unknown SLIT2 concentration in human vitreous humor and

plasma samples using the MESO QuickPlex SQ120 instrument (Catalog # AI1AA-0,

Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, MD, USA).

APOE Genotyping

APOE is a major susceptibility gene, and relative allele copies can confer a

higher risk of Alzheimer's;[43–45] therefore, APOE genotyping is sometimes used as a

clinical risk evaluation tool.

To determine the APOE genotype, DNA was extracted from the buffy coat, and

single nucleotide polymorphisms from the APOE gene (National Center for

Biotechnology Information SNPs rs429358 and rs7412) were examined using TaqMan

assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (Version 10.2.2 341)

for MacOS, GraphPad Software, Boston, MA, USA, www.graphpad.com). A p-value of

less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

SLIT2 protein levels and neurocognitive assessment score association testing,

using neurocognitive scores as a continuous variable, was performed using univariate

and multivariate linear regression. Univariate analysis included both SLIT2 protein

plasma and vitreous levels as predictors of MoCA raw score and IRV z-score.

Additionally, analysis was performed with participants grouped based on MoCA raw

score for normal cognition (MoCA ≥26) and potential cognitive impairment (MoCA <26).

Multivariate linear regression was completed with SLIT2 plasma and vitreous

protein level again utilized as a predictor of neurocognitive test score and adjusted for

the single predictors of age, sex, race, diabetic status, diabetic retinopathy status,

glaucoma, APOE genotype, and APOE risk category. APOE risk categories

(Supplemental Table 1) were constructed based on population genotype prevalence

patterns and associated likelihood of developing Alzheimer's disease, as previously

published.[43] Multiple predictor analysis was also completed and adjusted for

demographics, which included age, sex, and race, and for demographics with additional

medical and ophthalmic history variables. A complete model, which included

demographics, diabetic retinopathy status, glaucoma status, and APOE risk category,

was also analyzed as applicable. Diabetes mellitus and diabetic retinopathy status could

not be simultaneously included in the complete model due to variable collinearity. For all

complete models, effect size was calculated to demonstrate the contribution of SLIT2 to

the variation in neurocognitive test scores. Additionally, power, with an assumed effect

size of 0.2 (α=0.05), was calculated to demonstrate the complete models' ability to

detect low-medium sized effects.

RESULTS

Demographics

Seventy-nine participants were enrolled in the study; 79 vitreous samples and 67

blood samples were collected. Missing samples were due to challenges with specimen

collection, such as poor intravenous access, participant refusal of blood draws,

hemolyzed blood, and inadequate sample volume. Adequate material for the SLIT2

assay was obtained in 69 vitreous and 60 plasma samples. MoCA testing was

completed in 63 participants, and IRV testing was completed in 56. Figure 1

summarizes the biospecimen samples collected and the neurocognitive testing

completed. Table 1 describes the study demographic characteristics.

SLIT2 Protein Detection

Our data showed that the newly developed highly sensitive SLIT2 MSD assay

can detect SLIT2 protein expression levels as low as 5 pg/ml, which is 200-fold more

sensitive than regular ELISA. In addition, we discovered a 7-fold higher SLIT2 level in

the vitreous humor compared to blood plasma samples in humans. In a Spearman

correlation, SLIT2 plasma and vitreous levels were not correlated with each other (ρ=-

0.05424, p=0.6833).

Univariate Analysis

In univariate linear regression, lower vitreous SLIT2 levels were associated with

lower MoCA raw scores (m=0.003897, r^2=0.07585, p=0.0332, Figure 2a) and lower IRV

z-scores (m=0.001196, r^2=0.09811, p=0.0224, Figure 2b). Alternatively, plasma levels

showed the opposite relationship, with higher plasma SLIT2 associated with lower

MoCA scores (m=-0.03559, r^2=0.09699, p=0.0206, Figure 3a). Plasma SLIT2 levels

were not associated with IRV z-scores (m=-0.003430, r^2=0.01830, p=0.3593, Figure

3b).

Further analysis among only individuals with a MoCA score indicating possible

cognitive impairment (MoCA score below 26) was associated with lower vitreous SLIT2

(m=0.003660, r^2=0.09902, p=0.0353, Figure 4a) and higher plasma SLIT2 (m=-0.03311,

r^2=0.0073, p=0.0073, Figure 4b). In individuals with a MoCA score in the normal

cognition range (≥26), there was no significant association of MoCA scores with SLIT2

in plasma (m=-0.008733, r^2=0.03803, p=0.5231, Supplemental Figure 2a) or SLIT2 in

vitreous (m=0.003660, r^2=0.09902, p=0.2789, Supplemental Figure 2b).

Multivariate Analysis

In single predictor adjustment models (Table 2), lower vitreous SLIT2 was

associated with lower MoCA score after adjusting separately for age, sex, race, diabetic

status, diabetic retinopathy status, and glaucoma. Multiple predictor models were

significant when adjusted for demographics (age, sex, and race; p=0.0225),

demographics with diabetic status (p=0.0162), demographics with diabetic retinopathy

status (p=0.0269), and demographics with glaucoma status (p=0.0111). A complete

model adjusting for demographics, diabetic retinopathy status, and glaucoma status

was significant (p=0.0141) with a large effect size (f^2=0.391) and sufficient power

(92.5%, f^2=0.2, α=0.05). APOE genotype and risk category were not included in the

complete model for vitreous SLIT2 because the single predictor analysis for both was

not significant.

Higher plasma SLIT2 was associated with lower MoCA score in single predictor

adjustment models adjusted separately for age, sex, race, diabetic status, diabetic

retinopathy status, glaucoma, APOE genotype, and APOE risk category (Table 3). In

multiple predictor analysis, similarly significant associations were found when adjusted

for demographics (age, sex, and race; p=0.0498), demographics with diabetic

retinopathy status (p=0.0198), demographics with APOE genotype (p=0.0418), and

demographics with APOE risk category (p=0.0413). A complete model adjusting for

demographics, diabetic retinopathy status, glaucoma status, and APOE risk category

was not statistically significant (p=0.0522) but had a large effect size (f^2=0.5026),

signifying SLIT2 has a substantial contribution to the variation in MoCA score. In

addition, we found sufficient statistical power (87.7%, f^2=0.2, α=0.05) in the complete

model.

Vitreous SLIT2 and IRV z-score were also significant in single predictor models

adjusted separately for age, sex, race, diabetic status, diabetic retinopathy status,

glaucoma status, and APOE risk category (Table 4), and in multiple predictor models

adjusted for demographics (age, sex, and race; p=0.0142), demographics with diabetic

status (p=0.0149), demographics with diabetic retinopathy status (p=0.0175), and

demographics with glaucoma (p=0.0336). A complete model adjusting for

demographics, diabetic retinopathy status, glaucoma status, and APOE risk category

was not significant (p=0.1328) but had a large effect size (f^2=0.568), signifying SLIT2

has a substantial contribution to variation in IRV z-score. This complete model was also

found to be sufficiently powered (84.0%, f^2=0.2, α=0.05).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that vitreous and plasma SLIT2 protein were significantly

associated with Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Immediate Recall

Verbatim (IRV) scores. The relationship remained significant when adjusted for

covariates of age, sex, race, diabetes, and diabetic retinopathy in single and multiple

predictor models. We found up to a 7-fold increase in SLIT2 concentration in the

vitreous humor compared to plasma. As far as we are aware, this is the first study to

report relative concentrations of SLIT2 in vitreous and plasma and establish an

association between SLIT2 levels from both sources with cognitive function obtained by

MoCA and IRV z-score.

Our research partially supports previous studies that demonstrated associations

between SLIT2 and cognitive function. But while a prior report found that higher plasma

SLIT2 levels were associated with an increased risk of late-onset dementia,[36] our study

was conducted in a younger cohort of patients without known dementia diagnosis,

indicating that our findings may be more relevant for MCI and early-onset dementia.

There are no previous studies describing SLIT2 protein levels in early-onset dementia.

Additionally, our study further validates previous work done by our group that

demonstrated an association between vitreous protein levels and neurocognitive test

scores[19] and the relatively higher neurodegenerative protein concentrations in the

vitreous compared with plasma.[18] We have also found in a prior post-mortem study that

an elevated vitreous concentration may reflect an elevated cerebral concentration.[20] In

this study, our findings further demonstrate the potential for ocular fluids as a

biospecimen sampling source for early detection and diagnosis of neurocognitive

disorders, as well as the unexplored role of SLIT-ROBO signaling in the pathological

development of neurodegenerative disease.

We found opposite directions of associations in the vitreous humor and plasma

between SLIT2 levels and MoCA score, the reasons for which remain unclear. SLIT2 is

a paracrine ligand associated with the extracellular membrane receptor ROBO, resulting

in high concentrations in local tissues surrounding an area of increased expression. As

SLIT2 is required for active axon pathfinding and new neuronal synapse formation in the

central nervous system (CNS), one possibility is that SLIT2 is more highly expressed in

the brain and ocular tissues compared to blood, and therefore lower SLIT2 in vitreous

humor may suggest decreased axon pathfinding and fewer new neuronal synapse

formations in the brain, observed as lower MoCA and IRV scores. Relatively higher

plasma SLIT2 may indicate the result of a systemic body reaction attempting to increase

SLIT2 levels and stimulate axon pathfinding and new neuronal synapse formations.

Similar inverse relationships have been noted in $A\beta$, with higher concentrations of

amyloid plaque deposition in the brain being associated with lower $A\beta$ concentrations in

the CSF and plasma.[46–49] As previously mentioned, our group has found significantly

lower Aβ concentrations in the vitreous in individuals with lower cognitive scores (based

on mini-mental status examination), consistent with what is typically noted in the

cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) in those with MCI, early-onset dementia, and late-onset

Alzheimer's Disease.[11,19] Additionally, some insight might be gathered from the inverted

relationship expanding as neurocognitive function declines. It has been previously

demonstrated that neurodegeneration is associated with impaired blood-brain barrier

(BBB) and CNS vasculature functionality.[50] Increased BBB permeability[51] and

decreased function in pathological inflammatory states may contribute to the increased

concentration of SLIT2 in the plasma, as SLIT2 also plays a role in inflammation.[52,53]

The involvement of SLIT2 remains unclear, as SLIT2 overexpression in mouse models

have been shown to have increased or decreased BBB permeability.[54,55] Interestingly,

overexpression of SLIT1, which is a homologue of SLIT2 and highly expressed in the

brain, can facilitate functional neuronal regeneration in post-ischemic stroke mice by

enhancing new neuron migration through the brain glial meshwork to stroke lesions.[56]

Further investigation into the mechanism by which SLIT2 exits the CNS and enters the

systemic circulatory system is needed to better understand the relative concentration

differences between vitreous and plasma samples.

SLIT2 was significantly associated with diabetes, which has been previously

shown in rat models of type 1 diabetes[38] and human epidemiological studies.[57–59]

Diabetes has been shown to be associated with higher rates of late-onset Alzheimer's

disease [60]; elevated blood glucose, obesity, and metabolic disease have been shown to

be potential risk factors for early-onset dementia.[12,61,62] It is hypothesized that the

pathophysiological mechanisms of hyperglycemia induce and increase the

neuropathological changes.[60]

Limitations of this study include limited sample size and a lack of comprehensive

neurological testing. The sample size may limit the precision of regression estimates,

especially multivariate models with multiple predictors, increasing the potential for

variability in sampling. However, we were able to achieve adequately powered complete

models able to detect low-medium effects. While our sample was normally distributed

with regard to MoCA score, IRV z-score, and SLIT2 protein level (Supplemental Figure

1), the limited numbers made it difficult to make more specific comparisons.

Additionally, further confirmation of MCI and dementia diagnosis, including potentially

early-onset disease, in individuals with lower scores would require further testing and

functional assessment of participants. The limited sample also prevented analysis

adjusted for additional ocular co-morbidities, such as macular degeneration, which was

not present in any of our participants, likely due to our younger and more racially and

ethnically diverse study cohort. High prevalence of comorbidities known to be

associated with dementia risk, including early-onset dementia risk[12,61,62], such as

diabetes, likely contributed to the low average neurocognitive score in our young study

cohort. The large percentage of APOE E4 also biases our sample and reduces the

generalizability of our findings. This may have contributed to the low average

neurocognitive score, since it has been shown that diabetic patients are more

genetically predisposed to late-onset Alzheimer's Disease.[63] Further prospective studies

with larger recruitment goals may address these limitations. Additionally, participants for

this study were patients from the eye clinic at Boston Medical Center and, therefore,

were recruited based on ocular history, not neurological evaluation. While we adjusted

for any significant covariates, such as diabetes mellitus, diabetic retinopathy, and

glaucoma, the impact of eye and systemic diseases on SLIT2 levels remains unclear.

Because of this study's setting, only screening-level neuropsychological testing was

performed. Further studies validating our findings on the basis of neurodegenerative

diagnosis and staging could provide more specific information regarding the extent of

neurodegeneration associated with SLIT2 protein levels.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that SLIT2 protein in the vitreous and

plasma is significantly associated with Montreal Cognitive Assessment score and

Immediate Recall Verbatim z-score, and the relationship remained significant when

adjusted for demographics, medical and ocular co-morbidities, and APOE genotype

factors. The utilization of a custom-made highly sensitive MSD immunoassay allowed

for quantification of SLIT2 at the pg/mL level. The inverse concentrations between

vitreous and plasma samples highlight the need to further understand the mechanisms

underlying neurodegeneration and its relationship with systemic circulation.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

BUMC/BMC institutional review board provided approval and oversight (study reference

number H-37370, principal investigator MLS).

Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available on request from the

corresponding author.

Competing Interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Funding

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health grant R01-

DK133940 (WL), R03AG063255 (MLS), the DOD grant E01HT9425-23-1-1058 (WL), a

2023 Boston University Ignition Award (WL), a 2024 B4D-ARC Award from the Evans

Center of Boston University (MLS, WL) and funding support from Boston University

Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program (EL). This publication is also

supported in part by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National

Institutes of Health, through Boston University Clinical & Translational Science Institute

(CTSI) Grant Number 1UL1TR001430. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the

authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Author contributions

SIS (Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology,

Visualization, Writing – Original Draft); EL (Conceptualization, Investigation,

Methodology, Writing – Original Draft); SA (Data Curation, Investigation, Project

Administration, Writing – Review & Editing); ZS (Data Curation, Investigation, Project

Administration, Writing – Review & Editing); SK (Data Curation, Investigation, Writing –

Review & Editing); CH (Data Curation, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing); IMS

(Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing);

SN (Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing); XC (Investigation, Writing – Review &

Editing); NHS (Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing); SSW (Conceptualization,

Resources, Writing – Review & Editing); TS (Investigation, Methodology, Writing –

Review & Editing); WL (Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding

Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Original

Draft, Writing – Review & Editing); MLS (Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal

Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision,

Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing)

Consent For Publication

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Weiming Xia and Dr. Michael Alosco of the Eye Biomarkers Study (EBS)

and the BU Alzheimer's disease center for their support. We thank Drs. Janice

Weinberg and Jungwun Lee of the BU CTSI's Biostatistics, Epidemiology & Research

Design (BERD) program for their statistical consultation. We also thank Virginie Esain

for providing technical support during the development of the SLIT2 MSD Assay.

REFERENCES

1.   Kovacs GG. Molecular pathology of neurodegenerative diseases: Principles and practice. *J Clin Pathol* 2019; 72: 725–735.
2.   Rekatsina M, Paladini A, Piroli A, et al. Pathophysiology and Therapeutic Perspectives of Oxidative Stress and Neurodegenerative Diseases: A Narrative Review. Adv Ther 2020; 37: 113-139.
3.   Dugger BN, Dickson DW. Pathology of Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2017; 9: a028035.
4.   Webber CJ, Lei S (Eric), Wolozin B. The pathophysiology of neurodegenerative disease: Disturbing the balance between phase separation and irreversible aggregation. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 2020; 174: 187–223.
5.   Zaib S, Javed H, Khan I, et al. Neurodegenerative Diseases: Their onset, epidemiology, causes and treatment. *ChemistrySelect*; 8: e202300225..
6.   Relja M. Pathophysiology and Classification of Neurodegenerative Diseases. *EJIFCC* 2004; 15: 97-99.
7.   Pérez Palmer N, Trejo Ortega B, Joshi P. Cognitive Impairment in Older Adults: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America* 2022; 45: 639–661.
8.   Akushevich I, Yashkin AP, Kravchenko J, et al. Time Trends in the Prevalence of Neurocognitive Disorders and Cognitive Impairment in the United States: The Effects of Disease Severity and Improved Ascertainment. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 2018; 64: 137–148.
9.   Erkkinen MG, Kim MO, Geschwind MD. Clinical Neurology and Epidemiology of the Major Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2018; 10: a033118.
10. Thorpe KE, Levey AI, Thomas J. *May 2021 Neurodegenerative Disease Burden on US - FINAL* . 2021.
11. Mendez MF. Early-Onset Alzheimer Disease. *Neurol Clin* 2017; 35: 263–281.
12. Loi SM, Cations M, Velakoulis D. Young-onset dementia diagnosis, management and care: a narrative review. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2023; 218: 182–189.
13. Logroscino G, Urso D, Savica R. Descriptive Epidemiology of Neurodegenerative Diseases: What Are the Critical Questions? *Neuroepidemiology* 2022; 56: 309–318.
14. Olsson B, Portelius E, Cullen NC, et al. Association of Cerebrospinal Fluid Neurofilament Light Protein Levels with Cognition in Patients with Dementia, Motor Neuron Disease, and Movement Disorders. *JAMA Neurol* 2019; 76: 318–325.
15. Lin YS, Lee WJ, Wang SJ, et al. Levels of plasma neurofilament light chain and cognitive function in patients with Alzheimer or Parkinson disease. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8: 17368.
16. Kim KY, Shin KY, Chang KA. Brain-Derived Exosomal Proteins as Effective Biomarkers for Alzheimer's Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Biomolecules* 2021; 11: 980.
17. Askenazi M, Kavanagh T, Pires G, et al. Compilation of reported protein changes in the brain in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Commun* 2023; 14: 4466.

18. Sampani K, Ness S, Tuz-Zahra F, et al. Neurodegenerative biomarkers in
different chambers of the eye relative to plasma: an agreement validation study.
Alzheimers Res Ther 2024; 16: 192.

19. Wright LM, Stein TD, Jun G, et al. Association of cognitive function with amyloid-β
and tau proteins in the vitreous humor. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 2019; 68:
1429–1438.

20. Vig V, Garg I, Tuz-Zahra F, et al. Vitreous Humor Biomarkers Reflect Pathological
Changes in the Brain for Alzheimer's Disease and Chronic Traumatic
Encephalopathy. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2023; 93: 1181–1193.

21. Subramanian ML, Vig V, Chung J, et al. Neurofilament light chain in the vitreous
humor of the eye. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2020; 12: 111.

22. Fereshetian S, Agranat JS, Siegel N, et al. Protein and Imaging Biomarkers in the
Eye for Early Detection of Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*
Reports 2021; 5: 375–387.

23. Rama N, Dubrac A, Mathivet T, et al. Slit2 signaling through Robo1 and Robo2 is
required for retinal neovascularization. *Nat Med* 2015; 21: 483–491.

24. Tong M, Jun T, Nie Y, et al. The role of the SLIT/Robo signaling pathway. *J*
Cancer 2019; 10: 2694–2705.

25. Blockus H, Chédotal A. Slit-robo signaling. *Development (Cambridge)* 2016; 143:
3037–3044.

26. Sasaki T, Komatsu Y, Yamamori T. Expression patterns of SLIT/ROBO mRNAs
reveal a characteristic feature in the entorhinal-hippocampal area of macaque
monkeys. *BMC Res Notes* 2020; 13: 262.

27. Xu C, Fan CM. Expression of Robo/Slit and Semaphorin/Plexin/Neuropilin family
members in the developing hypothalamic paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei.
Gene Expression Patterns 2008; 8: 502–507.

28. Plachez C, Andrews W, Liapi A, et al. Robos are required for the correct targeting
of retinal ganglion cell axons in the visual pathway of the brain. *Molecular and*
Cellular Neuroscience 2008; 37: 719–730.

29. Thompson H, Andrews W, Parnavelas JG, et al. Robo2 is required for Slit-
mediated intraretinal axon guidance. *Dev Biol* 2009; 335: 418–426.

30. Davison C, Zolessi FR. Slit2 is necessary for optic axon organization in the
zebrafish ventral midline. Cells Dev 2021; 166: 203677.

31. Dickson BJ. Molecular Mechanisms of Axon Guidance. *Science (1979)* 2002; 298:
1959–1964.

32. Sherchan P, Travis ZD, Tang J, et al. The potential of Slit2 as a therapeutic target
for central nervous system disorders. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2020; 24: 805–
818.

33. Zhang L, Qi Z, Li J, et al. Roles and Mechanisms of Axon-Guidance Molecules in
Alzheimer's Disease. *Mol Neurobiol* 2021; 58: 3290–3307.

34. Bai B, Wang X, Li Y, et al. Deep Multilayer Brain Proteomics Identifies Molecular
Networks in Alzheimer's Disease Progression. *Neuron* 2020; 105: 975-991.e7.

35. Wang H, Dey KK, Chen PC, et al. Integrated analysis of ultra-deep proteomes in
cortex, cerebrospinal fluid and serum reveals a mitochondrial signature in
Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* 2020; 15: 43.

36. Kivimäki M, Walker KA, Pentti J, et al. Cognitive stimulation in the workplace,
plasma proteins, and risk of dementia: three analyses of population cohort
studies. *BMJ* 2021; 374: n1804.
37. Bai G, Chivatakarn O, Bonanomi D, et al. Presenilin-dependent receptor
processing is required for axon guidance. *Cell* 2011; 144: 106–118.
38. Zhou W, Wang H, Yu W, et al. The expression of the Slit-Robo signal in the retina
of diabetic rats and the vitreous or fibrovascular retinal membranes of patients
with proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0185795.
39. Daniel B, Agenagnew L, Workicho A, et al. Psychometric Properties of the
Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) to Detect Major Neurocognitive Disorder
Among Older People in Ethiopia: A Validation Study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*
2022; 18: 1789–1798.
40. Schroeder RW, Martin PK, Walling A. Neuropsychological Evaluations in Adults.
American Family Physicians 2019; 99: 101–108.
41. Weintraub S, Besser L, Dodge HH, et al. Version 3 of the Alzheimer Disease
Centers' Neuropsychological Test Battery in the Uniform Data Set (UDS).
Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2018; 32: 10–17.
42. *Neuropsychological Battery Tests reported on Form C2, Uniform Data Set (UDS)*
v3.0, www.alz.washington.edu (2006).
43. Reiman EM, Arboleda-Velasquez JF, Quiroz YT, et al. Exceptionally low
likelihood of Alzheimer's dementia in APOE2 homozygotes from a 5,000-person
neuropathological study. *Nat Commun* 2020; 11: 667.
44. Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, et al. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E
type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science*
1993; 261: 921-923.
45. Corder EH, Saunders AM, Risch N], et al. Protective effect of apolipoprotein E
type 2 allele for late onset Alzheimer disease. *Nat Genet* 1994; 7: 180–184.
46. Bateman RJ, Xiong C, Benzinger TLS, et al. Clinical and Biomarker Changes in
Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer's Disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*
2012; 367: 795–804.
47. Mehta PD, Pirttilä T, Mehta SP, et al. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid levels of
amyloid beta proteins 1-40 and 1-42 in Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2000; 57:
100-105.
48. Blennow K, Zetterberg H. Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: current status and
prospects for the future. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2018; 284: 643–663.
49. Olsson B, Lautner R, Andreasson U, et al. CSF and blood biomarkers for the
diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*
Neurol 2016; 15: 673–684.
50. Cipollini V, Troili F, Giubilei F. Emerging Biomarkers in Vascular Cognitive
Impairment and Dementia: From Pathophysiological Pathways to Clinical
Application. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019; 20: 2812.
51. Fakhoury M. Role of immunity and inflammation in the pathophysiology of
neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurodegener Dis* 2015; 15: 63–69.
52. Fernando R, Grisolia ABD, Lu Y, et al. Slit2 Modulates the Inflammatory
Phenotype of Orbit-Infiltrating Fibrocytes in Graves' Disease. *The Journal of*
Immunology 2018; 200: 3942–3949.

53. Li Q, Huang L, Ding Y, et al. Recombinant Slit2 suppresses neuroinflammation
and Cdc42-mediated brain infiltration of peripheral immune cells via Robo1-
srGAP1 pathway in a rat model of germinal matrix hemorrhage. *J*
Neuroinflammation 2023; 20: 249.
54. Li JC, Han L, Wen YX, et al. Increased permeability of the blood-brain barrier and
Alzheimer's disease-like alterations in slit-2 transgenic Mice. *Journal of*
Alzheimer's Disease 2015; 43: 535–548.
55. Li G, He X, Li H, et al. Overexpression of Slit2 improves function of the
paravascular pathway in the aging mouse brain. *Int J Mol Med* 2018; 42: 1935–
1944.
56. Kaneko N, Herranz-Pérez V, Otsuka T, et al. New neurons use Slit-Robo
signaling to migrate through the glial meshwork and approach a lesion for
functional regeneration. *Sci Adv* 2018; 4: eaav0618.
57. Zhang J, Chen C, Hua S, et al. An updated meta-analysis of cohort studies:
Diabetes and risk of Alzheimer's disease. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2017; 124: 41–
47.
58. Kang YE, Choung S, Lee JH, et al. The role of circulating slit2, the one of the
newly batokines, in human diabetes mellitus. *Endocrinology and Metabolism*
2017; 32: 383–388.
59. Wang Y, Zhao S, Peng W, et al. The Role of Slit-2 in Gestational Diabetes
Mellitus and Its Effect on Pregnancy Outcome. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2022;
13: 889505.
60. Jash K, Gondaliya P, Kirave P, et al. Cognitive dysfunction: A growing link
between diabetes and Alzheimer's disease. *Drug Dev Res* 2020; 81: 144–164.
61. Li R, Li R, Xie J, et al. Associations of socioeconomic status and healthy lifestyle
with incident early-onset and late-onset dementia: a prospective cohort study.
Lancet Healthy Longev 2023; 4: e693–e702.
62. Bosi M, Malavolti M, Garuti C, et al. Environmental and lifestyle risk factors for
early-onset dementia: a systematic review. *Acta Biomed* 2022; 93: e2022336.
63. Ouyang F, Yuan P, Ju Y, et al. Alzheimer's disease as a causal risk factor for
diabetic retinopathy: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front Endocrinol*
(Lausanne) 2024; 15: 1340608.
592
593

594 **TABLES**

595 **Table 1: Participant Demographics and Medical History**

Characteristic	Sample Breakdown (n=70)
Sex	
Male	41 (59%)
Female	29 (41%)
Age (years, mean ± SD)	55.79 ± 12.03
Race	
White	13 (19%)
Asian	3 (4%)
Black or African American	23 (33%)
Hispanic	30 (43%)
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1 (1%)
Language	
English	44 (63%)
Spanish	23 (36%)
Medical History	
Non-Diabetic	19 (27%)
Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus	8 (11%)
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	43 (61%)
No Diabetic Retinopathy	28 (40%)
Diabetic Retinopathy	42 (60%)
Stage 1	1 (1%)
Stage 2	1 (1%)
Stage 3	1 (1%)
Stage 4	31 (44%)
Unknown Stage	8 (11%)
Glaucoma	19 (27%)
Neurocognitive Tests	

MoCA (n=61, mean ± SD)	21.21 ± 5.15
MoCA score <26	46 (75%)
MoCA score ≥26	15 (25%)
Immediate Recall Verbatim (Z-Score, n=53, mean ± SD)	-0.76 ± 1.19
Biomarkers (pg/mL)	
SLIT Plasma (n=60, mean ± SD)	123.5 ± 48.38
SLIT Vitreous (n=69, mean ± SD)	577.6 ± 350.2

Table 2: Predictor Effects on the Association of SLIT2 Vitreous and MoCA Score.
The single predictor model applied each variable separately to determine its individual
impact on the association of SLIT2 Vitreous and MoCA Score. In the multiple predictor
model, all demographic variables (age, sex, and race) were additionally combined with
medical variables. APOE genotype and risk category were not included in the multiple
predictor or complete models because the single predictor model did not find a
significant association. The complete model did meet statistical significance (p=0.0141)
with a large effect size (f^2=0.391) and sufficient power (92.5%, f^2=0.2, α=0.05).

Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	Confidence Interval (95%)	P
Single Predictor				
Age	0.003743	0.00179	0.000147 to 0.007338	0.0416
Sex	0.004392	0.00180	0.0007784 to 0.008006	0.0181
Race	0.004022	0.00189	0.0002259 to 0.007818	0.0382
Diabetic Status	0.004088	0.00184	0.0004033 to 0.007773	0.0303
Diabetic Retinopathy Status	0.003779	0.00181	0.0001360 to 0.007422	0.0423
Glaucoma	0.004361	0.00186	0.0006289 to 0.008094	0.0228
APOE Genotype	0.003007	0.00184	-0.0007016 to 0.006715	0.1096
APOE Risk Category	0.003172	0.00181	-0.0004808 to 0.006825	0.0872
Multiple Predictor				
Demographics	0.004345	0.00184	0.0006379 to 0.008051	0.0225
Demographics + Diabetic Status	0.004735	0.00190	0.0009117 to 0.008559	0.0162
Demographics + Diabetic Retinopathy Status	0.004299	0.00188	0.0005113 to 0.008087	0.0269
Demographics + Glaucoma	0.005080	0.00193	0.001211 to 0.008948	0.0111
Complete Model	0.004991	0.00196	0.0001052 to 0.008930	0.0141

Diabetic Status: Non-Diabetic (reference group), Type 1 Diabetes, Type 2 Diabetes
Diabetic Retinopathy Status: No Diabetic Retinopathy (reference group), Proliferative
Diabetic Retinopathy
Glaucoma: No glaucoma (reference group), Glaucoma
APOE Genotype: 3/3 (reference group), 2/2, 2/4, 3/4, 4/4
APOE Risk Category (see Supplementary Table 1): 2/3 and 3/3 (reference group), 2/2,
2/4 and 3/4, 4/4
612
613

614
615

Table 3: Predictor Effects on the Association of SLIT2 Plasma and MoCA Score.
The single predictor model applied each variable separately to determine its individual
impact on the association of SLIT2 plasma and MoCA Score. In the multiple predictor
model, all demographic variables (age, sex, and race) were additionally combined with
medical variables. For this analysis, APOE risk category was included in the complete
model because the single predictor model was significantly associated with plasma
SLIT2. The complete model did not meet statistical significance (p=0.0522) but had a
large effect size (f^2=0.5026) and sufficient power (87.7%, f^2=0.2, α=0.05).

Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	Confidence Interval (95%)	P
Single Predictor				
Age	-0.03469	0.01488	-0.06454 to -0.004826	0.0237
Sex	-0.03584	0.01504	-0.06602 to -0.005657	0.0209
Race	-0.03208	0.01562	-0.06346 to -0.0007018	0.0453
Diabetic Status	-0.03431	0.01551	-0.06543 to -0.003179	0.0314
Diabetic Retinopathy Status	-0.04446	0.01556	-0.07569 to -0.01323	0.0061
Glaucoma	-0.03749	0.01560	-0.06882 to -0.006153	0.0200
APOE Genotype	-0.03605	0.01559	-0.06741 to -0.004692	0.0252
APOE Risk Category	-0.03512	0.01555	-0.06639 to -0.003847	0.0285
Multiple Predictor				
Demographics	-0.03096	0.01538	-0.06190 to -0.0000256	0.0498
Demographics + Diabetic Status	-0.03012	0.01612	-0.06259 to 0.002351	0.0682
Demographics + Diabetic Retinopathy Status	-0.03876	0.01605	-0.97198 to -0.006437	0.0198
Demographics + Glaucoma	-0.03266	0.01663	-0.06622 to 0.0009003	0.0562
Demographics + APOE Genotype	-0.03402	0.01619	-0.06672 to -0.001326	0.0418
Demographics + APOE Risk Category	-0.03375	0.01603	-0.06610 to -0.001401	0.0413
Complete Model	-0.03620	0.01803	-0.07276 to 0.0003654	0.0522

624
Diabetic Status: Non-Diabetic (reference group), Type 1 Diabetes, Type 2 Diabetes
Diabetic Retinopathy Status: No Diabetic Retinopathy (reference group), Proliferative
Diabetic Retinopathy
Glaucoma: No glaucoma (reference group), Glaucoma

APOE Genotype: 3/3 (reference group), 2/2, 2/4, 3/4, 4/4

APOE Risk Category (see Supplementary Table 1): 2/3 and 3/3 (reference group), 2/2,

2/4 and 3/4, 4/4

Table 4: Predictor Effect on the Association of SLIT2 Vitreous and IRV z-score.

The single predictor model applied each variable separately to determine its individual
impact on the association of SLIT2 vitreous and IRV z-score. In the multiple predictor
model, all demographic variables (age, sex, and race) were additionally combined with
medical variables. The complete model did not meet statistical significance (p=1328) but
had a large effect size (f^2=0.568) and sufficient power (84.0%, f^2=0.2, α=0.05).

Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	Confidence Interval (95%)	P
Single Predictor				
Age	0.00115	0.0004934	0.001588 to 0.002141	0.0239
Sex	0.001276	0.0005092	0.0002535 to 0.002299	0.0155
Race	0.001268	0.0005337	0.0001942 to 0.002342	0.0217
Diabetic Status	0.001249	0.0005158	0.002129 to 0.002286	0.0192
Diabetic Retinopathy Status	0.001155	0.0005102	0.0001306 to 0.002180	0.0279
Glaucoma	0.001107	0.0005086	0.00008515 to 0.002129	0.0343
APOE Genotype	0.001083	0.0005381	-0.000004023 to 0.002169	0.0508
APOE Risk Category	0.001078	0.0005268	0.00001528 to 0.002141	0.0469
Multiple Predictor				
Demographics	0.001332	0.0005220	0.0002807 to 0.002383	0.0142
Demographics + Diabetic Status	0.001345	0.0005353	0.0002755 to 0.002415	0.0149
Demographics + Diabetic Retinopathy Status	0.001300	0.0005266	0.0002387 to 0.002361	0.0175
Demographics + Glaucoma	0.001178	0.0005364	0.00009582 to 0.002259	0.0336
Demographics + APOE Genotype	0.001000	0.0006345	-0.0002880 to 0.002288	0.1240
Demographics + APOE Risk Category	0.001053	0.0005828	-0.0001287 to 0.002235	0.0791
Complete Model	0.0009285	0.0006025	-0.0002972 to 0.002154	0.1328

Diabetic Status: Non-Diabetic (reference group), Type 1 Diabetes, Type 2 Diabetes
Diabetic Retinopathy Status: No Diabetic Retinopathy (reference group), Proliferative
Diabetic Retinopathy
Glaucoma: No glaucoma (reference group), Glaucoma
APOE Genotype: 3/3 (reference group), 2/2, 2/4, 3/4, 4/4
APOE Risk Category (see Supplementary Table 1): 2/3 and 3/3 (reference group), 2/2,
2/4 and 3/4, 4/4

646
FIGURES

Figure 1

Figure 1. Enrolled Participant Flow Diagram. A total of 79 patients were enrolled in

the study. Missing samples were due to challenges with specimen collection, such as

poor intravenous access, participant refusal of blood draws, hemolyzed blood.

Additionally, there was inadequate sample volume for SLIT assay analysis for some

collected samples. Lastly, neurocognitive testing was not completed or unable to be

obtained for all participants.

Figure 2

Figure 2. The relationship of SLIT2 in the vitreous with neurocognitive tests.

Linear regression analysis demonstrated a significant relationship between SLIT2

vitreous protein level and MoCA score (a, r^2=0.076, p=0.0332) and Immediate Recall

Verbatim Z-Score (b, r^2=0.098, p=0.0224).

Figure 3

Figure 3. The relationship of SLIT2 plasma levels with neurocognitive tests. Linear

regression analysis demonstrated a significant relationship between SLIT2 plasma

protein levels and MoCA scores (a, r^2=0.097, p=0.0206). There was no relationship

between SLIT2 plasma protein levels and Immediate Recall Verbatim Z-scores (b,

r^2=0.01830, p=0.3593).

Figure 4

Figure 4. The relationship between SLIT2 and Montreal Cognitive Assessment

(MoCA) Scores <26, the cut off value for mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Linear

regression analysis demonstrated the relationship between SLIT2 vitreous protein level

and MoCA scores <26 was significant (a, r^2=0.099, p=0.0353). There was also a

significant relationship between SLIT2 plasma protein level and MoCA scores <26 (b,

r^2=0.163, p=0.007).

Supplemental Materials

Supplemental Table 1: APOE Allele Risk Categories
685

		Plasma SLIT2			Vitreous SLIT2		
Risk Category	APOE Genotype	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD
1	2/2	2	83.87	15.2	2	538.6	454.5
2	2/3 3/3	3	135.7	57.85	3	722.3	242.4
3	2/4 3/4	38	121.4	38.62	40	611.7	424.3
4	4/4	13	129.9	58.76	13	486.9	182.0

Supplemental Figure 1

Supplemental Figure 1. Distribution of SLIT2 protein levels and neurocognitive

testing. Distribution of study predictor, SLIT2 plasma (a) and vitreous (b) level, and

outcomes, Montreal Cognitive Assessment score (MoCA, c-d) and Immediate Recall

Verbatim z-score (IRV, e-f) with overlying normalized curve.

Supplemental Figure 2

Supplemental Figure 2. The relationship between SLIT2 and Montreal Cognitive

Assessment (MoCA) Scores ≥26, the cut off value for mild cognitive impairment

(MCI). Linear regression analysis demonstrated a moderately decreased relationship

between SLIT2 protein in the plasma and MoCA scores >26 (a, r^2=0.03803, p=0.5231)

and a moderately increased relationship between SLIT2 protein in the vitreous and

MoCA scores >26 (b, r^2=0.08943, p=0.2789).