1   Title: Short chain fatty acids regulate the chromatin landscape and distinct gene
2   expression changes in human colorectal cancer cells
3

4   Authors: Tohfa Kabir[1*], Charlotte A. Connamacher[1*], Zara Nadeem[2,3], Matthew R. Paul[4],
5   Matthew R. Smutny[1], Zoe K. Lawler[1], Annaelle M. Djomo[2], Thomas S. Carroll[4], Leah A.
6   Gates[1,2]
7
[1]Department of Biochemistry, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH
[2]Laboratory of Chromatin Biology & Epigenetics, The Rockefeller University, NY
[3]HunterCollege of the City University of New York, Yalow Honors Scholar Program, New
York, NY, USA
[4]Bioinformatics Resource Center, The Rockefeller University, NY
*These authors contributed equally
14
15 Corresponding author: leah.gates@case.edu

17 Keywords: chromatin, epigenetics, short chain fatty acids, butyrate, gene regulation

18
19
Abstract

Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are small metabolites that are produced through the
activity of microbes and have important roles in human physiology. These metabolites
have varied mechanisms in interacting with the host, of which one such mode is
decorating the chromatin landscape. We previously detected specific histone
modifications in the mouse gut that can be derived from SCFAs and are regulated by
the microbiota. However, the roles of these SCFAs on chromatin and how they impact
gene regulation in human cells is largely unknown. Now, our studies demonstrate these
microbiota-dependent histone posttranslational modifications (PTMs) are associated
with alterations in gene regulation in human cells. We show that histone butyrylation on
H3K27 is detected in human colon samples. Furthermore, histone acetylation,
butyrylation, and propionylation on H3K9 and H3K27 are responsive to levels of SCFAs
in human colon cancer cell lines and are associated with active gene regulatory
elements. In addition, treatment of human cancer cell lines with individual metabolites or
combinations of SCFAs replicating the intestinal lumen environment result in distinct
and overlapping gene program changes, with butyrate largely driving gene regulatory
effects of SCFA combinations. Lastly, we define butyrate effects on gene expression
that are independent of HDAC inhibition and are dependent on p300/CBP, suggesting
potential gene programs regulated by histone butyrylation. Together, these results
demonstrate that SCFAs are key regulators of the chromatin landscape and gene
programs in human colorectal cancer cells.

41
Introduction

In the gut, commensal microbes ferment fiber resulting in the accumulation of
high concentrations of the metabolites acetate, butyrate, and propionate in the intestinal
lumen[1,2]. These SCFAs act on the host epithelium through several different mechanisms
or "fates": for example, they can bind to cell surface or nuclear receptors to induce
signaling cascades and specific gene programs, and they can feed into host cell energy
pathways. Furthermore, SCFAs can impact the chromatin landscape both directly
through serving as donor molecules for histone posttranslational modifications and
indirectly by inhibiting select chromatin-modifying enzymes, such as histone
deacetylases (HDACs)[3–11]. SCFAs regulate multiple physiological systems including
neuronal health, intestinal inflammation, tumor development and metabolic processes
such as insulin sensitivity and intestinal gluconeogenesis[3]. It is likely that a combination
of molecular mechanisms occurs simultaneously *in vivo* for SCFA action on host
physiology. We aimed to investigate the role of SCFA addition to chromatin in gene
regulation.
The chromatin landscape is a key regulator of gene transcription, chromatin
accessibility, and genomic organization[12,13]. Posttranslational modifications on histone
proteins, or histone 'marks', allow for the dynamic regulation of chromatin and
demarcate genomic regions. Histone marks are composed of diverse chemical
moieties, of which many 'newer' histone marks have recently been discovered[14]. Since
the source of many of these histone marks are small metabolites, metabolite availability
is often linked to the global levels of different histone marks[15–18]. Enzymes that deposit
histone marks, such as histone acyltransferases (HATs), utilize small metabolites as
donor molecules[19]. After coenzyme-A addition, the SCFAs acetate, butyrate, and
propionate can be utilized to deposit histone acetylation, butyrylation, and
propionylation, respectively[11,20,21]. These SCFA-derived histone marks are part of a
class of modifications called histone acyl marks, which are often associated with gene
activation and expression[17].
We recently discovered that in addition to histone acetylation, histone
butyrylation and propionylation are localized in the mouse intestinal epithelium and are
regulated by the microbiome and metabolite availability[11]. In addition, murine histone
butyrylation is associated with high levels of gene expression[11]. Histone propionylation
on histone H3 lysine 14 is associated with gene activation in the mouse liver and
butyrylation on histone H4 lysine 5 and 8 activates transcription[21,22]. Furthermore,
different site-specific marks of histone butyrylation and propionylation were discovered
to have distinct roles in human colon cell lines and mouse intestinal cells, which are
correlated with open regions of chromatin and gene expression[23]. Here, we aimed to
define how histone butyrylation and propionylation sites found in the mouse intestine act
in human cells in response to SCFA signaling. In addition, we focus the "fate" of butyrate
as histone butyrylation using a targeted approach in human colorectal cancer cells.
82
Results
To investigate the role of different histone acyl marks in human colon cells, we first
wanted to establish whether these modifications are detectable in human tissue. We

therefore stained human intestinal sections for one representative non-acetyl histone
acyl marks that we previously detected in the mouse gut: histone H3 butyrylation on
lysine 27 (H3K27bu). This histone mark was readily detected by immunofluorescence
staining in colon sections from two different patients, while we detected minimal staining
in the neighboring ileum (**Figure 1a**). This data suggests that histone butyrylation is
present in the human colon.
92
We then aimed to investigate how the exogenous treatment of SCFAs regulate different
histone marks by treating human colon cancer cell lines, HCT-116 and Caco-2, with
different types of SCFAs. We reasoned that the addition of SCFAs may result in the
deposition of SCFA-derived histone marks onto chromatin. We decided to focus on the
same histone marks that we had previously identified *in vivo* in mouse intestinal
epithelial cells: acetylation, butyrylation, and propionylation on histone H3 lysine 9 and
27[11]. Treatment with butyrate, propionate, or a mixture of all three SCFAs resulted in a
global increase in histone butyrylation or propionylation **(Figure 1b-d, Supplemental**
Figure 1-2). While these modifications display similar patterns, our previous work
demonstrates that these antibodies are specific to their respective histone marks[11]. In
addition, histone acetylation was increased following butyrate and propionate
treatments but was not affected by acetate treatment. This was expected, since typically
increases in histone acetylation following exogenous acetate treatment is only observed
when cells are grown in low glucose[24]. Together, these data suggest that global levels of
histone acyl marks on H3K9 and H3K27 are increased following butyrate or propionate
treatments in human colon cancer cell lines.
109
We then mapped the genomic localization of the different histone acyl marks. Chromatin
immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) revealed that all histone acyl
marks display similar patterns of localization (**Figure 2a-b**). H3K9bu had a weaker
occupancy signal, but still followed the same basic pattern observed for the other
marks. Based on the tracks and similar localization to other active histone marks like
H3K4me3, it seemed that all of the histone acyl marks interrogated overlapped with
active genomic regions or open chromatin. We further analyzed the localization of
sequencing reads, which revealed increased proportions of acyl mark ChIP-seq reads in
gene promoter regions, 5' untranslated regions, and coding sequences (**Figure 2c**).
These observations suggest that the histone acyl marks all have similar localization
patterns that overlap with active chromatin and gene regulatory elements, which is
consistent with other reports[21–23].
122
Next, we interrogated how exogenous SCFA treatment regulates gene expression. We
first treated HCT-116 cells with either single SCFAs or a combination of all three SCFAs
where all treated cells received equimolar treatments. We chose a six-hour time point to
better capture potential direct gene expression changes. We observed that treatment
with sodium acetate or sodium propionate resulted in distinct gene expression changes,
while treatment with sodium butyrate mimicked the treatment with a combination of all

three SCFAs **(Figure 3a-b)**. Since the different combination treatments greatly
overlapped, we focused on the 5 mM combination treatment moving forward, since this
treatment had equimolar total concentrations of SCFAs compared to the individual
treatments. Analyzing the overlap of differential genes changing with individual SCFAs
and the 5 mM SCFA mixture revealed largely overlapping gene changes, with butyrate
treatment alone almost completely overlapping with the combination of SCFAs (**Figure**
3c-e). This suggests that the effects of the combination SCFA treatment on gene
expression may primarily be due to the action of butyrate.
137
We also performed RNA-seq analysis with the individual or combination SCFAs in the
context of HPLM media (**Supplemental Figure 3**). Here, we analyzed differential gene
expression after 24 hours of treatment. At this time point, differentially expressed genes
following propionate and butyrate treatments were similarly clustered with genes
following both the equimolar and physiological combination of SCFAs (**Supplemental**
Figure 3a-c). However, despite the time difference and change in media composition,
we generally observed many similar genes changing in both experiments following
butyrate treatment (**Supplemental Figure 3d**). Again, butyrate treatment alone almost
completely overlapped with SCFA combination treatments, either at the 1:1:1 or 3:1:1
ratios of acetate:butyrate:propionate (**Supplemental Figure 3e**).
148
Since butyrate largely drives gene expression changes with an SCFA mixture, we
decided to focus on butyrate for the remainder of our studies. We next aimed to further
analyze how butyrate impacts gene expression across different cell lines and species
(Supplemental Figure 4). We first compared the effect of butyrate on gene expression
across different cell lines: HCT-116 and Caco-2. Butyrate treatment of these two cell
lines resulted in both distinct and overlapping differential genes **(Supplemental Figure**
4a). We also compared butyrate treatment in these cell lines to an *in vivo* setting:
tributyrin gavage in mice, in which we observed dynamic regulation of histone
butyrylation in intestinal epithelial cells **(Supplemental Figure 4b)**[11]. We identified 560
genes that overlapped between all three model systems **(Supplemental Figure 4c)**.
These overlapping genes were enriched in cell signaling responses and lipid catabolism
(Supplemental Figure 4d). This suggests that butyrate treatment has some common
effects on gene expression across cell lines and species.
162
Since butyrate can have different fates in the intestinal epithelium, we next wanted to
define potential chromatin effects with a focus on histone butyrylation. We therefore set
up a series of different treatments **(Figure 4a)**. We first wanted to eliminate genes that
were changing simply as a result of HDAC inhibition, since butyrate can function as an
HDAC inhibitor[9]. We treated cells with another HDAC inhibitor, Entinostat, to identify
genes that were targets of HDAC inhibition (HDACi)[25]. We also aimed to target the
enzyme that deposits histone butyrylation, which was previously described to be
p300/CBP[14,26]. We therefore treated cells with A485, a selective p300/CBP inhibitor, with
or without butyrate[27]. Treatment with either inhibitor impacted cell number after 48 or 72

hours, yet had minimal effects at 24 hours **(Supplemental Figure 5)**. Importantly, both
inhibitors regulated histone butyrylation and acetylation at H3K27 **(Figure 4b-c).** Since
p300/CBP catalyzes H3K27bu as well as acetylation, we reasoned that differential
genes changing with A485 treatment that were separate from Entinostat-dependent
genes would potentially identify genes that were related to the function of butyrate on
chromatin, such as histone butyrylation.
178
We observed robust differential gene expression changes following our inhibitor
treatments **(Figure 4d)**. Expectedly, butyrate and Entinostat had many differential genes
that overlapped, which we attributed to their common HDAC inhibitory roles. In addition,
A485 treatment in the presence of butyrate impacted the expression of many genes, the
majority of which overlapped with Entinostat **(Figure 4d)**. Next, after removing
differential genes changing with Entinostat, we performed hierarchical clustering to
identify genes that were differentially regulated by A485 **(Figure 4e).** These genes we
identified as p300/CBP dependent, but independent of HDAC inhibition. We performed
GO analysis on all clusters, and two subsets (clusters 2 and 4) were significantly
enriched for distinct gene categories. We observed genes related to cellular respiration
and cell transport were decreased following A485 treatment, while genes related to cell
cycle and DNA repair were increased **(Figure 4f)**. This suggests that butyrate has
distinct effects on gene regulation that are dependent on p300/CBP activity, but
independent of its function as an HDAC inhibitor.
193
Discussion
Our study demonstrates that select histone acylations originally found in the mouse
intestinal epithelium are also dynamically regulated by metabolites in human colon
cancer cells **(Figure 1)**. Consistent with other studies, our work further demonstrates
that these histone acylation marks are associated with open chromatin regions **(Figure
2)**. In addition, we detect a representative histone acylation mark, H3K27bu, in human
colon samples. This suggests that similar to the *in vivo* setting of the mouse intestine,
human samples and cell culture models also harbor unique histone acyl marks that can
be regulated by metabolism and metabolite availability.
203
In the intestinal lumen, SCFAs are often detected as a mixture of acetate, butyrate, and
propionate. We aimed to compare the effects of single SCFA treatments vs. mixtures on
gene regulation. Surprisingly, our data suggests that in the presence of acetate,
butyrate, and propionate, differential genes changing with butyrate completely overlap
with the differential genes changing with a mixture of SCFAs **(Figure 3)**. This suggests
that butyrate drives effects on gene expression, especially at an earlier time point after
treatment. Furthermore, we observe a subset of butyrate-dependent genes that are
consistent across different growth conditions, as well as across cell lines and species.
212
While these associative studies demonstrate that butyrate regulates gene expression,
the action of SCFAs can occur through a variety of mechanisms. Here, we aimed to

focus on potential chromatin functions of these histone acylations and their impact on
gene regulation. The role of butyrate as an HDAC inhibitor has been extensively
studied, yet potential functions of histone butyrylation are less known. By using
inhibitors to target different fates of butyrate, we could elucidate HDAC inhibitor-
independent effects that are governed by the histone acyltransferases p300/CBP
(Figure 4). We reasoned that these genes are likely to be connected to histone
butyrylation. Importantly, we identified a select gene set that fits this profile as potential
histone butyrylation targets, and found genes enriched in chromatin remodeling,
transcription, and translation.
224
The fact that single treatments of butyrate or propionate increase levels of multiple
histone acyl marks including acetylation, butyrylation, and propionylation **(Figures 1C-**
D, Supplemental Figure 2A) suggests action of these metabolites beyond functioning
as donor molecules. These metabolites have additional regulatory effects on chromatin
through inhibiting histone deacetylase enzymes, which we speculate is a likely
mechanism for these increased acyl marks. Furthermore, this suggests that
endogenous levels of histone butyrylation and propionylation can be uncovered through
HDAC inhibition, which is supported both by the inhibitory action of these metabolites
and by the detection of these marks in untreated cells[9,14,26,28].
234
A class I HDAC inhibitor, Entinostat, also increases levels of histone butyrylation
analogous to acetylation **(Figure 4B)**. This observation suggests that histone
butyrylation may be regulated by the activity of class I HDACs. Interestingly, histone
butyrylation is thought to generally be erased by Sirtuins, or class III HDACs[29].
However, histone crotonylation, which is the same length as histone butyrylation but
with a double bond, can be regulated by both sirtuins and class I HDACs[30,31]. How
different classes of erasers function to remove histone butyrylation and potential side
chain differences in the dynamics of histone acylations are important open questions for
future investigation.
244
Methods
Cell Culture & Treatments
HCT-116 and Caco-2 cells were obtained from ATCC. HCT-116 cells were grown in
DMEM medium (Gibco 11965-092) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco
A5669701) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco 15140-122). Caco-2 cells were grown
in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (MEM medium (Gibco 10370-021) supplemented
with 2 mM GlutaMAX, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 20% FBS, and 1%
penicillin/streptomycin). When cells were grown in human plasma like media (HPLM,
Gibco A4899101), cells were washed in PBS and cultured in HPLM for at least three
days prior to experiments. All cell lines were regularly tested for Mycoplasma (ABM,
G238) and confirmed with STR testing (ATCC). Cells were grown in conditions of 37 °C
and 5% CO_2. For SCFA treatments, cells were treated with 5 mM of metabolites for 24
hours, unless specified differently in the figure legends. Metabolites were dissolved in

sterile water and used are as follows: sodium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich S1429), sodium
butyrate (Sigma-Aldrich 1.37127.0250), and sodium propionate (Sigma-Aldrich 18108).
260
Histone Extraction
Cells were harvested and lysed in hypotonic buffer. The supernatant was saved as cell
lysate, and the pellet was processed for acid extraction of histones[32]. Briefly, pellets
were vortexed in 0.4 N sulfuric acid and incubated overnight, then precipitated with
100% trichloroacetic acid. After precipitation, pellets were washed with acetone and
allowed to air dry. Histones were then resuspended in water and protein concentration
was assessed with BCA assay (Thermo 23225) and Coomassie staining.
268
Immunoblotting
Samples were run on 16% or 4-20% tris glycine SDS-PAGE gels (Invitrogen
XP00165BOX or XP04205BOX) and transferred to PVDF membranes. Blocking and all
antibody incubations were done in 5% milk, with TBST washes in between.
Immunoblots were imaged using Immobilon ECL (Millipore WBKLS0500) and an
ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad). Antibodies used are as follows: H3K27bu
(Millipore ABE2854, 1:2,000), H3K9bu (PTM-Biolabs PTM-305, 1:5,000), H3K27ac
(Active Motif 39133, 1:5,000), H3K9ac (Invitrogen MA5-33384, 1:5,000), and histone H3
(Abcam, ab1791, 1:100,000).
278
Immunofluorescence
Paraffin-embedded human benign intestinal tissue microarrays were received from
HistoWiz and stained as previously described[11]. Briefly, sections were rehydrated,
boiled in sodium citrate buffer, pH 6.0 (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20), and
then stained with the following antibodies or stains: a-H3K27bu (Millipore ABE2854,
1:500), a-Villin-647 (SantaCruz sc58897-647 lot D2920, 1:200), Goat anti-Rabbit Alexa
Fluor 488 (Invitrogen A-11008, 1:1,000), DAPI (1:1,000). Imaging was performed on a
Zeiss Inverted LSM 780 laser scanning confocal microscope using a 40x objective.
287
GLO Assay
Approximately 1,000 HCT-116 cells in HPLM culture medium were seeded per well in
three 96-well plates to evaluate cell viability at three time points (24 h, 48 h, and 72 h).
The plates were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2. On the following day, the culture
medium was replaced with treatment medium containing: 5 mM of individual SCFAs; 1
µM of A485, a p300/CBP inhibitor (MedChemExpress, HY-107455), a combination of 1
µM A485 and 5 mM butyrate; or 1 µM of Entinostat (ES), a class I HDAC inhibitor
(MedChemExpress, HY-12163). Cells treated with DMSO and 5 mM NaCl served as
vehicle controls. Treatments were performed in triplicate for each condition. After 24
hours, cell viability for one 96-well plate was measured by adding an equal volume of
CellTiter-Glo® Reagent to the cell culture medium in each well. The contents were
mixed on an orbital shaker for 2 minutes to induce cell lysis, incubated at room
temperature for 10 minutes, and luminescence was recorded using the VICTOR Nivo™

multimode plate reader. For the remaining two plates, treatment medium was replaced
with regular culture media after 24 hours, and cell viability was assessed at 48- and 72-
hours post-treatment.
304
305 *RNA Isolation*
306 Total RNA was isolated from cells using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen 74104) or Quick-
307 RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo R1054) with on-column DNA digestion. RNA samples were
308 analyzed on the Bioanalyzer RNA 6000 Pico (Agilent) or TapeStation prior to library
309 preparation.
310
Chromatin Immunoprecipitation
Cells were fixed in PBS with 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes, followed by quenching
with 125 mM glycine for 5 minutes. Crosslinked cells were washed once with PBS and
then lysed following the NEXSON protocol[33]. Briefly, cells were resuspended in FL
Buffer (5 mM PIPES, pH 8.0, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40, protease inhibitor cocktail) and
then sonicated in the Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode) on low power at 15 seconds on 30
seconds off cycles to lyse cell membranes and release nuclei. Nuclei were pelleted,
washed in FL Buffer, and then resuspended in D3 Sonication Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl,
pH 8.0, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail) Sonication was performed
in the Covaris E220 (Covaris) and checked prior to proceeding with
immunoprecipitations (IPs). For IPs, 30 μg sonicated chromatin was diluted into ChIP
Dilution Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton-X,
protease inhibitor cocktail) and added to antibody-bound Protein A Dynabeads
(Invitrogen 10002D) and incubated overnight in the cold room, rotating. Antibodies used
were as follows: H3K4me3 (Active Motif 39159), H3K27bu (Millipore ABE2854),
H3K9bu (PTM Biolabs PTM-305), H3K27pr (Millipore, ABE2852), H3K9pr (Millipore,
ABE2852). The next day, beads were washed six times with RIPA buffer (50 mM
HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 100 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.7% Na-Deoxycholate, 1% NP-40)
followed by one wash with TE-NaCl Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM
EDTA). DNA was eluted from the beads using Elution Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0,
10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS). DNA was reverse crosslinked at 65 °C overnight, followed by
RNase and ProteinaseK digestion and column purification using the ChIP DNA Clean &
Concentrator kit (Zymo D5205). DNA was quantified using the Qubit 4 Fluorometer
(Thermo).
335
Library preparations & sequencing
RNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation
Module (NEB E7490L) and NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB
E7770L). ChIP libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for
Illumina (NEB E7645L). All kits were used according to the manufacturers instructions.
All libraries were analyzed for quality by TapeStation prior to sequencing. Single-end
sequencing was performed on the Illumina NextSeq 500 sequencer and paired-end

sequencing was performed at Admera Health, LLC. Paired-end data was processed as
single-end to be consistent across experiments.
345

Bioinformatics Analysis
Sequence and transcript coordinates for human hg19 UCSC genome and gene models
were retrieved from knownGene (v.3.4.0) Bioconductor libraries:
BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19 and TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19.knownGene. For
the analysis of RNA-seq data, transcript expressions were calculated using Salmon
quantification software[34] (v.0.8.2) and gene expression levels as TPMs and counts were
retrieved using Tximport[35] (v.1.8.0). Normalization and rlog transformation of raw read
counts in genes, principal-component analysis and differential gene expression analysis
were performed using DESeq2 (v.1.20.0). To visualize overlapping differential genes,
the UpSetR package (v.1.4.0) and eulerr package (7.0.2) were used[36]. Heatmaps were
made with pheatmap (v 1.0.12) based on subsets of genes derived from DESeq2
analysis (indicated in figure legends). For hierarchical clustering, significantly variable
genes were determined using a Likelihood Ratio Test (padj < 0.05). The z score of the
rlog of gene counts was used as the input for clustering. Hierarchical clustering was
performed using pheatmap. Gene set enrichment tests were conducted using
clusterProfiler[37] (v.3.18.1). GO analysis was performed using over representation
analysis and the Fisher exact test in DAVID[38,39]. For the analysis of ChIP-seq data,
reads were mapped using the Rsubread package's align function[40] (v.1.30.6). Genome
distribution was determined using the ChIPQC package (v.1.16.2)[41]. Heat maps were
generated with deeptools[42] (v.3.5). Normalized, fragment-extended signal bigWigs were
created using the rtracklayer package[43] (v.1.40.6) and then visualized and exported
from IGV.
368

Statistics
Details for statistical tests and replicates are described in the figure legends. All
measurements shown were taken from distinct biological samples unless otherwise
indicated. No data points were excluded from analysis. Data distribution was assumed
to be normal but this was not formally tested, unless otherwise noted. Prism 10 was
used to generate plots and perform statistical tests. Error bars represent the standard
error. Unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons,
or Likelihood Ratio Test were used to assess significance and is indicated in the figure
legends. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
378

379   *Data Availability*
380   The RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data have been deposited to the NCBI GEO under
381   accession number GSE298547. Any additional data that support the findings of this
382   study or materials are available from the corresponding author upon request.
383

384   *Code Availability*
385   No custom code was used for this study.

Acknowledgements

We thank all members of the former C. David Allis laboratory where this study originated for helpful discussions and support. This article is dedicated to the memory of C. David Allis, who died on January 8, 2023. We acknowledge the help and support of the Genomics, Bioimaging, and Bioinformatics Resource Centers at the Rockefeller University. Select schematics were generated using BioRender. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R00GM143550 to L.A.G.) and start-up funds from the Department of Biochemistry at Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine.

Author Contributions

L.A.G. conceptualized this project. L.A.G., T.K., and C.A.C. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. L.A.G., T.K., C.A.C., Z.K.L., M.R.S., A.M.D., Z.N., and M.R.P. conducted experiments, contributed to sample preparation, and provided conceptual advice. T.S.C. and L.A.G. participated in study supervision.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Histone acylations are detected in human colon cells and regulated by metabolites. (A) Histone butyrylation on H3 lysine 27 is detected in human colon samples. Representative immunofluorescence images of H3K27bu, Villin (marker of epithelium), and DAPI in human colon and ileum sections. The colon images are of sections from two different patients. Scale bar = 50 μm. (B) Schematic for cell culture treatments with SCFAs. Image was created with Biorender.com (C-D) Select SCFA treatment results in global increases of histone acyl marks. Representative immunoblots of n =3 independent biological replicates from (C) HCT-116 cells grown in HPLM and (D) Caco-2 cells grown in standard EMEM growth media. Cells were treated with a sodium matched control (NaCl), 5 mM of single SCFAs, an equimolar combination (1.67 mM) each of acetate, butyrate, and propionate (1:1:1 mix), or physiological combination (3:1:1 mix of 3 mM acetate, 1 mM butyrate, 1 mM propionate) for 24 hours. NaCl serves as a control for sodium addition and H3 serves as a loading control.

Figure 2: Histone propionylation and butyrylation are associated with open chromatin in human colon cancer cells. (A) Global localization of different histone acyl marks in HCT-116 cells. ChIP-seq was performed in HCT-116 cells grown in DMEM and treated with different SCFAs for 24 hours. Heatmaps of ChIP-seq data depicting localization of different histone acyl marks and a positive control, H3K4me3 at TSS +/- 2kb. Heatmaps were generated using deepTools. (B) Genomic distribution of ChIP-seq reads. Enrichment of reads within the listed annotated regions are displayed as a heatmap. The enrichment is normalized to the proportion of these elements in the genome. Data was generated with ChIPQC and representative ChIPs are shown. (C) Representative tracks depicting ChIP-seq data. Tracks represent normalized, fragment-

429 extended signal bigWigs. All tracks are individually autoscaled and figure was generated
430 using IGV.
431
Figure 3: SCFA treatments result in overlapping and distinct gene expression
changes. RNA-seq was performed on HCT-116 cells grown in DMEM and treated with
5 mM of single SCFAs or an equimolar combination (1.67 mM) each of acetate,
butyrate, and propionate for 6 hours. (A) Principle Component Analysis depicting the
variance across all groups. (B) Heatmap of differential gene expression. Differential
genes were identified using DESeq2 Wald test and statistically significant genes were
defined using a padj < 0.05 cutoff. Displayed are all significant genes after a pairwise
comparison between vehicle treatment and the combination SCFA treatment. Genes
and samples are hierarchically clustered and values shown are z-scores of regularized
log of counts across genes. (C) UpSet plot showing the overlap between significant
differential gene expression of different treatment groups compared to vehicle (D-E)
Euler diagrams showing the overlap between significant differential gene expression of
different treatment groups compared to vehicle.
445
Figure 4: Butyrate treatment has effects independent of HDAC inhibition that rely
on p300/CBP activity. (A) Schematic of experimental set-up. Cells were treated with
either vehicle (DMSO), 5 mM butyrate, 1 μM Entinostat, 1 μM A485, or A485 with
butyrate for 24 hours in HPLM media. Image was created with Biorender.com. (B)
Levels of select histone marks were analyzed by immunoblotting with the different
treatments. H3 serves as a loading control and representative blot is shown. (C)
Quantitative analysis of signal intensity for the histone marks normalized to total histone
H3 levels. Immunoblot signal intensities were quantified using Image Lab 6.1, and the
bar graphs represent the mean and s.e.m. for three independent experiments (n = 3).
Statistical significance was evaluated using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test for
multiple comparisons. (D) Heatmap of differential gene expression. Genes were subset
to those that are significantly variable across the dataset using Likelihood Ratio Test
(padj < 0.05) in DESeq2. Z-scores of regularized log counts across genes are shown.
Genes and samples are hierarchically clustered. (E) Heatmap and hierarchical
clustering of differential gene expression after removing Entinostat-dependent genes.
Entinostat-dependent genes were identified using DESeq2 Wald test and defined using
a padj < 0.05 cutoff in DMSO vs HDACi. Genes were subset to those that are
significantly variable across the dataset using Likelihood Ratio Test (padj < 0.05) in
DESeq2. Z-scores of regularized log of counts across genes are shown. Genes and
samples are hierarchically clustered, and tree was cut into 5 separate clusters. (F) Gene
Ontology analysis of p300/CBP dependent genes that are independent of HDAC
inhibition. GO term enrichment of genes in clusters 2 and 4 from Figure 4e are shown
using over representation analysis with Fisher exact test from clusterProfiler.
469
Supplemental Figure 1: Quantification of immunoblotting data related to Figure 1.
Histones were extracted from (A) HCT116 cells cultured in HPLM medium or (B) Caco-2

cells and treated for 24 hours with 5 mM of the following treatments: NaCl, acetate,
butyrate, propionate, 1:1:1 Mix (equimolar SCFA mixture, 1.67 mM each), and 3:1:1 Mic
(physiological SCFA ratios: 3 mM acetate, 1 mM butyrate, and 1 mM propionate).
Quantitative analysis of signal intensity for the histone marks normalized to total histone
H3 levels. Immunoblot signal intensities were quantified using Image Lab 6.1, and the
bar graphs represent the mean and s.e.m. for three independent experiments (n = 3).
Statistical significance was evaluated using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test for
multiple comparisons.
480
Supplemental Figure 2: Immunoblotting data in HCT-116 cells grown in DMEM.
HCT116 cells cultured in DMEM medium were treated for 24 hours with 5 mM of the
following treatments: NaCl, acetate, butyrate, propionate, 1:1:1 Mix (equimolar SCFA
mixture, 1.67 mM each), and 3:1:1 Mix (physiological SCFA ratios: 3 mM acetate, 1 mM
butyrate, and 1 mM propionate). (A) Representative immunoblot of n =3 independent
biological replicates. NaCl serves as a control for sodium addition and H3 serves as a
loading control. (B) Quantitative analysis of signal intensity for the histone marks
normalized to total histone H3 levels. Immunoblot signal intensities were quantified
using Image Lab 6.1, and the bar graphs represent the mean and s.e.m. for three
independent experiments (n = 3). Statistical significance was evaluated using one-way
ANOVA with Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons.
492
Supplemental Figure 3: SCFA treatment in HPLM generally recapitulates gene
expression changes observed in DMEM. RNA-seq was performed on cells treated
with a sodium matched control (NaCl), 5 mM of single SCFAs, an equimolar
combination (1.67 mM) each of acetate, butyrate, and propionate (1:1:1 mix), or
physiological combination (3:1:1 mix of 3 mM acetate, 1 mM butyrate, 1 mM propionate)
for 24 hours. (A) Principal component analysis of all samples. (B) Heatmap of
differential gene expression. Differential genes were identified using DESeq2 Wald test
and statistically significant genes were defined using a padj < 0.05 cutoff. Displayed are
all significant genes changing in the 3:1:1 SCFA mixture versus untreated samples.
Genes and samples are hierarchically clustered and values shown are z-scores of
regularized log counts across genes. (C) UpSet plot showing the overlap between
significant differential gene expression of different treatment groups compared to vehicle
(D-E) Euler diagrams showing the overlap of significant differential genes compared to
untreated cells (D) following butyrate treatments across experiments and (E) following
butyrate or SCFA mixes in HPLM.
508
Supplemental Figure 4: Comparison of gene expression changes from butyrate
treatments in different human cell lines and mouse intestinal epithelial cells. (A)
Heatmap showing differential gene expression in different human cell lines, HCT-116
and Caco-2, in response to butyrate treatment. Differential genes were identified using
the DESeq2 package and defined using a padj < 0.05 and log2fc > 2 or < -2 as cut offs.
Genes and samples are hierarchically clustered and values shown are z-scores of

regularized log counts across genes. (B) Schematic showing different treatments in mice and human cell lines, generated in Biorender.com. (C). Euler diagram showing overlap between human cell lines and mouse IECs. Mouse IEC genes are defined as all significant differentially expressed genes from tributyrin treatment with ampicillin compared to ampicillin treatment and mock gavage from GSE216319. Human cell lines genes are defined as significantly changed genes 2< fold change >2. (D) Gene ontology categories for the 560 common genes from mouse IECs and both cell lines treated with butyrate. GO analysis was performed using over representation analysis and the Fisher exact test in DAVID. The top 10 Biological Process categories are shown.

Supplemental Figure 5: Cell viability following treatments with SCFAs, a p300/CBP inhibitor, and an HDAC inhibitor. HCT-116 cells in HPLM culture medium were treated with media containing: 5 mM of SCFAs; 1 μM of A485 (a p300/CBP inhibitor); a combination of 1 μM A485 and 5 mM butyrate; or 1 μM of Entinostat (ES), a class I HDAC inhibitor. Cells treated with DMSO served as vehicle controls. Treatments were performed in triplicate for each condition. Cell viability was measured by CellTiter-Glo®. Results are presented as the mean and s.e.m. (n = 3). Statistical analysis was performed using two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons.

References

1. Cummings, J. H., Pomare, E. W., Branch, W. J., Naylor, C. P. & Macfarlane, G. T. Short chain fatty acids in human large intestine, portal, hepatic and venous blood. *Gut* **28,** 1221–7 (1987).

2. Besten, G. den, Eunen, K. van, Groen, A. K., Venema, K., Reijngoud, D.-J. & Bakker, B. M. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. *J Lipid Res* **54,** 2325–40 (2013).

3. Koh, A., De Vadder, F., Kovatcheva-Datchary, P. & Bäckhed, F. From Dietary Fiber to Host Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. *Cell* **165,** 1332–1345 (2016).

4. Fleming, S. E., Fitch, M. D., DeVries, S., Liu, M. L. & Kight, C. Nutrient utilization by cells isolated from rat jejunum, cecum and colon. *J Nutr* **121,** 869–78 (1991).

5. Roediger, W. E. Utilization of nutrients by isolated epithelial cells of the rat colon. *Gastroenterology* **83,** 424–9 (1982).

6. Brown, A. J., Goldsworthy, S. M., Barnes, A. A., Eilert, M. M., Tcheang, L., Daniels, D., Muir, A. I., Wigglesworth, M. J., Kinghorn, I., Fraser, N. J., Pike, N. B., Strum, J. C., Steplewski, K. M., Murdock, P. R., Holder, J. C., Marshall, F. H., Szekeres, P. G., Wilson, S., Ignar, D. M., Foord, S. M., Wise, A. & Dowell, S. J. The Orphan G protein-coupled receptors GPR41 and GPR43 are activated by propionate and other short chain carboxylic acids. *J Biol Chem* **278,** 11312–9 (2003).

7. Poul, E. L., Loison, C., Struyf, S., Springael, J.-Y., Lannoy, V., Decobecq, M.-E.,
Brezillon, S., Dupriez, V., Vassart, G., Damme, J. V., Parmentier, M. & Detheux, M.
Functional characterization of human receptors for short chain fatty acids and their role
in polymorphonuclear cell activation. *J Biol Chem* **278,** 25481–9 (2003).

8. Donohoe, D. R., Collins, L. B., Wali, A., Bigler, R., Sun, W. & Bultman, S. J. The
Warburg effect dictates the mechanism of butyrate-mediated histone acetylation and
cell proliferation. *Mol Cell* **48,** 612–26 (2012).

9. Vidali, G., Boffa, L. C., Bradbury, E. M. & Allfrey, V. G. Butyrate suppression of
histone deacetylation leads to accumulation of multiacetylated forms of histones H3 and
H4 and increased DNase I sensitivity of the associated DNA sequences. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **75,** 2239–43 (1978).

10. Krautkramer, K. A., Kreznar, J. H., Romano, K. A., Vivas, E. I., Barrett-Wilt, G. A.,
Rabaglia, M. E., Keller, M. P., Attie, A. D., Rey, F. E. & Denu, J. M. Diet-Microbiota
Interactions Mediate Global Epigenetic Programming in Multiple Host Tissues. *Mol. Cell*
64, 982–992 (2016).

11. Gates, L. A., Reis, B. S., Lund, P. J., Paul, M. R., Leboeuf, M., Djomo, A. M.,
Nadeem, Z., Lopes, M., Vitorino, F. N., Unlu, G., Carroll, T. S., Birsoy, K., Garcia, B. A.,
Mucida, D. & Allis, C. D. Histone butyrylation in the mouse intestine is mediated by the
microbiota and associated with regulation of gene expression. *Nat. Metab.* **6,** 697–707
(2024).

12. Allis, C. D. & Jenuwein, T. The molecular hallmarks of epigenetic control. *Nature*
Genetics **17,** 487–500 (2016).

13. Gates, L. A., Foulds, C. E. & O'Malley, B. W. Histone Marks in the 'Driver's Seat':
Functional Roles in Steering the Transcription Cycle. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **42,** 977–989
(2017).

14. Tan, M., Luo, H., Lee, S., Jin, F., Yang, J. S., Montellier, E., Buchou, T., Cheng, Z.,
Rousseaux, S., Rajagopal, N., Lu, Z., Ye, Z., Zhu, Q., Wysocka, J., Ye, Y., Khochbin,
S., Ren, B. & Zhao, Y. Identification of 67 histone marks and histone lysine crotonylation
as a new type of histone modification. *Cell* **146,** 1016–28 (2011).

15. Wellen, K. E., Hatzivassiliou, G., Sachdeva, U. M., Bui, T. V., Cross, J. R. &
Thompson, C. B. ATP-citrate lyase links cellular metabolism to histone acetylation.
Science **324,** 1076–80 (2009).

16. Berger, S. L. & Sassone-Corsi, P. Metabolic Signaling to Chromatin. *Cold Spring*
Harb Perspect Biol **8,** (2016).

17. Sabari, B. R., Zhang, D., Allis, C. D. & Zhao, Y. Metabolic regulation of gene
expression through histone acylations. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **18,** 90–101 (2017).

18. Dai, Z., Ramesh, V. & Locasale, J. W. The evolving metabolic landscape of
chromatin biology and epigenetics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **21,** 737–753 (2020).

19. Simithy, J., Sidoli, S., Yuan, Z.-F., Coradin, M., Bhanu, N. V., Marchione, D. M.,
Klein, B. J., Bazilevsky, G. A., McCullough, C. E., Magin, R. S., Kutateladze, T. G.,
Snyder, N. W., Marmorstein, R. & Garcia, B. A. Characterization of histone acylations
links chromatin modifications with metabolism. *Nat. Commun.* **8,** 1141 (2017).

20. Lund, P. J., Gates, L. A., Leboeuf, M., Smith, S. A., Chau, L., Lopes, M., Friedman,
E. S., Saiman, Y., Kim, M. S., Shoffler, C. A., Petucci, C., Allis, C. D., Wu, G. D. &
Garcia, B. A. Stable isotope tracing in vivo reveals a metabolic bridge linking the
microbiota to host histone acetylation. *Cell Rep.* **41,** 111809 (2022).

21. Kebede, A. F., Nieborak, A., Shahidian, L. Z., Gras, S. L., Richter, F., Gómez, D. A.,
Baltissen, M. P., Meszaros, G., Magliarelli, H. de F., Taudt, A., Margueron, R., Colomé-
Tatché, M., Ricci, R., Daujat, S., Vermeulen, M., Mittler, G. & Schneider, R. Histone
propionylation is a mark of active chromatin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24,** 1048–1056 (2017).

22. Goudarzi, A., Zhang, D., Huang, H., Barral, S., Kwon, O. K., Qi, S., Tang, Z.,
Buchou, T., Vitte, A.-L., He, T., Cheng, Z., Montellier, E., Gaucher, J., Curtet, S.,
Debernardi, A., Charbonnier, G., Puthier, D., Petosa, C., Panne, D., Rousseaux, S.,
Roeder, R. G., Zhao, Y. & Khochbin, S. Dynamic Competing Histone H4 K5K8
Acetylation and Butyrylation Are Hallmarks of Highly Active Gene Promoters. *Mol Cell*
62, 169–180 (2016).

23. Nshanian, M., Gruber, J. J., Geller, B. S., Chleilat, F., Lancaster, S. M., White, S.
M., Alexandrova, L., Camarillo, J. M., Kelleher, N. L., Zhao, Y. & Snyder, M. P. Short-
chain fatty acid metabolites propionate and butyrate are unique epigenetic regulatory
elements linking diet, metabolism and gene expression. *Nat Metab* **7,** 196–211 (2025).

24. Moussaieff, A., Rouleau, M., Kitsberg, D., Cohen, M., Levy, G., Barasch, D.,
Nemirovski, A., Shen-Orr, S., Laevsky, I., Amit, M., Bomze, D., Elena-Herrmann, B.,
Scherf, T., Nissim-Rafinia, M., Kempa, S., Itskovitz-Eldor, J., Meshorer, E., Aberdam, D.
& Nahmias, Y. Glycolysis-mediated changes in acetyl-CoA and histone acetylation
control the early differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *Cell Metab* **21,** 392–402 (2015).

25. Saito, A., Yamashita, T., Mariko, Y., Nosaka, Y., Tsuchiya, K., Ando, T., Suzuki, T.,
Tsuruo, T. & Nakanishi, O. A synthetic inhibitor of histone deacetylase, MS-27-275, with
marked in vivo antitumor activity against human tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96,**
4592–7 (1999).

26. Chen, Y., Sprung, R., Tang, Y., Ball, H., Sangras, B., Kim, S. C., Falck, J. R., Peng,
J., Gu, W. & Zhao, Y. Lysine Propionylation and Butyrylation Are Novel Post-
translational Modifications in Histones. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **6,** 812–819 (2007).

27. Lasko, L. M., Jakob, C. G., Edalji, R. P., Qiu, W., Montgomery, D., Digiammarino, E.
L., Hansen, T. M., Risi, R. M., Frey, R., Manaves, V., Shaw, B., Algire, M., Hessler, P.,
Lam, L. T., Uziel, T., Faivre, E., Ferguson, D., Buchanan, F. G., Martin, R. L., Torrent,
M., Chiang, G. G., Karukurichi, K., Langston, J. W., Weinert, B. T., Choudhary, C.,
Vries, P. de, Drie, J. H. V., McElligott, D., Kesicki, E., Marmorstein, R., Sun, C., Cole, P.
A., Rosenberg, S. H., Michaelides, M. R., Lai, A. & Bromberg, K. D. Discovery of a
selective catalytic p300/CBP inhibitor that targets lineage-specific tumours. *Nature* **550,**
128–132 (2017).

28. Kim, M., Qie, Y., Park, J. & Kim, C. H. Gut Microbial Metabolites Fuel Host Antibody
Responses. *Cell Host & Microbe* **20,** 202–214 (2016).

29. Smith, B. C. & Denu, J. M. Acetyl-lysine Analog Peptides as Mechanistic Probes of
Protein Deacetylases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **282,** 37256–37265 (2007).

30. Wei, W., Liu, X., Chen, J., Gao, S., Lu, L., Zhang, H., Ding, G., Wang, Z., Chen, Z.,
Shi, T., Li, J., Yu, J. & Wong, J. Class I histone deacetylases are major histone
decrotonylases: evidence for critical and broad function of histone crotonylation in
transcription. *Cell Research* **27,** 898–915 (2017).

31. Kelly, R. D. W., Chandru, A., Watson, P. J., Song, Y., Blades, M., Robertson, N. S.,
Jamieson, A. G., Schwabe, J. W. R. & Cowley, S. M. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) 1
and 2 complexes regulate both histone acetylation and crotonylation in vivo. *Sci Rep* **8,**
14690 (2018).

32. Shechter, D., Dormann, H. L., Allis, C. D. & Hake, S. B. Extraction, purification and
analysis of histones. *Nat. Protoc.* **2,** 1445–1457 (2007).

33. Arrigoni, L., Richter, A. S., Betancourt, E., Bruder, K., Diehl, S., Manke, T. &
Bönisch, U. Standardizing chromatin research: a simple and universal method for ChIP-
seq. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44,** e67–e67 (2016).

34. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides
fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods* **14,** 417–
419 (2017).

35. Love, M. I., Hogenesch, J. B. & Irizarry, R. A. Modeling of RNA-seq fragment
sequence bias reduces systematic errors in transcript abundance estimation. *Nature*
Biotechnology **34,** 1287–1291 (2016).

36. Lex, A., Gehlenborg, N., Strobelt, H., Vuillemot, R. & Pfister, H. UpSet: Visualization
of Intersecting Sets. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* **20,**
1983–1992 (2014).

37. Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y. & He, Q.-Y. clusterProfiler: an R Package for
Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative*
Biology **16,** 284–287 (2012).

38. Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Bioinformatics enrichment tools:
paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **37,** 1–13 (2009).

39. Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis
of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* **4,** 44–57 (2009).

40. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper
and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids*
Research **47,** e47–e47 (2019).

41. Carroll, T. S., Liang, Z., Salama, R., Stark, R. & Santiago, I. de. Impact of artifact
removal on ChIP quality metrics in ChIP-seq and ChIP-exo data. *Frontiers in Genetics*
Volume 5-2014, (2014).

42. Ramírez, F., Ryan, D. P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A. S.,
Heyne, S., Dündar, F. & Manke, T. deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-
sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44,** W160–W165 (2016).

43. Lawrence, M., Gentleman, R. & Carey, V. rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing
with genome browsers. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 1841–1842 (2009).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Supplemental Figure 1

Supplemental Figure 2

Supplemental Figure 3

Supplemental Figure 4

Supplemental Figure 5