Evaluating selection at intermediate scales within genes provides robust

identification of genes under positive selection in *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates

Thomas R. Ioerger and Anthony Shatby

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Texas A&M University

ABSTRACT

Several recent studies have reported that multiple genes in the *M. tuberculosis* (Mtb) genome

are under positive selection, based on analysis of large collections of Mtb clinical isolates. It is

inferred that mutations in these genes are adaptions to selection pressures associated with

modern clinical treatment of TB. Many, but not all, of these genes under selection are related

to drug resistance. Although the calculations are based on a variety of evolutionary principles,

most of these studies have evaluated positive selection at the gene-level. However, positive

selection can be evaluated on different scales, including individual codons, and local regions

within an open reading frame (clusters of residues). In this paper, we use GenomegaMap to

evaluate selection of genes in the Mtb genome at all three levels, and we present evidence that

the intermediate level (so-called 'Window model') provides the most credible list of candidate

genes under selection. A further advantage of this approach is that it identifies specific regions

within an ORF that harbor the selection signal (clusters of SNPs with a local excess of non-

synonymous changes), which can be useful for structural and functional analysis. In an analysis

of 2,057 Mtb clinical isolates from Moldova, we observe 53 statistically significant genes under

selection, including expected drug-resistance genes, as well as *resR* and *phoR* (regulators of

antibiotic tolerance, and virulence/stress response, respectively), that have previously been

identified to be under selection in Mtb. To evaluate the generality of the results, the analysis

was then repeated on a larger, global set of 5,195 clinical isolates from 15 other countries.

While many of the same genes were under significant selection in both datasets, the global

dataset also revealed positive selection of multiple ESX-1 genes, along with *whiB6* and *phoR*,

which have been shown to regulate expression of ESX-1, a type VII secretion system that plays

an important role in modulating the host immune response. The selection signals for these ESX-

1 genes lend support to the potential selective advantage of genetic variants affecting ESX-1

function, as adaptations to modern treatment of TB.

INTRODUCTION

Recent studies examining large collections of genome sequences of *M. tuberculosis* (Mtb)

clinical isolates have reported the identification of genes that appear to be under positive

selection (Chiner-Oms et al., 2022; Deng et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2022). Traditionally, positive

selection is indicated by an excess of non-synonymous over synonymous mutations (in protein-

coding regions), although clustering of SNPs (e.g. in non-coding regions), homoplasy (Mortimer

et al., 2018), and other measures of convergent evolution (Holt et al., 2018) have also been

used in the literature. This is taken to imply on-going evolution and adaptation to modern

chemotherapy, including pressures due to antibiotic exposure, as well as adaptive mutations. In

fact, genes associated with drug resistance, are often found to have among the highest selection

signals (Farhat et al., 2019). For example, genes directly involved as drug targets or activators

(like *rpoB* or *katG*) exhibit selection, as well as genes with indirect effects, like efflux pumps (*tap,*

mmr, mmpL5) and regulators (*whiB6, resR, phoR*) that might confer tolerance through changes

in cell wall permeability, metabolism, etc. In addition, selection signals sometimes appear in

genes due to compensatory mechanisms, where mutations in one gene help reduce the fitness

costs of mutations in another (Comas et al., 2011).

Evaluating selection in ORFs (open reading frames) is usually done within a Bayesian framework,

where observed mutations are used to infer posterior probability distributions over mutation

rates that would best explain the observations. dN/dS is not just the ratio of raw counts of non-

synonymous (NS) to synonymous (S) mutations, but is corrected for a) relative number of

possibilities of NS and S changes for each codon (typically twice as many NS as S), and b) for

evolutionary time (Nei, 2005). Positive selection is defined as dN/dS>1. First, a null model is

postulated where mutations occur randomly throughout an ORF and accumulate (exponentially)

over time. Models such as NY98 (Nielsen & Yang, 1998) use a parameterized codon substitution

matrix for rates of substitution between each pair of aligned codons, i and j, that differentiates

transition vs transversion rates (using a latent variable κ), and synonymous vs non-synonymous

changes (using a latent variable omega, ω), relative to background codon frequencies π_j:

62
$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 \; if \; codons \; differ \; by > 1 \; nucleotide \\ \pi_j \; for \; synonymous \; transversion \\ \kappa\pi_j \; for \; synonymous \; transitions \\ \omega\pi_j \; for \; non-synonymous \; transversion \\ \omega\kappa\pi_j \; for \; non-synonymous \; transition \end{cases}$$

63   [Eqn 1]

Over an epoch of evolutionary time t, the substitution matrix relaxes by the following equation:

$P(t) = e^{Qt}$. The likelihood function for observed allele frequencies, f_i, is assumed to be

Multinomial(f_i ; P(t)). Integrating out t, the marginal distribution for allele frequencies among

extant sequences can be shown to follow a multinomial-Dirichlet distribution (Wilson &

Consortium, 2020). Observed mutations are mapped onto a phylogenetic tree to identify

unique evolutionary events, and then the relative number of synonymous and nonsynonymous

events is used to compute posterior distributions over the parameters (including ω) under

different assumptions (such as whether there is a constant molecular clock, or rates can differ

independently in each branch).

PAML (Yang, 2007) is a widely-used tool for evaluating selection in sequence data, which uses

maximum-likelihood (ML) to estimate the model parameters. Unfortunately, PAML does not

scale-up well, and is difficult to run for collections of thousands of genomes, preventing its use

in studies such as (Liu et al., 2022). The complexity is derived from the requirement of large-

scale phylogenetic trees for the computations. Several alternative approaches for evaluating

selection have been proposed that are more efficient, enabling their use in analysis of large-

scale collections. One such tool is GenomegaMap (Wilson & Consortium, 2020).

GenomegaMap does not require a phylogenetic tree, aggregating SNPs without regard for when

the mutations occurred and in which branches, but otherwise relies on a very similar Bayesian

framework [Eqn 1] to explain the relative proportion of NS to S mutations in an ORF.

GenomegaMap uses Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods (described in more detail

below) to infer selection parameters for mutations observed in genes. GenomegaMap was in

fact applied to analyze >10,000 Mtb genome sequences from the CRyPTIC collection

(demonstrating its scalability) and proposed a list of genes under positive selection, which

included many drug-resistance-related genes (consistent with expectations).

As with PAML, GenomegaMap implements several different models for evaluating selection at

different levels of granularity. In addition to the gene-level analysis (referred to as the Constant

model in GenomegaMap), where all mutations in an ORF are aggregated, selection can also be

evaluated at the level of individual codons (referred to as the Individual model). Importantly,

these two models have different sensitivities for detecting selection. While analysis of selection

in individual codons might seem to have the highest resolution (signal-to-noise ratio), this

analysis suffers from the inability to exploit information at multiple nearby sites in a region

(such as in an active site, or protein-protein interaction site). On the other hand, while

aggregating SNPs at the gene-level can take advantage by combining mutations at multiple sites

within a gene, the signal for selection can be diluted by other unrelated mutations occurring in

other less-conserved parts of the protein.

An intermediate approach would be to evaluate selection signals in localized regions throughout

an ORF. Mutations that can similarly impact the function of a protein often involve multiple

residues that cluster together in sequence (and hence in 3D structure), such as residues in an

active site or a site of protein-protein interaction. This then produces the appearance of

clusters of non-synonymous mutations in multiple alignments of genes under selection. To

evaluate selection in such clustered regions, GenomegaMap offers a third mode, called the

"Window" model, in which an ORF is divided into several contiguous segments, and an

independent ω parameter is used to model selection in each region. This model assumes

selection pressure will be constant among codons within each region, but can differ between

regions within an ORF. Importantly, the number and boundaries of these regions are

themselves parameters of the model, and can be adjusted dynamically to maximize fit to

mutation data. The advantage of this Window approach is that it produces a "smoothed"

selection signal (over adjacent codons) by identifying regions within the ORF where there is a

cluster of SNPs with a localized over-representation of non-synonymous to synonymous SNPs.

GenomegaMap uses MCMC sampling to simulate posterior probability distributions over all

model parameters by generating a sequence of parameter combinations from a Markov chain;

in the end, the mean value and credible interval for each parameter over the trajectory can be

extracted (after removing an initial "burn-in" portion of the trajectory).

Comparing the quality of model fits based on total likelihood, Wilson (2020) concluded that the

Individual model (identifying genes with individual codons under positive selection) fit the data

better than the Window model. However, using a criterion of $Pr(\omega>1)>0.9$ (dN/dS>1 in >90% of

MCMC samples), thousands of genes were found to have at least one codon under selection

(see Fig 3 in Wilson). While many drug-resistance-related genes were included, when genes

were ranked by the proportion of codons under selection, some expected genes, like *gyrA, rpoB,*

and *embB*, were found to have ranks in the range of 2000-3000 (out of ~4000 genes). For the

purposes of prioritization, it would be desirable to have a method that ranks such genes closer

to the top.

In this paper, we empirically compare the three different models of selection – at the gene-level,

codon-level, and in local windows (gene segments) – by evaluating them on analysis of a set of

~2000 Mtb clinical isolates from Moldova (Yang et al., 2022). While thousands of genes have

$\omega>1$ (as a point estimate), determining which genes are statistically significant depends critically

on the MCMC sampling (through credible intervals). We show that using tighter criteria for

significance than were used in (Wilson & Consortium, 2020) produces a more focused list of

candidates for genes under positive selection. While many expected genes that have been

shown to be associated with drug resistance appear on both lists, we show that the Window

model often better identifies regions within these proteins that are known to be antibiotic

binding sites based on X-ray crystal structures. In contrast, we show that the Individual model

has a bias toward identifying more codons under selection in large ORFs, suggesting it is more

susceptible to noise. Based on these observations, we argue that the Window model in

GenomegaMap is the most reliable approach for identifying genes under selection, which

results from the smoothing effect achieved by modeling localized variations in ω within regions

of a protein (with adjustable borders). As validation, we show that, using the Window model, a

similar list of genes under positive selection is derived from an independent set of over 5000

Mtb clinical isolates from 15 other countries (from the CRyPTIC collection).

The data on positive selection and genetic variants in both collections of clinical isolates

(Moldova and CRyPTIC) is available online (https://orca1.tamu.edu/selection/) as a public

resource.

METHODS

Raw sequencing data (fastq files) for isolates from Moldova were downloaded from SRA using

accession numbers provided in (Yang et al., 2022). Sequencing datasets from the CRyPTIC

collection were downloaded from Supp Table 3 in (Wilson & Consortium, 2020). While isolates

could not be selected based on lineage (data not available), to promote genetic diversity, we

performed stratified sampling by selecting approximately 500 isolates each from 15 different

countries. TB-profiler (Phelan et al., 2019) was run on the isolates to identify Mtb lineage, drug-

resistance genotypes, and estimated depth of coverage (using *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv as a

reference). Isolates that had < 40x coverage or were potentially mixed (with more than one

sublineage represented, based on characteristic SNPs) were discarded.

Next, Pilon (Walker et al., 2014) was used to map read to the H37Rv genome (NC_000962.3_

and extract genetic variants (in the form of .vcf files), including SNPs and indels; Pilon also

identifies low-coverage and heterogeneous sites. From the .vcf files, the nucleotide sequences

of each ORF were extracted from each isolate, and aggregated by ORF (as .fasta files). Codons

with low-coverage or heterogeneous nucleotides, or nonsense mutations (stop codons) were

converted to gap characters ('---'), which are subsequently disregarded in the calculations by

GenomegaMap.

GenomegaMap was then run on each ORF using scripts the for Constant, Individual, and

Window models (https://github.com/danny-wilson/genomegaMap). For the MCMC sampling

for Individual and Window, the trajectory length was 100,000 iterations, saving parameters

every 250 steps, and eliminating the first 20% of samples (80 out of 400) as the burn-in phase

(to reduce the influence of initial random parameters and ensure the sampler had reached

equilibrium). After the MCMC sampling, the value of ω for each codon was summarized over

each trajectory by extracting the mean and other statistics (like range and variance), and a 95%

credible interval (95%CI) was calculated for the ω value for each codon.

129 PPE and PE_PGRS genes were systematically excluded from the analysis, as they harbored

apparent mutations that caused many genes in these two families to exhibit selection signals,

but these might be artifacts due to uncertainties in sequencing associated with these genes

(Marin et al., 2022).

Generation of simulated genes for comparison of GenomegaMap models under the assumption

of neutral evolution was done as follows. First, a phylogenetic tree based on the 2057 Moldova

clinical isolates was constructed using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014). Then, the least common

ancestral sequence (LCA) was computed for each ORF in the Mtb genome (excluding PPE and

PE_PGRS genes, and genes known to be involved in drug resistance). The LCA nucleotide at

each site in a given gene was derived by using Fitch's algorithm (Yang et al., 2011) to propagate

observed nucleotides from leaves (2057 isolates) up to the root. Then, each distinct mutational

event (i.e. SNP associated with a particular branch point in the tree) was randomly assigned to a

new site within a new gene. This resampling process resulted in 39,564 mutations permuted to

new positions among 3,446,666 sites within coding regions of 3877 genes (with the same size

distribution as in the Mtb genome), which was then used to generate the 2057 variant

sequences for each isolate for each gene.

RESULTS

We used GenomegaMap to investigate genes under positive selection in a collection of 2236

Mtb clinical isolates from Moldova, representing all reported cases in 2018-2019 (Yang et al.,

2022). The aim of the study to identify transmission clusters within the region. The isolates

were found to be predominantly members of lineage 2 and lineage 4, and approximately one-

half of the isolates were (genotypically) drug-resistant (based on DR markers to one or more

antitubercular drugs), with 32.7% MDR-TB (INH+RIF) (lineage and DR distributions are shown in

Supp Fig S1). After downloading and preprocessing the raw sequencing data and filtering out

low-quality samples, 2057 isolates were selected for analysis.

Comparison of genes under positive selection by 3 models with GenomegaMap.

With the Window model (where different regions within each ORF are modeled with locally-

constant omega values), the MCMC simulation produces a sample of parameter combinations,

including a representation of the posterior probability distribution of omega values for each

codon. Besides the mean value of omega for each codon, the dispersion of the sample can be

used to assess confidence. In (Wilson & Consortium, 2020), the criterion used for significance

was if ≥ 90% of the samples had ω >1. This corresponds to a (two-sided) 80% credible interval,

which is overly generous, potentially generating many false positive codons and genes under

selection (245 genes by this criterion). Instead, we employ a more stringent criterion of ≥ 97.5%

of samples having ω >1, equivalent to a (two-sided) 95% credible interval. Using this criterion,

53 genes were identified as having at least one codon under positive selection (Table 1). (dN/dS

scores and statistical significance for all genes are provided in Supplemental Table T1.)

Table 1. 53 genes with statistically significant evidence of positive selection in the Moldova

collection of Mtb clinical isolates. using the Window model analysis in GenomegaMap.

Rv0006/*gyrA*	Rv1286/*cysN*	Rv2258c/-	Rv2940c/*mas*
Rv0093c/-	Rv1288/-	Rv2388c/*hemN*	Rv3109/*moaA1*
Rv0229c/-	Rv1320c/-	Rv2395/-	Rv3132c/*devS*
Rv0275c/-	Rv1699/*pyrG*	Rv2407/-	Rv3299c/*atsB*
Rv0392c/*ndhA*	Rv1830/*resR*	Rv2447c/*folC*	Rv3452/*cut4*
Rv0667/*rpoB*	Rv1899c/*lppD*	Rv2544/*lppB*	Rv3695/-
Rv0668/*rpoC*	Rv1901/*cinA*	Rv2652c/-	Rv3736/-
Rv0758/*phoR*	Rv1934c/*fadE17*	Rv2779c/-	Rv3795/*embB*
Rv0931c/*pknD*	Rv2043c/*pncA*	Rv2825c/-	Rv3806c/*ubiA*
Rv0969/*ctpV*	Rv2048c/*pks12*	Rv2874/*dipZ*	Rv3822/-
Rv0988/-	Rv2082/-	Rv2881c/*cdsA*	Rv3828c/-

Rv1093/*glyA1*	Rv2176/*pknL*	Rv2885c/-	Rv3888c/-
Rv1130/*prpD*	Rv2252/-	Rv2934/*ppsD*	Rv3911/*sigM*
			Rv3919c/*gid*

The 53 genes under positive selection in the Moldova dataset (by the Window method) contain

many genes known to be involved in drug resistance, including *rpoB* (RNA polymerase, target of

rifampicin), *gyrA* (DNA gyrase, target of fluroquinolones, *gidB* (ribosome methyltransferase,

affects sensitivity to streptomycin), *pncA* (pyrazinamidase, activator of pyrazinamide), and *embB*

(target of ethambutol). These will be discussed in more detail below. *resR* (Rv1830) also

exhibits positive selection in this dataset, consistent with the findings in (Liu et al., 2022), where

it was shown to be associated with general resilience to antibiotics. *phoR* is a two-component

sensor implicated in stress response and virulence (Broset et al., 2015; Parish, 2014) that has

been shown to be under positive selection in Mtb using phylodynamics (i.e. increase in non-

synonymous mutation rate, coincident with expansion into humans as pathogen) (Chiner-Oms

et al., 2019). Other genes under selection that can play a regulatory role and potentially

adaptive role include *pknD* (protein Ser/Thr kinase), *devS* (two-component sensor, regulator of

hypoxic response (Kumar et al., 2007)), *sigM* (a sigma factor that could influence ESX-4 or PDIM

expression (Raman et al., 2006)), and Rv1320 (an adenylate cyclase, which are often involved in

signaling/regulation). None of the three models identified *katG* (activator of isoniazid) as

positively selected in this collection. Wilson (2020) also noted that *katG* was not found to be

under positive selection by the Window model in their larger dataset, but was identified by the

Independent model (because the majority of INH-resistance mutations occur in a single codon:

Ser315). However, this could also be easily identified by homoplasy analysis (Mortimer et al.,

2018), as mutations at this site often occur multiple times independently in clinical populations.

The evaluation of genes under positive selection using the Independent model yielded 44

significant genes, using the more stringent criterion of at least 1 codon with $\omega>1$ in $\geq 97.5\%$ of

the MCMC samples. This list of 44 genes has some overlap with the 53 significant genes by the

Window model; there are 19 genes in the intersection, including most of the drug-resistance-

related genes (see Venn diagram in Figure 1a). However, there are also many unique genes

identified by each of the analysis methods. The Window model also highlighted *ubiA*

(arabinogalactan synthesis pathway; harbors resistance mutations to ethambutol) and *folC*

(resistance mutations to inhibitors of folate pathway like PAS or sulfamethoxazole), which the

Independent model did not.

With the Constant model (5000 MCMC iterations), 1924 genes have ω>1, but only 61 are

significant (LB of 95%CI > 1). Two-thirds of these are PPE or PE_PGRS genes; after filtering these

out, 22 genes remain that exhibit statistically significant positive selection. The only drug-

resistance-related genes among these are *pncA, gidB,* and *ubiA*. Other DR genes like *rpoB, gyrA,*

embB, and *katG* did not reach significance using this model. Many of the significant genes by

the Window model have ω below or only marginally above 1 in the Constant model, including

many of the genes implicated in drug resistance mentioned above (see Figure 1b). However,

the lower bound of the 95% credible interval is less than 1.0 for almost all of the 53 genes

significant by the Window model. For example, *embB* has a gene-level ω 1.19, but the 95%CI

lower bound is 0.73 (not significant), and is ranked #141. Only 6 genes are significant in both

models (including *pncA, gidB,* and *ubiA*). The fact that the Window model captures more drug-

resistance-related genes reinforces the utility of focusing the analysis on selected regions within

a gene (Window model), whereas the selection signal at the whole-gene level (Constant model)

can get diluted by SNPs in other less-relevant parts of the protein.

Genes significant by Window Model exhibit selection in regions of protein associated with

drug resistance.

One of the advantages of evaluating genes for positive selection using the Window Model is

that it identifies segments of specific amino acids harboring the signal (rather than requiring a

constant omega parameter for the entire gene). In the case of genes commonly associated with

drug resistance, the regions under selection often co-occur with known drug-binding regions of

the protein.   This co-location of selection signals with active sites or structural binding sites for

antibiotics lends confidence that the Window model is detecting genuine signals of selective

pressure.

The localization of the selection signals within each ORF can be observed in omega plots, as

illustrated in Figure 2 (omega plots for *gyrA* and *embB*). These plots show the mean of the

posterior distribution of omega (average of the MCMC samples) for each codon (black line).

Note that the curve is smoothed, which is a consequence of the Window model, where the

omega value for one codon effectively combines local information on genetic variants at

surrounding codons by assuming the codons within discrete regions of the ORF share a common

omega value). (The boundaries of the regions get adjusted dynamically during the MCMC

sampling.) Therefore, peaks in the selection signal tend to center on clusters of SNPs where

there is a local over-abundance of non-synonymous mutations. In contrast, the omega plot of a

gene using the Independent model is much more variable (spiky), because the omega values at

one codon is completely independent from the other around it, and there is no sharing of

information locally. (Omega plots for *gyrA* and *embB* based on the Independent model, which

are much less smooth, are shown in the Supplemental Figure S2).

Importantly, although the omega value might exceed 1.0 in multiple regions of the ORF, this

does not necessarily mean it is significant. To distinguish genuine selection signals from random

fluctuations, we can utilize the dispersion in the MCMC samples to assess certainty. The 95%

credible interval (95%CI) of the MCMC samples represents the range of possible values of

omega for each codon. The upper and lower bounds of the 95% CI are shown as blue dashed

lines in the figure. The significant codons are (only) those for which the lower bound of the

95%CI exceeds 1.0, or when $\omega>1$ in 97.5% of the MCMC samples.

In the case of GyrA, there are two significant peaks. The predominant peak consists of residues

89 to 95 (where omega peaks at 6.7). This contains the two codons most frequently associated

with fluoroquinolone (FQ) resistance – A90 and D94 (Maruri et al., 2012). In fact, although FQ

resistance was only ~10% in this population (10.3% for moxifloxacin), A90V was observed in 40

isolates in the Moldova collection, along with D89G/N and D94G/A/N/Y/H. The region also

included S95T, which is known to be a lineage-specific marker not associated with drug

resistance (Sreevatsan et al., 1997). The reason it was included was likely due to: a) proximity to

D94, and b) a single isolate had an additional non-synonymous mutation, S95A. There is also a

minor peak at residues 70-74, which is just barely significant (lower bound of 95%CI just barely

exceeds 1.0). This is in an adjacent alpha-helix, also in the DNA-binding pocket; A74S been

reported in FQ-resistant clinical isolates, but is less frequently observed (Shi et al., 2006).   In

one study, A74S was only observed in mutants with D94G, so it might be compensatory (Sun et

al., 2008). Although, some mutations in *gyrB* have also been reported to be associated with FQ-

resistance, especially in the region around Arg482 (which is proximal to where fluoroquinolones

bind in the 3D structures of the GyrAB complex (Blower et al., 2016), and some segments of

gyrB have $\omega>1$ by the Window model, none of these is statistically significant.

A similar pattern of selection is observed in *embB* (Figure 2b) in the arabinogalactan (AG)

synthesis pathway. The three codons most commonly observed to be mutated in ethambutol-

resistant mutants– residues 306, 406, and 497 (Sun et al., 2018) – all exhibit a significant ω

value. In addition, residues 305 (adjacent to 306) and 317-338 (in between 306 and 406) are

highlighted as significant. In addition, in *ubiA*, residues 171-176 have significant ω values. UbiA

is also in the AG pathway, and these residues have been reported in EMB-resistant mutants

(Nan et al., 2024).

It is notable that *pncA* and *gidB*, two of the genes with the strongest selection signals (mean

$\omega=2.93$ and 1.94, respectively), show selection in regions throughout the gene (151 and 78

codons respectively, see Supp Fig S3), which is consistent with the diversity of resistance

mutations observed, including loss-of-function (Yadon et al., 2017). *pncA* is an activator of

pyrazinamide, and *gid* is a ribosomal rRNA methyltransferase that natively facilitates binding of

streptomycin (Spies et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2013). Hence any mutations that abrogate

function of these two genes could confer resistance (Gomes et al., 2021). This could explain

why GenomegaMap detects a significant signal for positive selection that is diffused across both

of these targets.

RpoB

Rifampicin resistance mutations are commonly observed in of *rpoB* (beta' chain of RNA

polymerase), specifically in the Rifampicin Resistance Determining Region (RRDR), residues 435-

452, including the most frequent mutation S450L in RIF-R mutants), which lines the physical

binding site for rifampicin. As observed in the omega plot, there are two strong peaks indicating

regions of the ORF with significant selection (see Figure 3a). The first peak (residues 435-451),

with omega values exceeding 4, clearly corresponds to the RRDR. The second peak consists of

residues 488-496. These have been reported to be associated with rifampicin-resistant clinical

isolates in China (Li et al., 2021). Since they co-occurred with mutations in the RRDR region of

rpoB, it was speculated that they could be compensatory. We observe this in the Moldova

collection too. In(Xu et al., 2017) fact, based on the phylogenetic reconstruction (generated

using RAxML), there are two clear cases where mutation events in the 488-496 region occurred

in lower branches after mutations in the RRDR. For example, 15 isolates with the *rpoB*:I488V

were embedded in a cluster of 111 isolates with *rpoB*:S450L. This supports the hypothesis that

mutations in the 488-496 region might help reduce the fitness cost of the earlier mutations in

the RRDR. In crystal structures of the RNA polymerase, the residues 488-496 are in a parallel

beta strand to 426-452 in the RIF-binding pocket (with Ile491 directly adjacent to Ser450; see

Figure 3b), which could provide a structural explanation for how mutations at one site could

mitigate the fitness cost of mutations at the other.

In addition to RIF-resistance mutations in *rpoB*, compensatory mutations have been observed in

rpoC, especially around residues 432-527 (Comas et al., 2011). Indeed, *rpoC* is also in the list of

53 genes exhibiting positive selection in the Moldova clinical isolates (using the Window model).

As shown in Figure 3c, several regions of codons attain significance, including 431-436, 445-447,

482-498, and 502-527, which corresponds well with the region described by Comas et al (2011).

CinA

CinA has recently been implicated in INH tolerance through TnSeq studies, where it was found

to be non-essential under regular growth conditions (7H9 medium), but conditionally more

essential (decreased Tn insertion counts) in the presence of isoniazid (INH) (Xu et al., 2017). It

was subsequently shown to be involved in NADH recycling, and to mitigate the impact of INH-

NADH adducts (Kreutzfeldt et al., 2022). It was also shown to affect MICs for delamanid (DLM)

and pretomanid (PTM), which also generate NADH adducts. CinA has two domains: an N-

terminal pyrophosphatase and C-terminal deamidase. The activity influencing drug sensitivity

was localized to the pyrophosphatase domain. The omega plot (Figure 4a) exhibits a significant

peak for residues in the 82-86 range (in the pyrophosphatase domain). Genetic variants

observed in this region include: T82I, V83F, and V86A. These residues are in an alpha-helix

proximal to Asp80, which projects into the active site and coordinates Mg^{2+} bound to the

diphosphate in NADH, in a crystal structure of CinA homolog from *T. thermophilus* (PDB: 4uux)

(see Figure 4b). Mutating Asp80 (D80A) abrogates enzyme activity and increases INH sensitivity

(Kreutzfeldt et al., 2022). In fact, one of the isolates in the Moldova collection had D80E, which

likely also affects CinA function. None of the isolates with these mutations in CinA were

genotypically resistant to INH, ETH, DLM, or PTM (based on drug-resistance markers analyzed by

TB-profiler). Nonetheless, the proximity of these mutations to the active site suggest that CinA

might be under genuine selective pressure in this population, the source of which is still

unknown. In contrast, only residue 421 was detected as under positive selection in CinA by the

Independent model.

PhoR

phoR has among the strongest signals for positive selection in the Moldova dataset. *phoR* is

part of a two-component sensor, PhoPR (Parish, 2014), which plays a key role in virulence

(Martin et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2023). *phoR* is membrane-bound sensor coupled to a histidine

kinase that phosphorylates *phoP*, which binds DNA and acts as transcription factor. There is

strong evidence for *phoR* being under positive selection in clinical isolates jn previous studies

(Chiner-Oms et al., 2019). and mutations in *phoR* have been hypothesized to influence

transmissibility and might have been a key step in the evolution of Mtb into a human pathogen

(Gonzalo-Asensio et al., 2014).

In the Moldova dataset, the codons in *phoR* with genetic variants under positive selection

include: 52-84 and 145-159 (in the periplasmic sensor domain) and 188-201 (in the HAMP

domain; an alpha-helical domain that facilitates oligomerization by form coiled-coils, often

utilized in signal transduction proteins) (see Figure 5). The polymorphism in *phoR* that

differentiates Mtb *phoR* from the ortholog in *M. bovis*, which is associated with decreased

transmission in humans, is G71I (Gonzalo-Asensio et al., 2014), which falls in the middle of the

sensor domain. Gonzalo-Asensio et al. showed that recombineering this mutation into L2 and

L4 strains actually down-regulates expression of the PhoPR regulon. Thus, the analysis of

positive selection using the Window model in GenomegaMap suggests that other non-

synonymous mutations in the sensor domain might cause similar functional changes that are

ultimately beneficial and lead to selection in Mtb clinical isolates. Mutations in the HAMP

domain will have to be further investigated to determine their impact on PhoPR function and

understand how this can lead to adaptation.

The Independent Model is biased toward large ORFs.

One notable aspect of the genes exhibiting significant selection under the Independent Model is

that it contains many polyketide synthase (PKS) genes. Of the 16 PKS genes in the Mtb H37Rv

genome, 9 are significant in the Independent model, along with *nrp* (Rv0101, non-ribosomal

peptide synthase, NPRS). These modular (multi-domain) proteins are among the largest genes

in the genome, with 10 out of 16 have length over 1000 amino acids (*pks12* is largest, with 4150

aa, and NRPS is second largest, with 2511 aa). The PKS genes under positive selection are

almost all unique calls for the Independent Model (see Figure 1a); the only PKS gene that is also

detected as significant by the Window Model is *pks12*. A comparison of the sizes of the genes

under positive selection detected by each model is shown in the Figure 6a. Note that the size

distribution of the 44 genes significant by Independent Model is skewed higher than the 53

genes significant by the Window, which has a distribution more similar to the overall

distribution of ORF sizes in the genome (represented by the histogram). Figure 6b-c shows the

number of genes detected by each model in different bin sizes. When normalized by the total

number of genes in each bin (Figure 6d-e), the considerable over-representation of genes in the

largest bin (1200-4152 aa) by the Independent Model is apparent – over 40% of these largest

genes contain a one or more codons under positive selection according to the Independent

Model (Figure 6e). By comparison, the Window Model shows much less bias toward larger

genes.

It is possible that positive selection of PKS genes could reflect biologically-meaningful selection

pressures, since PKS genes make glycolipid components of the cell well that often are

recognized by and module the immune response in vivo (Constant et al., 2002; Matsunaga et

al., 2004; Sirakova et al., 2001). However, the fact that so many PKS genes show signs of

positive selection could also reflect a general bias that the Independent Model is more likely to

(spuriously) identify individual codons under positive selection in larger genes, which could

explain why the largest genes tend to be characterized as under selection.

To evaluate this hypothesis, we performed a simulation experiment to estimate the number of

genes that would be expected to obtain significance by the Independent or the Window model

under a Null model (approximating neutral evolution). Simulated genes were generated that

had mutations that occurred at the same rate as the original Moldova dataset, but evolved

under the assumption of *neutral evolution*. The was achieved by first computing the least-

common ancestral (LCA) sequence for each ORF in the Mtb genome (excluding PPE and

PE_PGRS genes, and genes known to be involved in drug resistance). Then, each distinct

mutational event (i.e. SNP associated with a particular branch point in the tree) was randomly

assigned to a new site within a new gene. This resampling process resulted in 39,564 distinct

nucleotide changes permuted to new positions among 3,446,666 sites within coding regions of

3877 genes (with the same size distribution as in the Mtb genome), which was then used to

generate the 2057 variant sequences for each isolate for each gene. This process decouples the

localization of each nucleotide substitution (e.g. clustering within ORFs) and coding impact

(whether it is synonymous or non-synonymous).

The 3877 simulated gene sequences were then re-analyzed by each of the three GenomegaMap

methods. The number of genes detected as significant under this Null model by the

Independent Model was 66 out of 3877 (~1.7%), whereas only 14 of the simulated genes were

identified as under significant positive selection for the Window Model (~0.4%) (see Table 2).

For comparison, we also ran the Constant model on the same set of simulated genes, which

detected 16 genes with significant positive selection. Thus, the Independent model would be

expected to have a ~4.5x higher false-positive rate (FPR) relative to the Window model.

Furthermore, the Independent Modal again shows a bias toward detecting positive selection

among larger genes (see Figure 7). This suggests that the Independent Model is more

susceptible to false positive calls, due to modeling each codon with its own individual ω

parameter, whereas the Window Model is <u>more robust</u> because it combines information (on

genetic variants) locally over multiple codons (clusters of SNPs) (through use a constant ω

parameter for each segment of the ORF, with boundaries that vary over the MCMC simulation).

Table 2. Evaluation of positive selection on 3877 simulated genes (under a Null

hypothesis of neutral evolution).

GenomegaMap model	number of significant genes under positive selection (estimated FPR)
Constant	16/3877 (0.41%)
Window	14/3877 (0.36%)
Independent	66/3877 (1.7%)

Validation through analysis of an independent collection of Mtb isolates from other countries.

To evaluate whether the genes identified as under positive selection in the Moldova dataset are

generally representative, or might be specific to that geographic region, we carried out a similar

analysis in a larger collection of 5,195 isolates from 15 other countries. These isolates were also

predominantly from lineages L2 and L4, but L1 and L3 were also represented. Approximately

one-third of the isolates were drug-resistant, and most of these (33.4%) being MDR (markers for

both INH-R and RIF-R, based on TB-profiler). Resistance to EMB and STR was high, as well;

fluoroquinolone resistance was lower, at around 10%. (Histograms of the geographic

distribution, lineages, and genotypic drug resistance are shown in Supplemental Figures S4 and

S5). We applied the same pipeline for genome assembly, quality filtering, and calling of genetic

variants as above.

Using the Window model in GenomegaMap, 178 genes were found to be under positive

selection in this global dataset, using the same criterion for significance (with at least 1 region

with $\omega > 1$ for at least 97.5% of the MCMC samples) (see Supplemental Table T2). 19 of the 53

significant genes in the Moldova dataset were also observed in this global collection,

representing a 36% overlap. Predictably, this includes many drug-resistance-related genes, like

pncA, gid, rpoB, rpoC, gyrA, embB and *folC*. An additional gene implicated in drug-resistance

that is uniquely significant in the global collection is *aac* (Rv0262c, aminoglycoside

acetyltransferase). Codons under positive selection an *aac* span 86-94. These residues form a

loop that binds CoA (rather than the aminoglycoside), based on crystal structure of the complex

of *aac* with kanamycin (PDB: 1M4I; see Supplemental Figure S6). Other notable genes found to

be under selection in both datasets include *pks12, phoR,* and *resR*. The global positive-selection

geneset also includes some additional genes that have been previously reported in other

studies of positive selection in Mtb. For example, *whiB6* is a transcriptional regulator that was

found to be associated with multi-drug-resistance in a GWAS study of clinical isolates from Peru

(Farhat et al., 2019). *whiB6* has also been implicated in expression of ESX-1 (see below)

(Bosserman et al., 2017).

ESX-1 genes exhibit signs of positive selection

Several components of the ESX-1 complex exhibit positive selection in the larger global

collection of Mtb clinical isolates, including *eccCa1, eccD1*, and *eccE1*, along with *espA*, one of

several proteins secreted by ESX-1. In addition, *eccB1, esxA* (ESAT-6)*, and espFIJ* all contained

segments showing evidence of positive selection by the weaker definition of significance (>90%

of MCMC samples had $\omega > 1$). Only *espB* and *espK* showed weak significance in the Moldova

dataset, possibly because of the smaller number of isolates. ESX-1 is one of five type-VII

secretion systems (T7Ss) in Mtb (Bitter et al., 2009). It plays an important role in interacting

with the host immune system, including phagosome-maturation arrest, host cell membrane

lysis, inhibition of autophagy (Romagnoli et al., 2012), and up-regulation of host-cell genes and

cytokine signaling (Champion et al., 2009; Chirakos et al., 2020; Conrad et al., 2017), and is

required for virulence in animal models of infection (Tiwari et al., 2019). The ESX-1 locus

(Rv3864-Rv3883c) contains members of the transmembrane pore complex (*eccA1-E1*), the

mycP1 protease, and several secreted effectors (*espBEFGHIJKL, esxAB, pe35, ppe68*).

Interestingly *espACD* are in a separate locus (Rv3514c-Rv3616c). Specifically, *espA* binds to the

ESX-1 pore complex and facilitates secretion of EsxA and EsxB (Chen et al., 2013). The region of

espA under positive selection includes codons 67-72 (Figure 8a). Non-synonymous genetic

variants in this region include: K66R/Q, N67T, R68A, N69S, H70Y, V71L, N72K. These residues lie

in an alpha-helix of a predicted 4-helix bundle, proximal to the WXG motif (res. 55-57) (see

Figure 8b), which forms a loop that plays a critical role in recognition by the ESX-1 pore complex

(Chen et al., 2013). As noted above, *whiB6*, and *phoR,* which both regulate expression of ESX-1

(Bosserman et al., 2017; Walters et al., 2006), also exhibit positive selection in the global

dataset.

DISCUSSION

Mtb is considered well-adapted to its lifecycle as an obligate human pathogen, and the genome

is fairly homogeneous (low diversity) (Coscolla & Gagneux, 2014). Strong evidence for purifying

selection has been found throughout the genome (Pepperell et al., 2013). Nevertheless,

sporadic SNPs and other polymorphisms are often observed in genomes of individual isolates

(presumably arising within patients during the course of infection (Trauner et al., 2017)), which

are infrequent (unfixed alleles, appearing in only one or a few isolates), reflecting on-going

evolution (Goig et al., 2025). Some of these mutations might reflect adaptations to pressures

related to modern clinical treatment of TB, including chemotherapy, but also effects of co-

morbidities (e.g. diabetes, COPD, malnutrition), co-infection (e.g. HIV), which could involve

exposure to non-TB antibiotics as well as impacting patient immune status, oxidative

environment, etc. Statistical methods for evaluating positive selection are a powerful tool for

identifying genes adaptive to these pressures in large genomic databases.

There have been multiple studies investigating positive selection among genomes of Mtb clinical

isolates, based on principles ranging from phenotype-genotype associations (Farhat et al.,

2019), to SNP clustering (e.g. nucleotide diversity, mutation burden) (Liu et al., 2022; Mortimer

et al., 2018), to homoplasy (or convergent evolution) (Holt et al., 2018; Mortimer et al., 2018),

to traditional dN/dS analysis (Deng et al., 2024; Phelan et al., 2019), to phylodynamics (Chiner-

Oms et al., 2022). In addition to genes already known to be involved in drug-resistance, they

have identified other genes which may play an adaptive role to modern clinical stresses, such as

resR (Liu et al., 2022) and *phoR* (Chiner-Oms et al., 2019). Most of these studies evaluated

selection at the gene-level. However, selection can be analyzed at other scales, including

codon-level and clusters of SNPs (intermediate level). The purpose of this study was to evaluate

and compare the results of all three levels of analysis.

One of the potential problems with gene-level analysis is that the selection signal, which might

derive from one or a few residues (e.g. in an active site) could get diluted from mutations in

other less-relevant parts of the ORF, when aggregating SNPs over the whole ORF. On the other

hand, analyzing each codon independently cannot take advantage of clustering of SNPs, which

often occurs in active sites, or sites of protein-protein interaction. We used GenomegaMap as a

tool, which implements all 3 analyses within a Bayesian framework, and uses MCMC sampling

to make inferences about parameters (omega) and their certainty (credible intervals).

We found that the intermediate (or "Window" model) provides the most compelling list of

candidate genes. The Window model segments the ORF into multiple contiguous regions, and

allows each region to have a different ω parameter, but assumes the codons within a local

region all share the same omega value. Many of the genes found to be under positive selection

by the Window model are known to be involved in drug resistance, (*rpoB, gyrA, embB, pncA,*

gidB, ubiA, folC...) which would be expected to be under positive selection in a collection of

Mtb clinical isolates from patients receiving chemotherapy, especially in a geographic region

with high incidence of drug-resistance (such as Moldova (Yang et al., 2022)). In addition, on the

list of candidates from the Window model, we observed other genes previously reported as

targets of positive selection in other studies, such as *resR* (Liu et al., 2022) and *phoR* (Chiner-

Oms et al., 2019). *prpD* is a methylcitrate dehydratase (propionate metabolism pathway) that is

regulated by *prpR*, which was identified as associated with multi-drug tolerance (Hicks et al.,

2018). Rv0988 and Rv2082 have both been reported in another study of positive selection in

clinical isolates resistant to bedaquiline and clofazimine (Ismail et al., 2023). While the function

of Rv2082 is unknown, Rv0988 is an ABC-transporter implicated in preventing acidification and

phagosome maturation (Pethe et al., 2004). This consistency for "expected" genes under

positive selection with previous studies increases confidence that other candidates with less-

well understood role might also be genuinely under positive selection.

Another advantage of the Window model of selection analysis is that it can identify specific

regions within an ORF that are under positive selection. As implemented in GenomegaMap,

this model assumes ω is constant locally but varies among regions. However, the borders

between regions also get adjusted dynamically during the MCMC sampling, producing a

smoothly varying omega signal across the ORF. We define significant codons to be those where

>97.% of the sampled ω values from the MCMC sample (the lower-bound of the 95% credible

interval) exceed 1.0, which is more stringent that the criterion used in the original paper (Wilson

& Consortium, 2020). In cases where protein crystal structures are available, the regions under

positive selection often correspond well to parts of the protein involved in interacting with

inhibitors, such as in *rpoB* (residues in the RDRR that bind rifampicin) and *gyrA* (residues binding

fluoroquinolones). Another compelling example is the proximity of the residues under selection

in *cinA* to the active site (of the pyrophosphatase domain). The insights about which parts of a

protein are under selection are helpful in understanding the mechanisms driving it, beyond just

labeling a gene as being under positive selection. The correspondence of codons under

selection to structural information (e.g. for known drug-binding sites) lends additional

confidence in the list of candidates identified by the Window model.

Individual codons under selection can also be highlighted by the Independent model in

GenomegaMap (where each codon has its own ω parameter). However, we observed that many

of the genes on the list of candidates from the Independent model were among the largest

genes in the genome, and this size bias suggests the Independent model might be more

susceptible to making type I errors. In fact, we used a simulated set of genes with sequences

evolved under the assumption of neutral evolution (with the same distribution of ORF sizes and

nucleotide content as the clinical isolates from Moldova, but with observed phylogenetic

changes permuted randomly to new sites) to show that the Independent model has a roughly 5

times higher estimated false positive rate than the Window model. This is likely due to how the

Independent model treats each codon independently, making it more susceptible to errors from

random coincidences of SNPs, whereas the Window model is more robust because of how it

integrates information over multiple codons in a region (by assuming the codons in a region

share the same ω), and hence takes advantage of clusters of SNPs where there is a local excess

of non-synonymous over synonymous SNPs.

On the list of 53 genes identified to be under positive selection in the Moldova dataset, we

observed several regulatory proteins, including *pknD* and *sigL* (ser-thr protein kinases), *sigM*

(sigma factor), Rv1320 (adenylate cyclase), and *devS* (two-component sensor). As exemplified

by *devS*, these regulators could be involved in adaptations to various stresses. For example,

devS plays a critical role in regulating the response to hypoxia (low oxygen tension, e.g. inside

granulomas), a hallmark of the host immune response to TB infection (Kumar et al., 2007; Park

et al., 2003; Rustad et al., 2008; Sherman et al., 2001), and the intracellular oxidative

environment could also be influenced by antibiotics (such as isoniazid, which generates

oxidative radicals in the process of activation (Sherman et al., 1996)). Several metabolic genes

also show signals of positive selection in this population (*cysN* - sulfate

adenyltransferase/kinase; *glyA1* - serine hydroxymethyltransferase; *hemN* - coproporphyrinogen

III oxidase; *pyrG* - CTP synthase, *atsD* - arylsulfatase; *fadE17* - acyl-CoA dehydrogenase; *cdsA* -

CDP-diglyceride pyrophosphorylase). Metabolic shifts often accompany changes in response to

stress (Rizvi et al., 2019), though the specific role of these pathways remains to be elucidated.

Genes classically associated with INH resistance were not detected as significant by the Window

model (*katG* and *inhA*). *katG* was probably not detected because mutations of only a single

codon, S315; and *inhA* was probably not detected because mutations occur predominantly in

the promoter region upstream of *fabG1*, more frequently than in the coding region, affecting

expression levels of *inhA*). However, several genes that are potentially indirectly related to INH

resistance were observed as under positive selection, including *ndhA*. *ndhA* (Rv0392c) is one of

two type II NADH dehydrogenases, along with *ndh* (Rv1854c) which can compensate for INH-

NADH adduct formation by shifting the intracellular NADH/NAD+ ratio (Vilcheze et al., 2005).

Although, historically, *ndh* is more often observed to acquire resistance mutations (Hazbon et

al., 2006), mutations in *ndhA* have also been reported to be associated with INH resistance

(Furio et al., 2021).

In order to determine whether the genes under selection might be specific to Moldova, we

applied the same analysis of positive selection to a larger collection of Mtb clinical isolates from

15 other countries. Many of the drug-resistance genes, along with genes such as *resR* and *phoR*

were also detected as significant in the global dataset. There were approximately 3 times as

many genes (178) with statistically significant positive selection as in the Moldova dataset (53).

This is possibly due in part to the increased incidence of unfixed mutations (often unique SNPs

observed only in single or a few isolates), the probability of which increases with size of the

collection (number of genomes, barring duplications due to outbreak clusters) (or possibly due

to the increased diversity among isolates selected from 15 different countries). However, in

theory, dN/dS analysis should factor-out differences in overall mutation rate, and instead

identify genes where there is a relative excess in the rate of non-synonymous over synonymous

SNPs, which is not necessarily a consequence of aggregating SNPs from a larger collection of

genomes.

phoR has among the strongest signals for positive selection in both datasets (Moldova, and

global collection). PhoPR function is required for virulence, as disruption mutants are highly

attenuated in mice (Martin et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2023). A mutation in *phoP* is one of the few

genetic differences between H37Rv and H37Ra, to which the difference in virulence of these

strains has been partially attributed (Lee et al., 2008). As a part of a two-component sensor,

phoR regulates many lipid synthesis and cell-wall biogenesis genes (Walters et al., 2006). PhoPR

has been implicated in responses to several types of environmental stress, including acidic pH

(Singh et al., 2023). We observed multiple codons in the periplasmic sensor domain to be under

positive selection (using the Window model). Using phylodynamics, Chiner-Oms et al. (2019)

found that *phoR* exhibited an increase in selection of non-synonymous variants, compared to

other animal-adapted members of the MTBC (Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex), that

coincided roughly with expansion of the pathogen into the human population. This and other

evidence suggests mutations in *phoR* might facilitate transmission among humans (Gonzalo-

Asensio et al., 2014).

Among the genes under positive selection in the larger global collection, we observed several

genes from the ESX-1 cluster. In particular, *eccCa1, eccD1, eccE1* were under positive selection,

along with *espA*. Based on analogy to a cryo-EM structure of the ESX-5 complex (Beckham et

al., 2021), these three subunits interact to form the cytoplasmic face of the transmembrane

pore. *espA* is encoded in a different operon (*espACD*), but is thought to bind to the pore

complex and facilitate secretion of substrates (Champion et al., 2009). ESX-1 plays a crucial role

in virulence, secreting a number of effectors (including *esxA* and *esxB*, along with other *esx* and

PPE proteins), and can disrupt host-cell membranes and modulate cytokine expression (Conrad

et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2025). Thus, we speculate that the genetic variants observed could

play a role in fine-tuning the host immune response. ESX components have been identified in

other studies of positive selection. For example, *esxW* (secreted via ESX-5) was identified to

harbor convergent mutations in different Mtb lineages in a collection of isolates from Viet Nam,

which was used to help explain the increased transmission of the Beijing strain in the

geographic region (Holt et al., 2018). *esxW* was not under significant positive selection in our

global collection, and the only *esx* protein that was under significant positive selection was

esxH, a member of ESX-3. ESX-1 proteins *eccD1* and *eccE1* were also observed to be under

positive selection in the analysis of over 51,000 clinical isolates by Liu et al. (2022).

Increased selection of genetic variants in the ESX-1 system could be the result of adaptation to

modern TB treatment paradigms (e.g. shortening of disease course through chemotherapy,

better clinical control of inflammation) that might benefit from adjustments in host-pathogen

interactions (e.g. enhancement or suppression of immune response). It is interesting to note

that PhoPR has been shown to regulate expression of ESX-1 and *esxA* (ESAT-6) secretion (Frigui

et al., 2008). Similarly, *whiB6*, which is also on the list of genes under significant positive

selection in the global collection, also regulates expression of ESX-1 (demonstrated in *M.*

marinum; (Bosserman et al., 2017)). The selection signals for these two regulators lend

additional support to the potential adaptive role of genetic variants affecting ESX-1 function in

this global sample of clinical isolates.

One of the limitations of using positive selection to identify genes potentially involved in

adaptation is that it is restricted to SNPs in coding regions. Liu et al. (2022) also looked for

clusters of SNPs (mutational burden) in intergenic regions, especially those associated with

regulatory regions (e.g. promotors). In general, dN/dS analysis focuses on SNPs, and thus does

not directly take indels into account. However, indels can play a critical role in

activating/deactivating proteins; for example, there is a 7-bp insertion that breaks *pks15/*1 into

two ORFs and eliminates the synthesis of PGL (phenolglycolipid) found in more virulent strains

of Mtb (Constant et al., 2002). Also, because GenomegaMap does not explicitly use a

phylogenetic tree (which is key to its efficiency/scalability over methods like PAML), it is

insensitive to homoplasy. However, this can be easily circumvented by using other tools, such

as SNPPar (Edwards et al., 2021), which builds a rapid, approximate phylogenetic tree and can

then be used to identify homoplasic sites (such as S315 in KatG, the primary resistance mutation

for INH).

In summary, GenomegaMap is an effective tool for evaluating genes under positive selection

that scales up well to analyzing large genomic databases. Furthermore, our results suggest that

evaluating selection at an intermediate scale (using the "Window" model) identifies the most

credible list of candidate genes under selection, compared to gene-level analysis (the

"Constant" model) and codon-level analysis (the "Independent" model). The Window model

takes advantage of clusters of SNPs with a local increased rate of non-synonymous over

synonymous mutations, which amplifies signals of selection over the other two models. Some

of the genes under selection are likely responding to antibiotic treatment (anti-TB

chemotherapy), whereas other genes exhibiting positive selection might be reflecting

adaptations to other unknown pressures related to the clinical environment, and represent

avenues for further investigation into TB biology and potential new drug targets.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Comparison of genes under significant positive selection in Moldova dataset using 3 models. a) Venn diagram shows overlap of 53 significant genes from Window model with 44 genes from Independent model. Drug-resistance genes are marked red; polyketide synthases are marked blue. b) Barchart showing the omega estimates by the Constant model for the 53 significant genes based on the Window model. Error bars represent 95% credible intervals for omega based on MCMC sampling. Genes that would be significant based on the Constant model (where omega lower bound>1.0, red line) are marked with an asterisk.

Figure 2. Example omega plots for gyrA and embB, showing the dN/dS for each codon estimated by the Window model. The black curve shows the mean omega value for each codon averaged over the MCMC samples; the blue lines show the upper- and lower-bounds of the 95% credible interval. Codons under significant positive selection are those where the lower blue line peaks above 1.0.

Figure 3. Analysis of positive selection in RpoB and RpoC. a) Omega plot for *rpoB*. b) omega plot for *rpoC*. c) X-ray crystal structure of complex of RNA polymerase (*rpoABC*) (PDB: 5uhc) with rifampicin (cyan), showing that 488-496 (green) forms a parallel beta-strand to 426-452 (purple); both are regions under positive selection.

Figure 4. Analysis positive selection in CinA. a) Omega plot for *cinA*, showing selection of residue 82-86 (where lower blue line peaks above 1.0). b) Crystal structure of CinA from *T. thermophilus* (N-terminal domain) (PDB: 4uux); green: Asp80 (proximal to NADH in active site, purple), blue residues: codons under positive selection.

Figure 5. Regions under positive selection in *phoR*, mapped onto domain structure. 'TM' refers to transmembrane domains. The residue (G71) that differentiates the *Mtb* and *M. bovis* orthologs is indicated.

Figure 6. The Independent model is biased toward larger genes. a) Beeswarm plots showing that the significant genes under positive selection by the Independent model are skewed larger

than those from the Window model, whereas the Window model better matches the overall

ORF size distribution (histogram to right). Polyketide synthase genes are colored red. b) and c)

Number of genes under positive selection, divided into size bins (amino acids). The

Independent model shows an increasing trend toward the rightmost bin (ORFs with 1200-5000

amino acids). d) and e) Proportion of genes under positive selection (counts of significant genes

normalized by the overall number of genes in each size bin).

Figure 7. Size bias in the Simulated gene set (neutral evolution). Linear regressions of the

number of significant codons found against ORF among genes with weakly significant positive

selection (ω>1 for >90% of the MCMC samples) using a) the Window model, b) and the

Independent model. The Independent model exhibits a strong correlation with ORF size ($r^2 =$

0.558) compared to the Window model ($r^2 = 0.134$).

Figure 8. Analysis of positive selection for EspA. a) Omega plot for *espA,* showing significant

selection for codons 67-72. b) AlphaFold model of EspA. Residues under positive selection (67-

72) are colored blue. The WXG motif (residues 55-57), involved in recognition by the ESX-1 pore

complex, are colored blue.

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1. Lineage distribution and drug-resistance profile for 2,057 Mtb clinical isolates from

Moldova (as determined by TB-profiler).

Figure S2. Omega plots for *gyrA* and *embB* based on the Independent model.

Figure S3. Omega plots for *pncA* (Rv2043c) and *gidB* (Rv3919c) based on the Window model,

showing a high fraction of the coding regions under positive selection (lower blue line > 1).

Figure S4. Countries represented in global collection of 5,195 Mtb clinical isolates.

Figure S5. Lineages and drug-resistance genotypes represented in global collection of 5,195 Mtb

clinical isolates.

Figure S6. Analysis of positive selection for *aac* (Rv0262c, aminoglycoside 2'-N-

acetyltransferase). a) Omega plot for *aac*: b) Genetic variants in *aac* mapped onto crystal

structure (PDB: 1M4I) of complex of Mtb *aac* with CoA (orange) and kanamycin (green);

residues under selection (86-94) are marked in blue.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Table T1. Selection scores and statistical significance for all ORFs in the Mtb

H37Rv genome for the Moldova dataset (2,057 clinical isolates). Results for 3 models in

GenomegaMap are provided: Constant model (one omega parameter per gene), Window model

(omega values shared by codons locally within regions), and Independent model (separate

omega parameter for each codon). For the Window and Independent model, the number of

significant codons ("strongly selected codons") are given, using a 95% credible interval as a

significance criterion (ω>1 for >97.5% of the MCMC samples). (The number of weakly selected

codons using 80%CI is given too.) The genes are sorted by the "max_omega_LB" which is the

peak height of the lower blue curve in the omega plots (lower bound of the 95%CI). The 53

significant genes by the Window model (excluding PPE/PGRS genes) are marked in yellow.

Supplemental Table T2. Selection scores and statistical significance for all ORFs in the Mtb

H37Rv genome for the global Mtb genome collection (5,195 clinical isolates from 15 countries).

Results for the Window model in GenomegaMap are provided: The number of significant

codons ("strongly selected codons") are given, using a 95% credible interval as a significance

criterion (ω>1 for >97.5% of the MCMC samples). (The number of weakly selected codons using

80%CI is given too.) The genes are sorted by the "max_omega_LB" which is the peak height of

the lower blue curve in the omega plots (lower bound of the 95%CI). The 178 significant genes

by the Window model (excluding PPE/PGRS genes) are marked in yellow.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this work was provided in part by NIH grant AI143575 (TRI). Supercomputing time was provided by the High Performance Research Computing (HPRC) at Texas A&M University.

REFERENCES

Beckham, K. S. H., Ritter, C., Chojnowski, G., Ziemianowicz, D. S., Mullapudi, E., Rettel, M., Savitski, M. M., Mortensen, S. A., Kosinski, J., & Wilmanns, M. (2021). Structure of the mycobacterial ESX-5 type VII secretion system pore complex. *Sci Adv*, *7*(26). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg9923

Bitter, W., Houben, E. N., Bottai, D., Brodin, P., Brown, E. J., Cox, J. S., Derbyshire, K., Fortune, S. M., Gao, L. Y., Liu, J., Gey van Pittius, N. C., Pym, A. S., Rubin, E. J., Sherman, D. R., Cole, S. T., & Brosch, R. (2009). Systematic genetic nomenclature for type VII secretion systems. *PLoS Pathog*, *5*(10), e1000507. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000507

Blower, T. R., Williamson, B. H., Kerns, R. J., & Berger, J. M. (2016). Crystal structure and stability of gyrase-fluoroquinolone cleaved complexes from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *113*(7), 1706-1713. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525047113

Bosserman, R. E., Nguyen, T. T., Sanchez, K. G., Chirakos, A. E., Ferrell, M. J., Thompson, C. R., Champion, M. M., Abramovitch, R. B., & Champion, P. A. (2017). WhiB6 regulation of ESX-1 gene expression is controlled by a negative feedback loop in Mycobacterium marinum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *114*(50), E10772-E10781. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710167114

Broset, E., Martin, C., & Gonzalo-Asensio, J. (2015). Evolutionary landscape of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex from the viewpoint of PhoPR: implications for virulence regulation and application to vaccine development. *mBio*, *6*(5), e01289-01215. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01289-15

Champion, P. A., Champion, M. M., Manzanillo, P., & Cox, J. S. (2009). ESX-1 secreted virulence factors are recognized by multiple cytosolic AAA ATPases in pathogenic mycobacteria. *Mol Microbiol*, *73*(5), 950-962. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06821.x

Chen, J. M., Zhang, M., Rybniker, J., Basterra, L., Dhar, N., Tischler, A. D., Pojer, F., & Cole, S. T. (2013). Phenotypic profiling of Mycobacterium tuberculosis EspA point mutants reveals that blockage of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 secretion in vitro does not always correlate with attenuation of virulence. *J Bacteriol*, *195*(24), 5421-5430. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00967-13

Chiner-Oms, A., Lopez, M. G., Moreno-Molina, M., Furio, V., & Comas, I. (2022). Gene evolutionary trajectories in Mycobacterium tuberculosis reveal temporal signs of selection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *119*(17), e2113600119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2113600119

Chiner-Oms, A., Sanchez-Buso, L., Corander, J., Gagneux, S., Harris, S. R., Young, D., Gonzalez-Candelas, F., & Comas, I. (2019). Genomic determinants of speciation and spread of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. *Sci Adv*, *5*(6), eaaw3307. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw3307

801   Chirakos, A. E., Nicholson, K. R., Huffman, A., & Champion, P. A. (2020). Conserved ESX-1
802   Substrates EspE and EspF Are Virulence Factors That Regulate Gene Expression. *Infect*
803   *Immun*, *88*(12). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00289-20
804   Comas, I., Borrell, S., Roetzer, A., Rose, G., Malla, B., Kato-Maeda, M., Galagan, J., Niemann, S.,
805   & Gagneux, S. (2011). Whole-genome sequencing of rifampicin-resistant Mycobacterium
806   tuberculosis strains identifies compensatory mutations in RNA polymerase genes. *Nat*
807   *Genet*, *44*(1), 106-110. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.1038
808   Conrad, W. H., Osman, M. M., Shanahan, J. K., Chu, F., Takaki, K. K., Cameron, J., Hopkinson-
809   Woolley, D., Brosch, R., & Ramakrishnan, L. (2017). Mycobacterial ESX-1 secretion system
810   mediates host cell lysis through bacterium contact-dependent gross membrane
811   disruptions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *114*(6), 1371-1376.
812   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620133114
813   Constant, P., Perez, E., Malaga, W., Laneelle, M. A., Saurel, O., Daffe, M., & Guilhot, C. (2002).
814   Role of the pks15/1 gene in the biosynthesis of phenolglycolipids in the Mycobacterium
815   tuberculosis complex. Evidence that all strains synthesize glycosylated p-hydroxybenzoic
816   methyl esters and that strains devoid of phenolglycolipids harbor a frameshift mutation
817   in the pks15/1 gene. *J Biol Chem*, *277*(41), 38148-38158.
818   https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M206538200
819   Coscolla, M., & Gagneux, S. (2014). Consequences of genomic diversity in Mycobacterium
820   tuberculosis. *Semin Immunol*, *26*(6), 431-444.
821   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2014.09.012
822   Deng, L., Wang, Q., Liu, H., Jiang, Y., Xu, M., Xiang, Y., Yang, T., Yang, S., Yan, D., Li, M., Zhao, L.,
823   Zhao, X., Wan, K., He, G., Mijiti, X., & Li, G. (2024). Identification of positively selected
824   genes in Mycobacterium tuberculosis from southern Xinjiang Uygur autonomous region
825   of China. *Front Microbiol*, *15*, 1290227. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1290227
826   Edwards, D. J., Duchene, S., Pope, B., & Holt, K. E. (2021). SNPPar: identifying convergent
827   evolution and other homoplasies from microbial whole-genome alignments. *Microb*
828   *Genom*, *7*(12). https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000694
829   Farhat, M. R., Freschi, L., Calderon, R., Ioerger, T., Snyder, M., Meehan, C. J., de Jong, B., Rigouts,
830   L., Sloutsky, A., Kaur, D., Sunyaev, S., van Soolingen, D., Shendure, J., Sacchettini, J., &
831   Murray, M. (2019). GWAS for quantitative resistance phenotypes in Mycobacterium
832   tuberculosis reveals resistance genes and regulatory regions. *Nat Commun*, *10*(1), 2128.
833   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10110-6
834   Frigui, W., Bottai, D., Majlessi, L., Monot, M., Josselin, E., Brodin, P., Garnier, T., Gicquel, B.,
835   Martin, C., Leclerc, C., Cole, S. T., & Brosch, R. (2008). Control of M. tuberculosis ESAT-6
836   secretion and specific T cell recognition by PhoP. *PLoS Pathog*, *4*(2), e33.
837   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0040033
838   Furio, V., Moreno-Molina, M., Chiner-Oms, A., Villamayor, L. M., Torres-Puente, M., & Comas, I.
839   (2021). An evolutionary functional genomics approach identifies novel candidate regions
840   involved in isoniazid resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Commun Biol*, *4*(1), 1322.
841   https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02846-z
842   Goig, G. A., Windels, E. M., Loiseau, C., Stritt, C., Biru, L., Borrell, S., Brites, D., & Gagneux, S.
843   (2025). Ecology, global diversity and evolutionary mechanisms in the Mycobacterium
844   tuberculosis complex. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-025-01159-w

Gomes, L. C., Campino, S., Marinho, C. R. F., Clark, T. G., & Phelan, J. E. (2021). Whole genome
sequencing reveals large deletions and other loss of function mutations in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug resistance genes. *Microb Genom*, *7*(12).
https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000724

Gonzalo-Asensio, J., Malaga, W., Pawlik, A., Astarie-Dequeker, C., Passemar, C., Moreau, F., Laval,
F., Daffe, M., Martin, C., Brosch, R., & Guilhot, C. (2014). Evolutionary history of
tuberculosis shaped by conserved mutations in the PhoPR virulence regulator. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A, *111*(31), 11491-11496. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406693111

Hazbon, M. H., Brimacombe, M., Bobadilla del Valle, M., Cavatore, M., Guerrero, M. I., Varma-
Basil, M., Billman-Jacobe, H., Lavender, C., Fyfe, J., Garcia-Garcia, L., Leon, C. I., Bose, M.,
Chaves, F., Murray, M., Eisenach, K. D., Sifuentes-Osornio, J., Cave, M. D., Ponce de Leon,
A., & Alland, D. (2006). Population genetics study of isoniazid resistance mutations and
evolution of multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents*
Chemother, *50*(8), 2640-2649. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00112-06

Hicks, N. D., Yang, J., Zhang, X., Zhao, B., Grad, Y. H., Liu, L., Ou, X., Chang, Z., Xia, H., Zhou, Y.,
Wang, S., Dong, J., Sun, L., Zhu, Y., Zhao, Y., Jin, Q., & Fortune, S. M. (2018). Clinically
prevalent mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis alter propionate metabolism and
mediate multidrug tolerance. *Nat Microbiol*, *3*(9), 1032-1042.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0218-3

Holt, K. E., McAdam, P., Thai, P. V. K., Thuong, N. T. T., Ha, D. T. M., Lan, N. N., Lan, N. H., Nhu, N.
T. Q., Hai, H. T., Ha, V. T. N., Thwaites, G., Edwards, D. J., Nath, A. P., Pham, K., Ascher, D.
B., Farrar, J., Khor, C. C., Teo, Y. Y., Inouye, M., . . . Dunstan, S. J. (2018). Frequent
transmission of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Beijing lineage and positive selection
for the EsxW Beijing variant in Vietnam. *Nat Genet*, *50*(6), 849-856.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0117-9

Ismail, N., Dippenaar, A., Warren, R. M., Peters, R. P. H., & Omar, S. V. (2023). Emergence of
Canonical and Noncanonical Genomic Variants following In Vitro Exposure of Clinical
Mycobacterium tuberculosis Strains to Bedaquiline or Clofazimine. *Antimicrob Agents*
Chemother, *67*(4), e0136822. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01368-22

Kreutzfeldt, K. M., Jansen, R. S., Hartman, T. E., Gouzy, A., Wang, R., Krieger, I. V., Zimmerman,
M. D., Gengenbacher, M., Sarathy, J. P., Xie, M., Dartois, V., Sacchettini, J. C., Rhee, K. Y.,
Schnappinger, D., & Ehrt, S. (2022). CinA mediates multidrug tolerance in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Nat Commun*, *13*(1), 2203.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29832-1

Kumar, A., Toledo, J. C., Patel, R. P., Lancaster, J. R., Jr., & Steyn, A. J. (2007). Mycobacterium
tuberculosis DosS is a redox sensor and DosT is a hypoxia sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A, *104*(28), 11568-11573. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705054104

Lee, J. S., Krause, R., Schreiber, J., Mollenkopf, H. J., Kowall, J., Stein, R., Jeon, B. Y., Kwak, J. Y.,
Song, M. K., Patron, J. P., Jorg, S., Roh, K., Cho, S. N., & Kaufmann, S. H. (2008). Mutation
in the transcriptional regulator PhoP contributes to avirulence of Mycobacterium
tuberculosis H37Ra strain. *Cell Host Microbe*, *3*(2), 97-103.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.01.002

Li, M. C., Lu, J., Lu, Y., Xiao, T. Y., Liu, H. C., Lin, S. Q., Xu, D., Li, G. L., Zhao, X. Q., Liu, Z. G., Zhao,
L. L., & Wan, K. L. (2021). rpoB Mutations and Effects on Rifampin Resistance in

Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Infect Drug Resist*, *14*, 4119-4128.
https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S333433
Liu, Q., Zhu, J., Dulberger, C. L., Stanley, S., Wilson, S., Chung, E. S., Wang, X., Culviner, P., Liu, Y.
J., Hicks, N. D., Babunovic, G. H., Giffen, S. R., Aldridge, B. B., Garner, E. C., Rubin, E. J.,
Chao, M. C., & Fortune, S. M. (2022). Tuberculosis treatment failure associated with
evolution of antibiotic resilience. *Science*, *378*(6624), 1111-1118.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abq2787
Marin, M., Vargas, R., Harris, M., Jeffrey, B., Epperson, L. E., Durbin, D., Strong, M., Salfinger, M.,
Iqbal, Z., Akhundova, I., Vashakidze, S., Crudu, V., Rosenthal, A., & Farhat, M. R. (2022).
Benchmarking the empirical accuracy of short-read sequencing across the M.
tuberculosis genome. *Bioinformatics*, *38*(7), 1781-1787.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac023
Martin, C., Williams, A., Hernandez-Pando, R., Cardona, P. J., Gormley, E., Bordat, Y., Soto, C. Y.,
Clark, S. O., Hatch, G. J., Aguilar, D., Ausina, V., & Gicquel, B. (2006). The live
Mycobacterium tuberculosis phoP mutant strain is more attenuated than BCG and
confers protective immunity against tuberculosis in mice and guinea pigs. *Vaccine*,
24(17), 3408-3419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.03.017
Maruri, F., Sterling, T. R., Kaiga, A. W., Blackman, A., van der Heijden, Y. F., Mayer, C., Cambau, E.,
& Aubry, A. (2012). A systematic review of gyrase mutations associated with
fluoroquinolone-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis and a proposed gyrase
numbering system. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, *67*(4), 819-831.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr566
Matsunaga, I., Bhatt, A., Young, D. C., Cheng, T. Y., Eyles, S. J., Besra, G. S., Briken, V., Porcelli, S.
A., Costello, C. E., Jacobs, W. R., Jr., & Moody, D. B. (2004). Mycobacterium tuberculosis
pks12 produces a novel polyketide presented by CD1c to T cells. *J Exp Med*, *200*(12),
1559-1569. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20041429
Mortimer, T. D., Weber, A. M., & Pepperell, C. S. (2018). Signatures of Selection at Drug
Resistance Loci in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *mSystems*, *3*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00108-17
Nan, X. T., Li, M. C., Xiao, T. Y., Liu, H. C., Lin, S. Q., Wang, W., Qian, C., Hang, H., Li, G. L., Zhao, X.
Q., Wan, K. L., & Zhao, L. L. (2024). Different Contributions of embB and ubiA Mutations
to Variable Level of Ethambutol Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Isolates.
Infect Drug Resist, *17*, 3125-3132. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S466371
Nei, M. (2005). Selectionism and neutralism in molecular evolution. *Mol Biol Evol*, *22*(12), 2318-
2342. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi242
Nielsen, R., & Yang, Z. (1998). Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid
sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene. *Genetics*, *148*(3), 929-936.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/148.3.929
Parish, T. (2014). Two-Component Regulatory Systems of Mycobacteria. *Microbiol Spectr*, *2*(1),
MGM2-0010-2013. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MGM2-0010-2013
Park, H. D., Guinn, K. M., Harrell, M. I., Liao, R., Voskuil, M. I., Tompa, M., Schoolnik, G. K., &
Sherman, D. R. (2003). Rv3133c/dosR is a transcription factor that mediates the hypoxic
response of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Mol Microbiol*, *48*(3), 833-843.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03474.x

Pepperell, C. S., Casto, A. M., Kitchen, A., Granka, J. M., Cornejo, O. E., Holmes, E. C., Birren, B., Galagan, J., & Feldman, M. W. (2013). The role of selection in shaping diversity of natural M. tuberculosis populations. *PLoS Pathog*, *9*(8), e1003543. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003543

Pethe, K., Swenson, D. L., Alonso, S., Anderson, J., Wang, C., & Russell, D. G. (2004). Isolation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis mutants defective in the arrest of phagosome maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *101*(37), 13642-13647. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401657101

Phelan, J. E., O'Sullivan, D. M., Machado, D., Ramos, J., Oppong, Y. E. A., Campino, S., O'Grady, J., McNerney, R., Hibberd, M. L., Viveiros, M., Huggett, J. F., & Clark, T. G. (2019). Integrating informatics tools and portable sequencing technology for rapid detection of resistance to anti-tuberculous drugs. *Genome Med*, *11*(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-019-0650-x

Raman, S., Puyang, X., Cheng, T. Y., Young, D. C., Moody, D. B., & Husson, R. N. (2006). Mycobacterium tuberculosis SigM positively regulates Esx secreted protein and nonribosomal peptide synthetase genes and down regulates virulence-associated surface lipid synthesis. *J Bacteriol*, *188*(24), 8460-8468. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01212-06

Rizvi, A., Shankar, A., Chatterjee, A., More, T. H., Bose, T., Dutta, A., Balakrishnan, K., Madugulla, L., Rapole, S., Mande, S. S., Banerjee, S., & Mande, S. C. (2019). Rewiring of Metabolic Network in Mycobacterium tuberculosis During Adaptation to Different Stresses. *Front Microbiol*, *10*, 2417. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02417

Romagnoli, A., Etna, M. P., Giacomini, E., Pardini, M., Remoli, M. E., Corazzari, M., Falasca, L., Goletti, D., Gafa, V., Simeone, R., Delogu, G., Piacentini, M., Brosch, R., Fimia, G. M., & Coccia, E. M. (2012). ESX-1 dependent impairment of autophagic flux by Mycobacterium tuberculosis in human dendritic cells. *Autophagy*, *8*(9), 1357-1370. https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.20881

Rustad, T. R., Harrell, M. I., Liao, R., & Sherman, D. R. (2008). The enduring hypoxic response of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *PLoS One*, *3*(1), e1502. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001502

Sherman, D. R., Mdluli, K., Hickey, M. J., Arain, T. M., Morris, S. L., Barry, C. E., 3rd, & Stover, C. K. (1996). Compensatory ahpC gene expression in isoniazid-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Science*, *272*(5268), 1641-1643. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5268.1641

Sherman, D. R., Voskuil, M., Schnappinger, D., Liao, R., Harrell, M. I., & Schoolnik, G. K. (2001). Regulation of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis hypoxic response gene encoding alpha - crystallin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *98*(13), 7534-7539. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.121172498

Shi, R., Zhang, J., Li, C., Kazumi, Y., & Sugawara, I. (2006). Emergence of ofloxacin resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis clinical isolates from China as determined by gyrA mutation analysis using denaturing high-pressure liquid chromatography and DNA sequencing. *J Clin Microbiol*, *44*(12), 4566-4568. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01916-06

Singh, P. R., Goar, H., Paul, P., Mehta, K., Bamniya, B., Vijjamarri, A. K., Bansal, R., Khan, H., Karthikeyan, S., & Sarkar, D. (2023). Dual functioning by the PhoR sensor is a key

determinant to Mycobacterium tuberculosis virulence. *PLoS Genet*, *19*(12), e1011070. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1011070

Sirakova, T. D., Thirumala, A. K., Dubey, V. S., Sprecher, H., & Kolattukudy, P. E. (2001). The Mycobacterium tuberculosis pks2 gene encodes the synthase for the hepta- and octamethyl-branched fatty acids required for sulfolipid synthesis. *J Biol Chem*, *276*(20), 16833-16839. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M011468200

Spies, F. S., Ribeiro, A. W., Ramos, D. F., Ribeiro, M. O., Martin, A., Palomino, J. C., Rossetti, M. L., da Silva, P. E., & Zaha, A. (2011). Streptomycin resistance and lineage-specific polymorphisms in Mycobacterium tuberculosis gidB gene. *J Clin Microbiol*, *49*(7), 2625-2630. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00168-11

Sreevatsan, S., Pan, X., Stockbauer, K. E., Connell, N. D., Kreiswirth, B. N., Whittam, T. S., & Musser, J. M. (1997). Restricted structural gene polymorphism in the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex indicates evolutionarily recent global dissemination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *94*(18), 9869-9874. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.18.9869

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(9), 1312-1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Sun, Q., Xiao, T. Y., Liu, H. C., Zhao, X. Q., Liu, Z. G., Li, Y. N., Zeng, H., Zhao, L. L., & Wan, K. L. (2018). Mutations within embCAB Are Associated with Variable Level of Ethambutol Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Isolates from China. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, *62*(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01279-17

Sun, Z., Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., & Li, C. (2008). Comparison of gyrA gene mutations between laboratory-selected ofloxacin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains and clinical isolates. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, *31*(2), 115-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.10.014

Tiwari, S., Casey, R., Goulding, C. W., Hingley-Wilson, S., & Jacobs, W. R., Jr. (2019). Infect and Inject: How Mycobacterium tuberculosis Exploits Its Major Virulence-Associated Type VII Secretion System, ESX-1. *Microbiol Spectr*, *7*(3). https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.BAI-0024-2019

Trauner, A., Liu, Q., Via, L. E., Liu, X., Ruan, X., Liang, L., Shi, H., Chen, Y., Wang, Z., Liang, R., Zhang, W., Wei, W., Gao, J., Sun, G., Brites, D., England, K., Zhang, G., Gagneux, S., Barry, C. E., 3rd, & Gao, Q. (2017). The within-host population dynamics of Mycobacterium tuberculosis vary with treatment efficacy. *Genome Biol*, *18*(1), 71. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1196-0

Vilcheze, C., Weisbrod, T. R., Chen, B., Kremer, L., Hazbon, M. H., Wang, F., Alland, D., Sacchettini, J. C., & Jacobs, W. R., Jr. (2005). Altered NADH/NAD+ ratio mediates coresistance to isoniazid and ethionamide in mycobacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, *49*(2), 708-720. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.2.708-720.2005

Walker, B. J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C. A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J., Young, S. K., & Earl, A. M. (2014). Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One*, *9*(11), e112963. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112963

Walters, S. B., Dubnau, E., Kolesnikova, I., Laval, F., Daffe, M., & Smith, I. (2006). The Mycobacterium tuberculosis PhoPR two-component system regulates genes essential for

1021   virulence and complex lipid biosynthesis. *Mol Microbiol*, *60*(2), 312-330.
1022   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05102.x

1023 Wilson, D. J., & Consortium, C. R. (2020). GenomegaMap: Within-Species Genome-Wide dN/dS
1024   Estimation from over 10,000 Genomes. *Mol Biol Evol*, *37*(8), 2450-2460.
1025   https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa069

1026 Wong, S. Y., Javid, B., Addepalli, B., Piszczek, G., Strader, M. B., Limbach, P. A., & Barry, C. E., 3rd.
1027   (2013). Functional role of methylation of G518 of the 16S rRNA 530 loop by GidB in
1028   Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, *57*(12), 6311-6318.
1029   https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00905-13

1030 Xu, W., DeJesus, M. A., Rucker, N., Engelhart, C. A., Wright, M. G., Healy, C., Lin, K., Wang, R.,
1031   Park, S. W., Ioerger, T. R., Schnappinger, D., & Ehrt, S. (2017). Chemical Genetic
1032   Interaction Profiling Reveals Determinants of Intrinsic Antibiotic Resistance in
1033   Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, *61*(12).
1034   https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01334-17

1035 Yadon, A. N., Maharaj, K., Adamson, J. H., Lai, Y. P., Sacchettini, J. C., Ioerger, T. R., Rubin, E. J., &
1036   Pym, A. S. (2017). A comprehensive characterization of PncA polymorphisms that confer
1037   resistance to pyrazinamide. *Nat Commun*, *8*(1), 588. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
1038   017-00721-2

1039 Yang, C., Sobkowiak, B., Naidu, V., Codreanu, A., Ciobanu, N., Gunasekera, K. S., Chitwood, M.
1040   H., Alexandru, S., Bivol, S., Russi, M., Havumaki, J., Cudahy, P., Fosburgh, H., Allender, C.
1041   J., Centner, H., Engelthaler, D. M., Menzies, N. A., Warren, J. L., Crudu, V., . . . Cohen, T.
1042   (2022). Phylogeography and transmission of M. tuberculosis in Moldova: A prospective
1043   genomic analysis. *PLoS Med*, *19*(2), e1003933.
1044   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003933

1045 Yang, J., Li, J., Dong, L., & Grunewald, S. (2011). Analysis on the reconstruction accuracy of the
1046   Fitch method for inferring ancestral states. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *12*, 18.
1047   https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-18

1048 Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol*, *24*(8),
1049   1586-1591. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm088

1050 Zheng, W., Borja, M., Dorman, L. C., Liu, J., Zhou, A., Seng, A., Arjyal, R., Sunshine, S., Nalyvayko,
1051   A., Pisco, A. O., Rosenberg, O. S., Neff, N., & Zha, B. S. (2025). Single-cell analysis reveals
1052   Mycobacterium tuberculosis ESX-1-mediated accumulation of permissive macrophages
1053   in infected mouse lungs. *Sci Adv*, *11*(3), eadq8158.
1054   https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adq8158

1055

1056

Figure 1.

1060   Figure 2.

1061

1062

1063   Figure 3.

1064

1065

1066   Figure 4.

1067

1068

1069   Figure 5.

1070

1071

1072   Figure 6.

1073

1074

1075   Figure 7.

a) Window model

1076

b) Independent model

1077

1078

1079　　Figure 8.

1080

1081

1082   Supplemental Figure S1.

a)

b)

1083

1084

1085   Supplemental Figure S2.

1086

1087

1088   Supplemental Figure S3.

1089

1090

1091   Supplemental Figure S4.

1092

1093

1094   Supplemental Figure S5.

a)

b)

1095

1096

1097   Supplemental Figure S6.

1098

1099