Evaluating selection at intermediate scales within genes provides robust

identification of genes under positive selection in _M. tuberculosis_ clinical isolates

Thomas R. Ioerger* and Anthony Shatby

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Texas A&M University

* Corresponding author (ioerger@cs.tamu.edu)

Keywords: adaptation; selection pressures; drug resistance; genetic variants; clinical isolates

Abstract

Multiple studies have reported genes in the _M. tuberculosis_ (Mtb) genome that are under

diversifying selection, based on genetic variants among Mtb clinical isolates. These might

reflect adaptions to selection pressures associated with modern clinical treatment of TB. Many,

but not all, of these genes under selection are related to drug resistance. Most of these studies

have evaluated selection at the gene-level. However, positive selection can be evaluated on

different scales, including individual sites (codons) and local regions within an ORF. In this

paper, we use GenomegaMap, a Bayesian method for calculating selection, to evaluate selection

of genes in the Mtb genome at all three levels. We present evidence that the intermediate

analysis (windows of codons) provides the most credible list of candidate genes under selection.

A further advantage of this approach is that it identifies specific regions within proteins that are

under selective pressure, which is useful for structural and functional interpretation. In an

analysis of two separate collections of Mtb clinical isolates (from Moldova; and a globally-

representative set), we observed 53 and 178 significant genes under selection, with 36%

overlap. The lists of genes under selection include many drug-resistance genes, as well as other

genes that have previously been reported to be under selection (_resR, phoR_). The specific

regions under selection identified within drug-resistance genes are shown to correspond to

protein structural features known to be involved in resistance, supporting accuracy of the

method.   Positive selection in several ESX-1-related genes was also observed, suggesting

adaptation to immune pressure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies examining large collections of genome sequences of *M. tuberculosis* (Mtb)

clinical isolates have reported the identification of genes that appear to be under positive

selection [1-3]. Traditionally, positive selection is indicated by an excess of non-synonymous

over synonymous mutations (in protein-coding regions), although clustering of SNPs (e.g. in

non-coding regions), homoplasy [4], and other measures of convergent evolution [5] have also

been used in the literature. This is taken to imply on-going evolution and adaptation to modern

chemotherapy, including pressures due to antibiotic exposure, as well as adaptive mutations.

This is evidenced by the fact that genes associated with drug resistance are often found to have

among the highest selection signals [6]. For example, genes directly involved as drug targets or

activators (like *rpoB* or *katG*) exhibit selection, as well as genes with indirect effects, like efflux

pumps (*tap, mmr, mmpL5*) and regulators (*whiB6, resR, phoR*) that might confer tolerance

through changes in cell wall permeability, metabolism, etc. In addition, selection signals

sometimes appear in genes due to compensatory mechanisms, where mutations in one gene

help reduce the fitness costs of mutations in another [7].

Evaluating selection in ORFs (open reading frames) is usually done within a Bayesian framework,

where observed mutations are used to infer posterior probability distributions over mutation

rates that would best explain the observations. dN/dS is not just the ratio of raw counts of non-

synonymous (NS) to synonymous (S) mutations, but is corrected for a) relative number of

possibilities of NS and S changes for each codon (typically twice as many NS as S), and b) for

evolutionary time [8]. Positive selection is defined as dN/dS>1. First, a null model is postulated

where mutations occur randomly throughout an ORF and accumulate (exponentially) over time.

Models such as NY98 [9] use a parameterized codon substitution matrix for rates of substitution

between each pair of aligned codons, i and j, that differentiates transition vs transversion rates

(using a latent variable κ), and synonymous vs non-synonymous changes (using a latent

variable omega, ω), relative to background codon frequencies π_j:

59
$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 \; if \; codons \; differ \; by > 1 \; nucleotide \\ \pi_j \; for \; synonymous \; transversion \\ \kappa\pi_j \; for \; synonymous \; transitions \\ \omega\pi_j \; for \; non-synonymous \; transversion \\ \omega\kappa\pi_j \; for \; non-synonymous \; transition \end{cases}$$

60   [Eqn 1]

Over an epoch of evolutionary time t, the substitution matrix relaxes by the following equation:

$P(t) = e^{Qt}$. The likelihood function for observed allele frequencies, f_i, is assumed to be

Multinomial(f_i ; P(t)). Integrating out t, the marginal distribution for allele frequencies among

extant sequences can be shown to follow a multinomial-Dirichlet distribution [10]. Observed

mutations are mapped onto a phylogenetic tree to identify unique evolutionary events, and

then the relative number of synonymous and nonsynonymous events is used to compute

posterior distributions over the parameters (including ω) under different assumptions (such as

whether there is a constant molecular clock, or rates can differ independently in each branch).

PAML [11] is a widely-used tool for evaluating selection in sequence data, which uses maximum-

likelihood (ML) to estimate the model parameters. However, PAML does not scale-up well, and

is difficult to run for collections of thousands of genomes, preventing its use in studies such as

[1]. The complexity is derived from the requirement of large-scale phylogenetic trees for the

computations. Several alternative approaches for evaluating selection have been proposed that

are more efficient, enabling their use in analysis of large-scale collections. One such tool is

GenomegaMap [10]. GenomegaMap does not require a phylogenetic tree, aggregating SNPs

without regard for when the mutations occurred and in which branches, but otherwise relies on

a very similar Bayesian framework [Eqn 1] to explain the relative proportion of NS to S

mutations in an ORF. GenomegaMap uses Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods

(described in more detail below) to infer selection parameters for mutations observed in genes.

GenomegaMap was in fact applied to analyze >10,000 Mtb genome sequences from the

CRyPTIC collection (demonstrating its scalability) and proposed a list of genes under positive

selection, which included many drug-resistance-related genes (consistent with expectations).

As with PAML, GenomegaMap implements several different models for evaluating selection at

different levels of granularity. In addition to the gene-level analysis (referred to as the Constant

model in GenomegaMap), where all mutations in an ORF are aggregated, selection can also be

evaluated at the level of individual codons (referred to as the Individual model). Importantly,

these two models have different sensitivities for detecting selection. While analysis of selection

in individual codons might seem to have the highest resolution (signal-to-noise ratio), this

analysis suffers from the inability to exploit information at multiple nearby sites in a region

(such as in an active site, or protein-protein interaction site). On the other hand, while

aggregating SNPs at the gene-level can take advantage by combining mutations at multiple sites

within a gene, the signal for selection can be diluted by other unrelated mutations occurring in

other less-conserved parts of the protein.

An intermediate approach would be to evaluate selection signals in localized regions throughout

an ORF. Mutations that can similarly impact the function of a protein often involve multiple

residues that cluster together in sequence (and hence in 3D structure), such as residues in an

active site or a site of protein-protein interaction. This then produces the appearance of

clusters of non-synonymous mutations in multiple alignments of genes under selection. To

evaluate selection in such clustered regions, GenomegaMap offers a third mode, called the

"Window" model, in which an ORF is divided into several contiguous segments, and an

independent ω parameter is used to model selection in each region. This model assumes

selection pressure will be constant among codons within each region, but can differ between

regions within an ORF. Importantly, the number and boundaries of these regions are

themselves parameters of the model, and can be adjusted dynamically to maximize fit to

mutation data. The advantage of this Window approach is that it produces a "smoothed"

selection signal (over adjacent codons) by identifying regions within the ORF where there is a

cluster of SNPs with a localized over-representation of non-synonymous to synonymous SNPs.

GenomegaMap uses MCMC sampling to simulate posterior probability distributions over all

model parameters by generating a sequence of parameter combinations from a Markov chain;

in the end, the mean value and credible interval for each parameter over the trajectory can be

extracted (after removing an initial "burn-in" portion of the trajectory).

Comparing the quality of model fits based on total likelihood, Wilson [10] concluded that the

Individual model (identifying genes with individual codons under positive selection) fit the data

better than the Window model. However, using a criterion of $Pr(\omega>1)>0.9$ (dN/dS>1 in >90% of

MCMC samples), thousands of genes were found to have at least one codon under selection

(see Fig 3 in Wilson). While many drug-resistance-related genes were included, when genes

were ranked by the proportion of codons under selection, some expected genes, like *gyrA, rpoB,*

and *embB*, were found to have ranks in the range of 2000-3000 (out of ~4000 genes). For the

purposes of prioritization, it would be expected that such genes would be ranked closer to the

top.

In this paper, we empirically compare the three different models of selection – at the gene-level,

codon-level, and in local windows (gene segments) – by evaluating them on analysis of a set of

~2000 Mtb clinical isolates from Moldova [12]. While thousands of genes have $\omega>1$ (as a point

estimate), determining which genes are statistically significant depends critically on the MCMC

sampling (through credible intervals). We show that using tighter criteria for significance than

were used in [10] produces a more focused list of candidates for genes under positive selection.

While many expected genes that have been shown to be associated with drug resistance appear

on both lists, we show that the Window model often better identifies regions within these

proteins that are known to be antibiotic binding sites based on X-ray crystal structures. In

contrast, we show that the Individual model has a bias toward identifying more codons under

selection in large ORFs, suggesting it is more susceptible to noise. Based on these observations,

we argue that the Window model in GenomegaMap is the most reliable approach for

identifying genes under selection, which results from the smoothing effect achieved by

modeling localized variations in ω within regions of a protein (with adjustable borders). As

validation, we show that, a similar list of genes under positive selection is derived from an

independent set of over 5,000 Mtb clinical isolates from 15 other countries (from the CRyPTIC

collection), also using the Window model.

The data on positive selection and genetic variants in both collections of clinical isolates

(Moldova and CRyPTIC) is available online (https://orca1.tamu.edu/selection/) as a public

resource.

2, METHODS

Raw sequencing data (fastq files) for isolates from Moldova were downloaded from SRA using accession numbers provided in [12]. Sequencing datasets from the CRyPTIC collection were downloaded from Supp Table 3 in [10]. While isolates could not be selected based on lineage (data not available), to promote genetic diversity, we performed stratified sampling by selecting approximately 500 isolates each from 15 different countries. TB-profiler [13] was run on the isolates to identify Mtb lineage, drug-resistance genotypes, and estimated depth of coverage (using *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv as a reference). Isolates that had < 40x coverage or were potentially mixed (with more than one sublineage represented, based on characteristic SNPs) were discarded.

Next, Pilon [14] was used to map read to the H37Rv genome (NC_000962.3_ and extract genetic variants (in the form of .vcf files), including SNPs and indels; Pilon also identifies low-coverage and heterogeneous sites. From the .vcf files, the nucleotide sequences of each ORF were extracted from each isolate, and aggregated by ORF (as .fasta files). Codons with low-coverage or heterogeneous nucleotides, or nonsense mutations (stop codons) were converted to gap characters ('---'), which are subsequently disregarded in the calculations by GenomegaMap.

GenomegaMap was then run on each ORF using scripts the for Constant, Individual, and Window models (https://github.com/danny-wilson/genomegaMap). For the MCMC sampling for Individual and Window, the trajectory length was 100,000 iterations, saving parameters every 250 steps, and eliminating the first 20% of samples as the burn-in phase (to reduce the influence of initial random parameters and ensure the sampler had reached equilibrium). After the MCMC sampling, the value of ω for each codon was summarized over each trajectory by extracting the mean and other statistics (like range and variance), and a 95% credible interval (95%CI) was calculated for the ω value for each codon.

129 PPE and PE_PGRS genes were systematically excluded from the analysis, as they harbored apparent mutations that caused many genes in these two families to exhibit selection signals,

but these might be artifacts due to uncertainties in sequencing associated with these genes

[15].

Generation of simulated genes for comparison of GenomegaMap models under the assumption

of neutral evolution was done as follows. First, a phylogenetic tree based on the 2057 Moldova

clinical isolates was constructed using RAxML [16]. Then, the least common ancestral sequence

(LCA) was computed for each ORF in the Mtb genome (excluding PPE and PE_PGRS genes, and

genes known to be involved in drug resistance). The LCA nucleotide at each site in a given gene

was derived by using Fitch's algorithm [17] to propagate observed nucleotides from leaves

(2057 isolates) up to the root. Then, each distinct mutational event (i.e. SNP associated with a

particular branch point in the tree) was randomly assigned to a new site within a new gene.

This resampling process resulted in 39,564 mutations permuted to new positions among

3,446,666 sites within coding regions of 3877 genes (with the same size distribution as in the

Mtb genome), which was then used to generate the 2057 variant sequences for each isolate for

each gene.

3. RESULTS

We used GenomegaMap to investigate genes under positive selection in a collection of 2236

Mtb clinical isolates from Moldova, representing all reported cases in 2018-2019 [12]. The aim

of the study to identify transmission clusters within the region. The isolates were found to be

predominantly members of lineage 2 and lineage 4, and approximately one-half of the isolates

were (genotypically) drug-resistant (based on DR markers to one or more antitubercular drugs),

with 32.7% MDR-TB (INH+RIF) (lineage and DR distributions are shown in Supp Fig S1). After

downloading and preprocessing the raw sequencing data and filtering out low-quality samples,

2057 isolates were selected for analysis.

3.1. Comparison of genes under positive selection by 3 models with GenomegaMap.

With the Window model (where different regions within each ORF are modeled with locally-

constant omega values), the MCMC simulation produces a sample of parameter combinations,

including a representation of the posterior probability distribution of omega values for each

codon. Besides the mean value of omega for each codon, the dispersion of the sample can be

used to assess confidence. In [10], the criterion used for significance was if ≥ 90% of the

samples had ω >1. This corresponds to a (two-sided) 80% credible interval, which is overly

generous, potentially generating many false positive codons and genes under selection (245

genes by this criterion). Instead, we employ a more stringent criterion of ≥ 97.5% of samples

having ω >1, equivalent to a more standard (two-sided) 95% credible interval. Using this

criterion, 53 genes were identified as having at least one codon under positive selection (Table

1). (dN/dS scores and statistical significance for all genes are provided in Supplemental Table

T1.)

Table 1. 53 genes with statistically significant evidence of positive selection in the Moldova

collection of Mtb clinical isolates. using the Window model analysis in GenomegaMap.

Rv0006/*gyrA*	Rv1286/*cysN*	Rv2258c/-	Rv2940c/*mas*
Rv0093c/-	Rv1288/-	Rv2388c/*hemN*	Rv3109/*moaA1*
Rv0229c/-	Rv1320c/-	Rv2395/-	Rv3132c/*devS*
Rv0275c/-	Rv1699/*pyrG*	Rv2407/-	Rv3299c/*atsB*
Rv0392c/*ndhA*	Rv1830/*resR*	Rv2447c/*folC*	Rv3452/*cut4*
Rv0667/*rpoB*	Rv1899c/*lppD*	Rv2544/*lppB*	Rv3695/-
Rv0668/*rpoC*	Rv1901/*cinA*	Rv2652c/-	Rv3736/-
Rv0758/*phoR*	Rv1934c/*fadE17*	Rv2779c/-	Rv3795/*embB*
Rv0931c/*pknD*	Rv2043c/*pncA*	Rv2825c/-	Rv3806c/*ubiA*
Rv0969/*ctpV*	Rv2048c/*pks12*	Rv2874/*dipZ*	Rv3822/-
Rv0988/-	Rv2082/-	Rv2881c/*cdsA*	Rv3828c/-
Rv1093/*glyA1*	Rv2176/*pknL*	Rv2885c/-	Rv3888c/-
Rv1130/*prpD*	Rv2252/-	Rv2934/*ppsD*	Rv3911/*sigM*
			Rv3919c/*gid*

The 53 genes under positive selection in the Moldova dataset (by the Window method) contain

many genes known to be involved in drug resistance, including *rpoB* (RNA polymerase, target of

rifampicin), *gyrA* (DNA gyrase, target of fluroquinolones, *gidB* (ribosome methyltransferase,

affects sensitivity to streptomycin), *pncA* (pyrazinamidase, activator of pyrazinamide), and *embB*

(target of ethambutol). These will be discussed in more detail below. *resR* (Rv1830) also

exhibits positive selection in this dataset, consistent with the findings in [1], where it was shown

to be associated with general resilience to antibiotics. *phoR* is a two-component sensor

implicated in stress response and virulence [18, 19] that has been shown to be under positive

selection in Mtb using phylodynamics (i.e. increase in non-synonymous mutation rate,

coincident with expansion into humans as pathogen) [20]. Other genes under selection that can

play a regulatory role and potentially adaptive role include *pknD* (protein Ser/Thr kinase), *devS*

(two-component sensor, regulator of hypoxic response [21]), *sigM* (a sigma factor that could

influence ESX-4 or PDIM expression [22]), and Rv1320 (an adenylate cyclase, which are often

involved in signaling/regulation). None of the three models identified *katG* (activator of

isoniazid) as positively selected in this collection, despite mutations in *katG* being the most

frequently observed in INH-resistant isolates. Wilson [10] also noted that *katG* was not found to

be under positive selection by the Window model in their larger dataset, but was identified by

the Independent model (because the majority of INH-resistance mutations occur in a single

codon: Ser315). However, this could also be easily identified by homoplasy analysis [4], as

mutations at this site often occur multiple times independently in clinical populations.

The evaluation of genes under positive selection using the Independent model yielded 44

significant genes, using the more stringent criterion of at least 1 codon with $\omega > 1$ in $\geq 97.5\%$ of

the MCMC samples. This list of 44 genes has some overlap with the 53 significant genes by the

Window model; there are 19 genes in the intersection, including most of the drug-resistance-

related genes (see Venn diagram in Figure 1a). However, there are also many unique genes

identified by each of the analysis methods. The Window model also highlighted *ubiA*

(arabinogalactan synthesis pathway; harbors resistance mutations to ethambutol) and *folC*

(resistance mutations to inhibitors of folate pathway like PAS or sulfamethoxazole), which the

Independent model did not.

With the Constant model (5000 MCMC iterations), 1924 genes have $\omega > 1$, but only 61 are

significant (LB of 95%CI > 1). Two-thirds of these are PPE or PE_PGRS genes; after filtering these

out, 22 genes remain that exhibit statistically significant positive selection. The only drug-

resistance-related genes among these are *pncA, gidB,* and *ubiA*. Other DR genes like *rpoB, gyrA,*

embB, and *katG* did not reach significance using this model. Many of the significant genes by

the Window model have ω below or only marginally above 1 in the Constant model, including

many of the genes implicated in drug resistance mentioned above (see Figure 1b). However,

the lower bound of the 95% credible interval is less than 1.0 for almost all of the 53 genes

significant by the Window model. For example, *embB* has a gene-level ω 1.19, but the 95%CI

lower bound is 0.73 (not significant), and is ranked #141. Only 6 genes are significant in both

models (including *pncA, gidB,* and *ubiA*). The fact that the Window model captures more drug-

resistance-related genes reinforces the utility of focusing the analysis on selected regions within

a gene (Window model), whereas the selection signal at the whole-gene level (Constant model)

can get diluted by SNPs in other less-relevant parts of the protein.

3.2. Genes significant by Window model exhibit selection in regions of protein associated with

drug resistance.

One of the advantages of evaluating genes for positive selection using the Window model is that

it identifies segments of specific amino acids harboring the signal (rather than requiring a

constant omega parameter for the entire gene). In the case of genes commonly associated with

drug resistance, the regions under selection often co-occur with known drug-binding regions of

the protein. This co-location of selection signals with active sites or structural binding sites for

antibiotics lends confidence that the Window model is detecting genuine signals of selective

pressure.

The localization of the selection signals within each ORF can be observed in omega plots, as

illustrated in Figure 2 (omega plots for *gyrA* and *embB*). These plots show the mean of the

posterior distribution of omega (average of the MCMC samples) for each codon (black line).

Note that the curve is smoothed, which is a consequence of the Window model, where the

omega value for one codon effectively combines local information on genetic variants at

surrounding codons by assuming the codons within discrete regions of the ORF share a common

omega value). (The boundaries of the regions get adjusted dynamically during the MCMC

sampling.) Therefore, peaks in the selection signal tend to center on clusters of SNPs where

there is a local over-abundance of non-synonymous mutations. In contrast, the omega plot of a

gene using the Independent model is much more variable (spiky), because the omega values at

one codon is completely independent from the other around it, and there is no sharing of

information locally. (Omega plots for *gyrA* and *embB* based on the Independent model, which

are much less smooth, are shown in the Supplemental Figure S2).

Importantly, although the omega value might exceed 1.0 in multiple regions of the ORF, this

does not necessarily mean it is significant. To distinguish genuine selection signals from random

fluctuations, we can utilize the dispersion in the MCMC samples to assess certainty. The 95%

credible interval (95%CI) of the MCMC samples represents the range of possible values of

omega for each codon. The upper and lower bounds of the 95% CI are shown as blue dashed

lines in the figure. The significant codons are (only) those for which the lower bound of the

95%CI exceeds 1.0, or when $\omega > 1$ in 97.5% of the MCMC samples.

In the case of GyrA, there are two significant peaks. The predominant peak consists of residues

89 to 95 (where omega peaks at 6.7). This contains the two codons most frequently associated

with fluoroquinolone (FQ) resistance – A90 and D94 [23]. In fact, although FQ resistance was

only ~10% in this population (10.3% for moxifloxacin), A90V was observed in 40 isolates in the

Moldova collection, along with D89G/N and D94G/A/N/Y/H. The region also included S95T,

which is known to be a lineage-specific marker not associated with drug resistance [24]. The

reason it was included was likely due to: a) proximity to D94, and b) a single isolate had an

additional non-synonymous mutation, S95A. There is also a minor peak at residues 70-74,

which is just barely significant (lower bound of 95%CI just barely exceeds 1.0). This is in an

adjacent alpha-helix, also in the DNA-binding pocket; A74S been reported in FQ-resistant clinical

isolates, but is less frequently observed [25]. In one study, A74S was only observed in mutants

with D94G, so it might be compensatory [26]. Although, some mutations in *gyrB* have also been

reported to be associated with FQ-resistance, especially in the region around Arg482 (which is

proximal to where fluoroquinolones bind in the 3D structures of the GyrAB complex [27], and

some segments of *gyrB* have $\omega > 1$ by the Window model, none of these is statistically significant.

A similar pattern of selection is observed in *embB* (Figure 2b) in the arabinogalactan (AG)

synthesis pathway. The three codons most commonly observed to be mutated in ethambutol-

resistant mutants– residues 306, 406, and 497 [28] – all exhibit a significant ω value. In

addition, residues 305 (adjacent to 306) and 317-338 (in between 306 and 406) are highlighted

as significant. In addition, in *ubiA*, residues 171-176 have significant ω values. UbiA is also in

the AG pathway, and these residues have been reported in EMB-resistant mutants [29].

It is notable that *pncA* and *gidB*, two of the genes with the strongest selection signals (mean

ω=2.93 and 1.94, respectively), show selection in regions throughout the gene (151 and 78

codons respectively, see Supp Fig S3), which is consistent with the diversity of resistance

mutations observed, including loss-of-function [30]. *pncA* is an activator of pyrazinamide, and

gid is a ribosomal rRNA methyltransferase that natively facilitates binding of streptomycin [31,

32]. Hence any mutations that abrogate function of these two genes could confer resistance

[33]. This could explain why GenomegaMap detects a significant signal for positive selection

that is diffused across both of these targets.

3.2.1. RpoB

Rifampicin resistance mutations are commonly observed in of *rpoB* (beta' chain of RNA

polymerase), specifically in the Rifampicin Resistance Determining Region (RRDR), residues 435-

452, including the most frequent mutation S450L in RIF-R mutants), which lines the physical

binding site for rifampicin. As observed in the omega plot, there are two strong peaks indicating

regions of the ORF with significant selection (see Figure 3a). The first peak (residues 435-451),

with omega values exceeding 4, clearly corresponds to the RRDR. The second peak consists of

residues 488-496. These have been reported to be associated with rifampicin-resistant clinical

isolates in China [34]. Since they co-occurred with mutations in the RRDR region of *rpoB*, it was

speculated that they could be compensatory. We observe this in the Moldova collection too. In

fact, based on the phylogenetic reconstruction (generated using RAxML), there are two clear

cases where mutation events in the 488-496 region occurred in lower branches after mutations

in the RRDR. For example, 15 isolates with the *rpoB*:I488V were embedded in a cluster of 111

isolates with *rpoB*:S450L. This supports the hypothesis that mutations in the 488-496 region

might help reduce the fitness cost of the earlier mutations in the RRDR. In crystal structures of

the RNA polymerase, the residues 488-496 are in a parallel beta strand to 426-452 in the RIF-

binding pocket (with Ile491 directly adjacent to Ser450; see Figure 3b), which could provide a

structural explanation for how mutations at one site could mitigate the fitness cost of mutations

at the other.

In addition to RIF-resistance mutations in *rpoB*, compensatory mutations have been observed in

rpoC, especially around residues 432-527 [7]. Indeed, *rpoC* is also in the list of 53 genes

exhibiting positive selection in the Moldova clinical isolates (using the Window model). As

shown in Figure 3c, several regions of codons attain significance, including 431-436, 445-447,

482-498, and 502-527, which corresponds well with the region described by Comas et al [7].

3.2.2. CinA

CinA has recently been implicated in INH tolerance through TnSeq studies, where it was found

to be non-essential under regular growth conditions (7H9 medium), but conditionally more

essential (decreased Tn insertion counts) in the presence of isoniazid (INH) [35]. It was

subsequently shown to be involved in NADH recycling, and to mitigate the impact of INH-NADH

adducts [36]. It was also shown to affect MICs for delamanid (DLM) and pretomanid (PTM),

which also generate NADH adducts. CinA has two domains: an N-terminal pyrophosphatase

and C-terminal deamidase. The activity influencing drug sensitivity was localized to the

pyrophosphatase domain. The omega plot (Figure 4a) exhibits a significant peak for residues in

the 82-86 range (in the pyrophosphatase domain). Genetic variants observed in this region

include: T82I, V83F, and V86A. These residues are in an alpha-helix proximal to Asp80, which

projects into the active site and coordinates Mg^{2+} bound to the diphosphate in NADH, in a

crystal structure of CinA homolog from *T. thermophilus* (PDB: 4uux) (see Figure 4b). Mutating

Asp80 (D80A) abrogates enzyme activity and increases INH sensitivity [36]. In fact, one of the

isolates in the Moldova collection had D80E, which likely also affects CinA function. None of the

isolates with these mutations in CinA were genotypically resistant to INH, ETH, DLM, or PTM

(based on drug-resistance markers analyzed by TB-profiler). Nonetheless, the proximity of

these mutations to the active site suggest that CinA is under genuine selective pressure in this

population, the source of which is still unknown. In contrast, only residue 421 was detected as

under positive selection in CinA by the Independent model.

3.2.3. PhoR

phoR has among the strongest signals for positive selection in the Moldova dataset. *phoR* is

part of a two-component sensor, PhoPR [18], which plays a key role in virulence [37, 38]. *phoR*

is membrane-bound sensor coupled to a histidine kinase that phosphorylates *phoP*, which binds

DNA and acts as transcription factor. There is strong evidence for *phoR* being under positive

selection in clinical isolates in previous studies [20]. and mutations in *phoR* have been

hypothesized to influence transmissibility and might have been a key step in the evolution of

Mtb into a human pathogen [39].

In the Moldova dataset, the codons in *phoR* with genetic variants under positive selection

include: 52-84 and 145-159 (in the periplasmic sensor domain) and 188-201 (in the HAMP

domain; an alpha-helical domain that facilitates oligomerization by form coiled-coils, often

utilized in signal transduction proteins) (see Figure 5). The polymorphism in *phoR* that

differentiates Mtb *phoR* from the ortholog in *M. bovis*, which is associated with decreased

transmission in humans, is G71I [39], which falls in the middle of the sensor domain. Gonzalo-

Asensio et al. showed that recombineering this mutation into L2 and L4 strains actually down-

regulates expression of the PhoPR regulon. Thus, the analysis of positive selection using the

Window model in GenomegaMap suggests that other non-synonymous mutations in the sensor

domain might cause similar functional changes that are ultimately beneficial and lead to

selection in Mtb clinical isolates. Mutations in the HAMP domain will have to be further

investigated to determine their impact on PhoPR function and understand how this can lead to

adaptation.

3.3. The Independent model is biased toward large ORFs.

One notable aspect of the genes exhibiting significant selection under the Independent model is that it contains many polyketide synthase (PKS) genes. Of the 16 PKS genes in the Mtb H37Rv genome, 9 are significant in the Independent model, along with *nrp* (Rv0101, non-ribosomal peptide synthase, NPRS). These modular (multi-domain) proteins are among the largest genes in the genome, with 10 out of 16 have length over 1000 amino acids (*pks12* is largest, with 4150 aa, and NRPS is second largest, with 2511 aa). The PKS genes under positive selection are almost all unique calls for the Independent omdel (see Figure 1a); the only PKS gene that is also detected as significant by the Window model is *pks12*. A comparison of the sizes of the genes under positive selection detected by each model is shown in the Figure 6a. Note that the size distribution of the 44 genes significant by Independent model is skewed higher than the 53 genes significant by the Window, which has a distribution more similar to the overall distribution of ORF sizes in the genome (represented by the histogram). Figure 6b-c shows the number of genes detected by each model in different bin sizes. When normalized by the total number of genes in each bin (Figure 6d-e), the considerable over-representation of genes in the largest bin (1200-4152 aa) by the Independent model is apparent – over 40% of these largest genes contain a one or more codons under positive selection according to the Independent model (Figure 6e). By comparison, the Window model shows much less bias toward larger genes.

It is possible that positive selection of PKS genes could reflect biologically-meaningful selection pressures, since PKS genes make glycolipid components of the cell well that often are recognized by and module the immune response in vivo [40-42]. However, the fact that so many PKS genes show signs of positive selection could also reflect a general bias that the Independent model is more likely to identify random codons as under positive selection in larger genes, which could explain why the largest genes tend to be characterized as under selection by this model.

To evaluate this hypothesis, we performed a simulation experiment to estimate the number of genes that would be expected to obtain significance by the Independent or the Window model

under a Null model (approximating neutral evolution). Simulated genes were generated that

had mutations that occurred at the same rate as the original Moldova dataset, but evolved

under the assumption of *neutral evolution*. This was achieved by first computing the least-

common ancestral (LCA) sequence for each ORF in the Mtb genome (excluding PPE and

PE_PGRS genes, and genes known to be involved in drug resistance). Then, each distinct

mutational event (i.e. SNP associated with a particular branch point in the tree) was randomly

assigned to a new site within a new gene. This resampling process resulted in 39,564 distinct

nucleotide changes permuted to new positions among 3,446,666 sites within coding regions of

3877 genes (with the same size distribution as in the Mtb genome), which was then used to

generate the 2057 variant sequences for each isolate for each gene. This process decouples the

localization of each nucleotide substitution (e.g. clustering within ORFs) and coding impact

(whether it is synonymous or non-synonymous).

The 3877 simulated gene sequences were then re-analyzed by each of the three GenomegaMap

methods. The number of genes detected as significant under this Null model by the

Independent model was 66 out of 3877 (~1.7%), whereas only 14 of the simulated genes were

identified as under significant positive selection for the Window model (~0.4%) (see Table 2).

For comparison, we also ran the Constant model on the same set of simulated genes, which

detected 16 genes with significant positive selection. Thus, the Independent model would be

expected to have a ~4.5x higher false-positive rate (FPR) relative to the Window model.

Furthermore, the Independent model again shows a bias toward detecting positive selection

among larger genes (see Figure 7). This suggests that the Independent model is more

susceptible to false positive calls, due to modeling each codon with its own individual ω

parameter, whereas the Window model is <u>more robust</u> because it combines information (on

genetic variants) locally over multiple codons (clusters of SNPs) (through use a constant ω

parameter for each segment of the ORF, with boundaries that vary over the MCMC simulation).

Table 2. Evaluation of positive selection on 3877 simulated genes (under a Null

hypothesis of neutral evolution).

GenomegaMap model	level of selection analysis	number of significant genes under positive selection (estimated FPR)
Constant	individual codons	16/3877 (0.41%)
Window	groups of codons	14/3877 (0.36%)
Independent	whole gene	66/3877 (1.7%)

3.4. Validation by analysis of an independent collection of Mtb isolates from other countries.

To evaluate whether the genes identified as under positive selection in the Moldova dataset are generally representative, or might be specific to that geographic region, we carried out a similar analysis in a larger collection of 5,195 isolates from 15 other countries. These isolates were also predominantly from lineages L2 and L4, but L1 and L3 were also represented. Approximately one-third of the isolates were drug-resistant, and most of these (33.4%) being MDR (markers for both INH-R and RIF-R, based on TB-profiler). Resistance to EMB and STR was high, as well; fluoroquinolone resistance was lower, at around 10%. (Histograms of the geographic distribution, lineages, and genotypic drug resistance are shown in Supplemental Figures S4 and S5). We applied the same pipeline for genome assembly, quality filtering, and calling of genetic variants as above.

Using the Window model in GenomegaMap, 178 genes were found to be under positive selection in this global dataset, using the same criterion for significance (with at least 1 region with $\omega > 1$ for at least 97.5% of the MCMC samples) (see Supplemental Table T2). 19 of the 53 significant genes in the Moldova dataset were also observed in this global collection, representing a 36% overlap. Predictably, this includes many drug-resistance-related genes, like *pncA, gid, rpoB, rpoC, gyrA, embB* and *folC*. An additional gene implicated in drug-resistance that is uniquely significant in the global collection is *aac* (Rv0262c, aminoglycoside acetyltransferase). Codons under positive selection an *aac* span 86-94. These residues form a loop that binds CoA (rather than the aminoglycoside), based on crystal structure of the complex

of *aac* with kanamycin (PDB: 1M4I; see Supplemental Figure S6). Other notable genes found to

be under selection in both datasets include *pks12, phoR,* and *resR*. The global positive-selection

gene set also includes some additional genes that have been previously reported in other

studies of positive selection in Mtb. For example, *whiB6* is a transcriptional regulator that was

found to be associated with multi-drug-resistance in a GWAS study of clinical isolates from Peru

[6]. *whiB6* has also been implicated in expression of ESX-1 (see below) [43].

3.5. ESX-1 genes exhibit signs of positive selection

Several components of the ESX-1 complex exhibit positive selection in the larger global

collection of Mtb clinical isolates, including *eccCa1, eccD1*, and *eccE1*, along with *espA*, one of

several proteins secreted by ESX-1. In addition, *eccB1, esxA* (ESAT-6)*, and espFIJ* all contained

segments showing evidence of positive selection by the weaker definition of significance (>90%

of MCMC samples had ω>1). Only *espB* and *espK* showed weak significance in the Moldova

dataset, possibly because of the smaller number of isolates. ESX-1 is one of five type-VII

secretion systems (T7Ss) in Mtb [44]. It plays an important role in interacting with the host

immune system, including phagosome-maturation arrest, host cell membrane lysis, inhibition of

autophagy [45], and up-regulation of host-cell genes and cytokine signaling [46-48], and is

required for virulence in animal models of infection [49]. The ESX-1 locus (Rv3864-Rv3883c)

contains members of the transmembrane pore complex (*eccA1-E1*), the *mycP1* protease, and

several secreted effectors (*espBEFGHIJKL, esxAB, pe35, ppe68*). Interestingly *espACD* are in a

separate locus (Rv3514c-Rv3616c). Specifically, *espA* binds to the ESX-1 pore complex and

facilitates secretion of EsxA and EsxB [50]. The region of *espA* under positive selection includes

codons 67-72 (Figure 8a). Non-synonymous genetic variants in this region include: K66R/Q,

N67T, R68A, N69S, H70Y, V71L, N72K. These residues lie in an alpha-helix of a predicted 4-helix

bundle, proximal to the WXG motif (res. 55-57) (see Figure 8b), which forms a loop that plays a

critical role in recognition by the ESX-1 pore complex [50]. As noted above, *whiB6*, and *phoR,*

which both regulate expression of ESX-1 [43, 51], also exhibit positive selection in the global

dataset.

4. DISCUSSION

Mtb is considered well-adapted to its lifecycle as an obligate human pathogen, and the genome is fairly homogeneous (low diversity) [52]. Strong evidence for purifying selection has been found throughout the genome [53]. Nevertheless, sporadic SNPs and other polymorphisms are often observed in genomes of individual isolates (presumably arising within patients during the course of infection [54]), which are infrequent (unfixed alleles, appearing in only one or a few isolates), reflecting on-going evolution [55]. Some of these mutations might reflect adaptations to pressures related to modern clinical treatment of TB, including chemotherapy, but also effects of co-morbidities (e.g. diabetes, COPD, malnutrition), co-infection (e.g. HIV), which could involve exposure to non-TB antibiotics as well as impacting patient immune status, oxidative environment, etc. Statistical methods for evaluating positive selection are a powerful tool for identifying genes adaptive to these pressures in large genomic databases.

There have been multiple studies investigating positive selection among genomes of Mtb clinical isolates, based on principles ranging from phenotype-genotype associations [6], to SNP clustering (e.g. nucleotide diversity, mutation burden) [1, 4], to homoplasy [4] and, more broadly, convergent evolution [5], to traditional dN/dS analysis [3, 13], to phylodynamics [2]. In addition to genes already known to be involved in drug-resistance, they have identified other genes which may play an adaptive role to modern clinical stresses, such as *resR* [1] and *phoR* [20]. Most of these studies evaluated selection at the gene-level. However, selection can be analyzed at other scales, including codon-level and clusters of SNPs (intermediate level). The purpose of this study was to evaluate and compare the results of all three levels of analysis.

One of the potential problems with gene-level analysis is that the selection signal, which might derive from one or a few residues (e.g. in an active site) could get diluted from mutations in other less-relevant parts of the ORF, when aggregating SNPs over the whole ORF. On the other hand, analyzing each codon independently cannot take advantage of clustering of SNPs, which often occurs in active sites, or sites of protein-protein interaction. We used GenomegaMap as a

tool, which implements all 3 analyses within a Bayesian framework, and uses MCMC sampling

to make inferences about parameters (omega) and their certainty (credible intervals).

We found that the intermediate (or "Window" model) provides the most compelling list of

candidate genes. The Window model segments the ORF into multiple contiguous regions, and

allows each region to have a different ω parameter, but assumes the codons within a local

region all share the same omega value. Many of the genes found to be under positive selection

by the Window model are known to be involved in drug resistance, (*rpoB, gyrA, embB, pncA,*

gidB, ubiA, folC...) which would be expected to be under positive selection in a collection of

Mtb clinical isolates from patients receiving chemotherapy, especially in a geographic region

with high incidence of drug-resistance (such as Moldova [12]). In addition, on the list of

candidates from the Window model, we observed other genes previously reported as targets of

positive selection in other studies, such as *resR* [1] and *phoR* [20]. *prpD* is a methylcitrate

dehydratase (propionate metabolism pathway) that is regulated by *prpR*, which was identified

as associated with multi-drug tolerance [56]. Rv0988 and Rv2082 have both been reported in

another study of positive selection in clinical isolates resistant to bedaquiline and clofazimine

[57]. While the function of Rv2082 is unknown, Rv0988 is an ABC-transporter implicated in

preventing acidification and phagosome maturation [58]. This consistency for "expected"

genes under positive selection with previous studies increases confidence that other candidate

genes (including those in other pathways which might not be directly related to drug-resistance)

might also be genuinely under positive selection and playing an adaptive role.

Another advantage of the Window model of selection analysis is that it can identify specific

regions within an ORF that are under positive selection. As implemented in GenomegaMap,

this model assumes ω is constant locally but varies among regions. However, the borders

between regions also get adjusted dynamically during the MCMC sampling, producing a

smoothly varying omega signal across the ORF. We define significant codons to be those where

>97.% of the sampled ω values from the MCMC sample (the lower-bound of the 95% credible

interval) exceed 1.0, which is more stringent that the criterion used in the original paper [10]. In

cases where protein crystal structures are available, the regions under positive selection often

correspond well to parts of the protein involved in interacting with inhibitors, such as in *rpoB*

(residues in the RDRR that bind rifampicin) and *gyrA* (residues binding fluoroquinolones).

Another compelling example is the proximity of the residues under selection in *cinA* to the

active site (of the pyrophosphatase domain). The insights about which parts of a protein are

under selection are helpful in understanding the mechanisms driving it, beyond just labeling a

gene as being under positive selection. The correspondence of codons under selection to

structural information (e.g. for known drug-binding sites) lends additional confidence in the list

of candidates identified by the Window model.

Individual codons under selection can also be highlighted by the Independent model in

GenomegaMap (where each codon has its own ω parameter). However, we observed that many

of the genes on the list of candidates from the Independent model were among the largest

genes in the genome, and this size bias suggests the Independent model might be more

susceptible to making type I errors. In fact, we used a simulated set of genes with sequences

evolved under the assumption of neutral evolution (with the same distribution of ORF sizes and

nucleotide content as the clinical isolates from Moldova, but with observed phylogenetic

changes permuted randomly to new sites) to show that the Independent model has a roughly 5

times higher estimated false positive rate than the Window model. This is likely due to how the

Independent model treats each codon independently, making it more susceptible to errors from

random coincidences of SNPs, whereas the Window model is more robust because of how it

integrates information over multiple codons in a region (by assuming the codons in a region

share the same ω), and hence takes advantage of clusters of SNPs where there is a local excess

of non-synonymous over synonymous SNPs.

On the list of 53 genes identified to be under positive selection in the Moldova dataset, we

observed several regulatory proteins, including *pknD* and *sigL* (ser-thr protein kinases), *sigM*

(sigma factor), Rv1320 (adenylate cyclase), and *devS* (two-component sensor). As exemplified

by *devS*, these regulators could be involved in adaptations to various stresses. For example,

devS plays a critical role in regulating the response to hypoxia (low oxygen tension, e.g. inside

granulomas), a hallmark of the host immune response to TB infection [21, 59-61], and the

intracellular oxidative environment could also be influenced by antibiotics (such as isoniazid,

which generates oxidative radicals in the process of activation [62]). Several metabolic genes

also show signals of positive selection in this population (*cysN* - sulfate

adenyltransferase/kinase; *glyA1* - serine hydroxymethyltransferase; *hemN* - coproporphyrinogen

III oxidase; *pyrG* - CTP synthase, *atsD* - arylsulfatase; *fadE17* - acyl-CoA dehydrogenase; *cdsA* -

CDP-diglyceride pyrophosphorylase). Metabolic shifts often accompany changes in response to

stress [63], though the specific role of these pathways remains to be elucidated.

Genes classically associated with INH resistance were not detected as significant by the Window

model (*katG* and *inhA*). *katG* was probably not detected because mutations of only a single

codon, S315; and *inhA* was probably not detected because mutations occur predominantly in

the promoter region upstream of *fabG1*, more frequently than in the coding region, affecting

expression levels of *inhA*). However, several genes that are potentially indirectly related to INH

resistance were observed as under positive selection, including *ndhA*. *ndhA* (Rv0392c) is one of

two type II NADH dehydrogenases, along with *ndh* (Rv1854c) which can compensate for INH-

NADH adduct formation by shifting the intracellular NADH/NAD+ ratio [64]. Although,

historically, *ndh* is more often observed to acquire resistance mutations [65], mutations in *ndhA*

have also been reported to be associated with INH resistance [66].

In order to determine whether the genes under selection might be specific to Moldova, we

applied the same analysis of positive selection to a larger collection of Mtb clinical isolates from

15 other countries. Many of the drug-resistance genes, along with genes such as *resR* and *phoR*

were also detected as significant in the global dataset. There were approximately 3 times as

many genes (178) with statistically significant positive selection as in the Moldova dataset (53).

This is possibly due in part to the increased incidence of unfixed mutations (often unique SNPs

observed only in single or a few isolates), the probability of which increases with size of the

collection (number of genomes, barring duplications due to outbreak clusters) (or possibly due

to the increased diversity among isolates selected from 15 different countries). However, in

theory, dN/dS analysis should factor-out differences in overall mutation rate, and instead

identify genes where there is a relative excess in the rate of non-synonymous over synonymous

SNPs, which is not necessarily a consequence of aggregating SNPs from a larger collection of

genomes.

phoR has among the strongest signals for positive selection in both datasets (Moldova, and

global collection). PhoPR function is required for virulence, as disruption mutants are highly

attenuated in mice [37, 38]. A mutation in *phoP* is one of the few genetic differences between

H37Rv and H37Ra, to which the difference in virulence of these strains has been partially

attributed [67]. As a part of a two-component sensor, *phoR* regulates many lipid synthesis and

cell-wall biogenesis genes [51]. PhoPR has been implicated in responses to several types of

environmental stress, including acidic pH [37]. We observed multiple codons in the periplasmic

sensor domain to be under positive selection (using the Window model). Using phylodynamics,

Chiner-Oms et al. [20] found that *phoR* exhibited an increase in selection of non-synonymous

variants, compared to other animal-adapted members of the MTBC (Mycobacterium

Tuberculosis Complex), that coincided roughly with expansion of the pathogen into the human

population. This and other evidence suggests mutations in *phoR* might facilitate transmission

among humans [39].

Among the genes under positive selection in the larger global collection, we observed several

genes from the ESX-1 cluster. In particular, *eccCa1, eccD1, eccE1* were under positive selection,

along with *espA*. Based on analogy to a cryo-EM structure of the ESX-5 complex [68], these

three subunits interact to form the cytoplasmic face of the transmembrane pore. *espA* is

encoded in a different operon (*espACD*), but is thought to bind to the pore complex and

facilitate secretion of substrates [46]. ESX-1 plays a crucial role in virulence, secreting a number

of effectors (including *esxA* and *esxB*, along with other *esx* and PPE proteins), and can disrupt

host-cell membranes and modulate cytokine expression [48, 69]. Thus, we speculate that the

genetic variants observed could play a role in fine-tuning the host immune response. ESX

components have been identified in other studies of positive selection. For example, *esxW*

(secreted via ESX-5) was identified to harbor convergent mutations in different Mtb lineages in a

collection of isolates from Viet Nam, which was used to help explain the increased transmission

of the Beijing strain in the geographic region [70]. *esxW* was not under significant positive

selection in our global collection, and the only *esx* protein that was under significant positive

selection was *esxH*, a member of ESX-3. ESX-1 proteins *eccD1* and *eccE1* were also observed to

be under positive selection in the analysis of over 51,000 clinical isolates by Liu et al. [1].

Increased selection of genetic variants in the ESX-1 system could be the result of adaptation to

modern TB treatment paradigms (e.g. shortening of disease course through chemotherapy,

better clinical control of inflammation) that might benefit from adjustments in host-pathogen

interactions (e.g. enhancement or suppression of immune response). It is interesting to note

that PhoPR has been shown to regulate expression of ESX-1 and *esxA* (ESAT-6) secretion [71].

Similarly, *whiB6*, which is also on the list of genes under significant positive selection in the

global collection, also regulates expression of ESX-1 (demonstrated in *M. marinum*; [43]). The

selection signals for these two regulators lend additional support to the potential adaptive role

of genetic variants affecting ESX-1 function in this global sample of clinical isolates.

One of the limitations of using positive selection to identify genes potentially involved in

adaptation is that it is restricted to SNPs in coding regions. Liu et al. [1] also looked for clusters

of SNPs (mutational burden) in intergenic regions, especially those associated with regulatory

regions (e.g. promotors). In general, dN/dS analysis focuses on SNPs, and thus does not directly

take indels into account. However, indels can play a critical role in activating/deactivating

proteins; for example, there is a 7-bp insertion that breaks *pks15/*1 into two ORFs and

eliminates the synthesis of PGL (phenolglycolipid) found in more virulent strains of Mtb [41].

Also, because GenomegaMap does not explicitly use a phylogenetic tree (which is key to its

efficiency/scalability over methods like PAML), it is insensitive to homoplasy. However, this can

be easily circumvented by using other tools, such as SNPPar [72], which builds a rapid,

approximate phylogenetic tree and can then be used to identify homoplasic sites (such as S315

in KatG, the primary resistance mutation for INH).

In summary, GenomegaMap is an effective tool for evaluating genes under positive selection

that scales up well to analyzing large genomic databases. Furthermore, our results suggest that

evaluating selection at an intermediate scale (using the "Window" model) identifies the most

credible list of candidate genes under selection, compared to gene-level analysis (the

"Constant" model) and codon-level analysis (the "Independent" model). The Window model

takes advantage of clusters of SNPs with a local increased rate of non-synonymous over

synonymous mutations, which amplifies signals of selection over the other two models. Some

of the genes under selection are likely responding to antibiotic treatment (anti-TB

chemotherapy), whereas other genes exhibiting positive selection might be reflecting

adaptations to other unknown pressures related to the clinical environment, and represent

avenues for further investigation into TB biology and potential new drug targets.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Table T1. Selection scores and statistical significance for all ORFs in the Mtb

H37Rv genome for the Moldova dataset (2,057 clinical isolates). Results for 3 models in

GenomegaMap are provided: Constant model (one omega parameter per gene), Window model

(omega values shared by codons locally within regions), and Independent model (separate

omega parameter for each codon). For the Window and Independent model, the number of

significant codons ("strongly selected codons") are given, using a 95% credible interval as a

significance criterion ($\omega>1$ for >97.5% of the MCMC samples). (The number of weakly selected

codons using 80%CI is given too.) The genes are sorted by the "max_omega_LB" which is the

peak height of the lower blue curve in the omega plots (lower bound of the 95%CI). The 53

significant genes by the Window model (excluding PPE/PGRS genes) are marked in yellow.

Supplemental Table T2. Selection scores and statistical significance for all ORFs in the Mtb

H37Rv genome for the global Mtb genome collection (5,195 clinical isolates from 15 countries).

Results for the Window model in GenomegaMap are provided: The number of significant

codons ("strongly selected codons") are given, using a 95% credible interval as a significance

criterion ($\omega>1$ for >97.5% of the MCMC samples). (The number of weakly selected codons using

80%CI is given too.) The genes are sorted by the "max_omega_LB" which is the peak height of

the lower blue curve in the omega plots (lower bound of the 95%CI). The 178 significant genes

by the Window model (excluding PPE/PGRS genes) are marked in yellow.

Funding Statement

Funding for this work was provided in part by NIH grant AI143575 (TRI).

Acknowledgements

Supercomputing time was provided by the High Performance Research Computing (HPRC)

center at Texas A&M University.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

TRI - Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing - original manuscript; AS -

Visualization, Writing – review and editing.

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Liu, Q., et al., *Tuberculosis treatment failure associated with evolution of antibiotic*
resilience. Science, 2022. **378**(6624): p. 1111-1118.
2. Chiner-Oms, A., et al., *Gene evolutionary trajectories in Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
reveal temporal signs of selection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2022. **119**(17): p.
e2113600119.
3. Deng, L., et al., *Identification of positively selected genes in Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
from southern Xinjiang Uygur autonomous region of China. Front Microbiol, 2024. **15**: p.
1290227.
4. Mortimer, T.D., A.M. Weber, and C.S. Pepperell, *Signatures of Selection at Drug*
Resistance Loci in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. mSystems, 2018. **3**(1).
5. Farhat, M.R., et al., *Genomic analysis identifies targets of convergent positive selection in*
drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Genet, 2013. **45**(10): p. 1183-9.

6.   Farhat, M.R., et al., *GWAS for quantitative resistance phenotypes in Mycobacterium*
710   *tuberculosis reveals resistance genes and regulatory regions.* Nat Commun, 2019. **10**(1):
711   p. 2128.

712   7.   Comas, I., et al., *Whole-genome sequencing of rifampicin-resistant Mycobacterium*
713   *tuberculosis strains identifies compensatory mutations in RNA polymerase genes.* Nat
714   Genet, 2011. **44**(1): p. 106-10.

715   8.   Nei, M., *Selectionism and neutralism in molecular evolution.* Mol Biol Evol, 2005. **22**(12):
716   p. 2318-42.

717   9.   Nielsen, R. and Z. Yang, *Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid*
718   *sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene.* Genetics, 1998. **148**(3): p. 929-36.

719   10.   Wilson, D.J. and C.R. Consortium, *GenomegaMap: Within-Species Genome-Wide dN/dS*
720   *Estimation from over 10,000 Genomes.* Mol Biol Evol, 2020. **37**(8): p. 2450-2460.

721   11.   Yang, Z., *PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood.* Mol Biol Evol, 2007.
722   **24**(8): p. 1586-91.

723   12.   Yang, C., et al., *Phylogeography and transmission of M. tuberculosis in Moldova: A*
724   *prospective genomic analysis.* PLoS Med, 2022. **19**(2): p. e1003933.

725   13.   Phelan, J.E., et al., *Integrating informatics tools and portable sequencing technology for*
726   *rapid detection of resistance to anti-tuberculous drugs.* Genome Med, 2019. **11**(1): p. 41.

727   14.   Walker, B.J., et al., *Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant*
728   *detection and genome assembly improvement.* PLoS One, 2014. **9**(11): p. e112963.

729   15.   Marin, M., et al., *Benchmarking the empirical accuracy of short-read sequencing across*
730   *the M. tuberculosis genome.* Bioinformatics, 2022. **38**(7): p. 1781-1787.

731   16.   Stamatakis, A., *RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of*
732   *large phylogenies.* Bioinformatics, 2014. **30**(9): p. 1312-3.

733   17.   Yang, J., et al., *Analysis on the reconstruction accuracy of the Fitch method for inferring*
734   *ancestral states.* BMC Bioinformatics, 2011. **12**: p. 18.

735   18.   Parish, T., *Two-Component Regulatory Systems of Mycobacteria.* Microbiol Spectr, 2014.
736   **2**(1): p. MGM2-0010-2013.

737   19.   Broset, E., C. Martin, and J. Gonzalo-Asensio, *Evolutionary landscape of the*
738   *Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex from the viewpoint of PhoPR: implications for*
739   *virulence regulation and application to vaccine development.* mBio, 2015. **6**(5): p.
740   e01289-15.

741   20.   Chiner-Oms, A., et al., *Genomic determinants of speciation and spread of the*
742   *Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex.* Sci Adv, 2019. **5**(6): p. eaaw3307.

743   21.   Kumar, A., et al., *Mycobacterium tuberculosis DosS is a redox sensor and DosT is a*
744   *hypoxia sensor.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2007. **104**(28): p. 11568-73.

745   22.   Raman, S., et al., *Mycobacterium tuberculosis SigM positively regulates Esx secreted*
746   *protein and nonribosomal peptide synthetase genes and down regulates virulence-*
747   *associated surface lipid synthesis.* J Bacteriol, 2006. **188**(24): p. 8460-8.

748   23.   Maruri, F., et al., *A systematic review of gyrase mutations associated with*
749   *fluoroquinolone-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis and a proposed gyrase numbering*
750   *system.* J Antimicrob Chemother, 2012. **67**(4): p. 819-31.

24. Sreevatsan, S., et al., *Restricted structural gene polymorphism in the Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis complex indicates evolutionarily recent global dissemination. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A, 1997. **94**(18): p. 9869-74.
25. Shi, R., et al., *Emergence of ofloxacin resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis clinical*
isolates from China as determined by gyrA mutation analysis using denaturing high-
pressure liquid chromatography and DNA sequencing. J Clin Microbiol, 2006. **44**(12): p.
4566-8.
26. Sun, Z., et al., *Comparison of gyrA gene mutations between laboratory-selected*
ofloxacin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains and clinical isolates. Int J
Antimicrob Agents, 2008. **31**(2): p. 115-21.
27. Blower, T.R., et al., *Crystal structure and stability of gyrase-fluoroquinolone cleaved*
complexes from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2016. **113**(7): p.
1706-13.
28. Sun, Q., et al., *Mutations within embCAB Are Associated with Variable Level of*
Ethambutol Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Isolates from China. Antimicrob
Agents Chemother, 2018. **62**(1).
29. Nan, X.T., et al., *Different Contributions of embB and ubiA Mutations to Variable Level of*
Ethambutol Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Isolates. Infect Drug Resist, 2024.
17: p. 3125-3132.
30. Yadon, A.N., et al., *A comprehensive characterization of PncA polymorphisms that confer*
resistance to pyrazinamide. Nat Commun, 2017. **8**(1): p. 588.
31. Spies, F.S., et al., *Streptomycin resistance and lineage-specific polymorphisms in*
Mycobacterium tuberculosis gidB gene. J Clin Microbiol, 2011. **49**(7): p. 2625-30.
32. Wong, S.Y., et al., *Functional role of methylation of G518 of the 16S rRNA 530 loop by*
GidB in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2013. **57**(12): p.
6311-8.
33. Gomes, L.C., et al., *Whole genome sequencing reveals large deletions and other loss of*
function mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug resistance genes. Microb
Genom, 2021. **7**(12).
34. Li, M.C., et al., *rpoB Mutations and Effects on Rifampin Resistance in Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis. Infect Drug Resist, 2021. **14**: p. 4119-4128.
35. Xu, W., et al., *Chemical Genetic Interaction Profiling Reveals Determinants of Intrinsic*
Antibiotic Resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother,
2017. **61**(12).
36. Kreutzfeldt, K.M., et al., *CinA mediates multidrug tolerance in Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis. Nat Commun, 2022. **13**(1): p. 2203.
37. Singh, P.R., et al., *Dual functioning by the PhoR sensor is a key determinant to*
Mycobacterium tuberculosis virulence. PLoS Genet, 2023. **19**(12): p. e1011070.
38. Martin, C., et al., *The live Mycobacterium tuberculosis phoP mutant strain is more*
attenuated than BCG and confers protective immunity against tuberculosis in mice and
guinea pigs. Vaccine, 2006. **24**(17): p. 3408-19.
39. Gonzalo-Asensio, J., et al., *Evolutionary history of tuberculosis shaped by conserved*
mutations in the PhoPR virulence regulator. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2014. **111**(31): p.
11491-6.

40. Sirakova, T.D., et al., *The Mycobacterium tuberculosis pks2 gene encodes the synthase for*
the hepta- and octamethyl-branched fatty acids required for sulfolipid synthesis. J Biol
Chem, 2001. **276**(20): p. 16833-9.
41. Constant, P., et al., *Role of the pks15/1 gene in the biosynthesis of phenolglycolipids in*
the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. Evidence that all strains synthesize
glycosylated p-hydroxybenzoic methyl esters and that strains devoid of phenolglycolipids
harbor a frameshift mutation in the pks15/1 gene. J Biol Chem, 2002. **277**(41): p. 38148-
58.
42. Matsunaga, I., et al., *Mycobacterium tuberculosis pks12 produces a novel polyketide*
presented by CD1c to T cells. J Exp Med, 2004. **200**(12): p. 1559-69.
43. Bosserman, R.E., et al., *WhiB6 regulation of ESX-1 gene expression is controlled by a*
negative feedback loop in Mycobacterium marinum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2017.
114(50): p. E10772-E10781.
44. Bitter, W., et al., *Systematic genetic nomenclature for type VII secretion systems.* PLoS
Pathog, 2009. **5**(10): p. e1000507.
45. Romagnoli, A., et al., *ESX-1 dependent impairment of autophagic flux by Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis in human dendritic cells. Autophagy, 2012. **8**(9): p. 1357-70.
46. Champion, P.A., et al., *ESX-1 secreted virulence factors are recognized by multiple*
cytosolic AAA ATPases in pathogenic mycobacteria. Mol Microbiol, 2009. **73**(5): p. 950-
62.
47. Chirakos, A.E., et al., *Conserved ESX-1 Substrates EspE and EspF Are Virulence Factors*
That Regulate Gene Expression. Infect Immun, 2020. **88**(12).
48. Conrad, W.H., et al., *Mycobacterial ESX-1 secretion system mediates host cell lysis*
through bacterium contact-dependent gross membrane disruptions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A, 2017. **114**(6): p. 1371-1376.
49. Tiwari, S., et al., *Infect and Inject: How Mycobacterium tuberculosis Exploits Its Major*
Virulence-Associated Type VII Secretion System, ESX-1. Microbiol Spectr, 2019. **7**(3).
50. Chen, J.M., et al., *Phenotypic profiling of Mycobacterium tuberculosis EspA point*
mutants reveals that blockage of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 secretion in vitro does not always
correlate with attenuation of virulence. J Bacteriol, 2013. **195**(24): p. 5421-30.
51. Walters, S.B., et al., *The Mycobacterium tuberculosis PhoPR two-component system*
regulates genes essential for virulence and complex lipid biosynthesis. Mol Microbiol,
2006. **60**(2): p. 312-30.
52. Coscolla, M. and S. Gagneux, *Consequences of genomic diversity in Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis. Semin Immunol, 2014. **26**(6): p. 431-44.
53. Pepperell, C.S., et al., *The role of selection in shaping diversity of natural M. tuberculosis*
populations. PLoS Pathog, 2013. **9**(8): p. e1003543.
54. Trauner, A., et al., *The within-host population dynamics of Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
vary with treatment efficacy. Genome Biol, 2017. **18**(1): p. 71.
55. Goig, G.A., et al., *Ecology, global diversity and evolutionary mechanisms in the*
Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2025.
56. Hicks, N.D., et al., *Clinically prevalent mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis alter*
propionate metabolism and mediate multidrug tolerance. Nat Microbiol, 2018. **3**(9): p.
1032-1042.

57.   Ismail, N., et al., *Emergence of Canonical and Noncanonical Genomic Variants following*
In Vitro Exposure of Clinical Mycobacterium tuberculosis Strains to Bedaquiline or
Clofazimine. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2023. **67**(4): p. e0136822.

58.   Pethe, K., et al., *Isolation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis mutants defective in the arrest*
of phagosome maturation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2004. **101**(37): p. 13642-7.

59.   Park, H.D., et al., *Rv3133c/dosR is a transcription factor that mediates the hypoxic*
response of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Mol Microbiol, 2003. **48**(3): p. 833-43.

60.   Rustad, T.R., et al., *The enduring hypoxic response of Mycobacterium tuberculosis.* PLoS
One, 2008. **3**(1): p. e1502.

61.   Sherman, D.R., et al., *Regulation of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis hypoxic response*
gene encoding alpha -crystallin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2001. **98**(13): p. 7534-9.

62.   Sherman, D.R., et al., *Compensatory ahpC gene expression in isoniazid-resistant*
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Science, 1996. **272**(5268): p. 1641-3.

63.   Rizvi, A., et al., *Rewiring of Metabolic Network in Mycobacterium tuberculosis During*
Adaptation to Different Stresses. Front Microbiol, 2019. **10**: p. 2417.

64.   Vilcheze, C., et al., *Altered NADH/NAD+ ratio mediates coresistance to isoniazid and*
ethionamide in mycobacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2005. **49**(2): p. 708-20.

65.   Hazbon, M.H., et al., *Population genetics study of isoniazid resistance mutations and*
evolution of multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother, 2006. **50**(8): p. 2640-9.

66.   Furio, V., et al., *An evolutionary functional genomics approach identifies novel candidate*
regions involved in isoniazid resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Commun Biol,
2021. **4**(1): p. 1322.

67.   Lee, J.S., et al., *Mutation in the transcriptional regulator PhoP contributes to avirulence*
of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra strain. Cell Host Microbe, 2008. **3**(2): p. 97-103.

68.   Beckham, K.S.H., et al., *Structure of the mycobacterial ESX-5 type VII secretion system*
pore complex. Sci Adv, 2021. **7**(26).

69.   Zheng, W., et al., *Single-cell analysis reveals Mycobacterium tuberculosis ESX-1-mediated*
accumulation of permissive macrophages in infected mouse lungs. Sci Adv, 2025. **11**(3):
p. eadq8158.

70.   Holt, K.E., et al., *Frequent transmission of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Beijing*
lineage and positive selection for the EsxW Beijing variant in Vietnam. Nat Genet, 2018.
50(6): p. 849-856.

71.   Frigui, W., et al., *Control of M. tuberculosis ESAT-6 secretion and specific T cell*
recognition by PhoP. PLoS Pathog, 2008. **4**(2): p. e33.

72.   Edwards, D.J., et al., *SNPPar: identifying convergent evolution and other homoplasies*
from microbial whole-genome alignments. Microb Genom, 2021. **7**(12).

Figure 1. Comparison of genes under significant positive selection in Moldova dataset using 3

models. a) Venn diagram shows overlap of 53 significant genes from Window model with 44

genes from Independent model. Drug-resistance genes are marked red; polyketide synthases

are marked blue. b) Barchart showing the omega estimates by the Constant model for the 53

significant genes based on the Window model. Error bars represent 95% credible intervals for

omega based on MCMC sampling. Genes that would be significant based on the Constant

model (where omega lower bound>1.0, red line) are marked with an asterisk.

Figure 2. Example omega plots for gyrA and embB, showing the dN/dS for each codon

estimated by the Window model. The black curve shows the mean omega value for each codon

averaged over the MCMC samples; the blue lines show the upper- and lower-bounds of the 95%

credible interval. Codons under significant positive selection are those where the lower blue

line peaks above 1.0.

Figure 3. Analysis of positive selection in RpoB and RpoC. a) Omega plot for *rpoB*. b) omega

plot for *rpoC*. c) X-ray crystal structure of complex of RNA polymerase (*rpoABC*) (PDB: 5uhc)

with rifampicin (cyan), showing that 488-496 (green) forms a parallel beta-strand to 426-452

(purple); both are regions under positive selection.

Figure 4. Analysis positive selection in CinA. a) Omega plot for *cinA*, showing selection of

residue 82-86 (where lower blue line peaks above 1.0). b) Crystal structure of CinA from *T.*

thermophilus (N-terminal domain) (PDB: 4uux); green: Asp80 (proximal to NADH in active site,

purple), blue residues: codons under positive selection.

Figure 5. Regions under positive selection in *phoR*, mapped onto domain structure. 'TM' refers

to transmembrane domains. The residue (G71) that differentiates the *Mtb* and *M. bovis*

orthologs is indicated.

Figure 6. The Independent model is biased toward larger genes. a) Beeswarm plots showing

that the significant genes under positive selection by the Independent model are skewed larger

than those from the Window model, whereas the Window model better matches the overall

ORF size distribution (histogram to right). Polyketide synthase genes are colored red. b) and c)

Number of genes under positive selection, divided into size bins (amino acids). The

Independent model shows an increasing trend toward the rightmost bin (ORFs with 1200-5000

amino acids). d) and e) Proportion of genes under positive selection (counts of significant genes

normalized by the overall number of genes in each size bin).

Figure 7. Size bias in the Simulated gene set (neutral evolution). Linear regressions of the

number of significant codons found against ORF among genes with weakly significant positive

selection ($\omega > 1$ for >90% of the MCMC samples) using a) the Window model, b) and the

Independent model. The Independent model exhibits a strong correlation with ORF size ($r^2 =$

0.558) compared to the Window model ($r^2 = 0.134$).

a) Window model

b) Independent model

Figure 8. Analysis of positive selection for EspA. a) Omega plot for *espA,* showing significant

selection for codons 67-72. b) AlphaFold model of EspA. Residues under positive selection (67-

72) are colored blue. The WXG motif (residues 55-57), involved in recognition by the ESX-1 pore

complex, are colored blue.

Supplemental Figure S1. Lineage distribution and drug-resistance profile for 2,057 Mtb clinical

isolates from Moldova (as determined by TB-profiler).

.

Supplemental Figure S2. Omega plots for *gyrA* and *embB* based on the Independent model.

Supplemental Figure S3. Omega plots for *pncA* (Rv2043c) and *gidB* (Rv3919c) based on the

Window model, showing a high fraction of the coding regions under positive selection (lower

blue line > 1).

Supplemental Figure S4. Countries represented in global collection of 5,195 Mtb clinical

isolates.

Supplemental Figure S5. Lineages and drug-resistance genotypes represented in global

collection of 5,195 Mtb clinical isolates.

a)

b)

957   b)

959 **Supplemental Figure S6**. Analysis of positive selection for *aac* (Rv0262c, aminoglycoside 2'-N-

960 acetyltransferase). a) Omega plot for *aac*: b) Genetic variants in *aac* mapped onto crystal

961 structure (PDB: 1M4I) of complex of Mtb *aac* with CoA (orange) and kanamycin (green);

962 residues under selection (86-94) are marked in blue.