Seasonal and developmental stage changes in exudate carbohydrate content shapes

the kelp microbiome

Chance J. English[1], Meenakshi Manoj[1], Keri Opalk[1], Craig A. Carlson[1]*

1. Marine Science Institute/Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology,

University of California Santa Barbara, CA, USA

* Corresponding author: Chance J. English

Email: cje@ucsb.edu

(951) 286-8416

Key Words: microbiome, macroalgae, dissolved organic carbon, carbohydrates,

planctomycetota, alginate, fucoidan

Author Contribution Statement

CJE and CAC conceptualized the research. CJE collected material for and ran the
incubations. CJE, MM, and KO collected data and performed laboratory measurements.
CJE, MM, KO, and CAC contributed to interpreting the results and writing/editing the
manuscript. CAC acquired funding for the research.

Abstract

Photoautotrophs release a large amount of their fixed carbon as exudates, which

shapes their immediate environment, including the composition of their microbiome. Here

we evaluated the microbiome and exudate composition of *Macrocystis pyrifera* (giant

kelp), a globally distributed foundation species, in response to seasonal nutrient

availability and developmental stage. We combine 16S rRNA amplicon analysis of the giant

kelp microbiome with carbohydrate monomer analysis of kelp exudates to examine

microbe-exudate relationships. We found significant differences in the microbiome and

exudate composition between seasons and developmental stages of giant kelp. Higher

tissue-nitrogen content in the spring coincided with elevated amounts of glucosamine, a

nitrogen-containing sugar, in giant kelp exudates, while senescence led to the release of

mannuronic acid, an alginate indicator. The release of glucosamine and fucose-rich

exudates was correlated with an increase in the abundance of bacteria within the

Planctomycetota phylum, whereas mannuronic acid-rich exudates coincided with an

increase in the abundance of members of the Flavobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria

lineages. We investigated putative carbohydrate-microbe relationships by isolating a

member of the *Planctomycetota* phylum from the surface of giant kelp. We demonstrate

that the growth of this isolate on fucoidan and *N*-acetyl glucosamine, but not alginate

reflects the observed abundance of this clade in the kelp microbiome in response to

variable carbohydrate exudation. This suggests a key role of kelp mucilage carbohydrate

composition in structuring its microbiome as has been observed for other organisms such

as corals and within the human gut.

Introduction

Primary producers such as plants and macroalgae have evolved in environments surrounded by microorganisms, and in many cases, associated microbes can improve the fitness and growth of the host through defense or nutrient acquisition [1–4]. A growing body of evidence indicates that autotrophic organisms regulate their microbiome by exuding metabolites that promote microorganism growth or inhibit the growth of pathogens [5, 6]. The exudate and host-microbe associations are dynamic and can vary in response to the host's developmental stage and abiotic stressors [7, 8]. Unraveling the relationship between host physiology, exudate composition, and the microbiome structure can provide a mechanistic understanding of the establishment and function of the microbiome of primary producers.

Carbohydrates, including simple sugars, disaccharides and complex polysaccharides are typically the dominant organic material exuded by primary producers [9, 10]. The active exudation of carbon-rich organic matter by organisms was originally proposed as an "overflow" mechanism that allowed photosynthesis to continue when light and nutrients needed for biosynthesis became uncoupled [11, 12]. More recently, it has been proposed that the exudation of carbohydrate-rich material can also serve to prevent the attachment of pathogens [5], promote the growth of beneficial microbial partners [8, 13] or aid in the transport of energy and nutrients to the organism [14]. The potential role of carbohydrate exudation in shaping microbiomes is evidenced in studies of corals that reveal significant correlations between the host's exuded mucus sugar content and the abundance of certain microbial taxa [15, 16]. The composition of exuded carbohydrates by

corals is species-specific [17, 18] and varies in response to abiotic factors such as

temperature [15]. While these relationships are established for corals, the role of

carbohydrate exudation in shaping the microbiome has yet to be evaluated for important

foundation species such as kelps.

Kelp forests are critical marine habitats that occupy 43% of the world's coastline

[19]. In addition to their contributions to biodiversity [20] and global economies [21], it is

hypothesized that kelps contribute to carbon sequestration through the export of dissolved

organic carbon (DOC) and detrital particulate carbon [22–24]. In this context, the decline of

kelp forests [25] due to stressors such as ocean warming is a serious threat to ecosystem

function, marine carbon cycling, and economies. This has prompted calls for large-scale

intervention through natural kelp forest restoration [26] or aquaculture to offset these

losses and increase current production yields [27]. Therefore, identifying and

understanding the underlying factors for kelp health and resilience is of critical importance

for monitoring, management, and restoration of these ecosystems, their services, and the

economies dependent on kelp.

Decades of research have focused on understanding the impact of environmental

factors such as light, nutrients, wave disturbance, and temperature on kelp growth [28–

32]. In comparison, little is known about how the kelp microbiome affects kelp growth and

resilience. Several studies demonstrate that kelp harbor a microbiome that is distinct from

the surrounding seawater [33–35] and metagenomic analysis of kelp microbiomes suggest

potential positive interactions between kelp and specific microbial lineages. Further, there

are significant relationships between the physiological condition of kelp, its productivity,

and its microbiome [36, 37]. However, the underlying mechanisms between kelp

physiology and its microbiome composition are unresolved.

In this study, we aimed to understand how the composition of kelp exudates shapes

the microbiome of *Macrocystis pyrifera*, hereafter giant kelp. We hypothesized that abiotic

factors (nitrogen availability) and developmental stage would alter the chemical

composition of kelp exudates and that these altered chemical profiles would shape the

giant kelp microbiome. We tagged cohorts of giant kelp fronds in the summer and spring to

track the development of the kelp microbiome across nutrient deplete and replete

conditions and developmental stages. Simultaneous measurements of exudate

carbohydrate composition and 16S rRNA gene analysis were employed to identify microbe-

exudate associations. We observed significant correlations between abundant microbial

taxa and the carbohydrate content of giant kelp exudates. To test some of these

associations, we isolated a member of the *Planctomycetota* phylum, a main kelp-

associated bacterial group. We demonstrate that its carbohydrate substrate preferences

reflect this bacterial clade's seasonal and developmental stage abundance patterns in the

kelp microbiome. This work suggests an important role for exuded carbohydrates in the

establishment of the kelp microbiome.

Methods

Sampling, kelp blade age and developmental stage, and environmental variables

Giant kelp blades were sampled from two tagged cohorts at Mohawk Reef (34º

23.6600' N, 119º 43.8000' W) in the Santa Barbara Channel, CA, USA in the summer of

2023 and spring of 2024. Age cohorts were established by tagging 100-200 fronds at a

blade 2 m back from the growing tip (blade ~14 days of age). At intervals of 2-3 weeks,

single blades from 6 random fronds were sampled to measure their tissue nitrogen (tissue-

N), exudate carbohydrate composition and microbiome. Blades were collected in the

morning (08:00-10:00) and transported to a near-shore laboratory, where they were

incubated in 10 L acrylic tanks filled with filtered (0.2 µm) seawater. Incubations were

started between 10:00-12:00 and maintained at *in situ* temperature using a temperature-

controlled water bath for 6-9 hours. Light levels were adjusted every 2-3 hours, decreasing

from saturating intensity (1140-1517 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) to darkness (0 µmol photons m^{-2}

s^{-1}) to simulate the transition from day to night. Seawater DOC and hydrolyzable

carbohydrate samples were taken at the beginning and end of each incubation, filtered

through pre-combusted GF-75 (0.3µm nominal pore size). Following incubation, kelp blade

tissue-N was sampled as a measure of nitrogen stress and developmental stage. For

tissue-N analysis, giant kelp blades were cleaned of epibionts, dried at 60 ºC for three days

and then ground to a fine powder before analysis with an Exeter Analytical CE-440

CHN/O/S elemental analyzer. The developmental stage of kelp blades was categorized as

either "mature" or "senescent" if they were younger than or older than 50 days old,

respectively [29].

Environmental conditions at Mohawk Reef during our study were evaluated using

bottom temperature data collected by the Santa Barbara Channel Long-Term Ecological

Research program [38]. Average daily temperature was calculated from submersible

temperature loggers at Mohawk Reef. Nitrate concentrations were calculated from

temperature using an exponential "T2N" relationship derived from an inshore temperature

and nitrate dataset [39] (Supplemental Figure. 1).

139
Figure 1. Mohawk Reef environmental conditions and sampling dates in this study.
Daily average temperature (solid black line) and nitrate (solid blue line) concentrations
between January 2023 and August 2024. Shaded red and blue regions show the sampling
periods for the summer and spring cohorts used in this study, respectively. Vertical dashed
lines extending from the x-axis mark the sampling dates within each cohort. The black and
red color of the dashed lines indicate sampling of kelp that was in a mature (< 50 days) or
senescent (> 50 days) development stage, respectively.

Exudate DOC, carbohydrate content and composition

DOC analysis was carried out following established protocols [40]. Briefly,

duplicate DOC samples were taken from at beginning and end of each incubation, filtered

through pre-combusted 25 mm GF-75 (0.3 µm) into pre-combusted 40 ml EPA vials with

PTFE lined caps, acidified to pH < 3 with the addition of 60 µl of 4N HCl and analyzed using

a Shimadzu TOC-V or TOC-L.

Dissolved carbohydrate samples were taken alongside DOC samples and stored

frozen at -20°C. Exudate carbohydrate content was measured by high-performance anion

exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). We

followed established dialysis and HPAEC-PAD gradient specified by Engel and Händel

(2011). Briefly, samples were dialyzed using Spectra/Por 7 tubing (1000 Da) against

ultrapure water and then hydrolyzed in duplicate for 20 hours at 100°C in 0.4 M HCl then

neutralized under a flow of N_2 gas. Samples were run on a DIONEX ICS5000+ and sugars

were separated using a Carbopac PA10 column (4x250mm) with a Carbopac PA10 guard

column (4x50mm). Neutral and amino sugars were eluted with 18mM NaOH followed by

100mM NaOH/200mM Na-Acetate to elute acidic sugars. The system was calibrated using

a standard sugar mix containing fucose, rhamnose, arabinose, galactosamine,

glucosamine, galactose, glucose, mannose+xylose, galacturonic acid, glucuronic acid and

mannuronic acid. Linearity of the calibration curves were observed for concentrations

ranging from 10nM-1μM. In our dissolved carbohydrate samples, we observed glucose and

mannose+xylose contamination due to leaching from the Spectra/Por 7 dialysis tubing, so

these sugars were removed from subsequent analysis. Previous studies demonstrate

these sugars are not substantial components of carbohydrates released by brown

macroalgae [17, 42].

Principal component analysis was used to visualize changes in the composition of

hydrolyzable sugar monomers in giant kelp exudates. Sugars were normalized to molar

percentages (mole%) relative to other sugars. Statistical analysis of the differences in the

composition of the carbohydrates released by giant kelp between the two seasons and

developmental stages was evaluated by PERMANOVA on the scaled mole% of individual

sugar monomers.

Microbiome DNA extraction, 16S rRNA gene sequencing & amplicon analysis

Following their incubation, kelp blades were rinsed with 0.1 μm filter sterilized

seawater and sampled across the entire surface by scraping with sterile cotton-tip swabs

(Puritan®) for 30 seconds. Swabs were placed in sterile 2 ml cryogenic tubes and frozen at -

80 ºC. DNA samples were lysed in sucrose lysis buffer with 1% SDS and 0.2 mg mL^{-1}

proteinase-K at 37ºC for 30 minutes, then at 55ºC for 30 minutes. DNA was purified using

the phenol-chloroform method [43]. For amplification, we targeted the V4 region of the 16S

rRNA gene using the 515F-Y (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806RB (5'-

GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') primers with custom adaptors [44]. PCR was carried out

in reactions with 12.5 μL KAPA Robust Hotstart ReadyMix, 1uL each of the forward and

reverse primers, 2μL of bovine serum albumin, 6.5 μL of PCR-grade water and 2μL of

sample DNA and cycled for 3 minutes at 95 ºC, followed by 30 cycles of 30 seconds at 95

ºC, 30 seconds at 57 ºC and one minute at 72 ºC, ending with 10 minutes at 72 ºC. Four

negative controls (PCR-grade water) and two mock community samples were included in

the PCR run for quality control. Following PCR, amplified DNA was quantified (Qubit,

Thermo Fisher) and manually normalized by pooling equal nmol amounts of DNA from

each PCR reaction well. Pooled DNA was concentrated using Amicon Ultra 0.5mL 3K

centrifugal filters (Millipore). Non-target DNA bands were removed by gel extraction.

Samples were sequenced at the University of California, Davis DNA Technologies Core on

an Illumina MiSeq using PE250.

Demultiplexed raw sequence reads were processed using DADA2 (Callahan et al.,

2016; v1.16) in R. Forward and reverse reads were trimmed to 240 and 150 bp, respectively

and assigned taxonomy using the SILVA database (Quast et al., 2012; v138.1 with species).

Amplicons identified as mitochondria or chloroplasts were removed. Quality filtering of

chimeric sequences or sequences with ambiguous bases resulted in 4.4×10^{6} sequences

from 54 samples. Samples had a read depth from 25,077 to 106,379 sequences, averaging

81,976 and were not rarefied for further analysis [47]. We analyzed differences in

microbially community composition on kelp blades by season (summer vs. spring) and

developmental stage (mature vs. senescent).

For multivariate analysis, singleton and doubleton amplicon reads were removed

and remaining amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were converted to relative abundances

and normalized using a variance stabilizing transformation. For diversity metrics, singleton

and doubleton amplicons were not removed. Significant differences in the mean relative

abundances of amplicons by season and developmental stage were evaluated using the

"DEseq2" package in R [48]. Differentially abundant ASVs were those that displayed a log2

fold-change >1.5 between developmental stage or season and a significant false discovery

rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value (<= 0.01).

To identify relationships between the giant kelp microbiome and the exudate

carbohydrate composition we used Spearman's rank correlations and hierarchical

clustering of differentially abundant ASVs and the mole% of sugar monomers

simultaneously released by giant kelp blades. This clusters ASVs based on their degree of

correlation with the sugar content of kelp exudates and allowed us to identify positive or

negative microbe-carbohydrate relationships. Hierarchical clustering was arranged by

Euclidean distance using the *pheatmaps* package in R.

Planctomycetota cultivation & growth on model carbohydrates

We enriched and isolated a strain of *Planctomycetota* following the suggestions of

Lage & Bondoso (2012) and Wiegand et al., (2020) [49, 50]. Agar plates were prepared with

0.1 µm filtered seawater with 1% agar. Seawater was autoclaved with agar then

supplemented with 1ml l^{-1} vitamin solution (Biotin: 2 mg/L, Folic acid: 2 mg/L,

Pyridoxamine-HCl: 10 mg/L, Thiamine-HCl x $2H_2O$: 5 mg/L, Riboflavin: 5 mg/L, Nicotinic

acid: 5mg/L, D-ca-pantothenate: 5 mg/L, Cyanocobalamine: 0.1 mg/L, p-Aminobenzoic

acid: 5 mg/L, Lipoic acid: 5mg/L, KH_2PO_4: 900 mg/L) and 1ml l^{-1} trace metal solution (H_3BO_3:

2860 mg/L, $MnCl_2$ x $4H_2O$: 1810 mg/L, $FeCl_3$ x $6H_2O$: 316 mg/L, $ZnSO_4$ x $7H_2O$: 222 mg/L,

Na_2MoO_4 x $2H_2O$: 390 mg/L, $CuSO_4$ x 5 H_2O: 79 mg/L, $Co(NO_3)_2$ x $6H_2O$: 49 mg/L). For a

carbon and nitrogen source we added 10 ml of 5% *N*-acetyl glucosamine. To prevent fungal

growth and select for *Planctomycetota*, the medium was supplemented with 20 mg l^{-1} of

econazole nitrate, 200 mg l^{-1} ampicillin and 1 g l^{-1} streptomycin. Bacterial inoculum was

scraped from the surface of a giant kelp blade using a sterile swab for 30 seconds and

resuspended in sterile medium without agar. The cell suspension was filtered through a

5.0 µm filter and enumerated using a GUAVA easycyte HT flow cytometer (Millipore). To

each agar plate we added ~2000 cells. Cell suspensions were streaked across the agar

plates and incubated at 20 ºC until colonies were formed. After 1 month, colonies showing

characteristics of *Planctomycetota* (pink pigmentation, budding cell growth) were selected

for restreaking. Candidate colonies were restreaked three times to ensure isolation and

then identified using 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding of the V4 region using the SILVA Ref NR

SSU r138.1 database. To construct a phylogenetic tree, 48 sequences from the

Plactomycetota family Pirellulaceae in the SILVA Ref NR SSU r138.1 database were

selected for alignment using SINA aligner v1.2.11 [51] along with the partial 16S rRNA

sequence from our isolate and one sequence from the family Planctomycetales

(CP002546.650169.651656 as an outgroup). A maximum-likelihood tree was constructed

using RAxML with the GTRGAMMA model. The best scoring tree was visualized using the

iTOL server.

We tested the ability of an identified *Planctomycetota* isolate within the family

Pirellulaceae to grow on model carbohydrates in batch cultures. We prepared artificial

seawater (ASW) as incubation medium (0.42M NaCl, 0.029M Na_2SO_4, 0.01M KCl, 1mM KBr,

0.05mM H_3BO_3, 0.07mM NaF,0.05M $MgCl_2$, 0.01M $CaCl_2$, 0.06mM $SrCl_2$, 2.5mM $NaHCO_3$).

Medium salinity and pH were adjusted to 33 ppt and 7.5, respectively and then autoclaved.

Sterile ASW was amended with 0.1 µm filter sterilized vitamin and trace metal solution

(1ml L^{-1}) and inorganic nutrients (10 mg L^{-1} NH_4Cl, 1 mg L^{-1} KH_2PO_4). Triplicate 50 ml

incubations were prepared for the following treatments: no amendment, *N*-acetyl

glucosamine (NAGA), alginate, and fucoidan. Because commercially available fucoidan is

typically contaminated, notably by alginate and polyphenols [52, 53], we used ion

exchange chromatography (IEX) to purify fucoidan sourced from giant kelp (Sigma-Aldrich,

product #F8065). Purification was performed using a medium-scale IEX setup described by

Sichert et al., 2021. The composition of the purified fucoidan was checked by ^{1}H-^{13}C HSQC

NMR and HPAEC-PAD (Supplemental Figures 2, 3 & 4). Alginate (Sigma-Aldrich PHR1471)

and NAGA (Fisher Scientific ICN100068) were certified to be 88 and 100% pure,

respectively. An isolate colony was resuspended in sterile ASW without amended

carbohydrates. After gently shaking for 20 minutes, the cell suspension was 5.0 μm filtered

and enumerated using a GUAVA easycyte HT flow cytometer (Millipore). Cells were added

to incubation vessels at a concentration of ~6.0×10^4 cells ml^{-1}. For all treatments, triplicate

control incubations without cells were sampled to confirm sterility of the incubation media

and carbohydrate amendments. Incubations were monitored by cell enumeration for nine

days.

Results

Kelp physiological response to seasonal nitrate availability and developmental stage

We observed variations in kelp developmental stage driven by seasonal nitrate

availability and age. In both seasons, rapid changes in physiology occurred after kelp

blades passed 50 days of age, which we use to demarcate the transition from maturity to

senescence. Across all samples, tissue-N ($g_{Nitrogen}/g_{Dry\ Weight}$ *100) ranged from 0.63% to

3.7%, respectively. Mature kelp sampled in the spring had a significantly higher tissue-N

content than mature summer kelp, due to higher seawater nitrate concentrations (Figure 1,

Figure 2A; Welch's t-test: t = -22.6, df = 19.0, p < 0.001). Average tissue-N of mature kelp

blades in the summer and spring were 0.92 ± 0.08% and 2.6 ± 0.14%, respectively (Figure

2A). After entering the senescent phase, average tissue-N decreased to 0.7 ± 0.06% and

2.1 ± 0.77% in the summer and spring, respectively (Figure 2A).

Seasonal and developmental stage-driven changes in exudate carbohydrate composition

Hydrolyzable carbohydrates averaged 10.3 ± 4.9% of giant kelp exudate carbon

across all incubations, regardless of season or age. We observed a significant difference in

the carbohydrate sugar content of kelp exudates between the mature and senescent

developmental stages (Figure 2B; PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.14, p < 0.001) and between seasons

(PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.07, p = 0.006). Differences in sugar content between developmental

stages were driven by the change in mole% of fucose and mannuronic acid (Figure 2B)

which made up, on average, 47% and 34% of the sugars exuded in the mature and

senescent phases, respectively. Man-URA had the largest change in mole% of all sugars

between the mature (5%) and senescent (34%) phases. In mature kelp blade exudates, the

molar percentage of glucosamine increased three-fold from summer to spring,

demonstrating the largest seasonal change (Figure 2C).

300
Figure 2. Seasonal and developmental stage changes in giant kelp physiology and exudate
carbohydrate composition. **(A)** Giant kelp tissue nitrogen content as a percentage of dry
weight (DW) between mature and senescent phase kelp in spring and summer. **(B)**

Principle component (PC) analysis of giant kelp carbohydrate exudates as molar
percentages between mature and senescent phase kelp. Ellipses represent 95%
confidence regions between mature (green circles) and senescent (gold triangles) kelp
exudates. Arrow lengths represent the strength of the correlation between each individual
sugar monomer to the two principal components (PC1 & PC2) shown. Large symbols in
center of the ellipses are the centroids. Sugar monomer names are overlayed next to
arrows. Abbreviations: Glc-URA (glucuronic acid), Gal-URA (galacturonic acid), Man-URA
(mannuronic acid). **(C)** Mole% of glucosamine in total moles of sugars exuded by giant kelp
between developmental stages and seasons. Green and gold colors represent samples
from mature and senescent kelp, respectively and are consistent for all three panels.
Boxplots: The top and bottom border of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles,
the horizontal line inside each box represents the median and the whiskers represent the
range of the points (1.5*IQR) excluding outliers. Outliers are shown by black points outside
the whiskers. Colored points inside each box are sample values.
318

Microbe-Carbohydrate dynamics

Coincident with altered exudate carbohydrate composition, we observed significant

differences in the giant kelp microbiome between seasons and developmental stages. In

both seasons we observed a significant increase in bacterial diversity with age (Ordinary

Least Squares regression; Spring: R^2 = 0.82, p < 0.001; Summer: R^2 = 0.23, p = 0.01)

although the spring cohort had lower initial diversity (Figure 3A). Multivariate analysis

demonstrated that the composition of giant kelp microbiome was significantly different

between season and developmental stage (Figure 3B; PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.10, p < 0.001).

Family level shifts between mature and senescent kelp were largely driven by an increase

in Flavobacteriacea, Thiotrichaceae, and Arincellaceae and a decrease in

Granulosicoccaceae and Pirellulaceae (Figure 4). At the ASV level, we identified 371 taxa

that varied significantly in relative abundance between season or developmental stage,

most of which were from the phyla *Actinobacteriota, Bacteroidota, Planctomycetota,*

Proteobacteria and *Verrucomicrobiota* (FDR adjusted p-values <0.01, DEseq2). Of these

333 371 ASVs, 44 had a relative abundance > 1% in more than 10% of samples. We consider

334 these 44 ASVs to be abundant and common microbial taxa in the giant kelp microbiome.

335 Hierarchical clustering was used to identify relationships between these 44 abundant

336 ASVs and the mole% of sugars exuded by giant kelp based on Spearman's rank correlation

337 coefficients.

339
340 **Figure 3. Giant kelp microbiome alpha and beta diversity between seasons and**
341 **development stage**. **(A)** Shannon diversity over age of the blade between spring (circles)
342 and summer (triangles). Solid lines show the significant 2nd order polynomial relationship
343 between giant kelp microbiome Shannon diversity and age. **(B)** NMDS plot showing
344 significant difference in the microbial community composition between seasons and
345 development stage. NMDS ordinations used Bray-Curtis dissimilarities from arcsine-
346 transformed 16s rRNA amplicon relative abundances. The legend applies to both panels.
347
348
349

350
Figure 4. Relative abundance of bacterial clades at the family level on the surface of giant
kelp blades between mature and senescent developmental stages. Shown are the top 10
families with a collective relative abundance > 1% during either developmental stage. In
each boxplot a point is the relative abundance of a bacterial family in a kelp biofilm
sample. The left and right border of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, the
vertical line inside each box represents the median and the whiskers represent the range of
the points (1.5*IQR) excluding outliers. Outliers are shown by points outside the whiskers.
358
359
360
For 17 of the 44 ASVs there was at least one significant correlation (Spearman's

rank correlation, p < 0.05, see Supplemental Table 1 & 2) with the mole% of a sugar in giant

kelp exudates (Figure 5A). These ASVs grouped into two clusters, primarily based on their

correlation with fucose, glucosamine, and mannuronic acid (Figure 5A). The first cluster

(Cluster A) included ASVs whose relative abundance was positively correlated with the

release of carbohydrates rich in fucose and/or glucosamine or negatively correlated with

mannuronic acid (Spearman, $p < 0.05$; see Figure 5A, Supplemental Table 1). Members of

the family Pirellulaceae from the phylum Planctomycetota accounted for 3 of the 6 ASVs in

Cluster A. Cluster A also contained two ASVs from the genus Granulosicocaceae in the

phylum Proteobacteria (Figure 5B).

The second cluster (Cluster B) was characterized by a significant positive

relationship between ASVs and the release of carbohydrates rich in mannuronic acid

(Spearman, $p < 0.05$; see Figure 5A, Supplemental Table 2), and a significant negative

correlation with the release of carbohydrates rich in fucose and/or glucosamine

(Spearman, $p < 0.05$; see Figure 5A, Supplemental Table 2). This cluster included members

of the families Flavobacteriaceae, Cellvibrionaceae, Arenicellaceae, Trueperaceae,

Thiotrichaceae and Granulosicoccaceae.

378
379

Figure 5. Abundant microbes are differentially correlated to the sugar content of giant kelp exudates. **(A)** Heatmap showing the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between the relative abundance of differentially abundant ASVs (n = 17) with at least one significant positive or negative correlation with the mole% of a sugar monomer in giant kelp exudates. The strength and direction of the Spearman's ranked correlation are shown by the color ramp. Hierarchical clustering shows two main clusters of ASV-sugar correlations. Cluster A is shown in the blue rectangle, and Cluster B is shown in the red rectangle. The phylum of each ASV is shown by the row color at the tip of each branch. Abbreviations: Glc-URA (glucuronic acid), Gal-URA (galacturonic acid), Man-URA (mannuronic acid). **(B)** Range in the relative abundance of each differentially abundant taxa in all kelp microbiome samples (n = 54).

Planctomycetota growth on model carbohydrates

We cultivated a bacterial isolate from the surface of a giant kelp blade belonging to

the phylum *Planctomycetota* (family Pirellulaceae) (Figure 6A & 6B) that we used in

subsequent growth assays on model carbohydrates. We confirmed the ability of our

398   isolate to grow on different carbohydrates, including those from kelp (Figure 6C). We

399   observed no growth in our sterile media controls, or the carbohydrate amended media

400   without cells. In treatments with the *Planctomycetota* isolate added we observed no cell

401   growth in unamended treatments. The isolate grew on both *N*-acetyl glucosamine and

402   fucoidan, but not alginate.

404
Figure 6. (A) Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of 16s rRNA genes of the Pirellulaceae
family. The position of our isolated strain is highlighted in blue text within the
Rhodopirellula clade, highlighted by the green box. The tree was rooted with one sequence
from the *Planctomycetota* order Planctomycetales, highlighted at the top of the tree. **(B)**
Isolation of cultured Rhodopirellula sp. on an agar plate. **(C)** Isolate growth on model
carbohydrates. Control incubations (red symbols) are sterile incubation media with all
carbohydrate amendments (shapes). NAGA is *N*-acetyl glucosamine. Live incubations
(black symbols) show the isolate's growth capability in response to amended
carbohydrates. Points and error bars are the mean ±1 standard deviation cell abundance
from triplicate incubations.

Discussion

Nutrient availability and age shape kelp physiology

Giant kelp physiology between and within cohorts responded to seasonal nitrogen availability and age-related senescence. At our study site, giant kelp growth is primarily limited by inorganic nitrogen availability, which is depleted in the summer, but is replenished in the spring due to coastal upwelling (Figure 1) [54, 55]. Giant kelp adjusts to periods of low nitrogen availability by adjusting its tissue nitrogen content, resulting in elevated tissue C:N ratios [54] . In our study, the average percent tissue-N of mature giant kelp in the summer (0.91 ± 0.06%) was below the minimum value (0.98%) recorded in the SBC-LTER time-series of kelp at our study site, whereas the percent tissue-N in the spring was, on average (2.6 ± 0.14%), equal to the average for our study site (2.7 ± 0.8%) [55] .In this context, our summer sampling captured kelp in a period of nitrogen depletion (Tissue-N < 1%) which is suggested to result in diminished growth rates and kelp survival [30].

In addition to seasonal fluctuations in physiology, giant kelp undergoes progressive senescence, a rapid decline in developmental stage in response to age [56]. Our results align with previous studies indicating that senescence occurs independently of environmental conditions and begins when kelp tissue age exceeds approximately 50 days [29]. These age-related physiological changes were associated with a decrease in in tissue-N (Figure 2A) and changes in the composition of dissolved carbohydrates released from giant kelp (Figure 2B & 2C).

Giant kelp microbiome composition

The abundance of major epibiont bacterial families at different stages of kelp

development is broadly consistent with observations of kelp microbiomes over tissue age

and between healthy and stressed or senescent tissue (Figure 4) [33, 36, 37, 57, 58].

Likewise, the increase in diversity and evenness of the microbiome with age is consistent

with previous observations [57]; however, to our knowledge this is the first report of

significantly lower Shannon diversity in young kelp in the spring compared to the summer

(Figure 3A). It has been proposed that the kelp microbiome is established through

environmental acquisition over vertical transmission [59]. Therefore, exudates likely play a

major role in the establishment and transformation of the kelp microbiome. Kelps

continuously shed and replace tissue year-round [60] and factors that affect exudate

composition, such as nitrogen availability, may have consequences for the biofilm

composition of new tissue, as has been proposed for terrestrial plant rhizospheres [7].

Exudate and microbiome composition dynamics

Simultaneous changes in the carbohydrate composition of kelp exudates and

microbial taxa suggest an important role in exudate composition in shaping the kelp

microbiome. These associations further revealed that extrinsic factors, such as nitrogen

availability, and intrinsic factors such as development stage regulate the composition of

the giant kelp exudates. Notably, we observed an enrichment of glucosamine, a nitrogen-

containing sugar, in carbohydrates exuded by mature kelp in the spring compared to the

nitrogen-limited kelp in the summer (Figure 1C). A similar pattern has been observed in the

root exudates of plants, whereby the addition of inorganic nitrogen results in the enhanced

release of nitrogen containing metabolites relative to roots grown under nitrogen-limiting

conditions [7]. Baker et al., (2024) found that the release of nitrogen-rich metabolites by

plant roots selected for a less diverse and unique microbial community compared to

plants grown in nitrogen-limited conditions, a pattern we also observed in the kelp

microbiome (Figure 2). Glucosamine is a common sugar in the mucus produced by many

organisms, including corals and humans [15, 18, 61], and has been shown to serve an

important role in regulating attachment and biofilm formation by bacteria [62, 63].

Because exudates are constantly sloughed into the surrounding seawater, they must be

replaced to maintain their function in the outer membrane layer. Therefore, the cost of

exuding nitrogen containing compounds that must be continuously replaced may be too

high to be maintained under nitrogen-deplete conditions experienced by giant kelp in the

summer.

Fucose was the most abundant sugar in the carbohydrates released by mature

giant kelp in both seasons, consistent with previous studies of brown macroalgae [17, 64].

The abundance of fucose in brown macroalgal exudates is likely due to the secretion of

fucoidan, a large sulfated polysaccharide secreted onto the exterior of the cell membrane

and shed as mucilage [42]. Fucoidan lacks nitrogen which may explain its consistent

dominance in kelp exudates across a range of tissue-N content. Several studies document

the potential defensive properties of fucoidans [52, 62, 65] and its proposed defensive role

is supported by its observed recalcitrance to bacterial degradation [66].

In both seasons, there was an increase in the mole% of mannuronic acid as kelp

blades aged and entered the senescent phase (Figure 1B). Mannuronic acid is an indicator

of the macroalgal polysaccharide alginate, which comprises up to half of kelp biomass

[67]. Microbial taxa positively correlated to the mole% of mannuronic acid included

members of the family Flavobacteriaceae and several Gammaproteobacteria, including

one member of the family Thiotrichaceae (Figure 4A, Cluster B). Flavobacteria are

archetypal fast-growing carbohydrate degraders with adaptations to grow attached to

surfaces and utilize high molecular weight organic matter [68, 69]. Using DNA stable

isotope probing with ^{13}C-labeled alginate, Thomas et al., (2021) demonstrated the main

consumers of alginate in coastal seawater were bacteria from the Flavobacteria,

Alteromonas, Thiotrichaceae clades. The relative abundance of Flavobacteria and

Thiotrichaceae in kelp biofilms is typically higher on stressed or senescent kelp tissue [58]

as observed in this study (Figure 4), and in the early stages of kelp biomass decay these

groups dominate alginate hydrolysis [71]. From our observations, we hypothesize that as

kelp begins to senesce, the shedding of alginate-rich material promotes the growth of

alginate degraders whose hydrolytic enzymes solubilize kelp tissue into DOC. This

dynamic would explain the enhanced solubilization of kelp tissue with age that we

observed in a complimentary study [72].

Potential mechanisms behind carbohydrate-microbe associations

Without further study of their native composition, we can only speculate about the

structure and function of the carbohydrates released by giant kelp. However, the role of

carbohydrates secreted to the outer membrane layers of animals and phytoplankton is

well established. For example, in bacterial biofilm formation, carbohydrates tethered to

the cell surface of a host serve as recognition sites for carbohydrate-protein binding

proteins (lectins) that act in concert with larger attachment proteins (adhesins). These

lectin-adhesin complexes mediate the attachment of bacteria, including pathogens to the

host surface [73]. In a model bacterium-diatom association, the lectin *Mp*PA14 exhibits a

strong binding affinity for fucose and *N*-acetyl glucosamine residues [62]. Guo et al., (2021)

demonstrated that *Mp*PA14 and the *Mp*PA14 lectin homolog from *Vibrio cholera* were

inhibited and unable to bind to diatom cells when exposed to high concentrations of free

fucose. A strong binding affinity of *Mp*PA14 was also observed for fucoidan, a fucose-rich

polysaccharide released by brown macroalgae [42]. These data indicate a potentially

conserved defensive role of fucose-containing carbohydrates exuded by members of the

Stramenopile lineage, such as diatoms and kelps. Giant kelp may release high

concentrations of fucose-rich carbohydrates to disrupt biofilm formation by potential

pathogens. Indeed, all taxa from Cluster B (Figure 4A), which includes several taxa

associated with macroalgal tissue degradation, such as Flavobacteria, exhibited a

negative correlation with the fucose content of the exuded carbohydrates (Spearman, $p <$

0.05; see Supplemental Table 1B).

Glucosamine may serve as an important carbon and nitrogen source for the growth

of microbes beneficial to giant kelp. In the marine environment, glucosamine is more

commonly found in its acetylated form (*N*-acetyl glucosamine) [74]. Our carbohydrate

measurements require an acid hydrolysis step, which cleaves the acetyl group resulting in

glucosamine. Therefore, our glucosamine measurements reflect the contribution of both

N-acetyl glucosamine and glucosamine residues. The microbial taxa that positively

correlated with glucosamine included members from the family Pirellulaceae within

Planctomycetota, and the *Granulosicoccaceae* family of *Proteobacteria* (Cluster A, Figure

5A, Supplemental Table 1). Cultured strains of *Planctomycetota* such as *Pirellula* sp. strain

1 can metabolize *N*-acetyl glucosamine (NAGA) as their sole carbon and nitrogen source

[75], and in a comparison of 79 cultured members of *Planctomycetota*, *N*-acetyl

glucosamine was found to be the most efficient carbon source for their isolation [50].

Moreover, previous work suggests *N*-acetyl glucosamine may trigger biofilm formation by

some members of *Planctomycetota,* such as *Rhodopirellua baltica* [76].

Planctomycetes are dominant members of healthy macroalgal microbiomes [77–

79] and it has been proposed they alter the composition of biofilms through the production

of secondary metabolites such as stieleriacines [80, 81]. We demonstrate that

Planctomycetes isolated from the surface of giant kelp blades can grow on fucoidan and

NAGA, but not alginate (Figure 5). This carbohydrate substrate preference is consistent

with our observed correlations between the abundance of members of the

Planctomycetota family Pirellulaceae in the kelp microbiome and the sugar content of

giant kelp exudates (Figure 5A, Cluster A). Several recent studies also confirm that the

Pirellulaceae family within the *Planctomycetota* phylum specialize in the degradation of

fucoidan [71, 80, 82]. Further, metagenome assembled genomes from the kelp *Ecklonia*

radiata microbiome revealed that fucoidan degradation genes are more frequently present

than alginate degradation genes in the Pirellulaceae family [59]. We hypothesize that

exudation of specific carbohydrates by kelps serves to enrich their microbiome in

commensal or beneficial microbes such as *Planctomycetota*. Such carbohydrate-microbe

synergy is consistent with observations of plant rhizospheres, corals and the human gut

[13, 15, 61, 83, 84].

Concluding remarks and perspectives

There is a growing understanding of the role of microbiomes in maintaining

organismal health and ecosystem function [85, 86]. Describing relationships between

organism physiology, exudate composition and the microbiome community is the first step

in uncovering mechanisms that regulate these interactions. We observed significant

variability in the composition of dissolved carbohydrates released by giant kelp in

response to seasonal nutrient availability and developmental stage, with corresponding

shifts in the kelp microbiome. These findings are particularly relevant given proposals for

offshore macroalgal afforestation (OFA) associated with carbon dioxide removal

approaches and natural product industries [27, 87]. OFA requires farming in deeper,

offshore water, where nutrient concentrations are lower than the shallow coastlines where

kelp typically grow. This nutrient stress may impair kelp microbiome establishment and

composition resulting in disease or tissue degradation as has been observed during

periods of temperature, iron, and pH stress [58, 79, 88, 89]. Future work should determine

the functional capacity of key members of the kelp microbiome, such members of the

phylum *Planctomycetota*. Such work could enable microbiome-targeted interventions that

could be used for beneficial outcomes in offshore kelp aquaculture similar to those

applied in terrestrial agriculture [90, 91].

Acknowledgments

We thank all past and current members of the Santa Barbara Coastal LTER who

generated necessary background data for this study. We thank all Carlson lab members, A.

Santoro and D. Siegel for their constructive comments and discussion of the data and

manuscript. This project was funded by the Department of Energy's Advanced Research

Project Agency-Energy through award DE-AR0001559 to CAC, and the National Science

Foundation's Santa Barbara Coastal LTER through award number OCE-1831937 to CAC.

CJE and MM were additionally supported by the Worster Family Summer Research

Fellowship.

Data Availability

583   DNA sequence data are available in the National Center for Biotechnology

584 Information Sequence Read Archive under PRJNA1256130. R scripts for analysis can be

585 found at https://github.com/chance-english.

References

1. Anthony MA et al. Forest tree growth is linked to mycorrhizal fungal composition and

function across Europe. *ISME J* 2022;**16**:1327–1336. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-

021-01159-7

2. Beijerinck M. Uber oligonitrophile mikroben. *Zentralbl Bakterol Parasitenkd Infekt Hyg*

Abt II 1901;**7**:561–582.

3. Burgunter-Delamare B et al. Metabolic Complementarity Between a Brown Alga and

Associated Cultivable Bacteria Provide Indications of Beneficial Interactions. *Front*

Mar Sci 2020;**7**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00085

4. Somers E, Vanderleyden J, Srinivasan M. Rhizosphere Bacterial Signalling: A Love

Parade Beneath Our Feet. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2004;**30**:205–240.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10408410490468786

5. Bakshani CR et al. Evolutionary conservation of the antimicrobial function of mucus: a

first defence against infection. *Npj Biofilms Microbiomes* 2018;**4**:14.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-018-0057-2

6. Mannochio-Russo H et al. Microbiomes and metabolomes of dominant coral reef

primary producers illustrate a potential role for immunolipids in marine symbioses.

Commun Biol 2023;**6**:896. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-05230-1

7. Baker NR et al. Nutrient and moisture limitations reveal keystone metabolites linking

rhizosphere metabolomes and microbiomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

2024;**121**:e2303439121. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2303439121

8.   Zhalnina K et al. Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences

617   drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. *Nat Microbiol*

618   2018;**3**:470–480. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0129-3

619   9.   Abdullah MI, Fredriksen S. Production, respiration and exudation of dissolved organic

620   matter by the kelp *Laminaria hyperborea* along the west coast of Norway. *J Mar Biol*

621   *Assoc U K* 2004;**84**:887–894. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531540401015Xh

622   10.   Myklestad SM. Dissolved Organic Carbon from Phytoplankton. In: Wangersky PJ (ed.),

623   Marine Chemistry. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2000, 111–148.

624   11.   Davies PS. The role of zooxanthellae in the nutritional energy requirements of

625   Pocillopora eydouxi. *Coral Reefs* 1984;**2**:181–186.

626   https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00263571

627   12.   Fogg GE. The Ecological Significance of Extracellular Products of Phytoplankton

628   Photosynthesis. *Bot Mar* 1983;**26**. https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1983.26.1.3

629   13.   Sogin EM et al. Sugars dominate the seagrass rhizosphere. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2022;**6**:866–

630   877. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01740-z

631   14.   Wild C et al. Coral mucus functions as an energy carrier and particle trap in the reef

632   ecosystem. *Nature* 2004;**428**:66–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02344

633   15.   Lee STM et al. Mucus Sugar Content Shapes the Bacterial Community Structure in

634   Thermally Stressed Acropora muricata. *Front Microbiol* 2016;**7**:371.

635   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00371

16. Tremblay P et al. Mucus composition and bacterial communities associated with the

tissue and skeleton of three scleractinian corals maintained under culture conditions.

J Mar Biol Assoc U K 2011;**91**:649–657. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531541000130X

17. Nelson CE et al. Coral and macroalgal exudates vary in neutral sugar composition and

differentially enrich reef bacterioplankton lineages. *ISME J* 2013;**7**:962–979.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.161

18. Thobor BM et al. Mucus carbohydrate composition correlates with scleractinian coral

phylogeny. *Sci Rep* 2024;**14**:14019. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-64828-5

19. Krumhansl KA et al. Global patterns of kelp forest change over the past half-century.

Proc Natl Acad Sci 2016;**113**:13785–13790.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606102113

20. Miller RJ et al. Giant kelp, Macrocystis pyrifera , increases faunal diversity through

physical engineering. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2018;**285**:20172571.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2571

21. Eger AM et al. The value of ecosystem services in global marine kelp forests. *Nat*

Commun 2023;**14**:1894. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37385-0

22. Filbee-Dexter K et al. Carbon export from seaweed forests to deep ocean sinks. *Nat*

Geosci 2024;**17**:552–559. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01449-7

23. Krause-Jensen D, Duarte CM. Substantial role of macroalgae in marine carbon

sequestration. *Nat Geosci* 2016;**9**:737–742. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2790

24. Smith SV. Marine Macrophytes as a Global Carbon Sink. *Science* 1981;**211**:838–840.

25. Arafeh-Dalmau N et al. Marine heat waves threaten kelp forests. *Science*

2020;**367**:635–635. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba5244

26. Fredriksen S et al. Green gravel: a novel restoration tool to combat kelp forest decline.

Sci Rep 2020;**10**:3983. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60553-x

27. Duarte CM, Bruhn A, Krause-Jensen D. A seaweed aquaculture imperative to meet

global sustainability targets. *Nat Sustain* 2022;**5**:185–193.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00773-9

28. Bell TW et al. Geographical variability in the controls of giant kelp biomass dynamics. *J*

Biogeogr 2015;**42**:2010–2021. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12550

29. Bell TW, Siegel DA. Nutrient availability and senescence spatially structure the

dynamics of a foundation species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2022;**119**:e2105135118.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2105135118

30. Gerard VA. Growth and utilization of internal nitrogen reserves by the giant kelp

Macrocystis pyrifera in a low-nitrogen environment. *Mar Biol* 1982;**66**:27–35.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00397251

31. North RJ. Life-span of the Fronds of Giant Kelp, Macrocystis pyrifera. *Nature*

1961;**190**:1215–1216. https://doi.org/10.1038/1901215a0

32. Reed DC, Rassweiler A, Arkema KK. Biomass Rather Than Growth Rate Determines

Variation in Net Primary Production by Giant Kelp. *Ecology* 2008;**89**:2493–2505.

https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1106.1

33. James AK et al. Giant kelp microbiome altered in the presence of epiphytes. *Limnol*

Oceanogr Lett 2020;**5**:354–362. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10157

34. Michelou VK et al. The Ecology of Microbial Communities Associated with Macrocystis

pyrifera. *PLOS ONE* 2013;**8**:e67480. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067480

35. Weigel BL, Pfister CA. Successional Dynamics and Seascape-Level Patterns of

Microbial Communities on the Canopy-Forming Kelps Nereocystis luetkeana and

Macrocystis pyrifera. *Front Microbiol* 2019;**10**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00346

36. Marzinelli EM et al. Continental-scale variation in seaweed host-associated bacterial

communities is a function of host condition, not geography. *Environ Microbiol*

2015;**17**:4078–4088. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12972

37. Qiu Z et al. Future climate change is predicted to affect the microbiome and condition

of habitat-forming kelp. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2019;**286**:20181887.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1887

38. Reed DC, Miller RJ. SBC LTER: Reef: Bottom Temperature: Continuous water

temperature, ongoing since 2000. 2025. EDI, 2025.

39. Snyder JN et al. Sea Surface Temperature Imagery Elucidates Spatiotemporal Nutrient

Patterns for Offshore Kelp Aquaculture Siting in the Southern California Bight. *Front*

Mar Sci 2020;**7**:22. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00022

40. Halewood E et al. Determination of dissolved organic carbon and total dissolved

nitrogen in seawater using High Temperature Combustion Analysis. *Front Mar Sci*

2022;**9**:1061646. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.1061646

41. Engel A, Händel N. A novel protocol for determining the concentration and

composition of sugars in particulate and in high molecular weight dissolved organic

matter (HMW-DOM) in seawater. *Mar Chem* 2011;**127**:180–191.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2011.09.004

42. Buck-Wiese H et al. Fucoid brown algae inject fucoidan carbon into the ocean. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci 2023;**120**:e2210561119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2210561119

43. Giovannoni SJ et al. 16S rRNA genes reveal stratified open ocean bacterioplankton

populations related to the Green Non-Sulfur bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

1996;**93**:7979–7984. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.15.7979

44. Apprill A et al. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly

increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat Microb Ecol* 2015;**75**:129–137.

https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753

45. Callahan BJ et al. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon

data. *Nat Methods* 2016;**13**:581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

46. Quast C et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data

processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;**41**:D590–D596.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

47. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome Data Is

Inadmissible. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2014;**10**:e1003531.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531

48. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014;**15**:550.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

49. Lage OM, Bondoso J. Bringing Planctomycetes into pure culture. *Front Microbiol*

2012;**3**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00405

50. Wiegand S et al. Cultivation and functional characterization of 79 planctomycetes

uncovers their unique biology. *Nat Microbiol* 2020;**5**:126–140.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0588-1

51. Pruesse E, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. SINA: Accurate high-throughput multiple sequence

alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. *Bioinformatics* 2012;**28**:1823–1829.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts252

52. Patankar MS et al. A revised structure for fucoidan may explain some of its biological

activities. *J Biol Chem* 1993;**268**:21770–21776. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-

9258(20)80609-7

53. Sichert A et al. Ion-exchange purification and structural characterization of five

sulfated fucoidans from brown algae. *Glycobiology* 2021;**31**:352–357.

https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwaa064

54. Brzezinksi M et al. Multiple Sources and Forms of Nitrogen Sustain Year-Round Kelp

Growth on the Inner Continental Shelf of the Santa Barbara Channel. *Oceanography*

2013;**26**:114–123. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.53

55. Reed DC, Miller RJ. SBC LTER: Reef: Macrocystis pyrifera CHN content (carbon,

hydrogen, nitrogen), ongoing since 2002 ver 21. 2025. EDI, 2025.

56. Rodriguez GE et al. The importance of progressive senescence in the biomass

dynamics of giant kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera). *Ecology* 2013;**94**:1848–1858.

https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1340.1

57. Bengtsson MM et al. Bacterial diversity in relation to secondary production and

succession on surfaces of the kelp Laminaria hyperborea. *ISME J* 2012;**6**:2188–2198.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.67

58. Minich JJ et al. Elevated temperature drives kelp microbiome dysbiosis, while elevated

carbon dioxide induces water microbiome disruption. *PLOS ONE* 2018;**13**:e0192772.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192772

59. Song W et al. Contribution of horizontal gene transfer to the functionality of microbial

biofilm on a macroalgae. *ISME J* 2021;**15**:807–817. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-

020-00815-8

60. Mann KH. Seaweeds: Their Productivity and Strategy for Growth. *Science*

1973;**182**:975–981.

61. Bakshani CR et al. Carbohydrate-active enzymes from Akkermansia muciniphila

break down mucin O-glycans to completion. *Nat Microbiol* 2025;**10**:585–598.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01911-7

62. Guo S et al. Structural Basis of Ligand Selectivity by a Bacterial Adhesin Lectin

Involved in Multispecies Biofilm Formation. *mBio* 2021;**12**:e00130-21.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00130-21

63. Sicard J-F et al. N-Acetyl-glucosamine influences the biofilm formation of Escherichia

coli. *Gut Pathog* 2018;**10**:26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-018-0252-y

64. Wada S et al. Quantitative and qualitative analyses of dissolved organic matter

released from Ecklonia cava Kjellman, in Oura Bay, Shimoda, Izu Peninsula, Japan. *J*

Exp Mar Biol Ecol 2007;**349**:344–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.05.024

65.   Fitton JH, Stringer DN, Karpiniec SS. Therapies from Fucoidan: An Update. *Mar Drugs*

767   2015;**13**:5920–5946. https://doi.org/10.3390/md13095920

768   66.   Sichert A et al. Verrucomicrobia use hundreds of enzymes to digest the algal

769   polysaccharide fucoidan. *Nat Microbiol* 2020;**5**:1026–1039.

770   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0720-2

771   67.   Kloareg B, Quatrano R. Structure of the cell walls of marine algae and

772   ecophysiological functions of the matrix polysaccharides. *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu*

773   *Rev* 1988;**26**:259–315.

774   68.   Fernández-Gómez B et al. Ecology of marine Bacteroidetes: a comparative genomics

775   approach. *ISME J* 2013;**7**:1026–1037. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.169

776   69.   Thomas F et al. Characterization of the first alginolytic operons in a marine bacterium:

777   from their emergence in marine Flavobacteriia to their independent transfers to

778   marine Proteobacteria and human gut Bacteroides. *Environ Microbiol* 2012;**14**:2379–

779   2394. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02751.x

780   70.   Thomas F et al. Isotopic tracing reveals single-cell assimilation of a macroalgal

781   polysaccharide by a few marine Flavobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria. *ISME J*

782   2021;**15**:3062–3075. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00987-x

783   71.   Zhang Y-S et al. Metagenomic insights into the dynamic degradation of brown algal

784   polysaccharides by kelp-associated microbiota. *Appl Environ Microbiol*

785   2024;**90**:e02025-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02025-23

72. English CJ et al. Senescence driven solubilization of biomass is the main source of

kelp-derived dissolved organic carbon to the coastal ocean. 2025. EarthArXiv, 2025.

https://doi.org/10.31223/X5C71M

73. Lewis AL, Kohler JJ, Aebi M. Microbial Lectins: Hemagglutinins, Adhesins, and Toxins.

In: Varki A et al. (eds.), Essentials of Glycobiology, 4th ed. Cold Spring Harbor (NY):

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2022, 505–516.

74. Riemann L, Azam F. Widespread N-Acetyl-d-Glucosamine Uptake among Pelagic

Marine Bacteria and Its Ecological Implications. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;**68**:5554–

5562. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.11.5554-5562.2002

75. Rabus R et al. Analysis of N-acetylglucosamine metabolism in the marine bacterium

Pirellula sp. strain 1 by a proteomic approach. *PROTEOMICS* 2002;**2**:649–655.

https://doi.org/10.1002/1615-9861(200206)2:6<649::AID-PROT649>3.0.CO;2-R

76. Jeske O et al. Developing Techniques for the Utilization of Planctomycetes As

Producers of Bioactive Molecules. *Front Microbiol* 2016;**7**:1242.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01242

77. James AK et al. Giant kelp microbiome altered in the presence of epiphytes. *Limnol*

Oceanogr Lett 2020;**5**:354–362. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10157

78. Lage OM, Bondoso J. Planctomycetes and macroalgae, a striking association. *Front*

Microbiol 2014;**5**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00267

79. Qiu Z et al. Future climate change is predicted to affect the microbiome and condition

of habitat-forming kelp. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2019;**286**:20181887.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1887

80. Gao X et al. Adaptive traits of *Planctomycetota* bacteria to thrive in macroalgal

habitats and establish mutually beneficial relationship with macroalgae. *Limnol*

Oceanogr Lett 2024;lol2.10424. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10424

81. Kallscheuer N et al. The planctomycete Stieleria maiorica Mal15T employs

stieleriacines to alter the species composition in marine biofilms. *Commun Biol*

2020;**3**:303. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-0993-2

82. Pérez-Cruz C et al. Mechanisms of recalcitrant fucoidan breakdown in marine

Planctomycetota. *Nat Commun* 2024;**15**:10906. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-

55268-w

83. Bais HP et al. The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and

other organisms. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2006;**57**:233–266.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105159

84. Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root

Microbiome? *Trends Plant Sci* 2018;**23**:25–41.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.09.003

85. Peixoto RS et al. Harnessing the microbiome to prevent global biodiversity loss. *Nat*

Microbiol 2022;**7**:1726–1735. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01173-1

86. Peixoto RS et al. Beneficial Microorganisms for Corals (BMC): Proposed Mechanisms

for Coral Health and Resilience. *Front Microbiol* 2017;**8**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00341

87. Ricart AM et al. Sinking seaweed in the deep ocean for carbon neutrality is ahead of

science and beyond the ethics. *Environ Res Lett* 2022. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-

9326/ac82ff

88. Paine ER et al. Iron limitation of kelp growth may prevent ocean afforestation.

Commun Biol 2023;**6**:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-04962-4

89. Molnar NB et al. Warming Seawater Temperature and Nutrient Depletion Alters

Microbial Community Composition on a Foundational Canopy Kelp Species. *Environ*

Microbiol 2025;**27**:e70077. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.70077

90. Berg G et al. Microbiome Modulation—Toward a Better Understanding of Plant

Microbiome Response to Microbial Inoculants. *Front Microbiol* 2021;**12**:650610.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.650610

91. Lucy M, Reed E, Glick BR. Applications of free living plant growth-promoting

rhizobacteria. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2004;**86**:1–25.

https://doi.org/10.1023/B:ANTO.0000024903.10757.6e

867 **Supplementals**
868
869
870 **Supplemental Table 1.** Spearman's correlation R^2 and p-value for abundant ASVs and sugar
871 mole% in Cluster A (Figure 4A). Red and blue filled cells indicate significant ($p < 0.05$) positive
872 and negative correlations, respectively.
873

Sugar	Pirellulaceae_Blastopirellula_NA_12	Pirellulaceae_Blastopirellula_NA_7	Granulosicoccaceae_Granulosicoccus_NA_11	Pirellulaceae_Blastopirellula_NA_11	Granulosicoccaceae_Granulosicoccus_NA_6	Saprospiraceae_Portibacter_NA_5
Fucose	0.42,0.00566	0.29,0.06614	0.58,5e-05	0.58,6e-05	0.48,0.00145	0.09,0.55564
Rhamnose	-0.13,0.41308	-0.24,0.12203	-0.08,0.61524	-0.1,0.54875	0.11,0.48404	0.06,0.72827
Galactosamine	0.02,0.89114	0.11,0.5006	0.19,0.23305	0.33,0.03406	-0.08,0.59996	0.52,0.00039
Arabinose	-0.2,0.21373	0,0.97687	-0.08,0.61911	0.01,0.9255	-0.29,0.05808	0.34,0.02826
Glucosamine	0.57,8e-05	0.56,0.00011	0.52,0.00041	0.55,0.00018	0.33,0.03539	0.22,0.16389
Galactose	-0.01,0.93022	-0.09,0.58159	0.2,0.19747	0.03,0.86051	0.32,0.03929	0,0.98291
Gal-URA	-0.14,0.3708	-0.03,0.85561	-0.17,0.28965	-0.06,0.70755	0.03,0.82763	0.04,0.81159
Glc-URA	-0.05,0.7488	0.06,0.68583	-0.1,0.52454	0.04,0.80388	-0.13,0.40849	0.18,0.24223
Man-URA	-0.38,0.0134	-0.25,0.10926	-0.51,0.00061	-0.56,0.00012	-0.56,0.00013	-0.24,0.12139

874
875
876
877

889 **Supplemental Table 2.** Spearman's correlation R^2 and p-value for abundant ASVs and sugar
890 mole% in Cluster B (Figure 5A). Red and blue filled cells indicate significant ($p < 0.05$) positive
891 and negative correlations, respectively.
892

Sugar	Thiotrichaceae_Leucothrix_NA_8	Microtrichaceae_Sva0996 marine group_NA_8	Trueperaceae_Truepera_NA_1	Microtrichaceae_Sva0996 marine group_NA_1	Cellvibrionaceae_NA_NA_6	Flavobacteriaceae_Ulvibacter_NA_2	Granulosicoccaceae_Granulosicoccus_NA_8	Arenicellaceae_Arenicella_NA_6	Arenicellaceae_Arenicella_NA_3	Flavobacteriaceae_Algitalea_NA	Microtrichaceae_Sva0996 marine group_NA_7
Fucose	-0.6, 2e-05	-0.58, 5e-05	-0.62, 1e-05	-0.57, 1e-04	-0.61, 2e-05	-0.65,0	-0.57, 8e-05	-0.48, 0.0014	-0.51, 0.0005	-0.44, 0.0035	-0.38, 0.0127
Rhamnose	-0.16, 0.3242	-0.18, 0.2521	0.04, 0.8013	0.08, 0.6032	-0.01, 0.9413	-0.06, 0.7037	-0.09, 0.5770	0.04, 0.7993	0.04, 0.7804	0.07 ,0.6694	0.1, 0.5407
Galactosamine	-0.16, 0.3239	-0.24, 0.1333	-0.22, 0.1710	-0.24, 0.1276	-0.39, 0.0104	-0.5, 0.0007	-0.07, 0.6481	-0.36, 0.0195	-0.39, 0.0102	-0.49, ,0.0009	-0.35, 0.0217
Arabinose	-0.01, 0.9567	0.1, 0.5379	0.11, 0.5052	0.06, 0.6948	-0.15, 0.3484	-0.2, 0.2132	-0.03, 0.8562	-0.21, 0.189	-0.1, 0.5280	-0.23, 0.1501	-0.17, 0.2698
Glucosamine	-0.5,0.00066	-0.33, 0.0314	-0.48,0.00116	-0.52, 0.0004	-0.49, 0.0011	-0.49, 0.0008	-0.2, 0.2071	-0.6, 2e-05	-0.6, 2e-05	-0.58, 7e-05	-0.65, <0.001
Galactose	-0.32, 0.0413	-0.33,0.03393	-0.28, 0.0755	-0.22,0.16158	-0.24, 0.1193	-0.26, 0.0974	-0.47, 0.0017	-0.05, 0.7526	-0.02, 0.8790	-0.13, 0.4129	0.08, 0.6033
Gal-URA	0.07, 0.6730	0.08, 0.6147	0.11, 0.4736	0.08, 0.6287	0.13, 0.4252	0.12, 0.4653	-0.09, 0.5704	0.1, 0.5313	0.19, 0.2296	0.12, 0.4623	0.19, 0.2168
Glc-URA	0.21, 0.1893	0.13, 0.4253	0.16, 0.3217	0.07,0.66731	-0.01, 0.9384	-0.01, 0.9513	0.18, 0.2433	-0.08, 0.6061	-0.07, 0.6777	-0.12, 0.4322	-0.24, 0.1309
Man-URA	0.65,0	0.62, 1e-05	0.55,0.00018	0.5, 0.0007	0.59, 4e-05	0.64,0	0.57, 8e-05	0.51, 0.0006	0.54, 0.0002	0.48, 0.0012	0.35, 0.0217

894
895   Supplemental Figure 1. Temperature to nitrate relationship for inshore water in the Santa Barbara

896   Channel. Points are from the T2N look up table compiled by Snyder et al. 2020 . Solid line is the

897   exponential regression used to calculate nitrate at Mohawk Reef at our study site: Nitrate (μM N)

898    $= 8565.5 * e^{(-0.597*Temp(^oC))}$, $R^2 = 0.95$.

917
918
Supplementary Figure 2. ^{1}H-^{13}C HSQC NMR spectrum of IEX purified fucoidan from

Macrocystis pyrifera. Correlations showing carbons with an even number of protons are blue and

those with an odd number of protons are shown in red.

933
934 **Supplemental Figure 3.** HPAEC-PAD chromatogram of crude fucoidan from supplier. Note

935 that peak area for fucose is relatively equal to areas from sugars not found in fucoidan (glucose,

936 mannuronic acid) compared to fucose in IEX-purified fucoidan (Supplemental Figure 4). Also,

937 there are large contributions from unknown peaks, possibly contaminating sugars in the sugar

938 alcohol range (0 - 5 minutes) and acidic sugar range (30 - 40 minutes).

962
963
Supplemental Figure 4. HPAEC-PAD chromatogram of IEX purified fucoidan. Note that peak

area of fucose enhanced compared to small remaining contaminating sugars (mannuronic acid)

compared to Supplemental Figure 3. Contribution of galactose indicates fucoidan from *M.*

pyrifera is likely a heterofucan. The two prominent peaks at the beginning and middle of the

chromatogram are from dissolved oxygen and acetate from the sample and elution buffer,

respectively.

970