Seasonal and developmental stage changes in exudate carbohydrate content shape

the kelp microbiome

Chance J. English[*1,2]*, Meenakshi Manoj*[1]*, Lillian C. Henderson*[1]*, Keri Opalk*[1]*, Craig A.*

Carlson[1,3]

1. Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, USA

2. Earth Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

3. Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California Santa

Barbara, CA, USA

* Corresponding author: Chance J. English

Email: cje@ucsb.edu

(951) 286-8416

Author Contribution Statement

CJE and CAC conceptualized the research. CJE collected material for and led the research.
CJE, MM, LCH,and KO collected and analyzed data or performed laboratory
measurements. CJE, MM, LCH ,KO, and CAC contributed to interpreting the results and
writing/editing the manuscript. CAC and CJE acquired funding for the research.

Abstract

Photoautotrophs release a large amount of their fixed carbon as exudates, which

shapes their immediate environment, including the composition of their microbiome. Here

we evaluated the microbiome and exudate composition of *Macrocystis pyrifera* (giant

kelp), a globally distributed foundation species, in response to seasonal nutrient

availability and developmental stage. We combine 16S rRNA amplicon analysis of the giant

kelp microbiome with carbohydrate monomer analysis of kelp exudates to examine

microbe-exudate relationships. We found significant differences in the microbiome and

exudate composition between seasons and developmental stages of giant kelp. Higher

tissue-nitrogen content in the spring coincided with elevated amounts of glucosamine, a

nitrogen-containing sugar, in giant kelp exudates, while senescence led to the shedding of

mannuronic acid, an alginate indicator. The release of glucosamine and fucose-rich

exudates was correlated with an increase in the relative abundance of bacteria within the

Planctomycetota phylum, whereas mannuronic acid-rich exudates coincided with an

increase in the relative abundance of members of the Flavobacteria and

Gammaproteobacteria lineages. We investigated putative carbohydrate-microbe

relationships by isolating a member of the Planctomycetota phylum from the surface of

giant kelp. We demonstrate that the growth of this isolate on fucoidan and *N*-acetyl

glucosamine, but not alginate reflects the observed relative abundance of this clade in the

kelp microbiome in response to variable carbohydrate exudation. This suggests a key role

of kelp mucilage carbohydrate composition in structuring its microbiome as has been

observed for other organisms such as corals and within the human gut.

Introduction

Primary producers such as plants and macroalgae have evolved in environments

surrounded by microorganisms, and in many cases, associated microbes can improve the

fitness and growth of the host through defense or nutrient acquisition [1–4]. A growing body

of evidence indicates that autotrophic organisms regulate their microbiome by exuding

metabolites that promote microorganism growth or inhibit the growth of pathogens [5, 6].

The exudate and host-microbe associations are dynamic and can vary in response to the

host's developmental stage and abiotic stressors [7, 8]. Unraveling the relationship

between host physiology, exudate composition, and the microbiome structure can provide

a mechanistic understanding of the establishment and function of the microbiome of

primary producers.

Carbohydrates, including simple sugars, disaccharides and complex

polysaccharides are typically the dominant organic material exuded by primary producers

[9, 10]. The active exudation of carbon-rich organic matter by organisms was originally

proposed as an "overflow" mechanism that allowed photosynthesis to continue when light

and nutrients needed for biosynthesis became uncoupled [11, 12]. More recently, it has

been proposed that the exudation of carbohydrate-rich material can also serve to prevent

the attachment of pathogens [6], promote the growth of beneficial microbial partners [8,

13] or aid in the transport of energy and nutrients to the organism [14]. The potential role of

carbohydrate exudation in shaping microbiomes is evidenced in studies of corals that

reveal significant correlations between the host's exuded mucus sugar content and the

relative abundance of certain microbial taxa [15, 16]. The composition of exuded

carbohydrates by corals is species-specific [17, 18] and varies in response to abiotic

factors such as temperature [15]. While these relationships are established for corals, the

role of carbohydrate exudation in shaping the microbiome has yet to be evaluated for

important foundation species such as kelps.

Kelp forests are critical marine habitats that occupy 43% of the world's coastline

[19]. In addition to their contributions to biodiversity [20] and global economies [21], it is

hypothesized that kelps contribute to carbon sequestration through the export of dissolved

organic carbon (DOC) and detrital particulate carbon [22–24]. In this context, the decline of

kelp forests [25] due to stressors such as ocean warming is a serious threat to ecosystem

function, marine carbon cycling, and economies. This has prompted calls for large-scale

intervention through natural kelp forest restoration [26] or aquaculture to offset these

losses and increase current production yields [27]. Therefore, identifying and

understanding the underlying factors for kelp health and resilience is of critical importance

for monitoring, management, and restoration of these ecosystems, their services, and the

economies dependent on kelp.

Decades of research have focused on understanding the impact of environmental

factors such as light, nutrients, wave disturbance, and temperature on kelp growth [28–

32]. In temperate coastal areas, such as California, kelp growth rates and physiology are

influenced by seasonal nitrate availability. Low seawater nitrate concentrations (NO_3^{-1} <

$1\mu M$) throughout the summer and fall result in elevated tissue carbon to nitrogen ratios

and when tissue nitrogen content falls below 1% of dry mass, kelp survival can become

compromised [32]. In comparison to abiotic factors, less is known about how the kelp

microbiome affects kelp growth and resilience, although there is a growing appreciation of

role of the microbiome in kelp health and resistance to disease [33, 34]. Several studies

demonstrate that kelp harbor a microbiome that is distinct from the surrounding seawater

[35–37] and meta- and whole genome analysis of kelp microbiomes suggest potential

positive interactions, such as antibacterial and antiherbivore defense, or vitamin

acquisition [38–40], between kelp and specific microbial lineages such as

Planctomycetota. Further, there are significant associations between environmental

conditions (i.e. temperature, nutrients), the physiological condition of kelp, its

productivity, and its microbiome composition [41–43]. However, the underlying

mechanisms between kelp physiology and microbiome composition are unresolved,

although previous studies suggest exudate composition, environmental perturbations, or

the interaction between the two may be important [44–46].

In this study, we aimed to understand how the composition of kelp exudates shapes

the microbiome of *Macrocystis pyrifera*, hereafter giant kelp. We hypothesized that abiotic

factors (nitrogen availability) and developmental stage would alter the chemical

composition of kelp exudates and that these altered chemical profiles would shape the

giant kelp microbiome. We sampled tagged cohorts of giant kelp fronds to track the

development of their microbiome across developmental stages and between the warm,

nitrate depleted summer and nitrate replete spring upwelling periods. Simultaneous

measurements of exudate carbohydrate composition and 16S rRNA gene analysis were

employed to identify microbe-exudate associations. We observed significant correlations

between abundant microbial taxa and the carbohydrate content of giant kelp exudates. To

test some of these associations, we isolated a member of the Planctomycetota phylum, a

dominant kelp-associated bacterial group. Using whole genome sequencing, phylogenetic

analysis and growth assays, we demonstrate that the carbohydrate substrate preferences

of this clade reflect its relative abundance patterns in the kelp microbiome. This work

suggests an important role for mucilage carbohydrate composition in shaping the kelp

microbiome.

Methods

Sampling, kelp blade age and developmental stage, and environmental variables

Giant kelp blades were sampled from two tagged cohorts at Mohawk Reef (34º

23.6600' N, 119º 43.8000' W) in the Santa Barbara Channel, CA, USA in the summer of

2023 and spring of 2024. Cohorts were tagged by placing a cable tie around the stipe 2 m

back from the apical meristem of 100-200 growing fronds. At intervals of 2-3 weeks, single

blades (6 – 32 g wet weight) from 6 random fronds were sampled to measure their tissue

nitrogen (tissue-N), exudate carbohydrate composition and microbiome. The

developmental stage of kelp blades was categorized as either "mature" or "senescent" if

they were younger than or older than 50 days old, respectively [29]. Blades were collected

in the morning (08:00-10:00) and transported in a plastic cooler filled with ambient

seawater to a near-shore laboratory, where they were incubated in 10 L acrylic tanks filled

with filtered (0.2 μm) seawater. Incubations were started between 10:00-12:00 and

maintained at *in situ* temperature (Summer: 17-19 ºC, Spring: 12-14 ºC) using a

temperature-controlled water bath for 6-9 hours. Incubation tanks were equipped with

magnetic stir bars to maintain water circulation. Light levels were adjusted every 2-3 hours,

decreasing from saturating intensity (1140-1517 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) to darkness (0 µmol

photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) to simulate the transition from day to night. Duplicate DOC (n = 84) and

one hydrolyzable carbohydrate (n = 42) sample were collected by siphon at the beginning

and end of each incubation and filtered through pre-combusted glass-fiber filters

(Whatman GF-75, 0.3 µm nominal pore size). Following their incubation, kelp blade tissue-

N was sampled as a measure of nitrogen stress and developmental stage. For tissue-N

analysis, giant kelp blades were scraped cleaned of epibionts with a metal spatula, dried

at 60 $^{\circ}$C for three days and then ground to a fine powder before analysis of a 10 mg

subsample with an Exeter Analytical CE-440 CHN/O/S elemental analyzer.

146   Environmental conditions at Mohawk Reef during our study were evaluated using

bottom temperature data collected by the Santa Barbara Channel Long-Term Ecological

Research program [47]. Average daily temperature was calculated from submersible

temperature loggers at Mohawk Reef. Nitrate concentrations were calculated from

temperature using an exponential "T2N" relationship derived from an inshore temperature

and nitrate dataset [48] (Supplemental Figure. 1).

Exudate DOC, carbohydrate content and composition

154   Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analysis was carried out following established

protocols [49]. Briefly, duplicate DOC samples were taken from at beginning and end of

each incubation, filtered through pre-combusted 25 mm GF-75 into pre-combusted 40 ml

EPA vials with PTFE lined caps, acidified to pH < 3 with the addition of 60 µl of 4N HCl and

analyzed using a Shimadzu TOC-V or TOC-L.

Dissolved carbohydrate samples were taken alongside DOC samples and stored

frozen at -20°C. Exudate carbohydrate content was measured by high-performance anion

exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). We

followed established dialysis and HPAEC-PAD gradients [50] . Briefly, samples were

dialyzed using Spectra/Por 7 tubing (1000 Da) against ultrapure water and then hydrolyzed

in duplicate for 20 hours at 100°C in 0.4 M HCl then neutralized under a flow of N_2 gas.

Samples were run on a DIONEX ICS5000+ and sugars were separated using a Carbopac

PA10 column (4x250mm) with a Carbopac PA10 guard column (4x50mm). Neutral and

amino sugars were eluted with 18mM NaOH followed by 100mM NaOH/200mM Na-

Acetate to elute acidic sugars. The system was calibrated using a common standard sugar

mix [17, 51] dissolved in ultrapure water containing fucose (1mM), rhamnose (1mM),

arabinose (1mM), galactosamine (0.25 mM), glucosamine (0.25 mM), galactose (1mM),

glucose (1mM), mannose+xylose (2 mM), galacturonic acid (1 mM), glucuronic acid (1 mM)

and mannuronic acid (0.5 mM). Linearity of the calibration curves were observed for

concentrations ranging from 10nM-1µM. In our dissolved carbohydrate samples, we

observed glucose and mannose+xylose contamination due to leaching from the

Spectra/Por 7 dialysis tubing, so these sugars were removed from subsequent analysis.

Previous studies demonstrate these sugars are not substantial components of

carbohydrates released by brown macroalgae [17, 52].

Principal component analysis was used to visualize changes in the composition of

hydrolyzable sugar monomers in giant kelp exudates. Sugars were normalized to molar

percentages (mole%) relative to other sugars. Statistical analysis of the differences in the

composition of the carbohydrates released by giant kelp between the two seasons and

developmental stages was evaluated by PERMANOVA using the *adonis* function in R (vegan

2.7-1) [53] on the scaled mole% of individual sugar monomers.

Microbiome DNA extraction, 16S rRNA gene sequencing & amplicon analysis

Following their incubation, but before their preparation for tissue-nitrogen analysis,

kelp blades were rinsed with 0.1 µm filtered sterilized seawater and sampled across the

entire surface by scraping with sterile cotton-tip swabs (Puritan®) for 30 seconds. Swabs

were placed in sterile 2 ml cryogenic tubes and frozen at -80 ºC. DNA samples were lysed

in sucrose lysis buffer (750 mM Sucrose, 20 mM EDTA, 400 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH =

8.0) with 1% SDS and 0.2 mg mL^{-1} proteinase-K at 37ºC for 30 minutes for cell lysis and

protein digestion, then at 55ºC for 30 minutes to complete the lysis and degradation of

cellular components. DNA was purified using the phenol-chloroform method [54]. For

amplification, we targeted the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene using the 515F-Y (5'-

GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806RB (5'- GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') primers

(predicted 84% coverage of 16S rRNA sequences; SILVA TestPrime 1.0) with custom

adaptors [55]. PCR was carried out in 25 µL reactions with 12.5 µL KAPA Robust Hotstart

ReadyMix (final concentrations: 0.2 mM each dNTP, 1U DNA Polymerase, 2mM $MgCl_2$), 1uL

each of the forward and reverse primers (final concentration: 0.4 µM each), 2µL of bovine

serum albumin (final concentrationl: 1.6 µg µL^{-1}), 6.5 µL of PCR-grade water and 2µL of

sample DNA and cycled for 3 minutes at 95 ºC, followed by 30 cycles of 30 seconds at 95

ºC, 30 seconds at 57 ºC and one minute at 72 ºC, ending with 10 minutes at 72 ºC. Four

negative controls (PCR-grade water) and two mock community samples were included in

the PCR run for quality control. Following PCR, amplified DNA was quantified (Qubit,

Thermo Fisher) and manually normalized by pooling equal nmol amounts of DNA from

each PCR reaction well. Pooled DNA was concentrated using Amicon® Ultra 0.5mL 30K

centrifugal filters (Millipore) then non-target DNA bands were removed by gel

electrophoresis and extraction. Samples were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq PE250 and

demultiplexed, with zero barcode/index mismatches allowed, at the University of

California, Davis DNA Technologies Core.

Demultiplexed raw sequence reads were quality checked and processed using

DADA2 [56] in R. Forward and reverse reads were trimmed to 240 and 150 bp, respectively.

Trimmed and error corrected reads were dereplicated and pair-end reads were merged

and assigned taxonomy using the SILVA database [49; v138.1 with species]. In all samples,

amplicons identified as mitochondria (0-100 reads, mean = 18 reads) or chloroplasts (143-

20,725 reads, mean = 3,216 reads) were removed. PCR-water and mock community

controls were checked to confirm consistent amplification and lack of contamination and

then removed from further analysis (Supplemental Data 1). Quality filtering of chimeric

sequences or sequences with ambiguous bases resulted in 4.4x10^6 sequences from 54

samples. Samples had a read depth from 25,077 to 106,379 sequences, averaging 81,976

and were not rarefied for further analysis [58]. We analyzed differences in microbially

community composition on kelp blades by season (summer vs. spring) and developmental

stage (mature vs. senescent).

For multivariate analysis, singleton and doubleton amplicon reads were removed

and remaining amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were converted to relative abundances

and normalized using a variance stabilizing transformation. For beta diversity calculations,

samples were assigned NMDS scores based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities using the

metaMDS function in phyloseq [59] and differences in community composition between

seasons and developmental stages were tested using a PERMANOVA with the *adonis*

function in the R [53] . For Shannon diversity calculations, performed with phyloseq,

singleton and doubleton amplicons were not removed. Significant differences in the mean

relative abundances of amplicons by season and developmental stage were evaluated

using the "DEseq2" package in R [60]. Differentially abundant ASVs were those that

displayed a log2 fold-change >1.5 between developmental stage or season and a

significant false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value (<= 0.01).

To identify relationships between the giant kelp microbiome and the exudate

carbohydrate composition we used hierarchical clustering of Spearman's rank

correlations between the relative abundance of significantly differentially abundant ASVs

and the mole% of sugar monomers simultaneously released by giant kelp blades. This

clusters ASVs based on their degree of correlation with the sugar content of kelp exudates

and allowed us to identify positive or negative microbe-carbohydrate relationships.

Hierarchical clustering was arranged using Ward's minimum variance method (squared

Euclidean distance) with the *pheatmaps* [61] package in R.

Planctomycetota cultivation, genome sequencing & growth on model carbohydrates

We enriched and isolated a strain of Planctomycetota following the suggestions of

Lage & Bondoso (2012) and Wiegand et al., (2020) [62, 63]. Agar plates were prepared with

0.1 μm filtered seawater with 1% agar. Seawater was autoclaved with agar then

supplemented with 1 ml L^{-1} vitamin solution (Biotin: 2 mg L^{-1}, Folic acid: 2 mg L^{-1},

Pyridoxamine-HCl: 10 mg L^{-1}, Thiamine-HCl x 2H$_2$O: 5 mg L^{-1}, Riboflavin: 5 mg L^{-1}, Nicotinic

acid: 5mg L^{-1}, D-ca-pantothenate: 5 mg L^{-1}, Cyanocobalamine: 0.1 mg L^{-1}, p-Aminobenzoic

acid: 5 mg L^{-1}, Lipoic acid: 5mg L^{-1}, KH$_2$PO$_4$: 900 mg L^{-1}) and 1ml L^{-1} trace metal solution

(H$_3$BO$_3$: 2860 mg L^{-1}, MnCl$_2$ x 4H$_2$O: 1810 mg L^{-1}, FeCl$_3$ x 6H$_2$O: 316 mg L^{-1}, ZnSO$_4$ x 7H$_2$O: 222

mg L^{-1}, Na$_2$MoO$_4$ x 2H$_2$O: 390 mg L^{-1}, CuSO$_4$ x 5 H$_2$O: 79 mg L^{-1}, Co(NO$_3$)$_2$ x 6H$_2$O: 49 mg L^{-1}).

For a carbon and nitrogen source we added 10 ml of 5% *N*-acetyl glucosamine. To prevent

fungal growth and select for Planctomycetota, the medium was supplemented with 20 mg

L^{-1} of econazole nitrate, 200 mg L^{-1}ampicillin and 1 g L^{-1} streptomycin. Bacterial inoculum

was scraped from the surface of a giant kelp blade using a sterile swab for 30 seconds and

resuspended in sterile medium without agar. The cell suspension was filtered through a

5.0 μm filter and enumerated using a GUAVA easycyte HT flow cytometer (Millipore). To

each agar plate we added ~2000 cells. Cell suspensions were streaked across the agar

plates and incubated at 20 ºC until colonies were formed. After 1 month, colonies showing

characteristics of Planctomycetota (pink pigmentation, budding cell growth) were selected

for restreaking. Candidate colonies were restreaked three times to ensure isolation and

then identified using 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding of the V4 region using the SILVA Ref NR

SSU r138.1 database. Whole-genome sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq

X Plus platform, producing 2 x 151 bp paired-end reads (Seqcenter, Pittsburgh, PA).

Trimmomatic (v0.39) and RQCFilter (BBTools v38.22) were used to remove low-quality or

short reads. Reads were assembled and annotated with SPAdes (v3.15.3) and Prokka

(v1.14.5), respectively, in the KBase platform [64]. Completion (99.93%) and

contamination (1.18%) was checked using CheckM v1.0.18. For phylogenetic analysis, 14

publicly available isolate genomes from the *Pirellulaceae* family were selected from

NCBI's GenBank database and compared to our assembled genome (Supplemental Data

2). The genome of *Planctomicrobium piriforme* from the Planctomycetota order

Planctomycetales was selected as the outgroup. A maximum-likelihood tree was

constructed with GToTree [65] using 74 bacteria marker genes and visualized using the

iTOL server. Carbohydrate-active enzymes associated with fucoidan and alginate

degradation were predicted for our isolate and reference isolates using a set of Hidden

Markov Models (HMMs) from the dbCAN2 CAZy collection using HMMER 3 software [66,

67]. Secondary metabolite production potential was analyzed using AntiSMASH [68].

We tested the ability of the *Rhodopirellula sp.* isolate to grow on model

carbohydrates in batch cultures. We prepared artificial seawater (ASW) as incubation

medium (0.42M NaCl, 0.029M Na_2SO_4, 0.01M KCl, 1mM KBr, 0.05mM H_3BO_3, 0.07mM

NaF,0.05M $MgCl_2$, 0.01M $CaCl_2$, 0.06mM $SrCl_2$, 2.5mM $NaHCO_3$). Medium salinity and pH

were adjusted to 33 ppt and 7.5, respectively and then autoclaved. Sterile ASW was

amended with 0.1 μm filter sterilized vitamin and trace metal solutions (described above,

1ml L^{-1}) and inorganic nutrients (10 mg L^{-1} NH_4Cl, 1 mg L^{-1} KH_2PO_4). Triplicate 50 ml

incubations were prepared for the following treatments: no amendment, *N*-acetyl

glucosamine (NAGA; 25 mg L^{-1}), alginate (25 mg L^{-1}), and fucoidan (25 mg L^{-1}). Because

commercially available fucoidan is typically contaminated, notably by alginate and

polyphenols [69, 70], we used ion exchange chromatography (IEX) to purify fucoidan

sourced from giant kelp (Sigma-Aldrich, product #F8065). Purification was performed using

a medium-scale IEX setup described by Sichert et al. (2021) [70]. The composition of the

purified fucoidan was checked by ^{1}H-^{13}C HSQC NMR and HPAEC-PAD (Supplemental

Figures 2, 3 & 4). Alginate (Sigma-Aldrich PHR1471) and NAGA (Fisher Scientific

ICN100068) were certified to be 88 and 100% pure, respectively. An isolate colony was

resuspended in sterile ASW without amended carbohydrates. After gently shaking for 20

minutes, the cell suspension was 5.0 µm filtered and enumerated using a GUAVA easycyte

HT flow cytometer (Millipore). Cells were added to incubation vessels at a concentration of

~6.0x10^{4} cells ml^{-1}. For all treatments, triplicate control incubations without cells were

sampled to confirm sterility of the incubation media and carbohydrate amendments.

Incubations were monitored semi-daily by cell enumeration for nine days by flow

cytometry (GUAVA easycyte HT, Millipore).

Results

Kelp physiological response to seasonal nitrate availability and developmental stage

We observed variations in kelp developmental stage driven by seasonal nitrate

availability and age. In both seasons, rapid changes in physiology occurred after kelp

blades passed 50 days of age, which we use to demarcate the transition from maturity to

senescence. Across all samples, tissue-N ($g_{Nitrogen}/g_{Dry\ Weight}$ *100) ranged from 0.63% to

3.7%. Mature kelp sampled in the spring had a significantly higher tissue-N content than

mature summer kelp due to higher seawater nitrate concentrations (Figure 1, Figure 2A;

Welch's t-test: t = -22.6, df = 19.0, p < 0.001). The average (±1 SD) tissue-N of mature kelp

blades in the summer and spring were 0.92 ± 0.08% and 2.6 ± 0.14%, respectively (Figure

2A). After entering the senescent phase, average (±1 SD) tissue-N decreased to 0.7 ±

0.06% and 2.1 ± 0.77% in the summer and spring, respectively (Figure 2A).

Seasonal and developmental stage-driven changes in exudate carbohydrate composition

Hydrolyzable carbohydrates averaged 10.3 ± 4.9% of giant kelp exudate carbon

across all incubations, regardless of season or age. We observed a significant difference in

the carbohydrate sugar content of kelp exudates between the mature and senescent

developmental stages (Figure 2B; PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.14, p < 0.001) and between seasons

(PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.07, p = 0.006). Differences in sugar content between developmental

stages were driven by the change in mole% of fucose and mannuronic acid (Figure 2B)

which made up, on average, 47% and 5% of the sugars exuded in the mature phase,

respectively and 32% and 33% of the sugars exuded in the senescent phase, respectively

(Supplemental Figure 5). Man-URA had the largest change in mole% of all sugars between

the mature (5%) and senescent (34%) phases. In mature kelp blade exudates, the molar

percentage of glucosamine increased significantly from summer (3%) to spring (13%),

demonstrating the largest seasonal change (Figure 2C; t.test, p < 0.001).

Microbe-Carbohydrate dynamics

Coincident with altered exudate carbohydrate composition, we observed significant

differences in the giant kelp microbiome between seasons and developmental stages. In

both seasons we observed a significant increase in bacterial diversity with age (Ordinary

Least Squares regression; Spring: R^2 = 0.82, p < 0.001; Summer: R^2 = 0.23, p = 0.01)

although the spring cohort had lower initial diversity (Figure 3A). Multivariate analysis

demonstrated that the composition of giant kelp microbiome was significantly different

between season (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.26, p < 0.001) and developmental stage

(PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.18, p < 0.001) and there was a significant interaction between season

and developmental stage (Figure 3B; Two-way PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.10, p < 0.001). Family

level shifts between mature and senescent kelp were largely driven by a significant

increase in *Flavobacteriacea*, and *Arenicellaceae*, *and Rhodobacteraceae* and a

significant decrease in Granulosicoccaceae, *Pirellulaceae, and Hyphomonadaceae*

(Figure 4, ANOVA, FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05, Supplemental Table 1). Family level

changes were less pronounced between seasons (Supplemental Figure 6, Supplemental

Table 2). At the ASV level, we identified 371 unique taxa that varied significantly in relative

abundance between season or developmental stage, most of which were from the phyla

Actinobacteriota, Bacteroidota, Planctomycetota, Proteobacteria (Gammaproteobacteria

in particular) and Verrucomicrobiota (FDR-adjusted p-values <0.01, DEseq2,

Supplemental Data 2, 3). Of these 371 ASVs, 44 had a relative abundance > 1% in more

than 10% of samples. We consider these 44 ASVs to be abundant and common microbial

taxa in the giant kelp microbiome. Hierarchical clustering was used to identify

relationships between these 44 abundant ASVs and the mole% of sugars exuded by giant

kelp based on Spearman's rank correlation coefficients.

For 17 of the 44 ASVs there was at least one significant correlation (Spearman's

rank correlation, $\rho > 0.5$, FDR-adjusted $p < 0.05$, Supplemental Table 3 & 4) with the mole%

of a sugar in giant kelp exudates. These ASVs grouped into two clusters, primarily based on

their correlation with fucose, glucosamine, and mannuronic acid (Figure 5A). The first

cluster (Cluster A) included ASVs whose relative abundance was positively correlated with

the release of carbohydrates rich in fucose and/or glucosamine or negatively correlated

with mannuronic acid (Supplemental Table 3). Members of the family *Pirellulaceae* from

the phylum Planctomycetota accounted for 3 of the 6 ASVs in Cluster A. Cluster A also

contained two ASVs from the family *Granulosicoccaceae* in the phylum Proteobacteria

(Figure 5B).

The second cluster (Cluster B) was characterized by a significant positive

relationship between ASVs and the release of carbohydrates rich in mannuronic acid

and/or a negative correlation with the release of carbohydrates rich in fucose and/or

glucosamine (Supplemental Table 4). This cluster included members of the families

Flavobacteriaceae, Cellvibrionaceae, Arenicellaceae, Trueperaceae, Thiotrichaceae and

Granulosicoccaceae.

Planctomycetota growth on model carbohydrates

We cultivated a bacterial isolate from the surface of a giant kelp blade belonging to

the phylum Planctomycetota (family *Pirellulaceae,* genus *Rhodopirellula*) (Figure 6A & 6B)

that we used in subsequent growth assays on model carbohydrates. We confirmed the

ability of this *Rhodopirellula sp*. to grow on different carbohydrates, including those from

kelp (Figure 6C). We observed no growth in our sterile media controls, or the carbohydrate

amended media without cells. In treatments with the the isolate added we observed no

cell growth in unamended treatments. The isolate grew on both *N*-acetyl glucosamine and

fucoidan, but not alginate.

Discussion

Nutrient availability and age shape kelp physiology

Giant kelp physiology between and within cohorts responded to seasonal nitrogen

availability and age-related senescence. At our study site, giant kelp growth is primarily

limited by inorganic nitrogen availability, which is depleted in the summer, but is

replenished in the spring due to coastal upwelling (Figure 1) [71, 72]. Giant kelp adjusts to

periods of low nitrogen availability by adjusting its tissue nitrogen content, resulting in

elevated tissue C:N ratios [71] . In our study, the average percent tissue-N of mature giant

kelp in the summer (0.91 ± 0.06%) was below the minimum value (0.98%) recorded in the

SBC-LTER time-series of kelp at our study site, whereas the percent tissue-N in the spring

was, on average (2.6 ± 0.14%), equal to the average for our study site (2.7 ± 0.8%) [72] .In

this context, our summer sampling captured kelp in a period of nitrogen depletion (Tissue-

N < 1%) which is suggested to result in diminished growth rates and kelp survival [32].

In addition to seasonal fluctuations in physiology, giant kelp undergoes progressive

senescence, a rapid decline in developmental stage in response to age [73]. Our results

align with previous studies indicating that senescence occurs independently of

environmental conditions and begins when kelp tissue age exceeds approximately 50 days

[29]. These age-related physiological changes were associated with a decrease in tissue-N

(Figure 2A) and changes in the composition of dissolved carbohydrates released from giant

kelp (Figure 2B & 2C).

Giant kelp microbiome composition

The relative abundance of major epibiont bacterial families at different stages of

kelp development is broadly consistent with observations of kelp microbiomes over tissue

age and between healthy and stressed or senescent tissue (Figure 4) [35, 41, 42, 74, 75].

Likewise, the increase in diversity of the microbiome with age is consistent with previous

observations [74]; however, to our knowledge this is the first report of significantly lower

Shannon diversity in young kelp in the spring compared to the summer (Figure 3A). It has

been proposed that the kelp microbiome is established through environmental acquisition

over vertical transmission [76]. Therefore, exudates likely play a major role in the

establishment and transformation of the kelp microbiome. Kelps continuously shed and

replace tissue year-round [77] and factors that affect exudate composition, such as

nitrogen availability, may have consequences for the biofilm composition of new tissue, as

has been proposed for developing terrestrial plant rhizospheres [7].

Exudate and microbiome composition dynamics

Simultaneous changes in the carbohydrate composition of kelp exudates and

microbial taxa suggest an important role in exudate composition in shaping the kelp

microbiome. These associations further revealed that extrinsic factors, such as nitrogen

availability, and intrinsic factors such as development stage regulate the composition of

the giant kelp exudates. Notably, we observed an enrichment of glucosamine, a nitrogen-

containing sugar, in carbohydrates exuded by mature kelp in the spring compared to the

nitrogen-depleted kelp in the summer (Figure 1C). A similar pattern has been observed in

the root exudates of plants, whereby the addition of inorganic nitrogen results in the

enhanced release of nitrogen containing metabolites relative to roots grown under

nitrogen-limiting conditions. Baker et al., (2024) [7] found that the release of nitrogen-rich

metabolites by plant roots selected for a less diverse and unique microbial community

compared to plants grown in nitrogen-limited conditions, a pattern we also observed in the

kelp microbiome (Figure 2). Glucosamine is a common sugar in the mucus produced by

many organisms, including corals and humans [15, 18, 78], and has been shown to serve

an important role in regulating attachment and biofilm formation by bacteria [79, 80].

Because kelp exudates are constantly sloughed into the surrounding seawater, they must

be replaced to maintain their function in the extracellular matrix (mucilage). Therefore, the

cost of exuding nitrogen containing compounds that must be continuously replaced may

be too high to be maintained under nitrogen-depleted conditions experienced by giant kelp

in the summer.

Fucose was the most abundant sugar in the carbohydrates released by mature

giant kelp in both seasons, consistent with previous studies of brown macroalgae [17, 51,

52]. The presence of fucose in brown macroalgal exudates is due to the secretion of

fucoidan, a water-soluble, sulfated polysaccharide that is deposited onto the exterior of

the thallus through secretory canals to build the kelp's extracellular mucilage, which is

continuously sloughed into the surrounding seawater [81, 82]. Fucoidan lacks nitrogen

which may explain its consistent dominance in kelp exudates across a range of tissue-N

content. Several studies document the potential defensive properties of fucoidans [69, 79,

83] and its proposed defensive role is supported by its observed recalcitrance to bacterial

degradation [84].

In both seasons, there was an increase in the mole% of mannuronic acid as kelp

blades aged and senesced (Figure 1B). Mannuronic acid is an indicator of the macroalgal

polysaccharide alginate, which comprises up to half of kelp biomass [85]. Microbial taxa

positively correlated to the mole% of mannuronic acid included members of the family

Flavobacteriaceae and several Gammaproteobacteria, including one member of the family

Thiotrichaceae (Figure 5A, Cluster B). Flavobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria are

archetypal fast-growing carbohydrate degraders with adaptations to grow attached to

surfaces and are enriched in alginate and oligoalginate lyases [86–89]. Using DNA stable

isotope probing with ^{13}C-labeled alginate, Thomas et al., (2021) [86] demonstrated the

main consumers of alginate in coastal seawater were bacteria from the families

Flavobacteriaceae, *Alteromonadaceae*, *Thiotrichaceae* clades. The relative abundance of

Flavobacteriaceae and *Thiotrichaceae* in kelp biofilms is typically higher on stressed or

senescent kelp tissue [35, 75] as observed in this study (Figure 4), and in the early stages of

kelp biomass decay these groups dominate alginate hydrolysis [87]. From our

observations, we hypothesize that as kelp begins to senesce, the hydrolysis of insoluble

alginate polymers promotes the growth of alginate degraders whose hydrolytic enzymes

further solubilize kelp tissue into DOC. This dynamic would explain the enhanced

solubilization of kelp tissue with age that we observed in a complementary study [90].

The senescence-associated release of alginate is likely initiated by early alginate

degrading "pioneer" bacteria whose alginate lyases release alginate oligomers into the

environment, facilitating the growth of alginate "harvesters" and "scavengers" [91]

Notably, these potential alginate degraders were observed at low relevant abundance in

the mature kelp microbiome (Figure 5B), suggesting some process limited their growth

until kelp entered its senescent phase. The mechanisms of kelp senescence are not well

understood; however, senescence of giant kelp blades in both seasons occurred after 50

days of age, following linear decline in photosynthetic rates (see our complementary study

[90]), suggesting intrinsic regulation of senescence [92]; although, we cannot completely

rule out that associated bacteria contributed to senescence.

Potential mechanisms behind carbohydrate-microbe associations

Without further study of their native composition, we can only speculate about the

structure and function of the carbohydrates released by giant kelp. However, the role of

carbohydrates secreted to the outer membrane layers of animals and phytoplankton is

well established. For example, in bacterial biofilm formation, carbohydrates tethered to

the cell surface of a host serve as recognition sites for carbohydrate-protein binding

proteins (lectins) that act in concert with larger attachment proteins (adhesins). These

lectin-adhesin complexes mediate the attachment of bacteria, including pathogens to the

host surface [93]. In a model bacterium-diatom association, the lectin *Mp*PA14 exhibits a

strong binding affinity for fucose and *N*-acetyl glucosamine residues [79]. Guo et al., (2021)

demonstrated that *Mp*PA14 and the *Mp*PA14 lectin homolog from *Vibrio cholera* were

inhibited and unable to bind to diatom cells when exposed to high concentrations of free

fucose. A strong binding affinity of *Mp*PA14 was also observed for fucoidan, a fucose-rich

polysaccharide released by brown macroalgae. These data indicate a potentially

conserved defensive role of fucose-containing carbohydrates exuded by members of the

Stramenopile lineage, such as diatoms and kelps.

Giant kelp may release high concentrations of fucose-rich carbohydrates to disrupt

biofilm formation by potential pathogens. Indeed, all taxa from Cluster B (Figure 4A), which

includes several taxa associated with macroalgal tissue degradation, such as

Flavobacteria, exhibited a negative correlation with the fucose content of the exuded

carbohydrates (Spearman's ranked correlation, FDR-adjusted $p < 0.05$; Supplemental

Table 4). This was surprising, as previous metagenomic-based studies predict that

members of the Bacteroidetes phylum, especially *Flavobacteraceae*, are specialized to

degrade fucoidans [94, 95]. Therefore, other factors, in addition to carbohydrate substrate

preferences may contribute to the structuring of the kelp microbiome; possible factors

could be the production of secondary metabolites by Planctomycetota [63, 96] or the anti-

biofilm property of fucoidan [79]. Regarding the former, AntiSMASH analysis of our isolated

Rhodopirellula genome annotated two terpene biosynthetic gene clusters, two type I

polyketide synthases (PKS), one type III PKS, and hybrid type I PKS/terpene and type I/non

ribosomal peptide synthases (Supplemental Figure 7). This is consistent with other

isolates from the *Pirellulaceae* family [63] and suggests their abundances in kelp biofilms

may be due to a combination of their ability to degrade fucoidan (Figure 6C) and their

production of defensive secondary metabolites. Experiments to address secondary

metabolite production by this isolate are currently underway.

Glucosamine may serve as an important carbon and nitrogen source for the growth

of microbes beneficial to giant kelp. In the marine environment, glucosamine is more

commonly found in its acetylated form (*N*-acetyl glucosamine) [97]. Our carbohydrate

measurements require an acid hydrolysis step, which cleaves the acetyl group resulting in

glucosamine. Therefore, our glucosamine measurements reflect the contribution of both

N-acetyl glucosamine and glucosamine residues. The microbial taxa that positively

correlated with glucosamine included members from the family *Pirellulaceae* within

Planctomycetota, and the *Granulosicoccaceae* family of Proteobacteria (Cluster A, Figure

5A, Supplemental Table 3). Cultured strains of Planctomycetota such as *Pirellula* sp. strain

1 can metabolize *N*-acetyl glucosamine (NAGA) as their sole carbon and nitrogen source

[98], and in a comparison of 79 cultured members of Planctomycetota, *N*-acetyl

glucosamine was found to be the most efficient carbon source for their isolation [63].

Moreover, previous work suggests *N*-acetyl glucosamine may trigger biofilm formation by

some members of Planctomycetota*,* such as *Rhodopirellua baltica* [99].

Planctomycetes are dominant members of healthy macroalgal microbiomes [35,

42, 100] and it has been proposed they alter the composition of biofilms through the

production of secondary metabolites such as stieleriacines [96]. We demonstrate that a

Rhodopirellula strain, isolated from the surface of a giant kelp blade, can grow on fucoidan

and NAGA, but not alginate (Figure 6C). This carbohydrate substrate preference is

consistent with our observed correlations between the relative abundance of members of

the Planctomycetota family *Pirellulaceae* in the kelp microbiome and the sugar content of

giant kelp exudates (Figure 5A, Cluster A). Although only one isolate was tested in this

study, the ability for growth on fucoidan but not alginate is broadly consistent with the

metabolic potential of other isolated members of the family *Pirellulaceae* (Figure 6A).

Further, several recent studies also confirm that the isolates from the *Pirellulaceae* family

degrade fucoidan [38, 101]. We also note that even though some *Pirellulaceae* genomes,

including our isolate genome, contained copies of the alginate lyases PL6 or PL7, none

contain the oligoalginate lyases PL15 or PL17, which degrade oligoalginates into

monomers for metabolism (Figure 6A) [91]. We hypothesize that exudation of specific

carbohydrates, such as fucoidan serves to enrich their microbiome in commensal or

beneficial microbes such as Planctomycetota. Such carbohydrate-microbe synergy is

consistent with observations of plant rhizospheres, corals and the human gut [15, 78, 102–

104].

Acknowledgments

We thank all past and current members of the Santa Barbara Coastal LTER who

generated necessary background data for this study. We thank all Carlson lab members, A.

Santoro and D. Siegel for their constructive comments and discussion of the data and

manuscript. We thank P. Thieringer for his advice on whole genome assembly and

comparative genomics. This project was funded by the Department of Energy's Advanced

Research Project Agency-Energy through award DE-AR0001559 to CAC, and the National

Science Foundation's Santa Barbara Coastal LTER through award number OCE-1831937 to

CAC. CJE and MM were additionally supported by the Worster Family Summer Research

Fellowship awarded to CJE.

Data Availability

DNA sequence data are available in the National Center for Biotechnology

Information Sequence Read Archive under PRJNA1256130. R scripts for analysis can be

found at https://github.com/chance-english.

580 **Figures**

581
Figure 1. Mohawk Reef environmental conditions and sampling dates in this study.

Daily average temperature (solid black line) and nitrate (solid blue line) concentrations

between January 2023 and August 2024. Shaded red and blue regions show the sampling

periods for the summer and spring cohorts used in this study, respectively. Vertical dashed

lines extending from the x-axis mark the sampling dates within each cohort. The black and

red color of the dashed lines indicate sampling of kelp that was in a mature (< 50 days) or

senescent (> 50 days) development stage, respectively.

596
Figure 2. **Seasonal and developmental stage changes in giant kelp physiology and**

exudate carbohydrate composition. **(A)** Giant kelp tissue nitrogen content as a

percentage of dry weight (DW) between mature and senescent phase kelp in spring and

summer. **(B)** Principle component (PC) analysis of giant kelp carbohydrate exudates as

molar percentages between mature and senescent phase kelp. Ellipses represent 95%

confidence regions between mature (green circles) and senescent (gold triangles) kelp

exudates. Arrow lengths represent the strength of the correlation between each individual

sugar monomer to the two principal components (PC1 & PC2) shown. Large symbols in

center of the ellipses are the centroids. Sugar monomer names are overlayed next to

arrows. Abbreviations: Glc-URA (glucuronic acid), Gal-URA (galacturonic acid), Man-URA

(mannuronic acid). **(C)** Mole% of glucosamine in total moles of sugars exuded by giant kelp

between developmental stages and seasons. Green and gold colors represent samples

from mature and senescent kelp, respectively and are consistent for all three panels.

Boxplots: The top and bottom border of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles,

the horizontal line inside each box represents the median and the whiskers represent the

range of the points (1.5*IQR) excluding outliers. Outliers are shown by black points outside

the whiskers. Colored points show sample values for each boxplot.

615
616
617
618

619
Figure 3. Giant kelp microbiome alpha and beta diversity between seasons and

development stage. **(A)** Shannon diversity over age of the blade between spring (circles)

and summer (triangles). Solid and dashed lines show the significant 2nd order polynomial

relationship between the giant kelp microbiome Shannon diversity and age in the spring

and summer cohorts, respectively. **(B)** NMDS plot showing significant difference in the

microbial community composition between seasons and development stage. NMDS

ordinations used Bray-Curtis dissimilarities from arcsine-transformed 16S rRNA amplicon

relative abundances. The legend applies to both panels.

638
639
Figure 4. Relative abundance of bacterial clades at the family level on the surface of

giant kelp blades between mature and senescent developmental stages. Shown are

the top 10 families with a collective relative abundance > 1% during either developmental

stage. The left and right border of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, the

vertical line inside each box represents the median and the whiskers represent 1.5*IQR.

Outliers are shown by points beyond the whiskers. Asterisks next to family names indicate

significant differences in the relative abundances between developmental stages (ANOVA,

FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05).

Figure 5. **Abundant microbes are differentially correlated to the sugar content of giant**

kelp exudates. (A) Heatmap showing the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between

the relative abundance of differentially abundant ASVs (n = 17) with at least one significant

positive or negative correlation (Spearman's rank correlation, ρ > 0.5, FDR-adjusted p-

value < 0.05) with the mole% of a sugar monomer in giant kelp exudates. The strength and

direction of the Spearman's ranked correlation are shown by the color ramp. Significant

correlations are show by the white asterisk. Hierarchical clustering shows two main

clusters of ASV-sugar correlations. Cluster A is shown in the blue rectangle, and Cluster B

is shown in the red rectangle. The phylum of each ASV is shown by the row color at the tip

of each branch. Abbreviations: Glc-URA (glucuronic acid), Gal-URA (galacturonic acid),

Man-URA (mannuronic acid). **(B)** Range in the relative abundance of each differentially

abundant taxa in all kelp microbiome samples across season and physiological state.

662   Season and physiological state of the kelp from which the sample was taken is shown by

663   the point color and shape.

	Fucoidan				Alginate			
	GH29	GH95	GH141	GH168	PL6	PL7	PL15	PL17
Planctomicrobium piriforme	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Blastopirellula marina	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pirellula B sp001610875	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariniblastus fucicola	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Roseimaritima sediminicola	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Roseimaritima ulvae	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Rubripirellula obstinata	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Novipirellula maiorica	6	6	3	0	0	1	0	0
Rhodopirellula rubra	20	11	3	2	1	0	0	0
Rhodopirellula sallentina	21	12	4	4	0	0	0	0
Rhodopirellula islandica	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
Kelp Isolate - This Study	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	0
Rhodopirellula bahusiensis	1		3	0	0	1	0	0
Rhodopirellula europaea	1	1	3	0	0	1	0	0
Rhodopirellula baltica	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0

Figure 6. The Planctomycetota family *Pirellulaceae* are fucoidan, not alginate consumers. (A) Phylogenetic tree of Planctomycetota isolates within the *Pirellulaceae* family. The isolated strain from this study is highlighted in blue text. The tree was rooted with one sequence from the Planctomycetota order Planctomycetales (family *Planctomycetaceae*), located at the top of the tree. Bootstrap scores are shown at the nodes. Paired with the phylogenetic position of each isolate are the number of glycoside hydrolases (GH29, GH95, GH141, GH168) and polysaccharide lyases (PL6, PL7, PL15, PL17) involved in fucoidan and alginate degradation, respectively, predicted in their genomes. **(B)** Isolation of *Rhodopirellula* sp. on an agar plate. **(C)** Isolate growth on model carbohydrates. Control incubations (red symbols) are sterile incubation media with all carbohydrate amendments (shapes). NAGA is *N*-acetyl glucosamine. Live incubations (black symbols) show the isolate's growth capability in response to amended carbohydrates. Points and error bars are the mean ±1 standard deviation cell abundance from triplicate incubations.

References

1. O'Callaghan M. Microbial inoculation of seed for improved crop performance: issues and opportunities. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016;**100**:5729–5746. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7590-9

2. Beijerinck M. Uber oligonitrophile mikroben. *Zentralbl Bakterol Parasitenkd Infekt Hyg Abt II* 1901;**7**:561–582.

3. Burgunter-Delamare B et al. Metabolic Complementarity Between a Brown Alga and Associated Cultivable Bacteria Provide Indications of Beneficial Interactions. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;**7**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00085

4. Somers E, Vanderleyden J, Srinivasan M. Rhizosphere Bacterial Signalling: A Love Parade Beneath Our Feet. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2004;**30**:205–240. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408410490468786

5. Mannochio-Russo H et al. Microbiomes and metabolomes of dominant coral reef primary producers illustrate a potential role for immunolipids in marine symbioses. *Commun Biol* 2023;**6**:896. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-05230-1

6. Bakshani CR et al. Evolutionary conservation of the antimicrobial function of mucus: a first defence against infection. *Npj Biofilms Microbiomes* 2018;**4**:14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-018-0057-2

7. Baker NR et al. Nutrient and moisture limitations reveal keystone metabolites linking rhizosphere metabolomes and microbiomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2024;**121**. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2303439121

8.   Zhalnina K et al. Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences

drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. *Nat Microbiol*

2018;**3**:470–480. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0129-3

9.   Abdullah MI, Fredriksen S. Production, respiration and exudation of dissolved organic

matter by the kelp Laminaria hyperborea along the west coast of Norway. *J Mar Biol*

Assoc U K 2004;**84**:887–894. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531540401015Xh

10.   Myklestad SM. Dissolved Organic Carbon from Phytoplankton. In: Wangersky PJ (ed.),

Marine Chemistry. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2000, 111–148.

11.   Davies PS. The role of zooxanthellae in the nutritional energy requirements of

Pocillopora eydouxi. *Coral Reefs* 1984;**2**:181–186.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00263571

12.   Fogg GE. The Ecological Significance of Extracellular Products of Phytoplankton

Photosynthesis. 1983;**26**:3–14. https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1983.26.1.3

13.   Sogin EM et al. Sugars dominate the seagrass rhizosphere. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2022;**6**:866–

877. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01740-z

14.   Wild C et al. Coral mucus functions as an energy carrier and particle trap in the reef

ecosystem. *Nature* 2004;**428**:66–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02344

15.   Lee STM et al. Mucus Sugar Content Shapes the Bacterial Community Structure in

Thermally Stressed Acropora muricata. *Front Microbiol* 2016;**7**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00371

16. Tremblay P et al. Mucus composition and bacterial communities associated with the

tissue and skeleton of three scleractinian corals maintained under culture conditions.

J Mar Biol Assoc U K 2011;**91**:649–657. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531541000130X

17. Nelson CE et al. Coral and macroalgal exudates vary in neutral sugar composition and

differentially enrich reef bacterioplankton lineages. *ISME J* 2013;**7**:962–979.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.161

18. Thobor BM et al. Mucus carbohydrate composition correlates with scleractinian coral

phylogeny. *Sci Rep* 2024;**14**:14019. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-64828-5

19. Krumhansl KA et al. Global patterns of kelp forest change over the past half-century.

Proc Natl Acad Sci 2016;**113**:13785–13790.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606102113

20. Miller RJ et al. Giant kelp, Macrocystis pyrifera , increases faunal diversity through

physical engineering. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2018;**285**:20172571.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2571

21. Eger AM et al. The value of ecosystem services in global marine kelp forests. *Nat*

Commun 2023;**14**:1894. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37385-0

22. Filbee-Dexter K et al. Carbon export from seaweed forests to deep ocean sinks. *Nat*

Geosci 2024;**17**:552–559. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01449-7

23. Krause-Jensen D, Duarte CM. Substantial role of macroalgae in marine carbon

sequestration. *Nat Geosci* 2016;**9**:737–742. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2790

24. Smith SV. Marine Macrophytes as a Global Carbon Sink. *Science* 1981;**211**:838–840.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.211.4484.838

25. Arafeh-Dalmau N et al. Marine heat waves threaten kelp forests. *Science*

2020;**367**:635–635. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba5244

26. Fredriksen S et al. Green gravel: a novel restoration tool to combat kelp forest decline.

Sci Rep 2020;**10**:3983. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60553-x

27. Duarte CM, Bruhn A, Krause-Jensen D. A seaweed aquaculture imperative to meet

global sustainability targets. *Nat Sustain* 2022;**5**:185–193.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00773-9

28. Bell TW et al. Geographical variability in the controls of giant kelp biomass dynamics. *J*

Biogeogr 2015;**42**:2010–2021. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12550

29. Bell TW, Siegel DA. Nutrient availability and senescence spatially structure the

dynamics of a foundation species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2022;**119**:e2105135118.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2105135118

30. North WJ. Life-span of the Fronds of the Giant Kelp, Macrocystis pyrifera. *Nature*

1961;**190**:1214–1215. https://doi.org/10.1038/1901214a0

31. Reed DC, Rassweiler A, Arkema KK. Biomass Rather Than Growth Rate Determines

Variation in Net Primary Production by Giant Kelp. *Ecology* 2008;**89**:2493–2505.

https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1106.1

32. Gerard VA. Growth and utilization of internal nitrogen reserves by the giant kelp

Macrocystis pyrifera in a low-nitrogen environment. *Mar Biol* 1982;**66**:27–35.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00397251

33. Zhang Y et al. Adverse Environmental Perturbations May Threaten Kelp Farming

Sustainability by Exacerbating Enterobacterales Diseases. *Environ Sci Technol*

2024;**58**:5796–5810. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c09921

34. Ma M et al. Naturally occurring beneficial bacteria Vibrio alginolyticus X-2 protects

seaweed from bleaching disease. *mBio* 2023;**14**:e00065-23.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00065-23

35. James AK et al. Giant kelp microbiome altered in the presence of epiphytes. *Limnol*

Oceanogr Lett 2020;**5**:354–362. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10157

36. Michelou VK et al. The Ecology of Microbial Communities Associated with Macrocystis

pyrifera. *PLOS ONE* 2013;**8**:e67480. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067480

37. Weigel BL, Pfister CA. Successional Dynamics and Seascape-Level Patterns of

Microbial Communities on the Canopy-Forming Kelps Nereocystis luetkeana and

Macrocystis pyrifera. *Front Microbiol* 2019;**10**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00346

38. Gao X et al. Adaptive traits of Planctomycetota bacteria to thrive in macroalgal

habitats and establish mutually beneficial relationship with macroalgae. *Limnol*

Oceanogr Lett 2024;**9**:745–753. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10424

39. Weigel BL et al. Functional Insights into the Kelp Microbiome from Metagenome-

Assembled Genomes. *mSystems* 2022;**7**:e01422-21.

https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.01422-21

40. Castro LC et al. Effect of marine heatwaves and warming on kelp microbiota influence

trophic interactions. *Mol Ecol* 2024;**33**:e17267. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17267

41. Marzinelli EM et al. Continental-scale variation in seaweed host-associated bacterial

communities is a function of host condition, not geography. *Environ Microbiol*

2015;**17**:4078–4088. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12972

42. Qiu Z et al. Future climate change is predicted to affect the microbiome and condition

of habitat-forming kelp. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2019;**286**:20181887.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1887

43. Florez JZ et al. Structure of the epiphytic bacterial communities of *Macrocystis*

pyrifera in localities with contrasting nitrogen concentrations and temperature. *Algal*

Res 2019;**44**:101706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.101706

44. Xi T et al. The response mechanisms of kelp Macrocystis pyrifera holobiont to

elevated temperature and CO2 concentration. *J Appl Phycol* 2025;**37**:1241–1251.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-024-03432-6

45. Zhang X et al. Chemical interactions between kelp Macrocystis pyrifera and symbiotic

bacteria under elevated CO2 condition. *Mar Life Sci Technol* 2024;**6**:700–712.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-024-00259-5

46. Burgunter-Delamare B et al. Metabolic Complementarity Between a Brown Alga and

Associated Cultivable Bacteria Provide Indications of Beneficial Interactions. *Front*

Mar Sci 2020;**7**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00085

47. Santa Barbara Coastal LTER, Reed DC. SBC LTER: Reef: Bottom Temperature:

Continuous water temperature, ongoing since 2000. 2025. EDI, 2025.

48.   Snyder JN et al. Sea Surface Temperature Imagery Elucidates Spatiotemporal Nutrient

820   Patterns for Offshore Kelp Aquaculture Siting in the Southern California Bight. *Front*

821   *Mar Sci* 2020;**7**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00022

822   49.   Halewood E et al. Determination of dissolved organic carbon and total dissolved

823   nitrogen in seawater using High Temperature Combustion Analysis. *Front Mar Sci*

824   2022;**9**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.1061646

825   50.   Engel A, Händel N. A novel protocol for determining the concentration and

826   composition of sugars in particulate and in high molecular weight dissolved organic

827   matter (HMW-DOM) in seawater. *Mar Chem* 2011;**127**:180–191.

828   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2011.09.004

829   51.   Wada S et al. Quantitative and qualitative analyses of dissolved organic matter

830   released from *Ecklonia cava* Kjellman, in Oura Bay, Shimoda, Izu Peninsula, Japan. *J*

831   *Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 2007;**349**:344–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.05.024

832   52.   Buck-Wiese H et al. Fucoid brown algae inject fucoidan carbon into the ocean. *Proc*

833   *Natl Acad Sci* 2023;**120**:e2210561119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2210561119

834   53.   Oksanen J et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. 2025. 2025.

835   54.   Giovannoni SJ et al. 16S rRNA genes reveal stratified open ocean bacterioplankton

836   populations related to the Green Non-Sulfur bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

837   1996;**93**:7979–7984. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.15.7979

838   55.   Apprill A et al. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly

839   increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat Microb Ecol* 2015;**75**:129–137.

840   https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753

56. Callahan BJ et al. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon

data. *Nat Methods* 2016;**13**:581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

57. Quast C et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data

processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;**41**:D590–D596.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

58. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome Data Is

Inadmissible. *PLOS Comput Biol* 2014;**10**:e1003531.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531

59. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* 2013;**8**:e61217.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

60. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014;**15**:550.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

61. Kolde R. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. 2025. 2025.

62. Lage OM, Bondoso J. Bringing Planctomycetes into pure culture. *Front Microbiol*

2012;**3**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00405

63. Wiegand S et al. Cultivation and functional characterization of 79 planctomycetes

uncovers their unique biology. *Nat Microbiol* 2020;**5**:126–140.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0588-1

64.   Chivian D et al. Metagenome-assembled genome extraction and analysis from

microbiomes using KBase. *Nat Protoc* 2023;**18**:208–238.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-022-00747-x

65.   Lee MD. GToTree: a user-friendly workflow for phylogenomics. *Bioinformatics*

2019;**35**:4162–4164. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz188

66.   Zhang H et al. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme

annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018;**46**:W95–W101.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky418

67.   Eddy SR. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLOS Comput Biol* 2011;**7**:e1002195.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195

68.   Blin K et al. antiSMASH 8.0: extended gene cluster detection capabilities and analyses

of chemistry, enzymology, and regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2025;**53**:W32–W38.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaf334

69.   Patankar MS et al. A revised structure for fucoidan may explain some of its biological

activities. *J Biol Chem* 1993;**268**:21770–21776. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-

9258(20)80609-7

70.   Sichert A et al. Ion-exchange purification and structural characterization of five

sulfated fucoidans from brown algae. *Glycobiology* 2021;**31**:352–357.

https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwaa064

71.   Brzezinksi M et al. Multiple Sources and Forms of Nitrogen Sustain Year-Round Kelp

Growth on the Inner Continental Shelf of the Santa Barbara Channel. *Oceanography*

2013;**26**:114–123. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.53

72. Reed D, Miller R. SBC LTER: Reef: Macrocystis pyrifera CHN content (carbon,

hydrogen, nitrogen), ongoing since 2002 ver 21. 2025. EDI, 2025.

73. Rodriguez GE et al. The importance of progressive senescence in the biomass

dynamics of giant kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera). *Ecology* 2013;**94**:1848–1858.

https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1340.1

74. Bengtsson MM et al. Bacterial diversity in relation to secondary production and

succession on surfaces of the kelp Laminaria hyperborea. *ISME J* 2012;**6**:2188–2198.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.67

75. Minich JJ et al. Elevated temperature drives kelp microbiome dysbiosis, while elevated

carbon dioxide induces water microbiome disruption. *PLOS ONE* 2018;**13**:e0192772.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192772

76. Song W et al. Contribution of horizontal gene transfer to the functionality of microbial

biofilm on a macroalgae. *ISME J* 2021;**15**:807–817. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-

020-00815-8

77. Mann KH. Seaweeds: Their Productivity and Strategy for Growth: The role of large

marine algae in coastal productivity is far more important than has been suspected.

Science 1973;**182**:975–981. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.182.4116.975

78. Bakshani CR et al. Carbohydrate-active enzymes from Akkermansia muciniphila

break down mucin O-glycans to completion. *Nat Microbiol* 2025;**10**:585–598.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01911-7

79.   Guo S et al. Structural Basis of Ligand Selectivity by a Bacterial Adhesin Lectin

Involved in Multispecies Biofilm Formation. *mBio* 2021;**12**:10.1128/mbio.00130-21.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00130-21

80.   Sicard J-F et al. N-Acetyl-glucosamine influences the biofilm formation of Escherichia

coli. *Gut Pathog* 2018;**10**:26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-018-0252-y

81.   Callow ME, Evans LV. Localization of sulphated polysaccharides by X-ray

microanalysis in Laminaria saccharina. *Planta* 1976;**131**:155–157.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00389988

82.   Evans LV, Simpson M, Callow ME. Sulphated polysaccharide synthesis in brown

algae. *Planta* 1973;**110**:237–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00387636

83.   Fitton JH, Stringer DN, Karpiniec SS. Therapies from Fucoidan: An Update. *Mar Drugs*

2015;**13**:5920–5946. https://doi.org/10.3390/md13095920

84.   Sichert A et al. Verrucomicrobia use hundreds of enzymes to digest the algal

polysaccharide fucoidan. *Nat Microbiol* 2020;**5**:1026–1039.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0720-2

85.   Kloareg B, Quatrano RS. Structure of the cell walls of marine algae and

ecophysiological functions of the matrix polysaccharides. *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu*

Rev 1988;**26**:259–315.

86.   Thomas F et al. Isotopic tracing reveals single-cell assimilation of a macroalgal

polysaccharide by a few marine Flavobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria. *ISME J*

2021;**15**:3062–3075. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00987-x

87. Zhang Y-S et al. Metagenomic insights into the dynamic degradation of brown algal

polysaccharides by kelp-associated microbiota. *Appl Environ Microbiol*

2024;**90**:e02025-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02025-23

88. Lin JD, Lemay MA, Parfrey LW. Diverse Bacteria Utilize Alginate Within the Microbiome

of the Giant Kelp Macrocystis pyrifera. *Front Microbiol* 2018;**9**:1914.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01914

89. Fernández-Gómez B et al. Ecology of marine Bacteroidetes: a comparative genomics

approach. *ISME J* 2013;**7**:1026–1037. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.169

90. English CJ et al. Senescence-driven solubilization of biomass is the main source of

kelp-derived dissolved organic carbon to the coastal ocean. *Commun Biol*

2025;**8**:1172. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-025-08477-y

91. Hehemann J-H et al. Adaptive radiation by waves of gene transfer leads to fine-scale

resource partitioning in marine microbes. *Nat Commun* 2016;**7**:12860.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12860

92. Kikuzawa K. A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Leaf Habit and Leaf Longevity of Trees and

Their Geographical Pattern. *Am Nat* 1991;**138**:1250–1263.

https://doi.org/10.1086/285281

93. Lewis AL, Kohler JJ, Aebi M. Microbial Lectins: Hemagglutinins, Adhesins, and Toxins.

In: Varki A et al. (eds), Essentials of Glycobiology, 4th edn. Cold Spring Harbor (NY):

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2022, 505–516.

94. Vidal-Melgosa S et al. Diatom fucan polysaccharide precipitates carbon during algal

blooms. *Nat Commun* 2021;**12**:1150. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21009-6

95. Teeling H et al. Substrate-Controlled Succession of Marine Bacterioplankton

Populations Induced by a Phytoplankton Bloom. *Science* 2012;**336**:608–611.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1218344

96. Kallscheuer N et al. The planctomycete Stieleria maiorica Mal15T employs

stieleriacines to alter the species composition in marine biofilms. *Commun Biol*

2020;**3**:303. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-0993-2

97. Riemann L, Azam F. Widespread N-Acetyl-d-Glucosamine Uptake among Pelagic

Marine Bacteria and Its Ecological Implications. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;**68**:5554–

5562. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.11.5554-5562.2002

98. Rabus R et al. Analysis of N-acetylglucosamine metabolism in the marine bacterium

Pirellula sp. strain 1 by a proteomic approach. *Proteomics* 2002;**2**:649–655.

https://doi.org/10.1002/1615-9861(200206)2:6<649::AID-PROT649>3.0.CO;2-R

99. Jeske O et al. Developing Techniques for the Utilization of Planctomycetes As

Producers of Bioactive Molecules. *Front Microbiol* 2016;**7**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01242

100. Lage OM, Bondoso J. Planctomycetes and macroalgae, a striking association. *Front*

Microbiol 2014;**5**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00267

101. Pérez-Cruz C et al. Mechanisms of recalcitrant fucoidan breakdown in marine

Planctomycetota. *Nat Commun* 2024;**15**:10906. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-

55268-w

102. Sogin EM et al. Sugars dominate the seagrass rhizosphere. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2022;**6**:866–

877. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01740-z

103. Bais HP et al. THE ROLE OF ROOT EXUDATES IN RHIZOSPHERE INTERACTIONS WITH

PLANTS AND OTHER ORGANISMS. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2006;**57**:233–266.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105159

104. Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root

Microbiome? *Trends Plant Sci* 2018;**23**:25–41.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.09.003

1005 **Supplementals**

1006

1007 Supplemental Table 1: ANOVA results for differences in relative abundance of top 10
1008 families btween mature and developmental stages shown in Figure 4. Significant results
1009 means the observed relative abundances of the family was higher in in the mature
1010 developmental stage

1011

1012

family	term	df	sumsq	meansq	statistic	p.value	adjusted_p.value
Granulosicoccaceae	phys_state	1	0.38	0.38	20.47	3.65E-05	3.65E-04
Thiotrichaceae	phys_state	1	0.03	0.03	2.82	0.100	0.144
Pirellulaceae	phys_state	1	0.07	0.07	17.75	1.01E-04	5.03E-04
Cellvibrionaceae	phys_state	1	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.638	0.638
Flavobacteriaceae	phys_state	1	0.04	0.04	17.37	1.71E-04	5.71E-04
Hyphomonadaceae	phys_state	1	0.01	0.01	7.99	0.007	0.011
Saprospiraceae	phys_state	1	0.00	0.00	1.21	0.277	0.344
Microtrichaceae	phys_state	1	0.00	0.00	1.06	0.309	0.344
Rhodobacteraceae	phys_state	1	0.01	0.01	16.11	2.71E-04	6.78E-04
Arenicellaceae	phys_state	1	0.01	0.01	15.72	4.03E-04	8.06E-04

1013

1014

1015

1016 Supplemental Table 2: ANOVA results for differences in relative abundance of top 10
1017 families between spring and summer cohorts shown in Supplemental Figure 6.Significant
1018 results means the observed relative abundances of the family was higher in the spring.

1019

family	term	df	sumsq	meansq	statistic	p.value	adjusted_p.value
Granulosicoccaceae	season	1	0.045	0.045	1.79	0.1870	0.255150
Thiotrichaceae	season	1	0.009	0.009	0.90	0.3487	0.387435
Pirellulaceae	season	1	0.009	0.009	1.92	0.1721	0.255150
Cellvibrionaceae	season	1	0.006	0.006	1.73	0.2041	0.255150
Flavobacteriaceae	season	1	0.036	0.036	14.10	0.0006	0.001930
Hyphomonadaceae	season	1	0.000	0.000	0.08	0.7820	0.781972
Saprospiraceae	season	1	0.056	0.056	52.15	0.0000	0.000000
Microtrichaceae	season	1	0.037	0.037	20.60	0.0000	0.000203
Rhodobacteraceae	season	1	0.007	0.007	10.69	0.0023	0.005728
Arenicellaceae	season	1	0.002	0.002	1.71	0.2005	0.255150

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025 **Supplemental Table 3.** Spearman's rank correlation rho and FDR-adjusted p-values for
1026 abundant ASVs and sugar mole% in Cluster A (Figure 5A).
1027

Sugar	Pirellulaceae_Blastopirellula_NA_12	Pirellulaceae_Blastopirellula_NA_7	Granulosicoccaceae_Granulosicoccus_NA_11	Pirellulaceae_Blastopirellula_NA_11	Granulosicoccaceae_Granulosicoccus_NA_6	Saprospiraceae_Portibacter_NA_5
Fucose	0.42,0.04001	0.28,0.23828	0.58,0.00137	0.58,0.00131	0.48,0.01154	0.11,0.72229
Rhamnose	-0.13,0.65786	-0.24,0.31968	-0.08,0.7988	-0.1,0.74709	0.11,0.70898	0.06,0.85406
Galactosamine	0.02,0.95119	0.11,0.72086	0.19,0.45915	0.33,0.13624	-0.08,0.7946	0.52,0.00553
Arabinose	-0.2,0.44136	0,0.9889	-0.08,0.79993	0.01,0.97272	-0.29,0.19491	0.34,0.12517
Glucosamine	0.61,0.00082	0.62,0.00072	0.54,0.00364	0.55,0.00294	0.31,0.16831	0.18,0.50321
Galactose	-0.01,0.97369	-0.09,0.77111	0.2,0.41287	0.03,0.92888	0.32,0.14726	0,0.99919
Gal-URA	-0.14,0.62703	-0.03,0.93147	-0.17,0.53314	-0.06,0.84141	0.03,0.92321	0.04,0.91045
Glc-URA	-0.05,0.8645	0.06,0.83048	-0.1,0.73885	0.04,0.90437	-0.13,0.6549	0.18,0.47232
Man-URA	-0.38,0.08039	-0.25,0.3076	-0.51,0.00716	-0.56,0.00232	-0.56,0.00236	-0.24,0.31968

1044 **Supplemental Table 4.** Spearman's rank correlation rho and FDR-adjusted p-value for abundant
1045 ASVs and sugar mole% in Cluster B (Figure 5A).

1046

Sugar	Thiotrichaceae_Leucothrix_NA_8	Microtrichaceae_Sva0996 marine group_NA_8	Trueperaceae_Truepera_NA_1	Microtrichaceae_Sva0996 marine group_NA_1	Cellvibrionaceae_NA_NA_6	Flavobacteriaceae_Ulvibacter_NA_2	Granulosicoccaceae_Granulosicoccus_NA_8	Arenicellaceae_Arenicella_NA_6	Arenicellaceae_Arenicella_NA_3	Flavobacteriaceae_Algitalea_NA	Microtrichaceae_Sva0996 marine group_NA_7
Fucose	-0.6, 0.00103	-0.59, 0.00127	-0.62, 0.00072	-0.57, 0.00183	-0.62, 0.00072	-0.65, 0.00062	-0.56, 0.00204	-0.48, 0.01154	-0.52, 0.00592	-0.45, 0.02168	-0.4, 0.05822
Rhamnose	-0.16, 0.56818	-0.18, 0.48463	0.04, 0.90403	0.08, 0.7963	-0.01, 0.97369	-0.06, 0.83943	-0.09, 0.77111	0.04, 0.90403	0.04, 0.88867	0.07, 0.82261	0.1, 0.74264
Galactosamine	-0.16, 0.56818	-0.24, 0.33629	-0.22, 0.39835	-0.24, 0.32614	-0.39, 0.06541	-0.5, 0.00812	-0.07, 0.80713	-0.36, 0.10322	-0.39, 0.06515	-0.49, 0.0094	-0.35, 0.11039
Arabinose	-0.01, 0.98342	0.1, 0.74264	0.11, 0.72229	0.06, 0.8338	-0.15, 0.60259	-0.2, 0.44136	-0.03, 0.93147	-0.21, 0.41287	-0.1, 0.73885	-0.23, 0.36489	-0.17, 0.50885
Glucosamine	-0.53, 0.00428	-0.31, 0.17494	-0.51, 0.00687	-0.52, 0.00617	-0.49, 0.01013	-0.51, 0.00716	-0.21, 0.41287	-0.61, 0.00074	-0.6, 0.00094	-0.55, 0.00294	-0.62, 0.00072
Galactose	-0.32, 0.15322	-0.33, 0.13215	-0.28, 0.23744	-0.22, 0.37359	-0.25, 0.31968	-0.26, 0.28245	-0.47, 0.01436	-0.05, 0.86412	-0.03, 0.94058	-0.13, 0.64198	0.08, 0.79993
Gal-URA	0.07, 0.82261	0.08, 0.7988	0.11, 0.69992	0.08, 0.80234	0.13, 0.65786	0.12, 0.69992	-0.09, 0.76834	0.1, 0.74085	0.19, 0.45589	0.12, 0.69874	0.19, 0.44427
Glc-URA	0.21, 0.41287	0.13, 0.65786	0.16, 0.56818	0.07, 0.82261	-0.01, 0.97369	-0.01, 0.98111	0.18, 0.47232	-0.08, 0.79672	-0.07, 0.82575	-0.12, 0.6634	-0.24, 0.33247
Man-URA	0.65, 0.00062	0.62, 0.00072	0.55, 0.00294	0.5, 0.00805	0.59, 0.00127	0.64, 0.00062	0.57, 0.00183	0.51, 0.00716	0.54, 0.00383	0.48, 0.01154	0.35, 0.11039

1047

1048
1049 **Supplemental Figure 1.** Temperature to nitrate relationship for inshore water in the Santa

1050 Barbara Channel. Points are from the T2N look up table compiled by Snyder et al. 2020 . Solid

1051 line is the exponential regression used to calculate nitrate at Mohawk Reef at our study site:

1052 Nitrate (μM N) $= 8565.5 * e^{(-0.597*Temp(^{o}C))}$, $R^2 = 0.95$.

1071
1072
1073 **Supplemental Figure 2**. ^{1}H-^{13}C HSQC NMR spectrum of IEX purified fucoidan from

1074 *Macrocystis pyrifera*. Correlations showing carbons with an even number of protons are blue and

1075 those with an odd number of protons are shown in red.

1087
1088 **Supplemental Figure 3.** HPAEC-PAD chromatogram of crude fucoidan from supplier. Note

1089 that peak area for fucose is relatively equal to areas from sugars not found in fucoidan (glucose,

1090 mannuronic acid) compared to fucose in IEX-purified fucoidan (Supplemental Figure 4). Also,

1091 there are large contributions from unknown peaks, possibly contaminating sugars in the sugar

1092 alcohol range (0 - 5 minutes) and acidic sugar range (30 - 40 minutes).

1116
1117
Supplemental Figure 4. HPAEC-PAD chromatogram of IEX purified fucoidan. Note that peak

area of fucose enhanced compared to small remaining contaminating sugars (mannuronic acid)

compared to Supplemental Figure 3. Contribution of galactose indicates fucoidan from *M.*

pyrifera is likely a heterofucan. The two prominent peaks at the beginning and middle of the

chromatogram are from dissolved oxygen and acetate from the sample and elution buffer,

respectively.

1144
Supplemental Figure 5: Mole% of fucose and mannuronic acid (Man-URA) across age and and seasons. (A) Summer (B) Spring. The top and bottom border of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line inside each box represents the median and the whiskers represent 1.5*IQR. Outliers are shown by points beyond the whiskers.

1171
1172
Supplemental Figure 6: **Relative abundance of bacterial clades at the family level on**
the surface of giant kelp blades between the spring and summer periods. Shown are
the top 10 families with a collective relative abundance > 1% during either season. The left
and right border of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, the vertical line inside
each box represents the median and the whiskers represent 1.5*IQR. Outliers are shown
by points beyond the whiskers. Asterisks next to family names indicate significant
differences in the relative abundances between seasons (ANOVA, FDR-adjusted p-value <
0.05).
1181
1182
1183
1184

Supplemental Figure 7: AntiSMASH analysis results of the *Rhodopirellula sp* isolate genome.