Cell cycle checkpoint activity in the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*

Monique K. Johnson[1], Juliana Naldoni[1], Catherine J. Merrick[1*]

[1] Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, UK.

* Address correspondence to:

Department of Pathology, Cambridge University, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, UK

Tel: (+44) 1223 333330

CJM: Email: cjm48@cam.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Plasmodium spp. have different modes of cell division from most eukaryotes. Little is known about

how these are controlled and cell-cycle checkpoints are particularly poorly characterised. However,

parasites can arrest their cell cycle when treated with the frontline antimalarial drug artemisinin,

and artemisinin-resistant parasites can modulate their cell-cycle progression, so it is very important

to understand these aspects of *Plasmodium* biology. Here, we show that *P. falciparum* displays

hallmarks of an intra-S-phase checkpoint when exposed to DNA damage, including acute reduction

of DNA replication and phosphorylation of a putative damage-marker histone. Compounds that

inhibit human checkpoint kinases can inhibit this arrest of DNA replication, and synergise with DNA

damage in parasite killing. This suggests the existence of checkpoint kinase activity in *P. falciparum*,

yet these kinases have no clear homologues in *Plasmodium* genomes. We hypothesise that

phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase – an essential lipid kinase – may moonlight in this role: it is the closest

homologue to a checkpoint kinase and is reportedly up-regulated in artemisinin-resistant parasites.

Finally, we show that the cryptic checkpoint-kinase activity may also regulate the ring-stage survival

phenotype after artemisinin damage, which resembles a G1/S checkpoint. Hence we suggest that

checkpoint kinase inhibitors are candidates for synergy with artemisinin.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Malaria parasites cause illness by infecting human red blood cells, wherein they replicate to produce

many new parasites within ~1-3 days. This is unusual because most cells (such as human cells)

replicate simply by copying their genome and splitting in half – which is called binary fission – but

malaria parasites make ~20 genome copies and then partition them simultaneously into 20 new cells

– which is called schizogony. Here we studied how these parasites control schizogony. In particular,

do they have 'checkpoints': the ability to pause the cell cycle for repair if the genome is damaged?

We found that DNA damage during active replication *did* cause hallmarks of a checkpoint, despite

the fact that all the key proteins that enforce this in other cells are absent in malaria parasites.

Furthermore, this checkpoint activity may be involved in the response to the antimalarial drug

artemisinin: a response that parasites make by pausing their cycle before active replication begins.

This could be important because it implies that inhibiting the checkpoint could exacerbate parasite

killing by artemisinin-based drugs, similar to the way that some cancer therapies work by damaging

DNA and also preventing the cancer cells from repairing it.

KEYWORDS

Malaria, *Plasmodium,* cell cycle, checkpoint, PI3K

INTRODUCTION

Plasmodium falciparum is the most important cause of human malaria, giving rise to over half a

million deaths and hundreds of millions of clinical cases per year [1]. Besides being a major human

pathogen, this is also a highly unusual, early-diverging protozoan organism, with distinctive features

of cell and molecular biology. One of these is a non-canonical cell cycle. Instead of dividing by

binary fission, as in most model organisms, *Plasmodium* parasites divide primarily by schizogony.

After invading a host erythrocyte, they execute multiple, asynchronous rounds of genome

replication and nuclear division, generating a syncytium of nuclei within the same cytoplasm. This is

followed by a mass cytokinesis event, partitioning as many as 20-30 nuclei and organelles into

individual merozoites.

The complex events of schizogony are now being dissected in considerable molecular and

cellular detail [2-5] but fundamental questions remain about how the process is regulated.

Schizogony is not easily mapped onto the well-characterised cell cycle phases of G1, S, G2 and M.

After invading a new host cell, the parasite undergoes a pre-replicative growth phase broadly

analogous to G1. The haploid genome is then repeatedly replicated in a continuous S-phase without

once-and-only-once regulation, generating many new haploid nuclei. Different nuclei cease to

replicate at different times, and divide at different times, so there is no well-defined G2 and no

single M-phase [3].

Plasmodium replication is not controlled by conventional waves of cyclin and cyclin-

dependent kinase activity [6]. Nor is it apparently governed by conventional cell cycle checkpoints,

which usually operate at the G1/S transition, within S-phase, and at G2/M. Respectively, these

checkpoints delay the start of S-phase, arrest replication if DNA damage or replication stress is

detected, and prevent mitosis if a genome is incompletely replicated [7]. The *Plasmodium* genus,

however, encodes no clear homologs of the checkpoint kinases conserved from yeast to humans:

Mec1 and Rad53 in *S. cerevisiae* and their homologs ATM/ATR and Chk1/Chk2 in mammalian cells

[6]. Some parasites in the apicomplexan phylum, including *Toxoplasma*, do retain homologs of the

'master regulators' Mec1/ATM/ATR, which are phosphoinositide-3-kinase-like kinases (PIKKs), but

Plasmodium does not [8].

Nevertheless, eukaryotic cells generally do pause their cell cycles to ensure effective DNA

repair and faithful DNA replication – not only in metazoans, which must guard against cancer, but

also in protozoans. *Plasmodium* encodes most of the known DNA repair pathways [6, 9] and

generates relatively low rates of SNPs, indels and translocations during normal replication [10], so it

seems unlikely that it is entirely without checkpoints.

There is some evidence for a G1/S-like checkpoint: pre-replicative rings can undergo

'temporary growth arrest (TGA)' [11] in response to nutritional, temperature or antimalarial-drug

stresses. TGA is particularly important under stress caused by the antimalarial drug artemisinin,

because it can contribute to treatment failure. However, there is no molecular evidence as-yet that

conventional checkpoint effectors are involved. A G1/S checkpoint usually involves the cyclin/CDK

complexes that drive S-phase entry, influenced positively by growth factors or negatively by damage

responsive kinases like PIKKs. TGA, by contrast, is defined only as a 'non-specific stress-response

survival mechanism' [11]: induced by multiple stresses, possibly via multiple molecular pathways.

An intra-S-phase checkpoint, meanwhile, should arrest S-phase specifically after DNA

damage or replication stress. After DNA damage, the transcriptional cascade that drives the

Plasmodium cell cycle can slow down, with DNA repair proteins and chromatin modifications being

upregulated [12], but the signals and transducers for this potential checkpoint are unknown.

Plasmodium generally lacks validated markers for DNA damage and for direct responses to it.

Finally, a G2/M checkpoint may be entirely absent because some *P. falciparum* mutants

perform grossly aberrant genome segregation but still undergo cytokinesis [13]. In fact, even in non-

mutants, certain phases of the lifecycle produce a high rate of zoids – particularly the rapid process

of gametogenesis inside the mosquito, when male cells produce and segregate eight new genomes

into mature, motile gametes in less than 15 minutes. Here, cytokinesis proceeds even if genome

replication fails entirely [14, 15].

Here, we set out to investigate checkpoint responses to DNA damage in *Plasmodium,* using

newly developed molecular and cellular tools. We show that the hallmarks of an S-phase checkpoint

do occur in *P. falciparum*, despite the apparent absence of PIKKs.

RESULTS

<u>Evidence for DNA-damage-responsive checkpoint activity in *P. falciparum*</u>

We used two complementary tools to investigate the response to DNA damage during S-phase in *P.*

falciparum, focussing at the levels of DNA and protein. Firstly, we labelled nascent DNA in

replicating parasites with a quantifiable modified nucleotide. This tested whether DNA replication

could be acutely arrested after damage: a hallmark of the intra-S-phase checkpoint [16, 17].

Secondly, we measured phosphorylation of histone H2A, which was recently identified as being

analogous to histone H2AX phosphorylation in mammalian cells [18]. Canonically, H2AX is

phosphorylated by ATM/ATR at chromatin sites of DNA damage [19, 20]. H2AX is absent in

Plasmodium, as are ATM and ATR, but the non-variant histone H2A can nevertheless be

phosphorylated by unidentified kinase(s) [18]. Importantly, this indicates an *in trans*, kinase-driven,

genuinely 'checkpoint-like' response.

To induce DNA damage, we used the well-characterised alkylating agent methyl methane

sulphonate (MMS), which alkylates DNA bases, particularly guanine and adenine [21]. In mammalian

cells, it induces an intra-S-phase checkpoint [16]. In *P. falciparum* parasites, it leads to DNA

breakage, as measured by comet assays [22] and concomitantly delays the cell cycle [12], but its

effect as a checkpoint inducer has not been explicitly addressed. In the *P. falciparum* 3D7 strain,

MMS had a 48h IC_{50} of ~96µM (Fig S1A). Having established this, we performed highly acute assays

over just 1-2h, using 10x or 20x IC_{50}, to minimise non-specific or secondary effects. We confirmed

that parasites could substantially recover from this level of MMS exposure within one cell cycle,

although their maturation was delayed, as reported previously [12], and there was also a reduction

in merozoite numbers in mature schizonts (Fig S2).

DNA replication was acutely reduced in the 30 minutes following a 30-minute exposure to

MMS at 10x or 20x IC_{50}. Some reduction occurred throughout S-phase (~28-36 hours post invasion,

hpi) but it was most pronounced when DNA was damaged in early trophozoites (~32hpi) (Fig 1A).

Within a similar timeframe, histone H2A phosphorylation was moderately elevated (Fig 1B). We

recently reported that several DNA damaging agents, including MMS, could cause H2A

phosphorylation [23], but that the upregulation was transient and modest – usually less than 2-fold

over background levels – making it difficult to measure consistently. This was equally true when

MMS was used to induce H2A phosphorylation here (Fig 1B). (Previously published work measured

H2A phosphorylation in pre-replicative rings exposed to ionising radiation [18], whereas we

measured it in actively replicating trophozoites.) Therefore, we chose the replication-reduction

assay as a more robust readout for checkpoint activity in subsequent experiments.

<u>Possible mediators of checkpoint activity in *P. falciparum*</u>

When the intra-S-phase checkpoint is triggered in other eukaryotes, both histone phosphorylation

and arrest of DNA replication are transduced through PIKKs [17, 19]. Since Figure 1 shows that these

phenotypes also appear in *P. falciparum,* a transducing kinase activity should be present. However,

there is no obvious candidate in the *P. falciparum* genome [8]. The closest PIKK homologues in any

Plasmodium genome are the lipid kinases PI3K and PI4K (Fig S3), whereas other apicomplexans such

as *Toxoplasma* encode additional kinases homologous to PIKKs [8]. Notably, the closest *Plasmodium*

homologue, PI3K, has been reported to be overexpressed in artemisinin resistant parasites [24],

which can alter their cell cycle dynamics. Accordingly, we hypothesised that PI3K might act as a

checkpoint kinase in *Plasmodium.*

*Pf*PI3K is the only phosphoinositide 3-kinase of the six phosphoinositide kinases in

Plasmodium [25]: it is a well-validated, essential lipid kinase [26] with roles in nutrient uptake and

vesicle trafficking [27, 28]. We hypothesised that it might also 'moonlight' as a protein kinase, which

would not be unprecedented, as other PI3Ks can to act on proteins as well as lipids. For example,

humans encode a large family of PI3Ks, and the type-1 PI3Ks can phosphorylate protein substrates

such as cytokine receptors, as well as auto-phosphorylating themselves [29, 30]. The sole

Plasmodium enzyme is a type-3 PI3K, and thus far these are proven only to phosphorylate lipids [26].

If our hypothesis was correct, then *Pf*PI3K should have some activity within the nucleus,

phosphorylating substrates such as histone H2A. To track the protein *in cellulo,* we raised peptide

antibodies (modelling for peptide selection is shown in Fig S4A), which detected a protein of the

correct 256kDa size (Fig 2A). In immunofluorescence, *Pf*PI3K appeared dispersed and cytoplasmic

(Fig 2B, Fig S4B), consistent with a previous publication that suggested a location in transport

vesicles [28]. We did not detect any substantial re-location to the nucleus upon DNA damage (Fig

2C). However, we recently showed that the ATM and ATR homologues in *Toxoplasma* are likewise

dispersed throughout the cell and do not detectably relocate to the nucleus after DNA damage, but

they are nevertheless able to mediate checkpoint phenotypes such as histone phosphorylation [8].

Further experiments were precluded because the *Pf*PI3K enzyme is essential and cannot be

knocked out (probably due to its unique lipid kinase activity): several attempts were made to 3' tag

the gene for conditional knockdown instead, but these failed, probably because the enzyme's active

site is encoded at the extreme 3' end and may become mis-folded after 3' tagging. The large size of

the *PfPI3K* gene (6.6kb) precluded overexpression, dominant-negative overexpression or

recombinant protein production for enzyme-activity assays. Attempts were also made to

immunoprecipitate the native protein with its potential substrates, but only a single *Pf*PI3K peptide

was detected across several immunoprecipitation attempts – probably because the kinase is lowly

expressed, very large, and unstable *in vitro*. Thus, the putative PIKK-like activity of *Pf*PI3K remained

neither evidenced nor disproved.

<u>The mediator of DNA-damage checkpoint responses in *Plasmodium* is sensitive to PIKK inhibitors</u>

Despite the lack of conclusive evidence for *Pf*PI3K as a checkpoint kinase, a divergent PIKK-like

kinase may nevertheless exist in *P. falciparum*, and hence we attempted to inhibit it with PIKK

inhibitors. We chose two compounds that had validated specificity for human ATM and ATR

respectively, KU-55933 and VE-821 [31, 32]. If an ATM-like and/or ATR-like activity existed in *P.*

falciparum, either within *Pf*PI3K or in another protein, these compounds might specifically inhibit it.

We first determined their 48h IC_{50} values on *P. falciparum* (3D7 strain): KU-55933 had an IC_{50} in the

micromolar range and VE-821, in the nanomolar range (Fig 3A, Fig S1B).

Both inhibitors clearly synergised with MMS in a 48h isobologram analysis, particularly

strongly in the case of VE-821, suggesting activity within the same pathway (Fig 3B). Control

compounds that kill parasites but are not known to cause DNA damage – the antibiotics blasticidin

and geneticin – did not synergise with MMS (Fig S5). Furthermore, when parasites were exposed to

high-dose MMS (10x IC50, as in Figure 1) simultaneously with KU-55933 or VE-821, the inhibitors

ablated the acute reduction in DNA replication caused by MMS, whereas co-exposure to the control

antibiotic geneticin did not (Fig 3C). In this short 1h assay, we used the PIKK inhibitors at only their

48h IC50 levels, to minimise any negative effects from the inhibitors alone. Indeed, within the

timeframe of the assay the inhibitors alone did not significantly affect DNA replication (Fig 3C).

Overall, these data strongly supported the existence of a cryptic PIKK activity, responsible for a

genuine intra-S-phase checkpoint via *in trans* kinase activity.

The antimalarial drug dihydroartemisinin induces DNA replication arrest in *P. falciparum*

MMS is a useful, well-characterised DNA-damaging agent, but it is not an antimalarial drug. We

were particularly interested in the potential DNA-damaging activity of antimalarial drugs, because if

parasites do make checkpoint responses to such drugs, then their antimalarial activity might be

potentiated by inhibiting checkpoint kinases. Artemisinin is such a drug: it has non-specific alkylating

activity that may affect both proteins and DNA [33, 34]. There are similarities between the

parasite's response to dihydroartemisinin (DHA, the active metabolite of artemisinin) and MMS in

terms of DNA breakage [33, 35], delay in the parasite's transcriptional cascade, and upregulation of

DNA repair genes [12].

Using the replication-reduction assay (Fig 4A), we saw that DHA caused an acute reduction in

nascent DNA replication, similar to MMS. However, unlike MMS, this was not ablated by the PIKK

inhibitors KU-55933 and VE-821. Consistent with this difference, the inhibitors did not synergise

with DHA in isobologram analysis (Fig 4B). This suggested that although both DHA and MMS may

damage DNA, they differ in either the type of damage or the cellular response thereto in terms of an

intra-S-phase checkpoint.

<u>Ring-stage survival after artemisinin damage is affected by PIKK inhibitors</u>

Cell-cycle checkpoint activity in *P. falciparum* may not be limited to an intra-S-phase checkpoint:

most eukaryotic cells also operate a G1/S checkpoint, delaying S-phase entry if cells are damaged,

starved or stressed. This may be analogous to the 'TGA' arrest or 'dormancy' that occurs in ring-

stage parasites after various stressors, including artemisinin treatment [11]. We therefore set out to

establish whether ring-stage dormancy induced by DHA actually represents a classical, PIKK-

mediated G1/S checkpoint.

We conducted the ring-stage survival assay (RSA) on parasites treated with DHA in the

presence or absence of PIKK inhibitors. In this assay, pre-replicative early-ring-stage parasites are

damaged with a pulse of DHA: most of them die, but some successfully arrest and thence survive.

The more parasites are able to do this, the higher the subsequent recovery of viable parasites.   We

reasoned that if successful arrest is due to PIKK-mediated checkpoint activity, then PIKK inhibitors

should reduce ring-stage survival. These experiments were conducted in the 3D7 strain and also in

the Cambodian strain MRA-1252. MRA-1252 was derived from a naturally-arising DHA-resistant

strain, which was genetically reverted back to a sensitive phenotype by reverting the resistance

mutation in the gene encoding Kelch13 [36]. Its genetic background is otherwise that of a S.E. Asian

DHA-resistant line. Both 3D7 and MRA-1252 are highly sensitive to artemisinin, so we used the

'extended recovery ring-stage survival assay' (eRRSA), which detects recovered parasites very

sensitively after 72h via quantitative PCR [37].

Figure 5A shows that PIKK inhibitors, particularly KU-55933, did indeed exacerbate the killing

of ring-stage parasites by DHA. Differences were only subtle and parallel assays using the more

common but less sensitive flow cytometric assessment of live/dead cells to read the RSA likewise

showed only marginal differences (Fig S6). However, in both 3D7 and MRA-1252, triplicate eRRSAs

showed that survival trended downwards when DHA was combined with either inhibitor. Neither

condition (DHA + VE-821 or DHA + KU-55933) reached statistical significance versus survival after

DHA alone, but the p-values versus control were < 0.0001 rather than 0.001. As above, we

minimised any negative effects of the inhibitors themselves by using them at a low level – only half

of the 48h IC_{50}. We confirmed that this exposure alone for 6h did not affect parasite survival after

72h (Fig 5B).

These RSAs did not show conclusively that 'TGA' after artemisinin damage represents a true

G1/S checkpoint. However, they did suggest that such a checkpoint, mediated by a cryptic PIKK, may

contribute to ring-stage survival. Hence, the cryptic PIKK must be active throughout the cell cycle,

not only in actively replicating trophozoites.

When the same assay was conducted on DHA-resistant parasites (the parent strain of MRA-

1252, called MRA-1240) the result differed. As expected, DHA survival was much better in MRA-

1240, and PIKK inhibitors had no negative effect on this survival (Fig 5C). If anything, there was a

trend towards better growth and better DHA survival when MRA-1240 was exposed to PIKK

inhibitors (Fig 5D). Hence, when a Kelch13 mutation was present, conferring DHA resistance, any

contribution of checkpoint activity to parasite survival was apparently ablated.

<u>Artemisinin-resistant parasites are cross-resistant to MMS and VE-821, but not to KU-55933</u>

We hypothesised that artemisinin-resistant (Kelch13 mutant) parasites might not require 'G1/S'

checkpoint activity to survive DHA damage because they are more efficient at DNA repair. Several

mutations in DNA repair genes have been identified in resistant strains [34], although the pathway(s)

leading to improved DNA repair remain to be fully characterised. We checked whether the DHA-

resistant line MRA-1240 was cross-resistant to MMS, and its IC_{50} was indeed significantly higher than

that of the matched sensitive line MRA-1252 (and also higher than that of 3D7) (Fig 5E, left panel; Fig

S1C). Since the point mutation in Kelch13 is the only difference between the two Cambodian MRA

lines, a genetic background of enhanced DNA repair cannot fully account for this.

One other explanation for an improved DNA repair capacity in resistant parasites could be

that they have hyper-active checkpoint activity (possibly due to Kelch13-associated over-expression

of PI3K [24]) and that this stimulates hyperactive DNA repair. This explanation *would* be consistent

with MRA-1240 (Kelch13-mutant) and MRA-1252 (revertant) having different sensitivities to MMS.

If so, the Kelch13 mutant state would also be expected to correlate with reduced sensitivity to PIKK

inhibitors. Indeed, MRA-1240 was much less sensitive than MRA-1252 to the VE-821 inhibitor (Fig

5E, middle panel; Fig S1C) – so much so that it was difficult to measure a consistent IC_{50} via MSF

assay. Curiously, however, the same line was not less sensitive to KU-55933 (Fig 5E, right panel; Fig

S1C). This was the first instance in which VE-821 and KU-55933 had shown a clear difference in their

effects on *P. falciparum*. It may suggest that more than one checkpoint protein is required, at least

in the MRA-1240/1252 genetic background, and that one of the inhibitors has a broader inhibitory

spectrum than the other. For example, *Pf*PI3K may indeed moonlights as a checkpoint kinase, but

*Pf*PI4K may also be required for certain checkpoint pathways. These speculations urgently require

conditional genetic manipulation of these large, essential kinase-encoding genes.

DISCUSSION

This work provides the first evidence that *Plasmodium* parasites during blood-stage schizogony can

enforce an intra-S-phase checkpoint. The checkpoint is evidenced by an acute reduction in the rate

of DNA replication and the phosphorylation of histone H2A in a position analogous to the canonical

DNA damage marker H2AX. *P. falciparum* was previously shown to slow its cell-cycle progression

after DNA damage [12] but it was not clear if this occurred through cell-cycle checkpoint activity

(partly because the *Plasmodium* system lacked validated DNA damage markers, signals or

transducers thereof). Markers still remain limited – there are, for example, no cyclin markers for

cell-cycle phases, and the H2A phosphorylation that has been reported as a DNA damage marker in

ring stages [18] is not, in our hands, a very strong marker in trophozoite stages (possibly because

background phosphorylation levels are higher in actively-replicating parasites). However, the ability

to measure nascent DNA replication in *Plasmodium* [38] does now allow intra-S-phase checkpoints

to be measured. Thus we showed that DNA replication was acutely arrested by two distinct

alkylating agents, MMS and the antimalarial drug DHA.

The transducer(s) of checkpoint activity in *Plasmodium* remain cryptic. *Plasmodium* spp.

lack identifiable homologues of PIKK kinases, in contrast to many apicomplexans including *T. gondii*

[8]. Nevertheless, such activity is apparently present, because the reduction in replication caused by

MMS was ablated by well-validated inhibitors of human PIKKs. Hence it must be induced *in trans* by

a genuine kinase-mediated signalling cascade (also consistent with H2A phosphorylation), rather

than occurring simply because alkylated bases physically block the movement of replisomes.

Interestingly, DHA differed from MMS in this respect: it also affected DNA replication, but PIKK

inhibitors did not ameliorate this. Possibly the acute effect of DHA – which is a larger molecule than

MMS – *is* primarily to block replisomes via bulky DNA adducts (a documented effect of alkylating

agents in human cells [16]), and these cannot be bypassed whether a checkpoint kinase is active or

not, while a lesser role is played by *in trans* checkpoint signalling after DHA damage.

Other, more complex, explanations for the difference in response to MMS versus DHA are

also possible. For example, slow DNA replication can, in general, stimulate compensatory activation

of extra replication origins [39], but when DNA damage is sensed, checkpoint kinases act to suppress

such origin firing *in trans* [16]. If checkpoint kinases are inhibited, then extra origins may fire

regardless, hence MMS-damaged cells may keep replicating, eventually encountering more damage,

so genome replication ultimately fails to complete. This would explain how MMS and PIKK inhibitors

ultimately synergise in cell killing. Perhaps the broader-spectrum damage that DHA causes to

proteins as well as DNA [33], and/or the oxidative stress that it generates [35] means that

compensatory origin firing does not happen, whether a checkpoint is activated or not. Hence PIKK

inhibitors would not synergise with DHA in cell killing. To evidence these rather complex

hypotheses, precision measurement of DNA replication dynamics at single-molecule resolution after

different types of damage will be needed. This has been achieved in human cells [16], and is now in

development for *Plasmodium*.

Turning to the possibility of a pre-replicative G1/S checkpoint, the evidence for this being

mediated by a PIKK-like kinase is not yet conclusive, but nevertheless tantalising. In the RSA, which

measures DHA killing of pre-replicative rings, PIKK inhibitors exacerbated parasite killing. This trend

was consistent (albeit not statistically significant) in triplicate assays across two genetically-distinct

DHA-sensitive strains, 3D7 and MRA-1252. Checkpoint kinase activity may help to protect ring-stage

cells, perhaps by detecting DNA damage, stimulating repair, and preventing S-phase entry until

repair is complete. By contrast, a DHA-resistant strain showed no effect of PIKK inhibitors. This may

be because it can already suppress DHA activation in early rings and thus protect itself from DNA

damage; or alternatively, it may still sustain some damage, but be rescued by hyperactive DNA

repair [34].

If there is indeed hyperactive DNA repair, it cannot be conferred by a DHA-resistant genetic

background because the matched strain MRA-1252 was not cross-resistant to MMS, whereas MRA-

1240 was. However, it could be because the Kelch13 mutation, uniquely present in MRA-1240,

confers hyper-checkpoint activity, thus stimulating hyperactive DNA repair. In any case, these data

raise the intriguing possibility that artemisinin drugs could synergise with checkpoint inhibitors in

killing ring-stage parasites; the caveat being that this might only work well in sensitive, not resistant,

strains.

It is an attractive idea that *Pf*PI3K is the cryptic checkpoint kinase, particularly if Kelch13

mutant strains do contain more *Pf*PI3K [24]. However, we were not yet able to prove or disprove

this, owing to the difficulty of manipulating the large, essential *Pf*PI3K gene. Broad-spectrum

inhibitors such as wortmannin were used in previous work [28], but these are slow-killing and unable

to separate lipid-kinase from putative protein-kinase activity. We used PIKK inhibitors instead,

hoping to isolate a putative protein kinase activity. The fact that our PIKK inhibitors did not

synergise with DHA in MSF assays, whereas specific inhibitors of distinct human PI3Ks (i.e. lipid

kinases) *have* been reported to do so [40], may suggest that this succeeded, and that VE-821 and

KU-55933 do target a distinct activity in *Plasmodium*. They may, of course, merely affect irrelevant

off-targets, but it is more likely that they genuinely inhibit a *Plasmodium* checkpoint kinase – which

may reside in *Pf*PI3K and/or *Pf*PI4K, or in another radically divergent protein.

In conclusion, we present new evidence for measurable cell-cycle checkpoint activity in

Plasmodium. We also raise the considerable challenge of unambiguously identifying its mediators in

this deeply-diverging eukaryote.

METHODS

Parasite cultures

Plasmodium falciparum cultures were grown in 2% or 4% haematocrit human erythrocytes

(Research Red Cells obtained from the NHS Blood & Transplant service), in RPMI 1640 (Sigma,

R4130) supplemented with 2.3 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 50 mg/L hypoxanthine (Sigma, H9377), 25

µg/L gentamicin (Melford Laboratories, G38000-1), 2.5 g/L Albumax II and 5% human serum.

Parasites were cultured under a 1% O_2/ 3% CO_2/ 96% N_2 gaseous atmosphere at 37 °C.

Parasite strains

Parasite strains used were: 3D7, 3D7 pTK, MRA-1240 and MRA-1252. 3D7 pTK carries a thymidine

kinase transgene to allow incorporation of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) for monitoring DNA

replication [38]. MRA-1240 and MRA-1252 are matched artemisinin-resistant and sensitive

Cambodian field lines, respectively [36], obtained through BEI Resources (NIAID, NIH). Parasites

were synchronised as in [41].

BrdU Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

ELISA was carried out as per [38]. In brief, tightly synchronised *P. falciparum* 3D7 pTK parasites were

collected at 4% parasitaemia and 4% haematocrit at 28 hpi, 32 hpi and 36 hpi. They were labelled

with BrdU (100 µM, Sigma) for 30 min and then collected for ELISA, or treated with MMS at 10 x IC_{50}

(960 µM) or 20 x IC_{50} (1,920 µM) for 30 min prior to BrdU labelling. In further experiments, parasites

at 30-32 hpi were exposed to either MMS alone (10x IC_{50}), MMS+VE-821 (1x IC_{50}), MMS+KU-55933

(1x IC_{50}), VE-821 alone, KU-55933 alone, DHA alone (10x IC_{50}), DHA+VE-821, DHA+KU-55933 or no

drugs, then processed as above.

Immunofluorescence

Air-dried thick blood smears were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min and

permeabilised for 10 min with 0.05% triton in PBS. Slides were then blocked for 1 h in 1% BSA/PBS.

The primary antibody was added in blocking buffer for 1 h under a coverslip. After 3x 5 min washes

in PBS, the secondary antibody was added to slides for 1 h. The slides were washed with PBS for 5

min, 120 µl of 2 µg/ml DAPI solution was added for 10 min and then the slides washed again with

PBS for 5 min. Coverslips were mounted on slides in Prolong® Diamond (Invitrogen) and allowed to

dry overnight at 4 °C. Images were acquired using a Nikon Eclipse Ti widefield microscope with a

Nikon objective lens (Plan APO, 100x/1.45 oil), and a Hamamatsu C11440, ORCA Flash 4.0 camera.

Images were processed using the NIS-Elements software and ImageJ (v.1.51w).

Malaria SYBR Green-1 Fluorescence (MSF) assay

MSF assays were conducted as in [42].   100 µM chloroquine (Merck) was used as a control for

parasite death. Data were analysed and graphs plotted in GraphPad Prism.

Isobolograms

Parasites were prepared as for MSF assays. Isobologram design followed the procedure in [43].

Serial dilutions were planned so that the IC_{50}s of each drug would be in the second two-fold dilution

out of 6 total dilutions. IC_{50}s for each combination solution were calculated using sigmoidal best-fit

371 curves assigned in Graphpad Prism. Fractional inhibitory concentrations (FIC values) were calculated

372 in Excel [44] and isobolograms plotted in Graphpad Prism.

Ring-stage Survival Assay (RSA)

Parasite cultures at 8-10% schizonts were synchronised using percoll and Compound 2 as in [41],

then allowed to reinvade. Early ring-stage (0-3 hpi) parasites at 0.5% parasitaemia, 2% haematocrit

were exposed for 6 h to 700 nM DHA or 0.02% DMSO (vehicle control) under normal conditions,

before washing three times with incomplete media, resuspending in complete media and incubating

under the same conditions for a further 66 h. In addition to the DHA 700 nM pulse, VE-821 and KU-

55933 inhibitors were used at 0.5x IC_{50} with DHA, or by themselves, throughout the 6 h pulse period.

72 h after initial drug exposure, 20 µl was frozen for RT-PCR as per eRRSA protocol [37].

qPCR-based RSA analysis

qPCR amplification was used to quantify live parasites in the eRRSA [37]. The Phusion Blood Direct

PCR kit was used (ThermoFisher, cat # F547L), supplemented with 1x SYBR™ Green I (ThermoFisher)

and 50 nM Low ROX (ThermoFisher), according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR

amplification was measured using the fast mode of the ABI 7900HT, with a 20 s denaturation at 95

°C, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 s, 62.3 °C for 30 s, and 65 °C for 15 s. Cycle threshold (Ct)

values were calculated; then the fold change was calculated by determining the mean difference in

Ct (ΔCt) for the three technical replicates between the untreated and DHA-treated samples by

applying the following equation: Fold change =2^(ΔCt of treated − ΔCt of untreated). This was -log

transformed and data were plotted in GraphPad Prism.

Flow cytometry-based RSA analysis

Flow cytometry wash buffer 'FC wash' (2% FBS (Gibco) in HBSS (calcium, magnesium, no phenol red,

Gibco) was prepared. The remaining 180 µl of each RSA assay, not harvested for eRRSA, was

centrifuged and packed cells were washed three times in 200 µL of FC wash, then resuspended in FC

wash to make a 10% haematocrit suspension. 25 µL of suspension from each assay (conducted in

technical triplicate) were mixed with 25 µL of 0.6X SYBR Green I (SYBR) with 1.5 nM MitoTracker

Deep Red FM (MT, Invitrogen) in FC wash. Compensation controls were prepared with suspension

from one DMSO-treated triplicate, labelled with either 0.6X SYBR, 1.5 nM MT, or FC wash alone.

Samples were incubated in the dark at 37°C for 30 min, then washed three times in 200 µL and

resuspended in 180 µL of FC wash.

10,000 events of SYBR positive cells were captured at a flow rate of 25 µL/min on an Attune NxT

Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Invitrogen). SYBR and MT fluorescence were detected on the BL1 (488

nm excitation, 530/30 nm emission, 340 V) and RL1 (638 nm excitation, 670/14 nm emission, 510 V)

channels respectively. Debris and doublets were excluded by gating on a forward scatter area (FSC-

A) versus side scatter height (SSC-H) plot and forward scatter area (FSC-A) versus forward scatter

height (FSC-H). SYBR/MT quadrant plots were generated using the Attune NxT software v4.2.0

(Invitrogen).

Antibody production

Peptides were designed from *P. falciparum* PI3K (PF3D7_0515300) with help from Eurogentec using

parameters such as size, amino acid composition, hydrophobicity and secondary structure. Two

peptides were chosen - one predicted to be in the catalytic domain and one upstream of this

domain, both predicted to be surface-displayed. N-terminal cysteines were added to the peptide

sequences to target the coupling site at the carrier protein.

Antibodies were raised by Eurogentec. Two rabbits were bled for pre-immune sera, inoculated with

both peptides, then further immunised with 3 booster inoculations at 12 days, ~1 month and

~2months. Immune serum was collected after ~9 weeks.

Western blotting

Parasites were extracted from infected erythrocytes by mixing with 0.5 volumes of 0.2% saponin in

PBS and incubating for 10 min on ice. Saponin lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 *xg* at 4 °C for 10

min, washed 3 x in ice-cold PBS, centrifuged at 15,000 *xg* for 5 min and resuspended in PBS before

freezing at -20°C. Parasites obtained by saponin lysis were resuspended in 1x RIPA buffer (150 mM

sodium chloride, 1.0% NP-40 or Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS and 50 mM Tris

pH 8) with protease inhibitors (ROCHE, cOmplete Mini) added directly before use, then freeze-

thawed 3 times. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 4 °C, 13,000 xg, and supernatant was

mixed with 1 x NuPage LDS Sample Buffer (ThermoFisher: NP0007), supplemented with 0.1% 2-

mercaptoethanol, then boiled at 90 °C for 5 min. Protein lysates were resolved on 4-15% TRIS-

glycine gels (BIO-RAD Mini -PROTEAN® TGX^{TM}) for 1–2 h at 100 V in 1X TGS buffer. Wet transfer was

completed onto nitrocellulose membrane 0.2-µM-pore-size (Amersham^{TM} Protran®, GE Healthcare)

at 60 V for 45 min.

Membranes were blocked with 3% BSA TBST for 1 h, washed once in TBST and incubated overnight

in primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer at 4 °C. Blots were washed 3x 5 min in TBST and

incubated in a secondary antibody diluted 1:5000 in blocking buffer for 1 h. Blots were washed again

(3x 5 min, TBST), developed using SuperSignal^{TM} West Pico Plus Chemiluminescent Substrate and

imaged on a gel imager (Azure, Q500). Some membranes were stripped prior to re-blocking (10 ml

20% SDS and 12.5 ml 0.5M Tris HCL, pH 6.8, added to 77.5 ml distilled water, with 0.8 ml β-

mercaptoethanol added just before use). 5 ml stripping buffer (warmed to 50 °C) was added to a

blot and incubated at 50 °C for 45 min with agitation. The stripping buffer was removed, and the

membrane was washed under a running tap for 1-2 min, then washed 3x 5 min with TBST. The

membrane was then ready for re-blocking.

Antibodies used were pre-immune or immune sera for PfPI3K peptides, diluted 1:200, with

secondary goat anti-rabbit HRP 1:5000 (Abcam, ab97080); or rabbit α-γ-H2aX (Cell signalling, 9718S)

with goat α-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 594 (Invitrogen, A-

11012).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr Holly Matthews for early work on this project and Profs Marcus Lee and

Paul Roepe for helpful discussions.

This work was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon

2020 research and innovation programme (ERC-2016-COG 725126 to CJM); and a PhD studentship

from the University of Cambridge Department of Pathology to MJK. The funders had no role in study

design, data collection, interpretation or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1.   WHO. World Malaria Report 2023. 2023. Epub 2023.

2.   Klaus S, Binder P, Kim J, Machado M, Funaya C, Schaaf V, et al. Asynchronous nuclear cycles
in multinucleated Plasmodium falciparum facilitate rapid proliferation. Sci Adv. 2022;8(13):eabj5362.
doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abj5362. PubMed PMID: 35353560.

3.   McDonald J, Merrick CJ. DNA replication dynamics during erythrocytic schizogony in the
malaria parasites Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium knowlesi. PLoS pathogens. 2022;18(6):
e1010595.

4.   Simon CS, Sturmer VS, Guizetti J. How Many Is Enough? - Challenges of Multinucleated Cell
Division in Malaria Parasites. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:658616. doi:
10.3389/fcimb.2021.658616. PubMed PMID: 34026661; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8137892.

5.   Liffner B, Cepeda Diaz AK, Blauwkamp J, Anaguano D, Frolich S, Muralidharan V, et al. Atlas
of Plasmodium falciparum intraerythrocytic development using expansion microscopy. Elife.
2023;12. doi: 10.7554/eLife.88088. PubMed PMID: 38108809; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC10727503.

6.   Matthews H, Duffy CW, Merrick CJ. Checks and balances? DNA replication and the cell cycle
in Plasmodium. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11(1):216. doi: 10.1186/s13071-018-2800-1. PubMed PMID:
29587837; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5872521.

7.   Zhou BB, Elledge SJ. The DNA damage response: putting checkpoints in perspective. Nature.
2000;408(6811):433-9. doi: 10.1038/35044005. PubMed PMID: 11100718.

8.   Johnson MK, Chelaghma S, Koreny L, Waller RF, Merrick CJ. Characterisation of cell cycle
checkpoint kinases in Toxoplasma gondii. BioRxiv. 2024:doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.10.612042. doi:
doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.10.612042;.

9.   Lee AH, Symington LS, Fidock DA. DNA repair mechanisms and their biological roles in the
malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2014;78(3):469-86. doi:
10.1128/MMBR.00059-13. PubMed PMID: 25184562; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4187680.

10.   Claessens A, Hamilton WL, Kekre M, Otto TD, Faizullabhoy A, Rayner JC, et al. Generation of
antigenic diversity in Plasmodium falciparum by structured rearrangement of Var genes during
mitosis. PLoS genetics. 2014;10(12):e1004812. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004812. PubMed PMID:
25521112; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4270465.

11.   Platon L, Menard D. Plasmodium falciparum ring-stage plasticity and drug resistance. Trends
Parasitol. 2024;40(2):118-30. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2023.11.007. PubMed PMID: 38104024.
12.   Gupta DK, Patra AT, Zhu L, Gupta AP, Bozdech Z. DNA damage regulation and its role in drug-
related phenotypes in the malaria parasites. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23603. doi: 10.1038/srep23603.
PubMed PMID: 27033103; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4817041.
13.   Absalon S, Dvorin JD. Depletion of the mini-chromosome maintenance complex binding
protein allows the progression of cytokinesis despite abnormal karyokinesis during the asexual
development of Plasmodium falciparum. Cellular microbiology. 2021;23(3):e13284. doi:
10.1111/cmi.13284. PubMed PMID: 33124706; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8058698.
14.   Janse CJ, van der Klooster PF, van der Kaay HJ, van der Ploeg M, Overdulve JP. DNA synthesis
in Plasmodium berghei during asexual and sexual development. Molecular and biochemical
parasitology. 1986;20(2):173-82. doi: 10.1016/0166-6851(86)90029-0. PubMed PMID: 3092048.
15.   Matthews H, McDonald J, Totanes FIG, Merrick CJ. Dynamics of DNA replication during male
gametogenesis in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Cellular microbiology. 2022. doi:
doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.18.473304
16.   Merrick CJ, Jackson D, Diffley JF. Visualization of altered replication dynamics after DNA
damage in human cells. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2004;279(19):20067-75. PubMed PMID:
14982920.
17.   Santocanale C, Diffley JF. A Mec1- and Rad53-dependent checkpoint controls late-firing
origins of DNA replication. Nature. 1998;395(6702):615-8. doi: 10.1038/27001. PubMed PMID:
9783589.
18.   Goyal M, Heinberg A, Mitesser V, Kandelis-Shalev S, Singh BK, Dzikowski R. Phosphorylation
of the Canonical Histone H2A Marks Foci of Damaged DNA in Malaria Parasites. mSphere. 2021;6(1).
doi: 10.1128/mSphere.01131-20. PubMed PMID: 33441412; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7845613.
19.   Helt CE, Cliby WA, Keng PC, Bambara RA, O'Reilly MA. Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)
and ATM and Rad3-related protein exhibit selective target specificities in response to different forms
of DNA damage. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2005;280(2):1186-92. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M410873200. PubMed PMID: 15533933.
20.   Rogakou EP, Pilch DR, Orr AH, Ivanova VS, Bonner WM. DNA double-stranded breaks induce
histone H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139. The Journal of biological chemistry.
1998;273(10):5858-68. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.10.5858. PubMed PMID: 9488723.
21.   Beranek DT. Distribution of methyl and ethyl adducts following alkylation with
monofunctional alkylating agents. Mutat Res. 1990;231(1):11-30. doi: 10.1016/0027-5107(90)90173-
2. PubMed PMID: 2195323.
22.   Gopalakrishnan AM, Kumar N. Opposing roles for two molecular forms of replication protein
A in Rad51-Rad54-mediated DNA recombination in Plasmodium falciparum. mBio.
2013;4(3):e00252-13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00252-13. PubMed PMID: 23631919; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC3648904.
23.   Craven HM, Nettesheim G, Cicuta P, Blagborough AM, Merrick CJ. Effects of the G-
quadruplex-binding drugs quarfloxin and CX-5461 on the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum.
Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2023;23:106-19. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpddr.2023.11.007. PubMed PMID:
38041930; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10711401.
24.   Mbengue A, Bhattacharjee S, Pandharkar T, Liu H, Estiu G, Stahelin RV, et al. A molecular
mechanism of artemisinin resistance in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Nature.
2015;520(7549):683-7. doi: 10.1038/nature14412. PubMed PMID: 25874676; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4417027.
25.   Hassett MR, Roepe PD. PIK-ing New Malaria Chemotherapy. Trends Parasitol.
2018;34(11):925-7. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2018.06.003. PubMed PMID: 29934102.

26.   Hassett MR, Sternberg AR, Riegel BE, Thomas CJ, Roepe PD. Heterologous Expression,
Purification, and Functional Analysis of Plasmodium falciparum Phosphatidylinositol 3'-Kinase.
Biochemistry. 2017;56(33):4335-45. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00416. PubMed PMID: 28719180.
27.   Tawk L, Chicanne G, Dubremetz JF, Richard V, Payrastre B, Vial HJ, et al. Phosphatidylinositol
3-phosphate, an essential lipid in Plasmodium, localizes to the food vacuole membrane and the
apicoplast. Eukaryotic cell. 2010;9(10):1519-30. doi: 10.1128/EC.00124-10. PubMed PMID:
20709789; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2950420.
28.   Vaid A, Ranjan R, Smythe WA, Hoppe HC, Sharma P. PfPI3K, a phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase
from Plasmodium falciparum, is exported to the host erythrocyte and is involved in hemoglobin
trafficking. Blood. 2010;115(12):2500-7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-08-238972. PubMed PMID:
20093402; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2918364.
29.   Thomas D, Powell JA, Green BD, Barry EF, Ma Y, Woodcock J, et al. Protein kinase activity of
phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulates cytokine-dependent cell survival. PLoS biology.
2013;11(3):e1001515. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001515. PubMed PMID: 23526884; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3601961.
30.   Foukas LC, Shepherd PR. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase: the protein kinase that time forgot.
Biochem Soc Trans. 2004;32(Pt 2):330-1. doi: 10.1042/bst0320330. PubMed PMID: 15046601.
31.   Reaper PM, Griffiths MR, Long JM, Charrier JD, Maccormick S, Charlton PA, et al. Selective
killing of ATM- or p53-deficient cancer cells through inhibition of ATR. Nat Chem Biol. 2011;7(7):428-
30. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.573. PubMed PMID: 21490603.
32.   Hickson I, Zhao Y, Richardson CJ, Green SJ, Martin NM, Orr AI, et al. Identification and
characterization of a novel and specific inhibitor of the ataxia-telangiectasia mutated kinase ATM.
Cancer research. 2004;64(24):9152-9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2727. PubMed PMID:
15604286.
33.   Ismail HM, Barton V, Phanchana M, Charoensutthivarakul S, Wong MH, Hemingway J, et al.
Artemisinin activity-based probes identify multiple molecular targets within the asexual stage of the
malaria parasites Plasmodium falciparum 3D7. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
the United States of America. 2016;113(8):2080-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1600459113. PubMed PMID:
26858419; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4776496.
34.   Xiong A, Prakash P, Gao X, Chew M, Tay IJJ, Woodrow CJ, et al. K13-Mediated Reduced
Susceptibility to Artemisinin in Plasmodium falciparum Is Overlaid on a Trait of Enhanced DNA
Damage Repair. Cell Rep. 2020;32(5):107996. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107996. PubMed PMID:
32755588; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7408483.
35.   Gopalakrishnan AM, Kumar N. Antimalarial action of artesunate involves DNA damage
mediated by reactive oxygen species. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2015;59(1):317-25.
doi: 10.1128/AAC.03663-14. PubMed PMID: 25348537; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4291367.
36.   Straimer J, Gnadig NF, Witkowski B, Amaratunga C, Duru V, Ramadani AP, et al. Drug
resistance. K13-propeller mutations confer artemisinin resistance in Plasmodium falciparum clinical
isolates. Science (New York, NY. 2015;347(6220):428-31. doi: 10.1126/science.1260867. PubMed
PMID: 25502314; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4349400.
37.   Davis SZ, Singh PP, Vendrely KM, Shoue DA, Checkley LA, McDew-White M, et al. The
extended recovery ring-stage survival assay provides a superior association with patient clearance
half-life and increases throughput. Malaria journal. 2020;19(1):54. doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-3139-6.
PubMed PMID: 32005233; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6995136.
38.   Merrick CJ. Transfection with thymidine kinase permits bromodeoxyuridine labelling of DNA
replication in the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Malar J. 2015;14(490). doi:
10.1186/s12936-015-1014-7.
39.   Courtot L, Hoffmann JS, Bergoglio V. The Protective Role of Dormant Origins in Response to
Replicative Stress. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(11). doi: 10.3390/ijms19113569. PubMed PMID: 30424570;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6274952.

40.   Mott BT, Eastman RT, Guha R, Sherlach KS, Siriwardana A, Shinn P, et al. High-throughput
matrix screening identifies synergistic and antagonistic antimalarial drug combinations. Sci Rep.
2015;5:13891. doi: 10.1038/srep13891. PubMed PMID: 26403635; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4585899.
41.   Totanes FIG, Gockel J, Chapman SE, Bartfai R, Boemo MA, Merrick CJ. A genome-wide map
of DNA replication at single-molecule resolution in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum.
Nucleic acids research. 2023. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad093. PubMed PMID: 36808528.
42.   Smilkstein M, Sriwilaijaroen N, Kelly JX, Wilairat P, Riscoe M. Simple and inexpensive
fluorescence-based technique for high-throughput antimalarial drug screening. Antimicrobial agents
and chemotherapy. 2004;48(5):1803-6. PubMed PMID: 15105138; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC400546.
43.   Huang RY, Pei L, Liu Q, Chen S, Dou H, Shu G, et al. Isobologram Analysis: A Comprehensive
Review of Methodology and Current Research. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:1222. doi:
10.3389/fphar.2019.01222. PubMed PMID: 31736746; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6830115.
44.   Fivelman QL, Adagu IS, Warhurst DC. Modified fixed-ratio isobologram method for studying
in vitro interactions between atovaquone and proguanil or dihydroartemisinin against drug-resistant
strains of Plasmodium falciparum. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2004;48(11):4097-102.
doi: 10.1128/AAC.48.11.4097-4102.2004. PubMed PMID: 15504827; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC525430.
45.   Safeukui I, Ware RE, Mohandas N, Haldar K. Simultaneous adjunctive treatment of malaria
and its coevolved genetic disorder sickle cell anemia. Blood Adv. 2023;7(19):5970-81. doi:
10.1182/bloodadvances.2022009124. PubMed PMID: 37093647; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC10580175 interests.
46.   Yang J, Yan R, Roy A, Xu D, Poisson J, Zhang Y. The I-TASSER Suite: protein structure and
function prediction. Nature methods. 2015;12(1):7-8. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3213. PubMed PMID:
25549265; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4428668.

FIGURE LEGENDS

<u>Figure 1:</u> Evidence for DNA-damage-responsive checkpoint activity in *P. falciparum*

A. BrdU incorporation into populations of trophozoites at three stages, after treatment with

MMS, as measured by ELISA. Parasites were treated with MMS for 1 h and BrdU was added

for the latter 30 mins. Means of two biological replicates, conducted in technical triplicate,

are shown; error bars show range. MMS treatments: 10x IC_{50}, 960 µM; 20x IC_{50}, 1.92 mM.

For each parasite stage, statistical testing was via ordinary one-way ANOVA and posthoc

Tukey's multiple comparisons test. *, P-value <0.05; comparisons not shown, ns. Pictures

show examples of trophozoites at each timepoint. Scale bar, 2µm.

B. Representative western blot of phosphorylated histone in trophozoite parasite lysate

treated with MMS (2 h, 10x IC_{50}). Phosphorylated histone signal was quantified versus

control histone H4: relative quantification is shown below the blot. Graph shows

quantifications from three biological replicate blots, relative to either H4 or Hsp70 as a

control protein. Unpaired two-tailed t-test: P-value = 0.0354.

<u>Figure 2:</u> *Pf*PI3K does not visibly relocate to the nucleus upon DNA damage

A. Western blots showing detection of *Pf*PI3K by two antisera raised to *Pf*PI3K peptides.

Replicate lanes from the same blot of protein lysate from late-stage *P. falciparum* were

exposed to either pre-immune or immune serum. Histone H4 was used as a loading control.

B. Immunofluorescence assay (IFA) showing location of *Pf*PI3K (detected by antiserum 1) in *P.*

falciparum. 2-3 representative cells are shown per stage. Scale bar 1 µm.

C. IFA showing *Pf*PI3K in *P. falciparum* after DNA damage: 1 h treatment with 5x or 10x IC_{50} of

DHA or MMS on late-stage parasites. One representative cell per condition is shown. Scale

bar 1µm.

<u>Figure 3:</u> Inhibitors of human PIKKs synergise with the DNA-damaging agent MMS in *P. falciparum*

A. Inhibition of parasite growth by VE-821 and KU-55933 (molecular structures of each

inhibitor are shown). Growth curves from five independent malaria SYBR green I-based

fluorescence (MSF) assays: percentage parasite growth is plotted as a function of drug

concentration, error bars are standard errors of the means. Mean IC_{50}s from all experiments

are shown (individual IC_{50} values from replicate experiments are in Fig S1B).

B. Isobolograms plotted as fractional inhibitory concentrations (FIC) of combinations of MMS

and VE-821 or KU-55933, as determined by MSF assay. FIC calculations were completed for

each combination by dividing the measured "apparent" IC_{50} values for individual drugs in the

different combinations by IC_{50} values obtained when the drugs were used alone. Each curve

represents a different biological replicate experiment. The mean FIC ($\Sigma FIC(FIC_A+FIC_B)$) is

shown, with range. The type of relationship was defined as moderately synergistic based on

well-established criteria: $\sum$FIC <0.5, substantial synergism; $\sum$FIC <1, moderate synergism;

$\sum$FIC ≥1 and <2, additive interaction; $\sum$FIC ≥2 and <4, slight antagonism and $\sum$FIC >4, marked

antagonism [45].

C. BrdU incorporation into 30-32 hpi trophozoites after treatment with MMS, VE-821, KU-

55933, geneticin and combinations of these, measured by ELISA. Parasites were treated with

10x IC_{50} of drug for 1 h and BrdU was added for the latter 30 mins. Six biological replicates

are plotted, each completed in technical triplicate. Mean and standard deviation error bars

are plotted, values normalised to control (set to 100%) in each replicate. Concentrations of

drugs used: MMS 960 μM, VE-821 1.62 μM, KU-55933 400 μM, geneticin 1500 μg/ml.

Ordinary one-way ANOVA and posthoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test of control vs all

and MMS vs all was completed; P-values: *, <0.05; **, <0.01; ***, <0.001; ****, <0.0001;

comparisons not shown, ns.

<u>Figure 4:</u> The antimalarial drug dihydroartemisinin induces a DNA replication arrest in *P. falciparum*

A. BrdU incorporation into 30-32 hpi trophozoites after treatment with DHA, VE-821, KU-55933

and combination treatments. Parasites were treated with 10X IC_{50} of drug for 1 h and BrdU

was added for the latter 30 mins. Five biological replicates were plotted, each completed in

technical triplicate. Mean and standard deviation error bars are plotted; values were

normalised to control (set to 100%) in each replicate. Concentrations of drugs used: DHA 45

nM, VE-821 1.62 μM, KU-55933 400 μM. Statistical testing by ordinary one-way ANOVA and

posthoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test of control vs all and DHA vs all; P-values: *, <0.05;

comparisons not shown, ns.

B. Isobolograms plotted as Figure 4B. Each curve is a biological replicate and the mean FIC

(ΣFIC(FIC$_A$+FIC$_B$)) is shown, with range. The relationship between DHA and either VE-821 or

KU55933 was defined as additive.

<u>Figure 5</u>: Ring-stage survival is affected by PIKK inhibitors

Data in A-D are from eRRSA assays on the strains 3D7, MRA-1252 and MRA-1240. Samples were

treated with DMSO (the control condition), VE-821, KU-55933, DHA and combinations of these. Drug

concentrations: VE-821, 81 nM (0.5X IC$_{50}$); KU-55933, 20 μM (0.5X IC$_{50}$); DMSO, 0.02%; DHA, 700 nM.

Values plotted are the means of -log(foldchange = 2^(DHA-DMSO)) for each treatment. Midline

represents the mean and boxes show min to max from three biological replicates, each conducted in

technical triplicate. Statistical testing by ordinary one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test, comparing all means to the mean value from DMSO- or DHA-treated samples. P-

values: *, <0.05; **, <0.01; ***, <0.001; ****, <0.0001. Differences between DHA-treated samples

and treatments other than the control did not reach statistical significance.

A. Ring-stage DHA-sensitive parasite strains, 3D7 or MRA-1252, were treated with DMSO (control

condition), DHA and inhibitors VE-821 or KU-55933 in combination with DHA for a 6 h pulse, then

left to recover for 72 h.

B. Control experiments without DHA: ring-stage 3D7 or MRA-1252 parasites were treated with

DMSO or inhibitors VE-821 or KU-55933 for a 6 h pulse, then left to recover for 72 h.

C. Ring-stages of the DHA-resistant parasite strain MRA-1240 were treated with DMSO (control

condition), DHA and inhibitors VE-821 or KU-55933 in combination with DHA for a 6 h pulse, then

left to recover for 72 h.

D. Control experiment without DHA: ring-stage MRA-1240 parasites were treated with DMSO or

inhibitors VE-821 or KU-55933 for a 6 h pulse, then left to recover for 72 h.

E. Inhibition of growth over a full 48h growth cycle by MMS, VE-821 and KU55933 was measured in

the strains 3D7, MRA-1252 and MRA-1240 via MSF assay. IC50s were calculated from at least

three independent experiments and all values are plotted, with the midline showing the mean

and error bars showing standard deviation. MSF growth curves are in Fig S1C.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1: Growth curves determining IC$_{50}$ values for MMS, VE-821 and KU-55933 in the parasite

strains 3D7, MRA-1252 and MRC-1240.

Each panel shows growth curves from 3-5 independent malaria SYBR green I-based

fluorescence (MSF) assays: percentage parasite growth is plotted as a function of drug

concentration; error bars are standard errors of the means.

A.   Inhibition of growth of 3D7 strain by MMS.

B.   IC$_{50}$ values from the growth curves shown in main figure 3A.

C.   Inhibition of strains MRA-1252 and MRA-1240 by the drugs VE-821, KU55933 and MMS.

Figure S2: 3D7 parasites survive a short pulse of MMS or DHA at the trophozoite stage, but their

maturation is delayed and progeny number per schizont, reduced.

A.   Images were taken 12h after trophozoites at 30-32hpi had been exposed to a 1 h pulse of

10X IC$_{50}$ MMS or DHA (2 independent experiments, '1' and '2'). Images show that at this

timepoint (i.e. 42-44hpi), cultures were dominated by a mixture of immature and mature

schizonts, without clear evidence of parasite death in the damaged cultures. Parasites were

then treated with 75nM ML10 for 10 h, to arrest mature schizonts before egress, and re-

imaged. Mature schizonts then dominated in all cultures.

B.   Parasite stages imaged in (A) were categorised as either: early rings, small rings, large rings,

early trophozoites, mid trophozoites, late trophozoites, immature schizonts or mature

schizonts (2 independent experiments, n ≥ 100 from each experiment). Differences between

the proportions of stages in control vs DHA-treated vs MMS-treated cultures were tested via

two-way ANOVA and posthoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test. P-values are shown in a

table below the graph: *, <0.05; **, <0.01; ***, <0.001. Stars of matched colours across

rows denote a significant difference between those conditions (e.g. at 44hpi, there were still

more immature schizonts after MMS treatment than in the control (pink stars); 10h later,

this difference disappeared, with more mature and fewer immature schizonts (denoted by

pairs of yellow and blue stars). The only other difference was in the small numbers of rings

that escaped and reinvaded after prolonged arrest.

C. Merozoites per schizont were counted in each of the cultures shown in (A) (n = 26-30 per

culture). Numbers of merozoites substantially increased in all cultures after the long ML10-

arrest period, which allowed mature schizonts to accumulate even after the damage-

induced delay seen in (B). A reduction in merozoite number was, however, still seen after

MMS damage, although this was not significant after DHA damage.

Figure S3: Protein sequence alignment of *P. falciparum* PI3K, PI4K and PIKKs from *S. cerevisiae* and

human.

MUSCLE (3.8) alignment of catalytic domains of *P. falciparum* PI3K and PI4K against human ATM,

ATR, PI3K, and yeast Mec1 and Tel1. Colour key is ClustalW standard. Highlighted in the yellow boxes

are the catalytic loops in ATR and ATM. Percentage identity matrix is shown below the alignment.

Figure S4: Anti-*Pf*PI3K antibody production

A. Model made in i-TASSER [46], threading 1500 C-terminal residues of the 2133-amino acid

*Pf*PI3K against human ATR. Protein coloured blue to red, N-terminal to C-terminal. Peptides

used as antigens are highlighted in white and circled: amino acids 1359-1374 and 2011-2025

of full length *Pf*PI3K.

744   B. Controls for specificity of immune versus pre-immune antisera against *Pf*PI3K.

745     Representative images of single cells are shown. Scale bar 1 µm. Primary antibodies used

746     were rabbit antisera, pre-immune or immune, diluted 1:200 in 3% BSA.

748  <u>Figure S5:</u> Isobolograms showing that two antibiotic drugs do not synergise with MMS

749   Isobolograms plotted as fractional inhibitory concentrations (FIC) of combinations of MMS and

750   geneticin (G-418), or blasticidin (BSD), as determined by MSF assay. Data were processed as in

751   Figure 4B. The type of relationship was defined as additive for blasticidin and borderline

752   antagonistic for geneticin, based on well-established criteria (∑FIC <0.5, substantial synergism;

753   ∑FIC <1, moderate synergism; ∑FIC ≥1 and <2, additive interaction; ∑FIC ≥2 and <4, slight

754   antagonism and ∑FIC >4, marked antagonism).

756  <u>Figure S6</u>: Ring stage survival assessed by flow cytometry

757  A ring-stage survival assay was assessed by flow cytometry as well as eRRSA as used in Figure 5.

758  Density plot quadrant graphs show one biological replicate of an RSA conducted on 3D7. Cell counts

759  for each quadrant are shown. Percentage survival was calculated as the proportion of live versus

760  dead cells (SYBR+ MITO+/SYBR+ MITO-) for each condition.

Figure 1:

Figure 2:

Figure 3:

Figure 4:

A

B

FIC$_{50}$= 1.32(1.18-1.41)

FIC$_{50}$= 1.15(1.09-1.20)

Figure 5: