The fungal peptide toxin candidalysin induces distinct membrane repair mechanisms compared to bacterial pore-forming toxins

Roshan Thapa[1,†], Victor Kayejo[1,‡], Bernhard Hube[2,3], Julian R. Naglik[3] and Peter A. Keyel[1,*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, TX 79409

[2]Department of Microbial Pathogenicity Mechanisms
Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology-Hans Knoell Institute (HKI)
Jena, Germany

[3]Institute of Microbiology, Friedrich Schiller University, Jena, Germany

[4]Centre for Host-Microbiome Interactions
Faculty of Dental, Oral & Craniofacial Sciences
King's College London
London, SE1 1UL, UK

*to whom correspondence should be addressed
Peter Keyel
Department of Biological Sciences
Biology Rm 108
Box 43131
Lubbock, TX 79409-3131
tel: (806) 834-6248
fax: (806) 742-2963
email: peter.keyel@ttu.edu

[†]current address:
Charles River Laboratories, Inc.
Mattawan, MI 49071

[‡]current address:
Biomedical Graduate Program
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX

Running Title: Chloride protects cells from candidalysin-mediated killing
Keywords: *Candida albicans*; candidalysin; pore-forming toxin; ion-flux; annexin; membrane repair

Abstract

The common fungal pathogen, *Candida albicans*, relies on the pore-forming toxin candidalysin

to damage host cells. Cells resist other pore-forming toxins by Ca^{2+} dependent microvesicle

shedding and annexins (cholesterol-dependent cytolysins, CDCs), or annexins and patch repair

(aerolysin). However, it is unclear which Ca^{2+} dependent repair mechanism(s) resists

candidalysin. Here, we determined the involvement of different Ca^{2+} dependent repair

mechanisms to candidalysin and compared responses to CDCs and aerolysin using flow

cytometry and high-resolution microscopy. We report that candidalysin triggered Ca^{2+}-

dependent repair, but patch repair and ceramide failed to provide significant protection. MEK-

dependent repair and annexins A1, A2 and A6 contributed partially to repairing damage caused

by candidalysin. However, annexin translocation after candidalysin challenge was delayed

compared to CDCs or aerolysin challenge. Surprisingly, extracellular Cl^- improved cell survival

after candidalysin challenge, but not after challenge with a CDC or aerolysin. Finally, we found

that candidalysin is removed via extracellular vesicle shedding. These findings reveal that Ca^{2+}

dependent microvesicle shedding protects cells from candidalysin and can be engaged by

multiple molecular mechanisms during membrane repair.

Introduction

One leading cause of mucosal and life-threatening systemic fungal infections is *Candida albicans*[1]. The morphological transition of *C. albicans* from a yeast to a filamentous hyphal form is crucial for host cell invasion[2]. Host cell damage after hyphal invasion is promoted by secretion of a key virulence factor—the peptide toxin candidalysin[3]. The precursor peptide of candidalysin is embedded in the polyprotein Extent of cell elongation 1 protein (Ece1p)[3]. Candidalysin is released from Ece1 by Kex1p and Kex2p mediated proteolytic processing to produce Ece1-III$_{62-93}$[3-5]. Candidalysin can bind to glucosaminoglycans (GAGs) and other potential molecules and form <8 nm lytic pores that cause epithelial damage and cell death[3,4,6-9]. While host damage by bacterial toxins has been extensively studied, the mechanisms by which cells survive candidalysin attack are less explored.

Several Ca^{2+}- dependent membrane repair mechanisms protect cells from damage. Pathways that resist pore-forming toxins (PFTs) include the physical blockade of the pore (clogging), autophagy, the hetero/homotypic fusion of internal vesicles (patch repair), and removal of the toxin on microvesicles (microvesicle shedding)[10,11]. Mechanistically, a wide variety of proteins and lipids enable these pathways to reseal damage. Clogging of pores occurs when annexins form crystalline arrays on the membrane[12,13]. Autophagy can reseal damage by recruitment of LC-3 and formation of the autophagosomal membrane[14]. Patch repair represents the fusion of endosomes and lysosomes with the plasma membrane, which then reseals pores via vertex fusion[11]. A wide range of proteins mediate patch repair, including the muscle protein dysferlin[11]. Toxin pores can be removed via sequestration and shedding on microvesicles[15-18]. Shedding can be energy and protein-independent, but lipid-dependent[15,18], or activated by proteins. Proteins that drive shedding during repair include the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT)[17] and mitogen activated protein kinase kinase (MEK) signaling, partially via annexin A2[16]. Other annexins may also be shed on microvesicles[16,19]. However, different pore-

forming toxins trigger distinct subsets of these repair pathways[20]. Understanding which

mechanisms are triggered by candidalysin will help identify key pathways that promote repair.

Prior work with candidalysin investigating repair responses identified three repair pathways.

Repair against candidalysin is Ca^{2+}-dependent, and can involve ESCRT-III mediated blebbing,

lysosomal exocytosis and autophagy[3,14,21,22]. Candidalysin also triggers MEK signaling that

promotes epithelial cell survival at late time points[23]. However, the role of other Ca^{2+}- dependent

repair mechanisms in resisting candidalysin damage, and the relative importance of each

pathway, remains unknown.

A comparative analysis of PFTs is expected to reveal which pathways are best targeted to

improve cellular resistance to specific toxins. Our prior work compared two other PFTs:

streptolysin O (SLO) from *Streptococcus pyogenes* with aerolysin from *Aeromonas hydrophila*[20].

SLO and aerolysin belong to two distinct, well-studied PFT subfamilies, the cholesterol

dependent cytolysins (CDCs) and the aerolysin family, respectively[24]. These toxins are repaired

via distinct mechanisms[20]. About 70% of the Ca^{2+}-dependent repair for SLO uses MEK-

dependent microvesicle shedding, while annexins and ceramide elevation also promote

survival[16,20]. In contrast, Ca^{2+} overload kills aerolysin-challenged cells, while patch repair

promotes resistance to aerolysin[20]. Therefore, we selected SLO and aerolysin to compare the

relative importance of repair mechanisms to resist candidalysin.

Here, we compared the mechanisms of repair between candidalysin and two bacterial pore-

forming toxins that engage distinct repair mechanisms. We found that candidalysin was not

resisted via patch repair or ceramide elevation. We observed partial protection from MEK-

dependent repair and annexins A1, A2 and A6, though annexin translocation after candidalysin

challenge was delayed. Surprisingly, we found that elevating extracellular Cl^- improved cell

survival against candidalysin, which was not observed for SLO or aerolysin. Finally,

microvesicle shedding removed candidalysin from cells. Overall, these data suggest

candidalysin triggers a distinct subset of membrane repair mechanisms.

Results

Candidalysin cytotoxicity against nucleated cells is intermediate between SLO and aerolysin

To compare repair mechanisms between candidalysin, SLO and aerolysin, we first normalized toxin activity using hemolytic activity in human erythrocytes. We used hemolytic activity instead of toxin mass because it normalizes toxin activity for better comparison, provides a measure of cellular resistance mechanisms, is consistent with our prior work, and controls for variation in the specific activity between toxin preparations[16,20]. We challenged HeLa cells with a range of toxin doses, calculated the specific lysis due to toxin, fit the dose-response curves to a logistic model, and calculated the toxin dose needed to kill 50% of the cells (LC_{50}) for each experiment[25] (Fig 1). We first determined the minimum time range to best measure cell damage and repair for each toxin to minimize non-specific lysis. We challenged HeLa cells with candidalysin, aerolysin and SLO for 30 min, 1 h and 2 h and measured the cell viability by MTT and LDH assay (Supplementary Figs S1, S2). Similar to aerolysin, cytolytic activity by candidalysin plateaued at 1 h, whereas SLO plateaued at 30 min (Supplementary Fig S1). Therefore, we used 1 h for candidalysin and aerolysin and 30 min for SLO to compare repair mechanisms.

We next controlled for cytotoxicity due to any impurities in toxin purification by comparing wild type toxins with an equivalent mass of toxins mutated to cripple cytolytic activity using flow cytometry. None of the mutant toxins were cytotoxic to HeLa cells (Fig 1A-C), despite the candidalysin mutant retaining 10% of wild type hemolytic activity (Supplementary Table S1). In contrast, wild type candidalysin was less toxic than aerolysin, but more toxic than SLO (Fig 1). The LC_{50} for candidalysin (73.7 ±4.65 HU/mL) was between aerolysin (32.6 ±7.08 HU/mL) and SLO (426.1 ± 38.8 HU/mL) (Fig 1D). These data suggest that candidalysin triggers less repair than SLO, but more than aerolysin.

Candidalysin triggers Ca^{2+}-dependent repair

Most membrane repair mechanisms described to date require Ca^{2+} influx. One key difference

between aerolysin and SLO is that Ca^{2+}-influx is toxic following aerolysin challenge, but

protective against SLO[20]. Previous results showed that Ca^{2+} influx is protective during

candidalysin challenge[3,21,22]. We confirmed the impact of Ca^{2+}-influx on cell survival following

candidalysin challenge by chelating extracellular Ca^{2+} with EGTA. Removing Ca^{2+} from the

extracellular medium sensitized HeLa cells to candidalysin, similar to what we observed for SLO

(Fig 1E, Supplementary Fig S3A). In contrast, removal of extracellular Ca^{2+} protected HeLa cells

from aerolysin (Fig 1E, Supplementary Fig S3A), consistent with previous results[20]. These data

suggest that candidalysin engages Ca^{2+} -dependent repair mechanisms, similar to those

triggered by SLO.

Patch repair and ceramide fail to protect cells from candidalysin

Our prior work[20] suggested that patch repair is a backup repair mechanism for SLO, while the

primary mechanism for aerolysin. Since candidalysin and SLO both trigger protective Ca^{2+}

influx, we hypothesized that patch repair would be a minor contributor to repair. To test this

hypothesis, we measured the ability of the well-characterized muscle patch repair protein

dysferlin[11,20] to promote survival against candidalysin. Dysferlin-deficient C2C12 myoblasts were

more sensitive than control C212 myoblasts to aerolysin and SLO (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Fig

S3B), consistent with prior results[20]. However, loss of dysferlin did not increase cellular

sensitivity to candidalysin (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Fig S3B). These data suggest that the patch

repair protein dysferlin does not contribute to repair triggered by candidalysin.

To confirm that patch repair is not significant for protection against candidalysin, we measured

the ability to dysferlin overexpression to protect non-muscle cells from cytotoxicity. Our prior

results showed that over-expression of dysferlin protects HeLa cells from both aerolysin and

SLO[20]. Consistent with prior results[20], we found that GFP-dysferlin transfection enhanced

cellular resistance against aerolysin and SLO compared to GFP-transfected cells(Fig 2B,

Supplementary Fig S3C). In contrast, GFP-dysferlin transfection failed to enhance cellular

resistance to candidalysin (Fig 2B, Supplementary Fig S3C). Based on these data, we conclude

that dysferlin-mediated patch repair fails to protect cells from candidalysin.

Since patch repair failed to protect cells against candidalysin, we next tested other mechanisms

of repair. Sphingolipids and ceramide contribute to protection against CDCs in multiple

systems[16,26-28]. We previously showed that ceramide accumulation by inhibiting UDP-glucose

ceramide glucosyltransferase protects cells from CDCs without perturbing sterol or

sphingomyelin levels[16]. We tested the hypothesis that ceramide accumulation also promotes

cellular resistance to candidalysin. While ceramide accumulation protected cells from SLO as

previously described[16], it did not alter cell sensitivity to either aerolysin or candidalysin (Fig 2C,

Supplementary Fig S3D). To confirm that we did not alter cellular levels of either sphingomyelin-

cholesterol complexes, nor total sphingomyelin, we measured them using wild-type ostreolysin

A (OlyA)–mCherry[29], or OlyA^{E69A}-mCherry[30], respectively. Ceramide accumulation did not alter

these lipids in the plasma membrane (Fig 2D). Based on these findings, we conclude ceramide

does not provide significant cellular protection against candidalysin.

Chloride ions protect cells from candidalysin

We next tested the contribution of K^+ efflux to candidalysin resistance, because prior results[31]

suggested it contributes to resisting pore-forming toxins. We blocked K^+ efflux by adding 150

mM KCl to the culture medium. While blocking K^+ efflux did not impact cell survival following

SLO or aerolysin challenge, blocking K^+ efflux protected HeLa cells from candidalysin (Fig 3A,

Supplementary Fig S4A). We next determined the impact of extracellular KCl in a second cell

type, primary bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM). We used caspase1/11$^{-/-}$ BMDMs to

rule out toxin-induced pyroptosis[32]. KCl protected BMDM from both candidalysin and aerolysin,

but sensitized cells to SLO (Fig 3B, Supplementary Fig S4B). To determine whether Ca^{2+} influx

or K^+ efflux were more important, we blocked both, and measured cell viability after toxin

challenge. The presence of KCl overcame the EGTA-induced sensitivity to candidalysin in

BMDM (Fig 3B, Supplementary Fig S4B). Based on these results, extracellular KCl protects

cells against candidalysin.

We next tested the ability of enforced K^+ flux to increase cell death in response to candidalysin.

We treated HeLa cells with potassium/proton ionophore nigericin, or EGTA, with and without

150 mM KCl prior to candidalysin challenge. Nigericin does not interfere with candidalysin

activity[22]. Nigericin sensitized cells to candidalysin (Fig 3C, Supplementary Fig S4C). However,

nigericin also overcame the inhibition provided by KCl, suggesting that its proton ionophore

capabilities contribute to sensitizing cells to candidalysin (Fig 3C, Supplementary Fig S4C). In

contrast, KCl overcame the ability of Ca^{2+}-chelation to sensitize cells to candidalysin. We

interpret these results to suggest that ion flux plays an important role in cell death due to

candidalysin.

To distinguish between the contribution of the K^+ and Cl^- ions, we compared the ability of

multiple salts to protect cells against toxins. We first challenged HeLa cells with toxin in the

presence or absence of 150 mM KCl or NaCl. While neither salt protected cells from aerolysin

or SLO, both salts afforded cells similar protection against candidalysin (Fig 3D, Supplementary

Fig S4D). We next tested 300 mM dextrose to control for osmolarity, and several potassium and

chloride salts at 150 mM. Cell sensitivity to SLO was unaffected by all salts tested (Fig 3E,

Supplementary Fig S4E). Cell sensitivity to aerolysin trended down upon dextrose treatment,

but increased with NH_4Cl (Fig 3E, Supplementary Fig S4E). In contrast, cells were protected

against candidalysin in the presence of KCl or NH_4Cl, but not potassium acetate (Fig 3E,

Supplementary Fig S4E). We interpret these data to indicate that extracellular chloride may

protect cells from candidalysin, but not aerolysin or SLO.

Candidalysin triggers MEK dependent repair

We next tested the role of MEK signaling in candidalysin resistance. MEK is activated by

candidalysin, and signaling via ERK is important for cell survival at late time points[22], so it is

possible that the ERK-independent signaling protects cells at early time points like it does for

CDCs[16]. We blocked MEK activation with the MEK inhibitor U0126 (Fig 4A), which is specific for

MEK in this system[16]. MEK inhibition increased the sensitivity of HeLa cells to both candidalysin

and SLO, but not to aerolysin (Fig 4B-D, Supplementary Fig S5). When compared to the total

Ca^{2+}-dependent repair, MEK inhibition accounted for ~40% of Ca^{2+}-dependent repair against

candidalysin and ~50% of Ca^{2+}-dependent repair against SLO. Overall, candidalysin triggers

MEK-dependent repair, though to a lesser extent than CDCs.

Annexins provide limited and delayed protection against candidalysin

We further explored the repair pathway downstream of MEK activation. One downstream target

of MEK-dependent repair is Annexin A2 (A2) [16]. Annexins A1 and A6 are independent of MEK

activation, but are involved in membrane repair[13,16,33]. We tested the role of these three

annexins in the repair of candidalysin-mediated damage using siRNA. Annexin knockdown

efficiency ranged from 60% for A6 to 85% for A2 (Fig. 5A-B). Knockdown of each annexin

sensitized cells to candidalysin, aerolysin and SLO (Fig 5C, Supplementary Fig S6). These

results suggest that annexins promote cellular resistance to candidalysin.

We further explored the contribution of annexins to repair against candidalysin using high-

resolution live cell imaging. A2 translocates rapidly to the plasma membrane[19], except when

MEK is inhibited[16]. Annexin membrane recruitment is faster for CDCs compared to aerolysin[20].

We challenged A2-GFP or A6-YFP transfected cells with candidalysin, mutant candidalysin as a

control, aerolysin or SLO, and imaged annexin dynamics (Fig 5D-I, Supplementary Fig S7A, B,

Videos 1 & 2). Annexins translocated to the membrane in ~20% of A2-GFP+ cells and ~60%

A6-YFP+ cells after candidalysin challenge, compared to translocation in 75-90% of cells

challenged with aerolysin or SLO challenge (Fig 5D, G). To measure cell viability during annexin

translocation, we analyzed TO-PRO3 uptake. Annexins translocated to the membrane without

TO-PRO3 accumulation (Supplementary Fig S7C, Videos 1 & 2).We measured annexin

translocation by determining the half time ($t_{1/2}$) of depletion of the annexin from the cytosol, plus

the half time ($t_{1/2}$) of annexin enrichment on the plasma membrane. Similar to previous

results[16,20], the $t_{1/2}$ for A2 and A6 depletion and recruitment was ~7 min and ~8 min,

respectively, for SLO, and ~12 min for aerolysin (Fig 5E, F, H, I, Supplementary Fig S7A, B,

Videos 1 & 2). In contrast, active candidalysin triggered delayed cytosolic depletion and

membrane recruitment of both A2 and A6, with a $t_{1/2}$ of ~40 min cytoplasmic annexin depletion

and membrane recruitment of A2 (Fig 5E, F, H, I, Supplementary Fig S7A, B, Videos 1 & 2).

Surprisingly, A6 was recruited to the membrane faster ($t_{1/2}$ ~25 min) than A2 during candidalysin

challenge (Fig 5E, F, H, I, Supplementary Fig S7A, B, Videos 1 & 2). This is similar to the

situation when MEK is not active in repair[16]. Taken together, we interpret these data to suggest

that annexins contribute to candidalysin resistance, but they are recruited later in the repair

process than for other toxins.

Microvesicle shedding removes candidalysin from cells

Since annexin clogging and patch repair had minimal to no impact on repair, we tested the

hypothesis that candidalysin is shed on microvesicles. We challenged cells with a sublytic dose

of each toxin, or the defective candidalysin mutant to control for spontaneous vesicle release.

All three active toxins were shed on microvesicles, though oligomeric aerolysin also remained

present on the cell surface (Fig 6). Along with the active toxins, A2 and A6 were shed in

microvesicles for all three toxins (Fig 6), consistent with the previous results[18,20]. Neither A1 nor

the Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport (ESCRT) protein ALG-2-interacting

protein X (ALIX) were shed following candidalysin challenge, though they were shed in

response to aerolysin (Fig 6). To distinguish between shed vesicles and cellular debris from

lysed cells, we probed for the nuclear proteins Lamin A/C. Lamin A/C was not shed from cells

challenged with active toxins (Fig. 6), indicating that the microvesicle fraction did not contain

detectable cellular debris. Thus, candidalysin is shed on microvesicles by cells.

Discussion

In this study, we determined which repair mechanisms respond to candidalysin and compared

these responses to pore-forming toxins from the CDC and aerolysin families. We found that

candidalysin triggered a distinct repair profile compared to both CDCs and aerolysin. Similar to

CDCs, candidalysin triggered Ca^{2+}-dependent repair and microvesicle shedding. However,

candidalysin triggered less MEK-dependent repair than CDCs. In contrast to both aerolysin and

CDCs, extracellular chloride, but not patch repair, protected cells from candidalysin. Finally,

annexin recruitment was reduced and delayed compared to other toxins. Based on our data, we

conclude that candidalysin triggers distinct molecular mechanisms to drive microvesicle

shedding, which suggests approaches to bolster specific membrane repair mechanisms could

reduce *Candida* pathogenicity.

Our present work integrates and expands the repair mechanisms used to resist candidalysin.

Our results are consistent with prior work[3,21,22] showing the Ca^{2+}-dependence of repair resisting

candidalysin. We find additional active repair mechanisms that resist candidalysin beyond

ESCRT-III mediated shedding[21] and autophagy[14], including a chloride trigger, and involvement

of MEK and annexins. Since MEK inhibition had partial effect on repair, and annexin

translocation was delayed, shedding via ESCRT activation and via MEK might represent two

distinct repair pathways that both trigger microvesicle shedding. Interestingly, prior work

observed Alix and Galectin-3 recruitment to roughly half of the sites of *Candida albicans*

penetration[14], suggesting that the other sites were either undamaged, or that different repair

pathways were engaged. Where ESCRT pathways diverge from other repair pathways

downstream of Ca^{2+}-influx remains to be determined.

Our findings here differ from others' results in the role of lysosomal exocytosis and/or patch

repair to resist candidalysin. Prior work observed recruitment of lysosomal proteins to the site of

damage[14,21], while our dysferlin assay showed no contribution of dysferlin to protection. Since

the prior work used *Candida albicans* for damage instead of candidalysin alone, another protein

or factor could trigger patch repair in those systems. Another possibility is that dysferlin

recruitment/involvement fails to capture the full spectrum of patch repair, so assay-specific

differences could account for the different results. Finally, it is possible that lysosomal

exocytosis contributes to the cellular return to homeostasis, instead of directly contributing to

repair of candidalysin-induced lesions. Our assay is focused on repair because it measures cell

viability instead of protein relocalization, so it would not capture post-repair membrane

rearrangements. In summary, our findings provide a novel perspective to cellular repair

responses to candidalysin

One key novel finding was that increasing the extracellular Cl^- concentration protected cells from

candidalysin, in contrast to any role of Cl^- for SLO or aerolysin. Several lines of evidence

support Cl^- as the active ion over K^+ or changes in osmolarity, despite the role of K^+ channels in

cell death[34]. First, prior work using glibenclamide to block K^+ channels did not impact damage

due to candidalysin[35]. Second, addition of dextrose or potassium acetate failed to enhance

cellular resistance to candidalysin. Third, nigericin-mediated death in our assays was not

blocked by extracellular K^+. Finally, multiple Cl^- salts provided protection, while salts without Cl^-

and dextrose all failed to protect cells. While it remains possible that monovalent cations

collectively provide protection, we note that the electrochemical gradients driven by Na^+ and K^+

run in opposite directions[34], yet both NaCl and KCl provided similar protection. In contrast, the

typical extracellular Cl^- concentration is ~2-3 fold higher than intracellular concentrations[36]. How

increasing extracellular Cl^- improves cellular resistance remains to be determined.

There are some potential mechanisms by which Cl^- could enhance resistance to candidalysin.

Chemically, Cl^- could interact with the positively charged candidalysin, and prevent cellular

binding and/or oligomerization. Chloride salts like ammonium chloride can promote autophagy[37].

Given the role for autophagy in repairing candidalysin lesions[14], it is possible that extra Cl^-

enhances autophagy, which drives repair. Alternatively, chloride channels could promote repair.

Proteins in the anoctamin family (TMEM16A-F) include Ca^{2+}-dependent Cl^- channels[38-40]. For

example, Anoctamin 6/TMEM16F contributes to the outwardly rectifying chloride channel and is

involved in cell death and size[40]. Anoctamin 6 is previously implicated in promoting repair

against both LLO and SLO[39]. The counterargument is that we observed an effect for Cl^- against

candidalysin, but not SLO. Thus, the mechanism by which increased extracellular Cl^- enhances

repair against candidalysin is a future avenue for research.

Another novel finding was that annexins had a delayed response to candidalysin compared to

SLO or aerolysin. Taken with our findings that loss of either A1, A2 or A6 contributed mild

effects to reducing repair, we propose that annexins serve as a backup mechanism to resist

candidalysin. In many cases, A6 translocated faster than A2, which further suggests that MEK

dependent repair is not the primary means of resisting candidalysin. Alternatively, candidalysin

could trigger a programmed cell death pathway, and annexin translocation is either dampened

by the death pathway, or activation delayed until just prior to cell lysis. Future directions include

determining the interplay between programmed cell death pathways and membrane repair.

Our work had several limitations due to the experimental system. We did not test candidalysin in

the context of the whole pathogen. While we used primary macrophages for some experiments,

we used HeLa cells for many experiments, which could differ from the epithelial cells invaded by

C. albicans. We relied on prior work[14,21] for the contribution of autophagy and ESCRT to repair

against candidalysin. While we found an effect from Cl^- to enhance repair, the signaling

intermediates between Cl^- and repair remain a future research direction. Similarly, the toxin

determinants that determine which repair pathways are activated remains unknown.

In summary, we compared repair responses between candidalysin and toxins from two other

families, CDCs and aerolysins. Candidalysin triggered a distinct repair profile compared to these

toxins that included Ca^{2+}-dependent repair, microvesicle shedding and resistance in the

presence of elevated chloride levels. In contrast to other toxins, annexin recruitment was

reduced and delayed, dysferlin-mediated patch repair did not contribute to repair, and MEK-

dependent repair was reduced. Overall, we conclude that candidalysin triggers distinct

membrane repair mechanisms that could be enhanced to reduce *C. albicans* pathogenicity.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. All reagents were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) unless otherwise noted. The MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 was from Tocris (Minneapolis, MN, USA) (Cat# 1144) or Alfa Aesar (Cat# J61246). Negative control siRNA or Silencer pre-designed siRNAs for annexin A1 (Assay ID: 146988), A2 (Assay ID: 147285) or A6 (Assay ID: 241008) were from Invitrogen (Waltham, MA, USA). Propidium iodide (PI) was from Biotium (Fremont, CA, USA) (Cat # 40016). MTT reagent (Cat# 2809-1G) was from BioVision (Milpitas, CA, USA). D-threo-1-phenyl-2-hexadecanoylamino-3-morpholino-1-propanol ·HCl (PPMP) was from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA) (catalog no. 870792).

Antibodies. The anti-annexin A1 monoclonal antibody (mAb) clone CPTC-ANXA1-3-s was deposited to the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) by Clinical Proteomics Technologies for Cancer (DSHB Hybridoma Product CPTC-ANXA1-3) and anti-Lamin A/C mAb clone MANLAC-4A7-s was deposited to the DSHB by GE Morris (DSHB Hybridoma Product MANLAC1(4A7)). Both were obtained from the DSHB, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at the University of Iowa, Department of Biology (Iowa City, IA, USA). Anti-β-actin mAb clone AC-15 (Cat# GTX26276) was from GeneTex (Irvine, CA, USA). Anti-SLO mAb clone 6D11 (catalog: NBP1-05126) was from Novus Biologicals (Littleton, CO, USA). Anti-Alix mAb clone 3A9 mAb was from BioLegend (San Diego, CA, USA). The anti-aerolysin rabbit polyclonal antibody[20] and myc-tagged CAL1-H1 anti-candidalysin camelid nanobody[41] were previously described. Anti-MEK (Cat# 9122L), anti-phospho-MEK [Ser217/Ser221] (Cat# 9121S), anti-ERK p44/42 (Cat# 9102S), and anti-phospho-ERK [Thr202/Tyr404] (Cat# 9101S) rabbit polyclonal antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technologies (Danvers, MA, USA). Anti-mouse-IgG (Cat# 711-035-151), anti-rabbit-IgG (Cat# 711-035-152), or anti-alpaca IgG (Cat# 128-035-230) conjugated to horse radish peroxidase (HRP) were from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA, USA).

Plasmids. The pET22b plasmids encoding His-tagged aerolysin and aerolysin[Y221G] were kind gifts from Gisou van der Goot (École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Canton of Vaud, Switzerland)[42]. The wild type aerolysin contains Q254E, R260A, R449A and E450Q mutations and the C-terminal KSASA was replaced with NVSLSVTPAANQLE HHHHHH compared to sequences in GenBank (i.e. M16495.1). Human annexin A6 fused to YFP was a generous gift from Annette Draeger (University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland)[43]. Human annexin A2-GFP (Addgene plasmid no. 107196) was from Addgene[44]. OlyA fused to mCherry in pET21c(+) was a kind gift from Kristina Sepčić (University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia)[45], and the E69A mutation was introduced as described[16]. Cysteine-less, His-tagged wild type and G398V/G399V monomer-locked (ML) SLO codon-optimized for *Escherichia coli* expression[16] and GFP-dysferlin[20] were previously described.

Mice. All experimental mice were housed and maintained according to Texas Tech University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (TTU IACUC) standards, adhering to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th edition, NRC 2011). The TTU IACUC approved mouse use. Casp1/11[-/-] mice on the C57BL/6 background were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) (stock #016621). Mice of both sexes aged 6–15 weeks were used to prepare bone-marrow derived macrophages (BMDM). Sample size was determined as the minimum number of mice needed to provide enough bone marrow for experiments. Consequently, no randomization or blinding was needed. Mice were euthanized by asphyxiation through the controlled flow of pure CO_2 followed by cervical dislocation.

Cell culture. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO_2. HeLa cells (ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) CCL-2) were cultured in DMEM (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% Equafetal serum blend (Atlas Biologicals, Fort Collins, CO, USA) and 1x L-glutamine (D10 medium). Casp1/11[-/-] BMDM were isolated from bone marrow and differentiated for 7-21 days in

DMEM supplemented with 30% L929 cell supernatants, 20% fetal calf serum (VWR Seradigm,

Radnor, PA, USA), 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 1x L-glutamine, as previously described[16,18].

Toxins. Wild-type (SIIGIIMGILGNIPQVIQIIMSIVKAFKGNK) and mutant

(SIIGIIMGILGNIPQVIQIIMSIVKAF**A**GN**A**) candidalysin were synthesized by Peptide Protein

Research, Ltd (Hampshire, UK) as previously described[3]. SLO, OlyA-mCherry, and pro-

aerolysin were induced in *E. coli* BL21 GOLD cells and purified as previously described[16,20,46].

Briefly, log phase cells were induced with 0.2% arabinose (SLO, SLO ML), or 0.2 mM IPTG

(OlyA-mCherry, OlyA^{E69A}-mCherry, pro-aerolysin, and pro-aerolysin^{Y221G}) for 3 h at room

temperature, frozen, lysed, and then purified using Nickel-NTA resin. Protein concentration was

determined by Bradford Assay (Supplementary Table S1). Purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE.

The hemolytic activity of each toxin was determined as previously described[16,20] using human

red blood cells (Zen-Bio, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) (Supplementary Table S1). One

hemolytic unit (HU) is defined as the quantity of toxin required to lyse 50% of a 2% human red

blood cell solution in 30 min at 37°C in 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 and 0.3% BSA in

PBS[16,20,46]. We used HU/mL to normalize toxin activities across experiments and to achieve

consistent cytotoxicity across toxin preparations. Sublytic doses were defined as the highest

toxin concentration that killed <20% of target cells. For HeLa cells, the sublytic dose was 250

HU/mL for SLO, 62 HU/mL for aerolysin and 50 HU/mL for candidalysin. Pro-aerolysin was

activated using trypsin as previously described[20] prior to assays.

Transfection. HeLa cells were plated at 2 × 10^5 cells per 35 mm glass-bottom dish or per well

in a 6 well plate and transfected with 750 ng Annexin A6, Annexin A2, peGFP-N1 or GFP-

Dysferlin using Lipofectamine 2000 in Opti-MEM two days before imaging or cytotoxicity assays.

D10 media was replaced on the day after transfection. Transfection efficiencies for each

construct ranged between 65-80%. For RNAi, HeLa cells were plated at 2 × 10^5 cells in 6-well

plates and transfected the following day with 10 nM siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 in Opti-

MEM for 48-72 h as previously described[16,20].

MTT/LDH Assays. The MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] and

LDH (lactate dehydrogenase) assays were performed and analyzed as previously described[20].

Briefly, HeLa cells were harvested and resuspended at 1×10^5 cells per well in a 96 well flat

bottom plate in DMEM (without Phenol Red) supplemented with 2 mM $CaCl_2$. Toxins were

diluted in DMEM according to hemolytic activity (wild-type toxins) or equivalent mass (mutant

non-hemolytic toxins), serially diluted two-fold, added to wells containing cells, and incubated for

30 min, 1 h or 2 h at 37°C. Triton-X-100 was added to a final concentration of 0.5% to four wells

containing cells as a positive control for maximum LDH release and incubated for 30 min. After

incubation, cells were centrifuged at 1200× g for 5 min at 4°C to pellet cells. Cell pellets were

assayed for MTT activity, while supernatants were assayed for LDH activity. For the MTT assay,

cells were resuspended in 1.1 mM MTT assay reagent in DMEM without Phenol Red and

incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Formazan was dissolved in SDS-HCl at 37°C overnight. A_{570} was

measured with a plate reader (Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT, USA). The % viable cells was calculated

as follows: % Viable = (Sample − Background)/(Control − Background) × 100. Then, % Specific

Lysis was calculated as 100% − Viable. For the LDH assay, cell supernatants were assayed per

the manufacturer's instructions. % LDH release was calculated as (Sample − Background)/

(Maximum LDH release − Background) × 100.

Flow Cytometry Assay. Cytotoxicity was performed as described[16,20,46]. Briefly, cells were

transfected for 48-72 h or incubated with 40 μM PPMP for 72 h to promote ceramide

accumulation[47]. 1×10^5 cells were challenged in suspension with various toxin concentrations for

30 or 60 min at 37°C in RPMI supplemented with 2 mM $CaCl_2$ and 20 μg/mL PI. Cells were

analyzed on a 4-laser Attune Nxt flow cytometer. Assay variations included changes to the

media (replacing 2 mM $CaCl_2$ with 2 mM EGTA, adding 150 mM KCl, 150 mM NaCl, 300 mM

Dextrose, 150 mM potassium acetate, 150 mM NH_4Cl, or 20 μM nigericin), or serum-starving

cells in DMEM for 30 min at 37° C and pretreating with DMSO or 20 μM U0126 in serum-free

media for 30 min at 37° C. For analysis of cell lysis, we gated out the debris and then quantified

the percentage of cells with high PI fluorescence (2–3 log shift) (PI high), or background

fluorescence (PI negative). We calculated specific lysis as: % Specific Lysis = (% PI

High[Experimental] − % PI High[Control])/ (100 − % PI High[Control]) × 100. The toxin dose needed to kill

50% of cells was defined as the Lethal Concentration 50% (LC_{50}) and was determined by

logistic modeling using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) as previously described[25].

Fluorescent annexin shedding assays. Annexin translocation assays were performed as

previously described[16,20]. Briefly, HeLa cells were plated at 2×10^5 cells per 35 mm glass-

bottom dish and transfected with 750 ng A6-YFP or A2-GFP using Lipofectamine 2000 two days

prior to imaging. The transfection efficiency was ~65-80%. Transfected cells were challenged

with a sublytic toxin dose for active toxins (250 HU/mL SLO, 62.5 HU/mL aerolysin or 50 HU/mL

candidalysin) or mass equivalent of mutant candidalysin (candidalysin[mutAA]) and imaged at 37°C

in RPMI, 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, and 2 mM $CaCl_2$ with 2 μg/mL TO-PRO3 for 45 min using a

Fluoview 3000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 60×, 1.42 NA oil

immersion objective and recorded using the resonant scanner at 1–3 s per frame. A6-YFP and

A2-GFP were excited using a 488 nm laser, while TO-PRO3 was excited with a 640 nm laser.

After 45 min, the assay was terminated by adding an equal volume of 2% Triton-X-100 to give a

final concentration of 1%. The percentage of cells showing fluorescent annexin translocation

was determined by comparing the intensity at 15 min to the intensity 1 min after toxin addition. If

these values were below 80% of the initial value, cells were considered to show annexin

translocation. In cells showing fluorescent annexin translocation, the extent of fluorescent

annexin depletion from cells was assessed by measuring the intensity from the middle of the

cell over time by plotting Z profiles in FIJI (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Membrane accumulation

of annexins (A2 or A6) over time was calculated by determining the changes in the fluorescence

intensity along the edges of the cells of translocated cells by plotting the Z profile in FIJI. The

intensity was then normalized on a per-cell basis and individually analyzed cells were plotted.

TO-PRO3 uptake was determined in fluorescent annexin translocated cells by plotting the Z

profile of a nuclear subsample over time. The data were normalized to the integrated intensity of

the brightest point. The $t_{1/2}$ for annexin cytosolic depletion, membrane accumulation, or TO-

PRO3 uptake was calculated by measuring the half-maximal intensity between the starting

intensity and the average intensity of the last 4 min prior to Triton-X-100 addition. From the

multi-plane images, >25 cells were analyzed from at least three independent experiments and

graphed using Microsoft Excel. Quantified values for individual cells were represented with

Prism 10.4.1 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA).

Bleach correction and supplemental videos. Images were exported as .tif and split into

individual monochromatic red, green, and blue channels in FIJI. For display (but not analysis),

bleach correction was performed as previously described[16] on the "green" channel cells by

histogram matching using FIJI followed by a median pass filter. The monochromatic images

were then merged to form RGB .tif files, time-stamped, annotated, and exported as AVIs for

supplementary videos.

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Cells or proteins were dissolved in 95° C 1x SDS-sample

buffer, heated for 5 min at 95° C and sonicated. Samples were resolved on 10% polyacrylamide

gels at 170 V for ~90 min and transferred to nitrocellulose in an ice bath with transfer buffer

(15.6 mM Tris and 120 mM glycine) at 110 V for 90 min. Blots were blocked overnight in 5%

skim milk in 10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1% Tween-20 at pH 7.5 (TBST). Portions of

the blot were incubated with a primary antibodies for 2 h in 1% skim milk in TBST at room

temperature (RT). Antibodies used include: anti-candidalysin nanobody (1:5000), 6D11 anti-

SLO mAb (1:1000), CPTC-A1–3 anti-annexin A1 mAb (1:250), anti-annexin A2 mAb (1:1000),

anti-Alix mAb (1:250), MANLAC-4A7 anti-Lamin A/C mAb (1:250), AC-15 anti-β-actin mAb

(1:5000) or with rabbit polyclonal antibodies: anti-aerolysin, anti-annexin A6, anti-MEK, anti-

phospho-MEK [Ser217/Ser221], anti-ERK p44/42, anti-phospho-ERK[Thr202/Tyr404], each at

1:1000 dilution. Next, blots were washed 3x for 5 min in TBST and then incubated with HRP-

conjugated anti-mouse-IgG, anti-rabbit-IgG, or anti-alpaca V_{HH} antibodies (1:10,000) for 1 h in

1% skim milk in TBST at RT, washed 3x for 5 min in TBST, and developed with enhanced

chemiluminescence (ECL): either 0.01% H_2O_2 (Walmart, Fayetteville, AR, USA), 0.2 mM p-

Coumaric acid (Sigma), 1.25 mM Luminol (Sigma) in 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.4, ECL Plus Western

Blotting Substrate (Cat# 32134), ECL Prime Western Blotting Reagent (Cat# RPN2232, Cytiva),

or Pierce ECL western blotting substrate (Cat#32106, ThermoFisher Scientific).

Statistics. Prism 10.4.1 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) or Excel were used for statistical

analysis. Data are represented as mean ± S.E.M. as indicated. The LC_{50} for toxins was

calculated by fitting % specific lysis curve to a logistic model in Excel as previously described[25].

Statistical significance was determined by regular or repeated measures one-way or two-way

ANOVA as described. $p<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. Graphs were

generated in Excel or Prism.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank members of the Keyel lab for critical review of the manuscript. We thank colleagues for the generous gifts of reagents. We thank the College of Arts & Sciences Microscopy for use of facilities. This work was supported by American Heart Association grant 16SDG30200001, Texas Tech University, and NIH grant 1R21AI156225 to PAK. JRN was supported by grants from the Wellcome Trust (214229_Z_18_Z).

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: RT, VK, BH, JRN, PAK

Methodology: RT, PAK

Investigation: RT, VK, PAK

Data Curation: RT, PAK

Formal Analysis: RT, PAK

Supervision: PAK

Resources: BH, JRN

Project Administration: PAK

Funding Acquisition: PAK

Writing—original draft: RT, VK, PAK

Writing—review & editing: RT, VK, BH, JRN, PAK

Conflicts of Interest: PAK is co-founder of Ardiyon Bio. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; nor in the decision to publish the results.

Data and materials availability: All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

References

1. Katsipoulaki, M., Stappers, M.H.T., Malavia-Jones, D., Brunke, S., Hube, B., and Gow, N.A.R. (2024). Candida albicans and Candida glabrata: global priority pathogens. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews : MMBR *88*, e0002123. 10.1128/mmbr.00021-23.
2. Schille, T.B., Sprague, J.L., Naglik, J.R., Brunke, S., and Hube, B. (2025). Commensalism and pathogenesis of Candida albicans at the mucosal interface. Nat Rev Microbiol. 10.1038/s41579-025-01174-x.
3. Moyes, D.L., Wilson, D., Richardson, J.P., Mogavero, S., Tang, S.X., Wernecke, J., Hofs, S., Gratacap, R.L., Robbins, J., Runglall, M., et al. (2016). Candidalysin is a fungal peptide toxin critical for mucosal infection. Nature *532*, 64-68. 10.1038/nature17625.
4. Richardson, J.P., Brown, R., Kichik, N., Lee, S., Priest, E., Mogavero, S., Maufrais, C., Wickramasinghe, D.N., Tsavou, A., Kotowicz, N.K., et al. (2022). Candidalysins Are a New Family of Cytolytic Fungal Peptide Toxins. mBio *13*, e0351021. 10.1128/mbio.03510-21.
5. Muller, R., Konig, A., Groth, S., Zarnowski, R., Visser, C., Handrianz, T., Maufrais, C., Kruger, T., Himmel, M., Lee, S., et al. (2024). Secretion of the fungal toxin candidalysin is dependent on conserved precursor peptide sequences. Nature microbiology *9*, 669-683. 10.1038/s41564-024-01606-z.
6. Russell, C.M., Schaefer, K.G., Dixson, A., Gray, A.L.H., Pyron, R.J., Alves, D.S., Moore, N., Conley, E.A., Schuck, R.J., White, T.A., et al. (2022). The Candida albicans virulence factor candidalysin polymerizes in solution to form membrane pores and damage epithelial cells. eLife *11*. 10.7554/eLife.75490.
7. Lin, J., Miao, J., Schaefer, K.G., Russell, C.M., Pyron, R.J., Zhang, F., Phan, Q.T., Solis, N.V., Liu, H., Tashiro, M., et al. (2024). Sulfated glycosaminoglycans are host epithelial cell targets of the Candida albicans toxin candidalysin. Nature microbiology *9*, 2553-2569. 10.1038/s41564-024-01794-8.
8. Zhang, T.Y., Chen, Y.Q., Tan, J.C., Zhou, J.A., Chen, W.N., Jiang, T., Zha, J.Y., Zeng, X.K., Li, B.W., Wei, L.Q., et al. (2024). Global fungal-host interactome mapping identifies host targets of candidalysin. Nat Commun *15*, 1757. 10.1038/s41467-024-46141-x.
9. Naglik, J.R., Gaffen, S.L., and Hube, B. (2019). Candidalysin: discovery and function in Candida albicans infections. Curr Opin Microbiol *52*, 100-109. 10.1016/j.mib.2019.06.002.
10. Thapa, R., Ray, S., and Keyel, P.A. (2020). Interaction of Macrophages and Cholesterol-Dependent Cytolysins: The Impact on Immune Response and Cellular Survival. Toxins (Basel) *12*. 10.3390/toxins12090531.
11. Cooper, S.T., and McNeil, P.L. (2015). Membrane Repair: Mechanisms and Pathophysiology. Physiol Rev *95*, 1205-1240. 10.1152/physrev.00037.2014.
12. Demonbreun, A.R., Quattrocelli, M., Barefield, D.Y., Allen, M.V., Swanson, K.E., and McNally, E.M. (2016). An actin-dependent annexin complex mediates plasma membrane repair in muscle. J Cell Biol *213*, 705-718. 10.1083/jcb.201512022.
13. Roostalu, U., and Strahle, U. (2012). In vivo imaging of molecular interactions at damaged sarcolemma. Dev Cell *22*, 515-529. 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.12.008.
14. Lapaquette, P., Ducreux, A., Basmaciyan, L., Paradis, T., Bon, F., Bataille, A., Winckler, P., Hube, B., d'Enfert, C., Esclatine, A., et al. (2022). Membrane protective role of autophagic machinery during infection of epithelial cells by Candida albicans. Gut Microbes *14*, 2004798. 10.1080/19490976.2021.2004798.
15. Keyel, P.A., Loultcheva, L., Roth, R., Salter, R.D., Watkins, S.C., Yokoyama, W.M., and Heuser, J.E. (2011). Streptolysin O clearance through sequestration into blebs that bud passively from the plasma membrane. J Cell Sci *124*, 2414-2423. jcs.076182 [pii]

606 10.1242/jcs.076182.

16. Ray, S., Roth, R., and Keyel, P.A. (2022). Membrane repair triggered by cholesterol-dependent cytolysins is activated by mixed lineage kinases and MEK. Science advances *8*, eabl6367. 10.1126/sciadv.abl6367.

17. Jimenez, A.J., Maiuri, P., Lafaurie-Janvore, J., Divoux, S., Piel, M., and Perez, F. (2014). ESCRT machinery is required for plasma membrane repair. Science *343*, 1247136. 10.1126/science.1247136.

18. Romero, M., Keyel, M., Shi, G., Bhattacharjee, P., Roth, R., Heuser, J.E., and Keyel, P.A. (2017). Intrinsic repair protects cells from pore-forming toxins by microvesicle shedding. Cell Death Differ *24*, 798-808. 10.1038/cdd.2017.11.

19. Wolfmeier, H., Schoenauer, R., Atanassoff, A.P., Neill, D.R., Kadioglu, A., Draeger, A., and Babiychuk, E.B. (2015). Ca(2)(+)-dependent repair of pneumolysin pores: A new paradigm for host cellular defense against bacterial pore-forming toxins. Biochim Biophys Acta *1853*, 2045-2054. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.09.005.

20. Thapa, R., and Keyel, P.A. (2023). Patch repair protects cells from the small pore-forming toxin aerolysin. J Cell Sci *136*. 10.1242/jcs.261018.

21. Westman, J., Plumb, J., Licht, A., Yang, M., Allert, S., Naglik, J.R., Hube, B., Grinstein, S., and Maxson, M.E. (2022). Calcium-dependent ESCRT recruitment and lysosome exocytosis maintain epithelial integrity during Candida albicans invasion. Cell reports *38*, 110187. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110187.

22. Ho, J., Wickramasinghe, D.N., Nikou, S.A., Hube, B., Richardson, J.P., and Naglik, J.R. (2020). Candidalysin Is a Potent Trigger of Alarmin and Antimicrobial Peptide Release in Epithelial Cells. Cells *9*. 10.3390/cells9030699.

23. Sprague, J.L., Schille, T.B., Allert, S., Trumper, V., Lier, A., Grossmann, P., Priest, E.L., Tsavou, A., Panagiotou, G., Naglik, J.R., et al. (2024). Candida albicans translocation through the intestinal epithelial barrier is promoted by fungal zinc acquisition and limited by NFkappaB-mediated barrier protection. PLoS Pathog *20*, e1012031. 10.1371/journal.ppat.1012031.

24. Dal Peraro, M., and van der Goot, F.G. (2016). Pore-forming toxins: ancient, but never really out of fashion. Nat Rev Microbiol *14*, 77-92. 10.1038/nrmicro.2015.3.

25. Haram, C.S., Moitra, S., Keane, R., Breslav, E., Zhang, K., and Keyel, P.A. (2022). Deciphering the Molecular Mechanism and Function of Pore-Forming Toxins using Leishmania major. J Vis Exp. 10.3791/64341.

26. Haram, C.S., Moitra, S., Keane, R., Kuhlmann, F.M., Frankfater, C., Hsu, F.F., Beverley, S.M., Zhang, K., and Keyel, P.A. (2023). The sphingolipids ceramide and inositol phosphorylceramide protect the Leishmania major membrane from sterol-specific toxins. J Biol Chem *299*, 104745. 10.1016/j.jbc.2023.104745.

27. Schoenauer, R., Larpin, Y., Babiychuk, E.B., Drucker, P., Babiychuk, V.S., Avota, E., Schneider-Schaulies, S., Schumacher, F., Kleuser, B., Koffel, R., and Draeger, A. (2019). Down-regulation of acid sphingomyelinase and neutral sphingomyelinase-2 inversely determines the cellular resistance to plasmalemmal injury by pore-forming toxins. FASEB J *33*, 275-285. 10.1096/fj.201800033R.

28. Das, A., Brown, M.S., Anderson, D.D., Goldstein, J.L., and Radhakrishnan, A. (2014). Three pools of plasma membrane cholesterol and their relation to cholesterol homeostasis. eLife *3*, e02882. 10.7554/eLife.02882.

29. Skocaj, M., Resnik, N., Grundner, M., Ota, K., Rojko, N., Hodnik, V., Anderluh, G., Sobota, A., Macek, P., Veranic, P., and Sepcic, K. (2014). Tracking cholesterol/sphingomyelin-rich membrane domains with the ostreolysin A-mCherry protein. PLoS One *9*, e92783. 10.1371/journal.pone.0092783.

30. Endapally, S., Frias, D., Grzemska, M., Gay, A., Tomchick, D.R., and Radhakrishnan, A.
(2019). Molecular Discrimination between Two Conformations of Sphingomyelin in
Plasma Membranes. Cell. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.042.
31. Cabezas, S., Ho, S., Ros, U., Lanio, M.E., Alvarez, C., and van der Goot, F.G. (2017).
Damage of eukaryotic cells by the pore-forming toxin sticholysin II: Consequences of the
potassium efflux. Biochim Biophys Acta *1859*, 982-992. 10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.02.001.
32. Keyel, P.A., Roth, R., Yokoyama, W.M., Heuser, J.E., and Salter, R.D. (2013).
Reduction of streptolysin O (SLO) pore-forming activity enhances inflammasome
activation. Toxins (Basel) *5*, 1105-1118. 10.3390/toxins5061105.
33. Babiychuk, E.B., Monastyrskaya, K., Potez, S., and Draeger, A. (2011). Blebbing confers
resistance against cell lysis. Cell Death Differ *18*, 80-89. 10.1038/cdd.2010.81.
34. Bose, T., Cieslar-Pobuda, A., and Wiechec, E. (2015). Role of ion channels in regulating
Ca(2)(+) homeostasis during the interplay between immune and cancer cells. Cell death
& disease *6*, e1648. 10.1038/cddis.2015.23.
35. Ho, J., Yang, X., Nikou, S.A., Kichik, N., Donkin, A., Ponde, N.O., Richardson, J.P.,
Gratacap, R.L., Archambault, L.S., Zwirner, C.P., et al. (2019). Candidalysin activates
innate epithelial immune responses via epidermal growth factor receptor. Nat Commun
10, 2297. 10.1038/s41467-019-09915-2.
36. Stauber, T., and Jentsch, T.J. (2013). Chloride in vesicular trafficking and function. Annu
Rev Physiol *75*, 453-477. 10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183702.
37. Sun, R., Luo, Y., Li, J., Wang, Q., Li, J., Chen, X., Guan, K., and Yu, Z. (2015).
Ammonium chloride inhibits autophagy of hepatocellular carcinoma cells through
SMAD2 signaling. Tumour Biol *36*, 1173-1177. 10.1007/s13277-014-2699-x.
38. Namkung, W., Yao, Z., Finkbeiner, W.E., and Verkman, A.S. (2011). Small-molecule
activators of TMEM16A, a calcium-activated chloride channel, stimulate epithelial
chloride secretion and intestinal contraction. FASEB J *25*, 4048-4062. 10.1096/fj.11-
191627.
39. Wu, N., Cernysiov, V., Davidson, D., Song, H., Tang, J., Luo, S., Lu, Y., Qian, J.,
Gyurova, I.E., Waggoner, S.N., et al. (2020). Critical Role of Lipid Scramblase
TMEM16F in Phosphatidylserine Exposure and Repair of Plasma Membrane after Pore
Formation. Cell reports *30*, 1129-1140 e1125. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.12.066.
40. Martins, J.R., Faria, D., Kongsuphol, P., Reisch, B., Schreiber, R., and Kunzelmann, K.
(2011). Anoctamin 6 is an essential component of the outwardly rectifying chloride
channel. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 18168-18172. 10.1073/pnas.1108094108.
41. Mogavero, S., Sauer, F.M., Brunke, S., Allert, S., Schulz, D., Wisgott, S., Jablonowski,
N., Elshafee, O., Kruger, T., Kniemeyer, O., et al. (2021). Candidalysin delivery to the
invasion pocket is critical for host epithelial damage induced by Candida albicans. Cell
Microbiol *23*, e13378. 10.1111/cmi.13378.
42. Tsitrin, Y., Morton, C.J., el-Bez, C., Paumard, P., Velluz, M.C., Adrian, M., Dubochet, J.,
Parker, M.W., Lanzavecchia, S., and van der Goot, F.G. (2002). Conversion of a
transmembrane to a water-soluble protein complex by a single point mutation. Nat Struct
Biol *9*, 729-733. 10.1038/nsb839.
43. Babiychuk, E.B., Monastyrskaya, K., Potez, S., and Draeger, A. (2009). Intracellular
Ca(2+) operates a switch between repair and lysis of streptolysin O-perforated cells. Cell
Death Differ *16*, 1126-1134.
44. Rescher, U., Zobiack, N., and Gerke, V. (2000). Intact Ca(2+)-binding sites are required
for targeting of annexin 1 to endosomal membranes in living HeLa cells. J Cell Sci *113 (
Pt 22)*, 3931-3938.
45. Skocaj, M., Bakrac, B., Krizaj, I., Macek, P., Anderluh, G., and Sepcic, K. (2013). The
sensing of membrane microdomains based on pore-forming toxins. Curr Med Chem *20*,
491-501.

46.   Keyel, P.A., Heid, M.E., Watkins, S.C., and Salter, R.D. (2012). Visualization of bacterial
707   toxin induced responses using live cell fluorescence microscopy. J Vis Exp, e4227. 4227
708   [pii]

709   10.3791/4227.
710   47.   Dany, M., and Ogretmen, B. (2015). Ceramide induced mitophagy and tumor
711   suppression. Biochim Biophys Acta *1853*, 2834-2845. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.12.039.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Candidalysin killing is intermediate between aerolysin and CDCs. HeLa cells were challenged with (A) 9.375-600 HU/mL candidalysin (Clys), (B) 4-2000 HU/mL aerolysin (Aero), (C) 31-2000 HU/mL SLO, or (A-C) a mass equivalent to wild-type toxin of candidalysin^{mutAA}, aerolysin^{Y221G} , or monomer-locked SLO (SLO ML) in 2 mM CaCl$_2$ supplemented RPMI with 20 µg/ml propidium iodide (PI) at 37°C. PI uptake was analyzed by flow cytometry and % specific lysis was determined. (D) The amount of toxin needed to kill 50% of the cells (LC$_{50}$) was calculated by logistic modeling. (E) HeLa cells were challenged with 9.375-600 HU/mL Clys, 31-2000 HU/mL Aero, or 31-2000 HU/mL SLO at 37°C either in 2 mM CaCl$_2$, or 2 mM EGTA supplemented RPMI. PI uptake was analyzed by flow cytometry. The LC$_{50}$ was calculated as described above. Dotted lines indicate the lower and upper limits of detection. Graphs show the mean ± S.E.M. of (A-D) six or (E) five independent experiments, with (D, E) independent experiments plotted as data points. * p <0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001 by repeated-measures one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Figure 2. Patch repair and ceramide fail to protect cells against candidalysin. (A) C2C12 cells stably expressing control (CTL) or *Dysf* shRNA were challenged with 9.375-600 HU/mL candidalysin (Clys), 31-2000 HU/mL aerolysin (Aero), or 31-2000 HU/mL SLO. (B) HeLa cells were untransfected or transfected with GFP or GFP-Dysferlin (GFP Dysf) for 48 h and then challenged with 9.375-600 HU/mL Clys, 31-2000 HU/mL Aero, or 31-2000 HU/mL SLO. (C, D) HeLa cells were pretreated with either vehicle DMSO (CTL) or 40 µM PPMP for 72 h. Cells were then (C) challenged with 9.375-600 HU/mL Clys, 31-2000 HU/mL Aero, or 31-2000 HU/mL SLO or (D) labeled with 20 µg/mL OlyA-mCherry WT or OlyA ^{E69A}-mCherry for 30 min. PI uptake or OlyA-mCherry binding was analyzed by flow cytometry. The LC$_{50}$ was calculated as described in the methods. The dotted lines indicate the lower limits of detection (9.4 HU/mL for Clys, 31.25 HU/mL for Aero and SLO). Graphs show the mean ± S.E.M. of four independent experiments. *

p <0.05, ** p <0.01, **** p<0.0001, ns not significant by repeated-measures one-way ANOVA

with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Figure 3. Chloride ions protect cells from candidalysin. (A) HeLa cells were challenged with

9.375-600 HU/mL candidalysin (Clys), 31-2000 HU/mL aerolysin (Aero), or 31-2000 HU/mL

SLO in RPMI supplemented with or without 150 mM KCl. (B) Caspase 1/11[-/-] bone-marrow

derived macrophages (Casp1/11[-/-] MΦ) were challenged with Clys, Aero, or SLO in RPMI

supplemented with either 2 mM $CaCl_2$ or 2 mM EGTA, and/or 150 mM KCl. (C) HeLa cells were

challenged with Clys in RPMI supplemented 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM EGTA, 150 mM KCl and/or 20

µM nigericin alone or in combination. (D, E) HeLa cells were challenged with Clys, Aero, or SLO

in RPMI supplemented with 2 mM $CaCl_2$ and either: nothing, 150 mM NaCl, 150 mM KCl, 300

mM dextrose, 150 mM potassium acetate, or 150 mM NH_4Cl. PI uptake was analyzed by flow

cytometry. The dotted lines indicates the upper (600 HU/mL for Clys, 1000 HU/mL for Aero) and

lower limits (9.4 HU/mL for Clys, 125 HU/mL for SLO, 31 HU/mL or 0.5 HU/mL for Aero) of

detection. The LC_{50} was calculated as described in the methods. Graphs display independent

experiments and mean ± S.E.M. of (A, C, E) three or (B, D) four experiments. * p <0.05, **

p<0.01, *** p<0.001, ns not significant by (A, B, D, E) repeated-measures one-way ANOVA with

Tukey's multiple comparison test or (C) repeated-measures two-way ANOVA with Geisser-

Greenhouse correction and Šídák's multiple comparisons test.

Figure 4. MEK activation provides limited protection against candidalysin. HeLa cells were

serum starved for 30 min and pre-treated with 20 µM MEK inhibitor U0126 or DMSO for 30 min.

(A) Cells were then challenged with sublytic toxin concentrations (50 HU/mL Clys, 62 HU/mL

aerolysin Aero or 250 HU/mL SLO) and analyzed by western blot. Blots were probed with the

indicated antibodies and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. (B-D) Serum starved and

DMSO or U0126-treated Hela cells were challenged with (B) 9.375-600 HU/mL Clys, (C) 31-

2000 HU/mL Aero, or (D) 31-2000 HU/mL SLO either in 2 mM $CaCl_2$ or 2 mM EGTA

supplemented RPMI. PI uptake was analyzed by flow cytometry. The LC_{50} was calculated as

described in the methods. The dotted lines indicate the upper and lower limits of detection. One

representative blot from three independent experiments is shown. Graphs show four

independent experiments, and the mean ± S.E.M. ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$ by

repeated-measures one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test compared to DMSO

Ca^{2+}.

Figure 5. Annexins provide limited protection against candidalysin. (A-C) HeLa cells were

transfected with control (CTL), annexin A1 (A1), annexin A2 (A2) or annexin A6 (A6) siRNA for

3 days and then (A, B) lysed for western blot analysis, or (C) challenged with 9.375-600 HU/mL

candidalysin (Clys), 31-2000 HU/mL aerolysin (Aero), or 31-2000 HU/mL SLO. PI uptake was

analyzed by flow cytometry. The LC_{50} was calculated as described in the methods. (A) Portions

of the blot were probed with antibodies against A1, A2, A6 or β-actin followed by HRP-

conjugated secondary antibodies. (B) Blots were quantified by densitometry and the knockdown

efficiency compared to control siRNA determined. (D-F) A2-GFP or (G-I) A6-YFP transfected

HeLa cells were challenged with sublytic toxin concentrations (50 HU/mL Clys, 62 HU/mL Aero,

or 250 HU/mL SLO) or a mass equivalent of mutant candidalysin (Clys^{mutAA}). Cells were imaged

by confocal microscopy for ~45 min at 37°C and then lysed using 1% Triton-X-100. The (D, G)

fraction of cells showing annexin membrane translocation is shown. For cells showing annexin

translocation, the time to half maximal ($t_{1/2}$) (E, H) cytosolic depletion or (F, I) membrane

accumulation of A2 or A6 is shown. The dotted lines indicate the upper and lower limits of

detection. The blots show one representative experiment from three independent experiments.

Graphs show the mean ± S.E.M. Individual data points represent (B) each of three independent

experiments or (E, F, H, I) individual cells from 3 independent experiments. * $p <0.05$, ** $p<0.01$,

*** p<0.00, **** p<0.0001 by (C, D, G) repeated measures or (E, F, H, I) regular one-way

ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Figure 6. Candidalysin is removed via membrane shedding. HeLa cells were challenged

with sublytic toxin concentrations (50 HU/mL candidalysin (Clys), 62 HU/mL aerolysin (Aero) or

250 HU/mL SLO) or a mass equivalent of mutant candidalysin (Clys^{mutAA}). Cells were

centrifuged at 2000xg for 5 min to yield cell pellets (denoted C). Cell supernatants were spun at

100,000xg for 40 min at 4°C for the microvesicle pellet (MV). Proteins were resolved by SDS-

PAGE and analyzed by western blot using the indicated primary antibodies. The blots show one

representative experiment from four independent experiments.

Figure 7. Candidalysin is resisted by distinct repair mechanisms compared to bacterial

PFTs. After pore formation and membrane damage by each toxin, multiple repair pathways are

triggered downstream of Ca^{2+} flux. Candidalysin induces a protective Cl$^-$ influx and activates

MEK-dependent repair, which contributes to cell protection. Annexin translocation occurs slowly

and provides minor protection, while patch repair is ineffective. In contrast, aerolysin does not

benefit from Cl$^-$ influx or MEK protection. Aerolysin triggers moderate annexin translocation and

relies primarily on patch repair as the main protective mechanism. Streptolysin O elicits rapid

annexin translocation and activates MEK signaling, both of which contribute to robust protection.

Patch repair plays only a minor protective role against SLO. The figure was created using

BioRender.

Supplemental Tables

Supplementary Table S1. Lytic parameters of toxins used

Toxin	Figure Used	Hemolytic Activity (HU/mL)	Protein Concentration (mg/mL)	Specific Activity (HU/mg)
Candidalysin WT	1A, D, E, 2A-C, 3A-E, 4A, B, 5C-I, 6A, S1A, S2A, S3A-D, S4A-E, S5A, S6A, S7A-C	5×10^4	10	5×10^3
Candidalysin^{mutAA}	1A, 5D, G, 6A, S7A-C	5×10^3	10	5×10^2
Aerolysin WT	1B, D, 2A, B, 3A, 4A, C, 5C, D-I, 6A, S3B, C, S4A, S5B, S6B, S7A-C	4×10^5	2.8	1.43×10^5
	1E, 2C, 3B-E, 7A, S1B, S2B, S3A, D, S4B-E,	6.7×10^5	2.4	2.8×10^5
Aerolysin^{Y221G}	1B	$<1 \times 10^3$	1.6	$<6.25 \times 10^2$
SLO WT	1C-E, 3A, S1C, S2C, S3A, S4A	6×10^5	1.5	4×10^5
	2B, 3B, C, 4D, 5C-I, 6A, S3B, S4B, C, S5C, S6C, S7A-C	1×10^6	2.4	4.2×10^5
	2A, C, D, 3B-E, 4A, 5C, S3B, D, S4B-E, S6C	2×10^6	5.3	3.8×10^5
SLO ML	1C	$<1 \times 10^3$	3.2	$<3.13 \times 10^2$

Supplemental Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure S1. Cytolytic activity of Candidalysin increases at 1 h. HeLa cells

were challenged with (A) candidalysin (Clys), (B) aerolysin (Aero) or (C) streptolysin O (SLO) at

the indicated concentrations in 2 mM CaCl$_2$ supplemented, phenol-red free DMEM for 30 min, 1

h and 2 h. Viability of cells was assessed by MTT assay and % specific lysis was determined.

The LC$_{50}$ was calculated as described in the methods. Graphs display mean ± S.E.M of 3

independent experiments, with individual points representing independent experiments. The

dotted lines indicate the limits of detection. * p <0.05, ns not significant by repeated-measures

ANOVA with Tukey post-test.

Supplementary Figure S2. LDH assay under-reports killing by toxins. HeLa cells were

challenged with (A) candidalysin (Clys), (B) aerolysin (Aero) and (C) SLO at the indicated

concentrations in 2 mM $CaCl_2$ supplemented, phenol-red free DMEM for 30 min, 1 h and 2 h.

Cells were centrifuged at 1200xg for 5 min and cell pellet and supernatant were separated. The

% specific lysis and raw cytotoxicity was determined by LDH assay. The graphs display the

mean ± S.E.M of 3 independent experiments. * p <0.05, ** p <0.01 by repeated-measures

ANOVA with Tukey post-test.

Supplementary Figure S3. Ca^{2+} dependent repair is essential for cellular protection

against candidalysin but not patch repair and ceramide. (A) HeLa cells or (B) C2C12 control

and *Dysf* shRNA cells were challenged with the indicated concentrations of candidalysin (Clys),

aerolysin (Aero) or SLO in RPMI with 20 µg/ml PI supplemented with either 2 mM $CaCl_2$ or (A) 2

mM EGTA. (C) HeLa cells were either untransfected, or transfected with GFP or GFP Dysferlin

(GFP-Dysf) for 48 h and challenged with 9.375-600 HU/mL of Clys, or 31-2000 HU/mL of Aero

for 1 h or challenged with the indicated concentrations of Clys, Aero or SLO. (D) HeLa cells

were pretreated with either DMSO (CTL) or 40 µM PPMP for 72 h. Then cells were challenged

with the indicated concentrations of Clys, Aero or SLO. PI uptake was analyzed by flow

cytometry and specific lysis was determined. Graphs show the mean ± S.E.M of (A) 5 or (B-D) 4

independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S4. Chloride ions play a crucial role in protecting cells from

candidalysin. (A) HeLa cells were challenged with the indicated concentrations of candidalysin

(Clys), aerolysin (Aero), or SLO in RPMI supplemented with or without 150 mM KCl. (B)

Caspase $1/11^{-/-}$ bone-marrow derived macrophages were challenged with the indicated

concentrations of Clys, Aero or SLO in RPMI supplemented with 2 mM $CaCl_2$ or 2 mM EGTA

and/or 150 mM KCl. (C) HeLa cells were challenged with Clys at indicated concentrations in

RPMI supplemented with the indicated combinations of 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM EGTA, 20 µM

nigericin or 150 mM KCl. (D, E) HeLa cells were challenged with the indicated concentrations of

Clys, Aero or SLO in RPMI with 2 mM $CaCl_2$ supplemented nothing (Ca^{2+}), 300 mM dextrose,

150 mM NaCl, 150 mM KCl, 150 mM potassium acetate, or 150 mM NH_4Cl. PI uptake was

analyzed by flow cytometry and specific lysis was determined. Graphs show the mean ± S.E.M.

of (A, C, E) 3 or (B, D) 4 independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S5. Calcium-mediated MEK activation promotes limited cellular

resistance to candidalysin. HeLa cells were serum starved for 30 min and pre-treated with 20

µM MEK inhibitor U0126 or vehicle DMSO for 30 min. Cells were challenged either in 2 mM

$CaCl_2$ or 2 mM EGTA supplemented RPMI with the indicated concentrations of (A) candidalysin

(Clys), (B) aerolysin (Aero), or (C) SLO. PI uptake was analyzed by flow cytometry and specific

lysis was determined. Graphs show the mean ± S.E.M of 4 independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S6. Transient deletion of annexins sensitizes cells to candidalysin.

HeLa cells were transfected with siRNAs to control (CTL) or annexins for 72 h. Cells were then

challenged with indicated concentrations of (A) candidalysin (Clys), (B) aerolysin (Aero), or (C)

SLO. PI uptake was analyzed by flow cytometry and specific lysis was determined. Graphs

show the mean ± SEM of (A-C) 3 independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure S7. Delayed translocation of annexins occurs following

candidalysin challenge. HeLa cells transfected with A2-GFP or A6-YFP were challenged with

sublytic toxin concentrations (50 HU/mL candidalysin (Clys), 62 HU/mL aerolysin (Aero) or 250

HU/mL SLO) or a mass equivalent of mutant candidalysin (Clys^{mutAA}). The cells were imaged by

confocal microscopy for ~45 min at 37°C and then lysed with 1% Triton-X-100. The mean ±

SEM of (A) annexin depletion from the cytosol, (B) annexin enrichment on the membrane, or (C)

TO-PRO3 uptake over time is graphed normalized to maximal intensity. For each toxin, 25–30

cells from 3 independent experiments were analyzed. Arrowheads indicate toxin addition or

Triton addition.

Supplementary Figure S8. Original Western blots for Fig 4. Uncropped blots from Fig 5 are

shown.

Supplementary Figure S9. Original Western blots for Fig 5. Uncropped blots from Fig 5 are

shown.

Supplementary Figure S10. Original Western blots for Fig 6. Uncropped blots from Fig 5 are

shown.

Supplemental Video Legends

Video V1. Cells utilize annexin A6 mediated microvesicle shedding to remove

candidalysin pores. HeLa cells transfected with annexin A6-YFP (green) were challenged with

sublytic (A) candidalysin (CLY WT), (B) mutant candidalysin (CLY mutAA), (C) aerolysin (Aero),

or (D) SLO in the presence of 2 μg/mL TO-PRO3 (blue) and imaged at 37°C by live cell

confocal imaging at 1-3.5 frame/second. Triton-X-100 was added at the end of 45 min of

imaging as a positive control for cell permeabilization. Images were bleach-corrected by

histogram matching. Time shows min after toxin addition. Scale bar = 10 μm.

Video V2. Candidalysin triggers delayed annexin A2 translocation to membrane. HeLa

cells transfected with annexin A2-GFP (green) were challenged with sublytic (A) candidalysin

(CLY WT), (B) mutant candidalysin (CLY mutAA), (C) aerolysin (Aero), or (D) SLO in the

presence of 2 μg/mL TO-PRO3 (blue) and imaged at 37°C by live cell confocal imaging at 1-3.5

frame/second. Triton-X-100 was added at the end of 45 min of imaging as a positive control for

cell permeabilization. Images were bleach-corrected by histogram matching. Time shows min

after toxin addition. Scale bar = 10 μm.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7