Tbx1 ortholog *org-1* is required to establish testis stem cell niche identity in *Drosophila*

Patrick Hofe[1], Tynan Gardner[2], Stephen DiNardo[2], Lauren Anllo[1]*

[1] Department of Biology, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858

[2] Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

*Communicating author: anllol22@ecu.edu

Abstract:

Stem cells require signals from a cellular microenvironment known as the niche that regulates

identity, location, and division of stem cells. Niche cell identity must be properly specified during

development to form a tissue capable of functioning in the adult. Here, we show that the Tbx1

ortholog *org1* is expressed in *Drosophila* testis niche cells in response to Slit and FGF signals.

org1 is expressed during niche development and is required to specify niche cell identity. *org1*

mutants specified fewer niche cells, and those cells showed disruption of niche-specific

markers, including loss of the niche adhesion protein Fas3 and reduced *hedgehog* expression.

We found that *org1* expression in somatic gonadal precursors is capable of inducing formation

of additional niche cells. Disrupted niche identity in *org1* mutants resulted in niche assembly and

functionality defects. We find the conserved transcription factor *islet* is expressed in response to

org1 and show that *islet* functions downstream to mediate niche identity and assembly. This

work identifies a novel role for *org1* in niche establishment.

Summary Statement:

Specification of niche identity is crucial to establish a functional stem cell microenvironment.

Using a translatable model, we show that niche expression of *org1* influences *islet* to specify

niche identity.

Introduction:

Stem cells play a vital role in maintaining functional tissues and organs. The

preservation of stem cells in many tissues is controlled by the stem cell niche, a local cellular

microenvironment that supplies signals to maintain stem cell identity, location, and division rates

(Li & Xie, 2005). A key concept in stem cell niche biology is the use of diffusible signals to

regulate stem cell behaviors. In models like the mammalian intestinal stem cell (ISC) niche,

diffusible signals such as Wnt, Hh, and BMP ligands have been shown to regulate stem cells

(Walker et al., 2008). These signals have varying origins since there are multiple cell types that

comprise the intestinal stem cell niche (Walker et al., 2008). Because various cell lineages can

comprise the niche, the identification of niche cells is often challenging. In some tissues even

the identity of the stem cells is unclear (Sato et al., 2011; Kaestner, 2019; Li et al., 2023). Thus,

identifying regulators that specify niche identity during tissue development has remained a

challenge in stem cell biology.

Because of its readily identifiable and well-defined niche, we leverage the *Drosophila*

testis to study how niche cells acquire their identity and adopt proper function during

development (de Cuevas & Matunis, 2011; Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006; Anllo et al., 2019;

Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). The *Drosophila* testis is a paradigmatic

niche in which many concepts at the foundation of stem cell biology continue to be elucidated

(Herrera & Bach, 2019; Leatherman & DiNardo 2008 and 2010; Lenhart & DiNardo 2015; Inaba

et al., 2015; Tulina & Matunis 2001; Yamashita et al., 2003; Zheng et. al., 2011). The singular

cell type that makes up the *Drosophila* testis niche, somatic "hub" cells, regulates both germline

and somatic stem cells required to maintain sperm production throughout the adult life of the fly

(Lenhart and DiNardo, 2015; Roach and Lenhart, 2024). The wealth of powerful genetic tools

available to study this niche make it an ideal model to identify regulators of niche cell fate.

Prior to niche formation, the embryonic Stage 15 *Drosophila* testis consists of a spherical

arrangement of somatic gonadal precursors (SGPs) interspersed among and encysting germ

cells (Jenkins et al., 2003; Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006). SGPs are specified from a subset of

mesodermal cells adjacent to muscle precursors in embryonic parasegments 10-13 earlier in

embryogenesis (Boyle et al., 1995; Boyle et al., 1997; Riechmann et al., 1998). Niche, or "hub,"

cells derive from SGPs, and are specified through Notch signaling (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010;

Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; DiNardo et al., 2011). By stage 11 of embryogenesis, SGPs

contacting the primordial midgut receive Delta ligands which initiate Notch signaling and niche

specification (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). Later, SGPs at the gonad anterior receive the Notch

ligand Serrate from other gonadal cells, and SGPs at the posterior receive EGFR antagonistic

signals that repress niche identity (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010). Defects in Notch signaling

result in fewer niche cells specified (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; DiNardo et al., 2011; Kitadate &

Kobayashi, 2010; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Specified niche cells downregulate the conserved

Maf transcription factor Traffic jam (Tj) in response to Notch signaling (Winger & DiNardo,

2015). A role for Notch signaling in niche formation has translated to other niches in *Drosophila*

and in mammals (Zamfirescu et al., 2022). Outside of Notch signaling, there is little known about

how testis niche cells acquire their identity.

Once specified, embryonic niche cells are compartmentalized to the gonad anterior in a

process called niche assembly (Le Bras and Van Doren, 2006; Anllo et al., 2019). During

assembly, specified prospective (pro) niche SGPs move peripherally onto the gonadal

extracellular matrix (ECM), where they then migrate to their anterior assembly position (Anllo et

al., 2019). Our previous work revealed that extrinsic Slit and FGF signals from neighboring

visceral muscle (Vm) are required for this migration (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). At the gonad

anterior, niche cells first exhibit F-actin polarization to niche-niche interfaces, which later re-

polarizes to niche-stem cell interfaces as niche cells compact into a spherical arrangement with

smooth contour (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; Warder et al., 2024). Germline stem cells contacting

the assembled niche contribute to niche morphogenesis through actomyosin networks that

result in tension around the compacting hub periphery (Warder et al., 2024). The assembled

and compacted niche expresses niche specific markers such as *fasciclin* 3 and *hedgehog* and is

radially surrounded by germline stem cells (GSCs) and later, cyst stem cells (CySCs) (Le Bras

& Van Doren, 2006; Sheng et al., 2009; Sinden et al., 2012; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). The

niche makes adhesive connection to neighboring stem cells and extracellular matrix to maintain

its shape, location, and function (Lee et al., 2008; Vida et al., 2024; Tanentzapf et al., 2007;

Tseng et al., 2022; Amoyel et al., 2013).

After niche establishment, the niche functions throughout the life of the animal. The

niche's location, critical to maintain GSCs and CySCs, is maintained through Integrin-mediated

connections to the ECM (Anllo et al. 2019; Lee et al., 2008; Tanentzapf et al., 2007). In its

established position, the niche sends maintenance signals to its surrounding stem cells,

including Unpaired (Upd) and Hedgehog (Hh). Upd ligands activate JAK/STAT signaling in

GSCs, which regulates their adhesion to the niche and oriented cell divisions (Chen et. al.,

2018; Leatherman & DiNardo 2008 and 2010; Tulina & Matunis, 2001; Wawersik et al., 2005;

Yamashita et al., 2003). Niche-secreted Hedgehog regulates CySC self-renewal (Amoyel et al.,

2012). Niche signals are important for establishing the stem cell populations, and for long term

stem cell maintenance (Sheng et al., 2009; Sinden et al., 2012).

Because Notch signaling required to specify niche cells is initiated at stage 11 of

embryogenesis (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011), and niche-specific markers such as Fas3

accumulation are not observed until stage 15 of embryogenesis (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006;

Anllo et al., 2019), there are likely other factors influencing the specification of niche cells during

this period. Our work here identifies the Tbx1 ortholog *org1* as one of those factors. Tbx1 and its

orthologs play a conserved role across phyla in specifying mesodermal cell types (Marcellini et

al., 2003). Like Tbx1*, org1* encodes a transcription factor with a T-box DNA binding domain and

is a known regulator of mesodermal muscle fate during embryonic development (Schaub et al.

2012; Schaub & Frasch, 2013; Boukhatmi et al., 2014). Our previous work identified that the

conserved transcription factor *islet* is expressed in niche cells and required for both niche

assembly and cytoskeletal polarization downstream of Vm assembly cues (Anllo & DiNardo,

2022). *islet* expression is known to be regulated by *org1* during specification of alary

musculature (Boukhatmi et al., 2014). Here, we find that a parallel mechanism regulates

specification of niche cells and directs their anterior assembly. We show that *org1* is expressed

in the embryonic niche and reveal its requirement in establishing a full complement of functional,

assembled niche cells. We further show that Org1 accumulation depends on Slit and FGF

signals, and that *islet* acts downstream of *org1*. These data reveal *org1* as a novel regulator of

niche identity and assembly for the *Drosophila* testis niche.

Results:

***org1* is expressed in the niche and is induced by signals from visceral muscle.**

Our previous work has shown that the conserved transcription factor *islet* is expressed in the

developing niche (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Because Org1 is a known regulator of *islet*

expression in other tissues (Boukhatmi et al., 2014), and because single nuclear RNA sequence

data (snRNAseq) suggested that *org1* is highly enriched in the adult testis niche (Li et al., 2021;

Raz et al. 2023), we tested whether *org1* was expressed in the developing gonadal niche. We

observed expression of an Org1::GFP transgenic reporter (Kudron et al., 2018) in prospective

niche cells beginning prior to assembly at embryonic stage 15/16 and after niche assembly in

stage 17 at the gonad anterior (Figure 1A-1C'). *org1* expression in some prospective niche cells

coincided with the timing of Traffic jam downregulation (Figure 1A', 1B'). Additionally, we also

saw SGPs without downregulated Traffic jam that exhibited lower expression of *org1* elsewhere

in the gonad (Figure 1B-B", yellow arrows). We confirmed the stage 17 *org1* expression pattern

using an Org1 antibody (Schaub et al., 2012) that revealed a signal in gonadal niche cell nuclei

(Figure 1B, B') which was absent in *org1* mutants (Figure S1). Our findings reveal that *org1* is

expressed in the gonad niche, and its expression begins before niche assembly.

Since *islet* is regulated by Slit and FGF signals from the visceral muscle (Vm), we tested if *org1*

is also regulated by these signals (Anllo and DiNardo, 2022). If *org1* is induced by these signals,

then loss of Slit and FGF Vm signals required for niche assembly would result in loss of Org1

accumulation in niche cells. Indeed, loss of both signals together resulted in diminished Org1

accumulation (Figure 1E-1F'). Additionally, loss of either Slit or FGF separately resulted in

diminished Org1 accumulation (Figure 1G-1H') and our fluorescence quantifications supported

these findings (Figure 1I-1K). Together, our data confirm that *org1* is expressed in the niche and

accumulates in response to Slit and FGF.

Figure 1. *org1* is expressed in the niche in response to visceral muscle signals. (A-B')
Gonads (dotted line) from Stage 15 animals with the Org1::GFP reporter, immunostained for
GFP (green) and Traffic jam (red). Fas3 (white) accumulates at assembling niche cell
interfaces. Org1::GFP is brightest when Traffic jam (red) is downregulated. Prospective niche
cells with downregulated Traffic jam (white arrows) and with Traffic jam not downregulated

(yellow arrows). (C, C') Org1::GFP reporter (red) showed *org1* expression in the assembled
niche (dotted white line; Fas3, white). (D, D') Org1 antibody (magenta) revealed accumulation in
the niche (dotted white line; Fas3, white) with spurious non-specific signal at the posterior (see
Suppl Fig S1). (E, E') Control gonads showed Org1 (red) accumulation in the niche (white). (F,
F') *slit, fgf* mutants had diminished accumulation of Org1. Gonads are outlined with dotted white
lines. (G-G') *fgf* mutants have diminished Org1 accumulation in the niche. (H, H') *slit* mutants
had diminished Org1 accumulation in the niche. Gonads are outlined in yellow; niches are
outlined in white. (I) Quantification of Org-1 accumulation in niche cells in controls and visceral
muscle signaling mutants (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=39 niche cells from 13 gonads; *slit, fgf* n= 30 niche
cells, from 10 gonads Mann-Whitney Test). (J) Quantification of Org1 accumulation in *fgf*
mutants and heterozygous sibling controls (Het) (p= 0.0136, Het n=45 niche cells from 15
gonads; *fgf* n=48 niche cells from 16 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (K) Quantification of Org1
accumulation in *slit* mutants and heterozygous sibling controls (Het) (p= 0.0090, Het n=57 niche
cells from 19 gonads; *slit* n=81 niche cells from 27 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). All
quantifications are shown for individual niche nuclei. Scale bars, 10um.
153

org1 is necessary and sufficient to induce niche cell fate.

Given the known role of *org1* in embryonic muscle specification, we asked if there were niche

identity defects in *org1* mutants (Schaub & Frasch, 2012; Boukhatmi et al., 2014). We

hypothesized that if *org1* had a role in specifying niche cell fate, we would see disruption of

niche identity markers. We assayed for niche identity markers Fasciclin3 (Fas3) accumulation

and *hedgehog* (*hh*) expression (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015) in an

org1 mutant allele lacking the translation initiation codon and T-box domain (Schaub et al.,

2012). *org1* mutants did not accumulate the niche specific adhesion protein Fasciclin 3 (Figure

2B-2B'). Additionally, *org1* mutants had lower expression of a *hh*-lacZ reporter in niche cells

compared to controls (Figure 2C-2E). Interestingly, *hh* expression is known to be restricted to

the adult niche (Amoyel et. al., 2013). We find that while *hh* expression is highest in control

embryonic niche cells, we do detect low levels of *hh* in some control SGPs outside the niche

(Figure S2). Since these niche identity markers were disrupted, we used N-cadherin (N-cad)

accumulation to detect niche cells in *org1* mutants. N-cad accumulation is enriched in the cortex

of properly assembled niche cells at the gonad anterior, with low accumulation in other SGPs,

affording a reasonable measure of identification of niche cells at the anterior (Le Bras & Van

Doren, 2006). When visualizing gonads with N-cad immunofluorescence, we detected fewer N-

cad accumulating niche cells in *org1* mutants compared to controls (Figure 2F-2G').

To confirm that the fewer N-cad accumulating niche cells in *org1* mutants resulted from failure to

specify niche cells and not simply a reduction in the number of SGPs, we quantified the total

number of SGPs in *org1* mutants. We assayed the SGP-specific transcription factor Traffic jam

(Tj) to count all SGPs (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). While there was a slight decrease in number of

SGPs, the fraction of these cells specified into niche cells was significantly lower in *org1* mutants

compared to control gonads (Figure S3). Together with our data indicating a loss of the niche

specific adhesion protein Fas3 and reduction of *hh* gene expression in the niche, these results

support a role for *org1* in niche specification (Figure 2, S3). Interestingly, *org1* mutant niche cells

still down regulated *tj* as in normal niches, indicating that some aspects of niche specification

occur (Figure S3).

Since *org1* mutants had fewer niche cells, we tested whether overexpression of *org1* in all

SGPs would create more niche cells. Indeed, when we overexpressed *org1* with a somatic

lineage-specific driver (*six4*-gal4; Anllo et. al., 2019), we generated additional N-cad

accumulating niche cells at the gonad anterior (Figure 2I-K). Together, our data suggest that

org1 plays a role in specifying niche identity and is sufficient to induce niche identity in additional

SGPs.

190
Figure 2. *org1* is necessary and sufficient to induce niche cell fate. (A-D) Dissected
gonads immunostained to show germ cells (green), Fas3 (white), *hh*-lacZ (magenta), DNA
(blue). (A, A', C) Control niche cells accumulated niche specific markers Fas3 and *hedgehog*
reporter expression (*hh*-lacZ, separate gonad from A, A'). (B, B', D) *org1* mutants did not
accumulate Fas3 and had diminished expression of *hh*-lacZ reporter (D is a separate gonad
from B). (E) Quantification of *hh*-lacZ expression in niche cells accumulating N-cad (p= 0.0294,
Ctrl n=24 niche cells from 7 gonads; *org1* n=30 niche cells from 10 gonads, Mann-Whitney
Test). (F, F') Control gonads accumulated enriched N-cad at the cortex of niche cells. (G, G')
org1 mutant niches had fewer cells accumulating enriched N-cad. (F-G') Germ cells (green), N-
cad (white), DNA (blue). (H) Quantification of N-cad accumulating niche cells per gonad
(p<0.0001, Ctrl n=15 gonads; *org1* n=20 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (I, I') Control gonad with
*six4*moesinGFP marking somatic F-actin. (J, J') SGP driven *org1* overexpression in gonads
generated niches that had more cells in comparison to controls. (I-J') Somatic cells (green),
Niche (white), DNA (blue). (K) Quantification of niche cell count (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=26 gonads;
org1 n=26 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). Dotted lines, niche. Scale bars, 10 um.
206
***org1* is required SGP intrinsically for niche identity and assembly.**
The data above were collected from a whole organism *org1* mutant line in which all T-box
binding domains were excised (Schaub et al., 2012). To test whether *org1* is necessary in SGPs
for niche cell identity we manipulated *org1* expression in the SGP lineage. We used two somatic

lineage-specific drivers that varied in strength, *six4*VP16-gal4 (Warder et al., 2024) and *six4*-

gal4 to knock down *org1* expression. Using the weaker driver (*six4*-gal4), we found an expected

decrease in niche cell number, and Fas3 accumulation appeared reduced (Figure S4).

Assuming this driver generates only a partial knockdown, this suggests a stringent requirement

of *org1* in niche cell specification (See Discussion). Excitingly, using the stronger driver

(*six4*VP16-gal4), we saw both fewer niche cells (Figure 3A-3C), and dispersed niche cells

accumulating low levels of Fas3 (Figure 3B'-3B'', 3D). It is possible this dispersed phenotype

was not something we could observe in the global *org1* mutants due to the complete loss of

Fas3 (Figure 2). Other niche cells markers, including E-cad and N-cad, accumulate on control

non-niche SGPs to a degree, so dispersed niche cells might not have been apparent (Figure

S5). Our data suggest that *org1* is primarily a specification factor during niche establishment

and secondarily affects niche assembly.

To further support an SGP intrinsic requirement for *org1* during niche establishment, we

performed a tissue-specific rescue experiment. We expressed *org1* with our somatic lineage

specific driver, *six4*-gal4, in whole organism *org1* mutants and observed rescued Fas3

accumulation (Figure 3E-3H'). Our rescue experiment simultaneously expressed the F-actin

label Ftractin-tdTomato in SGPs which allowed us to analyze cytoskeletal polarity of niche cells.

Our previous work has shown that F-actin polarizes to niche-stem cell interfaces after assembly

is complete (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; Warder et al., 2024). We noted that while polarization of F-

actin at niche-stem cell interfaces is disrupted in *org1* mutant niche cells, this polarization was

rescued with *org1* overexpression in SGPs (Fig 3F-K, see arrows). These data suggest that

org1 in SGPs is sufficient to initiate downstream cell biological events that polarize F-actin in the

assembled niche.

Figure 3. *org1* is required SGP intrinsically for niche identity and assembly. (A, A', A'')
Control gonads with N-cad (white) and Fas3 (red) accumulating at the anterior. (B, B', B'') *org1*
knockdown with six4gal4VP16 gonads showed fewer niche cells that accumulate N-cad (white)
and Fas3 (red) at the anterior, with displaced niche cells (yellow arrow). Brightness and contrast
were adjusted in A" and B" to enable visualization of Fas3. (C) Quantification of niche cell count
per gonad in *org1* knockdown (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=23 gonads; six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi n=22
gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (D) Quantification of niche assembly in six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi
(p= 0.0006, Ctrl n= 10 gonads; *org1* RNAi n=14 gonads, Fisher's Exact Test) (E) Quantification
of Fas3 accumulation in *org1* rescue niches (p<0.0001, *org1* n= 14 gonads; *org1; org1* OE n=
16 gonads, Fisher's Exact Test). (F-H) Yellow arrows indicate niche-GSC F-actin interfaces and
blue arrows indicate niche-niche F-actin interfaces. (F, F') Control gonad with Fas3 (green)
accumulating at the anterior. (G, G') *org1* knockout mutant gonad with no Fas3 (green)
accumulation. (H, H') *org1* knockout mutant with *org1* overexpression in somatic gonadal cells
(red) with rescued Fas3 (green) accumulation. (F-H) arrows indicate F-actin enrichment at

specific SGP interfaces. (I) Quantification of F-actin polarization in control gonads (p<0.0001, n-
n interfaces n=23; n-gsc interfaces n=20, from 7 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (J) Quantification
of F-actin polarization in *org1* mutants (not significant, n-n interfaces n=49; n-gsc interfaces
n=38, from 14 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (K) Quantification of F-actin polarization in *org1*
mutant rescued gonads (p<0.0001, n-n interfaces n=47; n-gsc interfaces n=38, from 16 gonads,
Mann-Whitney Test). Scale bars, 10 um.
255

org1 is important for niche to stem cell communication.

Given the defects in niche identity and organization seen when *org1* is compromised, we tested

if the function was compromised without *org1*. We used known steady state niche signaling

pathways and centrosome position to assay for niche function deficits (Leatherman & DiNardo,

2010; Kiger et al., 2001; Tulina & Matunis, 2001; Yamashita et al., 2003, 2005). Stat

accumulates in GSCs in response to niche signals (Chen et al., 2018; Kiger et al., 2003), and

we measured reduced Stat accumulation in GSCs from whole organism *org1* mutants (Figure

4A-4B', 4G). Niche cells are also responsible for sending Hh signals to prospective CySCs to

enable their self-renewal (Michel et al., 2012; Amoyel et al., 2013).We examined localization of

Patched, a Hh receptor that accumulates in vesicles in the niche and CySCs in response to

signaling (Amoyel et al., 2013; Michel et al., 2012; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015) (Fig 4C-4D'). *org1*

mutants had significantly reduced Patched accumulation in the niche and never accumulated

Patched in nearby somatic cells (Figure 4C-4D', 4H).

We also tested stem cell behavior in the absence of *org1*. During normal GSC divisions, the

mother centrosome is anchored close to the niche-germline stem cell interface and thereby

enables GSC divisions perpendicular to the niche (Yamashita et al., 2003). Gonads from *org1*

null animals showed defects in centrosome proximity to the niche interface, with large gaps

between the niche and the centrosome (Figure 4E-4F', 4I). Together, our data suggest that *org1*

is required to generate niche cells capable of communication with stem cells, further supporting

a role for *org1* in niche cell specification.

Figure 4. *org1* is important for niche to stem cell communication. (A, A') STAT (red)
accumulated in germline stem cells (dotted line) in control gonads, but (B, B') not in *org1* mutant
germline stem cells. (C, C') Hedgehog signaling receptor Patched (red) accumulated in the
niche (white dotted line) and Cyst stem cells (yellow arrow). (D, D'') Patched did not accumulate
in *org1* mutant gonads in the niche or cyst stem cells. (E, E') In control gonads, the nearest
centrosome in each GSC was located very close to the interface with the niche (yellow bracket).
Insets show germline stem cells outlined in white, and the niche boundary (Fas3, white). (F, F')
In *org1* mutant gonads, the nearest centrosome in each GSC was located with greater distance
from the niche interface (yellow bracket). (E', F') Nearest centrosomes are indicated by a yellow
arrow and insets show centrosome pairs. (G) Quantification of STAT accumulation (p= 0.0041,
Ctrl n=44 niche cells from 11 gonads; *org1* n=52 niche cells from 16 gonads, Mann-Whitney
Test). (H) Quantification of Patched accumulation in the niche (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=9 gonads; *org1*
n= 15 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (I) Quantification of centrosome anchoring (p<0.0001, Ctrl
n=65 germline stem cells from 18 gonads; *org1* n= 68 germline stem cells from 21 gonads,
Fisher's Exact Test). For all panels: Vasa (green), N-cad (white), scale bars, 10 um.

islet* regulates niche cell specification downstream of *org1

Our previous work has shown that *islet* is expressed downstream of Vm signals Slit and FGF

and is important for niche assembly (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Here, we show *org1* is also

expressed downstream of Slit and FGF and has a role in niche assembly (see Figure 1,3).

Because *org1* is known to induce *islet* expression in embryonic muscle (Boukhatmi et al., 2014),

we next tested if *org1* regulated *islet* in the embryonic gonad. Indeed, we saw that Islet was no

longer enriched in *org1* mutant niche cells (Figure 5A-5C). We also hypothesized that if *org1* is

regulating *islet*, we would see Islet accumulation in the additional niche cells specified with our

SGP lineage specific *org1* overexpression. Indeed, we saw that additional niche cells at the

anterior also accumulated Islet (Figure 5D-5F). These data indicate that Islet accumulates in

response to *org1* expression.

Figure 5. *org1* is important for Islet accumulation. (A, B) Germ cells (Vasa, green), Niche
(Ncad, white), DNA (Hoechst, blue). (A, A') Control gonads showed Islet (magenta)
accumulation enriched in the niche. (B, B') *org1* mutant gonads exhibited diminished Islet
accumulation. (C) Islet accumulation quantification in niche cells (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=63 from 21
gonads; *org1* n=63 from 21 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (D, D', D'') Control gonads with N-cad
(white) and Islet (red) accumulating in niche cells. (E, E', E'') *org1* overexpressing gonad with
additional niche cells (white) that also accumulated Islet (red). (F) Quantification of number of

Islet accumulating cells (p= 0.0013, Ctrl n=16 gonads; *org1* OE n=18 gonads, Mann-Whitney
Test). Scale bars, 10 um.

316
The accumulation of Islet in response to *org1* parallels the transcriptional cascade that specifies

embryonic alary muscle specification (Boukhatmi et al., 2014). We thus hypothesized that *islet*

may also play a role in specifying niche cells in addition to the role we previously identified

during assembly (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). To test this hypothesis, we quantified the number of

Fas3 accumulating niche cells in *islet* mutants compared to controls. Indeed, we counted fewer

Fas3 accumulating cells in *islet* mutants, supporting our hypothesis (Figure 6A-6C).

To further support a role for *islet* in niche specification downstream of *org1,* we asked whether

we could rescue *org1* mutant niche cell number with *islet* overexpression. We overexpressed

islet with our SGP lineage-specific driver *six4*-gal4. In gonads with both the *org1* mutation and

SGP-specific restoration of *islet*, we saw increased numbers of niche cells compared to *org1*

mutants alone (Figure 6G-6J'), supporting an ability to rescue niche cell identity. While niche cell

number increased with *islet* overexpression, this number was still not fully rescued to control

levels, and *islet* overexpression in control genetic backgrounds does not significantly increase

niche cell number (Figure 6G). These results suggest that while *islet* is clearly important for

niche identity, its expression alone is not fully sufficient to induce niche cell fate. Taken together,

our results support a role for *islet* in niche cell specification and suggest that it acts downstream

of *org1* in this role.

Figure 6. *islet* regulates niche cell specification downstream of *org1*. Germ cells (Vasa, green), DNA (Hoechst, blue), Niche cells (white). Scale bars, 10 um. (A, A') Control gonads with niche (Fas3, white) at the anterior. (B, B') *islet* mutant gonad with disrupted niche (Fas3, white) at the anterior. (C) Quantification of niche cells per gonad in *islet* mutants (p= 0.0019, Ctrl n=23 gonads; *islet* n=19 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (D, D') Control gonads with N-cad (white) and Islet (red) accumulating in niche cells. (E, E') *org1* mutant gonad with fewer niche cells accumulating N-cad at the anterior. (F, F') An *org1* mutant with *islet* overexpression in somatic gonadal cells had more niche cells than *org1* mutants, and a displaced niche cell (yellow arrow). (G) Number of niche cells per gonad for *org1* rescue with *islet* overexpression experiments (*org1* mut vs *org1* sib, p<0.0001, *org1* mut n=32 gonads; *org1* sib n=21 gonads. *org1* mut vs

345 *org1* mut *islet* OE, p=0.0005, *org1* mut n=32 gonads; *org1* mut *islet* OE n=40 gonads. *org1* sib
346 vs *org1* mut *islet* OE, p=0.0338, *org1* sib n=21 gonads; *org1* mut *islet* OE n=40 gonads. *org1* sib
347 vs *org1* sib *islet* OE, not significant, *org1* sib n=21 gonads; *org1* sib *islet* OE n=22 gonads)
348 Mann-Whitney Tests. Scale bars, 10 um.
349
350

351
352 **Figure 7. Predicted model of establishment of niche identity and assembly.** Visceral
353 muscle signals Slit and FGF regulate *org1*. *org1* functions to regulate *islet* for niche identity and
354 assembly.

Discussion:

An impressive body of work has explored stem cell and niche functions (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; Kaestner et. al., 2019; Losick et al., 2011; Morrison & Spradling, 2008; Sato et al., 2011; Vida et al., 2024; Warder et. al., 2024; Wei et al., 2023; Yamashita et al., 2005), yet how niche cells acquire their identity and are positioned to properly regulate resident stem cells is largely unknown. Prior to our work, Notch signaling and a downstream transcriptional regulator, *traffic jam,* were identified as inputs to *Drosophila* testis niche identity (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). These inputs are first induced by early contact with the developing gut (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). Our previous work studying extrinsic signals important for niche establishment has linked niche assembly with niche function in this system (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022), and prior work has linked niche cell identity and assembly (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Here, we have identified a novel regulator that further supports a connection between the processes of niche identity and assembly, the Tbx1 ortholog *org1*. We show that *org1* is expressed in response to niche assembly cues from neighboring visceral muscle (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; this work). In addition, we have defined *islet* as a regulator of niche identity operating downstream of *org1* (Fig 7, model)*.* The combination of these factors suggests that niche assembly and identity are controlled through overlapping processes. Our work addresses a knowledge gap in mechanisms specifying functional stem cell niches, revealing signals that control both niche identity and proper assembly of a compartmentalized niche.

Testis niche identity and assembly are linked

Our previous work showed that the Visceral muscle signals Slit and FGF are required for niche assembly. When niche assembly was compromised, niche function was also disrupted even though these manipulations did not directly affect niche identity (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Previous work suggested a connection between niche identity and assembly (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). In response to the niche specification signal Notch, the Maf transcription factor

traffic jam (*tj*) is downregulated. *traffic jam* mutants specify additional niche cells that do not

express all niche identity markers, and as a result do not assemble at the anterior (Wingert &

DiNardo, 2015). Interestingly, activating other requirements of niche identity such as Bowl

places the additional *tj* mutant niche cells at the gonad anterior (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015;

DiNardo et al., 2011). This suggests that reduction of *traffic jam* alone is not sufficient for niche

assembly at the anterior, supporting a role for additional regulators specifying niche cells

capable of assembly. Perhaps the signals necessary for anterior assembly (Slit and FGF) are

unable to be received by ectopic niche cells in *tj* mutants.

We have shown that niche assembly signals Slit and FGF are important for regulating the novel

niche identity regulator *org1*. Gonads with compromised *org1* show fewer cells expressing niche

identity markers, and assembly defects in which some niche cells do not arrive at the anterior

(this work). *traffic jam* mutants show additional cells expressing niche identity markers, and

these cells are also located in positions away from the gonad anterior (Wingert & DiNardo,

2015). Together, these data link proper specification of niche identity with anterior assembly.

Interestingly, the loss of *slit* and *fgf* regulators do not completely mimic phenotypes seen in *org1*

mutants. For instance, Vm signaling mutants are capable of accumulating Fas3, whereas *org1*

mutants do not. We detect residual Org1 accumulation in niche cells from *slit* and *fgf* mutants

(Figure 1) suggesting that additional regulators are impacting *org1* expression. We suspect that

while Slit and FGF both regulate *org1*, Slit and FGF signaling have a primary role in facilitating

niche cell anterior placement, while *org1* has a primary function in specifying niche cell identity.

islet* has dual roles in niche identity and assembly downstream of *org1

To further link niche identity and assembly, we present an exciting new role for the transcription

factor *islet* in niche cell specification in addition to the role we previously revealed for *islet* in niche

assembly. Together with our previous study, this work reveals that Visceral muscle signals induce

both *org1* and *islet* expression in niche cells (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; this work). In addition, we

show that *org1* regulates *islet* in the niche, as *org1* mutant niches lack Islet, and niche cell

numbers are increased by overexpressing *islet* in SGPs in an *org1* mutant background. These

data support the model of a transcriptional cascade regulating *islet* first through Vm signals

inducing *org1,* which in turn induces *islet* expression (Figure 7). Interesting questions that remain

include identifying what other *org1* targets contribute to niche specification along with *islet*, and

revealing how *islet* influences the number of niche cells that accumulate Fas3 and N-cad.

The detection of dispersed, unassembled niche cells in our *six4*VP16-gal4 driven *org1* RNAi was

unexpected. It is reasonable to suggest earlier and stronger knockdown driving *org1* RNAi with

the enhanced *six4*VP16-Gal4 driver compared to that observed with *six4*-Gal4. Since we only

observed assembly defects under the presumed stronger conditions, we infer that *org1* has a

primary role in niche cell identity and a secondary role in niche assembly.

***org1* regulates testis niche identity**

In alignment with Tbx1 orthologs and their conserved role in specifying mesodermal cell types,

we found it fitting to test if *org1* had a role in specifying the mesodermally-derived niche cells.

Using the niche identity markers Fasciclin3 (Fas3) and *hedgehog* (*hh*), we saw that *org1*

mutants were unable to properly accumulate these markers (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006;

Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). *hh* and its expression have been linked to niche assembly

downstream of Notch (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Using the *hh*-lacZ reporter, we detected high

levels of *hh* expression in the niche, and low levels of expression in SGPs outside the niche

(Figure S2). While we find that *hh* expression is not solely restricted to the niche in the

embryonic gonad as it is in the adult (Amoyel et. al., 2013), our ability to detect higher *hh*

expression in niche cells enables us to use its upregulated expression as a reliable marker for

niche identity.

There are residual niche cells in *org1* mutants, which suggests that other inputs of niche identity

are partly active. It has been previously described that Notch signaling is necessary for

specifying niche cells (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). The average

number of niche cells in Notch mutants is far fewer than we see in *org1* mutants, which

suggested to us that *org1* is either one of multiple effectors downstream of Notch or involved in

a parallel niche specification mechanism. One of the downstream effects of Notch signaling in

specifying niche cells is to downregulate Traffic jam (Tj) accumulation in these cells. Previously,

it was not known when downregulation of *traffic jam* began in prospective niche cells (Wingert &

DiNardo, 2015). We detected downregulation of *tj* coinciding with the beginning of *org1*

expression in prospective niche cells after gonad coalescence. Since *org1*-expressing cells had

lowered levels of Tj, we considered whether *org1* might repress Tj. However, we have shown

that Tj is not regulated by *org1* (Figure S2). Alternatively, Tj might block *org1* expression. This

relationship could explain the higher accumulation or Org1::GFP we detect in SGPs with

reduced Tj (Figure 1B-B'', yellow arrows). Future work should assess whether Tj regulates *org1*

downstream of Notch.

Our work has established *org1* as a novel regulator of identity and assembly during testis niche

establishment. Multiple factors identified in the *Drosophila* testis stem cell niche have translated

into other systems, including a role for Notch in niche specification (Zamfirescu et al., 2022).

Because of the numerous cell biological concepts elucidated in this niche that have translated to

other systems, our work lays the foundation for future studies to investigate a conserved

capacity of Tbx1 to specify gonadal mesoderm or niche cell fate.

Methods:

Embryonic gonad dissection and immunostaining:

Embryos were collected for 2 hours and then aged for 20-22 hours in a humidified chamber at 25 C to stage 17. Embryos were then sorted for mutant and control genotypes using fluorescently marked balancer chromosomes (FM7DfdYFP or TM6DfdGFP). Sorted embryos were dissected and immunostained as previously described (Anllo et. al. 2019). Dissected tissue was incubated at 4C overnight with primary antibodies. Secondary antibodies were used at 3.75 ug/mL (Alexa488, Cy3, or Alexa647; Molecular Probes; Jackson Immunoresearch) for 1.5-2 hours at room temperature. DNA was stained with Hoechst33342 (Sigma) at 0.2-0.3 ug/mL for 6 minutes.

We used goat anti Vasa 1:800 (Santa Cruz Biotech -Discont.); rabbit anti Vasa 1:5000 (BosterBio DZ41154); mouse anti Fasciclin III 1:50 (DSHB AB_528238); rat anti N-cadherin 1:20 (DSHB AB_528121); rat anti E-cad 1:20 (DSHB AB_528120); rabbit anti Stat92E 1:200 (gift from E. Bach, NYU); rabbit anti RFP 1:500 (Abcam ab62341); mouse anti Islet 1:200 (DSHB AB_528313); guinea pig anti Traffic jam 1:10,000 (Gift from D. Godt); rat anti Org-1 1:200 (gift from C. Schaub); mouse anti Gamma Tubulin 1:200 (Sigma AB_477584); chicken anti Beta-gal 1:1000 (Abcam ab9361-250); mouse anti Patched 1:50 (DSHB AB_528441); and chicken anti GFP 1:1000 (Aves labs AB_2307313).

Tissue was imaged using a Zeiss AxioImager.Z1 microscope equipped with an ApoTome.2, X-cite Xylis fluorescent light source, and Axiocam 705 mono camera, using 40x 1.2 N.A. plan apo lens. Org1::GFP reporter images in Stage 15 embryos were obtained with a Crest X-Light V3 spinning disk confocal on an Olympus IX83 platform with a 60x 1.3 N.A. plan apo silicone oil immersion objective.

Drosophila **strains:**

All *Drosophila* lines used are listed in the Key Resources Table. *org1[OJ407]* is a null allele lacking

the translation initiation codon and T-box domain (Schaub et al., 2012). Org1::GFP is a bacterial

artificial chromosome including the *org1* coding sequence and nearby genetic regulatory elements

upstream of an in-frame GFP sequence (Kudron et al., 2018). *slit[2]* is a null allele with no

detectable protein product (Battye et. al., 2001; Nusslein-Volhard et. al., 1984). To remove *pyr*

and *ths* FGF ligands together, we used a small chromosomal deficiency, Df(2R)BSC25, which

completely deletes the genes encoding both ligands (Stathopoulos et al., 2004).

Identification of male gonads, and the niche:

We identified male gonads by the presence of male specific SGPs at the posterior (De Falco et.

al., 2003) which were visualized with Vasa (BosterBio) or six4moeGFP (Sano et al., 2012).

Additionally, male gonads can be identified by the fact that they have more proliferative germ cells

and therefore have more germ cells than female gonads (Anllo et. al., 2019; Wawersik et. al.,

2005). Niches in stage 17 embryos were identified via immunofluorescence by niche cell specific

accumulation of Fasciclin 3 (DSHB AB_528238), or enrichment of N-cadherin (DSHB

AB_528121) or E-cadherin (DSHB AB_528120).

Characterization of niche phenotypes:

When assessing morphology and proper assembly of the niche, we characterized a niche as

either "assembled" or "unassembled". "Assembled" niches show all niche cells contacting one

another at the gonad anterior and have clearly smoothened cell boundaries around the niche

periphery. "Unassembled" niches have niche cells anterior and at the gonad periphery, but with

irregular boundaries, and/or have dispersed niche cells that are not contacting the anterior cluster

of niche cells.

Quantification of niche cell count:

When quantifying the number of cells that express N-cad, Fas3, or any other niche marker, we use the ImageJ Cell Counter Plugin to record cells that we counted manually. A niche cell will accumulate Fas3 when in contact with other niche cells and will accumulate N-cad on all interfaces. Niche cells that are counted with either Fas3 or N-cad are co-labeled with a nuclear marker such as Traffic jam or Hoechst, to enable clear identification of distinct cells. Dispersed niche cells that accumulate Fas3 do not show polarized enrichment to specific cell interfaces.

Quantification of normalized niche cell expression/accumulation:

When quantifying accumulation of proteins and reporters of gene expression, we used ImageJ software to measure mean gray value fluorescence intensity within regions of interest (ROIs). We selected ROIs including a circular region within somatic cell boundaries, using either Fas3 or N-cad immunofluorescence to delineate niche cell boundaries and Hoechst dye to delineate nuclei. ROIs were in a single Z plane in which the relevant cell was in focus. For each gonad, 3 niche cell ROIs were measured. To quantify background fluorescence, an ROI was selected to encompass the unlabeled region of a single germ cell within each gonad, and a separate background ROI drawn from a region where no tissue was present. Background-subtracted fluorescence values for each niche cell were normalized, dividing by the background-subtracted value from the neighboring unlabeled germ cell for the respective gonad. Relative fluorescence values for each niche cell were plotted. Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate comparisons.

Quantification of fluorescence at cell junctions:

To quantify fluorescence intensity of F-actin between cell interfaces, we used ImageJ software to measure mean gray value fluorescence intensity within regions of interested (ROIs). ROIs were either niche-niche interfaces or niche-GSC interfaces. We selected 4-pixel-wide segmented line ROIs between niche cells and between niche cells and GSCs. 2-4 niche-niche and niche-GSC interfaces were measured in every gonad using N-cad to visualize each interface. We measured

the mean fluorescence intensity of our Ftractin-tdTomato blindly with N-cad as a boundary. To

estimate background fluorescence, a separate ROI was drawn from a region where no tissue was

present. Background-subtracted fluorescence values for each niche cell were normalized, divided

by the background-subtracted average value of all cell interfaces. Relative fluorescence values

for each interface were plotted. Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate comparisons.

Quantification of Stat accumulation:

To quantify Stat accumulation, we stained gonads with a Stat antibody (E. Bach, 1:200) and used

ImageJ to measure the mean gray value fluorescence intensity within regions of interest (ROIs).

During dissections and staining, we used the phosphatase inhibitor PhosStop (Sigma –

Cat#4906845001). We selected ROIs including a circular region to sample germ cells, using Vasa

immunofluorescence as a marker to delineate cell boundaries. Whole germ cells were measured

in a single Z plane in which the relevant cell was in focus. We selected ROIs by only using the

germ cell marker to mark sample regions to avoid biased observations towards brighter or dimmer

fluorescence of Stat. For each gonad, we sampled all GSCs we could clearly identify and 3-7

neighboring germ cells in the second tier, further from the germline stem cells. After background

subtraction, we measured the ratio of Stat accumulation within each GSC relative to the

neighboring germ cell average for that gonad. Relative Stat enrichment values were plotted for

each GSC. We obtained measurements on sibling controls and in mutants for *org1*. Mann-

Whitney tests were used to evaluate comparisons.

Centrosome anchoring quantification:

To quantify changes in centrosome orientation, we focused on GSCs with two visible centrosomes

as judged by immunostaining for Gamma tubulin, indicating that they had advanced at least to

the G2 stage of the cell cycle (Chen et. al., 2018). We then scored the position of the centrosome

nearest to the GSC-niche boundary as marked by N-cad accumulation. As expected, in control

gonads, this nearest of the pair of centrosomes was most often directly adjacent to the interface

judged to be within one to two centrosome diameters (Figure 4I; Ctrl; 52 / 65 near centrosomes).

In contrast, in mutant gonads many centrosomes were positioned further from the interface (see

Fig I).

Key Resources Table:

Reagent Type	Designation	Source	Identifiers
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	org1[OJ487]/ FM7DfdYFP or Y	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	Schaub et. al., 2012; RRID: BDSC_91555
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	org1 RNAi (II)	Vienna Drosophila Stock Center	v104393
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-org1	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	Schaub et. al., 2012; RRID: BDSC_92971
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*six4*-gal4/CyoDfdYFP; Dr/Tm3,sb	Anllo et al., 2019	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*six4*VP16-gal4/CyoDfdYFP; Dr/TM3,Sb	Warder et al., 2024	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w[*] ; P{w+ UAS-islet} on III	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_39662
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ry[506], P{ry+}hhLacZ	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_5530
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	P-Dsix4-eGFP::Moesin	R. Lehmann	Sano et. al., 2012
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Pbac{org-1-GFP.FPTB}VK00033/ TM6C, Sb[1]	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	Kudron et al., 2018
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	six4>ftractin tdTomato on II	Stephen DiNardo	RRID: BDSC_58989 UAS-ftractin tdTomato

Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Df(2R)BSC25 – *fgf* mutant	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_6865
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*slit[2]*	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_3266 (Battye et. al., 2001; Nusslein-Volhard et. al., 1984)
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	tupex4	S. Campuzano	FBal0216723; (Stathopoulos et al., 2004).
Antibody	Goat polyclonal anti-Vasa	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	RRID:AB_793880 Discontinued
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti Fasciclin III	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID:AB_528238
Antibody	Rabbit polyclonal anti STAT92E	E. Bach	
Antibody	Rabbit polyclonal anti RFP	Abcam	RRID:AB_945213
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti Islet	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID:AB_528313
Antibody	Guinea pig polyclonal anti Traffic jam	D. Godt	
Antibody	Rat anti Org-1	C. Shaub	
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti Gamma-tubulin	Sigma	RRID:AB_477584
Antibody	Rabbit Polyclonal anti Vasa	Boster Bio	DZ41154
Antibody	Chicken polyclonal anti Beta-gal	Abcam	ab9361-250
Antibody	Mouse Monoclonal anti Patched	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_528441
Antibody	Rat monoclonal anti CadN	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_528121
Antibody	Rat monoclonal anti CadE	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_528120
Antibody	Chick polyclonal anti GFP	Aves Labs	RRID: AB_2307313
Antibody	Alexafluor Secondary Antibodies (488, 647)	Molecular Probes	

Antibody	Cy3 Affinipure Secondary Antibodies	Jackson ImmunoResearch	RRID: AB_2307443
Chemical Compound, Drug	Hoechst	Sigma	Cat#33342; CAS Number: 875756-97-1
Chemical Compound, Drug	Normal Donkey Serum (NDS)	Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories	RRID: AB_2337258
Chemical Compound, Drug	Ringers		doi:10.1101/pdb.rec12409
Chemical Compound, Drug	Heptane		
Chemical Compound, Drug	Propyl-gallate	Sigma Aldrich	SKU: P3130; CAS Number 121-79-9
Chemical Compound, Drug	Para-Formaldehyde (PFA), 16%	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat#15710
Chemical Compound, Drug	Phosphatase inhibitor (PhosphaSTOP)	Roche/Sigma	Cat#4906845001
Software, Algorithm	FIJI (Image J)	www.fiji.sc	
Software, Algorithm	Image J	www.imagej.nih.gov/ij/	
Software, Algorithm	Graphpad Prism	Graphpad Software	RRID:SCR_002798
Software, Algorithm	Axio-Vision Imaging Software	Zeiss	v4.8.1
Software, Algorithm	Adobe Photoshop	https://www.adobe.com/uk/products/photoshop.html	RRID:SCR_014199

Equipment	Zeiss Axioimager.Z1	Zeiss	
Equipment	ApoTome.2	Zeiss	
Equipment	Xcite Xylis	Zeiss	
Equipment	40x 1.2 NA objective	Zeiss	
Equipment	Leica M165FC	Leica	
Equipment	GFP Filter set ET470/ 40x; ET525/50m	Leica 10447408	

Figure S1. Org1 antibody validation in *org1* mutants. (A, A') Control gonads with Org1
accumulation (red) in the niche (Fas3, white) at the anterior, along with variable punctate signal
in other places in the gonad (yellow arrow). (B, B') *org1* mutant gonads with no Org1
accumulation (red) in the niche (white) at the anterior. Note that the variable punctate signal still
persists (yellow arrow) in the mutant and is thus spurious background and cannot be due to
Org1. Scale bars, 10 um.
580

Figure S2. *hh* is expressed outside of the niche. Somatic cells (green), Niche (white), *hh*-lacZ (red), DNA (blue). (A-A") *hh*-lacZ reporter can be seen at high levels in niche cells, with lower levels of expression outside of the niche (yellow arrows) in control gonads. (B-B") *org1* mutant gonads with low *hh*-lacZ expression in the niche (quantification in Fig 2), and outside of the niche (yellow arrows).

Figure S3. *org1* mutants exhibit a lower ratio of niche cells relative to number of SGPs.
(A) Control and (B) *org1* mutant gonads with Traffic jam accumulation. Niches are outlined with
dotted lines. (C) Quantification of number of Traffic jam accumulating SGPs indicated fewer
SGPs in *org1* mutants versus controls (p = 0.0399, Ctrl n= 14 gonads; *org1* n=16 gonads,
Mann-Whitney test). (D) Quantification of SGP to Niche cell ratio showed a lower ratio of niche
cells relative to SGPs in *org1* mutants (p = 0.0010, Ctrl n=13 gonads; *org1* n=16 gonads, Mann-
Whitney test). Scale bars, 10 um.

Figure S4. *org1* RNAi with a Gal4 driver shows identity defects but not assembly defects.
Germ cells (green), N-cad (white), Fas3 (red), DNA (blue). (A-A') Control gonads with
assembled niches and clear Fas3 accumulation. (B,B') *six4*gal4 > *org1* RNAi gonads with fewer
niche cells and reduced Fas3 accumulation. (C) Quantification of niche cell count in six4-gal4
org1 RNAi gonads (p= 0.0022, Ctrl n=13 gonads; *six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi n=21 gonads, Mann-
Whitney Test). (D) Quantification of assembly defects in six4-gal4 *org1* RNAi gonads (p> 0.99,
Ctrl n=13 gonads; *six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi n=20, Mann-Whitney Test). Scale bars, 10 um.

Figure S5. N-cad and E-cad can be found spuriously in SGPs outside of the niche. (A-B")
Control gonads immunostained to show germ cells (green) and DNA (blue). (A) N-cad (white)
and (B) E-cad can be seen in an SGP (yellow arrow) outside of the niche but is not as enriched
at the cell cortex as in the anterior niche. (C-D') Germ cells (red), E-cad (white), DNA (blue). (C,
C') Control gonad with E-cad enriched in the cortex of niche cells. (D, D') *org1* mutant gonad
with E-cad enriched to similar levels in a presumptive lost niche cell outside of the anterior niche
(yellow arrow). Scale bars, 10 um.

References

1. Amoyel, M., Sanny, J., Burel, M. and Bach, E. A. (2013). Hedgehog is required for CySC self-renewal but does not contribute to the GSC niche in the Drosophila testis. *Development (Cambridge)* 140, 56–65.

2. Anllo, L. and DiNardo, S. (2022). Visceral mesoderm signaling regulates assembly position and function of the Drosophila testis niche. *Dev Cell* 57, 1009-1023.e5.

3. Anllo, L., Plasschaert, L. W., Sui, J. and DiNardo, S. (2019). Live imaging reveals hub cell assembly and compaction dynamics during morphogenesis of the Drosophila testis niche. *Dev Biol* 446, 102–118.

4. Battye, R., Stevens, A., Perry, R. L. and Jacobs, J. R. (2001). Repellent Signaling by Slit Requires the Leucine-Rich Repeats.

5. Boukhatmi, H., Schaub, C., Bataillé, L., Reim, I., Frendo, J. L., Frasch, M. and Vincent, A. (2014). An Org-1–Tup transcriptional cascade reveals different types of alary muscles connecting internal organs in Drosophila. *Development (Cambridge)* 141, 3761–3771.

6. Boyle, M. and DiNardo, S. (1995). Specification, migration and assembly of the somatic cells of the Drosophila gonad. *Development*.

7. Boyle, M., Bonini, N. and DiNardo, S. (1997). Expression and function of cliftin the development of somatic gonadal precursors within the Drosophila mesoderm. *Development*.

8. Chen, C., Cummings, R., Mordovanakis, A., Hunt, A., Mayer, M., Sept, D. and Yamashita, Y. (2018). Cytokine receptor-Eb1 interaction couples cell polarity and fate during asymmetric cell division.

9. de Cuevas, M. and Matunis, E. L. (2011). The stem cell niche: Lessons from the Drosophila testis. *Development* 138, 2861–2869.

10. DeFalco, T. J., Verney, G., Jenkins, A. B., McCaffery, J. M., Russell, S. and Van Doren, M. (2003). Sex-specific apoptosis regulates sexual dimorphism in the Drosophila embryonic gonad. *Dev Cell* 5, 205–216.

11. DiNardo, S., Okegbe, T., Wingert, L., Freilich, S. and Terry, N. (2011). Lines and bowl affect the specification of cyst stem cells and niche cells in the Drosophila testis. *Development* 138, 1687–1696.

12. Herrera, S. C. and Bach, E. A. (2019). JAK/STAT signaling in stem cells and regeneration: From drosophila to vertebrates. *Development (Cambridge)* 146,.

13. Herrera, S. C., Sainz de la Maza, D., Grmai, L., Margolis, S., Plessel, R., Burel, M., O'Connor, M., Amoyel, M. and Bach, E. A. (2021). Proliferative stem cells maintain

quiescence of their niche by secreting the Activin inhibitor Follistatin. *Dev Cell* 56, 2284-2294.e6.

14. Inaba, M., Buszczak, M. and Yamashita, Y. M. (2015). Nanotubes mediate niche-stem-cell signalling in the Drosophila testis. *Nature* 523, 329–332.

15. Jenkins, A. B., McCaffery, J. M. and Van Doren, M. (2003). Drosophila E-cadherin is essential for proper germ cell-soma interaction during gonad morphogenesis. *Development* 130, 4417–4426.

16. Kaestner, K. H. (2019). The Intestinal Stem Cell Niche: A Central Role for Foxl1-Expressing Subepithelial Telocytes. *CMGH* 8, 111–117.

17. Kawase, E., Wong, M. D., Ding, B. C. and Xie, T. (2004). Gbb/Bmp signaling is essential for maintaining germline stem cells and for repressing bam transcription in the Drosophila testis. *Development* 131, 1365–1375.

18. Kiger, A. A., Jones, D. L., Schulz, C., Rogers, M. and Fuller, M. (2001). Stem Cell Self-Renewal Specified byJAK-STAT Activation in Response to a Support Cell Cue. *Science (1979)* 294, 2539–2542.

19. Kitadate, Y. and Kobayashi, S. (2010). Notch and Egfr signaling act antagonistically to regulate germ-line stem cell niche formation in Drosophila male embryonic gonads. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 14241–14246.

20. Kudron, M. M., Victorsen, A., Gevirtzman, L., Hillier, L. W., Fisher, W. W., Vafeados, D., Kirkey, M., Hammonds, A. S., Gersch, J., Ammouri, H., et al. (2018). The modern resource: genome-wide binding profiles for hundreds of Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans transcription factors. *Genetics* 208, 937–949.

21. Le Bras, S. and Van Doren, M. (2006). Development of the male germline stem cell niche in Drosophila. *Dev Biol* 294, 92–103.

22. Leatherman, J. L. and Di Nardo, S. (2008a). Zfh-1 controls somatic stem cell self-renewal in the Drosophila testis and nonautonomously influences germline stem cell self-renewal. *Cell Stem Cell* 3, 44–54.

23. Leatherman, J. L. and Di Nardo, S. (2008b). Zfh-1 controls somatic stem cell self-renewal in the Drosophila testis and nonautonomously influences germline stem cell self-renewal. *Cell Stem Cell* 3, 44–54.

24. Leatherman, J. L. and Dinardo, S. (2010). Germline self-renewal requires cyst stem cells and stat regulates niche adhesion in Drosophila testes. *Nat Cell Biol* 12, 806–811.

25. Lee, S., Zhou, L., Kim, J., Kalbfleisch, S. and Schöck, F. (2008). Lasp anchors the
Drosophila male stem cell niche and mediates spermatid individualization. *Mech Dev*
125, 768–776.

26. Lenhart, K. F. and DiNardo, S. (2015). Somatic Cell Encystment Promotes Abscission in
Germline Stem Cells following a Regulated Block in Cytokinesis. *Dev Cell* 34, 192–205.

27. Li, L. and Xie, T. (2005). Stem cell niche: Structure and function. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*
21, 605–631.

28. Li, H., Janssens, J., de Waegeneer, M., Kolluru, S. S., Davie, K., Gardeux, V., Saelens,
W., David, F. P. A., Brbić, M., Spanier, K., et al. (2022). Fly Cell Atlas: A single-nucleus
transcriptomic atlas of the adult fruit fly. *Science (1979)* 375,.

29. Li, G., Li, Z., Li, L., Liu, S., Wu, P., Zhou, M., Li, C., Li, X., Luo, G. and Zhang, J. (2023).
Stem Cell-Niche Engineering via Multifunctional Hydrogel Potentiates Stem Cell
Therapies for Inflammatory Bone Loss. *Adv Funct Mater* 33,.

30. Losick, V. P., Morris, L. X., Fox, D. T. and Spradling, A. (2011). Drosophila Stem Cell
Niches: A Decade of Discovery Suggests a Unified View of Stem Cell Regulation. *Dev*
Cell 21, 159–171.

31. Marcellini, S., Technau, U., Smith, J. C. and Lemaire, P. (2003). Evolution of Brachyury
proteins: Identification of a novel regulatory domain conserved within Bilateria. *Dev Biol*
260, 352–361.

32. Michel, M., Kupinski, A. P., Raabe, I. and Bökel, C. (2012). Hh signalling is essential for
somatic stem cell maintenance in the drosophila testis niche. *J Cell Sci* 125, 2663–2669.

33. Morrison, S. J. and Spradling, A. C. (2008). Stem Cells and Niches: Mechanisms That
Promote Stem Cell Maintenance throughout Life. *Cell* 132, 598–611.

34. Nusslein-Volhard, C., Wieschaus, E. and Kluding, H. (1984). Roux's Archives of
Developmental Biology Mutations affecting the pattern of the larval cuticle in Drosophila
melanogaster I. Zygotic loci on the second chromosome.

35. Okegbe, T. C. and di Nardo, S. (2011). The endoderm specifies the mesodermal niche
for the germline in Drosophila via Delta-Notch signaling. *Development* 138, 1259–1267.

36. Riechmann, V., Rehorn, K.-P., Reuter, R. and Leptin, M. (1998). The genetic control of
the distinction between fat body and gonadal mesoderm in Drosophila. *Development*.

37. Roach, T. V. and Lenhart, K. F. (2024). Mating-induced Ecdysone in the testis disrupts
soma-germline contacts and stem cell cytokinesis. *Development (Cambridge)* 151,.

38. Sato, T., Van Es, J. H., Snippert, H. J., Stange, D. E., Vries, R. G., Van Den Born, M.,
Barker, N., Shroyer, N. F., Van De Wetering, M. and Clevers, H. (2011). Paneth cells
constitute the niche for Lgr5 stem cells in intestinal crypts. *Nature* 469, 415–418.

39. Schaub, C., Nagaso, H., Jin, H. and Frasch, M. (2012). Org-1, the Drosophila ortholog of
Tbx1, is a direct activator of known identity genes during muscle specification.
Development 139, 1001–1012.

40. Sheng, X. R., Posenau, T., Gumulak-Smith, J. J., Matunis, E., Van Doren, M. and
Wawersik, M. (2009). Jak-STAT regulation of male germline stem cell establishment
during Drosophila embryogenesis. *Dev Biol* 334, 335–344.

41. Sinden, D., Badgett, M., Fry, J., Jones, T., Palmen, R., Sheng, X., Simmons, A.,
Matunis, E. and Wawersik, M. (2012). Jak-STAT regulation of cyst stem cell
development in the Drosophila testis. *Dev Biol* 372, 5–16.

42. Stathopoulos, A., Tam, B., Ronshaugen, M., Frasch, M. and Levine, M. (2004). Pyramus
and thisbe: FGF genes that pattern the mesoderm of Drosophila embryos. *Genes Dev*
18, 687–699.

43. Tanentzapf, G., Devenport, D., Godt, D. and Brown, N. H. (2007). Integrin-dependent
anchoring of a stem-cell niche. *Nat Cell Biol* 9, 1413–1418.

44. Tseng, C. Y., Burel, M., Cammer, M., Harsh, S., Flaherty, M. S., Baumgartner, S. and
Bach, E. A. (2022). chinmo-mutant spermatogonial stem cells cause mitotic drive by
evicting non-mutant neighbors from the niche. *Dev Cell* 57, 80-94.e7.

45. Tulina, N. and Matunis, E. (2001). Control of Stem Cell Self-Renewal in Drosophila
Spermatogenesis by JAK-STAT Signaling. *Science (1979)* 294, 2546–2548.

46. Vida, G. S., Botto, E. and Dinardo, S. (2024). *Maintenance of niche architecture requires*
actomyosin and enables proper stem cell signaling and oriented division in the
Drosophila testis.

47. Walker, M. R., Patel, K. K. and Stappenbeck, T. S. (2009). The stem cell niche. *Journal*
of Pathology 217, 169–180.

48. Warder, B. N., Nelson, K. A., Sui, J., Anllo, L. and DiNardo, S. (2024). An actomyosin
network organizes niche morphology and responds to feedback from recruited stem
cells.

49. Wawersik, M., Milutinovich, A., Casper, A. L., Matunis, E., Williams, B. and Van Doren,
M. (2005). Somatic control of germline sexual development is mediated by the
JAK/STAT pathway. *Nature* 436, 563–567.

50. Wingert, L. and DiNardo, S. (2015). Traffic jam functions in a branched pathway from
Notch activation to niche cell fate. *Development (Cambridge)* 142, 2268–2277.

51. Yamashita, Y., Jones, L. and Fuller, M. (2003). Orientation of Asymmetric StemCell
Division by the APC TumorSuppressor and Centrosome. *Science (1979)* 301, 1547–
1550.

52. Yamashita, Y. M., Fuller, M. T. and Jones, D. L. (2005). Signaling in stem cell niches:
Lessons from the Drosophila germline. *J Cell Sci* 118, 665–672.

53. Zamfirescu, A-M., Yatsenko, AS., and Shcherbata, HR. (2022). Notch signaling sculpts
the stem cell niche. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10:1027222

54. Zheng, Q., Wang, Y., Vargas, E. and DiNardo, S. (2011). Magu is required for germline
stem cell self-renewal through BMP signaling in the Drosophila testis. *Dev Biol* 357,
202–210.

Acknowledgements:
We acknowledge Kirklan Naumuk for technical assistance. We thank Drs. Elizabeth Ables and
Beth Thompson, and the Lenhart lab for valuable feedback on the manuscript. We thank the
Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) for stocks, and D. Godt, E. Bach and
C. Schaub for antibodies. Work was supported by NIH grants R15 GM154246 to L.A. and R35
GM136270 to S.D.

Author Contributions:
Conceptualization, P.H. and L.A.; methodology, L.A.; validation, P.H. & L.A.; formal analysis,
P.H., T.G., and L.A. ; investigation P.H., T.G., and L.A. ; resources S.D. and L.A.; data curation
P.H. and L.A.; writing – original draft P.H.; writing – review & editing P.H., S.D., and L.A.;
visualization P.H. and L.A.; supervision S.D. and L.A.; project administration S.D. and L.A.;
funding acquisition S.D. and L.A.