Tbx1 ortholog *org-1* is required to establish testis stem cell niche identity in *Drosophila*

Patrick Hofe[1], Ariel Harrington[1], Tynan Gardner[2], Stephen DiNardo[2], Lauren Anllo[1]*

[1] Department of Biology, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858

[2] Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

*Communicating author: anllol22@ecu.edu

Abstract:

Stem cells require signals from a cellular microenvironment known as the niche that regulates identity, location, and division of stem cells. Niche cell identity must be properly specified during development to form a tissue capable of functioning in the adult. Here, we show that the Tbx1 ortholog *org1* is expressed in *Drosophila* testis niche cells in response to Slit and FGF signals. *org1* is expressed during niche development and is required to specify niche cell identity. *org1* mutants specified fewer niche cells, and those cells showed disruption of niche-specific markers, including loss of the niche adhesion protein Fas3 and reduced *hedgehog* expression. We found that *org1* expression in somatic gonadal precursors is capable of inducing formation of additional niche cells. Disrupted niche identity in *org1* mutants resulted in niche assembly and functionality defects. We find the conserved transcription factor *islet* is expressed in response to *org1* and show that *islet* functions downstream to mediate niche identity and assembly. This work identifies a novel role for *org1* in niche establishment.

Summary Statement:

Specification of niche identity is crucial to establish a functional stem cell microenvironment. Using a translatable model, we show that niche expression of *org1* influences *islet* to specify niche identity.

Introduction:

Stem cells play a vital role in maintaining functional tissues. The preservation of stem cells in many tissues is controlled by the stem cell niche, a local cellular microenvironment that supplies signals to maintain stem cell identity, location, and division rates (Li & Xie, 2005). A key concept in stem cell biology is use of diffusible signals to regulate stem cell behaviors. In models like the mammalian intestinal stem cell (ISC) niche, diffusible signals such as Wnt, Hh, and BMP regulate stem cells (Walker et al., 2008). These signals have varying origins since there are multiple cell types that comprise the intestinal stem cell niche (Walker et al., 2008). Because various cell lineages can comprise the niche, identification of niche cells is often challenging. In some tissues even the identity of the stem cells is unclear (Sato et al., 2011; Kaestner, 2019; Li et al., 2023). Thus, identifying regulators that specify niche identity during tissue development has remained a challenge in stem cell biology.

Because of its readily identifiable, well-defined niche, we leverage the *Drosophila* testis to study how niche cells acquire their identity and adopt proper function during development (de Cuevas & Matunis, 2011;Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006;Anllo et al., 2019;Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011;Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). The *Drosophila* testis is a paradigmatic niche in which many concepts at the foundation of stem cell biology continue to be elucidated (Herrera & Bach, 2019; Leatherman & DiNardo 2008 and 2010; Lenhart & DiNardo 2015; Inaba et al., 2015; Tulina & Matunis 2001; Yamashita et al., 2003; Zheng et. al., 2011). The singular cell type that comprises the testis niche, somatic "hub" cells, regulates germline and somatic stem cells required to maintain sperm production throughout the adult life of the fly (Lenhart and DiNardo, 2015; Roach and Lenhart, 2024). The wealth of powerful genetic tools available to study this niche make it an ideal model to identify regulators of niche cell fate.

Prior to niche formation, the embryonic Stage 15 *Drosophila* testis is a spherical arrangement of somatic gonadal precursors (SGPs) interspersed among and encysting germ cells (Jenkins et al., 2003; Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006). SGPs are specified from a subset of mesodermal cells adjacent to muscle precursors in embryonic parasegments 10-13 earlier in embryogenesis (Boyle et al., 1995; Boyle et al., 1997; Riechmann et al., 1998). Niche, or "hub," cells derive from SGPs, and are initially specified through Notch signaling (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; DiNardo et al., 2011). By stage 11 of embryogenesis, SGPs contacting the primordial midgut receive Delta ligands which initiate Notch signaling and niche specification (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). Continued specification, or further induction of niche cell identity after the initial acquisition of niche cell fate, is required to establish the niche. As part of continued specification, SGPs at the gonad anterior receive the

Notch ligand Serrate from other gonadal cells, and SGPs at the posterior receive EGFR

antagonistic signals that repress niche identity (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010). Defects in Notch

signaling result in fewer niche cells specified (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; DiNardo et al., 2011;

Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Specified niche cells downregulate the

conserved Maf transcription factor Traffic jam (Tj) in response to Notch (Wingert & DiNardo,

2015). A role for Notch signaling in niche formation has translated to other niches in *Drosophila*

and in mammals (Zamfirescu et al., 2022). Outside of Notch signaling, there is little known about

how testis niche cells acquire their identity.

After the initiation of specification, embryonic niche cells are compartmentalized to the

gonad anterior in a process called niche assembly (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006; Anllo et al.,

2019). During assembly, specified prospective (pro) niche SGPs move peripherally onto the

gonadal extracellular matrix (ECM), where they then migrate to their anterior assembly position

(Anllo et al., 2019). Our previous work revealed that extrinsic Slit and FGF signals from

neighboring visceral muscle (Vm) are required for this migration (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). At the

gonad anterior, niche cells first exhibit F-actin polarization to niche-niche interfaces, which later

re-polarizes to niche-stem cell interfaces as niche cells compact into a spherical arrangement

with smooth contour (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; Warder et al., 2024). Germline stem cells

contacting the assembled niche contribute to niche morphogenesis through actomyosin

networks that result in tension around the compacting hub periphery (Warder et al., 2024). The

assembled and compacted niche expresses niche specific markers such as *fasciclin3* and

hedgehog and is radially surrounded by germline stem cells (GSCs) and later, cyst stem cells

(CySCs) (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006; Sheng et al., 2009; Sinden et al., 2012; Wingert &

DiNardo, 2015). The niche makes adhesive connection to neighboring stem cells and

extracellular matrix to maintain its shape, location, and function (Lee et al., 2008; Vida et al.,

2024; Tanentzapf et al., 2007; Tseng et al., 2022; Amoyel et al., 2013).

After niche establishment, the niche functions throughout the life of the animal. The

niche's location, critical to maintain GSCs and CySCs, is maintained through Integrin-mediated

connections to the ECM (Anllo et al. 2019; Lee et al., 2008; Tanentzapf et al., 2007). In its

established position, the niche sends maintenance signals to its surrounding stem cells,

including Unpaired (Upd) and Hedgehog (Hh). Upd ligands activate JAK/STAT signaling in

GSCs, which regulates their adhesion to the niche and oriented cell divisions (Chen et. al.,

2018; Leatherman & DiNardo 2008 and 2010; Tulina & Matunis, 2001; Wawersik et al., 2005;

Yamashita et al., 2003). Niche-secreted Hedgehog regulates CySC self-renewal (Amoyel et al.,

2012). Niche signals are important for establishing the stem cell populations, and for long term

stem cell maintenance (Sheng et al., 2009; Sinden et al., 2012).

Because Notch signaling required to specify niche cells is initiated at stage 11 of

embryogenesis (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011), and niche-specific markers such as Fas3

accumulation are not observed until stage 15 of embryogenesis (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006;

Anllo et al., 2019), there are likely other factors influencing the specification of niche cells during

this period. Our work here identifies the Tbx1 ortholog *org1* as one of those factors. Tbx1 and its

orthologs play a conserved role across phyla in specifying mesodermal cell types (Marcellini et

al., 2003). Like Tbx1*, org1* encodes a transcription factor with a T-box DNA binding domain and

is a known regulator of mesodermal muscle fate during embryonic development (Schaub et al.

2012; Schaub & Frasch, 2013; Boukhatmi et al., 2014). Our previous work identified that the

conserved transcription factor *islet* is expressed in niche cells and required for both niche

assembly and cytoskeletal polarization downstream of Vm assembly cues (Anllo & DiNardo,

2022). *islet* expression is known to be regulated by *org1* during specification of alary

musculature (Boukhatmi et al., 2014). Here, we find that a parallel mechanism regulates

continued specification of niche cells and directs their anterior assembly. We show that *org1* is

expressed in the embryonic niche and reveal its requirement in establishing a full complement

of functional, assembled niche cells. We further show that Org1 accumulation is affected by Slit

and FGF signals, and that *islet* acts downstream of *org1*. These data reveal *org1* as a novel

regulator of niche identity and assembly for the *Drosophila* testis niche.

Results:

***org1* is expressed in the niche and is induced by Slit and FGF signals.**

Our previous work has shown that the conserved transcription factor *islet* is expressed in the

developing niche (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Because Org1 is a known regulator of *islet* in other

tissues (Boukhatmi et al., 2014), and single nuclear RNA sequence data (snRNAseq) suggested

that *org1* was enriched in the adult testis niche (Li et al., 2021; Raz et al. 2023), we tested

whether *org1* was expressed in the developing gonadal niche. Using an Org1::GFP transgenic

BAC reporter that rescues *org1* lethality (Kudron et al., 2018 and data not shown), we observed

Org1 in prospective niche cells beginning prior to assembly at embryonic stage 15/16 and after

niche assembly in stage 17 at the gonad anterior (Figure 1A-1C'). Org1::GFP detection in some

prospective niche cells coincided with the timing of Traffic jam downregulation (Figure 1A', 1B').

Additionally, we also saw SGPs without downregulated Traffic jam that exhibited lower levels of

Org1::GFP elsewhere in the gonad (Figure 1B-B", yellow arrows). We confirmed the stage 17

Org1::GFP expression pattern using an Org1 antibody (Schaub et al., 2012) that revealed a

signal in gonadal niche cell nuclei (Figure 1D, D') which was absent in *org1* mutants (Figure

S1). Our findings reveal that *org1* is expressed in the gonad niche, and its expression begins

before niche assembly.

Since *islet* is regulated by Slit and FGF signals from the visceral muscle (Vm), we tested if *org1*

is also regulated by these signals (Anllo and DiNardo, 2022). If *org1* is induced by these signals,

then loss of Slit and FGF Vm signals required for niche assembly would result in loss of Org1

accumulation in niche cells. We assessed Org1 accumulation in double mutant animals with the

slit[2] mutation and the small chromosomal deficiency Df(2R)BSC25 that removes both genes

encoding FGF Heartless ligands, *pyramis* and *thisbe* (see Methods). Indeed, loss of both

signals together resulted in diminished Org1 accumulation (Figure 1E-1F'). Additionally, loss of

either Slit or FGF separately resulted in diminished Org1 accumulation (Figure 1G-1H') and our

fluorescence quantifications supported these findings (Figure 1I-1K). Together, our data confirm

that *org1* is expressed in the niche and accumulates in response to Slit and FGF.

Figure 1. *org1* is expressed in the niche in response to Slit and FGF signals. (A-B')
Gonads (dotted line) from Stage 15 animals with the Org1::GFP BAC reporter, immunostained
for GFP (green) and Traffic jam (red). Fas3 (white) accumulates at assembling niche cell
interfaces. Org1::GFP is brightest when Traffic jam (red) is downregulated. Prospective niche
cells with downregulated Traffic jam (white arrows) and with Traffic jam not downregulated

(yellow arrows). (C-H) Gonads dissected from Stage 17 animals aged 20-22 hours at 25
degrees C. (C, C') Org1::GFP reporter (red) showed *org1* expression in the assembled niche
(dotted white line; Fas3, white). (D, D') Org1 antibody (magenta) revealed accumulation in the
niche (dotted white line; Fas3, white) with spurious non-specific signal at the posterior (see
Suppl Fig S1). (E-H) Dissected Stage 17 gonads immunostained to reveal Org1 (red) and the
Niche (Fas3, white). (E, E') Control gonads showed Org1 (red) accumulation in the niche
(white). (F, F') *slit, fgf* mutants had diminished accumulation of Org1. Gonads are outlined with
dotted lines. (G-G') *fgf* mutants had diminished niche Org1 accumulation. (H, H') *slit* mutants
had diminished niche Org1 accumulation. Gonads are outlined in yellow; niches are outlined in
white. (I) Quantification of Org-1 accumulation in niche cells in controls and Slit and FGF
signaling mutants (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=39 niche cells from 13 gonads; *slit, fgf* n= 30 niche cells,
from 10 gonads Mann-Whitney Test). (J) Quantified Org1 accumulation in *fgf* mutants and
heterozygous sibling controls (Het) (p= 0.0136, Het n=45 niche cells from 15 gonads; *fgf* n=48
niche cells from 16 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (K) Quantified Org1 accumulation in *slit*
mutants and heterozygous sibling controls (Het) (p= 0.0090, Het n=57 niche cells from 19
gonads; *slit* n=81 niche cells from 27 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). All quantifications are
shown for individual niche nuclei. Scale bars, 10um. n≥3 trials.
163

***org1* is necessary and sufficient for aspects of niche cell identity.**

Given the known role of *org1* in embryonic muscle specification, we asked if there were niche

identity defects in *org1* mutants (Schaub & Frasch, 2012; Boukhatmi et al., 2014). We

hypothesized that if *org1* had a role in specifying niche fate, we would see disruption of identity

markers. We assayed for niche identity markers Fasciclin3 (Fas3) accumulation and *hedgehog*

(*hh*) expression (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015) in an *org1* mutant allele

lacking the translation initiation codon and T-box domain (Schaub et al., 2012). *org1* mutants did

not accumulate the niche specific adhesion protein Fasciclin 3 (Figure 2B-2B'). Additionally,

org1 mutants had lower expression of a *hh*-lacZ reporter in niche cells compared to controls

(Figure 2C-2E). Interestingly, *hh* expression is known to be restricted to the adult niche (Amoyel

et. al., 2013). We find that while *hh* expression is highest in control embryonic niche cells, we

detect low levels of *hh* in some control SGPs outside the niche (Figure S2). Since these niche

identity markers were disrupted, we used N-cadherin (N-cad) accumulation to detect niche cells

in *org1* mutants. N-cad accumulation is enriched at the cortex of properly assembled anterior

niche cells, with low accumulation in other SGPs, affording a reasonable measure of

identification of niche cells at the anterior (Le Bras & Van Doren, 2006). When visualizing

gonads with N-cad immunofluorescence, we detected fewer N-cad accumulating niche cells in

org1 mutants compared to controls (Figure 2F-2G'). The detection of N-cad in some cells

indicates that not all aspects of niche identity are disrupted, suggesting a role for *org1* in

continued induction of niche fate after their initial specification.

To confirm that the fewer N-cad accumulating niche cells in *org1* mutants resulted from failure of
continued niche cell specification in *org1* mutants, and not simply a reduction in the number of
SGPs, we quantified the total number of SGPs in *org1* mutants. We assayed the SGP-specific
transcription factor Traffic jam (Tj) to count all SGPs (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). While there was
a slight decrease in number of SGPs, the fraction of these cells specified into niche cells was
significantly lower in *org1* mutants compared to control gonads (Figure S3). Together with our
data indicating a loss of the niche specific adhesion protein Fas3 and reduction of *hh* gene
expression in the niche, these results support a role for *org1* in continued specification of niche
identity (Figure 2, S3). Interestingly, *org1* mutant niche cells still down regulated *tj* as in normal
niches, indicating that some aspects of niche specification occur (Figure S3).

Since *org1* mutants had fewer niche cells, we tested whether overexpression of *org1* in all
SGPs would create more niche cells. Indeed, when overexpressing *org1* with a somatic lineage-
specific driver (*six4*-gal4; Anllo et. al., 2019), we generated additional N-cad and Islet
accumulating niche cells at the gonad anterior (Figure 2I-K; 5E-F). Together, our data suggest
that *org1* plays a role in specifying niche identity and is sufficient to induce niche identity in
additional SGPs.

Figure 2. *org1* is necessary and sufficient for aspects of niche cell identity. (A-D)
Dissected gonads immunostained to show germ cells (green), Fas3 (white), *hh*-lacZ (magenta),

DNA (blue). (A, A', C) Control niche cells accumulated niche specific markers Fas3 and
hedgehog reporter expression (*hh*-lacZ, separate gonad from A, A'). (B, B', D) *org1* mutants did
not accumulate Fas3 and had diminished expression of *hh*-lacZ (D is a separate gonad from B).
(E) Quantified *hh*-lacZ expression in niche cells accumulating N-cad (p= 0.0294, Ctrl n=24 niche
cells from 7 gonads; *org1* n=30 niche cells from 10 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (F, F') Control
gonads accumulated enriched N-cad at the cortex of niche cells. (G, G') *org1* mutant niches had
fewer cells accumulating enriched N-cad. (F-G') Germ cells (green), N-cad (white), DNA (blue).
(H) Quantification of N-cad accumulating niche cells per gonad (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=15 gonads;
org1 n=20 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (I, I') Control gonad with *six4*moesinGFP marking
somatic F-actin. (J, J') SGP driven *org1* overexpression in gonads generated niches that had
more cells in comparison to controls. (I-J') Somatic cells (green), Niche (white), DNA (blue). (K)
Quantification of niche cell count (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=26 gonads; *org1* n=26 gonads, Mann-
Whitney Test). Dotted lines, niche. Scale bars, 10 um. n≥3 trials.
218
219
org1 is required SGP intrinsically for niche identity and assembly.

The data above were collected from a whole organism *org1* mutant line in which all T-box

binding domains were excised (Schaub et al., 2012). To test whether *org1* is required

autonomously in SGPs, we disrupted *org1* using two somatic lineage-specific drivers that varied

in strength, *six4*VP16-gal4 (Warder et al., 2024) and *six4*-gal4. Using the weaker driver (*six4*-

gal4), we found an expected decrease in niche cell number, and Fas3 accumulation appeared

reduced (Figure S4). Assuming this driver generates only a partial knockdown, this suggests a

stringent requirement of *org1* in niche cell specification (See Discussion). Excitingly, using the

stronger driver (*six4*VP16-gal4), we saw both fewer niche cells (Figure 3A-3C), and dispersed

niche cells accumulating low levels of Fas3 (Figure 3B'-3B'', 3D). It is possible this dispersed

phenotype was not something we could observe in the global *org1* mutants due to the complete

loss of Fas3 (Figure 2). Other niche cell markers, including E-cad and N-cad, accumulate on

control non-niche SGPs to a degree, so dispersed niche cells might not have been apparent

(Figure S5). Our data suggest that *org1* is primarily a specification factor during niche

establishment and secondarily affects niche assembly.

To further support an SGP intrinsic requirement for *org1* during niche establishment, we

performed a tissue-specific rescue experiment. We expressed *org1* with our somatic lineage

specific driver, *six4*-gal4, in whole organism *org1* mutants and observed rescued Fas3

accumulation (Figure 3E-3H'). Our rescue experiment simultaneously expressed the F-actin

label Ftractin-tdTomato in SGPs, allowing analysis of niche cytoskeletal polarity. Our previous

work has shown that F-actin polarizes to niche-stem cell interfaces after assembly is complete

(Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; Warder et al., 2024). While F-actin polarization at niche-stem cell

interfaces is disrupted in *org1* mutant niche cells, this polarization was rescued with *org1*

overexpression in SGPs (Fig 3F-K, see arrows). These data suggest that *org1* in SGPs is

sufficient to initiate downstream cell biological events that polarize F-actin in the assembled

niche.

Figure 3. *org1* is required SGP intrinsically for niche identity and assembly. (A, A', A'')
Control gonads with N-cad (white) and Fas3 (red) accumulating at the anterior. (B, B', B'') *org1*
knockdown with six4gal4VP16 gonads showed fewer niche cells that accumulate N-cad (white)
and Fas3 (red) at the anterior, with displaced niche cells (yellow arrow). Brightness and contrast
were adjusted in A'' and B'' to enable visualization of Fas3. (C) Niche cell count per gonad in
org1 knockdown (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=23 gonads; six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi n=22 gonads, Mann-
Whitney Test). (D) Quantification of niche assembly in six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi (p= 0.0006,
Ctrl n= 10 gonads; *org1* RNAi n=14 gonads, Fisher's Exact Test) (E) Quantified Fas3
accumulation in *org1* rescue niches (p<0.0001, *org1* n= 14 gonads; *org1; org1* OE n= 16
gonads, Fisher's Exact Test). (F-H) Yellow arrows indicate niche-GSC F-actin interfaces and
blue arrows indicate niche-niche F-actin interfaces. (F, F') Control gonad with Fas3 (green)

accumulating at the anterior. (G, G') *org1* knockout mutant gonad with no Fas3 (green)
accumulation. (H, H') *org1* knockout mutant with *org1* overexpression in somatic gonadal cells
(red) with rescued Fas3 (green) accumulation. (F-H) arrows indicate F-actin enrichment at
specific SGP interfaces. (I) Quantified F-actin polarization in control gonads (p<0.0001, n-n
interfaces n=23; n-gsc interfaces n=20, from 7 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (J) Quantified F-
actin polarization in *org1* mutants (not significant, n-n interfaces n=49; n-gsc interfaces n=38,
from 14 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (K) Quantified F-actin polarization in *org1* mutant rescued
gonads (p<0.0001, n-n interfaces n=47; n-gsc interfaces n=38, from 16 gonads, Mann-Whitney
Test). Scale bars, 10 um. n≥3 trials.
268
269
***org1* is important for niche to stem cell communication.**

Given the defects in niche identity and organization seen when *org1* is compromised, we tested

if the function was compromised without *org1*. We used known steady state niche signaling

pathways and centrosome position to assay for niche function deficits (Leatherman & DiNardo,

2010; Kiger et al., 2001; Tulina & Matunis, 2001; Yamashita et al., 2003, 2005). Stat

accumulates in GSCs in response to the niche signal Upd1 (Chen et al., 2018; Kiger et al.,

2003). We assessed *upd1* expression in niche cells by quantifying levels of *upd1*-Gal4 driven

RFP fluorescence and found significantly reduced expression in niche cells in whole organism

org1 mutants (Figure 4A-C). Additionally, we measured reduced Stat accumulation in GSCs

(Figure 4D-4E', 4F), which was consistent with loss of *upd1* expression and impaired niche-

GSC communication. Niche cells are also responsible for sending Hh signals to prospective

CySCs to enable their self-renewal (Michel et al., 2012; Amoyel et al., 2013). We examined

localization of Patched, a Hh receptor that accumulates in vesicles in the niche and CySCs in

response to signaling (Amoyel et al., 2013; Michel et al., 2012; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015) (Fig

4G-4H'). *org1* mutants had significantly reduced Patched accumulation in the niche and never

accumulated Patched in nearby somatic cells (Figure 4G-4H', 4I). This finding aligns with the

reduced *hh* expression we detected in niche cells when *org1* was disrupted (Figure 2C-E),

correlating reduced ligand expression to disruption in niche-CySC communication.

We also tested stem cell behavior in the absence of *org1*. During normal GSC divisions, one

centrosome is anchored close to the niche-germline stem cell interface, while the other

centrosome moves to the opposite GSC pole. The alignment of one centrosome at the niche

interface enables GSC divisions perpendicular to the niche (Yamashita et al., 2003). Gonads

from *org1* null animals showed defects in centrosome alignment, with both GSC centrosomes

often displaced from the interface with the nearby niche cell. This displacement positioned

centrosomes in orientations that would preclude perpendicular GSC divisions from the niche

(Figure 4J-4K', 4L). Together, our data suggest that *org1* is required to generate niche cells

capable of communication with stem cells, further supporting a role for *org1* in niche cell

specification.

To ask whether *org1* is required cell autonomously in the somatic gonad to enable niche

communication with the stem cells, we assessed STAT and Patched accumulation in the

six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi condition. Consistent with *org1* null animals, somatic gonadal

knockdown with *org1* RNAi resulted in failed accumulation of Patched in the niche and

neighboring somatic cells (Figure 4M-O), and failed accumulation of STAT in neighboring GSCs

(Figure 4P-R). These data indicate a cell autonomous requirement for *org1* in communication

with stem cells.

We hypothesized that failed communication to neighboring stem cells would adversely affect

stem cell maintenance. Neither *org1* null nor six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi animals are viable past

embryogenesis, precluding analysis of later stem cell maintenance. We thus assessed GSC

maintenance by quantifying the number of STAT+ GSCs adherent to the embryonic niche. We

determined a threshold level of STAT+ accumulation quantified as one standard deviation below

the mean in control GSCs (see methods). Our data revealed significantly fewer STAT+ GSCs

(Figure 4S-T), and fewer total GSCs adherent to the niche (Figure 4U) in the six4VP16-gal4

org1 RNAi somatic cell knockdown, which disrupts *org1* during niche specification and prior to

and through niche assembly. These data suggest functional defects in GSC maintenance when

org1 is autonomously disrupted in the somatic gonad.

Figure 4. *org1* is important for niche to stem cell communication. (A, A') *upd1*-Gal4 drives
RFP expression in control niche cells, but (B, B') not in *org1* null animals. (C) Quantification of
RFP fluorescence in niche cells (p < 0.0001, Ctrl n = 100 niche cells from 20 gonads; *org1* n =
140 niche cells from 28 gonads) (D, D') STAT (red) accumulated in germline stem cells (GSCs,

dotted line) in control gonads, but (E, E') not in *org1* mutant germline stem cells. (F)
Quantification of STAT accumulation (p= 0.0041, Ctrl n=44 GSCs from 11 gonads; *org1* n=52
GSCs from 16 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (G, G') Hedgehog signaling target Patched (red)
accumulated in the niche (white dotted line) and Cyst stem cells (yellow arrow). (H, H'') Patched
did not accumulate in *org1* mutant gonads in the niche or cyst stem cells. (I) Quantified Patched
accumulation in the niche (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=9 gonads; *org1* n= 15 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test).
(J, J') In control gonads with duplicated GSC centrosomes, one centrosome was aligned
adjacent to the interface with the niche (yellow bracket). Insets show germline stem cells
outlined in white, and the niche boundary (Fas3, white). (K, K') In *org1* mutant gonads,
duplicated centrosomes were often not aligned adjacent to the niche interface (yellow bracket).
(L) Quantified centrosome alignment (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=64 GSCs from 18 gonads; *org1* n= 68
GSCs from 21 gonads, Fisher's Exact Test). (J', K') Insets show centrosome pairs. (M-N)
Hedgehog signaling target Patched accumulated in niche cells and CySCs in controls (M-M')
but not in six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi gonads (N-N'). (O) Quantified Patched accumulation in the
niche (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=34 gonads; *org1* RNAi n= 17 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (P, P')
STAT (red) accumulated in GSCs (dotted line) in control gonads, but (Q, Q') not in six4VP16-
gal4 *org1* RNAi germline stem cells. (R-T) Quantified STAT accumulation (R), STAT+ GSCs (S),
and percentage of GSCs that are STAT+ (T) (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=246 GSCs from 28 gonads; *org1*
RNAi n=146 GSCs from 19 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (U) Number of GSCs contacting N-
cad positive niche cells in control and six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi gonads (p = 0.033, Ctrl n = 29
gonads, six4VP16-gal4 *org1* RNAi n = 19 gonads). For all panels: N-cad (white), scale bars, 10
um. Germ cells are indicated with *nos*-lifeactin::tdTomato (green, panels M-N) or Vasa (green,
all other panels). n≥3 trials.
347
348
islet* regulates niche cell specification downstream of *org1

Our previous work has shown that *islet* is expressed downstream of Vm signals Slit and FGF

and is important for niche assembly (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Here, we show *org1* is also

expressed downstream of Slit and FGF and has a role in niche assembly (see Figure 1,3).

Because *org1* is known to induce *islet* expression in embryonic muscle (Boukhatmi et al., 2014),

we next tested if *org1* regulated *islet* in the embryonic gonad. Indeed, we saw that Islet was no

longer enriched in *org1* mutant niche cells (Figure 5A-5C). We also hypothesized that if *org1* is

regulating *islet*, we would see Islet accumulation in the additional niche cells specified with our

SGP lineage specific *org1* overexpression. Indeed, we saw that additional niche cells at the

anterior also accumulated Islet (Figure 5D-5F). These data indicate that Islet accumulates in

response to *org1* expression.

Figure 5. *org1* is important for Islet accumulation. (A, B) Germ cells (Vasa, green), Niche (Ncad, white), DNA (Hoechst, blue). (A, A') Control gonads showed Islet (magenta) accumulation enriched in the niche. (B, B') *org1* mutant gonads exhibited diminished Islet accumulation. (C) Islet accumulation quantification in niche cells (p<0.0001, Ctrl n=63 from 21 gonads; *org1* n=63 from 21 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (D, D', D'') Control gonads with N-cad (white) and Islet (red) accumulating in niche cells. (E, E', E'') *org1* overexpressing gonad with additional niche cells (white) that also accumulated Islet (red). (F) Quantification of number of Islet accumulating cells (p= 0.0013, Ctrl n=16 gonads; *org1* OE n=18 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). Scale bars, 10 um. n≥3 trials.

The accumulation of Islet in response to *org1* parallels the transcriptional cascade that specifies embryonic alary muscle specification (Boukhatmi et al., 2014). We thus hypothesized that *islet*

may also play a role in continued specification of niche identity in addition to the role we

previously found during assembly (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). To test this hypothesis, we

quantified the number of Fas3 accumulating niche cells in *islet* mutants compared to controls.

Indeed, we counted fewer Fas3 accumulating cells in *islet* mutants, supporting our hypothesis

(Figure 6A-6C). This reduction in Fas3 accumulating cells is less striking than the complete loss

of Fas3 accumulation in the majority of *org1* mutant gonads (Figure 2A-B; 3E), suggesting a

more prominent role for *org1* in continued niche specification.

To further support a role for *islet* in niche specification downstream of *org1,* we asked whether

we could rescue *org1* mutant niche cell number with *islet* overexpression. We overexpressed

islet with our SGP lineage-specific driver *six4*-gal4. In gonads with both the *org1* mutation and

SGP-specific restoration of *islet*, we saw increased numbers of niche cells compared to *org1*

mutants alone (Figure 6G-6J'), supporting an ability to rescue niche cell identity. While niche cell

number increased with *islet* overexpression, this number was still not fully rescued to control

levels, and *islet* overexpression in control genetic backgrounds does not significantly increase

niche cell number (Figure 6G). These results suggest that while *islet* clearly contributes to niche

identity, its expression alone is not fully sufficient to induce niche cell fate. The partial rescue

also suggests that *islet* is not the only relevant *org1* target for continued specification. Taken

together, our results support a role for *islet* in niche cell specification and suggest that it acts

downstream of *org1* in this role.

Figure 6. *islet* regulates niche cell specification downstream of *org1*. Germ cells (Vasa, green), DNA (Hoechst, blue), Niche cells (white). Scale bars, 10 um. (A, A') Control gonads with niche (Fas3, white) at the anterior. (B, B') *islet* mutant gonad with disrupted niche (Fas3, white). (C) Quantification of niche cells per gonad in *islet* mutants (p= 0.0019, Ctrl n=23 gonads; *islet* n=19 gonads, Mann-Whitney Test). (D, D') Control gonads with N-cad (white) and Islet (red) accumulating in niche cells. (E, E') *org1* mutant gonad with fewer niche cells accumulating N-cad at the anterior. (F, F') An *org1* mutant with *islet* overexpression in somatic gonadal cells had more niche cells than *org1* mutants, and a displaced niche cell (yellow arrow). (G) Number of niche cells per gonad for *org1* rescue with *islet* overexpression experiments (*org1* mut vs *org1* sib, p<0.0001, *org1* mut n=32 gonads; *org1* sib n=21 gonads. *org1* mut vs *org1* mut *islet* OE, p=0.0005, *org1* mut n=32 gonads; *org1* mut *islet* OE n=40 gonads. *org1* sib vs *org1* mut *islet* OE, p=0.0338, *org1* sib n=21 gonads; *org1* mut *islet* OE n=40 gonads. *org1* sib vs *org1* sib *islet* OE, not significant, *org1* sib n=21 gonads; *org1* sib *islet* OE n=22 gonads) Mann-Whitney Tests. Scale bars, 10 um. n≥3 trials.

411 **Figure 7. Predicted model of establishment of niche identity and assembly.** Diffusible
412 signals Slit and FGF regulate *org1*. The Visceral muscle (Vm) is a neighboring tissue source of
413 both signals. *org1* functions to regulate *islet* for niche identity and assembly.
414

Discussion:

An impressive body of work has explored stem cell and niche functions (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; Kaestner et. al., 2019; Losick et al., 2011; Morrison & Spradling, 2008; Sato et al., 2011; Vida et al., 2024; Warder et. al., 2024; Wei et al., 2023; Yamashita et al., 2005), yet how niche cells acquire their identity and are positioned to properly regulate resident stem cells is largely unknown. Prior to our work, Notch signaling and a downstream transcriptional regulator, *traffic jam,* were identified as inputs to *Drosophila* testis niche identity (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011; Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). These inputs are first induced by early contact with the developing gut (Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). Our previous work studying extrinsic signals important for niche establishment has linked niche assembly with niche function in this system (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022), and prior work has linked niche cell identity and assembly (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Here, we have identified a novel regulator that further supports a connection between the processes of niche identity and assembly, the Tbx1 ortholog *org1*. We show that *org1* is expressed in response to Slit and FGF signals, which we previously identified as niche assembly cues from neighboring visceral muscle (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; this work). In addition, we have defined *islet* as a regulator of niche identity operating downstream of *org1* (Fig 7, model)*.* The combination of these factors suggests that niche assembly and identity are controlled through overlapping processes. Our work addresses a knowledge gap in mechanisms establishing a functional stem cell niche.

Testis niche identity and assembly are linked

Our previous work showed that the Visceral muscle signals Slit and FGF are required for niche assembly. When niche assembly was compromised, niche function was also disrupted even though these manipulations did not directly affect niche identity (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022). Previous work suggested a connection between niche identity and assembly (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). In response to the niche specification signal Notch, the Maf transcription factor *traffic jam* (*tj*) is downregulated. *traffic jam* mutants specify additional niche cells that do not express all niche identity markers, and as a result do not assemble at the anterior (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Interestingly, activating other requirements of niche identity such as Bowl places the additional *tj* mutant niche cells at the gonad anterior (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015; DiNardo et al., 2011). This suggests that reduction of *traffic jam* alone is not sufficient for niche assembly at the anterior, supporting a role for additional regulators specifying niche cells capable of assembly. Perhaps the signals necessary for anterior assembly (Slit and FGF) are unable to be received by ectopic niche cells in *tj* mutants.

We have shown that niche assembly signals Slit and FGF are important for regulating the novel

niche identity regulator *org1*. Gonads with compromised *org1* show disruptions to markers of

niche identity, including complete loss of Fas3, reduction of *hh*, loss of *upd1* expression, and

fewer cells accumulating N-cadherin. The few niche cells that do accumulate N-cad exhibit

assembly defects in which a portion of these cells do not arrive at the anterior (this work). The

loss of continued niche specification after earlier Notch signaling might account for incomplete

assembly when *org1* is disrupted. *traffic jam* mutants show additional cells expressing some

niche identity markers, and a portion of these cells are also located in positions away from the

gonad anterior (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Together with work presented here, these data link

proper specification of niche identity with anterior assembly. While these data support that niche

identity is important for aspects of niche assembly, some niche cells still assemble anteriorly in

these instances when continued specification is disrupted. Perhaps differential adhesive sorting

enables partially specified pro-niche cells at the gonad anterior to assemble.

Interestingly, the loss of *slit* and *fgf* regulators do not completely mimic phenotypes seen in *org1*

mutants. For instance, *slit* and *fgf* signaling mutants are capable of accumulating Fas3, whereas

org1 mutants do not. We detect residual Org1 accumulation in niche cells from *slit* and *fgf*

mutants (Figure 1) suggesting that additional regulators are impacting *org1* expression. We

suspect that while Slit and FGF both regulate *org1*, Slit and FGF signaling have a primary role in

facilitating niche cell anterior placement, while *org1* has a primary function in continued

specification of niche cell identity.

islet has dual roles in niche identity and assembly downstream of _org1_

To further link niche identity and assembly, we present an exciting new role for the transcription

factor *islet* in niche specification in addition to the role we previously revealed for *islet* in niche

assembly. Together with our previous study, this work reveals that Slit and FGF signals induce

both *org1* and *islet* expression in niche cells (Anllo & DiNardo, 2022; this work). The visceral

muscle (Vm) is an exciting candidate source of the Slit and FGF ligands inducing *org1*, as previous

work revealed Vm as an important tissue supplying these signals for niche assembly (Anllo &

DiNardo, 2022). In addition, we show that *org1* regulates *islet* in the niche, as *org1* mutant niches

lack Islet, and niche cell numbers are increased by overexpressing *islet* in SGPs in an *org1* mutant

background. These data support the model of a transcriptional cascade regulating *islet* first

through Slit and FGF signals inducing *org1,* which in turn induces *islet* expression (Figure 7). *org1*

has a more prominent role in continued niche specification than *islet*, as *org1* mutants often lack

Fas3 accumulation (Figure 2A-B; 3E), whereas *islet* mutants have fewer Fas3 positive niche cells

(Figure 6B). This result, along with the finding that *islet* overexpression only partially rescues niche

cell number (Figure 6E), suggests that other genes act downstream of *org1* in addition to *islet*.

Interesting questions that remain include identifying these additional *org1* targets that contribute

to continued specification, and revealing how *islet* influences the number of niche cells that

accumulate Fas3 and N-cad.

The detection of dispersed, unassembled niche cells in our *six4*VP16-gal4 driven *org1* RNAi was

unexpected. It is reasonable to suggest earlier and stronger knockdown driving *org1* RNAi with

the enhanced *six4*VP16-Gal4 driver compared to that observed with *six4*-Gal4. Since we only

observed assembly defects under the presumed stronger conditions, we infer that *org1* has a

primary role in niche cell identity and a secondary role in niche assembly.

***org1* regulates testis niche identity**

In alignment with Tbx1 orthologs and their conserved role in specifying mesodermal cell types,

we found it fitting to test if *org1* had a role in specifying the mesodermally-derived niche cells.

Using the niche identity markers Fasciclin3 (Fas3), *unpaired1* (*upd1*), and *hedgehog* (*hh*), we

saw that *org1* mutants were unable to properly accumulate these markers (Le Bras & Van

Doren, 2006; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). *hh* and its expression have been linked to niche

assembly downstream of Notch (Wingert & DiNardo, 2015). Using the *hh*-lacZ reporter, we

detected high *hh* expression in the niche, and low expression in SGPs outside the niche (Figure

S2). While we find that *hh* expression is not solely restricted to the niche in the embryonic gonad

as it is in the adult (Amoyel et. al., 2013), our ability to detect higher *hh* expression in niche cells

enables us to use its upregulated expression as a reliable marker for niche identity.

There are residual niche cells in *org1* mutants, which suggests that other inputs of niche identity

are partly active. It has been previously described that Notch signaling is necessary for

specifying niche cells (Kitadate & Kobayashi, 2010; Okegbe & DiNardo, 2011). The average

number of niche cells in Notch mutants is far fewer than we see in *org1* mutants, which

suggested to us that *org1* is either one of multiple effectors downstream of Notch or involved in

a parallel niche specification mechanism. One of the effects of Notch signaling in specifying

niche cells is to downregulate Traffic jam (Tj) accumulation in these cells. Previously, it was not

known when downregulation of *traffic jam* began in prospective niche cells (Wingert & DiNardo,

2015). We detected downregulation of *tj* coinciding with the beginning of *org1* expression in

prospective niche cells after gonad coalescence. Since *org1*-expressing cells had lowered

levels of Tj, we considered whether *org1* might repress Tj. However, we have shown that Tj is

not regulated by *org1* (Figure S2). Alternatively, Tj might block *org1* expression. This

relationship could explain the higher accumulation or Org1::GFP we detect in SGPs with

reduced Tj (Figure 1B-B'', yellow arrows). Future work should assess whether Tj regulates *org1*

downstream of Notch.

Our work has established *org1* as a novel regulator of identity and assembly during testis niche

establishment. Multiple factors identified in the *Drosophila* testis stem cell niche have translated

into other systems, including a role for Notch in niche specification (Zamfirescu et al., 2022).

Because of the numerous cell biological concepts elucidated in this niche that have translated to

other systems, our work lays the foundation for future studies to investigate a conserved

capacity of Tbx1 to specify gonadal mesoderm or niche cell fate.

Study limitations: While we reveal a role for *org1* in continued niche specification during

development, our genetic manipulations do not survive past embryogenesis. This precludes our

ability to assess later effects of losing *org1* during development. This work importantly reveals a

role for *org1* during initial niche formation, and future work will be required to study a role for

org1 in adult niche maintenance. Finally, while assessing double mutant animals would be one

way to support evidence of *islet* downstream of *org1*, genetic odds of conducting this

experiment are not feasible.

Methods:

Embryonic gonad dissection and immunostaining:

Embryos were collected for 2 hours and then aged for 20-22 hours in a humidified chamber at 25 C to stage 17. Embryos were then sorted for mutant and control genotypes using fluorescently marked balancer chromosomes (FM7DfdYFP or TM6DfdGFP). Sorted embryos were dissected and immunostained as previously described (Anllo et. al. 2019). Dissected tissue was incubated at 4C overnight with primary antibodies. Secondary antibodies were used at 3.75 ug/mL (Alexa488, Cy3, or Alexa647; Molecular Probes; Jackson Immunoresearch) for 1.5-2 hours at room temperature. DNA was stained with Hoechst33342 (Sigma) at 0.2-0.3 ug/mL for 6 minutes.

We used goat anti Vasa 1:800 (Santa Cruz Biotech -Discont.); rabbit anti Vasa 1:5000 (BosterBio DZ41154); mouse anti Fasciclin III 1:50 (DSHB AB_528238); rat anti N-cadherin 1:20 (DSHB AB_528121); rat anti E-cad 1:20 (DSHB AB_528120); rabbit anti Stat92E 1:200 (Flaherty et al., 2010); rabbit anti RFP 1:500 (Abcam ab62341); mouse anti Islet 1:200 (DSHB AB_528313); guinea pig anti Traffic jam 1:10,000 (Gift from D. Godt); rat anti Org-1 1:200 (gift from C. Schaub); mouse anti Gamma Tubulin 1:200 (Sigma AB_477584); chicken anti Beta-gal 1:1000 (Abcam ab9361-250); mouse anti Patched 1:50 (DSHB AB_528441); and chicken anti GFP 1:1000 (Aves labs AB_2307313).

Tissue was imaging using a Zeiss AxioImager.Z1 microscope equipped with and ApoTome.2, X-cite Xylis fluorescent light source, and Axiocam 705 mono camera, using 40x 1.2 N.A. plan apo lens. Org1::GFP reporter images in Stage 15 embryos were obtained with a Crest X-Light V3 spinning disk confocal on an Olympus IX83 platform with a 60x 1.3 N.A. plan apo silicone oil immersion objective.

Visualization of Stage 15 embryonic gonads:

Embryos were collected on grape juice agar plates supplemented with a dab of fresh yeast paste. After allowing adult flies to lay fertilized eggs for 16 h, the embryos were transferred to a nylon mesh strainer by rinsing with de-ionized water and using a paintbrush. Embryos were de-chorionated with 50% bleach for no longer than 5 min. Embryos were fixed in a glass vial at 23 degrees C in a 1:1 mixture of 4% paraformadelhyde (PFA) in PBS:heptane for 18 min while on a nutator (Mitchison and Sedat, 1983). The PFA was removed and replaced with an equal volume of 100% methanol. The vial was vigorously shaken for 30-60 seconds to devitellinize the embryos. Heptane was then removed, and devitellinized embryos were washed with 100% methanol three

times. Embryos were washed once with 50% methanol in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), then

again with 100% PBS with 0.1% Triton for gentle rehydration. Embryos were blocked for at least

1 hour in 4% Normal Donkey Serum, prior to immunostaining and imaging as described above.

Stage 15 embryos selected for imaging based on Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein (1985).

***Drosophila* strains:**

All *Drosophila* lines used are listed in the Key Resources Table. *org1[OJ487]* is a null allele lacking

the translation initiation codon and T-box domain (Schaub et al., 2012). Org1::GFP is a bacterial

artificial chromosome (BAC) including the *org1* coding sequence and nearby genetic regulatory

elements upstream of an in-frame GFP sequence (Kudron et al., 2018). We have confirmed that

this BAC rescues *org1* lethality (data not shown). *slit[2]* is a null allele with no detectable protein

product (Battye et. al., 2001; Nusslein-Volhard et. al., 1984). To remove *pyr* and *ths* FGF ligands

together, we used a small chromosomal deficiency, Df(2R)BSC25, which completely deletes the

genes encoding both ligands that bind the Heartless FGF receptor (Stathopoulos et al., 2004).

When generating *org1[OJ487]*, *upd1*-Gal4 recombinant chromosomes, we screened for

homozygous lethality and the ability to drive *upd1*-Gal4, UAS-redStinger expression in larval

tissues.

Identification of male gonads, and the niche:

We identified male gonads by the presence of male specific SGPs at the posterior (De Falco et.

al., 2003) which were visualized with Vasa (BosterBio) or six4moeGFP (Sano et al., 2012).

Additionally, male gonads can be identified by the fact that they have more proliferative germ cells

and therefore have more germ cells than female gonads (Anllo et. al., 2019; Wawersik et. al.,

2005). Niches in stage 17 embryos were identified via immunofluorescence by niche cell specific

accumulation of Fasciclin 3 (DSHB AB_528238), or enrichment of N-cadherin (DSHB

AB_528121) or E-cadherin (DSHB AB_528120).

Characterization of niche phenotypes:

When assessing morphology and proper assembly of the niche, we characterized a niche as

either "assembled" or "unassembled". "Assembled" niches show all niche cells contacting one

another at the gonad anterior and have clearly smoothened cell boundaries around the niche

periphery. "Unassembled" niches have niche cells anterior and at the gonad periphery, but with

irregular boundaries, and/or have dispersed niche cells that are not contacting the anterior cluster

of niche cells.

Rigor and Reproducibility:

All experiments were conducted at least in triplicate (n$\geq$3 trials) with sufficiently large sample sizes that previously enabled detection of significant differences (significance level of less than or equal to 0.05%). Genetic controls were always used, comparing perturbed conditions to the most genetically similar control background feasible.

Quantification of niche cell count:

When quantifying the number of cells that express N-cad, Fas3, or any other niche marker, we use the ImageJ Cell Counter Plugin to record cells that we counted manually. A niche cell will accumulate Fas3 when in contact with other niche cells and will accumulate N-cad on all interfaces. Niche cells that are counted with either Fas3 or N-cad are co-labeled with a nuclear marker such as Traffic jam or Hoechst, to enable clear identification of distinct cells. Dispersed niche cells that accumulate Fas3 do not show polarized enrichment to specific cell interfaces.

Quantification of normalized niche cell expression/accumulation:

When quantifying accumulation of proteins and reporters of gene expression, we used ImageJ software to measure mean gray value fluorescence intensity within regions of interest (ROIs). We selected ROIs including a circular region within somatic cell boundaries, using either Fas3 or N-cad immunofluorescence to delineate niche cell boundaries and Hoechst dye to delineate nuclei. ROIs were in a single Z plane in which the relevant cell was in focus. For all experiments except *upd* measurements, 3 niche cell ROIs were measured from each gonad. For *upd* measurements, 5 niche cell ROIs were measured per gonad. To quantify background fluorescence, an ROI was selected to encompass the unlabeled region of a single germ cell within each gonad, and a separate background ROI drawn from a region where no tissue was present. Background-subtracted fluorescence values for each niche cell were normalized, dividing by the background-subtracted value from the neighboring unlabeled germ cell for the respective gonad. Relative fluorescence values for each niche cell were plotted. Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate comparisons.

Quantification of fluorescence at cell junctions:

To quantify fluorescence intensity of F-actin between cell interfaces, we used ImageJ software to measure mean gray value fluorescence intensity within regions of interested (ROIs). ROIs were either niche-niche interfaces or niche-GSC interfaces. We selected 4-pixel-wide segmented line

ROIs between niche cells and between niche cells and GSCs. 2-4 niche-niche and niche-GSC

interfaces were measured in every gonad using N-cad to visualize each interface. We measured

the mean fluorescence intensity of our Ftractin-tdTomato blindly with N-cad as a boundary. To

estimate background fluorescence, a separate ROI was drawn from a region where no tissue was

present. Background-subtracted fluorescence values for each niche cell were normalized, divided

by the background-subtracted average value of all cell interfaces. Relative fluorescence values

for each interface were plotted. Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate comparisons.

Quantification of Stat accumulation:

To quantify Stat accumulation, we stained gonads with a Stat antibody (E. Bach, 1:200) and used

ImageJ to measure the mean gray value fluorescence intensity within regions of interest (ROIs).

During dissections and staining, we used the phosphatase inhibitor PhosStop (Sigma –

Cat#4906845001). We selected ROIs including a circular region to sample germ cells, using Vasa

immunofluorescence as a marker to delineate cell boundaries. Whole germ cells were measured

in a single Z plane in which the relevant cell was in focus. We selected ROIs by only using the

germ cell marker to mark sample regions to avoid biased observations towards brighter or dimmer

fluorescence of Stat. For each gonad, we sampled all GSCs we could clearly identify and 3-7

neighboring germ cells in the second tier, further from the germline stem cells. After background

subtraction, we measured the ratio of Stat accumulation within each GSC relative to the

neighboring germ cell average for that gonad. Relative Stat enrichment values were plotted for

each GSC. We obtained measurements on mutants for *org1* and their sibling controls, and *org1*

RNAi knockdowns with their sibling controls. Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate

comparisons.

Quantification of STAT+ GSCs:

To determine whether a germ cell adherent to the niche was STAT+, we first counted the number

of germline stem cells (GSCs) directly adjacent to N-cadherin labeled niche cells. We then

quantified the mean fluorescence intensity from each of these adherent germ cells as described

above, measuring mean STAT enrichment for each adherent germ cell in *org1* RNAi knockdowns

and sibling control animals. We established a threshold STAT+ fluorescent value, measured as

one standard deviation below the relative mean fluorescence intensity of controls. For controls,

the relative mean fluorescence intensity was 2.76, with a standard deviation of 0.97, therefore

any adherent germ cells with a mean fluorescence intensity above 1.79 were counted as STAT+

in both control and *org1* RNAi knockdown gonads. Data were imported into Prism v10.0 to

generate scatterplots where each dot represents a gonad. We plotted the number of STAT+ GSCs

per gonad, and separately the percentage of STAT+ GSCs per gonad for *org1* RNAi and sibling

control conditions. A Mann–Whitney test was used to evaluate the comparison.

Centrosome anchoring quantification:

Centrosome position was visualized with immunofluorescence against Gamma tubulin to label

pericentriolar material. GSCs were scored for centrosome position if they had already undergone

centrosome duplication, indicating that they had advanced at least to the G2 stage of the cell

cycle (Chen et. al., 2018). We quantified how often one of the two centrosomes was positioned

closer to the adjacent niche, marked by N-cad, than to other neighboring cells. GSCs with a

centrosome located near the niche-GSC interface were scored as appropriately aligned. GSCs

with both centrosomes positioned away from the niche were scored as misaligned. Significance

was assessed with Fisher's exact test.

Key Resources Table:

Reagent Type	Designation	Source	Identifiers
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	org1[OJ487]/ FM7DfdYFP or Y	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	Schaub et. al., 2012; RRID: BDSC_91555
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	org1 RNAi (II)	Vienna Drosophila Stock Center	v104393
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-org1	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	Schaub et. al., 2012; RRID: BDSC_92971
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*six4*-gal4/CyoDfdYFP; Dr/Tm3,sb	Anllo et al., 2019	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*six4*VP16- gal4/CyoDfdYFP; Dr/TM3,Sb	Warder et al., 2024	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w[*] ; P{w+ UAS-islet} on III	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_39662
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	ry[506], P{ry+}hhLacZ	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_5530
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	P-Dsix4- eGFP::Moesin	R. Lehmann	Sano et. al., 2012
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Pbac{org-1- GFP.FPTB}VK00033/ TM6C, Sb[1]	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	Kudron et al., 2018

Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	six4>ftractin tdTomato on II	Stephen DiNardo	RRID: BDSC_58989 UAS-ftractin tdTomato
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Df(2R)BSC25 – *fgf* mutant	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_6865
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*slit[2]*	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_3266 (Battye et. al., 2001; Nusslein-Volhard et. al., 1984)
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	tupex4	S. Campuzano	FBal0216723; (Stathopoulos et al., 2004).
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*nos*-lifeactin-tdTomato	B. Lin; R. Lehmann	(Lin et al., 2020)
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	*upd1*-Gal4	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_26796
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-redStinger	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_8547
Antibody	Goat polyclonal anti-Vasa	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	RRID:AB_793880 Discontinued
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti Fasciclin III	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID:AB_528238
Antibody	Rabbit polyclonal anti STAT92E	E. Bach	
Antibody	Rabbit polyclonal anti RFP	Abcam	RRID:AB_945213

Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti Islet	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID:AB_528313
Antibody	Guinea pig polyclonal anti Traffic jam	D. Godt	
Antibody	Rat anti Org-1	C. Shaub	
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti Gamma-tubulin	Sigma	RRID:AB_477584
Antibody	Rabbit Polyclonal anti Vasa	Boster Bio	DZ41154
Antibody	Chicken polyclonal anti Beta-gal	Abcam	ab9361-250
Antibody	Mouse Monoclonal anti Patched	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_528441
Antibody	Rat monoclonal anti CadN	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_528121
Antibody	Rat monoclonal anti CadE	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_528120
Antibody	Chick polyclonal anti GFP	Aves Labs	RRID: AB_2307313
Antibody	Alexafluor Secondary Antibodies (488, 647)	Molecular Probes	
Antibody	Cy3 Affinipure Secondary Antibodies	Jackson ImmunoResearch	RRID: AB_2307443
Chemical Compound, Drug	Hoechst	Sigma	Cat#33342; CAS Number: 875756-97-1
Chemical Compound, Drug	Normal Donkey Serum (NDS)	Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories	RRID: AB_2337258

Chemical Compound, Drug	Ringers		doi:10.1101/pdb.rec12409
Chemical Compound, Drug	Heptane		
Chemical Compound, Drug	Propyl-gallate	Sigma Aldrich	SKU: P3130; CAS Number 121-79-9
Chemical Compound, Drug	Para-Formaldehyde (PFA), 16%	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat#15710
Chemical Compound, Drug	Phosphatase inhibitor (PhosphaSTOP)	Roche/Sigma	Cat#4906845001
Software, Algorithm	FIJI (Image J)	www.fiji.sc	
Software, Algorithm	Image J	www.imagej.nih.gov/ij/	
Software, Algorithm	Graphpad Prism	Graphpad Software	RRID:SCR_002798
Software, Algorithm	Axio-Vision Imaging Software	Zeiss	v4.8.1
Software, Algorithm	Adobe Photoshop	https://www.adobe.com/uk/products/photoshop.html	RRID:SCR_014199
Equipment	Zeiss Axioimager.Z1	Zeiss	
Equipment	ApoTome.2	Zeiss	
Equipment	Xcite Xylis	Zeiss	
Equipment	40x 1.2 NA objective	Zeiss	
Equipment	Leica M165FC	Leica	

| Equipment | GFP Filter set ET470/40x; ET525/50m | Leica 10447408 | |

Supplemental Figures:

Figure S1. Org1 antibody validation in *org1* mutants. (A, A') Control gonads with Org1
accumulation (red) in the niche (Fas3, white) at the anterior, along with variable punctate signal
in other places in the gonad (yellow arrow). (B, B') *org1* mutant gonads with no Org1
accumulation (red) in the niche (white) at the anterior. Note that the variable punctate signal still
persists (yellow arrow) in the mutant and is thus spurious background and cannot be due to
Org1. Scale bars, 10 um.
702

705 **Figure S2.** *hh* is expressed outside of the niche. Somatic cells (green), Niche (white), *hh*-lacZ
706 (red), DNA (blue). (A-A") *hh*-lacZ reporter can be seen at high levels in niche cells, with lower
707 levels of expression outside of the niche (yellow arrows) in control gonads. (B-B") *org1* mutant
708 gonads with low *hh*-lacZ expression in the niche (quantification in Fig 2), and outside of the
709 niche (yellow arrows).
710

Figure S3. *org1* mutants exhibit a lower ratio of niche cells relative to number of SGPs.
(A) Control and (B) *org1* mutant gonads with Traffic jam accumulation. Niches are outlined with
dotted lines. (C) Quantification of number of Traffic jam accumulating SGPs indicated fewer
SGPs in *org1* mutants versus controls (p = 0.0399, Ctrl n= 14 gonads; *org1* n=16 gonads,
Mann-Whitney test). (D) Quantification of SGP to Niche cell ratio showed a lower ratio of niche
cells relative to SGPs in *org1* mutants (p = 0.0010, Ctrl n=13 gonads; *org1* n=16 gonads, Mann-
Whitney test). Scale bars, 10 um.
719

Figure S4. *org1* RNAi with a Gal4 driver shows identity defects but not assembly defects.
Germ cells (green), N-cad (white), Fas3 (red), DNA (blue). (A-A') Control gonads with
assembled niches and clear Fas3 accumulation. (B,B') *six4*gal4 > *org1* RNAi gonads with fewer
niche cells and reduced Fas3 accumulation. (C) Quantification of niche cell count in six4-gal4
org1 RNAi gonads (p= 0.0022, Ctrl n=13 gonads; *six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi n=21 gonads, Mann-
Whitney Test). (D) Quantification of assembly defects in six4-gal4 *org1* RNAi gonads (p> 0.99,
Ctrl n=13 gonads; *six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi n=20, Mann-Whitney Test). Scale bars, 10 um.

Figure S5. N-cad and E-cad can be found spuriously in SGPs outside of the niche. (A-B")
Control gonads immunostained to show germ cells (green) and DNA (blue). (A) N-cad (white)
and (B) E-cad can be seen in an SGP (yellow arrow) outside of the niche but is not as enriched
at the cell cortex as in the anterior niche. (C-D') Germ cells (red), E-cad (white), DNA (blue). (C,
C') Control gonad with E-cad enriched in the cortex of niche cells. (D, D') *org1* mutant gonad
with E-cad enriched to similar levels in a presumptive lost niche cell outside of the anterior niche
(yellow arrow). Scale bars, 10 um.

Table S1. Supplementary Genotype Table (next page).

Figure	Panel	Genotype	Full Genotype
1	A	*org1* reporter	org1::GFP Bac / org1::GFP Bac (on III)
1	B	*org1* reporter	org1::GFP Bac / org1::GFP Bac (on III)
1	C	*org1* reporter	org1::GFP Bac / org1::GFP Bac (on III)
1	D	Antibody	CyO, DfdGFP / +
1	E	Ctrl	CyO, DfdGFP / +
1	F	*slit, fgf*	slit[2], Df(2R)BSC25 / slit[2], Df(2R)BSC25
1	G	*fgf*	Df(2R)BSC25 / Df(2R)BSC25
1	H	*slit*	slit[2] / slit[2]
1	I	Ctrl	CyO, DfdGFP / +
1	I	*slit, fgf*	slit[2], Df(2R)BSC25 / slit[2], Df(2R)BSC25
1	J	het	Df(2R)BSC25 / CyO, DfdGFP
1	J	mut	Df(2R)BSC25 / Df(2R)BSC25
1	K	het	slit[2] / CyO, DfdYFP
1	K	mut	slit[2] / slit[2]
2	A	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
2	B	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
2	C	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; hh-LacZ / +
2	D	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; hh-LacZ / +
2	E	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; hh-LacZ / +
2	E	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; hh-LacZ / +
2	F	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
2	G	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
2	H	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
2	H	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
2	I	Ctrl	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-*org1* ; TM6, DfdGFP / UAS-*org1*
2	J	*org1* OE	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-org1; six4-gal4 / UAS-org1
2	K	Ctrl	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-*org1* ; TM6, DfdGFP / UAS-*org1*
2	K	*org1* OE	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-org1; six4-gal4 / UAS-org1
3	A	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
3	B	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
3	C	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
3	C	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
3	D	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
3	D	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
3	E	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-TdTomato
3	E	*org1* ; *org1* OE	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-tdTomato / UAS-*org1* ; UAS-*org1* / +
3	F	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGFP / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-TdTomato
3	G	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-TdTomato
3	H	*org1* ; *org1* OE	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-tdTomato / UAS-*org1* ; UAS-*org1* / +
3	I	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGFP / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-TdTomato
3	J	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-TdTomato
3	K	*org1* ; *org1* OE	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4-Gal4, UAS-ftractin-tdTomato / UAS-*org1* ; UAS-*org1* / +
4	A	*upd*-Gal4 with UAS-RedStinger	upd-Gal4 / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; UAS-redStinger / +
4	B	*upd*-Gal4, *org1* with UAS-RedStinger	upd-Gal4, org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; UAS-RedStinger / +
4	C	*upd*-Gal4 with UAS-RedStinger	upd-Gal4 / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; UAS-redStinger / +
4	C	*upd*-Gal4, *org1* with UAS-RedStinger	upd-Gal4, org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; UAS-redStinger / +
4	D	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
4	E	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
4	F	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
4	F	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
4	G	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
4	H	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
4	I	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
4	I	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
4	J	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
4	K	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
4	L	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
4	L	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
4	M	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP ; *nos*-lifeactinTdTomato / +
4	N	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi; nos-lifeactinTdTomato / +
4	O	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP ; *nos*-lifeactinTdTomato / +
4	O	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi; nos-lifeactinTdTomato / +
4	P	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
4	Q	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
4	R	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
4	R	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
4	S	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
4	S	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
4	T	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
4	T	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
4	U	Ctrl	either *six4* VP16-Gal4 / CyO DfdGFP, or UAS-*org1* RNAi / CyO DfdGFP
4	U	*six4* VP16-Gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4 VP16-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
5	A	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
5	B	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
5	C	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
5	C	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
5	D	Ctrl	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-*org1* ; TM6, DfdGFP / UAS-*org1*
5	E	*org1* OE	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-org1; six4-gal4 / UAS-org1
5	F	Ctrl	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-*org1* ; TM6, DfdGFP / UAS-*org1*
5	F	*org1* OE	Y / + ; six4moeGFP / UAS-org1; six4-gal4 / UAS-org1
6	A	Ctrl	islet[EX4] / CyO DfdGFP
6	B	*islet*	islet[EX4] / islet[EX4]
6	C	Ctrl	islet[EX4] / CyO DfdGFP
6	C	*islet*	islet[EX4] / islet[EX4]
6	D	Ctrl	FM7, DfdYFP / Y ; UAS-islet / +
6	E	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; UAS-islet / +
6	F	*org1* ; *islet* OE	org1[OJ487] / Y ; UAS-islet / six4-Gal4
6	G	*org1* mut	org1[OJ487] / Y ; UAS-islet / +
6	G	*org1* sib	FM7, DfdYFP / Y ; UAS-islet / +
6	G	*org1* mut *islet* OE	org1[OJ487] / Y ; UAS-islet / six4-Gal4
6	G	*org1* sib *islet* OE	FM7, DfdYFP / Y ; UAS-islet / six4-Gal4
S1	A	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S1	B	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
S2	A	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; hh-LacZ / +
S2	B	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y ; six4 moesinGFP / + ; hh-LacZ / +
S3	A	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S3	B	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
S3	C	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S3	C	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
S3	D	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S3	D	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y
S4	A	Ctrl	six4-Gal4 / CyO, DfdGFP
S4	B	*six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
S4	C	Ctrl	six4-Gal4 / CyO, DfdGFP
S4	C	*six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
S4	D	Ctrl	six4-Gal4 / CyO, DfdGFP
S4	D	*six4*-gal4 *org1* RNAi	six4-Gal4 / UAS-*org1* RNAi
S5	A	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S5	B	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S5	C	Ctrl	FM7, DfdGfP / Y
S5	D	*org1*	org1[OJ487] / Y

Acknowledgements:

We acknowledge Kirklan Naumuk for technical assistance. We thank Drs. Elizabeth Ables and Beth Thompson, and the Lenhart lab for valuable feedback on the manuscript. We thank the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) for stocks, and D. Godt, E. Bach and C. Schaub for antibodies.

Funding: Work was supported by NIH grants R15 GM154246 to L.A. and R35 GM136270 to S.D.

Authors have no competing interests to declare.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization, P.H. and L.A.; methodology, L.A.; validation, P.H. & L.A.; formal analysis, P.H., A.H., T.G., and L.A.; investigation P.H., T.G., and L.A.; resources S.D. and L.A.; data curation P.H. and L.A.; writing – original draft P.H.; writing – review & editing P.H., A.H., S.D., and L.A.; visualization P.H., A.H., and L.A.; supervision S.D. and L.A.; project administration S.D. and L.A.; funding acquisition S.D. and L.A.

References

1. Amoyel, M., Sanny, J., Burel, M. and Bach, E. A. (2013). Hedgehog is required for CySC
self-renewal but does not contribute to the GSC niche in the Drosophila testis.
Development (Cambridge) 140, 56–65.

2. Anllo, L. and DiNardo, S. (2022). Visceral mesoderm signaling regulates assembly
position and function of the Drosophila testis niche. *Dev Cell* 57, 1009-1023.e5.

3. Anllo, L., Plasschaert, L. W., Sui, J. and DiNardo, S. (2019). Live imaging reveals hub
cell assembly and compaction dynamics during morphogenesis of the Drosophila testis
niche. *Dev Biol* 446, 102–118.

4. Battye, R., Stevens, A., Perry, R. L. and Jacobs, J. R. (2001). Repellent Signaling by Slit
Requires the Leucine-Rich Repeats.

5. Boukhatmi, H., Schaub, C., Bataillé, L., Reim, I., Frendo, J. L., Frasch, M. and Vincent,
A. (2014). An Org-1–Tup transcriptional cascade reveals different types of alary muscles
connecting internal organs in Drosophila. *Development (Cambridge)* 141, 3761–3771.

6. Boyle, M. and DiNardo, S. (1995). Specification, migration and assembly of the somatic
cells of the Drosophila gonad. *Development*.

7. Boyle, M., Bonini, N. and DiNardo, S. (1997). Expression and function of cliftin the
development of somatic gonadal precursors within the Drosophila mesoderm.
Development.

8. Campos-Ortega, J. A. and Hartenstein, V. (1985). The embryonic development of
Drosophila melanogaster. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

9. Chen, C., Cummings, R., Mordovanakis, A., Hunt, A., Mayer, M., Sept, D. and
Yamashita, Y. (2018). Cytokine receptor-Eb1 interaction couples cell polarity and fate
during asymmetric cell division.

10. de Cuevas, M. and Matunis, E. L. (2011). The stem cell niche: Lessons from the
Drosophila testis. *Development* 138, 2861–2869.

11. DeFalco, T. J., Verney, G., Jenkins, A. B., McCaffery, J. M., Russell, S. and Van Doren,
M. (2003). Sex-specific apoptosis regulates sexual dimorphism in the Drosophila
embryonic gonad. *Dev Cell* 5, 205–216.

12. DiNardo, S., Okegbe, T., Wingert, L., Freilich, S. and Terry, N. (2011). Lines and bowl
affect the specification of cyst stem cells and niche cells in the Drosophila testis.
Development 138, 1687–1696.

13. Flaherty, M. S., Salis, P., Evans, C. J., Ekas, L. A., Marouf, A., Zavadil, J., Banerjee, U.
and Bach, E. A. (2010) *chinmo* is a functional effector of the JAK/STAT pathway that

regulates eye development, tumor formation, and stem cell self-renewall in *Drosophila*.
Dev Cell 18, 556-568.

14. Herrera, S. C. and Bach, E. A. (2019). JAK/STAT signaling in stem cells and
regeneration: From drosophila to vertebrates. *Development (Cambridge)* 146,.

15. Herrera, S. C., Sainz de la Maza, D., Grmai, L., Margolis, S., Plessel, R., Burel, M.,
O'Connor, M., Amoyel, M. and Bach, E. A. (2021). Proliferative stem cells maintain
quiescence of their niche by secreting the Activin inhibitor Follistatin. *Dev Cell* 56, 2284-
2294.e6.

16. Inaba, M., Buszczak, M. and Yamashita, Y. M. (2015). Nanotubes mediate niche-stem-
cell signalling in the Drosophila testis. *Nature* 523, 329–332.

17. Jenkins, A. B., McCaffery, J. M. and Van Doren, M. (2003). Drosophila E-cadherin is
essential for proper germ cell-soma interaction during gonad morphogenesis.
Development 130, 4417–4426.

18. Kaestner, K. H. (2019). The Intestinal Stem Cell Niche: A Central Role for Foxl1-
Expressing Subepithelial Telocytes. *CMGH* 8, 111–117.

19. Kawase, E., Wong, M. D., Ding, B. C. and Xie, T. (2004). Gbb/Bmp signaling is essential
for maintaining germline stem cells and for repressing bam transcription in the
Drosophila testis. *Development* 131, 1365–1375.

20. Kiger, A. A., Jones, D. L., Schulz, C., Rogers, M. and Fuller, M. (2001). Stem Cell Self-
Renewal Specified byJAK-STAT Activation in Response to a Support Cell Cue. *Science*
(1979) 294, 2539–2542.

21. Kitadate, Y. and Kobayashi, S. (2010). Notch and Egfr signaling act antagonistically to
regulate germ-line stem cell niche formation in Drosophila male embryonic gonads. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 14241–14246.

22. Kudron, M. M., Victorsen, A., Gevirtzman, L., Hillier, L. W., Fisher, W. W., Vafeados, D.,
Kirkey, M., Hammonds, A. S., Gersch, J., Ammouri, H., et al. (2018). The modern
resource: genome-wide binding profiles for hundreds of Drosophila and Caenorhabditis
elegans transcription factors. *Genetics* 208, 937–949.

23. Le Bras, S. and Van Doren, M. (2006). Development of the male germline stem cell
niche in Drosophila. *Dev Biol* 294, 92–103.

24. Leatherman, J. L. and Di Nardo, S. (2008a). Zfh-1 controls somatic stem cell self-
renewal in the Drosophila testis and nonautonomously influences germline stem cell
self-renewal. *Cell Stem Cell* 3, 44–54.

25. Leatherman, J. L. and Di Nardo, S. (2008b). Zfh-1 controls somatic stem cell self-
renewal in the Drosophila testis and nonautonomously influences germline stem cell
self-renewal. *Cell Stem Cell* 3, 44–54.

26. Leatherman, J. L. and Dinardo, S. (2010). Germline self-renewal requires cyst stem cells
and stat regulates niche adhesion in Drosophila testes. *Nat Cell Biol* 12, 806–811.

27. Lee, S., Zhou, L., Kim, J., Kalbfleisch, S. and Schöck, F. (2008). Lasp anchors the
Drosophila male stem cell niche and mediates spermatid individualization. *Mech Dev*
125, 768–776.

28. Lenhart, K. F. and DiNardo, S. (2015). Somatic Cell Encystment Promotes Abscission in
Germline Stem Cells following a Regulated Block in Cytokinesis. *Dev Cell* 34, 192–205.

29. Li, L. and Xie, T. (2005). Stem cell niche: Structure and function. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*
21, 605–631.

30. Li, H., Janssens, J., de Waegeneer, M., Kolluru, S. S., Davie, K., Gardeux, V., Saelens,
W., David, F. P. A., Brbić, M., Spanier, K., et al. (2022). Fly Cell Atlas: A single-nucleus
transcriptomic atlas of the adult fruit fly. *Science (1979)* 375,.

31. Li, G., Li, Z., Li, L., Liu, S., Wu, P., Zhou, M., Li, C., Li, X., Luo, G. and Zhang, J. (2023).
Stem Cell-Niche Engineering via Multifunctional Hydrogel Potentiates Stem Cell
Therapies for Inflammatory Bone Loss. *Adv Funct Mater* 33,.

32. Lin, B., Luo, J., and Lehmann, R. (2020). Collectively stabilizing and orienting posterior
migratory forces disperses cell clusters in vivo. *Nature Communications* 11, 4477.

33. Losick, V. P., Morris, L. X., Fox, D. T. and Spradling, A. (2011). Drosophila Stem Cell
Niches: A Decade of Discovery Suggests a Unified View of Stem Cell Regulation. *Dev*
Cell 21, 159–171.

34. Marcellini, S., Technau, U., Smith, J. C. and Lemaire, P. (2003). Evolution of Brachyury
proteins: Identification of a novel regulatory domain conserved within Bilateria. *Dev Biol*
260, 352–361.

35. Michel, M., Kupinski, A. P., Raabe, I. and Bökel, C. (2012). Hh signalling is essential for
somatic stem cell maintenance in the drosophila testis niche. *J Cell Sci* 125, 2663–2669.

36. Mitchison, T.J. and Sedat, J. (1983). Localization of antigenic determinants in whole
Drosophila embryos. *Dev Biol* 99, 261-264.

37. Morrison, S. J. and Spradling, A. C. (2008). Stem Cells and Niches: Mechanisms That
Promote Stem Cell Maintenance throughout Life. *Cell* 132, 598–611.

38. Nusslein-Volhard, C., Wieschaus, E. and Kluding, H. (1984). Roux's Archives of

Developmental Biology Mutations affecting the pattern of the larval cuticle in Drosophila

melanogaster I. Zygotic loci on the second chromosome.

39. Okegbe, T. C. and di Nardo, S. (2011). The endoderm specifies the mesodermal niche

for the germline in Drosophila via Delta-Notch signaling. *Development* 138, 1259–1267.

40. Riechmann, V., Rehorn, K.-P., Reuter, R. and Leptin, M. (1998). The genetic control of

the distinction between fat body and gonadal mesoderm in Drosophila. *Development*.

41. Roach, T. V. and Lenhart, K. F. (2024). Mating-induced Ecdysone in the testis disrupts

soma-germline contacts and stem cell cytokinesis. *Development (Cambridge)* 151,.

42. Sato, T., Van Es, J. H., Snippert, H. J., Stange, D. E., Vries, R. G., Van Den Born, M.,

Barker, N., Shroyer, N. F., Van De Wetering, M. and Clevers, H. (2011). Paneth cells

constitute the niche for Lgr5 stem cells in intestinal crypts. *Nature* 469, 415–418.

43. Schaub, C., Nagaso, H., Jin, H. and Frasch, M. (2012). Org-1, the Drosophila ortholog of

Tbx1, is a direct activator of known identity genes during muscle specification.

Development 139, 1001–1012.

44. Sheng, X. R., Posenau, T., Gumulak-Smith, J. J., Matunis, E., Van Doren, M. and

Wawersik, M. (2009). Jak-STAT regulation of male germline stem cell establishment

during Drosophila embryogenesis. *Dev Biol* 334, 335–344.

45. Sinden, D., Badgett, M., Fry, J., Jones, T., Palmen, R., Sheng, X., Simmons, A., Matunis,

E. and Wawersik, M. (2012). Jak-STAT regulation of cyst stem cell development in the

Drosophila testis. *Dev Biol* 372, 5–16.

46. Stathopoulos, A., Tam, B., Ronshaugen, M., Frasch, M. and Levine, M. (2004). Pyramus

and thisbe: FGF genes that pattern the mesoderm of Drosophila embryos. *Genes Dev*

18, 687–699.

47. Tanentzapf, G., Devenport, D., Godt, D. and Brown, N. H. (2007). Integrin-dependent

anchoring of a stem-cell niche. *Nat Cell Biol* 9, 1413–1418.

48. Tseng, C. Y., Burel, M., Cammer, M., Harsh, S., Flaherty, M. S., Baumgartner, S. and

Bach, E. A. (2022). chinmo-mutant spermatogonial stem cells cause mitotic drive by

evicting non-mutant neighbors from the niche. *Dev Cell* 57, 80-94.e7.

49. Tulina, N. and Matunis, E. (2001). Control of Stem Cell Self-Renewal in Drosophila

Spermatogenesis by JAK-STAT Signaling. *Science (1979)* 294, 2546–2548.

50. Vida, G. S., Botto, E. and Dinardo, S. (2024). *Maintenance of niche architecture requires*

actomyosin and enables proper stem cell signaling and oriented division in the

Drosophila testis.

51. Walker, M. R., Patel, K. K. and Stappenbeck, T. S. (2008). The stem cell niche. *Journal of Pathology* 217, 169–180.

52. Warder, B. N., Nelson, K. A., Sui, J., Anllo, L. and DiNardo, S. (2024). An actomyosin network organizes niche morphology and responds to feedback from recruited stem cells.

53. Wawersik, M., Milutinovich, A., Casper, A. L., Matunis, E., Williams, B. and Van Doren, M. (2005). Somatic control of germline sexual development is mediated by the JAK/STAT pathway. *Nature* 436, 563–567.

54. Wingert, L. and DiNardo, S. (2015). Traffic jam functions in a branched pathway from Notch activation to niche cell fate. *Development (Cambridge)* 142, 2268–2277.

55. Yamashita, Y., Jones, L. and Fuller, M. (2003). Orientation of Asymmetric StemCell Division by the APC TumorSuppressor and Centrosome. *Science (1979)* 301, 1547–1550.

56. Yamashita, Y. M., Fuller, M. T. and Jones, D. L. (2005). Signaling in stem cell niches: Lessons from the Drosophila germline. *J Cell Sci* 118, 665–672.

57. Zamfirescu, A-M., Yatsenko, AS., and Shcherbata, HR. (2022). Notch signaling sculpts the stem cell niche. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10:1027222

58. Zheng, Q., Wang, Y., Vargas, E. and DiNardo, S. (2011). Magu is required for germline stem cell self-renewal through BMP signaling in the Drosophila testis. *Dev Biol* 357, 202–210