Extrusion-modulated DnaA activity oscillations coordinate DNA replication with biomass growth

Dengjin Li[1,2,6], Hai Zheng[1,2,6], Yang Bai[1,2,6], Zheng Zhang[1], Hao Cheng[1], Xiongliang Huang[1,2], Ting Wei[1], Matthew Wook Chang[3], Arieh Zaritsky[4], Terence Hwa[5], Chenli Liu[1,2, ✉]

[1]Key Laboratory of Quantitative Synthetic Biology, Shenzhen Institute of Synthetic Biology, Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518055, China. [2]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China. [3]NUS Synthetic Biology for Clinical and Technological Innovation and Department of Biochemistry, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore; National Centre for Engineering Biology, Singapore. [4]Faculty of Natural Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva, Israel. [5]Department of Physics & Department of Molecular Biology, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0319, USA. [6]These authors contributed equally: Dengjin Li, Hai Zheng, Yang Bai. ✉Email: cl.liu@siat.ac.cn

Abstract

Robust control of DNA replication is fundamental to bacterial proliferation. In *Escherichia coli*, replication initiation is thought to be regulated by oscillations in DnaA activity, driven by DnaA-chromosome interactions that differ among leading models. However, direct evidence linking these oscillations to replication initiation has been lacking, and existing models fail to explain the observed decoupling of replication initiation from *dnaA* expression. Here, we establish a direct link between DnaA activity and replication initiation by demonstrating robust oscillations in DnaA activity, which peak precisely at replication initiation across diverse growth conditions and genetic perturbations. Notably, these oscillations persist even when *dnaA* transcription remains constant, suggesting a regulatory mechanism that modulates DnaA activity independently of its expression. Additionally, we propose an extrusion model in which DNA-binding proteins sense biomass-DNA imbalance and extrude DnaA from the chromosome to trigger replication, overcoming limitations of existing models. Consistent with this model, perturbation of the nucleoid-associated protein H-NS modulates DnaA activity and replication timing, supporting its mechanistic validity.

Keywords: biomass-DNA coordination, chromosome replication initiation, DnaA activity oscillations, bacterial cell cycle

Introduction

Bacterial proliferation requires precise coordination between DNA replication and biomass growth to maintain cellular homeostasis. While biomass accumulates exponentially, DNA synthesis proceeds in a stepwise manner, creating temporal imbalances that demand continuous correction throughout the cell cycle (Fig. 1A-B). Failure to synchronize these processes risks catastrophic outcomes, such as growth defects, incomplete replication, unequal genetic segregation, and cell death (Boye et al., 1996; Khodursky et al., 2015; Lu et al., 1994; Mäkelä et al., 2024; Zaritsky & Pritchard, 1971). Central to this synchronization in *Escherichia coli* is the initiator protein DnaA, a DNA-binding protein that binds specifically to an asymmetric 9-bp DnaA-box, whose consensus sequence is TTWTNCACA (Hansen & Atlung, 2018; Speck et al., 1999). Although DnaA binding to the replication origin (*oriC*) triggers replication initiation (Dong et al., 2023; Kaguni, 2011; Ohbayashi et al., 2020; Ozaki & Katayama, 2012; Sekimizu et al., 1987), how DnaA activity—defined as the capacity to initiate replication—is dynamically regulated to coordinate DNA synthesis with cellular growth, particularly when DNA content and biomass diverge, remains a fundamental unresolved question in cell cycle biology.

Two competing models have dominated the field. The titration model posits that DnaA activity is governed by the availability of free DnaA, which accumulates until it surpasses the buffering capacity of chromosomal DnaA-boxes (Christensen et al., 1999; Hansen et al., 1991). Here, replication initiation resets the system by increasing DNA content (and thus DnaA-boxes), titrating excess DnaA. In contrast, the switch model emphasizes the ATP/ADP-bound states of DnaA: DnaA-ATP, synthesized during biomass growth, triggers initiation, while hydrolysis to DnaA-ADP following replication resets the cycle (Berger & Wolde, 2022; Donachie & Blakely, 2003; Fu et al., 2023; Hansen & Atlung, 2018; Katayama et al., 2017). Crucially, the titration model depends on ongoing DnaA synthesis to reflect biomass accumulation, whereas the switch model relies on nucleotide-state transitions, independent of total DnaA levels. Despite these mechanistic differences, both models consider that replication initiation is regulated by oscillations in DnaA activity, driven by DnaA-chromosome interactions (Fig. 1C). However, direct evidence linking these oscillations to replication initiation remains lacking. Moreover, neither model fully explains recent experimental observations: replication initiation persists for multiple generations even when *dnaA* transcription is completely inhibited (Knöppel et al., 2023). This discrepancy suggests an uncharacterized mechanism that senses biomass-DNA imbalance and directly modulates DnaA activity.

Here, using a synthetic reporter coupled with single-cell mRNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), we demonstrate that DnaA activity—governed by free DnaA concentration, DnaA-ATP/-ADP ratio, and orisome assembly competence—peaks precisely at initiation across diverse growth conditions and genetic backgrounds, even when *dnaA* transcription is held constant. DnaA activity oscillations persist independently of transcriptional feedback, pointing to a post-translational regulatory mechanism. We propose an extrusion model in which nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs) sense biomass-DNA imbalance and dynamically extrude DnaA from the chromosome, liberating it to activate *oriC*. This model not only explains the decoupling of replication timing from *dnaA* expression but also integrates disparate experimental findings. Perturbations of the NAP, H-NS for instance, modulate DnaA activity and replication timing, directly supporting the model's predictions. By

unifying regulatory principles across transcription, protein activity, and chromosome dynamics, our findings advance the paradigm for bacterial replication control.

Figure 1. Coordination of biomass growth and DNA replication through replication initiation and DnaA activity oscillations. (A) Schematic representation of the discrepancy between biomass accumulation and DNA replication. While biomass grows exponentially, DNA synthesis progresses linearly, necessitating replication initiation events to maintain coordination. **(B)** Mechanistic model for biomass-DNA coordination in bacteria. A molecular sensor detects deviations between cell mass and DNA content, transmitting this information to regulatory controllers that compensate by either increasing DNA replication or restricting biomass accumulation. **(C)** Illustration of cyclic DnaA activity oscillations aligning with replication initiation to ensure precise cell cycle control.

Results

Development of a DnaA activity reporter system

Figure 2. Construction and characterization of the *dnaA*-titratable strain. (A) Schematic of the *dnaA*-titratable strain. A *dnaA* gene under the control of the P_{tet} promoter was inserted near *oriC* and regulated by a P_{tet}-*tetR* feedback loop integrated at the *intS* locus, enabling fine-tuned expression control. The native *dnaA* gene was replaced with a kanamycin resistance cassette (*kan^r*). **(B–F)** Characterization of *dnaA*-titratable cells (circle) and wild-type MG1655 cells (triangle) grown in rich defined medium with glycerol (M6) under varying aTc concentrations. Measured parameters include: **(B)** *dnaA* mRNA levels; **(C)** growth rate; **(D)** population-averaged cellular mass; **(E)** population-averaged *oriC* numbers; **(F)** initiation mass (red, left axis) and the initiation to division period (C+D) (blue, right axis). The *dnaA* mRNA levels were normalized to that in wild-type cells. Cellular mass was determined by OD_{600} divided by cell number concentration. *oriC* copy numbers were measured using a run-out assay, and initiation mass was calculated as the ratio of cellular mass to *oriC* numbers. Data represent means ± SD (n = 5 biological replicates). **(G)** Relationship between relative initiation mass and relative *dnaA* mRNA levels, compared with predictions from the initiation titration model (blue line) and the switch model (purple line). The relative *dnaA* mRNA levels in experiments are compared to relative DnaA expression rate α_A in models. Experimental data are overlaid for validation.

It has been known that changing DnaA expression levels affects DnaA activity, and further changes initiation mass (Berger & Wolde, 2022; Løbner-Olesen et al., 1989; Zheng et al., 2020). To investigate this further, we engineered a *dnaA*-titratable strain, where *dnaA* transcription is controlled by an inducible P_{tet} promoter (Lutz & Bujard, 1997) (Fig. 2A). This system enabled precise modulation of *dnaA* mRNA levels (0.25 to 6 times the wild-type level) via anhydrotetracycline (aTc) induction (0.75–20 ng·ml⁻¹) without altering growth rate or cell size (Fig. 2B–D). As the DnaA expression level increases, DnaA activity reaches the initiation threshold earlier. Given that cell mass remained nearly unchanged, this earlier initiation led to an increase in population-averaged cellular *oriC* numbers (Fig. 2E). Concurrently, the initiation mass was reduced by 50%, and the period from initiation to division ($C + D$) was increased by ~60% (Fig. 2F). Notably, the observed relationship between DnaA

expression and initiation mass deviated significantly from both the titration and switch models (Fig. 2G; Supplementary Note S1), suggesting unaccounted regulatory mechanisms.

Figure 3. Development of a DnaA activity reporter system. (A) Schematic of promoter design and screening. Sixty-seven synthetic promoters were constructed by inserting various DnaA-boxes around the promoter core to drive *gfp* expression in a *dnaA*-titratable strain, where *dnaA* expression was regulated by aTc concentration. After pre-cultivation, GFP fluorescence per OD_{600} was measured using a microplate reader in cells grown under low (0.5 ng·ml⁻¹) or high (50 ng·ml⁻¹) aTc concentrations to determine repression fold-change. **(B)** Repression fold-change of synthetic promoters. P_{con} (a promoter lacking DnaA-boxes) and P_{native} (the endogenous *dnaA* promoter) served as negative and positive controls, shown in gray and yellow, respectively. **(C)** Response curves of three promoters to varying *dnaA* expression levels, with their promoter architectures shown on the right. Promoter activity was assessed by relative *gfp* mRNA levels, normalized to the lowest *dnaA* expression condition. Schematic **(D)** and response curves **(E)** of P_{syn66} and P_{native} responses to SeqA in the *seqA*-titratable strain. Promoter activity was quantified from *gfp* transcript levels in *seqA*-titratable cells containing the P_{syn66}-GFP plasmid, normalized to the lowest *seqA* expression level. All cells were grown in rich defined medium supplemented with glycerol across different aTc concentrations. Data represent mean ± SD from 3 biological replicates (**B,C,E**).

To dissect DnaA activity dynamics, we constructed a library of synthetic promoters (*n* = 67) by
replacing *tetO* operators in P_{tet} with DnaA-boxes (Fig. S1). To assess the degree of DnaA activity-
mediated repression, we measured the fold change in GFP expression driven by each synthetic
promoter under low and high levels of *dnaA* induction (Fig. 3A). Six synthetic promoters exhibited
over 8-fold DnaA-mediated repression, whereas the promoter lacking DnaA-boxes (P_{con}) showed
none, and the well-characterized native *dnaA* promoter (P_{native})(Braun et al., 1985; Saggioro et al.,
2013; Speck et al., 1999) displayed approximately two-fold repression (Fig. 3B; Fig. S1). P_{syn66}, which
contains three strong and one weak DnaA-box, exhibited >8-fold repression under high DnaA
induction (Fig. 3B). P_{syn66}'s design enables responsiveness to both free DnaA levels (via strong boxes)
and the DnaA-ATP/DnaA-ADP ratio (via differential binding to the weak box)(Grimwade & Leonard,
2021; Katayama et al., 2017; Speck et al., 1999). Dose-response assays confirmed that P_{syn66} was
progressively repressed across a 40-fold range of *dnaA* expression (Fig. 3C), with no interference from
SeqA-mediated sequestration (Fig. 3D-E). These results validated P_{syn66} as a sensitive and specific
reporter for DnaA activity.

Decoupling DnaA activity oscillations from *dnaA* transcription fluctuations

To investigate DnaA activity dynamics throughout the cell cycle, we linked P_{syn66} activity to cell size, a
surrogate for cell-cycle progression, using single-cell mRNA FISH(Skinner et al., 2013) to quantify *lacZ*
mRNA levels in MG1655Δ*lac* cells harboring the P_{syn66}-*lacZ* plasmid (Fig. 4A). A control strain harboring
a promoter-less *lacZ* construct (P_{neg}) showed undetectable fluorescence signals (Fig. 4A), confirming
the specificity of *lacZ* mRNA detection. Quantitative analysis revealed that P_{syn66}-driven mRNA levels
fluctuated approximately 3-fold over the cell cycle, whereas the DnaA-unresponsive constitutive
promoter (P_{con}) exhibited stable expression (Fig. 4B). However, fluctuations in mRNA concentration
may not directly correspond to changes in DnaA activity due to global factors such as plasmid copy
number and RNA polymerase concentration (Balakrishnan et al., 2022; Bintu et al., 2005a; Bintu et
al., 2005b). To account for these variables, we used a P_{con}-*lacZ* plasmid to estimate global effects on
mRNA concentration and calculated DnaA activity by assuming that it is inversely proportional to
$k_{syn66} = \frac{[mZ](\text{P}_{syn66})}{[mZ](\text{P}_{con})}$. In wild-type cells (MG1655Δ*lac*) harboring the synthetic reporter system (Fig. 4C),
fluctuations in DnaA activity, as denoted by k_{syn66}^{-1}, displayed approximately a 3-fold variation across
the cell cycle (Fig. 4D), underscoring the substantial cell cycle-dependent oscillations in DnaA activity.

We then examined whether the observed fluctuations in DnaA activity were linked to oscillations in
dnaA transcription. Comparing the dynamics of *dnaA* mRNA and DnaA activity, we found that the
peak in DnaA activity coincided with the trough in *dnaA* mRNA levels (dashed line in Fig. 4D-E). To
test whether *dnaA* transcription drives these oscillations, we eliminated transcriptional fluctuations
using the *dnaA*-titratable strain. Despite constant *dnaA* mRNA levels (2 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc induction), DnaA
activity was retained consistent oscillations (Fig. 4F–H), demonstrating the decoupling of DnaA
activity oscillations from transcriptional fluctuations. Our data suggest that post-translational
regulation, not transcriptional feedback, governs the DnaA activity.

$$k_{syn66} = \frac{[mZ](P_{\mathrm{syn66}})}{[mZ](P_{\mathrm{con}})}$$

Figure 4. DnaA activity oscillations decoupled from *dnaA* transcription fluctuations.
(A) Representative *lacZ* mRNA FISH images of MG1655 Δ*lac* cells transformed with *lacZ* expression
plasmids driven by P_{syn66} (DnaA-boxes around promoter core), P_{con} (no DnaA-box around promoter
core), or P_{neg} (mutated promoter core). Yellow outlines indicate cell boundaries identified from phase-
contrast images. **(B)** Relative *lacZ* mRNA concentrations driven by P_{syn66} (left) and P_{con} (right) across
different cell volumes. Relative concentrations were determined from volume-specific *lacZ* mRNA
fluorescence intensities, normalized to the population average. Volume-binned data for P_{syn66}
($[mZ]\,(P_{\mathrm{syn66}})$) and P_{con} ($[mZ]\,(P_{\mathrm{con}})$) are shown as open circles and were used to calculate k_{syn66}.
(C) Schematic of a strain with autoregulated *dnaA* transcription carrying a DnaA activity reporter
plasmid. Cell cycle-dependent fluctuations in relative DnaA activity (**D**) and relative *dnaA* mRNA
concentrations (**E**) in cells from panel **C**, grown in rich defined medium supplemented with glucose.
Relative DnaA activity (k_{syn66}^{-1}), calculated from volume-binned data in panel **B**, was smoothed and
plotted as a red curve (**D**). Relative *dnaA* mRNA concentrations were determined from volume-
specific *dnaA* mRNA fluorescence intensities, normalized to the population average, with volume-
binned data shown as open circles (**E**). Dashed lines indicate the cell volume at peak DnaA activity (**D**)
and the minimum *dnaA* mRNA content (**E**). **(F–H)** Same as panels **C–E**, but for cells with aTc
induced *dnaA* transcription, grown in rich defined medium supplemented with glycerol under 2
ng·ml^{-1} aTc induction. More than 8,000 cells were analyzed per growth condition, and all error bars
correspond to standard error of the mean (SEM).

DnaA activity oscillations are tightly coupled to replication timing

To explore the relationship between DnaA activity oscillations and DNA replication initiation, we examined cells grown under various nutrient conditions supporting different doubling times (30–66 min). Significant fluctuations in DnaA activity were observed across all conditions (Fig. 5A). We also estimated the cell volume at replication initiation (V_i) based on population-averaged cell volume and *oriC* number (Bremer et al., 1979; Si et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2016) (Fig. S2 A–C), marking this on the DnaA activity profiles. In a representative birth-to-division cell cycle (Pountain et al., 2024) (Fig. S2D), DnaA activity peaks consistently coincided with V_i, indicating a close correlation between DnaA activity and replication initiation (Fig. 5A).

Figure 5. Tight correlation between DnaA activity oscillations and DNA replication initiation. (A) Cell cycle-dependent DnaA activity oscillations in wild-type cells across various growth conditions. DnaA activity is represented by k_{syn66}^{-1}. Volume-binned DnaA activity (red circles) was smoothed and plotted as a red curve. The representative birth-to-division cell cycle, defined as the cell volume doubling interval containing the majority of cells, is shaded in gray. The vertical line indicates the cell volume at replication initiation (V_i). **(B)** Cell cycle-dependent DnaA activity oscillations in *dnaA*-titratable cells grown in M6 medium with varying aTc concentrations. More than 8,000 cells were analyzed per growth condition, and error bars show the mean ± SEM. **(C)** Correlation between V^* and V_i in wild-type (squares) and *dnaA*-titratable (circles) cells. V^* represents the cell volume at the peak of DnaA activity within the representative birth-to-division cell cycle. The black line indicates equivalence between V^* and V_i.

Further genetic perturbations, such as titrating DnaA expression, shifted DnaA activity fluctuations, yet the relationship between V^* (the cell volume at maximal DnaA activity in a representative birth-to-division cell cycle) and V_i remained locked (Fig. 5B). To quantify this relationship, we compared V_i with V^*, revealing a strictly proportional relationship through the origin (slope= 1.0, R² = 0.98), indicating equivalence between V^* and V_i (Fig. 5C). These results confirm that DnaA activity oscillations are tightly correlated with replication initiation, independent of transcriptional or nutrient perturbations.

An extrusion model reconciles paradoxical initiation events

Very recently, Knöppel *et al.* reported that multiple rounds of initiation occurred even after DnaA synthesis is shut down (Knöppel et al., 2023). In their experiment, DnaA was supplied in excess before expression ceased (Knöppel et al., 2023), potentially allowing continued replication initiation due to residual DnaA. To address this issue, we shut down DnaA expression from its native level using the deactivated miniature Un1Cas12f1 (dUn1Cas12f1) system, guided by sgRNA to repress *dnaA* transcription (Fig. 6A). This system effectively diminished *dnaA* transcription to an extremely low level, yet total *oriC* numbers increased fourfold within 90 min, consistent with two rounds of replication initiation (Fig. 6B). These findings demonstrate that halting DnaA synthesis does not immediately abolish replication initiation.

Figure 6. An extrusion model explains DnaA shut-down dynamics. (A) Genetic circuit of the deactivated CRISPR-Cas system for *dnaA* transcription shut-down. *dnaA* gene is targeted by a constitutively expressed sgRNA, while dUn1Cas12f1 expression is inhibited by TetR repressor. These transcription units are separated by terminators. The cassette was integrated into the chromosome near the *oriC* locus. DnaA shutdown is induced by the addition of aTc. **(B)** Time-course of relative *dnaA* mRNA levels (red line, left axis) and total *oriC* number (green line, right axis) following the addition of 50 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc at time 0 (dashed line). *dnaA* mRNA levels were normalized to wild-type levels, and *oriC* numbers were normalized to their initial values. Error bars indicate mean ± SD (n = 3 biologically independent experiments). **(C)** Predicted increases in total *oriC* number during *dnaA* transcription shut-down based on three models: the titration model, switch model, and extrusion model. Shutdown was simulated by setting *dnaA* transcription to zero at time 0 (dashed line). **(D)** Schematic of the extrusion model. The model introduces extruder(s) as additional regulators of biomass-DNA coordination, complementing the role of DnaA (left). Increased binding of the extruder to DNA promotes the release of DnaA from DnaA-boxes (right). **(E)** Comparison of the relationship between relative initiation mass and relative *dnaA* mRNA levels from experimental data (Fig. 2F) and predictions of the extrusion model.

Both the titration and switch models predict a close relationship between DnaA activity oscillations
and replication initiation(Berger & Wolde, 2022). However, they fail to account for the multiple
rounds of initiation observed after DnaA synthesis was shut down. According to the titration model,
initiation should cease immediately once DnaA expression is shut down, as the reduction in DnaA
concentration would prevent it from reaching the threshold required to trigger new rounds of
replication. In contrast, the switch model predicts infinite initiations following the cessation of DnaA
expression (Fig. 6C). This is because the cessation of DnaA synthesis only reduce the production rate
of DnaA-ATP and had no effect on the conversion rates between DnaA-ATP and DnaA-ADP. As a result,
the ratio of DnaA-ATP to DnaA-ADP would temporarily decrease, causing a brief lag before initiation
resumes indefinitely (Fig. 6C).

The failure of both models prompts us to revisit the regulatory mechanisms of replication initiation.
In the titration model, a substantial fraction of DnaA proteins binds to DnaA-boxes along the
chromosome, raising the possibility that these bound proteins serve as a reservoir of free DnaA,
sustaining replication initiation even after DnaA synthesis ceases. We thus considered the possibility
of some extruder(s) alongside DnaA to sense biomass-DNA deviation, and flush DnaA proteins away
from the DnaA-boxes, thus releasing free DnaA to dynamically restore DnaA activity, even in the
absence of ongoing DnaA synthesis (Fig. 6D).

In this proposed "extrusion model", the extruder is constitutively expressed, synthesized at a
constant rate throughout the cell cycle, accumulating in proportion to biomass growth, enabling
replication initiation when free DnaA concentrations exceed the critical threshold, as described in
the titration model(Hansen & Atlung, 2018; Hansen et al., 1991) (Fig. 6D). This model guarantees the
long-term coordination of biomass growth and *oriC* abundance, as well as stable oscillations in free
DnaA and *oriC* concentrations (Fig. S3 A). Notably, the extrusion model accounts for the persistence
of replication initiation and free DnaA oscillations over multiple cell cycles after DnaA synthesis is
halted (Fig. 6C; Fig. S3 B). Moreover, since the extruder mitigates the impact of perturbations on
DnaA synthesis, the model predicts a quantitative relationship between initiation mass and DnaA
expression levels that aligns with experimental observations (Fig. 6E; Supplementary Note S1).
Furthermore, a stochastic implementation of the extrusion model shows the lack of correlation
between successive replication initiation events (Fig. S3 C), as observed in recent studies (Si et al.,
2019; Witz et al., 2019).

Perturbation of H-NS validates the extrusion mechanism

The extrusion model could be validated by changing the expression level of the extruder, which
modulates DnaA activity by releasing DnaA from DnaA-boxes. Given their DNA binding properties,
NAPs(Dillon & Dorman, 2010) are likely candidates for this extruder role. Therefore, we selected H-
NS(Dorman, 2004), a major NAP, which is capable of promoting the release of DnaA from bound
DnaA-boxes *in vitro* (Fig. S4), to experimentally assess the validity of the extrusion model. To this end,
we constructed a *hns*-titratable strain, incorporating a reporting plasmid to capture changes in DnaA
activity across various H-NS expression levels (Fig. 7A). Under steady-state cultivation, increasing aTc
concentrations from 0 to 50 ng·ml^{-1} resulted in *hns* mRNA levels ranging from 0.25 to 5 times the
wild-type levels, without affecting growth rate (Fig. S5 A-B). Within this range, DnaA activity increased
by approximately 60 % (Fig. 7B), while the initiation mass decreased by 30 % (Fig. 7C; calculated with
Fig. 5S C-D), in qualitative agreement with the predictions of the extrusion model (Fig. S5 E-F).

Figure 7. Titration of *hns* expression modulates DnaA activity and replication initiation. (A) Genetic circuit of the *hns*-titratable strain. Expression of *hns* is controlled by a P_{tet}-*tetR* negative feedback loop integrated at the *attB* site, with the native *hns* coding sequence replaced by a kanamycin resistance gene. The plasmid contains P_{syn66}-*mcherry* and P_{con}-*gfp* expression cassettes was used to assess DnaA activity. DnaA activity (**B**) and initiation mass (**C**) were characterized in M6 medium with varying *hns* expression levels during steady-state cultivation. **(D)** mRNA levels of *hns* (green circles) and *dnaA* (blue squares) relative to wild-type levels, along with DnaA activity (orange rhombus), were measured during *hns* shift-up. *hns* shift-up was induced by the addition of 50 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc at time 0 (dashed line), following steady-state cultivation in M6 medium without aTc. **(E)** Dynamics of population-averaged *oriC* number (blue rhombus, left axis) and cellular mass (gray rhombus, right axis) during *hns* shift-up. Data represent mean ± SD from 3 biological replicates (**B-E**). **(F)** Cell cycle-dependent DnaA activity oscillations in *hns*-titratable cells cultivated in M1 and M6 media under varying aTc concentrations. Relative *hns* mRNA levels are indicated for each condition. More than 8,000 cells were analyzed for each condition and error bars show the mean ± SEM. **(G)** Correlation between the volume at maximal DnaA activity (V^*) and the volume at replication initiation (V_i) for *hns*-titratable cells grown in M1 (green down-pointing triangle) and M6 (red up-pointing triangle) media with varying *hns* expression levels. Data for *dnaA*-titratable cells (gray circles) and wild-type *dnaA*-autoregulated cells (Fig. 5C) are included for comparison.

Since H-NS is a global transcriptional regulator (Hommais et al., 2001), we wonder whether its effect on DnaA activity was mediated through *dnaA* transcription. To test this, we measured DnaA activity and *dnaA* mRNA levels during an H-NS shift-up. Upon addition of 50 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc, *hns* levels increased

more than 20-fold within a short period, followed by approximately 80 % increase in DnaA activity, with no significant change in *dnaA* mRNA levels (Fig. 7D). Additionally, cellular *oriC* content increased significantly within 30 minutes, while cell mass remained unchanged (Fig. 7E). These results suggest that the rapid increase in DnaA activity and accelerated replication initiation induced by H-NS upregulation is not driven by changes in *dnaA* transcription.

Leveraging the *hns*-titratable strain, we re-examined the tight correlation between DnaA activity oscillations and replication initiation. In two different growth media with varying *hns* levels, the peak of DnaA activity fluctuations (V^*) shifted in concert with the independently measured volume at replication initiation (V_i) (Fig. 7F). Plotting V^* against V_i, revealed the same equivalency observed in wild-type and *dnaA*-titratable cells (Fig. 7G). Collectively, these results support the notion that DnaA activity oscillations, modulated by extrusion, coordinate DNA replication and biomass growth.

Discussion

In this study, we proposed chromosome-driven DnaA activity oscillations as a post-translational "rheostat" coordinating DNA replication with biomass growth in *E. coli*. By developing a SeqA-independent synthetic promoter reporter (P_{syn66}) on a plasmid, we circumvented confounding factors inherent to native systems (Pountain et al., 2024), thereby achieving the direct quantification of DnaA activity dynamics. Crucially, these oscillations persist when *dnaA* transcription is fixed, operate independently of SeqA-mediated repression, and peak precisely at replication initiation across all tested growth conditions. This reveals an essential paradigm: bacteria bypass transcriptional control to synchronize genome duplication with growth through regulation of DnaA-chromosome interactions.

Very recently, Iuliani *et al.* also reported DnaA activity oscillations (Iuliani et al., 2024), which is a significant milestone, innovatively using a chromosomal promoter reporter system and microfluidics for single-cell DnaA activity observations. They revealed key relationships between DnaA activity, cell size, and division. Our work, however, differs in multiple ways. Methodologically, we engineered a *dnaA*-titratable strain and synthetic promoters like P_{syn66}, enabling precise *dnaA* expression control and cleaner DnaA activity reporting. Our mRNA FISH approach uniquely compares DnaA activity and transcription at the single-cell level. Replication initiation volume scales proportionally with peak DnaA activity volume with a slope of 1.0 (R^2=0.98, Fig. 7G), indicating predictive correspondence rather than absolute coincidence. While population-level V_i estimation cannot resolve single-cell stochasticity, the consistent $V^*:V_i$ relationship across 20 conditions suggests DnaA activity thresholds predict initiation timing within physiological error margins. Regarding research questions, while Iuliani *et al.* focused on DnaA-cell size-division relationships, we addressed the decoupling of replication initiation from *dnaA* expression with our novel extrusion model.

Recently, an integrated "titration-switch" model has been proposed to improve replication cycle stability across different growth rates (Berger & Wolde, 2022; Fu et al., 2023). Although this integrated model predicts a relationship between initiation mass and *dnaA* mRNA levels that aligns closely with steady-state experimental data (Fig. S6 A), it fails to account for the additional replication initiation observed after *dnaA* shutdown (Fig. S6 B). By incorporating an extruder into this model, we successfully reproduced the additional replication initiation (Fig. S6 C), thus validating the extrusion

concept and aligning with experimental observations. These findings highlight the critical role of extrusion in refining our mechanistic understanding of biomass-DNA coordination.

Mechanistically, we propose that NAPs sense biomass-DNA imbalances and extrude DnaA from chromosomal sites, converting physical displacement into biochemical activation, a spatial control strategy mirroring eukaryotic chromatin regulation. H-NS emerges as a prime extruder candidate given its DNA-condensing ability (Dame et al., 2000; Zimmerman, 2006) and replication-timing effects (Fig. 7). This functional multiplicity likely enhances robustness, allowing cells to maintain replication homeostasis despite fluctuating environments, an evolutionary advantage paralleling eukaryotic checkpoint system.

These findings refine bacterial cell cycle control as a dynamic interplay between physical chromosome remodeling and metabolic sensing. By tethering DnaA activation to biomass accumulation through extrusion, cells achieve an important capability: noise suppression via spatial filtering of transcriptional fluctuations. Such mechanistic parsimony, using chromosome structure to both store genetic information and regulate replication timing, may represent a potential organizational strategy. Indeed, parallels to eukaryotic systems are striking: DnaA extrusion resembles ORC licensing through chromatin accessibility (Fragkos et al., 2015), while biomass-DNA coupling evokes yeast size-control checkpoints (Xie et al., 2022).

While H-NS perturbation supports extrusion mechanism, future work should identify the full extruder interactome and elucidate how metabolic signals modulate their activity. Our synthetic reporter system provides a valuable tool for dissecting the spatial regulation of DNA-binding proteins, with translational potential in antimicrobial development (targeting pathogen-specific extruders) and synthetic biology (engineering tunable replication circuits). More broadly, this work establishes physical chromosome remodeling as a central coordinator of cellular homeostasis, a concept bridging prokaryotic and eukaryotic cell cycle paradigms.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid and strain Construction

Strains used in this study were derived from *E. coli* MG1655. Information for all strains and plasmids are listed in Tables S1 and S2.

Plasmids for reporting DnaA activity

Plasmids expressing GFP, mCherry, or LacZ under synthetic promoters were constructed based on the pPT plasmid backbone (Zong et al., 2017). The *lacZ* amplified from the MG1655 genome and mcherry gene amplified from pMD19-*hupA-mcherry* plasmid, were assembled into the BspQI/BsrGI-digested pPT backbone via the One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme, C112), to generate the pPT-lacZ and pPT-RFP plasmids, respectively. Synthetic promoters, generated by annealing the primer pairs listed in Table S3, were ligated directly into the BsaI-digested pPT, pPT-RFP or pPT-lacZ plasmid as required. The native *dnaA* promoter (P_{native}) amplified from the MG1655 genome was digested with Bsa*I*, and ligated into the BsaI-digested pPT plasmid. The P_{con}-*gfp* cassette amplified from P_{con}-GFP plasmid was assembled into the SpeI-digested P_{syn66}-RFP plasmid to generate the P_{sny66}-P_{con}-FPs plasmid. Primer information for amplifying DNA fragments is detailed in Table S4.

Plasmids for strain construction

For constructing the plasmid pMD19-Rhns, pMD19-RseqA and pMD19-RdnaA, the *hns*, *seqA* and *dnaA* gene were amplified from MG1655 genome, digested, and ligated into the same enzyme-digested pMD19-tetR plasmid. For constructing the plasmid p15A-RdnaA, the T3-P_{tet}-*tetR-dnaA* cassette, *kan*[r] gene and p15A-T1 fragment were amplified from plasmid pMD19-RdnaA, pEcCas and PZA31-P_{tet}-M2-GFP, respectively, and then assembled via MultiS One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme, C113). The plasmid P_CRidnaA1 were obtained by ligating the annealed primer pairs to the BsaI-digested CPP00458 plasmid for the *dnaA* targeting. The chloramphenicol resistance gene *Cm*[r] amplified from the PZA31-P_{tet}-M2-GFP plasmid replaced the *kan*[r] gene in the pEcCas plasmid via λRed recombination method, resulting in the CmPcas plasmid. Primer information is detailed in Table S4.

Strain construction

The *seqA*-, and *hns*-titratable strains were constructed as previously described (Zheng et al., 2016). Briefly, after transforming pSIM5 plasmid into MG1655 cells, the P_{tet}-*tetR*-driven *seqA* or *hns* cassette was inserted at the *attB* site on the genome, and then the native gene was replaced with the *kan*[r] gene amplified from plkml plasmid.

For the construction of *dnaA*-titratable strain, we first inserted the P_{tet}-*tetR cassette* into the *intS* site via CRISPR/Cas9-λRed genome editing system (Jiang et al., 2015) by targeting 20-nucleotide sequence (tcttcctgcagaccagatcc). Then applying the λRed recombination systems in the CmPcas plasmid, the *bla*:P_{tet}-*dnaA* cassette amplified from the pMD19-RdnaA plasmid via overlap PCR, was inserted between the *yid*A and *yid*X genes, and the negative P_{native}-*dnaA* was replaced with the T$_3$-Kan-T$_1$ cassette amplified from the p15A-RdnaA plasmid.

In order to delete the native *lacZ* gene in the genome of the RdnaA1, Rhns1 and MG1655 cells, the homologous recombination fragment amplified from the genome of CL1 strain, was used to knock out the native *lac* operon via CRISPR/Cas9-λRed editing system (Jiang et al., 2015) by targeting 20-nucleotide sequence (cttccggctcgtatgttgtg).

For the construction of DnaA shut-down strain (CRidnaA1), the P_{J23119}-*sgRNA$_{dnaA}$*:P_{J23100}-*tetR*:P_{tet}-*dUn1Cas12f1* cassette was amplified from the P_CRidnaA1 plasmid and flanked with homologous sequences to the *asn*A and *via*A gene. This cassette was then inserted into the MG1655 genome using

CRISPR/Cas9-λRed editing system, targeting the 19-nucleotide sequence (aagccgcctgctcagacgc). The CPP00458 plasmid, kindly provided by the Xiongfei Fu lab, contains the Un1Cas12f1 variant, which carries mutations D143R, T147R, D326A, and K330R that abolish its DNA-cleaving activity. However, it retains the ability to bind DNA in a sgRNA-guided manner, thereby inhibiting the expression of the target gene.

All primers for the construction of DNA fragments are detailed in Table S4.

Growth conditions

Cells for strain construction were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium with appropriate antibiotics: ampicillin (100 μg·ml^{-1}), kanamycin (50 μg·ml^{-1}), spectinomycin (50 μg·ml^{-1}), chloramphenicol (25 μg·ml^{-1}), based on plasmid presents. For titratable strains, 10 ng·ml^{-1} anhydrotetracycline (aTc) was added during strain construction and seed culture preparation. Seed cultures were initiated by inoculating 3–6 individual colonies from an LB agar plate into 14 ml round-bottom test tubes containing 2 ml of the desired medium for overnight growth.

For physiological phenotypic characterization, all cells were cultivated at 37°C with shaking at 150 rpm in a water bath shaker. The *dnaA*-, *seqA*- and *hns*-titratable cells were characterized under varying aTc concentrations in rich defined medium supplemented with glycerol (M6). *hns*-titratable cells were also characterized in rich defined medium supplemented with glucose (M1). When strains contained reporter plasmid, 25 μg·ml^{-1} chloramphenicol was added. The autoregulated-*dnaA* strain (MGCL1) with a reporter plasmid, was tested in seven different media: M1 (MOPS + EZ + AUCG + Glucose), M2 (MOPS + EZ + Glucose), M3 (MOPS + EZ + AUCG + Gluconate), M6 (MOPS + EZ + AUCG + Glycerol), M12 (MOPS + EZ + Glycerol), M13 (MOPS + CAA + Glycerol), and M18 (MOPS + Glucose). Detailed medium compositions were provided previously (Zheng et al., 2020).

Steady-state growth was established as previously described(Zheng et al., 2020) by culturing cells for ~10 generations in exponential growth phase with ~15-fold dilution at OD$_{600}$ ~0.2, using the same medium supplemented with antibiotic or aTc as required. OD$_{600}$ measurements were taken at consecutive time points using a spectrometer (Genesys 10s, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the growth rate (or doubling time) λ was calculated by fitting the data to an exponential growth curve. Samples for determination of population-averaged cell mass ($\overline{m}$) and cellular origin number ($\overline{o}$), quantification of mRNA levels, and mRNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) were collected when OD$_{600}$ reached ~0.2.

Measurements of population-averaged cellular mass ($\overline{m}$), cellular *oriC* number ($\overline{o}$), the initiation to division period (C+D), and initiation mass (m_i)

The population-averaged cell mass ($\overline{m}$), cellular *oriC* number ($\overline{o}$), and initiation mass (m_i) were calculated as described previously(Zheng et al., 2020). Briefly, $\overline{m}$ was determined by dividing the OD$_{600}$ by the cell concentration (OD$_{600}$ ml per 10^9 cells). For cell counting, samples were collected in precooled cell count buffer (0.9% NaCl with 0.12% formaldehyde, filtered with a 0.22 μm filter) and stained with 1 μg·ml^{-1} DAPI in the same buffer. Cell number concentration was measured by counting the DAPI-stained particles using flow cytometry equipped with 405 nm laser (CytoFLEX, Beckman Coulter Life Sciences). The $\overline{o}$ was calculated based on the classical run-out experiments(Zheng et al., 2020). In brief, cell suspensions were treated with 500 μg·ml^{-1} rifampicin and 30 μg·ml^{-1} cephalexin to inhibit new initiation and cell division, respectively, and maintained for 2-3 mass doublings to complete ongoing replication. Cells were fixed with 70% precooled absolute ethanol, and treated with stain buffer (20mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 130 mM NaCl, 10 ng·ml^{-1} DAPI). Using the same flow cytometry, we measured the cellular origin distribution based on DAPI signaling, from which $\overline{o}$ was calculated.

453 C+D was calculated based on the equation: C+D = ln($\bar{o}$)/λ. m_i was calculated based on the equation:

454 $m_i = \frac{\bar{m}}{\bar{o}} \times \frac{1}{ln2}$ (Bremer et al., 1979; Si et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2016).

Screening of the synthetic promoter library

After transforming the reporter plasmid into *dnaA*-titratable cells, the seed culture was cultivated in
M6 medium with 10 ng·ml^{-1} aTc, then diluted 1:180 in fresh medium containing either 0.5 or 50 ng·ml^{-1}
aTc and cultivated at 37 °C and 800 r.p.m. in a digital thermo-shaker (AOSHENG) using flat-bottom 96-
well plates (Corning). Following approximately 4 hours of pre-cultivation, the cultures were diluted
1:180 in fresh medium with the same aTc concentration. The OD_{600} and GFP fluorescence intensity
were continuously monitored during cell growth using a Synergy H1 microplate reader (BioTek). The
non-fluorescent strain (MG1655) cultured in 180 ul of M6 medium for each experiment was used to
subtract the background fluorescence. For OD_{600} between 0.1 and 0.2, the repression fold change
was calculated by dividing the mean fluorescence intensity per OD_{600} of the cells grown with 0.5
ng·ml^{-1} aTc induction by the corresponding intensity for cells grown with 50 ng·ml^{-1} aTc induction.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using a protocol similar to previously described (Zheng et
al., 2016). Briefly, RNA was stabilized using Bacteria Protect Reagent (Qiagen) and extracted using
RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). 500 ng RNA was reverse transcribed (TAKARA), and the resulting cDNA
samples were diluted 1:25 with PCR-grade water. Quantitative real-time PCR was carried out on a
qTower3 (Analytikjena) or CFX Connect system (Bio-Rad), using the following program: 30 s at 95 °C,
followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (5 s at 95 °C), annealing, and elongation (30 s at 58 °C). Primer
sequences were listed in Table S4. The *rpoA* gene was used as the reference gene.

***lacZ* and *dnaA* mRNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)**

FISH for *lacZ* or *dnaA* mRNA was performed using a method similar to previously described (Skinner
et al., 2013). Briefly, antisense DNA oligo probes were designed against *lacZ* or *dnaA*, and synthesized
with TAMRA labeling at the 3' end. The sequences of probes targeting *lacZ* or *dnaA* are either as
previously described(Skinner et al., 2013) or listed in Table S5. 27 ml steady-state growth culture
(OD_{600}~0.2) was fixed by direct adding 3 ml of 37 % (vol/vol) formaldehyde, following by incubation
for 30 min at room temperature with gentle mixing using a nutator. The fixed cells were collected by
centrifugation at 600 g for 8 minutes, and washed twice with 1 ml RNase-free PBS. The cell pellet was
resuspended in 300 µl DEPC water, and 700 µl precooled absolute ethanol was added to permeabilize
the cells for 1 hour at room temperature in the nutator. After permeabilization, the cells were divided
into two equal parts for characterization of *lacZ* or *dnaA* mRNA as needed. Hybridization was
performed as described previously(Skinner et al., 2013), with the stringency of the hybridization and
wash solutions adjusted by changing the concentration of formamide, 30 % for *dnaA* probes and 40 %
for *lacZ* probes. After hybridization, the cells were washed with the appropriate wash solution and
prepared for imaging.

Cell imaging and cell size parameters measurement

For imaging, 3 µl of cell suspension was pipetted onto the center of a 24×60-mm coverslip. A thin
1.5 % agarose pad (prepared with 1xPBS) was then placed on top of the cell suspension droplet.
Fluorescent and phase images were acquired using an inverted microscope (IX-83, Olympus)
equipped with a 100x oil objective (Olympus), an automated xy-stage (ASI, MS2000), and a sCMOS
camera (Prime BSI, photometrics). Fluorescence excitation was performed as previously described
(Xia et al., 2022). Solid-state lasers (Coherent OBIS: 405–100 LX, 561–100 LS) and Semrock emission
bandpass filters (445/40 nm for DAPI and 598/25 nm for RFP) were used for excitation and collecting
fluorescent signals.

Images were aligned with phase contrast channel by selecting at least six pairs of common control
points and applying a geometric transformation using the MATLAB imwarp function. This allowed for
adjustment of the cell masks in the RFP or DAPI channel. A customized ImageJ -based image-
processing package, MicrobeJ (v5.13l$_1$) plugin for Fiji (Ducret et al., 2016), was used to contour cells
and calculate cell size parameters and fluorescence intensity, including the mean cell width, cell
length, and cell area from phase-contrast images. The cell volume was calculated using a cylinder-
plus-two-hemispheres geometry. The total RFP intensity per cell volume, corrected for background
subtraction, was then used to estimate the mRNA concentration of *lacZ* or *danA*.

Characterization of cell cycle-dependent fluctuations in DnaA activity

Data filtering was first performed based on the intensity of the DAPI fluorescence signal. To eliminate
non-cell regions resulting from incorrect segmentation of the phase contrast images, only DAPI-
positive cells were included in the analysis. To further minimize the potential impact of variability in
fixation, permeabilization, and wash efficiencies, which could affect the TAMRA fluorescence signal
(representing the mRNA FISH signal), only cells with mean DAPI signals within the 95% confidence
intervals were included in the subsequent analysis.

The concentration of the *lacZ* mRNA ($[mZ]$) can be expressed as $[mZ] = J/\delta_Z$, where δ_Z is the
degradation rate and J is the mRNA synthesis flux. J is in turn given by the promoter on-rate (k),
the RNAP concentration ($[RNAP]$), and the concentration of the *lacZ* gene ($[G_Z]$). Assuming that the
factors δ_Z, [RNAP], and $[G_Z]$ are the same between the two strains with P_{syn66} and P_{con} driving *lacZ*,
then the ratio of the mRNA concentration obtained at each point of the cell cycle gives the ratio of
the promoter on-rates, k_{Psyn66} and k_{Pcon}. Since a constitutive promoter is non-responsive to DnaA
activity, k_{con} is independent of cell cycle and we can obtain DnaA activity regulated promoter
activity as $k_{syn66} = \frac{[mZ](\text{P}_{syn66})}{[mZ](\text{P}_{con})}$, then the DnaA activity is denoted as k_{syn66}^{-1}.

The concentration of the *lacZ* mRNA were binned based on cell volume, with a bin size of 0.1 μm³,
was used to determine $[mZ]_{(Psyn66)}$ and $[mZ]_{(Pcon)}$. The relation between $[mZ]_{(Pcon)}$ and cell volume
was smoothed using the MATLAB smooth function, and from this, k_{syn66} was deduced.

Based on the DnaA activity oscillations, the cell volume where DnaA activity reaches maximum (V^*)
was calculated during a representative birth-to-division cell cycle. The cell volume distribution was
used to calculate the number of cells in each volume-doubling range. The range containing the highest
cells was considered the representative birth-to-division cell cycle and was shaded in gray (Fig. S2 D).
From this, V^* was obtained.

Calculation of cell volume at the time of replication initiation (V_i)

530 Cell volume at the time of replication initiation (V_i) was calculated based on population-averaged cell
531 volume ($\bar{V}$), and the population-averaged cellular *oriC* number ($\bar{o}$). The equation used for this
532 calculation is as follows:

$$V_i = v_i \times N_{oriC}^{ini.} = \frac{\bar{V}}{\bar{o}} \times \frac{1}{ln2} \times 2^{\lfloor log_2^{\bar{o}} \rfloor}$$

534 where v_i is the initiation volume by definition, i.e. cell volume per *oriC* at the time of replication
535 initiation(Bremer et al., 1979; Si et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2016). $\bar{V}$ was obtained by analyzing the
536 phase contrast images, $\bar{o}$ was obtained by run-out experiments (Zheng et al., 2020). $N_{oriC}^{ini.}$ is the
537 cellular *oriC* number at the time of replication initiation. $\lfloor log_2 \bar{o} \rfloor$ denotes the floor function of
538 $log_2 \bar{o}$.

DnaA shut-down experiment

To shut-down DnaA expression, steady-state growth of the CRidnaA1 cells was first established after
~10 generations of exponential growth in M1 medium. At OD$_{600}$ between 0.15 and 0.2, 24 ml of cell

culture was transferred to 12 ml of pre-warmed M1 medium containing 150 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc to induce

dUn1Cas12f1 expression at time 0. Under the guidance of *sgRNA*$_{dnaA}$, the transcription of *dnaA* gene

was inhibited. Samples were collected at various time points to determine population-averaged

cellular mass ($\bar{m}$), cellular *oriC* number ($\bar{o}$), and to quantify mRNA levels. When the OD$_{600}$ reached

around 0.2, the cultures were diluted about 2-fold with pre-warmed M1 medium containing 50

ng·ml⁻¹ aTc to maintain adequate nutrition. The steady-state growing MG1655 cells in M1 medium

were also collected for quantification of wild-type *dnaA* mRNA levels. Three independent

experiments were conducted.

H-NS shift-up experiment

The DnaA activity reporter plasmid P$_{syn66}$-P$_{con}$-FPs was transformed into the *hns*-titratable strain

(Rhns2), and cells were cultivated overnight in M6 medium containing 10 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc and 25 μg·ml⁻¹

chloramphenicol for seed culture. Seed cultures were washed and grown in the same medium

without aTc for ~10 generations of exponential growth. At an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.2, 40 ml of cell culture was

transferred to 20 ml of pre-warmed same medium containing 150 ng·ml⁻¹ aTc to induce H-NS

expression at time 0. Samples were collected at time points -2, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 minutes

for the determination of population-averaged cellular mass ($\bar{m}$), cellular *oriC* number ($\bar{o}$), and

quantification of mRNA levels. Steady-state growing MG1655 in M6 medium were also collected for

hns mRNA quantification. Three independent experiments were conducted.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

The genes encoding *dnaA* and *hns* from the MG1655 genome were individually amplified and cloned

into the expression vector pET-28a using primers listed in Table S4. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pET-

28a-DnaA or pET-28a-H-NS was used for overproduction of His$_6$-DnaA or His$_6$-H-NS. For each protein

purification, a 1 L culture was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG at OD$_{600}$ = 0.4. After 1.5 hours of

incubation, cells were harvested by centrifugation (5,000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C). The pellet was

resuspended in 50 ml of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 5 % glycerol).

Cell resuspension was lysed on ice for 30 minutes (3 seconds on, 7 seconds off) using a sonicator at

4 °C, and cell debris was removed by centrifugation (14,000 rpm for 60 min at 4 °C). Subsequently,

the protein was purified from the soluble supernatant by Ni^{2+}-affinity chromatography (HisTrap™ HP

Columns; GE Healthcares) with a ÄKTA Pure Protein Purification System. Proteins were collected using

gradient elution with buffer B [20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 400 mM imidazole, 5 % glycerol].

Eluted proteins were further purified and concentrated by ultrafiltration using Amicon Ultra 10K (for

H-NS) and 30K (for DnaA) filters (Millipore). Finally, after overnight dialysis (0.025 μm Membrane

Filter; MF-Millipore) at 4 °C, the protein was stored in buffer C [45 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.6, 600 mM

potassium glutamate, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 20 % glycerol].

Judgement by SDS/PAGE with Coomassie Brilliant blue staining, the purity of each protein was >90 %.

For the experiments where H-NS acts on the *datA* bound by DnaA, the purified DnaA, *datA* and ATP

were first incubated in buffer D (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM

magnesium acetate, 8 mM DTT) at 20 °C for 10 min. Then the H-NS was added to buffer D (15 μl)

which contained DnaA-*datA* complexes and incubated for additional 10 min at 20 °C. The final

complexes were separated by electrophoresis in 2 % (wt/vol) agarose gel at 80 V for 70 min in Tris-

Borate buffer, followed by staining with SYBR Safe (Invitrogen).

Titration model

In the titration model, we posit that the cell mass grows exponentially according to the differential

equation $\frac{dV}{dt} = \lambda V$, where V represents the volume of the cell and $\lambda = 1.54\ h^{-1}$ (corresponding to

doubling time of 27 min) is the growth rate. Concurrently, the number of total DnaA protein (A) increases at a rate proportional to the cell's mass, described by $\frac{dA}{dt} = \alpha_A V$, with $\alpha_A = 300\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$ denoting the synthesis rate per unit volume. The chromosome's structure is abstracted as a vector representing the copy numbers of uniformly distributed sites along its length, and the number of DnaA-boxes (A_b) is quantified by summing the boxes number at their respective locations. In this model, 300 DnaA-boxes are evenly distributed on the chromosome while an additional 100 DnaA-boxes are located near the *oriC*. This arrangement approximates a head-weighted distribution of DnaA-boxes and helps prevent over-initiation(Hansen et al., 1991). Binding of DnaA proteins to these DnaA-boxes allows us to calculate the concentration of free DnaA as $[A_f] = (A - A_b)/V$, under condition of $(A - A_b) \geq 0$. DNA replication commences once the concentration of free DnaA exceeds a critical threshold $[A_f^c] = 10\ \mu m^{-3}$: $[A_f] > [A_f^c]$. 10 min sequestration time was set after replication initiation to mimic the sequestration time(Campbell & Kleckner, 1990).

To explore the dynamics of the *oriC* number following the cessation of *dnaA* expression, we can set the synthesis rate to be zero $\alpha_A = 0$. To simulate the dependence of initiation mass on *dnaA* expression, α_A varies from 60 $h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$ to 3840 $h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$.

Extrusion model

The extrusion model was formulated following the titration model, with an additional extruder introduced as a protein with synthesis rate $\alpha_H = 180\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$ and dynamics $dH/dt = \alpha_H V$. The additional extruder competes the DnaA-boxes with DnaA proteins, with higher affinity to DnaA-boxes. So that it can displace DnaA from the DnaA-boxes, leading to a revised calculation for free DnaA concentration as $[A_f] = (A - (A_b - H))/V$, under condition of $(A_b - H) \geq 0$ and $(A - (A_b - H)) \geq 0$. To get stochastic modelling for adder phenomenon, we introduced white noises on cell mass growth rate and the DnaA synthesis rate at each replication cycle: $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \eta\lambda_0$, $\alpha_A = \alpha_0 + \eta\alpha_0$ where $\lambda_0 = 0.66\ h^{-1}, \alpha_0 = 300\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$ and $\eta = 0.1$ representing white noise. This extrusion model exhibits consistent performance under various parameter ranges.

DnaA-ATP/DnaA-ADP switch model

The switch model was simulated following the idea of LDDR model proposed by Berger *et al.* (Berger & Wolde, 2022). The formulations of the DnaA-ATP fraction dynamics is:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \left(\frac{\alpha_l}{[D]_T}V + \frac{\alpha_{d1}}{[D]_T}N_{d1} + \frac{\alpha_{d1}}{[D]_T}N_{d2}\right)\frac{1-f}{\frac{K_D}{[D]_T}+1-f} - \left(\frac{\beta_{datA}}{[D]_T} + \frac{\beta_{rida}}{[D]_T}\right)N_o\frac{f}{\frac{K_D}{[D]_T}+f} + \frac{\alpha_A}{[D]_T}\lambda(1-f)$$

In this equation $[D]_T = 400\mu m^{-3}$ is the concentration of total DnaA proteins in a cell $\alpha_l = 750h^{-1}$ is the activation rate of DnaA-ATP by lipid; $\alpha_{d1} = 100\ h^{-1}$, $\alpha_{d2} = 643\ h^{-1}$ are the activation rate of DnaA-ATP by the DARS1 and DARS2 sites; $\beta_{datA} = 600\ h^{-1}, \beta_{rida} = 500\ h^{-1}$ are the deactivation rate of DnaA-ATP by *datA* and RIDA; $K_D = 50\mu m^{-3}$ the dissociation constant of DnaA activation and deactivation; N_{d1}, N_{d2}, N_o are copy number of DARS1, DARS2 and *oriC* sites extracted dynamically from the DNA copy number vector; $\alpha_A = 300\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$ is the DnaA expression level. The DNA replication initiation was determined when f reaches a threshold $f_C = 0.75$ (Berger & Wolde, 2022). To simulate the *dnaA* shut-down, we simply deleted the term of *dnaA* synthesis ($\lambda(1-f)$).

Titration-switch model and titration-switch-extrusion model

In the integrated model combining titration and switch mechanisms, replication initiation is triggered when the concentration of free DnaA-ATP $[A_{ATP}^f]$ exceeds a threshold $[A_{ATP}^{fc}]$. The concentration of

free DnaA-ATP is titrated by the DnaA-boxes on the chromosome as in the titration model, with $[A_{ATP}^f] = (A_{ATP} - A_b)/V$, under condition of $(A_{ATP} - A_b) \geq 0$. The parameters related to the titration dynamics remain consistent with those described in earlier sections of titration model.

The dynamics of total DnaA-ATP (A_{ATP}) is governed by both expression and conversion processes as described in Berger et al. (Berger & Wolde, 2022). According to this framework, the lipid and DARS systems facilitate the conversion of DnaA-ADP to DnaA-ATP at constant rates, while the datA site and RIDA systems also play a role in converting DnaA-ATP to DnaA-ADP, again at fixed rates. Additionally, the synthesis of new DnaA proteins provides another source of DnaA-ATP.

To be specific, DNA replication initiates was set when the concentration of free DnaA-ATP, $[A_f^{ATP}] = (A^{ATP} - A_b)/V$ exceeds a threshold $[A_f^c]$. The dynamics of total DnaA number in a cell A followed $\frac{dA}{dt} = \frac{\phi_{P_0} \lambda V}{1 + ([A_f^{ATP}]/K_D^P)^n}$, and the dynamics of total DnaA-ATP number A^{ATP} followed:

$$\frac{dA^{ATP}}{dt} = (\alpha_l V + \alpha_{d1} N_{d1} + \alpha_{d2} N_{d2}) \frac{[A^{ADP}]}{K_D + [A^{ADP}]} - (\beta_{datA} + \beta_{rida}) N_o \frac{[A_f^{ATP}]}{K_D + [A_f^{ATP}]} + \frac{\phi_{P_0} \lambda V}{1 + ([A_f^{ATP}]/K_D^P)^n}$$

where the three terms on the right represent activation and deactivation of DnaA-ATP and new synthesis of DnaA-ATP. In these equations $[A^{ADP}] = \frac{(A - A^{ATP})}{V}$ is the concentration of DnaA-ADP; $[D_T] = 400 \, \mu m^{-3}$ is the total DnaA concentration; $K_D^P = 400 \, \mu m^{-3}$ is the dissociation constant of DnaA promoter; ϕ_{p0} is the DnaA protein ratio relative to all proteins, which is proportional to α_A and ranges from 0.002% to 0.1%; $n = 5$ is the cooperativity of dnaA expression; The same activation/deactivation rates and dissociation constants of DnaA ($\alpha_l, \alpha_{d1}, \alpha_{d2}, \beta_{datA}, \beta_{rida}, K_D$) are used as in the switch model; N_{d1}, N_{d2}, N_o are copy number of DARS1, DARS2 and oriC sites extracted dynamically from the DNA copy number vector. To get a good fit of the initiation mass-dnaA expression relation, high threshold of free DnaA-ATP concentration was applied, $[A_{ATP}^{fc}] = 200 \, \mu m^{-3}$.

To simulate the titration-switch-extrusion model, we incorporated the extruder as described in the extrusion model, where its amount was given by $H = \alpha_H V$. The concentration of free DnaA-ATP was then calculated as $[A_{ATP}^f] = (A_{ATP} - (A_b - H))/V$, under condition of $(A_b - H) \geq 0$ and $(A_{ATP} - (A_b - H)) \geq 0$. To explore the best fit of continuous DNA replication rounds following dnaA shut-down, $\alpha_H = 550 \, h^{-1} \mu m^{-3}$ is used.

All parameters are summarized in Tables S6.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this work are available from the corresponding author upon request. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

Simulation data can be generated using the custom-made code and the parameter sets provided. The code is publicly available at
https://github.com/BaiYangBqdq/dynamics_of_biomass_DNA_coordination.

Acknowledgements

We thank numerous colleagues for discussions. We sincerely thank Dr. Aiguo Xia for his help with microscopy, and Dr. Sheng Yang for providing valuable suggestions on CRISPR-Cas9 system. Special

thanks to Drs. Pan Chu and Yeqing Zong for kindly gifting us the CPP00458 and pPT plasmids. This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32025022, 32230062), Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB0480000), Joint NSFC-ISF Research Grant (32061143021) to C.L., US-Israel Binational Science Foundation (2017004) and Israel Science Foundation-NSCF joint research program (3320/20) to A.Z. National Natural Science Foundation of China (32170042), National Key R&D Program of China (2024YFA0916403), Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (number 2022369) to H.Z., National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFA0910703) to Y.B.; National Centre for Engineering Biology (NRF-MSG-2023-0003) and National University of Singapore (NUHSRO/2024/064/NUSMed/05/ SynCTI2.0) to M.W.C.

Author contributions

C.L. conceived the study and supervised all research. D.L. and T.W. designed the synthetic promoter library, validated reporters, and performed mRNA FISH experiments with assistance from H.Z. D.L., H.Z., and H.C. characterized H-NS phenotypes and conducted shift experiments. D.L. and X.H. executed DnaA shutdown experiments. C.L. and T.H. developed the extrusion model concept; Y.B., H.Z., and Z.Z. implemented mathematical modeling and simulations. A.Z. and M.W.C. contributed to data interpretation and manuscript refinement. All authors analyzed data, reviewed drafts, and approved the final manuscript. D.L., Y.B. and C.L. led manuscript writing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Balakrishnan, R., Mori, M., Segota, I., Zhang, Z., Aebersold, R., Ludwig, C., Hwa, T. 2022. Principles of gene regulation quantitatively connect DNA to RNA and proteins in bacteria. *Science*, **378**(6624), eabk2066. http://doi.org/10.1126/science.abk2066

Berger, M., Wolde, P.R.t. 2022. Robust replication initiation from coupled homeostatic mechanisms. *Nat. Commun.*, **13**(1), 6556. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33886-6

Bintu, L., Buchler, N.E., Garcia, H.G., Gerland, U., Hwa, T., Kondev, J., Kuhlman, T., Phillips, R. 2005a. Transcriptional regulation by the numbers: applications. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, **15**(2), 125-35. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2005.02.006

Bintu, L., Buchler, N.E., Garcia, H.G., Gerland, U., Hwa, T., Kondev, J., Phillips, R. 2005b. Transcriptional regulation by the numbers: models. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, **15**(2), 116-24. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2005.02.007

Boye, E., Stokke, T., Kleckner, N., Skarstad, K. 1996. Coordinating DNA replication initiation with cell growth: Differential roles for DnaA and SeqA proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **93**(22), 12206-12211. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.22.12206

Braun, R.E., O'Day, K., Wright, A. 1985. Autoregulation of the DNA replication gene *dnaA* in *E. coli* K-12. *Cell*, **40**(1), 159-169. http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(85)90319-8

Bremer, H., Churchward, G., Young, R. 1979. Relation between growth and replication in bacteria. *J. Theor. Biol.*, **81**(3), 533-45. http://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(79)90051-1

Campbell, J.L., Kleckner, N. 1990. *E. coli oriC* and the *dnaA* gene promoter are sequestered from dam methyltransferase following the passage of the chromosomal replication fork. *Cell*, **62**(5), 967-79. http://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90271-f

Christensen, B.B., Atlung, T., Hansen, F.G. 1999. DnaA boxes are important elements in setting the initiation mass of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.*, **181**(9), 2683-2688. http://doi.org/10.1128/jb.181.9.2683-2688.1999

Dame, R.T., Wyman, C., Goosen, N. 2000. H-NS mediated compaction of DNA visualised by atomic force microscopy. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **28**(18), 3504-10. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.18.3504

Dillon, S.C., Dorman, C.J. 2010. Bacterial nucleoid-associated proteins, nucleoid structure and gene expression. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, **8**(3), 185-195. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2261

Donachie, W.D., Blakely, G.W. 2003. Coupling the initiation of chromosome replication to cell size in *Escherichia coli*. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, **6**(2), 146-150. http://doi.org/10.1016/s1369-5274(03)00026-2

Dong, M.J., Luo, H., Gao, F. 2023. DoriC 12.0: an updated database of replication origins in both complete and draft prokaryotic genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **51**(D1), D117-d120. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac964

Dorman, C.J. 2004. H-NS: a universal regulator for a dynamic genome. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, **2**(5), 391-400. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro883

Ducret, A., Quardokus, E.M., Brun, Y.V. 2016. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nat. Microbiol.*, **1**(7), 16077. http://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77

Fragkos, M., Ganier, O., Coulombe, P., Méchali, M. 2015. DNA replication origin activation in space and time. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, **16**(6), 360-74. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrm4002

Fu, H., Xiao, F., Jun, S. 2023. Bacterial replication initiation as precision control by protein counting. *PRX Life*, **1**(1). http://doi.org/10.1103/prxlife.1.013011

Grimwade, J.E., Leonard, A.C. 2021. Blocking, bending, and binding: regulation of initiation of chromosome replication during the *Escherichia coli* cell cycle by transcriptional modulators that interact with origin DNA. *Front. Microbiol.*, **12**. http://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.732270

Hansen, F.G., Atlung, T. 2018. The DnaA tale. *Front. Microbiol.*, **9**. http://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00319

Hansen, F.G., Christensen, B.B., Atlung, T. 1991. The initiator titration model: computer simulation of chromosome and minichromosome control. *Res. Microbiol.*, **142**(2), 161-167. http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0923-2508(91)90025-6

Hommais, F., Krin, E., Laurent-Winter, C., Soutourina, O., Malpertuy, A., Le Caer, J.P., Danchin, A., Bertin, P. 2001. Large-scale monitoring of pleiotropic regulation of gene expression by the prokaryotic nucleoid-associated protein, H-NS. *Mol. Microbiol.,* **40**(1), 20-36. http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02358.x

Iuliani, I., Mbemba, G., Lagomarsino, M.C., Sclavi, B. 2024. Direct single-cell observation of a key *Escherichia coli* cell-cycle oscillator. *Sci. Adv.*, **10**(29), eado5398. http://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ado5398

Jiang, Y., Chen, B., Duan, C., Sun, B., Yang, J., Yang, S. 2015. Multigene editing in the *Escherichia coli* genome via the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.,* **81**(7), 2506-2514. http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.04023-14

Kaguni, J.M. 2011. Replication initiation at the *Escherichia coli* chromosomal origin. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, **15**(5), 606-13. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2011.07.016

Katayama, T., Kasho, K., Kawakami, H. 2017. The DnaA cycle in *Escherichia coli*: activation, function and inactivation of the initiator protein. *Front. Microbiol.*, **8**. http://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02496

Khodursky, A., Guzmán, E.C., Hanawalt, P.C. 2015. Thymineless death lives on: new insights into a classic phenomenon. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, **69**, 247-263. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155749

Knöppel, A., Broström, O., Gras, K., Elf, J., Fange, D. 2023. Regulatory elements coordinating initiation of chromosome replication to the *Escherichia coli* cell cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **120**(22), e2213795120. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2213795120

Løbner-Olesen, A., Skarstad, K., Hansen, F.G., von Meyenburg, K., Boye, E. 1989. The DnaA protein determines the initiation mass of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Cell*, **57**(5), 881-9. http://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(89)90802-7

Lu, M., Campbell, J.L., Boye, E., Kleckner, N. 1994. SeqA: a negative modulator of replication initiation in *E. coli*. *Cell*, **77**(3), 413-26. http://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90156-2

Lutz, R., Bujard, H. 1997. Independent and tight regulation of transcriptional units in *Escherichia coli* via the LacR/O, the TetR/O and AraC/I1-I2 regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **25**(6), 1203-10. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.6.1203

Mäkelä, J., Papagiannakis, A., Lin, W.H., Lanz, M.C., Glenn, S., Swaffer, M., Marinov, G.K., Skotheim, J.M., Jacobs-Wagner, C. 2024. Genome concentration limits cell growth and modulates proteome composition in *Escherichia coli*. *Elife*, **13**. http://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.97465

Ohbayashi, R., Hirooka, S., Onuma, R., Kanesaki, Y., Hirose, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Fujiwara, T., Furusawa, C., Miyagishima, S.-y. 2020. Evolutionary changes in DnaA-dependent chromosomal replication in cyanobacteria. *Front. Microbiol.*, **11**. http://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00786

Ozaki, S., Katayama, T. 2012. Highly organized DnaA-*oriC* complexes recruit the single-stranded DNA

for replication initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **40**(4), 1648-65. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr832

Pountain, A.W., Jiang, P., Yao, T., Homaee, E., Guan, Y., McDonald, K.J.C., Podkowik, M., Shopsin, B.,
Torres, V.J., Golding, I., Yanai, I. 2024. Transcription–replication interactions reveal bacterial
genome regulation. *Nature*, **626**(7999), 661-669. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06974-w

Saggioro, C., Olliver, A., Sclavi, B. 2013. Temperature-dependence of the DnaA-DNA interaction and
its effect on the autoregulation of *dnaA* expression. *Biochem. J.*, **449**, 333-341.
http://doi.org/10.1042/bj20120876

Sekimizu, K., Bramhill, D., Kornberg, A. 1987. ATP activates DnaA protein in initiating replication of
plasmids bearing the origin of the *E. coli* chromosome. *Cell*, **50**(2), 259-265.
http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90221-2

Si, F., Le Treut, G., Sauls, J.T., Vadia, S., Levin, P.A., Jun, S. 2019. Mechanistic origin of cell-size control
and homeostasis in bacteria. *Curr. Biol.*, **29**(11), 1760-1770.e7.
http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.04.062

Si, F., Li, D., Cox, S.E., Sauls, J.T., Azizi, O., Sou, C., Schwartz, A.B., Erickstad, M.J., Jun, Y., Li, X., Jun, S.
2017. Invariance of initiation mass and predictability of cell size in *Escherichia coli*. *Curr. Biol.*, **27**(9),
1278-1287. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.022

Skinner, S.O., Sepulveda, L.A., Xu, H., Golding, I. 2013. Measuring mRNA copy number in individual
Escherichia coli cells using single-molecule fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. *Nat. Protoc.*, **8**(6),
1100-1113. http://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.066

Speck, C., Weigel, C., Messer, W. 1999. ATP- and ADP-DnaA protein, a molecular switch in gene
regulation. *EMBO J.*, **18**(21), 6169-6176. http://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.21.6169

Witz, G., van Nimwegen, E., Julou, T. 2019. Initiation of chromosome replication controls both division
and replication cycles in *E. coli* through a double-adder mechanism. *Elife*, **8**.
http://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.48063

Xia, A., Zhang, R., Huang, Y., Ni, L., Pu, L., Li, Y., Yang, S., Jin, F. 2022. An adaptive tracking illumination
system for optogenetic control of single bacterial cells. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **106**(19-20),
6775-6784. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-022-12177-6

Xie, S., Swaffer, M., Skotheim, J.M. 2022. Eukaryotic cell size control and its relation to biosynthesis
and senescence. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*, **38**, 291-319. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-
120219-040142

Zaritsky, A., Pritchard, R.H. 1971. Replication time of the chromosome in thymineless mutants of
Escherichia coli. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **60**(1), 65-74. http://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(71)90447-5

Zheng, H., Bai, Y., Jiang, M., Tokuyasu, T.A., Huang, X., Zhong, F., Wu, Y., Fu, X., Kleckner, N., Hwa, T.,
Liu, C. 2020. General quantitative relations linking cell growth and the cell cycle in *Escherichia coli*.
Nat. Microbiol., **5**(8), 995-1001. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0717-x

Zheng, H., Ho, P.-Y., Jiang, M., Tang, B., Liu, W., Li, D., Yu, X., Kleckner, N.E., Amir, A., Liu, C. 2016.
Interrogating the *Escherichia coli* cell cycle by cell dimension perturbations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
S. A., **113**(52), 15000-15005. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1617932114

Zimmerman, S.B. 2006. Cooperative transitions of isolated *Escherichia coli* nucleoids: implications for
the nucleoid as a cellular phase. *J. Struct. Biol.*, **153**(2), 160-75.
http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.10.011

Zong, Y., Zhang, H.M., Lyu, C., Ji, X., Hou, J., Guo, X., Ouyang, Q., Lou, C. 2017. Insulated transcriptional
elements enable precise design of genetic circuits. *Nat. Commun.*, **8**(1), 52.
http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00063-z

Supplementary information:
819

821 **Figure S1. Screening a library of synthetic promoters reveals potential candidates to report DnaA**
822 **activity**. For each synthetic promoter, various combinations of DnaA-boxes were inserted near the
823 promoter core, and their repression fold in response to elevated DnaA expression was measured in
824 *dnaA*-titratable cells grown in 0.5 ng·ml^{-1} aTc compared to cells grown in 50 ng·ml^{-1} aTc, using a
825 microplate reader. Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.
826

Figure S2. Determination of cell volume at replication initiation and representative birth-to-division cell cycle. (A-B) Distribution of cellular *oriC* content (up) and cell volume (low) for cells shown in Fig.4C cultivated in various growth media with noted doubling time (**A**), and for cells shown in Fig. 4F grown in M6 medium with noted aTc concentrations (**B**). Cellular *oriC* was determined using run-out experiments followed by flow cytometry, and cell volume was obtained from phase-contrast images from mRNA FISH experiments. **(C)** Calculation of the cell volume at replication initiation. Based on panels **A** and **B**, the population-averaged cellular *oriC* content ($\bar{o}$) and cell volume ($\bar{V}$) were determined, then the initiation volume can be deduced accordingly. Additionally, the *oriC* number at the initiation time ($N_{oriC}^{ini.}$) was calculated based on $\bar{o}$. Cell volume at the replication initiation was derived by definition. **(D)** Illustration of the determination of the representative birth-to-division cell cycle for DnaA activity oscillations. The cell volume distribution is analyzed to calculate the number of cells within each volume-doubling range. The range with the most cell counts is defined as the representative birth-to-division cycle, which is shaded in gray.

Figure S3. Predictions of the extrusion model. (A) Dynamics of relative total cell mass and *oriC* number (upper panel), *oriC* concentration (middle panel), and free DnaA concentration (lower panel), predicted by a deterministic version of the extrusion model, without considering cell division. **(B)** Simulations of DnaA shut-down. DnaA shut-down was simulated by changing the *dnaA* expression level α_A to 0 (upper panel). During DnaA shut down, dynamics of relative *oriC* concentration (middle panel) and free DnaA concentration (lower panel) predicted by the titration model and extrusion model were plotted and compared. **(C)** Initiation adder phenomenon predicted by the extrusion model. A stochastic version of the extrusion model was simulated. The next initiation mass (upper panel), added mass between successive initiations (middle panel), and added time between successive initiations (lower panel) were plotted against the initiation mass in previous initiation, both normalized to their averages (blue dots, n=820). Mean values were calculated in bins of 0.05 relative initiation mass (red dotted line)

855
856 **Figure S4. H-NS promotes the release of DnaA from the *datA* sequence.** Competitive binding
857 between H-NS and DnaA to *datA* fragments. H-NS and DnaA formed distinct complexes with *datA*,
858 resulting in H-NS:*datA* (lane 2) and DnaA:*datA* (lane 3) complexes. Addition of H-NS to pre-incubated
859 DnaA:*datA* complex resulted in coexistence of both DnaA:*datA* and H-NS:*datA* complexes (lane 4).

Figure S5. Basic phenotypic characterization of *hns*-titratable cells harboring a DnaA activity reporting plasmid. **(A)** Relative *hns* mRNA levels were measured in steady-state growing cells in rich defined medium supplemented with glycerol at various aTc concentration. Growth rate(**B**), population-averaged cellular mass $\bar{m}$ (**C**), and cellular *oriC* number $\bar{o}$ (**D**) were characterized as a function of *hns* mRNA levels for these steady-state cultures. Relative DnaA activity (represented by free DnaA concentration) (**E**) and initiation mass (**F**) as a function of varying extruder concentration as predicted by the extrusion model.

Figure S6. Predictions of the titration-switch model and titration-switch-extrusion model. (A)
Comparison of the titration-switch model predictions and experimental data for the relation
between relative initiation mass and *dnaA* mRNA levels. The relative initiation mass was calculated
as mass/*oriC* averaged over more than 100 cycles after steady DNA replication initiation was
established, while the relative *dnaA* mRNA was achieved by setting various *dnaA* expression rate
α_A. Dynamics of total *oriC* number during DnaA shut-down predicted by titration-switch model (**B**)
and titration-switch-extrusion model (**C**), normalized to the value at DnaA shut-down (dash line).

Table S1. Strains used in this study

Strain	Relevant genetic marker(s) or features	Source or reference
MG1655	*E.coli K12*(AMB1655)	(Liu et al., 2011)
CL1	MG1655 Δ*cheZ*, Δ*lac*	(Liu et al., 2011)
MGCL1	MG1655 Δ*lac*	This study
RdnaA1	MG1655 P_{dnaA}-*dnaA*::P_{kanR}-*kanR yidA*::(*bla*:P_{tet}-*dnaA*)::*yidX intS*::P_{tet}-*tetR*	This study
RdnaA2	RdnaA1 Δ*lac*	This study
RseqA1	MG1655 *seqA*::*kan attB*::(*bla*:P_{tet}-*tetR-seqA*)	This study
Rhns1	MG1655 *hns*::*kan attB*::(*bla*:P_{tet}-*tetR-hns*)	This study
Rhns2	Rhns1 Δ*lac*	This study
CRidnaA1	MG1655 *asnA:*:(P_{J23119}-*sgRNA$_{dnaA}$*:P_{J23100}-*tetR*:P_{tet} -*dUn1Cas12f1*)::*viaA*	This study

881 **Table S2. Plasmids used in this study**

Plasmid	Relevant genotype	Source or reference
pSIM5	Cm^r, *repA101(Ts) ori,* λRed	(Zheng et al., 2016)
plkml	Amp^r, pUC *ori, loxp-kan-loxp*	(Zheng et al., 2016)
pMD19-tetR	Amp^r, pUC *ori, bla:P$_{tet}$-tetR*	(Zheng et al., 2016)
pMD19-hupA-mcherry	Amp^r, pUC *ori, bla:P$_{tet}$-tetR-hupA-mcherry*	This study
pMD19-Rhns	Amp^r, pUC *ori, bla:P$_{tet}$-tetR-hns*	This study
pMD19-RseqA	Amp^r, pUC *ori, bla:P$_{tet}$-tetR-seqA*	This study
pMD19-RdnaA	Amp^r, pUC *ori, bla:P$_{tet}$-tetR-dnaA*	This study
p15A-RdnaA	Kan^r, p15A *ori, P$_{tet}$-tetR-dnaA*	This study
pTargetF	*aadA^r*, pMB1 *ori*, sgRNA	(Jiang et al., 2015)
pEcCas	Kan^r, pSC101 *ori, sacB P$_{araB}$-*λRed *P$_{cas}$-cas9*	(Li et al., 2021)
pZA31-P$_{tet}$-M2-GFP	Cm^r, p15A ori, *P$_{tet}$-gfp*	(Liu et al., 2019)
CmPcas	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, sacB P$_{araB}$-*λRed *P$_{cas}$-cas9*	This study
CPP00458	*aadA^r*, pSC101 *ori, P$_{J23119}$-sgRNA-T-P$_{J23100}$-tetR-T-P$_{tet}$-dUn1Cas12f1*	Gift from Fu lab
P_CRidnaA1	*aadA^r*, pSC101 *ori, P$_{J23119}$-sgRNA$_{dnaA}$-T-P$_{J23100}$-tetR-T-P$_{tet}$-dUn1Cas12f1*	This study
pPT	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori,* BsaI-*lacZa-*BasI-*riboJ-sfgfp*	(Zong et al., 2017)
pPT-RFP	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori,* BsaI-*lacZa-*BasI-*riboJ-mcherry*	This study
pPT-lacZ	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori,* BsaI-*lacZa-*BasI-*riboJ-lacZ*	This study
P$_{syn66}$-GFP	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{syn66}$-riboJ-sfgfp*	This study
P$_{con}$-GFP	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{con}$-riboJ-sfgfp*	This study
P$_{syn66}$-RFP	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{syn66}$-riboJ-mcherry*	This study
P$_{native}$-GFP	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{native}$-riboJ-sfgfp*	This study
P$_{syn66}$-lacZ	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{syn66}$-riboJ-lacZ*	This study
P$_{con}$-lacZ	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{con}$-riboJ-lacZ*	This study
P$_{sny66}$-P$_{con}$-FPs	Cm^r, pSC101 *ori, P$_{con}$-riboJ-sfGFP, P$_{syn66}$-riboJ-mcherry*	This study
pET-28a-DnaA	Kan^r, pUC *ori f1 ori, P$_{lacI}$-lacI, P$_{T7/lacO}$-dnaA-6*his*	This study
pET-28a-H-NS	Kan^r, pUC *ori f1 ori, P$_{lacI}$-lacI, P$_{T7/lacO}$-hns-6*his*	This study

883 **Table S3. Primer pairs for synthetic reporter**

Primers	Sequence	Use
DP420	cctggtagatagattgacaagag*ttatccacag*taggatactgagcaca	
DP421	agcttgtgctcagtatcctactgtggataactcttgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn1}
DP422	cctggtagatagattgacacttgttatacacagggcgatactgagcaca	
DP423	agcttgtgctcagtatcgccctgtgtataacaagtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn2}
DP424	cctggtagatagattgacaatac*ttttccaca*ggtagatactgagcaca	
DP425	agcttgtgctcagtatctacctgtggaaaagtattgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn3}
DP426	cctggtagatagattgacaccgatcattcacagttagatactgagcaca	
DP427	agcttgtgctcagtatctaactgtgaatgatcggtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn4}
DP428	cctggtagatagattgacacttgtgtggataagggcgatactgagcaca	
DP429	agcttgtgctcagtatcgccc*ttatccaca*caagtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn5}
DP430	cctggtagatagattgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP431	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn6}
DP432	cctggtagatagattgacacccctgcgatt*ttttcccga*tactgagcaca	
DP433	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaaatcgcaggggtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn7}
DP434	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP435	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn8}
DP436	cctggtagatagattgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP437	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn9}
DP438	cctgacagag*ttatccacag*tagatagattgacaccgatcattcacagttagatactgagcaca	
DP439	agcttgtgctcagtatctaactgtgaatgatcggtgtcaatctatctactgtggataactctgt	P_{syn10}
DP440	cctggagggggttatacacaactcaaagattgacaccgatcattcacagttagatactgagcaca	
DP441	agcttgtgctcagtatctaactgtgaatgatcggtgtcaatctttgagttgtgtataacccctc	P_{syn11}
DP442	cctgccatactgtggaaaaggtagaagattgacaccgatcattcacagttagatactgagcaca	
DP443	agcttgtgctcagtatctaactgtgaatgatcggtgtcaatcttctacc*ttttccacag*tatgg	P_{syn12}
DP444	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP445	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn13}
DP446	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcgatt*ttttcccga*tactgagcaca	
DP447	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaaatcgcaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn14}
DP448	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP449	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn15}
DP450	cctggtagatagattgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP451	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn16}
DP452	cctggtagatagattgacacccctgcgatt*ttttcccga*tact*ttatccac*	
DP453	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaaatcgcaggggtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn17}
DP454	cctggatagattgacatgtggataagtgtggatgatactgagcaca	
DP455	agcttgtgctcagta*tcatccacacttatccaca*tgtcaatctatc	P_{syn18}
DP456	cctggatagattgaca*ttatccacag*ttttcccga*tactgagcaca	
DP457	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaactgtggataatgtcaatctatc	P_{syn19}
DP458	cctggatagattgaca*ttatccacag*ctttccaga*tactgagcaca	
DP459	agcttgtgctcagtatctggaaagctgtggataatgtcaatctatc	P_{syn20}
DP460	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP461	agct*ttatccacag*tatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn21}
DP462	cctgtgtggataattgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP463	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn22}
DP464	cctgtgtggataattgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP465	agct*ttatccacag*tatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn23}
DP466	cctgtgtggataattgacacccctgcgatt*ttttcccga*tactgagcaca	
DP467	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaaatcgcaggggtgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn24}
DP468	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacatgtggataatagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP469	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn25}
DP470	cctgtgtggataattgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP471	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn26}
DP472	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataatagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP473	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn27}
DP474	cctggtagatagattgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatactgtggataa	
884 DP475	agct*ttatccacag*tatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn28}

Table S3. Primer pairs for synthetic reporter (continued)

Primers	Sequence	Use
DP476	cctggtagatagattgacatgtggataatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP477	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn29}
DP478	cctggtagatagattgacatgtggataatagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP479	agct*ttatccaca*gtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn30}
DP480	cctggtagatagattgacacccctgcgattttttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP481	agct*ttatccaca*gtatcgggaaaaatcgcagggggtgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn31}
DP482	cctggtagatagattgacatgtggataattttttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP483	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaaat*ttatccaca*tgtcaatctatctac	P_{syn32}
DP484	cctgtgtggataattgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP485	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn33}
DP486	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP487	agct*ttatccaca*gtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn34}
DP488	cctgtgtggataattgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP489	agct*ttatccaca*gtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn35}
DP490	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacatgtggataatagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP491	agct*ttatccaca*gtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn36}
DP492	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP493	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn37}
DP494	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataatagttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP495	agct*ttatccaca*gtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn38}
DP496	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgaca*ttatccaca*tagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP497	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatgtggataatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn39}
DP498	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcgattttttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP499	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaaatcgcagggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn40}
DP500	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgaca*ttatccaca*gttttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP501	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaactgtggataatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn41}
DP502	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacaccccttt*tatccaca*cccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP503	agctgtggataaagtatcgggtgtggataaaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn42}
DP504	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcg*ttatccaca*gatact*ttatccac*	
DP505	agctgtggataaagtatctgtggataacgcagggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn43}
DP506	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacatgtggataatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP507	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaacta*ttatccaca*tgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn44}
DP508	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacaccccttgtggataacccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP509	agctgtggataaagtatcggg*ttatccaca*aggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn45}
DP510	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacaccccttgcgtgtggataagatact*ttatccac*	
DP511	agctgtggataaagtatc*ttatccaca*cgcagggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn46}
DP512	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacattttcccgatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP513	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactatcgggaaaatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn47}
DP514	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacatcgggaaaatagttcccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP515	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaactattttcccgatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn48}
DP516	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctttttcccgacccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP517	agctgtggataaagtatcgggtcgggaaaaaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn49}
DP518	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccccttcgggaaaacccgatact*ttatccac*	
DP519	agctgtggataaagtatcgggttttcccgaaggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn50}
DP520	cctg*ttatccaca*ttgacacccctgcgtcgggaaaagatact*ttatccac*	
DP521	agctgtggataaagtatctttttcccgacgcagggggtgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn51}
DP522	cctgtgtggataagatagattgacatgtggataagtgtggatgatactgagcaca	
DP523	agcttgtgctcagta*tcatccacac*t*tatccaca*tgtcaatctatc*ttatccaca*	P_{syn52}
DP524	cctg*ttatccaca*gatagattgaca*ttatccaca*gctttccagatactgagcaca	
DP525	agcttgtgctcagtatctggaaagctgtggataatgtcaatctatctgtggataa	P_{syn53}
DP526	cctg*ttatccaca*gatagattgaca*ttatccaca*gttttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP527	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaaaactgtggataatgtcaatctatctgtggataa	P_{syn54}
DP528	cctg*ttatccaca*gatagattgacatgtggataagtgtggatgatactgagcaca	
DP529	agcttgtgctcagta*tcatccacac*t*tatccaca*tgtcaatctatctgtggataa	P_{syn55}
DP530	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataagtgtggatgatactgagcaca	
DP531	agcttgtgctcagta*tcatccacac*t*tatccaca*tgtcaa*ttatccaca*	P_{syn56}

Table S3. Primer pairs for synthetic reporter (continued)

Primers	Sequence	Use
DP532	cctgttgacattatccacagttttcccgatactttatccac	
DP533	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaactgtggataatgtcaa	P_{syn57}
DP534	cctgttatccacattgacatgtggataattttcccgatactttatccac	
DP535	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaaattatccacatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn58}
DP536	cctgttatccacattgacattatccacattttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP537	agctttatccacagtatcgggaaaaatgtggataatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn59}
DP538	cctgtgtggataattgacattatccacattttcccgatactttatccac	
DP539	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaaatgtggataatgtcaattatccaca	P_{syn60}
DP540	cctgtgtggataattgacattatccacattttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP541	agctttatccacagtatcgggaaaaatgtggataatgtcaattatccaca	P_{syn61}
DP542	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataattttcccgatactttatccac	
DP543	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaaattatccacatgtcaattatccaca	P_{syn62}
DP544	cctgttatccacattgacatgtggataattttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP545	agctttatccacagtatcgggaaaaattatccacatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn63}
DP546	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataattttcccgatactgtggataa	
DP547	agctttatccacagtatcgggaaaaattatccacatgtcaattatccaca	P_{syn64}
DP548	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataagtgtggatgatacttgtggata	
DP549	agcttatccacaagtatcatccacacttatccacatgtcaattatccaca	P_{syn65}
DP550	cctgttatccacattgacattatccacagttttcccgatactttatccac	
DP551	agctgtggataaagtatcgggaaaactgtggataatgtcaatgtggataa	P_{syn66}
DP552	cctgtgtggataattgacatgtggataagtgtggatgatactttatccac	
DP553	agctgtggataaagtatcatccacacttatccacatgtcaattatccaca	P_{syn67}
DP554	cctgttgacacccctgcgatagttcccgatactgagcaca	
DP555	agcttgtgctcagtatcgggaactatcgcaggggtgtcaa	P_{con}
DP556	cctgttatccacacccggggttatccacagttttcccgagcccttatccac	
DP557	agctgtggataagggctcgggaaaactgtggataacccgggtgtggataa	P_{neg}

Table S4. Oligonucleotides for the construction of strains and plasmids

Primers	Sequence	Use
DJP001	gaaagaggagaaatactagatgaccatgattacggattcac	Amplifying *lacZ* gene *from* MG1655 genome
DJP002	ttgatgcctggcttatcattattttttgacaccagaccaact	
DJP003	gaaagaggagaaatactagatggtttccaagggcgagg	Amplifying mCherry gene *from* pMD19-*hupA-mcherry*
DJP004	ttgatgcctggcttatcattatttgtagagctcatccatgc	
DJP005	ccacaaggtctccagctgatcaagatcctgcaaaacgat	Amplifying native *dnaA* promoter (P_{native}) *from* MG1655 genome
DJP006	ccacatggtctcccctgccaattttttgtctatggtcat	
DJP007	ctgtttttcttgcaagattactagtccatccagtgctctatttgtacagttcatccatacc	Amplifying P_{con}-*gfp* cassette *from* P_{con}-GFP plasmid
DJP008	ccttagtgactcctgcagtcctgggtgttgacacccctgcgat	
DJP009	cgccatatgtcactttcgctttggca	Amplify *dnaA* gene *from* MG1655 genome for the construction of pET-28a-DnaA plasmid
DJP010	cgcaagcttttacgatgacaatgttctga	
DJP011	cgccatatgagcgaagcacttaaaat	Amplify *hns* gene *from* MG1655 genome for the construction of pET-28a-H-NS plasmid
DJP012	cgcaagctttattgcttgatcaggaaatc	
DJP013	cgatctgcagaaagaggagaaatactaggtgtcactttcgctttggc	Amplifying *dnaA* gene *from* MG1655 genome
DJP014	gtgagccggatccttacgatgacaatgttctgatt	
DJP015	atgcctgcagtcacacaggaaacctactagatgaaaacgattgaagttgatgatg	Amplifying *seqA* gene *from* MG1655 genome
DJP016	gtcaggatccttagatagttccgcaaaccttct	
DJP017	cagtaagcttaaagaggagaaatactagatgagcgaagcacttaaaattc	Amplifying *hns* gene *from* MG1655 genome
DJP018	gtacggatccttattgcttgatcaggaaatcgtcg	
DJP019	tggatcgcgaagaaaggc	Amplifying T3-P_{tet}-*tetR-dnaA* cassette *from* pMD19-RdnaA *plasmid*
DJP020	tcgatatcaaccatggctgcggcaaaatcgctcgagt	
DJP021	cgtttttatttgatgggtcgacctgcagggaaagccacgt	Amplifying *kanr* gene from the plasmid pEcCas
DJP022	ttcttcgcgatccatgctagcagcaaccaattaaccaattc	
DJP023	gcagccatggttgatatcgagctcgcttgga	Amplifying p15A-T_1 fragment from the PZA31-P_{tet}-M2-GFP plasmid
DJP024	cacatgaagtcgacccatcaaataaaacgaaaggctc	
DJP025	tcaacccactgcagcaaccaattaaccaattctgattacgccccgccctgcca	Amplifying Cm^r gene from pZA31-P_{tet}-M2-GFP plasmid for the construction of CmPcas
DJP026	tgtctgcttacataaacagtaatacaaggggtgttatggagaaaaaatcactgg	
DJP027	ttaaaggtattaaaaacaacttttttgtcttttttaccttcccgtttcgctccaggaaacagctatgaccatg	Amplifying the T_0-Amp-T_1-P_{tet}-*tetR-hns* or T_0-Amp-T_1-P_{tet}-*tetR-seqA* cassette, and then assembling to *attB* locus via *λRed* recombination system
DJP028	cacaggttgctccgggctatgaaatagaaaaatgaatccgttgaagcctgtgtaaacgacggccagt	
DJP029	tctattattacctcaacaaaccaccccaatataagtttgagattactacaatgattgaacaagatggattgcac	Amplifying kan^r gene from plkml plasmid for the deletion of native *hns* gene
DJP030	aaaaaatcccgccgctggcgggatttttaagcaagtgcaatctacaaaagatcagaagaatcgtcaagaagg	
DJP031	ggcctgcacgattgtggattgccattgctttgtcctttgtctgcaacgttctagtgaacctcttcgaggg	Amplifying kan^r gene from plkml plasmid for the deletion of native *seqA* gene
DJP032	catatactcctggcgacttgtattcagctaagacactgcactggattaaggccgatcatattcaataaccc	
DJP033	gagtcatgcacagattcgta	$T0$-P_{tet}-*tetR*-T3 cassette was amplified from plasmid pMD19-tetR with primers DJP035/DJP036, the *intS* upstream and downstream sequences were amplified from MG1655 genome with primers DJP033/DJP034, and DJP037/DJP038, respectively. Three fragments were ligated via overlap PCR with primers DJP033/DJP038.
DJP034	gatctgaagcgaaccatga	
DJP035	tcatggttcgcttcagatcaggttgtgtgttcctcttcattc	
DJP036	cctcatagccgatttgtttgaaggaaacagctatgaccatga	
DJP037	caaacaaatcggctatgagg	
DJP038	agtgtataagggtgttcagc	
DJP039	gtacgttagatcgtagacgcttggcgataaagaacgccacttcgcccggccgtgagcattaggatccggctcaccttca	Amplifying T3-kanr-T1 cassette from p15A-RdnaA plasmid to replace the native P_{native}-*dnaA* on the genome
DJP040	gatcgattaagccaattttttgtctatggtcattaaattttccaatatgcggcgtaaatctaggcggcggatttg	
DJP041	ttaggcaccccaggctttac	The *dnaA*-T_3 and T_0-Amp-T_1-P_{tet} fragments were amplified from the pMD19-RdnaA plasmid using primers DJP041/DJP042 and DJP043/DJP044, respectively. These two fragments were then combined via overlap PCR using primers DJP045/DJP046 to generate a homologous recombination fragment for inserting T_0-Amp -T_1-P_{tet}-*dnaA*-T_3 between the *yidA* and *yidX* genes.
DJP042	cagtgatagagatactgagcacataagcttaaagaggagaaagactaggtgtcactttcgc	
DJP043	gtgctcagtatctctatcactgatagggatgtcaatctctatcactgataggagggactcgag	
DJP044	aaaacgacggccagtgaa	
DJP045	gatggcgtggcgtttgctattgagaagtatgtgctgaattaatctgtgggcggtcatcttcggctactgtct	
DJP046	accgctgcaatttctggttgtatatgcagtaaaccaataatcagtaagcgcaggaaacagctatgaccatg	
DJP047	saacttcgagtggagtccgccgtg	Inserting to the CPP00458 backbone to generate P_CRidnaA1 plasmid to shut down *dnaA* expression
DJP048	cgagcacggcggactccactcgaa	

893 **Table S4. Oligonucleotides for the construction of strains and plasmids (continued)**

Primers	Sequence	Use
DJP049	aaacgatgaagaccgtctttctcc	Amplifying homologous sequences to *asnA* gene
DJP050	ttcttagacgtcaggtggcattattacagcagagaagggacg	
DJP051	tgccacctgacgtctaagaa	Amplifying P_{J23119}-*sgRNA*$_{dnaA}$:P_{J23100}-*tetR*:P_{tet}-*dUn1Cas12f1* cassette from P_CRidnaA1 plasmid
DJP052	tctagattactgcgcagatggcgacgataatgacagcagccaactcagcttc	
DJP053	gccatctgcgcagtaatctagatcgcatcccggtatcaaagc	Amplifying homologous sequences to *viaA* gene
DJP054	atgaacagtgtgcgaaagcg	
DJP055	ccacaaggcatcgaacaagc	Assembling the upper three fragments through overlap PCR
DJP056	gtggaacccggtactggaag	
DJP057	cttctttggtgctgtactca	RT-qPCR primer for *rpoA*
DJP058	tggttgatatcgagcaagtg	
DJP059	cccgattgcaggatgagtt	RT-qPCR primer for *dnaA*
DJP060	tacccaatcgaggacaaaac	
DJP061	cgttatccggaccatatgaa	RT-qPCR primer for *gfp*
DJP062	cttcaaatttcacttccgca	
DJP063	aagttaaactgcgtggtact	RT-qPCR primer for *mcherry*
DJP064	acaggtttcttggctttgta	
DJP065	tatgttgaaattttccgccg	RT-qPCR primer for *seqA*
DJP066	attcatccgaaagcagaagt	
DJP067	gtattgacccgaacgaactg	RT-qPCR primer for *hns*
DJP068	agtccaggttttagtttcgc	

898 **Table S5. 43 3'-TAMRA probes spanning the whole coding sequence of the *dnaA* gene**

gccaaagcgaaagtgacacg	agaacgataggtcggttctg	cttcctgagatcgttcttta	cacgaagtcgatggtgatcg
tgcaatcgggcaagacactg	acgtgtgtttgacgtttacg	ggcgttgaaggtgtggaaaa	tgaccagtttttcctgcaat
aattctgtggctggtaactc	cgccagttggttagatttac	atctgttgattaccttccag	ccgtcttctgaatattgtcg
caatgggcgtatccacatac	ggaacaacgggttataggca	atagcgatccgaggtgagaa	cgcgactttgatcttgtagt
agcgtgttatcgctcagttc	atgcagcaggtgagttttac	caacgccgttgatctctttc	atcgacgcttggaaaggaga
cccaatcgaggacaaaacgg	taaaccactttggcattcgg	caaccgaagcgggatttcaa	tgtggttagtcagctctttc
ttattaaggtacttgtcccg	tgaacaaagcgctcggagtg	tttttcatcaggatcgccac	caccaaacgcatcgccaatc
gcagaaactggttagcagtc	tttgcagggctttaaccatg	acgaatgtcgttttcgtcgg	ttacggcaggcatgaagcac
cgacttcaaaacgcagctgt	ttaaactcttcgatcgcgtt	ggcgataaagaacgccactt	ctcttcacgcaactgctcga
gtagaaggcgcagcacgttg	atctacggaacggtagtagc	gtacgttagatcgtagacgc	aatcttctttgatatcgtgg
ggacgttatcccaacctgag	gaatatcgtcgatcagcagt	ggtaaagttggcattggcaa	

Table S6. Parameters used in models

Parameter	Description	Values	Source
Titration model & Extrusion model			
λ	Biomass growth rate	$1.54h^{-1}$	This study (if not specifically defined)
α_A	DnaA synthesis rate	$300\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$	Fitted in this study
$[A_f^c]$	Threshold of DnaA concentration	$10\ \mu m^{-3}$	Fitted in this study
α_H	Extruder synthesis rate	$180\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$	Fitted in this study
η	Relative noise level of λ and α_A in stochastic model	0.1	*Fitted in this study*
Switch model			
$[D]_T$	Concentration of total DnaA protein	$400\mu m^{-3}$	(Berger & Wolde, 2022) SI table 2 LDDR model
α_l	Activation rate of DnaA-ATP by lipid	$750h^{-1}$	
α_{d1}	Activation rate of DnaA-ATP by the *DARS1* site	$100h^{-1}$	
α_{d2}	Activation rate of DnaA-ATP by the *DARS2* site	$643h^{-1}$	
β_{datA}	Deactivation rate of DnaA-ATP by *data* site	$600h^{-1}$	
β_{rida}	Deactivation rate of DnaA-ATP by RIDA system	$500h^{-1}$	
K_D	Dissociation constant of DnaA activation and deactivation	$50\mu m^{-3}$	
K_D^P	Dissociation constant of DnaA promoter	$300\mu m^{-3}$	
f_C	Threshold of DnaA-ATP fraction for DNA replication initiation	0.75	
Titration switch model & Titration-switch-extrusion model			
K_D^P	Dissociation constant of DnaA promoter	$400\mu m^{-3}$	(Berger & Wolde, 2022) SI table switch-titration model
n	Cooperativity of dnaA expression	5	
α_H	Extruder synthesis rate	$550\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$	Fitted in this study
$[A_{ATP}^{fc}]$	Threshold of DnaA-ATP concentration for DNA replication initiation	$200\mu m^{-3}$	Fitted in this study

Note S1

To understand the initiation mass dependency on *dnaA* expression level α_A (Fig. 2G), we can solve

the dynamics of DnaA amounts $A_{(t)}$ and cell volume $V_{(t)}$, yielding the solutions: $A_{(t)} = \frac{\alpha_A V_0}{\lambda} e^{\lambda t} +$

$const$. The boundary conditions corresponding to successive rounds of DNA replication initiation at

times $t = 0$ and $t = t_I$ are: $A_{(t=0)} = \frac{A_I \cdot O}{2} + \frac{A_b}{2}$, $V_{(t=0)} = \frac{V_I \cdot O}{2}$, and $A_{(t=t_I)} = A_I \cdot O + A_b$,

$V_{(t=t_I)} = V_I \cdot O$, where A_I is the threshold of DnaA number per *oriC* and V_I is the cell volume per

oriC at the time of initiation (t_I).

Applying these conditions, we derive

$$V_I = \frac{A_I - A_b}{\alpha_A} \lambda$$

showing that the initiation mass is inversely proportional to the DnaA expression level α_A, assuming

A_I and A_b are constant. This inverse relationship predicted by the titration model implies a much

sharper dependence of initiation mass on DnaA expression than the exponential dependence

observed experimentally.

Following the same analysis in the titration model, the extrusion model has the same DnaA amounts

and volume dynamics as in the titration model with similar H-NS dynamics $H_{(t)} = \frac{\alpha_H V_0}{\lambda} e^{\lambda t} + const$.

The condition of DNA replication initiation sets the boundary condition:$A_{(t=0)} = \frac{A_I \cdot O}{2} + \frac{A_b}{2} + H_{(t=0)}$,

$V_{(t=0)} = \frac{V_I \cdot O}{2}$ and $A_{(t=t_I)} = A_I \cdot O + A_b + H_{(t=t_I)}$, $V_{(t=t_I)} = V_I \cdot O$.

Solving these equations we get:

$$V_I = \frac{A_I - A_b}{\alpha_A + \alpha_H} \lambda$$

The adding of extruder shifts the inverse dependency of initiation mass - dnaA expression curve to

the left which results in a flatter curve. To get a better fitting to experiment data, $\alpha_H =$

$1500\ h^{-1}\mu m^{-3}$ were used in Fig. 6G.