Three-dimensional genome architecture connects chromatin structure and function in a major wheat

pathogen

Ivona Glavincheska[1] and Cecile Lorrain[1*]

[1] Plant Pathology Group, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

*Corresponding author: cecile.lorrain@usys.ethz.ch

ABSTRACT

Background:

Genome spatial organization plays a fundamental role in biological function across all domains of life.

While the principles of nuclear architecture have been well-characterized in animals and plants, their

functional relevance in filamentous fungi remains largely uncharacterized. The wheat pathogen

Zymoseptoria tritici presents a unique model for genome evolution, with a compartmentalized genome

comprising conserved core and highly variable accessory chromosomes linked to genome plasticity. Here,

we present the first 3D genome analysis of a eukaryotic organism with an extensive set of accessory

chromosomes, revealing a hierarchical genome architecture integrating core and accessory regions.

Results:

At the nuclear level, centromere clustering defines the global genome conformation. Accessory

chromosomes are spatially segregated from core arms but maintain focal contacts with pericentromeric

regions of core chromosomes, contributing to mitotic stability. At finer resolution, we identify homotypic

interactions among heterochromatin-rich compartments and self-interacting domains demarcated by

specific histone marks, gene expression profiles, and insulator-like sequence motifs. Notably, a subset of

highly insulated, transposon-rich heterochromatic domains forms strong inter-domain interactions.

Additionally, domains defined under axenic conditions with coordinated transcriptional activation during

wheat infection suggest a link between 3D architecture and dynamic gene regulation.

Conclusion:

Our study uncovers the multi-scale principles of nuclear organization in a major fungal plant pathogen

and reveals how hierarchical nuclear architecture contributes to gene expression coordination and

genome stability. These findings establish a conceptual framework for investigating 3D genome function

and chromatin-mediated regulation in filamentous fungi and other eukaryotic microbes.

KEYWORDS

3D genome architecture, chromatin interacting domains (CIDs), chromatin organization, fungal pathogen,

transposable elements, epigenomics, Zymoseptoria tritici, Hi-C.

BACKGROUND

The spatial organization of eukaryotic genomes is fundamental to cellular function, underpinning chromosomal dynamics, and contributes to genome integrity and regulation [1–3]. The physical arrangement of the chromatin in the nuclear space reflects a highly ordered and dynamic hierarchical architecture. Advances in chromosome conformation capture integrated with high-throughput sequencing (Hi-C) have significantly transformed our understanding of genome organization. In addition, Hi-C combined with polymer physics models, can be used to differentiate between chromatin states and describe chromosomal conformation [4]. The comparison of 3D genome organization across the tree of life highlights both shared features and distinct aspects of genome organization between organisms, raising the question of whether common principles govern genome architecture [5].

At the largest scale, chromosomes are organized into distinct conformations within the nucleus, generally categorized into two main types: chromosome territory-like and Rabl-like organizations [2]. Chromosome territory-like organization, primarily observed in mammals, is characterized by minimal inter-chromosomal interactions, with each chromosome occupying a discrete nuclear region during interphase [2]. In contrast, Rabl-like configurations are characterized by one or more of the following features: inter-centromere clustering, inter-telomere clustering, or parallel chromosomal alignment with both centromere and telomere clustering [2]. These features are reminiscent of the classic Rabl configuration first described in mitotic cells, where centromeres and chromosome arms are arranged in parallel [6]. The distinction between territory-based and Rabl-like chromosome conformations is thought to be driven by the presence or absence of the condensin II complex [2]. Beyond these large-scale arrangements, chromatin is further organized into epigenetically distinct domains known as A and B compartments, which preferentially interact with regions of similar chromatin state [3,5,7]. In metazoan genomes, A compartments typically correspond to gene-rich, euchromatic regions associated with active

transcription, while B compartments are enriched in heterochromatic marks, depleted in genes, and

generally transcriptionally repressed. This bipartite organization reflects a fundamental level of genome

partitioning into functionally distinct chromatin states. In Hi-C data, these compartments are typically

inferred from principal component analysis (PCA) of the contact matrix, where the first eigenvector

separates regions with preferential self-interaction into A- or B-type compartments based on their

chromatin and transcriptional features [8,9]. At a finer resolution, chromatin is organized into

topologically associating domains (TADs), self-interacting regions within which DNA sequences interact

more frequently than with sequences outside the domain [8]. The function of TADs remains debated, but

they have been described as playing an important role in regulating gene expression, partly by modulating

the interactions between regulatory elements and their target genes [11–13]. TAD boundaries act as

insulators that constrain or mediate chromatin loop extrusion to ensure proper genomic interactions.

Boundaries are enriched for architectural proteins, such as the cohesin complex and the DNA-binding

protein CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF), and frequently coincide with regions containing highly transcribed

housekeeping genes [11]. The disruption of genome folding can disrupt interactions between genes and

regulatory elements, as observed in the enhancer hijacking of proto-oncogenes [12,13]. In contrast to

metazoan systems, other organisms exhibit 3D genome organization patterns that, although reminiscent

of features such as loops and compartments observed in mammals, arise through distinct mechanisms. In

plants, chromatin is arranged into self-interacting domains associated with different transcriptional and

epigenetic states, such as gene-active, Polycomb-repressed, and intermediate regions [8,17]. Although

Hi-C maps of plant genomes reveal features resembling metazoan TADs, with boundaries often enriched

in highly transcribed or epigenetically marked loci, plants lack orthologues of canonical architectural

proteins like CTCF [8,14–16]. Furthermore, in large plant genomes, genes separated by heterochromatic

regions frequently engage in long-range looping, suggesting a genome organization driven more by

structural constraints than by enhancer-promoter interactions [14,17]. Beyond eukaryotes, Hi-C

experiments in prokaryotes have revealed that bacterial and archaeal genomes are also spatially

structured. In bacteria, chromosomal interaction domains (CIDs) represent nested, self-associating units

that are typically demarcated by highly expressed genes [18–20]. CIDs reflect an organization principle

where operon-level co-regulation may be shaped by spatial proximity. In archaea, more complex folding

has been observed, including compartment-like patterns and transcriptionally linked CIDs, with boundary

formation influenced by both SMC proteins and transcriptional activity [21,22]. These findings depict

hierarchical 3D genome organization as a universal feature, but its underlying mechanisms and biological

roles differ substantially across the tree of life. Understanding the establishment and regulatory impact

of 3D genome organization is therefore essential. Yet, despite significant progress in metazoan models,

these processes remain poorly understood in many other eukaryotes, particularly in fungi.

The fungal kingdom, comprising millions of species, has significant ecological and economic impacts [23].

Fungal genomes display an extraordinary range of sizes and architectures, from compact, gene-dense

assemblies of under 10 Mb with very few repetitive elements, such as in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [24],

to massive genomes exceeding 1 Gb that are dominated by transposable elements (TEs), as observed in

obligate biotrophic plant pathogens where TE content can reach over 90% of the genome [25]. This

variation is frequently accompanied by distinct genome compartmentalization, with some species

exhibiting clear separation between gene-dense, TE-poor regions and gene-poor, TE-rich regions [26,27].

In some species, this compartmentalization extends to the chromosomal level, where dispensable

accessory chromosomes are often enriched in TEs and species-specific genes [27]. Despite the remarkable

genomic diversity observed across the fungal kingdom, our understanding of their 3D genome

organization remains limited [28]. While certain features appear to be conserved, other aspects of nuclear

architecture display substantial variability across fungal lineages, underscoring the need for broader high-

resolution comparative analyses. Fungal genomes generally exhibit a Rabl-like organization characterized

by centromere clustering, as demonstrated in yeast and filamentous fungi [28,29]. However, the spatial

organization of accessory chromosomes remains completely unknown. Whether these chromosomes

adopt similar spatial constraints as core chromosomes or are sequestered in distinct nuclear territories is

currently unresolved. In addition, preferential spatial interactions among transcriptionally A and B

chromatin are not uniformly observed in fungi. To date, clear evidence for A/B compartmentalization has

been reported only in a limited number of fungal species, such as *Rhizophagus irregularis* [30]. Self-

interacting chromatin domains have been described in multiple fungal species using Hi-C approaches.

While these structures resemble TADs of metazoans or CIDs of prokaryotes, their defining features vary

across fungal species. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, high-resolution maps revealed the presence of small

self-associating domains, typically covering one to five genes [31]. These domains are delimited by

nucleosome-depleted regions, often located at the promoters of highly transcribed genes, and are

enriched in chromatin remodelers and transcription factors, rather than architectural proteins [45,46]. In

S. cerevisiae, CIDs are implicated in critical genome functions such as recombination repression, genome

evolution, and the temporal organization of replication origins during mitosis [31]. In the filamentous

plant-pathogen *Verticillium dahliae*, larger domains identified as CIDs exhibit strong internal interactions

and are flanked by regions marked with facultative heterochromatin [32]. In *Neurospora crassa*, domain-

like structures consist of weakly insulated euchromatic regions interspersed with constitutive

heterochromatin blocks [33,34]. These findings collectively suggest that self-interacting domains are

widespread in fungi, but their size, boundary determinants, and chromatin context differ markedly

between species.

The fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* emerged alongside the domestication of wheat in the

Fertile Crescent [35]. The genome of *Z. tritici* is compartmentalized into thirteen core and eight accessory

chromosomes [27,36]. The core chromosomes, which are gene-rich and transposable element (TE)-poor,

contrast with the gene-poor and TE-rich accessory chromosomes, an ancestral feature shared across the

Zymoseptoria genus [27]. The accessory chromosomes exhibit a high degree of presence/absence

polymorphism in *Z. tritici* populations [37], and some chromosomes appear to be more prone to

destabilization than others, as indicated by targeted loss experiments [38]. The genomic partitioning of *Z.*

tritici is further reflected by distinct epigenetic signatures, particularly in the presence of specific histone

modifications in accessory chromosomes [39]. The epigenetic landscape of *Z. tritici* exhibits the distinction

of euchromatin, constitutive and facultative heterochromatin, cytosine methylation (5mC) regions, small

acrocentric centromeres, and telomeric repeats, underlining the complexity of *Z. tritici*'s chromatin

organization [27,40–45]. Cytological observations using GFP-tagged centromeres previously reported the

presence of 4-7 centromeric foci during interphase, suggesting partial centromere clustering [39].

Pathogenicity-related genes in *Z. tritici* are enriched within TE-rich regions of the genome, which likely

facilitates rapid evolution through genome rearrangements and epigenetic regulation [45,46]. These

genes are predominantly repressed in the absence of the host and maintained in a transcriptionally silent

state by heterochromatin marks [46]. Upon host colonization, chromatin remodeling events activate the

expression of pathogenicity-related genes [46]. Despite these detailed insights into the genomic and

epigenomic features of *Z. tritici*, the three-dimensional organization of its genome remains

uncharacterized. In particular, how chromatin domains are spatially arranged, whether accessory

chromosomes occupy distinct nuclear territories, and the extent to which point centromeres cluster

within the nucleus are open questions in the field. Furthermore, the presence and determinants of self-

interacting chromatin domains in *Z. tritici* have yet to be investigated. This study addresses these gaps by

integrating high-resolution Hi-C data with genomic, epigenomic, and transcriptomic information to

comprehensively characterize the 3D genome architecture of *Z. tritici*. To our knowledge, this represents

the first in-depth analysis of spatial genome organization in a eukaryotic microbe with a

compartmentalized genome that includes eight accessory chromosomes. By mapping the folding

principles of core versus accessory chromosomes, assessing centromere organization, and identifying

chromatin domains, our work provides new insights into the interplay between genome structure,

epigenetic regulation, and adaptive potential in fungal pathogens.

RESULTS

The 3D genome of *Z. tritici* reveals distinct conformation patterns between core and accessory
chromosomes

158
To investigate the three-dimensional (3D) genome organization of *Z. tritici* IPO323, we generated high-

resolution Hi-C sequencing revealing a Rabl-like architecture and previously undescribed spatial

segregation between core and accessory chromosomes. We performed Hi-C on two independent

replicates which showed high reproducibility (Pearson correlation = 0.87; 77–81% valid read pairs;

Supplementary Fig. S1). After merging replicates, we obtained ~19.2 million deduplicated valid read pairs

(Supplementary Table S1), enabling chromatin interaction detection at a minimal bin size of 3 kb. At this

resolution, 80% of bins on core chromosomes were supported by >1,000 contacts, with nearly complete

coverage at 10 kb on all chromosomes except chromosome 21 (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Table S2). As in

other fungi, local intrachromosomal contacts dominated the Hi-C map, yielding a strong diagonal (Fig. 1A).

The strongest interchromosomal contacts were enriched at centromeres and pericentromeric regions,

consistent with a Rabl-like conformation (observed-to-expected contact > 3.5; Fig. 1A, 1B; Supplementary

Fig. S2-S3). These centromeric regions were previously mapped in IPO323 using CENH3 (CENP-A homolog)

ChIP-seq [39] and their enrichment in inter-chromosomal contacts independently confirms their spatial

colocalization in the nucleus, as expected under a Rabl-like organization. Outside of centromere-

centromere interactions, strong interchromosomal contacts were also enriched between regions marked

by constitutive heterochromatin (H3K9me3; Supplementary Fig. S3; Supplementary Table S3). Notably,

32.6% of the strong interchromosomal interactions (observed-to-expected contact > 3.5) occurred

between two H3K9me3-enriched bins, revealing a heterochromatin network spanning multiple

chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. S3C-D).

Accessory chromosomes occupy a defined spatial niche within the nucleus, exhibiting preferential

associations with the pericentromeric regions of core chromosomes. Specifically, 78.6% of core-accessory

interactions involved contacts spanning the full length of accessory chromosomes but were restricted to

the pericentromeric regions of core chromosomes (observed-to-expected contact > 3.5; Fig. 1B;

Supplementary Fig. S3C). This spatial organization suggests that accessory chromosomes are tethered to

core chromosomes pericentromeric regions, while mostly remaining separated from core chromosome

long arms (Fig. 1B). These findings provide the first spatial insight into accessory chromosome positioning

in a fungal genome and support a model in which centromere-proximal anchoring contributes to higher-

order genome compartmentalization. Given the known instability of accessory chromosomes [38], we

tested whether their nuclear interactions influence their maintenance. Using log-transformed Poisson

regression, we found a significant negative association between interaction strength (normalized for

accessory chromosome size) and chromosome loss frequency following β-tubulin inhibition in IPO323 [38]

(p-value = 5.33e-05 for the intercept; p-value = 0.0025 for normalized interaction strength; Fig. 1D). This

result supports a model in which stronger core-accessory chromosome interactions correlate with

accessory chromosome stability.

To determine whether core and accessory chromosomes differ in their folding behavior, we estimated

the contact frequency decay exponent (α) as a function of genomic distance. The core chromosomes

exhibited an average decay exponent of α = -1.22 (standard deviation (SD) = 0.1), with three distinct

scaling regimes depending on genomic separation, consistent with hierarchical chromatin organization

(Fig. 1C; Supplementary Table S4). At short distances (< 70 kb), contact frequency decayed more gradually

than the global average with α = -0.71 (SD = 0.01). Interactions between 70 kb and 1 Mb followed a more

heterogeneous and steeper decline with α = -1.43, SD = 0.09). At distances beyond 1 Mb, contact

frequency declined at a slower rate relative to intermediate distances (α = -1; SD = 0.09; Fig. 1C;

Supplementary Table S4). This triphasic decay pattern reflects hierarchical chromatin folding, suggestive

of domains or compartments on the core chromosomes. In contrast, the accessory chromosomes showed

low difference between short- and long-distance contact frequency decay (α = -0.71 vs. α = -0.62),

consistent with weak or absent domain-level organization (Fig. 1C; Supplementary Table S4). This pattern

suggests that, compared with the core chromosomes, accessory chromosomes behave as unitary

compartments exhibiting weak scale-dependent folding (Fig. 1C; Supplementary Table S4).

Taken together, these results reveal fundamental differences in chromatin conformation between core

and accessory chromosomes in *Z. tritici*. Core chromosomes exhibit hierarchical folding, while accessory

chromosomes adopt a more uniform interaction profile, indicative of a compact structure with limited

internal compartmentalization. These structural differences, coupled with accessory chromosomes

preferential tethering to pericentromeric regions of core chromosomes, suggests that accessory

chromosomes occupy spatially constrained territories within the nucleus potentially contributing to their

differential mitotic stability.

B chromatin compartments in *Z. tritici* engage in homotypic interactions at the intrachromosomal level

To explore how 3D chromatin organization reflects functional genome partitioning in *Z. tritici*, we

performed eigenvector decomposition of inter- and intrachromosomal Hi-C contact maps (Fig. 2;

Supplementary Figs. S4-S5). At the interchromosomal level, the first eigenvector separated chromosome

arms, with sub-telomeric regions on both arms displaying similar profiles, indicative of Rabl-like

interchromosomal interactions (Supplementary Figs. S4-S5). At the intrachromosomal level, eigenvector

profiles captured finer-scale chromatin features and more localized and compartmentalized interaction

patterns (Fig. 2; Supplementary Figs. S5). Regions with negative eigenvector values exhibited strong

spatial self-association, consistent with compartmental segregation. These regions were enriched for

heterochromatic histone modifications, including H3K9me2 and H3K9me3, and depleted of euchromatin-

associated marks such as H3K4me2 (i.e. analogous to B compartments; Fig. 2B; Supplementary Fig. S5). In

contrast, positive eigenvector regions were more often associated with euchromatic features (i.e.

analogous to A compartments). Comparison of contact frequencies confirmed that homotypic B-B

interactions were predominant, while A-A interactions were comparatively weaker (Fig. 2A). This

asymmetry indicates that compartmentalization in *Z. tritici* is primarily driven by interactions among

transcriptionally silent, heterochromatic domains, rather than by the formation of discrete euchromatic

A compartments.

The B-compartment blocks had an average size of ~60 kb, accounting for 14.74 Mb (~36%) of the genome,

and were characterized by low gene density and a high density of TEs (Fig. 2C-D, Supplementary Table S6).

Notably, we observed a significant enrichment of retrotransposons, particularly LINEs and LTR elements

from the Copia superfamily (Fischer exact test BH-adjusted p-value < 0.001, Fig. 2C-E, Supplementary

Table S7). In contrast, the A-compartment blocks had an average length of 100 kb, spanning a total of

24.35 Mb, of which 80.3% are covered by genes (9,996 genes; Fig. 2C). The A compartments exhibited

high gene density, low TE density (4.3% of TEs), and enrichment in miniature inverted-repeat transposable

elements (MITEs, Fischer exact test BH-adjusted p-value < 0.001; Fig. 2C-E). Gene ontology (GO)

enrichment analysis in the A-compartments revealed an overrepresentation in core cellular processes

including translation, ribosome function, RNA binding, and intracellular non-membrane-bounded

organelles (FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.01; Supplementary Table S8-S9). Consistent with these observations,

genes and TEs within A-compartment blocks exhibited significantly higher *in vitro* expression than those

in B-compartment blocks (Fig. 2F), indicating that transcriptional regulation of both genes and TEs is

dependent on chromatin compartmentalization.

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that the genome of *Z. tritici* is partitioned into spatially

segregated chromatin compartments, with heterochromatic B compartments driving the overall

compartmentalization landscape through strong intra-compartment interactions. This compartmental

organization operates at the intrachromosomal level and is distinct from the global partitioning into core

and accessory chromosomes, underscoring the multi-layered nature of 3D genome folding in this fungal

pathogen.

The presence of chromatin interacting domains in *Z. tritici* reveals boundaries enriched in facultative

heterochromatin and specific DNA binding motifs

To determine whether the *Z. tritici* genome is organized into chromatin-interacting domains (CIDs), we

calculated insulation scores from Hi-C contact maps at 3 kb resolution. We identified 513 CIDs and 533

CID boundaries in *Z. tritici* IPO323, with an average CID length of approximately 70 kb (Supplementary

Table S10-12). The distribution of CIDs varied between core and accessory chromosomes, with 92% of

CIDs (473 CIDs) located on core chromosomes and only 8% (40 CIDs) on accessory chromosomes

(Supplementary Table S12). On average, CIDs on core chromosomes contained 25 genes and 6 TEs,

whereas CIDs on accessory chromosomes contained an average of 14 genes and 17 TEs per CID

(Supplementary Table S12).

Since CID boundaries act as insulators between domains, we identified them by detecting local insulation

minima and further examined whether CID boundaries exhibited specific epigenomic and genomic

features (Fig. 3A-B). We observed that CID boundaries were depleted of the constitutive heterochromatin

histone modifications H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 but enriched for facultative heterochromatin

modifications H3K36me3, H4K20me1, and H4K20me3 (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Fig. S6). In accessory

chromosomes, CID boundaries were also enriched for accessory chromosome-associated modifications,

H3K27me2 and H3K27me3 (Supplementary Fig. S6). In addition, CID boundaries displayed lower TE

density, reduced RIP-like mutational signatures, and higher gene density compared to domain interiors.

Despite lacking enrichment in the active transcription mark H3K4me2, genes and TEs at CID boundaries,

exhibited comparable expression levels to those within CIDs, and reduced variability across conditions,

consistent with constitutive, stable expression patterns rather than dynamic regulation (Supplementary

Fig. S7). To assess whether CID boundaries in *Z. tritici* are preferentially associated with conserved or

functionally specialized genes, we characterized the 613 genes overlapping (>50%) with boundary regions.

Comparative analysis across 19 *Z. tritici* reference genomes [47] revealed that only 69 of these genes were

conserved across all strains indicating no significant enrichment for core genes (Fisher's test, p-value =

0.76; Supplementary Tables S13-S15). Similarly, we did not find enrichment of specific Gene Ontology

(GO) terms or functional domains (Supplementary Tables S13-S15). Finally, we searched whether specific

DNA binding motifs were present at CID boundaries. Motif discovery analysis uncovered 35 significantly

enriched known and *de novo* DNA binding motifs, which clustered into nine motif groups (Supplementary

Table S16). Among these, one of the strongest matches showed similarity to the consensus binding motif

of the mammalian KRAB zinc finger protein ZNF263, a factor recently implicated in establishing insulator

activity at chromatin domain boundaries [48]. IPO323 has a single protein annotated with a KRAB-like zinc

finger domain (ZtIPO323_082310), but this protein exhibits low sequence similarity in its zinc finger

domains with the human ZNF263 protein, suggesting potential convergent motif recognition or functional

analogs with distinct primary sequences. (Supplementary Table S17).

Together, these results suggest that while CID boundaries in *Z. tritici* are not enriched in conserved or

functionally specialized genes, they exhibit distinct epigenetic and sequence signatures consistent with a

potential architectural role in genome organization. The enrichment of ZNF263-like motifs points to a

potential mechanism of boundary insulation mediated by sequence-specific binding, possibly involving

fungal architectural proteins.

Highly insulated CIDs define chromosomal conformation, while local CID organization regulates

transcriptional dynamics during plant infection

We next investigated the internal organization of CIDs to evaluate their contribution to higher-order

chromatin conformation and transcriptional regulation. We observed substantial heterogeneity in

intrachromosomal contact patterns across CIDs, with a subset displaying pronounced insulation from

flanking regions, hallmarks of locally compartmentalized chromatin architecture (Fig. 3C-D). To

systematically identify these highly insulated domains, we compared maximum insulation scores within

each CID to those of their flanking boundaries. CIDs with insulation strength difference exceeding the

genome-wide median were classified as highly insulated CIDs (HI-CIDs), while the remainder were

designated as regular CIDs (R-CIDs). This analysis yielded 105 HI-CIDs, representing 20% of all CIDs

genome-wide (Supplementary Table S18). Analysis of strongest interactions (observed-to-expected

intrachromosomal contacts > 1.75) revealed significantly higher interaction frequencies between HI-CIDs

compared to R-CID/R-CID and HI-CID/R-CID combinations (Mann-Whitney p-value < 0.05; Supplementary

Fig. S8), indicating that HI-CIDs form a distinct interaction network within the genome. In addition, HI-CIDs

were characterized by enrichment in heterochromatin-associated histone marks (notably H3K9me2 and

H3K9me3), and a relative depletion of active and facultative chromatin modifications (Fig. 3C-D).

Consistent with their chromatin profile, HI-CIDs exhibited elevated TE density, reduced gene content, and

low transcriptional activity under *in vitro* conditions (Supplementary Fig. S9). Notably, HI-CIDs were also

enriched in predicted effector genes, implying that heterochromatin dynamics within these regions may

be restructured to enable effector gene activation during host infection (Fisher's exact p-value < 0.01;

odds ratio = 1.54; Supplementary Tables S19).

To investigate whether CID organization could influence co-expression patterns in *Z. tritici*, we analyzed

transcriptomic data obtained from both axenic culture and four stages of wheat infection. We specifically

asked whether genes and TEs located within the same CID (i.e. defined based on Hi-C data from axenic

culture) exhibit coordinated expression changes across these distinct conditions. Using a linear model, we

tested whether CID membership could explain patterns of differential expression between *in vitro* and *in*

planta conditions (Supplementary Tables S20–S23). The model significantly outperformed a null

expectation (likelihood ratio test p-value < 0.01) and explained between 5% and 9% of the adjusted

variance in gene and TE expression (Supplementary Table S24), indicating that CID organization may

contribute to transcriptional dynamics during infection. To further assess the relative contribution of CID

organization to transcriptional variation, we extended our linear regression model to include gene GC

content and distance to the nearest TE as additional predictors. Including these variables alongside CID

membership modestly improved the model's explanatory power, increasing the proportion of variance

explained by an additional 3% to 6% (Supplementary Table S24). However, in the absence of CID

membership as a predictor, gene GC content and TE proximity alone accounted for less than 0.1% of the

observed transcriptional variance, reinforcing the importance of domain-level chromatin organization in

regulating gene expression.

To identify specific CIDs exhibiting coordinated transcriptional behavior, significant CIDs from the linear

regression were classified as co-regulated for CIDs containing at least 10 genes and TEs. This analysis

revealed 46 co-regulated CIDs (8.9% of all CIDs) that exhibited significant coordination during at least one

stage of infection (Supplementary Fig. S10; Supplementary Table S25). Notably, 23 of these CIDs had

coordinated expression observed only during a single infection phase, suggesting that dynamic chromatin

restructuring may contribute to phase-specific transcriptional responses. A substantial fraction of co-

regulated domains localized to regions with accessory-like features, including 19 on accessory

chromosomes and six on the long arm of chromosome 7, which is enriched in H3K27me3 and exhibits low

gene density (Supplementary Fig. S11). Additionally, 16 of the 46 co-regulated CIDs were classified as

highly insulated (HI-CIDs), further linking chromatin insulation and domain architecture to coordinated

regulation. Collectively, these results show that a subset of CIDs functions as co-regulatory units in *Z.*

tritici, and that heterochromatic features, insulation strength, and chromosomal context together shape

transcriptional coordination during host infection.

DISCUSSION

Our study provides the first comprehensive characterization of the hierarchical 3D chromatin organization

in the major fungal wheat pathogen *Z. tritici*. The genome of *Z. tritici* adopts a Rabl-like nuclear

conformation, consistent with other fungal genomes [30,32,34,49,50] but with distinct folding patterns

between core and accessory chromosomes. Accessory chromosomes are anchored to the pericentromeric

regions of core chromosomes, with interaction strength correlating with stability, indicating that

pericentromeric association may reinforce their nuclear positioning and stability. We detected

hierarchical folding within core chromosomes, while accessory chromosomes displayed a more uniform

organization. Beyond this global architecture, we identified intrachromosomal compartments, with B

compartments engaging in homotypic interactions and enriched for retrotransposons. Furthermore, we

detected self-interacting CID structures with boundaries enriched in facultative heterochromatin marks,

stable gene expression and putative insulator motifs that resemble the binding sites of a mammalian

architectural protein. Additionally, we defined highly insulated CIDs that form an interaction network of

heterochromatic islands and harbor genes related to pathogenicity. A subset of CIDs showed

transcriptional co-regulation patterns that varied across infection stages, indicating a regulatory role for

CID organization in infection stage-specific gene expression. Our results establish a multilayered view of

3D genome organization in *Z. tritici*, revealing chromatin conformation as a key component of genome

function.

The core-accessory genome compartmentalization of *Z. tritici* extends beyond linear genome

characteristics and is mirrored in the three-dimensional organization of the nucleus. Within this nuclear

context, accessory chromosomes are preferentially associated with the pericentromeric regions of core

chromosomes while remaining excluded from interactions with core chromosome arms. Interestingly, the

accessory chromosomes harboring the weakest interactions with core chromosomes, specifically their

pericentromeric regions, were also the ones most frequently lost following treatment with carbendazim,

a fungicide that disrupts β-tubulin polymerization and impairs mitotic spindle assembly [38,51]. This

observation suggests that robust pericentromeric tethering may play a stabilizing role for accessory

chromosomes during mitosis. Similar phenomena have been observed in *S. cerevisiae*, where

microtubule-disrupting agents reduce centromere clustering, implying that centromeres are bundled at

the spindle pole body during interphase [52,53]. These parallels suggest that centromeric architecture in

Z. tritici may serve a comparable stabilizing function. Further supporting this hypothesis, accessory

chromosomes with stronger pericentromeric associations, such as chromosome 19, exhibited greater

stability under carbendazim treatment [38]. This chromosome also shows high prevalence in natural

populations of *Z. tritici* [37], aligning spatial stability with evolutionary persistence. In contrast, despite

the general presence/absence polymorphism trend among accessory chromosomes, those most

frequently lost under experimental conditions were not necessarily the least prevalent in global

populations [37,44]. One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the strength of core-accessory

chromosome interactions may be strain-specific. It is likely that in different natural strains of *Z. tritici*, the

core-accessory interactions may vary, affecting the stability and presence of accessory chromosomes in a

context-dependent manner. Additionally, evolutionary pressures and local adaptation may influence the

retention or loss of these chromosomes, contributing to the observed diversity in the presence of

accessory chromosomes across strains [38]. Further research, including multiple-strain Hi-C analyses,

could provide insights into how core-accessory chromosome interactions have shaped the genomic

architecture of *Z. tritici*.

Z. tritici genome displays a global Rabl-like organization. Cytological observations using GFP-tagged CENH3

further support the Rabl-like nuclear organization, revealing that *Z. tritici* centromeres cluster into 4 to 7

distinct nuclear foci per nucleus during interphase [39]. These chromocenters were observed in individual

spores, indicating variability in centromere clustering from cell to cell. In contrast, our Hi-C data reflect an

averaged pattern of centromere-centromere interactions across a spore population, revealing a

consistent signal of clustering. The convergence of these single-cell and population-level datasets suggests

that while the number and position of chromocenters may vary between nuclei, centromeres consistently

associate into a limited number of foci, collectively shaping a Rabl-like nuclear architecture. Beyond this

centromere-driven global organization, the Hi-C data also reveal fine-scale compartmentalization within

chromosomes. Notably, the B compartments exhibit strong homotypic interactions, forming a spatially

distinct subnetwork largely insulated from the euchromatic A compartments, showing minimal inter-

compartment connectivity. Unlike the well-defined A/B compartmentalization observed in the human

genome [3] or the checkerboard interaction patterns reported in *R. irregularis* [30], *Z. tritici* displays a

genome topology that is dominated by internal B-B interactions. Compartmentalization inferred through

principal component analysis of Hi-C contact matrices revealed that B compartments are small (~60 kb),

with a similar size and positioning of CIDs identified in our study. Specifically, these B compartments co-

localize with highly insulated CIDs that form an interlinked network of heterochromatic regions. This

suggests that *Z. tritici* chromatin exhibit a heterochromatin-driven architecture, where B compartments

and highly insulated CIDs act as spatial reservoirs for transcriptionally repressed, TE-rich genomic regions.

This compartmentalization likely reinforces silencing by sequestering these regions away from the

transcriptionally active A compartments. Such an arrangement parallels chromatin architectures observed

in other fungi [30,32,49]. For example, in *N. crassa*, heterochromatic domains marked by H3K9me3

aggregate into discrete chromatin regions that contribute to genome stability [33,54]. In *Z. tritici*, the co-

occurrence of small B compartments with CID structures supports a fine-scale, heterochromatin-driven

genome topology that enables both regulatory insulation and structural organization.

We identified CIDs with specific epigenetic characterization of their boundaries, supporting the presence

of chromatin-interacting domains as a universal genomic feature [55]. *Z. tritici* displays CIDs of ~70 kb in

size, similar to the average CID size described in other fungi [32,49], but far smaller than metazoan TADs,

which typically span over megabases [55]. The detection of CIDs in *Z. tritici* was further supported by

analysis of contact probability decay slopes consistent with expectations from polymer physics modeling.

These patterns, showing elevated interaction frequencies at sub-100 kb distances, are consistent with the

presence of interaction domains [4]. Unlike TADs described in metazoans, we counted only a subset of co-

regulated CIDs, suggesting that structural features of the genome coordinate local transcriptional

regulation during host-pathogen interactions, similar to other plant-associated fungi [32,49]. In

metazoans, the concept of TADs is also strongly linked to the active loop extrusion creation process, which

is dependent on cohesin and the boundary-associated protein CTCF, or other insulator proteins [56].

However, self-interacting domains across the tree of life were described in the absence of insulator

proteins, as well as cohesin [57,58]. For instance, CIDs in prokaryotes structure the genome at the gene

operon level, with insulated boundaries associated with highly expressed genes [19,20,59]. In *Z. tritici*, CID

boundaries are gene-dense, TE-poor, and enriched in facultative heterochromatin histone modifications,

consistent with boundaries described in *V. dahliae* [32]. Gene expression analysis further revealed that

genes at CID boundaries are not markedly different in expression level compared to those within domains,

but display reduced expression variability and consistent expression across conditions. This pattern

supports a model where boundary-associated genes are constitutively expressed, in line with boundary

behavior in other species [32,60]. In *N. crassa*, interspersed heterochromatin blocks have been proposed

to act as domain boundaries in place of insulator proteins [29,33]. However, our findings in *Z. tritici*

suggest a more nuanced organization, as we observed multiple CIDs between heterochromatic blocks,

with well-defined boundaries marked by distinct epigenetic profiles that are similar to those in *V. dahliae*

[32]. Furthermore, we observed a significant enrichment of zinc finger transcription factor DNA-binding

motifs at *Z. tritici* domain boundaries, notably those associated with the vertebrate insulator protein

ZNF263 [61]. In *V. dahliae*, boundary-enriched GAAG-motifs and TATA-motifs associate with a decrease in

chromatin accessibility [32]. The presence of a zinc-finger binding motifs in *Z. tritici* CID boundaries

suggests that zinc-finger proteins may contribute to domain boundary formation in fungi. As a diverse and

underexplored protein family, zinc-finger proteins could represent architectural factors, with implications

for understanding genome organization.

Globally, CID structures exhibit low insulation scores in *Z. tritici*, consistent with previous observations in

other fungi [32]. However, we identified a subset of highly insulated domains (HI-CIDs) that coincide with

TE-rich, heterochromatic regions. Similar patterns have been described in *V. dahliae* and *Epichloë*

festucae, where accessory genomic regions (AGRs) form structurally distinct and functionally specialized

chromatin domains with stronger local interactions compared to surrounding regions [32,49]. In *Z. tritici*,

these HI-CIDs also engage more frequently in strong interactions with one another compared to R-CIDs,

which seems reminiscent of the spatial network formed by the "TAD cliques" observed in the human

genome, where clusters of heterochromatic domains co-localize in 3D space [62]. Comparable chromatin

bundling mechanisms have also been described in *C. elegans*, where H3K9me3 promotes chromosome

compaction via HP1-mediated heterochromatin interactions [63]. In *N. crassa*, interspersed

heterochromatin blocks are described to function as boundaries, structuring CIDs. Targeted removal of a

heterochromatic block induces localized architectural changes with minimal effects on fitness [54]. The

heterochromatin interaction network in *Z. tritici* shares conceptual similarities with the KNOT Engaged

Elements (KNOTs) described in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [64]. KNOTs are heterochromatic islands within

euchromatin that interact both within and between chromosomes. Notably, TEs preferentially insert into

these regions, and under heat stress, KNOT-associated TEs are derepressed, demonstrating that these

structures are dynamic and functional in TE regulation [65]. Previous studies in *Z. tritici* have shown that

wheat infection is accompanied by a global activation of TEs and epigenetic changes [46]. We found that

HI-CIDs are enriched for effector genes, and some of these CIDs are co-regulated *in planta,* suggesting

potential de-repression of HI-CIDs during infection. While our study relies on *in vitro* Hi-C data, we

recognize that host-induced chromatin remodeling likely plays a role in shaping 3D genome organization

during plant infection. The low fungal biomass during early colonization stages currently limits the

feasibility of generating *in planta* Hi-C maps in *Z. tritici*. Nonetheless, our finding that a subset of genes

and TEs located within the same *in vitro*-defined CID tend to exhibit coordinated expression changes

between axenic culture and infection suggests that CIDs may serve as functional domains of

transcriptional co-regulation. Similar observations were drawn from studies in other fungal pathogens

such as *V. dahliae* and *E. festucae*, where a subset of CIDs identified under axenic conditions showed

regulatory relevance *in planta* [32,49]. These comparisons support the broader concept that core features

of 3D genome architecture may be maintained across environmental conditions and can serve as

predictive scaffolds for gene regulation. Future efforts to develop *in planta* approaches in fungal

pathogens will be essential to directly validate this model and dissect the dynamics of chromatin folding

during host colonization. Although the mechanisms and dynamics of chromatin folding during host

colonization remain largely uncharacterized in fungi, the presence of specialized 3D genome organization

represents an evolutionary strategy to compartmentalize potentially disruptive genomic elements while

preserving their accessibility for regulated expression under specific developmental or stress-related

conditions.

CONCLUSION

The fundamental principles of genome folding, such as compartmentalization and domain organization,

are broadly conserved across eukaryotes, even if the canonical definitions developed in metazoan systems

do not always apply uniformly across the tree of life [55]. In the fungus *Z. tritici*, we uncovered a complex,

multilayered chromatin architecture characterized by centromere clustering, spatial segregation between

core and accessory chromosomes, a long-range heterochromatin network, and chromatin interacting

domains. Together, these features likely contribute to this species' remarkable genome plasticity,

facilitating regulatory flexibility, adaptive potential, and pathogenicity. By generating a high-resolution

map of *Z. tritici*'s 3D genome, we lay the groundwork for mechanistic investigations into how chromatin

structure governs gene expression, transposable element dynamics, and genome evolution in filamentous

fungi. More broadly, this work advances the emerging field of comparative 3D genomics in non-model

species, opening new avenues for exploring genome architecture as a driver of fungal adaptation and

plant-pathogen interactions.

METHODS

Sample preparation and Hi-C sequencing

The reference strain *Z. tritici* IPO323 [36] was preserved in 50% glycerol at -80°C. Pre-cultures were

prepared with inoculations of ~100uL of glycerol stock spore suspension onto solid YMS medium (4 g/L

yeast extract, 4 g/L malt extract, 4 g/L sucrose, and 12 g/L of agar) and grown for 4 days at 18°C. Spores

were scraped from plates and re-suspended into PBS buffer (8g/L NaCl, 1.44g/L Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.2g/L KCl,

0.24g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$) for sample preparation for epigenomics sequencing as described in Möller et al. 2023

[41]. Spores were fixed with formaldehyde according to PhaseGenomics instructions (Seattle,

Washington, USA). Hi-C experiments were performed with the Phase Genomics Proximo Hi-C Kit (Fungal),

which combines four restriction enzymes to ensure homogeneous digestion at AT-rich genomic regions.

The resulting libraries were sequenced at Biomarker Technologies GmbH (BMKgene, Münster, Germany).

The sequencing was performed with Illumina NovaSeq X, paired-end 150bp reads. Sample preparation,

Hi-C experiment, and sequencing were performed for two independent replicates.

Hi-C data processing, binning, and visualization

Each Hi-C read-pairs was filtered and trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.39 [66] with the following settings

ILLUMINACLIP:${adapters}:2:30:10 LEADING:15 TRAILING:15 SLIDINGWINDOW:5:15 MINLEN:50. We

used HiCUP-0.9.2[67] to evaluate the quality of each Hi-C dataset. Reads were mapped against the

reference genome assembly of *Z. tritici* IPO323 [36] using bwa-mem2 [68]. The construction and analysis

of Hi-C interaction matrices were performed using the HiCExplorer suite of tools [60]. Each replicate

interaction matrix was created using *hicBuildMatrix*, by aligning the paired reads to the reference genome

digested *in silico* with the four restriction enzymes DpnII (GATC), DdeI (CTNAG), HinfI (GANTC), and MseI

(TTAA). Contact matrices were individually corrected using the iterative correction and eigenvector

decomposition (ICE) method via the *hicCorrectMatrix* function. The corrected contact matrices from the

two biological replicates were combined to generate Hi-C matrices at 1 kb, 3 kb, 10 kb, and 50 kb. The Hi-

C contact maps were generated with *hicPlotMatrix*. To identify the most significant chromatin

interactions, we normalized the raw Hi-C contact matrices using the *hicTransform* from the HiCExplorer

suite with the default --method obs_exp option. This method computes expected interaction frequencies

as a function of genomic distance to account for the natural decay in contact frequency with increasing

genomic separation. The most significant pairwise interactions were extracted by filtering the observed-

over-expected values above 3.5 for inter- and 1.75 for intra-chromosomal interactions. The interaction

link plots were generated using Circos-0.69 [69]. The HiCExplorer .h5 contact matrix files were converted

into .cool format with *hicConvertFormat* for compatibility with the *cooltools* and *cooler* packages for

visualization [70]. All scripts for the analyses and visualization are available at

https://github.com/lorraincecile/Hi-C_Ztritici_IPO323 and 10.5281/zenodo.15395556.

Estimation of chromatin folding patterns with contact probability decay

To characterize chromatin folding behavior, we computed the decay of contact frequency as a function of

genomic distance, a method grounded in polymer physics models of chromosome organization [4]. These

models typically distinguish between different chromatin configurations based on the decay exponent (α)

of the contact probability P(s), where *s* represents genomic separation. Contact decay slopes provide

insights into the folding state of the chromatin (referred as "globule"): an exponent of ~1.5 corresponds

to an equilibrium globule, indicative of tightly knotted, inaccessible chromatin; values between 1 and 2

reflect a fractal globule, a compact yet unknotted state; and exponents < 1 are characteristic of a tension

globule, normally associated with finer scale self-interacting domain units [71]. We used the

cooltools.expected_cis [70] function to compute the average contact probability, P(s), separately for core

and accessory chromosomes. Genomic distances and P(s) values were transformed to log10 scales, and a

linear regression was fitted to the resulting log–log data to estimate the decay exponent (α), which serves

as a proxy for the underlying chromatin folding state.

Statistical Analysis of Chromosome Loss and Interaction Strength

To investigate the relationship between chromosome loss and interaction strength in *Z. tritici* IPO323, we

extracted the average number of core-accessory chromosome strong interactions (observed vs. expected

> 3.5), normalized by the size of the corresponding accessory chromosome. This normalization yielded the

interaction strength per accessory chromosome. We compared this measure to the frequency of

accessory chromosome loss following beta-tubulin inhibition, described in Habig et al. (2017) [38]. A log-

transformed Poisson regression model was used to assess the impact of normalized interaction strength

on accessory chromosome loss. The model was fitted using the glm function in R (version 4.4.1), with

chromosome loss modeled as a Poisson-distributed response variable. The coefficients were tested for

statistical significance using z-tests, with p-values calculated for both the intercept and the normalized

interaction strength variable. Model diagnostics, including overdispersion testing using the *dispersiontest*

function from the AER package [72], confirmed that the Poisson distribution was an appropriate fit. The

fitted model was then used to predict chromosome loss, and the observed versus predicted values were

visualized with ggplot2 [73].

A/B compartmentalization analysis

Compartmentalization analysis used the matrix at 3 and 10 kb resolution with functions from the cooltools

package [70]. We calculated PCA eigenvectors for intra- and inter-chromosomal contacts as *expected_cis*

and *expected_trans,* respectively. Eigenvector values capture spatial segregation, with regions sharing

similar values displaying more frequent contacts with one another than with regions showing dissimilar

values. The intrachromosomal eigenvector profiles capture finer-scale chromatin features and reflects

more local variability between contacts of the same chromosomes. GC content was used as a phasing

track to orient the eigenvector values. The first three eigenvector values were manually checked, and the

first eigenvector was determined to have the best compatibility with the interaction pattern of the contact

maps. We used the 2.5% and 97.5% percentile eigenvector values as the minimum and maximum range

to calculate the compartmentalization strength. The compartmentalization plot was generated using the

saddleplot function [70].

Chromatin interacting domains (CID) calling

We established 3 kb as the smallest bin size at which at least 1000 reads covered 80% of bins for each

chromosome [9], and therefore the smallest scale at which we can reliably call CIDs (Supplementary Table

S2). CID predictions were then generated using *hicFindTADs* for HiCExplorer [60] with default parameters

using the contact matrix at 3kb bin size. This function calculates the insulation score by evaluating

interaction frequencies between adjacent bins. CID boundaries were identified at significant local minima

in physical interactions, where large differences between adjacent bins yield negative insulation scores,

indicating "strong" insulation [60]. To assess the robustness of the predicted CIDs, we compared intra-

domain interaction frequencies within annotated domains to those calculated in size-matched regions

shifted upstream or downstream by half the length of each CID. All interaction values were ICE-

normalized. We found that the original CIDs exhibited significantly higher intra-domain contact

frequencies than both upstream- and downstream-shifted regions (Mann-Whitney U test; upstream: p-

value < 0.05; downstream: p-value < 0.05), while the difference between upstream and downstream

controls was not significant (p-value = 0.87) (Supplementary Fig. S12). CIDs were classified into "regular"

(R-CIDs) and "high insulation" (HI-CIDs) CIDs by calculating the difference between each CID's maximum

insulation score and the minimum insulation scores of its adjacent CIDs. CIDs with a score difference

within the 20th quantile, insulation scores above the median, and flanked by two neighboring CIDs with

negative insulation scores were considered HI-CIDs.

Analysis of genomic features

Gene annotations with associated GO terms, PFAM and effector predictions were sourced from Lapalu et

al. (2023) [74] and accessible here (https://bioinfo.bioger.inrae.fr/portal/genome-portal/12/).

Centromere detections and annotations were sourced from Schotanus et al. (2015), where centromere

positions were experimentally determined using chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing

(ChIP-seq) targeting the centromere-specific histone H3 variant CENH3 (CENP-A homolog) [39]. Core

genes were identified as described in Minana-Posada et al. (2024)[75] as shared across 19 *Z. tritici* strains

[47]. GO term enrichment analysis was performed using *topGO*'s *runGOEnrichment* function [76]. GO

terms with no gene associations in the query lists or supported by only a single gene across the genome

were excluded. False discovery rate (FDR) correction was applied to all p-values. Enrichment analyses of

effectors, core genes, and PFAM domains within gene sets were performed using Fisher's exact tests, with

FDR correction applied to *p*-values. TE annotations were obtained from the manually curated library of

Baril and Croll (2023) [77]. CID boundary motif identification and enrichment were performed with

XSTREME with default parameters and compared to JASPAR database motifs [78] using Tomtom from the

MEME suite tools [79]. We used the RIP composite index to identify RIP-like signatures in 1 kb sliding

windows across the genome as described in Lorrain et al. (2021) [45]. A positive RIP composite index value

reflects the presence of RIP-like mutations. The distribution of genes, TEs and RIP-like signatures across

CIDs and their boundaries was analyzed using the EnrichedHeatmap package in R [80].

Gene and TE expression analyses

RNA-seq data for *Z. tritici* IPO323 during *in planta* infection, comprising four distinct infection stages, was

obtained from Haueisen et al. (2018) [81], while *in vitro* RNA-seq data was sourced from Möller et al.

(2023) [41]. After trimming low-quality reads (ILLUMINACLIP:${adapters}:2:30:15 MINLEN:100), the

resulting high-quality reads were aligned to the IPO323 reference genome using STAR [82], with

parameters configured to allow the inclusion of multimapping reads (--outFilterMultimapNmax 200 and

–winAnchorMultimapNmax 200). To capture the expression of genes and TEs, multi-mapper reads were

reassigned using TEtranscript [83], which ensures precise allocation of reads to the transcript sequences

[74] (https://bioinfo.bioger.inrae.fr/portal/genome-portal/12/) and TE library of *Z. tritici* IPO323 [77].

Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 [84], comparing expression levels across the

biotrophic phases (stages A and B), necrotrophic phases (stages C and D) [81], and *in vitro* growth

conditions. To compare transcription between boundaries and CIDs, genes and TEs were analyzed

separately, and statistical comparisons of expression levels and fold-change distributions were performed

using two-sided Mann-Whitney U tests. The distribution of expression levels *in vitro* ($\log_2$ RPKM) and

differential expression comparing axenic and *in planta* conditions ($\log_2$ fold-change), across CIDs and their

boundaries, was analyzed using the EnrichedHeatmap package in R [80]. For each gene or TE, $\log_2$-

transformed values were calculated and centered on CID boundaries, extending 35 kb upstream and

downstream. Heatmaps display normalized expression values per element, and the average signal profile

across all regions is plotted above each heatmap. Absolute $\log_2$ fold-change values were used to assess

expression stability across conditions.

Characterization of epigenetic profiles

Histone modifications, centromere detection, and cytosine DNA methylation (5mC) level enrichments

across the *Z. tritici* IPO323 genome were assessed using publicly available chromatin immunoprecipitation

followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) and whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) datasets. ChIP-seq

datasets for CenH3 and H3K9me2 were obtained from Schotanus et al. (2015) [39], while datasets for

H3K36me3, H4K20me3, H4K20me1, H3K27me3, H3K27me2, and H3K4me2 were sourced from Möller et

al. (2023) [41]. Reads were preprocessed using Trimmomatic v0.39 [66], with parameters

ILLUMINACLIP:${adapters}:2:30:10 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:30 and

ILLUMINACLIP:${adapters}:2:30:10:2:keepBothReads   HEADCROP:5   LEADING:3   TRAILING:3

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:30 for the single and paired end samples respectively. The filtered reads

were mapped to the IPO323 reference genome using Bowtie2 with default settings [85]. To account for

the repetitive nature of TEs in the genome, multimapping reads were subsequently mapped using Allo

[86], an approach tailored to improve mapping accuracy in repeat-rich regions. Reads with a mapping

quality score below 30 and PCR duplicates identified by Picard v2.26.2 [87] were excluded from further

analysis. To visualize enrichment levels across the genome, histone enrichment profiles were generated

as bigWig and bedGraph files in bins of 10 bp, 3 kb, and 10 kb.

Bisulfite sequencing data was retrieved from Möller et al. (2021) [42] for cytosine DNA methylation

analysis. Cultures were inoculated directly from glycerol stocks into liquid YMS medium and grown for 5

days. The Illumina HiSeq3000 paired-end raw reads were filtered using Trimmomatic [66] parameters

HEADCROP:10 CROP:140 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:50 and subsequently

mapped and deduplicated using Bismark [88]. The methylated sites in all contexts (CG, CHG, CHH) were

extracted using the function *bismark_methylation_extractor,* and filtered to remove sites not supported

by at least four reads and more than 50% of the mapped reads. The weighted methylation average was

calculated for 10 bp, 3 kb and 10 kb windows. The distribution of histone marks and 5mC levels across

CIDs and their boundaries was analyzed using the EnrichedHeatmap package in R [80].

Chromatin interacting domains co-expression analysis

To investigate the co-regulation of genes and TEs within CIDs, we constructed a linear model in Python

as described in Winter et al. (2018) [49]. The model utilized the log2-fold change in gene expression

between *in planta* and *in vitro* stages as the response variable, with CID membership as the main

predictor. We developed nested models by incorporating additional genomic features known to affect

gene expression, including GC content and distance to the nearest TE. The nested models' performance

was evaluated using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and likelihood ratio tests. We defined CIDs as

co-regulated CIDs with more than 10 co-expressed genes and TEs. **Code availability**

All custom scripts generated for data analyses and visualization are available at

https://github.com/lorraincecile/Hi-C_Ztritici_IPO323. The Hi-C contact matrices and CID annotations as

well as source data for figures and tables presented in this study are available at

10.5281/zenodo.15395556.

Data availability

The Hi-C sequencing data is publicly available from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the

accession GSE296663. *In planta* [81] and *in vitro* [41] RNA-seq datasets are available at the GEO accession

number GSE106136 and the accession number NCBI BioProjects PRJNA902413, respectively. The ChIP-seq

datasets for CenH3 and H3K9me2 [39] are accessible through the SRA ID SRP059394. The ChIP-seq

datasets for H3K36me3, H4K20me3, H4K20me1, H3K27me3, H3K27me2, and H3K4me2 [41] are available

at the SRA ID SRP411885. Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing [42] data are available at SRA under

BioProject ID PRJNA614493.

Authors contributions

IG and CL designed the experiments. IG performed data acquisition. IG and CL performed the data analysis

and interpretation. CL conceptualized the study. IG and CL wrote and revised the manuscript.

Funding Declaration

Ivona Glavincheska and Cecile Lorrain are funded by a SNSF Ambizione grant (PZ00P3_209022).

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Bruce McDonald and ETH Zurich for funding the Hi-C sequencing. We thank Dr. Mareike

Möller for her advice on performing Hi-C experiments in filamentous fungi.

AI language model assistance

We used ChatGPT (developed by OpenAI) to refine and improve the grammar of the text. We reviewed

and revised all outputs to ensure accuracy and alignment with the intended message.

ETHICS DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

No competing interests.

REFERENCES

1.   Sexton T, Cavalli G. The Role of Chromosome Domains in Shaping the Functional Genome. Cell. 2015 Mar 12;160(6):1049–59.

2.   Hoencamp C, Dudchenko O, Elbatsh AMO, Brahmachari S, Raaijmakers JA, van Schaik T, et al. 3D genomics across the tree of life reveals condensin II as a determinant of architecture type. Science. 2021 May 28;372(6545):984–9.

3.   Lieberman-Aiden E, van Berkum NL, Williams L, Imakaev M, Ragoczy T, Telling A, et al. Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding Principles of the Human Genome. Science. 2009 Oct 9;326(5950):289–93.

4.   Esposito A, Bianco S, Chiariello AM, Abraham A, Fiorillo L, Conte M, et al. Polymer physics reveals a combinatorial code linking 3D chromatin architecture to 1D chromatin states. Cell Reports. 2022 Mar 29;38(13):110601.

5.   Szalay MF, Majchrzycka B, Jerković I, Cavalli G, Ibrahim DM. Evolution and function of chromatin domains across the tree of life. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2024 Dec;31(12):1824–37.

6.   Cremer T, Cremer C, Baumann H, Luedtke EK, Sperling K, Teuber V, et al. Rabl's model of the interphase chromosome arrangement tested in Chinise hamster cells by premature chromosome condensation and laser-UV-microbeam experiments. Hum Genet. 1982 Feb 1;60(1):46–56.

7.   Álvarez-González L, Arias-Sardá C, Montes-Espuña L, Marín-Gual L, Vara C, Lister NC, et al. Principles of 3D chromosome folding and evolutionary genome reshuffling in mammals. Cell Reports. 2022 Dec 20;41(12):111839.

8.   Dong P, Tu X, Chu PY, Lü P, Zhu N, Grierson D, et al. 3D Chromatin Architecture of Large Plant Genomes Determined by Local A/B Compartments. Mol Plant. 2017 Dec 4;10(12):1497–509.

9.   Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durand NC, Stamenova EK, Bochkov ID, Robinson JT, et al. A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell. 2014 Dec 18;159(7):1665–80.

10. Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, Kim A, Li Y, Shen Y, et al. Topological Domains in Mammalian Genomes Identified by Analysis of Chromatin Interactions. Nature. 2012 Apr 11;485(7398):376.

11. Long HS, Greenaway S, Powell G, Mallon AM, Lindgren CM, Simon MM. Making sense of the linear genome, gene function and TADs. Epigenetics & Chromatin. 2022 Jan 29;15(1):4.

12. Flavahan WA, Drier Y, Liau BB, Gillespie SM, Venteicher AS, Stemmer-Rachamimov AO, et al. Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas. Nature. 2016 Jan;529(7584):110–4.

13. Valton AL, Dekker J. TAD disruption as oncogenic driver. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development. 2016 Feb 1;36:34–40.

14. Li E, Liu H, Huang L, Zhang X, Dong X, Song W, et al. Long-range interactions between proximal and
distal regulatory regions in maize. Nat Commun. 2019 June 14;10(1):2633.

15. Ricci WA, Lu Z, Ji L, Marand AP, Ethridge CL, Murphy NG, et al. Widespread long-range cis-regulatory
elements in the maize genome. Nat Plants. 2019 Dec;5(12):1237–49.

16. Dong P, Tu X, Liang Z, Kang BH, Zhong S. Plant and animal chromatin three-dimensional
organization: similar structures but different functions. J Exp Bot. 2020 Aug 17;71(17):5119–28.

17. Sun L, Zhou J, Xu X, Liu Y, Ma N, Liu Y, et al. Mapping nucleosome-resolution chromatin organization
and enhancer-promoter loops in plants using Micro-C-XL. Nat Commun. 2024 Jan 2;15(1):35.

18. Dame RT, Rashid FZM, Grainger DC. Chromosome organization in bacteria: mechanistic insights into
genome structure and function. Nat Rev Genet. 2020 Apr;21(4):227–42.

19. Le TBK, Imakaev MV, Mirny LA, Laub MT. High-resolution mapping of the spatial organization of a
bacterial chromosome. Science. 2013 Nov 8;342(6159):731–4.

20. Marbouty M, Cournac A, Flot JF, Marie-Nelly H, Mozziconacci J, Koszul R. Metagenomic
chromosome conformation capture (meta3C) unveils the diversity of chromosome organization in
microorganisms. Elife. 2014 Dec 17;3:e03318.

21. Cockram C, Thierry A, Gorlas A, Lestini R, Koszul R. Euryarchaeal genomes are folded into SMC-
dependent loops and domains, but lack transcription-mediated compartmentalization. Mol Cell.
2021 Feb 4;81(3):459-472.e10.

22. Takemata N, Bell SD. Multi-scale architecture of archaeal chromosomes. Mol Cell. 2021 Feb
4;81(3):473-487.e6.

23. Case NT, Gurr SJ, Fisher MC, Blehert DS, Boone C, Casadevall A, et al. Fungal impacts on Earth's
ecosystems. Nature. 2025 Feb;638(8049):49–57.

24. Engel SR, Dietrich FS, Fisk DG, Binkley G, Balakrishnan R, Costanzo MC, et al. The Reference Genome
Sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Then and Now. G3 (Bethesda). 2013 Dec 27;4(3):389–98.

25. Gupta YK, Marcelino-Guimarães FC, Lorrain C, Farmer A, Haridas S, Ferreira EGC, et al. Major
proliferation of transposable elements shaped the genome of the soybean rust pathogen
Phakopsora pachyrhizi. Nat Commun. 2023 Apr 1;14(1):1835.

26. Möller M, Stukenbrock EH. Evolution and genome architecture in fungal plant pathogens. Nat Rev
Microbiol. 2017 Dec;15(12):756–71.

27. Feurtey A, Lorrain C, Croll D, Eschenbrenner C, Freitag M, Habig M, et al. Genome
compartmentalization predates species divergence in the plant pathogen genus Zymoseptoria.
BMC Genomics. 2020 Aug 26;21(1):588.

28. Belton JM, McCord RP, Gibcus J, Naumova N, Zhan Y, Dekker J. Hi-C: A comprehensive technique to
capture the conformation of genomes. Methods. 2012 Nov;58(3):10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.05.001.

29. Torres DE, Reckard AT, Klocko AD, Seidl MF. Nuclear genome organization in fungi: from gene
folding to Rabl chromosomes. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 2023 May 1;47(3):fuad021.

30. Yildirir G, Sperschneider J, Malar C M, Chen ECH, Iwasaki W, Cornell C, et al. Long reads and Hi-C
sequencing illuminate the two-compartment genome of the model arbuscular mycorrhizal
symbiont Rhizophagus irregularis. New Phytologist. 2022;233(3):1097–107.

31. Schalbetter SA, Fudenberg G, Baxter J, Pollard KS, Neale MJ. Principles of meiotic chromosome
assembly revealed in S. cerevisiae. Nat Commun. 2019 Oct 22;10(1):4795.

32. Torres DE, Kramer HM, Tracanna V, Fiorin GL, Cook DE, Seidl MF, et al. Implications of the three-
dimensional chromatin organization for genome evolution in a fungal plant pathogen. Nat
Commun. 2024 Feb 24;15(1):1701.

33. Galazka JM, Klocko AD, Uesaka M, Honda S, Selker EU, Freitag M. Neurospora chromosomes are
organized by blocks of importin alpha-dependent heterochromatin that are largely independent of
H3K9me3. Genome Res. 2016 Jan 8;26(8):1069–80.

34. Rodriguez S, Ward A, Reckard AT, Shtanko Y, Hull-Crew C, Klocko AD. The genome organization of
Neurospora crassa at high resolution uncovers principles of fungal chromosome topology. G3
Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2022 May 1;12(5):jkac053.

35. Stukenbrock EH, Banke S, Javan-Nikkhah M, McDonald BA. Origin and Domestication of the Fungal
Wheat Pathogen Mycosphaerella graminicola via Sympatric Speciation. Molecular Biology and
Evolution. 2007 Feb 1;24(2):398–411.

36. Goodwin SB, M'Barek SB, Dhillon B, Wittenberg AHJ, Crane CF, Hane JK, et al. Finished Genome of
the Fungal Wheat Pathogen Mycosphaerella graminicola Reveals Dispensome Structure,
Chromosome Plasticity, and Stealth Pathogenesis. PLOS Genetics. 2011 June 9;7(6):e1002070.

37. Feurtey A, Lorrain C, McDonald MC, Milgate A, Solomon PS, Warren R, et al. A thousand-genome
panel retraces the global spread and adaptation of a major fungal crop pathogen. Nat Commun.
2023 Feb 24;14(1):1059.

38. Habig M, Quade J, Stukenbrock EH. Forward Genetics Approach Reveals Host Genotype-Dependent
Importance of Accessory Chromosomes in the Fungal Wheat Pathogen Zymoseptoria tritici. mBio.
2017 Nov 28;8(6):e01919-17.

39. Schotanus K, Soyer JL, Connolly LR, Grandaubert J, Happel P, Smith KM, et al. Histone modifications
rather than the novel regional centromeres of Zymoseptoria tritici distinguish core and accessory
chromosomes. Epigenetics & Chromatin. 2015 Oct 1;8(1):41.

40. Habig M, Lorrain C, Feurtey A, Komluski J, Stukenbrock EH. Epigenetic modifications affect the rate
of spontaneous mutations in a pathogenic fungus. Nat Commun. 2021 Dec;12(1):5869.

41. Möller M, Ridenour JB, Wright DF, Martin FA, Freitag M. H4K20me3 is important for Ash1-mediated
H3K36me3 and transcriptional silencing in facultative heterochromatin in a fungal pathogen. PLoS
Genet. 2023 Sept;19(9):e1010945.

42. Möller M, Habig M, Lorrain C, Feurtey A, Haueisen J, Fagundes WC, et al. Recent loss of the Dim2
DNA methyltransferase decreases mutation rate in repeats and changes evolutionary trajectory in
a fungal pathogen. PLOS Genetics. 2021 Mar 22;17(3):e1009448.

43. Möller M, Schotanus K, Soyer JL, Haueisen J, Happ K, Stralucke M, et al. Destabilization of
chromosome structure by histone H3 lysine 27 methylation. PLOS Genetics. 2019
avr;15(4):e1008093.

44. Möller M, Habig M, Freitag M, Stukenbrock EH. Extraordinary Genome Instability and Widespread
Chromosome Rearrangements During Vegetative Growth. Genetics. 2018 Oct;210(2):517–29.

45. Lorrain C, Feurtey A, Möller M, Haueisen J, Stukenbrock E. Dynamics of transposable elements in
recently diverged fungal pathogens: lineage-specific transposable element content and efficiency
of genome defenses. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2021 Apr 1;11(4):jkab068.

46. Meile L, Peter J, Puccetti G, Alassimone J, McDonald BA, Sánchez-Vallet A. Chromatin Dynamics
Contribute to the Spatiotemporal Expression Pattern of Virulence Genes in a Fungal Plant
Pathogen. mBio. 2020 Oct 6;11(5):10.1128/mbio.02343-20.

47. Badet T, Oggenfuss U, Abraham L, McDonald BA, Croll D. A 19-isolate reference-quality global
pangenome for the fungal wheat pathogen Zymoseptoria tritici. BMC Biol. 2020 Dec;18(1):12.

48. Ortabozkoyun H, Huang PY, Gonzalez-Buendia E, Cho H, Kim SY, Tsirigos A, et al. Members of an
array of zinc-finger proteins specify distinct *Hox* chromatin boundaries. Molecular Cell. 2024 Sept
19;84(18):3406-3422.e6.

49. Winter DJ, Ganley ARD, Young CA, Liachko I, Schardl CL, Dupont PY, et al. Repeat elements organise
3D genome structure and mediate transcription in the filamentous fungus Epichloë festucae.
Richardson PM, editor. PLoS Genet. 2018 Oct 24;14(10):e1007467.

50. Shao W, Wang J, Zhang Y, Zhang C, Chen J, Chen Y, et al. The jet-like chromatin structure defines
active secondary metabolism in fungi. Nucleic Acids Research. 2024 May 22;52(9):4906–21.

51. Davidse LC, Flach W. Differential binding of methyl benzimidazol-2-yl carbamate to fungal tubulin as
a mechanism of resistance to this antimitotic agent in mutant strains of Aspergillus nidulans. J Cell
Biol. 1977 Jan;72(1):174–93.

52. Goto B, Okazaki K, Niwa O. Cytoplasmic microtubular system implicated in de novo formation of a
Rabl-like orientation of chromosomes in fission yeast. J Cell Sci. 2001 July;114(Pt 13):2427–35.

53. Jin QW, Fuchs J, Loidl J. Centromere clustering is a major determinant of yeast interphase nuclear
organization. J Cell Sci. 2000 June;113 (Pt 11):1903–12.

54. Reckard AT, Pandeya A, Voris JM, Gonzalez Cruz CG, Oluwadare O, Klocko AD. A Constitutive
Heterochromatic Region Shapes Genome Organization and Impacts Gene Expression in
Neurospora crassa. bioRxiv. 2024 Oct 14;2024.06.07.597955.

55. Szalay MF, Majchrzycka B, Jerković I, Cavalli G, Ibrahim DM. Evolution and function of chromatin
domains across the tree of life. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2024 Nov 26;1–14.

56. Davidson IF, Barth R, Zaczek M, van der Torre J, Tang W, Nagasaka K, et al. CTCF is a DNA-tension-
dependent barrier to cohesin-mediated loop extrusion. Nature. 2023 Apr;616(7958):822–7.

57. Oberbeckmann E, Quililan K, Cramer P, Oudelaar AM. In vitro reconstitution of chromatin domains
shows a role for nucleosome positioning in 3D genome organization. Nat Genet. 2024
Mar;56(3):483–92.

58. Wing Shun Li, Lucas M Carter, L. Almassalha, Ruyi Gong, Emily M. Pujadas-Liwag, Tiffany Kuo, et al.
Mature chromatin packing domains persist after RAD21 depletion in 3D. Science Advances. 2025;

59. Trussart M, Yus E, Martinez S, Baù D, Tahara YO, Pengo T, et al. Defined chromosome structure in
the genome-reduced bacterium Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Nat Commun. 2017 Mar 8;8:14665.

60. Ramírez F, Bhardwaj V, Arrigoni L, Lam KC, Grüning BA, Villaveces J, et al. High-resolution TADs
reveal DNA sequences underlying genome organization in flies. Nature Communications. 2018 Jan
15;9(1):189.

61. Ortabozkoyun H, Huang PY, Gonzalez-Buendia E, Cho H, Kim SY, Tsirigos A, et al. Members of an
array of zinc-finger proteins specify distinct *Hox* chromatin boundaries. Molecular Cell. 2024 Sept
19;84(18):3406-3422.e6.

62. Liyakat Ali TM, Brunet A, Collas P, Paulsen J. TAD cliques predict key features of chromatin
organization. BMC Genomics. 2021 July 3;22(1):499.

63. Qian Bian, Bian Q, Erika Anderson, Anderson E, Qiming Yang, Yang Q, et al. Histone H3K9
methylation promotes formation of genome compartments in Caenorhabditis elegans via
chromosome compaction and perinuclear anchoring. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America. 2020 May 8;117(21):11459–70.

64. Grob S, Schmid MW, Grossniklaus U. Hi-C Analysis in *Arabidopsis* Identifies the *KNOT*, a Structure
with Similarities to the *flamenco* Locus of *Drosophila*. Molecular Cell. 2014 Sept 4;55(5):678–93.

65. Sun L, Jing Y, Liu X, Li Q, Xue Z, Cheng Z, et al. Heat stress-induced transposon activation correlates
with 3D chromatin organization rearrangement in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun. 2020 Apr
20;11(1):1886.

66. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics. 2014 Aug 1;30(15):2114–20.

67. Wingett S, Ewels P, Furlan-Magaril M, Nagano T, Schoenfelder S, Fraser P, et al. HiCUP: pipeline for
mapping and processing Hi-C data. F1000Res. 2015 Nov 20;4:1310.

68. Vasimuddin Md, Misra S, Li H, Aluru S. Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration of BWA-MEM for
Multicore Systems. In: 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium
(IPDPS) [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2025 Feb 14]. p. 314–24. Available from:
https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8820962

69. Krzywinski MI, Schein JE, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: An information
aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res [Internet]. 2009 June 18 [cited 2025 Feb 14];
Available from: https://genome.cshlp.org/content/early/2009/06/15/gr.092759.109

70. Open2C, Abdennur N, Abraham S, Fudenberg G, Flyamer IM, Galitsyna AA, et al. Cooltools: Enabling
high-resolution Hi-C analysis in Python. PLOS Computational Biology. 2024 May 6;20(5):e1012067.

71. Sanborn AL, Rao SSP, Huang SC, Durand NC, Huntley MH, Jewett AI, et al. Chromatin extrusion
explains key features of loop and domain formation in wild-type and engineered genomes. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015 Nov 24;112(47):E6456-6465.

72. Kleiber C, Zeileis A. Diagnostics and Alternative Methods of Regression. In: Kleiber C, Zeileis A,
editors. Applied Econometrics with R [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer; 2008 [cited 2025 Apr 25].
p. 1–27. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-77318-6_4

73. Wickham H. ggplot2 [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016 [cited 2025 Apr 25].
(Use R!). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4

74. Lapalu N, Lamothe L, Petit Y, Genissel A, Delude C, Feurtey A, et al. Improved gene annotation of the
fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* based on combined Iso-Seq and RNA-Seq evidence
[Internet]. Genomics; 2023 Apr [cited 2024 Mar 7]. Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.04.26.537486

75. Miñana-Posada S, Lorrain C, McDonald BA, Feurtey A. Thermal adaptation in worldwide collections
of a major fungal pathogen [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2024 Nov 14]. p. 2024.09.12.612681.
Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.09.12.612681v1

76. topGO [Internet]. Bioconductor. 2024 [cited 2024 Aug 1]. Available from:
http://bioconductor.org/packages/topGO/

77. Baril T, Croll D. A pangenome-guided manually curated library of transposable elements for
Zymoseptoria tritici. BMC Research Notes. 2023 Nov 16;16(1):335.

78. Sandelin A, Alkema W, Engström P, Wasserman WW, Lenhard B. JASPAR: an open-access database
for eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Research. 2004 Jan
1;32(suppl_1):D91–4.

79. MEME Suite: tools for motif discovery and searching | Nucleic Acids Research | Oxford Academic
[Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 19]. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/37/suppl_2/W202/1135092

80. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M, Ishaque N. EnrichedHeatmap: an R/Bioconductor package for
comprehensive visualization of genomic signal associations. BMC Genomics. 2018 Apr 4;19(1):234.

81. Haueisen J, Möller M, Eschenbrenner CJ, Grandaubert J, Seybold H, Adamiak H, et al. Highly flexible
infection programs in a specialized wheat pathogen. Ecol Evol. 2018 Dec 26;9(1):275–94.

82. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013 Jan;29(1):15–21.

83. Jin Y, Tam OH, Paniagua E, Hammell M. TEtranscripts: a package for including transposable elements
in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq datasets. Bioinformatics. 2015 Nov 15;31(22):3593–
9.

84. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data
with DESeq2. Genome Biology. 2014 Dec 5;15(12):550.

85. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012
Apr;9(4):357–9.

86. Morrissey A, Shi J, James DQ, Mahony S. Accurate allocation of multimapped reads enables
regulatory element analysis at repeats. Genome Res. 2024 June;34(6):937–51.

87. Picard Tools - By Broad Institute [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 19]. Available from:
https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/

88. Krueger F, Andrews SR. Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq
applications. Bioinformatics. 2011 June 1;27(11):1571–2.

89. Minana-Posada S, Feurtey A, Alassimone J, McDonald BA, Lorrain C. Responses to temperature
shocks in Zymoseptoria tritici reveal specific transcriptional reprogramming and novel candidate
genes for thermal adaptation [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 19]. p. 2024.12.16.628617.
Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.12.16.628617v1

LEGENDS

Fig. 1: The Rabl-like three-dimensional structure of *Zymoseptoria tritici* is compartmentalized. a)

Heatmap of genome-wide normalized Hi-C contact map at 10kb resolution. Contact numbers per 10kb

bins are represented in a log scale. Chromosomes are represented by ideograms with purple marking the

centromeres [39]. b) Strongest inter-chromosomal interactions with an observed-to-expected value >3.5

at 10 kb bin resolution. Core chromosomes are represented in light blue. Accessory chromosomes are

represented in dark blue. Centromeres are marked by triangles. H3K9me3 enrichment is shown in the

orange histogram. Links represent inter-chromosomal pericentromere-pericentromere interactions

(purple), accessory-core chromosome (green), or any other interactions (grey). c) Contact probability (top)

and contact frequency decay slope (bottom) against genomic distance for core (green) and accessory

(orange) chromosomes. d) Relationship between chromosomal interaction strength and chromosome loss

in *Zymoseptoria tritici* strain IPO323, after carbendazim treatment [38]. Scatterplot showing the

association between log-transformed normalized Hi-C interaction strength (x-axis) and the frequency of

chromosome loss (y-axis) across individual chromosomes. A dashed grey line indicates the predicted linear

relationship. The model indicates a significant inverse relationship between interaction strength and

chromosome loss (Poisson GLM, p-value < 0.05).

Fig. 2: Homotypic interactions and epigenomic features of A/B compartments in the *Zymoseptoria tritici*

genome. a) Saddle plot representing genome-wide intrachromosomal Hi-C interactions at 3 kb resolution.

Homotypic B-B interactions are represented in the top-left, while A-A interactions are observed in the

bottom-right. b) Enrichment of histone modifications across A and B compartments, highlighting distinct

chromatin states. c) Genomic composition of A and B compartments, showing proportions of genes

(green), transposable elements (orange), and intergenic regions (grey). d) Density of genes (green) and

transposable elements (orange) across A and B compartments. e) Distribution of transposable element

families within A and B compartments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in TE content

based on pairwise Fisher exact tests with FDR correction using the Benjamin-Hochberg method (ns: non-

significant; *: p-value < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001). f) *In vitro* Expression levels of genes

(green) and transposable elements (orange) in A and B compartments.

Fig. 3: The genome of *Zymoseptoria tritici* forms chromatin interacting domains (CIDs) with specific

genomic and epigenomic signatures. a) Close-up of Hi-C contact map showing local interaction

frequencies at 3 kb bins resolution and detection of self-interacting CID structures. Insulation score was

calculated with a genome-wide sliding window approach. Predicted CIDs are represented by black

triangles, and boundaries are indicated by red arrows. b) Heatmap of chromatin insulation scores, histone

modifications (H3K36me3 and H3K9me3), gene, and TE density enrichment centered on domain

boundaries, with 35 kb upstream and downstream regions shown per row. The top panel shows the

average profile across all boundaries depicted in the heatmap. c) Close-up example of CID annotations on

chromosome 4 of *Z. tritici* IPO323. From top to bottom: strongest intra-chromosomal links

(observed/expected > 1.75) across chromosome 4; chromosome 4 Hi-C contact map with CID annotated

by triangles; insulation scores within chromosome 4; histone modifications for euchromatin H3K4me2,

heterochromatin H3K9me2, H3K9me3, and facultative heterochromatin H3K27me2, H3K27me3,

H3K36me3, H3K20me1, H4K20me3. d) Heatmap of chromatin insulation scores, histone modifications, 5-

methylcytosine DNA methylation (5mC), repeat-induced point mutation (RIP) composite index frequency,

genes, and TEs density centered on CIDs, with 35 kb upstream and downstream regions shown per row.

The top panel shows the average profile across all CIDs depicted in the heatmap. Highly-insulated CIDs

(HI-CIDs) are depicted by solid lines, and "regular-CIDs" (R-CIDs) are depicted by dashed lines.

Supplementary Fig. S1: Quality assessment of the Hi-C libraries replicates. a) Pearson correlation

between Hi-C contact map replicates in *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323 (Pearson correlation = 0.87). b) Hi-C

libraries quality filtering with the HiCUP-0.9.2 [67] pipeline.

Supplementary Fig. S2: *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323 Hi-C contact map 10kb bins normalized per genomic

distance as observed/expected interactions.

Supplementary Fig. S3: Strongest interactions in *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323. Links represent the

strongest interactions with observed-to-expected values >3.5 at 10 kb bin resolution for a) all strong

interactions, b) inter-chromosomal pericentromere-pericentromere interactions, c) accessory-core

chromosome interactions with inter-chromosomal pericentromere-pericentromere interactions (purple),

accessory-core chromosome (green), H3K9me3-H3K9me3 interactions (orange) or any other interactions

(grey), d) H3K9me3-H3K9me3 interactions.

Supplementary Fig. S4: Interchromosomal compartmentalization in *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323. a) Self-

interacting compartments were identified from Hi-C contact matrix at 10 kb resolution. Principal

component analysis (PCA) of interchromosomal contact frequencies was used to extract the first

eigenvector (E1), with positive and negative values annotated in yellow and purple, respectively. The

eigenvector track is shown above each panel. The Hi-C contact map with 10 kb resolution is shown for all

chromosomes, shown by ideograms on the side, with centromeres represented in purple. b) Saddle plot

representing genome-wide Hi-C interactions at 10 kb resolution. Homotypic interactions between regions

associated with a negative E1 are represented in the top-left, while positive E1 region interactions are

observed in the bottom-right.

Supplementary Fig. S5: Intrachromosomal A/B compartments in *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323. For each

chromosome, A/B compartments were identified from Hi-C contact matrices at 10 kb resolution. Principal

component analysis (PCA) of intrachromosomal contact frequencies was used to extract the first

eigenvector (E1), with positive and negative values corresponding to A (yellow) and B (purple)

compartments, respectively. The eigenvector track is shown above each panel. Enrichment of H3K9me3

per 10 kb window is displayed as a histogram below the eigenvector track. Hi-C contact maps are shown

for individual chromosomes. Centromeres are represented by yellow stars.

Supplementary Fig. S6: Chromatin interacting domain (CID) boundaries characteristics in core and

accessory chromosomes of *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323. Heatmaps of chromatin insulation scores,

enrichment in histone modifications (H3K4me2, H3K9me2, H3K9me3, H3K27me2, H3K27me3,

H3K36me3, H4K20me1, H4K20me3), 5-methylcytosine DNA methylation (5mC), repeat-induced point

mutation (RIP) composite index frequency, genes, and TEs density centered on domain boundaries, with

35 kb upstream and downstream regions shown per row. The top panel shows the average profile across

core (solid lines) and accessory (dash lines) chromosomes boundaries depicted in the heatmap.

1000

Supplementary Fig. S7: *In vitro* Expression levels of genes and transposable elements in chromatin

interacting domains (CIDs) and boundaries. a) Violin plots (left) show $\log_2$-transformed expression levels

($\log_2$ RPKM+1) for genes (green) and TEs (orange) located within CIDs or at CID boundaries under axenic

culture conditions. The heatmap (right) shows normalized gene expression centered on CID boundaries,

with 35 kb upstream and downstream per row. The top panel displays the average $\log_2$(RPKM+1) profile

across all boundaries. b) Violin plots (left) show the absolute $\log_2$ fold-change in gene and TE expression

(infection vs. axenic) for elements within CIDs or at boundaries. The heatmap (right) shows absolute

expression changes centered on CID boundaries (±35 kb), with the top panel showing the average profile.

Statistical significance of differences between CIDs and boundaries was assessed using a two-sided Mann-

Whitney U test with asterisks indicating significance levels with asterisks indicating significance levels (ns:

non-significant).

1012

Supplementary Fig. S8: Strongest interactions between chromatin interacting domain (CIDs).

Distribution of observed-to-expected contact ratios > 1.75 from the 10 kb resolution interaction matrix,

highlighting the strongest intrachromosomal interactions classified as either highly insulated CIDs (HI-

CIDs) or regular CIDs (R-CIDs). Statistical significance of differences between groups was assessed using a

two-sided Mann-Whitney U test with asterisks indicating significance levels (ns: non-significant; *: p-value

< 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001).

1019

1020   **Supplementary Fig. S9:** *In vitro* **Expression levels of genes in regular chromatin interacting domains (R-**

1021   **CIDs) and highly insulated chromatin interacting domains (HI-CIDs).** Genes are represented in green and

1022   transposable elements in orange. Statistical significance of differences between HI-CIDs and R-CIDs was

1023   assessed using a two-sided Mann-Whitney U test with asterisks indicating significance levels (ns: non-

1024   significant; *: p-value < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001).

1025

Supplementary Fig. S10: Co-regulated chromatin interacting domains (CIDs) during wheat infection

stages. Effect sizes from linear regression models quantified the contribution of each topologically

associating domain (CID) to differential gene expression between in vitro growth on YMA medium and

various in planta infection stages (stage A: early biotrophic, stage B: late biotrophic, stage C: early

necrotrophic, and stage D: late necrotrophic). Each point represents the mean effect size per CID,

accompanied by a 95% confidence interval. CIDs with statistically significant effects (i.e., confidence

intervals not overlapping zero) are highlighted in color and annotated with their chromosome and CID

identifiers. Values reflect normalized means derived from two independent biological replicates [81].

1034

1035   **Supplementary Fig. S11: Overlap between chromatin interacting domain (CID) categories.** Venn diagram

1036   illustrating the overlap among three categories of topology-associated domains (CIDs): co-regulated CIDs

1037   (defined as containing ≥10 genes or transposable elements with coordinated expression), highly insulated

1038   CIDs (HI-CIDs; identified by elevated insulation scores), and accessory chromosome CIDs (located on

1039   accessory chromosomes).

1040

1041   **Supplementary Fig. S12: Validation of CID boundary predictions based on intra-domain interaction**

1042   **frequencies.** Boxplots show the average intra-domain interaction frequency for annotated CIDs ("Original

1043   CID") compared to control regions of identical size shifted upstream or downstream by half the domain

1044   length (two-sided Mann-Whitney U test with asterisks indicating significance levels (ns: non-significant; *:

1045   p-value < 0.05).

1046

Supplementary Table S1: Quality assessment of Hi-C sequencing data.

Supplementary Table S2: Hi-C matrix contact coverage per chromosome.

Supplementary Table S3: Strongest interchromosomal interactions. Hi-C interactions were normalized

in observed vs expected (o/e) contacts.

Supplementary Table S4: Contact frequency decay exponent per chromosome.

Supplementary Table S5: A/B compartments from PCA eigenvector analysis.

Supplementary Table S6: Gene/TE content of A/B compartments.

Supplementary Table S7: TE content of A/B compartments.

Supplementary Table S8: Gene ontology enrichment in A compartment.

Supplementary Table S9: Gene ontology enrichment in B compartment.

Supplementary Table S10: Chromatin interacting domains (CIDs (CIDs) annotation in the *Z. tritici* IPO323

genome.

Supplementary Table S11: CID boundaries annotations in the *Z. tritici* IPO323 genome.

Supplementary Table S12: CIDs summary metrics in core and accessory chromosomes.

Supplementary Table S13: Core orthologous genes enrichment in CID boundaries. The core orthologous

genes are present in 19 reference genomes of *Z. tritici* [47,89].

Supplementary Table S14: PFAM domains enrichment in CID boundaries.

Supplementary Table S15: Gene ontology enrichment in CID boundaries.

Supplementary Table S16: Motifs enrichment in CID boundaries.

Supplementary Table S17: ZNF263 BLASTp searches in *Zymoseptoria tritici* IPO323 proteome

Supplementary Table S18: Annotation of highly insulated CIDs (HI-CIDs) and regular CIDs (R-CIDs).

Supplementary Table S19: Effector gene enrichment in highly insulated CIDs (HI-CIDs)

Supplementary Table S20: Gene/TE expression analysis *in planta* stage A (early biotrophic phase)

versus *in vitro*.

Supplementary Table S21: Gene/TE expression analysis *in planta* stage B (late biotrophic phase) versus

***in vitro*.**

Supplementary Table S22: Gene/TE expression analysis *in planta* stage C (early necrotrophic phase)

versus *in vitro*.

Supplementary Table S23: Gene/TE expression analysis *in planta* stage D (late necrotrophic phase)

versus *in vitro*.

Supplementary Table S24: Table S23: Linear models for CID co-expression analyses.

Supplementary Table S25: Summary metrics of co-regulated CIDs.

a

10 kb Bin Reads (log1p)

Accessorry chromosomes

c

Core chromosomes
Accessory chromosomes

Contact probability

$\sim s^{-0.75}$

$\sim s^{-1.5}$

$\sim s^{-1}$

Genomic distance (bp)

Local slope

Genomic distance (bp)

b

Chr13 Chr12 Chr11 Chr10 Chr9 Chr8 Chr7 Chr6 Chr5 Chr4 Chr3 Chr2 Chr1

14 15 16 17 19 20 21

H3k9me3

d

Intercept p-value = -5.33e-05

Chromosome Loss in IPO323

16 21
17 14
20
19

Log-transformed Normalized
Interaction Strength

△ Centromeres
— Pericentromere-pericentromere links
— Accessory-core chromosome links
— Other links