Spatial control of secretory vesicle targeting by the Ync13–Rga7–Rng10 complex during cytokinesis

Sha Zhang,[1] Davinder Singh,[1] Yi-Hua Zhu,[1] Katherine J. Zhang,[1] Alejandro Melero,[2] Sophie G. Martin,[2,3] and Jian-Qiu Wu[1]

[1]Department of Molecular Genetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA;

[2]Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

[3]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Correspondence to Jian-Qiu Wu: wu.620@osu.edu

Address: 615 Biological Sciences Building, 484 W. 12th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210

Telephone: 614-247-6680

Running head: The Ync13/Rga7/Rng10 module recruits Bgs4 and Ags1

Key words: Ags1, Bgs4, cytokinesis,fission yeast, Sec1, septum, Smi1, TRAPP-II complex

Numbers of characters: 39,329

Abbreviations used in this paper: Co-IP: Co-immunoprecipitation; EMCCD: Electron-multiplying charge-coupled device; FWHM: Full Width at Half Maximum; FPS: Frame per second; NA: Numerical aperture; ROI: Region of interest; SM: Sec1/Munc18; TRAPP-II: Transport particle protein-II; WT: Wild type.

Abstract

Cytokinesis requires precise coordination of contractile-ring constriction, vesicle trafficking and fusion to the plasma membrane, and extracellular matrix assembly/remodeling at the cleavage furrow to ensure faithful cell division and maintain cell integrity. These processes and proteins involved are broadly conserved across eukaryotes, yet molecular mechanisms controlling the spatiotemporal pathways of membrane trafficking remain poorly understood. Here, using fission yeast genetics, microscopy, and in vitro binding assays, we identify the conserved module including the Munc13 protein Ync13, F-BAR protein Rga7, and coiled-coil protein Rng10 to be critical for precise and selective vesicle targeting during cytokinesis. The module specifically recruit the TRAPP-II but not exocyst complex to tether vesicles containing the glucan synthases Bgs4 and Ags1 along the cleavage furrow. Ync13 subsequently interacts with the SM protein Sec1 for vesicle fusion. Mutations in this pathway disrupt septum integrity and lead to cell lysis. Our work provides key insights into how membrane trafficking is tightly controlled to maintain cell integrity during cytokinesis.

Introduction

Cytokinesis is a highly conserved cellular process occurring in the late stage of the cell division cycle, resulting in the generation of two daughter cells. From fungi to humans, this process involves coordinated actions of division site selection, actomyosin contractile ring assembly and constriction, plasma membrane deposition and invagination at the cleavage furrow, and extracellular matrix formation or remodeling (Bement, 2002; Feierbach and Chang, 2001; Gerien and Wu, 2018; Robinson and Spudich, 2000; Wang et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2003). In fungal cells including the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, two layers of plasma membrane and a division septum form behind the constricting ring during cytokinesis. The septum is a three-layered cell wall structure formed between the daughter cells' new plasma membranes and consists of a middle primary septum flanked by secondary septa on each side.

The septum is primarily constructed by three essential transmembrane glucan synthases. β-Glucan synthase Bgs1/Cps1 synthesizes the linear β(1,3)-glucan for the primary septum (Cabib et al., 1993; Cortes et al., 2002; Cortes et al., 2007; Cortes et al., 2012; Liu et al., 1999), while β-glucan synthase Bgs4/Cwg1 and α-glucan synthase Ags1/Mok1 are mainly involved in the formation of the secondary septum (Calonge et al., 2000; Cortes et al., 2005; Cortes et al., 2012; Katayama et al., 1999; Longo et al., 2022). Once the septum is complete and mature, daughter cells separate via digesting the primary septum mainly by the glucanases Eng1 and Agn1 (Baladrón et al., 2002; Dekker et al., 2004; Martin-Cuadrado et al., 2003). Proper plasma membrane deposition, septum formation, and cell separation are essential for maintaining cell integrity and viability during cytokinesis, especially due to the high cellular turgor pressure in fungal cells (Barba et al., 2008; Cansado et al., 2021; Roncero et al., 2021; Roncero and Sanchez, 2010). F-BAR protein Cdc15, transmembrane protein Sbg1, the Transport Particle Protein II (TRAPP-II) complex, Rho1 GTPase, and other proteins help recruit and/or activate Bgs1 behind the leading edge of the contractile ring to build the primary septum (Arasada and Pollard, 2014; Arellano et al., 1997; Bhattacharjee et al., 2023; Cortes et al., 2002; Davidson et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2006a; Nakano et al., 1997; Ren et al., 2015; Roberts-Galbraith et al.,

2010; Sethi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). Cdc15 binds to the plasma membrane through its F-BAR domain and helps deliver Bgs1 to the plasma membrane while paxillin Pxl1 mediates the interaction of Bgs1 to the contractile ring (Arasada and Pollard, 2014; Cortés et al., 2015; Roberts-Galbraith et al., 2009). Septins, the anillin Mid2, the exocyst complex, the Rho3 and Rho4 GTPases, and Rho4 GEF Gef3 concentrate the glucanase Eng1 to the rim of the division plane for daughter-cell separation (Alonso-Nunez et al., 2005; Berlin et al., 2003; Martin-Cuadrado et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2024; Tasto et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2015). In addition, the TRAPP-II complex is also important for Eng1's localization at the centroid of the division plane (Arasada and Pollard, 2014; Bhattacharjee et al., 2023; Cortes et al., 2002; Davidson et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2006a; Ren et al., 2015; Roberts-Galbraith et al., 2010; Sethi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). However, the mechanisms that control the precise spatiotemporal localizations of Bgs4 and Ags1 on the plasma membrane at the division plane for secondary septum formation remain poorly understood.

The coordination of exocytosis and endocytosis is essential for successful cytokinesis in fungal and animal cells. Exocytosis delivers the proteins and membranes needed for furrow ingression and extracellular matrix (including cell wall) formation or remodeling to the division site (Baladrón et al., 2002; Dekker et al., 2004; Martin-Cuadrado et al., 2003; Martin-Cuadrado et al., 2008). Exocytosis, a highly regulated process, involves several sequential stages: vesicle delivery/trafficking, tethering and docking onto the plasma membrane, priming of the fusion machinery, and membrane fusion via SNARE complex assembly (Gerien and Wu, 2018; Grote et al., 2000; Hsu et al., 2004; Liu and Guo, 2012; Luo et al., 2014; Weber-Boyvat et al., 2013). In fission yeast cytokinesis, the TRAPP-II complex recognizes and tethers secretory vesicles along the whole cleavage furrow, while the octomeric tethering complex exocyst mainly tethers vesicles that contain glucanases Eng1 and Agn1 and other cargos at the rim of the division plane although it also functions along the furrow (Singh et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2016). During mammalian synaptic exocytosis, after vesicle tethering, three SNARE proteins—

synaptobrevin-2 (Syb2) on synaptic vesicles, and syntaxin-1 (Syx1) and SNAP-25 (SN25) on the plasma membrane form a ternary trans-SNARE complex (Jahn and Scheller, 2006; Sutton et al., 1998; Weber et al., 1998). This complex brings the vesicle and plasma membrane into close proximity to facilitate membrane fusion. Vesicle tethering and fusion are regulated by Rab GTPases and several other proteins including: the Sec1/Munc18 (SM) family protein Munc18-1, which initially locks Syx1 in a closed conformation to inhibit SNARE complex assembly; and the Munc13/UNC-13 family protein Munc13-1, which catalyzes the transition from the Munc18-1/Syx1 complex to the SNARE complex in the presence of SN25 and Syb2 (Bhaskar et al., 2024; Gerien et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2008; Leitz et al., 2024; Shu et al., 2020). In *S. pombe*, Ync13 is the homolog of Munc13 and UNC-13. Ync13 localizes to cell tips during interphase and the plasma membrane at the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis. Deletion of Ync13 results in defective exocytosis, impaired endocytosis, uneven distribution of cell wall enzymes at the division site, and extensive cell lysis during cell separation (Zhu et al., 2018). However, the regulatory mechanisms of Ync13 in membrane dynamics, its binding partners, and the exact cause of cell lysis upon its deletion remain unclear.

Endocytosis also plays essential and dynamic roles in cytokinesis, particularly in membrane remodeling, signal regulation, and recycling membrane proteins. Cells internalize membrane lipids and proteins from the plasma membrane mainly through the clathrin-mediated endocytosis from growth and division sites (Feng et al., 2002; Gachet and Hyams, 2005; Kukulski et al., 2012a; Lemière et al., 2021; Pearse, 1976; Weinberg and Drubin, 2012; Yang et al., 1997). During cytokinesis, endocytosis helps clear old/inactive or excess membrane proteins and recycle materials to be reused for septum formation or membrane expansion (Gerien and Wu, 2018; Kaksonen et al., 2005; Kukulski et al., 2012a; Pearse, 1976). Thus, endocytosis works hand-in-hand with exocytosis to maintain the proper levels of glucan synthases and other cell wall modifying enzymes needed for septum formation. Yeast endocytosis is actin dependent and many endocytic mutants in genes such as endocytic adaptor *ede1*, clathrin light chain *clc1*, fimbrin *fim1*, *cdc15* result in furrow ingression defects, cell wall abnormalities, and cytokinesis

failure (Arasada and Pollard, 2011; de León et al., 2013; Gachet and Hyams, 2005; Laporte et al., 2012; Martin-Garcia et al., 2014; Skau and Kovar, 2010; Suzuki et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2001).

Rga7 is a Rho2 GAP in fission yeast that plays crucial roles in cytokinesis (Arasada and Pollard, 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Martin-Garcia et al., 2014). It contains an F-BAR domain necessary for its membrane association and a Rho GAP domain at its C-terminus. Rga7 localizes to the plasma membrane at the cell tips during interphase and relocates to the division site during cytokinesis, a process dependent on its interaction with the coiled-coil protein Rng10 and membrane lipids (Arasada and Pollard, 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Martin-Garcia et al., 2014). Without Rng10, Rga7 essentially disappears from the division site, leading to defective septum formation and cell lysis (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). Rga7 collaborates with F-BAR proteins Cdc15, Imp2, Fic1, and Pxl1 to maintain actomyosin ring stability and ensure successful septum formation and separation (Demeter and Sazer, 1998; Ge and Balasubramanian, 2008; Martin-Garcia et al., 2014; Pinar et al., 2008; Roberts-Galbraith et al., 2009). Similar to Ync13, Rga7 and Rng10 collaboratively regulate the accumulation and dynamics of glucan synthases. Rga7 is reported to facilitate the trafficking of β-glucan synthase Bgs4 from the Golgi to the plasma membrane (Arasada and Pollard, 2015). Cells lacking Rga7 show defects similar to those observed in *bgs4* and *ync13* mutant cells. However, the relationships between Ync13, Rga7/Rng10, the TRAPP-II complex, and Sec1 in cytokinesis were unknown.

In this study, we aimed to map out some key spatiotemporal pathways for plasma membrane deposition and septum formation during cytokinesis using fission yeast as a model system by mistargeting proteins to mitochondria, co-immunoprecipitation, in vitro binding assays, genetic and cellular methods, electron microscopy, and live-cell confocal microscopy. We find that Ync13 regulates exocytosis by interacting with Rga7-Rng10, the SM protein Sec1, and the TRAPP-II complex. The Ync13-Rga7-Rng10 module selectively controls the accumulation and distribution of glucan synthases involved in secondary septum formation, such as Bgs4 and Ags1, rather than Bgs1, which is essential for the primary septum assembly.

Consistently, the secondary septum is defective in *ync13* mutants, which leads to cell lysis during daughter-cell separation. Collectively, we find that the Ync13-Rga7-Rng10 module and the TRAPP-II complex are central players for plasma membrane invagination and secondary septum formation during cytokinesis.

Results

Mapping the physical interactions among the key cytokinetic proteins involved in plasma membrane deposition and septum formation by ectopic mistargeting

Unlike the proteins in the contractile ring and its precursor nodes (Laplante et al., 2016; Laporte et al., 2011; McDonald et al., 2017; Padmanabhan et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2006), the physical interactions among the proteins involved in plasma membrane deposition/expansion and septum formation are poorly understood. These proteins are essential for plasma membrane and septum integrity, exocytosis, and endocytosis. In this study, we used the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* as a model system to test the physical interactions among the key proteins by first mistargeting mEGFP, GFP, or mECitrine tagged proteins to mitochondria using outer mitochondrial membrane protein Tom20 tagged with GFP-binding protein (GBP) nanobody, then examined if tdTomato, mCherry, or RFP tagged proteins were also mistargeted to mitochondria. All the tagged proteins are the sole copies of the proteins in the cells. Our and others' previous studies have shown this strategy is highly efficient at detecting protein physical interactions (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Longo et al., 2022; Luo et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Yamamoto et al., 2011). As shown previously (Rothbauer et al., 2008; Schornack et al., 2009), GBP does not bind to tdTomato, mCherry, or RFP; and no signal bleed through between the green and red channels were detected (Fig. S1).

We started with Ync13, Rga7, and Rng10 because they perfectly colocalized on the plasma membrane at cell tips and the division site (Fig. 1 A), and their mutations lead to cell lysis during daughter-cell separation (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2018). We first mistargeted Rga7 or Rng10 to the mitochondria using Tom20-GBP. The ectopically targeted Rga7 and Rng10 were both able to recruit Ync13-tdTomato to the mitochondria (Fig. 1 B). We detected mitochondrial localization of Ync13 in all Tom20-GBP Rga7-mEGFP (n = 120 cells) and Tom20-GBP Rng10-mEGFP cells (n = 200 cells), except in some unhealthy cells that Ync13 diffused in the whole cytoplasm, which could be due to the side effects (besides cell lysis which

is obvious in the DIC channel) of mislocalization of Rga7, Rng10, and Ync13 (Fig. 1 B). Mistargated proteins concentrated into clusters instead of over the whole mitochondria (Fig. 1 B). In addition, the ectopically targeted Ync13 recruited the Rga7 and Rng10 to the mitochondria (Fig. S2 A). In this assay, Ync13 was overexpressed using the *3nmt1* promoter under repressed condition (in medium with thiamine) because Ync13's native level was too low to spread sufficiently to the abundant Tom20-GBP.

Because *ync13* mutants are defective in both exocytosis and endocytosis (Zhu et al., 2018), we tested if Ync13 could mistarget key proteins in exocytic and endocytic pathways to mitochondria by Tom20-GBP. The ectopically targeted mECitrine-Ync13 was able to recruit the Sec1/Munc18 (SM) family protein Sec1-tdTomato to the mitochondria (Fig. S2 B), suggesting Ync13 may function as a priming factor for SNARE complex assembly and/or vesicle tether during exocytosis similar to its animal homolog Munc13/UNC-13 (Bhaskar et al., 2024; Dulubova et al., 2005; Guan et al., 2008; Khodthong et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2011; Pei et al., 2009; Shu et al., 2020). However, Ync13 could not recruit the following proteins to mitochondria: the exocyst subunit Sec3 (Fig. S2 C); or endocytic proteins early coat marker Eps15 protein Ede1 (Suzuki et al., 2012), actin crosslinker fimbrin Fim1 (Nakano et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2001), and clathrin light chain Clc1 (de León et al., 2013) (Fig. S2, D-F). Thus, Ync13 interacts with the SNARE-binding protein Sec1 that is involved in exocytosis, but not with the exocyst and various proteins in endocytosis.

We next tested if Rga7 or Rng10 can mistarget TRAPP-II vesicle tether and secretory cargos to mitochondria by Tom20-GBP. Both Rga7-mEGFP and Rng10-mEGFP recruited Trs120-tdTomato (Cai et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2011), but not the exocyst subunit Sec3, to mitochondria (Fig. 1 C and Fig. S2 G). These data suggest that Rga7 and Rng10 selectively interact with the TRAPP-II complex to promote vesicle tethering during exocytosis along the cleavage furrow, rather than the exocyst complex, which is more concentrated at the rim of the division plane (He and Guo, 2009; Singh et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2016).

Mistargeted Rga7-mEGFP and Rng10-mEGFP ectopically recruited RFP-Bgs4 to mitochondria in ~100% of Tom20-GBP Rga7-mEGFP or Tom20-GBP Rng10-mEGFP cells (Fig. 1 D, n > 100 cells), and Ags1-mCherry in ~90% of Tom20-GBP Rga7-mEGFP or Tom20-GBP Rng10-mEGFP cells (Fig. 1 E, n > 100 cells). Consistently, Rga7 mistargeted tdTomato tagged Smi1, which is an adaptor for Bgs4 (Longo et al., 2022), to mitochondria in cells expressing Tom20-GBP Rga7-mEGFP (Fig. 1 F). Similarly, Ync13-mECitrine could mistarget Bgs4 and Ags1 to mitochondria (Fig. S2 H). Surprisingly, neither ectopically targeted Rga7 nor Rng10 recruited Bgs1 to mitochondria (Fig. S2 I), suggesting the interactions of Rga7 and Rng10 with the glucan synthases are selective.

Ectopic mistargeting of Ync13-tdTomato to mitochondria using Rga7 or Rng10 truncations further supported that Rga7, Rng10, and Ync13 interact with each other (Fig. S3). Except Rng10-(1-200) (Fig. S3 D), all other Rga7 and Rng10 truncations (Fig. S3) mistargeted Ync13-tdTomato to mitochondria, although less efficiently than the full-length proteins. Based on what we know about Rga7 and Rng10 interactions and localization interdependency (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019), the data suggest that Rga7, Rng10, and Ync13 have multivalent interactions with each other. Collectively, these mistargeting data suggest Rng10, Rga7, and Ync13 form a protein complex, which recruits the TRAPP-II complex and Sec1 but not the exocyst to the plasma membrane for vesicle tethering, SNARE complex assembly, and fusion. The main proteins recruited by the Rng10/Rga7/Ync13 complex are secretory vesicles containing cargos such as the glucan synthases Bgs4 (and its adaptor Smi1) and Ags1, but not Bgs1 or the endocytic machinery.

Rga7 physically interacts with Ync13, Bgs4, and Smi1
We used co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and in vitro binding assays to confirm some of the major interactions revealed by the mistargeting experiments. It is known that Rng10 directly interacts with Rga7 and both proteins interact with membrane lipids (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). The F-BAR domain of Rga7 interacts with Rng10 C-terminal amino acids 751-1038 (Liu

et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). Smi1 interacts with Bgs4 and is important for Bgs4 localization (Longo et al., 2022). Most other interactions suggested by the mistargeting assays had not been tested. For Co-IP assays, all the proteins were expressed under their native promotors. Consistent with the mistargeting results (Figs. 1, S2 and S3), Rga7-13Myc was coimmunoprecipitated from fission yeast cell extracts by Ync13-mECitrine (Fig. 2 A), GFP-Bgs4 (Fig. 2 B), and Smi1-mEGFP (Fig. 2 C), indicating these proteins do interact or form big protein complexes. Moreover, Smi1-13Myc also coimmunoprecipitated by Rga7-mEGFP (Fig. 2 C). Furthermore, when Ync13-3FLAG expressed at its native chromosomal locus and under native promotor was immunoprecipitated from *S. pombe* cell extracts, we identified Rga7 and Rng10 reproducibly among Ync13's binding partners by mass spectrometry analyses. These results suggest that Rga7, Rng10, and Ync13 form a protein complex.

Next we tested if Rga7 and Ync13 directly interact by in vitro binding assays using purified recombinant full length Ync13 and Rga7. MBP-Ync13-6His bound Rga7-6His with a dissociation constant (K_d) of 10.0 µM (Fig. 2, D and E). These data indicate that Ync13 and Rga7 directly interact. Thus, we conclude that Rng10, Rga7, and Ync13 form a protein complex, which can recruit glucan synthases Bgs4 (and Smi1) and Ags1 to the plasma membrane at the division plane for secondary septum formation.

Bgs4 recruitment and distribution at the division plane depends on Rng10, Rga7, and Ync13

Next we asked the functional significance of the detected physical interactions among the proteins in late cytokinesis. We first tested their localization interdependence. We started with Rng10, Rga7, and Ync13 because they colocalized perfectly at cell tips and the division site on the plasma membrane from anaphase until daughter-cell separation (Fig. 1 A and data not shown). Compared to wild type (WT), Ync13 levels at the division site measured by fluorescence intensity were significantly reduced (>85%) in both *rga7Δ* and *rng10Δ* cells (Fig. 3, A and B), although the global Ync13 level was not obviously affected (Fig. 3 C). We next

examined the localizations of Rga7 and Rng10 in *ync13Δ* cells. The total Rga7 amount at the division site increased in *ync13Δ* cells, although Rga7 global protein levels were not obviously affected in either *ync13Δ* or *3nmt1-ync13* cells in Western blotting (Fig. 3, D and E). Both Rga7 and Rng10 were more concentrated at the center of division plane in *ync13Δ* cells after the constriction of the contractile ring marked with Rlc1 than in WT cells (Fig. 3, E-G). Interestingly, previous work showed that *ync13Δ* leads to similar central accumulation of the glucan synthase Bgs4 at the division site (Zhu et al., 2018), which resembles the aberrant distribution of Rga7 and Rng10 in *ync13Δ* cells (Fig. 3, E-G).

Glucan synthases are essential for maintaining septum thickness and integrity during cytokinesis. Cells of *ync13Δ*, *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ, ags1*, and *bgs4* mutants all lyse to different degrees during daughter-cell separation dependent on the growth conditions (Cortes et al., 2005 ; Cortes et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2018). Because the glucan synthases Ags1 and Bgs4 display lower concentrations at the division site in *rga7Δ* and *rng10Δ* cells (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019), and Rga7 and Rng10 can mistarget Bgs4 and Ags1 (Fig. 1, D and E), we examined the relationship between mislocalized Rga7, Rng10, Bgs4, and Ags1 in *ync13* mutants. As previously reported (Zhu et al., 2018), compared to WT cells, in *ync13Δ* cells, Ags1 and Bgs4 were more concentrated at cell center during septum maturation (from the end of ring constriction until daughter-cell separation), but Bgs1 was less affected (Fig. 4, A-C). We also found that in *ync13Δ* cells, the intensities of both Ags1 and Bgs4 at the rim of the septum were much lower than in WT after ring constriction, with Bgs4 being more significantly affected compared to Ags1 (Fig. 4, A and B). In contrast, the distribution of Bgs1 was smoother and the intensity at the edge of the division site in *ync13Δ* cell was similar to WT (Fig. 4 C). These were confirmed by the calculated Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) from Gaussian fits of Bgs4 and Bgs1 fluorescence intensity across the division site (Fig. 4, D and E). The lack of Ags1 and Bgs4 at the rim of the division plane may influence the rigidity of secondary septum to counter the internal pressure and cause the daughter cell lysis during cell separation. Next we tested if Bgs4 was affected by vesicle tethering and fusion machinery. Consistent with the mistargeting

results (Fig. 1 C and Fig. S2 B), Bgs4 levels at the division site decreased in *sec1-M2* and *trs120-ts1* mutants after ring constriction (Fig. S4, A and B).

We hypothesize that the *ync13Δ* mislocalizes Rga7 and Rng10, which in turn impacts the distribution of Bgs4 and Ags1 at division site. To test this hypothesis, we first tested Rng10 and Rga7 localization in Bgs4 mutants. Neither Rga7 nor Rng10 showed defects in *bgs4* temperature-sensitive mutants *cwg1-1* and *cwg1-2* (Fig. S4, C and D), suggesting that Bgs4 is not important for the localizations of Rga7 and Rng10. Then we compared Bgs4 localization and intensity in WT, *ync13-19*, *rng10Δ*, and *rng10Δ ync13-19* cells at 36°C. *rga7Δ ync13-19* could not be tested because it was inviable even at 25°C, which is the permissive temperature for *ync13-19* (Zhu et al., 2018). The time-lapse movies showed that the Bgs4 intensity at division site was significantly reduced in *rng10Δ* and *rng10Δ ync13-19* cells, and Bgs4 did not accumulate in the center of the division plane compared to *ync13-19* cells (Fig. 4 F). Consistently, Bgs4 levels at the division site were significantly lower in *rng10Δ, rga7Δ,* and *rng10Δ rga7Δ* cells (Fig. 4 G). These data indicate Bgs4 is confined at the center of the division plane in *ync13* mutant cells by Rng10. Thus, Rng10, Rga7, and Ync13 are essential for Bgs4's localization, recruitment, and normal spatial distribution at the division site.

Both Rga7 and Rng10 are involved in TRAPP-II complex dependent exocytosis at the division site

Both *rga7Δ* and *rng10Δ* cells show compromised recruitment of glucan synthases at division site and have defective septum (Arasada and Pollard, 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Martin-Garcia et al., 2014). *rga7Δ rng10Δ* double deletion cells are inviable in rich media at 25°C without osmotic stabilizer sorbitol (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). Next, we examined how Rga7 and Rng10 affect the trafficking of the glucan synthase containing vesicles, focusing on the TRAPP-II complex because both Rga7 and Rng10 can mistargeting Trs120 to mitochondria. The TRAPP-II complex promotes vesicle tethering for exocytosis along the cleavage furrow and its subunit Trs120, which is sufficient for TRAPP-II localization, co-localizes with Bgs4 containing

vesicles (Cai et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016). Trs120 can mistarget Bgs4 to mitochondria and dynamically localizes to the division site during and after ring constriction (Wang et al., 2016). In *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ*, and *rga7Δ rng10Δ* (shifting from medium with sorbitol to the one without sorbitol) cells, we found that Trs120 intensity at division site decreased significantly, while it increased in *ync13Δ* cells (Fig. 5, A and B; arrowheads). Trs120 was also more concentrated at the center of the division plane in *ync13Δ* cells (Fig. 5 A). The accumulation of Trs120 outside of the division site in cells with a closed septa was detectable in the sum projection images of 2-min continuous movies at middle focal plane in *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ*, and *rga7Δ rng10Δ* but not in WT cells expressing Trs120-3GFP, indicating membrane tethering defect (Fig. S5, arrowheads).

We also tracked Trs120 vesicle movement during the late stage of ring constriction. In WT cells, Trs120 puncta were delivered to the leading edge of the cleavage furrow mainly concentrated within the region close to the ring (Fig. 5, C and D; Video 1). However, in *rng10Δ* cells, Trs120 puncta rarely docked near the leading edge of the division site, which may be due to the delayed vesicle tethering (Fig. 5, C and D; Video 2). Interestingly, in *ync13Δ* cells, more Trs120 concentrated within the division plane during and after ring constriction than in WT cells (Fig. 5, A, C, D; Fig. S5; Video 3). Consistently, in both *rng10Δ* and *ync13Δ* cells, it took longer for vesicles to fuse with the plasma membrane at division site compared to WT (Fig. 5 C). Thus, Rga7 and Rng10 participate in vesicle tethering mediated by the TRAPP-II complex for septum formation.

Electron microscopy reveals defective septum in *ync13Δ* cells

Because many more *ync13Δ* cells lyse when grown in YE5S rich medium than in the EMM5S minimal medium, we observed septum morphology after shifting cells from YE5S with sorbitol to YE5S by electron microscopy. After growing *ync13Δ* cells in YE5S for 3.5 h, >50% forming or closed septa were defective (Fig. 6, B and C). This figure is likely underestimated because many cells had lysed before the high pressure freezing to preapare the samples for electron

microscopy. Compared to WT, the septa in *ync13Δ* cells are distorted, curved, wavy, uneven, and/or thinner (Fig. 6, A and B), suggesting improper septum formation, especially the secondary septum. The septum in many cells was thin or missing at the edge of the division plane during daughter-cell separation, which led to cell lysis (Fig. 6 B, two cells at the bottom right). The primary septum had no obvious defects in *ync13Δ* cells (Fig. 6 B, arrowheads). We also observed some electron dense filamentous materials at the leading edge of the forming septum in some *ync13Δ* cells (arrow), which was rare in WT cells. These defects occured earlier during septum formation and were more severe than those described in published data when cells were grown in the EMM5S media (Zhu et al., 2018). The electron microscopy results support the idea that Ync13 is important for recruitment and maintenance of glucan synthases Bgs4 and Ags1 on the plasma membrane for secondary septum formation during cytokinesis.

Discussion

The interplay between membrane trafficking and septum formation or extracellular matrix remodeling during cytokinesis is critical for maintaining cell integrity and survival. Our findings elucidate the central roles of the Ync13-Rga7-Rng10 complex in coordinating selective vesicle tethering, docking, and fusion mediated by the TRAPP-II complex and SM protein Sec1 at the cleavage furrow (Fig. 7). This module ensures precise and timely secondary septum formation by Bgs4 and Ags1 and prevents cell lysis during daughter-cell separation (Fig. 7). Bgs1, recruited by Sbg1 and the contractile ring anchored by anillin Mid1 and F-BAR protein Cdc15, is responsible for the primary septum formation (Arasada and Pollard, 2014; Arellano et al., 1997; Bhattacharjee et al., 2023; Cortes et al., 2002; Davidson et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2006a; Nakano et al., 1997; Ren et al., 2015; Roberts-Galbraith et al., 2010; Sethi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016); while septins and the exocyst are mainly concentrated at the rim of the division plane to recruit the glucanase Eng1 to digest the primary septum during daughter-cell separation (Alonso-Nunez et al., 2005; Berlin et al., 2003; Martin-Cuadrado et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2024; Tasto et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2015) (Fig. 7).

Rga7, Rng10, and Ync13 cooperatively regulate vesicle tethering and fusion during cytokinesis

Our findings have several mechanistic implications for how vesicle tethering and fusion are coupled during cytokinesis. First, the interaction of Ync13 with Sec1 suggests that Ync13 functions as a SNARE-priming factor at the division site before SNARE complex assembly. SM protein Sec1 and its binding partner Mso1 bind syntaxin-family SNAREs and are essential for polarized secretion (Carr et al., 1999; Castillo-Flores et al., 2005; Gerien et al., 2020; Weber-Boyvat et al., 2011). In other systems, SM proteins work in tandem with Munc13 proteins to assemble fusogenic SNARE complexes (Bhaskar et al., 2024; Khodthong et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2011; Shu et al., 2020). The fact that mistargeted Ync13 recruits Sec1 to mitochondria indicates

a direct role for Ync13 in vesicle fusion, analogous to the established function of mammalian Munc13 proteins (Guan et al., 2008; Khodthong et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2011; Shu et al., 2020). Second, Ync13, by binding to lipid binding protein complex Rga7/Rng10 (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019), is well positioned to help tether or recruit vesicles to the division site, where its interaction with Sec1 could facilitate the transition from a tethered vesicle to a docked, SNARE-engaged vesicle ready for fusion. Third, Ync13's direct interaction with the Rga7/Rng10 complex links it indirectly to the TRAPP-II vesicle tethering complex. We observed that Rga7 and Rng10 selectively interact with TRAPP-II subunits Trs120 but not with the exocyst complex in mislocalization experiments. The absence of interaction of Ync13, Rng10, or Rga7 with the exocyst complex indicates that Ync13 performs a TRAPP-II specific function on vesicle tethering. This is reinforced by the distinct accumulation of Trs120 puncta in *rng10Δ* and *ync13Δ* mutants. In *rng10Δ* cells, TRAPP-II–marked vesicles often fail to arrive at the cleavage furrow and accumulate outside the division site. In contrast, in *ync13Δ* cells, vesicles arrive at the division furrow but linger there longer before fusion. We speculate that, similar to Munc13 (Dulubova et al., 2005; Leitz et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2006), the function of Ync13 may be attributed to a post vesicle docking stage after tethering. When the TRAPP-II-mediated tethering is compromised by *rga7Δ* and *rng10Δ*, the vesicles cannot efficiently contact with its target membrane surface and move outside of the division site. However, in *ync13Δ* cells, the physical interaction between vesicles and the target membrane may have been established after tethering process, but the docking process is affected, which leads to the delayed vesicle fusion and vesicle accumulation within the division plane. Roles of the TRAPP-II complex in cytokinesis have been reported in *Drosophila* and plant cells (Robinett et al., 2009; Rybak et al., 2014). Taken together, we propose that the Rga7/Rng10 complex serves as a scaffold for vesicle tethering by the TRAPP-II complex and interacts with Ync13 to facilitate the assembly of the SNARE complex and promote membrane fusion.

Munc13 functions in neurotransmitter release by priming vesicle tethering process for sudden release of synaptic vesicle pool (Ma et al., 2013). This ensures a reliable and speedy

neurotransmission following synaptic collapse. Ync13 regulates both exocytosis and endocytosis. However, the exact mechanisms and its interactions with other proteins were unknown before this study. Our previous studies show that Rga7 binds the C-terminal portion of Rng10 to increase Rga7's avidity with the plasma membrane (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). Our mislocalization and in vitro binding studies indicate that Rga7/Rng10 interacts with Ync13, which interacts with the SM protein complex Sec1/Mso1. These proteins play a crucial role for tethering the secretory vesicles by regulating the TRAPP-II and SNARE complexes, which are essential for fusion of vesicles with target membranes (Fig. 7).

Selective recruitment of the glucan synthases for the secondary septum by the Rga7-Rng10-Ync13 module at the division site

The transmembrane glucan synthases Bgs1, Bgs4, and Ags1 are essential for septum formation during cytokinesis and are maintained at the proper levels on the plasma membrane via membrane trafficking (Cortes et al., 2005; Cortes et al., 2002; Cortes et al., 2007; Cortés et al., 2015; Cortes et al., 2012; Ishiguro et al., 1997; Katayama et al., 1999; Liu et al., 1999). The primary septum in fission yeast is composed of mainly linear β-1,3 glucan chains synthesized by Bgs1 that plays similar role as chitin in budding yeast (Cabib et al., 1993). Bgs1 concentrates behind the contractile ring, while Ags1 and Bgs4 follow the contractile ring and localize as two washers or disks along the cleavage furrow on both layers of the plasma membrane to construct secondary septum. At low restrictive temperatures, *sid2* mutant lethality is partially rescued by upregulating Rho1. Thus, it has been suggested that the SIN activates Rho1, which in turn activates the glucan synthases (Alcaide-Gavilán et al., 2014).

Our data demonstrate that the Rga7-Rng10-Ync13 module specifically recruit Ags1 and Bgs4 for secondary septum assembly, but not Bgs1 for primary septum synthesis. Ectopic targeting of Rga7 or Rng10 is sufficient to redirect vesicles containing Bgs4 and Ags1 to mitochondria. However, Bgs1 is not mislocalized under these conditions. When overexpressed, Ync13 can also pull Bgs4 and Ags1 to mitochondria, presumably through Ync13's binding to

Rga7/Rng10. Importantly, the proper spatial distribution of Bgs4 and Ags1 relies on the functional interaction between Ync13, Rga7, and Rng10. In WT cells, Rga7, Rng10, Ync13, Bgs4, and Ags1 colocalize at the division plane and are mostly evenly distributed around the edges of the invaginating septum and throughout the maturing septum. In contrast, in *ync13Δ* cells, all these proteins abnormally concentrate at the leading edge of the furrow and later at the center of the division plane, forming a flattened convex structure. This mislocalization depends on Rga7/Rng10 and leads to a significant reduction in Bgs4 (and to a lesser extent Ags1) near the septum periphery, highlighting the critical role of Ync13 in maintaining proper enzyme distribution. The lack of proper Ags1/Bgs4 deposition on the plasma membrane at the septum edges likely compromises the thickness and rigidity of the new cell wall, explaining why *ync13Δ*, *rga7Δ*, and *rng10Δ* mutants all undergo cell lysis during cell separation. In contrast, the primary septum synthase Bgs1 remains correctly localized even in *ync13Δ* cells, suggesting that primary septum formation follows a Ync13/Rga7/Rng10-independent pathway. Thus, our study highlights the distinct roles of Rga7–Rng10–Ync13 module in controlling the late-stage cell wall assembly: it specifically regulates the formation of the secondary septum and maintains cell wall integrity by recruiting the secondary septum glucan synthases Bgs4 and Ags1 at the division site.

Our findings have two important mechanistic implications. First, the finding that the Rga7-Rng10-Ync13 complex only recruits Bgs4 and Ags1, but not Bgs1, strongly indicates that there are at least two distinct types of secretory vesicles to transport cell wall synthases. In the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae*, different types of secretory vesicles have been reported (Conibear and Stevens, 1998; Harsay and Bretscher, 1995). The constitutive or low density secretory vesicles deliver plasma membrane proteins, cell wall components, and enzymes necessary for cell growth from trans-golgi network. Invertase-containing or high density secretory vesicles transport stress-induced cargos, such as the enzyme invertase (Ford et al., 2021; Kienzle and von Blume, 2014). Second, the central and leading edge localization of Rga7, Rng10, Bgs4, and Ags1 in *ync13* mutants suggests that the Bgs4 and/or Ags1-containing vesicles are mis-directed

to where the Bgs1 vesicles are normally targeted, perhaps using the machinery that the Bgs1 vesicles use. It will be interesting to test these possibilities in future studies.

Rho GTPases are small molecular switches that regulate multiple cellular processes including cytokinesis (Hall, 2012). Of the six Rho GTPases (Rho1-5 and Cdc42) in fission yeast, Rho1 and Rho2 play crucial roles in maintaining cell integrity during cytokinesis (Arellano et al., 1999; Calonge et al., 2000; Garcia et al., 2006b; Perez and Rincon, 2010; Sánchez-Mir et al., 2014). Activated mainly by Rho GEF Rgf3 and its adapter arrestin Art1, GTP-bound Rho1 activates β-glucan synthases Bgs1 and Bgs4 and protein kinase Cs Pck1 and Pck2 (Arellano et al., 1999; Arellano et al., 1996; Davidson et al., 2015; Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005; Mutoh et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2015; Sánchez-Mir et al., 2014; Tajadura et al., 2004). Similarly, Rho2 functions in the cell integrity pathway and activates α-glucan synthase Ags1 for septum formation (Calonge et al., 2000; Sánchez-Mir et al., 2014). However, it is unclear how Rho GTPases regulate recruitment, distribution, and maintenance of glucan synthases.

More studies are available in budding yeast regarding the regulations of septum or cell wall synthases. The primary septum in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* consists of the chitin synthesized by chitin synthases Chs1 and Chs2, which functions similarly to the primary septum of fission yeast consisting of linear β(1,3)glucan (Cabib et al., 1993; Oh et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2002; Walker et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2006). Cdc14 dephosphorylates Chs2 for its localization at the septation site from ER (Chin et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2006). Chs3 is responsible for the formation of a chitin ring at the emerging bud and of the chitin dispersed in the cell wall. Chitin synthase III complex also contains Chs4 that anchors to the plasma membrane and interact with Chs3 and Bni4 (DeMarini et al., 1997). Septins interact with Bni4 to hold this complex together which help the chitin synthase to synthesize sufficient chitins for the primary septa. Chitin synthases localize in Rho1 dependent manner (Yoshida et al., 2009). The secondary septum in budding yeast is made up of β-glucans with minor amount of chitin. Rho1 and Rho2 activate FKS1 by interacting with the glycosyltransferase domain and the transmembrane helix (Drgonová et al., 1996; Levin, 2005; Onishi et al., 2013; Qadota et al.,

1996). These interactions induce conformational changes to push growing β-1,3-glucan chain (Li et al., 2025). Alternatively secondary septum synthesis can also be achieved by transcriptional activation of FKS2 and GFA1 genes by the cell wall integrity pathway (Roncero et al., 2021). Cdc42 negatively regulates secondary septum formation (Das et al., 2009; Onishi et al., 2013; Rincon et al., 2007; Tatebe et al., 2008). At the septum within bud neck, chitin and β-glucans are linked together by transglycosidases (Cabib et al., 2007). However, it is still poorly understood how the glucan synthases FKS1/2 are recruited to the division site in budding yeast.

By identifying the Rga7-Rng10-Ync13 module as the main pathway for proper recruitment and distribution of the glucan synthases Bgs4 and Ags1 at the division site for secondary septum formation, our current study provides significant insights into the regulatory mechanisms of glucan synthases, which are ideal targets for antifungal drugs. However, cells must possess other unidentified mechanisms for Bgs4 and Ags1 recruitment because *ync13Δ* or *rga7Δ rng10Δ* cells can survive in medium with sorbitol or in minimal medium, although not in rich medium. Rga7 is Rho2 GAP (Arasada and Pollard, 2015; Soto et al., 2010; Villar-Tajadura et al., 2008), thus it is possible that the Rga7-Rng10-Ync13 module regulates Bgs4 and Ags1 via Rho GTPases. However, the functions of Rga7's GAP domain remain poorly understood (Arasada and Pollard, 2015; Liu et al., 2019; Martin-Garcia et al., 2014). Moreover, no connection between FKS1/2 and the homologs (RGD1 and YOR296W) of Rga7 and Ync13 in budding yeast have been established.

In summary, in this study we find that Ync13, Rga7, and Rng10 form a regulatory module that links vesicle trafficking with the precise targeting and distribution of glucan synthases Bgs4 and Ags1 to ensure efficient septum formation. Ync13 plays a key role in both vesicle fusion and recruiting Rga7/Rng10, underscoring its crucial function in cytokinesis. Moreover, the selective interactions between Rga7/Rng10, TRAPP-II, and glucan synthases fine-tune vesicle targeting and enzyme activity. These findings deepen our understanding of how membrane trafficking processes are spatially and temporally coordinated to enable successful cytokinesis.

Materials and methods

Strain construction and growth methods

The strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. Strains were constructed by tagging genes at endogenous chromosomal loci using standard PCR and homologous recombination-based gene targeting method (Bähler et al., 1998) so fusion proteins were expressed under the control of native promoters. The exceptions are tagged glucan synthases Bgs1, Bgs4, and Ags1, which are regulated by the native promoters but integrated at the leu1 loci with the endogenous copies deleted (Cortes et al., 2005; Cortes et al., 2002; Cortes et al., 2007; Cortes et al., 2012; Hochstenbach et al., 1998; Munoz et al., 2013); and the *3nm1-ync13* strains. Cells were grown at exponential phase (OD595 < 0.5) at 25°C in YE5S (yeast extract with five supplements) liquid medium for ~48 h before imaging (more details below) or other experiments.

To tag Ync13 with 3xFLAG, the fragment of GGSGGS-3xFLAG was first inserted at the SmaI site in pREP3x plasmid vector. Full-length (FL) Ync13 cDNA was cloned into pREP3x-GGSGGS-3xFLAG between the *3nmt1* promoter and GGSGGS-3xFLAG tag by Gibson assembly (Gibson et al., 2009). The plasmids pREP3x-Ync13-FL-3xFLAG and pREP3x-3xFLAG (control) were then transformed into protease deficient strain TP150 *leu1-32 SM902* (Lord and Pollard, 2004; Ti and Pollard, 2011) and positive transformants were selected on EMM5S - leucine medium. The positive colonies were grown on EMM5S - leucine liquid medium to OD595 = 1.0 before collection and lyophilization.

Mass spectrometry

We used the previously described protocol for purification of S-tagged proteins with several modifications to identify the Ync13 binding partners (Liu et al., 2010). Approximately 2 g of lyophilized cells (TP150 strains with plasmid pREP3x-Ync13-FL-3xFLAG or pREP3x-3xFLAG control) were broken by grinding with a mortar and pestle at room temperature until the cells became a homogenous fine powder. For protein extraction, the cell powders were thoroughly mixed with 25 ml of cold HK extraction buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.5% NP-40, 300 mM

NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 15 mM EGTA, 60 mM β-Glycerophosphate, 500 µM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, and protease inhibitors [Roche]). The cell extracts were cleared by two rounds of centrifugation at 4°C (21,000 rpm for 30 min, 21,000 rpm for 10 min). Then cell extracts were incubated with 200 µL anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (A2220, Millipore) at 4°C for 2 h. The beads were collected by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm and washed once with an equal volume of HK extraction buffer, 4x with an equal amount of washing buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 500 µM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM DTT), and 2x with 1 ml of washing buffer. The proteins on the beads were eluted by incubating with 500 µl of 200 µg/ml 3xFLAG peptide (F4799, Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C for 30 min. For mass spectrometry analysis, the samples were run through the SDS-PAGE gel. Protein bands except the Ync13 band were excised as one sample and processed for mass spectrometry (Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility, The Ohio State University).

Confocal microscopy and image analysis

Cells from -80°C stocks were streaked onto YE5S plates and grown at 25°C for about 2 d and then fresh cells were inoculated into YE5S liquid medium and grown in the log phase for ~48 h at 25°C before imaging except where noted. For strains requiring osmotic stabilizer to survive, such as strains with *ync13Δ, ync13-19 rng10Δ*, *rng10Δ rga7Δ*, and some strains with Tom20-GBP, cells were woken up on YE5S + 1.2 M sorbitol plate and grown in YE5S + 1.2 M sorbitol liquid medium at log phase for 36 to 48 h, and then were washed with YE5S without sorbitol and grown in YE5S for 4 h before imaging except where noted. For comparison, some strains with *ync13Δ, ync13-19, rga7Δ,* and *rng10Δ*, and their double mutants of necessary combinations were grown in EMM5S for 48 h before imaging. These strains have less severe phenotype or cell lysis in EMM5S than in YE5S rich medium.

Confocal microscopy was done as previously described (Davidson et al., 2015; Gerien et al., 2020; Longo et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2015). Briefly, cells were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 30 s and washed once with 1 ml EMM5S and once 1 ml EMM5S containing n-

propyl gallate at a final concentration of 5 µM to reduce autofluorescence and protect cells from free radicals during imaging (Giloh and Sedat, 1982; Laporte et al., 2011). We imaged cells on glass slides with a gelatin pad (20% gelatin in EMM5S + 5 µM n-propyl gallate) at ~23°C. For long movies, cells were washed with 1 ml EMM5S + 5 µM n-propyl gallate and placed onto a coverglass-bottom dish (Delta TPG Dish; Biotechs, Butler, PA, United States). For fluorescence microscopy at 36°C, the cells were grown at 25°C for ~2 d and then shifted to 36°C and grown for a given time (see figure legends). Before imaging, cells were washed and concentrated in pre-warmed YE5S liquid medium with 5 µM n-PG. Then 10 µl of the concentrated cells were spotted onto a coverglass-bottom dish, covered with the prewarmed YE5S agar, and imaged at 36°C in a preheated climate chamber (stage top incubator INUB-PPZI2-F1 equipped with UNIV2-D35 dish holder; Tokai Hit, Shizuoka-ken, Japan).

For most fluorescence images and time-lapse movies, cells were imaged using a spinning-disk confocal system (UltraVIEW Vox CSUX1 system; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) with 440-, 488-, 515-, and 561-nm solid-state lasers and a back thinned electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera (C9100-23B; Hamamatsu Photonics, Bridgewater, NJ) on a Nikon Ti-E microscope without binning (Davidson et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2024). For Fig. 1F, cells were imaged using a Nikon spinning-disk confocal system (W1 + SoRa) with 488 and 561-nm solid-state lasers and an ORCA-Quest qCMOS camera (C15550; Hamamatsu Photonics, Bridgewater, NJ) on a Nikon Eclipse Ti-2E microscope with 2x2 binning (Ye et al., 2025).

Images were analyzed using Volocity (PerkinElmer) and ImageJ/Fiji (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Fluorescence images shown are single middle focal plane or maximum-intensity projections of image stacks with 0.5 µm spacing. To measure protein levels across the division plane, the cells after constriction of the contractile ring (Rlc1 ring constricted to a spot at cell center) were chosen and rotated so that the septa were horizontal. A 23 × 6 pixel region of interest (ROI) was drawn to cover the protein signal at division site. The plot profile of the ROIs was recorded.

We tracked secretory vesicles similarly as before (Wang et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2018). Briefly, the middle focal plane of cells was imaged with a speed of 2–5 frames per second (fps) for the channel with fluorescently labeled vesicles in 2-min movies. The Rlc1 channel was imaged once every minute. DIC images were taken as snapshots immediately before and after the fluorescence movies to make sure no focal shifting. The movements of vesicles were tracked manually using ImageJ plug-in mTrackJ (Meijering et al., 2012). The data coordinates were then transformed by Matlab software so that the septa were horizontal. The cell width was normalized to 4 μm before plotting. Statistical analyses were performed using two tailed Student's t test in this study.

Plasmid construction, protein purification, in vitro binding assays

The fragment of MBP-TEV-GGSGGS was first cloned into pET21a vector before BamHI site by Gibson assembly to construct pET21a-MBP vector (Gibson et al., 2009). Ync13 FL cDNA was cloned into pET21a-MBP vector between the GGSGGS linker and the 6His tag by Gibson assembly. Full length Rga7 was cloned into the pET21a vector between the T7 tag and the 6His tag by Gibson assembly. The constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

We purified recombinant proteins by transforming the plasmids into BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells (Novagen). MBP-Ync13-6xHis expression was induced with 0.2 mM IPTG at 17°C for 36-48 h. Rga7-6xHis was expressed with 0.5 mM IPTG at 25°C for 15 h. Purifications of 6His-tagged proteins were carried out as previously described (Wu et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2013). Briefly, the proteins were purified with Talon metal affinity resin (635501; Clontech, Mountain View, CA) in extraction buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 8.0, 400 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, and 20 mM imidazole) with EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet (Roche) and eluted with elution buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 8.0, 400 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, and 300 mM imidazole). The purified proteins were then dialyzed into the binding buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 2 mM KH_2PO_4, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, and 10% glycerol, pH 7.4).

For in vitro binding assays between MBP-Ync13-6xHis and Rga7-6xHis, purified proteins were dialyzed into the binding buffer. We incubated 1 ml MBP-Ync13-6His (2 µM) or 75 µl MBP-6xHis (27 µM) control with 500 µl Amylose beads for 1 h at 4°C and washed the beads 8x with 1 ml of the binding buffer each time to remove unbound proteins. Then 1 ml Rga7-6xHis (10 µM) was incubated with the 100 µl beads with MBP-Ync13-6xHis or MBP-6xHis for 1 h at 4°C. After washing 4x with 1 ml of the binding buffer each time, the beads were boiled with sample buffer for 5 min. Then the samples were run on SDS–PAGE gel and detected with Coomassie Blue staining. For measuring the Kd between MBP-Ync13-6His and Rga7-6His, we followed the methods and guidelines as described (Lee et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2019; Pollard, 2010). Rga7-6xHis at 4 µM was titrated with MBP-Ync13-6xHis immobilized on Cobalt beads or the same volumes of beads with MBP-6xHis. Beads were pelleted at 16,000 g, and proteins in supernatant were separated by SDS-PAGE, stained with Coomassie, and scanned to measure and calculate the fractions of proteins bound to the beads.

Co-IP and Western blotting

Co-IP and Western blotting were performed as described except where noted (Laporte et al., 2011; Lee and Wu, 2012; Singh et al., 2024; Ye et al., 2012). Briefly, proteins tagged with mEGFP, mECitrine, GFP, or 13Myc were expressed under the native promotors. Lyophilized cells were ground into a homogeneous fine powder using pestles and mortars. IP buffer (50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid [HEPES], pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40, 50 mM NaF, 20 mM glycerophosphate, and 0.1 mM Na_3VO_4, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor [Roche] 1 tablet/30 ml buffer) was added at the ratio of 10 µl : 1 mg lyophilized cell powder. 60 µl Dynabeads protein G beads (Invitrogen) were incubated with 5 µg polyclonal GFP antibody (Novus Bio) for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes with PBS and one wash with 1 ml IP buffer, the beads were incubated with cell lysate for 2 h at 4°C. After 5 washes at 4°C with 1 ml IP buffer each time, the beads were boiled with 80 µl sample buffer. The protein samples were separated with SDS-PAGE gel and detected with

monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (1:1,000 dilution; 11814460001; Roche, Mannheim, Germany), monoclonal anti-Myc antibody (1:500 dilution, 9E10, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX). Secondary anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (1:5,000 dilution; A4416, Sigma-Aldrich) was detected using SuperSignal Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on iBright CL1500 imager (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or other imagers.

Electron microscopy

We grew $ync13\Delta$ and $ync13^+$ cells exponentially at 25°C in YE5S + 1 M sorbitol for ~48 h and collected cells by spinning at 2,200 rpm for 3 min. Cells were then washed 2x with equal volume of YE5S medium without sorbitol and diluted to appropriate density and grown 3.5 h at 25°C. OD_{600} of cells was < 0.5 before collecting for high pressure freezing. Sample preparations and electron microscopy were performed as described previously (Kukulski et al., 2012b; Muriel et al., 2021). Briefly, concentrated cell slurry (~2 µl) was transferred onto specimen carriers (Wohlwend type A, 3 mm wide, 0.1 mm deep) and covered with a flat lid (Wohlwend type B). The carrier sandwich was immediately processed by high-pressure freezing on a Wohlwend HPF Compact 02. The frozen samples were stored in liquid nitrogen. We opened the carrier sandwich in liquid nitrogen before freeze substitution, which used 1% uranyl acetate in acetone and embedded in Lowicryl HM20 on the Leica AFS 2 robot. Thin sections of 60 nm were cut with a diamond knife using a Leica Ultracut UC7 ultramicrotome and loaded onto carbon-coated 200-mesh copper grids (AGS160; Agar Scientific). The grids were post-stained with 2% uranyl acetate, and then Reynolds lead citrate for 10 min. We observed and imaged the grids using a FEI Tecnai 12 at 120 kV with a bottom mount FEI Eagle camera (4k x 4k).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows representative controls for the Tom20-GBP mistargeting experiments. Fig. S2 shows positive and negative physical interactions revealed by mistargeting to mitochondria using Tom20-GBP. Fig. S3 shows physical interactions between full length Ync13 and Rga7 or Rng10

truncations revealed by ectopic mistargeting to mitochondria by Tom20-GBP. Fig. S4 shows localizations of Rga7, Rng10, and Bgs4 in temperature-sensitive mutants. Fig. S5 shows Trs120 accumulates at division site in *ync13Δ*, *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ*, and *rga7Δ rng10Δ* cells. Videos 1-3 show movements of Trs120-3GFP vesicles to the division site. Online supplemental materials are available at

Acknowledgements

We thank Juan Carlos, Juan Ribas, and Yajun Liu for yeast strains; Olivia Muriel, Jean Daraspe, and the University of Lausanne Electron Microscopy Facility for help with electron microscopy; Anita Hopper, Jim Hopper, Steve Osmani, and Damien Wilburn for equipment; and current and former members of the Wu and Martin groups for helpful discussions.

Sha Zhang was supported by Pelotonia Postdoctoral Fellowship Program. J.-Q Wu was supported by fellowships from the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant ISZEZ0_200027) and Herbette Foundation at UNIL to S.G.M. during his faculty professional leave. The work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (grants R01 GM118746 and GM118746-06S1 to J.-Q.W.) and by Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 310030_191990 to S.G.M.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of Pelotonia Fellowship Program or The Ohio State University, the National Institutes of Health, or other funding agencies.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, S.Z., D.S., and J.-Q.W.; Methodology, S.Z., D.S., Y.-H.Z., A.M.-C., and J.-Q.W.; Investigation, S.Z., D.S., Y.-H.Z., K.J.Z., A.M.-C., and J.-Q.W.; Formal Analysis, S.Z., D.S., K.J.Z., J.-Q.W.; Validation, S.Z. D.S.; Visualization, S.Z., D.S., and J.-Q.W.; Writing, S.Z., D.S., S.G.M., and J.-Q.W.; Funding Acquisition, S.Z., S.G.M., and J.-Q.W.; Resources, S.G.M. and J.-Q.W.; Supervision, J.-Q.W.

References

Alcaide-Gavilán, M., A. Lahoz, R.R. Daga, and J. Jimenez. 2014. Feedback regulation of SIN by Etd1 and Rho1 in fission yeast. *Genetics*. 196:455-470.

Alonso-Nunez, M.L., H. An, A.B. Martin-Cuadrado, S. Mehta, C. Petit, M. Sipiczki, F. del Rey, K.L. Gould, and C.R. de Aldana. 2005. Ace2p controls the expression of genes required for cell separation in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Mol Biol Cell*. 16:2003-2017.

Arasada, R., and T.D. Pollard. 2011. Distinct roles for F-BAR proteins Cdc15p and Bzz1p in actin polymerization at sites of endocytosis in fission yeast. *Curr Biol*. 21:1450-1459.

Arasada, R., and T.D. Pollard. 2014. Contractile ring stability in *S. pombe* depends on F-BAR protein Cdc15p and Bgs1p transport from the Golgi complex. *Cell Rep*. 8:1533-1544.

Arasada, R., and T.D. Pollard. 2015. A role for F-BAR protein Rga7p during cytokinesis in *S. pombe*. *J Cell Sci*. 128:2259-2268.

Arellano, M., P.M. Coll, and P. Perez. 1999. RHO GTPases in the control of cell morphology, cell polarity, and actin localization in fission yeast. *Microsc Res Tech*. 47:51-60.

Arellano, M., A. Duran, and P. Perez. 1996. Rho 1 GTPase activates the (1-3)beta-D-glucan synthase and is involved in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* morphogenesis. *Embo J*. 15:4584-4591.

Arellano, M., A. Duran, and P. Perez. 1997. Localisation of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* rho1p GTPase and its involvement in the organisation of the actin cytoskeleton. *J Cell Sci*. 110 (Pt 20):2547-2555.

Bähler, J., J.-Q. Wu, M.S. Longtine, N.G. Shah, A. McKenzie, III, A.B. Steever, A. Wach, P. Philippsen, and J.R. Pringle. 1998. Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene targeting in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Yeast*. 14:943-951.

Baladrón, V., S. Ufano, E. Dueñas, A.B. Martín-Cuadrado, F. del Rey, and C.R. Vázquez de Aldana. 2002. Eng1p, an endo-1,3-beta-glucanase localized at the daughter side of the septum, is involved in cell separation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Eukaryot Cell*. 1:774-786.

Barba, G., T. Soto, M. Madrid, A. Nunez, J. Vicente, M. Gacto, and J. Cansado. 2008. Activation of the cell integrity pathway is channelled through diverse signalling elements in fission yeast. *Cell Signal*. 20:748-757.

Bement, W.M. 2002. Actomyosin rings: the riddle of the sphincter. *Curr Biol*. 12:R12-14.

Berlin, A., A. Paoletti, and F. Chang. 2003. Mid2p stabilizes septin rings during cytokinesis in fission yeast. *J Cell Biol*. 160:1083-1092.

Bhaskar, B.R., L. Yadav, M. Sriram, K. Sanghrajka, M. Gupta, B.K. V, R.K. Nellikka, and D. Das. 2024. Differential SNARE chaperoning by Munc13-1 and Munc18-1 dictates fusion pore fate at the release site. *Nat Commun*. 15:4132.

Bhattacharjee, R., A.R. Hall, M.C. Mangione, M.G. Igarashi, R.H. Roberts-Galbraith, J.S. Chen, D. Vavylonis, and K.L. Gould. 2023. Multiple polarity kinases inhibit phase separation of F-BAR protein Cdc15 and antagonize cytokinetic ring assembly in fission yeast. *Elife*. 12.

Cabib, E., N. Blanco, C. Grau, J.M. Rodríguez-Peña, and J. Arroyo. 2007. Crh1p and Crh2p are required for the cross-linking of chitin to beta(1-6)glucan in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell wall. *Mol Microbiol*. 63:921-935.

Cabib, E., P.C. Mol, J.A. Shaw, and W.J. Choi. 1993. Biosynthesis of cell wall and septum during yeast growth. *Arch Med Res*. 24:301-303.

Cai, H., Y. Zhang, M. Pypaert, L. Walker, and S. Ferro-Novick. 2005. Mutants in trs120 disrupt traffic from the early endosome to the late Golgi. *J Cell Biol*. 171:823-833.

Calonge, T.M., K. Nakano, M. Arellano, R. Arai, S. Katayama, T. Toda, I. Mabuchi, and P. Perez. 2000. *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Rho2p GTPase regulates cell wall alpha-glucan biosynthesis through the protein kinase Pck2p. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 11:4393-4401.

Cansado, J., T. Soto, A. Franco, J. Vicente-Soler, and M. Madrid. 2021. The Fission Yeast Cell Integrity Pathway: A Functional Hub for Cell Survival upon Stress and Beyond. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 8.

Carr, C.M., E. Grote, M. Munson, F.M. Hughson, and P.J. Novick. 1999. Sec1p binds to SNARE complexes and concentrates at sites of secretion. *J Cell Biol*. 146:333-344.

Castillo-Flores, A., A. Weinberger, M. Robinson, and J.E. Gerst. 2005. Mso1 is a novel component of the yeast exocytic SNARE complex. *J Biol Chem*. 280:34033-34041.

Chin, C.F., A.M. Bennett, W.K. Ma, M.C. Hall, and F.M. Yeong. 2012. Dependence of Chs2 ER export on dephosphorylation by cytoplasmic Cdc14 ensures that septum formation follows mitosis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 23:45-58.

Choi, C., M. Davey, C. Schluter, P. Pandher, Y. Fang, L.J. Foster, and E. Conibear. 2011. Organization and assembly of the TRAPPII complex. *Traffic*. 12:715-725.

Conibear, E., and T.H. Stevens. 1998. Multiple sorting pathways between the late Golgi and the vacuole in yeast. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1404:211-230.

Cortes, J.C., E. Carnero, J. Ishiguro, Y. Sanchez, A. Duran, and J.C. Ribas. 2005. The novel fission yeast (1,3)beta-D-glucan synthase catalytic subunit Bgs4p is essential during both cytokinesis and polarized growth. *J Cell Sci*. 118:157-174.

Cortes, J.C., J. Ishiguro, A. Duran, and J.C. Ribas. 2002. Localization of the (1,3)beta-D-glucan synthase catalytic subunit homologue Bgs1p/Cps1p from fission yeast suggests that it is involved in septation, polarized growth, mating, spore wall formation and spore germination. *J Cell Sci*. 115:4081-4096.

Cortes, J.C., M. Konomi, I.M. Martins, J. Munoz, M.B. Moreno, M. Osumi, A. Duran, and J.C. Ribas. 2007. The (1,3)beta-D-glucan synthase subunit Bgs1p is responsible for the fission yeast primary septum formation. *Mol Microbiol*. 65:201-217.

Cortés, J.C., N. Pujol, M. Sato, M. Pinar, M. Ramos, B. Moreno, M. Osumi, J.C. Ribas, and P. Pérez. 2015. Cooperation between Paxillin-like Protein Pxl1 and Glucan Synthase Bgs1 Is Essential for Actomyosin Ring Stability and Septum Formation in Fission Yeast. *PLoS Genet*. 11:e1005358.

Cortes, J.C., M. Sato, J. Munoz, M.B. Moreno, J.A. Clemente-Ramos, M. Ramos, H. Okada, M. Osumi, A. Duran, and J.C. Ribas. 2012. Fission yeast Ags1 confers the essential septum strength needed for safe gradual cell abscission. *J Cell Biol*. 198:637-656.

Das, M., D.J. Wiley, X. Chen, K. Shah, and F. Verde. 2009. The conserved NDR kinase Orb6 controls polarized cell growth by spatial regulation of the small GTPase Cdc42. *Curr Biol*. 19:1314-1319.

Davidson, R., D. Laporte, and J.Q. Wu. 2015. Regulation of Rho-GEF Rgf3 by the arrestin Art1 in fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 26:453-466.

Davidson, R., J.A. Pontasch, and J.Q. Wu. 2016. Sbg1 Is a Novel Regulator for the Localization of the beta-Glucan Synthase Bgs1 in Fission Yeast. *PLoS One*. 11:e0167043.

de León, N., M.R. Sharifmoghadam, M. Hoya, M. Curto, C. Doncel, and M.H. Valdivieso. 2013. Regulation of cell wall synthesis by the clathrin light chain is essential for viability in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *PLoS One*. 8:e71510.

Dekker, N., D. Speijer, C.H. Grun, M. van den Berg, A. de Haan, and F. Hochstenbach. 2004. Role of the alpha-glucanase Agn1p in fission-yeast cell separation. *Mol Biol Cell*. 15:3903-3914.

DeMarini, D.J., A.E. Adams, H. Fares, C. De Virgilio, G. Valle, J.S. Chuang, and J.R. Pringle. 1997. A septin-based hierarchy of proteins required for localized deposition of chitin in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell wall. *J Cell Biol*. 139:75-93.

Demeter, J., and S. Sazer. 1998. imp2, a new component of the actin ring in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *J Cell Biol*. 143:415-427.

Drgonová, J., T. Drgon, K. Tanaka, R. Kollár, G.C. Chen, R.A. Ford, C.S. Chan, Y. Takai, and E. Cabib. 1996. Rho1p, a yeast protein at the interface between cell polarization and morphogenesis. *Science*. 272:277-279.

Dulubova, I., X. Lou, J. Lu, I. Huryeva, A. Alam, R. Schneggenburger, T.C. Sudhof, and J. Rizo. 2005. A Munc13/RIM/Rab3 tripartite complex: from priming to plasticity? *EMBO J*. 24:2839-2850.

Feierbach, B., and F. Chang. 2001. Cytokinesis and the contractile ring in fission yeast. *Curr Opin Microbiol*. 4:713-719.

Feng, B., H. Schwarz, and S. Jesuthasan. 2002. Furrow-specific endocytosis during cytokinesis of zebrafish blastomeres. *Exp Cell Res*. 279:14-20.

Ford, C., A. Parchure, J. von Blume, and C.G. Burd. 2021. Cargo sorting at the trans-Golgi network at a glance. *J Cell Sci*. 134.

Gachet, Y., and J.S. Hyams. 2005. Endocytosis in fission yeast is spatially associated with the actin cytoskeleton during polarised cell growth and cytokinesis. *J Cell Sci*. 118:4231-4242.

Garcia, P., V. Tajadura, I. Garcia, and Y. Sanchez. 2006a. Rgf1p is a specific Rho1-GEF that coordinates cell polarization with cell wall biogenesis in fission yeast. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 17:1620-1631.

Garcia, P., V. Tajadura, I. Garcia, and Y. Sanchez. 2006b. Role of Rho GTPases and Rho-GEFs in the regulation of cell shape and integrity in fission yeast. *Yeast*. 23:1031-1043.

Ge, W., and M.K. Balasubramanian. 2008. Pxl1p, a paxillin-related protein, stabilizes the actomyosin ring during cytokinesis in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*. 19:1680-1692.

Gerien, K.S., and J.Q. Wu. 2018. Molecular mechanisms of contractile-ring constriction and membrane trafficking in cytokinesis. *Biophys Rev*. 10:1649-1666.

Gerien, K.S., S. Zhang, A.C. Russell, Y.H. Zhu, V. Purde, and J.Q. Wu. 2020. Roles of Mso1 and the SM protein Sec1 in efficient vesicle fusion during fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 31:1570-1583.

Gibson, D.G., L. Young, R.Y. Chuang, J.C. Venter, C.A. Hutchison, 3rd, and H.O. Smith. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods*. 6:343-345.

Giloh, H., and J.W. Sedat. 1982. Fluorescence microscopy: reduced photobleaching of rhodamine and fluorescein protein conjugates by n-propyl gallate. *Science*. 217:1251-1255.

Grote, E., C.M. Carr, and P.J. Novick. 2000. Ordering the final events in yeast exocytosis. *J Cell Biol*. 151:439-452.

Guan, R., H. Dai, and J. Rizo. 2008. Binding of the Munc13-1 MUN domain to membrane-anchored SNARE complexes. *Biochemistry*. 47:1474-1481.

Hall, A. 2012. Rho family GTPases. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 40:1378-1382.

Harsay, E., and A. Bretscher. 1995. Parallel secretory pathways to the cell surface in yeast. *J Cell Biol*. 131:297-310.

He, B., and W. Guo. 2009. The exocyst complex in polarized exocytosis. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 21:537-542.

Hochstenbach, F., F.M. Klis, H. van den Ende, E. van Donselaar, P.J. Peters, and R.D. Klausner. 1998. Identification of a putative alpha-glucan synthase essential for cell wall construction and morphogenesis in fission yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 95:9161-9166.

Hsu, S.C., D. TerBush, M. Abraham, and W. Guo. 2004. The exocyst complex in polarized exocytosis. *Int Rev Cytol*. 233:243-265.

Ishiguro, J., A. Saitou, A. Duran, and J.C. Ribas. 1997. cps1+, a *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* gene homolog of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* FKS genes whose mutation confers hypersensitivity to cyclosporin A and papulacandin B. *J Bacteriol*. 179:7653-7662.

Jahn, R., and R.H. Scheller. 2006. SNAREs--engines for membrane fusion. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 7:631-643.

Kaksonen, M., C.P. Toret, and D.G. Drubin. 2005. A modular design for the clathrin- and actin-mediated endocytosis machinery. *Cell*. 123:305-320.

Katayama, S., D. Hirata, M. Arellano, P. Perez, and T. Toda. 1999. Fission yeast alpha-glucan synthase Mok1 requires the actin cytoskeleton to localize the sites of growth and plays an essential role in cell morphogenesis downstream of protein kinase C function. *J Cell Biol*. 144:1173-1186.

Khodthong, C., G. Kabachinski, D.J. James, and T.F. Martin. 2011. Munc13 homology domain-1 in CAPS/UNC31 mediates SNARE binding required for priming vesicle exocytosis. *Cell Metab*. 14:254-263.

Kienzle, C., and J. von Blume. 2014. Secretory cargo sorting at the trans-Golgi network. *Trends Cell Biol*. 24:584-593.

Kukulski, W., M. Schorb, M. Kaksonen, and J.A. Briggs. 2012a. Plasma membrane reshaping during endocytosis is revealed by time-resolved electron tomography. *Cell*. 150:508-520.

Kukulski, W., M. Schorb, S. Welsch, A. Picco, M. Kaksonen, and J.A. Briggs. 2012b. Precise, correlated fluorescence microscopy and electron tomography of lowicryl sections using fluorescent fiducial markers. *Methods Cell Biol*. 111:235-257.

Laplante, C., F. Huang, I.R. Tebbs, J. Bewersdorf, and T.D. Pollard. 2016. Molecular organization of cytokinesis nodes and contractile rings by super-resolution fluorescence microscopy of live fission yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 113:E5876-e5885.

Laporte, D., V.C. Coffman, I.-J. Lee, and J.-Q. Wu. 2011. Assembly and architecture of precursor nodes during fission yeast cytokinesis. *J Cell Biol*. 192:1005-1021.

Laporte, D., N. Ojkic, D. Vavylonis, and J.-Q. Wu. 2012. alpha-Actinin and fimbrin cooperate with myosin II to organize actomyosin bundles during contractile-ring assembly. *Mol Biol Cell*. 23:3094-3110.

Lee, I.-J., and J.-Q. Wu. 2012. Characterization of Mid1 domains for targeting and scaffolding in fission yeast cytokinesis. *J Cell Sci*. 125:2973-2985.

Lee, W.L., E.M. Ostap, H.G. Zot, and T.D. Pollard. 1999. Organization and ligand binding properties of the tail of Acanthamoeba myosin-IA. Identification of an actin-binding site in the basic (tail homology-1) domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274:35159-35171.

Leitz, J., C. Wang, L. Esquivies, R.A. Pfuetzner, J.J. Peters, S. Couoh-Cardel, A.L. Wang, and A.T. Brunger. 2024. Beyond the MUN domain, Munc13 controls priming and depriming of synaptic vesicles. *Cell Rep*. 43:114026.

Lemière, J., Y. Ren, and J. Berro. 2021. Rapid adaptation of endocytosis, exocytosis, and eisosomes after an acute increase in membrane tension in yeast cells. *Elife*. 10.

Levin, D.E. 2005. Cell wall integrity signaling in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*. 69:262-291.

Li, J., H. Liu, J. Li, J. Liu, X. Dai, A. Zhu, Q. Xiao, W. Qian, H. Li, L. Guo, C. Yan, D. Deng, Y. Luo, and X. Wang. 2025. Cryo-EM structure of the β-1,3-glucan synthase FKS1-Rho1 complex. *Nat Commun*. 16:2054.

Li, W., C. Ma, R. Guan, Y. Xu, D.R. Tomchick, and J. Rizo. 2011. The crystal structure of a Munc13 C-terminal module exhibits a remarkable similarity to vesicle tethering factors. *Structure*. 19:1443-1455.

Liu, H.L., A.H. Osmani, L. Ukil, S. Son, S. Markossian, K.F. Shen, M. Govindaraghavan, A. Varadaraj, S.B. Hashmi, C.P. De Souza, and S.A. Osmani. 2010. Single-step affinity purification for fungal proteomics. *Eukaryot Cell*. 9:831-833.

Liu, J., and W. Guo. 2012. The exocyst complex in exocytosis and cell migration. *Protoplasma*. 249:587-597.

Liu, J., H. Wang, D. McCollum, and M.K. Balasubramanian. 1999. Drc1p/Cps1p, a 1,3-beta-glucan synthase subunit, is essential for division septum assembly in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genetics*. 153:1193-1203.

Liu, Y., I.J. Lee, M. Sun, C.A. Lower, K.W. Runge, J. Ma, and J.Q. Wu. 2016. Roles of the novel coiled-coil protein Rng10 in septum formation during fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 27:2528-2541.

Liu, Y., N.A. McDonald, S.M. Naegele, K.L. Gould, and J.Q. Wu. 2019. The F-BAR Domain of Rga7 Relies on a Cooperative Mechanism of Membrane Binding with a Partner Protein during Fission Yeast Cytokinesis. *Cell Rep*. 26:2540-2548.e2544.

Longo, L.V.G., E.G. Goodyear, S. Zhang, E. Kudryashova, and J.Q. Wu. 2022. Involvement of Smi1 in cell wall integrity and glucan synthase Bgs4 localization during fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 33:ar17.

Lord, M., and T.D. Pollard. 2004. UCS protein Rng3p activates actin filament gliding by fission yeast myosin-II. *J Cell Biol*. 167:315-325.

Lu, J., M. Machius, I. Dulubova, H. Dai, T.C. Sudhof, D.R. Tomchick, and J. Rizo. 2006. Structural basis for a Munc13-1 homodimer to Munc13-1/RIM heterodimer switch. *PLoS Biol*. 4:e192.

Luo, G., J. Zhang, and W. Guo. 2014. The role of Sec3p in secretory vesicle targeting and exocyst complex assembly. *Mol Biol Cell*. 25:3813-3822.

Ma, C., W. Li, Y. Xu, and J. Rizo. 2011. Munc13 mediates the transition from the closed syntaxin-Munc18 complex to the SNARE complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 18:542-549.

Ma, C., L. Su, A.B. Seven, Y. Xu, and J. Rizo. 2013. Reconstitution of the vital functions of Munc18 and Munc13 in neurotransmitter release. *Science*. 339:421-425.

Martin-Cuadrado, A.B., E. Duenas, M. Sipiczki, C.R. Vazquez de Aldana, and F. del Rey. 2003. The endo-beta-1,3-glucanase eng1p is required for dissolution of the primary septum during cell separation in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *J Cell Sci*. 116:1689-1698.

Martin-Cuadrado, A.B., J. Encinar del Dedo, M. de Medina-Redondo, T. Fontaine, F. del Rey, J.P. Latge, and C.R. Vazquez de Aldana. 2008. The *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* endo-1,3-beta-glucanase Eng1 contains a novel carbohydrate binding module required for septum localization. *Mol Microbiol*. 69:188-200.

Martin-Cuadrado, A.B., J.L. Morrell, M. Konomi, H. An, C. Petit, M. Osumi, M. Balasubramanian, K.L. Gould, F. Del Rey, and C.R. de Aldana. 2005. Role of septins and the

exocyst complex in the function of hydrolytic enzymes responsible for fission yeast cell separation. *Mol Biol Cell*. 16:4867-4881.

Martin-Garcia, R., P.M. Coll, and P. Perez. 2014. F-BAR domain protein Rga7 collaborates with Cdc15 and Imp2 to ensure proper cytokinesis in fission yeast. *J Cell Sci*. 127:4146-4158.

McDonald, N.A., A.L. Lind, S.E. Smith, R. Li, and K.L. Gould. 2017. Nanoscale architecture of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* contractile ring. *Elife*. 6.

Meijering, E., O. Dzyubachyk, and I. Smal. 2012. Methods for cell and particle tracking. *Methods Enzymol*. 504:183-200.

Morrell-Falvey, J.L., L. Ren, A. Feoktistova, G.D. Haese, and K.L. Gould. 2005. Cell wall remodeling at the fission yeast cell division site requires the Rho-GEF Rgf3p. *J Cell Sci*. 118:5563-5573.

Munoz, J., J.C. Cortes, M. Sipiczki, M. Ramos, J.A. Clemente-Ramos, M.B. Moreno, I.M. Martins, P. Perez, and J.C. Ribas. 2013. Extracellular cell wall beta(1,3)glucan is required to couple septation to actomyosin ring contraction. *J Cell Biol*. 203:265-282.

Muriel, O., L. Michon, W. Kukulski, and S.G. Martin. 2021. Ultrastructural plasma membrane asymmetries in tension and curvature promote yeast cell fusion. *J Cell Biol*. 220.

Mutoh, T., K. Nakano, and I. Mabuchi. 2005. Rho1-GEFs Rgf1 and Rgf2 are involved in formation of cell wall and septum, while Rgf3 is involved in cytokinesis in fission yeast. *Genes to Cells*. 10:1189-1202.

Nakano, K., R. Arai, and I. Mabuchi. 1997. The small GTP-binding protein Rho1 is a multifunctional protein that regulates actin localization, cell polarity, and septum formation in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genes Cells*. 2:679-694.

Nakano, K., K. Satoh, A. Morimatsu, M. Ohnuma, and I. Mabuchi. 2001. Interactions among a fimbrin, a capping protein, and an actin-depolymerizing factor in organization of the fission yeast actin cytoskeleton. *Mol Biol Cell*. 12:3515-3526.

Oh, Y., K.J. Chang, P. Orlean, C. Wloka, R. Deshaies, and E. Bi. 2012. Mitotic exit kinase Dbf2 directly phosphorylates chitin synthase Chs2 to regulate cytokinesis in budding yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*. 23:2445-2456.

Onishi, M., N. Ko, R. Nishihama, and J.R. Pringle. 2013. Distinct roles of Rho1, Cdc42, and Cyk3 in septum formation and abscission during yeast cytokinesis. *J Cell Biol*. 202:311-329.

Padmanabhan, A., K. Bakka, M. Sevugan, N.I. Naqvi, V. D'Souza, X. Tang, M. Mishra, and M.K. Balasubramanian. 2011. IQGAP-Related Rng2p Organizes Cortical Nodes and Ensures Position of Cell Division in Fission Yeast. *Curr Biol*. 21:467-472.

Pearse, B.M.F. 1976. Clathrin: a unique protein associated with intracellular transfer of membrane by coated vesicles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 73:1255-1259.

Pei, J., C. Ma, J. Rizo, and N.V. Grishin. 2009. Remote homology between Munc13 MUN domain and vesicle tethering complexes. *J Mol Biol*. 391:509-517.

Pérez, P., E. Portales, and B. Santos. 2015. Rho4 interaction with exocyst and septins regulates cell separation in fission yeast. *Microbiology (Reading)*. 161:948-959.

Perez, P., and S.A. Rincon. 2010. Rho GTPases: regulation of cell polarity and growth in yeasts. *Biochem J*. 426:243-253.

Pinar, M., P.M. Coll, S.A. Rincon, and P. Perez. 2008. *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Pxl1 is a paxillin homologue that modulates Rho1 activity and participates in cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 19:1727-1738.

Pollard, T.D. 2010. A guide to simple and informative binding assays. *Mol Biol Cell*. 21:4061-4067.

Qadota, H., C.P. Python, S.B. Inoue, M. Arisawa, Y. Anraku, Y. Zheng, T. Watanabe, D.E. Levin, and Y. Ohya. 1996. Identification of yeast Rho1p GTPase as a regulatory subunit of 1,3-beta-glucan synthase. *Science*. 272:279-281.

Ren, L., A.H. Willet, R.H. Roberts-Galbraith, N.A. McDonald, A. Feoktistova, J.S. Chen, H. Huang, R. Guillen, C. Boone, S.S. Sidhu, J.R. Beckley, and K.L. Gould. 2015. The Cdc15 and Imp2 SH3 domains cooperatively scaffold a network of proteins that redundantly ensure efficient cell division in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*. 26:256-269.

Rincon, S., P.M. Coll, and P. Perez. 2007. Spatial regulation of Cdc42 during cytokinesis. *Cell Cycle*. 6:1687-1691.

Roberts-Galbraith, R.H., J.S. Chen, J. Wang, and K.L. Gould. 2009. The SH3 domains of two PCH family members cooperate in assembly of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* contractile ring. *J Cell Biol*. 184:113-127.

Roberts-Galbraith, R.H., M.D. Ohi, B.A. Ballif, J.S. Chen, I. McLeod, W.H. McDonald, S.P. Gygi, J.R. Yates, 3rd, and K.L. Gould. 2010. Dephosphorylation of F-BAR protein Cdc15 modulates its conformation and stimulates its scaffolding activity at the cell division site. *Mol Cell*. 39:86-99.

Robinett, C.C., M.G. Giansanti, M. Gatti, and M.T. Fuller. 2009. TRAPPII is required for cleavage furrow ingression and localization of Rab11 in dividing male meiotic cells of Drosophila. *J Cell Sci*. 122:4526-4534.

Robinson, D.N., and J.A. Spudich. 2000. Towards a molecular understanding of cytokinesis. *Trends Cell Biol.* 10:228-237.

Roncero, C., R. Celador, N. Sánchez, P. García, and Y. Sánchez. 2021. The Role of the Cell Integrity Pathway in Septum Assembly in Yeast. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 7.

Roncero, C., and Y. Sanchez. 2010. Cell separation and the maintenance of cell integrity during cytokinesis in yeast: the assembly of a septum. *Yeast*. 27:521-530.

Rothbauer, U., K. Zolghadr, S. Muyldermans, A. Schepers, M.C. Cardoso, and H. Leonhardt. 2008. A versatile nanotrap for biochemical and functional studies with fluorescent fusion proteins. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 7:282-289.

Rybak, K., A. Steiner, L. Synek, S. Klaeger, I. Kulich, E. Facher, G. Wanner, B. Kuster, V. Zarsky, S. Persson, and F.F. Assaad. 2014. Plant cytokinesis is orchestrated by the sequential action of the TRAPPII and exocyst tethering complexes. *Dev Cell*. 29:607-620.

Sánchez-Mir, L., T. Soto, A. Franco, M. Madrid, R.A. Viana, J. Vicente, M. Gacto, P. Pérez, and J. Cansado. 2014. Rho1 GTPase and PKC ortholog Pck1 are upstream activators of the cell integrity MAPK pathway in fission yeast. *PLoS One*. 9:e88020.

Santos, B., A.B. Martin-Cuadrado, C.R. Vazquez de Aldana, F. del Rey, and P. Perez. 2005. Rho4 GTPase is involved in secretion of glucanases during fission yeast cytokinesis. *Eukaryot Cell*. 4:1639-1645.

Schmidt, M., B. Bowers, A. Varma, D.H. Roh, and E. Cabib. 2002. In budding yeast, contraction of the actomyosin ring and formation of the primary septum at cytokinesis depend on each other. *J Cell Sci*. 115:293-302.

Schornack, S., R. Fuchs, E. Huitema, U. Rothbauer, V. Lipka, and S. Kamoun. 2009. Protein mislocalization in plant cells using a GFP-binding chromobody. *Plant J*. 60:744-754.

Sethi, K., S. Palani, J.C. Cortes, M. Sato, M. Sevugan, M. Ramos, S. Vijaykumar, M. Osumi, N.I. Naqvi, J.C. Ribas, and M. Balasubramanian. 2016. A New Membrane Protein Sbg1 Links the Contractile Ring Apparatus and Septum Synthesis Machinery in Fission Yeast. *PLoS Genet*. 12:e1006383.

Shu, T., H. Jin, J.E. Rothman, and Y. Zhang. 2020. Munc13-1 MUN domain and Munc18-1 cooperatively chaperone SNARE assembly through a tetrameric complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 117:1036-1041.

Singh, D., Y. Liu, Y.-H. Zhu, S. Zhang, S. Naegele, and J.Q. Wu. 2024. Septins function in exocytosis via physical interactions with the exocyst complex in fission yeast cytokinesis. *bioRxiv*.

Skau, C.T., and D.R. Kovar. 2010. Fimbrin and tropomyosin competition regulates endocytosis and cytokinesis kinetics in fission yeast. *Curr Biol*. 20:1415-1422.

Soto, T., M.A. Villar-Tajadura, M. Madrid, J. Vicente, M. Gacto, P. Perez, and J. Cansado. 2010. Rga4 modulates the activity of the fission yeast cell integrity MAPK pathway by acting as a Rho2 GTPase-activating protein. *J Biol Chem*. 285:11516-11525.

Sutton, R.B., D. Fasshauer, R. Jahn, and A.T. Brunger. 1998. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 A resolution. *Nature*. 395:347-353.

Suzuki, R., J.Y. Toshima, and J. Toshima. 2012. Regulation of clathrin coat assembly by Eps15 homology domain-mediated interactions during endocytosis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 23:687-700.

Tajadura, V., B. Garcia, I. Garcia, P. Garcia, and Y. Sanchez. 2004. *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Rgf3p is a specific Rho1 GEF that regulates cell wall beta-glucan biosynthesis through the GTPase Rho1p. *J Cell Sci*. 117:6163-6174.

Tasto, J.J., J.L. Morrell, and K.L. Gould. 2003. An anillin homologue, Mid2p, acts during fission yeast cytokinesis to organize the septin ring and promote cell separation. *J Cell Biol*. 160:1093-1103.

Tatebe, H., K. Nakano, R. Maximo, and K. Shiozaki. 2008. Pom1 DYRK regulates localization of the Rga4 GAP to ensure bipolar activation of Cdc42 in fission yeast. *Curr Biol*. 18:322-330.

Ti, S.C., and T.D. Pollard. 2011. Purification of actin from fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and characterization of functional differences from muscle actin. *J Biol Chem*. 286:5784-5792.

Villar-Tajadura, M.A., P.M. Coll, M. Madrid, J. Cansado, B. Santos, and P. Perez. 2008. Rga2 is a Rho2 GAP that regulates morphogenesis and cell integrity in *S. pombe*. *Mol Microbiol*. 70:867-881.

Walker, L.A., M.D. Lenardon, K. Preechasuth, C.A. Munro, and N.A. Gow. 2013. Cell wall stress induces alternative fungal cytokinesis and septation strategies. *J Cell Sci*. 126:2668-2677.

Wang, H., X. Tang, and M.K. Balasubramanian. 2003. Rho3p regulates cell separation by modulating exocyst function in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genetics*. 164:1323-1331.

Wang, H., X. Tang, J. Liu, S. Trautmann, D. Balasundaram, D. McCollum, and M.K. Balasubramanian. 2002. The multiprotein exocyst complex is essential for cell separation in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Mol Biol Cell*. 13:515-529.

Wang, N., I-J. Lee, G. Rask, and J.Q. Wu. 2016. Roles of the TRAPP-II Complex and the Exocyst in Membrane Deposition during Fission Yeast Cytokinesis. *PLoS Biol*. 14:e1002437.

Wang, N., M. Wang, Y.H. Zhu, T.W. Grosel, D. Sun, D.S. Kudryashov, and J.-Q. Wu. 2015. The Rho-GEF Gef3 interacts with the septin complex and activates the GTPase Rho4 during fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 26:238-255.

Weber-Boyvat, M., N. Aro, K.G. Chernov, T. Nyman, and J. Jantti. 2011. Sec1p and Mso1p C-terminal tails cooperate with the SNAREs and Sec4p in polarized exocytosis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 22:230-244.

Weber-Boyvat, M., H. Zhao, N. Aro, Q. Yuan, K. Chernov, J. Peranen, P. Lappalainen, and J. Jantti. 2013. A conserved regulatory mode in exocytic membrane fusion revealed by Mso1p membrane interactions. *Mol Biol Cell*. 24:331-341.

Weber, T., B.V. Zemelman, J.A. McNew, B. Westermann, M. Gmachl, F. Parlati, T.H. Söllner, and J.E. Rothman. 1998. SNAREpins: minimal machinery for membrane fusion. *Cell*. 92:759-772.

Weinberg, J., and D.G. Drubin. 2012. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis in budding yeast. *Trends Cell Biol*. 22:1-13.

Wu, J.-Q., J. Bahler, and J.R. Pringle. 2001. Roles of a fimbrin and an alpha-actinin-like protein in fission yeast cell polarization and cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 12:1061-1077.

Wu, J.-Q., J.R. Kuhn, D.R. Kovar, and T.D. Pollard. 2003. Spatial and temporal pathway for assembly and constriction of the contractile ring in fission yeast cytokinesis. *Dev Cell*. 5:723-734.

Wu, J.-Q., C. McCormick, and T.D. Pollard. 2008. Counting proteins in living cells by quantitative fluorescence microscopy with internal standards. *Methods in Cell Biology*.

Wu, J.-Q., V. Sirotkin, D.R. Kovar, M. Lord, C.C. Beltzner, J.R. Kuhn, and T.D. Pollard. 2006. Assembly of the cytokinetic contractile ring from a broad band of nodes in fission yeast. *J Cell Biol*. 174:391-402.

Yamamoto, H., N. Itoh, S. Kawano, Y. Yatsukawa, T. Momose, T. Makio, M. Matsunaga, M. Yokota, M. Esaki, T. Shodai, D. Kohda, A.E. Hobbs, R.E. Jensen, and T. Endo. 2011. Dual role of the receptor Tom20 in specificity and efficiency of protein import into mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 108:91-96.

Yang, S., K.R. Ayscough, and D.G. Drubin. 1997. A role for the actin cytoskeleton of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in bipolar bud-site selection. *J Cell Biol*. 136:111-123.

Ye, Y., I-J. Lee, K.W. Runge, and J.-Q. Wu. 2012. Roles of putative Rho-GEF Gef2 in division-site positioning and contractile-ring function in fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 23:1181-1195.

Ye, Y., A.H. Osmani, Z.R. Liu, A. Kern, and J.-Q. Wu. 2025. Fission yeast GPI inositol deacylase Bst1 regulates ER-Golgi transport and functions in late stages of cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 36:ar27.

Yoshida, S., S. Bartolini, and D. Pellman. 2009. Mechanisms for concentrating Rho1 during cytokinesis. *Genes Dev*. 23:810-823.

Zhang, G., R. Kashimshetty, K.E. Ng, H.B. Tan, and F.M. Yeong. 2006. Exit from mitosis triggers Chs2p transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to mother-daughter neck via the secretory pathway in budding yeast. *J Cell Biol*. 174:207-220.

Zhu, Y.H., J. Hyun, Y.Z. Pan, J.E. Hopper, J. Rizo, and J.Q. Wu. 2018. Roles of the fission yeast UNC-13/Munc13 protein Ync13 in late stages of cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*:mbcE18040225.

Zhu, Y.H., Y. Ye, Z. Wu, and J.-Q. Wu. 2013. Cooperation between Rho-GEF Gef2 and its binding partner Nod1 in the regulation of fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*. 24:3187-3204.

Table 1. **Summary of Tom20-GBP mistargeting assays.**

Protein 1	Protein 2	Protein 2 mislocalized to mitochondria (Y/N)	Figure
Rga7-mEGFP	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig 1 B
Rng10-mEGFP	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig 1 B
Rga7-mEGFP	Trs120-tdTomato	Y	Fig 1 C
Rng10-mEGFP	Trs120-tdTomato	Y	Fig 1 C
Rga7-EGFP	RFP-Bgs4	Y	Fig 1 D
Rng10-mEGFP	RFP-Bgs4	Y	Fig 1 D
Rga7-mEGFP	Ags1-mCherry	Y	Fig 1 E
Rng10-mEGFP	Ags1-mCherry	Y	Fig 1 E
Rga7-mEGFP	Smi1-tdTomato	Y	Fig 1 F
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Rga7-mCherry	Y	Fig S2 A
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Rng10-mCherry	Y	Fig S2 A
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Sec1-tdTomato	Y	Fig S2 B
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	RFP-Bgs4	Y	Fig S2 H
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Ags1-mCherry	Y	Fig S2 H
Rga7-FBD-mEGFP	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig S3 B
Rga7(ΔF-BAR)-mEGFP	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig S3 C
mECitrine-Rng10-(201-1038)	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig S3 E
Rng10(1-750)-mEGFP	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig S3 F
mECitrine-Rng10(751-1038)	Ync13-tdTomato	Y	Fig S3 G
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Sec3-mCherry	N	Fig S2 C
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Ede1-mCherry	N	Fig S2 D
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Fim1-mCherry	N	Fig S2 E
3nmt1-mECitrine-Ync13	Clc1-mCherry	N	Fig S2 F
Rga7-mEGFP	Sec3-tdTomato	N	Fig S2 G
Rng10-mEGFP	Sec3-tdTomato	N	Fig S2 G
Rga7-mEGFP	tdTomato-Bgs1	N	Fig S2 I
Rng10-mEGFP	tdTomato-Bgs1	N	Fig S2 I
Rng10-(1-200)-mEGFP	Ync13-tdTomato	N	Fig S3 D

Supporting Information

Table S1. *S. pombe* strains used in this study.

Strain	Genotype	Figure/video/reference
JW6068	*ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 rga7-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1A
JW6128	*rng10-mCherry-natMX6 ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1A
JW8946	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1B
JW9010	*rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1B
JW9339	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 trs120-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-21X leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1C
JW9435	*rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 trs120-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-21X leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1C
JW9315	*rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::RFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1?*	Fig 1D
JW9345	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::RFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6-M210?*	Fig 1D
JW9397	*ags1Δ 3'UTR$_{ags1}$$^+$::ags1$^+$-Cherry:leu1$^+$:ura4$^+$ rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 his3-D1? leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1E
JW9394	*ags1Δ 3'UTR$_{ags1}$$^+$::ags1$^+$-Cherry:leu1$^+$:ura4$^+$ rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 his3-D1? leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1E
JW10114	*tom20-GBP-hphMX6 smi1-tdTomato-kanMX6 rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 1F
JW7782	*ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 rga7-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2A
JW5730	*h$^+$ ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2A
JW6734	*h$^-$ rga7-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2A
JW9614	*rga7-13Myc-hphMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::GFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ ade6-210? leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1?*	Fig 2B
562	*h$^+$ bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::GFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1*	Fig 2B; Cortes et al., 2005
JW6734	*h$^-$ rga7-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2B
JW10167	*h$^+$ rga7-13Myc-hphMX6 smi1-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2C
JW8905	*h$^-$ smi1-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2C
JW6112	*h$^+$ rga7-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2C
JW10194	*rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 smi1-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2C
JW10185	*h$^+$ smi1-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2C
JW3660	*h$^-$ rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 2C
JW5730	*h$^+$ ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3, A and B
JW8876	*rga7Δ::ura4$^+$ ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-M21X leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3, A and B
JW8912	*rng10Δ::hphMX6 ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3, A and B
JW5969	*ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 rlc1-mCherry-natMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3C
JW8895	*ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 rlc1-mCherry-natMX6 rga7Δ::natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3C
JW8913	*ync13-mECitrine-kanMX6 rlc1-mCherry-natMX6 rng10Δ::hphMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3C

JW3660	*h⁻ rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3D
JW6063	*h⁻ ync13Δ::kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3D
JW9470	*kanMX6-3nmt1-ync13 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3D
JW3693	*rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3, E and F
JW6063	*h⁻ rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ync13Δ::kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3, E and F
JW5899	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3G
JW9483	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ync13Δ::kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 3G
JW6810	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ags1Δ 3'UTRₐgₛ₁⁺::ags1⁺-GFP:leu1⁺:ura4⁺ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 4A
JW6808	*ync13Δ::kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ags1Δ 3'UTRₐgₛ₁⁺::ags1⁺-GFP:leu1⁺:ura4⁺ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 4A
JW6152	*bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ync13Δ::kanMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4, B and D
JW6153	*bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4, B and D
JW5249	*GFP-bgs1-leu1⁺ bgs1Δ::ura4⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 4, C and E
JW6616	*GFP-bgs1-leu1⁺ bgs1Δ::ura4⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ync13Δ::kanMX6 ade6 ura4-D18*	Fig 4, C and E
562	*h⁺ bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1*	Fig 4F; Cortes et al., 2005
JW6752	*rng10Δ::kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ ura4-D18 his3-D1*	Fig 4F
JW9061	*ync13-19-his5⁺-kanMX6 rng10Δ::kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ ade6? ura4 his3-D1? leu1-32?*	Fig 4F
JW9062	*ync13-19-his5⁺-kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ ade6? ura4 his3-D1? leu1-32?*	Fig 4F
JW6152	*ync13Δ::kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4G
JW6153	*bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4G
JW9428	*rng10Δ::hphMX6 rga7Δ::kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ura4-D18 leu1-32 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4G
JW9432	*rng10Δ::hphMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ura4? leu1-32 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4G
JW9430	*rga7Δ::kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4⁺ Pbgs4⁺::GFP-bgs4⁺-leu1⁺ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ura4? leu1-32 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 4G
JW6731	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 5, A-D; Fig S5
JW7318	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 ync13Δ::kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 5, A-D; Fig S5
JW9461	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 rga7Δ::kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 5, A and B; Fig S5
JW9462	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 rng10Δ::hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 5, A-D; Fig S5
JW9459	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 rng10Δ::hphMX6 rga7Δ::kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 5, A and B; Fig S5

JW6153	*bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::GFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig 6, A and C
JW8831	*ync13Δ::kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig 6, B and C
JW7638	*rga7-mCherry-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1A
JW9005	*rng10-mCherry-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1B
JW9465	*kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1C
JW9490	*sec1-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1D
JW6969	*tom20-GBP-hphMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::RFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1?*	Fig S1E
JW7608	*ags1Δ 3'UTR$_{ags1}$$^+$::ags1$^+$-Cherry:leu1$^+$:ura4$^+$ tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1F
JW8868	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1G
JW8947	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S1H
JW9314	*tom20-GBP-hphMX6 rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1?*	Fig S1I
JW9475	*kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 rga7-mCherry–natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2A
JW9477	*kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 rng10-mCherry-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2A
JW9488	*sec1-tdTomato-natMX6 kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2B
JW9500	*sec3-mCherry-natMX6 kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2C
JW9473	*kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 ede1-mCherry-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2D
JW9478	*kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 fim1-mCherry-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2E
JW9495	*clc1-mCherry-natMX6 kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 his3? ade6-M21 ? leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2F
JW9450	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 sec3-tdTomato-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2G
JW9498	*bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::RFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2H
JW9471	*ags1Δ 3'UTR$_{ags1}$$^+$::ags1$^+$-Cherry:leu1$^+$:ura4$^+$ kanMX6-3nmt1-mECitrine-ync13 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S2H
JW9436	*bgs1Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs1$^+$-tdTomato-bgs1$^+$:leu1$^+$ rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6-M210?*	Fig S2I
JW9371	*bgs1Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs1$^+$-tdTomato-bgs1$^+$:leu1$^+$ rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6 M210 ?*	Fig S2I
JW8890	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 rga7FBD-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S3B
JW8986	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 rga7::kanMX6 GFP-rga7(ΔF-BAR):leu1$^+$ ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S3C
JW8942	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 rng10(1-200)-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S3D
JW8949	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 kanMX6-Prng10-mECitrine-rng10(201-1038) ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S3E
JW8952	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 rng10(1-750)-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S3F

JW8989	*ync13-tdTomato-natMX6 tom20-GBP-hphMX6 kanMX6-Prng10-mECitrine-rng10(751-1038) ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S3G
JW6153	*bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::GFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 his3-D1? ade6?*	Fig S4, A and B
JW9566	*sec1-M2-his5$^+$-kanMX6 bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::GFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 leu1-32 ura4 his3? ade6?*	Fig S4A
JW9563	*bgs4Δ::ura4$^+$ Pbgs4$^+$::GFP-bgs4$^+$-leu1$^+$ rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-ts1-his5$^+$-kanMX6 his5? leu1-32 ura4 ade6?*	Fig S4B
JW3693	*rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S4C
JW9673	*cwg1-1 rga7-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-210? leu1-32? ura4-D18*	Fig S4C
JW5899	*rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Fig S4D
JW9671	*cwg1-1 rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-210? leu1-32 ura4-D18?*	Fig S4D
JW9672	*cwg1-2 rng10-mEGFP-kanMX6 rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4*	Fig S4D
JW6731	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Video 1
JW7318	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 ync13Δ::kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Video 2
JW9462	*rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 trs120-3GFP-kanMX6 rng10Δ::hphMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*	Video 3

Figure legends

Figure 1. **Physical interactions among the key cytokinetic proteins in plasma membrane deposition and septum formation revealed by ectopic mistargeting to mitochondria by Tom20-GBP.** Arrowheads mark examples of colocalization at mitochondria. **(A)** Ync13 colocalizes with Rga7 and Rng10 at cell tips and the division site. **(B-F)** Tom20-GBP can ectopically mistarget pairs of proteins with Rga7/Rng10-mEGFP and tdTomato/RFP/mCherry tagged proteins to mitochondria. **(B)** Rga7/Rng10-Ync13. **(C)** Rga7/Rng10-Trs120. **(D)** Rga7/Rng10-Bgs4. **(E)** Rga7/Rng10-Ags1. **(F)** Rga7-Smi1. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure. 2. **Confirmation of Rga7's physical interactions with Ync13, Bgs4, Smi1 by co-IP and/or in vitro binding assays. (A-C)** Rga7-13Myc coimmunoprecipitates with Ync13 **(A)**, Bgs4 **(B)**, and Smi1 **(C, left)** from *S. pombe* cell extracts using antibodies against GFP. **(C, right)** Smi1-13Myc coimmunoprecipitates with Rga7. The vertical dashed lines mark the positions of protein ladders that were excised out. **(D)** In vitro binding of Rga7 and Ync13 using purified proteins. Bead bound MBP-Ync13-6xHis or MBP-6xHis control was incubated with Rga7-6xHis. The arrow marks the Rga7-6xHis band. **(E)** Supernatant depletion assay to measure the K_d between Ync13 and Rga7. (Top) Curve fit showing Rga7 bound fraction versus MBP-Ync13-6xHis concentration on the beads. (Bottom) Coomassie-stained gel of the supernatants showing Rga7-6xHis depletion. Numbers above each lane indicate total MBP-Ync13-6xHis concentration on the beads.

Figure 3. **Interdependence of Ync13, Rga7, and Rng10 localization to the division site. (A and B)** Rng10 and Rga7 are important for Ync13 localization. Micrographs **(A)** and fluorescence intensity at the division site **(B)** of Ync13 in WT, *rga7Δ*, and *rng10Δ*. *p < 0.0001 compared with WT. **(C and D)** Western blotting showing Ync13 **(C)** and Rga7 **(D)** protein levels in cells extracts from the indicated strains. α-TAT1 against tubulin was used as a loading control. **(E and F)** Micrographs and Rga7 intensity at the division site **(E)** and time courses **(F)** showing Rga7

concentration to the center of the division site in *ync13Δ* cells during late cytokinesis. Middle focal plane showing Rga7 localization relative to the contractile ring marked with Rlc1 except the Max projection of GFP in (E). Arrows in (F) mark Rga7 localization at the center of the division plane after ring constriction. **(G)** Micrographs showing Rng10 concentration to the center of the division site in *ync13Δ* cells during late cytokinesis. Max projection and DIC are shown. Cells were grown exponentially in EMMS liquid media at 25°C for 48 h in (E-G). Bars, 5 µm.

Figure 4. **The spatial distribution of Bgs4 in *ync13Δ* cells depends on Rng10.** Cells were grown exponentially in EMMS liquid media at 25°C for 48 h before imaging. **(A-C)** Time courses (in min) of Ags1 **(A)**, Bgs4 **(B)**, Bgs1**(C)** distribution at middle focal plane along the division plane relative to the contractile ring marked with Rlc1. Arrows in (A) mark Ags1 concentrated at the center of the division plane after ring constriction and in (B) mark decreased Bgs4 level at the septal edges. **(D** and **E)** Gaussian fits of fluorescence intensity of Bgs4 **(D)** and Bgs1 **(E)** along the division site during septum maturation (after Rlc1 ring disappearance until cell separation) in WT or *ync13Δ* cells. FWHM: mean ± standard deviation. **(F)** Time courses of Bgs4 localization in WT, *ync13-19*, *rng10Δ*, and *rng10Δ ync13-19* cells before and during cell separation. Both daughter cells lysed after cell separation in *rng10Δ ync13-19*. Cells were grown exponentially at 25°C and then shifted to 36°C for 2 h before imaging. Bars, 5 µm. **(G)** Normalized Bgs4 fluorescence intensity at the division site during septum maturation in the indicated strains. *p ≤ 0.0002 compared with WT.

Figure 5. **The Rga7-Rng10-Ync13 module regulates TRAPP-II complex-mediated vesicle tethering and fusion at the division site.** Cells were grown exponentially in EMMS liquid media at 25°C for ~48 h before imaging. **(A)** Trs120-3GFP localization in WT and *ync13Δ*, *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ*, and *rga7Δ rng10Δ* cells. Arrowheads mark the Trs120 at division site in cells with constricting ring labeled with Rlc1-tdTomato. **(B)** Quantification of Trs120 intensity at

division site in cells with a constricting ring as in (A). *p < 0.0001 compared with WT. **(C)** Trs120 puncta (arrowheads) move to the division site during ring constriction in WT, *rng10Δ*, and *ync13Δ* cells. Red boxes mark the ring position. Please also see the Videos 1-3. **(D)** Distribution of final tractable docking sites (each cell with colored dots that match the box color) of Trs120 labeled puncta in 2-min movies during ring constriction. The color boxes mark the ring position as shown in (C). Bars, 5 μm.

Figure 6. **The septum is defective in *ync13Δ* cells revealed by electron microscopy**. Electron microscopy thin sections were rotated and interpolated (bicubic) using Fiji so the septum is horizontal. Then the septum region was cropped and shown. Left: forming septum; Right: closed septum or daughter-cell separation and cell lysis (from the right side, the bottom two cells). Cells were grown exponentially at 25°C in YE5S + 1 M sorbitol for ~48 h and then washed into YE5S without sorbitol and grown for 3.5 h before collection for high pressure freezing. Arrowheads, mark examples of the primary septum. Arrow, marks an example of the electron dense materials near the leading edge of the septum. **(A)** *ync13*⁺ (WT) cells. **(B)** Representative *ync13Δ* cells with abnormal septa. **(C)** Quantification of percentage normal septa in *ync13*⁺ (WT) and *ync13Δ* cells. The septa in (B) are defined as abnormal. Bar, 500 nm.

Figure 7. **Working model for the major pathways of plasma membrane deposition and septum formation during cytokinesis.** The septum is illustrated asymmetrically intentionally. Top half septum shows digestion of the primary septum; bottom half septum shows septum formation. (1) The anillin Mid1 and F-BAR protein Cdc15 anchor the contractile ring to the plasma membrane, which recruits the β(1,3)-glucan synthase Bgs1 and its adaptor Sbg1 for primary septum formation. (2) Association of the F-BAR protein Rga7 and coiled-coil protein Rng10 with the Munc13/Unc13 protein Ync13 recruits the TRAPP-II complex along the cleavage furrow, which tethers vesicles and primes the SM protein Sec1/Mso1 for the SNARE complex assembly to trigger vesicle fusion with the plasma membrane independent of the

exocyst complex. This pathway maintains normal levels and distribution of gluacan synthases Bgs4/Smi1 and Ags1 for secondary septum formation. (3) The anillin Mid2 and septin rings restrict most of the exocyst complex to the rim of cleavage furrow to tether secretory vesicles loaded with glucanases Eng1 and Agn1 and other cargos for digestion of primary septum to trigger daughter-cell separation.

Figure S1. **Representative controls for the Tom20-GBP mistargeting experiments.** (A-I) Micrographs of DIC, 514/488/561 nm channels, and merged channels showing cells expressing Tom20-GBP and another indicated protein. Tom20-GBP does not bind to mCherry, RFP, or tdTomato so the tagged proteins cannot be recruited to mitochondria without proteins tagged with mEGFP or mECitrine. No signal bleed through between red (561 nm)/yellow (514 nm) or red (561 nm)/green (488 nm) channels. The brightness and contrast were adjusted the same as the experimental groups with three tagged proteins shown in Figs. 1 or S2 so some panels appear almost totally black. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure S2. **Positive and negative physical interactions revealed by mistargeting to mitochondria using Tom20-GBP.** Mislocalized Ync13 ectopically targets (examples marked with arrowheads) Rga7 and Rng10 **(A)**, Sec1 **(B)**, Bgs4 and Ags1 **(H)** to mitochondria, but cannot interact with the exocyst subunit Sec3 **(C)** or proteins Ede1 **(D)**, fimbrin Fim1 **(E),** and clathrin light chain Clc1 **(F)** in the endocytic pathway. Ync13 was overexpressed using the *3nmt1* promoter by growing exponentially in YE5S liquid medium for ~48 h before imaging. Rga7 and Rng10 cannot mistarget Sec3 **(G)** or Bgs1 **(I)** to mitochondria. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure S3. **Physical interactions between full length Ync13 and Rga7 or Rng10 truncations revealed by ectopic mistargeting to mitochondria by Tom20-GBP. (A)** Domain schematics of Rga7 and Rng10 (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). **(B-G)** Arrowheads mark examples of colocalization at mitochondria. Except Rng10-(1-200) in **(D)**, all other Rga7 and Rng10

truncations **(B, C, and E-G)** can mistargeting Ync13-tdToamto to mitochondria. Rga7FBD = Rga7(1-320) (Arasada and Pollard, 2015); Rga7(ΔF-BAR), Rga7 without the F-BAR domain. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure S4. **Localizations of Rga7, Rng10, and Bgs4 in temperature-sensitive mutants.** Cells grown exponentially at 25°C were shifted to 36°C for 4 h (A, C, D) or 2 h (B) before imaging. Rlc1-tdTomato as the ring marker. Bgs4 localization in *sec1-M2* **(A)** or *trs120-ts1* **(B)** mutant cells. Rga7 **(C)** and Rng10 **(D)** localization in *bgs4* mutants *cwg1-1* and *cwg1-2*. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure S5. **Trs120 accumulates at division site in *ync13Δ*, *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ*, and *rga7Δ rng10Δ* cells.** Rlc1-tdTomato marks the position and diameter of the contractile ring. Trs120-3GFP accumulates inside the area with the ring in *ync13Δ* cells, but outside the ring area in *rga7Δ*, *rng10Δ*, and *rga7Δ rng10Δ* cells at division site. The middle focal planes along with the sum intensity projection of the GFP channel from a 2-min continuous movie (exposure time 200 ms) without delay are shown. Arrowheads mark Trs120-3GFP in cells with constricting ring. Cells were grown exponentially in EMM5S liquid media for ~48 h before imaging. Bars, 5 μm.

Movie legends

Videos 1-3. **Movement of Trs120-3GFP vesicles to the division site.** The movies track the movement of Trs120-3GFP vesicles from the cytoplasm to the division site in WT, *rng10Δ*, and *ync13Δ* cells using colored lines. The videos correspond to Fig. 5 and Fig. S5. Strains were grown exponentially in EMMS liquid media at 25°C for ~48 h before imaging in continuous movies without delay. Time, min : sec.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure S1

Figure S2

A

Rga7

F-BAR		Rho-GAP
0	320	500 695

Rng10

Coiled-coil   Rga7 binding domain

0 71 91		751	1038

B Tom20-GBP

DIC | Rga7FBD-mEGFP | Ync13-tdTomato | Rga7 Ync13

C Tom20-GBP

DIC | GFP-Rga7(ΔF-BAR) | Ync13-tdTomato | Rga7 Ync13

D Tom20-GBP

DIC | Rng10-(1-200)-mEGFP | Ync13-tdTomato | Rng10 Ync13

E Tom20-GBP

DIC | mECitrine-Rng10-(201-1038) | Ync13-tdTomato | Rng10 Ync13

F Tom20-GBP

DIC | Rng10-(1-750)-mEGFP | Ync13-tdTomato | Rng10 Ync13

G Tom20-GBP

DIC | mECitrine-Rng10-(751-1038) | Ync13-tdTomato | Rng10 Ync13

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5