Research highlights

• Reveals the neuro-regenerative potential of the small, transparent adult vertebrate

Danionella cerebrum, a novel model for central nervous system (CNS) repair studies.

• Demonstrates CNS regeneration using two visual functional recovery assays and

longitudinal *in vivo* imaging of a novel adult axonogenesis reporter transgenic line, a myelin

reporter line, and mosaic labeling of retinal ganglion cell axons.

• Establishes a method to compare retinal ganglion cell axons before and after CNS injury

in adult *D. cerebrum*.

• Positions *D. cerebrum* as a powerful platform for visualizing long-distance CNS

regeneration in intact adult vertebrates.

27 **Graphical abstract**

28 **Longitudinal live imaging of adult *D. cerebrum* reveals the formation of a new axon**

29 **and myelin pattern post optic nerve injury along with functional recovery.**

In vivo imaging of central nervous system regeneration using *Danionella cerebrum*

Mallika Misyuk[1,2], Joseph L. Austin[1,2], Noelle R. Walechka[1,2], Juliet A. Peterka[1,2], Matthew B. Veldman[2,3], Pui-Ying Lam[1,2,*]

[1]Neuroscience Research Center, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

[2]Department of Cell Biology, Neurobiology and Anatomy, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

[3]Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

[*]*Corresponding author*

Pui-Ying Lam, PhD , plam@mcw.edu.

ORCID 0000-0002-6319-5752

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health Office of the Director under Award Number R21OD033664 (to PYL), S10OD032136 (to Brandon Tefft - Medical College of Wisconsin engineering core), and the Advancing a Healthier Wisconsin Endowment (to PYL).

Abstract

Rebuilding functional neuronal circuits after injury in the adult central nervous system (CNS)

is unachievable for many vertebrates. In pro-regenerative models, it is unclear how

regeneration and re-wiring is achieved in the CNS over long distances. The size and opacity

of the adult vertebrate brain makes it difficult to study re-innervation patterns and dynamic

cellular interactions during long-distance axon regeneration. Here, we harnessed the

properties of the small and transparent adult *Danionella cerebrum* for longitudinal *in vivo*

imaging of retinal ganglion cell axon regeneration, correlating cellular events with functional

recovery. Our results suggest that, following optic nerve injury, the arborization pattern of re-

innervation differs after regeneration, suggesting that new axon tracts are formed to restore

functional vision. Additionally, myelin is not restored to pre-injury levels, even after functional

recovery is achieved. The *D. cerebrum* model provides a unique opportunity to visualize and

experimentally manipulate the spatial and temporal events during CNS regeneration in intact

adult vertebrates.

Keywords: axon regeneration; central nervous system regeneration; *Danionella cerebrum*;

functional recovery; in vivo imaging; myelin; neuroregeneration; optic nerve injury; retinal

ganglion cell; transgenic model

Introduction

It is well known that after a central nervous system (CNS) injury, most mammals fail to sufficiently regenerate damaged axons to achieve proper target innervation. Long distance axon regeneration after an optic nerve injury has been successfully induced in mice using genetic manipulations (Park et al., 2008; Belin et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2016; Schaeffer et al., 2023), however, functional recovery of vision fails since axons do not make proper connections. Vision is restored after injury in pro-regenerative models, but it remains unclear how the neuronal arborization patterning is re-established during regeneration, nor is it known how axonal remodeling events during late regeneration contribute to functional recovery.

In nerve regeneration studies using vertebrate animals, the identification of key morphological events traditionally requires histological sectioning of fixed tissue, and/or tissue clearing (Chato-Astrain et al., 2022; Daeschler et al., 2022). Although optical tissue clearing techniques have enabled three-dimensional imaging of entire organs, it has not been possible to image dynamic cell-cell interactions, monitor the same animal over time, and correlate cellular events with functional recovery. Alternatively, the use of intra-vital microscopy in the CNS of traditional adult animal models requires the invasive implantation of an imaging window, yet allows for a limited imaging field and depth (Suthya et al., 2024). To overcome this, larval zebrafish have been used for the *in vivo* study of cellular dynamics and regeneration, owing to their small size and transparency. However, larvae lack complex memory-based behaviors and fully developed endocrine and neuro-immune systems, which makes it challenging to distinguish between different phases of regeneration and functional recovery or to identify sex-based differences and neuro-immune responses during regeneration (Murashova and Dyachuk, 2025). *Danionella cerebrum* (DC) is a new vertebrate model that provides a unique opportunity to visualize the entire brain, *in vivo,* at single cell resolution. Adult DC remain largely optically transparent, have the smallest known vertebrate brain, and lack a dorsal skull cap (Penalva-Tena et al.; Penalva et al., 2018; Schulze et al., 2018a; Britz et al., 2021; Lam, 2022; Lindemann et al., 2022; Rajan et al., 2022; Lee and Briggman, 2023; Cook et al., 2024;

Groneberg et al., 2024; Vasconcelos et al., 2024; Veith et al., 2024; Zada et al., 2024), which

makes DC particularly suitable for imaging cellular dynamics, especially in the CNS, in a live

animal without the need for surgical manipulations.

Investigating dynamic cellular biology during regeneration remains challenging in traditional

animal models due to limitations in size and transparency. The aim of this study was to

establish DC as a new model for longitudinal *in vivo* investigation of CNS regeneration and

functional recovery. We induced axonal injury in adult DC retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) by

adapting the optic nerve crush (ONC) technique, which has been used in other animal models

to study CNS regeneration (Bormann et al., 1998; Williams et al., 2020). To assess the

regenerative capacity of adult DC, we performed longitudinal live imaging using novel cell-

specific fluorescent reporter lines and correlated functional recovery with cellular events *in*

vivo. Our findings demonstrate that the DC model offers new opportunities for future studies

aimed at understanding how dynamic cellular events during regeneration contribute to

functional recovery.

Methods

Fish husbandry and maintenance

All animal work was carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for

Care and Use of Experimental Animals and approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin's

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol #AUA00007421 on 02/11/2023. All DC

(obtained from a cross between DC at the Bolton Museum Aquarium, Bolton, UK and wild-

caught samples purchased from The Wet Spot Tropical Fish store at Portland, OR, USA) were

maintained at 28°C on a 14-10 hour light-dark cycle. DC larvae were co-cultured with

euryhaline rotifers (*Brachonius plicatilis*) between 5 and 11 days post-fertilization (dpf).

Thereafter, they were placed into a re-circulating water system and fed rotifers once a day and

Artemia nauplii thrice a day until 14 dpf. Fish ≥ 1-month post-fertilization (mpf) were considered

adults and were fed with freshly hatched *Artemia nauplii* twice a day. Spawning was

encouraged by placing a ~6 cm white opaque silicone tube (6 mm inner diameter × 8 mm outer

diameter, McMaster-Carr, Elmhurst, IL, USA, Cat# 5054K812) on the bottom of tanks. After

collection of embryos, the media in the plate was exchanged every 24 h with E3 containing 10

mM HEPES (Gold Biotechnology, Olivette, MO, USA, Cat# H-400-250).

Generation of expression plasmids and transgenic lines

Tol2-*gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX* was made by performing a Multisite Gateway LR reaction

to assemble the following elements using LR Clonase II Plus enzyme (ThermoFisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, Cat# 12538120): *p5E-gap43, pME-mGreenLantern*, *p3E-*

CAAX(tH) (Addgene, Watertown, MA, USA, Cat# 109539) (Hall et al., 2020), and

pDestTol2pA2 (Kwan et al., 2007). *p5E-gap43* was created by amplifying the *gap43* promoter

from plasmid *pW1GFG43SA* (Udvadia, 2008) using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with

the following primers: Forward (F): ggggacaactttgtatagaaaagttggtcgactgtgtggcttccg, and

Reverse (R): ggggactgcttttttgtacaaacttgggttcaagttggttctatcctccct. The resulting PCR fragment

containing 3624 base pairs of the promoter, the transcription start site, and the 5'UTR

sequence stopping immediately before the initial ATG codon was integrated into the

pDONR^{TM}P4-P1R vector using a Gateway BP reaction. *pME-mGreenLantern-CAAX* was

created by amplifying *mGreenLantern* using *LifeAct-mGreenLantern* (Addgene Cat# 164459)

(Campbell   et   al.,   2020)   as   a   template   and   primers   F:

ggggacaagtttgtacaaaaaagcaggctccaccatggtgagcaagggcgaggagctg,

and R: ggggaccactttgtacaagaaagctgggtgcttgtacagctcgtccatgtcatg. The PCR product was then

cloned into *pDONR221* using a Gateway BP reaction. The *Tol2-mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX* and

Tol2-isl2b:Tdtomato-CAAX expression constructs were created using Gateway LR reactions

and combining either *p5E-mbpa* or *p5E-isl2b* (Ben Fredj et al., 2010) with *pME-TdTomato-*

CAAX (Addgene, Cat# 135204) (Oehlers et al., 2015), *p3E-polyA* (Tol2kit # 302) (Kwan et al.,

2007) and *pDestTol2pA2* (Kwan et al., 2007). The zebrafish *mbpa* promoter (Jung et al., 2010;

Pinzon-Olejua et al., 2017) was amplified from genomic DNA using primers F:

ggggacaactttgtatagaaaagttggcttacactcactgacaaatag   and   R:

ggggactgcttttttgtacaaacttgtctaggtgttgatctgttcag, then integrated into the *pDONR^{TM}P4-P1R*

vector using a Gateway BP reaction to create *p5E-mbpa*.

All single transgenic lines and sparsely labeled fish were generated by microinjection of 2-3

nL of a DNA-transposase mixture into 1-4 cell stage *wild-type* (*WT*) DC embryos. The DNA-

transposase mixture contained 8-8.8 ng/µL of DNA, 12.6 ng/µL *in vitro* transcribed Tol2

transposase mRNA (mMESSAGE mMACHINE™ SP6 Transcription Kit, ThermoFisher

Scientific, Cat# AM1340) and 0.05% phenol red (Sigma Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA, Cat#

P0290) as an indicator. Injected larvae were screened at 4 dpf and animals positive for reporter

expression were raised to adults. To generate stable transgenic lines, adult F0 fish were in-

crossed to produce F1 embryos that were screened for correct and complete transgene

expression. Double transgenic lines were generated by outcrossing fish of the opposite sex

from each transgenic line.

Generation of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (*mitf*) mutant line

Target sites for CRISPR/Cas9-directed mutagenesis were selected with the help of the online

tool CHOPCHOP (Montague et al., 2014; Labun et al., 2016; Labun et al., 2019). A *mitf* gRNA

(5'- GGGAGCCAAACTCAGCCCTC -3') targeting exon 5 of the DC *mitf* gene was used to

generate the *mitf* knockout. The generation of the sgRNA template and its subsequent

transcription followed previously published protocols (Parvez *et al.,* 2021). Briefly, the DNA

template for *in vitro* transcription was generated using a fill-in reaction of two annealed oligos.

One oligo contained the *mitf* targeting sequence along with the SP6 promoter sequence

(underlined)

<u>ATTTAGGTGACACTATAG</u>GGAGCCAAACTCAGCCCTCGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAAT

AGCAAG.   The   second   oligo   contained   the   constant   region

AAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACTT

GCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAAC. The fill-in reaction used the following parameters: 98°C - 2

min, 50°C - 10 min, 72°C - 10 min, 4°C - Hold. The annealed and filled-in oligo was purified

using a Zymo DNA Clean and Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA, Cat#

D4013). *In vitro* transcription was performed using MEGAscript™ SP6 Transcription kit

(ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# AM1330) according to manufacturer's guidelines. Samples

were then purified using an RNA Clean and Concentrator-5 (Zymo Research, Cat# R1013). A

5 µL injection mixture was prepared with Buffer 3.1, 1000 ng gRNA, 0.84 µL EnGen Cas9

(NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA, Cat# M0646T). Then, 0.5 nL of the injection mixture was injected

into 1-2 cell stage embryos. Genotyping of crispants, *WT* controls, and putative *mitf*^{-/-} F1

animals was carried out by first extracting genomic DNA from tail fin clips (for adults) or from

cells isolated by using a Zebrafish Embryo Genotyper (Lambert et al., 2018) (for larvae). PCR

primers CCCACATGCCTAAACTTTGCAC and GTTGAGGGTGAGAAGGGCCA flanking the

gRNA targeted site were used for PCR amplification of genomic DNA using Phire tissue direct

PCR master mix (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# F170S). PCR products were then purified and

sequenced.

ONC and Optic Nerve Transection (ONT)

Adult DC were anaesthetized using 52.24 µg/mL Tricaine-S (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat#

NC0342409) diluted in fish system water. The fish was positioned on a custom 3-D printed

(Lam 2022) holder at an oblique angle with the eye to be injured facing up. Excess fish water

was removed. Under a dissecting microscope with bottom illumination, the optic nerve was

exposed by gently removing the connective tissue on the dorsal half of the eye and rotating

the eye out of its socket. Using a #5 forceps (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA,

USA, Cat# 0203-5-PS-1), ONC was carried out by closing the forceps on the optic nerve gently

for 5 s while avoiding the ophthalmic artery posterior to the optic nerve. Similarly, ONT was

carried out by cutting the optic nerve using micro-scissors (Fine Science Tools, Foster City,

CA, USA, Cat# 15000-08). Immediately after the procedure, the ONC/ONT site was assessed

under a dissecting microscope. A successful ONC was determined if the crushed site became

opaque and the axonal tissue remained attached. A successful ONT was determined if the

optic nerve head was fully detached from the rest of the optic nerve. The fish were then placed

in a recovery tank for approximately 15 minutes or until the fish resumed normal swimming,

after which they were transferred to the circulating tank system in the fish facility.

Looming Response (LR) assay

To analyze the recovery of vision in adult DC post optic nerve injury, we adapted an LR assay,

that was previously established in zebrafish to assess looming visual stimulus-evoked escape

responses (Temizer et al., 2015; McKee and McHenry, 2020). The LR assay was performed

in a custom-made blackout box measuring 45 cm × 38 cm × 30 cm. The box had a hinged

front door and was lit with a uniform white LED light source (NXENTC A4 Tracing Light Pad,

Amazon, Seattle, WA, USA, ASIN: B07D57F8X7) set to maximum brightness (1630 lx) to

provide contrast for recording and tracking of fish. The fish was placed in a clear plastic tank

(Michaels, Irving, TX, USA, Cat# 10728059) measuring 10.16 cm × 20.32 cm × 7.62 cm and

filled with 770 mL of fish water maintained at 24 - 28°C. The bottom and lateral insides of the

tank were covered in matte-clear tape (Gaffer Power Transparent Duct Tape, Amazon, ASIN:

B076J2BS1B) to reduce internal reflection. The tank was placed in the blackout box 2.9 cm

away from the LED light screen. The camera (Logitech, Lausanne, Switzerland, P/N: 860-

000451, 1080p, 30fps) was placed 33 cm from the light screen to capture images of the whole

tank. A Raspberry Pi monitor, 15×23.5 cm (ROADOM Raspberry Pi Screen, Amazon, ASIN:

B09XDK2FRR), mirrored a computer monitor that played the stimulus video. The monitor was

centered and placed face down at 2.54 cm above the water. The stimulus video was created

using Adobe Premiere Pro 2024 (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA, Version 24.0.1). The video

projected a white screen for 2 min, followed by a looming stimulus presented as an expanding

circle. The opacity, expansion speed and final size of the circle were optimized to illicit a robust

change in swimming speed of uninjured *WT* fish while the stimulus appeared. An expanding

opaque black circle that grew from 0 to 10.8 cm at a radius growth rate of 5.4 cm/s was used.

The opaque black circle expanded over 2 s and remained on the screen for one minute. As

the stimulus appeared, a grey rectangle appeared on the right side of the screen to allow for

accurate tracking of the stimulus during analysis. This grey rectangle was reflected to the

camera by a mirror (Iconikal Metal Compact, Amazon, ASIN: B0B75R1K8N) placed beside

the tank.

Fish can respond to a looming stimulus even with one functional eye. To accurately assess

the time-course of vision recovery post ONC, an ONT was first performed on the left eye of

the fish to induce permanent blindness in that eye, followed by an ONC on the right eye of the

same fish one day later. A LR assay was performed on the same fish over the course of 12

days to assess the recovery of vision on the ONC-injured eye. The fish was given adequate

time (5-20 min) to acclimate to the looming tank environment before the looming assay was

performed. Acclimation was considered complete when the fish displayed leisurely swimming

throughout tank.

An ANY-Maze video tracking system (ANY-Maze, Dublin, Ireland, Version 7.4) was used for

animal tracking. A Python code was created to analyze the data generated from ANY-Maze.

The code identified the exact time the visual stimulus appeared by detecting the change in

pixel intensity in the reflection of the mirror. The code averaged the swim speed of the fish 1 s

before the stimulus and 1 second as the stimulus was played. 2-3 trials were conducted per

fish for each time-point. Fish showed minimal looming responses at 1-day post ONC (dpONC).

The average change in speed at this time-point was used as the baseline for determining a

reaction score. If a trial's average change in speed was greater than one standard deviation

of the average change in speed at 1 dpONC, the trial scored a 1 and considered a positive

response, otherwise, the trial scored a 0 and was considered a non-response. The score was

averaged for the trials at each time-point for each fish. The scores were converted to a

percentage and graphed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA,

Version 10.4.1).

Dorsal light response (DLR) assay

The DLR assay (Watanabe et al., 1989; Lindsey and Powers, 2007; Mensinger and Powers,

2007; Beckers et al., 2023) was adapted for DC and used to investigate the behavioral

response of fish to visual stimuli by quantifying the tilt angle of the head orientation while

swimming. Single fish were acclimated for 5 to 10 minutes (until normal swim behavior was

observed) in a clear acrylic chamber (6 cm× 6 cm× 6 cm) containing 130 mL of fish water

maintained at 24-26°C. Each apparatus had two side-by-side chambers, allowing for

simultaneous recording of two fish. The lateral and bottom insides of the chamber were lined

with 100% light blocking white vinyl (VELIMAX White Blackout Window Film, Amazon, ASIN:

B08P8KQCL4), while the front was covered with one-way mirror film (KESPEN Window

Privacy Film One Way, Amazon, ASIN: B07PBTWCXC) with 28% visible light transmission,

oriented such that the reflective side faced inward. The back side of the chamber remained

transparent. A uniform white LED light source (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA, Cat# LB0100)

illuminated the chamber from the back, operating at its maximum light intensity (17900 lx)

setting to provide optimal contrast for video capture.

Fish were filmed swimming toward the mirror film using a camera (iPhone 11, 1080p

resolution, 30 fps) positioned 12.5 cm away from the front of the chamber. The camera was

focused on the center of the chamber, with fish monitored during recording to ensure head-on

views were captured. Video recordings varied in length from less than 1 minute to

approximately 5 minutes. For analysis, 3-5 frames were captured from each video recording,

where the fish was positioned head-on relative to the camera. Head tilt angles were measured

from the captured frames using Fiji (ImageJ Version 1.54p, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA)

(Schindelin et al., 2012). Specifically, the straight-line tool was used to draw a line connecting

the top pixel of one eye to the top pixel of the other eye. This line was aligned at the intersection

of a cross to facilitate the angle measurement. The angle was quantified using the angle tool

in Fiji. The change in head tilt angle was normalized by subtracting the head tilt angle at pre

ONC time-point to each time-point. Fish possessing a change in head tilt angle above 10

degrees at 1 dpONC were considered for further analysis. The change in head tilt angle was

measured over several days and plotted using GraphPad Prism.

Fish mounting and intubation for imaging

For larval DC: At the desired developmental stage, larval DC were anaesthetized using 200

µg/mL Tricaine-S diluted in E3 containing 10 mM HEPES. Anaesthetized larvae were mounted

for imaging using 1% low melt agarose (Apex Bioresearch, Houston, TX, USA, Cat# 20-104)

in E3 in the desired orientation on a 3.5 cm glass bottom petri dish (Cellvis, Mountain View ,

CA, USA, Cat# D35-20-0-N). Once the agarose had hardened, 2 mL of 200 µg/mL Tricaine-S

in E3 was added to the petri dish to cover the agarose.

For adult DC: Fish were lightly anaesthetized with 65 µg/mL Tricaine-S diluted in fish water

and then embedded in 4% low melt agarose dissolved in E3 buffered with 10mM HEPES on

a custom imaging chamber equipped with an intubation inflow tube that was placed inside the

mouth of the fish, supplying fresh fish water for the duration of imaging, as previously

described (Lam, 2022). For long-term time-lapse imaging of regeneration, fish were mounted

and then intubated with 65 µg/mL Tricaine-S diluted in fish water for the duration of imaging.

Image acquisition

All images were acquired using the NIS elements software (Nikon, Minato City, Tokyo, Japan,

Version 5.41.01) on a Nikon Ti2 inverted microscope (Nikon) fitted with a Yokogawa CSU-W1

spinning disk confocal head (Yokogawa Co., Hachioji City, Tokyo, Japan). Images were

captured using a Prime 95B Scientific CMOS camera (Teledyne Vision Solutions, Waterloo,

Ontario, Canada), and fluorophores were excited using a 488 nm or 561 nm excitation laser.

Images were captured using the following objectives: 4× Air 0.2 Numerical aperture (N.A.), 10

× Air 0.45 N.A., 20× Air 0.75 N.A. or 40× Water 1.25 N.A.

Analysis of gap43 reporter signal

All quantification was performed using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism. For the analysis of developmental downregulation of *gap43* reporter signal in **Figure S4**, *gap43* reporter signal was quantified using maximum intensity projection (MIP) images from confocal z-stack images. A fixed region of interest (ROI) was defined in the hindbrain (HB), midbrain (MB), and forebrain (FB) using the bright-field image as guidance. Mean signal intensity in the ROI was calculated and normalized to 2 mpf using the formula: mean intensity in the ROI at X mpf time-point /2 mpf time-point. For the analysis of *gap43* reporter signal in response to an optic nerve injury in **Figure 3K, 3Q** and **Figure S5K, S5L**, *gap43* reporter signal was quantified using single z-plane images at the medial contralateral optic tectum (cTeO; ROI as shown by the white dotted line in **Figure 3B'-G', B"-G"** and yellow dotted line in **O-P**). The mean intensity under the line-ROI indicated in **Figure 3J** was calculated at different time-points and normalized with pre ONC using the formula: mean intensity the under the line-ROI at X dpONC time-point/ pre ONC. For the analysis of *gap43* reporter signal in a z-plane where re-innervation was observed in the medial optic tectum (TeO) in **Figure 3L**, the intensity of *gap43* reporter signal under the line-ROI from the rostral to caudal cTeO was plotted.

Analysis of oligodendrocyte membrane reporter signal

Quantification of oligodendrocyte membrane reporter signal was performed using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism. The pattern of the oligodendrocyte membrane reporter signal shown in **Figure 6G** was analyzed by plotting the mean signal intensity under a line ROI in the MIP image of the dorsal cTeO. Quantification of the percentage area covered by the myelin signal in **Figure 6H** was performed in a defined ROI in the rostral-dorsal TeO using MIP images. The ROI was selected to ensure that no pigment spots were included in the quantification. The same signal thresholding parameters

were applied for each animal to quantify the percentage of area covered by the

oligodendrocyte membrane reporter *mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX*.

Analysis of distribution of sparsely labeled RGCs pre- and post-injury by depth

Confocal z-stack images of sparsely labelled RGCs at pre- and post-ONC time points were

quantified for signal intensity by depth using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and graphs were

generated using GraphPad Prism. The post ONC image was registered to the pre ONC image

using the "BigWarp" Fiji plug-in (Schindelin et al., 2012) (Thuma et al., 2023). A fixed ROI in

the cTeO was used to plot the signal intensity by depth using the "plot z-axis profile" option in

Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Post-acquisition image processing and video rendering

Post-acquisition, images in **Figure 3H-I,** and **Figs. 4-6** were subjected to Denoise.ai (NIS

elements, Version 5.41.01). For image registration across different time-points, an image from

the brightfield channel was used as a reference. Images in **Figs. 3-6** were registered in the X-

Y direction using the "Align Image by Line ROI" Fiji plug-in. Additionally, in **Figure 4B-E, 4B'-**

E' and **5E-N**, the "BigWarp" Fiji plug-in was also used for image alignment. In **Supplemental**

Video 4, video alignment was done using the FiJi plug-in "Linear Stack Alignment with

SIFT"(Lowe, 2004). In **Supplemental Video 5** and **6**, Denoise.ai and 3-D deconvolution (NIS

elements; parameters used were: "Deconvolution Method": Automatic, "Modality": Spinning

Disk, "Pinhole Size": 50.00 μm, "Magnification": 40.0x, "Numerical Aperture": 1.15, "Immersion

Ref. Index": 1.333, "Calibration": 0.275 μm/px, "Z-step": 0.400 μm) were applied. 3-D rendering

videos were created using the "Movie Maker" function in NIS elements. Adobe Premiere Pro

was used to add titles, annotations, timestamps, and to sync videos.

Statistical analysis

Preliminary n number estimations were done using G*power (Kang, 2021) (Version 3.1.9.4; α

= 0.05, and power (1-β) = 0.95). All statistical analysis post data acquisition was performed

using GraphPad Prism. All data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk

normality test. 1-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was carried out when comparing means

of three or more independent groups. A Repeated Measures 1-way ANOVA was carried out

when comparing means of three or more groups that are dependent. A Repeated Measures

2-way ANOVA was carried out when means of two or more independent groups were

compared over time. The mixed effects ANOVA model was fitted when the sample size of each

group was different.

Results

Adult D. cerebrum can functionally recover from an optic nerve injury

In non-mammalian vertebrates, RGC axons exit the eye as the optic nerve and innervate the

TeO, the largest brain center for visual processing. After an optic nerve injury in zebrafish,

approximately 75% of RGCs survive (Zou et al., 2013) with axonal regeneration (Udvadia,

2008; Diekmann et al., 2015) leading to functional recovery (Mensinger and Powers, 2007;

Beckers et al., 2023; Hu and Veldman, 2025). It is not known if the CNS of adult DC can

regenerate. We established the ONC technique in adult DC to inflict a CNS injury (**Figure 1A-**

A'), then assessed their ability to regain vision using two behavioral assays, a DLR and a LR

assay. The DLR assay has been used to assess vision in fish. When vision is lost in one eye,

fish tend to tilt their body such that the eye that has lost vision faces upwards. The recovery

of head tilt correlates with the recovery of vision (Watanabe et al., 1989; Lindsey and Powers,

2007; Mensinger and Powers, 2007; Beckers et al., 2023; Hu and Veldman, 2025). Based on

this principle, we devised a DLR assay for adult DC to accommodate their small size and

transparency for video recording and analysis (**Figure 1B, S1A and Methods**). After

subjecting *WT* animals to an ONC, we measured the head tilt angle over the course of 18 days

(**Figure 1B' and 1C**). Compared to pre ONC, a significant change in head tilt angle was

observed at 1 dpONC (**Figure 1D;** Total pre ONC vs 1 dpONC *P value* < 0.0001). Head tilt

angle recovered by 18 dpONC, suggesting that adult DC can recover vision after an ONC

(**Figure 1D and Supplemental Video 1**). When we compared the recovery of males to

females, no significant difference was observed except at 10 dpONC (males vs females at 10

dpONC P = 0.0449).

To evaluate the functional vision of injured DC, we established an LR assay (**Figure 1E and**

F and S1B). This assay tests the ability of fish to detect a predatory stimulus and has been

used to assess visual function (Temizer et al., 2015; Dunn et al., 2016). Fish can display an

escape behavior in response to a looming stimulus with only one eye. To specifically assess

vision recovery from the ONC-injured eye, the optic nerve of one eye was first transected, then

an ONC was performed on the other eye one day later (**Figure 1G**). We used the DLR assay

to validate that vision did not recover after a full ONT in adult DC (**Figure S2;** Total pre ONT

vs 18 dpONC *P value* < 0.0001). The percentage of fish responding to a looming stimulus at

1 dpONC was significantly lower compared to those that responded pre-injury (**Figure 1H;** -1

dpONC vs 1 dpONC *P value* < 0.0001). However, 22.62% of the fish were scored as

responding to the stimulus at 1 dpONC despite having no intact optic nerves. This was likely

due to sporadic movement of the fish coinciding with stimulus. Vision recovery was observed

at 8 dpONC, although the percentage of fish that responded was still lower than at pre ONC

levels (**Figure 1H and Supplemental Video 2**). The time to recover from ONC, as determined

using the DLR assay differed from the LR assay. This might be due to the different synaptic

connections required to elicit different visual responses as it was shown that teleost fish use

different visual pathways when responding to different visual cues (Nevin et al., 2010).

Nonetheless, our results show that adult DC can recover from an ONC injury, the progress of

which can be assessed using a DLR and/or LR assay.

An axonogenesis reporter line for adult fish

To facilitate the *in vivo* visualization of regenerating axons, we generated a novel transgenic

DC line, *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)*. Previous work has shown that the *gap43* promoter

from Japanese pufferfish, *Takifugu,* can be used to track neuronal development and

regeneration in zebrafish (Udvadia, 2008). At early developmental stages (2 dpf), robust

gap43 promoter-driven expression in the brain and eye was observed (**Figure 2A-D, 2A'-D'**

and S3) and persisted into the young adult stage (2.5 mpf) (**Figure 2E-E'**). *gap43* promoter-

driven expression was detected in different regions of the FB, MB, and HB at all depths

imaged, from the dorsal plane through to the ventral plane (**Figure 2G-I, 2G'-I', Supplemental**

Video 3). These observations demonstrated that this *gap43* promoter-based transgenic line

shows expression throughout the brain and allows for monitoring of neurogenesis in the entire

brain of adult DC.

The expression of the endogenous *gap43* gene is downregulated as the animal ages due to

the conclusion of neurogenesis (Reinhard et al., 1994; Karimi-Abdolrezaee et al., 2002). We

performed longitudinal imaging experiments on the same *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)*

animals to quantify levels of *gap43* promoter-driven expression in multiple brain regions

(**Figure S4A**). We observed significant downregulation of *gap43* reporter signal in all 3 regions

of the brain at 6.5 mpf as compared to 2 mpf (for HB 2 mpf vs 6.5 mpf *P* value < 0.0001, for

MB 2 mpf vs 6.5 mpf *P* value = 0.0012, for FB 2 mpf vs 6.5 mpf *P* value = 0.0007). Although

levels had decreased in all regions tested, we could still detect signal at 6.5 mpf (**Figure S4B-**

J), suggesting that fish at this age continue to exhibit some degree of neurogenesis.

Axon regeneration in vivo

To visualize RGC axon regeneration *in vivo*, we performed an ONC in adult

Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) fish then carried out longitudinal imaging on the same fish.

We observed an upregulation of *gap43* reporter signal in the cTeO starting at 4 dpONC,

followed by its downregulation by 9 dpONC (**Figure S5A-G**). Interestingly, when animals were

subjected to an ONT, there was no significant difference in the *gap43* reporter signal intensity

between pre ONT and 5 dpONT in the cTeO (**Figure S5H-K**). This suggests that a more severe

transection injury does not lead to the appearance of regenerating neurites and corroborates

our observation that animals receiving an ONT lack vision recovery when assessed using the

DLR assay (**Figure S2**). We originally generated the *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)*

transgenic line in *WT* DC. The presence of melanophore pigment cells in the epidermal layer

dorsal to the brain (**Figure S5D**), dynamically changed their shape and hindered our ability to

quantify and compare the intensity of the *gap43* reporter signal in the same animal's brain

over time. To overcome this, we generated a DC pigmentation mutant for *in vivo* imaging of

neuro-regeneration. Mutating the *mitfa* gene in zebrafish produced fish that lack

melanophores (Lister et al., 1999). We identified the DC *mitf* gene using the NCBI DC Genome

assembly ASM722483v1, then designed gRNAs to generate a *mitf*$^{-/-}$ mutant line using

CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutagenesis (**Figure S6A**). *mitf*$^{-/-}$ mutant DC have reduced

melanophore pigment cells when compared to *WT* fish, both at larval (**Figure S6B and C)** and

adult stages (**Figure S6D-I**). There was no significant difference in the looming response

between *mitf*$^{-/-}$ and *WT* animals, suggesting that *mitf*$^{-/-}$ fish have normal vision (**Figure S6J**).

We performed an ONC on *mitf*$^{-/-}$ animals and used the DLR assay to assess their ability to

regenerate. Results between *WT* and *mitf*$^{-/-}$ animals were similar (**Figure S6K**). One difference

we did observe, however, was that *mitf*$^{-/-}$ animals displayed a significantly slower swim speed

in response to a looming stimulus when compared to *WT* animals (**Figure S6L**; post stimulus

WT vs *mitf*$^{-/-}$, P* value = 0.0001).

Pigment-deficient *mitf*$^{-/-}$ fish were used to generate a *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)*

transgenic line. These fish were subjected to ONC and imaged over the course of 10 days.

The lack of pigment in this transgenic line allowed us to observe *gap43* reporter signal without

melanophore cell obstruction (**Figure 3A-I**). Growth cones of RGC axons were observed in

the cTeO at 4 dpONC (**Figure 3H-H' and Supplemental Video 4**). Quantification of the *gap43*

reporter signal in single z-plane images from the medial TeO zone (**Figure 3A and 3B'-G'**)

showed that *gap43* reporter signal increased in the cTeO at 4 dpONC, peaked at 5 dpONC,

then diminished by 8-10 dpONC (**Figure 3J-K**; pre ONC vs 4 dpONC *P* value = 0.0446; pre

ONC vs 5 dpONC *P* value = 0.0346). No significant difference in the *gap43* reporter signal

was observed between males and females at any time-point tested (**Figure 3K**). In addition,

we observed a progression of the *gap43* reporter signal in the cTeO from a rostral to caudal

position over time (**Figure 3J, 3L and Supplemental Video 4**). While the downregulation of

gap43 reporter signal correlated with results from the DLR assay which showed vision

recovery from 5-10 dpONC, the head tilt angle continued to improve after the complete

downregulation of *gap43* reporter signal expression (**Figure S5L**). We speculate that after

gap43 reporter downregulation, additional modifications occur in the neuronal circuitry that

facilitate functional recovery. There was no significant upregulation of *gap43* reporter signal in

the ipsilateral optic tectum (iTeO) between pre ONC and 5 dpONC conditions (**Figure 3M-Q**),

suggesting a complete decussation of the optic nerve at the optic chiasm similar to that in

zebrafish (Diekmann et al., 2015). Taken together, we have generated a novel DC transgenic

line that takes advantage of the *gap43* promoter to visualize *in vivo* axon regeneration. When

combined with functional assays, this line has allowed us to correlate cellular phenotypes with

long distance RGC axon regeneration with vision recovery.

Regenerated axons display an altered arborization pattern post-ONC

In pro-regenerative vertebrates, studies have shown that RGC axons re-innervate the TeO

after an optic nerve injury (Dow et al., 1994; Zou et al., 2013; Hu and Veldman, 2025).

However, it is unclear if RGC axons re-establish connections for functional vision recovery by

replicating their original axonal arborization pattern after injury. Imaging the brain of the same

animal before and after injury would help answer this question but this has not been feasible

using traditional adult animal models. To compare the arborization pattern of RGC axons in

the TeO before injury and post-regeneration, we used sparse labeling to visualize individual

cells by introducing mosaic expression of the *isl2b:TdTomato-caax* plasmid in *mitf*^{-/-} fish. The

zebrafish *isl2b* promoter drives transgene expression in healthy RGCs (Ben Fredj et al., 2010).

We imaged the entire contralateral MB region of uninjured fish, subjected them to an ONC

injury, then imaged them throughout the regeneration process (**Figure 4A**). Compared to pre-

injury conditions, we observed degeneration of RGC axons at 1 dpONC as indicated by the

fragmentation and reduction of the *isl2b*-driven fluorescent signal in the TeO (**Figure 4B-C**

and **4B'-C'**). By 5 dpONC, an extensive network of regenerating neurites was observed (**Figure 4D and 4D'**). Post-regeneration, neuronal remodeling was observed between 5-10 dpONC (**Figure 4D-E and 4D'-E'**), corresponding to the time when gradual improvements in the DLR were observed (**Figure 1D**). When detailed optical sectioning of the cTeO was performed in the same animal before and after an ONC, and the mean intensity of the signal was plotted by depth, a general similarity in the distribution of the re-innervated RGC axons was observed (**Figure 4F-H, 5A-K and Supplemental Video 5**). Interestingly, axonal re-modeling continued to occur from 25-40 dpONC, a time-point after injured fish exhibit a normal DLR (**Figure 5G-K and 5J'-K'**). By comparing the patterning of RGC neurites before injury and at 40 dpONC, we observed a stark difference in the dorsal cTeO (**Figure 5L**). When imaging at a deeper medial level within the TeO, we observed the expanding arborization of regenerating RGC axons from 10-40 dpONC, a period later than that in the dorsal TeO (**Figure 5G and 5M-P**). Similar to that in the dorsal TeO, the patterning of RGC axons in the deeper medial zone of the TeO pre-injury was distinct from that at 40 dpONC (**Figure 5N**).

Myelinating oligodendrocyte membrane dynamics during RGC axon regeneration in the cTeO

Myelination in the CNS has been well-documented using longitudinal imaging in developing zebrafish larvae, owing to their optical transparency (Karttunen et al., 2017; Hughes and Appel, 2020; Li et al., 2024). Based on fixed time-point histological data and results from pooled tissue samples, it has been reported that adult zebrafish can restore myelin to full thickness 4 weeks after a CNS injury (Münzel et al., 2014; Zou et al., 2015). To visualize myelinating oligodendrocyte membrane dynamics and analyze the relationship between myelin and regenerating neurites in adult DC *in vivo*, we generated a double transgenic line *Tg(mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX, gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)* where both oligodendrocyte membranes and regenerating neurites are fluorescently labeled. Following ONC, we observed changes in the morphology of the oligodendrocyte membrane reporter signal (**Figure 6A-D, 6B'-D' and 6B''-D''**) and its pattern (**Figure 6G**), alongside changes in regenerating neurites

in the cTeO (**Figure 6B-D and 6B"-D"**). There was a significant decrease in the percentage area covered by myelin in the cTeO at 5 dpONC compared to pre-injury levels (**Figure 6H**; pre ONC vs 5 dpONC *P* value = 0.0055). At this time point, re-innervation of *gap43* positive axons in the cTeO was observed (**Figure 6D and 6D"**), with some myelin fragments in contact with regenerating neurites (**Figure S7A-C, 7B'-C', 7B"-C" and Supplemental Video 6**). When comparing the pre-injury state (**Figure 6B and 6B"**) to later post ONC time points, the myelin pattern remained altered (**Figure 6E-F, 6E'-F' and 6G**) and myelin levels remained reduced (**Figure 6H**; pre ONC vs 40 dpONC *P* = 0.0065). Even at 60 dpONC, myelin patterns remained distinct from that in the iTeO (**Figure S7D-F**). Although the *mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX* oligodendrocyte membrane reporter labels both demyelinating myelin fragments and newly formed myelin, we were able to detect demyelination and remyelination by comparing the myelin pattern in the same region across different time points (**Figure 6I-L**).

In conclusion, our work demonstrates that with the development of unique transgenic lines, robust longitudinal imaging techniques, and vision-based behavioral assays, adult DC can be utilized for comprehensive studies that quantify and correlate CNS axon regeneration, myelination, and functional recovery.

Discussion

Many questions remain unanswered regarding the regeneration of axons in the CNS (Tsata and Wehner, 2021). A major hurdle has been understanding how regenerating axons re-innervate their targets in the process of reforming functional circuits. Observing this phenomenon *in vivo* and identifying contributing cellular interactions is essential. Some progress has been achieved by comparing the differential regenerative capacity of teleost fish such as zebrafish and medaka (Lai et al., 2017; Lai et al., 2019; Shimizu and Kawasaki, 2021; Chowdhury et al., 2022). However, a limitation to this approach is the inability to analyze the contribution of dynamic spatio-temporal interactions between different cell types during CNS regeneration and functional recovery. In this study, we have established a new adult animal

model, *Danionella cerebrum*, for the longitudinal study of CNS regeneration using the optic

nerve crush injury. We harnessed the properties of their optical transparency and small size

in adulthood and performed longitudinal *in vivo* imaging of cell specific fluorescent reporters

throughout the injury and regeneration process. We demonstrated that adult DC possess the

ability to regenerate their RGC axons following injury, ultimately leading to functional visual

recovery. Imaging revealed that axons regenerated over a long distance and exhibited

evidence of axonal remodeling. Real-time *in vivo* characterization of regeneration and

recovery was facilitated by generating novel DC transgenic reporter lines for axonogenesis

and myelinated oligodendrocyte membranes. For longitudinal assessment of RGCs pre- and

post-regeneration, we employed a sparse labeling technique using an RGC specific promoter.

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing was used to generate a pigment mutant line to enhance *in vivo*

brain imaging. Additionally, two behavior-based assays were developed to assess vision

recovery. Using these tools, we found that through axon remodeling events, the regenerated

axon network displayed an arborization pattern distinct from its pre-injury state and that

regenerating axons re-innervated appropriate targets, leading to functional vision recovery.

However, levels of myelin expression and its pattern of distribution were not fully restored even

well after vision recovery. The DC model provides new avenues for future studies aimed at

understanding how successful CNS regeneration is orchestrated.

The time course of axonal regeneration events in the TeO following optic nerve injury was

illustrated using *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)* and mosaic *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX*

expression experiments. While reporter expression driven by the *gap43* promoter is transiently

upregulated in regenerating axons, it is not specific to RGCs. The *isl2b* promoter, on the other

hand, drives reporter expression specifically in RGCs, regardless of injury. However, literature

suggests that the *isl2b* gene is downregulated following optic nerve injury in other teleost fish

(Chen et al., 2022; Ali et al., 2025). Due to this property, tectal denervation and reinnervation

were not quantified using the *isl2b* promoter, as it is not possible to measure and compare

reporter signal intensity within the same animal at different time points to reflect the extent of

RGC axon regeneration. Additionally, the transient and mosaic nature of *isl2b* reporter expression used for sparse RGC labeling prevents reliable signal quantification across animals. Given the distinct properties of the *gap43* and *isl2b* promoters, they complement each other by enabling visualization of early axon regeneration and subsequent axon reinnervation, respectively. In this study, the survival rate of RGCs in DC following ONC was not characterized. We observed that the same labeled RGCs reinnervated the optic tectum after ONC in the sparse and mosaic labeled *isl2b* reporter experiments. Due to the mosaic nature of the labeling, the regenerating axons are likely derived from the injured *isl2b* reporter expressing RGCs that were imaged prior to ONC. However, since an increase in the number of RGCs throughout life has been reported in other teleost fish (Wan et al., 2016), future studies are needed to characterize the contribution of newly formed RGCs to functional visual recovery following optic nerve injury in adult DC.

To establish a functional connection, axons must form a specific pattern to ensure proper target innervation (Gibson and Ma, 2011; Hasegawa and Kuwako, 2022). Since traditional animal models provide limited *in vivo* optical access to monitor regenerating axons in mature brains, little data is available to determine whether similar axonal re-arborization patterns are important for vision recovery. We tested the hypothesis that vision recovery relies on the post injury arborization patterns produced by regenerated axons matching their pre-injury state. We performed longitudinal imaging on animals with mosaic RGC labeling, monitored the progression of regenerating axons after injury, and compared arborization patterns between pre- and post-injury states. After ONC, we observed Wallerian degeneration followed by re-innervation of axons into the cTeO. Initially, regenerating axons formed an extensive network. Later, at a phase coinciding with vision recovery, axon remodeling occurred. Intriguingly, when comparing the axonal innervation in the same animal between the pre-injury state and the remodeling phase, we observed a similar distribution of the re-innervated neurites within the cTeO but with distinct arborization patterns. In conclusion, we demonstrated that RGC axons can regenerate and re-innervate correct targets in the TeO, leading to vision recovery.

It remains unclear, however, whether all RGCs possess the same capacity for axon

regeneration. Studies using this sparse labeling approach in combination with specific

promoters for RGC subpopulations will provide insight into the capacity and necessity of

different RGC subtypes to regenerate in response to guidance molecules. Our work shows

that the DC model, combined with our transgenic lines and imaging methods, provides a

powerful platform for the *in vivo* investigation of mechanisms that regulate axonal arborization

pattern formation and refinement.

The relationship between myelination and regenerative outcomes remains unclear in adult

teleosts (Münzel et al., 2014; Karttunen et al., 2017). Our data revealed that injured animals

continued to exhibit reduced myelin levels in the cTeO even after vision recovery, suggesting

that although functional recovery occurs relatively quickly, a return to pre-injury myelin status

takes longer or may never be achieved. Although the DLR and LR assays provide information

on the intactness of the animal's vision, visual acuity remains untested. Our results suggest

that vision recovery occurs before the completion of remyelination. Further investigation is

needed to determine the relationship between visual acuity and remyelination. In the current

study, it remains unclear whether all regenerated RGC axons are re-myelinated and whether

the *mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX* oligodendrocyte membrane reporter labels compact myelin.

Future investigations using a combination of different RGC axon and myelin reporters along

with electron-microscopy and functional recovery assays, will provide insight into the role of

myelination in different stages of axonal regeneration and functional recovery.

The DC model serves as a novel system for uncovering the fundamental mechanisms

underlying CNS regeneration. It must be acknowledged that species-specific differences in

CNS regeneration capabilities and anatomical features between DC and humans exists.

Zebrafish have been proven to be a valuable translational research model (Phillips and

Westerfield, 2014) with 71.4% of human genes having at least one zebrafish orthologue (Howe

et al., 2013). A recent study suggested that 85% of zebrafish genes have a homolog in DC

(Schulze et al., 2018b), highlighting the translational potential of the DC model. Currently, 86%

of the DC genome is annotated (Kadobianskyi et al., 2019). Future efforts to continuously

improve the DC genome sequence and its annotation will facilitate transcriptomic analyses

and cross-species comparisons, enabling the identification of candidate pro-regenerative

pathways for further validation in non-regenerative models.

Together, the arsenal of adult transgenic live imaging tools and visual functional recovery

assays established in this study create a powerful and unique opportunity for the utilization of

DC to study optic nerve regeneration. Our transgenic lines, combined with functional recovery

assays, hold promise for advancing our understanding of how the nervous system is

successfully rewired after injury and for gaining insight into how this process is regulated

across diverse contexts — including, but not limited to, brain stab injuries, spinal cord injuries,

and other injuries to sensory systems such as olfactory and auditory systems.

Acknowledgements

Wild-type DC stocks were obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Adam Douglass (University of

Utah). The *gap43* promoter containing plasmid *pW1GFG43SA* was a kind gift from Dr. Ava

Udvadia (University of Wisconsin). Dr. Ross Collery (Medical College of Wisconsin) cloned

the *pME-mGreenLantern* construct. Hannah G. Tebbs (Medical College of Wisconsin)

performed the LR reaction and purified the *gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX* construct. This work

is funded by the National Institutes of Health Office of the Director under Award Number

R21OD033664 (P.Y.L.), S10OD032136 (to Brandon Tefft - MCW engineering core), and the

Advancing a Healthier Wisconsin Endowment (P.Y.L). Portions of the schematic diagrams

were created using BioRender (BioRender.com).

Author contributions

M.M. and P.Y.L. conceptualized and designed the experiments, interpreted the results, wrote

and revised the manuscript with input from all authors. M.M, J.L.A, N.R.W. and J.A.P.

performed the experiments, analyzed the results and wrote the Materials and Methods

section. M.B.V. generated the *gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX* plasmid and assisted in

establishing the ONC technique and the DLR assay.

Conflicting Interest: All authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: Materials (including transgenic lines and plasmids), as well

as the data supporting this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

Ali A, Schriever H, Kostka D, Kuwajima T, Koenig KM, Gross J (2025) Zebrafish optic nerve
injury results in systemic retinal ganglion cell dedifferentiation.
bioRxiv:2025.2004.2009.646875.

Beckers A, Bergmans S, Van Dyck A, Moons L (2023) Analysis of Visual Recovery After
Optic Nerve Crush in Adult Zebrafish. Methods Mol Biol 2636:437-447.

Belin S, Nawabi H, Wang C, Tang S, Latremoliere A, Warren P, Schorle H, Uncu C, Woolf
CJ, He Z, Steen JA (2015) Injury-induced decline of intrinsic regenerative ability
revealed by quantitative proteomics. Neuron 86:1000-1014.

Ben Fredj N, Hammond S, Otsuna H, Chien CB, Burrone J, Meyer MP (2010) Synaptic
activity and activity-dependent competition regulates axon arbor maturation, growth
arrest, and territory in the retinotectal projection. J Neurosci 30:10939-10951.

Bormann P, Zumsteg VM, Roth LW, Reinhard E (1998) Target contact regulates GAP-43 and
alpha-tubulin mRNA levels in regenerating retinal ganglion cells. J Neurosci Res
52:405-419.

Britz R, Conway KW, Rüber L (2021) The emerging vertebrate model species for
neurophysiological studies is Danionella cerebrum, new species (Teleostei:
Cyprinidae). Sci Rep-Uk 11:18942-18942.

Campbell BC, Nabel EM, Murdock MH, Lao-Peregrin C, Tsoulfas P, Blackmore MG, Lee FS,
Liston C, Morishita H, Petsko GA (2020) mGreenLantern: a bright monomeric
fluorescent protein with rapid expression and cell filling properties for neuronal
imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:30710-30721.

Chato-Astrain J, García-García ÓD, Campos F, Sánchez-Porras D, Carriel V (2022) Basic
Nerve Histology and Histological Analyses Following Peripheral Nerve Repair and
Regeneration. In: Peripheral Nerve Tissue Engineering and Regeneration (Phillips

JB, Hercher D, Hausner T, eds), pp 151-187. Cham: Springer International
Publishing.

Chen S, Lathrop KL, Kuwajima T, Gross JM (2022) Retinal ganglion cell survival after severe
optic nerve injury is modulated by crosstalk between Jak/Stat signaling and innate
immune responses in the zebrafish retina. Development 149.

Chowdhury K, Lin S, Lai SL (2022) Comparative Study in Zebrafish and Medaka Unravels
the Mechanisms of Tissue Regeneration. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 10.

Cook V, Groneberg AH, Hoffmann M, Kadobianskyi M, Veith J, Schulze L, Henninger J, Britz
R, Judkewitz B (2024) Ultrafast sound production mechanism in one of the smallest
vertebrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 121:e2314017121.

Daeschler SC, Zhang J, Gordon T, Borschel GH (2022) Optical tissue clearing enables rapid,
precise and comprehensive assessment of three-dimensional morphology in
experimental nerve regeneration research. Neural Regen Res 17:1348-1356.

Diekmann H, Kalbhen P, Fischer D (2015) Characterization of optic nerve regeneration using
transgenic zebrafish. Front Cell Neurosci 9:118.

Dow KE, Levine RL, Solc MA, DaSilva O, Riopelle RJ (1994) Axonal transport of
proteoglycans in regenerating goldfish optic nerve. Exp Neurol 126:129-137.

Dunn TW, Gebhardt C, Naumann EA, Riegler C, Ahrens MB, Engert F, Del Bene F (2016)
Neural Circuits Underlying Visually Evoked Escapes in Larval Zebrafish. Neuron
89:613-628.

Gibson DA, Ma L (2011) Developmental regulation of axon branching in the vertebrate
nervous system. Development 138:183-195.

Groneberg AH, Dressler LE, Kadobianskyi M, Muller J, Judkewitz B (2024) Development of
sound production in Danionella cerebrum. J Exp Biol 227.

Hall TE, Martel N, Ariotti N, Xiong Z, Lo HP, Ferguson C, Rae J, Lim YW, Parton RG (2020)
In vivo cell biological screening identifies an endocytic capture mechanism for T-
tubule formation. Nat Commun 11:3711.

Hasegawa K, Kuwako K-i (2022) Molecular mechanisms regulating the spatial configuration
of neurites. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 129:103-114.

Hecker A, Anger P, Braaker PN, Schulze W, Schuster S (2020) High-resolution mapping of
injury-site dependent functional recovery in a single axon in zebrafish.
Communications Biology 3:307.

Howe K et al. (2013) The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the
human genome. Nature 496:498-503.

Hu M, Veldman MB (2025) Srebf2 mediates successful optic nerve axon regeneration via the
mevalonate synthesis pathway. Molecular Neurodegeneration 20:28.

Hughes AN, Appel B (2020) Microglia phagocytose myelin sheaths to modify developmental
myelination. Nat Neurosci 23:1055-1066.

Jung SH, Kim S, Chung AY, Kim HT, So JH, Ryu J, Park HC, Kim CH (2010) Visualization of myelination in GFP-transgenic zebrafish. Dev Dyn 239:592-597.

Kadobianskyi M, Schulze L, Schuelke M, Judkewitz B (2019) Hybrid genome assembly and annotation of Danionella translucida. Scientific Data 6:156.

Kang H (2021) Sample size determination and power analysis using the G*Power software. J Educ Eval Health Prof 18:17.

Karimi-Abdolrezaee S, Verge VM, Schreyer DJ (2002) Developmental down-regulation of GAP-43 expression and timing of target contact in rat corticospinal neurons. Exp Neurol 176:390-401.

Karttunen MJ, Czopka T, Goedhart M, Early JJ, Lyons DA (2017) Regeneration of myelin sheaths of normal length and thickness in the zebrafish CNS correlates with growth of axons in caliber. PLoS One 12:e0178058.

Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, Parant JM, Yost HJ, Kanki JP, Chien CB (2007) The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. Dev Dyn 236:3088-3099.

Labun K, Montague TG, Gagnon JA, Thyme SB, Valen E (2016) CHOPCHOP v2: a web tool for the next generation of CRISPR genome engineering. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W272-276.

Labun K, Montague TG, Krause M, Torres Cleuren YN, Tjeldnes H, Valen E (2019) CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W171-W174.

Lai SL, Marín-Juez R, Stainier DYR (2019) Immune responses in cardiac repair and regeneration: a comparative point of view. Cell Mol Life Sci 76:1365-1380.

Lai SL, Marín-Juez R, Moura PL, Kuenne C, Lai JKH, Tsedeke AT, Guenther S, Looso M, Stainier DY (2017) Reciprocal analyses in zebrafish and medaka reveal that harnessing the immune response promotes cardiac regeneration. Elife 6.

Lam PY (2022) Longitudinal in vivo imaging of adult Danionella cerebrum using standard confocal microscopy. Dis Model Mech 15.

Lambert CJ, Freshner BC, Chung A, Stevenson TJ, Bowles DM, Samuel R, Gale BK, Bonkowsky JL (2018) An automated system for rapid cellular extraction from live zebrafish embryos and larvae: Development and application to genotyping. PLoS One 13:e0193180.

Lee TJ, Briggman KL (2023) Visually guided and context-dependent spatial navigation in the translucent fish Danionella cerebrum. Curr Biol 33:5467-5477 e5464.

Li J, Miramontes TG, Czopka T, Monk KR (2024) Synaptic input and Ca2+ activity in zebrafish oligodendrocyte precursor cells contribute to myelin sheath formation. Nature Neuroscience 27:219-231.

Lim JH, Stafford BK, Nguyen PL, Lien BV, Wang C, Zukor K, He Z, Huberman AD (2016) Neural activity promotes long-distance, target-specific regeneration of adult retinal axons. Nat Neurosci 19:1073-1084.

Lindemann N, Kalix L, Possiel J, Stasch R, Kusian T, Koster RW, von Trotha JW (2022) A comparative analysis of Danionella cerebrum and zebrafish (Danio rerio) larval locomotor activity in a light-dark test. Front Behav Neurosci 16:885775.

Lindsey AE, Powers MK (2007) Visual behavior of adult goldfish with regenerating retina. Vis Neurosci 24:247-255.

Lister JA, Robertson CP, Lepage T, Johnson SL, Raible DW (1999) nacre encodes a zebrafish microphthalmia-related protein that regulates neural-crest-derived pigment cell fate. Development 126:3757-3767.

Lowe DG (2004) Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. International journal of computer vision 60:91-110.

McKee A, McHenry MJ (2020) The Strategy of Predator Evasion in Response to a Visual Looming Stimulus in Zebrafish (Danio rerio). Integr Org Biol 2:obaa023.

Mensinger AF, Powers MK (2007) Visual function in regenerating teleost retina following surgical lesioning. Vis Neurosci 24:299-307.

Montague TG, Cruz JM, Gagnon JA, Church GM, Valen E (2014) CHOPCHOP: a CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res 42:W401-407.

Münzel EJ, Becker CG, Becker T, Williams A (2014) Zebrafish regenerate full thickness optic nerve myelin after demyelination, but this fails with increasing age. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2:77.

Murashova L, Dyachuk V (2025) Modeling traumatic brain and neural injuries: insights from zebrafish. Front Mol Neurosci 18:1552885.

Nevin LM, Robles E, Baier H, Scott EK (2010) Focusing on optic tectum circuitry through the lens of genetics. BMC Biol 8:126.

Oehlers SH, Cronan MR, Scott NR, Thomas MI, Okuda KS, Walton EM, Beerman RW, Crosier PS, Tobin DM (2015) Interception of host angiogenic signalling limits mycobacterial growth. Nature 517:612-615.

Park KK, Liu K, Hu Y, Smith PD, Wang C, Cai B, Xu B, Connolly L, Kramvis I, Sahin M, He Z (2008) Promoting axon regeneration in the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR pathway. Science 322:963-966.

Penalva-Tena A, Bedke J, Gaudin A, Barrios JP, Bertram EPL, Douglass AD Oxytocin-mediated social preference and socially reinforced reward learning in the miniature fish Danionella cerebrum. Current Biology.

Penalva A, Bedke J, Cook ESB, Barrios JP, Bertram EP, Douglass AD (2018) Establishment of the miniature fish species Danionella translucida as a genetically and optically tractable neuroscience model. bioRxiv.

Phillips JB, Westerfield M (2014) Zebrafish models in translational research: tipping the scales toward advancements in human health. Dis Model Mech 7:739-743.

855 Pinzon-Olejua A, Welte C, Chekuru A, Bosak V, Brand M, Hans S, Stuermer CA (2017) Cre-
856   inducible site-specific recombination in zebrafish oligodendrocytes. Dev Dyn 246:41-
857   49.

858 Rajan G, Lafaye J, Faini G, Carbo-Tano M, Duroure K, Tanese D, Panier T, Candelier R,
859   Henninger J, Britz R, Judkewitz B, Gebhardt C, Emiliani V, Debregeas G, Wyart C,
860   Del Bene F (2022) Evolutionary divergence of locomotion in two related vertebrate
861   species. Cell Rep 38:110585.

862 Reinhard E, Nedivi E, Wegner J, Skene JH, Westerfield M (1994) Neural selective activation
863   and temporal regulation of a mammalian GAP-43 promoter in zebrafish.
864   Development 120:1767-1775.

865 Schaeffer J, Vilallongue N, Belin S, Nawabi H (2023) Axon guidance in regeneration of the
866   mature central nervous system: step by step. Neural Regeneration Research
867   18:2665-2666.

868 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
869   Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-
870   image analysis. Nature methods 9:676-682.

871 Schulze L, Henninger J, Kadobianskyi M, Chaigne T, Faustino AI, Hakiy N, Albadri S,
872   Schuelke M, Maler L, Del Bene F, Judkewitz B (2018a) Transparent Danionella
873   translucida as a genetically tractable vertebrate brain model. Nat Methods 15:977-
874   983.

875 Schulze L, Henninger J, Kadobianskyi M, Chaigne T, Faustino AI, Hakiy N, Albadri S,
876   Schuelke M, Maler L, Del Bene F, Judkewitz B (2018b) Transparent Danionella
877   translucida as a genetically tractable vertebrate brain model. Nature Methods 15:977-
878   983.

879 Shimizu Y, Kawasaki T (2021) Differential Regenerative Capacity of the Optic Tectum of
880   Adult Medaka and Zebrafish. Front Cell Dev Biol 9:686755.

881 Suthya AR, Wong CHY, Bourne JH (2024) Diving head-first into brain intravital microscopy.
882   Front Immunol 15:1372996.

883 Temizer I, Donovan JC, Baier H, Semmelhack JL (2015) A Visual Pathway for Looming-
884   Evoked Escape in Larval Zebrafish. Curr Biol 25:1823-1834.

885 Thuma TBT, Bogovic JA, Gunton KB, Jimenez H, Negreiros B, Pulido JS (2023) The big
886   warp: Registration of disparate retinal imaging modalities and an example overlay of
887   ultrawide-field photos and en-face OCTA images. PLoS One 18:e0284905.

888 Tsata V, Wehner D (2021) Know How to Regrow-Axon Regeneration in the Zebrafish Spinal
889   Cord. Cells 10.

890 Udvadia AJ (2008) 3.6 kb genomic sequence from Takifugu capable of promoting axon
891   growth-associated gene expression in developing and regenerating zebrafish
892   neurons. Gene Expr Patterns 8:382-388.

893 Vasconcelos RO, Bolgan M, Matos AB, Van-Dunem SP, Penim J, Amorim MCP (2024)
894   Characterization of the vocal behavior of the miniature and transparent fish model,
895   Danionella cerebruma). J Acoust Soc Am 155:781-789.

Veith J, Chaigne T, Svanidze A, Dressler LE, Hoffmann M, Gerhardt B, Judkewitz B (2024)
The mechanism for directional hearing in fish. Nature 631:118-124.

Wan Y, Almeida AD, Rulands S, Chalour N, Muresan L, Wu Y, Simons BD, He J, Harris WA
(2016) The ciliary marginal zone of the zebrafish retina: clonal and time-lapse
analysis of a continuously growing tissue. Development 143:1099-1107.

Watanabe S, Takabayashi A, Takagi S, von Baumgarten R, Wetzig J (1989) Dorsal light
response and changes of its responses under varying acceleration conditions. Adv
Space Res 9:231-240.

Williams PR, Benowitz LI, Goldberg JL, He Z (2020) Axon Regeneration in the Mammalian
Optic Nerve. Annu Rev Vis Sci 6:195-213.

Zada D, Schulze L, Yu JH, Tarabishi P, Napoli JL, Milan J, Lovett-Barron M (2024)
Development of neural circuits for social motion perception in schooling fish. Curr
Biol 34:3380-3391 e3385.

Zou S-q, Yin W, Huang Y-b, Tian C, Ge S-c, Hu B (2015) Chapter 2 - Functional
Regeneration and Remyelination in the Zebrafish Optic Nerve. In: Neural
Regeneration (So K-F, Xu X-M, eds), pp 21-41. Oxford: Academic Press.

Zou S, Tian C, Ge S, Hu B (2013) Neurogenesis of retinal ganglion cells is not essential to
visual functional recovery after optic nerve injury in adult zebrafish. PLoS One
8:e57280.

Main figure titles and legends

Figure 1. Assessing functional recovery after an ONC using DLR and LR Assays. (A)

Dorsal view of an adult DC pre ONC with black arrowheads flanking the optic nerve. **(A')**

Schematic representation of the black dotted area in (A) illustrating the ONC procedure. After

a successful ONC, the region of the optic nerve subjected to ONC turns opaque. **(B)**

Schematic representation the DLR assay chamber. **(B')** Illustration of how the head tilt angle

is measured. Brown arrow showing a right tilt (positive angle) and green arrow showing a left

tilt (negative angle). **(C)** Timeline schematic of the DLR assay with the time-points that head

tilt angle was measured indicated by black dots. (i-iii) Representative images of the same fish

(i) pre ONC, and at (ii) 1 dpONC and (iii) 18 dpONC. Scale bar = 200 μm. **(D)** Change in head

tilt angle compared to pre ONC values. All data are represented in mean ± Standard Error of

Mean (±SEM). Black solid line represents all animals (n = 18), pink dotted line represents

females (n = 9), and blue dotted line represents males (n = 9). *P* values were calculated using

Mixed Effects Repeated Measures 2-way ANOVA. *P* values of all animals (total) of each time-points are indicated above the trace where pre and post ONC measurements were compared. *P* values from left to right: *P* < 0.0001, *P* < 0.0001, *P* < 0.0001, *P* < 0.0001, *P* < 0.0001, *P* = 0.0105, and *P* = 0.1088. For females vs. males, at 1 dpONC, *P* = 0.0655; at 4 dpONC, *P* = 0.3086; at 6 dpONC, *P* = 0.6475; at 8 dpONC, *P* = 0.6981; at 10 dpONC *P* = 0.0449; at 14 dpONC *P* = 0.7762; and 18 dpONC *P* = 0.9985. Annotations as presented on graph: ns (not significant) *P* ≥ 0.05, * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01, *** *P* < 0.001, **** *P* < 0.0001. **(E)** Schematic representation of the LR Assay. **(F)** Schematic illustration of the expanding circle parameters used in the LR assay. **(G)** Timeline schematic of the LR assay with the time-points that LR was assessed indicated by black dots. **(H)** Percentage of fish responding to the LR stimulus pre- and post-injury. All data represented in mean ±SEM (n = 14 including 7 females and 7 males). *P* value of each time-point was calculated using Repeated Measures 1-way ANOVA. *P* values represented as compared to -1 dpONC/pre-injury time-point. *P* values from left to right: *P* = 0.5013, *P* < 0.0001, *P* = 0.0017, *P* = 0.1126, and *P* = 0.7827. Annotations as presented on graph: ns *P* ≥ 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01, **** *P* < 0.0001.

Figure 2. Generating the *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)* axonogenesis reporter line. **(A-D)** and **(A'-D')** Confocal images of *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)* larvae acquired using a 20X objective (N.A. = 0.75, 0.9 μm/step). **(A-D)** *gap43* promoter driven expression (green channel) overlayed with the bright field (BF) channel in 2 and 5 dpf larvae. **(A-A' and C-C')** Dorsal confocal MIP images. The total z-stack was 199.80 μm in (A-A') and 246.60 μm in (C-C'). (B-B' and D-D') Lateral confocal MIP images. The total z-stack was 199.90 μm in (B-B') and 199.80 μm in (D-D'). (B'') Inset of the region marked in the blue rectangle in B' showing *gap43*-driven expression of fluorescent protein in the eye. **(E-E')** Lateral confocal MIP images of an adult *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)* male at 2.5 mpf. Image was acquired using a 4X objective (N.A. = 0.2). The total z-stack was 1050 μm with a 25 μm/step. ♂ = Male. **(E)** *gap43* promoter driven expression (green channel) overlayed with the BF channel. **(E')** Green channel only. **(F)** Schematic representation of the approach used to acquire confocal images

of the adult DC brain (images shown in G-I and G'-I'). Z-stacks were acquired at 2.5 µm/z-step

using a 10X objective (N.A. = 0.45) on a 2 mpf *Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX)* male

measuring 1.32 cm in length. **(G-I)** *gap43* promoter driven expression (green channel)

overlayed with the bright field (BF) channel. **(G'-I')** Green channel only. **(G and G')** Single

plane image of the dorsal brain (z-position= 0.00 µm). **(H-H')** Single plane image of the medial

brain (z-position = 100.00 µm). **(I-I')** Single plane image of the ventral brain (z-position =

200.00 µm).

Figure 3. Longitudinal confocal imaging of axon regeneration after an ONC injury.

(A) Schematic illustrations showing the imaged area depicted in B-G and B'-G'. The solid

green rectangle in the lateral view (a), corresponds to the area imaged and presented as MIPs

of the dorsal portion of the TeO in B-G. The green dotted line in the lateral view (b),

corresponds to an area more ventral to (a) and presented as single plane images of the medial

TeO in B'-G'. ♂ = Male. **(B-G, B'-G', B''-G'')** Confocal images acquired in a

Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) male in *mitf*$^{-/-}$ at 3 mpf with a length of 1.36 cm using a 20X

objective (N.A. = 0.75, 0.9 µm/z-step). **(B-G)** Dorsal TeO MIP images of the *gap43* promoter-

driven fluorescent signal at the indicated time-points. The total z-stack thickness was 117.00

µm in (B), 115.20 µm in (C), 119.70 µm in (D), 112.50 µm in (E), 115.20 µm in (F), and 118.80

µm in (G). **(B'-G')** Single plane images of a deeper medial region in the TeO of the same fish.

(B''-G'') Magnified area corresponding to the yellow dotted rectangle in B'-G'. Measurements

of *gap43* reporter signal were made in the region bounded by the white dotted lines. **(H)**

Magnified view of the region indicated by a blue rectangle in D. **(I)** Magnified view of the region

indicated by a blue rectangle in E. **(J)** *gap43* reporter signal intensity was quantified in the

area indicated by the white dotted line. This area represents the site of re-innervation (marked

by black arrow). **(K)** Mean intensity of *gap43* reporter expression in the ROI indicated in (J)

normalized to pre ONC levels was measured for 10 dpONC. All data represented in mean

±SEM. Black line represents all animals (n = 16), pink dotted line represents the females (n =

7), blue dotted line represents the males (n = 9). *P* values at each time-point compared to pre

ONC were calculated using Mixed Effects Repeated Measures 2-way ANOVA. *P* values of all

animals (total) from left to right: $P = 0.9728$, $P = 0.0446$, $P = 0.0346$, $P = 0.1417$, $P = 0.5474$,

$P = 0.9576$. For females vs males, at 1 dpONC, $P = 0.3399$; at 4 dpONC, $P = 0.3250$; at 5

dpONC, $P = 0.6274$; at 6 dpONC, $P = 0.5323$; at 8 dpONC $P = 0.8613$; and at 10 dpONC $P = 0.9375$.

0.9375. Annotations as presented on graph: ns $P \geq 0.05$, * $P < 0.05$. **(L)** Intensity of *gap43*

reporter signal (arbitrary units) in the TeO ROI (indicated in J) measured in the same animal

at the time-points indicated. **(M)** Schematic representation of regions and depths imaged in N-

P. Magenta rectangle in the dorsal view shows the region imaged in (N) and the dotted

rectangle shows the region in O-P. (a') Solid bar corresponds to the region imaged and shown

in (N) as a MIP. (b') Dotted line corresponds to the single plane images shown in (O-P). **(N-P)**

Confocal images acquired using a 10X objective (N.A. = 0.45, 2.5 μm/z-step) in a *mitf*^{-/-}

Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) male at 3.5 mpf with a length of 1.30 cm. White dotted line

separates the cTeO and the iTeO. **(N)** Dorsal TeO MIP image of the expression of *gap43*

reporter at 5 dpONC. The total z-stack thickness was 125.00 μm. **(O-P)** Medial TeO single z-

plane image of the expression of *gap43* reporter at time-points indicated. The ROI quantified

in Q is demarked by the orange dotted lines. **(Q)** Intensity of *gap43* reporter expression

normalized to pre ONC levels in the ROI indicated in (O-P). All data represented in mean

±SEM (n = 12). *P* values calculated using Repeated Measures 2-way ANOVA. For pre ONC,

iTeO vs cTeO $P > 0.9999$; for 5 dpONC, iTeO vs cTeO $P < 0.0001$; for iTeO, pre ONC vs 5

dpONC $P = 0.9349$; for cTeO; and pre ONC vs 5 dp ONC $P < 0.0001$. Annotations as presented

on graph: ns $P \geq 0.05$, **** $P < 0.0001$.

1006

Figure 4. Assessing retinal ganglion cell axon degeneration and regeneration using a

sparse labeling technique.

(A) Schematic representation of regions imaged and shown in B-E and B'-E'. (a) Red dotted

rectangle corresponds to the dorsal TeO area imaged and shown as a MIP in B-E and B'-E'.

(b) Solid brown line indicates the position within the TeO imaged and shown as a single z-

plane image in F-H. ♂ = Male. **(B-E)** and **(B'-E')** All images were acquired using a 40X

objective (N.A. = 1.15, 0.4 μm/z-step) in a male *mitf*^{-/-} fish at 2.5 mpf 1.34 cm in length with

mosaic *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* expression. The total z-stack thickness used for MIP was 112

μm. **(B-E)** MIP images showing the mosaic expression of *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* (magenta)

combined with the corresponding bright field (BF) image in the dorsal region of the TeO at the

time-points indicated. Dotted line in (C) indicates the location of the darker shade neuronal

debris accumulation in the cTeO at 1 dpONC. **(B'-E')** Grey scale images of B-E with look-up

tables (LUTs) shown in the upper right inset. **(i-viii)** Magnified view of regions of interest as

indicated by rectangles in B'-E'. Green and yellow arrows highlight degenerating

isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX expressing neurites. Green asterisks highlight remodeling of

isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX expressing neurites. **(F-H)** Single z-plane images of medial TeO region

showing the mosaic expression of *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* (magenta) combined with the

corresponding bright field (BF) image at the time-points indicated. Dotted line indicates the

area of the TeO where *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* expressing neurons are innervating. Images

were acquired using a 20X objective lens (N.A. = 0.75).

1027

Figure 5. Longitudinal assessment of the re-innervation patterning of RGC axons pre-

and post ONC.

(A) Schematic representation of the lateral view of the *D. cerebrum* brain where the blue box

represents the region imaged, three-dimensionally (3-D) rendered, and presented in B-C. ♂ =

Male. **(B-C and H-Q)** Images were acquired using a 40X objective (N.A. = 1.15, 0.4 μm/z-

step) in a male *mitf*^{-/-} fish at 2.5 mpf and 1.36 cm in length with mosaic *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX*

expression. **(B-C)** Color coded 3-D rendered images of mosaic *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX*

expression at pre ONC and 25 dpONC. The total z-stack thickness was 125.6 μm and imaging

z-depth is indicated in the scale. (a') and (b') Represent the z-depth region shown in the MIP

images of (H-L) and (M-Q), respectively. **(D)** Schematic representation of the dorsal and lateral

view of the ROI used for calculating the mean *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* signal intensity in E-F on

the confocal images shown in B-C, respectively. **(E-F)** Mean *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* signal

intensity in the ROI at different z-depths at the time-points indicated. **(G)** Schematic

representation of regions imaged and shown in H-Q. The orange rectangle (a') indicates the

region shown H-K and the black rectangle (b') indicates the region shown in M-P. **(H-K)** MIPs

of the dorsal TeO region before ONC injury and at the time-points indicated. The total z-stack

depth was 72.4 µm. Yellow boxes are magnified ROIs (J' and K'). Grey scale images with LUTs

shown in the upper right inset. Pink asterisks = remodeling of *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX*

expressing neurites. **(L)** Overlay of pre ONC (yellow) and 40 dpONC (magenta) images. **(M-**

P) MIPs of a deeper medial TeO region before ONC injury and at the time-points indicated.

The total z-stack depth was 25.6 µm. Grey scale images with LUTs shown in the upper right

inset. Dotted green oval = re-forming arbor field. Blue asterisks = remodeling of

isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX expressing neurites. **(Q)** Overlay of pre ONC (yellow) and 40 dpONC

(magenta) image.

1052

Figure 6. Correlating RGC axonal regeneration with oligodendrocyte membrane

reporter expression in the TeO after an ONC.

(A) Schematic representation of area imaged in B-F, B'-F' and B''-F'' is indicated by the brown

rectangles in the dorsal and lateral view. Images were acquired then presented as MIPs with

a 40X objective (N.A. = 1.15, 0.4 µm/z-step) in a double transgenic female

Tg(mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX, gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) at 2.5 mpf and 1.37 cm in length.

♀ = female **(B-F)** Merged fluorescent signals from the myelin reporter (magenta) and the

gap43 reporter (green) at the time-points indicated. **(B'-F')** Myelin reporter (magenta) only.

LUTs shown in the upper right inset. (**B''-F''**) gap43 reporter (green) only. **(G)** Mean intensity

of myelin signal under a line-ROI extending from the medial to lateral cTeO as indicated in B',

D' and F'. **(H)** Percentage area covered by *mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX* signal in a fixed ROI in the

cTeO. Data represented as mean ±SEM from 3 animals (2 males and 1 female). *P* values

were calculated using 1-way ANOVA (pre vs 1 dpONC *P* = 0.9987; pre ONC vs 5 dpONC *P* =

0.0055; pre ONC vs. 10 dpONC *P* = 0.0099 and pre ONC vs 40 dpONC *P* = 0.0065).

Annotations as presented on graph: ns *P* ≥ 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01. **(I)** Schematic representation of

the ROI imaged in a double transgenic male *Tg(mbpa:TdTomato-CAAX,*

gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) fish, 2.5 mpf with a length of 1.27 cm, that was subjected to an

ONC injury. Confocal images presented as MIPs in J-K. ♂ = male. **(J-K)** All images were

acquired using a 40X objective (N.A. = 1.15, 0.4 µm/z-step). The total z-stack thickness was

33.33 µm. **(J)** Signal from the myelin reporter in the cTeO region at 17 dpONC presented in

greyscale. **(K)** The same region from the same fish was imaged at 22 dpONC. (White arrows

= myelin fragments). **(L)** Overlay image of remyelination (magenta; fluorescent signal

subtraction of area shown in J from K) and 22 dpONC (gray scale).

A

Optic Nerve Crush (ONC) in adult *D. cerebrum*

Eye

Forceps

Eye

200 µm

Caudal ⟷ Rostral

A'

Eye

Close forceps for 5s

Eye

Optic artery

Optic nerve

Eye socket

Region of ONC

B

Dorsal Light Response Assay

White LED light

6 cm

6 cm

6 cm

One-way mirror film

Camera

White film

Head tilt angle measurement

B'

+

−

ONC eye

C

ONC on the right eye

dpONC   1   4   6   8   10   14   18

i   ii   iii

D

Pre-injury phase   Post-injury phase

Normalized change in head tilt angle

— Total (n=18)
— Females (n=9)
— Males (n=9)

****   ****   ****   ****   ****   *   ns

*

dpONC: 0.0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

E

Looming Response Assay

White LED light

Monitor for displaying stimulus

7 cm

9.5 cm

18 cm

Camera   Mirror

F

Looming stimulus

Expanding circle

5.4 cm/s

10.8 cm

G

dpONC   -1   0   1   5   8   12

ONT on the left eye

ONC on the right eye

H

Pre-injury phase
Post ONT phase   Post ONC phase

% Responded

ns   ****   **   ns   ns

n=14

dpONC: -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

ONT on the left eye

ONC on the right eye

Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) at larval stages

Dorsal view | Lateral view

A — Eye, Eye — 200 μm
A' — Eye, Eye — 200 μm
B — Eye — 100 μm
B' — 100 μm
B'' — Eye — 50 μm

2 dpf

C — Eye, Eye — 200 μm
C' — Eye, Eye — 200 μm
D — Eye — 100 μm
D' — Eye — 100 μm

5 dpf

Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX) at adult stage

Lateral view

E — Eye — 1 mm
E' — Eye — 1 mm

2.5 mpf ♂

F — Emission ↑↓ Excitation — Z, X, Y — 2.5 μm/z-slice — X-Y images — Dorsal → Medial → Ventral

Tg(gap43:mGreenLantern-CAAX), 2 mpf ♂

Dorsal single z-plane | Medial single z-plane | Ventral single z-plane

G Z-Pos: 0.000 μm — HB MB FB — Eye, Eye — 200 μm
H Z-Pos: 100.000 μm — 200 μm
I Z-Pos: 200.000 μm — 200 μm

BF + *gap43*

G' Z-Pos: 0.000 μm — HB MB FB — 200 μm
H' Z-Pos: 100.000 μm — 200 μm
I' Z-Pos: 200.000 μm — 200 μm

gap43

Degeneration · **Regeneration** · **Remodeling**

A

Mosaic *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX*
expression in *mitf⁻/⁻*

2.5 mpf ♂

HB MB FB

Dorsal View

Eye — ONC

iTeO

cTeO

Eye

Lateral View

Dorsal

(a) Dorsal TeO MIP
(b) Medial TeO single z-plane

TeO

Ventral

(a) MIP

Pre ONC | 1 dpONC | 5 dpONC | 10 dpONC

B BF + *isl2b* | **C** | **D** | **E**

50 µm | 50 µm | 50 µm | 50 µm

B' *isl2b* | **C'** | **D'** | **E'**

138 / 104 | 118 / 104 | 111 / 104 | 111 / 104

i | iii | v | vii
ii | iv | vi | viii

50 µm | 50 µm | 50 µm | 50 µm

i | iii | v | vii
10 µm | 10 µm | 10 µm | 10 µm

ii | iv | vi | viii
50 µm | 50 µm | 50 µm | 50 µm

(b) Single z-plane

Pre ONC | 1 dpONC | 40 dpONC

F BF + *isl2b* | **G** | **H**

200 µm | 200 µm | 200 µm

A Mosaic *isl2b:TdTomato-CAAX* expression in *mitf⁻/⁻* 2.5 mpf ♂

3-D rendering lateral view

B Pre ONC

C 25 dpONC

z(μm)

D Dorsal View / Lateral View

E Pre ONC

F 25 dpONC

G Dorsal View / Lateral View

Remodeling

H Pre ONC **I** 10 dpONC **J** 25 dpONC **K** 40 dpONC **L** Overlay Pre ONC & 40 dpONC

(a') MIP

M **N** **O** **P** **Q**

(b') MIP