Integration of diploid T2T parental genomes with progeny genomes and transcriptomes identifies resistance genes in the grape powdery mildew resistance loci *Ren6* and *Ren7* of *Vitis piazeskii*

Mélanie Massonnet[1], Rosa Figueroa-Balderas[1], Noé Cochetel[1], Summaira Riaz[1], Dániel Pap[1], M. Andrew Walker[1], Dario Cantu[1,2*]

[1]Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, 956161, USA
[2]USDA-ARS,
[3]Genome Center, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, 95616, USA

*Corresponding author:
dacantu@ucdavis.edu

Abstract

The Chinese grape accession *Vitis piasezkii* DVIT2027 carries two loci associated with powdery
mildew (PM) resistance, *Ren6* and *Ren7*. Although these loci differ in the timing and strength of
their response to *Erysiphe necator*, both are consistent with recognition by intracellular immune
receptors. Here, we aimed to identify the nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) genes
responsible for PM resistance within *Ren6* and *Ren7*. To do so, we assembled diploid telomere-to-
telomere (T2T) genomes of *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35, the resistant and
susceptible parents, respectively, of a segregating F1 population. We then integrated these
assemblies with deep resequencing data from eight F1 sib-lines carrying different combinations
of *Ren6* and *Ren7*, and generated trio-binned, parent-phased genomes for six of them. This
allowed us to resolve and phase the *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci and their PM-susceptible alternative
haplotypes. Comparative analyses revealed extensive structural variation, particularly
duplications, and numerous short polymorphisms between resistant and susceptible haplotypes,
leading to complete sequence specificity among all NLRs in *Ren6* and *Ren7*, with several lacking
allelic counterparts in their respective susceptible haplotypes. Expression profiling across PM-
resistant sib-lines identified four and two candidate CC-NBS-LRR genes associated
with *Ren6* and *Ren7*, respectively, based on both expression and haplotype specificity. These
results provide a high-resolution view of two key PM resistance loci and support the identification
of candidate resistance genes for functional validation and targeted grapevine breeding.

Keywords: powdery mildew resistance, *Vitis piasezkii*, NLR genes, telomere-to-telomere
diploid genome assembly, *Ren6*, *Ren7*, haplotype phasing, grapevine breeding

Introduction

Cultivated grapevines belonging to *V. vinifera* spp. *vinifera* (hereafter *V. vinifera*) are mostly susceptible to powdery mildew (PM). In grapes, PM is caused by the obligate biotrophic ascomycete *Erysiphe necator* Schwein. (syn. *Uncinula necator*). *E. necator* can infect any green tissue of a grapevine, including leaves, canes, inflorescences, and green berries (Gadoury et al., 2011). PM reduces leaf photosynthetic capacity and negatively impacts fruit yield, fruit composition, and wine quality (Calonnec et al., 2004; Stummer et al., 2005). To control the disease, fungicides are routinely applied as a preventive measure; however they are costly (Sambucci et al., 2019). Another approach to control PM is the use of resistant grapevine cultivars developed through the introgression of genetic loci associated with PM resistance. Fifteen PM resistance-associated loci have been genetically mapped in wild *Vitis* species and in *Muscadinia rotundifolia*, and are referred to as *Resistance to Uncinula necator* (*Run*) or *Resistance to Erysiphe necator* (*Ren*) (Dry et al., 2019; Karn et al., 2021; Ricciardi et al., 2024). Although the introgression of PM resistance loci into grapevine cultivars has conferred complete or partial resistance to the disease, it has also resulted in substantial linkage drag from the wild genetic background (Foria et al., 2022). Elimination of unwanted wild genetic material has been hampered by the inherently low recombination rates in grape breeding programs, and by the lack of perfect markers, i.e., markers specific to the resistance (*R*) genes, which is is primarily due to the limited knowledge of the genes responsible for disease resistance. So far, only one *R* gene, encoding an intracellular receptor, has been characterized as contributing to PM resistance in grapes (Feechan et al., 2013). This gene was identified twelve years ago at the *Run1* locus from *M. rotundifolia* G52 using bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) walking. Recent developments in grape genomics, including the availability of diploid genome assemblies (Cantu et al., 2024), have enabled the identification of candidate *R* genes at several PM resistance loci (Paineau et al., 2024). In this study, we leveraged recent genomic advances to identify candidate *R* genes in the PM resistance loci *Ren6* and *Ren7* of *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027.

Ren6 and *Ren7* loci were identified through quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping of the F1 population 11373, derived from a cross between the PM-susceptible (PMS) *V. vinifera* F2-35 and the PM-resistant (PMR) *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027 (Pap et al., 2016). *Ren6* and *Ren7* were mapped to chromosome 9 and 19, respectively; both in heterozygous state. Although both loci confer PM resistance, the speed and strength of their responses to the pathogen differ significantly. *Ren6* confers complete resistance while *Ren7* leads to a reduction in colony size. In both cases, PM resistance involves the induction of programmed cell death (PCD) in epidermal cells penetrated by *E. necator* appressoria; appressoria of germinated spores for *Ren6*, and appressoria of developing secondary hyphae for *Ren7*. Because PCD occurs post-penetration of the pathogen, it likely results from the recognition of effectors secreted by *E. necator* by specific intracellular receptors belonging to *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci (Pap et al., 2016).

Nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins are intracellular receptors that activate plant immune responses upon pathogen recognition (Chia and Carella, 2023). Canonical NLRs are composed of three domains: a variable N-terminal domain, a central nucleotide-binding site domain (NBS), and a C-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain. Most plant NLRs possess N-terminal domains classified as coiled-coil (CC), Resistance to Powdery Mildew 8 (RPW8), or Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) types (Lolle et al., 2020). The C-terminal LRR region of the NLRs mediates effector recognition, either directly or indirectly, while the N-terminal domain

82 participates in the formation of large oligomeric complexes, known as resistosomes, that initiate
83 NLR immune signaling (Chia and Carella, 2023; Locci and Parker). NLR-triggered immune
84 responses include Ca^{2+} influx, reactive oxygen species bursts, and an extensive transcriptional
85 reprogramming (Lolle et al., 2020). In addition, NLR activation often induces a hypersensitive
86 response, a rapid and localized form of PCD at the infection site (Lolle et al., 2020).
87
In this study, we aimed to identify NLR genes potentially responsible for PM resistance within the
two *R* loci of *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027, *Ren6* and *Ren7*. We first generated diploid telomere-to-
telomere (T2T) genome assemblies of *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027 and the maternal parent of the 11373
population, *V. vinifera* F2-35. We then verified the structure, sequence and phasing of *Ren6*, *Ren7*,
and their PMS alternative haplotypes using deep sequencing data from eight 11373 sib-lines with
different *R* locus composition, along with the parent-phased genomes of six of them. NLR genes
located within the two *R* loci and their corresponding PMS haplotypes were identified and
manually curated. To pinpoint the NLR genes specific to *Ren6* and *Ren7*, we compared each *R*
locus with its respective PMS haplotype to identify structural variations affecting NLR gene
content or domain composition. We also assessed the allelic relationships between NLRs at the
Ren6 and *Ren7* loci and those at the PMS haplotypes. Finally, to prioritize NLR genes potentially
associated with PM resistance, we profiled their expression in six PMR 11373 sib-lines carrying
different combinations of *Ren6* and *Ren7* using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq).
101

Materials and Methods

103
Plant material and PM inoculation
Young leaves from *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, *V. vinifera* F2-35, and eight of their progeny (Pap et
al., 2016): 11373-158 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{-}), 11373-195 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{-}), 11373-137 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{+}), 11373-
257 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{+}), 11373-098 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{+}), 11373-145 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{+}), 11373-130 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{-}
), and 11373-156 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{-}), were collected for genome sequencing.
For gene expression profiling, PM was inoculated as described in Amrine *et al*. (Amrine et al.,
2015). Three plants of each grape accession were inoculated with *E. necator* C-train, meanwhile
three other plants were mock-inoculated. At 1 and 5 dpi, two leaves from each plant were pooled
together to constitute a biological replicate, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Therefore,
each condition was represented by three biological replicates.
114
DNA and RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing
High-molecular weight genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027,
V. vinifera F2-35, 11373-158 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{-}), 11373-137 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{+}), 11373-098 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{+}),
11373-145 (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{+}), 11373-130 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{-}), and 11373-156 (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{-}) as in Chin *et*
al. (Chin et al., 2016). DNA quantity was assessed using a Qubit™ 1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit
(Thermo Fisher, MA, USA), DNA purity with a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo
Scientific, IL, USA), DNA integrity using the DNA High Sensitivity kit (Life Technologies, CA,
USA) and by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. HiFi libraries of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V.*
vinifera F2-35 were prepared as Minio *et al*. (Minio et al., 2022a) and sequenced with a PacBio
Revio platform. SMRTbell libraries of the progeny was made like Minio *et al*. (Minio et al., 2019a)
and sequenced using PacBio Sequel II system (Pacific Biosciences, CA, USA) (**Table S1**).
DNA extraction and short-read DNA sequencing (DNA-seq) library preparation were performed
as Massonnet *et al*. (Massonnet et al., 2020). DNA libraries were sequenced as 150-bp paired-end

reads using an Illumina HiSeq3000 for *V. vinifera* F2-35 library, and an HiSeqX Ten system for
the others (IDseq, Davis, CA, USA).
Total RNA was extracted with a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)-based protocol as
described in Cochetel *et al*. (Cochetel et al., 2021). RNA quantity was evaluated with a Qubit 2.0
Fluorometer and a broad-range RNA kit (Life Technologies, CA, USA), RNA purity with a
Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, IL, USA), RNA integrity through
electrophoresis and with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). cDNA
library preparation was made as in Amrine *et al*. (Amrine et al., 2015). cDNA library of young
leaves of *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027 was sequenced as 100-bp single-end reads by an Illumina
HiSeq3000. Concerning the PM- and mock-inoculated leaves of the 11373 sib-lines, cDNA
libraries were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencer (DNA Technologies Core,
University of California, Davis, CA, USA) as 50-bp single-end reads (**Table S2**). Full-length
cDNA sequencing library was prepared from young leaves of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and
sequenced as in Cochetel *et al*. (Cochetel et al., 2023).
142
Genome assembly
For *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35, PacBio HiFi reads were first subsampled to 60X
coverage using with seqtk sample from the package seqtk v.1.2-r101-dirty
(https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) and the parameter "-s100", and then assembled using hifiasm
(Cheng et al., 2021) with the option "-n 13" (**Table S3**). Contigs were scaffolded into chromosome-
scale pseudomolecules for both haplotypes using HaploSync v1.0 (Minio et al., 2022b) and a
consensus grape synteny map. Telomere repeat units were searched using TIDK v.0.2.63
(https://github.com/tolkit/telomeric-identifier); tidk explore with the options "--minimum 5 --
maximum 12 -t 2 --log"; tidk search with the option "-s TTTAGGG". Results were visualized with
tidk plot. BUSCO v.5.4.7 with the library embryophyte_odb10 (Waterhouse et al., 2018) were
used to evaluate the gene space completeness of the genome assemblies. Concerning the six F1
individuals (11373-158, 11373-137, 11373-098, 11373-145, 11373-130, 11373-156), genome
assembly of the PacBio CLR reads was performed using Canu v2.2 and short DNA-seq reads from
the two parents (Koren et al., 2018). For sequence polishing, PacBio CLR reads were first aligned
on the genome assembly using the bioconda package pbmm2 v.1.9.0
(https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbmm2). Polishing was then performed with the bioconda
package pbgcpp v.2.0.2-2.0.2 (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/gcpp; downloaded August
11th, 2022) using the Arrow algorithm (**Table S2**).
161
Genome annotation
Repetitive elements were annotated using RepeatMasker v.4.1.7-p1
(http://www.repeatmasker.org) and a grape repeat library (Minio et al., 2019b). A set of high-
quality gene models was created using PASApipeline v2.5.3
(https://github.com/PASApipeline/PASApipeline), GMAP v.2024-11-20 (Wu and Watanabe,
2005), and pblat v.2.5.1 (Wang and Kong, 2019). As transcriptomic evidence, we used the
PN40024.v5.1 predicted protein-coding sequences (https://grapedia.org/t2t_annotation/), and the
high-quality isoforms of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, and Cabernet Sauvignon (Minio et al., 2019b)
for *V. vinifera* F2-35. Transcripts were extracted from the Iso-Seq reads of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027
young leaves like Cochetel *et al*. (Cochetel et al., 2023). The set of high-quality gene models was
used as input for the *ab initio* predictors Augustus v.3.5.0 (Stanke et al., 2006) and GeneMark v.
3.68_lic (Lomsadze et al., 2005). EvidenceModeler v.2.1.0 (Haas et al., 2008) was then used to

generate consensus models from the gene models produced by the *ab initio* predictions and PASA.
Gene models encoding protein sequences with a length inferior to 50 amino acids, in-frame stop
codons, no start methionine, no stop codon, were removed.
177
Functional annotation
Predicted proteins of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35 genomes were aligned onto the
proteins of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Araport11_genes.201606.pep.fasta;
https://www.arabidopsis.org/download/index.jsp) using BLASTP v.2.12.0+ (Altschul et al.,
1990). Alignments with an identity greater than 30%, and both reference:query and
query:reference coverages between 75% and 125%, were retained. Among the remaining
alignments, the alignment with the highest product of identity, query coverage, and reference
coverage, was selected for each grape protein to determine its homolog in *A. thaliana*. Same
methods were used to identify homologous proteins in the grape *V. vinifera* PN40024 genome
(Jaillon et al., 2007)(V1 annotation).
Protein domains were searched using hmmsearch from HMMER v.3.3.1 (http://hmmer.org/) and
the Pfam-A Hidden Markov Models (HMM) database (El-Gebali et al., 2019)(downloaded on
March 12th, 2025). Protein domains with an independent E-value <1.0, and at least 50% of the
HMM were selected. Coiled coils (CC) domains were identified using COILS (Lupas et al., 1991).
Functional annotation of the predicted proteins of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35
genomes can be retrieved in **Tables S4-S5**.
194
NLR gene annotation
Gene loci potentially encoding NLRs were detected among *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera*
F2-35 genomes with NLR-Annotator using default parameters (Steuernagel et al., 2020). To assess
the intron-exon structure of the potential NLR genes within the *R* loci and the PMS alternative
haplotypes, RNA-seq reads from *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, *V. vinifera* F2-35, and their eight
progeny lines were aligned on the combined genomes of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, *V. vinifera* F2-
35, and *E. necator* C-strain (Jones et al., 2014), using HISAT2 v.2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2015) and the
parameters "--end-to-end --sensitive -k 50". RNA-seq reads of *V. vinifera* F2-35 were retrieved
from the NCBI BioProject PRJNA897013 (Massonnet et al., 2022a). Alignments were visualized
in Integrative Genomics Viewer v.2.4.14 (Robinson et al., 2011). Manual refinement of the NLR
gene models was performed when the alignments of RNA-seq reads indicated a different intron-
exon structure than the *ab initio* structural annotation.
LRR motifs among the candidate NLRs were predicted using LRRpredictor v1.0 (Martin et al.,
2020).
209
210 ***Ren6* and *Ren7* region localization**
211 The loci *Ren6* and *Ren7* and their alternative haplotypes were located by *in silico* amplification of
212 the *Ren6*- and *Ren7*-associated markers (**Table S6**) described in Pap *et al*. (Pap et al., 2016) on the
213 genome assemblies using dipr (https://github.com/douglasgscofield/dispr).
214
Haplotype coverage analysis
To confirm the phasing of the *Ren6* and *Ren7* haplotypes and their alternative haplotypes in the
genomes of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35, we used the short DNA-seq reads from
the eight F1 lines, *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35. Adapter sequences and low-
quality reads were removed using Trimmomatic v.0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) with the following

parameters: "LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:10:20 MINLEN:36 CROP:150".
High-quality reads were then aligned onto the combined genomes of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and
V. vinifera F2-35 using BWA v0.7.17 (Li and Durbin, 2009) with default parameters. Reads
aligning perfectly to the reference genome were selected with bamtools filter v.2.5.1 (Barnett et
al., 2011) and the option "NM:0". Base coverage was performed using genomecov from
BEDTools v2.29.1 (Quinlan, 2014). Coverage in repetitive elements was removed using
BEDTools intersect. Median coverage per window of 10-kbp was computed by BEDTools map.
For each grape accession, the median coverage per 10-kbp window was normalized by dividing
by the sequencing coverage of the accession (**Table S2**).
229
Sequence comparison
Pairwise sequence alignments of whole haplotypes were done with NUCmer from MUMmer
v.4.0.0 (Marçais et al., 2018) with the "--mum" option. For the alignment of 11373 sib-lines'
genomes onto *Ren6*, *Ren7*, and their alternative haplotypes, each parental genome was aligned
onto the parent haplotypes. Alignments longer than 5 kbp were retained using the parameters "-l
5000". Regarding the comparison between PMR and PMS haplotypes, alignments with at least
90% identity are represented in **Figure 2**. Structural variants (SVs; >50 bp) were called using
show-diff from MUMmer v.4.0.0 with defaults parameters. SNPs and INDELs (<50 bp) were
detected using show-snps from MUMmer v.4.0.0.
To assess whether NLR genes of *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci were present in *V. piazeskii* 0957 and BL-1,
CDS of the NLR genes composing the two *R* loci were first aligned onto the four haploid genomes
using GMAP v.2020-06-01 (Wu and Watanabe, 2005) (**Tables S7-S8**). Resulting alignments were
then used to generate proteins by gffread from Cufflinks v.2.2.1 (Trapnell et al., 2010).
Protein alignments were performed using BLAST v.2.2.28+ (Altschul et al., 1990).
244
Gene expression analysis
High-quality reads were obtained using Trimmomatic v.0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) with the
following parameters: "LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:10:20 MINLEN:36"
(**Table S9**). Transcript abundance was evaluated with Salmon v.1.5.1 (Patro et al. 2017). First, the
transcriptome index file was built using the combined protein-coding sequences of *V. piazeskii*
DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35, and *E. necator* C-strain (Jones et al., 2014), their genomes as
decoys, and the options: "-k 13 --keepDuplicates". Second, transcript abundance was quantified
with the parameters "--gcBias –seqBias --validateMappings". Read counts from *E. necator* C-
strain were removed, and gene expression in transcript per million (TPM) from the grape CDS
were calculated.
255

256   **Results**
257

Haplotype-resolved T2T genome assemblies of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35
To identify *R* genes potentially involved in PM resistance of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, we sequenced
the genomes of the paternal (*V. piazeskii* DVIT2027) and maternal (*V. vinifera* F2-35) parents of
the 11373 population (Pap et al., 2016), using long-read DNA sequencing (PacBio HiFi reads;
Table S1). Draft haplotype-resolved genome assemblies of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera*
F2-35 were composed of 120 and 238 contigs, respectively, resulting in a total size of 1,018.8 and
975.7 Mbp, respectively (**Table S3**). Pseudomolecule reconstruction resulted in two sets of
nineteen chromosomes of 504.6 Mbp (Hap1) and 507.1 Mbp (Hap2) for *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027,

and 481.3 Mbp (Hap1) and 481.5 Mbp (Hap2) for *V. vinifera* F2-35 (**Table 1**). The difference of length between the two genome assemblies (38.1 Mbp) was mostly due to larger chromosomes 12,13, 16, 17, and 18 in *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 compared to *V. vinifera* F2-35 (**Table S10**). This size difference was made of repetitive elements (49.2%), but also of protein-coding gene loci (12.3%).

Contigs not anchored to pseudomolecules accounted for only 0.7 and 1.9 % of the genome size for *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35, respectively, and were predominantly composed of repetitive elements (**Table 1**). The haplotypes of the two genomes contained 99.3 ± 0.2 % of the Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCOs), indicating high completeness. On average, 32.8 ± 1.3 telomeres of the 38 possible telomeres were observed in the pseudomolecules of each haplotype. Together, these results demonstrate that we generated highly contiguous, haplotype-resolved genomes for both parents of the 11373 population.

Table 1: Statistics of the genome assemblies of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35.

	V. piasezkii DVIT2027			*V. vinifera* F2-35		
	Hap 1	**Hap 2**	**Unplaced**	**Hap 1**	**Hap 2**	**Unplaced**
Assembly length (Mbp)	504.6	507.1	7.6	481.3	481.5	18.4
Number of sequences	19	19	55	19	19	93
Average length (Mbp)	26.6	26.7	0.1	25.3	25.3	0.2
Telomeres	31/38	33/38	0	34/38	33/38	0
Number of gene loci	34,926	31,353	652	29,820	29,399	394
Gene content (Mbp)	149.6	134.3	1.7	141.9	138.1	0.9
Repeats (Mbp)	284.1	285.0	5.5	270.0	270.0	15.8
Repeats (%)	56.3	56.3	71.9	56.1	56.1	85.9
BUSCO (%)	99.3	99.5	0.6	99.4	99.1	0.2

Identification and haplotype phasing of *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027

The boundaries of the *Ren6* and *Ren7* haplotypes and their PMS alternative haplotypes were defined by *in silico* amplification of the *Ren6*-associated markers PN9-057 and VMC4h4.1, and *Ren7*-associated markers VMC9a2.1 and VVIu09 (Pap et al., 2016) on the diploid genomes of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V, vinifera* F2-35. In the *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 genome, the *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci measured 927.5 and 791.6 kbp, respectively, whereas their PMS alternative haplotypes were 1,380.1 and 771.1 kbp, respectively (**Table 2**). In *V. vinifera* F2-35, all PMS alternative haplotypes to *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci were shorter than those of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, measuring 771.1 and 870.7 kbp for *Ren6* alternatives, and 537.4 and 570.3 kbp for *Ren7* alternatives (**Figure 1**).

Table 2: Characteristics of the *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci and their alternative PM-susceptible (PMS) haplotypes in *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35.

Grape accession	*V. piasezkii* DVIT2027		*V. vinifera* F2-35	

Locus	*Ren6*	*Ren6*-PMS alternative	*Ren7*	*Ren7*-PMS alternative	*Ren6*-PMS alternative Hap1	*Ren6*-PMS alternative Hap2	*Ren7*-PMS alternative Hap1	*Ren7*-PMS alternative Hap2
Chromosome	Chr09 Hap1	Chr09 Hap2	Chr19 Hap1	Chr19 Hap2	Chr09 Hap1	Chr09 Hap2	Chr19 Hap1	Chr19 Hap2
Start-Stop (bp)	8,626,384- 9,553,923	9,061,939- 10,442,008	883,779- 1,675,391	951,279- 1,750,630	8,690,011- 9,461,149	8,080,301- 8,951,049	904,827- 1,442,232	843,589- 1,413,925
Length (bp)	927,540	1,380,070	791,613	799,352	771,139	870,749	537,406	570,337
Protein-coding gene loci	54	80	81	77	42	50	49	56
Total NLR genes	19	35	13	8	12	12	2	3
CC-NBS-LRR	15	25	10	6	10	8	2	2
CC-NBS	2	5	0	1	1	2	0	0
NBS-LRR	2	4	3	1	1	2	0	1
NBS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

To examine the structure, sequence, and haplotype phasing of the *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci and their
PMS counterparts, we applied two approaches: (i) assessing the normalized median base coverage
per 10 kbp of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35 haplotypes using deep sequencing data
of eight sib-lines from population 11373 (Pap et al., 2016); and (ii) aligning the parent-phased
genomes of six out of the eight sib-lines onto the *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35
haplotypes. For the base coverage analysis, the eight F1 lines included: two lines (11373-158 and
11373-195) carrying *Ren6* (*Ren6*^{+}) and the alternative PMS haplotype of *Ren7* (*Ren7*^{-}); two lines
only having *Ren7* (*Ren6*^{-}/*Ren7*^{+}; 11373-137 and 11373-137); two lines with both *Ren6* and *Ren7*
loci (*Ren6*^{+}/*Ren7*^{+}; 11373-098 and 11373-145); two lines devoid of both *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci (*Ren6*^{-}
/*Ren7*^{-}; 11373-130 and 11373-156). Short-read DNA-seq data from *Ren6*^{+} and *Ren7*^{+} accessions
showed 1x coverage at the respective loci (**Figure S1-S2**), with similar results observed for their
PMS alternative haplotypes (**Figure S3-S4**). These results indicate that no haplotype switching
occurred during the assembly of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027. In *V. vinifera* F2-35, the normalized base
coverage profiles confirmed the inheritance of PMS haplotypes in the F1 sib-lines, with no
evidence of recombination or haplotype switching (**Figure S5-S8**).

To further validate the structure, sequence, and phasing of *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci and their PMS
counterparts, we generated genomes of six of the eight sib-lines using PacBio CLR reads and a
trio-binning genome assembly approach (Koren et al., 2018)(**Table S3**). Each sib-line genome
consisted of two haploid parent-phased assemblies: one attributed to *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 and
the other to *V. vinifera* F2-35. Alignment of the six assemblies onto *Ren6*, *Ren7*, and their PMS
haplotypes showed complete coverage by the sib-line contigs (**Figure 1**). The *Ren6-* and *Ren7-*
PMS haplotypes of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 were the only haplotypes not covered by a single contig
in one sib-line. Alignments had an average identity of 99.96 ± 0.04 % (**Figure 1**). Together, these
results validate the structure, sequence, and phasing of the haplotypes in both parents, *V. piazeskii*
DVIT2027 and *V. vinifera* F2-35.

Figure 1: Verification of the structure, sequence, and haplotype phasing of *Ren6* and *Ren*7 loci and their PM-susceptible alternative haplotypes using progeny genomes. Alignment of the genome assemblies of six 11373 sib-lines onto *Ren6*, *Ren7*, and their PM-susceptible (PMS) alternatives from *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 (**a**) and *V. vinifera* F2-35 (**b**). Each square represents a contig sequence.

Gene annotation, combined with the identification of potential NLR gene loci and manual refinement of gene models, revealed differences in the number of genes, particularly NLR genes, between the PMR loci and their PMS counterparts (**Table 2**). Nineteen and thirteen NLR genes were annotated within the *Ren6* and *Ren7*, respectively. In *Ren6*, two NLR genes were located between markers PN9-063 and PN9-066.1 (202.6 kbp), while the remaining NLR genes clustered between PN9-067 and VMC4h4.1 (664.3 kbp) (**Figure 2a**). In Pap *et al*. (Pap et al., 2016), *Ren6* was positioned between PN9-063 and PN9-067.2 based on QTL analysis. However, markers PN9-067.2 and PN9-068 amplified in multiple locations, complicating precise mapping and reducing the ability to narrow down candidate NLR (**Table S11**). Notably, the *Ren6*-PMS alternative haplotype of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 (35) contained more NLR genes than the PMR haplotype (20). The region carrying the NLR genes of the *Ren6* locus spanned 747.9 kbp whereas the PMS alternative region was 1,209.3 kbp, explaining the length difference between the two haplotypes. In contrast, *Ren7* was composed of a greater number of NLR genes (13) compared to its PMS counterpart (8). The NLR genes of *Ren7* and its PMS alternative haplotype clustered within a 266.2 and 183.9 kbp region, respectively, between markers VMC9a2.1 and VMC5h11 (606.5 and 621.4 kbp, respectively) (**Figure 2b**), matching previously defined locus boundaries (Pap et al., 2016).

347 In both *Ren6* and *Ren7*, most NLR genes encoded CC-NBS-LRR proteins (**Table 2**; **Table S12**).
348 In *V. vinifera* F2-35, twelve NLR genes were annotated in each *Ren6*-PMS haplotype, while only
349 two and three NLR genes were identified in the *Ren7*-PMS haplotypes.
350
Comparison of *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci with their PM-susceptible alternative haplotypes
To identify NLR(s) potentially associated with *Ren6* and *Ren7* genetic PM resistance, we
compared the PMR loci with their respective PMS alternative haplotypes. For *Ren6*, few short
structural variations (SVs; > 50bp) were detected between markers PN9-063 and PN9-066.1 (202.6
in *Ren6 vs.* 183 kbp in its PMS counterpart) (**Figure 2a**). In contrast, numerous large SVs,
particularly duplications, were identified between PN9-067 and VMC4h4.1 (664.3 in *Ren6 vs.*
1,078.9 kbp in its PMS counterpart), largely explaining the length difference between the two
haplotypes. These SVs disrupted the coding sequences (CDS) of ten NLR genes and altered their
domain composition (**Figure 2a**; **Table S13**).
360
For *Ren7*, a large complex SV spanned from 955.0 to 1,088.6 kbp (133.6 kbp) on Haplotype 1 of
chromosome 19 of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 relative to Haplotype 2 (**Figure 2b**). This SV affected
the CDS of five NLR genes (**Table S14**), explaining the difference of NLR gene number between
the two haplotypes. Two additional NLR genes (g332390 and g332430), both located downstream
in the *Ren7* locus, were impacted by duplication events (**Figure 2b**; **Table S14**). Comparable SVs
were also observed when comparing the PMS haplotypes of *V. vinifera* F2-35 with the *Ren6* and
Ren7 loci (**Figure S9**).
368

369
Figure 2: Effect of the structural variations between *Ren6* and *Ren7* loci and their PM-susceptible alternative
haplotypes on the NLR gene content. Alignment of the PM-susceptible alternative haplotypes of *Ren6* (**a**) and *Ren7*
(**b**) from *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027 onto their PM-resistant counterparts. Chromosomal positions of the NLR genes
composing the four haplotypes are represented by colored rectangles, which color indicates the NLR class. NLR genes
in *Ren6* and *Ren7* which protein-coding sequence is impacted by a structural variation are indicated by an asterisk.

In addition to SVs, we identified small polymorphisms, including 46,178 and 20,669 SNPs and
6,629 and 3,928 INDELs (< 50 bp) in *Ren6* and *Ren7*, respectively (**Tables S15-S16**). Comparison
with the CDS of the NLR genes showed that all the NLRs in *Ren6* and *Ren7* were affected by
small polymorphisms, suggesting that the two loci are highly polymorphic.
379
To further assess the impact of SVs and small polymorphisms on NLR gene content, we analyzed
allele relationships by constructing phylogenetic trees for the NLR genes at each *R* locus (**Figure**
3). In *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027, 2 of the 19 NLR (10.5%) genes in *Ren6* (g158080.m01, and
g158100.m01) and 6 out of 13 NLR genes (46.1%) in *Ren7* (g332310, g332320, g332340,
g332350, g332390, g332430) did not possess an allelic counterpart in their respective PMS
haplotypes (**Tables S13-S14**). Although some of those genes have an allelic counterpart in *V.*
vinifera F2-35, the absence of an allelic form in the PMS haplotypes from *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027
suggests that they could be potentially involved in *Ren6*- and *Ren7*-mediated PM resistance.
Noteworthily, none of the allele pairs showed identical protein sequences, suggesting that all NLRs
in *Ren6* and *Ren7* are specific to the PMR haplotypes and differ from their PMS counterparts.
390
391 Altogether these results show that SVs and small polymorphisms impact on both number and
392 sequence of the NLR genes in *Ren6* and *Ren7* relatively to their PMS counterparts, making all the
393 NLRs composing *Ren6* and *Ren7* potentially responsible for PM resistance.

Figure 3: Identification of allele relationship between NLRs composing *Ren6* and *Ren7*, and their PM-susceptible alternative haplotypes, based on phylogeny. Neighbor-joining clustering of the predicted NLR sequences composing *Ren6* (**a**) and *Ren7* (**b**), and their PM-susceptible alternative haplotypes. Scale bar is in the unit of the number of substitutions per site. Bootstrap values greater than 80 are indicated.

Identification of expressed NLR genes within *Ren6* and *Ren7*

To narrow down the NLR genes potentially responsible for *Ren6*- and *Ren7*-mediated PM resistance, we analyzed their expression in leaves of six 11373 sib-lines carrying *Ren6*, *Ren7*, or both *R* loci. Leaves were collected one and five days after inoculation with *E. necator* or a mock

solution. Within *Ren6*, four CC-NBS-LRR genes were consistently expressed (>0.2 TPM) in all
the *Ren6*⁺ accessions, both constitutively and in response to *E. necator*. One gene (g158030) was
located between markers PN9-063 and PN9-066.1, while the other three (g158080; g158100;
g158430) were located between PN9-067 and VMC4h4.1 (**Figure 4a**). Interestingly, two of these
genes (g158080 and g158100) lack allelic counterparts in the PMS haplotype of *V. piazeskii*
DVIT2027. For *Ren7*, two CC-NBS-LRR genes were expressed within the interval between
markers VMC9a2.1 and VMC5h11 (g332380 and g332390), including one without an allelic
counterpart in the PMS haplotype (g332390).
413

Figure 4: Identification of candidate NLRs responsible for PM resistance within *Ren6* and *Ren7* based on
constitutive gene expression. Transcript abundance of the NLR genes belonging to *Ren6* (**a**) and *Ren7* (**b**) loci. Gene
expression was measured in 11373 sib-lines possessing either *Ren6, Ren7,* or both *R* loci, at one and five days post-
inoculation (dpi) with a mock solution (Mock) or *E. necator* conidia (PM). For each condition, transcript abundance
is represented as the mean of transcript per million (TPM) of three bioreplicates (n = 3). Chromosomal positions of
the NLR genes composing the four haplotypes are represented by colored rectangles, with the color indicating the
NLR class. NLR genes which protein-coding sequence is impacted by at least one structural variation relative to the
alternative PMS haplotype of *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027 are indicated by an asterisk.
424

Altogether, our results point to four (g158030; g158080; g158100; g158430) and two (g332380
and g332390) candidate CC-NBS-LRR genes associated with *Ren6* and *Ren7* resistance,
respectively, based on their consistent expression in PMR sib-lines, and their absence or sequence
divergence in the corresponding PMS haplotype (**Figure 5**). The candidates g158080 and g158100
from *Ren6*, and g332390 from *Ren7*, do not possess an allelic form in their respective PMS
haplotype in *V. piazeskii* DVIT2027. The proteins encoded by g158030 and g158430 from *Ren6*
have 2 and 1 amino acid changes compared to their allelic counterparts in the PMS haplotype of

V. piazeskii DVIT2027 (**Figure 5a**), including an amino acid change located in the CC domain of
first one. Relatively to its PMS allele, the predicted protein of g332380 from *Ren7* has 2 amino
acid changes, including one in the NB-ARC domain, as well as 2 deletions of 5 and 91 amino acids
at the end of the protein (**Figure 5b**).
436

437
438 **Figure 5: Candidate CC-NBS-LRRs associated with the PM resistance of *Ren6* and *Ren7* of *V. piasezkii***
439 **DVIT2027.** Protein motif composition of the candidate CC-NBS-LRRs among *Ren6* (**a**) and *Ren7* (**b**) loci. Black and
440 gray triangles indicate the position of the amino changes and deletions compared to the allelic counterpart located in
441 the PM-susceptible haplotype of *V. piasezkii* DVIT2027, respectively.
442

Discussion

By integrating the haplotype-resolved, T2T genomes of the two parents, PM-resistant *V. piasezkii*
DVIT2027 and PM-susceptible *V. vinifera* F2-35, with the phased genomes of six of their progeny,
we were able to precisely locate and validate the phasing, structure, and sequence of the *Ren6* and
Ren7 loci in *V. piasezkii DVIT2027*. While both R loci and their PMS haplotypes in *V. vinifera* F2-
35 were resolved within single contigs in at least one sib-line, the PMS alternative haplotypes in
V. piasezkii DVIT2027 remained fragmented in all sib-lines (**Figure 1**). This highlights the
challenges of assembling highly repetitive and structurally complex regions, particularly when
using CLR data.
452

Alignments of the PMS alternative haplotypes onto *Ren6* and *Ren7* showed large and complex
SVs in the regions containing the NLR genes, as well as several short polymorphisms (**Figure 2**).
Similar results were found when comparing the two haplotypes of *Run1.2* and *Run2.2* of *M.*
rotundifolia Trayshed (Massonnet et al., 2022b). This suggests that, like in many angiosperms,
NLR clusters in grapes have undergone a diversification at both inter- and intra-species levels,
likely in response to strong selection pressures from pathogens (Contreras et al., 2023).
Phylogenetic trees of the NLRs of both PMR and PMS haplotypes (**Figure 3**) showed that the SVs
and small polymorphisms between haplotypes led to allele presence/absence, pointing out NLRs
from *Ren6* and *Ren7* without allelic counterparts in their respective PMS haplotype. Furthermore,
sequence comparison showed that all the NLR protein sequences from *Ren6* and *Ren7* were
specific to the two PMR haplotypes. Additional sequence comparisons determined that only two
NLR genes within *Ren6* (g158370 and g158460) had an identical protein sequence in the *V.*
piasezkii accessions 0957 and BL-1 (Guo et al., 2025b), emphasizing the specificity of the NLR
content of *Ren6* and *Ren7* in the accession DVIT2027. Further investigation of other PMR *V.*

piasezkii accessions, such as DVIT2032 (Riaz et al., 2013), could reveal whether they carry PMR
haplotypes at *Ren6* and *Ren7* and help identify additional resistance genes or alleles.
469
In addition to use genomes of 11373 sib-lines in our pipeline of identification of candidate NLR
genes, we integrated transcriptomic data from leaves of six 11373 sib-lines carrying *Ren6*, *Ren7*,
or both *R* loci, in presence or absence of the pathogen. Based on their constitutive expression
(**Figure 4**), and their absence or sequence divergence in their respective PMS haplotype from *V.*
piasezkii DVIT2027 (**Figure 5**), we identified four (g158030; g158080; g158100; g158430) and
two (g332380 and g332390) candidate CC-NBS-LRR genes associated with *Ren6* and *Ren7*
resistance, respectively. Genomic and/or transcriptomic data from additional recombinants would
help to narrow down candidate NLRs, especially in *Ren6*, considering that g158100 and g158430
are 508.7 kbp apart.
479
The extensive structural variation and gene presence/absence observed at *Ren6* and *Ren7* suggest
localized expansion and deletion events, likely driven by transposable element (TE) activity,
unequal crossing-over, and other recombination-based mechanisms. These processes are well-
established contributors to the diversification of NBS-LRR gene clusters, promoting rapid
sequence evolution, gene copy number variation, and the emergence of novel specificities (Jacob
et al., 2013; Contreras et al., 2023). Similar levels of structural and sequence variability have been
reported at other grape *R* loci, including *Run1* (Feechan et al., 2013), *Run1.2* and *Run2.2* in *M.*
rotundifolia (Cochetel et al., 2021; Massonnet et al., 2022b), *Resistance to Plasmopara viticola* 3
(*Rpv*3) in eight *Vitis* accessions (Wilkerson et al., 2025), and *Rpv33* in *Vitis × doaniana* (Zou et
al., 2023), suggesting that such dynamic architecture is a common feature of resistance loci in
Vitis. With the growing availability of high-quality, phased genomes from diverse *Vitis* species
(Cantu et al., 2024), we are now well positioned to explore the evolutionary trajectories of *R* loci
across the genus. Systematic comparisons will allow us to investigate patterns of NLR gene birth
and loss, the influence of TEs and local recombination rates, and the selective pressures shaping
resistance gene repertoires in *Vitis* (Jacob et al., 2013; Contreras et al., 2023; Guo et al., 2025a).
495
496 From a breeding perspective, the sequence-level resolution of these loci and their candidate genes
497 opens new paths for precise marker development. Given the complete haplotype specificity of
498 these NLRs, these markers could enable rapid screening in breeding programs or precise gene
499 editing (Greenwood et al., 2023). Stacking *Ren6* and *Ren7* with other resistance loci like *Run1* and
500 *Ren4* is in progress in multiple breeding programs to reduce the risk of resistance breakdown.
501
Technically, our results highlight the power of phased diploid assemblies and integrated pipelines
that combine long-read sequencing, high-quality phasing, and expression profiling. However, they
also underscore the continued need for manual validation and careful curation of locus structure
and gene models (Cantu et al., 2024). The fragmentation of some haplotypes assembled with
PacBio CLR data further supports the shift toward HiFi technology, or other high-fidelity
technologies for resolving complex *R* loci.
508
Future work will focus on functional validation of the six candidate genes, potentially through
gene knockouts using genome editing, complementation in susceptible backgrounds via stable
transformation, and targeted knock-ins once such methods become available in grapevine
(Greenwood et al., 2023; Schreiber et al., 2024). Their response to a broader panel of *E. necator*

isolates will also be assessed. Identifying the gene(s) truly responsible for PM resistance will
enable the development of perfect markers to support marker-assisted selection, reducing linkage
drag from wild *Vitis* species. In parallel, defining precise *R* gene targets will provide a valuable
foundation for future gene editing strategies aimed at accelerating resistance breeding while
preserving varietal identity (Ren et al., 2024).
518

519   **Acknowledgments**
520   This work was funded by the USDA NIFA Award #2022-51181-38240.
521

522   **Author contributions**
523   M.M., and D.C. designed the project; M.A.W. provided plant material; M.M., S.M., and D.P.
524   collected the plant material; R.F.-B. extracted DNA and RNA, and prepared sequencing libraries;
525   M.M. and N.C. performed the data analyses; M.M. and D.C. wrote the manuscript.
526

527   **Data availability**
528   Sequencing data and are accessible through NCBI under the BioProject PRJNA1258375. Genome
529   sequences and their annotation files are available at Zenodo doi:10.5281/zenodo.15361017.
530

531   **Conflict of interest**
532   The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol **215**: 403–410

Amrine KCH, Blanco-Ulate B, Riaz S, Pap D, Jones L, Figueroa-Balderas R, Walker MA, Cantu D (2015) Comparative transcriptomics of Central Asian Vitis vinifera accessions reveals distinct defense strategies against powdery mildew. Hortic Res **2**: 15037

Barnett DW, Garrison EK, Quinlan AR, Strömberg MP, Marth GT (2011) BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. Bioinformatics **27**: 1691–1692

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics **30**: 2114–2120

Calonnec A, Cartolaro P, Poupot C, Dubourdieu D, Darriet P (2004) Effects of Uncinula necator on the yield and quality of grapes (Vitis vinifera) and wine. Plant Pathol **53**: 434–445

Cantu D, Massonnet M, Cochetel N (2024) The wild side of grape genomics. Trends Genet **40**: 601–612

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, Zhang H, Li H (2021) Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. Nat Methods **18**: 170–175

Chia K-S, Carella P (2023) Taking the lead: NLR immune receptor N-terminal domains execute plant immune responses. New Phytol **240**: 496–501

Chin C-S, Peluso P, Sedlazeck FJ, Nattestad M, Concepcion GT, Clum A, Dunn C, O'Malley R, Figueroa-Balderas R, Morales-Cruz A, et al (2016) Phased Diploid Genome Assembly with Single Molecule Real-Time Sequencing. Nat Methods **13**: 1050–1054

Cochetel N, Minio A, Guarracino A, Garcia JF, Figueroa-Balderas R, Massonnet M, Kasuga T, Londo JP, Garrison E, Gaut BS, et al (2023) A super-pangenome of the North American wild grape species. Genome Biol **24**: 290

Cochetel N, Minio A, Massonnet M, Vondras AM, Figueroa-Balderas R, Cantu D (2021) Diploid chromosome-scale assembly of the Muscadinia rotundifolia genome supports chromosome fusion and disease resistance gene expansion during Vitis and Muscadinia divergence. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics **11**: jkab033

Contreras MP, Lüdke D, Pai H, Toghani A, Kamoun S (2023) NLR receptors in plant immunity: making sense of the alphabet soup. EMBO Rep **24**: e57495

Dry I, Riaz S, Fuchs M, Sosnowski M, Thomas M (2019) Scion Breeding for Resistance to Biotic Stresses. *In* D Cantu, MA Walker, eds, Grape Genome. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 319–347

El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M, Richardson LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, et al (2019) The Pfam protein families database in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res **47**: D427–D432

Feechan A, Anderson C, Torregrosa L, Jermakow A, Mestre P, Wiedemann-Merdinoglu S, Merdinoglu D, Walker AR, Cadle-Davidson L, Reisch B, et al (2013) Genetic dissection of a TIR-NB-LRR locus from the wild North American grapevine species Muscadinia rotundifolia identifies paralogous genes conferring resistance to major fungal and oomycete pathogens in cultivated grapevine. Plant J Cell Mol Biol **76**: 661–674

Foria S, Magris G, Jurman I, Schwope R, De Candido M, De Luca E, Ivanišević D, Morgante M, Di Gaspero G (2022) Extent of wild–to–crop interspecific introgression in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) as a consequence of resistance breeding and implications for the crop species definition. Hortic Res **9**: uhab010

Gadoury DM, Cable-Davidson L, Wilcox WF, Dry IB, Seem RC, Milgroom MG (2011) Grapevine powdery mildew (Erysiphe necator): a fascinating system for the study of the biology, ecology and epidemiology of an obligate biotroph. Mol Plant Pathol **13**: 1–16

Greenwood JR, Zhang X, Rathjen JP (2023) Precision genome editing of crops for improved disease resistance. Curr Biol **33**: R650–R657

Guo B-C, Zhang Y-R, Liu Z-G, Li X-C, Yu Z, Ping B-Y, Sun Y-Q, van den Burg H, Ma F-W, Zhao T (2025a) Deciphering Plant NLR Genomic Evolution: Synteny-Informed Classification Unveils Insights into TNL Gene Loss. Mol Biol Evol **42**: msaf015

Guo L, Wang X, Ayhan DH, Rhaman MS, Yan M, Jiang J, Wang D, Zheng W, Mei J, Ji W, et al (2025b) Super pangenome of Vitis empowers identification of downy mildew resistance genes for grapevine improvement. Nat Genet **57**: 741–753

Haas BJ, Salzberg SL, Zhu W, Pertea M, Allen JE, Orvis J, White O, Buell CR, Wortman JR (2008) Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. Genome Biol **9**: R7

Jacob F, Vernaldi S, Maekawa T (2013) Evolution and Conservation of Plant NLR Functions. Front Immunol. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00297

Jaillon O, Aury JM, Noel B, Policriti A, Clepet C, Casagrande A, Choisne N, Aubourg S, Vitulo N, Jubin C, et al (2007) The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. Nature **449**: 463–468

Jones L, Riaz S, Morales-Cruz A, Amrine KC, McGuire B, Gubler WD, Walker MA, Cantu D (2014) Adaptive genomic structural variation in the grape powdery mildew pathogen, Erysiphe necator. BMC Genomics **15**: 1081

Karn A, Zou C, Brooks S, Fresnedo-Ramírez J, Gabler F, Sun Q, Ramming D, Naegele R, Ledbetter C, Cadle-Davidson L (2021) Discovery of the REN11 Locus From Vitis aestivalis for Stable Resistance to Grapevine Powdery Mildew in a Family Segregating for Several Unstable and Tissue-Specific Quantitative Resistance Loci. Front Plant Sci. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.733899

Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2015) HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. Nat Methods **12**: 357–360

Koren S, Rhie A, Walenz BP, Dilthey AT, Bickhart DM, Kingan SB, Hiendleder S, Williams JL, Smith TPL, Phillippy AM (2018) De novo assembly of haplotype-resolved genomes with trio binning. Nat Biotechnol 10.1038/nbt.4277

Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics **25**: 1754–1760

Locci F, Parker JE Plant NLR immunity activation and execution: a biochemical perspective. Open Biol **14**: 230387

Lolle S, Stevens D, Coaker G (2020) Plant NLR triggered immunity: From receptor activation to downstream signaling. Curr Opin Immunol **62**: 99–105

Lomsadze A, Ter-Hovhannisyan V, Chernoff YO, Borodovsky M (2005) Gene identification in novel eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm. Nucleic Acids Res **33**: 6494–6506

Lupas A, Van Dyke M, Stock J (1991) Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. Science **252**: 1162–1164

Marçais G, Delcher AL, Phillippy AM, Coston R, Salzberg SL, Zimin A (2018) MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system. PLoS Comput Biol **14**: e1005944

Martin EC, Sukarta OCA, Spiridon L, Grigore LG, Constantinescu V, Tacutu R, Goverse A, Petrescu A-J (2020) LRRpredictor—A New LRR Motif Detection Method for Irregular Motifs of Plant NLR Proteins Using an Ensemble of Classifiers. Genes **11**: 286

Massonnet M, Cochetel N, Minio A, Vondras AM, Lin J, Muyle A, Garcia JF, Zhou Y, Delledonne M, Riaz S, et al (2020) The genetic basis of sex determination in grapes. Nat Commun **11**: 2902

Massonnet M, Riaz S, Pap D, Figueroa-Balderas R, Walker MA, Cantu D (2022a) The grape powdery mildew resistance loci Ren2, Ren3, Ren4D, Ren4U, Run1, Run1.2b, Run2.1, and Run2.2 activate different transcriptional responses to Erysiphe necator. Front Plant Sci **13**: 1096862

Massonnet M, Vondras AM, Cochetel N, Riaz S, Pap D, Minio A, Figueroa-Balderas R, Walker MA, Cantu D (2022b) Haplotype-resolved powdery mildew resistance loci reveal the impact of heterozygous structural variation on NLR genes in Muscadinia rotundifolia. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics **12**: jkac148

Minio A, Cochetel N, Massonnet M, Figueroa-Balderas R, Cantu D (2022a) HiFi chromosome-scale diploid assemblies of the grape rootstocks 110R, Kober 5BB, and 101–14 Mgt. Sci Data **9**: 660

Minio A, Cochetel N, Vondras AM, Massonnet M, Cantu D (2022b) Assembly of complete diploid-phased chromosomes from draft genome sequences. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics **12**: jkac143

Minio A, Massonnet M, Figueroa-Balderas R, Castro A, Cantu D (2019a) Diploid Genome Assembly of the Wine Grape Carménère. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics **9**: 1331–1337

Minio A, Massonnet M, Figueroa-Balderas R, Vondras AM, Blanco-Ulate B, Cantu D (2019b) Iso-Seq Allows Genome-Independent Transcriptome Profiling of Grape Berry Development. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics **9**: 755–767

Paineau M, Zaccheo M, Massonnet M, Cantu D (2024) Advances in grape and pathogen genomics toward durable grapevine disease resistance. J Exp Bot erae450

Pap D, Riaz S, Dry IB, Jermakow A, Tenscher AC, Cantu D, Oláh R, Walker MA (2016) Identification of two novel powdery mildew resistance loci, Ren6 and Ren7, from the wild Chinese grape species Vitis piasezkii. BMC Plant Biol **16**: 170

Quinlan AR (2014) BEDTools: the Swiss-army tool for genome feature analysis. Curr Protoc Bioinforma Ed Board Andreas Baxevanis Al **47**: 11.12.1-11.12.34

Ren C, Mohamed MSM, Aini N, Kuang Y, Liang Z (2024) CRISPR/Cas in Grapevine Genome Editing: The Best Is Yet to Come. Horticulturae **10**: 965

Riaz S, Boursiquot J-M, Dangl GS, Lacombe T, Laucou V, Tenscher AC, Walker MA (2013) Identification of mildew resistance in wild and cultivated Central Asian grape germplasm. BMC Plant Biol **13**: 149

Ricciardi V, Crespan M, Maddalena G, Migliaro D, Brancadoro L, Maghradze D, Failla O, Toffolatti SL, De Lorenzis G (2024) Novel loci associated with resistance to downy and powdery mildew in grapevine. Front Plant Sci. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1386225

Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP (2011) Integrative Genomics Viewer. Nat Biotechnol **29**: 24–26

Sambucci O, Alston JM, Fuller KB, Lusk J (2019) The Pecuniary and Nonpecuniary Costs of Powdery Mildew and the Potential Value of Resistant Grape Varieties in California. Am J Enol Vitic **70**: 177–187

Schreiber T, Prange A, Schäfer P, Iwen T, Grützner R, Marillonnet S, Lepage A, Javelle M, Paul W, Tissier A (2024) Efficient scar-free knock-ins of several kilobases in plants by engineered CRISPR-Cas endonucleases. Mol Plant **17**: 824–837

Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B (2006) AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res **34**: W435–W439

Steuernagel B, Witek K, Krattinger SG, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Schoonbeek H, Yu G, Baggs E, Witek AI, Yadav I, Krasileva KV, et al (2020) The NLR-Annotator Tool Enables Annotation of the Intracellular Immune Receptor Repertoire1[OPEN]. Plant Physiol **183**: 468–482

Stummer BE, Francis IL, Zanker T, Lattey KA, Scott ES (2005) Effects of powdery mildew on the sensory properties and composition of Chardonnay juice and wine when grape sugar ripeness is standardised. Aust J Grape Wine Res **11**: 66–76

Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ, Pachter L (2010) Transcript assembly and abundance estimation from RNA-Seq reveals thousands of new transcripts and switching among isoforms. Nat Biotechnol **28**: 511–515

Wang M, Kong L (2019) pblat: a multithread blat algorithm speeding up aligning sequences to genomes. BMC Bioinformatics **20**: 28

Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simão FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM (2018) BUSCO Applications from Quality Assessments to Gene Prediction and Phylogenomics. Mol Biol Evol **35**: 543–548

Wilkerson D, Zou C, Sun Q, Nigar Q, Paineau M, Cantu D, Hwang C-F, Cadle-Davidson L (2025) Comparative genomics of *Rpv3*, a multiallelic downy mildew resistance locus in grapevine (*Vitis* sp.). OENO One. doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2025.59.1.8244

Wu TD, Watanabe CK (2005) GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences. Bioinforma Oxf Engl **21**: 1859–1875

Zou C, Sapkota S, Figueroa-Balderas R, Glaubitz J, Cantu D, Kingham BF, Sun Q, Cadle-Davidson L (2023) A multitiered haplotype strategy to enhance phased assembly and fine mapping of a disease resistance locus. Plant Physiol **193**: 2321–2336