Primary Research Article

Global Change Factors differentially impact Floral and Leaf Economic Traits

Murugash Manavalan[1*], Dinesh Thakur[2], Andreas Schaumberger[3], Michael Bahn[4], Zuzana Münzbergová [1,2]

1. Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

2. Institute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Pruhonice, Czech Republic.

3. Agricultural Research and Education Centre Raumberg-Gumpenstein, Irdning, Austria.

4. Department of Ecology, Universität Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

Running Head: Floral and Leaf Economics in a Long-Term Climate Experiment

Corresponding Author Email ID: murugash1208@gmail.com; manavalm@natur.cuni.cz

Abstract

Background and Aims: Since the Industrial Revolution, rising atmospheric CO_2, warming,

and more frequent droughts have significantly impacted ecosystems. While the response of

leaf functional traits to these global change factors have been widely studied, reproductive

traits remain relatively understudied, despite their key role in the diversification and

distribution of flowering plants. Here, we investigated how elevated CO_2, warming, drought,

and their interactions affect floral, leaf and seed traits in two model grassland species. We

also examined how these factors influence trait coordination.

Methods: Two common grassland species, *Lotus corniculatus* and *Crepis capillaris*, were

sampled from a 10-year climate manipulation experiment. We measured resource economic

traits related to organ size, construction cost, and dry matter content in both leaves and

flowers, along with seed size and number. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to

assess trait responses, and rank-abundance curves were employed to visualize changes in trait

coordination across treatments.

Key Results: Trait responses to global change factors varied between species. Drought

emerged as the most influential factor, affecting only leaf traits in *L. corniculatus*, but

impacting leaf, floral, and seed traits in *C. capillaris*. Across both species, global change

conditions increased leaf construction costs and reduced flower size. In addition, it led to

larger leaves in *L.* corniculatus, and fewer seeds in *C. capillaris*. Under extreme global

change conditions, trait coordination became stronger in both species, although *C. capillaris*

showed no coordination response specifically to drought.

Conclusion: Our results show that floral economic traits, like leaf traits, are responsive to

individual and combined effects of global change factors. This highlights their importance in

shaping plant strategies under environmental stress and emphasizes the need to better

integrate floral traits into the whole-plant economic framework.

Keywords: Floral Economic Spectrum, Plant Economic Spectrum, Functional Ecology, Ecological strategies, Reproductive success, Trade-off, *Lotus corniculatus*, *Crepis capillaris*.

Introduction

Human activities since the Industrial Revolution have significantly increased greenhouse gas emissions, leading to today's high levels of atmospheric CO_2, which is the main driver of the ongoing global change (Borghi *et al.*, 2019; IPCC, 2022). The direct and indirect effects of this change have resulted in rapid alternations of functioning of plants and entire natural ecosystems (Feehan, Harley and van Minnen, 2009). Plants form the foundation of natural ecosystems, serving as primary producers that sustain all other life forms by driving energy flow and nutrient cycling. Due to the significant impact of global change on ecosystems, it becomes crucial to understand the effects of different global change factors such as CO_2, warming and drought on plants (Descamps, Quinet and Jacquemart, 2021). Such knowledge can help to predict and mitigate the adverse effects of climate change on biodiversity and ecosystem services, ensuring the sustainability and resilience of natural ecosystems.

Plant functional traits have long been used by ecologists as useful proxies of plant ecological strategies (Wright *et al.*, 2004; Reich, 2014) to gain insights into species response to changing environmental conditions (Liu *et al.*, 2024; Tyagi and Kumar, 2024). Recent major global change factors, particularly elevated CO_2, temperature, and drought, significantly impact plant traits and physiology across multiple scales, although to different extents (Lukac *et al.*, 2010; Albert *et al.*, 2011; Bjorkman *et al.*, 2018; Abdelhakim, Zhou and Ottosen, 2022). Drought, due to its ease of implementation in experimental studies, seems to be the most extensively studied of all the global change factors. It has significant negative effects on a range of plant functional traits, including vegetative traits related to competitive ability (plant height, leaf area and root diameter) (Lidon, 2012; Burkle and Runyon, 2016; Zhou *et*

al., 2018; Rodríguez-Alarcón, Tamme and Carmona, 2022), resource use strategy traits such

as specific leaf area and specific root length (Jung *et al.*, 2014; Wellstein *et al.*, 2017;

Rodríguez-Alarcón, Tamme and Carmona, 2022) and reproductive traits such as flower size

and number, floral rewards, and seed set (Kuppler *et al.*, 2021; Akter and Klečka, 2022;

Höfer *et al.*, 2023).

Temperature has a more varied impact on plant traits. While it has been shown to have

significant negative effects on both vegetative and reproductive traits (Niu *et al.*, 2000; Liang,

2016; Descamps, Quinet and Jacquemart, 2021), studies have revealed that traits such as leaf

area, stem length, stem diameter, fine-root biomass and pollen germination are optimized at

certain temperature (Nicotra *et al.*, 2008; Dostálek, Rokaya and Münzbergová, 2020; Wang *et*

al., 2021; Shin, Yeon and Kim, 2023; Kumarathunge *et al.*, 2024) and decline with both

temperature increase and decrease. Due to the variability of temperature-optima across

species, the effects of temperature on plants are much more species-specific compared to the

more consistent effects of drought.

Elevated CO_2 generally enhances photosynthesis, leaf area, carbohydrate concentration, and

boosts plant biomass when water is sufficient (Duan *et al.*, 2018; Temme *et al.*, 2019). Long-

term Free-Air CO_2 Enrichment (FACE) experiments have further validated these findings,

showing sustained increases in photosynthetic rates and biomass accumulation over several

growing seasons (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Leakey *et al.*, 2009). However, the effects of

elevated CO_2 on plant reproductive traits are far less studied compared to its effects on

vegetative traits (but see Wang, Taub and Jablonski, 2015; Glenny, Runyon and Burkle,

2018).

While numerous studies have examined the individual effects of temperature, moisture, and

elevated CO_2 on plant traits, these environmental factors often interact in complex and non-

additive ways. This has led to a growing emphasis on investigating their combined effects,

particularly on leaf morphology (e.g., Qaderi, Kurepin and Reid, 2006; Albert *et al.*, 2011;

Mueller *et al.*, 2022). However, the interactive impacts of these global change drivers on

reproductive traits—especially in relation to resource allocation strategies—remain largely

understudied.

Understanding how plants allocate resources under stress is central to predicting their

survival and reproductive success in changing environments. The concept of plant economic

spectra provides a valuable framework for this, linking traits such as leaf thickness, tissue

density, and dry matter content to construction costs and resource-use strategies (Wright *et*

al., 2004; Freschet *et al.*, 2010; Díaz *et al.*, 2016). While the leaf economic spectrum has

been widely studied (Wright *et al.*, 2004; Reich, 2014), recent work has extended this

framework to reproductive structures through the emerging concept of the floral economic

spectrum (Roddy *et al.*, 2021; E Vojtkó *et al.*, 2022). This approach identifies key trade-offs

in floral trait construction and function, offering insights into how plants balance investment

in reproduction with environmental constraints.

Studying floral economic traits under global change conditions is particularly important, as

flowers are critical for reproductive success and pollinator interactions (Ceulemans *et al.*,

2017). Environmental stresses can alter floral morphology, longevity, and display, thereby

influencing pollinator behavior and plant fitness (Basnett, Krpan and Espíndola, 2024;

Trunschke *et al.*, 2024). These stresses may also affect the energy and materials plants invest

in building and maintaining floral tissues, often leading to shifts in construction cost-related

traits. By examining how floral economic traits respond to environmental stressors, we can

better understand the adaptive strategies plants use to optimize reproductive investment and

anticipate how plant–pollinator dynamics and ecosystem functions may shift under future

climate scenarios.

Most studies examining the response of plant traits to environmental factors focus on the

variation of traits in individual plant organs (e.g. Nie *et al.*, 2013; Jung *et al.*, 2014; Bongers

et al., 2017; Hai *et al.*, 2023; Fenollosa *et al.*, 2024). However, different organs respond to

environmental factors differently but the responses of different organs may also covary with

each other. In an analysis of a wide range of leaf and root traits across 24 species, it was

found that leaf traits exhibited stronger and more homogenous response to drought while the

response of root traits to drought was highly variable and species-specific (Lozano *et al.*,

2020). This study underscores the need to investigate the coordination of traits among

different organs in response to stress factors. Additionally, given the decoupled nature of

reproductive traits such as floral and seed traits from vegetative traits such as leaf traits due to

the different selective forces acting on them (Salguero-Gómez *et al.*, 2016; E□Vojtkó *et al.*,

2022), their responses are highly likely to be multi-faceted.

The present study seeks to fill the above identified gaps in our knowledge by investigating

how global change factors, namely CO_2, temperature and drought, affect floral, leaf and seed

traits and the covariation between them in two model grassland species. Specifically, this

study addresses the following questions and hypotheses.

Q1. How do floral, leaf and seed traits vary in response to elevated CO_2, warming and

drought?

H1. Drought would induce stronger and more negative changes in plant traits compared to

elevated CO_2 and warming, with CO_2 expected to have more positive effects due to

enhanced photosynthetic activity.

Q2. How do these global change factors interact to affect floral, leaf and seed traits?

H2. In the interaction between temperature and CO_2, CO_2 would negate the negative effects

of warming. Increasing temperature would exacerbates the negative effects of drought which

would be partially mitigated by elevated CO_2.

Q3. How do global change factors influence the covariation of flower, leaf and seed traits?

H3. Elevated global change factors exert a strong filtering action on the covariation of plant

traits across different organs, leading to coordinated trait adjustments to adapt to stressful

conditions. Similar to leaf traits, floral traits would exhibit adaptive changes in response to

individual and combined global change factors, and that these changes would be strongly

coordinated with leaf and seed trait responses.

Materials and Methods

Study Site and Experimental Setup

This study was conducted within the framework of a long-term multifactorial global change

experiment (named ClimGrass) at the Agricultural Research and Education Centre (AREC)

in Raumberg – Gumpenstein (Meeran *et al.*, 2021; Reinthaler *et al.*, 2021; Radolinski *et al.*,

2025). This study site is located in Styria, Austria (47°29'37″N, 14°06'0″E), 710m above sea

level and was established in 2012 in a managed sub-montane C3 grassland. The soil is

classified as Cambisol with loamy texture. Aboveground biomass is mown and removed

three times a year and plots are regularly bolstered with mineral fertilizer with a total load of

90 kg N $ha^{-1}y^{-1}$, 65 kg P $ha^{-1}y^{-1}$ and 170 kg K $ha^{-1}y^{-1}$. This is done to compensate for the

macronutrients lost due to harvests (Pötsch *et al.*, 2019). The experimental design comprises

of a total of 54 plots (4×4m each) representing individual and combined effects of three

levels of temperature (ambient, $+1.5°C$, $+3°C$), atmospheric CO_2 concentration (ambient,

+150ppm, +300ppm) and drought (Piepho *et al.*, 2017; Pötsch *et al.*, 2019). The CO_2 and

temperature treatments started in May 2014 while the drought treatments started in May

2017. The different temperature and CO_2 levels were established based on potential future

climate scenarios and were simulated through a combination of infrared heating systems to

increase air temperature and a mini-FACE (Free Air CO_2 Enrichment) approach for

fumigation with CO_2 (Piepho *et al.*, 2017; Pötsch *et al.*, 2019). The plots which acted as

control were not heated or fumigated and were equipped with non-functional heaters and/or

mini-FACE rings of same shape and size which blew ambient air to account for potential

disturbances (Piepho *et al.*, 2017; Pötsch *et al.*, 2019). Dynamic rainout shelters controlled by

rain sensors were deployed on 12 plots to generate water stress in combination with ambient

and future climate change. These shelters were only used to restrict rain and induce drought

during the summer seasons (Exact dates provided in Supplementary Information Table S7.).

Half of the drought plots were maintained at ambient conditions with the remaining half

being exposed to the extremes of CO_2 (+300ppm) and temperature(+3°C) (Radolinski *et al.*,

2025; Tissink *et al.*, 2025).

Plant Sampling and Trait Measurements

For this study, we selected a set of plots representing six treatments: Control (C0T0D0),

elevated CO_2 concentration (+300ppm)(C1T0D0), increased temperature/warming

(+3°C)(C0T1D0), drought (C0T0D1), combination of elevated CO_2 and warming (C1T1D0)

and finally combination of elevated CO_2, warming and drought (C1T1D1). The plots were

additionally narrowed down based on the presence of either of two model grassland species,

Lotus corniculatus L. and *Crepis capillaris* (L.) Wallr (see below). These two species are the

two most common insect pollinated species occurring in the experimental plots and have the

same flowering period.

Lotus corniculatus L. (Fabaceae) is a polycarpic perennial non-clonal herb widely distributed

across Europe (Chytrý *et al.*, 2021). It flowers in a umbel inflorescence type (Chytrý *et al.*,

2021). The corolla is zygomorphic, yellow and consists of five petals each: the upstanding

'standard', the lateral two wings and the final two lower petals uniting to form the 'keel' with

the overall shape of the corolla being butterfly-like (*Nature Gate*, 2011). The plant is

primarily insect pollinated.

Crepis capillaris (L.) Wallr. (Asteraceae) is an annual herb and is native in Europe being

widespread as far north as Denmark and southern Sweden (Stace, 2010; Govaerts, 2024). It

flowers in a synflorescence corymbiform inflorescence type. Each flower head contains 50-

70 flowers. The corolla is ligulate, 6.0-15.0 mm long, and yellow with a ligule tinged red

(Kilian, 2024). It is pollinated by insects but is also capable of selfing (Chytrý *et al.*, 2021).

The sampling was conducted on 22nd and 23rd of July 2023. For *L. corniculatus*, a total of 45

individuals were sampled from 21 plots across the 6 treatments, with 6 to 10 individuals

sampled per treatment. From each individual, 1–4 flowers and 1–2 leaves were collected. For

C. capillaris, 39 individuals were sampled from a total of 27 plots with 5 to 11 individuals

per treatment. From each *C. capillaris* individual, 1–2 flowers and leaves were sampled. The

variation in the number of individuals, leaves and flowers is given by their availability in the

different treatments (selecting only fully developed, but not wilted and undamaged leaves and

flowers/inflorescences). Within each plot, samples were collected at least 10 cm apart with

maximum 2 samples per plot and wrapped in water-saturated paper towels and stored in the

refrigerator until further processing (approximately 48 hours). Alongside flowers and leaves

of both species, seeds of *C. capillaris* were also sampled, dried in paper bags and stored.

Developed seeds were not available in *L. corniculatus* and thus could not be sampled.

To estimate Leaf Area (LA), Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content

(LDMC), each leaf which was previously wrapped in wet paper towels for at least 48 hours

(during sampling) was weighed to determine its saturated mass and then subsequently

scanned in a flatbed scanner to determine the Leaf Area (LA). The leaves were dried at 70°C

for 72 hours and after which their dry mass was also taken. Leaf area (LA) was estimated

using ImageJ software. Specific Leaf Area (SLA) was calculated as Leaf Area (LA) divided

by leaf dry mass and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) was estimated as the ratio of leaf dry

mass to saturated mass (Pérez-Harguindeguy *et al.*, 2013).

The flowers of the two species were processed differently due to variation in their

morphology. The petals of *L. corniculatus* were dissected out from the flowers and weighed

to obtain their saturated mass. Afterwards, they were separated out into their individual petal

types: one standard petal, two wings and one keel. The separated petals were then flattened

and scanned, dried similarly to leaves and then weighed again using a Mettler Toledo

Microbalance (d = 0.5μg) to get their dry mass. The scanned petals were processed through

ImageJ to obtain their individual petal area. As the keel is composed of 2 fused petals, its area

was multiplied by 2. The total petal area of all the petals was taken as the Display Area (DA).

The total Specific Petal Area (SPA) of the whole corolla of each flower was calculated

(Display Area / Dry Mass). Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC) was calculated by dividing the

total dry mass of the petals by their saturated mass (similarly to leaves the petals have been

wrapped in wet tissue for at least 48 hours prior estimating saturated mass)..

For *C. capillaris*, 5 individual ray florets were dissected out and then weighed, flattened and

scanned to get their fresh mass and petal area. They were then dried and weighed to get their

dry mass. Due to the homogeneity of ray florets, the florets were processed together, and their

Specific Petal Area (SPA) was calculated as the total area of 5 ray florets divided by their

total dry mass. Here, Display Area (DA) was calculated as an average of the 5 individual ray

florets. Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC) was also obtained. The number of seeds in each

flowerhead was counted. Seeds number per flower head (SN) was calculated by dividing the

total number of seeds taken from a plant by the number of flower heads. The total weight of

the seeds in a flower head were weighed using the microbalance mentioned above. The Seed

Mass (SM) was then calculated by dividing the weight of all the seeds in a flower head by the

number of seeds.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out in R software version 4.3.1. AI assistance was used to

generate initial R code for certain analytical approaches. Two separate datasets were prepared

for the univariate and multivariate analyses. For the univariate analysis, trait values from

leaves or flowers of the same individual were retained as separate data points. In contrast, for

the multivariate analysis, trait values were averaged per individual prior to analysis. Prior to

multivariate analyses, all traits were standardized to a mean of zero and a standard deviation

of one to account for differences in measurement scales across traits.

To assess the broad patterns of response of different functional traits to global change factors,

a Redundancy Analysis (RDA) was first performed using the vegan package in R(version

2.6.10; Oksanen *et al.*, 2025) followed by a Permutational Analysis of Variance

(PERMANOVA) using 9999 permutations to test the significance of the global change

factors in the RDA.

To assess the impact of the global change factors on individual plant traits, we conducted a

set of univariate analyses where first we performed Shapiro-Wilk test to evaluate normality

of the trait values. Based on the p-values obtained, the normality of the original trait value

was compared with its logarithmic and square-root transformations. The form of the trait

value with the highest p-value was selected for inclusion in the linear models, as it indicated

the closest approximation to a normal distribution. In *L. corniculatus*, Specific Petal Area and

Leaf Dry Matter Content were square-root and log-transformed respectively while all other

variables were used in their original form. In *C. capillaris*, only Display Area was kept in its

original form with Specific Petal Area, Petal Dry Matter Content, Leaf Dry Matter Content

and Seed Number being square-root transformed, and Leaf Area, Specific Leaf Area and

Seed Mass being log-transformed. Linear models were used to analyse the effects of CO_2

(C), temperature (T), drought (D), and their interactions—$CO_2 \times$ temperature (C×T) and

(CO_2 + temperature) × drought (CT×D)—on leaf and reproductive traits of both species

separately. All environmental variables and their interactions were included simultaneously

as fixed predictors within a single model, without applying variable selection procedures such

as stepwise or backward elimination. The "ggplot2" package (v 3.5.1)(Wickham, 2016) was

used for creating the plots.

To understand general patterns of covariation across all plant traits, Principal Component

Analysis (PCA) was conducted and visualized using the "factoextra" package (v

1.0.7)(Kassambara and Mundt, 2020) in R.

Pair-wise correlations between individual plant traits were analysed by means of a trait

correlation network constructed using significant Pearson correlations. A threshold of $r > 0.2$

marked pairwise correlations that were significant at $p < 0.05$. All correlations below this

threshold were set to zero, yielding the adjacency matrix A = $[a_{i,j}]$ with $a_{i,j} \in [0,1]$.

Additionally, network connections between any pair of traits are weighted by the absolute

correlation strength, $|r_{ij}|$ (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008; Kleyer *et al.*, 2019).

To assess the covariation of plant traits in response to elevated CO_2, increased temperature,

and drought, separate Principal Component Analyses (PCAs) were conducted for each

treatment. Because the number of individuals per treatment was unbalanced, we performed

multiple independent tests using repeated random sampling to standardize the dataset. In each

iteration, we randomly selected six individuals per treatment for *L. corniculatus* and five

individuals per treatment for *C. capillaris*, ensuring equal sample sizes across treatments.

This repeated subsampling approach allowed us to assess the robustness of trait covariation

patterns across treatments. The significance of the resulting PCAs and the contribution of

individual traits to the significant axes were evaluated using the "PCAtest" package (v

0.0.2)(Camargo, 2025). The proportion of variance explained by the PCA axes for each

species was visualized as rank-abundance curves using the ggplot2 package (Wickham,

2016).

Results

The results are presented in three sub-sections. The first section details the responses of traits

of both plant species to the individual and interactive effects of global change factors. For

each species, we first report findings from the multivariate analysis, followed by results from

the univariate analyses. The second section examines the covariation among plant traits,

while the final section presents how trait covariation is influenced by global change factors.

Response of Plant Functional Traits to Global Change Factors

Lotus corniculatus

The three global change factors and their interactions collectively explained 29% of the trait

variation in the multivariate redundancy analysis (RDA) (p = 0.002 for the overall model).

When tested individually, drought (D) and warming (T) had significant effects, CT×D had

marginally significant effects (Fig. 1) while elevated CO_2 and C×T did not have any

significant effect. Among the significant predictors, drought accounted for the highest

variance (10.2 %), followed by warming (6.3%) and then CT×D (4.8%) (Table 1 in

Supplementary Information).

Drought and temperature were the primary drivers of variation along the first RDA axis,

which accounted for 19.03% of the variation in trait responses. Display Area (DA) and leaf

traits (Leaf Area (LA), Specific Leaf Area (SLA), and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC))

varied along this gradient, with increasing drought being associated with an increase in Leaf

Area and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) alongside a decrease in Specific Leaf Area

(SLA). Temperature was diametrically opposite to Display Area (DA), indicating that

increasing temperature levels would lead to a reduction in Display Area (DA). The second

RDA axis accounted for 4.7% of trait variation and was related to CT×D. Display Area (DA)

was well-represented on this axis, indicating that the most extreme condition, CTD, would

lead to a decrease in Display Area (DA).

Fig. 1. RDA plot of *L. corniculatus*. Significant global change factors have been shown in

blue while plant traits have been coloured based their group (as shown in legend). ; DA,

Display Area; SPA, Specific Petal Area; PDMC, Petal Dry Matter Content; LA, Leaf Area;

SLA, Specific Leaf Area; LDMC, Leaf Dry Matter Content; T, Warming (+3°C); D,

Drought; CT×D, Elevated CO_2, warming and drought.

The univariate analyses of *L. corniculatus* traits revealed that drought had the most consistent

and significant effects (Fig. 2), increasing Leaf Area (LA), Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC)

while decreasing Specific Leaf Area (SLA) (Fig. 3d, 3e and 3f). CO_2 induced variation in two

out of the three leaf traits, reducing Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and increasing Leaf Dry Matter

Content (LDMC) (Fig. 3a and 3b). The temperature effect was more trait specific as it had a

significant effect only on Display Area (DA) (Fig. 3c), causing a substantial decrease in

Display Area (DA) under higher temperature. In terms of interactions, C×T overall caused a

decrease in Display Area (DA), as warming negated and reversed the positive effect of CO_2

(Fig. 3g). In the case of Specific Leaf Area (SLA), the decrease caused by CO_2 became

stagnant with increasing temperature (Fig. 3h). In the most extreme treatment (CT×D),

drought had a buffering effect on increase of Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) by CO_2 and

temperature (Fig. 3i).

Fig. 2. Variance partitioning plot for *L. corniculatus* showing magnitude of variation

explained by the different global change factors. C, Elevated CO_2 (+300ppm); T, Warming

(+3°C); D, Drought; C×T, Elevated CO_2 combined with warming; CT×D – Elevated CO_2,

warming and drought; DA, Display Area; LA, Leaf Area; SLA, Specific Leaf Area; LDMC,

Leaf Dry Matter Content; SN, Seed Number; SM, Seed Mass. RDA results are of

multivariate analysis. Only the significant variables of $p < 0.05$ are shown. Specific Petal

Area and Petal Dry Matter Content do not have any significant predictors and are thus not

shown.

Fig. 3. Boxplots illustrating the individual and combined effects of global change factors on plant traits of *L. corniculatus*. Significance level of the effect of the plotted factor or their interaction is indicated in the top right corner (* = 0.05; ** = 0.01; *** = < 0.001). Functional trait studied denoted in the Y-axis while treatment is denoted in the X-axis. For legend of plant traits see Fig. 2.

Crepis capillaris

The RDA analysis of *C. capillaris* showed that the global change factors accounted for 20%

of the total trait variation (p = 0.003 for the overall model) and drought (7.7%) and C×T

(4.5%) having significant effect on the plant traits (Fig. 4).

These two global change factors were highly correlated in the first RDA axis (13%), with

drought being the primary and C×T the secondary contributor to variation along this axis.

The constrained variation in floral traits (Display Area (DA), Specific Petal Area (SPA), and

Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)), leaf traits (Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry

Matter Content (LDMC)), and Seed Number (SN) was chiefly represented along this axis.

The patterns indicated that drought had a positive relationship with the Dry Matter Content

traits (Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) and Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)) while

having a negative relationship with all other traits. Among these traits, Seed Number (SN)

showed the strongest inverse relationship with drought. The second RDA axis accounted for

4% of the total variation, with temperature as the dominant factor. Leaf Area (LA) was best

represented along this axis, possibly indicating that increasing temperature would cause a

decrease in Leaf Area (LA), although this relationship was not significant.

Fig. 4. RDA plot of *C. capillaris*. Significant global change factors have been shown in blue while plant traits have been coloured based their group (as shown in legend). DA, Display Area; SPA, Specific Petal Area; PDMC, Petal Dry Matter Content; LA, Leaf Area; SLA, Specific Leaf Area; LDMC, Leaf Dry Matter Content; SN, Seed Number; SM, Seed Mass; D, Drought; C×T, Elevated CO_2 combined with warming.

The findings in the univariate analysis reflected the general patterns observed in the RDA. Similar to *L. corniculatus*, trait variation in *C. capillaris* was primarily dictated by drought, which was the only climatic variable having a significant effect on the majority of plant traits. Its magnitude of variation was highest in Seed Number (SN), followed by Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC) and then the other traits (Specific Petal Area (SPA), Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC), and Display Area (DA)) (Fig. 5). An increase in drought levels caused a significant decrease in Display Area (DA), Specific Petal Area (SPA), and Seed Number (SN) while leading to an increase in Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) (Fig. 6a – 6e).

Fig. 5. Variance partitioning plot for *C. capillaris* explaining magnitude of variation in relation to the three global change factors and their interactions. C, Elevated CO_2 (+300ppm); T, Warming (+3°C); D, Drought; C×T, Elevated CO_2 combined with warming; CT×D – Elevated CO_2, warming and drought; DA, Display Area; SPA, Specific Petal Area; PDMC, Petal Dry Matter Content; LDMC, Leaf Dry Matter Content; SN, Seed Number. RDA results are of multivariate analysis. Only the significant variables of $p < 0.05$ are shown. Specific Leaf Area does not have significant predictors.

Fig. 6. Boxplots illustrating the effects of global change factors on plant traits of *C. capillaris*. Significance level of the effect of the plotted factor or their interaction is indicated in the top right corner ($\cdot$ = <0.1; * = 0.05; ** = 0.01). Functional trait studied denoted in the Y-axis while treatment is denoted in the X-axis. For legend of plant traits see Fig. 5.

Similar to *L. corniculatus*, effect of temperature in *C. capillaris* is also trait-specific and caused a significant effect only for Seed Number (SN), which was negatively related to warming (Fig. 6f). In terms of interactions, although under ambient temperature conditions, increase in CO_2 intensity causes increase in Specific Petal Area (SPA), warming is shown to negate the positive effect of CO_2 with CT showing slight reduction in Specific Petal Area (SPA) despite the positive effect of CO_2 (Fig. 6g). This pattern is mirrored in the effect of CO_2 and temperature on Seed Number (SN) (Fig. 6h).

Covariation Between Plant Traits

The initial Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of both species revealed two independent axes of variation among leaf and floral traits. In *L. corniculatus*, the first two axes accounted for 64% of the variation (Fig. 7a). The first axis accounted for 35.2% of the variation and was primarily associated with leaf traits (Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC)). The second axis accounted for 28.8% of the variation and was linked to floral traits (Specific Petal Area (SPA) and Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)). Specific area traits (Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Specific Petal Area (SPA)) were negatively correlated with their dry matter counterparts (Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) and Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)). Regarding floral traits, Display Area (DA) occurred closely with Specific Petal Area (SPA), and together they were orthogonal to the leaf traits, where Leaf Area (LA) occurred closely with Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC).

In *C. capillaris*, the first two principal components accounted for 55.2% of the total trait variation (when analyzed without seed traits) (Fig. 7b). The first axis was dominated by floral traits (Display Area (DA), Specific Petal Area (SPA), and Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)) (33.6%), while the second axis was dominated by leaf traits (Leaf Area (LA), Specific Leaf Area (SLA), and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC)). Similar to Display Area (DA) in *L. corniculatus*, Display Area (DA) in *C. capillaris* occurred closely with Specific Petal Area (SPA) and was orthogonal to leaf traits (Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC)). Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) were also negatively correlated.

When seed traits (Seed Number (SN) and Seed Mass (SM)) were added to the PCA (Fig. 7c), the overall patterns of dominance remained the same. Seed Number (SN) was negatively

correlated with the Dry Matter Content traits (Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC) and Leaf

Dry Matter Content (LDMC)). The loadings for Seed Mass (SM) consistently had low

absolute values across all principal components, with a maximum of -0.3 on PC3. This

implied that Seed Mass (SM) played a negligible role in defining the principal components.

Fig. 7. PCA plot of (a) *L. corniculatus* (b) *C. capillaris* (without seed traits) and (c) *C.*

capillaris (with seed traits). DA, Display Area; SPA, Specific Petal Area; PDMC, Petal Dry

Matter Content; LA, Leaf Area; SLA, Specific Leaf Area; LDMC, Leaf Dry Matter Content;

SN, Seed Number; SM, Seed Mass.

Network analysis of the two species confirms the findings from the PCAs while offering

some additional insights. In *L. corniculatus*, the most connected and most central trait was

Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC) (Fig. 8a) which connected the other floral traits, Specific

Petal Area (SPA) and Display Area (DA) while having a stronger connection with the former

(Supplementary Information Table S2). Both floral and leaf traits independently clustered

with the leaf traits having stronger interactions among each other than the floral traits (Fig.

8). These findings contrast with that of *C. capillaris* (Fig. 8b) where dry matter content traits,

specifically Leaf and Petal Dry Matter Content form a cluster alongside Specific Leaf Area

(SLA) and Seed Number (SN). Specific Petal Area (SPA) and Petal Dry Matter Content

(PDMC) dominate the network both in degree and betweenness. Traits such as Leaf Area

(LA) and Seed Mass (SM) do not play any role in the network.

Fig 8. Trait correlation network for (a) *L. corniculatus* and (b) *C. capillaris*. Node colours

indicate separate clusters or "modules" while node size shows betweenness. Correlation

strength is shown by line thickness and distance between traits. DA, Display Area; SPA,

Specific Petal Area; PDMC, Petal Dry Matter Content; LA, Leaf Area; SLA, Specific Leaf

Area; LDMC, Leaf Dry Matter Content; SN, Seed Number; SM, Seed Mass.

Coordinated Changes in Traits in Response to Global Change Factors

When analysing the covariation of plant traits under each climatic regime separately, we

found that different treatments led to coordinated adjustments in traits. However, the

coordinated responses were not similar across species. In *L. corniculatus*, the most extreme

treatment (C1T1D1) caused a major coordinated adjustment in traits (Fig. 9a), with PC1

being the only significant axis explaining 65.3% of the total variation. Variation in this axis

was dictated by Specific Petal Area (SPA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC). Traits in

the other treatments did not show any significant covariations.

These patterns were partially mirrored in *C. capillaris* (Fig. 9b). Both the combined elevated

CO_2 and warming treatment (C1T1D0) and the elevated CO_2 treatment alone (C1T0D0) led

to significant trait covariation. In C1T1D0, PC1 accounted for 74.5% of the variance and was

significant, while in C1T0D0, PC2 was significant, explaining 41.4% of the variance. In

C1T1D0, Specific Petal Area (SPA), Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC), Leaf Area (LA), and

Specific Leaf Area (SLA) had significant loadings on PC1, with Specific Petal Area (SPA),

Leaf Area (LA), and Specific Leaf Area (SLA) also showing significant correlations with the

axis. In C1T0D0, Leaf Area (LA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) loaded significantly

on PC2, with LDMC showing a significant correlation with the axis. Traits in the other

treatments did not show any significant covariation. It should be noted, however, that due to

the limited number of individuals per treatment, some random sampling combinations yielded

non-significant PCA results or identified different traits as significant in terms of loadings

and correlations. Nonetheless, across the significant outcomes, only the treatments mentioned

above consistently led to statistically significant covariation among traits.

Fig. 9. Variation explained in the traits by each of the PCA axes under different treatments in

(a) *L. corniculatus*, (b) *C. capillaris* (without Seed Traits) and (c) *C. capillaris* (with Seed

Traits). C0T0D0 - Ambient Control, C1T0D0 – Elevated CO_2 (+300ppm), C0T1D0 –

Warming (+3°C), C0T0D1 – Drought, C1T1D0 – Elevated CO_2 combined with warming,

C1T1D1 – Elevated CO_2, warming and drought.

Discussion

The results of this study show that global change simulated for multiple years significantly

impacts not only vegetative traits but also reproductive traits such as floral and seed traits

although responses vary between species. Our study supported (H1) indicating that plant

traits respond more to drought than to elevated CO_2 and warming. Contrary to (H2), the

negative effects of temperature were not mitigated by elevated CO_2 conditions. Finally, in

line with (H3) global change factors and their interactions exerted a strong filtering on

covariation of plant traits, albeit this phenomenon was species specific.

Response of Plant Functional Traits to Individual Global Change Factors

Global change factors significantly influenced the functional traits of both *L. corniculatus*

and *C. capillaris*, though the responses varied across traits and species. In *L. corniculatus*,

drought was the dominant factor, but other global change factors also affected two of the

three leaf traits (Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC)) and one

floral trait (Display Area (DA)). In contrast, *C. capillaris* was primarily influenced by

drought, which had a significant impact on all floral traits (Display Area (DA), Specific Petal

Area (SPA), and Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)), as well as one leaf trait (Leaf Dry

Matter Content (LDMC)) and one seed trait (Seed Number). Temperature was the only other

significant factor, affecting only Seed Number (SN). Species-specific responses have been

reported in many previous studies (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Descamps *et al.*, 2020;

Kuppler and Kotowska, 2021; Bernauer *et al.*, 2024). The key reasons for this could be their

unique evolutionary history, differing life histories and differences in their functional

strategies.

The finding that drought is the dominant factor affecting economic traits of both species

aligns with our first hypothesis (H1). Drought stress has been consistently shown to reduce

traits such as Leaf Area (LA), Specific Leaf Area (SLA), Flower size, and Seed Number

(SN)(Lidon, 2012; Wellstein *et al.*, 2017; Matesanz *et al.*, 2020; Descamps *et al.*, 2021;

Höfer *et al.*, 2023), while increasing Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) (Jung *et al.*, 2014). It

is reflecting a shift toward less economical structures with higher construction costs per unit

area. A notable exception in our study is the response of Leaf Area (LA). In *L. corniculatus*,

Leaf Area (LA) increased under drought, contrary to what was found by most prior studies

(e.g., Westoby *et al.*, 2002; McDonald *et al.*, 2003; Yates *et al.*, 2010; Bjorkman *et al.*, 2018;

Zhou *et al.*, 2020). Our finding is supported by a study of *Limonium* species, where larger

leaves despite being considered less economical in terms of construction cost were associated

with higher growth capacity and higher water use efficiency (Conesa, Mus and Galmés,

2019). Our study demonstrated a less economical, more conservative, resource-intensive

strategy in which plants increased dry matter content traits (such as Leaf Dry Matter Content

(LDMC) and Petal Dry Matter Content (PDMC)) while reducing tissue construction cost-

related traits (such as Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Specific Petal Area (SPA)). This shift

suggests a higher investment in structural tissues, aligning with the plant's adaptive response

to environmental stress (Poorter *et al.*, 2009). These tissues, although more costly to produce,

are more durable and enhance plant resilience under environmental stress (Gong, Cui and

Gao, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2022; Akram *et al.*, 2023). Smaller plant organs generally require

less water to maintain turgor pressure, which can improve plant water status during drought

stress by reducing overall transpiration and hydraulic demand (Galen, Sherry and Carroll,

1999; CHAVES *et al.*, 2002). Although this strategy is cost-effective for temporary survival,

the reduction in flower size, a crucial visual cue for pollinators, could potentially decrease

pollinator visitation rates and thereby reducing population growth rates (Descamps, Quinet

and Jacquemart, 2021). However, a decrease in Specific Petal Area (SPA) may also suggest

increased floral longevity due to reduced flower maintenance costs (Spigler and Woodard,

2019). This could mitigate the potential negative effects of smaller flower size. While no

general patterns of decreasing visitation rates by pollinators under water deficit conditions

have been identified (reviewed in Kuppler and Kotowska, 2021), the potential impact of

floral trait variation on pollinator behaviour requires further attention.

Warming influenced only two plant traits: it reduced floral display area in *L. corniculatus* and

seed number in *C. capillaris*, without affecting any resource economic traits. Although there

are many previous studies which predicted a significant linear relationship between leaf traits

(Leaf Area (LA), Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC)) and

temperature (e.g., Atkin *et al.*, 2006; Poorter *et al.*, 2010; Fontana *et al.*, 2017; Dostálek,

Rokaya and Münzbergová, 2020), our findings on leaf traits were more in line with a study

conducted in the Bavarian Alps consisting of 223 species (Rosbakh, Römermann and

Poschlod, 2015) where a significant relationship between Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and

temperature was found only at the community level with no response at either the species or

population level. This could be because of the predominant effect of drought on vegetative

traits as water availability is a greater limiting factor than warming in temperate climates

(Fahad *et al.*, 2017; Zhou *et al.*, 2017). In regards to floral traits, although warming did not

alter the per area structural investment in either species, it led to reduced display area in one

of the them and this species-specific response in flower size has been replicated in other

studies (Liang, 2016; Descamps *et al.*, 2021; Wiszniewski *et al.*, 2022). The stability of leaf

economic traits alongside flower size reduction suggests that plants may maintain their

resource-use strategy while reallocating biomass away from reproductive structures under

warming conditions. However, this could also reflect resource allocation towards increased

competition in warmer climates. Temperature regulation plays a crucial role in the

development and functioning of flowers (van der Kooi, Kevan and Koski, 2019) with

different species requiring differing optimal temperatures to achieve maximum flower size

(Pearson *et al.*, 1995; Zhang, Ampatzidis and Whiting, 2015). Species which can maintain

and possibly increase flower size at higher temperatures have a greater probability of

surviving in future climate scenarios over species which cannot. Similar to the plant's

response to drought, while this would be a beneficial strategy in the short term, it is important

to consider the trade-offs between longevity and construction costs based on plant economics

(Reich, 2014). Larger cheaply-constructed flowers with high Specific Petal Area (SPA) are

generally expected to have shorter lifespans and tend to flower much more rapidly (Zhang *et*

al., 2017; Spigler and Woodard, 2019). Plants that can adjust their display size and flower

longevity can enhance pollinator attraction when pollinators are rare and limit self-pollination

when pollinators are common (Harder and Johnson, 2005).

In our experiment, elevated CO_2 levels resulted in a decrease in Specific Leaf Area (SLA)

and increase in Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) in only one species (*L. corniculatus*).

Similar to the drought response, this pattern suggests a shift toward a more resource-

intensive, less economical strategy. While several studies confirm our findings of decrease in

Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and increase in Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) under elevated

CO_2 (e.g., Centritto, Lee and Jarvis, 1999; Stiling and Cornelissen, 2007; Robinson, Ryan and

Newman, 2012; Poorter *et al.*, 2022), others partially contradict them, showing increased

Specific Leaf Area (SLA) under elevated CO_2 (Duan *et al.*, 2018; Temme *et al.*, 2019). This

variation may be due to differences in resource-use strategies among plants with different

growth forms. Perennials like *L. corniculatus* often have thicker leaves with lower Specific

Leaf Area (SLA) and higher Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC), leading to slower growth

rates and lower resource acquisition compared to faster-growing annuals (Poorter *et al.*,

2009; Reich, 2014). When exposed to favourable conditions (such as elevated CO_2),

perennials may not exhibit the same growth responses as annuals because their strategies

prioritize survival and maintenance over rapid growth. In our study, elevated CO_2 level had

no effect on floral traits. This is in line with (Bernauer *et al.*, 2024) while other studies have

reported both increase and decrease of flower size under elevated CO_2 (Niu *et al.*, 2000;

Hoover *et al.*, 2012; Maia *et al.*, 2023). Overall, our findings highlight how environmental

stressors such as drought and elevated CO☐ drive shifts in plant economic strategies. Traits

like Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and Specific Petal Area (SPA) serve as indicators of tissue

construction cost, with lower values reflecting less economical, more structurally robust

tissues. Conversely, higher dry matter content traits (LDMC and PDMC) signal a

conservative strategy prioritizing durability over rapid resource acquisition. These shifts

underscore the importance of integrating plant economics frameworks when interpreting trait

responses to climate change.

Interactive Effects of Global Change Factors on Plant Traits

Regarding the combined effects of global change factors on plant traits, we hypothesized

(H2) that the negative effects of either warming or drought would be partially mitigated by

elevated CO_2. This hypothesis was not supported by our observations. The effects of

interaction between elevated CO_2 and warming (C×T) were more negative for floral and seed

traits than effects of warming alone. Some studies have reported the induction of

thermotolerance in plants under elevated CO_2 conditions (AbdElgawad *et al.*, 2016; Lee *et*

al., 2020) while others have reported CO_2 has little effect on the negative effects of

temperature increase (Niu *et al.*, 2000). Although the experimental conditions for elevated

CO_2 and warming which were in our study were comparable to those of Lee *et al.,* (2020);

our results differ. In their study on growth and photosynthesis of potato crops, elevated CO_2

mitigated the negative effects of temperature, whereas in our study, it resulted in more

pronounced negative effects. Considering these nuances, we conclude that while the positive

effects of elevated CO_2 are well-documented, its interaction with temperature is complex, and

the outcomes are highly species-specific.

The combination of elevated CO_2, warming and drought (CT×D) significantly affected only

one economic trait in only one species, i.e. Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) in *L.*

corniculatus. While plants exposed to elevated CO_2 and temperature exhibited higher Leaf

Dry Matter Content (LDMC) than those in ambient conditions, drought-stressed plants

showed a significantly greater Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) than both ambient and

elevated CO_2 + temperature. Increased Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) might be

attributed to improved water-use efficiency, driven by reduced Specific Leaf Area (SLA)

(Wellstein *et al.*, 2017) and increased investment in non-structural carbohydrates (Blumenthal

et al., 2020; Rodríguez-Alarcón, Tamme and Carmona, 2022). Changes in palisade

parenchyma cell composition—specifically increases in cell number and size under

drought—may also contribute to reduced Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and increased Leaf Dry

Matter Content (LDMC) (Peña-Rojas *et al.*, 2024), reinforcing the link between anatomical

adaptation and economic trait shifts.

Trait Covariation Patterns and Effect of Global Change Factors on Covariations

Our findings on trait covariation patterns reveal that floral traits of *L. corniculatus* are largely

independent of leaf traits and this is in-line with (E Vojtkó *et al.*, 2022). In *C. capillaris*

however, there seems to be an interesting link between Petal and Leaf Dry Matter Content

with both traits also having Seed Number (SN) as a mediator. Although previous studies do

not specifically use these two traits, overall plant dry matter has been found to be positively

correlated with seed number (Vanderlip *et al.*, 2004; Aparna *et al.*, 2017). This would be due

to the higher dry matter accumulation enabling plants to store and mobilize more resources

for seed development, leading to increased seed yield (Liu *et al.*, 2020). In regard to the

effects of global change factors on trait covariation, we hypothesized (H3) that environmental

extremes exert a strong coordinated response in plant functional traits. This phenomenon was

found to be true for only one of our two species. This differential coordinated response of

plant functional traits may reveal interesting insights into the adaptability and distribution of

plant species across different habitats.

Functional traits of *L. corniculatus* showed the most coordinated response in the most

extreme conditions (C1T1D1). This is in-line with previous studies (Lozano *et al.*, 2020;

Mueller, Kray and Blumenthal, 2024). Drier, warmer habitats have been found to exert

stronger selection pressures on plant traits to enhance water-use efficiency, heat tolerance and

resource conservation which eventually leads to a stronger selection for trait coordination

(Blumenthal *et al.*, 2020; Mueller, Kray and Blumenthal, 2024). *C. capillaris* showed

coordinated trait responses primarily under elevated CO□ alone (C1T0D0) and in

combination with elevated temperature (C1T1D0). The absence of a coordinated trait

response to drought in *C. capillaris*, unlike in *L. corniculatus*, may contribute to the

differences in their ecological distribution and adaptive capacity. While *L. corniculatus* is

widely distributed, thriving in Mediterranean, semi-arid, and parts of South Asian climates,

C. capillaris is largely restricted to temperate European regions('Plants of the world online',

2024). These findings suggest that trait coordination under stress may be a key mechanism

underlying broader ecological adaptability and range expansion in plant species.

Overall, our results demonstrate that global change factors exert strong filtering effects on

plant trait coordination, although the nature of these responses varies across species. Previous

work has highlighted increased trait coordination along environmental gradients such as

rainfall (Li *et al.*, 2018) and salinity (Bricca *et al.*, 2023). The novelty of our study lies in

examining these dynamics within a controlled environment across multiple global change

drivers, offering mechanistic insights into species-specific responses to future climate

scenarios.

Conclusions

Our study highlights the significant impact of individual and interactive effects of global

change factors, including CO_2, temperature, and drought, on the floral economic traits of *L.*

corniculatus and *C. capillaris*. Drought emerged as the dominant factor influencing most

plant traits, with species-specific variations in response intensity. The interactive effects of

these global change factors also led to varied responses in floral economic traits. While both

species exhibited coordinated trait responses under combined treatments, *C. capillaris* did not

show a coordinated response to drought, potentially contributing to its more restricted

distribution. To gain a comprehensive understanding of resource allocation strategies (trade-

offs among traits) in plants under environmental stresses, larger studies encompassing a

broader range of functional traits and larger species set are essential. Investigating the floral

economic spectrum and its integration into the whole plant economic spectrum can provide

valuable insights into the effects of divergent selective forces on different plant tissues and

the potential consequences of shifts in these selective forces as a result of global change.

Funding

This study has been supported by the Czech Science Foundation (project no. 22-00761S) and

partly by a long-term research development project no. RVO 67985939 of the Czech

Academy of Sciences and institutional support for science and research of the Ministry of

Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors Contributions

Murugash Manavalan: Conceptualization (equal); Investigation (equal), Data curation,

Methodology (equal), Formal analysis (lead), Visualization (lead), Writing – original draft

(lead), Writing – review and editing (equal); Dinesh Thakur: Conceptualization (equal),

Investigation (equal), Methodology (equal), Visualization (supporting), Formal analysis

(supporting), Writing – Review & Editing (equal), Supervision (supporting); Michael Bahn:

Resources (equal), Writing – review and editing (supporting); Andreas Schaumberger:

Resources (equal), Writing – review and editing (supporting). Zuzana Münzbergová:

Conceptualization (equal), Visualization (supporting), Formal analysis (supporting),

Resources (equal), Writing – Review & Editing (equal), Supervision (lead).

AI Assistance

AI assistants, including ChatGPT and Copilot, were utilized to aid in literature gathering,

assist with statistical analysis, and refine the tone of the Introduction and Discussion sections.

All outputs were meticulously cross-checked by the authors to ensure accuracy and prevent

the inclusion of false information in the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials

The data that supports the findings of this study will be made openly available in a Zenodo

repository upon acceptance along with the relevant software scripts being attached in GitHub.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information has been included in a separate file and detail the following. **Fig.**

S1. PCA plots showing trait coordination patterns of *L. corniculatus* under different

treatments. **Fig. S2.** PCA plots showing trait coordination patterns of *C. capillaris* under

different treatments (Without Seed Traits). **Fig. S3.** PCA plots showing trait coordination

patterns of *C. capillaris* under different treatments (With Seed Traits). **Table S1.** The effect

of climate on trait composition tested using RDA on *L. corniculatus* and *C. capillaris*. **Table**

S2. Trait degree (number of edges) and betweenness centrality in the network, weighted by

correlation strength. **Table S3.** Trait loadings and percentage of trait variation explained by

successive principal components (PC) in *L. corniculatus*. **Table S4.** Trait loadings and

percentage of trait variation explained by successive principal components (PC) in *C.*

capillaris. **Table S5.** Trait loadings of traits and significance of successive constrained axes

in RDA in *L. corniculatus*. **Table S6.** Trait loadings of traits and significance of successive

constrained axes in RDA in *C. capillaris.* **Table S7.** Dates of induced drought at ClimGrass

experimental plots. **Table S8**. Ecological Significance of Studied Plant Traits.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the team of Austrian Research and Education Centre Raumberg-

Gumpenstein (HBLFA) for their support during the sampling campaign and for the provision

of the experimental site, which was supported by the DaFNE project ClimGrassEco

(101067). In addition, we thank N. Rathore and T. Bhatt for assisting with the sampling, as

well as D. Parysová for help with the sample processing. The study has been supported by the

Czech Science Foundation (project no. 22-00761S) and partly by a long-term research

development project no. RVO 67985939 of the Czech Academy of Sciences and institutional

support for science and research of the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech

Republic. We thank the POPEKOL discussion group for useful comments on the manuscript.

Literature Cited

AbdElgawad, H. *et al.* (2016) 'Future Climate CO2 Levels Mitigate Stress Impact on Plants: Increased Defense or Decreased Challenge?', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00556.

Abdelhakim, L.O.A., Zhou, R. and Ottosen, C.-O. (2022) 'Physiological Responses of Plants to Combined Drought and Heat under Elevated CO2', *Agronomy*, 12(10), p. 2526. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12102526.

Ainsworth, E.A. and Long, S.P. (2005) 'What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2', *New Phytologist*, 165(2), pp. 351–372. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x.

Akram, M.A. *et al.* (2023) 'Variations and driving factors of leaf functional traits in the dominant desert plant species along an environmental gradient in the drylands of China', *Science of The Total Environment*, 897, p. 165394. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165394.

Akter, A. and Klečka, J. (2022) 'Water stress and nitrogen supply affect floral traits and pollination of the white mustard, *Sinapis alba* (Brassicaceae)', *PeerJ*, 10, p. e13009. Available at: https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13009.

Albert, K.R. *et al.* (2011) 'Interactive effects of drought, elevated CO2 and warming on photosynthetic capacity and photosystem performance in temperate heath plants', *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 168(13), pp. 1550–1561. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2011.02.011.

Aparna, K. *et al.* (2017) 'Evaluation of morpho-physiological traits at various growth stages and its correlation with seed yield in guar gum genotypes', *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 5, pp. 909–912.

Atkin, O.K. *et al.* (2006) 'Phenotypic plasticity and growth temperature: understanding interspecific variability', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 57(2), pp. 267–281. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erj029.

Basnett, S., Krpan, J. and Espíndola, A. (2024) 'Floral traits and their connection with pollinators and climate', *Annals of Botany*, p. mcae046. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcae046.

Bernauer, O.M. *et al.* (2024) 'Elevated atmospheric CO2 has small, species-specific effects on pollen chemistry and plant growth across flowering plant species', *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), p. 13760. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63967-z.

Bjorkman, A.D. *et al.* (2018) 'Plant functional trait change across a warming tundra biome', *Nature*, 562(7725), pp. 57–62. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0563-7.

Blumenthal, D.M. *et al.* (2020) 'Traits link drought resistance with herbivore defence and plant economics in semi⬚arid grasslands: The central roles of phenology and leaf dry matter content', *Journal of Ecology*. Edited by H. Cornelissen, 108(6), pp. 2336–2351. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13454.

Bongers, F.J. *et al.* (2017) 'Drought responses, phenotypic plasticity and survival of Mediterranean species in two different microclimatic sites', *Plant Biology*, 19(3), pp. 386–395. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12544.

Borghi, M. *et al.* (2019) 'Flowers and climate change: a metabolic perspective', *New Phytologist*, 224(4), pp. 1425–1441. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16031.

Bricca, A. *et al.* (2023) 'Above⬚ and belowground traits along a stress gradient: trade⬚off or not?', *Oikos*, 2023(9), p. e010043. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.10043.

Burkle, L.A. and Runyon, J.B. (2016) 'Drought and leaf herbivory influence floral volatiles and pollinator attraction', *Global Change Biology*, 22(4), pp. 1644–1654. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13149.

Camargo, A. (2025) *PCAtest: Statistical significance of PCA*. manual. Available at: https://arleyc.github.io/PCAtest/.

Centritto, M., Lee, H.S.J. and Jarvis, PauL.G. (1999) 'Increased growth in elevated [CO2]: an early, short-term response?', *Global Change Biology*, 5(6), pp. 623–633. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00263.x.

Ceulemans, T. *et al.* (2017) 'Nutrient enrichment is associated with altered nectar and pollen chemical composition in Succisa pratensis Moench and increased larval mortality of its pollinator Bombus terrestris L.', *PLOS ONE*. Edited by F.S. Nascimento, 12(4), p. e0175160. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175160.

CHAVES, M.M. *et al.* (2002) 'How Plants Cope with Water Stress in the Field? Photosynthesis and Growth', *Annals of Botany*, 89(7), pp. 907–916. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcf105.

Chytrý, M. *et al.* (2021) 'Pladias Database of the Czech flora and vegetation', *Preslia*, 93(1), pp. 1–87. Available at: https://doi.org/10.23855/preslia.2021.001.

Conesa, M.À., Mus, M. and Galmés, J. (2019) 'Leaf size as a key determinant of contrasting growth patterns in closely related *Limonium* (Plumbaginaceae) species', *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 240, p. 152984. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2019.05.011.

Descamps, C. *et al.* (2020) 'Species-specific responses to combined water stress and increasing temperatures in two bee-pollinated congeners (Echium, Boraginaceae)', *Ecology and Evolution*, 10(13), pp. 6549–6561. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6389.

Descamps, C. *et al.* (2021) 'Warm Temperatures Reduce Flower Attractiveness and Bumblebee Foraging', *Insects*, 12(6), p. 493. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12060493.

Descamps, C., Quinet, M. and Jacquemart, A.-L. (2021) 'The effects of drought on plant–pollinator interactions: What to expect?', *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 182, p. 104297. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104297.

Díaz, S. *et al.* (2016) 'The global spectrum of plant form and function', *Nature*, 529(7585), pp. 167–171. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489.

Dostálek, T., Rokaya, M.B. and Münzbergová, Z. (2020) 'Plant palatability and trait responses to experimental warming', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), p. 10526. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67437-0.

Duan, H. *et al.* (2018) 'CO2 and temperature effects on morphological and physiological traits affecting risk of drought-induced mortality', *Tree Physiology*, 38(8), pp. 1138–1151. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy037.

E🔲Vojtkó, A. *et al.* (2022) 'Floral and reproductive traits are an independent dimension within the plant economic spectrum of temperate central Europe', *New Phytologist*, 236(5), pp. 1964–1975. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18386.

Fahad, S. *et al.* (2017) 'Crop Production under Drought and Heat Stress: Plant Responses and Management Options', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01147.

Feehan, J., Harley, M. and van Minnen, J. (2009) 'Climate change in Europe. 1. Impact on terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity. A review', *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 29(3). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2008066.

Fenollosa, E. *et al.* (2024) 'Differential responses of community-level functional traits to mid- and late-season experimental drought in a temperate grassland', *Journal of Ecology*, 112(10), pp. 2292–2306. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.14395.

Fontana, V. *et al.* (2017) 'Decomposing the land-use specific response of plant functional traits along environmental gradients', *Science of The Total Environment*, 599–600, pp. 750–759. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.245.

Freschet, G.T. *et al.* (2010) 'Evidence of the "plant economics spectrum" in a subarctic flora', *Journal of Ecology*, 98(2), pp. 362–373. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01615.x.

Galen, C., Sherry, R.A. and Carroll, A.B. (1999) 'Are flowers physiological sinks or faucets? Costs and correlates of water use by flowers of Polemonium viscosum', *Oecologia*, 118(4), pp. 461–470. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050749.

Glenny, W.R., Runyon, J.B. and Burkle, L.A. (2018) 'Drought and increased CO2 alter floral visual and olfactory traits with context-dependent effects on pollinator visitation', *New Phytologist*, 220(3), pp. 785–798. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15081.

Gong, H., Cui, Q. and Gao, J. (2020) 'Latitudinal, soil and climate effects on key leaf traits in northeastern China', *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 22, p. e00904. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00904.

Govaerts, R. (ed.) (2024) 'WCVP: World checklist of vascular plants'. Available at: http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data-repositories/WCVP/.

Hai, X. *et al.* (2023) 'Leaf trait responses to global change factors in terrestrial ecosystems', *Science of The Total Environment*, 898, p. 165572. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165572.

Harder, L.D. and Johnson, S.D. (2005) 'Adaptive plasticity of floral display size in animal-pollinated plants', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272(1581), pp. 2651–2657. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3268.

Höfer, R.J. *et al.* (2023) 'Reduced seed set under water deficit is driven mainly by reduced flower numbers and not by changes in flower visitations and pollination', *Functional Ecology*, 37(2), pp. 461–471. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14233.

Hoover, S.E.R. *et al.* (2012) 'Warming, CO2, and nitrogen deposition interactively affect a plant-pollinator mutualism', *Ecology Letters*, 15(3), pp. 227–234. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01729.x.

IPCC (2022) 'Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability'. Cambridge, UK and New York, USA: Cambridge University Press (Summary for policymakers).

Jung, V. *et al.* (2014) 'Intraspecific trait variability mediates the response of subalpine grassland communities to extreme drought events', *Journal of Ecology*, 102(1), pp. 45–53. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12177.

Kassambara, A. and Mundt, F. (2020) *factoextra: Extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses*. manual. Available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra.

Kilian, N. (2024) 'Cichorieae systematics portal'. Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin.

Kleyer, M. *et al.* (2019) 'Trait correlation network analysis identifies biomass allocation traits and stem specific length as hub traits in herbaceous perennial plants', *Journal of Ecology*, 107(2), pp. 829–842. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13066.

van der Kooi, C.J., Kevan, P.G. and Koski, M.H. (2019) 'The thermal ecology of flowers', *Annals of Botany*, 124(3), pp. 343–353. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz073.

Kumarathunge, D.P. *et al.* (2024) 'The temperature optima for pollen germination and pollen tube growth of coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) strongly depend on the growth temperature', *Experimental Agriculture*, 60, p. e2. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479723000248.

Kuppler, J. *et al.* (2021) 'Drought-induced reduction in flower size and abundance correlates with reduced flower visits by bumble bees', *AoB PLANTS*, 13(1), p. plab001. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plab001.

Kuppler, J. and Kotowska, M.M. (2021) 'A meta-analysis of responses in floral traits and flower–visitor interactions to water deficit', *Global Change Biology*, 27(13), pp. 3095–3108. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15621.

Langfelder, P. and Horvath, S. (2008) 'WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis', *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9(1), p. 559. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559.

Leakey, A.D.B. *et al.* (2009) 'Elevated CO2 effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60(10), pp. 2859–2876. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp096.

Lee, Y.-H. *et al.* (2020) 'The effect of concurrent elevation in CO2 and temperature on the growth, photosynthesis, and yield of potato crops', *PLOS ONE*. Edited by J.-S. Jeon, 15(10), p. e0241081. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241081.

Li, X. *et al.* (2018) 'Tree hydraulic traits are coordinated and strongly linked to climate of origin across a rainfall gradient', *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 41(3), pp. 646–660. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13129.

Liang, S. (2016) 'Changes in Flower Size and Number Under Heat Stress in Rose (Rosa×Hybrida)'. Available at: https://hdl.handle.net/1969.1/157975 (Accessed: 27 November 2024).

Lidon, Z. (2012) 'An overview on drought induced changes in plant growth, water relationsand photosynthesis', *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 24(1), p. 57. Available at: https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.v24i1.10599.

Liu, H. *et al.* (2024) 'Linking plant functional traits to biodiversity under environmental change', *Biological Diversity*, 1(1), pp. 22–28. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/bod2.12004.

Liu, W. *et al.* (2020) 'Contribution of total dry matter and harvest index to maize grain yield—A multisource data analysis', *Food and Energy Security*, 9(4), p. e256. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.256.

Lozano, Y.M. *et al.* (2020) 'Root trait responses to drought are more heterogeneous than leaf trait responses', *Functional Ecology*, 34(11), pp. 2224–2235. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13656.

Lukac, M. *et al.* (2010) 'Global climate change and tree nutrition: effects of elevated CO2 and temperature', *Tree Physiology*, 30(9), pp. 1209–1220. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq040.

Maia, R.A. *et al.* (2023) 'Sunflower physiological adjustments to elevated CO2 and temperature do not improve reproductive performance and productivity', *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 213, p. 105448. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2023.105448.

Matesanz, S. *et al.* (2020) 'High differentiation in functional traits but similar phenotypic plasticity in populations of a soil specialist along a climatic gradient', *Annals of Botany*, 125(6), pp. 969–980. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcaa020.

McDonald, P.G. *et al.* (2003) 'Leaf-size divergence along rainfall and soil-nutrient gradients: Is the method of size reduction common among clades?', *Functional Ecology*, 17(1), pp. 50–57. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2003.00698.x.

Meeran, K. *et al.* (2021) 'Warming and elevated CO2 intensify drought and recovery responses of grassland carbon allocation to soil respiration', *Global Change Biology*, 27(14), pp. 3230–3243. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15628.

Mueller, K.E. *et al.* (2022) 'Trading water for carbon in the future: Effects of elevated CO and warming on leaf hydraulic traits in a semiarid grassland', *Global Change Biology*, 28(20), pp. 5991–6001. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16314.

Mueller, K.E., Kray, J.A. and Blumenthal, D.M. (2024) 'Coordination of leaf, root, and seed traits shows the importance of whole plant economics in two semiarid grasslands', *New Phytologist*, 241(6), pp. 2410–2422. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19529.

Nature Gate (2011). Available at: https://luontoportti.com/en (Accessed: 27 July 2024).

Nicotra, A.B. *et al.* (2008) 'Leaf shape linked to photosynthetic rates and temperature optima in South African Pelargonium species', *Oecologia*, 154(4), pp. 625–635. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0865-1.

Nie, M. *et al.* (2013) 'Altered root traits due to elevated CO: a meta-analysis', *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22(10), pp. 1095–1105. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12062.

Niu, G. *et al.* (2000) 'Day and Night Temperatures, Daily Light Integral, and CO 2 Enrichment Affect Growth and Flower Development of Pansy (Viola ×wittrockiana)', *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 125. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.125.4.436.

Oksanen, J. *et al.* (2025) *vegan: Community ecology package*. manual. Available at: https://vegandevs.github.io/vegan/.

Pearson, S. *et al.* (1995) 'The effects of temperature on the flower size of pansy (Viola x wittrockiana Gams.)', *Journal of Horticultural Science*, 70(2), pp. 183–190. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.1995.11515287.

Peña-Rojas, K. *et al.* (2024) 'Anatomical Responses of Two Species under Controlled Water Restriction', *Plants*, 13(19), p. 2812. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/plants13192812.

Pérez-Harguindeguy, N. *et al.* (2013) 'New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide', *Australian Journal of Botany*, 61(3), p. 167. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1071/BT12225.

Piepho, H.-P. *et al.* (2017) 'Designing an experiment with quantitative treatment factors to study the effects of climate change', *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 203(6), pp. 584–592. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12225.

'Plants of the world online' (2024). Available at: https://powo.science.kew.org/.

Poorter, H. *et al.* (2009) 'Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis', *New Phytologist*, 182(3), pp. 565–588. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02830.x.

Poorter, H. *et al.* (2010) 'A method to construct dose–response curves for a wide range of environmental factors and plant traits by means of a meta-analysis of phenotypic data', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 61(8), pp. 2043–2055. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp358.

Poorter, H. *et al.* (2022) 'A meta-analysis of responses of C3 plants to atmospheric CO2: dose–response curves for 85 traits ranging from the molecular to the whole-plant level', *New Phytologist*, 233(4), pp. 1560–1596. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17802.

Pötsch, E. *et al.* (2019) 'Climgrass-ein innovatives freilandexperiment zur klimafolgenforschung im grünland. 21', *Alpenländisches Expertenforum „Klimawandel im Alpenraum-Auswirkungen auf Ökosystem Grünl. Dessen Bewirtsch. HBLFA Raumberg-Gumpenstein Irdning-Donnersbachtal* [Preprint].

Qaderi, M.M., Kurepin, L.V. and Reid, D.M. (2006) 'Growth and physiological responses of canola (Brassica napus) to three components of global climate change: temperature, carbon dioxide and drought', *Physiologia Plantarum*, 128(4), pp. 710–721. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2006.00804.x.

Radolinski, J. *et al.* (2025) 'Drought in a warmer, CO_2-rich climate restricts grassland water use and soil water mixing', *Science*, 387(6731), pp. 290–296. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ado0734.

Reich, P.B. (2014) 'The world-wide "fast–slow" plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto', *Journal of Ecology*, 102(2), pp. 275–301. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12211.

Reinthaler, D. *et al.* (2021) 'Responses of grassland soil CO2 production and fluxes to drought are shifted in a warmer climate under elevated CO2', *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 163, p. 108436. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108436.

Robinson, E.A., Ryan, G.D. and Newman, J.A. (2012) 'A meta-analytical review of the effects of elevated CO2 on plant–arthropod interactions highlights the importance of interacting environmental and biological variables', *New Phytologist*, 194(2), pp. 321–336. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04074.x.

Roddy, A.B. *et al.* (2021) 'Towards the flower economics spectrum', *New Phytologist*, 229(2), pp. 665–672. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16823.

Rodríguez-Alarcón, S., Tamme, R. and Carmona, C.P. (2022) 'Intraspecific trait changes in response to drought lead to trait convergence between—but not within—species', *Functional Ecology*, 36(8), pp. 1900–1911. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14099.

Rosbakh, S., Römermann, C. and Poschlod, P. (2015) 'Specific leaf area correlates with temperature: new evidence of trait variation at the population, species and community levels', *Alpine Botany*, 125(2), pp. 79–86. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-015-0150-6.

Salguero-Gómez, R. *et al.* (2016) 'Fast–slow continuum and reproductive strategies structure plant life-history variation worldwide', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(1), pp. 230–235. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506215112.

Shin, Y.C., Yeon, J.Y. and Kim, W.S. (2023) 'Influence of Suboptimal Temperature on Flower Quality and Floral Organ Development in Spray-Type Cut Rose "Pink Shine"', *Horticulturae*, 9(8), p. 861. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9080861.

Spigler, R.B. and Woodard, A.J. (2019) 'Context-dependency of resource allocation trade-offs highlights constraints to the evolution of floral longevity in a monocarpic herb', *New Phytologist*, 221(4), pp. 2298–2307. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15498.

Stace, C. (2010) 'New Flora Of The British Isles, Third Edition'.

Stiling, P. and Cornelissen, T. (2007) 'How does elevated carbon dioxide (CO2) affect plant–herbivore interactions? A field experiment and meta-analysis of CO2-mediated changes on plant chemistry and herbivore performance', *Global Change Biology*, 13(9), pp. 1823–1842. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01392.x.

Temme, A.A. *et al.* (2019) 'Hungry and thirsty: Effects of CO2 and limited water availability on plant performance', *Flora*, 254, pp. 188–193. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2018.11.006.

Tissink, M. *et al.* (2025) 'Individual Versus Combined Effects of Warming, Elevated CO_2 and Drought on Grassland Water Uptake and Fine Root Traits', *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 48(3), pp. 2083–2098. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.15274.

Trunschke, J. *et al.* (2024) 'Effects of climate change on plant-pollinator interactions and its multitrophic consequences', *Alpine Botany*, 134(2), pp. 115–121. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-024-00316-w.

Tyagi, J. and Kumar, M. (2024) 'Plant functional trait: Concept and significance', in N. Kumar and H. Singh (eds) *Plant functional traits for improving productivity*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, pp. 1–22. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-1510-7_1.

Vanderlip, R. *et al.* (2004) 'Simulating seed number in grain sorghum from increases in plant dry weight', *Agronomy Journal*, 96, pp. 1222–1230. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2134/AGRONJ2004.1222.

Wang, J. *et al.* (2021) 'Fine⬚root functional trait responses to experimental warming: a global meta⬚analysis', *New Phytologist*, 230(5), pp. 1856–1867. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17279.

Wang, J. *et al.* (2022) 'Climate factors determine the utilization strategy of forest plant resources at large scales', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, p. 990441. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.990441.

Wang, X., Taub, D.R. and Jablonski, L.M. (2015) 'Reproductive allocation in plants as affected by elevated carbon dioxide and other environmental changes: a synthesis using meta-analysis and graphical vector analysis', *Oecologia*, 177(4), pp. 1075–1087. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3191-4.

Wellstein, C. *et al.* (2017) 'Effects of extreme drought on specific leaf area of grassland species: A meta-analysis of experimental studies in temperate and sub-Mediterranean

systems', *Global Change Biology*, 23(6), pp. 2473–2481. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13662.

Westoby, M. *et al.* (2002) 'Plant Ecological Strategies: Some Leading Dimensions of Variation Between Species', *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 33(Volume 33, 2002), pp. 125–159. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150452.

Wickham, H. (2016) *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. Available at: https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

Wiszniewski, A. *et al.* (2022) 'Temperature-mediated flower size plasticity in Arabidopsis', *iScience*, 25(11). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.105411.

Wright, I.J. *et al.* (2004) 'The worldwide leaf economics spectrum', *Nature*, 428(6985), pp. 821–827. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403.

Yates, M.J. *et al.* (2010) 'Ecophysiological significance of leaf size variation in Proteaceae from the Cape Floristic Region', *Functional Ecology*, 24(3), pp. 485–492. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01678.x.

Zhang, F.-P. *et al.* (2017) 'Floral Mass per Area and Water Maintenance Traits Are Correlated with Floral Longevity in Paphiopedilum (Orchidaceae)', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 08. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00501.

Zhang, L., Ampatzidis, Y. and Whiting, M.D. (2015) 'Sweet cherry floral organ size varies with genotype and temperature', *Scientia Horticulturae*, 182, pp. 156–164. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2014.09.051.

Zhou, G. *et al.* (2018) 'Drought-induced changes in root biomass largely result from altered root morphological traits: Evidence from a synthesis of global field trials', *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 41(11), pp. 2589–2599. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13356.

Zhou, H. *et al.* (2020) 'Environmental explanation of maize specific leaf area under varying water stress regimes', *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 171, p. 103932. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.103932.

Zhou, R. *et al.* (2017) 'Drought stress had a predominant effect over heat stress on three tomato cultivars subjected to combined stress', *BMC Plant Biology*, 17(1), p. 24. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-017-0974-x.

			L. corniculatus					C. capillaris				
			C	T	D	CT	CTD	C	T	D	CT	CTD
Flower	Display Area	F	2.29	**21.49**	0.12	**4.26**	**11.25**	1.91	0.01	**5.05**	0.02	0.07
		P	0.134	**< 0.001**	0.729	**0.042**	**0.001**	0.174	0.942	**0.030**	0.900	0.788
	Specific Petal Area	F	0.06	0.67	3.24	0.52	0.21	0.27	0.35	**8.06**	*3.05*	1.22
		P	0.808	0.414	*0.075*	0.474	0.647	0.607	0.560	**0.007**	*0.088*	0.275
	Petal Dry Matter Content	F	0.01	1.30	1.08	0.05	0.29	0.17	0.19	**9.76**	*3.19*	2.64
		P	0.931	0.257	0.301	0.815	0.594	0.679	0.667	**0.003**	*0.081*	0.111
Leaf	Leaf Area	F	1.08	3.31	**10.86**	0.29	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.25	0.60
		P	0.305	*0.076*	**0.002**	0.596	0.561	0.948	0.980	0.644	0.619	0.445
	Specific Leaf Area	F	**4.96**	2.23	**7.76**	**4.63**	2.14	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.25	0.60
		P	**0.031**	0.143	**0.008**	**0.037**	0.151	0.948	0.980	0.644	0.619	0.445
	Leaf Dry Matter Content	F	**9.76**	0.05	**22.44**	1.00	4.21	0.10	0.06	**5.89**	1.17	2.98
		P	**0.003**	0.816	**< 0.001**	0.322	**0.046**	0.751	0.813	**0.020**	0.287	0.092
Seed	Seed Number	F						0.92	**5.31**	**12.66**	*3.52*	0.30
		P						0.344	**0.027**	**0.001**	*0.068*	0.588
	Seed Mass	F						0.51	1.51	0.42	2.08	0.01
		P						0.478	0.227	0.520	0.157	0.939

Table 1. Effect of global change factors (CO_2, temperature and drought) on plant traits of *L. corniculatus* and *C. capillaris*. Significant effects (p-values < 0.05) are marked in bold while marginally significant effects (p-values between 0.05 and 0.1) are marked in italics

List of Figure Legends