1   Autonomous division of the outer membrane in Gram-negative bacteria

3   Carolina Basurto De Santiago and Beiyan Nan*

4   *Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA*

5   *Correspondence: Beiyan Nan, bnan@tamu.edu

Abstract

Gram-negative bacteria divide by separating two cell wall layers: peptidoglycan (PG) and the outer membrane (OM). In certain model organisms, the OM is tethered to PG, ensuring it closely follows PG throughout invagination, constriction, and separation. In contrast, *Myxococcus xanthus* exhibits autonomous OM partitioning, occurring only after complete PG fission. However, reinforcing the OM-PG connection by overexpressing endogenous or exogenous tethering proteins synchronizes the constriction and fission of both layers.

The cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria consists of two distinct layers: a

peptidoglycan (PG) meshwork and an outer membrane (OM). PG is a single

macromolecule of glycan strands crosslinked by short peptides. The OM is an asymmetric

bilayer with lipopolysaccharides (LPS) in the outer leaflet and phospholipids in the inner

leaflet [1]. While PG plays a major role in maintaining cell integrity and morphology, the

OM serves as a selective barrier that protects the cell from harmful substances, including

antibiotics [2,3]. In certain bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, OMs are load-bearing structures

that can exhibit even greater stiffness than PG [4].

During cell division, bacteria constrict and divide PG using a well-organized PG

remodeling machinery called the divisome [5]. In contrast, the OMs in Gram-negative

bacteria are devoid of energy sources and consequently lack their own dedicated division

machinery. To divide the OM, some Gram-negative bacteria tether their OMs to PG. For

instance, *E. coli* employs multiple PG tethering systems for the OM. First, the OM contains

abundant Braun's lipoprotein (Lpp) that attaches to PG directly through covalent bonds

[6]. Second, the OM lipoprotein LpoA and LpoB directly interact with PG synthases to

coordinate the growth and division of these two cell wall layers [7-10]. Third, the PG-

associated lipoprotein (Pal) attaches to PG noncovalently and connects to the OM

components in the Tol-Pal system [11]. Fourth, some β-barrel OM proteins (OMPs) interact

with PG noncovalently through their C-terminal OmpA-like domains [12]. Through these

tethering mechanisms, the OM closely follows the invagination, constriction, and

separation of PG throughout *E. coli* cell division [8,13]. However, Lpp and LpoA/B are only

conserved in γ-proteobacteria [9]. It is unclear if the coordinated constriction of PG and OM

is a universal division mechanism among Gram-negative bacteria and if the connection

between OM and divisome is essential for OM division.

To answer these questions, we investigated cell division in *Myxococcus xanthus*, a

rod-shaped Gram-negative bacterium. Lacking Lpp and LpoA/B homologs [14], *M. xanthus*

may exemplify a wide group of Gram-negative bacteria that lack rigid connections

between the OM and PG. Accordingly, in contrast to the rigid *E. coli* OM, the *M. xanthus*

OM appears highly fluid, enabling the rapid diffusion of OM proteins, frequent shedding

of OM, and active intercellular exchange of OM contents among closely related strains [15-

17]. Using *in situ* cryogenic electron microscopy (cryoEM), we captured the micrographs of

dividing *M. xanthus* cells, in which the electron densities of the inner membrane (IM) and

OM were easily distinguished from the background (**Fig. 1A**). In contrast, the thin PG

layer was extremely difficult to visualize (**Fig. 1A**). Since turgor pressure pushes the fluid

IM layer against PG, IM closely mirrors the shape of PG during both growth and division.

Therefore, we used IM to reflect the constriction and division of PG.

In all CryoEM images of dividing wild-type *M. xanthus* cells, the OM exhibited only

slight invagination upon complete IM separation, suggesting that PG and OM are

uncoupled during division (**Fig. 1A1 - 1A3**). This phenotype is strikingly similar to two

E. coli filamentous mutants that cannot separate daughter cells: the *ΔenvC ΔnlpD* mutant

that cannot hydrolyze septal PG [13] and the *Δlpp Δpal* strain that loses the major

connections between PG and OM [18]. However, wild-type *M. xanthus* do not form cell

chains, indicating that the uncoupled division of PG and OM does not lead to division

defects. To confirm the cryoEM observation, we grew cells in the presence of TAMRA 3-

amino-D-alanine (TADA), a red fluorescent D-amino acid that incorporates into PG [19] and

stained the OM with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin [20]. We selected

dividing cells with cytoplasms separated by fewer than 3 pixels (480 nm) in bright-field

images and used fluorescence microscopy to visualize a ~200-nm thick longitudinal

section containing both PG and OM under highly inclined and laminated optical sheet

(HILO) illumination [21-24]. The fluorescence signals of PG at division sites either intensified

or vanished entirely, corresponding to the invaginated and separated PG, respectively

(**Fig. 1B**). Regardless of the separation of PG, all the dividing cells (n > 100) displayed

continuous OM at their division sites (**Fig. 1B**). Crucially, we never detected a surge in

OM signal at division sites, confirming that, unlike PG, OM does not undergo gradual

invagination in wild-type *M. xanthus* (**Fig. 1B**). Consistent observations from cryoEM and

fluorescence microscopy confirmed that, unlike *E. coli*, *M. xanthus* divides its OM

autonomously. Based on our recent discovery that the *M. xanthus* OM is highly fluid [15],

here we propose a straightforward stretch-and-snap mechanism, where the mechanical

stretching of the OM drives its division. However, fluorescence microscopy at up to 67

Hz (15 ms/frame) failed to capture such snapping events, suggesting they occurred faster

than our detection threshold. Nevertheless, supporting our hypothesis, cryoEM imaging

revealed instances of stretched and ruptured OMs between separating daughter cells.

(**Fig. 1A2, 1A3**).

To investigate how PG-tethering mechanisms affect the mode of OM division, we

overexpressed *E. coli* Lpp exogenously in *M. xanthus* and observed synchronized

invagination, constriction, and constriction of OM and IM (**Fig. 1A4, 1A5**). Consistently,

OM was also synchronized with PG during cell division under fluorescence microscopy

(**Fig. 1B**). Notably, we detected a surge in OM signals at certain division sites, suggesting

that OM invaginated in a manner similar to PG (**Fig. 1B**). In contrast, the overexpression

of a truncated Lpp that lacked the terminal lysine (Lpp$_{\Delta K}$) and therefore was unable to

form covalent bonds with PG, did not synchronize the division of OM with the IM or PG

(**Fig. 1A8, 1B**). Similar to most Gram-negative bacteria, *M. xanthus* possesses a conserved

Tol-Pal system and several OmpA-like OMPs, albeit cryoEM and fluorescence imaging

demonstrated that their native expression fails to couple the OM to PG during division

(**Fig. 1A1 - 1A3**). However, similar to the exogenous Lpp, endogenous overexpression of

Pal successfully synchronized the invagination, constriction, and separation of OM with

both the IM and PG (**Fig. 1A6, 1A7, 1B**). Thus, reinforcing the OM-PG connection is

sufficient to synchronize their division.

This study demonstrates that while Gram-negative bacteria commonly coordinate

PG and OM synthesis [7,25], coupled constriction and fission of these two cell wall layers is

not universally conserved for cell division. OM division may occur autonomously

through a solely physical mechanism, independent of PG-tethering.

Fig. 1. Uncoupled division of PG and the OM in the Gram-negative bacterium *M. xanthus*. A) Cryo-EM images of the OMs and IMs at division sites in the wild-type (WT) cells (**1**, the OM remained connected after the division of IM; **2**, the stretched and twisted OM at a division site; **3**, OM fracture when the daughters cells separate), the cells exogenously overexpressing *E. coli* Lpp that attaches the OM to PG (*lpp^{OE}*, **4** and **5**), the ones overexpressing Pal endogenously (*pal^{OE}*, **6** and **7**), and the ones exogenously overexpressing a truncated *E. coli* Lpp that cannot attach the OM to PG (*lpp$_{\Delta K}^{OE}$*, **8**). **B)** Fluorescence images of the OM and PG in division cells. BF, bright field. White arrows point to the division sites. The fluorescence intensities of PG and OMs from 12 cells are shown for each strain. The identities of cells are distinguished by colors and the fluorescence intensity values of PG and OM were normalized using Z-score standardization.

Materials and methods

Vegetative *M. xanthus* cells were grown in liquid CYE medium (10 mM MOPS pH 7.6,

1% (w/v) Bacto™ casitone (BD Biosciences), 0.5% yeast extract and 8 mM $MgSO_4$) at

32 °C, in 125-ml flasks with vigorous shaking, or on CYE plates that contains 1.5% agar.

We used strain DZ2 as the wild-type *M. xanthus* strain [26]. Overexpression mutants were

constructed by electroporating DZ2 cells with 4 µg of plasmid DNA. The genes, driven

by a vanilate-inducible promoter, were inserted into the Mx4 phage attachment site as

merodiploids on the *M. xanthus* chromosome. Transformed cells were plated on CYE

plates supplemented with 10 mg/ml tetracycline and expression was induced by 100

µM sodium vanilate. The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table S1**.

Table S1. Strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain name	Description	Source
DZ2	The wild-type strain	26
BN380	DZ2 with P_{van}-*lpp*	This study
BN381	DZ2 with P_{van}-*lpp*$_{\Delta K}$	This study
BN382	DZ2 with P_{van}-*pal*	This study

Plasmid name	Description	Source
pMR3629	Plasmid for overexpressing genes as merodiploids using a vanilate-induced promoter, tet^R	27
pMR3629-lpp	P_{van}-*lpp* in pMR3629, tet^R	This study
pMR3629-lpp$_{\Delta K}$	P_{van}-*lpp*$_{\Delta K}$ in pMR3629, tet^R	This study
pMR3629-pal	P_{van}-*pal* in pMR3629, tet^R	This study

Bacterial strains were grown overnight in CYE media with appropriate antibiotics,

incubated with shaking at 32 °C and 250 rpm to an optical density of OD_{600} 0.6. Cells were

collected by centrifugation (3 min, 6,000 × g, 25 ∘C) and resuspended in CYE media to a

final OD_{600} 12. This cell suspension (3 µl) was applied to C Flat-1.2/1.3 200 mesh copper

grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences) that were glow discharged for 30 seconds at 15 mA.

Grids were plunge-frozen in liquid ethane with an FEI Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) at 4 °C, 100% humidity with a waiting time of 30 s, two-side blotting time of

2.5 - 3 s, and blotting force of 0. All subsequent grid handling and transfers were

performed in liquid nitrogen. Grids were clipped onto cryo-FIB autogrids (Thermo Fisher

Scientific).

Images were acquired using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Titan Krios G4 transmission

electron microscope, equipped with a Gatan K3 direct electron detector and a Gatan

BioContinuum energy filter. Imaging was performed using a 15 eV slit width on the

energy filter to enhance image contrast. Micrographs were recorded in counted mode at

a nominal magnification of 33,000x, using a dose rate of 14.65 electrons/pixel/second over

a 2.6-second exposure, resulting in a total accumulated dose of 50 electrons/$Å^2$.

For fluorescence microscopy, cultures were grown in liquid CYE to OD_{600} ~1 and

supplemented with 10 µg/mL wheat germ agglutinin Alexa Fluor-488 conjugate and 75

µM TADA for one hour. Cells were spun down at 6,000x g for 3 min and the pellet washed

three times with CYE. 5 µl of cells were spotted on agar (1.5%) pads and imaged using a

Andor iXon Ultra 897 EMCCD camera (effective pixel size 160 nm) on an inverted Nikon

Eclipse-Ti™ microscope with a 100✕ 1.49 NA TIRF objective. Fluorescence of TADA and

Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin was excited by the 561-nm and 488-

nm lasers, respectively. Fluorescence intensities were quantified using the ImageJ suite

(https://imagej.net) and normalized by Z-score standardization using $Z = (\chi - \mu)/\sigma$, where

Z, χ, μ, and σ are the standard score, observed value, mean, and standard deviation,

respectively.

Acknowledgments

150 We thank Drs. Michael Van Nieuwenhze and Yen-Pang Hsu for providing TADA, Dr. Gaya

151 Yadav for the assistance on cryoEM imaging, and all the members in the Nan laboratory for

152 technical support and constructive discussions. This work was supported by the National

153 Institutes of Health grants GM129000 to B. N..

References

1   Henderson, J. C. *et al.* The Power of Asymmetry: Architecture and Assembly of the Gram-
Negative Outer Membrane Lipid Bilayer. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **70**, 255-278 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095308
2   Blair, J. M. A., Webber, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Ogbolu, D. O. & Piddock, L. J. V. Molecular
mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **13**, 42-51 (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3380
3   Fivenson, E. M. *et al.* A role for the Gram-negative outer membrane in bacterial shape
determination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2301987120 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2301987120
4   Rojas, E. R. *et al.* The outer membrane is an essential load-bearing element in Gram-
negative bacteria. *Nature* **559**, 617-621 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0344-
3
5   Cameron, T. A. & Margolin, W. Insights into the assembly and regulation of the bacterial
divisome. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **22**, 33-45 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-023-00942-
x
6   Mathelie-Guinlet, M., Asmar, A. T., Collet, J. F. & Dufrene, Y. F. Lipoprotein Lpp regulates
the mechanical properties of the *E. coli* cell envelope. *Nature communications* **11**, 1789
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15489-1
7   Mamou, G. *et al.* Peptidoglycan maturation controls outer membrane protein assembly.
Nature **606**, 953-959 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04834-7
8   Gray, A. N. *et al.* Coordination of peptidoglycan synthesis and outer membrane
constriction during *Escherichia coli* cell division. *Elife* **4** (2015).
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.07118
9   Typas, A. *et al.* Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis by outer-membrane proteins. *Cell*
143, 1097-1109 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.038
10   Paradis-Bleau, C. *et al.* Lipoprotein cofactors located in the outer membrane activate
bacterial cell wall polymerases. *Cell* **143**, 1110-1120 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.037
11   Szczepaniak, J. *et al.* The lipoprotein Pal stabilises the bacterial outer membrane during
constriction by a mobilisation-and-capture mechanism. *Nature communications* **11**, 1305
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15083-5
12   Reusch, R. N. Insights into the structure and assembly of *Escherichia coli* outer membrane
protein A. *FEBS J* **279**, 894-909 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08484.x
13   Navarro, P. P. *et al.* Cell wall synthesis and remodelling dynamics determine division site
architecture and cell shape in *Escherichia coli*. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1621-1634 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01210-z
14   Aramayo, R. & Nan, B. *De Novo* Assembly and Annotation of the Complete Genome
Sequence of *Myxococcus xanthus* DZ2. *Microbiol Resour Announc*, e0107421 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.01074-21
15   Topo, E. J., De Santiago, C. B., Cao, P., Wall, D. & Nan, B. Mechanism of bacterial outer
membrane exchange revealed by quantitative microscopy. *bioRxiv*,
2025.2004.2025.650704 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.04.25.650704
16   Remis, J. P. *et al.* Bacterial social networks: structure and composition of *Myxococcus*
xanthus outer membrane vesicle chains. *Environ Microbiol* **16**, 598-610 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12187

17 Subedi, K., Roy, P. C., Saiz, B., Basile, F. & Wall, D. Cell-cell transfer of adaptation traits
benefits kin and actor in a cooperative microbe. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**,
e2402559121 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2402559121
18 Sheng, Q. *et al.* In situ visualization of Braun's lipoprotein on *E. coli* sacculi. *Sci Adv* **9**,
eadd8659 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add8659
19 Hsu, Y. P. *et al.* Full color palette of fluorescent d-amino acids for in situ labeling of
bacterial cell walls. *Chem Sci* **8**, 6313-6321 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1039/c7sc01800b
20 Dubois, L., Vettiger, A., Buss, J. A. & Bernhardt, T. G. Using fluorescently labeled wheat
germ agglutinin to track lipopolysaccharide transport to the outer membrane in *Escherichia*
coli. *mBio* **16**, e0395024 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03950-24
21 Fu, G. *et al.* MotAB-like machinery drives the movement of MreB filaments during bacterial
gliding motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 2484-2489 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716441115
22 Nan, B. *et al.* The polarity of myxobacterial gliding is regulated by direct interactions
between the gliding motors and the Ras homolog MglA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**,
E186-193 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421073112
23 Nan, B. *et al.* Flagella stator homologs function as motors for myxobacterial gliding motility
by moving in helical trajectories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, E1508-1513 (2013).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219982110
24 Tokunaga, M., Imamoto, N. & Sakata-Sogawa, K. Highly inclined thin illumination enables
clear single-molecule imaging in cells. *Nat Methods* **5**, 159-161 (2008).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth1171
25 Hummels, K. R. *et al.* Coordination of bacterial cell wall and outer membrane biosynthesis.
Nature **615**, 300-304 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05750-0
26 Campos, J. M. & Zusman, D. R. Regulation of Development in *Myxococcus-xanthus* -
Effect of 3' Doublebond 5'-Cyclic Amp, Adp, and Nutrition. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **72**, 518-522 (1975).
https://doi.org/DOI 10.1073/pnas.72.2.518
27 Iniesta, A. A., Garcia-Heras, F., Abellon-Ruiz, J., Gallego-Garcia, A. & Elias-Arnanz, M.
Two systems for conditional gene expression in *Myxococcus xanthus* inducible by
isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside or vanillate. *J Bacteriol* **194**, 5875-5885 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01110-12
233