Brachyury expression levels predict lineage potential and axis-forming ability

of *in vitro* derived neuromesodermal progenitors

running title: Brachyury dictates neuromesodermal lineage specification

Anahí Binagui-Casas*[#1], Anna Granés*[1], Alberto Ceccarelli[2], Filip J. Wymeersch[3], Matthew French[4],

Rosa Portero[1], Jen Annoh[1], Yali Huang[5], Eleni Karagianni[6], Frederick C.K. Wong[7], A. Sophie Brumm[1],

Daniel Lopez Ramajo[8], Minoru Takasato[3,9], Sally Lowell[1], Osvaldo Chara[2,10], Valerie Wilson[#1]

1. Institute for Regeneration and Repair, Centre for Regenerative Medicine, Institute for Stem Cell

Research, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, 5 Little France Drive, Edinburgh

EH16 4UU, Scotland.

2. School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Nottingham LE12, UK

3. Current: RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, 2-2-3 Minatojima-minamimachi, Kobe

650-0047, Japan.

4. Current: Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EH UK

5. Current: Department of Cell, Developmental and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at

Birmingham, 1918 University Blvd, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

6. Current: GSK, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, SG1 2NY K

7. Current: The Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK

8. Current: Immunology Unit, Department of Pathology and Experimental Therapy, School of

Medicine, Universitat de Barcelona, 08007, Barcelona, Spain

9. Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology and Development. Department of Animal Development and

Physiology, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

10. Instituto de Tecnología, Universidad Argentina de la Empresa, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* joint first authors

co-corresponding authors: a.binagui-casas@ed.ac.uk, v.wilson@ed.ac.uk

Keywords: Neuromesodermal progenitors, Axis elongation, Pluripotent stem cells, Mesoderm,

Neurectoderm, In vitro differentiation, Single cell

Abstract

Neuromesodermal progenitors (NMPs) produce the spinal cord and musculoskeleton in the elongating
anterior-posterior axis. *In vivo*, NMPs possess dual potency, coinciding with regions coexpressing SOX2
and Brachyury (TBXT). *In vitro*, SOX2/TBXT co-expressing cells can be produced from pluripotent cells
and, like their *in vivo* counterparts, can produce neural tube and somitic mesoderm. However, the
functional characteristics of *in vitro* SOX2/TBXT co-expressing cells remain unclear, confounding
comparisons with *in vivo* data. To address this, we developed a dual *Sox2/Tbxt* reporter mouse ESC
line. SOX2/TBXT reporter-positive cells emerge *in vitro* from pluripotent populations with dynamics
that mirror their appearance in the embryo. Purified SOX2/TBXT co-expressing populations can
differentiate towards neurectoderm or mesoderm, including lateral mesoderm upon BMP
stimulation. In gastruloids, quantitative live imaging shows that WNT or NOTCH inhibition rapidly leads
to downregulation of TBXT expression and diminished axial extension. We show that clonally plated
SOX2/TBXT co-expressing cells are bipotent NMPs that can also self-propagate. By combining clonal
analysis with mathematical modelling, we identify two thresholds of SOX2/TBXT expression, switching
clonal output from neural- to mesoderm- biased, and from mesoderm-biased to mesoderm-specified.
Media and substrate composition alter the lineage outcomes of *in vitro* derived mouse NMPs. Thus,
this *Sox2/Tbxt* double reporter cell line provides support for unsuspected heterogeneity in NMPs,
together with evidence for a role of these transcription factors in directing cell fate to drive axis
elongation.

Introduction

In vertebrates, the head-to-tail (or anteroposterior, AP) body axis forms during early embryonic

development. In mice, where this process is well characterised (Wymeersch et al., 2021), a transient

population of progenitors, collectively called neuromesodermal competent cells (NMCs), produces

both paraxial mesoderm and neurectoderm as the AP axis elongates in post-gastrulation stages. NMC

populations across vertebrates harbour cells with functional heterogeneity, including *bona fide*

bipotent neuromesodermal progenitors (NMPs) (Binagui-Casas et al., 2021). Importantly, the hallmark

of NMPs is their dual fate towards both neuroectodermal and mesodermal lineages, two separate

germ layers, at post-gastrulation stages (Guillot et al., 2021; Tzouanacou et al., 2009). Fate mapping

has shown that cells with neuromesodermal fate are found at the posterior end of various vertebrate

embryo species, and this region coincides with the co-expression of the transcription factors SOX2 and

Brachyury (TBXT), reviewed in (Wymeersch et al., 2021).

Our current understanding of the literature suggests that NMPs in amniotes (chick, mouse) and

anamniotes (fish, frog) are distinct. In amniotes, individual bi-potent NMPs are dual

neural/mesodermal fated, while in anamniotes, neuromesodermal potency is maintained in NMC

populations in the tail bud, but individual cells adopt either neural or mesodermal fates (Attardi et al.,

2018; Davis & Kirschner, 2000; Guillot et al., 2021; Martin & Kimelman, 2012; Tzouanacou et al., 2009;

Wymeersch et al., 2016). Thus, NMCs in the neuromesodermal-fated region in different species can

consist of bona fide NMPs, or of a mixture of mono-fated cells of unknown potency.

In vitro, FGF and WNT treatment of pluripotent stem cells generates cells that resemble *in vivo* NMC

populations both phenotypically and functionally: a high percentage of cells co-express SOX2/TBXT

transcription factors, and these populations can differentiate into neural and mesodermal lineages

(for a list of common protocols see Wymeersch et al., 2021). Indeed, at clonal level a proportion of *in*

vitro-derived NMP-like cells expressing *Tbxt* (monitored with a fluorescent reporter) have dual

potential for neural and mesodermal differentiation (Tsakiridis & Wilson, 2015). However, in these

experiments, the knock-in reporter created a heterozygous null allele of TBXT (Fehling et al., 2003), a

condition known to affect axial development (Gruneberg, 1958). Furthermore, the expression of SOX2

in the starting population was not measured, and therefore the relationship between SOX2 and TBXT

coexpression, and bipotency is unknown.

Recent single cell RNA-seq studies have found that *in vitro* cultures harbouring NMC populations are

transcriptionally heterogeneous, with varying levels of *Sox2* and *Tbxt*, as well as other NMC-specific

transcripts such as *Msgn1*, *Nkx1-2,* and *Tbx6* (Dias et al., 2020; Edri, Hayward, Baillie-Johnson, et al.,

2019; Edri, Hayward, Jawaid, et al., 2019; Gouti et al., 2017). One interpretation of this data is that *in*

vitro NMC populations contain contaminating, non-NMP cells. Alternatively, the transcriptional

heterogeneity in *Sox2* and *Tbxt* expressing cells themselves could reflect inherent functional

heterogeneity at the single cell level given that transcription factors such as SOX2 elicit expression

level-dependent function (Corsinotti et al., 2017), and that, in vivo, levels of TBXT and SOX2 protein

appear to correlate with variations in NMC fate (French et al., 2025; Romanos et al., 2021; Wymeersch

et al., 2016).

Addressing whether individual *in vitro* NMP-like cells co-expressing *Sox2* and *Tbxt* are bi-potent, and

how heterogeneity in SOX2 and TBXT levels dictates differentiation outcomes requires the use of cells

that report on the expression of both markers. Previous reporters have employed knock-in constructs

that disrupt the function of these genes (Avilion et al., 2003; Fehling et al., 2003) or are additive

bacterial artificial chromosome transgenics where there is a risk that expression does not accurately

mirror endogenous expression (Koch et al., 2017).

Here we generated a minimally disruptive mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) line with dual *Sox2/Tbxt*

gene expression reporter activity and use it to examine live cell behaviours, population heterogeneity

and functional potency of *in vitro* NMP-like cells. Clonal plating demonstrates that individual *Sox2/Tbxt*

dual positive cells are *bona fide* bipotent progenitors, which can also self-propagate. Additionally, we

show that rapid deceleration of axis elongation in gastruloids on WNT or NOTCH inhibition coincides

with *Tbxt* downregulation, while changes in *Sox2* expression follow more slowly. We further uncover

previously elusive lateral plate mesoderm potency within descendants of NMPs, an attribute shown

only in bulk culture (Row et al., 2018).

Interestingly, we find that the *Sox2/Tbxt* co-expressing population is not functionally homogeneous:

the levels of *Tbxt*-GFP expression predict clonal lineage bias towards mesoderm in individual

progenitors. This intrinsic bias can be tuned by the culture conditions and cell substrates, mirroring

the *in vivo* plasticity of NMC populations (Wymeersch et al., 2016).

Results

1. A novel reporter line, STR-KI, accurately reports on SOX2 and TBXT expression and NMP

110   **differentiation trajectories *in vitro***

To characterise the dynamics of NMC emergence from mESCs, we constructed a 2A-peptide-linked

dual reporter: SOX2::mCherry; TBXT::GFP, hereafter named SOX2-TBXT Reporter Knock-In (STR-KI).

CRISPR knock-in transgenesis was used to create heterozygous knock-in alleles that replace the stop

codon of the endogenous gene loci with T2A/P2A 'self-cleaving' (ribosome-skipping (Donnelly et al.,

2001)) peptide, retaining the *Tbxt* and *Sox2* open reading frames in their entirety (Dewari et al., 2018).

Since even partial loss of TBXT or SOX2 function affects differentiation (Avilion et al., 2003; Corsinotti

et al., 2017; Gruneberg, 1958; Masui et al., 2007) we employed this strategy to minimise the chance

of adverse phenotypic effects and maximise the faithfulness of the reporters (Fig. 1A). Chimeric

embryos containing high contribution from STR-KI mESCs showed a normal phenotype, demonstrating

that the gene targeting was indeed minimally disruptive to SOX2 and TBXT protein function.

Furthermore, the fluorescent reporters in pre-streak and somite stage embryos recapitulated the *in*

vivo expression pattern of TBXT and SOX2 in mesodermal and neural lineages, respectively (Fig. 1B,

Suppl. Fig. 1C, D) (Wymeersch et al., 2016).In pre-streak chimeras, SOX2::mCherry (Sox2^{mCh} hereafter)

was expressed throughout the epiblast, whereas TBXT::GFP (Tbxt^{GFP} hereafter) expression was

undetectable (Suppl. Fig. 1C). By early somite stages onwards, Sox2^{mCh} was predominantly restricted

to neural tissues, and to presumptive primordial germ cells at the base of the allantois, whereas

Tbxt^{GFP}-expressing cells were observed in the node, notochord and nascent mesoderm at the posterior

end (Fig.1B and Suppl. 1D). Differentiation of STR-KI ESCs into NMP-like cells, following an established

protocol (Gouti et al., 2014) produced mCherry and GFP double positive cells (Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}pos)

(Fig. 1C-F). Immunostaining and quantification of transcription factor (TF) intensity in these *in vitro*

differentiated cells showed that the reporters faithfully co-localised with endogenous SOX2 and TBXT

protein (Fig. 1C, D). For both reporters, we also observed a positive correlation between the levels of

reporter and endogenous protein (Fig. 1C). We therefore adopt the working hypothesis that GFP and

mCherry levels reflect TBXT and SOX2 protein production respectively, although differential

posttranscriptional modifications affecting protein levels cannot be excluded.

We next tracked the reporters' dynamics over a time course of NMP differentiation (Fig. 1E). Briefly,

this entails differentiation of ESC to an epiblast-like state, followed by treatment with the WNT agonist

CHIR99021 (CHIR) and FGF2 from day (d) 2 onwards (Gouti et al., 2014). Cells appeared to move along

a differentiation trajectory from Sox2^{mCh}high pluripotent ESC to a Sox2^{mCh}low, putative epiblast state,

followed by appearance of Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}pos (double positive cells) within 3 days (d). D3

differentiated cells exhibited a spectrum of Tbxt^{GFP} levels before exiting the double positive state

towards Sox2^{mCh} negative (neg)/Tbxt^{GFP}high mesoderm from d4. Consistent with previous reports, STR-

KI ESC differentiation reproducibly resulted in the production of putative NMCs co-expressing mCherry

and GFP at a rate of ~67% of the population by d3 (Fig. 1F).

Figure 1

Figure 1. STR-KI accurately reports on SOX2 and TBXT expression and NMP differentiation trajectories *in vitro*.

A. Schematic illustration of the targeting strategy to knock-in GFP and mCherry in the *Tbxt* and *Sox2* loci,

respectively. **B.** Embryonic day 9 (E9) STR-KI chimaera with high STR-KI contribution shows appropriate

localisation of fluorescent reporter expression: high mCherry (magenta) in the prospective brain, the neural tube

(NT) and in primordial germ cells (PGCs). GFP (green) expression marks the notochordal plate (NP) and tail bud

(TB). **C.** Correlation between immunofluorescent staining for SOX2 or TBXT, and their respective reporter protein

in individual cells derived from *in vitro* NMP differentiation assays. **D.** Immunofluorescence images of

differentiated STR-KI colonies following NMP-like differentiation (Gouti et al., 2014). Scale bar = 50μm. **E.**

Representative example from a flow cytometry time-course analysis of STR-KI cells along the differentiation

protocol shown in D, from mESCs (day 0) via NMP-like intermediate (at day 3) to day 5 (n=4). A trajectory

summary highlights population dynamics. **F.** Quantification of the reproducibility of STR-KI mESC differentiation

to day 3 NMP-like cells shows the percentages of Sox2^{mCh}/Tbxt^{GFP}double positive cells for a cohort of

independent experiments (n=23; Mean ± SD: 67.42± 18.28%).

2. Expression dynamics of *Sox2* and *Tbxt in vitro* matches NMP emergence *in vivo*

A previous report demonstrated that *Sox2* expression in the caudal lateral epiblast is activated *de novo*

in mouse via its N1 enhancer activity (Takemoto et al., 2011), corresponding approximately to the time

at which NMCs are a detectable cell population (Wymeersch et al., 2016). Superficially, this would

suggest that *Sox2* is secondarily activated in the *Tbxt*-expressing caudal lateral epiblast, contrasting

with our observation of the acquisition of *Tbxt* in *Sox2*-expressing cells *in vitro* (Figure 1E). We

therefore examined the origin of NMPs in the epiblast.

For this, we first analysed SOX2 and TBXT immunofluorescence in embryos staged between mid-streak

and late headfold (Lawson & Wilson, 2025) (Figure 2A), including the neural plate (also known as pre-

headfold) stage at which TBXT+SOX2+ putative NMPs emerge (Wymeersch et al., 2016). Up to early

neural plate stage, SOX2 single positive cells were located anterior to the node, while cells posterior

to the node expressed only TBXT. Double positive cells emerged at the node and just posteriorly to it

during neural plate stage and constituted most of the cells at the posterior node from late neural plate

stage onwards. Comparison of this staining pattern with focal electroporations at neural plate stage

(n=2 anterior to node, n=3 posterior to node, Figure 2B, C) and previously published *in vivo* single cell

clonal lineage tracing around the node (Forlani et al., 2003) (Figure 2C) shows that before neural plate

stages, cells with dual neuromesodermal fate are located anterior to the node, while those posterior

to it are exclusively mesoderm-fated. Thus, NMPs in the embryo emerge from the SOX2+ cell

population anteriorly to the node and subsequently acquire TBXT expression (Figure 2D). This

indicates that the acquisition of TBXT expression by SOX2+ cells in NMP differentiation conditions i*n*

vitro mimics the *in vivo* ontogeny of NMPs.

Figure 2

Figure 2. NMPs emerge *in vivo* from a pre-existing SOX2+TBXT- population. A. Immunofluorescent detection

of SOX2 and TBXT in the fate-mapped region (boxed) over developmental time. Upper row: DAPI-stained 3D

renderings of mouse embryos (dissected on embryonic days E6.5 (midstreak, MS), E7.0 (late streak early bud,

LSEB), E7.5 (late neural plate, LNP) and E8.0 (early and late head fold, EHF, LHF); (Lawson & Wilson, 2025)).

Lower rows: Orthogonal projections of wholemount immunostained mouse embryos for SOX2 (magenta) and

TBXT (green), showing mid- and para-sagittal optical sections of the node area (projections represent 8 μm

across the Z axis). All scale bars are 50 μm. **B**. Fate mapping at neural plate (NP) stage via focal electroporation

of a ubiquitously-expressed CAG-GFP transgene into cells anterior to the node tracks descendants into the

neural plate and anterior caudal lateral epiblast (n=2). Equivalent electroporation in the primitive streak (n=3)

produces descendants in the mesoderm (data summarised in C). **C**. Focal electroporations superimposed on

data from Forlani et al. 2003, where cells in or near the node at late streak (LS) to head fold (HF) stages were

prospectively mapped to segments of the axis. Data has been re-displayed to indicate clones producing

descendants in neurectoderm and/or mesoderm. **D**. Summary scheme of data in A-C. Tracing of LSEB-NP and

NP stage node region showing expression of SOX2 and TBXT in epiblast and nascent notochord. Expression is

non-overlapping at the midline in LSEB-NP transition stage embryo, while in LNP stage embryo onwards,

SOX2+TBXT+ cells are evident. The anteroposterior extent of NM fate in the epiblast, extracted from

diagrammatic representations in Forlani et al. at each stage is indicated, showing N-M fate is initially localized

in the SOX2+ dorsal node region, which later becomes SOX2+TBXT+. Px, proximal; Ds, distal; A, anterior; P,

posterior; L, left; R, right.

3. *In vitro* derived Sox2^{mCh}/Tbxt^{GFP} co-expressing cells are NMCs

To characterise the cell populations emerging along the ESC-to-NMP-like cell trajectory, we probed

the gene expression profiles of various subpopulations of diagnostic marker genes from d2 to d4 via

qRT-PCR (Fig. 3A, B; Suppl. 2 A, B). Subpopulations were delineated based on the intensities of

mCherry and GFP reporter detection in FACS. Comparison of mRNA expression levels of the

endogenous *Sox2* and *Tbxt* with their respective reporters *mCherry* and *GFP* showed that in all sorted

populations, mRNA expression reflected endogenous gene expression levels. The levels of *Sox2* and

Tbxt mRNAs exceeded those of the corresponding reporters, as expected, since the reporter allele,

which transcribes both endogenous mRNA and reporter, is heterozygous (Fig 3B). Interestingly, the

putative NMC population (Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}pos) displayed relatively constant Sox2^{mCh} fluorescence

but a spectrum of Tbxt^{GFP} expression. Since the levels of Tbxt^{GFP} in the double-positive population

increased before the appearance of Tbxt^{GFP} single positive mesoderm cells, we hypothesised that low

and high Tbxt^{GFP} populations might represent different states in the wider NMC population.

Specifically, high Tbxt^{GFP} positive cells might be further along a mesoderm differentiation trajectory

compared to Tbxt^{GFP} low cells(French et al., 2025; Romanos et al., 2021; Wymeersch et al.,

2016)(French et al., 2025; Romanos et al., 2021; Wymeersch et al., 2016)).

To test this, we divided the NMC-like population into low-TBXT (Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}low) and high-TBXT

(Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}high) expressing subpopulations. We analysed subpopulations based on marker

gene expression: pluripotency-associated *Nanog* and *Oct4*; axial progenitor *Fgf8*, *Evx1*, *Cdx2* and

Wnt3a; paraxial mesoderm *Tbx6;* lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) *Flk-1*; and neural-associated *Otx2,*

Sox1 and *Pax6*. Although both low-TBXT and high-TBXT populations expressed similar levels of NMC

markers, high-TBXT cells were enriched for mesodermal markers such as *Wnt3a* and *Tbx6*, while

expression of neural genes like *Sox1* were low. The absence of *Sox2*, coupled with high expression of

Tbx6 and *Flk-1* in both Sox2^{mCh}neg/Tbxt^{GFP}pos and Sox2^{mCh}neg/Tbxt^{GFP}neg (double negative)

populations, together with the downregulation of NMC/caudal markers like *Fgf8* and *Wnt3a* from d3

to d4, suggests that these two populations represent nascent and differentiated mesoderm,

respectively (Suppl. Fig. 2A, B). Finally, the expression of endoderm markers *Sox17* and *Foxa2* was

universally low, suggesting negligible fractions of contaminant non-NMC cells. Thus, gene expression

analysis in populations undergoing differentiation confirms that our double reporter cell line faithfully

recapitulates endogenous gene expression and can be used to purify neural, mesodermal and NMC-

like cell populations based on Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} expression levels (Fig. 3B, Suppl. 2A, B).

Furthermore, this analysis supports the idea that high levels of Tbxt^{GFP} mark a more mature

mesodermal state than low Tbxt^{GFP} levels.

To test whether the apparently dynamic changes in Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} cell populations during NMP

differentiation (Fig. 1E) represent one or more lineage trajectories, the lineage potentials of sorted

subpopulations at d3 of NMP differentiation were assayed by FACS over 3 further days in continuous

FGF and CHIR, which are permissive for both neural and mesodermal differentiation (Gouti et al.,

2014; Tsakiridis & Wilson, 2015) (Fig 3C, D Suppl. Fig. 2C). Most (>80%) Sox2^{mCh} single positive cells

remained single positive. Together with the enrichment of *Sox1* and *Nanog* in the starting population

(Fig 3B), this suggests a neural and/or epiblast identity of Sox2^{mCh} single positive cells (Wong et al.,

2025). A small proportion of the progeny lost expression of both reporters, likely representing further

maturation, since SOX2 is downregulated during neural differentiation . Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}low cells

also produced Sox2^{mCh} single positive cells by d6, but also a recapitulation of the pattern shown in Fig.

1E: emergence of Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}high cells, followed by a high proportion of

Sox2^{mCh}neg/Tbxt^{GFP}pos and later double negative cells. This suggests that Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}low

NMCs produce both neural and mesodermal cells. Interestingly, Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}high cells

produced few Sox2^{mCh} single positive cells and followed a predominantly mesoderm trajectory,

producing Sox2^{mCh}neg/Tbxt^{GFP}pos and then double negative cells. Finally, Sox2^{mCh}neg/Tbxt^{GFP}pos

populations only produced double negative cells. Together with its enrichment in expression of

mesoderm markers, it suggests this is a mesoderm-committed population. Thus, using our dual

reporter, we describe a lineage trajectory in which a largely self-sustaining SOX2-positive population

generates a SOX2-positive;TBXT-low dual-potency population, which progresses through a

mesoderm-biased SOX2-positive;TBXT-high state towards a nascent mesodermal TBXT-high and

finally a double-negative state (Fig 3D, see summary). This indicates that the TBXT-high NMC

population is predisposed towards mesoderm differentiation. Thus, within the Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP}pos

double positive NMC population, there are apparent cells with differing competence to differentiate

to neural or mesodermal outcomes.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Gene expression and lineage potential analysis of Sox2^{mCh}/Tbxt^{GFP} fluorescent subpopulations during

differentiation.　A. Differentiation scheme and representative gating strategy for FACS and further qRT-PCR

analyses performed at day 3 of the differentiation protocol. **B**. qRT-PCR gene expression analysis of the

populations in A. n=5 independent differentiation experiments. **C.** Post-d3 differentiation scheme in which

populations in A were isolated by FACS, replated and further differentiated for an additional 3 days. **D.**

Representative flow cytometry graphs of one time-course experiment (n=3, see Suppl. Fig. 2C), following the

Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} expression dynamics over three more days. A summary of the lineage outcomes in three

experiments over time is provided. The thickness of arrows represents the relative contribution to the indicated

trajectory, with dashed arrows indicating a presumed trajectory based on the proportions of cells at the end of

the experiment.

Previous reports have shown that treatment of pluripotent cells with FGF2 and CHIR leads to the

expression of both paraxial and lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) markers (Edri, Hayward, Baillie-Johnson,

et al., 2019; Gouti et al., 2017). *In vivo*, while homotopic grafts of NMCs overwhelmingly give rise to

paraxial mesoderm (Cambray & Wilson, 2007; Wymeersch et al., 2016), heterotopic grafts to the

posterior primitive streak leads to LPM differentiation (Row et al., 2018). This suggests that NMPs may

have LPM potency, although it cannot be excluded that this LPM arose from non-NMCs within the

graft. To directly test the LPM-potency of NMCs, we examined the potential of FACS-enriched

Sox2^{mCh}pos /Tbxt^{GFP}high cells to produce LPM lineages upon BMP treatment, which induces LPM

differentiation in bulk NMP cultures (Row et al., 2018). We observed not only FLK-1 protein (a lateral

plate/endothelial cell marker) labelling in colonies but also the formation of primitive tubes similar to

those seen in classical endothelial assays (Taoudi et al., 2005) (Suppl. Fig. 2D, E). These findings

indicate that Sox2^{mCh}pos /Tbxt^{GFP}high NMP-like cells can produce LPM. Thus, the SOX2-pos;TBXT-high

mesoderm-fated population shows broad mesodermal potency, supporting the idea that *in vivo*, it is

NMCs themselves, and not contaminating populations, that can contribute to both paraxial and lateral

mesoderm.

4. Live imaging of STR-KI cells uncovers a role for TBXT in WNT and NOTCH-driven axis elongation

In vivo, wildtype TBXT levels are not only critical for NMP differentiation, but also to maintain axial

elongation (Wymeersch et al., 2021). However, the dynamic relationship between TBXT, SOX2 and

axial elongation remains untested. To determine in real time the relationship between reporter

expression, axial elongation and factors known to affect both reporter expression and axial elongation,

we next used live-tracking of STR-KI reporter expression. For this, we tracked Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP}

expression *in vitro* in a standard gastruloid model of axis elongation, (Baillie-Johnson et al., 2015; van

den Brink et al., 2014), in which aggregates of mESCs grown in N2B27 remain SOX2 pos/TBXT negative

until 48 hours post aggregation (hpa), then exit pluripotency. At 48 hours, a 24-hour pulse of CHIR is

used to activate WNT globally and ensure robust symmetry breaking. WNT activation transiently

induces TBXT expression in all cells (van den Brink et al., 2014), before it resolves into polarized

expression at the posterior pole by 72 hpa and is then followed by elongation. We first compared the

dynamics of mesoderm differentiation in STR-KI-gastruloids with previous reports (Suppl. Fig. 2F).

After treatment with CHIR, most cells became Tbxt^{GFP}pos by 72 hpa, and down-regulated Sox2^{mCh}. In

the absence of CHIR, STR-KI cells remained Sox2^{mCh}high, but a small proportion of cells began to

express Tbxt^{GFP}. These trends were maintained at the population level by 96 hpa (Suppl. Fig. 2F). We

also observed downregulation of Sox2^{mCh}, symmetry breaking and polarisation of Tbxt^{GFP} to the

posterior growing axis only upon the CHIR pulse (Suppl. Fig. 2G). We conclude that our STR-KI reporter

can generate gastruloids similar to other lines and thus it is ideally suited for studying TF dynamics

within them.

We next assessed how the manipulation of WNT and NOTCH signalling pathways, which regulate

mesoderm differentiation from NMPs (Cooper et al., 2024; Wymeersch et al., 2016), impact gastruloid

axial elongation. We treated elongating gastruloids (96 hpa) with either WNT or NOTCH inhibitors

(IWP-2 and LY411575 (LY), respectively) and live imaged them every hour for 24 hours to monitor

major axis length and reporter expression (Fig. 4A, B). Both WNT and NOTCH inhibition decelerated

major axis growth (elongation) starting from as early as 2-3h post treatment (Fig. 4C).

To determine how reporter expression responded to WNT or NOTCH inhibition during this period,

whole gastruloid tissues were segmented and traces extracted along the extending long axis marked

at the presumptive posterior end by Tbxt^{GFP} expression. Treatment with IWP2 or LY rapidly decreased

Tbxt^{GFP} signal in the NMP region (within ~2-3h). In contrast, Sox2^{mCh} signal in this region increased after

~10-15 hours following an initial minor decrease compared to the DMSO control (Fig. 4D). This

coincides in time with growth deceleration (Fig. 4D, E). Thus, the rapid Tbxt^{GFP} signal downregulation

coupled with slowdown of axis elongation in response to WNT or NOTCH inhibition suggests AP axis

elongation is driven predominantly by *Tbxt*-expressing cells.

Figure 4

Figure 4. STR-KI cell analysis indicates that Tbxt-expressing cells are responsible for WNT and NOTCH driven STR-KI gastruloid elongation. A. Experimental setup for the generation of STR-KI gastruloids in 10% matrigel at

96 hours with DMSO, Wnt signalling inhibitor IWP2 (2.5 µM) or Notch signaling inhibitor LY411575 (150nM).

Gastruloids were imaged on a confocal microscope every hour for 24 hours after embedding. **B.** Quantification

pipeline of 3D gastruloid confocal image stacks. All scale bars indicate 100 µm. **C.** Axial length after treatment

of gastruloids with IWP-2 or LY411575. **D.** Heatmaps showing the average Tbxt-GFP or Sox2-mCherry signal per

binned distance from the pole along time post Matrigel embedding. **E.** Average difference in fluorescence signal

of the Sox2/Tbxt region between control and treated conditions. N=3 independent experiments. Total

gastruloids analysed: DMSO=35, LY= 38, IWP-2=41. Shaded areas indicate the mean +/- 0.95 confidence

intervals, calculated by x̄ +/- z*(σ/sqrt(n)).

5. Clonal tracing of in vitro derived NMCs reveals lineage bias of NMPs dependent on matrix and

TBXT levels

Our findings at the bulk level suggest that differences in *Tbxt* levels influence lineage outcomes in

Sox2/Tbxt co-expressing (NMC) subpopulations (Fig. 3D). However these experiments did not

distinguish between neural or mesoderm lineage biases across the whole *in vitro* NMC-like population

or a subset of already lineage-specified cells expressing the very highest or lowest reporter levels. To

distinguish these possibilities, we sorted Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}pos cells by FACS into low and high gates

and deposited single cells into 96-well plates (Fig. 5A). We measured their differentiation outcome in

FGF/CHIR, which supports both neural and mesodermal differentiation (Fig. 3D). A variety of

substrates has been used in different NMC-generating protocols, and the influence of these is

currently unclear. We therefore compared clonal outcomes on four commonly used matrices:

fibronectin, gelatin, Geltrex and Matrigel. We then correlated this information with the lineage output

using immunofluorescence and high throughput microscopy to quantify the SOX2/TBXT cellular

phenotype in descendant cells in each well after five days (Fig. 5A, B).

We first considered the characteristics of all clones, sorted into 'low' and 'high' GFP gates as in Fig. 3A.

In all clonal conditions tested, Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}pos cells produced single positive neural or

mesodermal descendants, as well as double positive cells, confirming that these cells are NMPs.

Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low and Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high input cells showed distinct lineage output profiles.

Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high cells gave rise to a greater proportion of Tbxt^{GFP} only colonies, confirming that

high TBXT expression in individual Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high cells strongly predisposes them to a

mesodermal outcome (Fig. 5C). From the population analysis (Fig. 3D), we can infer that double-

negative descendants of Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}pos cells are predominantly differentiated descendants of

Tbxt^{GFP}pos mesoderm. In these clonal assays, double negative cells were mainly produced by

Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high cells, consistent with this interpretation. Interestingly, Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high cells showed higher plating efficiency than Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low cells, suggesting that increased TBXT promotes higher survival and/or adhesion to the substrate (Fig. 5C). Thus, at clonal level, high levels of GFP predict a high frequency of mesoderm-only outcomes, and an overall increased survival on multiple substrates.

Substrate composition also seemed to differentially affect cell phenotype. Strikingly, Matrigel and Geltrex reduced the overall mesoderm predisposition of Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high cells. This suggests that despite their propensity to differentiate towards mesoderm, Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high cells retain a substrate-dependent potential for neuromesodermal differentiation. Intriguingly, although the plating efficiency of both Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low and high cells was particularly poor on gelatin, this substrate appeared to enrich for growth of double positive cells from Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low cells (Fig. 5C, Suppl. Fig. 3C-E). Moreover, the substrate impacted the morphology of the colonies in culture: on gelatin, cells grew as compact colonies and produced an overall higher number of cells per colony, compared to Matrigel culture, where cells formed flat, dispersed colonies (Suppl. Fig. 3D). These observations suggest that once established from Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low cells, double positive cells are favoured by self-adherent growth. Lastly, we found that Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high colony survival on Geltrex and Matrigel was comparable, but Matrigel-coating increased the clone survival of Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low cells. Thus Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low and high cells exhibit differential behaviour that is modified by the substrate, which can alter both the cell phenotype and the extent of double-positive cell propagation.

We next compared individual colony composition with input reporter level (Fig. 5A, D). Cells in the Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high gate over two experiments predominantly produced only Tbxt^{GFP} positive mesoderm and/or double negative presumptive mesoderm (Fig. 5D, high GFP 'plateau' colonies). These two experimental repeats derived from populations where differentiation was relatively advanced and most cells lay in a Tbxt^{GFP} high;Sox2^{mCh} low state just prior to exit from the double positive population (Suppl. Fig. 3A, B – Exp 1-2). This suggests double positive cells reach a 'mesoderm specification' threshold beyond which mesoderm formation is virtually the only outcome and implying that some Tbxt^{GFP};Sox2^{mCh}high cells are not NMPs, recalling our previous observation that, in vivo, a minority of TBXT/SOX2 immunofluorescent cells are present at the midline where we detect only mesoderm potential (Cambray & Wilson, 2007; French et al., 2024).

To investigate the relationship between input and output levels of Tbxt^{GFP} we then indexed a
proportion of the input population, expanding the 'Tbxt-high' gate to include medium-level GFP-
expressing cells, to assess whether the clone composition varies as a continuum or shows threshold
responses to either reporter.

We used the indexed cells to mathematically determine whether the clonal lineage of individual NMP
progeny is controlled by the initial levels of *Sox2* or *Tbxt* expression and the cell substrate. Sox2^{mCh} and
Tbxt^{GFP} expression levels recorded at the time of plating (time = 0), were designated *S* and *T*,
respectively. After five days, each seeded cell gave rise to varying numbers of cells (*n*) with four
phenotypes based on their expression of TBXT and SOX2: n_{S-T+}, n_{S+T-}, n_{S+T+} and n_{S-T-}.
To determine whether the clonal lineage at day 5 post index sort was predominantly mesodermal or
neural, we evaluated the difference between numbers of Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} single positive cells per
colony (n_{S-T+}- n_{S+T-}). The histogram of n_{S-T+}- n_{S+T-} determined at time = 5 days, resembled a Gaussian
distribution centred at n_{S-T+}- n_{S+T-}=zero (Suppl. Fig. 3F).

Of note, many NMPs had small n_{S-T+}- n_{S+T-} values (close to zero) and it would be rather arbitrary to
identify these NMPs as mesodermal or neural biased. Instead, the tails of the distribution should
correspond with high confidence to mesodermal (n_{S-T+} >> n_{S+T-}) or neural (n_{S-T+} << n_{S+T-}) biased clones.
Therefore, we used the standard error of the mean (SEM) of the distribution as a cut-off to assign NMP
lineages, even though this excludes colonies from our analyses. Therefore, we classified the lineage of
the progeny as mesodermal if n_{S-T+} > n_{S+T+} by 27 cells (where 27 corresponds to the SEM). Conversely,
we consider the clone to be neural biased when n_{S+T-} > n_{S+T+} by 27 cells. To investigate whether the
lineage of the NMP progeny at time = 5 days depends on the Tbxt^{GFP} or Sox2^{mCh} level of the initial index
sorted NMP cell at time = 0, we plotted the lineage classification of each seeded NMP as a function of
both Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} (Supp. Fig. 3G and Fig. 5E, respectively). To do this, we discretized the scales
of Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} by selecting bins of equal numbers of colonies.

The mesoderm or neural bias of the NMP progeny did not appear to be affected by the level of Sox2^{mCh}
in the Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}pos starting population (Suppl. Fig. 3G). In contrast, the starting level of
Tbxt^{GFP} strongly influenced neural/mesodermal bias of the clones (Fig. 5D, E). At low values of Tbxt^{GFP},
most NMPs gave rise to neural-biased clones (Fig. 5E). As Tbxt^{GFP} approached a value of 1745 arbitrary
units in the index sort, the proportion of mesoderm-biased versus neural biased clones rapidly
increased (Fig. 5D, E). Although the ratio of Sox2 to Tbxt has been cited as an important factor
determining NMP fate (Koch et al., 2017; Morabito et al., 2025), this ratio did not predict the clonal
outcome better than the levels of Tbxt^{GFP} alone (Suppl. Fig. 4 C-F). The substrate, however, did appear
to modulate differentiation: neural-biased colonies were more abundant on fibronectin and Matrigel,

whereas we did not observe a particular bias towards either lineage when cells were plated on gelatin

or Geltrex of lineage-biased Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}pos cells (Suppl. Fig. 3H). These results suggest the

existence of a substrate-sensitive Tbxt^{GFP} expression threshold value ('N-M bias swap threshold')

above which NMP cells are more likely to produce mesodermal cells, in addition to the mesodermal

specification threshold described above.

To gain mechanistic insight into fate decisions in *in vitro* derived NMPs, we developed a minimal

mathematical model of the proliferation and differentiation dynamics of individual NMPs (see

Materials and Methods for details). We assumed that each NMP cell can divide symmetrically to: i)

self-renew, giving rise to 2 NMPs (SOX2pos;TBXTpos); ii) differentiate into 2 mesoderm cells

(SOX2neg;TBXTpos; iii) differentiate into neurectoderm (SOX2pos; TBXTneg); and iv) differentiate into

double negative cells (SOX2neg;TBXTneg). Thus, our model has 4 parameters, which are the rates by

which NMP cells give rise to each outcome. To check whether the model is sufficient to reproduce

the dependence of the neural/mesodermal decision on the initial Tbxt^{GFP} levels in NMPs, we fitted our

model to the experimental data.

When we grouped NMPs according to cell substrate, we observed that gelatin was associated with

the highest rates of self-propagation of NMPs and their differentiation towards mesoderm

(SOX2neg;TBXTpos), as well as descendants of nascent mesoderm, which are double negative (Suppl.

Fig. 4A). Matrigel, on the other hand, produced the highest rate of differentiation towards

neuroectoderm (SOX2pos; TBXTneg) (Suppl. Fig. 4A). Classifying NMPs according to whether they had

Tbxt^{GFP} levels below or above the Tbxt^{GFP} threshold reported above (regardless of substrate), showed

that Tbxt^{GFP} values above the threshold lead to a higher differentiation rate towards mesoderm,

whereas Tbxt^{GFP} values below the threshold lead to neuroectoderm bias (Fig. 5F and Suppl. Fig. 4E),

consistent with our results shown in Fig. 5E. Interestingly, for both populations, cells produced more

NMPs at higher rates than either neural or mesoderm cells. This suggests that the threshold in

differentiation bias does not affect the ability of NMPs to self-propagate and distinguishes this lower

'N-M bias swap' threshold from the high-Tbxt^{GFP} 'specification threshold', where cells predominantly

exit the NMP state towards mesoderm differentiation. Despite the ability of fibronectin and Matrigel

to bias cells towards neural differentiation, the mid-level threshold still holds when the experimental

data are disaggregated according to the coating used (Suppl. Fig. 4B, F).

In   summary,   clonal   analysis   and   mathematical   modelling   indicates   that   individual

Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}pos double positive cells *in vitro* are NMPs, and that threshold levels of *Tbxt*

determine whether they are neural-biased or mesoderm-biased (N-M bias swap threshold). Levels of

Tbxt^{GFP} in combination with Sox2^{mCh} determine mesoderm specification (mesoderm specification

threshold; summarised in Fig. 5G). The cell substrate can modulate the proportions of neural versus

mesoderm cells formed, while gelatin, a relatively challenging substrate for NMP adherence, once

cells are attached, favours self-adherence and more efficient NMP self-propagation.

Figure 5

Figure 5. *In vitro* derived *Sox2/Tbxt* co-expressing cells are bipotent at clonal level and their lineage output is determined by *Tbxt* expression. A. Differentiation protocol scheme and representative gating strategy for FACS and index sorting according to Sox2^{mCh} and Tbxt^{GFP} expression. Cells were individually seeded into different substrates and cultured a further five days. Colony lineage output was assessed by immunofluorescence of endogenous SOX2 and TBXT proteins, after which the imaging data was segmented for individual cells in colonies and scored for their lineage output. **B.** Example of a colony on gelatin at the end of the experiment immunostained for SOX2 and TBXT. **C.** Total lineage output of colonies derived from single sorted Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high or Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}low founder cells. Colonies were scored as containing SOX2pos, SOX2pos;TBXTpos (double positive (DP)), TBXTpos, and non-fluorescent (*i.e.* no signal detected) with n the number of colonies scored for each substrate. **D.** Overview of all individual colonies scored on their percent lineage output, classified by substrate and ordered by Tbxt^{GFP} level at the time of FACS (day 3). The total number of cells per colony ranged from 2- 5335 cells. Note that the plateau in GFP level is arbitrary and corresponds to progenitors that were gated for Sox2^{mCh}pos;Tbxt^{GFP}high but not index sorted and demarcates a mesoderm commitment threshold. **E.** NMP lineage output as a function of initial Tbxt^{GFP} levels. Each dot represents one colony, coloured by substrate used: matrigel (dark green), geltrex (light green), gelatin (pink) and fibronectin (fuchsia). The Tbxt^{GFP} level on the X-axis is the middle value in the bin. **F.** Mathematical model to estimate fate in index sorted NMPs. The rate indicates whether single founder NMPs were above (red) or below (blue) the initial Tbxt level threshold of 1745 arbitrary units (au) as function of their colony output at the end of the experiment. The dots and the error bars correspond to the mean and the standard error of the mean, respectively. Asterisks indicate significant differences obtained after applying a Mann-Whitney statistical test ($p < 0.05$). **G.** 'two-threshold model' summarising our findings.

Discussion

We generated a minimally disruptive embryonic stem cell line, STR-KI, that faithfully reports on *Tbxt* and *Sox2* expression. This cell line mirrors endogenous protein expression and minimises adverse phenotypic effects, lending confidence to the studies of lineage dynamics performed here. Reduction in SOX2 function negatively affects ESC maintenance and differentiation (Corsinotti et al., 2017), while reduction of *Tbxt* levels disrupts tail length (Gruneberg, 1958) and cell movement of *Tbxt* +/null cells in chimeras is defective (Wilson et al., 1995). Therefore, it is likely that normal protein function is preserved in this cell line since no abnormal phenotype or distribution of cells was detected *in vivo* or *in vitro*.

We further show that NMCs generated *in vitro* from mESCs (1) are bi-potent and (2) can self-renew in clonal analyses. These are functional attributes used to define *in vivo* NMPs (Tzouanacou et al., 2009). Our study of the differentiating population over time indicates that ESCs during NMP-like differentiation first reduce Sox2^{mCh} expression when exposed to FGF2, as expected in the epiblast *in*

vivo (Corsinotti et al., 2017). Then, after CHIR addition, the double positive population

(Sox2^mChpos;Tbxt^GFPpos) emerges from these Sox2^mCh low cells, mimicking in vivo NMC emergence.

Over the next 48 hours, Tbxt^GFP expression increases, until Sox2^mCh expression disappears from Tbxt^GFP

high cells. Tbxt^GFP is later extinguished, resulting in a double-negative population. This cellular

trajectory fits well with the known fate of epiblast cells that will eventually become NMPs *in vivo*:

distal epiblast cells express relatively low *Sox2* levels and exit pluripotency to enter a bipotent NMP

state in the caudal lateral epiblast or node-streak border. If NMPs encounter the primitive streak at

the midline they will upregulate *Tbxt*, undergo epithelial to mesenchymal transition and exit the streak

and its WNT-high environment as mesoderm, thereafter downregulating *Tbxt* (French et al., 2024;

Kispert et al., 1995; Wymeersch et al., 2016).

In vivo, axial elongation is sensitive to perturbation of both WNT and NOTCH signalling, at least partly

because NMPs require WNT for maintenance (Wymeersch et al., 2016) and both WNT and NOTCH for

continued mesoderm production (Cooper et al., 2024; Martins-Costa et al., 2024; Wymeersch et al.,

2016). TBXT is directly activated by WNT signalling (Yamaguchi et al., 1999), and optimal levels of TBXT

require NOTCH signalling (Cooper et al., 2024). Our observation that inhibiting WNT or NOTCH

signalling result in a decrease in TBXT is consistent with this published data. We show, however, that

gastruloids undergo a particularly rapid slowing of axis elongation, concomitant with the suppression

of Tbxt^GFP by either inhibitor (~2-3h), while Sox2^mCh levels change only minimally for 10-15h. This

suggests that adequate levels of both WNT and NOTCH are directly required to maintain TBXT-driven

axial elongation.

We have shown that single *Tbxt/Sox2* co-expressing cells can differentiate towards SOX2+

neurectoderm and TBXT+ (and subsequently double-negative) mesoderm. This formally demonstrates

that double positive cells generated using standard protocols from mESCs are indeed bipotent NMPs.

Our mathematical modelling of index sorted founder cells and the fate in their respective colonies

shows that higher Tbxt^GFP levels predispose cells towards mesoderm differentiation. Interestingly, this

seems to occur at two distinct threshold levels of Tbxt^GFP. Firstly, double positive cells with high Tbxt^GFP

and diminishing Sox2^mCh fluorescence appear specified for mesoderm differentiation, reminiscent of

a fraction of cells at the primitive streak which express high levels of TBXT and still express SOX2 but

are not bipotent on transplantation to NM-fated regions (Cambray & Wilson, 2007; French et al.,

2024). These cells are enriched in vivo for TBX6, a factor required to commit cells to a mesodermal

fate (Takemoto et al., 2011). In support of this idea, TBX6 expression is elevated in TBXT^GFP high cells.

A second mid-level threshold of Tbxt^{GFP} appears to switch cells from a neural-biased to a mesoderm-

biased state. This is somewhat unexpected because *in vivo* NMCs, even from predominantly

mesoderm-fated regions (which express higher TBXT than those in neural-fated regions), can still

produce neurectoderm on transplantation to more neural-fated regions (Wymeersch et al., 2016).

However, our observation that cell substrate can modify the rate of differentiation suggests that the

neural/mesodermal outcome of a clone/colony can also be influenced by environmental cues.

Unexpectedly, we did not find evidence that the ratio of Tbxt^{GFP} to Sox2^{mCh} affected the bias-swap

threshold. This contrasts with previous studies suggesting that the Tbxt/Sox2 ratio or the ratio of WNT

to Sox2 determines the outcome of differentiation (Koch et al., 2017; Morabito et al., 2025; Romanos

et al., 2021). However, the levels of *Sox2* in the NMP compartment in those experiments are relatively

constant. Indeed, in the mouse caudal lateral epiblast, the gradient of SOX2 expression is much

shallower compared to that of TBXT (French et al., 2025). If the TBXT:SOX2 ratio determined NMP

outcome, loss of TBXT function would be expected to bias cells towards neural differentiation.

However, *in vivo*, chimaera analysis of TBXT$^{-/-}$ cells does not seem to show this bias (Guibentif et al.,

2021). Therefore, it is conceivable that against a baseline of relatively uniform SOX2, the TBXT:SOX2

ratio might appear to predict neural versus mesodermal outcome, when TBXT levels might be the

main determinant of differentiation bias. Nevertheless, SOX2 may serve an important function in the

NMC population. In zebrafish, ectopic expression of SOX2 in NMCs prevents their exit to the

mesoderm (Kinney et al., 2020). Together with the observation that TBX6 activation upon entry to the

primitive streak suppresses SOX2 to prevent ectopic neural differentiation in the paraxial mesoderm

(Takemoto et al., 2011), this suggests SOX2 threshold levels operate at the point of exit of cells from

the NMC population. Interestingly, ordering *in vivo* single NMP transcriptomes along a differentiation

trajectory shows that peak *Tbxt* mRNA levels occur in double-positive cells, and *Tbx6* mRNA, signifying

mesodermal commitment, begins to accumulate only in cells with lower levels of both mRNAs (Gouti

et al., 2017). Our observation that during in vitro NMP differentiation, TBXT^{GFP} increases while Sox2^{mCh}

levels remain constant, then the levels of both reporters drop before cells exit the double positive

population is consistent with such a gatekeeper function of SOX2, but not with a continuously variable

mutual inhibition of SOX2 and TBXT.

The primacy of TBXT dosage in influencing the outcome of differentiation recalls previous experiments

in vivo where levels of TBXT dictate cell behaviours: low-TBXT expressing cells stay in the primitive

streak/tail bud,and exit as mesoderm if TBXT levels are increased (Wilson & Beddington, 1997; Wilson

et al., 1995). Our study indicates that this works at the individual cell level, whereby cells sense cues

in their environment (e.g. WNT, NOTCH), respond by tuning TBXT up or down, which in turn influences

the differentiation outcome at the single cell level. Furthermore, quantitative reduction in wildtype

TBXT gene dosage progressively shortens the axis (Gruneberg, 1958; Stott et al., 1993; Xia et al., 2024).

This suggests that continued axial elongation (through the persistence of NMPs) is sensitive to TBXT

levels through modulating these probabilistic states. Since gastruloid manipulation during elongation

shows this TBXT sensitivity, such stem-cell based models will prove useful in the future to investigate

the mechanistic bases of these molecular thresholds during developmental processes, such as axis

elongation.

Materials and methods

<u>Reporter mESC generation</u>

To generate the double reporter cell line, we performed CRISPR-based transgenesis in two targeting

rounds (one for each gene locus, starting by *Tbxt*) in E14tg2a ESCs following an established CRISPR-

Cas9 protocol (Dewari et al., 2018). crRNA and sgRNA guides are listed in Table S1. Briefly, we replaced

the stop codon at the C-terminus of the targeted genes by homologous recombination with a reporter

construct containing T2A-mCherry (in the case of *Sox2*) or P2A-H2B-GFP (in the case of *Tbxt*) (Fig.1A).

The *Tbxt* targeting vector contained a puromycin resistance cassette, which was used for clone

selection. *Sox2*+ cells were selected by FACS, sorting individual mCherry+ cells into 96-well plates.

Single clones were picked, expanded, and verified for correct transgene integration by PCR and

nanopore sequencing (Suppl. Fig. 1A, B).

<u>PCR and nanopore sequencing</u>

Correct integration of the fluorescent protein sequences into the endogenous loci of *Tbxt* and *Sox2*

was confirmed by PCR amplification of the targeted site. The primer sequences used are listed in Table

S1. To validate the sequence, PCR products were subcloned into a plasmid using the Zero Blunt™

TOPO™ PCR Cloning Kit and sequenced via Nanopore sequencing.

<u>Mouse husbandry</u>

C57BL/6 female mice (Charles River) were used for chimaera generation. MF1 mice were used for

electroporation experiments. ICR wildtype mice (JAX stock #009122, The Jackson Laboratory) were

used for immunostaining. Mice were maintained on a 12 hr-light/12 hr-dark cycle. To collect embryos

at specific developmental stages, timed matings were set up overnight. Noon on the day of finding a

vaginal plug was designated as embryonic day (E) 0.5. Embryos dissected for immunostaining were

approved by the Ethical Committee of the RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (A2016-

03-13).

<u>Chimaera generation</u>

C57BL/6 female mice were superovulated (100 IU/mL PMSG and 100 IU/mL hCG intraperitoneal

injections 48 h apart) and crossed with wild type studs. Pregnant mice were culled at E2.5 by cervical

dislocation, ovaries with oviducts were dissected and collected in pre-warmed M2 medium. Oviducts

were flushed using PBS and a 20-gauge needle attached to a 1 mL syringe and filled with PB1

(Whittingham, 1974). E2.5 embryos were collected and washed in PB1, their zona pellucida removed

using acidic Tyrode's solution and transferred to a plate with incisions where of 8-15 cells were added

to each embryo. Embryos were then incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 24h prior to transfer to

pseudopregnant females. Blastocysts were selected and collected to be transferred into the uterus of

a pseudopregnant CD-1 female. Females were culled according to Schedule 1 (Animals (Scientific

Procedures) Act 1986), and embryos were dissected at E6.5-9.0 in M2 medium and observed for

chimeric ESC contribution under an Olympus IX51 microscope. The sex of embryos used in this study

was not determined. All reagents are listed in Table S1.

<u>Focal electroporation and fate mapping</u>

A more detailed description can be found in (Huang et al., 2015). E7.5 neural plate (NP) stage embryos

were dissected in M2 medium with their extraembryonic cavities intact, but Reichert's membrane

removed. A PBS-filled 30 mm petri dish was prepared under a stereomicroscope (Zeiss Stemi 2000-C)

with a PBS-filled, capillary-sheathed, platinum point electrode (anode; final diameter of capillary

sheath 20-30 μm) and an 0.2mm L-shaped platinum wire (cathode) connected to an ECM 830 square

wave pulse generator (BTX). Using a pneumatic pico pump (World Precision Instruments, PV830) and

micropulled injection needles, a small volume (<5μL) of DNA solution (pCAG::GFP plasmid at 1-1.5

μg/mL, 0.01% Fast Green food colouring dye in PBS) was injected in the amniotic cavity of an NP-stage

embryo. Embryos were briefly transferred from M2 medium to the PBS-filled electroporation dish,

and electroporated using 200 Volts in 6 pulses, each of 50ms duration with a 1s interval between each

pulse. Embryos were then immediately transferred to pre-equilibrated culture medium and cultured

in 4-well plates in an incubator supplied with 5% CO2 in air at 37°C for 24h (Cambray & Wilson, 2007).

GFP contribution was assessed at 2h post-electroporation using a fluorescence compound dissecting

microscope (Nikon AZ100). For assessment of contribution, samples were fixed, immunostained as

wholemount as described below using an anti-GFP primary antibody (Abcam ab13970, 1:800) and

Liquid DAB+ Substrate Chromogen System (Dako K3467). Imaging and scoring of contribution were

done under a Nikon AZ100 microscope.

Immunofluorescence   on   wholemount   embryos:

E6.5-E8.0 embryos were dissected in home-made M2 medium (Nowotschin et al., 2010), their yolk sac

and amnion membranes removed, after which they were fixed for 25min at 4°C in 4% PFA solution

(Nacalai Tesque #09154-85). After three short washes in PBS in 0.1% Triton X-100 (now referred to as

PBST), samples were permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min, followed by 20min in 0.5

M glycine in PBST, two 10min washes in PBST and blocked overnight at 4°C in 10% donkey serum

(Merck) in PBS/0.3% TritonX100. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer for 48h on a

rocking platform at 4°C. Antibodies used (supplier, final concentration): anti-Sox2 (Abcam, ab92494,

1:200); anti-TBXT (R&D, AF2085, 1:200). After two days, four 25min washes were performed with PBST

on a rocking platform at room temperature. Alexa Fluor®-conjugated secondary antibodies were

diluted in blocking buffer at 2 µg/ml final concentration (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated for

48h at 4°C, then washed in PBST (4x25min) with the last wash containing DAPI (Invitrogen, D3571,

final concentration at 2.5 µg/mL). To image, samples were cleared in BA:BB (2:1 benzyl alcohol:benzyl

benzoate; Sigma) after dehydration through an increasing methanol (Nacalai Tesque) /PBS steps (25%,

50%, 75%, 2x 100%, 5min each).

Wholemount embryo samples were imaged under a LSM800 confocal system using GaAsP detectors

(Zeiss). Three replicate embryos were imaged per developmental stage. Whole-mount

immunostaining data was processed using Zeiss software (Zeiss). For DAPI channel processing, a

median filter and background subtraction were applied. Orthogonal projections were generated as X-

Y weighted averages of 8 consecutive slices, representing a total of 8 µm across the Z-axis. IMARIS

software (Oxford Instruments) was used to generate 3D renderings.

Cell culture, differentiation and flow cytometry/FACS

STR-KI mESCs were maintained on 0.1% gelatin-coated plates in Glasgow Minimum Essential Medium

(GMEM, Sigma-Aldrich #G5154) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (Gibco #10270-106), 100

U/mL LIF (made in-house), 100 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco #31350-010), 1X non-essential amino

665 acids (Gibco #11140-035), 2 mM L-Glutamine (Invitrogen #25030-024) and 1 mM Sodium Pyruvate

666 (Invitrogen #11360-039). mESCs were passaged every other day using 1X trypsin (Sigma #59429C)

667 after washing with PBS.

668 For NMP differentiation, following Gouti et al. 2014, STR-KI mESCs were plated at a density of 5,000

669 cells/cm^2 on 0.1% gelatin-coated plates in N2B28 medium. N2B27 medium consisted of a 1:1 mixture

670 of Neurobasal (Thermofisher #21103049) and Advanced DMEM/F12 (Thermofisher #12634028)

671 supplemented with 2 mM L-Glutamine, 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.5X B27 (Thermofisher

672 #17504001) and 0.5X N2 (Thermofisher #17502048). Cells were supplemented with 10 ng/mL FGF2

673 (R&D Systems #3718-FB) for 48 hours, and for 24 additional hours with both 10 ng/mL FGF2 and 5µM

674 CHIRON99021 (Axon #1386).

675 For flow cytometry analyses, after TrypLE Express Enzyme 1X treatment and centrifugation, cells were

676 resuspended in PBS containing 2% FCS and 0.1 µg/mL Draq7. For FACS resuspension was done in

677 N2B27 medium containing 0.1 µg/mL Draq7.

678 Cells were analysed using a 4 laser LSR Fortessa (BD) flow cytometer, employing B 530/30-A, Y/G

679 610/20-A, and R780/60-A laser/filter combinations. For cell sorting, a BD FACS Aria II Cell Sorter was

680 used with B 525/50-A, Y/G 610/20-A, and R780/60-A laser/filter combinations.

681 For single cell plating experiments, differentiated cells were detached using TrypLE Express Enzyme

682 1X (Gibco 12604013) and sorted into CellCarrier 96-well plates coated with one of the following

683 substrates: 0.1% gelatin, fibronectin (16.6 µL/mL in PBS; Sigma, #F1141), Matrigel (200 µg/mL in PBS;

684 Corning, #354277), or Geltrex (120 µg/mL in Advanced DMEM/F12; Thermofisher, #A1413201). Plates

685 were coated and incubated for 1 hour at 37ºC prior to cell seeding. Cells were cultured in N2B27

686 supplemented with 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, #15140-122), 10 µM ROCK inhibitor

687 Y-27632 (Tocris, #1254/10) and either 10 ng/mL FGF2 or in combination with 5µM CHIRON99021.

688 After 24 hours, the medium was replaced without ROCK inhibitor. The ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 was

689 used to enhance single-cell survival (Watanabe et al., 2007) and was used in FACS experiments to

690 counteract dissociation and cell-stress-induced apoptosis.

691 All cells were maintained under standard culture condition (37̊C and 5% CO2).

693 Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

694 A total of 200 cells were sorted into PCR tubes containing 10 µL of 2X Reaction Mix from the CellsDirect

695 One-Step qRT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen #11753100) with 0.4 U/µl of RNAse inhibitor (Invitrogen #AM2694)

and flash-frozen on dry ice. Reverse transcription reactions were performed using the SuperScript III

RT/Platinum Taq mix provided in the same kit. qPCR was performed using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green

I Master (Roche, #4887352001) in 384-well LightCycler 480 Multiwell Plates (Roche #04729749001)

and using the Roche LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System. The *Tbp* gene was used as an endogenous

control, and relative gene expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

Immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes, then washed twice with PBS over a total

of 10 minutes and permeabilised with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes. Following two

additional PBS washes, cells were blocked for 30 minutes in a solution containing 5% donkey serum

and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Primary antibodies, diluted in the same blocking solution, were added

overnight at 5ºC. After washing twice with PBS, secondary antibodies, diluted in blocking solution,

were added for 2 hours at room temperature. After two further PBS washes, DAPI (Invitrogen, D3571,

final concentration at 2.5 μg/mL) was added for 5 minutes at room temperature, followed by two PBS

washes. Imaging of multi-well plates was performed using the High-Content Imaging Opera Phenix

Plus from the IRR Imaging Core Facility. Single cell colony lineage scoring was performed using Signals

Image Artist software (Revvity), using the 3D Analysis stack processing pipeline. Image stacks were

processed to identify and segment colonies. For cell counting, the Find Nuclei – Method C function

was utilized. Following segmentation, both cell number and fluorescence intensity data were

extracted from the analysed image sets.

Gastruloid culture, live imaging and quantitative analysis

Gastruloid culture was carried out as previously described (van den Brink et al., 2020 Vianello et al.,

2020 [dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.9j5h4q6]). In summary, 2i/LIF/FCS mESCs were washed in PBS

before adding 0.05% Trypsin EDTA solution. After cell detachment, 5-10 volumes of fresh 2i/LIF/FCS

were added to quench the Trypsin EDTA solution. Cells were transferred to a universal tube and

pelleted by centrifugation at 300g for 3 minutes. The media was aspirated, and the pellet was

resuspended in cold PBS to make a single cell suspension. A PBS wash was repeated once more, then

the cell pellet was resuspended in prewarmed N2B27 to a single cell solution. Cells were counted and

diluted to a solution of 8,250 cells/mL in N2B27 medium, after which 40μL of this medium (containing

~330 cells) was plated into untreated u-bottom 96 wells and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C and 5%

CO_2. At 48 hours, an additional 150μL of N2B27 and 3 μM CHIR99021 were added to the well. The last

half of the additional media was expelled forcefully to dislodge the aggregate but without spilling the medium. The aggregates were cultured for a further 24 hours to d3, after which 150μL of media was removed and replaced with 150μL fresh N2B27. At d4, gastruloids were washed in N2B27 and then transferred to flat-bottom and black-walled 96-well plates (PerkinElmer) containing ice-cold phenol-red free N2B27 (Thermofisher #21041025, #12348017) + 10% matrigel supplemented with LY 411575 (150nM), IWP-2 (2.5μM), or an equal amount of DMSO. Gastruloids were left for 5 minutes to drop to the bottom of the well and then placed into an incubation chamber at 37°C and 5% CO_2 to set the matrigel. After this period, gastruloids were imaged on a confocal microscope (Opera Phenix Plus) every hour for 24 hours. Transmitted light and fluorescence signal was collected using epifluorescence and a 20x objective. Excitations of 488nm and 561nm, emissions of 522nm and 599nm, and exposure times of 0.1s and 0.2s were used for GFP and mCherry respectively. XYZ voxel dimensions were 0.89x0.89x5 microns.

Image analysis was performed in python using custom scripts and open-source libraries that can be found at 10.5281/zenodo.17192095. Whole tissue segmentation masks were generated using with the Segment Anything Model (SAM) (arXiv:2304.02643). Extending poles of gastruloids were inferred by median projecting Tbxt[GFP] signal z-stacks to 2D, smoothing with a Gaussian filter, and isolating the largest domain of pixels over the 99th percentile. The vector between the centroid of this domain and the centroid of the whole gastruloid mask determines the orientation to the *Sox2/Tbxt* co-expressing region. The orientation calculated at 10 hours post embedding was applied to all other timepoints. Traces were collected in a 90μm wide linear line orthogonal to the vector orientation. Extending pole "ends" containing Sox2/Tbxt coexpressing domains were isolated as a bin between 35μm and 178μm from the end of the pole. The limits of this region were manually determined by the overlap of Tbxt[GFP]/Sox2[mCh] signal gradients and previous observations of the location of NMP regions in vivo (i.e the tail tip) (French et al., 2025). Signal of Tbxt[GFP] and Sox2[mCh] was measured in 2D by the average intensity from median z-projections of both channels in 0.89μm wide bins along the gastruloid trace. Channel signal was normalised per gastruloid to the 5th and 99th percentile of trace signal values at 0h, then per timepoint and condition normalised to the 99th percentile of DMSO control. For the NMP region Tbxt/Sox2 dynamics, the difference between signal from treated and control conditions was calculated per replicate and timepoint.

For flow cytometry analyses, an average of 60 gastruloids were pooled per experiment and bulk analysed at 72hpa and 30 gastruloids at 96hpa. Analyses were done using the NovoCyte Penteon analyser (Agilent). Flow data was analysed in R using the CytoExploreR plugin (Dillon Hammill (2021), CytoExploreR: Interactive Analysis of Cytometry Data. R package version 1.1.0. https://github.com/DillonHammill/CytoExploreR).

For imaging, gastruloids were fixed with 4% PFA for 40 min at room temperature and stained with

DAPI overnight. Gastruloids were mounted in low melting point agarose in a 96-well ibidi plate and

clarified with RapiClear 1.49 overnight. An average of 3-5 gastruloids per condition were imaged using

an OperaPhenix confocal microscope, a 300 μm section was imaged in 2 μm steps and representative

examples were selected. Image analysis was performed in Fiji. E14tg2a gastruloids were used as a

negative control to set fluorescence thresholds. A MAX intensity projection of the DAPI signal was

used to create outlines of the gastruloids using a custom Fiji macro.

<u>Mathematical modelling</u>

In this study, we propose a minimal mathematical model of the dynamics of NMP cells and their

progeny to investigate the cell fate decision process. We assume that each NMP cell divides

symmetrically, resulting in i) two NMPs, or ii) two cells that are simultaneously Tbxt+ and Sox2-, or iii)

two cells that are simultaneously Tbxt- and Sox2+, or iv) two cells that are simultaneously Tbxt- and

Sox2-. We also assume that, with the exception of NMPs, the other cells do not divide within the time

window of our study. The model is encoded in the following linear system of ordinary differential

equations describing the time course of NMPs and their progeny:

$\frac{dNMP}{dt} = \alpha * NMP - \beta * NMP - \gamma * NMP - \delta * NMP$ (Eq. 1)

$\frac{dn_{S+T-}}{dt} = 2 * \beta * NMP$ (Eq. 2)

$\frac{dn_{S-T+}}{dt} = 2 * \gamma * NMP$ (Eq. 3)

$\frac{dn_{S-T-}}{dt} = 2 * \delta * NMP$ (Eq. 4)

Where *t* is time, *NMP*, n_{S+T-}, n_{S-T+} and n_{S-T-} are the number of NMPs, the number of cells that are

simultaneously Sox2+ and T-, the number of cells that are simultaneously Sox2- and T+ and the number

of cells that are simultaneously Sox2- and T-, respectively. The model has 4 parameters: α, β, γ and δ,

which correspond to the rates at which NMP cells give rise to more NMP cells, cells positive for Sox2

but negative for T (*i.e.*, neural lineage), cells negative for Sox2 but positive for T (*i.e.,* mesodermal

lineage) and cells negative for both Sox2 and T (double negative).

To test whether the model was sufficient to reproduce the dependence of the neural/mesodermal

decision on the *T* level of the NMP, we fitted the model to the experimental data.

This system has an analytical solution given by

$NMP(t) = NMP_0 * e^{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)t}$ (Eq. 5)

$n_{S+T-}(t) = \dfrac{2*\beta*NMP_0*e^{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)t}}{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)} + n_{S+T-_0}$ (Eq. 6)

$n_{S-T+}(t) = \dfrac{2*\gamma*NMP_0*e^{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)t}}{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)} + n_{S-T+_0}$ (Eq. 7)

$n_{S-T-}(t) = \dfrac{2*\delta*NMP_0*e^{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)t}}{(\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta)} + n_{S-T-_0}$ (Eq. 8)

Where *NMP₀* is the initial number of NMP cells, n_{S+T-_0} is the initial number of Sox2 positive but T

negative cells, n_{S-T+_0} is the initial number of Sox2 negative but T positive cells and n_{S-T-_0} is the initial

number of double negative cells.

Finally, we assume the following initial condition, reflecting the experiments described in section 3.

$NMP_0 = 1$ (Eq. 9)

$n_{S+T-_0} = 0$ (Eq. 10)

$n_{S-T+_0} = 0$ (Eq. 11)

$n_{S-T-_0} = 0$ (Eq. 12)

To fit the model to the experimental data, we minimized the distance function δ between the

experimental number of cells and the model predicted number of all cell types for each experiment.

The distance d was defined as:

$\delta = \sqrt{(NMP_e - NMP_t)^2 + \left(n_{S+T-_e} - n_{S+T-_t}\right)^2 + \left(n_{S-T+_e} - n_{S-T+_t}\right)^2 + \left(n_{S-T-_e} - n_{S-T-_t}\right)^2}$ (Eq.

13)

Where *NMP_e* and *NMP_t* are the experimental and model predicted number of NMP cells, n_{S+T-_e} and

n_{S+T-_t} are the experimental and model predicted number of cells positive for SOX2 but negative for

TBXT, n_{S-T+_e} and n_{S-T+_t} are the experimental and model predicted number of cells positive for T but

negative for SOX2, and finally n_{S-T-_e} and n_{S-T-_t} are the experimental and model predicted number

of cells negative for both SOX2 and TBXT. From all best-fitting parameter values that minimized d for

each experiment, we calculated the mean and standard error of the mean.

A Jupyter Notebook (http://jupyter.org/) containing the source code used to generate the

mathematical model plots in Fig. 5 and Suppl. Fig. 3, 4 can be found at 10.5281/zenodo.17154933

(Ceccarelli & Chara, 2025).

Table S1

Reagent	Source	Identifier or catalog number
DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit	QIAGEN	69504
Q5® Hot Start High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix	BioLabs	M0494S
Agarose	Invitrogen	16500-500
SYBR™ Safe DNA Gel Stain	Invitrogen	S33102
Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit Protocol	Zymo Research	D4001
Qubit 1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit	Invitrogen	Q33230
Glasgow Minimum Essential Medium	Sigma-Aldrich	G5154
Foetal calf serum	Gibco	10270-106
LIF	Made in-house	N/A
2-mercaptoethanol	Gibco	31350-010
Non-essential amino acids	Gibco	11140-035
L-Glutamine	Invitrogen	25030-024
Sodium Pyruvate	Invitrogen	11360-039
Trypsin	Sigma	59429C
Neurobasal	Thermofisher	21103049
M2 medium	Sigma	M7167
Tyrode's solution, acidic	Sigma	1788
Advanced Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium F12	Thermofisher	12634028
B27	Thermofisher	17504001
N2	Thermofisher	17502048
FGF2	R&D Systems	3718-FB
DMEM/F-12, no phenol red	Thermofisher	21041025
Neurobasal™ Medium, minus phenol red	Thermofisher	12348017
CHIRON99021	Axon	1386
TrypLE Express Enzyme 1X	Gibco	12604013
Fibronectin	Sigma	F1141
Matrigel	Corning	354277
Geltrex	Thermofisher	A1413201
Penicillin/streptomycin	Invitrogen	15140-122
ROCK inhibitor Y-27632	Tocris	1254/10
2X Reaction Mix (CellsDirect One-Step qRT-PCR Kit)	Invitrogen	11753100
RNAse inhibitor	Invitrogen	AM2694
Superscript III RT/Platinum Taq mix (CellsDirect One-Step qRT-PCR Kit)	Invitrogen	11753100
LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master	Roche	4887352001
LightCycler 480 Multiwell Plate 384	Roche	04729749001
Cas9 protein	Gift from Pollard Lab	Dewari et al., 2018

crRNA guide Sox2	Twist Bioscience	5'-TGCCCCTGTCGCACATGTGA-3'
sgRNA guide pairs Tbxt	IDT	5'-GGCTTTGGGCCGTGTCATAC-3' 5'-AAAGCCTCCTCATAGCCTCG-3'
Zero Blunt™ TOPO™ PCR Cloning Kit	Invitrogen	450245
Sox2-mcherry PCR primers	IDT	5'-AGCTCGCAGACCTACATGAA-3' 5'- GACTTTTGCGAACTCCCTGC-3'
Tbxt-GFP PCR primers	IDT	5'-CGGCACACTAAACAGTCACTGTGC-3' 5'-TCAGTTACAATCTTCTGGCTATGCT-3'

Author contributions

Conceptualization: A.B-C., V.W;

Methodology: A.B.-C., A.G, A.C, O.C, V.W;

Formal analysis: A.G, A.C, O.C, M.F

Investigation: A.B.-C., A.G, A.C, E.K, F.J.W, R.P, M.F, J.A, Y.H, A.S.B, D.L.R,

Resources: F.C.K.W, F.J.W, M.T, S.L

Data curation: A.G, A.B-C, V.W. O.C, A.C;

Writing - original draft: A.B-C, V.W;

Writing - editing: A.B-C, V.W, F.J.W, S.L, M.Y, A.G, F.C.K.W, A.C, O.C;

Visualization: A.B.-C., A.G, A.C, F.W, M.F, J.A, V.W

Supervision: A.B-C, O.C, V.W;

Project administration: A.B-C, V.W;

Funding acquisition: A.B-C, V.W

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the IRR core facilities at the University of Edinburgh for their important
contributions to this work, particularly the IRR flow cytometry, tissue culture and high content
imaging facilities. We thank Augusto Borges for technical advice on modelling aspects of the study.
We would also like to thank André Dias for critically reading an early version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

No competing interests declared.

Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MR/S008799/1 to A.B-C, A.G., V.W,

MR/K011200 to E.K, F.C.K.W., Y.H), Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland (RIG012666 to A.B-

C), the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica of Argentina (PICT-2019-03828 to

A.S.C. and O.C), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/X014908/1 to O.C.),

Wellcome Trust Senior Fellowship (220298 to M.F., J.A., S.B. and S.L), Japan Society for the Promotion

of Science KAKENHI grant (JP19K16157 to F.J.W), Erasmus Exchange fund (to A.G. and D.L.R), Gurdon

summer studentship (to A.G).

Data availability

Data availability: All relevant data can be found within the article and its supplementary information.

Supplementary Figures

Suppl. Figure 1

Suppl. Figure 1. STR-KI cell line contributes highly to chimaeras. A-B. Schematics of targeted region of the Tbxt **(A)** and Sox2 **(B)** loci in the STR-KI cell line showing correct integration and sequence alignment to the consensus after nanopore sequencing. **C.** mouse pre-streak and **D.** E8.5 (2-12) somite stage chimeras appear

 morphologically normal, show high contribution of STR-KI cells, and correct location of the reporter fluorescent

 proteins. Asterisk in D shows a non-contributing littermate as a negative control.

Suppl. Figure 2

Suppl. Figure 2. *In vitro* derived *Sox2*-mCherry and *Tbxt*-GFP co-expressing cells are NMPs, and their lineage
trajectory can be captured for potency studies. A-B. qRT-PCR analysis of populations on day 2 and day 4 using
the same gating strategy and differentiation protocol in Fig. 2A. Gene markers of pluripotency, axial progenitors
(inset A), and neural, mesodermal, endodermal differentiation markers (inset B) with n=3 independent
experiments for each population. **C.** Repeats of the time-course experiment described in Fig. 2C-D. A factor of
variability between these three experiments can be noted and is inherent to any differentiation protocol.
However, cell populations of all three experiments followed the same trajectory trends as summarised in Fig.
2D. A representative purity check of the initial FACS populations is shown. **D.** Scheme of the experimental set up
for FACS *Sox2*^{mCherry}*Tbxt*^{GFP} double positive progenitors and testing of lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) potency. **E.**
Colonies stained for the LPM marker Flk-1. In some Flk-1+ colonies changes in morphology where minimal (top)
whereas in others elongated FLK-1+ cells were observed, indicating potentially more progressed endothelial
differentiation. **F.** Experimental setup for the generation of gastruloids to test symmetry breaking and *Tbxt*^{GFP}
activation upon CHIR activation. Flow cytometry on an average of 60 gastruloids were pooled and bulk analysed
for 72 hpa and 30 gastruloids for 96 hpa (n=1). **G.** Immunostaining of wildtype (WT) or STR-KI derived gastruloids
for *Sox2*^{mCherry} and *Tbxt*^{GFP} at 72 and 96 hpa. Note the absence of Tbxt^{GFP} in both WT and no CHIR treated
gastruloids. Axis elongation is visible between 96-120 hpa, depending on the cell line use, with our STR-KI
reporter showing elongation from 96 hpa upon CHIR treatment representative image of 3-5 gastruloids analysed
per sample.

Suppl. Figure 3

A Sox2^{mCh}pos/Tbxt^{GFP} high and Sox2^{mch} trends gating strategy for data in Fig. 5

B % of cells in the 50% percentile Sox2^{mCh} gate

Experiment	% in Sox2^{mCh} gate
Exp 1	20.9%
Exp 2	16.7%
Exp 4-fibronectin	21.7%
Exp 4-gelatin	36.0%
Exp 4-geltrex	24.0%
Exp 4-matrigel	33.3%
Exp 5-fibronectin	40.0%
Exp 5-gelatin	53.3%
Exp 5-geltrex	60.0%
Exp 5-matrigel	53.3%

C Colony cell count by coating

D Colony cell count by outcome

E Sox2/Tbxt (NMP) cell count by coating

F Gaussian function fitted to colony data

G Colony lineage output by NMP's Sox2 level

H Colony lineage output by coating

Suppl. Figure 3. Quantitative analysis and mathematical modelling of clonal data.

A. Overlap of bulk-flow data from NMP differentiations where single cells that fell in the

Sox2mChpos/TbxtGFPhigh sorting gate were reanalysed by level of Sox2. Exp 1,2 were unindexed, and Exp 4,5

were indexed. The % of cells above the 50% percentile of mCherry were calculated based on the least advanced

differentation repeat (exp5). **B.** Summary table listing the % of cells within the Sox2mCh/TbxtGFP high

population falling in the top 50% percentile of Sox2mCherry across all experiments. **C.** Total number of cells per

colony measured after clonal expansion of single cells grown on different extracellular matrices or classified by

colony phenotype (**D**). **E.** Total number of SOX2+TBXT+ cells per colony cultured on different coating matrices.

F. Histogram for n_{S-T+} - n_{S+T-} (orange bars). Blue line: Gaussian function fitted to the data. **G.** Clonally plated Sox2

^{mCherry} Tbxt^{GFP} (NMP) lineage output as a function of Sox2^{mCherry} levels in individually plated NMPs. Each dot

represents one colony. The Sox2^{mCherry} level on the X-axis is the middle value in the bin. **H.** Clonally plated Sox2

^{mCherry} Tbxt^{GFP} (NMP) lineage output as a function of the extracellular coating used. For C-E: Dots are the mean

with error bars, the standard error of the mean. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)

obtained after applying a Mann-Whitney statistical test (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** < 0.0001).

Suppl. Figure 4

Suppl. Figure 4. Quantitative analysis and mathematical modelling of clonal data using the Tbxt absolute levels Tbxt/Sox2 levels ratio. A. Lineage differentiation rates estimated with our

mathematical model (Tbxt absolute levels), coloured by coating: matrigel (dark green), geltrex (light green), gelatin (pink) and fibronectin (fuchsia). Dots are the mean with error bars, the standard error of the mean. **B.** Differentation lineage rates estimated with our mathematical model (Tbxt absolute levels) sorted by coating used. The initial NMP cells were above (in red) or below (in blue) a TbxtGFP level threshold of 1745 arbitrary units (au) **C.** Colony lineage output when plotting Tbxt/Sox2 ratio over Tbxt absolute numbers. GFP/mCherry threshold value = 0.97 **D.** NMP lineage output as a function of initial $Tbxt^{GFP}$ /$Sox2^{mCh}$ ratio. Each dot represents one colony/experiment, with substrates used: matrigel (dark green), geltrex (light green), gelatin (pink) and fibronectin (fuchsia). The ratio reported is the middle value in the bin. **E.** Mathematical model to estimate fate in index sorted NMPs. The rate indicates whether single founder NMPs were above (red) or below (blue) the initial $Tbxt^{GFP}$ /$Sox2^{mCh}$ threshold ratio (0.97), as a function of their colony output at the end of the experiment. The dots and the error bars correspond to the mean and the standard error of the mean, respectively. Asterisks indicate significant differences obtained after applying a Mann-Whitney statistical test ($p < 0.05$). **F.** Differentiation rates of individual lineages estimated with our mathematical model sorted by coating used. The initial NMP cells were above (in red) or below (in blue) the $Tbxt^{GFP}$ /$Sox2^{mCh}$ threshold ratio. Dots are the mean with error bars, the standard error of the mean. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) obtained after applying a Mann-Whitney statistical test.

References

Attardi, A., Fulton, T., Florescu, M., Shah, G., Muresan, L., Lenz, M. O., Lancaster, C., Huisken, J., van Oudenaarden, A., & Steventon, B. (2018). Neuromesodermal progenitors are a conserved source of spinal cord with divergent growth dynamics. *Development*, *145*(21). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.166728

Avilion, A. A., Nicolis, S. K., Pevny, L. H., Perez, L., Vivian, N., & Lovell-Badge, R. (2003). Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. *Genes Dev*, *17*(1), 126-140. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.224503

Binagui-Casas, A., Dias, A., Guillot, C., Metzis, V., & Saunders, D. (2021). Building consensus in neuromesodermal research: Current advances and future biomedical perspectives. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, *73*, 133-140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2021.08.003

Cambray, N., & Wilson, V. (2007). Two distinct sources for a population of maturing axial progenitors. *Development*, *134*(15), 2829-2840. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02877

Cooper, F., Souilhol, C., Haston, S., Gray, S., Boswell, K., Gogolou, A., Frith, T. J. R., Stavish, D., James, B. M., Bose, D., Kim Dale, J., & Tsakiridis, A. (2024). Notch signalling influences cell fate decisions and HOX gene induction in axial progenitors. *Development*, *151*(3). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.202098

Corsinotti, A., Wong, F. C., Tatar, T., Szczerbinska, I., Halbritter, F., Colby, D., Gogolok, S., Pantier, R., Liggat, K., Mirfazeli, E. S., Hall-Ponsele, E., Mullin, N. P., Wilson, V., & Chambers, I. (2017). Distinct SoxB1 networks are required for naive and primed pluripotency. *Elife*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27746

Davis, R. L., & Kirschner, M. W. (2000). The fate of cells in the tailbud of Xenopus laevis. *Development*, *127*(2), 255-267. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.2.255

Dewari, P. S., Southgate, B., McCarten, K., Monogarov, G., O'Duibhir, E., Quinn, N., Tyrer, A., Leitner,
M. C., Plumb, C., Kalantzaki, M., Blin, C., Finch, R., Bressan, R. B., Morrison, G., Jacobi, A. M.,
Behlke, M. A., von Kriegsheim, A., Tomlinson, S., Krijgsveld, J., & Pollard, S. M. (2018). An
efficient and scalable pipeline for epitope tagging in mammalian stem cells using Cas9
ribonucleoprotein. *Elife*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.35069
Dias, A., Lozovska, A., Wymeersch, F. J., Novona, A., Binagui-Casas, A., Sobral, D., Martins, G. G.,
Wilson, V., & Mallo, M. (2020). A Tgfbr1/Snai1-dependent developmental module at the
core of vertebrate axial elongation. *Elife*, *9*. https://doi.org/ARTN e56615

10.7554/eLife.56615
Donnelly, M. L. L., Luke, G., Mehrotra, A., Li, X., Hughes, L. E., Gani, D., & Ryan, M. D. (2001). Analysis
of the aphthovirus 2A/2B polyprotein 'cleavage' mechanism indicates not a proteolytic
reaction, but a novel translational effect: a putative ribosomal 'skip'. *J Gen Virol*, *82*(Pt 5),
1013-1025. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-82-5-1013
Edri, S., Hayward, P., Baillie-Johnson, P., Steventon, B. J., & Martinez Arias, A. (2019). An epiblast
stem cell-derived multipotent progenitor population for axial extension. *Development*,
146(10). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.168187
Edri, S., Hayward, P., Jawaid, W., & Arias, A. M. (2019). Neuro-mesodermal progenitors (NMPs): a
comparative study between pluripotent stem cells and embryo-derived populations.
Development, *146*(12). https://doi.org/ARTN dev180190

10.1242/dev.180190
Fehling, H. J., Lacaud, G., Kubo, A., Kennedy, M., Robertson, S., Keller, G., & Kouskoff, V. (2003).
Tracking mesoderm induction and its specification to the hemangioblast during embryonic
stem cell differentiation. *Development*, *130*(17), 4217-4227.
https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00589
Forlani, S., Lawson, K. A., & Deschamps, J. (2003). Acquisition of Hox codes during gastrulation and
axial elongation in the mouse embryo. *Development*, *130*(16), 3807-3819.
https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00573
French, M., Migueles, R. P., Neaverson, A., Chakraborty, A., Pettini, T., Steventon, B., Clark, E., Dale,
J. K., Blin, G., Wilson, V., & Lowell, S. (2025). A toolkit for mapping cell identities in relation to
neighbors reveals conserved patterning of neuromesodermal progenitor populations. *PLoS*
Biol, *23*(7), e3003244. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3003244
French, M., Portero, R., Dale, J. K., Blin, G., Wilson, V., & Lowell, S. (2024). A toolkit for mapping cell
identities in relation to neighbours reveals Notch-dependent heterogeneity within
neuromesodermal progenitor populations. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2009.2003.610492.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.03.610492
Gouti, M., Delile, J., Stamataki, D., Wymeersch, F. J., Huang, Y. L., Kleinjung, J., Wilson, V., & Briscoe,
J. (2017). A Gene Regulatory Network Balances Neural and Mesoderm Specification during
Vertebrate Trunk Development. *Developmental Cell*, *41*(3), 243-+.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.04.002
Gouti, M., Tsakiridis, A., Wymeersch, F. J., Huang, Y. L., Kleinjung, J., Wilson, V., & Briscoe, J. (2014).
In Vitro Generation of Neuromesodermal Progenitors Reveals Distinct Roles for Wnt
Signalling in the Specification of Spinal Cord and Paraxial Mesoderm Identity. *Plos Biology*,
12(8). https://doi.org/ARTN e1001937

10.1371/journal.pbio.1001937
Gruneberg, H. (1958). Genetical studies on the skeleton of the mouse. XXIII. The development of
brachyury and anury. *J Embryol Exp Morphol*, *6*(3), 424-443.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13575656
Guibentif, C., Griffiths, J. A., Imaz-Rosshandler, I., Ghazanfar, S., Nichols, J., Wilson, V., Gottgens, B.,
& Marioni, J. C. (2021). Diverse Routes toward Early Somites in the Mouse Embryo.
Developmental Cell, *56*(1), 141-+. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2020.11.013

Guillot, C., Djeffal, Y., Michaut, A., Rabe, B., & Pourquie, O. (2021). Dynamics of primitive streak
regression controls the fate of neuromesodermal progenitors in the chicken embryo. *Elife*,
10. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64819
Huang, Y., Wilkie, R., & Wilson, V. (2015). Methods for Precisely Localized Transfer of Cells or DNA
into Early Postimplantation Mouse Embryos. *J Vis Exp*(106), e53295.
https://doi.org/10.3791/53295
Kinney, B. A., Al Anber, A., Row, R. H., Tseng, Y. J., Weidmann, M. D., Knaut, H., & Martin, B. L.
(2020). Sox2 and Canonical Wnt Signaling Interact to Activate a Developmental Checkpoint
Coordinating Morphogenesis with Mesoderm Fate Acquisition. *Cell Rep*, *33*(4), 108311.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108311
Kispert, A., Ortner, H., Cooke, J., & Herrmann, B. G. (1995). The chick Brachyury gene: developmental
expression pattern and response to axial induction by localized activin. *Dev Biol*, *168*(2), 406-
415. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1995.1090
Koch, F., Scholze, M., Wittler, L., Schifferl, D., Sudheer, S., Grote, P., Timmermann, B., Macura, K., &
Herrmann, B. G. (2017). Antagonistic Activities of Sox2 and Brachyury Control the Fate
Choice of Neuro-Mesodermal Progenitors. *Dev Cell*, *42*(5), 514-526 e517.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.07.021
Lawson, K. A., & Wilson, V. (2025). Chapter 3 - A revised staging of mouse development before
organogenesis. In G. Morriss-Kay & S. Srinivas (Eds.), *Kaufman's Atlas of Mouse Development
Supplement (Second Edition)* (pp. 51-65). Academic Press.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-23739-3.00009-2
Martin, B. L., & Kimelman, D. (2012). Canonical Wnt signaling dynamically controls multiple stem cell
fate decisions during vertebrate body formation. *Dev Cell*, *22*(1), 223-232.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.11.001
Martins-Costa, C., Wilson, V., & Binagui-Casas, A. (2024). Neuromesodermal specification during
head-to-tail body axis formation. *Curr Top Dev Biol*, *159*, 232-271.
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2024.02.012
Masui, S., Nakatake, Y., Toyooka, Y., Shimosato, D., Yagi, R., Takahashi, K., Okochi, H., Okuda, A.,
Matoba, R., Sharov, A. A., Ko, M. S., & Niwa, H. (2007). Pluripotency governed by Sox2 via
regulation of Oct3/4 expression in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol*, *9*(6), 625-635.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1589
Morabito, R. D., Tatarakis, D., Swick, R., Stettnisch, S., Schilling, T. F., Horsfield, J. A., & Martin, B. L.
(2025). The ratio of Wnt signaling activity to Sox2 transcription factor levels predicts
neuromesodermal fate potential. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.16.633481
Nowotschin, S., Ferrer-Vaquer, A., & Hadjantonakis, A. K. (2010). Imaging mouse development with
confocal time-lapse microscopy. *Methods Enzymol*, *476*, 351-377.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(10)76020-1
Romanos, M., Allio, G., Roussigne, M., Combres, L., Escalas, N., Soula, C., Medevielle, F., Steventon,
B., Trescases, A., & Benazeraf, B. (2021). Cell-to-cell heterogeneity in Sox2 and Bra
expression guides progenitor motility and destiny. *Elife*, *10*.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.66588
Row, R. H., Pegg, A., Kinney, B. A., Farr, G. H., Maves, L., Lowell, S., Wilson, V., & Martin, B. L. (2018).
BMP and FGF signaling interact to pattern mesoderm by controlling basic helix-loop-helix
transcription factor activity. *Elife*, *7*. https://doi.org/ARTN e31018

10.7554/eLife.31018
Stott, D., Kispert, A., & Herrmann, B. G. (1993). Rescue of the tail defect of Brachyury mice. *Genes
Dev*, *7*(2), 197-203. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.7.2.197
Takemoto, T., Uchikawa, M., Yoshida, M., Bell, D. M., Lovell-Badge, R., Papaioannou, V. E., & Kondoh,
H. (2011). Tbx6-dependent Sox2 regulation determines neural or mesodermal fate in axial
stem cells. *Nature*, *470*(7334), 394-398. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09729

Tsakiridis, A., & Wilson, V. (2015). Assessing the bipotency of in vitro-derived neuromesodermal progenitors. *F1000Res*, *4*, 100. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6345.2

Tzouanacou, E., Wegener, A., Wymeersch, F. J., Wilson, V., & Nicolas, J. F. (2009). Redefining the Progression of Lineage Segregations during Mammalian Embryogenesis by Clonal Analysis. *Developmental Cell*, *17*(3), 365-376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.08.002

Whittingham, D. G. (1974). Embryo banks in the future of developmental genetics. *Genetics*, *78*(1), 395-402. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/78.1.395

Wilson, V., & Beddington, R. (1997). Expression of T protein in the primitive streak is necessary and sufficient for posterior mesoderm movement and somite differentiation. *Developmental Biology*, *192*(1), 45-58. https://doi.org/DOI 10.1006/dbio.1997.8701

Wilson, V., Manson, L., Skarnes, W. C., & Beddington, R. S. P. (1995). The T-Gene Is Necessary for Normal Mesodermal Morphogenetic Cell Movements during Gastrulation. *Development*, *121*(3), 877-886. <Go to ISI>://WOS:A1995QN06700023

Wong, F. C. K., Zhang, M., Thomson, E., Schumacher, L. J., Tsakiridis, A., Ashmore, J., Li, T., Blin, G., Karagianni, E., Mullin, N. P., Chambers, I., & Wilson, V. (2025). NANOG is repurposed after implantation to repress Sox2 and begin pluripotency extinction. *EMBO J*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44318-025-00527-9

Wymeersch, F. J., Huang, Y. L., Blin, G., Cambray, N., Wilkie, R., Wong, F. C. K., & Wilson, V. (2016). Position-dependent plasticity of distinct progenitor types in the primitive streak. *Elife*, *5*. https://doi.org/ARTN e10042

10.7554/eLife.10042

Wymeersch, F. J., Wilson, V., & Tsakiridis, A. (2021). Understanding axial progenitor biology in vivo and in vitro. *Development*, *148*(4). https://doi.org/ARTN dev180612

10.1242/dev.180612

Xia, B., Zhang, W., Zhao, G., Zhang, X., Bai, J., Brosh, R., Wudzinska, A., Huang, E., Ashe, H., Ellis, G., Pour, M., Zhao, Y., Coelho, C., Zhu, Y., Miller, A., Dasen, J. S., Maurano, M. T., Kim, S. Y., Boeke, J. D., & Yanai, I. (2024). On the genetic basis of tail-loss evolution in humans and apes. *Nature*, *626*(8001), 1042-1048. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07095-8

Yamaguchi, T. P., Takada, S., Yoshikawa, Y., Wu, N., & McMahon, A. P. (1999). T (Brachyury) is a direct target of Wnt3a during paraxial mesoderm specification. *Genes Dev*, *13*(24), 3185-3190. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.24.3185