Nanotopographic Control of Actin Waves and Growth Cone Navigation in Developing Neurons

Spandan Pathak, Kate M. O'Neill, Emily K. Robinson, Matt J. Hourwitz, Corey Herr, John T. Fourkas, Edward Giniger, Wolfgang Losert

Abstract

The development of axons and dendrites (neurites) in a neural circuit relies on the dynamic interplay of cytoskeletal components, especially actin, and the integration of diverse environmental cues. Building on prior findings that actin dynamics can serve as a primary sensor of physical guidance cues, this work investigates the role of nanotopography in modulating and guiding actin waves and neurite-tip dynamics during early neural circuit development. Although actin dynamics is well known to contribute to pathfinding in wide axonal tips, typically referred to as growth cones, we also observe dynamic actin remodeling throughout neurites and at other, narrower, neurite tips. We find that actin-wave speeds do not change significantly in the first two weeks of neurite development on flat substrates, but decrease over the same period in neurites on nanoridges. The ability of nanoridges to guide actin waves and the neurite-tip direction also decreases as neurites mature, both for narrow tips and wide growth cones. This change in responsiveness to physical guidance cues with neuronal maturation may impact the regenerative capacity of developing neural cells that are inserted into mature brains.

1. Introduction

The development of a functional nervous system is a marvel of Nature, relying on the precise navigation and connection of countless neurons. This intricate process of neural circuit formation relies on the dynamic behavior of developing neurons that extend neurites – projections that will ultimately become axons and dendrites (1, 2). This navigation depends critically on the active and tightly regulated remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton (3, 4). Actin filaments (F-actin), in conjunction with microtubules (5, 6), underlie the extension and steering of axons, as well as the formation of synapses (7).

Actin waves in migrating eukaryotic cells have been studied and characterized extensively. Actin waves are domains of locally high actin density that translocate within the cell. They can, for example, be foci that move within a neurite (8, 9), or broad fronts within a cell body (10, 11), and they are wave-like in that their motion reflects translocation of the density distribution and not of the actin monomers themselves. The motion of actin waves arises from the interaction of actin with its associated network of cytoskeletal regulators that forms a system of biomechanochemical excitability and it is deeply involved in cell migration. In the slime mold Dictyostelium discoideum, actin waves have been shown to be responsible for migration (12) and for texture sensing (13, 14). Other members of the signal-transduction network, particularly the actin-related protein 2/3 (Arp2/3) complex and PIP3, also form complex waves with specific localization patterns within a cell (12-15). Interestingly, actin dynamics tends not to be required for signal-transduction waves

to occur (16-19), yet both types of waves are necessary for important functions such as cell
motility, and demonstrate an intricate interplay of signaling (20).

In developing neurons, actin waves are most commonly observed in association with neurite
extension, where these waves precede and promote axon outgrowth. Although growth-cone-led
axonal development has been studied widely, recent work has shown that cytoskeletal dynamics
may also direct growth for narrow neurite tips (21, 22). It was demonstrated recently, for example,
that in the *Drosophila* wing disc, the tip of the pioneer neuron TSM1 is almost exclusively narrow
and filopodial (23). In this case, genes for proteins such as Abelson tyrosine kinase regulate actin
organization and, therefore, the advance of the axonal tip (23, 24) through actin dynamics that
occurs distant from the leading edge. Neurons also seem to possess mechanisms to regulate
neurite elongation that act in regions other than the growth cones. For example, differential neurite
growth, including the selection of a single axon during initial polarization and the alternating
growth of axon branches, occurs even in the absence of growth-cone motility (25). This
observation suggests that neurons possess mechanisms for local regulation of neurite elongation
in regions other than the growth cones.

The morphology of neurons changes dramatically during development (26). Dendrites begin as
small protrusions from the cell body, and grow into a complex network of branches that ultimately
determines how a neuron receives input (27). Neurons in an earlier stage of development – which
we define as day *in vitro* (DIV) 2 through 5 in our study – are characterized by a simpler
morphology, with primary and secondary dendrites and likely to have a specified axon. Neurons
in the middle developmental stage, DIV 7 through 12 in our study, have more complex dendritic
trees with tertiary dendrites and longer axons. Finally, neurons in a later developmental stage,
DIV 14 through 23 in our study, have intricate dendritic structures, and are likely to be developing
synaptic spines. Given how neurites (axons and dendrites) change significantly during
development, one goal of our study is to ask whether the dynamics of the cytoskeleton also
changes during development.

Further, although the actin waves that traverse the length of the axon are associated with growth
and retraction, conflicting views have emerged regarding the precise roles of these waves. On
the one hand, neurite elongation, which may reach lengths of hundreds of microns, can occur
independently of actin waves (28). Conversely, studies have shown that, during early neuronal
development, actin waves drive fluctuations in neurite outgrowth by controlling microtubule
transport (8). In some cases, competition among neurites, which is mediated by actin waves, is
proposed to allow the neuron to explore its environment until external cues specify the axon (29).
These findings suggest that actin waves act more as passive responders to stochastic internal
forces rather than as active drivers of axon extension and growth-cone formation.

Classic studies of axon patterning, such as those described above, have largely focused on what
happens inside the axon and growth cone. However, the physical properties of the
microenvironment, such as substrate stiffness (30) and topography, also can exert a profound
influence on neuronal growth behavior in different stages of development (31, 32). In early stages
(4 to 20 hours post plating), specific topographic cues can direct neuronal polarization by
attracting key markers, resulting in preferential axon growth along micropatterned features (33).
With further development (4 to 5 days post plating), growth cones on such substrates became

more elongated and aligned with respect to the neurite shaft (34). Moreover, substrate stiffness
is not only relevant early in development, but also later in the mature brain (35). Studies indicate
that region-specific changes to stiffness occur with age, and particularly that the aging brain
decreases in stiffness (36, 37). In neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's (38, 39) or
Lewy-body dementia (40), brain stiffness is decreased even further in areas relevant to those
diseases. These findings highlight the importance of considering the three-dimensional
complexity of the *in vivo* environment when studying the role of actin dynamics in axonal
guidance, growth-cone navigation, and longer-term neural circuit function.

The current work investigates the role of nanoridges in modulating actin waves in neurites and
growth cones during neuronal development. The guidance of neurites by nanoridges has been
examined previously (41), and our goal is to characterize the behavior of actin waves under this
well-studied mechanical cue (42) and under control conditions. Although nanoridges are a
simplified model for variable physical cues, the ridges may mimic pre-existing nerve structures
along which follower axons grow, and that are mechanically distinct from the surrounding
epithelium. Prior work suggests that axon-guidance mechanisms differ between follower and
pioneer axons (43), lending physiological relevance to our experimental setting. The nanoridges
we used, had a 3.2 μm spacing, allowing us to compare with our previous work on other cell
types (44-48). We hypothesized that this spacing would enable the guidance of neurites (49, 50).
Although the nanoridges have a stiffness comparable to that of physiological substrates such as
collagen fibers, the ridges are rigidly fixed to the underlying surface, and do not deform. This
distinction highlights the fact that nanotopographical cues mimic some, but not all, of the
mechanical aspects of the *in vivo* environment. We examine the speed and the guidance of actin
waves, neurites, and growth cones by this nanotopography. Because actin waves have been
identified as primary sensors of the physical microenvironment, including electric fields (51) and
texture (46, 52), our work also sheds light on whether neuronal development also alters the ability
of cells to sense their physical environment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Primary neuronal dissections and cell culture

Primary neurons were obtained from Sprague–Dawley rats housed at the University of Maryland
(with approval by the University of Maryland Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee;
protocols R-JAN-18-05 and R-FEB-21-04). At embryonic day of gestation 18 (E18), primary
cortical neurons were obtained via dissection, and were cultured as previously described (53).
We did not distinguish between cortices from male and female embryos. Briefly, hippocampi and
cortices were dissected from decapitated embryos. Cortices from all embryos were combined and
triturated manually, and then were counted using a hemocytometer. A monolayer of cortical
neurons was plated in 35-mm-diameter imaging dishes with glass centers (P35G-1.5-20-C,
MATTEK) at a density of 5×10^5 cells per dish (520 cells/mm^2). Prior to the plating, the imaging
dishes were coated with 0.1 mg/mL poly-D-lysine (PDL; P0899, MilliporeSigma) for at least 1 h at
37 °C and then were washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; SH30256.01,
Cytiva). Monolayers were also plated on acrylic nanoridges, which received the following
treatments prior to neuronal plating: soaking in ethanol (24 h), followed by soaking in medium

(24 h), followed by PDL coating (24 h), and finally 10 μg/ml laminin coating (24 h; L2020,
MilliporeSigma), all at 37 °C. Cultures were maintained in NbActiv4 medium (Nb4-500, BrainBits)
supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S; 15070063, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C
in 5% CO_2. Half of the medium was changed twice per week. Note that we did not optimize the
nanoridges for longer term neuronal survival (DIV14+), which is why our data for cells on
nanoridges are only reported at the early and middle developmental stages.

2.2 Transduction with Actin-GFP

For visualization of actin dynamics within primary cortical neurons, we used CellLight Actin-GFP,
BacMam 2.0 (C10506, ThermoFisher Scientific). This viral vector has low toxicity and labels cells
sparsely. Given that neurons have complex morphological structures even in early stages of
development, the latter feature is particularly helpful for analysis of single-cell actin dynamics. At
48 to 72 h prior to imaging, 5 to 10 μL of CellLight was mixed with the cell-culture medium (1 to 2
particles per cell). The medium was fully changed approximately 20 h after transduction, and
imaging was performed 24 to 48 h later.

We chose to use actin-GFP transduction via a baculovirus. The low toxicity of the baculovirus
promotes healthier primary cultures, and the low efficiency of transduction of this vector ensured
that we could analyze actin dynamics of individual neurons. However, using transduction of actin-
GFP rather than a fluorescent actin dye introduced certain limitations to our study. In particular,
our nanotopopgrahic surfaces, although optimized for primary cell survival, are not ideal for
longer-term (DIV 10+) neuronal survival. Our preliminary studies of transduced, late stage
neurons on surfaces did not yield healthy cultures. Therefore, we chose to limit our studies of
neurons on ridges to the early and middle developmental stages, to ensure the integrity of, and
consistency within, our results. The combined challenge of low transduction efficiency and primary
culture health on non-standard surfaces is also the reason that the number of replicates for
experiments on nanotopographic surfaces is lower than on control surfaces.

2.3 Confocal imaging

Live-cell imaging of primary cortical actin dynamics was performed at the University of Maryland
Imaging Core using a PerkinElmer spinning-disk confocal microscope. For all experiments, we
used an oil-immersion, 40× objective (1.30 NA; 0.36 μm/pixel), and maintained live cultures under
temperature, humidity, and CO_2 control. We recorded 16-bit images using a Hamamatsu ImagEM
X2 EM-CCD camera (C9100-23B) and acquired time-lapse images with PerkinElmer's Volocity
software (v. 6.4.0). We identified transduced neurons via a positive signal in the 488-nm excitation
channel. We performed imaging in growth medium and acquired images every 2 s in the 488-nm
channel for 5 to 10 min. We ensured a consistent z-plane with Perfect Focus (Nikon PFS).
160

2.4 Preparation of nanoridges

Nanoridged substrates for the neuronal experiments featured the same master pattern as in a
recent study that focused on the photomodification and reshaping of nanotopographic structures
(54). Briefly, a large-scale pattern with 750-nm high, 400-nm wide nanoridges at a 3.2-μm pitch
(54), initially fabricated on a silicon wafer using interference lithography, was replicated using soft

lithographic techniques, as described in more detail elsewhere (55), to produce a
polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) mold with negative relief of the master pattern. Molding of the
patterned silicon wafer was facilitated by exposure to plasma treatment in an oxygen atmosphere
and subsequent surface functionalization of the wafer with (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl)
methyldichlorosilane (Gelest, CAS #: 73609-36-6) under vacuum inside a desiccator. These steps
reduce the surface energy of the wafer to prevent adhesion with the PDMS during the molding
process. A hard PDMS layer was spin-coated onto the pattern and Sylgard 184 (Dow) comprised
the remainder of the mold, based on previous work. (55-57). The mold was peeled from the master
after several baking steps. The hard-PDMS was allowed to cure at room temperature for 2 h
before baking at 60 °C for 1 h. After the Sylgard 184 elastomer base and curing agent were mixed
(10:1 ratio) and poured atop the hard-PDMS layer, the composite was baked an additional 70 min
at 60 °C. At this point, the mold was peeled from the master. Replicas of the original pattern were
then produced using nanoimprint lithography. A photocurable resin was prepared using a 1:1 w/w
mixture of ethoxylated(6)trimethylolpropane triacrylate (Sartomer, CAS #: 28961-43-5) and tris (2-
hydroxy ethyl) isocyanurate triacrylate (Sartomer, CAS #: 40220-08-04), and 3 wt% of the
photoinitiator Irgacure TPO-L (BASF, CAS #: 84434-11-7). Glass coverslips featuring pendant
acrylate groups were produced by plasma treatment under oxygen for 3 min followed by stirring
in a 2% solution of (3-acryloxypropyl) trimethoxysilane (Gelest, CAS #: 4369-14-6) for at least 12
h. A drop of the resin was sandwiched between the PDMS mold and an acrylated coverslip, and
then was exposed to UV light for 5 min to transfer the pattern to the photoresist. The patterned
coverslip was then detached from the mold.
187

188 2.5 Image Analysis

189 Determination of actin-flow vectors using optical flow:

In this paper, "actin activity" refers to dynamic actin-polymerization events, which are visible as
transient increases in actin fluorescence. These intensity changes propagate in space and time,
and are tracked as distinct movement trajectories to quantify single-cell actin dynamics. To
prepare the videos for analysis, image-registration and intensity-adjustment functions from the
`scikit-image` module (Python) were used to correct for stage drift (jitter) and photobleaching,
respectively. To reduce random background noise, processed videos were smoothed temporally
using a Gaussian-like filter applied across five successive frames (58). The smoothing timescale
was considerably shorter than the timescale of detectable actin-wave propagation, ensuring
preservation of relevant dynamics. Subsequently, optical flow was performed on the smoothed
image frames using the Lucas-Kanade method (59). A Gaussian weighting matrix (σ = 2 pixels =
0.72 µm) was applied around each pixel location to extract local flow vectors. A reliability threshold
of 0.1 was used to remove noisy flow vectors. We verified that varying the size and shape of the
Gaussian filter, as well as making modest changes in the reliability threshold, did not significantly
affect motion capture or downstream analyses.

204 Neuronal masking:

`Scikit-image` (Python) functions were used for the generation and refinement of binary masks.
Mean frames were generated, and low-contrast frames were processed using contrast-limited
adaptive histogram equalization. A white top-hat filter (with a disk-shaped structuring element of
radius = 15 pixels = 5.4 µm) was applied to highlight darker neuronal processes. Adaptive local
thresholding (the Niblack method (60)) yielded an initial binary mask, which was refined by

multiple iterative morphological opening steps, retaining the *n* largest connected components after
manual review and background-noise exclusion. Subsequently, manual selection of a region
corresponding to the cell body was employed due to the inherent lack of consistent alignment of
the cell bodies. This approach enabled the isolation of neuronal processes from the larger cell
mask, and ensured that subsequent analyses focused on the dynamic behavior of actin within the
neurites. Neuronal process orientation was determined using a rotating Laplacian-of-Gaussian
filter on the mean image-frame (61).

Clustering of optical-flow vectors:

Optical flow vectors were spatially clustered by quantifying their local alignment with neighboring
vectors. For each pixel, we computed the dot product between its flow vector and the average
flow vector within an 11 × 11 Gaussian-weighted neighborhood (σ = 5 pixels ≈ 1.8 μm). This
enhanced locally coherent motion patterns by reinforcing flow directions that were aligned with
their surroundings. (62). Cluster peaks were identified with the `trackpy` (Python) module using
an approximate detection diameter of 5 pixels = 1.81 μm and a minimum separation of 15 pixels
= 5.4 μm between two neighboring peaks. Detected particle positions for each frame were stored,
and were subsequently linked across frames to form trajectories with a search range of 5 pixels
= 1.8 μm between successive frames and a memory of one frame (2 s), enabling cluster-track
analysis. To emphasize longer-scale actin flows, only tracks persisting for at least eight frames
(14 s) were analyzed. We computed and stored both per-frame and per-track metrics. Per-frame
metrics included the instantaneous displacement vector, the movement angle, and the
instantaneous speed (magnitude of displacement per frame). Per-track scalar metrics included
the total track length (the sum of frame-to-frame displacements), the duration (the number of
frames), the net displacement (the straight-line distance between the starting and ending points),
the average speed (the total track length divided by the duration), the net velocity (the net
displacement divided by the duration, reflecting directional persistence), and the sinuosity (the
ratio of the total track length to the net displacement). A summary of how these metrics vary with
developmental stage and substrate type is presented in Suppl. Fig. 1. In all our downstream
analysis, we weighted the histograms of the actin-track metrics by the track duration, so that
longer-lasting events contributed more to the distributions. To exclude static clusters, only tracks
with an average instantaneous speed greater than 0.5 pixels/frame = 5.4 μm/min were retained.

Recurrence:

To quantify how consistently new tracks emerged in similar spatial locations over time, we
computed the mean spatial recurrence of track start positions across successive time windows.
A track's start position was defined as its earliest detected (*x,y*) coordinate. The full time-lapse
was divided into non-overlapping windows of a fixed frame duration and within each window, the
spatial coordinates of newly appearing tracks were extracted. For each pair of adjacent windows
(win1, win2), we identified recurrent tracks as those in win2 whose start positions were within a
fixed spatial radius of any start position in win1. The recurrence fraction was computed as the
number of recurrent tracks in win2 divided by the total number of new tracks in win2.

To ensure statistical robustness, windows with fewer than a prespecified minimum number of
tracks (e.g., 5) were excluded. For each condition, the mean recurrence was calculated by
averaging the recurrence fractions across all valid window pairs. The standard error of the mean
(SEM) was computed as the standard deviation of these fractions divided by the square root of
the number of valid pairs and is reported as error bars in figures.

Growth-cone orientation:

Growth-cone boundaries were identified manually and were extracted using ImageJ. The alignment of the central shaft was then determined by identifying its major axis.

Wasserstein distance:

The Wasserstein distance (W_1) was used to quantify the dissimilarity between two probability distribution functions (PDFs) (63). This metric represents the area between the corresponding cumulative distribution functions (CDFs). Mathematically, for two probability measures μ and v on the real line with CDFs F and G, respectively, the Wasserstein distance (W_1) is defined as $W_1(\mu, v) = \int |F(x) - G(x)|\, dx$. The Wasserstein distance is a useful tool for quantifying modest changes in broad distributions.

Pooling of DIV (days *in vitro* after plating) videos and statistical testing:

For dynamics analysis, on flat surfaces we categorized videos from DIV 2 to 5 as 'early' (N = 17), from DIV 7 to 12 as 'middle' (N = 21), and from DIV 14 to 23 as 'late' (N = 17) developmental stages. On nanoridges, DIV 2 to 4 were grouped as 'early-stage' (N = 9), and DIV 7 to 8 as 'middle-stage' (N = 6).

To compare multiple independent test groups, we first performed the Shapiro-Wilk test to assess normality (64). If the data met the assumption of normality, we conducted a one-way ANOVA to test for overall group differences, followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test to determine which group means differed significantly (65). For data that did not meet normality assumptions, we used the Kruskal-Wallis test instead (66), followed by Dunn's *post hoc* test for pairwise comparisons (67). For comparisons between two groups, we used the *t*-test (68) when both groups passed the normality test (64), and the Mann-Whitney U test when either or both groups did not pass the normality test (69).

3. Results

In this work, we used primary embryonic cortical neurons transduced with actin-GFP to study how the dynamics of actin change during development and when neurites interact with nanotopographic surfaces. In particular, we sought to examine actin dynamics on a timescale of seconds. Because it is known that the dendritic arbor of neurons changes significantly during development (26), we observed primary neurons between DIV 2 and 23 to determine whether actin dynamics changes as significantly as the dendritic arbor does during development. For neurons cultured on PDL-coated glass (control), we grouped our findings into early (DIV 2-5), middle (DIV 7-12), and late (DIV 14-23) stages. For neurons cultured on nanotopographic surfaces with 3.2 μm ridges, we grouped our findings into early (DIV 2-4) and middle (DIV 7-8) stages.

Actin dynamics is persistent throughout different stages of development on both flat and nanoridged surfaces

Figure 1. Actin waves in primary rat cortical neurons in different DIV stages on flat and nanoridged surfaces. (a) Mean image of a representative DIV 7 neuron cultured on a flat surface. The green box indicates a region of interest, which is shown in a magnified version in (b). (b) The trajectory of an actin wave (arrows) moving along a neuronal process. Actin tracks overlaid from representative videos, color-coded by individual track, for each developmental stage on (c) flat surfaces and (d) 3.2-μm-spaced nanoridges. On flat surfaces, the imaging durations were 5 min, 4 min 10 s and 8 min, respectively, for the representative videos from early, middle and late developmental stages. On nanoridges, the imaging durations were 5 min, 5 min, 10 min and 10 min, respectively, for the representative videos from early, early, middle and middle developmental stages. The orange lines indicate the nanoridge alignment.

To understand how actin-based motility varies during neuronal development and in response to substrate nanotopography, we first visualized actin activity under multiple conditions. Specifically, we sought to determine whether actin dynamics remains localized and transient, or shifts toward more directed, long-range behavior as neurons mature or encounter structural guidance cues. Figure 1 illustrates the cluster-tracking workflow's ability to extract actin-wave dynamics from live-cell imaging videos for a range of developmental stages and substrate types. A representative mean-image from a neuron cultured on a flat surface (Fig. 1a-b) highlights an actin-flow event, which appears as a moving bright spot (arrows). The corresponding cluster tracks extracted from this video reveal localized movements with no apparent long-range flow or strong directional bias.

These spatially confined actin events are observed consistently across developmental stages on flat substrates (Fig. 1c), and in early- and mid-stage neurons on nanoridged substrates (Fig. 1d), suggesting that the fundamental nature of actin activity remains transient (Suppl. Fig. 2) and spatially restricted (Suppl. Fig. 3) despite changes in growth conditions. Interestingly, in some cases, the cluster tracks appear to be aligned visually with underlying neuronal extensions, suggesting, qualitatively, that local cytoskeletal architecture influences the orientation of actin flow. Overall, the persistence of these short-range, dynamic actin events at different developmental stages and on different topographies (Suppl. Mov. 1-2) highlights the robust and adaptable nature of actin polymerization during neuronal growth and remodeling.

Localized actin activity is less recurrent and less frequent on nanoridged substrates

Building on our observation that actin-polymerization events are brief and spatially localized at different developmental stages and on different substrates (Fig. 1), we next examined whether these events tend to recur in the same locations. Recurrence of activity in specific regions reflects spatial memory in the cytoskeleton, and suggests that certain sites undergo repeated remodeling. This spatial persistence is relevant for reinforcing cellular structures, guiding polarity, and directing neurite outgrowth. To test whether these properties are influenced by the cellular environment, we compared the recurrence and frequency of actin activity on flat and nanoridged substrates.

Figure 2. Actin-track dynamics and recurrence depend on the microenvironment. (a) Representative image frame with optical-flow vectors for a DIV2 neuron cultured on a flat surface. (b) Actin tracks observed over a 5-min interval from the same video as in (a). The circle illustrates the 10 μm search radius used to compute mean recurrence values shown in panels (d) and (e). (c-d) Mean recurrence quantifies the fraction of new actin tracks originating within a circular region during each time window. Mean recurrence as a function of (c) radius (with a 1-min time window) and (d) time window (at a fixed search radius of 10 μm) for two representative early-stage videos on flat and nanoridged substrates. The error bars represent SEM around the mean. (e) The mean recurrence for early (N = 17), middle (N = 21), and late (N = 17) videos, respectively, on a flat substrate and for early (N = 9) and middle (N = 6) videos, respectively, on nanoridged substrates. Search radius = 10 μm and time-window = 1-min (f) Tracks/min plotted as a function of the neuronal mask area (excluding the cell body) with linear fits (through origin) for flat (N = 55) and nanoridged substrates (N = 15). (g) Normalized track counts at different developmental stages. For (e)-(g), each scatter point corresponds to a unique video. Statistical tests were performed on pooled data. Significance is reported as p-value for 0.1 > p > 0.05, * for $p \leq 0.05$, ** for $p \leq 0.01$, *** for $p \leq 0.001$, and **** for $p \leq 0.0001$.

Figure 2 quantifies the recurrence and spatial distribution of localized actin tracks within neuronal processes. We first extracted representative optical-flow vectors from a single frame (Fig. 2a), and the clustered these vectors in space and time to generate robust actin tracks (Fig. 2b). We define mean recurrence as the fraction of actin tracks originating in the same spatial region in successive time windows, providing a measure of how consistently actin activity reappears in specific locations over time. Figures 2c and 2d explore how the mean recurrence depends on the spatial search radius and the temporal window size, respectively. We found that cells cultured on nanoridges consistently exhibited lower recurrence values compared to those on flat substrates (Fig. 2e), suggesting that substrate nanotopography disrupts the spatial persistence of actin waves. This trend was statistically significant at both the early (p = 0.00222) and the middle (p =

2.7 × 10^{-5}) developmental stages, as assessed by Mann–Whitney U tests. Developmental stage
alone, in contrast, did not significantly affect recurrence within the same substrate type (flat: p =
0.70; nanoridges: p = 0.271).

We next quantified the overall frequency of actin waves by measuring the number of tracks per
minute and normalizing by the neuronal mask area. We observed a linear relationship between
the total number of actin tracks per minute and the area of the neuronal mask for all conditions
tested (Fig. 2f). This relationship is expected, as larger neurons have a broader cytoskeletal
landscape, increasing the likelihood of detecting dynamic actin events. The linearity suggests that
actin activity scales proportionally with cell area size, rather than being concentrated in a specific
sub-region or regulated independently of cell area. Notably, the dependence of the frequency of
actin waves on cell area was weaker in cells on nanoridges (slope = 0.005) compared to those
on flat substrates (slope = 0.013). This trend is further supported by normalized track counts (Fig.
2g), which were significantly lower on nanoridges at both the early (p = 6.7 × 10^{-5}) and middle (p
= 1.1 × 10^{-5}) stages. In contrast, no significant differences (flat: p = 0.67; nanoridges: p = 0.088),
were observed across developmental stages for either substrate, suggesting that topographical
surface exerts a stronger influence on actin dynamics than age.

Taken together, these results demonstrate that neurons not only initiate actin waves less
frequently on nanoridges than on flat surfaces, but also exhibit reduced spatial recurrence of actin
activity. These findings highlight the influence of physical nanotopography on the dynamics and
spatial memory of cytoskeletal remodeling.

Actin-wave-speeds depend on developmental stage and substrate environment

Next, we examined whether substrate topography also affects the speed at which these actin
waves propagate. Actin-wave speed provides insight into how quickly polymerization-driven
movements propagate through the cell, and reflects the timescales of cytoskeletal reorganization,
mechanical resistance, and traveling signaling cues. Figure 3 illustrates how actin-wave speed
varies with developmental stage and substrate. A representative time-lapse image from a DIV8
neuron on nanoridges (Fig. 3a) highlights a dynamic growth cone in which transient increases in
fluorescence intensity mark actin activity over a 10-min period. Figure 3b shows alternating
retraction and extension of this growth cone over time. This pulsatile behavior is typical of actin
waves involved in guided protrusions *in vivo*. (8, 9, 23, 24).

To generalize these observations, we measured instantaneous actin-wave speeds under different
conditions. On flat substrates (Fig. 3c), the speed distributions were similar for the early, middle,
and late developmental stages, with an average of approximately 21 µm/min. However, on
nanoridged substrates (Fig. 3d), a ~10% decrease in wave speed was observed when going from
the early to the middle developmental stage. We used the Wasserstein distance (W_1) to compare
the distribution of speeds between stages and conditions. We found that the distance between
early and middle stages on nanoridges was greater than that observed for any developmental
comparison on flat surfaces. These findings suggest that neuronal maturation slows actin-wave
propagation on nanoridged surfaces, whereas wave speed remains relatively constant on flat
substrates. This observation implies that the mechanical or structural constraints imposed by
nanotopography interact with developmental changes in the cytoskeleton, modulating how rapidly
actin-based protrusions can extend. Such modulation can affect how neurons explore their
environment and can stabilize emerging processes during growth.

Figure 3. Actin-wave speed as a function of developmental stage and substrate identity. (a) A representative actin time-lapse image of a DIV8 neuron on a nanoridged surface. The inset shows a highly active growth cone over a 10-min period. The orange line indicates the nanoridge alignment. (b) The growth-cone trajectory from the same time-lapse image, showing alternating retraction and forward extension along the ridge direction. We applied the AI-based model ZS-DeconvNet (70) to denoise individual frames, exclusively for visualization purposes. The arrows show the locations of the leading edge of the growth cone. A fixed spatial reference point (asterisk) is shown in all three panels to aid visual comparison of the axon tip's position over time. (c) CDF of the average wave speed for neurons cultured on flat surfaces for the three different developmental stages (N = 17, 21, 17 for the early, middle and late stages, respectively). (d) CDF of the average wave speed for neurons cultured on nanoridged surfaces for the early (N = 9) and middle (N = 6) developmental stages. In (c) and (d), the insets show the corresponding probability distribution function (PDF) near the peak. Number of bins = 20. The arrows in (d) point to the corresponding PDFs' median values. The error bars represent the interquartile range of the CDF at each bin for all videos for a given condition, and the markers indicate the corresponding median values. For each pair of conditions, we calculated W_1 between the median CDFs, as well as the maximum and minimum distances among all combinations of 25th and 75th percentile CDFs. These values are reported in the format: median (minimum to maximum). On flat surfaces, the W_1 values were 0.53 (0.43 to 2.56) for early vs. middle, 0.54 (0.61 to 2.84) for middle vs. late, and 0.82 (0.66 to 2.91) for early vs. late stages. On nanoridges, the W_1 value between early and middle-stage neurons was 1.59 (0.76 to 3.36).

Cellular processes align actin waves more effectively than do nanoridges

Wave speed characterizes how rapidly actin structures move, but does not convey whether the
waves propagate in a consistent direction or respond to local structural cues. To understand how
actin dynamics is organized spatially, we next examined how actin tracks align with extracellular
topography and with internal neuronal architecture. This analysis helps address whether external
topography or internal cellular architecture plays a greater role in steering actin waves during
neuronal development.

Figure 4. The influence of nanotopography and developmental stage on actin-track alignment. (a, c)
Actin-cluster tracks accumulated for 10 min for two DIV2 and DIV 8 neurons respectively on nanoridges.
The orange line indicates the nanoridge alignment. (b) Zoomed-in view of selected regions with actin tracks
from (a). (d) Four representative actin tracks from (c) with frequent back-and-forth motion shown within
fixed 4×4 µm windows. The data points are color-coded by time progression within each video (purple to
yellow). (e) Alignment of actin tracks with underlying nanoridges in the same early (DIV 2) and mid-stage
(DIV 8) videos, as shown in panels (a) and (c). The dashed line represents a uniform distribution of track
alignments for the same bin size. There are 20 bins. (f, g) Fraction of track segments aligned at various
angles with respect to neuronal processes on flat and nanoridged surfaces, at the (f) early and (g) middle
developmental stages. (h) Relative alignment of track segments on nanoridges with respect to neuronal
processes and the nanoridge for the early and middle developmental stages. The error bars represent the
interquartile range of the PDF (or CDF in insets) at each bin across all videos for each condition, and the
markers indicate the corresponding median values. From (f-h), the insets show the corresponding CDF. For
each pair of conditions, we calculated W_1 between the median CDFs and the maximum and minimum
distances among all combinations of 25th and 75th percentile CDFs. These values are reported in the
format: median (minimum to maximum). For early (f) and middle (g) stage neurons, the W_1 value between
flat and ridge surface movies were 3.91 (1.42 to 7.07) and 1.39 (1.34 to 7.03) respectively. On nanoridges,

for early and middle stage neurons, W_1 value between ridge and process guidance CDFs were 6.80 (3.0
to 13.5) and 12.07 (6.12 to 20.45) respectively. For process and ridge guidance comparisons, in early vs
middle stage movies, the W_1 values were 2.12 (1.16 to 5.96) and 7.23 (1.64 to 14.83), respectively.

Figures 4a and 4c show 10 min of accumulated actin tracks for representative early- and middle-
stage neurons cultured on nanoridges. Many of the tracks appear by eye to be aligned with the
nanoridge direction. This visual impression is reinforced by zoomed-in regions (Fig. 4b), which
reveal that both the actin tracks and neuronal processes are generally aligned with the
nanoridges. To assess whether all actin waves follow a clear path, Fig. 4d highlights four
representative middle-stage tracks that display prominent back-and-forth motion. These
examples emphasize that many tracks are confined and lack a persistent direction, reinforcing
the need to disentangle the roles of internal and external guidance cues. Figure 4e quantifies the
alignment of actin tracks with nanoridges in the same representative early- and middle-stage
videos shown in Figures 4a and 4c. Although most tracks follow the ridge direction, alignment is
reduced in the middle-stage example.

We next explore the alignment of actin tracks with respect to the direction of the neural process
in which they reside. At both the early (Fig. 4f) and middle (Fig. 4g) developmental stages, actin
tracks remain strongly aligned with their respective processes, regardless of whether the neurons
are cultured on flat or nanoridged substrates. A comparison of early and middle developmental
stages on nanoridges (Fig. 4h) shows a clear reduction in track alignment with the nanoridges
over time, while alignment with processes remains relatively stable. The inset in Fig. 4f further
shows that track alignment with neuronal processes consistently exceeds alignment with
nanoridges, suggesting that dynamic intracellular cues, such as membrane tension or cytoskeletal
reorganization, may play a more dominant role in steering actin dynamics over time than does
static external topography.

It is important to note that at early stages of development, the neuronal processes themselves
are closely aligned with the nanoridges. This initial bias could give the impression that nanoridges
directly guide actin dynamics, when in fact the processes may serve as the intermediate conduit.
As development progresses, and the processes start diverging from the ridge direction, the
decreasing alignment between actin tracks and nanoridges becomes more apparent. This
observation raises a fundamental question: Is actin guidance influenced by the internal
architecture of the cell versus the external physical environment, or does the physical environment
guide the intracellular cues, and thus the actin dynamics of growing neurite tips, in a self-evolving
feedback loop?

Impact of nanoridges on growth-cone alignment

To explore the contributions of internal vs. external physical cues to cytoskeletal guidance, we
next consider the structural features of developing growth cones. Specifically, we analyzed how
the growth-cone alignment is related to nanoridge orientation over the course of development.
Figures 5a and 5b show representative examples of growth cones that are aligned either parallel
(at DIV 2) or nearly perpendicular (at DIV 8) to the nanoridges. The growth cone in Fig. 5b, marked
by the green box, is especially notable for originating from a neighboring neuron located outside

the field of view, and extending along a neurite that crosses multiple nanoridges. Thus, by later
stages of development, neurites are capable of growing across the ridges, potentially enabling
more diverse growth-cone orientations. In Figure 5c, we show the growth-cone alignment as a
function of growth-cone width, revealing a developmental shift. At early time points, growth cones
display a strong preference for alignment along the nanoridges. This preference decreases as
development progresses.
495

496
Figure 5. Growth-cone orientations at different developmental stages for neurons on nanoridges.
Representative growth cones oriented (a) parallel to (DIV 2) and (b) perpendicular to (DIV 8) the
nanoridges. The nanoridge directions are indicated by orange lines, and the growth-cone orientations by
arrows. (c) The distribution of growth-cone alignment angles with respect to the nanoridge as a function of
the growth-cone width. Darker to brighter colors indicate neuronal development stages from DIV 2 to DIV
8. (d) The average number of growth cones per neuron as a function of the alignment angle with respect to
the nanoridges for early- and middle-stage neurons. Growth cones at angles between 0° and 15° are
considered to be parallel, whereas growth cones at angles between 75° and 90° are considered to be
perpendicular. (e) The relationship between the cross-sectional area and width of the growth cones, color-
coded for developmental stage. Videos with at least one growth cone were considered for analysis. $N = 7$,

4, 1, 4, 3 for DIV 2, 3, 4, 7, and 8, respectively. Due to low N, we did not run statistical tests on the average
number of growth cones across DIV stages and alignment angles.

We also observe that growth cones rarely adopt intermediate orientations around 45°, instead
favoring directions that are either approximately parallel to or nearly perpendicular to the
nanoridges (Fig. 5d). Although the average number of growth cones aligned parallel to the ridges
remains relatively consistent over time, there is a substantial increase in the number of
perpendicularly aligned growth cones during later stages.

This bimodal distribution suggests a shift in the balance of guidance mechanisms over time. Early
in development, growth cones appear to align closely with the nanoridges, indicating that
substrate nanotopography serves as a primary external cue. As neurons mature and more
neurites populate the surface, spanning across multiple ridges, the physical landscape becomes
increasingly complex. In this setting, new growth cones may interact not just with the substrate,
but also with these pre-existing neurites, which create internal mechanical and geometric
constraints.

Interestingly, we also observed perpendicular growth in areas lacking visible pre-existing neurites,
implying that additional factors, such as developmental changes in adhesion or cytoskeletal
dynamic, may be at play. Similar dual-mode guidance patterns have been reported previously
(47, 71, 72). These shifts likely lead to a transition from continuous to more discrete preferred
growth orientations, contributing to the observed bimodality. One possible mechanism is that new
growth cones follow earlier neurites that have already crossed the ridges. These existing neurites
may exert mechanical tension while straightening during development, promoting a greater
amount of perpendicular orientation. Alternatively, geometric constraints, such as filopodia
reaching the base of adjacent ridges, may pull the growth cone downward, reinforcing
perpendicular growth.

Finally, Figure 5e shows that although growth-cone cross-sectional area is positively correlated
with width, neither area nor width changes significantly with developmental stage. This
observation indicates that size alone does not determine alignment or guidance behavior. Instead,
it is the evolving balance between external substrate features and internal structural constraints
that shapes how growth cones navigate their surroundings over time.

538 Discussion

We have characterized actin dynamics in primary rat cortical neurons at developmental stages
ranging from DIV 2 (early) to DIV 23 (late), and we have quantified these dynamics using an
optical-flow based technique. Because primary cells have a finite lifetime *in vitro*, we focused on
time points at which our primary cultures were healthy (assessed twice weekly during media
changes) and used for experiments when successful transduction was possible. In particular,
because our nanotopographic surfaces were not optimized for longer-term (DIV 10+) neuron
survival, we only studied neurons on ridges during the early and middle developmental stages.

An additional consideration for the interpretation of our findings is the presence of glial cells in
primary neuronal cultures. Recent studies have shown that glial cells can affect neurite
guidance (73), and likely also actin dynamics. We did not control for the chemical or growth factors
released by either neurons or glial cells, both of which can affect neurite guidance (74). Moreover,

the presence of glial cells modulates the physical environment (75), which may affect neurite
guidance and actin dynamics. Future work will dissect the complex interplay between the
mechanical and chemical cues that drive actin dynamics and the consequences of eliminating
glial cells from the *in vitro* environment.

Because actin dynamics can serve as a primary sensor of physical signals (46, 52, 53), we
assessed how a well-established physical guidance cue, nanoridges, affects actin dynamics and
neurite tips. Most previous studies (8, 23, 24, 76-78) used imaging frame rates on timescales of
minutes, which is too slow to capture the actin-polymerization waves that act as sensors of the
physical environment. By imaging the dynamics of actin at a rate of 0.5 frames/s, we were able
to analyze how polymerization and depolymerization waves in primary neurons contribute to
growth-cone development, neuronal maturation, and sensing of the physical microenvironment.

We demonstrated that, on flat surfaces, the actin-wave speeds remain constant throughout
neuronal development. In contrast, on nanoridges, actin-waves are suppressed, and the wave
speed decreases slightly with increasing neuronal maturity. These findings suggest that actin is
indeed functioning as a sensor of the environment, as we found in our previous work on astrocytes
(53): when the environment is not as physiologically relevant (flat, PDL-coated glass), actin wave
speed does not change as neurons mature, but when the environment is more realistic
(nanoridged substrate), the actin-wave speed decreases with neuronal maturity. It is possible that
the differential effects on speed observed on flat vs. nanoridged substrates are related to the more
physiologically-relevant environment of the nanoridged substrates. Moreover, neurite orientation
appears to be the primary guidance cue for the direction of actin-wave propagation throughout
development. As neurons mature, the guidance of actin by nanoridges is reduced, and both
neurite-tip and growth-cone guidance by nanoridges diminish, with an increase in motion
perpendicular to the nanoridges for actin waves, neurite tips, and growth cones.

It would be interesting in future work to explore, via pharmacological experiments, whether
branched or linear actin contributes more to the guidance we observe. Previous studies have
generally indicated that branched actin is responsible for swellings in dendrites, whereas linear
actin is responsible for filopodia extension (79). A delicate balance of both branched and linear
actin is necessary for axonal patterning (80, 81). Indeed, a recent preprint studying contact
guidance in epithelial cells suggests that formins (regulators of linear actin) increase contact
guidance, whereas Arp2/3 (a regulator of branched actin) decreases contact guidance (82). In our
system, it is possible that in younger neurons there is more formin activity (and therefore more
guidance by nanoridges) and that in older neurons there is more Arp2/3 activity (and therefore
less guidance by nanoridges). Possibilities for future work include dissecting the roles of branched
vs. linear in nanoridge guidance and exploring the spatiotemporal relationships between actin
dynamics and dynamics of the signal-transduction network in primary neurons (13, 15, 16, 18-
20).

Our findings demonstrate that the ability of neurons to sense and follow physical guidance cues
may change with developmental stage, and raise the question of how such a change in physical
sensing capabilities could impact brain development and regeneration. Recent studies have
shown that promoting actin dynamics as a sensor of the physical microenvironment can enhance
axon regeneration in the adult nervous system, particularly through the regulation of specific actin-
binding proteins such as ADF/Cofilin (7). Understanding how nanotopography influences actin
dynamics during various stages of development may thus provide valuable insights into potential
strategies for promoting axon regeneration after injury or disease. It is important to note that our

conclusions are limited to those that can be derived from an embryonic system, because even
the "late stage" neurons in our study are still young compared to the adult neurons in a living
organism.

Finally, our findings may have implications for the development of novel therapeutic approaches
for processes that are known to involve actin dynamics, in particular neuroregeneration (83) and
the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases (84). Many studies have shown that brain stiffness
decreases with age (83-86), and that varying substrate stiffness affects neuronal morphology (36,
37). Thus our work provides a baseline for future studies investigating how to tune actin dynamics
and thus neurite tip guidance to physical microenvironments that more closely mimic the brain at
various developmental or disease stages.

Acknowledgments

608 This work was supported by the US Air Force Office of Scientific Research MURI grant FA9550-
609 16-1-0052 to W.L. and J.F. It was also supported in part by funds from the Intramural Research
610 Program of NINDS, NIH: ZIA NS003013 to E.G.

References

1.   Ma L. Generation of Axons and Dendrites. In: Pfaff DW, Volkow ND, Rubenstein JL,
editors. Neuroscience in the 21st Century: From Basic to Clinical. Cham: Springer International
Publishing; 2022. p. 341-56.
2.   Yogev S, Shen K. Establishing Neuronal Polarity with Environmental and Intrinsic
Mechanisms. Neuron. 2017;96(3):638-50.
3.   Nakajima C, Sawada M, Umeda E, Takagi Y, Nakashima N, Kuboyama K, et al.
Identification of the growth cone as a probe and driver of neuronal migration in the injured brain.
Nature Communications. 2024;15(1):1877.
4.   Inagaki N, Katsuno H. Actin Waves: Origin of Cell Polarization and Migration? Trends
Cell Biol. 2017;27(7):515-26.
5.   Zhao B, Meka DP, Scharrenberg R, König T, Schwanke B, Kobler O, et al. Microtubules
Modulate F-actin Dynamics during Neuronal Polarization. Scientific Reports. 2017;7(1):9583.
6.   Cammarata GMaBEAaLLA. Cytoskeletal social networking in the growth cone: How
+TIPs mediate microtubule-actin cross-linking to drive axon outgrowth and guidance.
Cytoskeleton. 2016;73(9):461-76.
7.   Leite SC, Pinto-Costa R, Sousa MM. Actin dynamics in the growth cone: a key player in
axon regeneration. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2021;69:11-8.
8.   Winans AM, Collins SR, Meyer T. Waves of actin and microtubule polymerization drive
microtubule-based transport and neurite growth before single axon formation. Elife.
2016;5:e12387.
9.   Flynn KC, Pak CW, Shaw AE, Bradke F, Bamburg JR. Growth cone-like waves transport
actin and promote axonogenesis and neurite branching. Dev Neurobiol. 2009;69(12):761-79.

10.   Bretschneider T, Anderson K, Ecke M, Müller-Taubenberger A, Schroth-Diez B,
Ishikawa-Ankerhold HC, et al. The three-dimensional dynamics of actin waves, a model of
cytoskeletal self-organization. Biophys J. 2009;96(7):2888-900.
11.   Beta C, Edelstein-Keshet L, Gov N, Yochelis A. From actin waves to mechanism and
back: How theory aids biological understanding. Elife. 2023;12.
12.   Bretschneider T, Diez S, Anderson K, Heuser J, Clarke M, Müller-Taubenberger A, et al.
Dynamic actin patterns and Arp2/3 assembly at the substrate-attached surface of motile cells.
Curr Biol. 2004;14(1):1-10.
13.   Jasnin M, Ecke M, Baumeister W, Gerisch G. Actin Organization in Cells Responding to
a Perforated Surface, Revealed by Live Imaging and Cryo-Electron Tomography. Structure.
2016;24(7):1031-43.
14.   Jasnin M, Beck F, Ecke M, Fukuda Y, Martinez-Sanchez A, Baumeister W, et al. The
Architecture of Traveling Actin Waves Revealed by Cryo-Electron Tomography. Structure.
2019;27(8):1211-23.e5.
15.   Gerhardt M, Ecke M, Walz M, Stengl A, Beta C, Gerisch G. Actin and PIP3 waves in
giant cells reveal the inherent length scale of an excited state. J Cell Sci. 2014;127(Pt 20):4507-
17.
16.   Banerjee T, Biswas D, Pal DS, Miao Y, Iglesias PA, Devreotes PN. Spatiotemporal
dynamics of membrane surface charge regulates cell polarity and migration. Nat Cell Biol.
2022;24(10):1499-515.
17.   Banerjee T, Matsuoka S, Biswas D, Miao Y, Pal DS, Kamimura Y, et al. A dynamic
partitioning mechanism polarizes membrane protein distribution. Nature Communications.
2023;14(1):7909.
18.   Arai Y, Shibata T, Matsuoka S, Sato MJ, Yanagida T, Ueda M. Self-organization of the
phosphatidylinositol lipids signaling system for random cell migration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2010;107(27):12399-404.
19.   Iwamoto K, Matsuoka S, Ueda M. Excitable Ras dynamics-based screens reveal
RasGEFX is required for macropinocytosis and random cell migration. Nat Commun.
2025;16(1):117.
20.   Huang CH, Tang M, Shi C, Iglesias PA, Devreotes PN. An excitable signal integrator
couples to an idling cytoskeletal oscillator to drive cell migration. Nat Cell Biol.
2013;15(11):1307-16.
21.   Marsh L, Letourneau PC. Growth of neurites without filopodial or lamellipodial activity in
the presence of cytochalasin B. J Cell Biol. 1984;99(6):2041-7.
22.   Bentley D, Toroian-Raymond A. Disoriented pathfinding by pioneer neurone growth
cones deprived of filopodia by cytochalasin treatment. Nature. 1986;323(6090):712-5.
23.   Clarke A, McQueen PG, Fang HY, Kannan R, Wang V, McCreedy E, et al. Dynamic
morphogenesis of a pioneer axon in Drosophila and its regulation by Abl tyrosine kinase. Mol
Biol Cell. 2020;31(6):452-65.
24.   Clarke A, McQueen PG, Fang HY, Kannan R, Wang V, McCreedy E, et al. Abl signaling
directs growth of a pioneer axon in Drosophila by shaping the intrinsic fluctuations of actin. Mol
Biol Cell. 2020;31(6):466-77.
25.   Ruthel G, Hollenbeck PJ. Growth cones are not required for initial establishment of
polarity or differential axon branch growth in cultured hippocampal neurons. J Neurosci.
2000;20(6):2266-74.
26.   Dotti CG, Sullivan CA, Banker GA. The establishment of polarity by hippocampal
neurons in culture. J Neurosci. 1988;8(4):1454-68.
27.   Miller JP, Jacobs GA. Relationships between neuronal structure and function. J Exp Biol.
1984;112:129-45.

28.   Mortal S, Iseppon F, Perissinotto A, D'Este E, Cojoc D, Napolitano LMR, et al. Actin
Waves Do Not Boost Neurite Outgrowth in the Early Stages of Neuron Maturation. Front Cell
Neurosci. 2017;11:402.
29.   Hjorth JJ, van Pelt J, Mansvelder HD, van Ooyen A. Competitive dynamics during
resource-driven neurite outgrowth. PLoS One. 2014;9(2):e86741.
30.   Moore SW, Sheetz MP. Biophysics of substrate interaction: influence on neural motility,
differentiation, and repair. Dev Neurobiol. 2011;71(11):1090-101.
31.   Simitzi C, Ranella A, Stratakis E. Controlling the morphology and outgrowth of nerve and
neuroglial cells: The effect of surface topography. Acta Biomaterialia. 2017;51:21-52.
32.   Villard C. Spatial confinement: A spur for axonal growth. Semin Cell Dev Biol.
2023;140:54-62.
33.   Micholt L, Gärtner A, Prodanov D, Braeken D, Dotti CG, Bartic C. Substrate topography
determines neuronal polarization and growth in vitro. PLoS One. 2013;8(6):e66170.
34.   Vecchi JT, Rhomberg M, Guymon CA, Hansen MR. The geometry of photopolymerized
topography influences neurite pathfinding by directing growth cone morphology and migration. J
Neural Eng. 2024;21(2).
35.   Yin Z, Romano AJ, Manduca A, Ehman RL, Huston J, 3rd. Stiffness and Beyond: What
MR Elastography Can Tell Us About Brain Structure and Function Under Physiologic and
Pathologic Conditions. Top Magn Reson Imaging. 2018;27(5):305-18.
36.   Previtera ML, Langhammer CG, Firestein BL. Effects of substrate stiffness and cell
density on primary hippocampal cultures. J Biosci Bioeng. 2010;110(4):459-70.
37.   Previtera ML, Langhammer CG, Langrana NA, Firestein BL. Regulation of dendrite
arborization by substrate stiffness is mediated by glutamate receptors. Ann Biomed Eng.
2010;38(12):3733-43.
38.   Murphy MC, Huston J, 3rd, Jack CR, Jr., Glaser KJ, Manduca A, Felmlee JP, et al.
Decreased brain stiffness in Alzheimer's disease determined by magnetic resonance
elastography. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2011;34(3):494-8.
39.   Murphy MC, Jones DT, Jack CR, Jr., Glaser KJ, Senjem ML, Manduca A, et al. Regional
brain stiffness changes across the Alzheimer's disease spectrum. Neuroimage Clin.
2016;10:283-90.
40.   Pavuluri K, Huston J, 3rd, Ehman RL, Manduca A, Jack CR, Jr., Savica R, et al.
Regional Brain Stiffness Analysis of Dementia with Lewy Bodies. J Magn Reson Imaging.
2022;55(6):1907-9.
41.   Marcus M, Baranes K, Park M, Choi IS, Kang K, Shefi O. Interactions of Neurons with
Physical Environments. Advanced Healthcare Materials. 2017;6(15):1700267.
42.   Gangatharan G, Schneider-Maunoury S, Breau MA. Role of mechanical cues in shaping
neuronal morphology and connectivity. Biol Cell. 2018;110(6):125-36.
43.   Forghani R, Chandrasekaran A, Papoian G, Giniger E. A new view of axon growth and
guidance grounded in the stochastic dynamics of actin networks. Open Biol. 2023;13(6):220359.
44.   Sun X, Driscoll MK, Guven C, Das S, Parent CA, Fourkas JT, et al. Asymmetric
nanotopography biases cytoskeletal dynamics and promotes unidirectional cell guidance.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2015;112(41):12557-62.
45.   Driscoll MK, Sun X, Guven C, Fourkas JT, Losert W. Cellular Contact Guidance through
Dynamic Sensing of Nanotopography. ACS Nano. 2014;8(4):3546-55.
46.   Yang Q, Miao Y, Banerjee P, Hourwitz MJ, Hu M, Qing Q, et al. Nanotopography
modulates intracellular excitable systems through cytoskeleton actuation. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences. 2023;120(19):e2218906120.
47.   Herr C, Winkler B, Ziebert F, Aranson IS, Fourkas JT, Losert W. Spontaneous
polarization and cell guidance on asymmetric nanotopography. Communications Physics.
2022;5(1):114.

48.   Yang Q, Hourwitz M, Campanello L, Devreotes PN, Fourkas J, Losert W. Physical
Control of Intracellular Waves with Nanotopography and Electric Fields. Biophysical Journal.
2021;120(3):113a.
49.   Fiala JC, Harris KM. Dendrite structure. In: Stuart G, Spruston N, Häusser M, editors.
Dendrite. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press; 1999. p. 1-34.
50.   Lamoureux P, Heidemann SR, Martzke NR, Miller KE. Growth and elongation within and
along the axon. Developmental Neurobiology. 2010;70(3):135-49.
51.   Yang Q, Miao Y, Campanello LJ, Hourwitz MJ, Abubaker-Sharif B, Bull AL, et al. Cortical
waves mediate the cellular response to electric fields. eLife. 2022;11:e73198.
52.   Bull AL, Mosher M, Rodriguez P, Fox S, Hourwitz MJ, Fourkas JT, et al. Suppressing
collective cell motion with bidirectional guidance cues. Physical Review E. 2025;111(2):024409.
53.   O'Neill KM, Saracino E, Barile B, Mennona NJ, Mola MG, Pathak S, et al. Decoding
Natural Astrocyte Rhythms: Dynamic Actin Waves Result from Environmental Sensing by
Primary Rodent Astrocytes. Adv Biol (Weinh). 2023;7(6):e2200269.
54.   Abdelrahman MH, Shen J, Fisher NC, Losert W, Fourkas JT. Assessing the stability of
azopolymer nanotopography during live-cell fluorescence imaging. Frontiers in Bioengineering
and Biotechnology. 2024;Volume 12 - 2024.
55.   Sun X, Hourwitz MJ, Baker EM, Schmidt BUS, Losert W, Fourkas JT. Replication of
biocompatible, nanotopographic surfaces. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):564.
56.   Schmid H, Michel B. Siloxane Polymers for High-Resolution, High-Accuracy Soft
Lithography. Macromolecules. 2000;33(8):3042-9.
57.   Kang H, Lee J, Park J, Lee HH. An improved method of preparing composite
poly(dimethylsiloxane) moulds. Nanotechnology. 2006;17(1):197.
58.   Simoncelli E. Design of multi-dimensional derivative filters. Proceedings of 1st IEEE
International Conference on Image Processing; Austin, Texas1994. p. 790-3.
59.   Lucas BD, Kanade T. An iterative image registration technique with an application to
stereo vision | Proceedings of the 7th international joint conference on Artificial intelligence -
Volume 2. Proceedings of the 7th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
1981:pp 674-9.
60.   Niblack W. An introduction to digital image processing: Strandberg Publishing Company;
1985.
61.   Mennona NJ, Sedelnikova A, Echchgadda I, Losert W. Filament displacement image
analytics tool for use in investigating dynamics of dense microtubule networks. Physical Review
E. 2023;108(3):034411.
62.   Lee RM, Campanello L, Hourwitz MJ, Alvarez P, Omidvar A, Fourkas JT, et al.
Quantifying topography-guided actin dynamics across scales using optical flow. Mol Biol Cell.
2020;31(16):1753-64.
63.   Vaserstein LN. Markov Processes over Denumerable Products of Spaces, Describing
Large Systems of Automata. Probl Peredachi Inf. 1969;5(3):64-72.
64.   Shapiro SS, Wilk MB. An Analysis of Variance Test for Normality (Complete Samples).
Biometrika. 1965;52(3/4):591-611.
65.   Tukey JW. Comparing Individual Means in the Analysis of Variance. Biometrics.
1949;5(2):99-114.
66.   Kruskal WH, and Wallis WA. Use of Ranks in One-Criterion Variance Analysis. Journal of
the American Statistical Association. 1952;47(260):583-621.
67.   Dunn OJ. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. Technometrics. 1964;6(3):241-52.
68.   Student. The Probable Error of a Mean. Biometrika. 1908;6(1):1-25.
69.   Mann HB, Whitney DR. On a test of whether one of two random variables is
stochastically larger than the other. Annals of Mathematical Statistics. 1947;18:50-60.

70.   Qiao C, Zeng Y, Meng Q, Chen X, Chen H, Jiang T, et al. Zero-shot learning enables
instant denoising and super-resolution in optical fluorescence microscopy. Nature
Communications. 2024;15(1):4180.
71.   Ziebert F, Aranson IS. Computational approaches to substrate-based cell motility. npj
Computational Materials. 2016;2(1):16019.
72.   Chen S, Hourwitz MJ, Campanello L, Fourkas JT, Losert W, Parent CA. Actin
Cytoskeleton and Focal Adhesions Regulate the Biased Migration of Breast Cancer Cells on
Nanoscale Asymmetric Sawteeth. ACS Nano. 2019;13(2):1454-68.
73.   Learte AR, Hidalgo A. The role of glial cells in axon guidance, fasciculation and targeting.
Axon Growth and Guidance: Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. 621. New York:
Springer; 2007. p. 156-66.
74.   Short CA, Onesto MM, Rempel SK, Catlett TS, Gomez TM. Familiar growth factors have
diverse roles in neural network assembly. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2021;66:233-9.
75.   Vernadakis A, Nidess R, Culver B, Bragg Arnold E. Glial cells: Modulators of neuronal
environment. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development. 1979;9(5):553-66.
76.   San Miguel-Ruiz JE, Letourneau PC. The role of Arp2/3 in growth cone actin dynamics
and guidance is substrate dependent. J Neurosci. 2014;34(17):5895-908.
77.   Marsick BM, Flynn KC, Santiago-Medina M, Bamburg JR, Letourneau PC. Activation of
ADF/cofilin mediates attractive growth cone turning toward nerve growth factor and netrin-1.
Dev Neurobiol. 2010;70(8):565-88.
78.   Suter DM, Forscher P. Substrate–cytoskeletal coupling as a mechanism for the
regulation of growth cone motility and guidance. Journal of Neurobiology. 2000;44(2):97-113.
79.   Shi R, Kramer DA, Chen B, Shen K. A two-step actin polymerization mechanism drives
dendrite branching. Neural Dev. 2021;16(1):3.
80.   Kannan R, Song JK, Karpova T, Clarke A, Shivalkar M, Wang B, et al. The Abl pathway
bifurcates to balance Enabled and Rac signaling in axon patterning in Drosophila. Development.
2017;144(3):487-98.
81.   Omotade OF, Pollitt SL, Zheng JQ. Actin-based growth cone motility and guidance. Mol
Cell Neurosci. 2017;84:4-10.
82.   Foroozandehfar A, Tohidi S, Siddiqui SA, Namanda FR, Forrester M, Cochran E, et al.
Formins and Arp2/3 Reciprocally Regulate Contact Guidance on Aligned Collagen Fibrils.
bioRxiv. 2025:2025.01.03.631234.
83.   Blanquie O, Bradke F. Cytoskeleton dynamics in axon regeneration. Current Opinion in
Neurobiology. 2018;51:60-9.
84.   Bernstein BW, Maloney MT, Bamburg JR. Actin and Diseases of the Nervous System.
Adv Neurobiol. 2011;5:201-34.
85.   Arani A, Murphy MC, Glaser KJ, Manduca A, Lake DS, Kruse SA, et al. Measuring the
effects of aging and sex on regional brain stiffness with MR elastography in healthy older adults.
NeuroImage. 2015;111:59-64.
86.   Takamura T, Motosugi U, Sasaki Y, Kakegawa T, Sato K, Glaser KJ, et al. Influence of
Age on Global and Regional Brain Stiffness in Young and Middle-Aged Adults. Journal of
Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 2020;51(3):727-33.

826