1    **Targetable *BIRC5* dependency in therapy-resistant *TP53* mutated acute myeloid**
2    **leukemia**
3
4

**Authors:** Ahmed M. Mamdouh[1*], Fang Qi Lim[1*], Yang Mi[1], Elyse A. Olesinski[2], Cheryl

Gek Teng Chan[1], Shaista Jasdanwala[1], Xiao Xian Lin[1], Yichen Wang[1], Jordan Yong Ming

Tan[1], Karanpreet Singh Bhatia[1], Valeriia Sapozhnikova[3,4,5], Chuqi Wang[1], Aarthi Nivasini

Mahesh[1], Daniel Tan En Liang[1], Nishtha Chitkara[1], Philipp Mertins[5,6], Leah Hogdal[7],

Brandon D. Brown[8], Torsten Haferlach[9], Camille Lobry[10], Coleman Lindsley[7], Alexandre

Puissant[10], Han Kiat Ho[1], Sanjukta Das[11], Anthony Letai[7], Steven M. Kornblau[8], Jan

Krönke[3,4], Edward Ayoub[12], Koji Itahana[13], Michael Andreeff[8], Shruti Bhatt[1,14]

12
**Affiliations**
[1]Department of Pharmacy, National University of Singapore, Singapore.
[2]Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA.
[3]Department of Hematology, Oncology and Cancer Immunology, Charité -
Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-
Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany.
[4]German Cancer Consortium (DKTK) partner site Berlin and German Cancer Research
Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany.
[5]Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany.
[6]Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin, Germany.
[7]Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, 440 Brookline Avenue, M430, Boston, MA, USA.
[8]Division of Pediatrics, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston,
TX, USA.
[9]MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory, Munich, Germany.
[10]Université de Paris, Génomes, Biologie Cellulaire et Thérapeutique U944, INSERM,
CNRS, Paris, France.
[11]School of Biotechnology, KIIT University, Odisha, India.
[12]Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston,
TX, USA.
[13]Programme in Cancer & Stem Cell Biology, Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore.
[14]Department of Hematology & Medical Oncology, Emory School of Medicine
34
*Authors contributed equally.

**Running Title:** *TP53* governs post-mitochondrial death

**Keywords:** AML, *TP53* mutation, mitochondrial priming, inhibitors of apoptosis, Survivin

**Corresponding Authors**

1. Shruti Bhatt, PhD

National University of Singapore

Department of Pharmacy

Science Drive 3, Blk S7, 02-16

Singapore, 129788

Email: shruti_bhatt@nus.edu.sg

Phone: +65-65168014

Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology

Emory School of Medicine and the Winship Cancer Institute

Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 30322, USA

Email: shrutiben.bhatt@emory.edu

**Acknowledgments**

S.B. acknowledges support from the Singapore Ministry of Education (MOE) Academic

Research Fund (AcRF) Tier 2 (MOE-000573-00), NUS-Paris University Joint Grant, the

Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Starup Funds at National University

of Singapore. S.B. is a recipient of the EMBO Global Investigator Award. A.M.M.

acknowledges support from the Singapore International Graduate Award (SINGA). A.L.

acknowledges support from P01 CA066996 and Ludwig Center at Harvard. A.P.

acknowledges support by ERC CoG (DynAML, 101088563) and LNCC (EL2024-

PUISSANT) grants. J.K. acknowledges support from the José Carreras Leukämie-Stiftung

(project DJCLS 07 R/2024), the Deutsche Forschungsgesellschaft (DFG KR 3886/8-1), and

the Deutsche Konsortium für Translationale Krebsforschung (DKTK). R.C.L. was supported

by a Scholar award from The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (RCL) and the Edward P.

Evans Center for Myelodysplastic Syndrome at Dana-Farber Cancer Institute.

**Disclosures and Conflicts of Interest**

A.L. owns stocks in Zentalis, Flash Therapeutics, Dialectic, and provided consultancy for

Zentalis, Flash Therapeutics, Anji Onco, and Dialectic Therapeutics. M.A. provided

consultancy for Aptose, Eterna, GlycoMimetics, and SentiBio. J.K. provided consultancy for

Janssen, Takeda, Abbvie, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, Astra Zeneca, and Pfizer. R.C.L.

provided consultancy for Takeda Pharmaceuticals, Bluebird bio, Qiagen, Sarepta

Therapeutics, Verve Therapeutics, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals. T.H.

has equity ownership of MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory. L.H. owns stocks in and

provided consultancy for Pfizer.

**Data Availability Statement**

Cell line RNA-seq data has been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under

accession numbers. Cell line proteomics data and whole-genome CRISPR screen data will be

available on request. Primary sample bulk RNA-seq data generated in this study are not

publicly available due to patient privacy requirements and were used under license for this

study. Primary sample proteomics data and single-cell data will be available from the authors

upon request.

**ABSTRACT**

*TP53* mutations confer treatment resistance across multiple cancers. Mechanisms of therapy

resistance, beyond affecting transactivation of BCL-2 family genes, remain a mystery. Here,

we report that *TP53* mutated AML, triple negative breast cancer, and colorectal cancer escape

therapy-induced apoptosis due to inability to activate caspase-3/7, despite having normal

mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) induction. To identify post-

MOMP determinants of therapy resistance in *TP53* mutated AML, we applied a multiomics

approach – whole-genome CRISPR screen, bulk/single-cell RNAseq, and high-throughput

drug screen. *BIRC5*, encoding survivin, was selectively upregulated in paired hematopoietic

stem/multipotent progenitor cells from *TP53* mutant AML patients, with further enrichment

after venetoclax-azacitidine (VenAza) relapse. Critically, *BIRC5* was also upregulated in 17

of 26 *TP53* mutant TCGA cancers. Genetic ablation of *BIRC5* resensitized *TP53* mutated

AML to standard therapy by restoring caspase activation, validating therapeutic relevance.

Importantly, targeting IAPs and survivin using clinically relevant inhibitors overcame

VenAza resistance of *TP53* mutant tumors *in vivo*, achieving sustained AML suppression.

Combination with survivin inhibitors also overcame chemotherapy resistance in *TP53*

deficient solid cancers. Together, we discovered that wild-type *TP53* is required in post-

MOMP signaling and that *BIRC5* dependency is an effective therapeutic target for poor

prognosis, *TP53* mutated cancers.

## INTRODUCTION

*TP53* mutations or deletions are drivers for therapy resistance in most cancers due to poor patient responses to chemotherapy and targeted therapy.[1–3] Among cancers with *TP53* mutations, acute myeloid leukemia (AML), an aggressive form of blood cancer, has particularly dire outcomes. *TP53* mutations are found in 10% of *de novo* AML, 20-35% of therapy-related myeloid neoplasia, and 70-80% of complex karyotype AML (CK-AML).[4] [5,6] The introduction of venetoclax (BCL-2 inhibitor) combined with azacitidine (hypomethylating agent) (VenAza) has transformed outcomes for many AML patients,[7] particularly with poor-risk cytogenetics and wild-type *TP53* who historically responded poorly to 7+3.[8–11] [7]However, the effectiveness of this therapeutic advance is diminished in patients harboring *TP53* mutations, where co-presence of poor-risk cytogenetics and *TP53* mutations drastically reduces overall survival from 23.4 months to 5.2 months.[7] Therefore, *TP53* mutations appear as a major driver of VenAza resistance even without poor-risk cytogenetics. This profound effect is reflected in the broader AML population, where *TP53* mutant AML patients have shorter relapse-free survival (4.3 months vs 18.9 months) and overall survival (5.2 months vs 19.4 months) compared to those with wild-type *TP53* AML.[11–13] These consistently poor outcomes across treatment modalities underscore the pressing unmet need to identify treatment strategies that overcome therapy resistance in *TP53* mutated AML.

As a transcription factor, p53 acts as the "guardian of the genome" by binding to specific DNA sequences and transactivating numerous genes to perform canonical functions in response to stress, including maintenance of genomic integrity, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis induction, as well as non-canonical functions.[11,14] To induce apoptosis, p53 triggers mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) through transcription or induction of pro-apoptotic proteins, BAX, PUMA, and NOXA,[15,16] or repression of anti-apoptotic

proteins, MCL-1, BCL-2, and BCL-xL.[17,18] Alternatively, cytoplasmic p53 can sequester

anti-apoptotic proteins via transcription-independent mechanisms, with disruption of these

complexes by PUMA to release p53 to trigger MOMP and apoptosis.[19–21] Although MOMP

is traditionally considered the 'point-of-no-return' in apoptotic signaling across diverse cell

types responding to therapeutic stress, a study has suggested that MOMP may not be the

point of no return and that cells expressing the wild-type p53 may recover following MOMP

activation.[22] Aside from the induction of BCL-2 family proteins, these observations imply

that there must exist unexplored functions of p53 that become dysregulated to evade

apoptosis and mediate therapy resistance. Despite decades of research establishing *TP53* as

the master regulator of apoptosis, the mechanisms by which *TP53* mutations confer treatment

resistance beyond dysregulation of BCL-2 family proteins have remained enigmatic.

Through systematic analysis, we discovered that *TP53* mutated cells possess specific

defects in post-MOMP signaling, enabling their survival even after MOMP activation. This

observation prompted us to investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying this phenotype

through an unbiased, multiomics approach. Integration of genome-wide CRISPR screening,

bulk and single-cell transcriptomics, proteomics, and high-throughput drug screening

identified upregulation of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein *BIRC5* (survivin) as a key

mediator of this post-MOMP survival. Importantly, this dependency creates a therapeutically

exploitable vulnerability across *TP53* mutated cancers, offering a novel strategy to overcome

chemoresistance in these aggressive malignancies.

**RESULTS**

*TP53* **mutations mediate therapy resistance by evasion of apoptosis**

To study mechanisms of resistance and poor treatment outcomes in *TP53* mutated

tumors, we engineered *TP53* deficient AML cells using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in

MOLM-13 and MV-4-11 with two different sgRNAs (Figure 1A and S1A; validation in

Figure S1B). *TP53* deletion (knockout) reduced sensitivity towards venetoclax plus

azacitidine (VenAza) compared to wild-type *TP53* (Figure 1B). Beyond VenAza in AML, we

validated resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy in two *TP53* deficient cancers: colorectal

cancer (CRC) (HCT-116) treated with 5-flurouracil (5-FU) and triple-negative breast cancer

(TNBC) (CAL-51) treated with doxorubicin (Dox) (Figure 1B). Besides deletions, *TP53*

dysfunction is also caused by inactivating missense mutations.[23] Thus, we exposed a panel of

isogenic MOLM-13 cells carrying 6 different missense mutations (*TP53$^{-/mut}$* (R175H, R273H,

R248Q, R282W, Y220C, M237I)) in the DNA binding domain (DBD), knockout (*TP53$^{-/-}$*), or

matched wild-type (*TP53$^{+/+}$*) *TP53* to venetoclax, azacitidine, or VenAza (Figure S1C).

*TP53$^{-/mut}$* cells had varied sensitivities, with *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$* cells least sensitive to VenAza (IC50

Ven = 72.5 nM). *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$* cells also had higher colony forming units (CFUs) under

VenAza treatment compared to *TP53$^{+/+}$* (76.9% vs 56.4%; Figure 1C). All six *TP53$^{-/mut}$* cell

lines had a competitive advantage over *TP53$^{+/+}$* cells treated with VenAza (Figure 1D and

S1D).

Since *TP53* is activated in response to stress, we hypothesized that dysfunction in

canonical functions (cell cycle, apoptosis, senescence, DNA damage) may drive reduced

cytotoxicity in *TP53$^{-/mut}$* cells. Cell cycle profiles showed less accumulation of *TP53$^{-/mut}$* cells

in sub-G1 phase after VenAza treatment, yet G1 arrest or senescence was not observed in all

genotypes (Figure S2A-C). Apoptosis was severely inhibited in all *TP53$^{-/mut}$* and *TP53$^{-/-}$* cells

under VenAza and standard chemotherapy, cytarabine, and etoposide (Figure 1E). Apart from

MOLM-13, additional validation in MV-4-11, CAL-51, and HCT-116 cells with $TP53^{-/-}$

showed reduced apoptosis in response to standard of care therapy (Figure 1F). This suggests

apoptosis evasion as a conserved mode of resistance to multiple treatment types across $TP53$

deficient cancers. To next test whether reduced apoptosis in $TP53$ mutated cells was

attributed to DNA damage, we performed alkaline comet assays. DNA damage was increased

after VenAza treatment compared to DMSO in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells (Figure 1G). However, $TP53^{-/mut}$

cells exhibited greater DNA damage at baseline, which remained largely unchanged after

VenAza treatment (Figure 1G and S2D-E). Overall, our findings show that $TP53$ mutations

drive resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy and targeted agents in AML through defects in

apoptosis or DNA damage, rather than sub-G1 phase accumulation and senescence.

**$TP53$ mutated AML has altered BCL-2 family protein expression**

Therapy-induced apoptosis is predominantly regulated by the intrinsic apoptosis

pathway via interactions between BCL-2 family proteins in the outer mitochondrial

membrane. We next investigated how $TP53$ mutant tumors evade apoptosis by interrogating

molecular mechanisms of resistance in pre-MOMP, MOMP, and post-MOMP settings. We

focused on $R248Q$ mutation, since it is the second most common $TP53$ mutation in AML[23–25]

and conferred higher resistance against VenAza (Figure S1C). Gene set enrichment analysis

from RNA-seq demonstrated significant enrichment in p53 signaling with VenAza treatment

in only $TP53^{+/+}$ cells (NES 1.58, $p < 0.05$), consistent with a recent independent study[25]

(Figure S3A). As expected, $TP53$ target genes $CDKN1A$ and $MDM2$ were downregulated in

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells at baseline (Figure S3B). Since $TP53$ regulates apoptotic genes,

we hypothesized that VenAza would alter expression of BCL-2 family genes and proteins in

a $TP53$-dependent manner. At the mRNA level, pro-apoptotic genes $BAX$, $BBC3$ (encodes

PUMA), and $PMAIP1$ (encodes NOXA) were downregulated in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells compared to

compared to $TP53^{+/+}$ cells at the baseline as well as in the presence of VenAza (Figure S3B).

At the protein level, pro-apoptotic protein BAX was decreased, and the anti-apoptotic protein

MCL-1 was increased (Figure 2A and S3C). Conversely, pro-apoptotic proteins BIM-EL,

BAK, and BID were upregulated (Figure 2A and S3C). Since BIM can directly interact with

and activate BAX and BAK (required for pore formation and MOMP), we further

investigated BIM expression levels across multiple tumors. MV-4-11 cells showed

upregulation in BIM-EL after $TP53$ deletion (Figure S3D). Similarly, primary tumors from

the TCGA PanCancer Atlas showed upregulation in BIM for 5/27 tumors at the mRNA level

and 10/26 at the protein level (Figure S3E). This emphasizes that despite loss of key pro-

apoptotic regulators in $TP53$ mutations, the upregulation of BIM and BID may compensate

for this downregulation.

BIM sequestration by anti-apoptotic partners can also prevent induction of apoptosis.

We and others have previously shown that MCL-1 sequesters BIM from BCL-2 in

venetoclax-resistant AML cells,[26–28] which prompted us to evaluate MCL-1 dependence in

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells. Coimmunoprecipitation of BIM showed reduced BCL-2–BIM

interactions while MCL-1–BIM interactions were elevated (Figure 2B). Addition of an MCL-

1 inhibitor (S63845) to VenAza sensitized $TP53$ mutated cells to apoptosis, supporting our

previous studies[29,30] (Figure S3F-G). Although using MCL-1 inhibition to overcome

resistance in $TP53$ mutated settings is an attractive option, observed cardiotoxicity in recent

clinical trials has limited investigation of these agents.[31] Collectively, we found that $TP53$

mutations altered the expression of BCL-2 family proteins and their protein-protein

interactions.

**MOMP occurs in $TP53$ mutated AML despite alternations in BCL-2 family proteins**

Engagement of apoptosis involves MOMP and is often seen as a point of no return.

Thus, we wondered if *TP53* mutated cells evade apoptosis by resisting MOMP induction. We

performed BH3 profiling assays in unperturbed, permeabilized *TP53$^{-/mut}$* cells using BH3

peptides derived from pro-apoptotic proteins. Rigorous testing in *TP53$^{+/+}$* cells, *TP53$^{-/mut}$*

cells, *TP53$^{-/-}$* cells, two AML cell lines (MOLM-13, MV-4-11) with different *TP53* sgRNAs,

and two additional cancers (HCT-116, CAL-51) showed no unique trend and overall, retained

capacity for MOMP in response to BIM peptide, implying preservation of apoptotic threshold

and ability to undergo MOMP in response to direct stress on the mitochondria (Figure 2C). In

*TP53$^{-/mut}$* cells, dependence on individual anti-apoptotic proteins (BCL-2, (BAD BH3

peptide), MCL-1 (MS-1 BH3 peptide), or BCL-xL (HRK BH3 peptide)) was largely

comparable to *TP53$^{+/+}$* cells (Figure S4A). Further analysis in AML primary tumors revealed

similar apoptotic priming to BIM for *TP53$^{-/mut}$* and *TP53$^{+/+}$* cells and mitochondrial sensitivity

to navitoclax and venetoclax, independent of *TP53* status (Figure 2D and S4B). Additionally,

BCL-xL (HRK BH3 peptide) and MCL-1 (NOXA BH3 peptide) dependence were increased

(Figure S4B). We eliminated the possibility that conservation of MOMP in *TP53* mutated

cells was driven by baseline differences in cytoplasmic cytochrome c levels (Figure S4C). To

determine whether *TP53* mutated cells resist MOMP after drug treatment, we performed

dynamic BH3 profiling, which showed no loss in MOMP in *TP53$^{-/mut}$* cells after VenAza as

compared to *TP53$^{+/+}$* cells (Figure 2E). Overall, these findings emphasize that despite *TP53*

mutations, functional capacity to engage MOMP remains preserved in AML, indicating that

post-MOMP regulation may drive differences in apoptotic outcomes.

**Impaired caspase activation inhibits drug-induced apoptosis in *TP53* mutated cells**

We wondered whether *TP53* mutations cause differences in post-MOMP signaling to

drive evasion of apoptosis. Chemical inhibitors of caspases or genetic ablation of APAF1

(apoptosome formation) can prevent apoptosis induction even after MOMP.[32,33] While

APAF1 was upregulated in $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells after VenAza, increased PARP

cleavage occurred only in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells (Figure 2A). This suggests disruption of an

intermediate regulator between APAF1 and PARP cleavage in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells.

Since the apoptosome (APAF1 and caspase-9 complex) activates executioner caspase-

3/7 to cleave PARP, we asked whether dysfunction in caspase activation drives evasion of

therapy-induced apoptosis. In a time-dependent manner, VenAza caused cleavage of initiator

caspase-9 in both $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells while executioner caspase-3 was cleaved

selectively in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells (Figure 2F). Furthermore, caspase-9 and -3/7 activity was

severely inhibited across all six isogenic $TP53^{-/mut}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ MOLM-13 cells and $TP53^{-/-}$

MV-4-11 cells (Figure 2G-H). We reasoned that the lack of caspase-9 activity despite the

presence of caspase-9 cleavage resulted in the loss of caspase-3 cleavage and activity in

$TP53^{-/mut}$ cells.[34] VenAza induced increase in caspase-3/7 activity in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells in a time-

dependent manner but remained unchanged in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells (Figure S4D). We next

evaluated whether reduced activation of caspase-3/7 in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells was due

to defects in upstream caspase-8 activation via extrinsic signaling. While $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and

$TP53^{-/-}$ cells showed lower caspase-8 activity, the difference was less significant compared to

changes in caspase-3/7 activation (Figure S4D-E). Since loss of mitochondrial membrane

potential (MMP) during apoptosis may be impaired when caspases are dysfunctional,[35,36] we

measured MMP in response to VenAza. $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells had less

tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester (TMRE) fluorescence loss than $TP53^{+/+}$, emphasizing

VenAza-induced caspase-9 and -3/7 dysfunction in $TP53$ mutated cells (Figure S4F). Overall,

these findings highlight that functional $TP53$ is required for post-MOMP signaling to activate

caspases and trigger VenAza-induced apoptosis in AML.

Unlike BH3 mimetics, chemotherapy relies on DNA damage rather than direct

mitochondrial engagement to trigger MOMP, which is often impeded by *TP53* mutations. We

next asked if *TP53* deficient solid cancers evade apoptosis through caspase inactivation.

Across *TP53* deficient CRC and TNBC cells treated with standard of care chemotherapy, loss

of caspase-3/7 activation was a conserved phenomenon (Figure 2H). However, *TP53*

deficient CRC and TNBC cells showed upstream absence of MOMP post-chemotherapy,

suggesting that stimuli for MOMP may be dependent on cell-specific determinants and *TP53*

status (Figure S4G).

***BIRC5* deletion restores caspase activity in *TP53* mutated AML**

We next searched for targetable dependencies that sensitize *TP53* mutated cells to

apoptosis using genome-wide CRISPR knockout screening in $TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/R248Q}$, and

$TP53^{-/-}$ isogenic MOLM-13 AML cells treated with DMSO or VenAza (Figure 3A). We

utilized the Brunello library comprising 77,441 sgRNAs targeting 19,114 genes.[37]

Comparison between $TP53^{+/+}$ isogenic MOLM-13 and parental MOLM-13 confirmed that

clonal variability did not significantly affect functional dependency (Figure S5A). We

identified that the top 100 VenAza sensitizing genes commonly shared across all genotypes

were from the pro-apoptotic BCL-2 family, *BAX, PMAIP1* (NOXA), and *BCL2L11* (BIM)

(Figure 3B and S5B). Since BH3-only proteins remained key sensitizers to VenAza despite

*TP53* mutations, we next focused on genes involved in VenAza resistance and the apoptosis

pathway. Using stringent filtering criteria, we first selected for 268 genes from in the

Hallmark apoptosis and KEGG apoptosis gene sets from each genotype ($TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-}$

$^{/R248Q}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$) before filtering for the top 100 hits, which narrowed down to a total of 7

resistance and 11 sensitizing genes (Figure S5C). *BCL2L1* (BCL-xL) was among the top

scoring resistance genes in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells (Figure 3B and S5C). In $TP53^{-/R248Q}$

cells, *BIRC5* also stood out as resistant gene, since it encodes survivin, an inhibitor of

apoptosis (IAP) family protein (Figure S5C). We next compared functional dependencies of

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells against $TP53^{+/+}$ cells after VenAza treatment to determine the

extent to which genes sensitize or confer resistance to *TP53* mutant or knockout cells relative

to *TP53* wild-type cells in order to identify mutation-modulated treatment responses. We

previously showed that $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells have increased BIM and BID levels despite presence

of BAX downregulation and MCL-1 upregulation, which resulted in comparable MOMP with

$TP53^{+/+}$ cells (Figure 2A-2C). Similarly, we identified that between VenAza treated cells,

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells with BID or *BCL2L11* (BIM) knockout were enriched as

compared to $TP53^{+/+}$ cells, indicating that the upregulation of BID and BIM indeed had a

stronger influence in pushing these cells towards MOMP (Figure 3C). On the other hand,

BAX, a sensitizing gene, was strongly enriched in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells, suggesting that BAX plays a

stronger role to regulate MOMP in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells (Figure 3C). Interestingly, we found that the

knockout of *BIRC5*, a gene conferring resistance, greatly induced cell death in both $TP53^{-/R248Q}$

and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells compared to $TP53^{+/+}$ cells (Figure 3C). We thus hypothesized that

*BIRC5* deletion would overcome VenAza resistance in *TP53* mutated cells. Doxycycline

(Doxy) inducible conditional genetic knockout of *BIRC5* (*BIRC5*-KO) sensitized $TP53^{-/R248Q}$,

$TP53^{-/R175H}$, and $TP53^{-/R273H}$ cells to VenAza (Figure 3D). Since survivin may inhibit caspase

activation through the HBXIP co-factor, we then investigated if caspase blockade in *TP53*

mutated cells is *BIRC5*-dependent. Indeed, *BIRC5*-KO showed increased caspase-9 and -3/7

activity in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$, $TP53^{-/R175H}$, $TP53^{-/R273H}$, and $TP53^{+/+}$ cells treated with VenAza,

highlighting the role of *BIRC5* in driving caspase inactivation (Figure 3E and S5D). Survivin

encoded by *BIRC5* promotes XIAP stabilization by antagonizing the RING E3 ligase

domain,[38] prompting us to evaluate involvement of other IAPs. We found that *BIRC5*-KO

downregulated XIAP and c-IAP1 (Figure 3F). Although prior reports have shown that XIAP

may directly inhibit caspase-9 and caspase-3/7 activity,[39,40] however, deletion of *XIAP*

(*XIAP*-KO) failed to restore caspase activity or trigger VenAza-induced cell death in *TP53*-

*/R248Q* cells (Figure S5E). Moreover, *XIAP* overexpression did not protect *TP53*$^{+/+}$ cells from

VenAza (Figure S5F). These findings convey that in *TP53* mutated AML, caspase inhibition

is directly mediated by *BIRC5* rather than *XIAP*, suggesting that other IAPs may indirectly

cooperate with *BIRC5* to regulate therapy-induced apoptosis in these cells.

**Wild-type *TP53* represses IAPs**

Having established BIRC5 dependency in TP53 mutated cells, we interrogated the

regulatory relationship between *TP53* and *BIRC5*. We utilized nutlin-3a, an MDM2

antagonist that stabilizes p53 by disrupting p53-MDM2 interactions. We found that *TP53*

stabilization by nutlin-3a decreased XIAP and survivin in *TP53*$^{+/+}$ cells but not *TP53*$^{-/R248Q}$

cells (Figure 3G). Next, to determine if p53-mediated suppression of *BIRC5* and *XIAP*

occurred through direct promoter binding, we analyzed published chromatin-

immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) data in isogenic MOLM-13 cells. However, we found no

evidence of direct p53 binding to *XIAP* nor *BIRC5* promoters (Figure S5G).[23] RNA-seq in

*TP53*$^{+/+}$, *TP53*$^{-/R248Q}$, and *TP53*$^{-/-}$ isogenic MOLM-13 AML cells treated with DMSO or

VenAza revealed elevated *BIRC5* expression in both *TP53*$^{-/R248Q}$ and *TP53*$^{-/-}$ cells at baseline

and after VenAza, which was further validated by RT-qPCR (Figure S5H-I). Our findings

suggest that wild-type *TP53* may negatively regulate *BIRC5* in response to therapy-induced

stress, a function lost upon *TP53* mutation.

**IAPs are upregulated in *TP53* mutated isogenic AML cells**

We next adopted global proteomics to identify determinants of VenAza resistance in

*TP53* mutated AML. Data-independent acquisition (DIA) proteomics revealed distinct

clustering of untreated samples based on *TP53* status ($TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/R248Q}$, or $TP53^{-/-}$)

(Figure S6A). Among apoptotic proteins, we observed significant downregulation of BAX

and PUMA (*BBC3*) in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells compared to $TP53^{+/+}$ (Figure S6B).

Notably, survivin and XIAP were significantly upregulated while BRUCE, another IAP

family member, was downregulated (Figure 4A). Although BRUCE downregulation typically

implies reduced degradation of caspase-9 and SMAC,[41] immunoblot analysis across $TP53^{-/mut}$

cells showed no such changes (Figure 4B). At baseline, *TP53* loss led to consistent

upregulation of survivin, XIAP, and cIAP-1, while $TP53^{-/mut}$ cells showed variable expression

compared to $TP53^{+/+}$ (Figure 4B). To extend these findings, we validated IAP (survivin,

XIAP, cIAP-1) upregulation in additional $TP53^{-/-}$ cell lines: MOLM-13, MV-4-11, and HCT-

116 (Figure 4C). Together, these findings provide powerful evidence that *TP53* mutated cells

maintain elevated levels of IAPs that perhaps mediate apoptosis evasion.

**BIRC5 is upregulated in *TP53* mutant human patient tumors**

To further explore clinical relevance of IAP upregulation in *TP53* mutated AML, we

next turned to primary tumors. Investigation in published datasets, TCGA (14 *TP53*-mut

versus 159 *TP53*-WT) and BeatAML (35 *TP53*-mut versus 404 *TP53*-WT), revealed that

among IAPs, *BIRC5* was upregulated in *TP53*-mut tumors (Figure S6C). This expands on

previous data showing *BIRC5* upregulation in various cancers, including AML.[42,43] To

address limited sample size of *TP53* mutant patients in these cohorts, we next compared

transcriptomic signatures in newly diagnosed AML patients (63 *TP53*-mut versus 668 *TP53*-

WT) from the unpublished Munich Leukemia Laboratory (MLL) dataset. Consistent with

TCGA and BeatAML studies, we observed downregulation of pro-death genes (*BAX*, *CASP2*,

and *TP53*) and upregulation of anti-death genes (*MAPK1* and *PIK3R2*) (Figure 4D and S6D).

*BIRC5* emerged as one of the most upregulated genes in *TP53*-mut tumors, consistent with

our findings in isogenic AML cell lines (Figure 4D-4E). Beyond AML, 17/25 different

cancers from the TCGA cohort revealed *BIRC5* upregulation in TP53-mut tumors,

underscoring a strong relationship with *BIRC5* (Figure 4F). Since *TP53* mutations often

coincide with chromosome 17p loss, and *BIRC5* resides on chromosome 17q, we asked

whether *BIRC5* upregulation was linked to chromosomal changes. We analyzed karyotype

across 63 *TP53*-mut AML patients from the MLL dataset and found no significant difference

in *BIRC5* expression when comparing 17p/17q chromosomal gains to losses, isolated 17p

loss, or trisomy events affecting 17p/17q (Figure S7A). We next analyzed 17 cancers from

the TCGA cohort that showed *BIRC5* upregulation in *TP53*-mutant tumors and selected 6

cancers with substantial 17p loss cases (*TP53*-mut > 100 patients, 17p loss > 50% patients).

BRCA, STAD, and LUSC showed increased *BIRC5* levels when comparing 17p loss/17q

gain to 17p loss/ 17q loss (Figure S7B). LUSC also exhibited significantly elevated *BIRC5*

expression levels in cases with concurrent 17p loss and 17q gain compared to those

displaying isolated 17p loss, isolated 17q gain, or karyotypically intact chromosome 17

(Figure S7B). Our findings indicate that genomic instability may contribute to *BIRC5*

upregulation in specific *TP53*-mut cancers.

To interrogate survivin upregulation at the protein level, we performed RPPA in

primary AML tumors. Survivin expression levels were significantly elevated in *TP53*-mut

tumors relative to *TP53*-WT tumors, and in complex-karyotype tumors (defined as >3

chromosomal abnormalities) compared to non-complex karyotypes (Figure 4G). Enrichment

of survivin was not observed in *FLT3*, *IDH1/2*, *NPM1,* and *RUNX1* mutant patients (Figure

S7C). As expected and previously reported, *TP53* mutant AML patients had significantly

worse survival outcomes compared to wild-type patients (Figure S7D).  Next, we stratified

AML patients by *BIRC5* expression level. Elevated *BIRC5* level in AML patients resulted in

poor survival outcomes (Figure 4H). Importantly, these findings establish *BIRC5*

upregulation as a compelling therapeutic target across multiple *TP53* mutated tumors.

**Unbiased drug screening identifies survivin and IAP inhibitors as actionable therapy**

**for *TP53* mutant tumors**

To identify therapeutic vulnerabilities in *TP53* mutated cells, we applied an unbiased

high-throughput drug screening approach. We screened 289 clinically relevant agents, where

a majority of them are FDA-approved or in Phase II/III trials, against isogenic $TP53^{+/+}$,

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$ MOLM-13 cells (Figure 5A). We combined VenAza at the $TP53^{+/+}$

IC50 concentration with each third agent at 10 nM and 1000 nM (Figure S8A). Screen quality

was validated, as demonstrated by the consistency between replicates, where correlation

coefficients were greater than 0.95, emphasizing the reliability of our results (Figure S8B).

Notably, $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells showed reduced drug sensitivity compared $TP53^{+/+}$ cells, with

fewer agents scoring at both 10 nM (16 versus 18) and 1000 nM (54 versus 95) (Figure 5B

and S8C). Reduced sensitivity in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells aligns with clinical observations of *TP53*

mutations conferring resistance to conventional treatments. Since we had multiple drugs

targeting similar pathways, we grouped all 289 agents according to their drug class to

determine if agents from same drug class had similar efficacy at 10 nM. We identified that

drugs targeting apoptosis, cell cycle regulators, and receptor kinase pathway modulators were

prominent clusters, showing consistent strong response across all genotypes (Figure 5C and

S8D-E).

We next examined drug sensitivity patterns to search for agents with selective activity

by *TP53* status. We selected the top 10% of scored agents from each genotype and identified

that the majority (22/29 agents) scored in all genotypes, suggesting that effectiveness of these

drugs transcends the influence of *TP53* status (Figure 5D). Daunorubicin and idasanutlin

scored selectively in $TP53^{+/+}$ cells, confirming their dependence on functional $TP53$ for anti-

tumor activity (Figure 5D).[44] Z-score analysis comparing $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells to

$TP53^{+/+}$ cells consistently scored survivin (sepantronium bromide, NSC-80467) and IAP

(birinapant, AZD5582) inhibitors in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells, incriminating IAPs as key

drivers of therapy-induced resistance (Figure 5B and S8C). Additional hits included

inhibitors of HDAC (quisinostat, panobinostat), cell cycle (dinaciclib, prexasertib, filanesib),

and apoptosis (MCL-1 targeters: S63845) (Figure 5B, 5D, S8C, and 8E). To investigate

monotherapy efficacy for identified top hits in $TP53$ mutated cells, we performed validation

screening at seven-point concentrations. This validation screening uncovered thirteen drugs

with IC50 <20 nM, including survivin and IAP inhibitors (Figure S8F). Among these,

birinapant demonstrated superior synergy in combination with VenAza (Figure 5E).

Together, both our CRISPR and drug screens converged on survivin and IAPs as potentially

targetable dependencies in $TP53$ mutated AML to evade therapy-induced apoptosis.

**Survivin and IAP inhibitors restore sensitivity to VenAza in $TP53$ mutated AML**

Having identified survivin (sepantronium bromide, NSC-80467) and IAP (birinapant,

AZD5582) inhibitors as top hits from the drug screen, we next investigated their therapeutic

potential in $TP53$ mutated AML. As single agents, AZD5582, birinapant, sepantronium

bromide, and NSC-80467 were potent to $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells (Figure S9A). The

efficacy of birinapant extended to five additional isogenic AML cell lines harboring different

$TP53$ mutations (Figure S9B). Notably, combination of birinapant with VenAza (VenAza-

Bir) led to >10-fold reduction in Ven IC50 in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and led to robust apoptosis

induction across all three genotypes (Figure 6A-B and S9C). Addition of NSC-80467

likewise restored VenAza sensitivity in both $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells (Figure S9D).

Since *TP53* mutated AML showed block in caspase activation, we next tested whether

IAP inhibitors mechanistically restored sensitivity by reactivating caspases. Birinapant

treatment reduced XIAP and cIAP-1 levels in $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells (Figure 6C). In

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells, birinapant increased cleaved caspase-9, -3/7, and PARP with corresponding

increase in caspase-3/7 activity, which VenAza alone had a limited effect (Figure 6C-D).

Additionally, birinapant triggered loss in mitochondrial membrane potential in $TP53^{+/+}$,

$TP53^{-/R248Q}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells, indicating restored caspase-9 and -3/7 activity (Figure S9E).

Since survivin regulates both apoptosis and cell division, we then asked whether survivin

inhibitors restore VenAza sensitivity through apoptotic pathways. Both survivin inhibitors,

NSC-80467 and sepantronium bromide, enhanced caspase-3/7 activity in $TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-}$

$^{/R248Q}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$ cells (Figure S9F). Sepantronium bromide increased Annexin V/PI staining

and a higher proportion of cells in the sub-G1 phase, both indicative of elevated apoptosis

levels (Figure S9G-H). These findings demonstrate that survivin and IAP inhibitors overcome

therapy resistance in *TP53* mutated AML by restoring caspase-dependent apoptosis.

**Survivin inhibitors overcome chemotherapy resistance in *TP53* deficient solid cancers**

To explore the broader therapeutic potential of survivin and IAP inhibitors, we

investigated their efficacy in *TP53* deficient CRC and TNBC cells. Survivin inhibitors

showed enhanced potency in $TP53^{-/-}$ HCT-116 and CAL-51 compared to $TP53^{+/+}$ (Figure S10

A). Furthermore, addition of survivin inhibitors restored chemosensitivity to 5-FU and

doxorubicin (Figure S10B). In contrast to AML, birinapant, an IAP inhibitor, failed to

enhance chemotherapy efficacy in $TP53^{-/-}$ HCT-116 and CAL-51 cells (Figure S10C). This

highlights survivin inhibitors as a class of agents that may be effective in multiple *TP53*

mutated cancers beyond AML.

Having found reduction in caspase activity in TP53 deficient CRC and TNBC, we

investigated the mechanism of survivin inhibitor efficacy. Surprisingly, the cytotoxic effects

of sepantronium bromide and NSC-80467 occurred independent of caspase restoration

(Figure S10D). Hence, we next measured cell cycle profiles and found minimal sub-G1

accumulation post-treatment (<5%) but prominent G2/M accumulation, consistent with

mitotic arrest (Figure S10E). These findings demonstrate that survivin inhibitors mediate

sensitization in *TP53* mutated tumors via distinct paths: caspase restoration in AML and

mitotic arrest in solid tumors. Despite these tissue-specific mechanisms, Survivin inhibitors

consistently overcame both chemotherapy and targeted therapy resistance across different

tumor types. This provides compelling rationale for combining standard of care drugs with

Survivin inhibitors to resensitize *TP53* mutant cancers.

**Survivin and IAP inhibitors cause leukemia inhibition *in vivo***

To validate therapeutic efficacy *in vivo*, we treated $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ AML

xenografts with VenAza-Bir or VenAza and sepantronium bromide (VenAza-Sep) (Figure 6E

and S11A). Clinically, VenAza is standard of care for AML patients irrespective *TP53* status.

Since *TP53* mutant patients perform poorly on VenAza, we first tested *in vivo* efficacy of

VenAza-Bir at 5 days post-engraftment. VenAza-Bir was superior in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ mice

(median 44.5 days) compared to single agent birinapant (median 19 days) or VenAza alone

(median 26 days) in $TP53^{+/+}$ mice (Figure S11B). We further tested VenAza-Bir efficacy in a

more clinically relevant setting with treatment delayed until 15 days post-engraftment (when

mice displayed partial hind-limb paralysis from tumor burden). With delayed time to

treatment, $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ mice showed rapid leukemia progression on VenAza. However,

VenAza-Bir significantly reduced circulating blasts and demonstrated superior tumor

suppression in spleen and bone marrow compared to VenAza alone in both genotypes (Figure

6F). This translated to improved survival in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ mice receiving VenAza-Bir (median

33 days) versus VenAza alone (22 days) or VenAza in $TP53^{+/+}$ mice (27 days) (Figure 6G).

VenAza-Sep also showed comparable anti-leukemic efficacy in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ mice

across peripheral blood and spleen compartments but limited activity in bone marrow (Figure

S11C). Since sepantronium bromide has short plasma half-life (approximately 1-2 hours),[45]

we speculate limited distribution of drug to the bone marrow compartment. $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ mice

treated with VenAza-Sep had greater survival (median 27 days) over VenAza alone in $TP53^{-}$

$^{/R248Q}$ mice (median 22 days), which was equal to VenAza alone in $TP53^{+/+}$ mice (median 27

days) (Figure S11D). All treatments were well-tolerated, with mice receiving VenAza-Bir

maintaining body weights comparable to those on VenAza alone (Figure S11E). Furthermore,

histological assessment of various organs from $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ mice following 6-weeks of

VenAza-Bir treatment showed selective effects in bone marrow and spleen and preservation

of healthy tissue in the lung, liver, heart, and kidney (Figure S11F). Collectively, survivin and

IAP inhibitors were effective therapeutic partners for standard of care therapy in *TP53*

mutated AML.

***TP53* mutant patient HSC/MPP single cells have elevated *BIRC5***

To investigate the clinical significance of BIRC5 expression in therapy resistance, we

performed single-cell RNA-seq on paired diagnostic and relapse (with and without

venetoclax-based combination therapy) samples from 22 AML patients – of which 7 are

TP53 mutated and 15 did not have TP53 mutation (Figure 7A). Cell types were annotated

based on established lineage-specific gene markers and leukemic blast cells (malignant) were

distinguished from non-malignant cells (Figure 7A). Removing non-malignant cells, initial

analysis at diagnosis revealed that a unique cellular composition of BIRC5 expressing cells.

Specifically, BIRC5 is commonly expressed in the erythroid cells (pink, orange, dark

orange), pDC cells (teal), and in hematopoietic stem cells and multipotent progenitor cells

(HSC/MPP) (Figure 7A and S12A).

Longitudinal analysis of patients treated with venetoclax-based combination therapy

revealed that *TP53* wild-type patients demonstrated therapeutic efficacy through marked

reduction in HSC/MPP populations, while a significant portion of HSC/MPPs remained in

*TP53* mutant patients post treatment (Figure 7B and S12B). Notably, expansion in monocytic

cell populations was observed in *TP53* wild-type patients and in T and NK cell populations in

*TP53* mutant patients (Figure 7B and S12B). Strikingly, within the HSC/MPP cell

populations, cells from TP53 mutant patients saw an increase in BIRC5 expressing cells and

BIRC5 expression post treatment (Figure 7C-D). These findings not only establish BIRC5 as

a characteristic feature of TP53 mutant tumors but also identify BIRC5-high cells as key

mediators of venetoclax resistance in both wild-type and TP53 mutant contexts. Given that

TP53 mutated AML patients historically respond poorly to standard of care VenAza, these

discoveries provide a new biological understanding and treatment options for this highly-

resistant subgroup of AML patients.

**DISCUSSION**

Therapy resistance remains a significant roadblock to cancer cure in *TP53* mutated

tumors despite understanding tumorigenic mechanisms. Ominously, AML patients with *TP53*

mutations demonstrate upfront resistance towards both conventional chemotherapy and

VenAza therapy.[1–3,8–11] Moreover, genetic screening in AML cell lines has linked venetoclax

resistance to *TP53* loss.[46–48] Here, we report that addition of survivin and IAP inhibitors to

VenAza outperformed leukemia inhibition *in vivo* compared to VenAza alone in *TP53*

mutated and wild-type xenografts. These findings have massive translational significance

since *TP53* mutant AML patients relapse on VenAza in <6 months, and recent clinical trials

with eprenetapopt (p53 activator) plus azacitidine (hypomethylator) and magrolimab (anti-

CD47 antibody) plus azacitidine have been met with disappointment.[49–52]

While prior studies have focused on activating canonical wild-type *TP53* target genes

via *TP53* activators to overcome therapy resistance,[53,54] we alternatively explored *TP53*

functions beyond transactivation. *TP53* mutations caused loss of numerous pro-apoptotic

targets, yet BIM-EL upregulation in AML and solid cancers implied revival of apoptotic

signaling. Indeed, our study highlights the previously unreported discovery that MOMP is not

the point of no return for *TP53* mutant tumors to evade therapy-induced apoptosis.

Furthermore, we identified that wild-type *TP53* is required for post-MOMP caspase

activation. Caspase inactivation was a conserved multi-cancer mechanism of resistance for

tumors with homozygously deleted *TP53* (AML, CRC, and TNBC), regardless of

chemotherapy or targeted therapy. While most studies have focused on *TP53* deletions, there

is emerging evidence that *TP53* mutations also show distinct phenotypes and clinical

outcomes.[55] Across our six different *TP53* missense mutations in AML, caspase blockade

was a conversed phenomenon to evade therapy-induced apoptosis.

Beyond transactivation, *TP53* negatively regulates many targets,[23,39,56,57] but whether

one target stands out from the rest as the core driver of therapy resistance following mutation

had been undefined. By whole-genome CRISPR screen, we unveiled *BIRC5* (survivin) as one

such functional dependency of *TP53* mutated AML to evade therapy-induced apoptosis.

While *BIRC5* overexpression in cancer tissues has been recognized,[58] we show that genomic

heterogeneity drives differential *BIRC5* expression. Across different AML-associated

recurrent gene mutations (*FLT3, IDH2, NPM1, RUNX1*), only *TP53* mutations and complex

karyotypes conferred *BIRC5* upregulation. More broadly, in >100 *TP53* mutated AML

primary tumors and 18 additional tumor types from the TCGA cohort, *BIRC5* upregulation

was consistently observed. In AML, *BIRC5* deletion restored caspase-9 and -3/7 activity and

downregulated other IAPs, highlighting a relationship between survivin and caspases.

Although survivin is classified as an IAP, whether it is directly inhibiting caspases remains

controversial due to lack of a C-terminal ring finger domain.[40,59] Some studies suggested that

survivin inhibits caspases by indirect binding of caspase-9 through stabilization of XIAP, by

direct binding of Survivin-HBXIP complex to procaspase-9 to prevent binding to APAF1, or

by antagonizing SMAC.[59]

We identified *BIRC5* as a targetable vulnerability to overcome therapy resistance in

*TP53* mutant tumors (Figure 7E). That being said, our findings reveal that mechanisms of

cytotoxicity following survivin inhibition may vary by tumor type. In *TP53* mutated AML,

where cells are inherently primed for apoptosis, survivin primarily functions as an IAP to

block caspases. Consequently, survivin inhibition overcomes VenAza resistance primarily by

restoring caspase activity to induce apoptosis. In contrast, *TP53* deficient solid tumors, which

are less apoptotically primed, response to survivin inhibition might be via G2/M arrest and

subsequent mitotic catastrophe rather than caspase reactivation. Furthermore, the

vulnerability to G2/M transition modulators in *TP53* mutated cells extends beyond survivin –

our drug screen identified additional effective agents targeting this phase, including aurora-B

kinase inhibitor, barasertib, and kinesin spindle protein inhibitor, filanesib. This sensitivity

likely emerges because *TP53* mutated cells, while able to bypass G1/S checkpoints, become

increasingly dependent on mitotic regulators by upregulating survivin for successful cell

division.

Nevertheless, survivin's unique dual role in both apoptosis and mitosis makes it a

particularly promising therapeutic target. Our longitudinal single-cell RNA sequencing

analysis revealed selective enrichment of *BIRC5* expression within therapy-resistant

HSC/MPP populations following venetoclax-based treatment, implying *TP53* mutation status

601 can guide patient stratification and rational implementation of survivin/IAP inhibitor therapy.

602 However, several questions remain, including whether different *TP53* mutations

603 preferentially rely on either anti-apoptotic or mitotic functions of survivin and how different

604 co-mutations and varying degrees of genomic instability might influence these functional

605 dependencies. Regardless, our findings provide compelling rationale for clinical investigation

606 of survivin and IAP inhibitors, as well as other agents targeting survivin's diverse functions in

607 *TP53* mutated cancers.

609 **Statement of Contributions**

610 S.B. conceptualized the study, designed the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. A.M.M.

611 and F.Q.L. conceptualized and designed experiments, designed figures, performed

612 experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript; both authors contributed equally. Y.M.

613 performed high-throughput drug screening and validation in isogenic AML cell lines. E.A.O.

614 designed figures and wrote the manuscript. C.G.T.C., Y.W., J.Y.M.T., and K.S.B. performed

615 experiments and analyzed data. S.J. performed BH3 profiling and DBP experiments and

616 analyzed data. X.X.L. and A.N.M. analyzed and plotted data. V.S. performed proteomics

617 experiments, analyzed data, and critically reviewed the manuscript. C.W. generated *TP53*

618 knockout AML cell lines. P.M. performed mass spectrometry proteomics and analyzed data.

619 L.H. designed BH3 profiling experiments. B.D.B. and S.M.K. designed survival experiments,

620 measured survivin levels in the MD Anderson patient dataset, and analyzed data. T.H.

621 provided bulk transcriptomic analysis of the MLL patient dataset. R.C.L. provided samples

622 for BH3 profiling and sequenced *TP53* status from DFCI patients. A.P. supervised and

623 analyzed bulk RNA-seq in isogenic AML cell lines. A.L. supervised and guided BH3

624 profiling experiments and critically reviewed the manuscript. J.K. designed and supervised

625 experiments, analyzed proteomics data, and critically reviewed the manuscript. E.A. analyzed

single cell and bulk data from the MLL dataset and MD Anderson Moon Shots dataset. K.I.

provided expertise regarding acquisition of *BIRC5* upregulation and critically reviewed the

manuscript. M.A. supervised experiments on the MD Anderson dataset, provided patient

samples, and contributed to single cell/bulk RNA-seq and proteomics experiments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A detailed description of experimental methods, including alkaline comet assay, animal

studies, beta-galactosidase senescence assay, BH3 profiling, dynamic BH3 profiling, caspase

luminescence assay, cell culture, cell cycle/apoptosis and viability studies, clonal competition

assay, colony forming assay, CRISPR library screen, cytotoxicity assay, data-independent

acquisition (DIA) proteomics, drug library screen, generation of knockout and overexpression

cells, patient cohorts, reverse phase protein array, RT-qPCR, RNA-seq, scRNQ-seq, TMRE

staining, and western blotting/coimmunoprecipitation, can be found in the supplement.

**Statistical significance**

Calculation of statistical significance was conducted using two-tailed t-tests unless otherwise

specified. Proteomics analysis via two-sided t-test adjusted with Benjamini-Hochberg

method. One-tailed Wilcoxon test to assess MLL, BeatAML and TCGA datasets and

differential survivin protein expression between mutant vs non-mutant *TP53* or complex vs

non-complex karyotype in clinical samples. Differences in cumulative survival probability

was determined by Kaplan-Meier Analysis. Caspase assay: Calculation of statistical

significance was conducted using two-tailed t-tests. For all figures, $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$,

$***p<0.001$, $****p<0.0001$.

**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** *TP53* **mutated isogenic AML cells have reduced apoptosis and viability in**

**response to standard therapy.**

**(A)** Schematic for functional analysis of *TP53* AML (MOLM-13, MV-4-11), CRC (HCT-

116), and TNBC (CAL-51) cells. **(B)** Cell viability of *TP53* active (EV, WT) and knockout

(sg1, sg2, KO) cells treated with standard of care chemotherapy for 72 hours: AML (MOLM-

13, MV-4-11) with Ven and 50 nM Aza, CRC (HCT-166) with 5-FU, and TNBC (CAL-51)

with doxorubicin (Dox). **(C)** Representative images of colony formation assays showing

increased growth of MOLM-13 *TP53*$^{-/R248Q}$ cells treated with DMSO or VenAza for 72 hours

in RPMI followed by 9 days in Methocult. Colony forming units were normalized to

respective DMSO treated cells. **(D)** Clonal competition assay showed that *TP53*$^{-/R248Q}$ and

*TP53*$^{-/-}$ cells have competitive advantage compared to *TP53*$^{+/+}$ cells when treated with

VenAza for 10 days. **(E-F)** Annexin V and PI or DAPI assay demonstrated greater viable cell

populations in *TP53* mutated cells: **(E)** MOLM-13 with VenAza, cytarabine (200 nM), or

etoposide (200 nM); **(F)** MV-4-11 with VenAza, CAL-51 with doxorubicin (50 nM), and

HCT-116 with 5-FU (50 μM). **(G)** Alkaline comet assay of *TP53*$^{+/+}$ and *TP53*$^{-/R248Q}$. MOLM-

13 cells treated with DMSO or 1 nM Ven and 50 nM Aza for 7 days reveals increased tail

moment in *TP53* mutated cells. See Figure S2 for quantification. For all figures unless

otherwise specified, treatment with VenAza: venetoclax 3 nM, azacitidine 50 nM.

**Figure 2. *TP53* mutated AML cells fail to activate caspases despite MOMP induction in**

**response to therapy.**

**(A)** Immunoblotting of BH3 family proteins and other apoptotic factors in $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-}$

$^{/R248Q}$ cells treated with DMSO or VenAza for 24 hours. **(B)** Coimmunoprecipitation assay

identifying interactions between BIM:MCL-1 or BIM:BCL-2. **(C)** Baseline BH3 profiling

heatmap showing mitochondrial priming response of DMSO and titrated BIM for AML

(MOLM-13 $TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/mut}$, $TP53^{-/-}$, and MV-4-11), CRC (HCT-116), and TNBC (CAL-

51) cells. BIM concentrations used: MOLM-13 $TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/mut}$, $TP53^{-/-}$: 0.03-3.0 μM;

MV-4-11: 0.1-0.5 μM; HCT-116 and CAL-51: 0.1-1.0 μM. Dagger = decreased priming.

Asterisk = increased priming. **(D)** BH3 profiles for BIM peptide and venetoclax as a peptide

in primary AML samples based on *TP53* status. **(E)** Dynamic BH3 profiling heatmap after

treating MOLM-13 isogenic cells AML ($TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/mut}$, $TP53^{-/-}$) for 4 hours with VenAza

(1 nM Ven and 50 nM Aza). Dagger = decreased priming. Asterisk = increased priming. **(F)**

Western blot of cleaved caspase-9, cleaved caspase-3 and total caspase-3 after treatment of

MOLM-13 $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ with VenAza across different timepoints. **(G-H)**

Caspase-3/7 and caspase-9 activity measured in AML cells after 24 hours of VenAza, CRC

cells after 24 hours of 5-FU (50 μM), and TNBC cells after 48 hours of doxorubicin (Dox, 50

nM).

**Figure 3. Mutated *TP53* cell lines have upregulated *BIRC5*.**

**(A)** Schematic of CRISPR screen for identifying sensitizing and resistance genes. **(B)**

CRISPR screen for $TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/R248Q}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$ MOLM-13 cells treated with VenAza

and DMSO. Sensitize refers to genes that conferred higher killing (enriched sgRNA guides

after VenAza) and resistance refers to genes that conferred survival (depleted sgRNA guides

after VenAza). Cut-off was pre-determined by MAGeCKFlute, where cutoff scores for

$TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/R248Q}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$ were ±2.466, ±1.328 and ±1.738 respectively. **(C)** CRISPR

screen for $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ against $TP53^{+/+}$ MOLM-13 cells treated with VenAza. **(D-**

**E)** Cell viability and caspase-3/7 and -9 activity for $TP53^{-/R248Q}$, $TP53^{-/R175H}$, and $TP53^{-/273H}$

cells with *BIRC5*-KO after 72 hours of treatment with doxycycline (10 ng), VenAza (Ven 3

nM, Aza 50 nM), or combination. Data normalized to respective DMSO-treated cells. **(F)**

Western blot for indicated proteins with *BIRC5*-KO (Doxy +) and *XIAP*-KO (Doxy +). **(G)**

Western blot shows nutlin-3a (5 μM for 24 hours) downregulated surviving and XIAP

selectively in MOLM-13 $TP53^{+/+}$ but not $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells.

**Figure 4. Primary AML tumors and isogenic cells with *TP53* mutations have**

**upregulated *BIRC5*.**

**(A)** Scatterplot of data-independent acquisition (DIA) proteomics of isogenic MOLM-13

cells at the baseline. Protein expression in $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ and $TP53^{-/-}$ were compared to $TP53^{+/+}$

cells. Blue: pro-apoptotic proteins; green: anti-apoptotic proteins; red: inhibitor of apoptosis

proteins. Analysis via two-sided t-test adjusted with Benjamini-Hochberg method. **(B)**

Western blot showing baseline protein expression in $TP53^{+/+}$, $TP53^{-/mut}$, and $TP53^{-/-}$ MOLM-

13 cells. **(C)** Western blot showing baseline protein expression in $TP53^{+/+}$ or $TP53^{-/-}$ MOLM-

13, MV-4-11, and HCT-116 cell lines. **(D)** mRNA levels of selected apoptosis genes in AML

primary tumor cohort from the Munich Leukemia Laboratory dataset demonstrating

significant differences in gene expression in *TP53*-mut AML relative to *TP53*-WT AML. **(E)**

Expression level of *BIRC5* mRNA in primary AML patients from the Munich Leukemia

Laboratory stratified by *TP53* status. **(F)** Upregulation of *BIRC5* mRNA obtained from RNA-

seq of individual patients across multiple cancers from the TCGA database in 17 of 26

cancers. Analysis via Wilcoxon test. **(G)** Survivin protein levels measured via RPPA in AML

patients stratified by *TP53* status or karyotype. **(I)** Kaplan-Meier survival plots for groups

stratified according to survivin expression levels.

**Figure 5. High-throughput drug screening identifies Survivin and IAP inhibitors as**

**combination partners for sensitizing *TP53* mutated cells to VenAza.**

**(A)** Schematic of high-throughput drug screening in MOLM-13 *TP53$^{+/+}$*, *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$*, and

*TP53$^{-/-}$* cells treated with venetoclax (3 nM) and azacitidine (50 nM), with a third agent from

a drug library of 289 agents. The third agent is added at concentrations of 10 nM or 1000 nM.

Agents with z-score > 2 were selected. **(B)** Z-score correlation scatterplots comparing z-

scores of agents at 10 nM, with comparisons between *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$* vs *TP53$^{+/+}$*, *TP53$^{-/-}$* vs

*TP53$^{+/+}$*, and *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$* vs *TP53$^{+/+}$*. Z-score cut-off > 2 across all genotypes. **(C)** Cell

viability heatmap of all agents clustered by target pathway at 10 nM concentration. **(D)** Venn

diagram presenting the top 10% of scored agents from each genotype treated at 10 nM. Z-

score cut-off for *TP53$^{+/+}$*, *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$*, and *TP53$^{-/-}$* cells were 4.34, 3.71, and 4.72

respectively. **(E)** Synergy scores revealed that birinapant synergizes strongly with VenAza in

*TP53$^{+/+}$*, *TP53$^{-/R248Q}$*, and *TP53$^{-/-}$* MOLM-13 cells.

**Figure 6. Survivin and IAP inhibitors restore caspase activation leading to apoptosis in**

**mutated *TP53* AML cells and *in vivo* leukemia inhibition.**

**(A)** Cell viability of isogenic MOLM-13 $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells treated with Ven, 50

nM Aza, and 20 nM birinapant for 72 hours. **(B)** Annexin V-APC and PI assay showed

increased cell death populations in $TP53^{+/+}$ and $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells treated with VenAza,

birinapant, and VenAza plus birinapant (10 nM or 20 nM) for 72 hours. **(C)** Western blot

presenting reduced XIAP and cIAP-1 with increased cleaved caspase-9 and -3 after treatment

with birinapant (20 nM) for 24 hours. **(D)** Caspase-3/7 activity in isogenic MOLM-13 cells

treated with DMSO, VenAza, birinapant (20 nM), or VenAza-Bir for 24 hours. **(E)**

Schematic of *in vivo* study where NSG mice (n = 30) were engrafted with either isogenic

MOLM-13 $TP53^{+/+}$ or $TP53^{-/R248Q}$ cells. At 15 days post-transplant, mice were further split

into six groups and were treated with either vehicle, VenAza, or VenAza-Bir. **(F)** Blast count

percentages were reduced in the peripheral blood of mice 4 days and 11 days post-treatment

with birinapant. Blast count percentages in spleen and bone marrow were reduced at the end

point. **(G)** Survival percentage of mice by treatment group.

**Figure 7. The proportion of *TP53* mutated cells expressing *BIRC5* increases in AML**

**patients after relapse on venetoclax.**

**(A)** UMAP plot visualizing the distribution of single cells based on their hematopoietic and

leukemic subpopulations. **(B)** Proportion of cells treated with venetoclax expressing *BIRC5*

(defined as *BIRC5* gene expression > 0) in various cell subpopulations between two

timepoints (diagnosis, Dx and post-treatment, Post-tx) stratified by *TP53* mutation status. **(C)**

Sankey diagram illustrating the shift in percentage distribution of various cell subpopulations

treated with venetoclax between two time points, Dx and Post-tx, stratified by *TP53* mutation

status. **(D)** Expression levels of *BIRC5* in HSC/MPP cell subpopulations treated with

venetoclax between two timepoints, Dx and Post-tx, stratified by *TP53* mutation status. **(E)**

Schematic of *TP53* mutated AML evading apoptosis due to *BIRC5* upregulation mediated

caspase inactivation and resensitizing them by inhibitors of survivin and IAPs.

**REFERENCES**

1. Toma-Jonik A, Vydra N, Janus P, Widłak W. Interplay between HSF1 and p53 signaling pathways in cancer initiation and progression: non-oncogene and oncogene addiction. *Cell Oncol Dordr*. 2019;42(5):579-589. doi:10.1007/s13402-019-00452-0

2. Kastenhuber ER, Lowe SW. Putting p53 in Context. *Cell*. 2017;170(6):1062-1078. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.028

3. Barbosa K, Li S, Adams PD, Deshpande AJ. The role of TP53 in acute myeloid leukemia: Challenges and opportunities. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2019;58(12):875-888. doi:10.1002/gcc.22796

4. Papaemmanuil E, Gerstung M, Bullinger L, et al. Genomic Classification and Prognosis in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(23):2209-2221. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1516192

5. Lindsley RC, Saber W, Mar BG, et al. Prognostic Mutations in Myelodysplastic Syndrome after Stem-Cell Transplantation. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(6):536-547. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1611604

6. Feurstein S, Rücker FG, Bullinger L, et al. Haploinsufficiency of ETV6 and CDKN1B in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and complex karyotype. *BMC Genomics*. 2014;15(1):784. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-784

7. Pollyea DA, Pratz KW, Wei AH, et al. Outcomes in Patients with Poor-Risk Cytogenetics with or without TP53 Mutations Treated with Venetoclax and Azacitidine. *Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res*. 2022;28(24):5272-5279. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-22-1183

8. DiNardo CD, Pratz K, Pullarkat V, et al. Venetoclax combined with decitabine or azacitidine in treatment-naive, elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2019;133(1):7-17. doi:10.1182/blood-2018-08-868752

9. Konopleva M, Letai A. BCL-2 inhibition in AML: an unexpected bonus? *Blood*. 2018;132(10):1007-1012. doi:10.1182/blood-2018-03-828269

10. Wei AH, Strickland SA, Hou JZ, et al. Venetoclax Combined With Low-Dose Cytarabine for Previously Untreated Patients With Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Results From a Phase Ib/II Study. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2019;37(15):1277-1284. doi:10.1200/JCO.18.01600

11. DiNardo CD, Jonas BA, Pullarkat V, et al. Azacitidine and Venetoclax in Previously Untreated Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(7):617-629. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2012971

12. Kim K, Maiti A, Loghavi S, et al. Outcomes of TP53-mutant acute myeloid leukemia with decitabine and venetoclax. *Cancer*. 2021;127(20):3772-3781. doi:10.1002/cncr.33689

13. Daver N, Wei AH, Pollyea DA, Fathi AT, Vyas P, DiNardo CD. New directions for
emerging therapies in acute myeloid leukemia: the next chapter. *Blood Cancer J*.
2020;10(10):107. doi:10.1038/s41408-020-00376-1

14. Green DR, Kroemer G. Cytoplasmic functions of the tumour suppressor p53. *Nature*.
2009;458(7242):1127-1130. doi:10.1038/nature07986

15. Yu J, Wang Z, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Zhang L. PUMA mediates the apoptotic
response to p53 in colorectal cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(4):1931-
1936. doi:10.1073/pnas.2627984100

16. Oda E, Ohki R, Murasawa H, et al. Noxa, a BH3-only member of the Bcl-2 family and
candidate mediator of p53-induced apoptosis. *Science*. 2000;288(5468):1053-1058.
doi:10.1126/science.288.5468.1053

17. Miyashita T, Harigai M, Hanada M, Reed JC. Identification of a p53-dependent negative
response element in the bcl-2 gene. *Cancer Res*. 1994;54(12):3131-3135.

18. Wu Y, Mehew JW, Heckman CA, Arcinas M, Boxer LM. Negative regulation of bcl-2
expression by p53 in hematopoietic cells. *Oncogene*. 2001;20(2):240-251.
doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1204067

19. Chipuk JE, Kuwana T, Bouchier-Hayes L, et al. Direct activation of Bax by p53 mediates
mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. *Science*. 2004;303(5660):1010-
1014. doi:10.1126/science.1092734

20. Chipuk JE, Bouchier-Hayes L, Kuwana T, Newmeyer DD, Green DR. PUMA couples
the nuclear and cytoplasmic proapoptotic function of p53. *Science*.
2005;309(5741):1732-1735. doi:10.1126/science.1114297

21. Leu JIJ, Dumont P, Hafey M, Murphy ME, George DL. Mitochondrial p53 activates Bak
and causes disruption of a Bak-Mcl1 complex. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2004;6(5):443-450.
doi:10.1038/ncb1123

22. Tait SWG, Parsons MJ, Llambi F, et al. Resistance to caspase-independent cell death
requires persistence of intact mitochondria. *Dev Cell*. 2010;18(5):802-813.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2010.03.014

23. Boettcher S, Miller PG, Sharma R, et al. A dominant-negative effect drives selection of
TP53 missense mutations in myeloid malignancies. *Science*. 2019;365(6453):599-604.
doi:10.1126/science.aax3649

24. Aubrey BJ, Kelly GL, Janic A, Herold MJ, Strasser A. How does p53 induce apoptosis
and how does this relate to p53-mediated tumour suppression? *Cell Death Differ*.
2018;25(1):104-113. doi:10.1038/cdd.2017.169

25. Diepstraten ST, Yuan Y, La Marca JE, et al. Putting the STING back into BH3-mimetic
drugs for TP53-mutant blood cancers. *Cancer Cell*. 2024;42(5):850-868.e9.
doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2024.04.004

26. Bhatt S, Pioso MS, Olesinski EA, et al. Reduced Mitochondrial Apoptotic Priming
Drives Resistance to BH3 Mimetics in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancer Cell*.
2020;38(6):872-890.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.10.010

27. Zhang Q, Riley-Gillis B, Han L, et al. Activation of RAS/MAPK pathway confers MCL-
1 mediated acquired resistance to BCL-2 inhibitor venetoclax in acute myeloid leukemia.
*Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2022;7(1):1-13. doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00870-3

28. Niu X, Zhao J, Ma J, et al. Binding of Released Bim to Mcl-1 is a Mechanism of Intrinsic
Resistance to ABT-199 which can be Overcome by Combination with Daunorubicin or
Cytarabine in AML Cells. *Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res*.
2016;22(17):4440-4451. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-3057

29. Ramsey HE, Fischer MA, Lee T, et al. A Novel MCL1 Inhibitor Combined with
Venetoclax Rescues Venetoclax-Resistant Acute Myelogenous Leukemia. *Cancer
Discov*. 2018;8(12):1566-1581. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0140

30. Carter BZ, Mak PY, Tao W, et al. Targeting MCL-1 dysregulates cell metabolism and
leukemia-stroma interactions and resensitizes acute myeloid leukemia to BCL-2
inhibition. *Haematologica*. 2022;107(1):58-76. doi:10.3324/haematol.2020.260331

31. Yuda J, Will C, Phillips DC, et al. Selective MCL-1 inhibitor ABBV-467 is efficacious in
tumor models but is associated with cardiac troponin increases in patients. *Commun Med*.
2023;3(1):154. doi:10.1038/s43856-023-00380-z

32. Amarante-Mendes GP, Finucane DM, Martin SJ, Cotter TG, Salvesen GS, Green DR.
Anti-apoptotic oncogenes prevent caspase-dependent and independent commitment for
cell death. *Cell Death Differ*. 1998;5(4):298-306. doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4400354

33. McCarthy NJ, Evan GI. 15 Methods for Detecting and Quantifying Apoptosis. In: de
Pablo F, Ferrús A, Stern CD, eds. *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*. Vol 36.
Cellular and Molecular Procedures in Developmental Biology. Academic Press;
1997:259-278. doi:10.1016/S0070-2153(08)60507-4

34. Bratton SB, Salvesen GS. Regulation of the Apaf-1–caspase-9 apoptosome. *J Cell Sci*.
2010;123(19):3209. doi:10.1242/jcs.073643

35. Cepero E, King AM, Coffey LM, Perez RG, Boise LH. Caspase-9 and effector caspases
have sequential and distinct effects on mitochondria. *Oncogene*. 2005;24(42):6354-6366.
doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1208793

36. Ricci JE, Gottlieb RA, Green DR. Caspase-mediated loss of mitochondrial function and
generation of reactive oxygen species during apoptosis. *J Cell Biol*. 2003;160(1):65-75.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200208089

37. Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, et al. Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity
and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2016;34(2):184-191.
doi:10.1038/nbt.3437

38. Dohi T, Okada K, Xia F, et al. An IAP-IAP Complex Inhibits Apoptosis *. *J Biol Chem*.
2004;279(33):34087-34090. doi:10.1074/jbc.C400236200

39. Hoffman WH, Biade S, Zilfou JT, Chen J, Murphy M. Transcriptional repression of the
anti-apoptotic survivin gene by wild type p53. *J Biol Chem*. 2002;277(5):3247-3257.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M106643200

40. Bratton SB, Walker G, Srinivasula SM, et al. Recruitment, activation and retention of
caspases-9 and -3 by Apaf-1 apoptosome and associated XIAP complexes. *EMBO J*.
2001;20(5):998. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.5.998

41. Hao Y, Sekine K, Kawabata A, et al. Apollon ubiquitinates SMAC and caspase-9, and
has an essential cytoprotection function. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2004;6(9):849-860.
doi:10.1038/ncb1159

42. Carter BZ, Qiu Y, Huang X, et al. Survivin is highly expressed in CD34+38− leukemic
stem/progenitor cells and predicts poor clinical outcomes in AML. *Blood*.
2012;120(1):173-180. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-02-409888

43. Carter BZ, Wang RY, Schober WD, Milella M, Chism D, Andreeff M. Targeting
Survivin expression induces cell proliferation defect and subsequent cell death involving
mitochondrial pathway in myeloid leukemic cells. *Cell Cycle Georget Tex*.
2003;2(5):488-493.

44. Ventura A, Kirsch DG, McLaughlin ME, et al. Restoration of p53 function leads to
tumour regression in vivo. *Nature*. 2007;445(7128):661-665. doi:10.1038/nature05541

45. Gholizadeh S, Dolman EM, Wieriks R, Sparidans RW, Hennink WE, Kok RJ. Anti-GD2
Immunoliposomes for Targeted Delivery of the Survivin Inhibitor Sepantronium
Bromide (YM155) to Neuroblastoma Tumor Cells. *Pharm Res*. 2018;35(4):85.
doi:10.1007/s11095-018-2373-x

46. Chen X, Glytsou C, Zhou H, et al. Targeting Mitochondrial Structure Sensitizes Acute
Myeloid Leukemia to Venetoclax Treatment. *Cancer Discov*. 2019;9(7):890-909.
doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0117

47. Nechiporuk T, Kurtz SE, Nikolova O, et al. The TP53 Apoptotic Network Is a Primary
Mediator of Resistance to BCL2 Inhibition in AML Cells. *Cancer Discov*.
2019;9(7):910-925. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0125

48. Savona MR, Rathmell JC. Mitochondrial Homeostasis in AML and Gasping for
Response in Resistance to BCL2 Blockade. *Cancer Discov*. 2019;9(7):831-833.
doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0510

49. Sallman DA, DeZern AE, Garcia-Manero G, et al. Eprenetapopt (APR-246) and
Azacitidine in TP53-Mutant Myelodysplastic Syndromes. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin
Oncol*. 2021;39(14):1584-1594. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.02341

50. McKinnell Z, Karel D, Tuerff D, Abrahim MS, Nassereddine S. Acute Myeloid
Leukemia Following Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: A Review of What We Know, What
We Do Not Know, and Emerging Treatment Strategies. *J Hematol*. 2023;11(6):197-209.

51. Lawrence L. Magrolimab/Azacitidine Combo Shows Efficacy for Untreated
<em>TP53</em>-Mutant AML. Published online November 30, 2023. Accessed July 13,

2024. https://ashpublications.org/ashclinicalnews/news/7523/Magrolimab-Azacitidine-
Combo-Shows-Efficacy-for

52. Sallman DA, Al Malki MM, Asch AS, et al. Magrolimab in Combination With
Azacitidine in Patients With Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Final Results of a
Phase Ib Study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2023;41(15):2815-2826. doi:10.1200/JCO.22.01794

53. Thijssen R, Diepstraten ST, Moujalled D, et al. Intact TP-53 function is essential for
sustaining durable responses to BH3-mimetic drugs in leukemias. *Blood*.
2021;137(20):2721-2735. doi:10.1182/blood.2020010167

54. Nishida Y, Ishizawa J, Ayoub E, et al. Enhanced TP53 reactivation disrupts MYC
transcriptional program and overcomes venetoclax resistance in acute myeloid leukemias.
*Sci Adv*. 2023;9(48):eadh1436. doi:10.1126/sciadv.adh1436

55. Hassin O, Nataraj NB, Shreberk-Shaked M, et al. Different hotspot p53 mutants exert
distinct phenotypes and predict outcome of colorectal cancer patients. *Nat Commun*.
2022;13(1):2800. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-30481-7

56. Mirza A, McGuirk M, Hockenberry TN, et al. Human survivin is negatively regulated by
wild-type p53 and participates in p53-dependent apoptotic pathway. *Oncogene*.
2002;21(17):2613-2622. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1205353

57. Jaiswal PK, Goel A, Mittal RD. Survivin: A molecular biomarker in cancer. *Indian J Med
Res*. 2015;141(4):389-397. doi:10.4103/0971-5916.159250

58. Velculescu VE, Madden SL, Zhang L, et al. Analysis of human transcriptomes. *Nat
Genet*. 1999;23(4):387-388. doi:10.1038/70487

59. Marusawa H, Matsuzawa S, Welsh K, et al. HBXIP functions as a cofactor of survivin in
apoptosis suppression. *EMBO J*. 2003;22(11):2729-2740. doi:10.1093/emboj/cdg263

60. Rongvaux A, Willinger T, Martinek J, et al. Development and function of human innate
immune cells in a humanized mouse model. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014;32(4):364-372.
doi:10.1038/nbt.2858

61. Ryan J, Montero J, Rocco J, Letai A. iBH3: simple, fixable BH3 profiling to determine
apoptotic priming in primary tissue by flow cytometry. *Biol Chem*. 2016;397(7):671-678.
doi:10.1515/hsz-2016-0107

62. Miller PG, Sperling AS, Mayerhofer C, et al. PPM1D modulates hematopoietic cell
fitness and response to DNA damage and is a therapeutic target in myeloid malignancy.
*Blood*. 2023;142(24):2079-2091. doi:10.1182/blood.2023020331

63. Li W, Xu H, Xiao T, et al. MAGeCK enables robust identification of essential genes from
genome-scale CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screens. *Genome Biol*. 2014;15(12):554.
doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0554-4

64. Li W, Köster J, Xu H, et al. Quality control, modeling, and visualization of CRISPR
screens with MAGeCK-VISPR. *Genome Biol*. 2015;16:281. doi:10.1186/s13059-015-
0843-6

65. Wang B, Wang M, Zhang W, et al. Integrative analysis of pooled CRISPR genetic screens using MAGeCKFlute. *Nat Protoc*. 2019;14(3):756-780. doi:10.1038/s41596-018-0113-7

66. Steinebach C, Bricelj A, Murgai A, et al. Leveraging Ligand Affinity and Properties: Discovery of Novel Benzamide-Type Cereblon Binders for the Design of PROTACs. *J Med Chem*. 2023;66(21):14513-14543. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.3c00851

67. Demichev V, Messner CB, Vernardis SI, Lilley KS, Ralser M. DIA-NN: neural networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput. *Nat Methods*. 2020;17(1):41-44. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0638-x

68. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015;43(7):e47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007

69. Tyner JW, Tognon CE, Bottomly D, et al. Functional genomic landscape of acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature*. 2018;562(7728):526-531. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0623-z

70. de Camargo Magalhães ES, Hubner SE, Brown BD, Qiu Y, Kornblau SM. Proteomics for optimizing therapy in acute myeloid leukemia: venetoclax plus hypomethylating agents versus conventional chemotherapy. *Leukemia*. 2024;38(5):1046-1056. doi:10.1038/s41375-024-02208-8

71. Hoff FW, Hu CW, Qiu Y, et al. Recognition of Recurrent Protein Expression Patterns in Pediatric Acute Myeloid Leukemia Identified New Therapeutic Targets. *Mol Cancer Res MCR*. 2018;16(8):1275-1286. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-17-0731

72. van Dijk AD, Hoff FW, Qiu YH, et al. Loss of H3K27 methylation identifies poor outcomes in adult-onset acute leukemia. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2021;13(1):21. doi:10.1186/s13148-021-01011-x

73. Griffen TL, Hoff FW, Qiu Y, et al. Proteomic profiling based classification of CLL provides prognostication for modern therapy and identifies novel therapeutic targets. *Blood Cancer J*. 2022;12(3):43. doi:10.1038/s41408-022-00623-7

74. Kornblau SM, Coombes KR. Use of reverse phase protein microarrays to study protein expression in leukemia: technical and methodological lessons learned. *Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ*. 2011;785:141-155. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-286-1_10

75. Kornblau SM, Womble M, Qiu YH, et al. Simultaneous activation of multiple signal transduction pathways confers poor prognosis in acute myelogenous leukemia. *Blood*. 2006;108(7):2358-2365. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-02-003475

76. Carter BZ, Mak PY, Tao W, et al. Combined inhibition of BCL-2 and MCL-1 overcomes BAX deficiency-mediated resistance of TP53-mutant acute myeloid leukemia to individual BH3 mimetics. *Blood Cancer J*. 2023;13(1):1-13. doi:10.1038/s41408-023-00830-w

77. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinforma Oxf Engl*. 2013;29(1):15-21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

78. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*. 2014;15(12):550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-
0550-8

79. Gao T, Soldatov R, Sarkar H, et al. Haplotype-aware analysis of somatic copy number
variations from single-cell transcriptomes. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2023;41(3):417-426.
doi:10.1038/s41587-022-01468-y

80. Wolf FA, Angerer P, Theis FJ. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data
analysis. *Genome Biol*. 2018;19(1):15. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0

81. Domínguez Conde C, Xu C, Jarvis LB, et al. Cross-tissue immune cell analysis reveals
tissue-specific features in humans. *Science*. 2022;376(6594):eabl5197.
doi:10.1126/science.abl5197

1051

**A**

Cancer Cell Lines

AML (MOLM-13, MV-4-11)
CRC (HCT-116)  →  Basal state (DMSO)  →  Functional analysis
TNBC (CAL-51)  →  Treatment (VenAza or chemotherapy)

**B**

MOLM-13   MV-4-11   CAL-51   HCT-116

Cell viability (%)

[Ven] + 50 Aza (nM)   [Dox] (nM)   [5-FU] (µM)

- EV
- sg1
- sg2
- WT
- KO

**C**

WT-DMSO   R248Q-DMSO

WT-VenAza   R248Q-VenAza

CFU (%)

DMSO   VenAza

- WT
- R248Q

**D**

WT:R248Q, 9:1

Population of R248Q cells (%)

Days

- DMSO
- VenAza

WT:KO, 9:1

Population of KO cells (%)

Days

- DMSO
- VenAza

**E**

Annexin V+/ PI + population (%)

DMSO   VenAza   DMSO   Cytara   DMSO   Etoposide

- WT
- R175H
- R273H
- R248Q
- R282W
- Y220C
- M237I
- KO

**F**

MV-4-11   CAL-51   HCT-116

Annexin V+/ PI / DAPI + population (%)

DMSO   VenAza   DMSO   Dox   DMSO   5-FU

- EV
- sg1
- sg2
- WT
- KO

**G**

WT   R248Q   KO

DMSO

VenAza

**Fig 4**

Fig 5

**Fig 6**

# A

CRISPR-KO

ATGGAGGAGCCGCAGTCAGATCCTAGCGTCGAGCCCCCTCTGAGTCAGGAAACATTT...
*TP53*-sg1

...GATGCTGTCCCCGGACGATATTGAACAATGGTTCACTGAAGACCCAGGTCCAGATGAA...
*TP53*-sg2

SFig 2

**A**

**B**
BAD (BCL-2, BCL-xL Dependence) — ns — n=(25) n=(5)
NOXA (MCL-1 Dependence) — * — n=(28) n=(7)
HRK (BCL-xL Dependence) — * — n=(32) n=(7)
Navitoclax — ns — n=(16) n=(6)

● *TP53*-WT   ■ *TP53*-mut

**C**
WCL   Cyto   Mito
Cyto c

Protein Expression Normalized to WCL

**D**
Caspase 3/7 activity (Luminescence/RLU)
- ● WT-DMSO
- ■ WT-VenAza
- ● R248Q-DMSO
- ■ R248Q-VenAza

Time (h)

**E**
Caspase 8 activity (Fold change wrt DMSO)
- ● WT
- ▼ R248Q
- ✕ KO

[Ven + 50 nM Aza]

**F**
TMRE loss (%)
- ● WT
- ● R248Q
- ● KO

DMSO   VenAza

**G**
HCT-116   CAL-51
5-FU   Dox
BIM
WT KO   WT KO
Delta max (%)

SFig 6

SFig 8