Temperature and genetic background drive mobilization of diverse transposable elements in a critical human fungal pathogen

Anna I. Mackey[a], Vesper Fraunfelter[a], Samantha Shaltz[a], John McCormick[b], Callan Schroeder[a], John R. Perfect[c], Cedric Feschotte[b], Paul M. Magwene[d], Asiya Gusa[a]

[a]Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27701, USA.

[b]Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14850.

[c]Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA.

[d]Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA.

*correspondence: asiya.gusa@duke.edu

ABSTRACT

Transposable elements (TEs) are key agents of genome evolution across all domains of life. These mobile genetic elements can cause mutations through transposition or by promoting structural rearrangements. Stress conditions can amplify TE activity, either by impairing TE suppression mechanisms or through stress-induced interactions between transcription factors and TE sequences, offering a route for rapid genetic change. As such, TEs represent an important source of adaptability within populations. To investigate the interplay between environmental stress and eukaryotic TE dynamics relevant to infectious disease, we examined how heat stress and nutrient limitation affect TE mobility in the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*, using a collection of clinical and environmental isolates. Seven distinct mobile element families, encompassing diverse retrotransposons and DNA transposons, were captured mobilizing to confer antifungal resistance, including a novel element, CNEST, which belongs to the CACTA, Mirage, Chapaev (CMC) supergroup. Heat stress at human body temperature (37°C) significantly increased the mobilization of a subset of these TEs, leading to higher rates of acquired antifungal resistance. Whole-genome assemblies revealed that,

compared to retrotransposons, DNA transposons were hypomethylated and approximately

uniformly distributed throughout the genome, features that may contribute to their frequent

mobilization. We further assessed TE-driven genomic changes within hosts using serial isolates

from patients with recurrent cryptococcal infections and from isolates passaged through mice.

While we observed evidence of TE copy number changes near chromosome ends, we found no

indication of TE-mediated alterations near gene-coding regions across any of the serial isolates.

Finally, TE mobility was isolate- and strain-dependent, with significant variation even among

clonally related isolates collected from the same patient, emphasizing the role of genetic

background in shaping TE activity. Together, these findings reveal a complex, dynamic

relationship between environmental stress, genetic background, and TE mobility, with important

implications for adaptation and acquired antifungal resistance in *C. neoformans*.

INTRODUCTION

Transposable elements (TEs) are powerful drivers of genomic change and provide a

mechanism for adaptive evolution in fungal pathogens (1–8). TEs are mobile genetic elements

that fall into two broad categories: class I retrotransposons, which mobilize via an RNA

intermediate through a "copy-and-paste" mechanism, and class II DNA transposons, which

mobilize via a DNA intermediate, typically through a "cut-and-paste" mechanism (9). TE activity

can lead to genomic instability through unchecked transposition or by providing homologous

sequences throughout the genome that promote rearrangements (10). However, TEs can also

promote beneficial genetic changes, especially under changing or stressful environmental

conditions, by modulating the expression of nearby genes, disrupting endogenous gene

functions, or providing beneficial cargo (10–14). TE-mediated genetic changes in fungi have

been linked to various adaptive phenotypes, including increased fitness under heavy metal

stress in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and nitrogen-limited conditions in *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae (1–5). Additionally, various stressors can increase TE expression and mobilization

rates (14–17).

Diverse TEs are present in *Cryptococcus neoformans*, a human fungal pathogen which

primarily causes disease in immunocompromised individuals such as cancer patients, organ

transplant recipients, and people living with HIV/AIDS (18–20). *C. neoformans* is responsible for

approximately 147,000 deaths annually and accounts for up to 20% of AIDS-related mortality

(21,22). It is a ubiquitous environmental yeast, and inhalation of spores or desiccated yeast cells

can lead to pulmonary infection that may disseminate across the blood-brain barrier, causing

cryptococcal meningitis (18). Approximately 10 – 20% of patients diagnosed with cryptococcal

meningitis experience recurrent disease, which can persist for years despite antifungal

treatment (23). In-host microevolution can result in adaptive genetic changes that enhance

antifungal resistance, alter virulence traits, or increase overall pathogenicity, contributing to

persistent infections and treatment failure (24–27). Although in-host microevolution influences

disease outcomes, the genetic basis of altered virulence traits and antifungal resistance often

remains unknown, potentially because short-read sequencing and variant calling, the primary

detection methods, are less suited for identifying structural rearrangements and TE

polymorphisms (28).

During infection, *C. neoformans* encounters a variety of stressors during the

environment-to-host transition. These include shifts in nutrient availability, pH, exposure to

reactive oxygen species (ROS), and elevated temperature (37°C) (29). Previous studies in the

less pathogenic sister species *Cryptococcus deneoformans* have shown that heat stress

significantly increases TE mobilization rates, exceeding those of single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions (indels) by more than threefold per generation

during in vitro passaging at 37°C (30,31). These findings suggest that in stressful environments,

TEs can be the primary drivers of genomic change. Indeed, TE mobilization in *C. deneoformans*

has been observed during murine host infection and, in both *C. neoformans* and *C.

deneoformans*, has been linked to the development of resistance to 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC), a

first-line antifungal treatment (30–32). However, it is not known whether heat stress increases

TE mobilization rates in *C. neoformans*, which is responsible for approximately 95% of

cryptococcal infections (33), and whether TEs contribute to genetic changes accumulated

during persistent human infection.

C. neoformans is known to harbor a variety of TEs, including DNA transposons as well

as long terminal repeat (LTR) and non-LTR retrotransposons (19,20). The best-characterized TE

suppression mechanism in *C. neoformans* is RNA interference (RNAi). Through this pathway,

transcripts targeted for silencing are processed into small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), which are

loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) to target homologous transcripts for

degradation (34–37). RNAi has been shown to silence double-stranded RNAs, transcripts with

sub-optimal splicing signals, repetitive transgenes, and TEs in *C. neoformans* (34,35,37,38).

Unlike in the yeast *S. pombe*, RNAi is strictly involved in post-transcriptional silencing in *C.

neoformans* and does not lead to transcriptional silencing by facilitating the assembly or

maintenance of heterochromatin (34). Prior studies have shown that loss-of-function mutations

in key RNAi genes can lead to a hypermutator phenotype, driven by rampant TE mobilization,

but only in strains with high TE burden (32,38). TE mobilization may also be shaped by

epigenetic context. LTR and non-LTR elements are enriched in centromeric and subtelomeric

regions (38,39), where they co-localize with epigenetic features of silent chromatin, such as 5-

methylcytosine DNA methylation and repressive histone modifications, including H3K27me3 and

H3K9me2 (38–42). These marks are also enriched in centromeric and subtelomeric regions,

and are rarely detected in gene-rich chromosomes arms (38–42). Despite this association, the

regulatory effects of DNA methylation and heterochromatin on TEs in *C. neoformans* remain

poorly understood, particularly regarding their influence on the mobilization of active TE families.

In this study, we investigated how stressors encountered during human infection,

including elevated temperature and limited nutrient availability, influence TE mobilization in *C.*

neoformans. Using a reporter gene-based approach, we examined TE mobility in genetically

diverse clinical and environmental isolates, including representatives from three of the four

major lineages (VNI, VNII, and VNBII) (43). We found diverse, active TEs in *C. neoformans*,

including several whose identity or mobility were previously unknown. TE mobility was

temperature-dependent for all elements assessed, with heat stress significantly increasing

transposition rates for a subset of elements. De novo telomere-to-telomere genome assemblies

were used to examine TE-driven genetic changes in serially collected isolates from patients with

recurrent cryptococcal meningitis. Using these assemblies, we annotated TEs to assess the

genomic distribution and DNA methylation status of various transposable element types. DNA

transposons were approximately uniformly distributed throughout the genome and had

significantly reduced levels of DNA methylation compared to retrotransposons. Lastly, we found

that TE copy number and mobility were highly isolate- and strain-dependent, suggesting that

genetic background is a major determinant of TE-mediated genomic impacts. Together, these

results highlight the critical roles of environmental factors, particularly temperature, in driving

stress-induced genomic changes and adaptive evolution via transposition in the human fungal

pathogen *C. neoformans*.

RESULTS

Diverse transposable elements mobilize in a subset of *C. neoformans* clinical isolates

To investigate transposable element (TE) mobilization dynamics in *Cryptococcus*, we

obtained a set of 33 clinical isolates from 18 South African patients with recurrent cryptococcal

meningitis, collected as part of the GERMS-SA surveillance study (Table S1) (44). Isolates were

collected by plating cerebrospinal fluid and selecting a single colony at the time of diagnosis

(incident) and after subsequent disease relapse(s), which occurred 57 to 238 days later (Fig 1A,

Table S1). Using short read sequencing, a prior study found that isolates collected from the

same patient differed by few single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions

(indels), and that these isolates formed monophyletic clades in phylogenetic analyses,

suggesting that disease relapses were clonal and not due to reinfection (24). Isolates are

genetically diverse, including *Cryptococcus neoformans* isolates belonging to three of the four

major clades, VNI, VNII, and VNBII, and *Cryptococcus gattii* isolates belonging to clades VGI

and VGIV (Table S1) (43,45).

Prior work from our group showed that growth at 37°C increased TE mobilization rates in the

less pathogenic species *Cryptococcus deneoformans* (30,31). To investigate TE activity under

heat stress in *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*, both recognized as priority fungal pathogens by the

World Health Organization (46), we conducted a preliminary screen using a "transposon trap"

assay that detects mutations in the *FRR1* reporter gene, a system previously used by our group

and others (30,32,38). Incident and relapse isolates from the 18 South African cases were

cultured in non-selective nutrient-rich YPAD medium at 37°C and plated to medium containing

the antifungal drugs rapamycin and FK506 (rap+FK506). Only mutations in *FRR1*, which

encodes the shared drug target, can confer resistance to both antifungals (Fig 1B) (47). Ten

independent rap+FK506 resistant mutants were collected from each isolate and analyzed by

PCR and sequencing to determine the causative mutation of drug resistance. Large DNA

insertions, approximately 150 bp – 11 kb, detected by PCR amplification and indicative of TE

insertions were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Isolates from three cases contained TE

insertions disrupting the *FRR1* locus at 37°C: cases 7, 15, and 77, which belong to the VNBII,

VNI, and VNII clades, respectively (Table 1). TE mobilization was detected exclusively in *C.*

neoformans; of the three *C. gattii* cases screened, only point mutations were identified in *FRR1*.

Subsequent screening of case 7 and 77 isolates at 30°C (see below) detected additional mobile

element families.

In total, we screened over 1,000 independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants and identified

more than 350 independent TE insertion events in *FRR1*. Elements were assigned to known

families based on sequence similarity, using Sanger or linear amplicon sequences compared to

C. neoformans TE family consensus sequences in Repbase, or to GenBank submissions when

family-level consensus sequences were unavailable. Family membership was assigned based

on the 80-80-80 rule: nucleotide similarity had to exceed 80% over at least 80 base pairs and

cover at least 80% of the recovered sequence length (48). An exception to this was the non-LTR

retrotransposon CNL1, which shares only ~60% nucleotide similarity with the CNL1 consensus

sequence in Repbase. However, it exhibits high (> 99%) nucleotide similarity to an active mobile

element previously classified as CNL1 in *C. neoformans* by Priest et al. (2022), so we have

retained this name. Additionally, junction PCR was used to identify TE families for a subset of

insertion events, employing primers designed to match the internal region of elements

previously identified as inserting into *FRR1* in the same isolate. In total, we identified seven

diverse TE families mobilizing in *C. neoformans* clinical isolates (Fig 1C). This includes an

element belonging to a family that, to our knowledge, has not been identified in previous studies

(CNEST), as well as elements not previously reported to be mobile (CNIRT6, TCN3, KDZ3) (Fig

1C, Table S2). These elements included DNA transposons (class II), as well as long terminal

repeat (LTR) and non-LTR retrotransposons (class I).

CNIRT6 transposition drives increased drug resistance rates at 37°C in case 15

To assess how heat stress impacts rap+FK506 drug resistance rates, fluctuation assays

were performed on case 15 incident, relapse, and relapse 2 isolates. All displayed significant

increases in rap+FK506 resistance rates during growth at 37°C compared to 30°C in YPAD

medium (21-, 12-, and 4-fold, respectively) (Fig 2A). The spectrum of mutations in *FRR1* was

obtained by PCR and Sanger or linear amplicon sequencing of independent mutants, followed

by mapping to the wild-type *FRR1* sequence for each isolate (Fig S1A). For a subset of

insertions, junction PCR was also used. Strikingly, many of the mutations in *FRR1*, up to 91% in

the incident isolate at 37°C, were caused by insertions of a large DNA transposon with 99.7%

nucleotide similarity to a putative element named CNIRT6 (GenBank: JQ277267.1) (Fig 2B).

CNIRT6 was originally identified in *C. neoformans* strain JF289 through sequence analysis,

however, this transposon has not been described in the literature and is absent from Repbase.

Mapping of a subset of these insertions revealed CNIRT6 transposition into *FRR1* introns and

exons, resulting in 3 bp terminal site duplications (TSDs) with variable sequences (Fig S2A, B).

CNIRT6 insertion rates were significantly higher at 37°C compared to 30°C, increasing by 28-,

20-, and 16-fold in the incident, relapse, and relapse 2 isolates, respectively (Fig 2C). Notably,

drug-resistance rates at 37°C and CNIRT6 mobility at both temperatures decreased

progressively in relapse isolates collected 166 and 223 days after the incident isolate.

Given the significant differences in CNIRT6 mobilization rates among case 15 isolates, we
hypothesized that inter-isolate variability may be driven by differences in copy number, genomic
position, or epigenetic regulation. To investigate these factors, and to assess all inter-isolate
polymorphisms caused by mobile elements, we performed long-read sequencing to generate
contiguous, telomere-to-telomere genome assemblies, which were polished with Illumina reads
(Table S3). 5-methylcytosine (5mC) DNA methylation was identified using Nanopore signal data.
Given that all CNIRT6 insertions into *FRR1* appeared to be the same length by gel
electrophoresis and shared high nucleotide similarity to one another (> 99%), the full-length
CNIRT6 sequence, obtained by linear amplicon sequencing from an insertion into *FRR1*, was
used as the query in BLAST-like alignment tool (BLAT) (49) searches against case 15 genome
assemblies to identify full-length copies (Fig S1A). For all BLAT searches predetermined cutoffs
of nucleotide identity ≥ 90% and coverage ≥ 95% were used to call full-length copies. This
analysis revealed two full-length CNIRT6 copies that are positionally conserved between
incident and relapse isolates: one is an exact match to the CNIRT6 sequence identified inserting
into *FRR1*, and the second differs by a single nucleotide substitution (Fig 2D). The nucleotide
sequences of both CNIRT6 copies are identical between the serial isolates. No DNA methylation
was detected in any full-length CNIRT6 copies across all case 15 isolates, as indicated by
methylation frequencies < 0.75 at each CpG site, meaning fewer than 75% of long-read signal
data supported a methylated call (Table S4). Therefore, differences in genomic copy number,
chromosomal location, or DNA methylation do not appear to underlie differences in CNIRT6
mobilization rates between serial isolates.

To comprehensively assess all genomic changes between case 15 isolates that may have
been mediated by TEs other than CNIRT6, we performed synteny and read depth analyses, and
used Synteny and Rearrangement Identifier (SyRI) (50), to define structural rearrangements
and identify insertions, deletions, and duplications. Repeat masking of insertions, deletions, and
duplications with Repbase CENSOR revealed no evidence of transposition events between
serial isolates. Relative to the genome reference strain H99, all three case 15 isolates contain a
segmental duplication of ~614 kb of the left arm of chromosome 5 and a translocation between
chromosomes 4 and 14 where the breakpoint lies in the predicted centromeres (Fig 2D).
Rearrangements that occurred between serial isolates include an inversion of ~1.26 Mb on
chromosome 3 in the relapse and relapse 2 isolates and a translocation between chromosomes
1 and 9 in the relapse 2 isolate (Fig 2D). Breakpoints for both inter-isolate rearrangements were
outside of the predicted centromeres. No TE annotations were observed near rearrangement

breakpoints, suggesting that another source of sequence homology or homology-independent

DNA repair mechanisms mediated these rearrangements.

To further characterize the active DNA transposon CNIRT6, we performed sequence

analysis and found that this element was ~7.5 kb in length and contained 24 bp terminal

inverted repeats beginning with 'CACTG' (Fig 1C, Table S2). Though no CNIRT6 movement has

been reported in the H99 reference strain, a BLAT search revealed two approximately full-length

copies in H99 (identity ≥ 90% and coverage ≥ 95%), containing a ~6.9 kb gene annotation

(CNAG_01367). Repeat masking of the predicted protein sequence with Repbase CENSOR

revealed hits to transposases from TEs in the CACTA and EnSpm superfamilies, which contain

a 'CACTA' motif at their distal ends, resembling the 'CACTG' motif found in CNIRT6 (51). To

confirm that CNAG_01367 encodes a transposase and refine this classification, a multiple

sequence alignment was performed using the amino acid sequences from CNAG_01367 and

transposases from several "cut-and-paste" DNA transposons from the CMC (CACTA, Mirage,

Chapaev) supergroup (52). This alignment revealed a conserved DDE motif, which catalyzes

the "cut-and-paste" mechanism of transposition, indicating that CNIRT6 represents a full-length

element predicted to encode a transposase of the CMC superfamily (Fig S3A). To examine the

relationship of CNIRT6 to other CMC transposons, an unrooted tree was constructed using

transposase amino acid sequences representative of CMC/EnSpm families from animals,

plants, and fungi. CNIRT6 formed a statistically-supported clade (Bootstrap value 79%) with

CACTA (also known as EnSpm) elements previously identified in other fungi, as well as plants

and animals (Fig S3B). We conclude that CNIRT6 is a member of the CACTA superfamily within

the CMC supergroup (52).

Lastly, given the robust activity of CNIRT6 in case 15 isolates grown in YPAD, we

hypothesized that CNIRT6 may have mobilized during recurrent infections associated with other

cases. To test this, we performed Southern blots on a subset of cases to identify putative

transposition events between incident and relapse isolates. We generated a 551 bp CNIRT6-

specific probe and utilized a restriction enzyme that cuts once within CNIRT6, outside of the

probe site, and produces a range of fragment lengths separable by gel electrophoresis (Fig

S4A). Consistent with whole-genome assembly comparisons, there was no difference in the

hybridization band pattern for CNIRT6 among case 15 isolates (Fig S4B). However, several

other patient cases displayed differences in CNIRT6 hybridization band patterns among serial

isolates (Fig S4B). Case 1 was of particular interest, as it exhibited changes in the molecular

weight of three bands, suggesting that these copies may occupy different genomic locations and
indicating putative CNIRT6 transposition events between the incident and relapse isolates.

To investigate putative CNIRT6 transposition events in case 1 isolates, we generated whole-
genome assemblies of the incident and relapse isolates and performed BLAT searches using
full-length CNIRT6 as the query sequence. No full-length copies of CNIRT6 were detected in
either genome; an identical number of truncated copies were present at the same genomic
locations in both case 1 isolates (Fig S4C). Additionally, repeat masking of insertions, deletions,
and duplications identified by SyRI revealed no evidence of TE mobilization events between the
isolates. We conclude that the observed differences in Southern hybridization band patterns
likely result from other genomic polymorphisms between the isolates, such as other genomic
rearrangements or mutations introducing restriction site polymorphisms, highlighting a limitation
of this approach in detecting transposition events. In the case 1 relapse isolate, for example, a
translocation was detected between chromosomes 3 and 10, with the breakpoint located
outside of the predicted centromere regions. There were no TEs near the breakpoint,
suggesting that this rearrangement was not mediated by TE-derived sequence homology.

CNEST transposition drives increased drug resistance rates at 37°C in case 77

For case 77, the relapse, and relapse 2 isolates displayed significant increases in
rap+FK506 drug resistance rates during growth at 37°C vs 30°C (Fig 3A). Of mutations in *FRR1*
observed after growth at 37°C, 29 – 82% were caused by insertion of a novel DNA transposon,
which we named CNEST (*Cryptococcus neoformans* EnSpm-like Transposon; naming rationale
described below) (Fig 3B). CNEST insertions appeared identical in length by gel electrophoresis
and mapped to introns and exons in *FRR1* (Fig S1B, S5A). Insertions resulted in 2 bp 'TA' TSDs
(Fig S5B). CNEST insertion rates were significantly higher for all isolates at 37°C; 22-, 83-, and
36-fold in the incident, relapse, and relapse 2, respectively (Fig 3C). The full-length CNEST
sequence obtained by linear amplicon sequencing was ~7.2 kb in length, with 33 bp TIRs
beginning with 'CACGG' (Fig 1C, Table S2).

Interestingly, we also identified insertions of the DNA transposon KDZ1, recently
characterized by Huang et al. 2024, which occurred at a lower frequency and only during growth
at 30°C (Fig 3B) (32). KDZ1 is an ~11 kb element with 241 bp TIRs, and its insertion into *FRR1*
resulted in 8 bp TSDs (Fig S5B, Table S2). The KDZ1 putative transposase contains a catalytic
core affiliated with the Kyakuja-Dileera-Zisupton (KDZ) superfamily and a predicted Zn-chelating
CxC1 domain, both of which have been previously described in fungi (Fig 1C) (53).

Assemblies of the relapse and relapse 2 isolates revealed conserved copy number and
position of CNEST and KDZ1 by BLAT analysis (identity ≥ 90% and coverage ≥ 95%) with 4 full-
length copies of CNEST and 5 full-length copies of KDZ1 (Fig 3D). No DNA methylation was
detected in any CNEST copies, as indicated by methylation frequencies < 0.75 at each CpG site
from long-read signal data (Table S4). For KDZ1, a single copy contained one methylated CpG
in the relapse (Table S4). In the relapse 2, two KDZ1 copies contained one methylated CpG.
The additional methylated CpG in the relapse 2 isolate is ~900 bp upstream of the predicted
transposase start codon; however, a single methylated CpG seems unlikely to impact
transcription of the transposase.

No major genomic rearrangements were identified between the relapse and relapse 2
assemblies. Additionally, no other TE-mediated genomic changes were detected, as all
insertions, deletions, and duplications identified by SyRI were repeat masked and showed no
significant sequence similarity to TEs in the Repbase library. Although mutation rate and spectra
data are provided for the case 77 incident isolate, there was some variability in the growth of
independent colonies and some inconsistency in mutation rate observed between independent
fluctuation assays. The likelihood of genome instability in this isolate led to its exclusion from
nanopore sequencing and genome assembly.

To further characterize CNEST, which has not previously been identified as mobilizing in the
H99 reference strain, we performed a BLAT homology search, which revealed two
approximately full-length copies in H99 (≥ 90% identity and ≥ 95% coverage), containing a ~4.4
kb gene annotation (CNAG_05910). Repeat masking of CNEST and the predicted amino acid
sequence of CNAG_05910 revealed no hits to TEs or transposases in the Repbase library.
However, given the identification of CNIRT6 as a member of the CMC supergroup, we predicted
that CNEST may also encode a CMC transposase. Multiple sequence alignment of the
CNAG_05910 amino acid sequence with transposases of the CMC supergroup revealed a well-
conserved DDE catalytic core, confirming CNAG_05910 as a CMC-type transposase encoded
within full-length CNEST (Fig S3A). Phylogenetic analysis places CNEST in a distinct clade from
CNIRT6, forming part of a strongly-supported clade (Bootstrap value 100%) containing
unclassified transposases from diverse animals, including a transposase annotated as an
EnSpm/CACTA element in the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) (Fig S3B). This clade is
sister to clades of elements containing Chapaev and Mirage transposases from animals,
forming a well-supported monophyletic group (Bootstrap value 86%) (Fig S3B). Thus, CNEST
encodes a transposase defining a new superfamily within the CMC supergroup that is distinct

but most closely related to Chapaev and Mirage superfamilies. In summary, two elements

mobilized to mediate drug resistance in case 77: KDZ1, which was detected only at 30°C, and

CNEST, which mobilized significantly more frequently at 37°C and is now characterized as a

novel active TE in *C. neoformans* belonging to the CMC supergroup. Note that the KDZ

superfamily, to which KDZ1 belongs, is completely distinct from the CMC supergroup, which

includes CNEST and CNIRT6.

Diverse TEs drive temperature and strain-specific TE mobilization dynamics in case 7

The case 7 incident isolate displayed a significantly higher rap+FK506 resistance rate

following growth at 37°C compared to 30°C, while the relapse isolate showed no significant

difference in drug resistance rates (Fig 4A). For the incident and relapse isolates at 37°C, ~30%

of mutations in *FRR1* were caused by insertions of the LTR retrotransposon TCN3, with both

full-length and solo LTR insertions detected (Fig 4B, Fig S1C). TCN3 is ~6.3 kb in length with

606 bp LTRs, and insertion into *FRR1* occurred in introns and exons, resulting in 5 bp TSDs of

variable sequence (Fig 1C, Fig S6A, B, Table S2). TCN3 is a member of the Ty3/gypsy

superfamily that has been previously characterized (54). The TCN3 insertion we identified

contains a ~4.7 kb open reading frame with apparently intact Pol domains (reverse

transcriptase, RNase H, protease, and integrase) (Fig 1C). Although we did not identify a Gag

domain, the first 306 amino acids lack recognizable functional domains and correspond to the

region that typically encodes Gag in Ty3/gypsy elements (54). Moreover, the Gag gene is the

most variable component of LTR retrotransposons, making it particularly difficult to detect (55).

These observations suggest that TCN3 likely represents an autonomous LTR retrotransposon

(Fig 1C, Table S2). We recovered no TCN3 insertions at 30°C out of a total of 68 independent

mutants screened, suggesting that the element may exclusively mobilize at higher temperatures

(Fig 4B).

Surprisingly, over 60% of *FRR1* mutations in the case 7 relapse isolate at 30°C were caused

by insertions of diverse TEs, including the non-LTR retrotransposon CNL1 and the DNA

transposons KDZ2 and KDZ3 (Fig 4B, Fig S1C). In contrast, mutations in the incident isolate at

30°C were exclusively SNPs/indels (Fig 4B). The longest CNL1 insertion we identified in *FRR1*

was ~3.5 kb in length and contained a ~2.5 kb open reading frame with an apparently intact

reverse transcriptase domain (Fig 1C, Fig S1C). This maximum insertion length matches

previous reports of CNL1 transposition (38). CNL1 insertions identified in *FRR1* ranged from

180 bp to ~3.5 kb likely due to premature termination of reverse transcription which can occur

during target primed reverse transcription (TPRT) (56). CNL1 insertions occurred in the *FRR1* 5′

untranslated region (UTR) and in an exon, and were associated with TSDs of variable length (0

– 13 bp), consistent with findings from prior studies (Fig S6A, B) (38). For KDZ2 (~9.2 kb with

139 bp TIRs) and KDZ3 (~10 kb with 169 bp TIRs), insertion into *FRR1* occurred in introns and

exons and resulted in 4 – 8 bp TSDs (Fig 1C, Fig S6A, B, Table S2). The internal sequences of

KDZ2 and KDZ3 encode putative transposases with KDZ and CxC1 domains (Fig 1C). Although

TCN3 and KDZ3 were previously annotated, this study is the first to demonstrate their mobility.

BLAT analysis of case 7 genome assemblies using full-length TE sequences that inserted

into *FRR1* as queries revealed conserved copy number and position of full-length TCN3 (2

copies), KDZ2 (2 copies), and KDZ3 (3 copies) between the incident and relapse isolates (Fig

4C). This indicated that the observed KDZ2 and KDZ3 activity in the relapse isolate was not due

to an increase in copy number or change in genomic position. Additionally, no DNA methylation

was detected on any full-length KDZ2 or KDZ3 copies in case 7 isolates, and no TE sequence

polymorphisms were observed between serial isolates (Table S4). We did, however, identify an

expansion of CNL1 copies in the relapse isolate, with the number increasing from 16 to 39 full-

length copies in arrays in the subtelomeres (Fig 4C). CNL1 copies exhibited varying levels of

DNA methylation (Fig 4C, Table S4). The expansion in CNL1 copy number may explain the

observed CNL1 mobility in the relapse isolate, which was undetected in the incident isolate (Fig

4B). No genomic rearrangements or other TE-mediated changes, including alterations in copy

number or position of TCN3 solo LTRs, were identified between the case 7 isolates.

Interestingly, we recovered 13 total CNL1 insertions in *FRR1*; however, all full-length CNL1

copies in case 7 genome assemblies are located at the ends of incident and relapse

chromosome arms, flanked externally by telomeric repeats ("TAACCCCC," or slight variations

thereof), consistent with previous reports (Fig 4C (19,57). To further characterize CNL1, we

performed a phylogenetic analysis of the full-length CNL1 insertion in *FRR1* and the CNL1

consensus sequence from Repbase. This analysis revealed that CNL1 is more closely related to

non-LTR elements of the R2 superfamily (Fig S3C), which are characterized by a single open

reading frame and often insert into specific target sequences (58,59), in contrast to other non-

LTR retrotransposons such as LINE1 elements which have weak target site specificity (60,61).

CNL1 was found to be most closely related to a telomeric-targeting element, MoTeR, described

in the fungal plant pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Bootstrap value 100%) (62). Prior studies of

CNL1 insertions reported that over 70% occurred within other CNL1 copies, but found no strict

sequence specificity at insertion sites (19), despite CNL1's close relationship to sequence-

specific elements like MoTeR of the R2 superfamily. However, we did observe similarity in the

TSDs between independent CNL1 insertions, suggesting potential sequence-specific target site
preferences (Fig S6A, B).

Centromeres in *C. neoformans* are typically enriched for LTR retrotransposons with > 95%
of LTR retrotransposons, including TCN3, restricted to centromeric regions in the H99 genome
reference strain, though the mechanism or selective pressure driving centromere targeting of
insertions remains unclear (39). Although endogenous TCN3 copies in case 7 isolates are
restricted to centromeres, 68 independent TCN3 insertions were detected in *FRR1* (Fig 4B).
Mapped insertions occurred at variable sequences and positions within *FRR1*, indicating a lack
of strong sequence-specific insertion preference (Fig S6A, B).

C. neoformans centromeres are also enriched for DNA methylation, which is thought to
contribute to repression of TEs present in these regions (40,41). Therefore, we assessed
whether the centromeric copies of TCN3 were methylated. If methylated, demethylation and
subsequent derepression of TCN3 under heat stress in vitro might explain the observed TCN3
mobility at 37°C in case 7 isolates (63). We found that the full-length copies of TCN3 in the case
7 isolate genomes reside in hypomethylated regions within the predicted centromere region of
Chr 3 for the incident and relapse (data for the relapse isolate not shown) (Fig 4D). While five to
seven 5mC DNA methylation marks were observed in full-length TCN3 copies, they are located
at the end of the 3' LTR (Fig 4D, Table S4). This region is not typically transcribed, making the
observed DNA methylation unlikely to impact TCN3 transposition (64). This suggests that loss of
DNA methylation at full-length TCN3 copies is unlikely to underlie the differential activity of
TCN3 observed in vitro, where transposition occurred only at 37°C.

Our group previously identified the LTR-retrotransposon TCN12 in *Cryptococcus*
deneoformans as heat stress-activated, mobilizing almost exclusively at 37°C (30,31). In *C.*
neoformans, heat shock binding elements (HSEs) in the promoter region of heat-responsive
genes have been shown to be bound by the transcriptional activator heat shock factor 1 (Hsf1)
during heat stress (65). A putative HSE was previously identified in the Tcn12 LTR (31), and
upon scanning the TCN3 LTR, we identified two putative HSEs (Fig 4E). This suggests that the
elevated mobilization rates of TCN3 and TCN12 at 37°C may result from Hsf1 binding and
subsequent upregulation, a hypothesis that our group is currently investigating (65).

Limited nutrient availability alters TE activity

To better mimic the limited nutrient availability *C. neoformans* experiences in-host and
assess impacts on TE activity, we compiled the spectra of mutations in the *FRR1* reporter gene

for case 7, 15, and 77 following growth in chemically defined RPMI tissue culture medium at
37°C (66). The medium was supplemented with less glucose (0.2%) compared to YPAD (2%)
and had a defined starting pH of 7.0. For five of the six isolates, the same TEs were identified as
mobile in YPAD and RPMI medium (Fig S7A, B, C). However, the proportion of TE-mediated
insertions during growth in RPMI decreased compared to growth in YPAD at 37°C (Fig S7A, B,
C, Fig 2B, Fig 3B, Fig 4B). This indicates that the additional stressor of nutrient limitation may
act to suppress TE mobilization in some instances. One exception was the case 15 incident
isolate which displayed comparable TE mutation spectra in both growth conditions (Fig S7A, Fig
2B). Additionally, in the case 77 incident isolate, CNL1 was identified inserting into *FRR1*, which
had not been previously observed in experiments using YPAD medium (Fig S7B).

We additionally tested the impact of RPMI medium at 30°C for case 7 isolates given the
activity of CNL1, KDZ2, and KDZ3 observed in the relapse isolate at 30°C in YPAD medium (Fig
4B). Interestingly, the use of RPMI medium altered TE dynamics in an isolate- and temperature-
specific manner in case 7 isolates (Fig S7C). Differences between YPAD and RPMI medium
include: (1) no TCN3 insertions observed in the relapse at 37°C, (2) KDZ3 insertions caused
~35% of mutations in the incident at 30°C, which were absent in YPAD, and (3) variations in the
proportion of CNL1, KDZ2, and KDZ3 insertions at 30°C and 37°C in the relapse, highlighting
the complex relationship between environment, genetic background and TE activity in case 7
isolates.

CNEST heat stress-activation is conserved in a geographically and temporally distinct
environmental isolate

BLAT analysis revealed full-length CNEST and KDZ1 copies in a previously published *C.*
neoformans genome, sequenced from an environmental isolate collected from cockatoo
droppings in Boston in 2000 (designated cockatoo guano isolate) (26,67). To better understand
mobility of CNEST and KDZ1 in a different strain background, we assessed rap+FK506 drug
resistance rates and the spectra of mutations in *FRR1*. Indeed, cockatoo guano isolate drug
resistance rates were significantly elevated during growth at 37°C compared to 30°C (Fig 5A).
Approximately 20% of mutations in *FRR1* at 37°C were CNEST insertions, while no CNEST
insertions were observed at 30°C, strongly supporting that CNEST transposition is induced by
heat stress in the cockatoo guano isolate (Fig 5B). The cockatoo guano and case 77 isolates
both belong to the VNII clade and are geographically and temporally distinct, as the case 77
isolates were collected eight years later in South Africa. To assess the copy number and
genomic context of CNEST and KDZ1, we performed BLAT searches and synteny analysis

using SyRI, which demonstrated that both the copy number and genomic position of CNEST
and KDZ1 were conserved (Fig 5C). This may suggest that mobilization of CNEST and KDZ1 in
the VNII lineage is infrequent, that mobilization events are typically detrimental to fitness, or that
there is selective pressure to maintain both the copy number and genomic context of these
elements.

A recent study performed two rounds of passaging the cockatoo guano isolate through
A/J mice via retro-orbital intravenous injection, collecting isolates from the brain and lungs
following each passage (26). Additionally, the cockatoo guano isolate was the point-source
isolate for a case of cryptococcal meningitis, from which a single isolate was collected from the
patient (PU isolate) (67). To assess whether transposition of CNEST or KDZ1 occurred during
murine passage or human infection, Southern analysis was performed using 551 bp CNEST-
and 567 bp KDZ1-specific probes, along with restriction enzymes that cut once within the TE
sequence, outside of the probe site, to produce a range of fragment lengths (Fig S8A). The
same hybridization band patterns were observed in the original cockatoo guano isolate and in
all isolates recovered following infection (Fig S8B, C), indicating that no CNEST or KDZ1
mobilization occurred during infection, despite detection of CNEST transposition in vitro at 37°C
(Fig 5B). Thus, while heat-stress activation of CNEST appears conserved between
environmental and clinical isolates, no transposition events were detected in serial isolates
recovered from human or murine infections.

Full-length copies of TEs are present across multiple isolates and lineages

Because TE mobility was highly isolate- and strain-dependent in the case 15, 77, and 7
isolates, where the TEs captured mobilizing were unique to each case, we hypothesized that
this may be due to the absence of full-length copies in certain isolate backgrounds. To evaluate
the prevalence of full-length TE copies across *C. neoformans* isolates, we conducted homology
searches in assembled genomes using the active TE sequences that were identified inserting
into the *FRR1* gene as queries. Full-length copies were defined as hits with ≥ 90% nucleotide
identity and ≥ 95% coverage of the query sequence. We identified full-length copies of CNIRT6,
CNEST, TCN3, KDZ1, and KDZ3 in several genomes of isolates where transposition was not
detected using the *FRR1* reporter screen. We did not, however, identify full-length copies of
KDZ2 or CNL1 in other isolates; these elements were present only in those where transposition
occurred (Fig S9A). For example, at least one full-length copy of CNEST was found in all
genomes assessed, including strains from the VNI, VNII, and VNBII lineages (Fig S9A).

To assess whether full-length copies were present in the 15 *C. neoformans* cases initially

screened without available whole-genome assemblies, we performed TE copy number

estimation by aligning short reads to TE sequences and estimating copy number based on

normalized read depth (defined as the depth at which ≥ 95% of the TE sequence is covered)

(32). This analysis revealed that full-length copies of potentially active elements were likely

present even in isolates in which no TE mobilization was detected in the initial screen, including

cases where estimated copy numbers exceeded those in isolates with observed mobilization

(Fig S9B).

DNA methylation status may explain differential mobility of TCN3

Although each TE identified in this study was detected mobilizing exclusively in a single

isolate background, with the exception of CNEST and CNL1, additional full-length copies were

found in other assemblies or inferred through copy number estimation (Fig S9A, B). This

suggests that TE activity may be differentially regulated across isolate backgrounds. For

example, elevated TE mobility in some isolates may be explained by a loss of RNAi functionality

or the absence of DNA methylation on TE sequences.

To explore potential regulatory mechanisms, we first assessed the levels of DNA

methylation in full-length TE copies in which mobilization was either observed or not observed

using the *FRR1* reporter gene. Full-length TCN3 copies were highly methylated in cases 15 and

1, whereas hypomethylated copies were observed in case 7 isolates (Table S4). In contrast,

DNA methylation was generally absent from full-length DNA transposons in strains where no

mobilization was observed. For example, in whole-genome assemblies, full-length KDZ1, KDZ3,

and CNEST elements were unmethylated in isolates where transposition was not observed in

FRR1, with the exception of CNEST in case 1 (Table S4). These findings suggest that while

DNA methylation may play a role in regulating TCN3 mobility at the centromeres, the

methylation status of full-length DNA transposons (which are primarily unmethylated) does not

explain isolate- or strain-specific differences in mobility.

Next, we examined whether genes required for RNAi function were intact in cases where

TE mobility was observed. In cases 7, 15, 77, and the cockatoo guano isolate, we screened for

predicted loss-of-function mutations (defined as predicted protein sequences < 85% of the

expected length) using a previously published list of genes essential for RNAi (32). No genes

required for RNAi function exhibited truncated protein sequences, providing no evidence for

loss-of-function mutations. However, it is possible that nonsynonymous changes could also

render RNAi ineffective, which is a limitation of this approach. Alternatively, differential targeting

by RNAi or the accumulation of point mutations in TEs may have rendered some full-length

copies incapable of transposition.

DNA transposons are predominantly unmethylated and commonly found in gene-rich

regions

We observed that all full-length, active DNA transposons mapped in the case 15, 77, and

7 genomes were located in gene-rich regions along the chromosome arms (Fig 2D, 3D, 4C).

Previous studies have highlighted distribution biases of LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons in

Cryptococcus, with LTR elements predominantly localized in centromeres (39), and non-LTR

elements enriched at chromosome ends (38). To further investigate the genomic distribution of

DNA transposons in *C. neoformans*, which has not previously been described, we took a

comprehensive, unbiased approach to assess genome-wide TE content and distribution. De

novo TE annotation was performed using the Earl Grey package (68) for cases 1, 7, 15, and 77,

as well as the genome of the reference strain H99, in which TE mobilization has not been

observed unless RNA interference (RNAi) is disrupted (36,38). Results from the first assemblies

of serially collected isolates are shown, as assemblies of later relapse isolates from the same

patients yielded similar results. TEs occupied approximately 3 – 5% of the genomes analyzed

(Fig 6A). While the proportion of LTR-retrotransposons remained relatively consistent (2.2 –

2.6%), greater (more than tenfold) variation was observed in the proportion of DNA transposons

(0.08 – 0.85%), and non-LTR retrotransposons (0.06 – 2.06%) (Fig 6A). Notably, the *C.*

neoformans reference strain H99 had the lowest proportion of DNA transposons among all

isolates analyzed.

To visualize TE distributions, chromosomes were split at the midpoint of the predicted

centromere. For each chromosome arm, the length, TE positions, and protein-coding gene

annotation positions were scaled from 0 to 1, allowing data from all chromosome arms to be

plotted on a single track. In agreement with previously published data, LTR and non-LTR

elements cluster in the predicted centromeres and subtelomeres, respectively; these are

regions with a lower density of protein-coding genes (Fig 6B). By contrast, DNA transposons

appear to be more evenly distributed across chromosome arms (Fig 6B). We used quantile-

quantile (QQ) plots to assess whether the positions of DNA transposons along chromosome

arms follow a uniform distribution. For each genome, we generated theoretical quantiles as

sorted random numbers between 0 and 1; the total number of theoretical quantiles matched the

total number of DNA transposons. The plotted points fell approximately along the diagonal of the

QQ plots, indicating that DNA transposons were distributed roughly uniformly across the
genome (Fig S10).

To assess how frequently DNA transposons were methylated, given their presence in
gene-rich regions that are not typically methylated in *C. neoformans* (41,42), we compared their
5-methylcytosine (5mC) DNA methylation levels to those of LTR and non-LTR elements. First,
we assessed average methylation density per 100 bp. DNA transposons exhibited significantly
lower average 5mC densities compared to both non-LTR and LTR retrotransposons (pairwise
Wilcoxon rank-sum test with continuity correction; adjusted p values < 2e-16 for both
comparisons) (Fig 6C). Additionally, LTR elements exhibited significantly higher DNA
methylation densities compared to non-LTR elements (pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test with
continuity correction; adjusted p value < 2e-16). Second, we assessed the proportion of TE
annotations per genome containing at least one high-confidence 5mC call (methylated
frequency from nanopore long-read signal data > 0.75). According to this analysis, we found
that LTR elements are by far the most consistently methylated (55.6 – 71.2% of LTR
retrotransposons contained at least one predicted 5mC), followed by non-LTR elements (7.7 –
53.4%), and DNA transposons (5.4 – 15.5%) (Fig S11A, B). The number of methylated LTR and
non-LTR retrotransposons was significantly enriched compared to DNA transposons (Fisher's
method combined p values: 3.2e-64 for LTR elements and 9.2e-19 for non-LTR elements).

To estimate background levels of DNA methylation in protein-coding genes, we lifted
over gene annotations from the H99 reference genome. The percentage of protein-coding
genes with at least one high-confidence 5mC call ranged from 0.5 – 4.5%, indicating that DNA
TEs remain significantly enriched for DNA methylation relative to endogenous protein-coding
genes (Fisher's method combined p value 3.9e-10), albeit at a lower frequency than LTR and
non-LTR elements. Thus, the majority of DNA transposons across all genomes analyzed are
unmethylated, and those that are methylated exhibit a lower methylation density compared to
non-LTR and LTR retrotransposons (Fig 6C, Fig S11A, B). This suggests that DNA methylation
is unlikely to play a major role in modulating the activity of DNA transposons in *C. neoformans*.

DISCUSSION

Understanding the interplay between mobile genetic elements, environmental stressors,
epigenetics, and genetic background is critical for uncovering factors that influence evolutionary
rates and the capacity for adaptive change, particularly in the context of fungal pathogens. In

this study, we screened a collection of clinical *Cryptococcus* isolates for transposable element (TE) insertions in the *FRR1* reporter gene and profiled rates of rapamycin and FK506 (rap+FK506) resistance, as well as mutation spectra, at both 30°C and the heat-stress condition of 37°C. We also generated telomere-to-telomere genome assemblies to assess TE copy number, genomic position, and DNA methylation status in isolates from patients with recurrent cryptococcal infections.

C. neoformans hosts diverse active transposable elements

We identified seven TE families mobilizing to confer rap+FK506 resistance, including both class I retrotransposons (LTR and non-LTR) and class II DNA transposons (Fig 1). Several of these elements, such as TCN3, CNIRT6, and KDZ3, were not previously recognized as active. In addition, we discovered a novel, active, and previously uncharacterized element, which we named CNEST. The diversity of active TEs in *C. neoformans* stands in striking contrast to other yeasts commonly used to study TEs, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, which harbor only LTR retrotransposons (69,70). In these species, TE mobilization studies typically rely on reporter constructs driven by inducible heterologous promoters, due in part to the low de novo transposition rates of endogenous TEs (71–74). By contrast, in *C. neoformans*, screening for mutations at a single genomic locus using a 'transposon trap assay' frequently revealed insertions of endogenous TE copies with diverse transposition mechanisms (Figs 2 – 5). The ability to readily capture multiple active TE families mobilizing within a single *C. neoformans* strain underscores the potential for utilizing forward mutation reporters as a powerful, genetically tractable model for studying TE mobilization strategies and regulation.

Phylogenetic analysis of transposases from the DNA transposons CNIRT6 and CNEST revealed that they belong to the CMC supergroup first described by Yuan and Wessler (2011) (Fig S3). This supergroup includes EnSpm, one of the two transposon systems originally discovered in maize by Barbara McClintock (51,75). However, CNIRT6 and CNEST are only distantly related and fall within two monophyletic clades among CMC elements: one containing CNIRT6 and transposase sequences from plants, animals, and fungi, and a second containing CNEST and transposases from animals and fungi. Thus, *C. neoformans* hosts active members of two different CMC superfamilies, a notable finding given that this group of DNA transposons remains largely understudied in fungi and animals. CNEST and CNIRT6 offer an opportunity to study two distinct active CMC transposons in a model fungal species.

Notably, the use of a single reporter gene to capture TE insertions may have limited our

potential for active mobile element discovery, since some TEs have preferences for specific

insertion sequences or genetic compartments (10). However, the *FRR1* reporter system

recovered insertions of TCN3 and CNL1, which appear to target centromeric and subtelomeric

regions, respectively. TCN3 insertions in *FRR1* occurred at multiple positions and were

associated with variable terminal site duplications (TSDs), suggesting weak sequence specificity

(Fig S6). Alternatively, TCN3 may recognize non-sequence-based features of centromeres, such

as centromere-specific histone variants (79) or heterochromatin-associated proteins (80), or its

insertion pattern may be shaped by selective pressures. Since our approach captures insertions

only at the *FRR1* locus, we cannot assess genome-wide insertion preferences. It remains

possible that insertion into *FRR1* is relatively rare and that most de novo TCN3 insertions occur

within centromeric regions, warranting further investigation in future studies.

CNL1 copies are enriched in subtelomeric regions in case 7 isolates and other *C.*

neoformans genomes (38); however, we also recovered insertions of CNL1 within *FRR1* (Fig 4).

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that CNL1 is most closely related to R2 elements, and

specifically to MoTeR, a telomere-targeting element characterized in the fungal plant pathogen

Magnaporthe oryzae (62). Although prior studies have reported that CNL1 preferentially inserts

into copies of itself within arrays near telomeric repeats, its precise sequence specificity remains

undefined. Through limited mapping, we observed two insertion locations for CNL1 within

FRR1: one in the 5′ UTR and another within an exon (Fig S6). These insertions generated TSDs

of variable length, though interpretation was complicated by premature termination of target

primed reverse transcription (TPRT) at different positions within the element. Notably, many of

the observed TSDs contained the sequence 'CACCCCT,' which resembles the *C. neoformans*

telomere seed sequence ('AACCCCCT' or similar variants). Further work is needed to clarify

CNL1's target site specificity, including the extent to which it targets telomeric repeats like

MoTeR, inserts into its own sequence, or both, and to understand how these insertion

preferences contribute to its transposition into *FRR1*. Together with findings from TCN3, these

observations highlight important open questions about the factors that govern target site

selection and the evolutionary forces shaping TE insertion patterns across genomic

compartments. Intriguingly, one such factor may be stress, which has been shown to alter target

specificity. For example, Ty5 phosphorylation is regulated by nutrient deprivation stressors,

shifting its insertion profile from heterochromatic regions to more broadly distributed sites across

the genome (80).

Lastly, most observed TE mobilization events were driven by apparently full-length TE
copies containing detectable functional domains required for mobilization. Phylogenetic analysis
revealed full-length, seemingly intact transposase sequences within mobilized DNA
transposons, and functional domains required for mobilization were identified in mobilized TCN3
and CNL1. We found no evidence for the mobilization of non-autonomous TE copies
(significantly shortened or lacking apparent mobilization machinery). However, we did detect
truncated CNL1 insertions, likely resulting from premature termination of reverse transcription,
as well as instances of solo TCN3 LTRs, which likely arose from non-allelic recombination
between LTR sequences following TCN3 insertion. This contrasts with other organisms, such as
humans, plants, and *Drosophila*, where shortened non-autonomous TEs frequently transpose
(76–78).

Collectively, we identified seven mobilizing TE families: DNA transposons from the KDZ
superfamily and CMC supergroup, alongside a Ty3/Gypsy LTR element and an R2 non-LTR
element actively transposing in *C. neoformans*. These TEs employ distinct transposition
mechanisms and show preferences for different genomic compartments, revealing a rich
"ecosystem" of active transposable elements within *C. neoformans*.

Transposable element mobilization in *C. neoformans* is strongly influenced by
temperature

Previous work in *C. deneoformans* demonstrated that heat stress increases TE
mobilization (30,31). Building on this, we screened 15 clinical *C. neoformans* isolates for TE
insertions in the *FRR1* reporter gene at 37°C. While some elements followed the pattern
previously observed in *C. deneoformans*, mobilizing more frequently at elevated temperature,
we also uncovered TEs that behave differently. Several elements (CNL1, KDZ1, KDZ3) were
found to mobilize exclusively at 30°C. Others (CNIRT6, CNEST) mobilized at both
temperatures, but with higher frequency at 37°C. Therefore, by limiting our initial screen to 37°C
and examining only ten independent mutants, we may have overlooked strains harboring mobile
elements that are active only at lower temperatures or that mobilize at low frequency.

The LTR retrotransposon TCN3 was observed to mobilize exclusively at 37°C (Fig 4),
paralleling earlier findings in *C. deneoformans*, where heat stress activated the LTR
retrotransposon TCN12, which contains a heat shock element (HSE) in its LTR promoter region
(31). In TCN3, we identified two putative HSEs within its LTR, suggesting potential regulation by
the heat shock transcription factor Hsf1, a key coordinator of the heat shock response (65).

While heat shock-induced TE activation via host machinery has been demonstrated in plants
and animals (81–83), this mechanism has not been described in fungi. We are currently testing
the hypothesis that TCN3 and TCN12 co-opt the host heat shock response to facilitate their
mobilization.

Other temperature-dependent effects on TE mobility may be attributed to self-regulatory
mechanisms of the TE-encoded machinery or to alteration of TE suppression mechanisms at
different temperatures. As an example of the former, Ty1 element mobilization in *S. cerevisiae* is
most frequent at 22°C and is not observed at temperatures above 34°C due to inactivity of the
Ty1-encoded protease (84). As an example of the latter, in *Drosophila*, heat stress triggers heat
shock protein 70 (Hsp70) to displace PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) biogenesis factors to the
lysosome for degradation, leading to transcriptional activation of TEs (85). In *C. neoformans*, a
recent study found a link between the Calcineurin signaling pathway, which is necessary for
stress adaptation and growth at 37°C, and RNAi-mediated silencing of a repetitive transgene,
suggesting a potential mechanism for altered RNAi function during heat stress (86). Further
studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms underlying differential TE mobilization under
heat stress in *C. neoformans*, which will inform our understanding of TE dynamics and their role
in adaptive evolution during infection and in other heat-stress contexts.

While temperature plays a significant role in driving TE mobilization, additional
environmental factors likely contribute as well. Nutrient limitation in RPMI medium altered TE
mobilization dynamics across most strains (Fig S7). Moreover, although CNL1 mobilization was
only observed in vitro at 30°C, it was the sole TE implicated in genomic changes during
recurrent cryptococcal infection, where cells encounter mammalian body temperature. This
underscores the complex interplay between environmental conditions and TE activity,
highlighting that temperature is not the sole regulator. Other stressors or environmental stimuli
may act concurrently to activate or repress TE mobility, although under our in vitro conditions,
temperature remains a major regulator of TE mobilization across genetically diverse *C.*
neoformans strains.

DNA transposons are hypomethylated relative to retrotransposons, and are commonly
found in gene-rich regions

Given that *C. neoformans* lacks a de novo cytosine DNA methyltransferase, DNA
methylation as a TE suppression mechanism is relatively inflexible and unlikely to target newly
inserted or unmethylated TE sequences (41). Despite this, a substantial proportion of TEs

exhibit DNA methylation: 55.6 – 71.2% of LTR elements and 7.7 – 53.4% of non-LTR elements

(Fig S11). In contrast, DNA transposons are less frequently methylated, with only 5.4 – 15.5%

showing methylation, and when methylated, they exhibit significantly lower average 5mC

density compared to LTR and non-LTR elements (Fig 6). This reduced level of methylation may

contribute to the more frequent mobilization of DNA transposons observed in this study, where

five of the seven mobile elements identified were DNA TEs. Furthermore, full-length DNA

transposons are more evenly distributed across the genome and are commonly found within

gene-rich regions, whereas LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons tend to be enriched in gene-

poor centromeric and subtelomeric regions. As a result, DNA TEs may be more likely to mediate

genetic changes that alter the expression or function of protein-coding genes which could drive

adaptation under stress conditions.

Transposable elements provide a mechanism for rapid genetic change and facilitate

adaptation in *C. neoformans*

Growth at mammalian body temperature (37 °C) significantly increased the rate of

acquired resistance to rap+FK506 in both clinical and environmental isolates (Figs 2–5). In all

cases, this effect was driven by elevated TE insertion rates, highlighting the role of TEs in

generating adaptive phenotypes such as antifungal resistance. These findings suggest that in-

host conditions or other heat stress contexts may enhance evolvability within *C. neoformans*

populations through bursts of rapid TE mobilization.

RNA interference (RNAi) is the primary known mechanism for TE suppression in *C.*

neoformans, and loss of RNAi function is associated with hypermutator phenotypes driven by

uncontrolled TE activity (38). However, recent work has shown that this is not always the case,

as some isolates with loss-of-function mutations in essential RNAi genes maintain low TE

burdens and mutation rates (32). In this study, none of the clinical or environmental isolates

profiled for mutation spectra harbored predicted loss-of-function mutations in RNAi pathway

genes. Nevertheless, isolates from cases 15 and 77 exhibited rap+FK506 resistance rates at

37°C comparable to those observed in hypermutator strains, including the mismatch repair-

deficient *msh2Δ* mutant (32,38). This suggests that, in certain strain backgrounds, heat stress

alone can be sufficient to induce a hypermutator-like phenotype through increased TE activity,

even in strains with a relatively low TE burden (e.g., two full-length copies of CNIRT6 in case 15

isolates).

When assessing the impact of TEs on in-host microevolution, we observed, in one
notable case, an expansion of CNL1 copy number in serial isolates collected from a patient with
recurrent cryptococcal meningitis, suggesting a role for TE activity in within-host evolution (Fig
4). However, no transposition or TE-mediated genomic changes were detected in other
longitudinally sampled clinical isolates or in isolates collected following murine passaging. This
may suggest that TE mobilization events are rare in vivo, that TE-mediated changes did not
confer a selective in-host growth advantage during infection, or that TE-mediated changes
occurred in subpopulations not captured by sampling a single isolate. Previous studies in *C.*
deneoformans found evidence of transposition occurring during murine infection (30,31). In
these cases, however, only drug-resistant isolates were selected for recovery from the mice,
requiring that loss-of-function mutations at specific reporter loci had occurred in the recovered
isolates. In contrast, there was no selective pressure for evolved drug resistance or other traits
during the recovery of patient and mice isolates examined in this study.

Genetic background influences TE mobility

Telomere-to-telomere genome assemblies revealed that the presence of full-length TEs
does not reliably predict their mobilization in vitro. For instance, in clonally related case 7
isolates, we observed striking differences in KDZ2 and KDZ3 mobilization at 30°C, despite
these elements being present at identical copy number and genomic positions, with identical
sequences, and lacking DNA methylation in both isolates. Interestingly, of the two single
nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously identified between case 7 isolates, one was an
amino acid substitution in *SET5* (CNAG_03890), a predicted protein lysine methyltransferase
(24). This suggests that alternative epigenetic regulation may be driving differential mobility, a
hypothesis we plan to investigate further. Similarly, unmethylated full-length CNEST copies were
identified in all assembled genomes except for case 1; however, mobilization was observed only
in the case 77 and cockatoo guano isolates. These observations suggest that isolate- and
strain-specific TE activity may be regulated by differential RNAi targeting, alternative
suppression mechanisms beyond DNA methylation, or disabling mutations. Future studies are
needed to dissect the factors underlying differential TE mobility, which may include TE copy
number polymorphisms, sequence variation within TEs, or genetic variation in host factors that
control transposition.

Altogether, our findings highlight the complex interplay between genetic background,
epigenetics, and environmental conditions in governing TE mobilization, with temperature
emerging as a major determinant. Further studies should investigate the extent to which stress-

induced transposition generates genetic diversity and whether this diversification drives

adaptation to infection-relevant stressors and the repeated emergence of antifungal drug

resistance in *C. neoformans*.

METHODS

Strains and growth media

The strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. Strains were grown in YPAD

medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% dextrose, 0.025% adenine) with 2% agar added for

solid media. For nutrient limiting conditions, strains were cultured on RPMI plates containing

3.45% MOPS, 0.2% glucose, 2% agar, and 1.04% RPMI 1640 powder (Sigma #R1383).

Mutants resistant to rapamycin and FK506 (rap+FK506) were selected for on YPAD plates

supplemented with 1 µg/mL rapamycin (LC Laboratories) and 1 µg/mL FK506 (Astellas).

Fluctuation assay and mutation spectra

Cultures were inoculated with independent colonies; each colony was split between a

30°C and a 37°C culture and grown for 3 days in 1 mL of liquid YPAD, rotating at either 30°C or

37°C. Cells were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in water. Cells were appropriately diluted,

plated on solid YPAD medium, and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. Colony counts were used to

calculate colony forming units (CFUs) per culture. The remainder of the culture was plated on

two rap+FK506 plates and incubated at 37°C for four days before counting colonies. Total CFUs

and rap+FK506-resistant colony counts were used to calculate mutation rates using the

Fluctuation AnaLysis CalculatOR (FALCOR), applying the Ma-Sandri-Sarkar (MSS) Maximum

Likelihood Method (87). A single rap+FK506-resistant colony from each culture was selected for

genomic DNA isolation, performed using either in-house reagents or a MasterPure Yeast DNA

Purification Kit (VWR #76081-694).

The *FRR1* gene was amplified for Sanger (Azenta) or linear amplicon long-read

sequencing (Plasmidsaurus). Amplification of *FRR1* was carried out using a variety of

polymerases: MyTaq Mix (Thomas Scientific #C755G91), Apex Taq RED Master Mix (Genesee

Scientific #42-138), Platinum SuperFi II PCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher #12368010), Q5 High-

Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB #M0491S), and LongAmp Taq DNA Polymerase (NEB

#M0323S). We often observed "phantom" wild-type–sized secondary bands when amplifying

across TEs, which can occur as a PCR artifact when amplifying across long repetitive DNA

sequences (88). In these instances, gel extraction using the Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit

(Zymo Research #D4007) was performed on the higher molecular weight bands, which were

then sent for sequencing. For TEs identified as inserting into *FRR1*, where the full sequence

was obtained by linear amplicon sequencing, junction PCR with primers targeting the internal

TE sequence from the same isolate was used to confirm a subset of insertion events. Primers

used to amplify *FRR1* and for TE-specific junction PCR are listed in Table S5.

Sanger sequencing data were analyzed in SeqMan Ultra 17 or DNASTAR Navigator 17

by aligning reads to the wild-type *FRR1* sequence for each isolate. TEs were assigned to

families based on the 80-80-80 rule: nucleotide similarity greater than 80%, over more than 80

base pairs, covering at least 80% of the recovered sequence length (48). The TE consensus

sequence for TCN3 was obtained from Repbase. For TEs lacking family-level consensus

sequences, GenBank submissions were used to assign family membership (GenBank

accessions: PQ181658 [KDZ1], PQ181660 [KDZ2], and PQ181661 [KDZ3]). CNL1 family

membership was called based on sequence similarity to CNL1 mapped by Priest et al. (2022) in

Bt65 and Bt81 genome assemblies (BioProject accession PRJNA749953).

RPMI mutation spectra

To generate independent mutants during growth on RPMI medium, a prong-plating

procedure was carried out. Single colonies were inoculated in liquid YPAD and grown overnight

rotating at 30°C, then cells were washed and diluted in water. A custom tool containing 121 flat-

tipped pins was used to transfer approximately ~10^4 cells/pin onto non-selective RPMI plates

(89). To confirm there were no pre-existing mutations, cells were also spotted on rap+FK506

plates. Confluent spots of cells on these plates would indicate mutations occurred during the

overnight growth in YPAD and prong plating would not be possible to generate independent

mutants. If less than 5 colonies appeared on these control plates, experiments were continued.

RPMI plates were incubated for 3 days at either 30°C or 37°C. RPMI plates were then replica

plated to rap+FK506 plates and incubated at 37° for 3 days. A single colony was picked from

each spot for genomic DNA isolation, followed by *FRR1* amplification and either Sanger

sequencing (Azenta) or junction PCR. *FRR1* amplification, junction PCR, Sanger sequencing

data analysis, and TE classification were carried out as described above.

TE insertion rate

TE insertion rate was calculated using the proportion of independent mutants with a

given TE insertion multiplied by the drug resistance rate. The 95% confidence intervals (CI) for

proportions were determined using the vassarstats.net proportion CI calculator including

continuity correction. 95% CI for TE insertion rates were determined by a method employing the

square root of the sum of the squares (using the component 95% CI for rates and proportions)

(90).

Transposase and reverse transcriptase protein sequence alignments and phylogenies

Transposase and reverse transcriptase protein sequences were obtained from Yuan and

Wessler (2011) for CMC (CACTA, Mirage, Chapaev) related sequences, Repbase consensus

sequences (https://www.girinst.org/), and from BLASTp (for translated mRNA sequences) or

tBLASTn (for translated genomic sequences) via NCBI (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Protein sequences were aligned using MAFFT (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/jdispatcher/msa/mafft)

with the following parameters, protein matrix = BLOSUM62, gap opening penalty = 1.53, gap

extension penalty = 0.123, tree rebulding number = 2, max iterations = 2, and FFTS = none.

Aligned protein sequences were then used to construct maximum likelihood phylogenies with

100 bootstrap replicates using MEGA version 11 (91).

Nanopore sequencing

To extract high molecular weight DNA for library preparation, overnight cultures were

grown in 50 mL liquid YPAD shaking at 30°C. Cell were pelleted and lyophilized overnight.

Genomic DNA was extracted using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction

method with some modifications (92). Briefly, cells were lysed by bead beating, and DNA

extraction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; 1% w/v CTAB; 0.7 M NaCl; 10 mM EDTA; 1% v/v 2-

mercaptoethanol) was added and incubated at 65°C for 30 minutes. Chloroform purification was

then performed, and layers were separated by centrifugation. The aqueous layer was isolated,

and DNA was subsequently precipitated, washed, and treated with RNase A. Sodium acetate

(NaOAc) was then added to a final concentration of 0.6 M, and chloroform purification was

repeated. DNA was precipitated again, washed, and spooled to collect high molecular weight

DNA.

DNA quality was assessed using NanoDrop One A260/A280 and A260/A230 ratios

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) and quantified using Qubit dsDNA Broad Range Assay Kit (Invitrogen

#Q32850) and the Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). To assess DNA fragment length, clamped

homogeneous electric field (CHEF) gel electrophoresis was performed using the CHEF Mapper

(Bio-Rad). Libraries were prepared using the SQK-LSK110 or SQK-NBD114.24 and EXP-

NBD104 kits and sequenced using the MinION Mk1C following manufacturer's instructions

(Oxford Nanopore). Sequencing was performed using the MinKNOW software at the default
voltage for at least 48 hours. Basecalling was performed using the latest Guppy software
(v7.1.4, v6.3.8, or v6.4.8), or with Dorado (v0.8.1). The specific sequencing kit, flow cell, and
basecalling methodology used for each isolate are listed in Table S3.

Genome assembly

Demultiplexing was performed using Guppy (v6.5.7). The Canu (v2.2) genome
assembler was used to assemble long reads into contigs, small contigs likely representing
mtDNA or contaminants (< 200 kb) were discarded (93). For the case 15 incident isolate only,
assembly was performed by combining outputs from Canu and Flye (v2.9.5) (94). Genome
polishing was performed using long reads generated in this study and short reads available
under the SRA umbrella project PRJNA37160 (24). Long reads were mapped to contigs using
Minimap2 (v2.24) followed by Medaka (v1.5.0 or v2.0.1) polishing using the appropriate model
(95). Short reads were mapped to the draft assembly using Bowtie2 (v2.4.4) followed by Pilon
(v1.24) polishing, which was performed in five iterations, re-mapping the short reads to each
new draft assembly before the next iteration of polishing (96,97). Canu-corrected Nanopore
reads were mapped to the assembly using Minimap2 (v2.24) and coverage was visually
inspected in the Integrative Genome Viewer (IGV) (98). To confirm generation of telomere-to-
telomere assemblies, contig ends were inspected for the presence of telomere seed sequences
(99). Assembly breaks at the rDNA locus were artificially merged and separated by 50 Ns.
Contigs were oriented to match the first serially collected isolate assembly to produce final
nuclear genome assemblies. For each isolate, Canu parameters, polishing specifications, and
assembly statistics are provided in Table S3.

DNA methylation detection

5-methylcytosine (5mC) DNA methylation at CpG sites was called using Nanopolish
(v0.14.0) or f5c (v1.5) (see Table S3 for isolate-specific details). Minimap2 (v2.24) was used to
map long reads to the final nuclear assembly for each isolate. For DNA methylation detection
using f5c, POD5 files were converted to BLOW5 using blue-crab (v0.2.0). The Nanopolish
helper script methylation_frequency.py was used to calculate the methylation frequency for CpG
sites.

Centromere mapping

Predicted centromere regions were determined using a synteny based approach using
the H99 reference genome (GCA_000149245.3) where centromere regions have been
experimentally identified (38,39,99). The 5 kb regions flanking H99 centromeres were used as
BLASTn (v2.14.0+) queries against assembled genomes for centromere mapping (100,101).

Full-length TE mapping in assembled genomes

To estimate full-length TE copy numbers from assembled genomes BLAT (v35) and
BLASTn (v2.14.0+) were used, full-length TE sequences obtained from linear amplicon long-
read sequencing of TE insertions into *FRR1* were provided as queries (49). Full-length copies
were defined as hits with identity ≥ 90% and alignment length ≥ 95% of the query length. For
BLAT the -minIdentity=90 specification was used, and pslReps (v469) filtered hits to find the
best alignment with a minimum coverage of 95% (-minCover=0.95). BLASTn hits meeting full-
length criteria were filtered in R (v4.3.3). In instances were BLAT and BLASTn reported different
full-length copy number estimates, the higher estimate was used. Only BLASTn was used to
detect copies of CNL1 because BLAT reported overlapping CNL1 copies leading to
overestimation, this was not observed for other TEs.

Identification of structural rearrangements and synteny analysis

916   Whole-genome alignment was performed using Minimap2 (v2.24). Dotplots to visualize
917   primary alignments between assemblies were generated in R (v4.3.3) using pafr (v0.0.2).
918   Synteny and Rearrangement Identifier (SyRI) (v1.6.3) was used to define syntenic regions and
919   structural rearrangements between serial isolates using default parameters (50). Plotsr was
920   used to visualize syntenic regions (102).

Southern analysis

Genomic DNA was prepared using a CTAB DNA extraction method (described above)
and digested with restriction enzymes BspEI, PvuII, or PspXI for CNIRT6, MLDT, and KDZ1,
respectively (New England Biolabs) (92). Digests were run on 0.7% agarose gels at 4°C for
approximately 16 h. Fragments from the gel were transferred to a charged nylon membrane
(Roche). Target probes were prepared using chemiluminescent DIG-labeling (Roche), see Table
S5 for primers used to amplify probes. Hybridization of the DIG probes (DIG Easy Hyb
Granules, Roche) and detection by chemiluminescence using an anti-DIG antibody (Anti-
Digoxigenin-AP Fab Fragments, Roche) were performed according to the recommended
manufacturer's protocols and imaged on film (Amersham Hyperfilm ECL).

TE annotation and repeat masking

931   Transposon annotation was performed using Earl Grey (v4.1.0) with default parameters
933 (68). Repeat masking of insertions, deletions, and duplications identified by SyRI were analyzed
934 using Genetic Information Research Institute (GIRI) Repbase CENSOR (103).

Gene annotation

936   Gene annotations were lifted over from the H99 reference genome (GCA_000149245.3)
937 by Liftoff (v1.6.3) with the -polish flag (104).

TE copy number estimation using short reads

TE copy number estimation using short reads was performed as previously described
(32). Briefly, publicly available short reads (PRJNA37160) were mapped to the H99 reference
genome (GCA_000149245.3) using Minimap2 (v2.24), mosdepth (v0.3.10) was used to
calculate the median read depth in 500 bp non-overlapping windows, the median depth reported
was used for normalization (105). Short reads were also mapped using Minimap2 to a multi-
fasta containing all full-length TE sequences identified inserting into *FRR1*. Mosdepth was then
used to produce the cumulative read depth distribution. For each TE, read depth at 0.95
coverage was normalized by the genome-wide median read depth to estimate full-length copy
number.

RNAi gene loss-of-function prediction

Genome feature annotation was "lifted over" from the H99 reference genome (FungiDB
R68) to each isolate-specific genome assembly using the software tool Liftoff (v1.6.3) (104). The
`-polish` option of Liftoff was employed to re-align exons in cases where the lift-over procedure
resulted in start/stop codon loss or introduced an in-frame stop codon. Based on the polished
lift-over annotation, the AGAT GTF/GFF Toolkit software (https://github.com/NBISweden/AGAT)
was used to predict protein sequences for all annotated genes in each strain-specific assembly
using the `agat_sp_extract_sequences.pl` script. Where multiple protein isoforms are annotated
in the reference genome, predictions were generated for each isoform. For each RNAi-related
gene of interest, the predicted amino acid sequences for each isolate were compared to the
H99 reference genome. Candidate loss-of-function alleles were classified as those encoding
proteins whose predicted length is < 85% of the length in H99.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Raw nanopore sequencing reads and whole-genome assemblies generated from isolates used in this study are available on NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA1222431, accession numbers are listed in Table S3. Full-length TE sequences identified inserting into *FRR1* were uploaded to GenBank, accession numbers are listed in Table S2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Marco Dias Coelho for training and assistance with de novo genome assembly. Additionally, we thank Dr. Arturo Casadevall for providing the cockatoo guano isolate, as well as the isolates collected after murine passage. This project was conceived and initiated by A. Gusa while a postdoc in the lab of Dr. Sue Jinks-Robertson and was partially supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R35GM118077 to S. Jinks-Robertson. A. Gusa was funded by the NIH Tri-Institutional Molecular Mycology and Pathogenesis Training Program grant T32AI052080 and the NIH/NIAID grant K99/R00AI166094 grant awarded to A. Gusa. Additional support to A. Gusa was provided by the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIFAR) Azrieli Global Scholars program and the Duke University Whitehead Scholars award. C. Feschotte and J.F. McCormick were supported by NIH grant R35GM122550. J. Perfect was supported by NIH/NIAID AI-73896 and AI-93257. P. Magwene was supported by NIH/NIAID grant R01AI133654.

The isolates from patients with recurrent cryptococcal meningitis in this study were collected through GERMS-SA surveillance, provided by Dr. N.P. Govender of the National Institute for Communicable Diseases, South Africa, and shared by Dr. J. Perfect at Duke University. Members of GERMS-SA, 2005 to 2008, included S. Vasaikar (Eastern Cape); N. Janse van Rensberg, A. Möller, P. Smith, and A. M. Pretorius (Free State); K. Ahmed, A. Hoosen, R. Lekalakala, P. P. Sein, C. Feldman, A. S. Karstaedt, O. Perovic, J. Wadula, M. Dove, K. Lindeque, L. Meyer, and G. Weldhagen (Gauteng); S. Harvey and P. Jooste (Northern Cape); D. Cilliers and A. Rampe (North West Province); W. Sturm, T. Vanmali, P. Bhola, P. Moodley, S. Sithole, and H. Dawood (KwaZulu Natal); K. Hamese (Limpopo); K. Bauer, G. Hoyland, J. Lebudi, and C. Mutanda (Mpumalanga); R. Hoffmann, S. Martin, L. Liebowitz, and E. Wasserman (Western Cape); A. Whitelaw (Western Cape); A. Brink, I. Zietsman, M. Botha, X. Poswa, M. da Silva, and S. Budavari (Ampath laboratories); C. Heney and J. Smit (Lancet

laboratories); M. Senekal (Pathcare laboratories); A. Schuchat and S. Schrag (CDC); K. P.

Klugman (Emory); and C. Cohen, L. Dini, L. de Gouveia, J. Frean, S. Gould, K. Keddy, K. M.

McCarthy, J. Patel, S. T. Meiring, E. G. Prentice, V. C. Quan, J. Ramalivhana, A. Sooka, A. von

Gottberg, and N. P. Govender (National Institute for Communicable Diseases). In South Africa,

the work was partially funded from 2005 through 2006 by the U.S. Agency for International

Development's Antimicrobial Resistance Initiative, transferred via Cooperative Agreement

U60/CCU022088 from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA.

From 2005 through 2008, the study was also partially supported by the CDC, National Center

for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP) and the Global AIDS

Program (GAP) Cooperative Agreement U62/PSO022901.

1003

COMPETING INTERESTS

1005   The authors declare no competing interests.

1006

REFERENCES

1008   1.   Feng G, Leem Y-E, Levin HL. Transposon integration enhances expression of stress
1009   response genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan;41(2):775–789.

1010   2.   Esnault C, Lee M, Ham C, Levin HL. Transposable element insertions in fission yeast
1011   drive adaptation to environmental stress. Genome Res. 2019 Jan;29(1):85–95.

1012   3.   Urquhart AS, Chong NF, Yang Y, Idnurm A. A large transposable element mediates metal
1013   resistance in the fungus Paecilomyces variotii. Curr Biol. 2022 Jan 17;

1014   4.   McDonald MC, Taranto AP, Hill E, Schwessinger B, Liu Z, Simpfendorfer S, et al.
1015   Transposon-Mediated Horizontal Transfer of the Host-Specific Virulence Protein ToxA
1016   between Three Fungal Wheat Pathogens. MBio. 2019 Sep 10;10(5).

1017   5.   Hays M, Schwartz K, Schmidtke DT, Aggeli D, Sherlock G. Paths to adaptation under
1018   fluctuating nitrogen starvation: The spectrum of adaptive mutations in Saccharomyces
1019   cerevisiae is shaped by retrotransposons and microhomology-mediated recombination.
1020   PLoS Genet. 2023 May 16;19(5):e1010747.

1021   6.   Singh NK, Badet T, Abraham L, Croll D. Rapid sequence evolution driven by
1022   transposable elements at a virulence locus in a fungal wheat pathogen. BMC Genomics.
1023   2021 May 27;22(1):393.

1024   7.   Oggenfuss U, Croll D. Recent transposable element bursts are associated with the
1025   proximity to genes in a fungal plant pathogen. PLoS Pathog. 2023 Feb
1026   14;19(2):e1011130.

8.   Lorrain C, Feurtey A, Möller M, Haueisen J, Stukenbrock E. Dynamics of transposable
elements in recently diverged fungal pathogens: lineage-specific transposable element
content and efficiency of genome defenses. G3 (Bethesda). 2021 Apr 15;11(4).

9.   Wells JN, Feschotte C. A field guide to eukaryotic transposable elements. Annu Rev
Genet. 2020 Nov 23;54:539–561.

10.   Bourque G, Burns KH, Gehring M, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Hammell M, et al. Ten
things you should know about transposable elements. Genome Biol. 2018 Nov
19;19(1):199.

11.   Gluck-Thaler E, Ralston T, Konkel Z, Ocampos CG, Ganeshan VD, Dorrance AE, et al.
Giant starship elements mobilize accessory genes in fungal genomes. Mol Biol Evol.
2022 May 3;39(5).

12.   Baduel P, Sammarco I, Barrett R, Coronado-Zamora M, Crespel A, Díez-Rodríguez B, et
al. The evolutionary consequences of interactions between the epigenome, the genome
and the environment. Evol Appl. 2024 Jul 23;17(7):e13730.

13.   Horváth V, Merenciano M, González J. Revisiting the Relationship between
Transposable Elements and the Eukaryotic Stress Response. Trends Genet. 2017
Nov;33(11):832–841.

14.   Fouché S, Badet T, Oggenfuss U, Plissonneau C, Francisco CS, Croll D. Stress-Driven
Transposable Element De-repression Dynamics and Virulence Evolution in a Fungal
Pathogen. Mol Biol Evol. 2020 Jan 1;37(1):221–239.

15.   Sehgal A, Lee C-YS, Espenshade PJ. SREBP controls oxygen-dependent mobilization of
retrotransposons in fission yeast. PLoS Genet. 2007 Aug;3(8):e131.

16.   Paquin CE, Williamson VM. Temperature effects on the rate of ty transposition. Science.
1984 Oct 5;226(4670):53–55.

17.   Servant G, Pinson B, Tchalikian-Cosson A, Coulpier F, Lemoine S, Pennetier C, et al.
Tye7 regulates yeast Ty1 retrotransposon sense and antisense transcription in response
to adenylic nucleotides stress. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012 Jul;40(12):5271–5282.

18.   Lin X, Heitman J. The biology of the Cryptococcus neoformans species complex. Annu
Rev Microbiol. 2006;60:69–105.

19.   Goodwin TJ, Poulter RT. The diversity of retrotransposons in the yeast Cryptococcus
neoformans. Yeast. 2001 Jun 30;18(9):865–880.

20.   Goodwin TJD, Butler MI, Poulter RTM. Cryptons: a group of tyrosine-recombinase-
encoding DNA transposons from pathogenic fungi. Microbiology (Reading, Engl). 2003
Nov;149(Pt 11):3099–3109.

21.   Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, et al. Global
burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis.
Lancet Infect Dis. 2017 Aug;17(8):873–881.

22.   Denning DW. Global incidence and mortality of severe fungal disease. Lancet Infect Dis.
2024 Jul;24(7):e428–e438.

23. Chang CC, Dorasamy AA, Gosnell BI, Elliott JH, Spelman T, Omarjee S, et al. Clinical
and mycological predictors of cryptococcosis-associated immune reconstitution
inflammatory syndrome. AIDS. 2013 Aug 24;27(13):2089–2099.

24. Chen Y, Farrer RA, Giamberardino C, Sakthikumar S, Jones A, Yang T, et al.
Microevolution of Serial Clinical Isolates of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii and
C. gattii. MBio. 2017 Mar 7;8(2).

25. Ormerod KL, Morrow CA, Chow EWL, Lee IR, Arras SDM, Schirra HJ, et al. Comparative
Genomics of Serial Isolates of Cryptococcus neoformans Reveals Gene Associated With
Carbon Utilization and Virulence. G3 (Bethesda). 2013 Apr 9;3(4):675–686.

26. Sephton-Clark P, McConnell SA, Grossman N, Baker RP, Dragotakes Q, Fan Y, et al.
Similar evolutionary trajectories in an environmental Cryptococcus neoformans isolate
after human and murine infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2023 Jan
10;120(2):e2217111120.

27. Hu G, Chen SH, Qiu J, Bennett JE, Myers TG, Williamson PR. Microevolution during
serial mouse passage demonstrates FRE3 as a virulence adaptation gene in
Cryptococcus neoformans. MBio. 2014 Apr 1;5(2):e00941–14.

28. Ewing AD. Transposable element detection from whole genome sequence data. Mob
DNA. 2015 Dec 29;6:24.

29. Brown SM, Campbell LT, Lodge JK. Cryptococcus neoformans, a fungus under stress.
Curr Opin Microbiol. 2007 Aug 17;10(4):320–325.

30. Gusa A, Williams JD, Cho J-E, Averette AF, Sun S, Shouse EM, et al. Transposon
mobilization in the human fungal pathogen Cryptococcus is mutagenic during infection
and promotes drug resistance in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020 May
5;117(18):9973–9980.

31. Gusa A, Yadav V, Roth C, Williams JD, Shouse EM, Magwene P, et al. Genome-wide
analysis of heat stress-stimulated transposon mobility in the human fungal pathogen
Cryptococcus deneoformans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2023 Jan
24;120(4):e2209831120.

32. Huang J, Larmore CJ, Priest SJ, Xu Z, Dietrich FS, Yadav V, et al. Distinct evolutionary
trajectories following loss of RNA interference in Cryptococcus neoformans. Proc Natl
Acad Sci USA. 2024 Nov 19;121(47):e2416656121.

33. Maziarz EK, Perfect JR. Cryptococcosis. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2016 Mar;30(1):179–
206.

34. Wang X, Hsueh Y-P, Li W, Floyd A, Skalsky R, Heitman J. Sex-induced silencing defends
the genome of Cryptococcus neoformans via RNAi. Genes Dev. 2010 Nov
15;24(22):2566–2582.

35. Dumesic PA, Natarajan P, Chen C, Drinnenberg IA, Schiller BJ, Thompson J, et al.
Stalled spliceosomes are a signal for RNAi-mediated genome defense. Cell. 2013 Feb
28;152(5):957–968.

36. Burke JE, Longhurst AD, Natarajan P, Rao B, Liu J, Sales-Lee J, et al. A Non-Dicer RNase III and Four Other Novel Factors Required for RNAi-Mediated Transposon Suppression in the Human Pathogenic Yeast Cryptococcus neoformans. G3 (Bethesda). 2019 Jul 9;9(7):2235–2244.

37. Janbon G, Maeng S, Yang D-H, Ko Y-J, Jung K-W, Moyrand F, et al. Characterizing the role of RNA silencing components in Cryptococcus neoformans. Fungal Genet Biol. 2010 Dec;47(12):1070–1080.

38. Priest SJ, Yadav V, Roth C, Dahlmann TA, Kück U, Magwene PM, et al. Uncontrolled transposition following RNAi loss causes hypermutation and antifungal drug resistance in clinical isolates of Cryptococcus neoformans. Nat Microbiol. 2022 Aug 2;7(8):1239–1251.

39. Yadav V, Sun S, Billmyre RB, Thimmappa BC, Shea T, Lintner R, et al. RNAi is a critical determinant of centromere evolution in closely related fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018 Mar 20;115(12):3108–3113.

40. Schotanus K, Yadav V, Heitman J. Epigenetic dynamics of centromeres and neocentromeres in Cryptococcus deuterogattii. PLoS Genet. 2021 Aug 31;17(8):e1009743.

41. Catania S, Dumesic PA, Pimentel H, Nasif A, Stoddard CI, Burke JE, et al. Evolutionary Persistence of DNA Methylation for Millions of Years after Ancient Loss of a De Novo Methyltransferase. Cell. 2020 Jan 23;180(2):263–277.e20.

42. Dumesic PA, Homer CM, Moresco JJ, Pack LR, Shanle EK, Coyle SM, et al. Product binding enforces the genomic specificity of a yeast polycomb repressive complex. Cell. 2015 Jan 15;160(1-2):204–218.

43. Desjardins CA, Giamberardino C, Sykes SM, Yu C-H, Tenor JL, Chen Y, et al. Population genomics and the evolution of virulence in the fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. Genome Res. 2017 Jul;27(7):1207–1219.

44. Van Wyk M, Govender NP, Mitchell TG, Litvintseva AP, GERMS-SA. Multilocus sequence typing of serially collected isolates of Cryptococcus from HIV-infected patients in South Africa. J Clin Microbiol. 2014 Jun;52(6):1921–1931.

45. Bovers M, Hagen F, Kuramae EE, Boekhout T. Six monophyletic lineages identified within Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii by multi-locus sequence typing. Fungal Genet Biol. 2008 Apr;45(4):400–421.

46. WHO fungal priority' ' pathogens list to guide research, development and public health actio.

47. Cruz MC, Cavallo LM, Görlach JM, Cox G, Perfect JR, Cardenas ME, et al. Rapamycin antifungal action is mediated via conserved complexes with FKBP12 and TOR kinase homologs in Cryptococcus neoformans. Mol Cell Biol. 1999 Jun;19(6):4101–4112.

48. Wicker T, Sabot F, Hua-Van A, Bennetzen JL, Capy P, Chalhoub B, et al. A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. Nat Rev Genet. 2007 Dec;8(12):973–982.

1144   49.   Kent WJ. BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res. 2002 Apr;12(4):656–664.

1145   50.   Goel M, Sun H, Jiao W-B, Schneeberger K. SyRI: finding genomic rearrangements and
1146   local sequence differences from whole-genome assemblies. Genome Biol. 2019 Dec
1147   16;20(1):277.

1148   51.   Fedoroff NV. Molecular genetics and epigenetics of CACTA elements. Methods Mol Biol.
1149   2013;1057:177–192.

1150   52.   Yuan Y-W, Wessler SR. The catalytic domain of all eukaryotic cut-and-paste transposase
1151   superfamilies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011 May 10;108(19):7884–7889.

1152   53.   Iyer LM, Zhang D, de Souza RF, Pukkila PJ, Rao A, Aravind L. Lineage-specific
1153   expansions of TET/JBP genes and a new class of DNA transposons shape fungal
1154   genomic and epigenetic landscapes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014 Feb 4;111(5):1676–
1155   1683.

1156   54.   Coffin JM, Hughes SH, Varmus HE. Structural Classes of Retroelements and Replication
1157   Strategies. 1997;

1158   55.   Eickbush TH, Jamburuthugoda VK. The diversity of retrotransposons and the properties
1159   of their reverse transcriptases. Virus Res. 2008 Jun;134(1-2):221–234.

1160   56.   Cost GJ, Feng Q, Jacquier A, Boeke JD. Human L1 element target-primed reverse
1161   transcription in vitro. EMBO J. 2002 Nov 1;21(21):5899–5910.

1162   57.   Priest SJ, Coelho MA, Mixão V, Clancey SA, Xu Y, Sun S, et al. Factors enforcing the
1163   species boundary between the human pathogens Cryptococcus neoformans and
1164   Cryptococcus deneoformans. PLoS Genet. 2021 Jan 19;17(1):e1008871.

1165   58.   Yang J, Malik HS, Eickbush TH. Identification of the endonuclease domain encoded by
1166   R2 and other site-specific, non-long terminal repeat retrotransposable elements. Proc
1167   Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999 Jul 6;96(14):7847–7852.

1168   59.   Christensen SM, Ye J, Eickbush TH. RNA from the 5' end of the R2 retrotransposon
1169   controls R2 protein binding to and cleavage of its DNA target site. Proc Natl Acad Sci
1170   USA. 2006 Nov 21;103(47):17602–17607.

1171   60.   Fujiwara H. Site-specific non-LTR retrotransposons. Microbiol Spectr. 2015
1172   Apr;3(2):MDNA3–0001.

1173   61.   Jurka J. Sequence patterns indicate an enzymatic involvement in integration of
1174   mammalian retroposons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997 Mar 4;94(5):1872–1877.

1175   62.   Starnes JH, Thornbury DW, Novikova OS, Rehmeyer CJ, Farman ML. Telomere-targeted
1176   retrotransposons in the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae: agents of telomere
1177   instability. Genetics. 2012 Jun;191(2):389–406.

1178   63.   Korotko U, Chwiałkowska K, Sańko-Sawczenko I, Kwasniewski M. DNA Demethylation in
1179   Response to Heat Stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Feb 4;22(4).

1180   64.   Zhang L, Yan L, Jiang J, Wang Y, Jiang Y, Yan T, et al. The structure and
1181   retrotransposition mechanism of LTR-retrotransposons in the asexual yeast Candida
1182   albicans. Virulence. 2014 Aug 15;5(6):655–664.

1183   65.   Yang D-H, Jung K-W, Bang S, Lee J-W, Song M-H, Floyd-Averette A, et al. Rewiring of
1184   Signaling Networks Modulating Thermotolerance in the Human Pathogen Cryptococcus
1185   neoformans. Genetics. 2017 Jan;205(1):201–219.

1186   66.   Kang YS, Jung J, Brown HL, Mateusiak C, Doering TL, Brent MR. Leveraging a new data
1187   resource to define the response of Cryptococcus neoformans to environmental signals.
1188   Genetics. 2025 Jan 8;229(1):1–29.

1189   67.   Nosanchuk JD, Shoham S, Fries BC, Shapiro DS, Levitz SM, Casadevall A. Evidence of
1190   zoonotic transmission of Cryptococcus neoformans from a pet cockatoo to an
1191   immunocompromised patient. Ann Intern Med. 2000 Feb 1;132(3):205–208.

1192   68.   Baril T, Galbraith J, Hayward A. Earl Grey: A Fully Automated User-Friendly Transposable
1193   Element Annotation and Analysis Pipeline. Mol Biol Evol. 2024 Apr 2;41(4).

1194   69.   Kim JM, Vanguri S, Boeke JD, Gabriel A, Voytas DF. Transposable elements and
1195   genome organization: a comprehensive survey of retrotransposons revealed by the
1196   complete Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome sequence. Genome Res. 1998
1197   May;8(5):464–478.

1198   70.   Levin HL, Weaver DC, Boeke JD. Two related families of retrotransposons from
1199   Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Mol Cell Biol. 1990 Dec;10(12):6791–6798.

1200   71.   Curcio MJ, Lutz S, Lesage P. The Ty1 LTR-retrotransposon of budding yeast,
1201   Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Spectr. 2015 Apr 1;3(2):1–35.

1202   72.   Curcio MJ, Garfinkel DJ. Single-step selection for Ty1 element retrotransposition. Proc
1203   Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991 Feb 1;88(3):936–940.

1204   73.   Behrens R, Hayles J, Nurse P. Fission yeast retrotransposon Tf1 integration is targeted
1205   to 5' ends of open reading frames. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000 Dec 1;28(23):4709–4716.

1206   74.   Singleton TL, Levin HL. A long terminal repeat retrotransposon of fission yeast has strong
1207   preferences for specific sites of insertion. Eukaryotic Cell. 2002 Feb;1(1):44–55.

1208   75.   McClintock B. The origin and behavior of mutable loci in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
1209   1950 Jun;36(6):344–355.

1210   76.   Cordaux R, Batzer MA. The impact of retrotransposons on human genome evolution. Nat
1211   Rev Genet. 2009 Oct;10(10):691–703.

1212   77.   Thomas J, Vadnagara K, Pritham EJ. DINE-1, the highest copy number repeats in
1213   Drosophila melanogaster are non-autonomous endonuclease-encoding rolling-circle
1214   transposable elements (Helentrons). Mob DNA. 2014 Jun 4;5:18.

1215   78.   Feschotte C, Jiang N, Wessler SR. Plant transposable elements: where genetics meets
1216   genomics. Nat Rev Genet. 2002 May;3(5):329–341.

1217   79.   Tsukahara S, Bousios A, Perez-Roman E, Yamaguchi S, Leduque B, Nakano A, et al.
1218   Centrophilic retrotransposon integration via CENH3 chromatin in Arabidopsis. Nature.
1219   2025 Jan 1;637(8046):744–748.

1220   80.   Dai J, Xie W, Brady TL, Gao J, Voytas DF. Phosphorylation regulates integration of the
1221   yeast Ty5 retrotransposon into heterochromatin. Mol Cell. 2007 Jul 20;27(2):289–299.

1222   81.   Cavrak VV, Lettner N, Jamge S, Kosarewicz A, Bayer LM, Mittelsten Scheid O. How a
1223   retrotransposon exploits the plant's heat stress response for its activation. PLoS Genet.
1224   2014 Jan 30;10(1):e1004115.

1225   82.   Pietzenuk B, Markus C, Gaubert H, Bagwan N, Merotto A, Bucher E, et al. Recurrent
1226   evolution of heat-responsiveness in Brassicaceae COPIA elements. Genome Biol. 2016
1227   Oct 11;17(1):209.

1228   83.   Garrigues JM, Tsu BV, Daugherty MD, Pasquinelli AE. Diversification of the
1229   Caenorhabditis heat shock response by Helitron transposable elements. Elife. 2019 Dec
1230   11;8.

1231   84.   Lawler JF, Haeusser DP, Dull A, Boeke JD, Keeney JB. Ty1 defect in proteolysis at high
1232   temperature. J Virol. 2002 May;76(9):4233–4240.

1233   85.   Cappucci U, Noro F, Casale AM, Fanti L, Berloco M, Alagia AA, et al. The Hsp70
1234   chaperone is a major player in stress-induced transposable element activation. Proc Natl
1235   Acad Sci USA. 2019 Sep 3;116(36):17943–17950.

1236   86.   Yadav V, Mohan R, Sun S, Heitman J. Calcineurin contributes to RNAi-mediated
1237   transgene silencing and small interfering RNA production in the human fungal pathogen
1238   Cryptococcus neoformans. Genetics. 2024 Jan 27;

1239   87.   Hall BM, Ma C-X, Liang P, Singh KK. Fluctuation analysis CalculatOR: a web tool for the
1240   determination of mutation rate using Luria-Delbruck fluctuation analysis. Bioinformatics.
1241   2009 Jun 15;25(12):1564–1565.

1242   88.   Hommelsheim CM, Frantzeskakis L, Huang M, Ülker B. PCR amplification of repetitive
1243   DNA: a limitation to genome editing technologies and many other applications. Sci Rep.
1244   2014 May 23;4:5052.

1245   89.   Hum YF, Jinks-Robertson S. DNA strand-exchange patterns associated with double-
1246   strand break-induced and spontaneous mitotic crossovers in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
1247   PLoS Genet. 2018 Mar 26;14(3):e1007302.

1248   90.   Moore A, Dominska M, Greenwell P, Aksenova AY, Mirkin S, Petes T. Genetic control of
1249   genomic alterations induced in yeast by interstitial telomeric sequences. Genetics. 2018
1250   Apr 2;209(2):425–438.

1251   91.   Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
1252   version 11. Mol Biol Evol. 2021 Jun 25;38(7):3022–3027.

1253   92.   Pitkin JW, Panaccione DG, Walton JD. A putative cyclic peptide efflux pump encoded by
1254   the TOXA gene of the plant-pathogenic fungus Cochliobolus carbonum. Microbiology
1255   (Reading, Engl). 1996 Jun;142 (Pt 6):1557–1565.

93.   Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. Canu: scalable and
accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation.
Genome Res. 2017 May;27(5):722–736.

94.   Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using
repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol. 2019 May;37(5):540–546.

95.   Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2018 Sep
15;34(18):3094–3100.

96.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
2012 Mar 4;9(4):357–359.

97.   Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, et al. Pilon: an
integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly
improvement. PLoS One. 2014 Nov 19;9(11):e112963.

98.   Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al.
Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011 Jan;29(1):24–26.

99.   Janbon G, Ormerod KL, Paulet D, Byrnes EJ, Yadav V, Chatterjee G, et al. Analysis of
the genome and transcriptome of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii reveals complex
RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. PLoS Genet. 2014
Apr 17;10(4):e1004261.

100.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool.
J Mol Biol. 1990 Oct 5;215(3):403–410.

101.   Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+:
architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009 Dec 15;10:421.

102.   Goel M, Schneeberger K. plotsr: visualizing structural similarities and rearrangements
between multiple genomes. Bioinformatics. 2022 May 13;38(10):2922–2926.

103.   Kohany O, Gentles AJ, Hankus L, Jurka J. Annotation, submission and screening of
repetitive elements in Repbase: RepbaseSubmitter and Censor. BMC Bioinformatics.
2006 Oct 25;7:474.

104.   Shumate A, Salzberg SL. Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. Bioinformatics.
2021 Jul 19;37(12):1639–1643.

105.   Pedersen BS, Quinlan AR. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes and
exomes. Bioinformatics. 2018 Mar 1;34(5):867–868.

1287

Figure 1. Diverse transposable elements (TEs) captured mobilizing in clinical isolates of *C. neoformans*. (**A**) Schematic of clinical isolate collection from patients with recurrent cryptococcal meningitis at disease onset and after subsequent relapse(s). Single colonies were isolated from patient cerebrospinal fluid. (**B**) Diagram of the "transposon trap" assay. Point mutations or TE insertions in the *FRR1* reporter gene confer resistance to rapamycin and FK506 (rap+FK506). (**C**) Illustration of seven mobile TEs, including class II elements from the CMC (CACTA, Mirage, Chapaev) supergroup and Kyakuja/Dileera/Zisupton superfamily, and class I elements from the Ty3/gypsy and R2 superfamilies. Terminal inverted repeats (TIRs) and long terminal repeats (LTRs) are indicated by triangles and arrows, respectively. Genes within TEs that are predicted to facilitate mobilization are shown as white arrows. Functional domains are indicated, including KDZ (Kyakuja/Dileera/Zisupton transposase), CxC1 (predicted zinc chelating domain), AP (aspartic protease), RT (reverse transcriptase), RH (RNase H), and IN (integrase). TEs are drawn to scale.

Figure 2. Mobilization of the DNA transposon CNIRT6 is induced by heat stress. (**A**) Rap+FK506 drug resistance rates following growth in non-selective YPD medium at 30°C or 37°C. (**B**) Mutation spectra in the *FRR1* gene for independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants. The mutation type "Unknown" indicates failure to amplify *FRR1* or absence of detectable mutations in its sequence (N = 24 – 55). (**C**) Insertion rate of CNIRT6 into *FRR1*. (**D**) Karyograms of the case 15 incident, relapse, and relapse 2 isolates. Full-length copies of CNIRT6 are shown as orange circles. Chromosome synteny is indicated by chromosome color, with inversions and duplications outlined in dashed and dotted lines, respectively. All chromosomes with identified structural rearrangements are marked with an asterisk. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (CIs); rates are considered statistically different if error bars do not overlap.

Figure 3. Mobilization of the DNA transposon CNEST is induced by heat stress. (**A**) Rap+FK506 drug resistance rates following growth in non-selective YPD medium at 30°C or 37°C. (**B**) Mutation spectra in the *FRR1* gene for independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants. The mutation type "Unknown" indicates failure to amplify *FRR1* or absence of detectable mutations in its sequence (N = 40 – 65). (**C**) Insertion rate of CNEST into *FRR1*. (**D**) Karyograms of the case 77 relapse and relapse 2 isolates. Full-length copies of CNEST (yellow) and KDZ1 (light blue) are shown as circles. Chromosome synteny is indicated by chromosome color. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (CIs); rates are considered statistically different if error bars do not overlap.

Figure 4. Temperature- and strain-specific TE dynamics in case 7. (**A**) Rap+FK506 drug resistance rates following growth in non-selective YPD medium at 30°C or 37°C. (**B**) Mutation spectra in the *FRR1* gene for independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants. The mutation type "Unknown" indicates failure to amplify *FRR1* or absence of detectable mutations in its sequence (N = 33 – 35). (**C**) Karyograms of the case 7 incident and relapse isolates. Full-length copies of TCN3 (green), CNL1 (purple), KDZ3 (blue), and KDZ2 (dark blue) are shown as circles. Chromosome synteny is indicated by chromosome color. Insets show the 45 kb regions on the left arms of chromosomes in the incident and relapse isolates. Purple arrows indicate all CNL1 BLASTn hits; grey lines represent DNA methylation frequency from long reads, with the y-axis scaled from 0 to 1. (**D**) DNA methylation frequency plotted for the Chr 3 centromere region ±10 kb of the incident isolate. The position and orientation of full-length TCN3 copies is shown. (**E**) Diagram of HSE sequence motifs (red) identified in TCN3 LTRs. Position is shown relative to the gag-pol open reading frame (ORF) translation start site.

Figure 5. Heat stress-induced CNEST activation is conserved in an environmental isolate. (**A**) Rap+FK506 drug resistance rates following growth in non-selective YPD medium at 30°C or 37°C. (**B**) Mutation spectra in the *FRR1* gene for independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants. The mutation type "Unknown" indicates failure to amplify *FRR1* or absence of detectable mutations in its sequence (N = 42 – 52). (**C**) Chromosome-level synteny between the cockatoo guano and case 77 relapse isolates. Full-length copies of CNEST (yellow) and KDZ1 (light blue) are shown as circles.

Figure 6. Genomic distribution and DNA methylation differ among non-LTR, LTR, and DNA transposons. (**A**) The proportion of the genome composed of non-LTR, LTR, and DNA transposons, based on the Earl Grey TE annotation. (**B**) Scaled positions of protein coding genes and non-LTR, LTR, and DNA transposons across chromosome arms. Chromosomes were split at the midpoint of the centromere region, and protein-coding gene and TE positions were scaled from 0 to 1 based on chromosome arm length. Data for all chromosome arms is plotted. (**C**) Mean 5mC DNA methylation density per 100 bp for DNA, non-LTR, and LTR TEs in isolates from cases 1, 7, 15, and 77. Violin plots are overlaid to illustrate the distribution. Significance was assessed using the pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test with continuity correction. *** denotes Bonferroni-adjusted $p < 2e\text{-}16$. The first genome assembly from serially collected clinical isolates was chosen as a representative for all cases.

Figure S1. PCR amplification of *FRR1* in wild-type (WT) and independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants. *FRR1* was amplified from independent drug-resistant mutants from case 15 (**A**), case 77 (**B**), and case 7 (**C**); a subset of representative mutants is shown. Higher molecular weight bands indicative of TE insertion in *FRR1* were verified by Sanger or linear amplicon sequencing. Colored arrows indicate the molecular weights of bands identified as TEs.

Figure S2. CNIRT6 insertion into *FRR1*. (**A**) A subset of CNIRT6 insertion sites (orange arrows) mapped in *FRR1*. The 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of *FRR1* are underlined; introns are shown in lowercase, exons in capital letters, and the start codon is bolded. (**B**) TSDs detected at CNIRT6 insertion sites. Insertions are ordered based on their appearance in the *FRR1* sequence from beginning to end.

Figure S3. Classification of mobile CMC/EnSpm-like elements (CNIRT6, CNEST), and CNL1. (**A**) Regions of interest in a multiple sequence alignment highlighting conserved resides in CMC/EnSpm-like elements. Highlighted residues include the DDE catalytic core (yellow), conserved cysteine residues (blue) and conserved histidine residues (green) (42). (**B**) Unrooted amino acid phylogeny of diverse CMC/EnSpm transposases, demonstrating the relationship of CNIRT6 and CNEST with known CMC/EnSpm families and other related sequences. CNIRT6 is marked with an orange star, and CNEST with a gold star. (**C**) Unrooted amino acid phylogeny of reverse transcriptase domains, demonstrating the relationship between CNL1 and MoTeR1, and more distantly related R2 elements from flies and fish, as well as mammalian L1 elements. Branches are colored by kingdom: animals (blue), plants (green), and fungi (yellow). Bootstrap values greater than 50% are shown at nodes. Scale bars represent amino acid substitutions per site. Abbreviations: HS – *Homo sapiens*, DR – *Danio rerio*, DM – *Drosophila melanogaster*, CE – *Caenorhabditis elegans,* CB – *Caenorhabditis briggsae*, PM – *Petromyzon marinus*, BF – *Branchiostoma floridae*, , Dpulex – *Daphnia pulex*, Cis – *Ciona intestinalis*, Aca – *Aplysia californica*, Sm – *Schistosoma mansoni*, AA – *Aedes aegypt*, ChOp – *Chionoecetes opilio*, Jewel wasp – *Nasonia vitripennis*, Nvi – *Nasonia vitripennis*, HM – *Hydra magnipapillata*, Ccinerea – *Coproniopsis cinerea*, RIr – *Rhizophagus irregularis*, Roryzae – *Rizopus oryzae*, Mlaricis – *Melampsora laricis*, PGr – *Puccinia graminis*, PB – *Phycomyces blakesleeanus*, Mo – *Magnaporthe oryzae*, AT – *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Figure S4. Putative CNIRT6 transposition events in serially collected clinical isolates. (**A**) Location of the restriction site (dotted line) and CNIRT6-specific probe used in Southern analysis. (**B**) Southern blot of genomic DNA digested with BspEI probed for CNIRT6 in incident (I) and relapse (R) isolates; case numbers are indicated as C#. Asterisks denote the loss of CNIRT6 fragments, while arrowheads indicate the emergence of CNIRT6 fragments at new genomic locations between incident and relapse isolates. (**C**) BLAT hits identified in case 1 isolates using full-length CNIRT6 as the query. The orange dashed line indicates the length of full-length CNIRT6.

Figure S5. CNEST and KDZ1 insertion into *FRR1*. (**A**) A subset of CNEST (gold) and KDZ1 (light blue) insertion sites are mapped with arrows in *FRR1*. The 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of *FRR1* are underlined; introns are shown in lowercase, exons in capital letters, and the start codon is bolded. (**B**) TSDs detected at CNEST and KDZ1 insertion sites. Insertions are ordered based on their appearance in the *FRR1* sequence from beginning to end.

Figure S6. KDZ2, KDZ3, CNL1, and TCN3 insertion into *FRR1*. (**A**) A subset of KDZ2 (dark blue), KDZ3 (blue), CNL1 (purple), and TCN3 (green) insertion sites are mapped with arrows in *FRR1*. The 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of *FRR1* are underlined; introns are shown in lowercase, exons in capital letters, and the start codon is bolded. (**B**) TSDs detected at KDZ2, KDZ3, CNL1, and TCN3 insertion sites. Insertions are ordered based on their appearance in the *FRR1* sequence from beginning to end.

Figure S7. Limited nutrient availability alters TE mobilization dynamics. Mutation spectra in the *FRR1* gene for independent rap+FK506-resistant mutants in case 15 (**A**), case 77 (**B**), and case 7 (**C**). The mutation type "Unknown" indicates failure to amplify *FRR1* or absence of detectable mutations in its sequence (N = 18 – 31).

Figure S8. No predicted transposition of CNEST or KDZ1 in murine-passaged isolates. (**A**) Location of the restriction sites (dotted lines) and CNEST- and KDZ1-specific probes used in the Southern analysis. Southern blots of (**B**) PvuII-digested genomic DNA probed for CNEST, and (**C**) PspXI-digested genomic DNA probed for KDZ1. Shown are case 77 isolates, the cockatoo guano isolate, the patient isolate (PU) infected by the guano point-source strain, and cockatoo guano isolates passaged one to two times through mice by Sephton-Clark et al. (2023), recovered from brain or lung tissue (see Table S1 for strain details).

A

	CNIRT6	CNEST	KDZ1	KDZ2	KDZ3	CNL1	TCN3
Case 1 Incident	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Case 1 Relapse	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Case 7 Incident	0	1	1	3	2	16	2
Case 7 Relapse	0	1	1	3	2	39	2
Case 15 Incident	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
Case 15 Relapse	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
Case 15 Relapse 2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
Case 77 Relapse	0	4	5	0	2	0	0
Case 77 Relapse 2	0	4	5	0	2	0	0
Cockatoo guano	0	4	5	0	1	0	0
H99	2	2	1	0	0	0	1

Figure S9. Full-length TE copies are present in genomes where no mobilization was detected. (**A**) Full-length TE copy numbers identified in whole-genome assemblies using BLAT and BLASTn homology searches. TE copy numbers in isolates with observed mobilization events are highlighted in pink. Isolate names are colored by lineage: VNI (blue), VNII (green), and VNBII (orange). (**B**) Full-length TE copy number estimates from short-read sequencing for all 15 *C. neoformans* incident isolates screened, isolates where no insertions were identified in *FRR1* are in grey, isolates where insertions were detected are in pink.

Figure S10. DNA TEs are approximately uniformly distributed across the genome. Quantile–quantile (QQ) plots are shown for the H99 reference genome and the first genome assembly from serially collected clinical isolates for cases 1, 7, 15, and 77 (representative examples). Observed quantiles correspond to the scaled positions (0 to 1) of DNA TEs along all chromosome arms. Theoretical quantiles are uniformly distributed random values between 0 and 1, sorted in ascending order, with their total number matching the number of observed DNA TEs.

Figure S11. DNA transposons are less frequently methylated than LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons. (**A**) Number of TEs per type and the percentage containing at least one high-confidence DNA methylation call (methylation frequency > 0.75), with median values shown. (**B**) TE types (colors) per genome (shapes) and the percentage with at least one high-confidence DNA methylation call (methylation frequency > 0.75). The first genome assembly from serially collected clinical isolates was chosen as a representative.