Accumulation of a biparentally-inherited Neptune transposable element in natural
Killifish hybrids (*Fundulus diaphanus* × *F. heroclitus*)

Alexandre-James Roussel[1], Alexander Suh[2,3,4,5], Francisco J. Ruiz-Ruano[2,3,4*], Anne-Marie
Dion-Côté[1*]

[1]Département de biologie, Université de Moncton, Moncton, NB, Canada

[2]School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich,
United Kingdom

[3]Centre for Molecular Biodiversity Research, Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity
Change, Bonn, Germany

[4]Bonn Institute for Organismal Biology – Animal Biodiversity, University of Bonn, Bonn,
Germany

[5]Department of Organismal Biology – Systematic Biology (EBC), Science for Life Laboratory,
Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

*Corresponding authors: Anne-Marie Dion-Côté, Département de biologie, Université de
Moncton, Moncton, NB, Canada, +1-506-858-4815, anne-marie.dion-cote@umoncton.ca;
Francisco J. Ruiz-Ruano, Centre for Molecular Biodiversity Research, Leibniz Institute for the
Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Adenauerallee 127, 53113 Bonn, Germany,
F.RuizRuano@leibniz-lib.de

Abstract

Transposable elements (TEs) are abundant selfish genetic elements that can mobilize in their

host genome, causing DNA damage, mutations and chromosome rearrangements. TE silencing

is thus critical, and is initiated by maternally loaded piRNAs, leading to their repression.

Consistently, paternally inherited TEs are derepressed in the progeny of Drosophila crosses

involving a naive female. TEs have also been found to be derepressed in interspecific crosses,

which is proposed to result from suboptimal interactions of piRNA pathway proteins. *Fundulus*

heteroclitus and *F. diaphanus* hybridize in nature and produce viable and fertile offspring that

sometimes reproduce asexually. We characterized the repetitive DNA content of these species

and their asexually reproducing hybrids. TE load was slightly higher than expected in hybrids

and associated with younger repeats. Two bi-parentally inherited active Neptune subfamilies

showed a remarkable ~3-4-fold accumulation in hybrids. These results are consistent with

suboptimal piRNA pathway function, leading to active TE accumulation.

Keywords: Repetitive DNA, transposable element, hybridization, asexual reproduction, fish

Introduction

Hybridization between divergent populations or species may trigger genome instability in hybrids, a phenomenon long proposed to be associated with transposable element (TE) derepression (i.e., the genomic shock hypothesis, McClintock 1984). TEs are selfish repetitive sequences that can mobilize within their host genome and are classified in two major groups. Class I elements (retrotransposons) require an RNA intermediate which is retro-transcribed into complementary DNA before re-inserting in the genome, increasing copy number. Retrotransposons are further classified into subclasses, depending on the presence or absence of long terminal repeats (LTRs and non-LTRs) (Wicker et al. 2007). *Penelope*-like elements (PLEs) form a peculiar type of non-LTR retrotransposons due to their unique organization and mode of mobilization, and the presence of a unique GIY-YIG endonuclease domain (Evgen'ev and Arkhipova 2005; Frangieh et al. 2024). Class II elements (DNA transposons) do not transpose by reverse transcription but rather via DNA excision and insertion (Wicker et al. 2007).

TE mobilization can be highly deleterious, disrupting genes or regulatory elements, and inducing DNA damage or chromosome rearrangements (Bourque et al. 2018). To counteract these threats, silencing mechanisms have evolved in eukaryotes to repress TEs (Cosby et al. 2019). In animals, a key component of this defense system involves Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNA) and the associated piRNA pathway proteins, which together mediate TE repression (Le Thomas et al. 2013). In Drosophila, this silencing is initiated by maternally transmitted piRNAs, safeguarding the progeny's genome against TEs (Malone et al. 2009). Importantly, piRNA pathway proteins themselves evolve rapidly, a pattern that is widely interpreted as a response to the fast-paced sequence evolution of selfish TEs. This dynamic reflects an ongoing evolutionary arms race, where host silencing machinery must continually adapt to recognize and suppress

newly emerging or mutating TEs evading repression (Blumenstiel et al. 2016; Cosby et al. 2019;

Parhad and Theurkauf 2019).

Observations across various systems have led to two non-mutually exclusive models

that may account for TE mobilization in hybrids. The first model involves paternal-only TE

derepression, where active TEs transmitted by the paternal line but absent from the maternal

genome escape silencing and mobilize in hybrids. This phenomenon is thought to result from

the lack of maternally-transmitted piRNAs that match and silence paternally inherited TEs. This

phenomenon is well-documented in Drosophila dysgenic crosses but has not been thoroughly

descrived in other systems, to the best of our knowledge (Bucheton et al. 1976; Kidwell et al.

1977; Evgen'ev et al. 1997, Marie et al. 2017, Ryazansky et al. 2017). In contrast, the second

model involves bi-parental TE derepression, where TEs inherited from both parents are

activated. It has been proposed that bi-parental TE rerepression results from the accumulation

of genetic incompatibilities in piRNA pathway proteins over evolutionary time, which impairs TE

silencing mechanisms in interspecific hybrids (Kelleher et al. 2012). Such bi-parental TE

derepression has been reported in Drosophila interspecific hybrids (Labrador et al. 1999;

Kelleher et al. 2012; Romero-Soriano et al. 2017), as well as in some mammal, plant and fish

hybrids (O'Neill et al. 1998; Ungerer et al. 2006; Dion-Côté et al. 2014). While TE derepression

has been observed in various aforementioned hybrid systems, its downstream genomic impact

remains unclear. In some cases, studies have focused solely on transcriptional derepression,

leaving open the question of whether increased TE expression leads to greater TE

accumulation or transposition. Moreover, many studies rely on later-generation hybrids, making

it difficult to determine whether derepression occurred in the initial F_1 or F_2 generations, or

emerged later. In other cases, the observed genomic instability may be confounded

by chromosomal rearrangements or the effects of meiotic recombination, which cannot be

excluded as alternative or contributing mechanisms.

Fundulus heteroclitus and *F. diaphanus* are killifish species that diverged between 15

and 25 million years ago (Ghedotti and Davis 2017). While *F. diaphanus* is primarily found in

freshwater, *F. heteroclitus* preferentially occurs in saltwater (Scott and Crossman 1973). Both

species are euryhaline and co-occur in brackish water, where sexually and asexually

reproducing hybrids have been reported (Fritz and Garside 1974; Dawley 1992; Hernández

Chávez and Turgeon 2007; Mérette et al. 2009). An opening formed ~70 years ago (~25-40

generations) in Porters Lake, NS, allowing sea water to enter (Fritz and Garside 1974).

Therefore, secondary contact between *F. heteroclitus* and *F. diaphanus* in Porters Lake is very

recent, from ~70 years to a conservative maximum of 13 000 years, as the area was covered by

Wisconsinian glaciers until then (Shaw et al. 2006; Dalziel et al. 2020). There, previous work

has revealed the presence of asexually reproducing "frozen F1" hybrids that reproduce by

gynogenesis, carrying a full, unrecombined chromosome set from each parental species due to

disrupted meiotic recombination between homologs (Hernández Chávez and Turgeon 2007;

Dalziel et al. 2020, Dion-Côté et al., *unpublished observations*). Several clonal lineages co-exist,

but one lineage is predominant (Hernández Chávez and Turgeon 2007; Dalziel et al. 2020).

This system provides a rare opportunity to investigate maternally- and paternally-transmitted TE

accumulation in "frozen F1" hybrids, controlling for some of the confounding effects of meiotic

recombination and large-scale chromosome rearrangements. The relatively young age of the

Fundulus clones also allows to capture TE accumulation in these hybrids, if any, before their

decay, as predicted under long-term asexual reproduction (Hickey 1982; Arkhipova and

Meselson 2005; Dolgin and Charlesworth 2006).

103 **Material and Methods**

104 *Fish collection and sampling*

105   Experimental procedures were approved by the Université de Moncton's animal care

106 and use committee (19-02). Fishes were collected from Porters Lake, Nova Scotia (44°43′40″N,

107 63°18′00″W) during Summer 2020 using beach seine nets under a Department of Oceans and

108 Fisheries licence (355651). Because asexually reproducing hybrids are all females, only

109 females were kept (Table S1). Fish were housed in brackish water (12 ppt) at Université de

110 Moncton until they were sacrificed. Fin clips were preserved in 95% ethanol.

111 *DNA extraction, genotyping and sequencing*

112   DNA from three *F. heteroclitus*, four *F. diaphanus* and seven hybrid individuals was

113 extracted from fin clips using the E.Z.N.A tissue DNA extraction kit (Omega Bio-tek). To

114 determine maternal ancestry, a 441bp fragment from the mtDNA D-Loop was amplified by PCR

115 and digested with HphI (New England BioLabs), as previously described (Dalziel et al. 2020). In

116 addition, five microsatellite loci were genotyped for each individual [FhATG-B103, FhCA-1 and

117 FhCA-21: (Adams et al. 2005), Fhe113 and Fhe57: (Hernández Chávez and Turgeon 2007),

118 Table S2]. Allelic sizes were determined by capillary electrophoresis on a 3730xl DNA Analyzer

119 (Applied Biosystems) at The Center for Applied Genomics, Toronto. Results were analyzed

120 using the Microsatellite Analysis application (ThermoFisher Connect Cloud).

121   PCR-free DNA libraries (Lucigen) were prepared and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq

122 Instrument with 150 bp paired-end reads at the Genome Quebec Innovation Center (Table S3).

123 Quality control was performed using FastQC (V0.11.9). Reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic

(v0.38) using the following parameters: ILLUMINACLIP 2:30:7:4, HEADCROP: 10 and MINLEN:

100.

Repeat characterization

We characterized the most abundant repeats in hybrid individuals in a single run of

RepeatExplorer2 (Novák et al. 2013). We randomly selected and concatenated 71,429 trimmed

read pairs from each of the seven hybrid individuals for a total of 500,000 read pairs. These

reads were grouped by RepeatExplorer2 into clusters. We further classified each cluster into the

most specific group possible, based on a hierarchical decision tree (Fig. S1). For TEs, a cluster

is equivalent to a complete or partial subfamily. "Unclassified repeats" are clusters that were not

annotated as a satellites, mobile elements or rDNA, whereas "Unknown" clusters were not

classified as organelles or contamination. The final repeat database was composed of 14,011

contigs (Supplementary File 1).

We used the "id_rmasker.py" script to add the annotation to the sequence IDs in

RepeatMasker's format (Smit et al. 2013-2015) and the "replace_patterns.py" script to transform

the RepeatExplorer2 annotation to be cluster-specific (https://github.com/fjruizruano/ngs-

[protocols](https://github.com/fjruizruano/ngs-protocols)). We used the resulting repeat database to estimate the proportion of the genome

occupied by each cluster. To do this, we selected 5 million trimmed read pairs per sequenced

library using seqtk v1.3 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk). We then quantified the abundance of

each repeat within the database with this subset of reads using RepeatMasker v4.1.3

(Supplementary Files 2–3). RepeatMasker was run using the repeat_masker_run_big.py script

from the satMiner toolkit (https://github.com/fjruizruano/satminer, last access on 11/07/2022)

(Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2016). This script runs RepeatMasker on large query files by splitting them

up into subsets. It also runs the calcDivergenceFromAlign.pl script from RepeatMasker, which

counts the total number of nucleotides occupied by each group of repeats along divergence

intervals (Kimura substitution levels) of 1% needed to generate repeat landscapes. Genome

proportion occupied by each cluster was obtained by normalizing the number of nucleotides

occupied by each cluster to the total number of nucleotides for its subset of reads. We then

generated average repeat landscapes for each group (*F. heteroclitus*, *F. diaphanus*, and

hybrids) and subtractive repeat landscapes as the average values of a group minus the average

values of the other group. A principal component analysis was run on the abundance for each

repeat category using the function PCA() from the FactoMineR package (Lê et al. 2008) in R.

We calculated the average genome proportion of the parental species and the hybrids for each

cluster. We then detected important deviations from the observed genome proportion occupied

by each repeat cluster in hybrids compared with the expected proportion as the average

between the genome proportion of both parental species. This analysis revealed repeat.CL27

as being ~4X more abundant than expected.

Haplotype calling

We tested whether some species-specific subfamilies of repeat.CL27 preferentially

accumulated in hybrids using the sequence of ORF2 (reverse transcriptase, see *Copy number*

validation by qPCR) from the repeat.CL27 consensus sequence as a reference. We mapped the

trimmed paired reads from the 14 individuals using bwa-mem2 v.2.2.1 with relaxed conditions

("-SP -k 14 -L 0,0 -U 0 -B 1"). We processed the resulting BAM files with samtools v1.14

(Danecek et al. 2021) as follows. First, we sorted and kept reads mappings with MAPQ ≥ 40

and merged the mapped reads for each parental species separately. We then added the read

group for each BAM file with Picard v3.1.1 (Broad Institute). We performed haplotype calling

with freebayes v1.3.2 using a minimum length of 7 (--haplotype-length 7) (Garrison and Marth

2012). We extracted haplotypes from the resulting VCF file with BCFtools query v.1.10.2

(Danecek et al. 2021) applying the option (-f

'%CHROM\t%POS\t%REF\t%ALT\t[%SAMPLE:%GT\t]\n'). Then, we extracted private

haplotypes from each parental species and selected haplotypes longer than 15 nt. Finally, we

extracted the mapped reads from the 14 sequencing libraries (parental species and hybrids) in

FASTQ format with samtools fastq and counted the number of occurrences of each haplotype

with grep (Supplementary File 4).

Copy number validation by qPCR

We identified open reading frames (ORF) in the consensus sequence of repeat.CL27

(CL27Contig27_13_sc_0.609135_l_5617) using ORFfinder

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/), which identified two long non-overlapping ORFs

(ORF1 and ORF2, 420 and 2004 nt, respectively). We then estimated the number of copies in

18 individuals (six individuals for each group) by qPCR. We designed primers targeting the

ORF2 from repeat.CL27 using Primer-BLAST (F: 5'-AGGAACCTGAAAAGTGGAGCA-3', R: 5'-

TGGTGCCGAATTGAGAGAGG3') and the citrate synthase gene from the *F. heteroclitus*

reference genome (NC_046361, F: 5'-TGCACCCCATGTCTCAGTTC-3', R: 5'-

TTGGACTTGTGCACTCCCTC-3).

Efficiency curves were generated using 0.0032 - 10 ng (5-fold serial dilution) of gDNA

from a single *F. heteroclitus* female individual. Reactions (7 µl) contained 0.25 µM of each

primer and 1X Luna Universal qPCR master mix (New England BioLabs). Amplification began

with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec and

combined annealing and amplification at 60°C for 30 sec, for a total of 40 cycles. Amplification

efficiency was acceptable for both genes (97.3%, R^2 = 0.994 for citrate synthase, 100.65%, R^2 =

0.994 for ORF2 of repeat.CL27) and melting curves revealed a single peak per reaction. Both

reactions were run on the same plate for each sample.

Cloning and sequencing of repeat.CL27 ORF2

We manually designed primers to amplify the full-length reverse transcriptase encoded

by ORF2 from the consensus sequence of repeat.CL27 (F: 5'-

ATGAGGTATGACCTGCAAAAATACC-3', R: 5'-GATCCAAATCCTTTCAGCCCTTC-3'). The

reactions (25 μl) contained 0.6 μM of each primer, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM dNTPs, 1.25 U GoTaq

and 45 ng of DNA. The amplification protocol included an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2

min, followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C for 1 min and

amplification at 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. Amplicons were gel-

purified using the Monarch DNA gel extraction kit (New England BioLabs). Purified amplicons

were cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit for sequencing (Invitrogen) following the

manufacturer's recommendations and transformed in DH5α competent cells (Fisher Scientific).

Sequencing of the plasmids was performed by Plasmidsaurus, and vector sequence was

removed using A Plasmid Editor (Davis and Jorgensen 2022) (Supplementary file 5).

Results and Discussion

F. diaphanus had a slightly higher repetitive DNA load than *F. heteroclitus* (30.39% vs

29.30%, p < 0.001, Type III ANOVA, Table S4), consistent with its larger genome (1.48 Gb for

F. diaphanus vs 1.33 Gb for *F. heteroclitus*, Dawley 1992). For *F. heteroclitus*, the proportion of

the genome occupied by TEs was lower than previously reported using an assembly-based

approach, which is more sensitive to low copy number repeats in the assembled genome

(32.66%, Reinar et al. 2023). In fishes, TEs are highly diversified, with non-LTR

retrotransposons and DNA transposons typically being the most abundant, with many families

that remain active (Volff 2005; Shao et al. 2019). As expected, non-LTR retrotransposons were

the most abundant TEs in both species. On the contrary, we identified very few DNA

transposons (<1% of the genome for Maverick elements and no hATs), but this would be

expected if many of them were non-autonomous, i.e., lacking any ORFs (Peona et al. 2024).

We compared the repetitive DNA content of *F. diaphanus* and *F. heteroclitus* by generating

repeat landscapes which revealed higher abundances among the lower Kimura substitution

level bins for both species, consistent with a recent accumulation of repeats (Fig. 1A & B). A

subtractive repeat landscape, calculated as *F. diaphanus* minus *F. heteroclitus*, revealed that

the main differences between both species were found among the less divergent repeats, i.e.,

those that expanded more recently (Fig. 1C). This analysis also revealed an expansion of many

TE groups as well as unknown/unclassified repeats in *F. diaphanus* (or a contraction in *F.*

heteroclitus) whereas young satellite DNA appeared to have recently expanded in *F.*

heteroclitus (or contracted in *F. diaphanus*).

Figure 1. Repeat content in *Fundulus* spp. We calculated the genome proportion occupied by
each repeat group as a function of the Kimura substitution level (CpG corrected) of a given
repeat cluster to its consensus sequence. A) Repeat landscape of *F. diaphanus* (average
content across four individuals). B) Repeat landscape of *F. heteroclitus* (average content across
three individuals). C) Subtractive repeat landscape generated by subtracting *F. heteroclitus* (B)
from *F. diaphanus* (A) average abundance values. Annotation based on Fig. S1. Silhouette from
PhyloPic.

To visualize how repeat content in hybrids differs from the parental species, we

performed a principal component analysis (PCA) on the genome proportion occupied by each

repeat group. Principal components (PC) 1 (66.1% of the variance) clearly separated parental

species, which was expected given their contrasted repeat landscapes (Fig. 2A). Along PC1,

hybrids were also intermediate between parental species, although slightly closer to *F.*

diaphanus. This was expected as *F. diaphanus* has a larger genome. PC2 (25.4% of the

variance) clearly separates hybrids from parental species, with all repeat groups being positively

correlated to this PC, except for rDNA (more abundant in *F. heteroclitus*) and retroviruses (more

abundant in *F. diaphanus*). This suggests that most repeat groups accumulated in hybrid

genomes. We thus generated a subtractive repeat landscape to compare the observed hybrid

repeat content with the "expected" repeat content (i.e., the sum of half the average abundance

of each parental species for each repeat group, Fig. 2B). This revealed that hybrids had a

higher repetitive DNA content than expected for every repeat group, except rDNA (Fig. 2C). Yet,

the genome proportion occupied by repeats in hybrids was only 0.665% higher than in *F.*

diaphanus and 1.69% in *F. heteroclitus* (Table S4). These results suggest that most repetitive

elements have accumulated in *Fundulus* hybrids, consistent with suboptimal piRNA pathway

protein interaction for TEs, although very moderately. While we cannot entirely exclude

technical biases, such as underestimating hybrid genome size or overestimating repeat

abundance through parental allele separation, this pattern would not be restricted to the

youngest repeats (i.e., lowest Kimura substitution bins) as we observe. Another caveat is that

the individuals from the parental species that we sequenced may not fully capture the variation

present in the parental individuals that produced the sequenced clones.

Figure 2. Repeat content in *Fundulus* hybrids. A) PCA of the repeat group content on *Fundulus* fish. B) Repeat landscape of *Fundulus* hybrids. C) Subtractive repeat landscape generated by subtracting expected abundance values (half the average abundance in *F. diaphanus* plus half the average abundance in *F. heteroclitus*) from the abundance observed in hybrids. Annotation based on Fig S1.

Therefore, we examined whether some repeats expanded more extensively in hybrids, compared to our expectation (i.e., the sum of half the average abundance of each parental species for each repeat group, Fig. 3A). We extracted the only three repeat clusters that varied by more than 1 Mb between the observed and expected hybrid repeat content (Fig. 3A). The first one, annotated as a Ty3 element (LTR retrotransposon), was ~1.15 times more abundant in hybrids than expected, indicating a moderate increase. Although satellite.CL1 was more abundant in hybrids than expected (observed: $1.37 \times 10^7 \pm 1.13 \times 10^6$ bp, expected: 1.17×10^7 bp), it fell within the *F. heteroclitus* range ($1.35 \times 10^7 \pm 1.15 \times 10^6$ bp). A third candidate, repeat.CL27 ("unclassified", Fig. S1), stood out, being ~4 times more abundant than expected in hybrids (Fig. 3B, p < 0.001, Type III ANOVA,). This result was further validated by qPCR with different individuals, to exclude methodological biases, which revealed a 2.92 average increased abundance in hybrids compared to parental species (Fig. S2). Based on CENSOR (Kohany et al. 2006), this repeat presents similarity (positions 5128 to 2560, minus strand) with a Neptune retroelement previously identified in the Threespine Stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) (subfamily Neptune-2_GA, positions 5128-2460, 66.03% identity). Elements from the

Neptune superfamily are poorly characterized *Penelope*-like elements defined by the presence

of a reverse transcriptase (RT) and a GIY-YIG endonuclease domain (Evgen'ev and Arkhipova

2005) that have now been detected across algae, plants, fungi, protists and animals (Craig et al.

2021). We identified two long ORFs in repeat.CL27, from position 73-492 (419 nt, ORF1) and

from position 666-2669 (2003 nt, ORF2). We did not detect sequence similarity for ORF1 in any

of the queried databases (Repbase, GenBank, gtRNAdb, CDD), but ORF2 contains the intact

RT (AA 590-558) and GIY-YIG (AA 299-392) domains based on the Conserved Domains

Database (CDD). A phylogeny with other PLEs revealed that ORF2 groups with other Neptune

subfamilies (Fig. 3C). We cloned and sequenced full ORF2 from various individuals, which

revealed the existence of two subfamilies of this Neptune element that are specific to each

parental species (Fig. 3D). Both subfamilies were found in hybrids, which prompted us to

examine the accumulation of short, species-specific haplotypes in repeat.CL27 from the

sequencing reads (Supplementary File 4). We detected 8 regions > 15 nt, resulting in 27

haplotypes, 12 of which were specific to *F. diaphanus* and 7 to *F. heteroclitus* (i.e., short

haplotypes that are diagnostic of a subfamily that is restricted to either parental species).

Among *F. diaphanus*-specific haplotypes, five out of seven were more abundant in hybrids than

expected (observed abundance/expected abundance median = 6.79). Likewise, five out of 12 *F.*

heteroclitus-specific haplotypes showed a higher abundance in hybrids than expected

(observed abundance/expected abundance median = 7.12). Thus, some species-specific

haplotypes are present in excess in these asexually reproducing "frozen F1" hybrids, whereas

others are under-represented. Species-specific haplotypes may be under-represented in hybrid

genomes because they were absent in the original parents, emerged in parental species after

hybrid formation, or were lost in hybrids through mutations or deletions. Altogether, these results

suggest that species-specific variants of this Neptune subfamily from each parental species are

     accumulating in *Fundulus* hybrids. We propose that this accumulation may be restricted to

     currently active variants.

     Figure 3. A Neptune transposable element accumulates in *Fundulus* hybrids. A) Observed
     proportion of the genome occupied by each repeat cluster in hybrids as a function of the
     expected copy number. Repeat.CL27 is highlighted. The line depicts a 1:1 ratio. B) Total
     genomic length (bp) occupied by repeat.CL27 based on sequencing (p < 0.001, Type III
     ANOVA). C) Phylogeny of PLEs RT and repeat.CL27 ORF2 (RT consensus). PLEs sequences
     were graciously provided by Tomás Carrasco-Valenzuela (Carrasco-Valenzuela et al. 2023). D)

Phylogeny of cloned full-length RT from 14 individuals. Outgroup sequence from *Poecilia gillii* (NCBI accession number CAEGAJ010041810.1: 443 - 2446) (van Kruistum et al. 2021) was obtained by doing a BLASTN search of the consensus sequence against the WGS database restricted to the *Poecilia* genus (taxid:8080). Sequences were aligned using MAFFT-LINSI v7.505 (Katoh and Standley 2013) (Supplementary file 6). We manually inspected the resulting alignment for the presence of PCR chimeras and removed them. We built a phylogeny using IQ-TREE v2.4.0 with default parameters and 1000 bootstraps (Nguyen et al. 2015). Trees were edited using Figtree v1.4.4 (https://github.com/rambaut/figtree). Branches with >0.85 bootstrap support are indicated with an asterisk.

Conclusion

While the moderate accumulation of many repetitive sequences we observed in hybrids

is coherent with suboptimal piRNA pathway protein interactions (Kelleher et al. 2012), technical

biases cannot be entirely excluded. We identified two Neptune subfamilies, each of which are

specific to either *F. diaphanus* or *F. heteroclitus*, that accumulated dramatically in naturally

occurring "frozen F1" hybrids. This pronounced accumulation of two TE subfamilies, each

inherited from either parental species, differs from previously described patterns:

in *Drosophila* dysgenic crosses, where only paternally inherited TEs are derepressed in the

progeny of naïve females, and in other interspecific hybrids, where multiple TE families tend to

accumulate (e.g., Kidwell et al. 1977; Labrador et al. 1999; Kelleher et al. 2012). The strong

accumulation of this Neptune element suggests that this element is particularly aggressive.

Because naturally occurring sexually and asexually reproducing hybrid lineages have

repeatedly evolved and can be compared with new lineages produced in the lab, we further

propose that the *Fundulus* complex is an excellent system in which to disentangle hybridization-

driven and asexuality-mediated effects on TE dynamics in the future.

Data availability

Raw reads are available on SRA under PRJNA862733.

Funding sources

This work was supported by a NSERC discovery grant to AMDC (RGPIN-2019-05744) as well

as a New Brunswick Innovation Foundation Research Assistantship (RAI-0000000144) to

AMDC. AJR was supported by a MITACS Globalink scholarship (IT32322). FJRR was

supported by a Marie Curie Individual Fellowship (875732).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Daniel Barbash, Mathieu Hénault, Karel Janko and France Dufresne for

providing critical feedback. Part of the computations were enabled by resources in project

NAISS 2024/22-720 provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in

Sweden (NAISS) at UPPMAX, funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant

agreement no. 2022-06725.

References

Adams SM, Oleksiak MF, Duvernell DD. 2005. Microsatellite primers for the Atlantic coastal
killifish, *Fundulus heteroclitus*, with applicability to related *Fundulus* species. *Mol. Ecol.*
Notes 5:275–277.

Arkhipova I, Meselson M. 2005. Deleterious transposable elements and the extinction of
asexuals. *Bioessays* 27:76–85.

Blumenstiel JP, Erwin AA, Hemmer LW. 2016. What drives positive selection in the Drosophila
piRNA machinery? The genomic autoimmunity hypothesis. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* 89:499–512.

Bourque G, Burns KH, Gehring M, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Hammell M, Imbeault M, Izsvák
Z, Levin HL, Macfarlan TS, et al. 2018. Ten things you should know about transposable
elements. *Genome Biol.* 19:199.

Broad Institute. Picard. Available from: https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/

Bucheton A, Lavige JM, Picard G, L'Heritier P. 1976. Non-mendelian female sterility in
Drosophila melanogaster: quantitative variations in the efficiency of inducer and reactive
strains. *Heredity (Edinb.)* 36:305–314.

Carrasco-Valenzuela T, Marins L, Ramos EKS, Suh A, Mazzoni CJ. 2023. Recent expansion of
Penelope-like retrotransposons in the leatherback turtle Dermochelys coriacea. *Research*
Square [Internet]. Available from: https://assets-eu.researchsquare.com/files/rs-
2864407/v1/50317a00-a9e1-4f38-b561-8991674f018d.pdf?c=1700836693

Cosby RL, Chang NC, Feschotte C. 2019. Host–transposon interactions: conflict, cooperation,
and cooption. *Genes Dev.* [Internet]. Available from:
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/33/17-18/1098.short

Craig RJ, Yushenova IA, Rodriguez F, Arkhipova IR. 2021. An ancient clade of Penelope-like
retroelements with permuted domains is present in the Green lineage and protists, and
dominates many invertebrate genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38:5005–5020.

Dalziel AC, Tirbhowan S, Drapeau HF, Power C, Jonah LS, Gbotsyo YA, Dion-Côté A-M. 2020.
Using asexual vertebrates to study genome evolution and animal physiology: Banded
(*Fundulus diaphanus*) x Common Killifish (*F. heteroclitus*) hybrid lineages as a model
system. *Evol. Appl.* 13:1214–1239.

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T,
McCarthy SA, Davies RM, et al. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.
Gigascience [Internet] 10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

Davis MW, Jorgensen EM. 2022. ApE, A Plasmid Editor: A freely available DNA manipulation
and visualization program. *Front. Bioinform.* 2:818619.

Dawley RM. 1992. Clonal hybrids of the common laboratory fish *Fundulus heteroclitus*. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89:2485–2488.

Dion-Côté A-M, Renaut S, Normandeau E, Bernatchez L. 2014. RNA-seq reveals transcriptomic
shock involving transposable elements reactivation in hybrids of young lake whitefish
species. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 31:1188–1199.

Dolgin ES, Charlesworth B. 2006. The fate of transposable elements in asexual populations.
Genetics 174:817–827.

Evgen'ev MB, Arkhipova IR. 2005. Penelope-like elements--a new class of retroelements:
distribution, function and possible evolutionary significance. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.*
110:510–521.

Evgen'ev MB, Zelentsova H, Shostak N, Kozitsina M, Barskyi V, Lankenau DH, Corces VG.
1997. Penelope, a new family of transposable elements and its possible role in hybrid
dysgenesis in Drosophila virilis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94:196–201.

Frangieh CJ, Wilkinson ME, Strebinger D, Strecker J, Walsh ML, Faure G, Yushenova IA,
Macrae RK, Arkhipova IR, Zhang F. 2024. Internal initiation of reverse transcription in a
Penelope-like retrotransposon. *Mob. DNA* 15:12.

Fritz ES, Garside ET. 1974. Identification and description of hybrids of *Fundulus heteroclitus*
and *F. diaphanus* (Pisces: Cyprinodontidae) from Porters Lake, Nova Scotia, with evidence
for absence of backcrossing. *Can. J. Zool.* 52:1433–1442.

Garrison E, Marth G. 2012. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing.
arXiv [q-bio.GN] [Internet]. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3907

Ghedotti MJ, Davis MP. 2017. The taxonomic placement of three fossil Fundulus species and
the timing of divergence within the North American topminnows (Teleostei: Fundulidae).
Zootaxa 4250:577–586.

Hernández Chávez C, Turgeon J. 2007. Asexual and sexual hybrids between *Fundulus
diaphanus* and *F. heteroclitus* in the Canadian Atlantic region. *Mol. Ecol.* 16:1467–1480.

Hickey DA. 1982. Selfish DNA: a sexually-transmitted nuclear parasite. *Genetics* 101:519–531.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780.

Kelleher ES, Edelman NB, Barbash DA. 2012. Drosophila interspecific hybrids phenocopy
piRNA-pathway mutants. *PLoS Biol.* 10:e1001428.

Kidwell MG, Kidwell JF, Sved JA. 1977. Hybrid dysgenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*: A
syndrome of aberrant traits including mutation, sterility and male recombination. *Genetics*
86:813–833.

Kohany O, Gentles AJ, Hankus L, Jurka J. 2006. Annotation, submission and screening of
repetitive elements in Repbase: RepbaseSubmitter and Censor. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7:474.

van Kruistum H, Nijland R, Reznick DN, Groenen MAM, Megens H-J, Pollux BJA. 2021. Parallel
genomic changes drive repeated evolution of placentas in live-bearing fish. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
38:2627–2638.

Labrador M, Farré M, Utzet F, Fontdevila A. 1999. Interspecific hybridization increases
transposition rates of Osvaldo. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16:931–937.

Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. 2008. FactoMineR: AnRPackage for Multivariate Analysis. *J. Stat.*
Softw. [Internet] 25. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.18637/jss.v025.i01

Le Thomas A, Rogers AK, Webster A, Marinov GK, Liao SE, Perkins EM, Hur JK, Aravin AA,
Tóth KF. 2013. Piwi induces piRNA-guided transcriptional silencing and establishment of a
repressive chromatin state. *Genes Dev.* 27:390–399.

Malone CD, Brennecke J, Dus M, Stark A, McCombie WR, Sachidanandam R, Hannon GJ.
2009. Specialized piRNA pathways act in germline and somatic tissues of the Drosophila
ovary. *Cell* 137:522–535.

Marie, Pauline P., Stéphane Ronsseray, and Antoine Boivin. 2017. From Embryo to Adult:
piRNA-Mediated Silencing throughout Germline Development in Drosophila. G3 (Bethesda,
Md.) 7 (2): 505–16.

Mérette D, Bourret A, Turgeon J. 2009. Diversity and distribution of gynogenetic hybrids
between *Fundulus diaphanus* and *Fundulus heteroclitus* in Porter's Lake (Nova Scotia) in
relation to salinity. *J. Fish Biol.* 75:1115–1122.

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
32:268–274.

Novák P, Neumann P, Pech J, Steinhaisl J, Macas J. 2013. RepeatExplorer: a Galaxy-based
web server for genome-wide characterization of eukaryotic repetitive elements from next-
generation sequence reads. *Bioinformatics* 29:792–793.

O'Neill RJ, O'Neill MJ, Graves JA. 1998. Undermethylation associated with retroelement
activation and chromosome remodelling in an interspecific mammalian hybrid. *Nature*
393:68–72.

Parhad SS, Theurkauf WE. 2019. Rapid evolution and conserved function of the piRNA
pathway. *Open Biol.* 9:180181.

Peona V, Martelossi J, Almojil D, Bocharkina J, Brännström I, Brown M, Cang A, Carrasco-
Valenzuela T, DeVries J, Doellman M, et al. 2024. Teaching transposon classification as a
means to crowd source the curation of repeat annotation - a tardigrade perspective. *Mob.*
DNA 15:10.

Reinar WB, Tørresen OK, Nederbragt AJ, Matschiner M, Jentoft S, Jakobsen KS. 2023. Teleost
genomic repeat landscapes in light of diversification rates and ecology. *Mob. DNA* 14:14.

Romero-Soriano V, Modolo L, Lopez-Maestre H, Mugat B, Pessia E, Chambeyron S, Vieira C,
Garcia Guerreiro MP. 2017. Transposable element misregulation is linked to the divergence
between parental piRNA pathways in Drosophila hybrids. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 9:1450–1470.

Ruiz-Ruano FJ, López-León MD, Cabrero J, Camacho JPM. 2016. High-throughput analysis of
the satellitome illuminates satellite DNA evolution. *Sci. Rep.* 6:28333.

Ryazansky, Sergei, Elizaveta Radion, Anastasia Mironova, Natalia Akulenko, Yuri Abramov,
Valeriya Morgunova, Maria Y. Kordyukova, Ivan Olovnikov, and Alla Kalmykova. 2017.
Natural Variation of piRNA Expression Affects Immunity to Transposable Elements. PLoS
Genetics 13 (4): e1006731.

Scott WB, Crossman EJ. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada: Fisheries Research Board of
Canada Bulletin, Vol. 184. *Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa*.

Shao F, Han M, Peng Z. 2019. Evolution and diversity of transposable elements in fish
genomes. *Sci. Rep.* 9:15399.

Shaw J, Piper DJW, Fader GBJ, King EL, Todd BJ, Bell T, Batterson MJ, Liverman DGE. 2006.
A conceptual model of the deglaciation of Atlantic Canada. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 25:2059–2081.

Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P. 2013-2015. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. Available from:
http://www.repeatmasker.org

Ungerer MC, Strakosh SC, Zhen Y. 2006. Genome expansion in three hybrid sunflower species
is associated with retrotransposon proliferation. *Curr. Biol.* 16:R872–R873.

Volff J-N. 2005. Genome evolution and biodiversity in teleost fish. *Heredity (Edinb.)* 94:280–294.

Wicker T, Sabot F, Hua-Van A, Bennetzen JL, Capy P, Chalhoub B, Flavell A, Leroy P,
Morgante M, Panaud O, et al. 2007. A unified classification system for eukaryotic
transposable elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 8:973–982.