Stromal SRD5A2 promotes prostate growth through WNT5A-LEF1-IGF1 signaling in benign prostatic hyperplasia

Christina Sharkey[1†], Boqing Gu[1 †], Xingbo Long[1,2, 3], Yao Tang[1], Nicolas Patsatzis[4], Steven Li[5], Aria F. Olumi[1], Zongwei Wang[1*]

1. Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

2. Department of Urology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

3. State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

4. College of Natural Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas

5. College of Computer Sciences, Washington University, St Louis, MO

† Authorship note: Christina Sharkey and Boqing Gu are co–first authors and contributed equally to this work.

[*] **Correspondence to:** Zongwei Wang, Division of Urologic Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, 99 Brookline Ave, RN 380G, Boston, MA 02215, USA.
Phone: +1-617-667-2208 E-mail: zwang12@bidmc.harvard.edu

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Abstract

Background Steroid 5α-reductase type 2 (SRD5A2) is a key enzyme in androgen metabolism and a pharmacologic target in benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). While SRD5A2 is known to mediate stromal-epithelial interactions that influence prostate growth, the relationship between baseline SRD5A2 expression and prostate volume remains unclear.

Methods We analyzed SRD5A2 expression in human prostate tissues from two independent cohorts: the Medical Therapy of Prostatic Symptoms (MTOPS) trial and an institutional biorepository. Quantitative assessments were performed using immunohistochemistry, quantitative PCR, ELISA, and western blotting. Correlations were evaluated between SRD5A2 expression, WNT5A expression, prostate volume, and tissue signaling profiles. Functional validation was performed in a *Srd5a2*-null mouse model and through mechanistic studies using stromal cell SRD5A2-overexpression systems.

Results SRD5A2 expression was significantly associated with total prostate and transition zone volume in both human cohorts. Stromal-specific WNT5A expression showed a strong positive correlation with SRD5A2, while neither serum nor tissue dihydrotestosterone levels correlated with SRD5A2 expression. In *Srd5a2*-null mice, Wnt5a expression in the prostate stroma was dependent on Srd5a2 and showed region-specific regulation. Mechanistically, SRD5A2 overexpression in stromal cells upregulated WNT5A and Lymphoid Enhancer-Binding Factor 1 (LEF1), activated insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) signaling, increased proliferation, and reduced apoptosis. Conditioned media from these cells enhanced epithelial proliferation through paracrine IGF1 activity, independent of epithelial WNT signaling.

Conclusion This study provides the first evidence that SRD5A2 promotes prostate growth through a stromal WNT5A-LEF1-IGF1 paracrine signaling axis, functioning independently of

48   androgen levels. These findings suggest a novel therapeutic mechanism relevant for BPH

49   patients with resistance to conventional 5α-reductase inhibitor therapy.

51   **Key words:** benign prostatic hyperplasia; Medical Therapy of Prostate Symptoms (MTOPS);

52   single-cell RNA-sequencing; steroid 5α reductase 2 (SRD5A2); Srd5a2$^{-/-}$ mice; canonical

53   WNT signaling; IGF-1

Background

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a highly prevalent condition among aging men and a leading cause of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). One of the primary drivers of prostate growth in adulthood is androgen signaling, mediated by the binding of androgens to the androgen receptor (AR), which activates downstream transcriptional programs that promote cellular proliferation and differentiation [1]. The steroid 5α-reductase type 2 (SRD5A2), which is highly expressed in prostate tissue, catalyzes the conversion of testosterone into dihydrotestosterone (DHT), a more potent androgen that stimulates both epithelial and stromal proliferation [2]. Elevated SRD5A2 activity has been associated with increased intraprostatic DHT levels and larger prostate volumes [3, 4].

Clinically, 5α-reductase inhibitors (5ARIs) such as finasteride and dutasteride are commonly prescribed to reduce prostate volume by blocking DHT synthesis and dampening androgen-driven prostate growth [5]. However, finasteride reduces the progression of LUTS by only approximately 34%, suggesting that androgen-independent mechanisms may also contribute to prostate enlargement and therapeutic resistance [6].

Among the key pathways implicated in tissue growth regulation is the Wingless-related integration site (WNT) signaling pathway, which controls epithelial proliferation, stem cell maintenance, and differentiation in multiple organs [7, 8]. In the prostate, canonical WNT signaling promotes basal stem cell proliferation via activation of the β-catenin-T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer-binding factor (TCF/LEF) transcription complex, while non-canonical WNT signaling, particularly through WNT5A, facilitates stromal-epithelial interactions via calcium-dependent signaling cascades [9, 10]. WNT5A, secreted predominantly by prostate stromal cells, has been shown to promote epithelial proliferation through paracrine mechanisms, independent of classical AR activation [10, 11]. Importantly,

WNT5A signaling becomes upregulated in conditions of androgen deprivation, further

supporting its role in maintaining prostate growth under low-androgen states, such as during

5ARI therapy [12]. In response to reduced androgen levels, stromal cells increase WNT5A

secretion, supporting continued epithelial proliferation [12]. Given that a subset of patients

with BPH do not respond to androgen-targeting therapies, WNT5A and its downstream

signaling components may provide an alternative pathway that promotes prostate growth

independently of DHT levels.

Despite advances in our understanding of SRD5A2 and WNT signaling individually, the

molecular relationship between these pathways and their combined contribution to prostate

growth remains poorly defined. Specifically, it is unclear whether WNT5A acts downstream of

SRD5A2 or constitutes an independent regulatory mechanism. While SRD5A2's role in DHT

synthesis is well established, emerging data suggest that prostate enlargement may also be

driven by alternative stromal-derived signals, such as WNT5A, that function independently of

androgen levels [11, 13].

In this study, we investigate the interplay between SRD5A2 and WNT signaling, with a

particular focus on WNT5A as a potential mediator of SRD5A2-driven prostate growth. We

hypothesize that WNT5A serves as a key DHT-independent effector linking SRD5A2

expression to prostate volume expansion. Through the integration of clinical datasets,

preclinical models, and molecular assays, we aim to elucidate a novel stromal signaling axis

that contributes to BPH pathogenesis and may offer a therapeutic target for patients

unresponsive to conventional androgen-deprivation therapies.

Materials and Methods

Clinical Samples

De-identified human prostate biopsy samples (n=61) collected during the MTOPS were
obtained from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive
and Kidney Diseases (NIH/NIDDK) Central Repository under an approved X01 application.
Relevant clinical data from the MTOPS trial, including total prostate volume, transition zone
volume, and serum DHT levels, were obtained and utilized for correlative analysis. Surgical
prostate specimens were obtained from the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Prostate
Biorepository (n = 49). Relevant clinical data, including total prostate volume and transition
zone volume, derived from prostate MRI, CT scans of the abdomen and pelvis, and
genitourinary ultrasounds prior to the surgical date, were collected and utilized for correlative
analysis.

Mouse Model

Generation of Srd5a2-null ($Srd5a2^{-/-}$) and heterozygous ($Srd5a2^{+/-}$) mice model was
previously described [14]. Mice were housed in standard polycarbonate cages with free
access to normal food and water. We only studied biological males since the prostate is the
organ specific to biological male animals. All animal work described in this study was in
adherence to US NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol
number 049-2022).

2D Cell Culture

Human prostate epithelial cell lines BPH-1, BHPrE1, and stromal cell line BHPrS1 were gifted
by Dr. Simon Hayward of North Shore University Health System Research Institute, IL,
Chicago. BHPrS1, and BPH-1 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 (Gibco, 11875119) with 10%

v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, A5256801) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Pen/Step,

Corning, 30-002-CI). Vector control and SRD5A2-overexpressing BHPrS1 stable cell lines

were established by transfecting cells with 1% SRD5A2-sequence coded lentiviral particles

(GeneCopoeia, A3930) and 0.1% polybrene (Millipore, TR1003). Positive cells were selected

and maintained using 0.5% puromycin (Gibco, A1113802). Transfection efficiency was tested

using quantitative PCR. BHPrE1 cell was cultured in DMEM/F-12 (Gibco, 11320033) with 5%

FBS, 1% v/v Pen/Step, 0.4% Bovine Pituitary Extract, (Gibco, 13028014), 1% Insulin-

Transferrin-Selenium (Gibco, 41400045), and 10 ng/ml Human EGF (Gibco, PHG0314). All

cell lines were cultured in corresponding medium in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 at

37°C. All cell lines used in this study were intermittently evaluated in-house for mycoplasma

contamination (InvivoGen, ant-mpt).

For 2D stromal-epithelial transwell co-culture, polycarbonate membrane cell culture

inserts (ThermoFisher, 140660) in 6-well plates were used following manufacturer's protocol

with minor modifications. Briefly, $1.5x10^5$ cells/well of BPH-1 cells were seeded into the

bottom of the 6-well plates and $1x10^5$ cells/well of BPH-1 or BHPrS1 cells were seeded

inserts above. The insert and bottom of the well were each maintained with 1mL of RPMI1640

with 10% FBS. The cells in the inserts were treated with drugs or siRNAs, and the BPH-1

epithelial cells in the bottom well were collected for further analysis.

RNA Transfection and Drug Treatment

For gene silencing with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), BHPrS1 cells were seeded in 6-well

plates and cultured for 24 hours before transfection with control (Santa Cruz, sc-37007) or

LEF1 (Santa Cruz, sc-35804) siRNAs using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Reagent (Invitrogen,

13778150) and Opti-MEM (Gibco, 51985034) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The transfected cells were cultured for 48hours at 37°C with 5% CO_2 before proceeding with

ICC, RNA extraction, MTT assay, or sample preparation steps for Western blot.

For drug treatment and AR activation, BHPrS1 cells seeded in 6-well plates were

starved for 24 hours before adding the corresponding drugs. Starved cells were then treated

with 48 to 72 hours of 100 µM Finasteride (SigmaAldrich, F1293), or 100 µM BOX5

(SigmaAldrich, 681673), or 50nM PPP (ThermoFisher, J65916MA) with fresh drugs and

medium changed every 24 hours. Similarly, androgen stimulation was performed by preparing

the corresponding concentration of the testosterone and DHT in RPMI1640 medium with 10%

charcoal stripped FBS instead of regular FBS, and adding to the cells for 72 hours treatment

after starvation with fresh androgen and medium changed every 24 hours.

Organoid and Spheroid Culture

The BHPrE1 organoid and BHPrS1 spheroid culture was performed using Matrigel (Corning,

356230) based on the manufacturer's instruction and previously published protocols [15-17]

with minor modifications. Briefly, 700 cells/well of BHPrE1, or 14000 cells/well of BHPrE1, or

700 BHPrE1 cells plus 1400 BHPrS1cells per well for co-culture were suspended in 10 µL of

DMEM/F-12 medium and then mixed with 290 µL of 5 µg/ml of Matrigel. The 300 µL of cell-

Matrigel mixture was then added to a 24-well plate with 100 µL pre-casted Matrigel marix

base in each well. After matrix gel formed, organoid cultures were maintained in 500 µL of

DMEM/F-12 media that was changed every 3-4 days. The organoids and spheroids were

imaged under Nikon Eclipse TS2 microscope with CaptaVision+ software. The BHPrS1

spheroid colonies were treated with 100 µM Finasteride or DMSO for 72 hours after 14 days

and collected after 17 days following a previously published protocol [17, 18]. The fixed

spheroid colonies were analyzed with immunofluorescence for Ki-67 and LEF1.

Softy Agar Colony Formation Assay

BPH-1 growth in different conditioned mediums was determined by assaying colony formation

in soft agar [19]. Briefly, 5,000 BPH-1 cells suspended in 2x RPMI1640 (Gibco, 31800022)

with 20% FBS were mixed with 0.6% Noble Agarose (RPI, A20460) and then seeded on top

of 1% noble agarose layer in triplicates in 6-well plates. The cells were topped with 500uL

condition media and cultured for 21 days in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO_2 at

37 °C with media replacement every 3-4 days. Colonies formed were then stained with

1mg/mL crystal violet in 1xPBS with 10% methanol overnight. Images of colonies were taken

under a white view using Nikon Eclipse TS2 microscope and CaptaVision+ software.

Cell viability assay

The proliferation of BHPrS1 stromal cells were measured with MTT assay (Roche,

11465007001) as previously described [20], Briefly, 1000 cells/well of BHPrS1 cells in 200

μL/well of culture medium were seeded in 96-well plates and then incubated for 72 hours with

corresponding treatments. The proliferation of cells was determined by the relative

absorbance reading of 590nM measured using SpectraMax id5 plate reader.

Immunocytochemistry (ICC)

Cells seeded on coated coverslips (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 7229011) in 12-well plates

were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 15710) and non-specific

binding of antibodies was blocked using 3% BSA (Boston Bioproducts, P-753) in 1x PBS.

Fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (MP Biomedical, 04807426) in 1xPBS

(PBSX) and incubated with primary antibody diluted in 3% BSA in PBSX for 90 minutes

followed up with corresponding secondary antibodies for 60 minutes at room temperature.

After excess antibodies washed away, samples were mounted with antifade reagent with

DAPI (Invitrogen, S36939). For quantitative analysis, the fluorescence of the corresponding

antibodies was imaged with Keyence BZ-X 2000 microscope and the intensity was measured

with Image J. All antibodies used in this study were obtained from various sources and listed

in Supp. Table S1.

Immunostaining

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining on MTOPS biopsy samples and BIDMC biorepository

surgical samples were performed as previously described [14]. Briefly, fixed prostate tissue

sections undergo deparaffinization, antigen retrieval, blocking, antibody application (detailed

in Supp. Table S1), and counterstaining for visualizing SRD5A2 and WNT5A. Probed sections

were imaged and average immunoreactive score (IRS) was calculated following the same

protocol established in the previous publication [14].

Immunofluorescence (IF) staining on BHPrS1 spheroid-like colonies was performed

similarly to ICC described in previous subsection with minor modifications. Briefly, cell

colonies were collected and fixed on coverslips in 12-well plates with 4% PFA. Rinsed plates

were frozen at -20 degrees for storage. Upon thawing, the colonies on coverslips were

blocked, permeabilized, probed with corresponding antibodies (detailed in Supp. Table S1),

and imaged following the ICC protocol.

Cell Lysate Preparation and Western Blot

Cells cultured in 6-well plates were washed with sterile 1XPBS (Cyvita, SH30256.FS) and

detached with trypsin (Gibco, 2520114). Detached cells were then pelleted via centrifugation

and subsequently frozen at -80°C. Upon thawing, cells were lysed in 20 volume size of 1x M-

PER buffer (ThermoFisher, 78501) with 0.1% SDS (Boston BioProducts, BM-230) and 1%

protein and protease inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher, 78445), and chilled on ice for 30

minutes with constant shaking. Insoluble cell debris was removed after centrifugation at

13,200g for 15 minutes at 4°C, and the protein concentration of the clear supernatant was

measured with the BCA assay (ThermoFisher, 23227).

Western blot was performed as previously described [21] with minor modifications. Cell

lysate samples were diluted with lysis buffer to reach the same protein concentration and then

denatured in 6x Laemmli Buffer (AlfaAesar, J61337) with 10% 2-Mercaptoethanol

(SigmaAldrich, M3148) for 5 minutes at 95°C. Denatured protein samples were run on 8-16%

SDS-PAGE gels (Bio-rad, 4568104) at 90 volts for 90 minutes and subsequently transferred

to PVDF membrane (Millipore, IPVH00010) for 3 hours on 250 mA at 4°C. The membrane

was blocked with 5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk (Labscientific, M0841) in 1x TBS buffer (diluted

from 20x TBS buffer, Boston Bioproducts, BM-301X), followed by probing with corresponding

antibodies diluted in 5% BSA in 1x TBST buffer (1xTBS buffer with 0.05% Tween-20,

FisherScientific, BP337-500). Probed membrane were developed using ECL reagents (Cyvita,

RPN2232) and imaged with LI-COR Odyssey M imager. Antibodies used in this study were

obtained from various sources and listed in Supp. Table S1.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) and PCR Microarray

QPCRs were performed as previously described [21] and run on Applied Biosystems

QuantStudio 6 Flex. GraphPad Prism 10 was utilized to calculate the ratio of mRNA levels of

assayed genes to values of GAPDH control using the ΔC_T method ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$). Primers were

ordered from IDT and listed in Supp. Table S2.

The WNT signaling pathway RT^2 PCR Microarray was performed using Human WNT

signaling pathway RT^2 profiler PCR array (Qiagen, 330231 PAHS-243ZA) and corresponding

reverse transcription kit (Qiagen, 330401) and RT2 SYBR green qPCR master mix (Qiagen,

330500) following the manufacturer's protocol.

ELISA

WNT5A and IGF1 level in cell and culturing medium were quantified using human WNT5A

ELISA kit (VWR, ANTIA77507-96) or IGF1 ELISA kit (SigmaAldrich, RAB0228) following the

manufacturer's protocol. The absolute concentration was calculated by plotting the mean

absorbance values of the unknowns onto the standard curve in GraphPad Prism 10, and the

cellular concentration was calculated by dividing the value of absolute concentration over the

protein concentration of corresponding cell lysate samples.

The DHT level in the BIDMC biorepository serum samples and frozen surgical prostate

tissues were measured with human DHT ELISA kit (LS Bio, LS-F10533-1) following the

manufacturer's standard protocol as previously described [14].

Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)

ScRNA-seq and data analysis was performed as previously described [14]. Briefly, 23,000

single cells from fresh prostate tissues were loaded onto separate lanes of a single-cell A

Chip and generated the libraries submitted for sequencing on an Illumina NextSeq 500 and

NovaSeq 600 sequencers.

RNA Fluorescence *in situ* Hybridization (RNA-FISH)

RNA-FISH analysis of prostate tissue was performed as previously described [14]. Briefly,

three of each age-matched *Srd5a2*-null, heterozygous, and wild-type mice were sacrificed

and whole prostate tissues were fixed and sliced for staining conducted at the Neurobiology

Imaging Facility, Harvard Medical School. Image acquisition and quantitative analysis of

Wnt5a signals follows the same protocol established in previous publication [14].

Statistical Analysis

All data included in this study were presented as mean ± standard deviation with at least

three independent experiments. A *P* value of equal or less than 0.05 was considered

significant. The statistical methods for each corresponding experiment are described in the

figure legends.

Data and Resource Availability

Further information, results, reagents, and other supporting data in this study are available

from the corresponding author upon request. Raw data is available in the supplementary

Supporting Data Values file.

Results

The expression of SRD5A2 is correlated with prostate volume

Our previous work demonstrated an inverse correlation between SRD5A2 expression and the

clinical efficacy of 5ARIs in treating BPH [14]. To address if baseline SRD5A2 expression in

prostatic tissue is associated with prostate volume, we first examined 61 prostate biopsy

samples from the Medical Therapy of Prostatic Symptoms (MTOPS) study cohort [6]. We

observed a statistically significant positive correlation between SRD5A2 expression and total

prostate volume (Figure 1, A and B). Notably, SRD5A2 expression was also significantly

correlated with the transition zone volume, but not with the peripheral zone volume (Figure 1,

C and D), which aligns with our earlier report [22]. Interestingly, despite SRD5A2's role in DHT

synthesis, we did not observe a significant correlation between clinically recorded DHT levels

and SRD5A2 expression in the prostate (Figure 1E).

To validate our findings, we analyzed an independent cohort of 49 BPH prostate

specimens from BIDMC institutional biorepository. Consistent with the MTOPS data, SRD5A2

expression in these samples was strongly correlated with total prostate volume and transition

zone volume (Figure 1, F–H). Peripheral zone volume was also correlated with SRD5A2

expression in this cohort (Figure 1I). However, neither serum nor tissue DHT levels showed

significant association with SRD5A2 expression (Figure 1, J and K). Together, these results

demonstrate that SRD5A2 protein expression is positively associated with prostate volume,

particularly in the transition zone.

SRD5A2 knockout alters stromal–epithelial crosstalk and activates muscle-related

signaling pathways in the mouse prostate

To understand the molecular mechanism of SRD5A2 expression in prostate growth, we

generated *Srd5a2*-null (*Srd5a2*$^{-/-}$) mice [14]. We first performed bulk RNA sequencing on wild-

type ($Srd5a2^{+/+}$) controls, heterozygous ($Srd5a2^{+/-}$) controls, and $Srd5a2^{-/-}$ mouse prostate

tissues to identify putative pathways regulated by SRD5A2. Hierarchical clustering effectively

distinguished the three groups based on their differential gene expression profiles (Figure 2A,

Supplementary Figure 1). Gene ontology (GO) analysis identified 133 significantly

upregulated and 2 downregulated genes in $Srd5a2^{-/-}$ mice (Supplementary Figure 2A-B).

Notably, upregulated genes were highly enriched in biological processes related to the muscle

system. Key enriched terms include those related to muscle system processes, contractile

fibers, and striated muscle development, highlighting a shift toward muscle-related cellular

architecture and function (Figure 2A).

To further delineate how $Srd5a2$ deletion affects cell-cell communication, we performed

single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq) on mouse prostate tissues. It is well-established

that paracrine signaling between stroma and epithelium governs prostate development and

regeneration [10]. Therefore, we focused on the interactions between luminal epithelial cells

(LE) and stromal cell populations. With CellPhoneDB2 mapping the intercellular

communication networks across genotypes [23], stromal cells emerged as major signal

senders, and particularly, myofibroblasts showed the highest outbound signaling in both

$Srd5a2^{-/-}$ mice and $Srd5a2^{+/-}$ controls. Notably, the total weighted ligand activity of fibroblasts

increased substantially in $Srd5a2^{-/-}$ animals (from 366 to 493), suggesting enhanced

fibroblast-mediated signaling upon $Srd5a2$ deletion (Figure 2B).

On the receiving end, $Srd5a2$ knockout led to a striking reduction in receptor

expression in LE1 epithelial cells (from 205 to 96), whereas LE2 cells maintained a stable

number of receptors (Figure 2B). When examining stromal-derived ligands that may influence

LE1 and LE2 behaviors, we identified nine significant pairs with potential regulatory roles

(Figure 2C). Among these interactions, WNT5A/ protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type K

(PTPRK) stood out as candidate with differential activity across luminal subtypes (Figure 2C).

Pathway analysis further confirmed activation of WNT signaling in LE2 cells upon *Srd5a2* loss

[14]. As a particular interest, WNT5A is a non-canonical WNT ligand that known to modulate

prostate growth and epithelial behavior [10, 23-27]. *Wnt5a* was predominantly expressed in

stromal compartments, particularly myoFib and Fib populations (Figure 2D) [10]. In addition,

several transcription factors including *Tcf7l1*, a downstream transcription factor of the WNT

canonical pathway, were also observed to be enriched in LE2 cells (Supplementary Figure

3A-B). These spatially restricted expression patterns suggest a targeted influence on nearby

luminal cells.

Spatial expression and regulation of WNT5A in the mouse and human prostate tissue

Next we performed RNA-FISH to assess *Wnt5a* spatial expression. Representative images

reveal that *Wnt5a* transcripts in red puncta are predominantly localized in the stromal

compartment, with minimal signal observed in epithelial cells (Figure 3A). There was a

significant reduction of *Wnt5a* expression in the periurethral region (PrU) of *Srd5a2$^{-/-}$*

prostates compared to both *Srd5a2$^{+/+}$* and *Srd5a2$^{+/-}$* controls (Figure 3B). While the dorsal

and lateral lobes (DLP) exhibited no statistically significant differences between genotypes

(Figure 3C), anterior lobes (AP) showed significant increase of *Wnt5a* signal in *Srd5a2$^{-/-}$*

prostates (Figure 3D). Dissecting mouse prostate morphology and comparison with human

prostate have confirmed that the AP of mouse prostate is more similar to the transition zone

of human prostate, where BPH mostly occurs and enriches epithelial cells, while PrU and

DLP are higher in stromal cell populations compared to AP [28-30]. Thus, we differentiate the

spatial expression of *Wnt5a* between AP and non-AP, corresponding to epithelium and stroma

region of mouse prostate. *Srd5a2* knockout downregulated the mRNA level of *Wnt5a* in whole

prostate (Figure 3, G and H), and the difference in spatial expression of *Wnt5a* was further

confirmed by qPCR in AP and non-AP lobes (Figure 3E, 3F). These results suggest that

Wnt5a expression is dependent on Srd5a2 function, and exhibits regionally distinct regulation

across different prostate compartments, particularly in the periurethral (proximal) region [10,

29].

Similar with that in mouse prostate tissue, the expression of SRD5A2 corresponds with

WNT5A in human prostate both in protein and mRNA levels (Figure 3, I and J). Additionally,

Srd5a2 expression was also significantly correlated with the *Ptprk*, the pair of *Wnt5a* ligand

found in CellPhoneDB2 (Figure 3K). In contrast, no significant correlation was observed

between *Srd5a2* and *Tgfbr1* or *Tgfb2* (Figure 3, L and M), both were among the nine

significant pairs with potential regulatory roles and associated with stromal-epithelial

interactions (Figure 2C). Collectively, these data demonstrate that there is a regulatory

relationship between SRD5A2 and WNT5A both in the human and mouse prostate.

SRD5A2 enhances prostate stromal cell growth and survival through activation of

WNT5A pathways

To investigate the regulatory effect of SRD5A2 on WNT5A expression, we overexpressed

SRD5A2 in immortalized normal prostate cells (BHPrS1) and assessed WNT5A expression at

both the mRNA and protein levels. qPCR and western blot and analyses confirmed robust

overexpression of SRD5A2 compared to vector control (Figure 4, A and B). Notably, SRD5A2

overexpression resulted in a substantial increase in both WNT5A transcript and protein levels

within stromal cells (Figure 4, A and B). Furthermore, ELISA quantification demonstrated

significantly elevated WNT5A concentrations both intracellularly and in the culture

supernatant (Figure 4, C and D), indicating enhanced secretion.

To analyze the effect of SRD5A2 on prostate cell growth, we performed

immunofluorescence (IF) staining for the proliferation marker Ki67, and the apoptosis marker

cleaved caspase-3 (CC-3). The data revealed a significant increase in Ki67 and decrease in

CC-3 staining in SRD5A2 overexpression cells compared to the vector control (Figure 4, E, F,

and G). Meanwhile, SRD5A2 overexpression greatly increased the expression of cleaved

PARP1 (Figure 4H), an essential regulator of cell apoptosis and downstream of WNT

canonical signaling particularly TCF/LEF transcription factor family [31, 32].

Next, we asked if pharmacological inhibition of SRD5A2 impacts on WNT5A and its

downstream protein expression. Compared to the DMSO vehicle, SRD5A2 inhibitor

finasteride suppressed the upregulation of WNT5A, β-catenin, and PARP1 in SRD5A2-

overexpressed stromal cells (Figure 4I). ELISA quantification also revealed significantly

elevated WNT5A protein levels in both stromal cells and culture medium following SRD5A2

overexpression, and markedly reduced with finasteride treatment (Figure. 4J, 4K). From the

perspective of non-canonical WNT signaling, overexpression of SRD5A2 increased calcium

level, which was also abrogated with finasteride treatment (Supplementary Figure 5C). In

addition, finasteride significantly reduced the viability of prostate stromal cells with normal

levels of SRD5A2, whereas SRD5A2-overexpressing cells maintained significantly higher

viability and showed partial resistance to finasteride-induced cytotoxicity (Figure 4L),

suggesting that SRD5A2 overexpression confers a pro-survival advantage in the presence of

5ARI treatment.

To evaluate the long-term impact of SRD5A2 overexpression on prostate stromal cell

proliferation in 3D culture, we performed spheroid-like colony formation assay on BHPrS1

cells. At day 4, no significant difference was observed between vector control and

overexpression cells. However, from day 8 onward, SRD5A2-overexpressing cells formed

significantly larger spheroid colonies than control cells, with exponential growth observed

through day 18 (Figure 4M). Furthermore, treatment with either BOX5, finasteride, or a

combination of the two between day 12 and day 15 showed significantly reduced colony

expansion (Figure 4N), and this inhibitory effect was further pronounced comparing the

change between day 18 and day 12 (Figure 4O), indicating sensitivity to both androgen

metabolism and WNT5A signaling disruption. These findings highlight that SRD5A2 promotes

stromal spheroid growth through a WNT5A-dependent mechanism, and that targeting either

5α-reductase or WNT5A signaling can significantly impair the expansion of SRD5A2-driven

stromal colonies.

LEF1 mediates the SRD5A2-WNT5A-activated WNT canonical signaling pathway

To explore the molecular components of WNT signaling pathway that are regulated by

SRD5A2, we performed a targeted PCR microarray analysis following SRD5A2

overexpression. Among the 84 differentially expressed genes, *LEF1, WNT5A, IGF2,* and

others were significantly upregulated (Figure 5A). qPCR further confirmed that IGF1, IGF2,

along with WNT5A receptors RYK, ROR1, ROR2, and LRP6 were greatly upregulated

(Supplementary Figure 5, E and F).

The *Lef1* expression within the mouse prostate was further validated by re-analyzing

the scRNA-seq dataset, which shown as primary enrichment in stromal populations,

particularly in myoFib and Fib (Figure 5B). Dot plot analysis further confirmed this cell type-

specific expression patterns both for *Lef1* and *Wnt5a* (Figure 5C), suggesting potential

functional interaction or co-regulation between *Lef1* and *Wnt5a* in these cell types. Notably, as

a key transcriptional mediator of canonical WNT/β-catenin signaling [33], *LEF1* demonstrated

one of the highest fold changes and statistical significance levels at both mRNA and protein

level, suggesting strong activation of WNT transcriptional programs downstream of SRD5A2

(Figure 5A, D-F). The activation of WNT canonical signaling is consistent with our

observations on SRD5A2-downregulated β-catenin degradation that the protein level of β-

catenin is increased upon SRD5A2 overexpression and reduced by finasteride (Figure 4I).

Interestingly, among downstream transcriptional regulators, *LEF1* expression was significantly

increased, while *TCF7, TCF7L1* and *TCF7L2* showed no significant change (Figure 5D).

These findings suggest activation of the canonical WNT signaling pathway by SRD5A2 is

specific to the transcription factor LEF1 rather than the β-catenin-bound TCF/LEF family in

general.

To determine whether LEF1 mediates the downstream effects of SRD5A2

overexpression, we transfected BHPrS1 stromal cells with either scrambled (scr) or LEF1-

targeting siRNA (siLEF1) and performed qPCR, IF, and Western blot analysis subsequently.

LEF1 is markedly reduced after siLEF1 transfection in SRD5A2 overexpression cells,

confirming efficient knockdown at mRNA and protein level (Figure 5, G and H). Western Blot

results showed that SRD5A2 and WNT5A protein levels remained high in SRD5A2

overexpression group and were unaffected by siLEF1 (Figure 5I), and silencing LEF1 reduced

SRD5A2-induced upregulation of PARP1 expression at both mRNA and protein levels in both

vector and SRD5A2-overexpression groups compared corresponding cells transfected with

scramble siRNA control (Figure 5, G and I). LEF1 knockdown significantly reduced cell

viability in both cells, although to a lesser extent in SRD5A2 overexpression cells, indicating

that LEF1 partially mediates SRD5A2-induced resistance to cell death (Figure 5L).

LEF1 regulates IGF1 expression in prostate stromal cells through a WNT5A-dependent

pathway

Given that the IGF1 promoter contains a WNT response element and that IGF1 has been

reported as a direct WNT target gene [34], we next investigated whether IGF1 expression is

regulated downstream of SRD5A2 via the WNT5A-LEF1 axis. Both mRNA and protein levels

of IGF1 were significantly elevated in SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells, and markedly

reduced following LEF1 knockdown (Figure 5, G and J). Furthermore, pharmacologic

inhibition using either Finasteride or BOX5, a WNT5A antagonist, significantly suppressed

IGF1 expression (Figure 5K), supporting the notion that SRD5A2 enhances IGF1 transcription

in a WNT5A/LEF1-dependent manner.

Functionally, treatment with Picropodophyllin (PPP), a selective IGF1 receptor inhibitor,

led to a significant reduction in cell viability in both vector control and SRD5A2-

overexpressing cells (Figure 5M). Together, these findings demonstrate that LEF1 and IGF1

signaling are essential for SRD5A2-mediated survival in prostate stromal cells, and that

targeting these pathways may overcome stromal cell–mediated resistance mechanisms.

SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells promote epithelial proliferation in an IGF1

paracrine manner

To evaluate the SRD5A2 induced ligand-receptor interaction change between stromal cells

and adjacent epithelial cells, we co-cultured BPH1 prostate epithelial cells with BHPrS1

stromal cells and analyzed epithelial expression profiles by qPCR and Western blot. Co-

culturing BPH1 cells with SRD5A2 overexpression BHPrS1 cells resulted in a slight reduction

in mRNA level of *WNT5A* and *LEF1* in BPH1 cells (Figure 6A, Supplementary Figure 6A),

suggesting feedback regulation of epithelial WNT activity. Unlike stromal cells, the mRNA

level of WNT receptors in epithelial cells largely remained unchanged (Supplementary Figure

6B). Notably, SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells induced a strikingly increase in *IGF1*

mRNA level in BPH1 cells (Figure 6A). The change of IGF1 expression at protein level was

further confirmed by ELISA (Figure 6B). The results suggest that SRD5A2-overexpressing

stromal cells might modulate epithelial growth through enhancing IGF1 receptor activation.

To further assess the effect of SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells on epithelial

proliferation, we treated BPH1 cells with conditioned media (CM) from both vector control and

SRD5A2-overexpressing BHPrS1 cells. BPH1 cell viability increased with CM from both

groups, but CM from SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells induced a significantly greater

increase (Figure 6C). Treatment with either finasteride or PPP significantly reduced the CM's

viability-promoting effect on BPH1 cells, with the strongest inhibition observed upon the

combined treatment (Figure 6C). CM from LEF1 siRNA transfected stromal cells had much

lower to almost no epithelial viability boosting effect compared to CM from the scramble

control cells, further validating that the paracrine effect of stromal cells on epithelial viability is

LEF1-dependent (Supplementary Figure 6C). Additionally, soft agar assays showed that CM

significantly increased both the number and size of BPH1 colonies compared to regular

medium (Figure 6D-F). CM from SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells induced the greatest

colony growth, which was significantly reduced by finasteride (Figure 6E-F). These findings

suggest that stromal SRD5A2 promotes epithelial expansion via soluble factors, such as IGF1,

in a finasteride-sensitive manner.

To validate the functional impact of stromal SRD5A2 on epithelial morphogenesis,

organoid-forming BHPrE1 cells were co-cultured with BHPrS1 stromal cells in a 3D matrix.

Consistent with BPH1 results, co-culture with SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells

increased IGF1 expression (Figure 6G), and led to the formation of larger, more complex

organoids by day 8, with even more pronounced growth and branching by day 14 (Figure 6H).

Quantification confirmed a significant increase in organoid size at both time points (Figure

6I,J). These results support a role for stromal SRD5A2 in promoting epithelial proliferation and

prostate tissue remodeling through paracrine signaling.

WNT5A expression correlates with SRD5A2 and total prostate volume in human

prostate tissues

To evaluate the clinical relevance of WNT5A in the human prostate, we first analyzed biopsy

samples from the MTOPS clinical trial cohort. IHC staining showed stromal-enriched

expression of WNT5A with distinct intensity differences across samples (Figure 7A).

Quantitative analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between WNT5A and SRD5A2

expression ($P < 0.001$, $R = 0.62$; Figure 7B), suggesting potential co-regulation of these

genes within the prostate stroma. To assess whether WNT5A expression was associated with

total prostate volume, we observed a marginal significant correlation ($P = 0.02$, $R=0.39$;

Figure 7C), indicating that WNT5A levels may not directly reflect prostate size.

To validate these findings, we examined an independent biorepository cohort. Similar

to the MTOPS cohort, WNT5A staining remained stromal-specific (Figure 7D) and was

strongly correlated with SRD5A2 expression ($P < 0.001$, $R = 0.66$; Figure 7E). Again, there

was no significant correlation between WNT5A expression and total prostate volume ($P =$

0.58, $R = 0.10$; Figure 7B), reinforcing the conclusion that WNT5A is not a determinant of

glandular size. Together, these results demonstrate that WNT5A and SRD5A2 are tightly co-

expressed in the prostate stroma, but WNT5A levels do not correlate with prostate volume,

suggesting its role is more likely related to tissue signaling than to structural enlargement.

Discussion

In this study, we present important new insights regarding the role of SRD5A2 in BPH. We observed a significant positive correlation between SRD5A2 protein expression and prostate volume, notably within the transition zone (Figure 1), where most hyperplastic changes occur. This finding aligns well with known clinical features of BPH, where enlargement of the prostate transition zone primarily drives LUTS characteristic of BPH [35, 36]. Although SRD5A2 mediates the conversion of testosterone into DHT, a potent androgen responsible for prostate growth, a direct relationship between enzyme expression and prostate volume appears biologically plausible. Our findings in two independent cohorts suggest that SRD5A2 expression might serve as an important biomarker for prostate growth and potentially predict responsiveness to 5ARI therapy.

Interestingly and somewhat unexpectedly, our analyses revealed no significant correlation between SRD5A2 and intraprostatic or serum DHT concentrations (Figure 1, E, J, and K). This is contrary to the traditional paradigm that directly links SRD5A2 expression to elevated local DHT levels within prostate tissue [3, 37]. This result could reflect complex compensatory mechanisms within the prostate microenvironment, including alternate androgen metabolic pathways, such as estrogen signaling pathway [14, 38]. It is also possible that factors beyond enzymatic activity, such as enzyme kinetics, cellular localization, or variations in androgen receptor sensitivity and signaling pathways, might affect intraprostatic androgen levels [39, 40]. Therefore, our study highlights SRD5A2 as a potential determinant of prostate size isolated from traditional androgen-dependent mechanisms. Further studies exploring these mechanisms are warranted to better elucidate how SRD5A2 contributes to prostate tissue growth independent of local androgen concentration.

Our study identifies a novel regulatory pathway involving SRD5A2, WNT5A, LEF1, and

IGF1 in the stromal compartment of BPH (Supplementary Figure 6D). We demonstrate that

SRD5A2 overexpression significantly increases the production and secretion of WNT5A,

activating downstream signaling via LEF1, PARP1 and IGF1 to promote stromal cell

proliferation and resistance to apoptosis (Figure 5 and 6). LEF1 is known to drive

transcriptional programs critical for cell proliferation and survival [41]. Through scRNA-seq,

we localized LEF1 predominantly to prostate stromal cells, specifically Fib and myoFib. This

stromal specificity corroborates previous studies suggesting stromal cells as essential

mediators of prostate growth through paracrine signaling pathways [42].

The functional relevance of LEF1 was validated through siRNA-mediated knockdown,

which significantly reduced SRD5A2-induced PARP1 and IGF1 expression and attenuated

stromal cell viability (Figure 5). PARP1 is involved in repairing DNA damage and a well-known

therapeutic target for inducing apoptosis of cancer cells to reduce prostate cancer growth and

invasion [32]. IGF1 is a well-characterized growth-promoting and anti-apoptotic factor

involved in prostate biology and BPH pathology [21, 43, 44]. Notably, pharmacological

inhibition of IGF1 signaling via PPP, an IGF1 receptor inhibitor, significantly decreased

viability of SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal cells, further underscoring the critical role of IGF1

in stromal cell proliferation and survival mediated by SRD5A2-WNT5A-LEF1 signaling.

Importantly, the observed partial resistance to finasteride in SRD5A2-overexpressing stromal

cells suggests that targeting the downstream signaling components, such as WNT5A or IGF1,

might result in a synergic effect and offer additional therapeutic benefits. In conclusion, our

study presents compelling evidence for an SRD5A2-WNT5A-LEF1-IGF1 signaling axis within

prostate stromal cells that enhances cell proliferation and survival independently of classical

androgen receptor signaling.

While our findings suggest that WNT5A acts primarily as a signaling mediator rather

than a direct driver of stromal or glandular growth, further validation of AR-independent role of

WNT5A and IGF1 and their importance in hyperplasia development is needed, especially

from *in vivo* perspective. As one of essential stromal-derived factors, WNT5A and IGF1 are

known profoundly influence epithelial biology through paracrine mechanisms prostate [11, 45-

47]. A recent study further reported correlations between androgen, IGF1, and WNT/β-catenin

signaling, as well as a dynamic regulatory loop of IGF1-WNT initiated by the AR through

prostatic oncogenesis development in human prostate cancer samples [48], while other

studies found IGF1 and WNT5a enhanced both androgen-dependent and androgen-

independent prostatic development in both cancer and noncancerous cells [11, 49, 50]. Thus,

the effects of paracrine WNT5A and IGF1 on organ size and underlying mechanism are

complex and context-dependent. Therefore, future *in vivo* studies are necessary to directly

assess the impact of WNT5A and the WNT5A-LEF1-IGF1 signaling axis on prostate growth

and development.

Currently, 5ARIs represent mainstay medical treatments for BPH, yet patient

responses remain highly variable [51, 52]. 5ARIs also showed synergic effects with alpha-

blockers or PDE5 inhibitors that address the smooth muscle contraction, indicating a good

potential for combination therapy with other drugs [53-55]. Our earlier work demonstrated an

inverse correlation between SRD5A2 expression and clinical response to 5ARIs [14]. The

current findings extend this understanding, suggesting that SRD5A2 expression, independent

of local DHT concentrations, may inform treatment decisions or the development of

complementary therapeutic strategies aimed at other stromal-epithelial signaling pathways. In

conclusion, our findings highlight the critical stromal co-expression of WNT5A and SRD5A2,

uncover an essential paracrine role for stromal SRD5A2 in regulating prostate epithelial

proliferation and morphogenesis via the IGF1 signaling pathway. These findings highlight a

critical stromal-epithelial axis in prostate tissue remodeling and provide new insights for more

precise therapeutic interventions in BPH management.

Conclusion

This study provides the first evidence that SRD5A2 promotes prostate growth through a

stromal WNT5A-LEF1-IGF1 paracrine signaling axis, functioning independently of androgen

levels. SRD5A2 expression was strongly associated with prostate volume and induced

epithelial proliferation via IGF1 secretion. Importantly, the pathway is sensitive to inhibition by

finasteride and WNT5A antagonists, offering mechanistic insight into resistance to 5ARI

therapy. These findings highlight a previously unrecognized therapeutic target in BPH and

underscore the critical role of stromal-epithelial crosstalk in prostate enlargement.

 List of abbreviations

5ARIs	5α-reductase inhibitors
AP	Anterior lobes
AR	Androgen receptor
BIDMC	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center
BMI	Body mass index
BPH	Benign prostatic hyperplasia
CaMKII	calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II
CC-3	Cleaved caspase 3
CM	Conditioned medium
CO2	Carbon Dioxide
CT	Computed tomography
DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
DHT	Dihydrotestosterone
DLP	Dorsal and lateral lobes
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium
ELISA	Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
Endo	endothelial cells
FBS	Fetal bovine serum
Fib	Fibroblast cells
FISH	Fluorescence in situ Hybridization
GO	Gene ontology
ICC	Immunocytochemistry
IF	Immunofluorescence
IGF	Insulin-like growth factor
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
LE	Luminal epithelial cell
LEF	Lymphoid enhancer-binding factor
LRP	Lipoprotein receptor-related protein
LUTS	Lower urinary tract symptoms
MEM	Minimum essential medium
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MTOPS	Medical Therapy of Prostatic Symptoms
MTT	3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide
myoFib	Myofibroblast cell
NIDDK	National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NRP	neuropilin
PARP1	Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
Pen/Strep	Penicillin/Streptomycin
PKC	Protein kinase C
PPP	Picropodophyllin
PrU	periurethral region

PTPRK	Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type K
ROR	Receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute
RYK	Receptor-like tyrosine kinase
scRNA-Seq	single cell RNA-Sequencing
SM	smooth muscle cell
SRD5A2	Steroid 5α-reductase type 2
TBS	Tris-buffered saline
TCF	T-cell factor
TGF	Transforming growth factor
t-SNE	T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor
WNT	Wingless-related integration site

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Studies on human tissues from MTOPS and institutional cohort were performed on de-identified patient samples, and these studies were deemed exempt by the Institutional Review Board at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.

All animal work described in this study was in adherence to US NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol number 049-2022).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The scRNA-seq data are available in NCBI's GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession numbers GSM7504455 (Mm-prostate-02), GSM7504456 (Mm-prostate-04), GSM7504457 (Mm-prostate-06) under previous publication [14]. Raw data of quantifications in figures is available in the supplemental Supporting Data Values file. Unprocessed blots are provided in Supplemental Unedited Blot Images file. Further information, results, reagents, and other supporting data used or analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study was supported by funding from the NIH National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK, 1R01DK124502, 1R01DK140473, 1R01DK142211, X01DK131477) to AFO and ZW.

Authors' contributions

ZW and AO performed study design, conceptualization, and supervised this research. ZW and CS designed, executed, and interpreted the experiments on mouse models. BG designed, executed, and interpreted molecular biology, cell biology, and *in vitro* experiments. XL provided bioinformatics analysis. CS, NP, SL and YT conducted and interpreted IHC experiments and provided reagents. CS, BG, and ZW analyzed data, designed figures, and wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Chad Vezina's laboratory at the University of Wisconsin-Madison for generously providing us with Srd5a2$^{+/-}$ animals for breeding. Dr. Linus Tsai at BIDMC conducted scRNA-seq at the Boston Nutrition and Obesity Center Functional Genomics and Bioinformatics Core. The MTOPS study was conducted by the MTOPS study investigators and supported by the NIDDK. The data and biospecimen from the MTOPS study reported here were supplied by the NIDDK Central Repository. This manuscript was not prepared in collaboration with investigators of the MTOPS study and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the MTOPS study, the NIDDK Central Repository, or the NIDDK.

Figures

Figure 1. Prostate size is correlated with SRD5A2 expression in clinical samples. (**A**)

Representative image of high versus low SRD5A2 expression in the MTOPS cohort. (**B-E**)

Correlation plot of SRD5A2 protein expression with total prostate volume (**B**), transition zone

volume (**C**), peripheral zone volume (**D**), and serum DHT level (**E**) in the MTOPS cohort

(n=61). (**F**) Representative image of high versus low SRD5A2 expression in the BIDMC

biorepository cohort. (**G-K**) Correlation plot of SRD5A2 protein expression with total prostate

volume (**G**, n=35), transition zone volume (**H**, n=20), peripheral zone volume (**I**, n=20), serum

DHT level (**J**, n=23) and tissue DHT level (**K**, n = 43) in the BIDMC biorepository cohort.

SRD5A2 expression was measured by immuoreactive score quantified from IHC. Serum and

tissue DHT level of BIDMC biorepository samples were measured by ELISA kit. Correlation

analysis was performed using Pearson correlation.

Figure 2. Gene and cell type profiling of *Srd5a2*^{-/-} mouse prostate. (**A**) Dot plot showing

the Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis conducted on prostate tissue from 8-week-old

mice comparing 3 control and 3 *Srd5a2*^{-/-} samples. The x-axis represents the gene ratio (the

proportion of genes associated with each GO term relative to the total gene set analyzed),

and the y-axis lists the GO terms grouped by their biological processes, molecular functions,

or cellular components. The size of the dots indicates the number of genes (Count) involved

in each term, and the color gradient represents the adjusted p-value (padj). (**B**) The bar

graphs showing the number of outgoing (secreted/paracrine/autocrine) signals and the

number of incoming (ligand binding/receptor activation) signals among the cell clusters. (**C**)

The CellPhone-DB analysis of the ligand-receptor interactions in different mouse prostate cell

populations (n=9). Each dot represents the interaction under a specific condition, with the size

indicating the statistical significance ($-\log_{10}$(p-value)) and the color representing the $\log_2$

mean expression level of the molecules. P-values are derived from one-sided permutation

tests (significant p < 0.05). Larger and darker-colored dots signify stronger and more

significant interactions. (**D**) The t-SNE (t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding)

dimensionality reduction plot showing the expression localization of *Wnt5a* in different cell

types of mouse prostate tissue. The expression levels is shown in color gradient within cells.

Figure 3. SRD5A2 level positively correlates with the expression of WNT5A in mouse and human prostate. (**A**) The representative RNA-FISH images showing *Wnt5a* (white), LE2-specific marker *Esr1* (red), and *Pvt1* (green) expression in the periurethral, dorsal lateral and anterior lobes of control and *Srd5a2$^{-/-}$* mice. (**B-D**) Quantification of *Wnt5a* RNA-FISH signal per cell in each lobe shown in (A). (**E-F**) QPCR analysis of *Wnt5a* in non-anterior and anterior prostate tissue from *Srd5a2$^{+/+}$* and *Srd5a2$^{-/-}$* mice. (**G-H**) QPCR analysis of *Srd5a2* and *Wnt5a* in total prostate tissue from *Srd5a2$^{+/+}$*, *Srd5a2$^{+/-}$*, and *Srd5a2$^{-/-}$* mice. (**I**) Western blot analysis of SRD5A2, WNT5A, and GAPDH loading control in 8 institutional biorepository patient prostate samples. The number labeling of samples is retrieved from the biorepository patient record. (**J-M**) The correlation plot of *SRD5A2* mRNA expression with *WNT5A* (**J**), *PTPRK* (**K**), *TGFB2* (**L**), and *TGFBR1* (**M**) mRNA expression level in 18 patient samples. All experiments were replicated at least three times. Significance in all quantifications was calculated using two-tailed Student's t-tests. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.001$; ****; $p \leq 0.0001$.

Figure 4. SRD5A2 regulates prostate stromal cell growth via WNT5A. (**A**) qPCR analysis

of *SRD5A2*, *WNT5A* in vector control (Vec) and SRD5A2-overexpressing (OE) BHPrS1 cells.

(**B**) Western blot analysis of SRD5A2, WNT5A, and actin loading control in BHPrS1 cells. (**C-**

D) The level of WNT5A in BHPrS1 cell lysate and culturing medium measured by ELISA. (**E**)

Representative ICC images of BHPrS1 cells showing the expression level of Ki67, and

cleaved caspase 3 (CC-3). Ki67 and CC-3 protein (green) is detectable in the whole cell,

Phalloidin-labeled actin filaments (red) delineate cell structure, and DAPI (blue) marks nuclear

localization. (**F-G**) Quantification of Ki67 and CC-3 signals in (E). (**H**) qPCR analysis of

proliferation and apoptosis-related markers in BHPrS1 cells. (**I**) Western blot analysis of

SRD5A2, WNT5A, β-catenin, PARP1 (full and cleaved), and GAPDH loading control in

BHPrS1 cells treated with DMSO or 100μM finasteride (Fin) for 72 hours. (**J-K**) The level of

WNT5A in DMSO or finasteride-treated BHPrS1 cell lysate and culturing medium measured

by ELISA. (**L**) Cell viability of DMSO or Fin-treated BHPrS1 cells measured by MTT assay. (**M**)

Quantification of the BHPrS1 spheroid-like colony size from day 4 to day 18. (**N-O**)

Quantification of BHPrS1 spheroid-like colony size change from day 12 to day 15 and from

day 12 to day 18 as BHPrS1 OE cells treated with 100μM finasteride and/or 100μM BOX5 for

72 hours or 144 hours. All experiments were replicated at least three times. Student's t-test

and one-way ANOVA with Tukey test were performed. Error bar stands for mean ± SD. ns, $p >$

0.05; *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.001$; ****; $p \leq 0.0001$. #, significance in comparisons

between different groups with the same meaning as *.

Figure 5. SRD5A2 upregulates the expression of LEF1 and IGF1. (**A**) Volcano plot

showing differentially expressed WNT-related genes in BHPrS1 OE versus Vec cells with

$\log_{10}$ (Fold Change) indicating mean gene expression levels. Each dot represents a single

gene. (**B**) t-SNE dimensionality reduction plot showing the expression localization of *Lef1* in

different cell types of mouse prostate tissue and its expression levels within cells. (**C**) Dot plot

showing the expression of *Lef1* and *Wnt5a* in different cell types from scRNA-seq of mouse

prostate tissue. The size of the dots represents the proportion of positively expressing cells,

and the color indicates the average expression levels. (**D**) qPCR analysis of TCF/LEF family

transcription factors in BHPrS1 cells. (**E**) Representative ICC images of BHPrS1 cells

showing the expression level of LEF1. LEF1 protein (green) is detectable in the nuclei,

Phalloidin-labeled actin filaments (red) delineate cell structure, and DAPI (blue) marks nuclear

localization. (**F**) Quantification of LEF1 signals in (E). (**G**) qPCR analysis of *LEF1*, *PARP1*,

IGF1, and *IGF2* in BHPrS1 Vec and OE cells transfected with scramble (scr) or LEF1 (siLEF1)

siRNAs. (**H**) Representative ICC images showing the expression level of LEF1 in siRNA-

transfected BHPrS1 cells. (**I**) Western blot analysis of SRD5A2, WNT5A, β-catenin, PARP1

(full and cleaved), and GAPDH loading control in siRNA-transfected BHPrS1 cells. (**J-K**) The

cellular level of IGF1 in BHPrS1 cell transfected with siRNAs or treated with 100μM

finasteride and/or 100μM BOX5 for 72 hours measured by human IGF1 ELISA kit. (**L-M**) Cell

viability of siRNA-transfected or 72-hours Picropodophyllin (PPP)-treated BHPrS1 cells

measured by MTT assay. All experiments were replicated at least three times. Student's t-test

and one-way ANOVA with Tukey test were performed. Error bar stands for mean ± SD. ns, $p >$

0.05; *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.001$; ****; $p \leq 0.0001$. #, significance in comparisons

between different groups with the same meaning as *.

Figure 6. SRD5A2-overexpression stromal cells increased epithelial cells IGF1

expression and cell proliferation. (**A**) QPCR analysis of *WNT5A*, *β-catenin*, *LEF1*, *cyclin D1*,

PARP1, and WNT-related growth factors in BPH1 cells co-cultured with BPH1 cells, BHPrS1

control cells, or BHPrS1 SRD5A2 overexpressing cells. (**B**) The cellular level of IGF1 in BPH1

cells co-cultured with BPH1 cells, BHPrS1 control cells, or BHPrS1 SRD5A2 overexpressing

cells measured by human IGF1 ELISA kit. (**C**) Cell viability of BPH1 cells cultured in control or

drug-treated BHPrS1-conditioned medium for 72 hours measured by MTT assay. (**D**)

Representative images taken on day 21 of BPH1 soft agar colonies in control or drug-treated

BHPrS1-conditioned medium starting on day 1. (**E-F**) Quantification of the colony numbers (**E**)

and colony size (**F**) of BPH1 cells shown in (D). (**G**) The cellular level of IGF1 in BHPrE1 cells

co-cultured with BHPrE1 cells, BHPrS1 control cells, or SRD5A2 overexpressing BHPrS1

cells for 72 hours measured by human IGF1 ELISA kit. (**H**) Representative images taken on

day 8 and day 14 of BHPrE1 organoid co-cultured with BHPrS1 cells. (**I-J**) Quantification of

the size of BHPrE1 organoids on day 8 (**I**) and day 14 (**J**) shown in (H). All experiments were

replicated at least three times. One-way ANOVA were performed to calculate the significance.

Error bar stands for mean ± SD. ns, $p > 0.05$; *, $p ≤ 0.05$; **, $p ≤ 0.01$; ***, $p ≤ 0.001$; ****; $p ≤$

0.0001. #/†, significance in comparisons between different groups with the same meaning as *.

Figure 7. Prostate size and SRD5A2 expression level are correlated with WNT5A

expression in clinical samples. (**A**) Representative image of high versus low WNT5A

expression in the BIDMC biorepository cohort. (**B-C**) Correlation plot of WNT5A expression

with SRD5A2 expression (**B**, n=41) and total prostate volume (**C**, n=37) in the BIDMC

biorepository cohort. (**D**) Representative image of high versus low WNT5A expression in the

MTOPS cohort. (**E-F**) Correlation plot of WNT5A expression with SRD5A2 expression (**E**,

n=25) and total prostate volume (**F**, n=25) in the MTOPS cohort. WNT5A and SRD5A2

expressions were measured by immunoreactive score quantified from IHC. Correlation

analysis was performed using Pearson correlation.

Tables

Table 1. Clinical demographics information of the MTOPS cohort

Variable	Total (n=61)
Age (yr)	62.95 (50-75)
BMI (kg/m^2)	27.80 (18.8-35.8)
total prostate volume (cc)	60.62 (9.3-150.7)
transition zone volume (cc)	28.81 (3.8-114)
peripheral zone volume (cc)	31.82 (4.5-112)
Blood DHT level (ng/dL)	43.09 (95-108)

Table 2. Clinical demographics information of the BIDMC Biorepository cohort

Variable	Total (n=49)
Age (yr) (n=49)	70.7 (52-85)
BMI (kg/m^2) (n=37)	27.6 (20.7-36.3)
total prostate volume (cc) (n=35)	141.6 (16-330)
transition zone volume (cc) (n=20)	89.6 (19.5-247.9)
peripheral zone volume (cc) (n=20)	44.7 (25.4-82.1)
DHT in serum (pg/mg) (n=23)	17.1 (3.3-43.5)
DHT in prostate tissue (ng/mg) (n=43)	403.8 (210.9-1047.6)

Reference

1.   Izumi K, Mizokami A, Lin WJ, Lai KP, Chang C: **Androgen receptor roles in the development of**
benign prostate hyperplasia. *Am J Pathol* 2013, **182**(6):1942-1949.

2.   Wilson JD, Harrod MJ, Goldstein JL, Hemsell DL, MacDonald PC: **Familial incomplete male**
pseudohermaphroditism, type 1. Evidence for androgen resistance and variable clinical
manifestations in a family with the Reifenstein syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1974, **290**(20):1097-
1103.

3.   Clark RV, Hermann DJ, Cunningham GR, Wilson TH, Morrill BB, Hobbs S: **Marked suppression**
of dihydrotestosterone in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia by dutasteride, a dual
5alpha-reductase inhibitor. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004, **89**(5):2179-2184.

4.   Thigpen AE, Davis DL, Milatovich A, Mendonca BB, Imperato-McGinley J, Griffin JE, Francke U,
Wilson JD, Russell DW: **Molecular genetics of steroid 5 alpha-reductase 2 deficiency.** *J Clin*
Invest 1992, **90**(3):799-809.

5.   Roehrborn CG, Marks LS, Fenter T, Freedman S, Tuttle J, Gittleman M, Morrill B, Wolford ET:
Efficacy and safety of dutasteride in the four-year treatment of men with benign prostatic
hyperplasia. *Urology* 2004, **63**(4):709-715.

6.   McConnell JD, Roehrborn CG, Bautista OM, Andriole GL, Jr., Dixon CM, Kusek JW, Lepor H,
McVary KT, Nyberg LM, Jr., Clarke HS *et al*: **The long-term effect of doxazosin, finasteride, and**
combination therapy on the clinical progression of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *N Engl J Med*
2003, **349**(25):2387-2398.

7.   Calder AN, Peter MQ, Tobias JW, Zaki NHM, Keeley TM, Frankel TL, Samuelson LC, Razumilava
N: **WNT signaling contributes to the extrahepatic bile duct proliferative response to**
obstruction in mice. *JCI Insight* 2024, **10**(2).

8.   Sarabia-Sanchez MA, Robles-Flores M: **WNT Signaling in Stem Cells: A Look into the Non-**
Canonical Pathway. *Stem Cell Rev Rep* 2024, **20**(1):52-66.

9.   Horton C, Liu Y, Wang J, Green J, Tsyporin J, Chen B, Wang ZA: **Modulation of the canonical**
Wnt activity by androgen signaling in prostate epithelial basal stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports*
2023, **18**(6):1355-1370.

10.   Wei X, Zhang L, Zhou Z, Kwon OJ, Zhang Y, Nguyen H, Dumpit R, True L, Nelson P, Dong B *et al*:
Spatially Restricted Stromal Wnt Signaling Restrains Prostate Epithelial Progenitor Growth
through Direct and Indirect Mechanisms. *Cell Stem Cell* 2019, **24**(5):753-768 e756.

11.   Huang L, Pu Y, Hu WY, Birch L, Luccio-Camelo D, Yamaguchi T, Prins GS: **The role of Wnt5a in**
prostate gland development. *Dev Biol* 2009, **328**(2):188-199.

12.   Placencio VR, Sharif-Afshar AR, Li X, Huang H, Uwamariya C, Neilson EG, Shen MM, Matusik RJ,
Hayward SW, Bhowmick NA: **Stromal transforming growth factor-beta signaling mediates**
prostatic response to androgen ablation by paracrine Wnt activity. *Cancer Res* 2008,
68(12):4709-4718.

13.   Prins GS, Putz O: **Molecular signaling pathways that regulate prostate gland development.**
Differentiation 2008, **76**(6):641-659.

14.   Sharkey C, Long X, Al-Faouri R, Strand D, Olumi AF, Wang Z: **Enhanced prostatic Esr1(+) luminal**
epithelial cells in the absence of SRD5A2. *J Pathol* 2024, **263**(3):300-314.

15.   McCray T, Richards Z, Marsili J, Prins GS, Nonn L: **Handling and Assessment of Human Primary**
Prostate Organoid Culture. *J Vis Exp* 2019(143).

16. Lang SH, Stark M, Collins A, Paul AB, Stower MJ, Maitland NJ: **Experimental prostate epithelial**
morphogenesis in response to stroma and three-dimensional matrigel culture. *Cell Growth*
Differ 2001, **12**(12):631-640.
17. Lee GY, Kenny PA, Lee EH, Bissell MJ: **Three-dimensional culture models of normal and**
malignant breast epithelial cells. *Nat Methods* 2007, **4**(4):359-365.
18. Morizane R, Bonventre JV: **Generation of nephron progenitor cells and kidney organoids from**
human pluripotent stem cells. *Nature Protocols* 2016, **12**(1):195-207.
19. Borowicz S, Van Scoyk M, Avasarala S, Karuppusamy Rathinam MK, Tauler J, Bikkavilli RK, Winn
RA: **The soft agar colony formation assay.** *J Vis Exp* 2014(92):e51998.
20. Zhang X, Jin T-G, Yang H, DeWolf WC, Khosravi-Far R, Olumi AF: **Persistent c-FLIP(L) Expression**
Is Necessary and Sufficient to Maintain Resistance to Tumor Necrosis Factor-Related
Apoptosis-Inducing Ligand–Mediated Apoptosis in Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Research* 2004,
64(19):7086-7091.
21. Li W, Wu CL, Febbo PG, Olumi AF: **Stromally expressed c-Jun regulates proliferation of**
prostate epithelial cells. *Am J Pathol* 2007, **171**(4):1189-1198.
22. Sharkey C, Long X, Wang Z, Al-Faouri R, Gershman B, Tsai LL, Olumi AF: **Zonal Growth Pattern**
of the Prostate Is Affected by Age and Body Mass Index. *J Urol* 2022, **207**(4):876-884.
23. Karthaus WR, Hofree M, Choi D, Linton EL, Turkekul M, Bejnood A, Carver B, Gopalan A, Abida
W, Laudone V *et al*: **Regenerative potential of prostate luminal cells revealed by single-cell**
analysis. *Science* 2020, **368**(6490):497-505.
24. Prins GS: **Developmental estrogenization: Prostate gland reprogramming leads to increased**
disease risk with aging. *Differentiation* 2021, **118**:72-81.
25. Frankenstein Z, Basanta D, Franco OE, Gao Y, Javier RA, Strand DW, Lee M, Hayward SW, Ayala
G, Anderson ARA: **Stromal reactivity differentially drives tumour cell evolution and prostate**
cancer progression. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2020, **4**(6):870-884.
26. Olumi AF, Grossfeld GD, Hayward SW, Carroll PR, Tlsty TD, Cunha GR: **Carcinoma-associated**
fibroblasts direct tumor progression of initiated human prostatic epithelium. *Cancer Res*
1999, **59**(19):5002-5011.
27. Cunha GR, Baskin L: **Mesenchymal-epithelial interaction techniques.** *Differentiation* 2016,
91(4-5):20-27.
28. Crowley L, Cambuli F, Aparicio L, Shibata M, Robinson BD, Xuan S, Li W, Hibshoosh H, Loda M,
Rabadan R *et al*: **A single-cell atlas of the mouse and human prostate reveals heterogeneity**
and conservation of epithelial progenitors. *eLife* 2020, **9**:e59465.
29. Joseph DB, Henry GH, Malewska A, Iqbal NS, Ruetten HM, Turco AE, Abler LL, Sandhu SK,
Cadena MT, Malladi VS *et al*: **Urethral luminal epithelia are castration-insensitive cells of the**
proximal prostate. *Prostate* 2020, **80**(11):872-884.
30. Shappell SB, Thomas GV, Roberts RL, Herbert R, Ittmann MM, Rubin MA, Humphrey PA,
Sundberg JP, Rozengurt N, Barrios R *et al*: **Prostate Pathology of Genetically Engineered Mice:**
Definitions and Classification. The Consensus Report from the Bar Harbor Meeting of the
Mouse Models of Human Cancer Consortium Prostate Pathology Committee. *Cancer*
Research 2004, **64**(6):2270-2305.
31. Lu Y, Fu W, Xing W, Wu H, Zhang C, Xu D: **Transcriptional regulation mechanism of PARP1 and**
its application in disease treatment. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 2024, **17**(1):26.
32. Deshmukh D, Qiu Y: **Role of PARP-1 in prostate cancer.** *Am J Clin Exp Urol* 2015, **3**(1):1-12.

33. Hawkins AG, Pedersen EA, Treichel S, Temprine K, Sperring C, Read JA, Magnuson B, Chugh R,
Lawlor ER: **Wnt/beta-catenin-activated Ewing sarcoma cells promote the angiogenic switch.**
JCI Insight 2020, **5**(13).

34. Escribano-Núñez A, Cornelis FMF, De Roover A, Sermon A, Cailotto F, Lories RJ, Monteagudo S:
IGF1 drives Wnt-induced joint damage and is a potential therapeutic target for osteoarthritis.
Nature Communications 2024, **15**(1):9170.

35. Marks LS, Roehrborn CG, Wolford E, Wilson TH: **The effect of dutasteride on the peripheral**
and transition zones of the prostate and the value of the transition zone index in predicting
treatment response. *J Urol* 2007, **177**(4):1408-1413.

36. McNeal JE: **Origin and evolution of benign prostatic enlargement.** *Invest Urol* 1978, **15**(4):340-
345.

37. Mendonca BB, Batista RL, Domenice S, Costa EM, Arnhold IJ, Russell DW, Wilson JD: **Steroid**
5α-reductase 2 deficiency. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2016, **163**:206-211.

38. Wang Z, Hu L, Salari K, Bechis SK, Ge R, Wu S, Rassoulian C, Pham J, Wu CL, Tabatabaei S *et al*:
Androgenic to oestrogenic switch in the human adult prostate gland is regulated by
epigenetic silencing of steroid 5α-reductase 2. *J Pathol* 2017, **243**(4):457-467.

39. Thomas LN, Douglas RC, Lazier CB, Too CK, Rittmaster RS, Tindall DJ: **Type 1 and type 2 5alpha-**
reductase expression in the development and progression of prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2008,
53(2):244-252.

40. Mohler JL, Titus MA, Bai S, Kennerley BJ, Lih FB, Tomer KB, Wilson EM: **Activation of the**
androgen receptor by intratumoral bioconversion of androstanediol to dihydrotestosterone
in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2011, **71**(4):1486-1496.

41. Santiago L, Daniels G, Wang D, Deng FM, Lee P: **Wnt signaling pathway protein LEF1 in cancer,**
as a biomarker for prognosis and a target for treatment. *Am J Cancer Res* 2017, **7**(6):1389-
1406.

42. Sanches BDA, Maldarine JS, Vilamaior PSL, Felisbino SL, Carvalho HF, Taboga SR: **Stromal cell**
interplay in prostate development, physiology, and pathological conditions. *Prostate* 2021,
81(13):926-937.

43. Adzavon YM, Culig Z, Sun Z: **Interactions between androgen and IGF1 axes in prostate**
tumorigenesis. *Nat Rev Urol* 2024.

44. Pollack AS, Kunder CA, Brazer N, Shen Z, Varma S, West RB, Cunha GR, Baskin LS, Brooks JD,
Pollack JR: **Spatial transcriptomics identifies candidate stromal drivers of benign prostatic**
hyperplasia. *JCI Insight* 2024, **9**(2).

45. DiGiovanni J, Kiguchi K, Frijhoff A, Wilker E, Bol DK, Beltrán L, Moats S, Ramirez A, Jorcano J,
Conti C: **Deregulated expression of insulin-like growth factor 1 in prostate epithelium leads to**
neoplasia in transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000, **97**(7):3455-3460.

46. Svensson J, Kindblom J, Shao R, Moverare-Skrtic S, Lagerquist MK, Andersson N, Sjogren K,
Venken K, Vanderschueren D, Jansson JO *et al*: **Liver-derived IGF1 enhances the androgenic**
response in prostate. *J Endocrinol* 2008, **199**(3):489-497.

47. Hiroto A, Kim WK, Pineda A, He Y, Lee DH, Le V, Olson AW, Aldahl J, Nenninger CH, Buckley AJ
et al: **Stromal androgen signaling acts as tumor niches to drive prostatic basal epithelial**
progenitor-initiated oncogenesis. *Nat Commun* 2022, **13**(1):6552.

48. Kim WK, Olson AW, Mi J, Wang J, Lee DH, Le V, Hiroto A, Aldahl J, Nenninger CH, Buckley AJ *et*
al: **Aberrant androgen action in prostatic progenitor cells induces oncogenesis and tumor**
development through IGF1 and Wnt axes. *Nat Commun* 2022, **13**(1):4364.

49.   Culig Z, Hobisch A, Cronauer MV, Radmayr C, Trapman J, Hittmair A, Bartsch G, Klocker H:
Androgen receptor activation in prostatic tumor cell lines by insulin-like growth factor-I,
keratinocyte growth factor, and epidermal growth factor. *Cancer Res* 1994, **54**(20):5474-5478.
50.   Wu JD, Odman A, Higgins LM, Haugk K, Vessella R, Ludwig DL, Plymate SR: **In vivo effects of the**
human type I insulin-like growth factor receptor antibody A12 on androgen-dependent and
androgen-independent xenograft human prostate tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005, **11**(8):3065-
3074.
51.   Roehrborn CG, Boyle P, Nickel JC, Hoefner K, Andriole G: **Efficacy and safety of a dual inhibitor**
of 5-alpha-reductase types 1 and 2 (dutasteride) in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia.
Urology 2002, **60**(3):434-441.
52.   Kaplan SA, Roehrborn CG, McConnell JD, Meehan AG, Surynawanshi S, Lee JY, Rotonda J, Kusek
JW, Nyberg LM, Jr.: **Long-term treatment with finasteride results in a clinically significant**
reduction in total prostate volume compared to placebo over the full range of baseline
prostate sizes in men enrolled in the MTOPS trial. *J Urol* 2008, **180**(3):1030-1032; discussion
1032-1033.
53.   Dimitropoulos K, Gravas S: **Fixed-dose combination therapy with dutasteride and tamsulosin**
in the management of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Ther Adv Urol* 2016, **8**(1):19-28.
54.   Kosilov K, Kuzina I, Kuznetsov V, Barabash O, Fedorishcheva E: **Efficacy of a combination of**
dutasteride, tadalafil, and solifenacin in the treatment of previously unsuccessful patients.
Asian J Urol 2022, **9**(1):42-50.
55.   Zhou Z, Cui Y, Wu J, Ding R, Cai T, Gao Z: **Meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of**
combination of tamsulosin plus dutasteride compared with tamsulosin monotherapy in
treating benign prostatic hyperplasia. *BMC Urology* 2019, **19**(1):17.