Regulation of the essential peptidoglycan hydrolytic complex FtsEX-PcsB during *Streptococcus pneumoniae* cell division

Nicholas S Briggs[1*], Kevin E Bruce[2], Stefano Camaione[1], Souvik Naskar[1,3], Adrian Lloyd[1], Malcolm E Winkler[2] & David I Roper[1*]

[1] School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

[2] Department of Biology, Indiana University Bloomington, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

[3] Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2BX

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Nicholas S Briggs, School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, nicholas.s.briggs@warwick.ac.uk

David I Roper, School of Life Science, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, +44 (0) 2476528369, david.roper@warwick.ac.uk

Classification:

- Major – Biological Sciences
- Minor – Biochemistry

Keywords: PEPTIDOGLYCAN | PNEUMOCOCCUS | CELL DIVISION | HYDROLASE |

Significance Statement

Bacterial cell division requires coordinated assembly of specific proteins acting on the cell envelope to induce division. The cell division complex FtsEX is recruited early in divisome assembly and controls extracellular hydrolase function, which modifies the peptidoglycan layer. We demonstrate in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, where these proteins are essential, connections between ATP hydrolysis in cytosolic FtsE, mechanotransduction through FtsX in the membrane, activation of PcsB in the intracellular space and molecular details of the chemical modification of the peptidoglycan layer. Here we present a model whereby the FtsEX-PcsB complex is assembled early in order to produce a hydrolase-modified form of peptidoglycan during septal splitting, marking the site of later division events; thus providing a rationale for its biological and temporal function.

Abstract

In the human commensal Gram-positive bacterial pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae,* the essential extracellular cell-division-associated peptidoglycan (PG) hydrolase PcsB interacts directly with the cytoplasmic-membrane-bound complex between FtsE and FtsX (1–3). PcsB contains a cysteine, histidine-dependent amidohydrolase/peptidase (CHAP) domain responsible for PG hydrolysis, as well as a coiled-coil domain required for interaction with FtsEX (1,4). ATP hydrolysis of FtsE in the cytoplasm drives conformational changes in FtsX in the cytoplasmic membrane, which ultimately regulates the PG hydrolase on the outside of the cell (5). In this work we show using *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches, that the CHAP domain of PcsB predominately functions as an *iso*-D-Glutaminyl-Lysyl D,L-endopeptidase, with particular substrate specificity for Lys-containing, amidated PG, cleaving between the second and third amino acids of the peptidoglycan stem peptide. The catalytic activity of PcsB is regulated and activated by conformation changes of the coiled-coil region of PcsB and in part by a short helical region immediately adjacent to the CHAP domain to guard against PcsB hydrolytic activation outside of its cell division specific functional requirement. This work supports a model for the overall biological activity of the FtsEX-PcsB complex, in which ATP hydrolysis by FtsE in the cytoplasm, drives conformational changes in FtsX and PcsB resulting in the liberation of the hydrolytic CHAP domain of PcsB from its regulatory helix to allow PG stem peptide cleavage that splits the septal disk and marks a region of the peptidoglycan sacculus for subsequent cell division remodelling.

Introduction

Cell division represents one the most fundamental and complex processes undertaken by a bacterial cell. Central to this process is turnover of the bacterial cell wall structural polymer peptidoglycan to enable separation of individual cells (6,7). During cell division, selected conserved proteins dynamically facilitate alterations to membrane and cell wall morphology, enabling coordination between events located on both the inside and outside of the cytoplasmic membrane (7). Previous studies of bacterial cell morphology in *Escherichia coli* (8,9) and *Bacillus subtilis* (10–12) in particular, have identified key proteins and complexes required for division using detailed genetic, biochemical, and advanced microscopy techniques. Homologues of many of the key cell division proteins are found in almost all

bacterial species, that importantly underline their essentiality and common genetic origin

(7,8,13,14).

In the early stages of bacterial cell division, a complex between the FtsE and FtsX (FtsEX)

proteins is formed (1,5,15,16) and is recruited via interaction with the filament-forming

proteins FtsA and FtsZ to form components of the cytoplasmic Z-ring (17,18). The Z-ring

provides the foundation for the later assembly of a complex termed the bacterial divisome

(7,8,19) which accomplishes the process of cell division. FtsX is an integral membrane protein

which forms a dimer and partners with a dimer of FtsE, the cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding

domain of the FtsEX complex. The FtsEX complex is an important member of the early

divisome apparatus mediating important protein-protein interactions and also has a direct

role in controlling PG degradation activity via extracellular hydrolytic proteins

(5,15,16,20). Whilst FtsEX is a structural homologue of the type VII family of ATP dependent

ABC transporters, it has no transport role but instead uses ATP hydrolysis in FtsE to transduce

a signal through FtsX to extracellular partner proteins to bring about controlled PG

degradation (5,15,16,20,21).

In rod-shaped Gram-negative bacteria including *E.coli*, FtsEX is not essential but is required

for viability in low-osmolarity media (20). By contrast, FtsEX and its PG hydrolase binding

partner PcsB are essential in the ovoid-shaped Gram-positive bacteria *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae (*Spn* or pneumococcus). Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial FtsEX

complexes are also further delineated by their relationship to the cognate PG hydrolases

which they activate and control. In typical Gram-negative bacterial species such as *E. coli*, the

FtsEX protein complex binds to a structural, regulatory coiled-coil protein EnvC , which itself

has no enzymatic activity, but in turn binds to and regulates the activity of one or a series of

zinc-dependent PG amidase enzymes (AmiA, AmiB) in order to degrade the PG (22,23). In

contrast, Gram-positive bacteria such as *B. subtilis* and *S. pneumoniae* have a direct

relationship, in which the structural regulator protein and enzymatic PG hydrolase are within

a single protein such as CwlO (21,24) or PcsB (1,4) respectively. Why Gram-negative species

like *E.coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have alternative PG hydrolytic warheads

(AmiA/AmiB) whose association with FtsEX requires an adaptor protein (EnvC) and what

determines their selection, remains an unexplored area but may be linked to the great
compartmentalised structure of the Gram-negative cell envelope.

It has been shown previously that the full-length *S. pneumoniae* PcsB protein displays no
hydrolytic activity *in vitro* until the N-terminal coiled-coil region of the protein is removed (4),
suggesting a critical conformational regulatory function is required for PcsB PG hydrolytic
activation. The remaining C-terminal section of PcsB is a cysteine, histidine-dependent
amidohydrolase/peptidase (CHAP) domain that shares overall homology to other PG
hydrolases (16,21,24), and thus was assumed to play a similar role to that of the *E. coli*
amidases during cell division which target the MurNAc-L-Ala bond to produce fully denuded
glycan strands (23,25). However, PcsB has no metal dependency and has closer homology to
other Gram-positive PG hydrolases like the *B. subtilis* DL-endopeptidase CwlO (21). However,
little is known about PcsB's *in vivo* target bond and product and how this relates to the
essential role it plays during cell division.

The X-ray crystal structure of the full-length PcsB protein revealed a dimeric head-to-head
arrangement of the CHAP domains that prevented PG degradation activity (4), but
biochemical studies revealed the activity of the CHAP domain in isolation. Subsequent studies
on the structures of *E.coli* EnvC-AmiA/B (23) as well as the complexes of *P.aeruginosa* FtsX-
EnvC, *P.aeruginosa* FtsEX-EnvC-AmiB (26), *Vibrio cholerae* FtsEX-EnvC (15), *M. tuberculosis*
FtsEX-RipC (16) and *E.coli* FtsEX-EnvC (5) have revealed structural arrangements of the FtsEX
heterodimer complex with a single extracellular binding partner which are the subject of a
recent review (27). In total we now have a collective understanding of FtsEX function as
enabling a conformational change in the extracellular hydrolase protein, presumably to
position the hydrolase active site within the PG target layer as well as structural elements
adjacent to the hydrolase that control hydrolytic activity.

It is worth noting when considering the peptidoglycan layer in *S.pneumoniae* that it is made
of direct and indirect cross links in which the side chain of Lys at the third position of the
peptide stem can be modified with L-Ser-L-Ala or D-Ala-L-Ala (as a result of amino acyl-tRNA
dependent MurM and MurN action (28–30)). Altered stem peptide content and the resulting
increase in PBP-mediated indirect crosslinking is found in highly-penicillin-resistant strains

(31). A recent report of the catalytic domain of non-essential VldE (Spr1875) shows it to be a zinc-dependent L-D-endopeptidase which hydrolyses the cross-linked stem peptide and is induced via the VicRK (WalRK) two component system under stress conditions (32), as is PcsB (33,34) . Unlike previously mentioned PG amidases, VldE can produce a form of PG in which the first L-alanine in the peptide stem is left attached to the glycan sugar backbone (the hydrolysed bond is between L-Ala and D-Glu on the non-amidated synthetic peptides tested) (32). The role of VldE, which cannot replace PcsB, is unknown, but may be stress related (32). The LytA protein of *S.pneumoniae*, which is an *N*-acetylmuramoyl-l-alanine amidase produced in the cytoplasm, produces a fully denuded glycan strand but appears to play a role in fratricidal stress-induced lysis rather than in cell division (35).

In this study, we explore the structure-function relationship between the *S.pneumoniae* FtsEX complex with PcsB using *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* approaches that seek to explore the biological events that control PG hydrolysis. We explore the substrate specificity of $PcsB_{CHAP}$ whilst elucidating the molecular target and product of PcsB's activity, defining the chemical nature of the hydrolase-modified PG following PcsB CHAP domain action. Finally, we demonstrate a putative regulatory element of $PcsB_{CHAP}$ which is FtsX-independent, providing additional regulation of the tightly-controlled FtsEX-PcsB PG hydrolytic activity..

Results

Co-overexpression of *Spn* PcsB and *Spn* FtsEX rescues phenotypic defects observed in *E. coli* when *Spn* FtsEX is overexpressed in isolation

We began our investigations by building on previous work in which an *ftsEX* knockout in *E. coli* demonstrated poor growth and cell death under osmotic stress conditions (18,36– 38). Whilst the direct role of these proteins in *E. coli* in relation to stress is still unclear, the phenotype can be used as a tool for complementation experiments. Using an *ftsEX* knockout strain of *E. coli* (BW25113 Δ*ftsEX*) we tested the viability of cells on rich (LB) or stress (LB without NaCl, LB0N) solid media supplemented with 1mM IPTG in a spot titre assay (Supp Fig. 1). Under osmotic stress, the Δ*ftsEX* strain barely supports growth, but can be complemented by pneumococcal *ftsEX* expression from the gene cassette in our pET-DUET vector construct, (Supplementary Table 2) by more than two orders of magnitude (Supp Fig. 1B). *E. coli*

BW25113 has no DE3 T7 RNA polymerase system so expression may be at a basal level from
recognition of *E. coli* RNA polymerase of the plasmid promoter system. Notably, this suggests
a shared role for FtsEX across multiple organisms, and suggests a functional role for
pneumococcal FtsEX in an *E. coli* host environment under low-salt conditions.

Given the essentiality of these genes in *S. pneumoniae,* we next chose to express these
proteins in an *E. coli* heterologous expression system, in which wild-type expression levels of
E. coli FtsEX-EnvC-AmiAB are assumed. This system also allows testing of the individual
components of the FtsEX-PcsB complex. The *pcsB* gene was separately cloned into a pCDF-
DUET vector downstream of a *pelB* leader sequence (to enable the protein to be directed into
the *E. coli* periplasm) also under T7 promoter control (Supplementary Table 2), to allow co-
transformation and heterologous expression of FtsEX-PcsB as a functional complex or as
individual components in *E. coli*. Preliminary experiments suggested overexpression of *Spn*
FtsEX at late log phase resulted in a large proportion of highly filamentous *E. coli* cells, with
no defined division septa along their length. To explore this in more detail, cells were
synchronised using DL-serine hydroxamate (39) prior to protein expression and grown to late-
log/stationary phase in order to visualise phenotypic effects due to the presence of the
recombinant proteins, rather than the transient effects of protein overexpression *per se* (40–
42). The filamentous phenotype was reproduced during *Spn* FtsEX overexpression under
these conditions (Fig. 1B) but not with *Spn* PcsB in isolation (Supp Fig. 2G). By contrast, when
PcsB was expressed in addition to FtsEX, the cells reverted to a rod-shaped phenotype (Fig.
1C). This unexpected but dramatic observation is consistent with FtsEX-PcsB acting in a
functional manner during *E. coli* growth and division, rather than a more general non-specific
effect of protein expression. The presence of PcsB within the context of the FtsEX-PcsB
complex is apparently able to rescue the cell-division defect phenotype observed when FtsEX
is overexpressed in isolation therefore.

Figure 1 - Co-overexpression of pneumococcal PcsB with pneumococcal FtsEX rescues the
filamentous phenotype of FtsEX alone in _E. coli,_ however overexpression of the PcsB CHAP domain
in isolation forms filaments as well. A strain of BL21 _E. coli_ in which the parental _ftsZ_ gene is tagged
with mNEON was used to visualise division septa during overexpression of pneumococcal proteins.
Both empty vector (A) and FtsEX-PcsB (C) induced normal, rod-shaped _E. coli_, whereas expression of
FtsEX alone induced filamentous cells (B). Growth curves of _E. coli_ overexpressing empty vector, WT
PcsB, PcsB CHAP domain or inactive PcsB CHAP (C292A) in the periplasm (PelB leader) (D) alongside
representative microscopy images of active CHAP domain (E) or inactive CHAP domain (F)
demonstrating cell division defects when the unregulated CHAP domain is active. Points mark OD
reading at each time point, line marks best fit line. Magnification of 100x.

Exploring the structure-activity relationship of the FtsEX-PcsB complex.

In order to investigate these observations further and examine FtsEX-PcsB's dependency on ATP turnover for activity, we explored whether the cell-shape phenotype was dependent on the ATPase activity of FtsE within this complex, given the homology of the FtsEX-PcsB complex to ATP-dependent ABC transporters. Mutations were made in the Walker A and B motifs of the *ftsE* gene to disrupt β-phosphate stabilisation (K43A) or Mg^{2+} binding (E165Q), as well as to the adenosine pi-stacking residue (Y13A); these mutants and FtsX were overexpressed in *E. coli* in the presence and absence of PcsB (Supp Fig 2). All three individual mutations resulted in the same filamentous phenotype response as wild-type FtsEX when expressed in isolation or with PcsB (Supp Table 5), implying that the fully functional FtsEX-PcsB complex (including the PG hydrolytic activity of PcsB) is required for cell division in *E. coli* when the proteins are being overexpressed. It should be noted that the proportion of cells that become filamentous is reduced with the mutant *Spn* FtsEX overexpression (Supp Fig 2). This could be due to compensatory mechanisms overcoming the deleterious effects that *Spn* FtsEX produces; or decreased induction of these proteins over time. We extended our phenotypic study to investigate if the PG hydrolytic activity of PcsB was also required to rescue the filamentous FtsEX-induced phenotype. An active-site Cys to Ala (C292A) mutant of *pcsB* was constructed and tested in the heterologous expression system (Supp Fig. 2 and Supp Table 5). This showed that overexpression of PcsB$_{C292A}$ in the presence of wild-type FtsEX did not rescue filamentation to rod-shaped cells (Supp Table 5). Overexpression of either PcsB wild-type or C292A without *Spn* FtsEX has no obvious phenotypic effect in *E. coli* (Supp Fig. 2 and Supp Table 5).

To further investigate the structural elements required for the PG hydrolytic activity of PcsB, and specifically the CHAP domain of this protein, we cloned and expressed a variety of constructs. In addition to the full-length wild-type and C292A mutant PcsB constructs (detailed above), we made a truncated PcsB construct in which only the CHAP domain is directly preceded by a PelB leader sequence, thereby eliminating all proposed regulatory elements of the protein encompassed within the coiled-coil region (PcsB$_{CHAP}$). Comparison of growth between *E. coli* overexpressing empty-vector, PcsB$_{CHAP}$, PcsB$_{CHAP (C292A)}$ and PcsB$_{WT}$ cells showed a slight increase in the time taken to enter exponential phase for both the

catalytically inactive PcsB$_{CHAP (C292A)}$ and PcsB$_{WT}$ compared to the empty-vector cells. This

effect is exacerbated with the PcsB$_{CHAP}$ overexpression condition, indicating the active

hydrolase has an aberrant effect on growth (Fig. 1 D). Phenotypic analysis of these cells

revealed the PcsB$_{CHAP}$ overexpression induces the same apparent phenotype as wild-type

FtsEX, indicating the isolated hydrolase, lacking the proposed regulatory elements of the

coiled-coil domain, induces cell division defects (Fig. 1 E and F).

The CHAP domain of PcsB (PcsB$_{CHAP}$) is an *iso*Glutaminyl-Lysyl DL-endopeptidase.

We conducted a series of structure-function experiments in order to understand the

peptidoglycan hydrolysis activity of PcsB at a molecular level. We expressed and purified the

CHAP domain of PcsB (A274-D392, PcsB$_{CHAP}$) in order to explore its enzyme activity devoid of

regulatory or inhibitory elements (Supp Fig 3). Initially we explored the activity of PcsB$_{CHAP}$

against whole peptidoglycan isolated from *S. pneumoniae* cells in which the enzymatic

breakdown of the complex polymer was monitored by decreases in absorbance at either 206

nm or 254 nm wavelengths to detect peptidase activity by carboxyl generation or glycan-

strand cleavage, respectively (43). Lysozyme and recombinantly expressed pneumococcal

LytA (Fig. 2A, B, Supp Fig 3B and 4) act as controls for each detection wavelength due to their

well characterised endoglycosidase or endopeptidase activity respectively

(35,44,45). Visualisation of the fold change of absorbance before and after the addition of

protein (Fig. 2A and B, Supp. Fig. 4) demonstrates PcsB$_{CHAP}$ displays comparatively high levels

of activity at 206 nm (Fig. 2A), but minimal activity at 254 nm (Fig. 2B), consistent with

hydrolytic activity upon the peptide stem rather than the glycan backbone. Recombinantly

expressed and purified PcsB$_{WT}$ and PcsB$_{CHAP (C292A)}$ as well as natively expressed PcsB secreted

and purified from pneumococcal cultures (Supp Fig 4) showed virtually no activity at either

wavelength (Fig. 2 A and B). This is consistent with the hypothesis that PcsB is only active

within the context of the FtsEX-PcsB complex during division (4).

We aimed to further dissect the endopeptidase chemical specificity of PcsB$_{CHAP}$ relative to the

PG polymer in *S. pneumoniae*. We purified additional PG substrates from *E. coli*, *M. leutus*

and *M. smegmatis*, providing the major variations in stem peptide combinations at positions

two and three: iso-D-Gln-Lys (*Spn*), D-Glu-diaminopimelic acid (DAP; *E. coli*), D-Glu-Lys (*M.*

leutus), *iso*-D-Gln-DAP (*M. smegmatis*) (46–48). Using these as PG substrates in the light

scattering assay as described previously, we were able to ascertain that the *iso*Gln-Lys-

containing substrate, as found in *S. pneumoniae*, was the preferred substrate over a D-Glu-

Lys-containing substrate, as found in *M. luteus* (Fig. 2C and 3). The DAP-containing PG stems

found in *E. coli* and *M. smegmatis* were significantly poorer substrates in the assay

irrespective of the amidation of the second position amino acid but notably still acted as

substrates, as shown by phenotypic changes in overexpressing cells (Fig. 1E); though this

effect is only seen over a much greater time period. This indicates a wide substrate tolerance

for the PcsB$_{CHAP}$ active site.

Analyte	k_a (1/Ms)	k_d (1/s)	R_{max} (RU)	KD (M)
1. AEKA$_D$A$_D$	3.33×10^4	3.60×10^{-2}	13.7	1.09×10^{-6}
2. AiQKA$_D$A$_D$	9.96×10^4	5.02×10^{-3}	0.169	5.05×10^{-8}*
3. iE-DAP	1.58×10^5	1.7×10^{-2}	18.5	1.13×10^{-7}
4. iE-LYS	1.02×10^5	9.56×10^{-3}	20.44	9.41×10^{-8}
5. M-TriDAP	6.28×10^3	3.94×10^{-3}	67.59	6.27×10^{-7}*
6. M-TriLYS	9.82×10^4	1.17×10^{-2}	0.074	1.19×10^{-7}*
7. MDP	4.27×10^5	5.03×10^{-2}	0.138	Not applicable

Figure 2 – PcsB$_{CHAP}$ displays endopeptidase activity with specificity for amidated, Lys-containing

peptidoglycan substrates, cleaving between the *iso*Gln-Lys bond. Light scattering-based assay using

purified *Spn* peptidoglycan as a substrate measured at 206 nm (A) for carboxyl generation or 254 nm

(B) for glycosidic bond presence. Light scattering-based assay measured at 206 nm using PcsB$_{CHAP}$ and

respective peptidoglycan substrates (C). Overlayed SPR sensorgrams for general binding of substrates

to PcsB$_{CHAP}$ (D), summary of kinetic parameters derived from SPR analysis assuming 1:1 binding of

PcsB$_{CHAP}$ to various substrates (E). Single asterisks (*) in table E indicate significantly poor fittings as

per Biacore Insight analysis software. Error bars denote standard deviation around mean. Significance

determined by t-test. n.s. $p > 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$

We used surface plasmon resonance (SPR) with selected commercially available

peptidoglycan fragments to interrogate protein-ligand binding interactions further with the

PcsB$_{CHAP}$ protein bound to an SPR chip surface. When the bound protein was challenged with

the pentapeptide stem peptide alone, amidation (of Glutamate to iso-glutamine) at the

second position (AiQkA$_D$A$_D$ appears to induce an approximate 15-fold increase in binding KD

compared to the non-amidated (AEKA$_D$AD) isoform (Fig. 2 E, in which the amidated peptide

form corresponds to the species found in *S. pneumoniae* PG (Fig. 2 C). The performance

characteristics of the pentapeptide in this experiment are non-ideal, however are consistent

with on-chip hydrolysis of the pentapeptide by the PcsB$_{CHAP}$ domain . The dipeptides γ-D-Glu-

mDAP (iE-DAP) or γ-D-Glu-Lys (iE-Lys) dissociate with highly similar affinities, so we extended

this to include tripeptide species (classical NOD1 and NOD2 ligands (49–51)) that included the

N-acetylmuramic acid residue. We therefore used MurNAc-Ala-D-isoGln-Lys (M-TriLYS) and

MurNAc-L-Ala-γ-D-Glu-mDAP (M-TriDAP) as ligands in the experiment and recorded slightly

improved affinities (KD) compared to the dipeptide (Fig 2 D/E). It should be noted that the

presence of the amidation, as well as the sugars in M-TriDAP and M-TriLYS substrates, seems

to be required for the enzyme recognition, as sensorgram profiles from the kinetics/affinity

analysis of those substrates (Fig. 2E and Supp Fig 5) are consistent with on-chip enzyme

activity, given the lower general resonance units (RU), which is proportional to the number of

molecules bound to the surface, compared to other substrates, indicating that they are being

actively hydrolysed and that the product is not remaining bound to the captured enzyme. The

interaction with N-Acetylmuramyl-L-Alanyl-D-Isoglutamine (MDP) gave no data that could be

interpreted with our SPR experiment and unfortunately an N-Acetylmuramyl-pentapeptide

species is not commercially available. Taken together, this binding analysis suggests that

PcsB$_{CHAP}$ substrate binding is dependent on both Lys and *iso*Gln presence, but may be

enhanced by the sugar component of the ligand.

Finally, we determined the PG cleavage site upon which PcsB$_{CHAP}$ acts. Incubation of synthetic

pneumococcal stem peptide substrate L-Ala-D-isoGln-L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala (AiQKA$_D$A$_D$) with

PcsB$_{CHAP}$ was initially separated using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) (Supp Fig. 6A), which

revealed a polar entity moving with the solvent front to a high position on the TLC plate that

did not appear in any other lane. We therefore isolated the fragments of peptide and

conducted mass spectrometry (MS) analysis on the products. The m/z peak corresponding to

the full pentapeptide (488) was detected (Supp Fig. 6B), which fragmented well under high

energy and predictably such that the full pentapeptide could be assigned (Supp Fig. 6C). Two

other peaks were also identified at m/z values of 218 and 289 which are consistent with

fragments for AiQ and KA$_D$A$_D$ originating from AiQKA$_D$A$_D$ peptide. High energy fragmentation

of the 218 and 289 peaks allowed assignment of both peptide products respectively (Supp

Fig. 6D and E), leading to the conclusion that PcsB is an endopeptidase and specifically cleaves

the bond between the *iso*Glutaminyl and Lysyl residues at position two and three in the PG

stem respectively. This is further confirmed by our binding analysis of the MDP substrate to

PcsB$_{CHAP}$ (Fig. 2 D and E) which suggested low-to-no interaction, something expected of the

product of the enzymatic cleavage.

To examine this further, we mutated the PcsB active site Cys292 to Ser in an attempt to trap

the reaction intermediate in a more stable oxy-ester form compared to the native thio-ester.

Following site-directed mutagenesis of the expression construct and subsequent expression

and purification of the PcsB$_{CHAP\ C292S}$ protein, the native pentapeptide substrate (AiQKA$_D$A$_D$)

was incubated with the protein at an equimolar concentration. Following subsequent SDS-

PAGE separation of the protein, an in-gel trypsin digest was carried out prior to mass

spectrometry analysis of the peptide products. This revealed a shift in mass of +213 m/z,

equivalent to the AiQ moiety bound via an ester to the now active-site Ser residue (Supp Fig.

6F). This confirms both the scissile bond in this reaction as well as part of the mechanism of

action of PcsB.

Whilst multiple attempts were made to crystalise PcsB$_{CHAP}$ in the presence of substrate(s) or

the oxy-ester intermediate, we were only able to capture the apo states of both PcsB$_{CHAP}$

(PDB: 9R6W) and PcsB$_{CHAP\ C292A}$ (PDB: 9R7G); (Supp Fig. 7) which showed negligible changes in

conformation when compared to the previously published structure indicating that there is

no change in the CHAP domain itself responsible for catalytic activation (4). By combining our in vitro activity data with our mass spectrometry data, we propose a mechanism for how the CHAP domain of PcsB catalyses the cleavage of the peptide stem within *S. pneumoniae* PG (Fig. 3).

Figure 3 - Proposed reaction schematic for PcsB. Blue moieties denote side chains belonging to PcsB protein structure (Cys$_{292}$, His$_{343}$), whilst red denotes the glycan strand and black denotes the pentapeptide side chain. In our proposed mechanism, Cys$_{292}$, assisted by proton transfer to His$_{343}$, initiates SN2 nucleophilic attack on the carbon atom of the peptide bond between *iso*Gln at position 2 and lysine at position 3 in the peptidoglycan side chain. The resulting tetrahedral

transition state collapses, forming a PcsB-thioester intermediate, with expulsion of Lys-D-Ala-D-

Ala, facilitated by protonation of the latter by His_{343}. The thioester between PcsB-Cys292 and the

remaining GlcNAc MurNAc L-Ala-*iso*Gln is subsequently hydrolysed via a second tetrahedral

transition state (probably via a water nucleophile, consistent with a classical Cys protease

mechanism (50)) to generate a carboxylic acid moiety on the Peptidoglycan Ala-*iso*Gln stub and

regenerate the free PcsB CHAP domain for subsequent rounds of catalysis.

Taken together, these results support a hypothesis that PcsB activity is optimised for (but not

exclusive to) the pneumococcal PG substrate, rather than other forms of PG found in other

bacterial species and that the enzymatic activity of the CHAP domain is consistent with an iso-

Glutaminyl-Lysyl DL-endopeptidase, i.e. cutting between the second and third positions in the

pentapeptide stem. Whilst PcsB is secreted into the media during pneumococcal growth (4),

the lack of activation of the CHAP domain data (Fig. 2 (4)) argues against it having activity

against competing bacterial species, however. The ability of the CHAP domain to perform

catalysis on a non-cognate substrate including the *E.coli* peptidoglycan is consistent with our

previous co-overexpression and rescue complementation experiments of *Spn* FtsEX-PcsB in

E. coli (Fig. 1 B/C, Supp Fig. 2 I) having phenotypic effects consistent with a role in cell division.

Finally, the conservation of structure seen between the CHAP domain in isolation determined

here compared to that seen in context of the full-length PcsB structure suggests that DL-

endopeptidase activity requires major conformational changes are in the coiled-coil domain,

not the CHAP domain..

The helix adjacent to PcsB's CHAP domain has a biological function in regulating PcsB

endopeptidase activity.

In *E. coli*, as well as other Gram-negative bacteria, a pair of Zn^{2+}-dependent amidases

(AmiA/B) are known to bind FtsEX-EnvC and denude glycan strands via hydrolysis of the

MurNAc-Ala ester bond (52,53). These amidases have also been shown to be regulated via

helical structures adjacent to the hydrolytic domain of the proteins (23). Similarly, the *B.*

subtilis endopeptidase, LytE, is regulated via a flexible loop from IseA that occludes the active

site (24). A previous structure of PcsB (4) showed a similar short helix (N256-S267) close to

the CHAP domain, proceeded by a flexible loop region (A269-T282). We therefore

investigated if this region had a similar regulatory function within the PcsB system.

The full length *pcsB* gene was truncated to remove the coiled-coil domain, leaving only the

11-residue helix of interest, disordered region and CHAP domain referred to thus as $PcsB_{CHAP+}$

(residues T255-D392)) (Fig. 4A). This was cloned into and expressed from pET-28b, with the

protein subsequently purified by Ni^{2+} IMAC (Supp Fig. 3F). Assessment of this protein's

activity using the 206nm light-scattering assay revealed no significant difference in activity

from the full-length PcsB protein (Fig. 4B), in contrast to the isolated CHAP domain. This is

consistent with a specific role for this helical-disordered region in inhibiting the catalytic

activity of the CHAP domain.

We hypothesised that this helix was being repositioned by the disordered region proceeding

it such that the active site of PcsB was occluded. An alternative explanation for this

observation is that the purified protein is aggregating via the helix and thus held in an inactive

state in solution. We investigated this using size-exclusion chromatography (SEC), which

revealed both the $PcsB_{CHAP}$ and $PcsB_{CHAP+}$ proteins migrate as equivalent monodispersed

species (Fig. 4C). Neither protein showed evidence of aggregation, from which we suggest

that regulation by the helix is most likely to be via direct interaction with the CHAP domain.

It should be noted however that $PcsB_{\Delta 255-273}$ is not catalytically active (Fig. 4B), which could

suggest the coiled-coil domain inhibits the CHAP domain directly, or at least that the

regulation does not involve this helical region.

Figure 4 – Short helical region adjacent to CHAP domain of PcsB appears to regulate activity of the PG hydrolase. Visual schematic from published PcsB structure (PDB: 4CGK) indicating CHAP domain with regulatory helix (PcsB$_{CHAP+}$: residues T255-D392). Residue A274 indicates the start of the active endopeptidase CHAP domain, the proposed regulatory helix is shown between T255 and S265 (A). Blue and purple indicate two monomers, active-site Cysteine (C292) shown in yellow. Light scatter-

based assay measured at 206nm (B) demonstrating lack of activity of the PcsB$_{CHAP+}$ purified protein.

SEC trace of purified PcsB$_{CHAP}$ and PcsB$_{CHAP+}$ proteins (C) indicating migration as a single species with no

obvious aggregation. Representative phase contrast micrographs of WT and mutant *Spn* strains (D).

Since the helix adjacent to PcsB's CHAP domain (amino acids 255-273) largely abolished the

in vitro endopeptidase activity of PcsB$_{CHAP}$ (Fig. 4B), we asked if this helix regulated PcsB

function within the pneumococcus. A pneumococcal mutant lacking this helix, *pcsB*(Δ255-

273), expressed PcsB(Δ255-273) at levels comparable to WT (Supp Fig. 8), but showed no

growth phenotype in C+Y media (Supp Fig. 9A).

Mutant *pcsB*(Δ255-273) cells were longer than WT, with increased cell volumes, and

complementation with *pcsB*⁺ restored cells to WT size (Fig. 4D and Supp Fig 9). This increase

in cell size was small but reproducible, and suggests that PcsB endopeptidase activity may be

increased in the *pcsB*(Δ255-273) mutant *in vivo*, consistent with the idea that the 255-273

helix may partially inhibit PcsB function. However, the *pcsB*(Δ255-273) mutant was not

sensitive to antibiotics such as penicillin, fosfomycin, vancomycin, ceftriaxone and

cefuroxime, though it was slightly more sensitive to cefotaxime (Supp Fig. 10). Taken

together, these results suggest that the 255-273 helix of PcsB has a role in regulating PcsB

endopeptidase activity in the pneumococcus, in addition to the ATP hydrolysis action of FtsE,

transmitted through FtsX at the membrane and through the coiled-coil region of PcsB to the

CHAP domain.

Discussion

The role of the FtsEX-PcsB in the context of bacterial cell division has been the subject of

increased interest for a number of years. Recent structural elucidation of key components of

the wider FtsEX system from multiple organisms has provided some further mechanistic

understanding, but a number of key biological questions still remain to be answered. One

such question is how is the PG-degrading activity of this protein complex controlled in order

to facilitate the required cell division activity? This is especially acute for *S. pneumoniae* since

in contrast to many other organisms, the pneumococcal FtsEX-PcsB protein complex is

essential for cell survival. Whilst the *E. coli* FtsEX genes are conditionally essential, the growth

defect phenotype observed with a Δ*ftsEX* strain under low salt media conditions appears to
be rescued by complementation with *Spn* FtsEX alone. Whether this complementation allows
recruitment of EnvC-AmiA/AmiB or some other aspect of the protein-protein interactions
required for assembly of the *E. coli* divisome is uncertain however.   Conversely,
overexpression of *Spn* FtsEX induces a filamentous phenotype in *E. coli* that can only be
rescued with co-overexpression of the cognate hydrolase *Spn* PcsB, further confirming a
functional relationship between these three proteins (1,3,15,16,21).   This conclusion is
enforced by the examination of mutations in the PcsB and FtsE genes that overexpress
nonfunctional PcsB active site cysteine and FtsE ATPase functions respectively at the protein
level.   This is consistent with a scenario in which the entire *Spn* FtsEX-PcsB system is
reconstituted, representing active proteins in both cytoplasmic and extracellular
compartments enabling peptidoglycan cleavage. Our detailed mechanistic and structural
experiments reinforce this point and provide evidence for endopeptidase activity of PcsB. The
ability of the CHAP domain to have low degradative activity toward the non-cognate and
chemically distinct *E.coli* peptidoglycan further supports this conclusion.

This leads to a second question, why is FtsEX widely regarded as being a complex that is
recruited early in the assembly of the divisome? The answer to this may lie in part in the
elucidation of the enzymatic activities of the hydrolases in question. The *E. coli* AmiA/B are
amidases that cleave at the sugar-peptide linkage of the peptidoglycan structure whereas
PcsB is an DL-endopeptidase that leaves a dipeptide stub. As recently indicated by work on
the SPOR domain of *E. coli* FtsN and its role in binding to denuded glycan and subsequent
activation of the FtsWI complex (54), the formation of a modified form of PG may be a crucial
marker or stage in the activation of later events in cell division; which in *S. pneumoniae* that
may be the sugar-dipeptide stub. Whilst the order of divisome assembly in pneumococcus
has not been fully established (but is partly implied from the *E. coli* system (8)), FtsEX-PcsB
has been shown to associate with the FtsZ septal ring at midcell of predivisional cells (3,55,56).
However as division progresses, FtsX (and by extension FtsE & PcsB) remain at the peripheral
(elongasome) synthesis ring, and are therefore separated from the closing septal annulus
(56). This localisation pattern previously suggested a role in splitting prior-synthesised septal
PG, rather than the daughter cells directly (3,56,57). Given the observation here that PcsB's
mechanistic product leaves a sugar-dipeptide stub on the PG, it is possible that a second

function of PcsB is to create a signal/space for subsequent PG remodelling to knit together

the septal and peripheral rings of PG synthesis post daughter cell separation. It should be

noted however that activation of PG synthases during division in pneumococcus is currently

not well understood and is an area of active investigation.

Clearly peptidoglycan needs to be modified to allow cell division but at the same time new

material must be synthesised to enable daughter cell separation. These processes are linked

but distinctive and intuitively these processes must be highly controlled to prevent

inadvertent lysis. The action of the CHAP domain of PcsB in *S. pneumoniae* or AmiA/B in *E.*

coli will without doubt weaken the peptidoglycan by removing peptide crosslinks between

adjacent glycan strands as part of this process. Whilst our focus here has been largely on the

role of the extracellular hydrolase, the wider role of FtsEX in protein-protein interactions and

assembly has been noted previously including interaction with FtsA (17) and FtsK in *E. coli*

(58). It has also been suggested that there is a requirement for interactions between FtsEX

and PG synthesis regulators (59), an area that is under current study. However, it should be

emphasised that FtsEX-PcsB only associates to septal machinery during early division (7,56)

as discussed above. Our phenotypic experiments are consistent with the hypothesis that *Spn*

FtsEX interacts with the *E. coli* divisome as described (or at least influences the proper activity

of the complex until PcsB co-overexpression induces displacement of the *E. coli* homologs),

implying a shared and retained evolutionary history between these bacteria at the level of

the divisome. It therefore seems likely that in pneumococcus, FtsEX has a dual role in early

divisome recruitment and assembly whilst its ATPase function drives the activation of the

extracellular hydrolase activity (to mark the site of division with modified peptidoglycan).

This subsequently poses the question of the role of PcsB and how it is controlled? We propose

on the basis of the evidence presented here and elsewhere that PcsB functions as an essential

"space making enzyme" during the cell division process, providing the separation within the

sacculus for insertion of nascent glycan strands required for separation at the septal annulus.

Tight regulation of PcsB activity is required and this is primarily brought about via

conformational change of the coiled-coil region of PcsB as the major regulatory mechanism,

resulting from ATP hydrolysis in FtsE transmitted through FtsX. The current hypothesis is that

the third helix in the sequence of the PcsB coiled-coil structure extends upwards towards the

PG layer thereby allowing the CHAP domain to act at the PG layer. Similar conformational

changes have been suggested for homologous systems (5) and as presented in our model (Fig.

5). We hypothesise that the short disordered-helical region upstream of the CHAP domain

which was noted within the PcsB structure (4) plays an additional role in regulation of the

CHAP domain during activation of PcsB by FtsEX, in a similar way to the action of IseA on CwlO

in *B. subtilis* (24). Whilst the *in vitro* activity assays suggested that this short region oblates

the activity of the CHAP domain completely, *in vivo* deletion of this region did not produce a

constitutively activated protein. Instead, the cells showed a significant enlargement to their

length and cell volume, implying that the major control mechanism is still through PcsB's

interaction with FtsEX, but that this short helix is likely to play a more subtle role in a CHAP-

domain-specific manner.

Figure 5 - Proposed activation mechanism for PcsB via FtsEX. Turnover of ATP by FtsE in the

cytoplasm induces a conformational change in FtsX imbedded in the cytoplasmic membrane that in

turn enables a conformational change in the coiled-coil region of PcsB. Extension of the coiled-coil

region of PcsB liberates the CHAP domain from an inactive to an active state, allowing it to act on the

peptidoglycan layer as an iso-Glutaminyl-Lysyl DL-Endopeptidase, producing a modified glycan with a

dipeptide stub marking the site for further cell division activity. A short helical region adjacent to the

CHAP domain provides additional regulation of endopeptidase activity. Models generated using

AlphaFold3, intermediate steps generated using Pymol3 Morphing function.

Finally, we should ask the question of why *Spn* PcsB is essential? Taking the data presented

here and elsewhere on balance, we propose that *Spn* PcsB has an essential role in *S.*

pneumoniae, which classically has been in relation to cell separation (4,60). Here we

introduce an additional role to that of septal annulus ring splitting previously proposed

(56,57) as the protein appears to produce a modified form of peptidoglycan which marks the

area of the cell required for synthesis of new material following division. This activity is

controlled by ATPase activity in FtsE inducing mechanochemical confirmational change

through FtsX and into PcsB to activate DL-Endopeptidase activity. Additionally, FtsEX makes

interactions with other parts of the divisome apparatus early in the cell division process as a

coordinating element in the process which appears to be a conserved function across species.

Whilst the enzymatic process of marking the site of division is performed by multiple systems

in other bacteria including *E. coli* where the FtsEX-EnvC-AmiA/AmiB function can be

compensated by multiple redundancies, in *S. pneumoniae* the role of FtsEX and PcsB is to

make a MurNac-dipeptide stub within the peptidoglycan layer, marking the site of division;

hence why PcsB is essential. In *E. coli* the SPOR domain containing protein FtsN recognises

fully denuded PG and orchestrates later events in cell division. *S. pneumoniae* has no SPOR

domain proteins and thus a question arises as to what protein or proteins perform that

function. The answer to this question is an active part of the research carried out in our

laboratories and others.

In summary, we have demonstrated here that PcsB's activity is specifically that of an

*iso*Glutaminyl-Lysyl-endopeptidase which acts against pneumococcal cell wall PG and is

predominantly regulated via the coiled-coil region, but also by a short regulatory helix that

acts specifically on the CHAP domain. This work provides further insight into the molecular

events to activate PcsB via ATP hydrolysis in FtsE, enabling mechanochemical conformational

change from inside the cytoplasm via FtsX to PcsB on the extracellular surface and new

molecular level detail on the mechanism and chemical features of PG cleavage during

pneumococcal cell division. These data may contribute to further exploration of PcsB as an

Achilles' heel to target for future selective antimicrobial strategies against pneumococci (3).

Acknowledgements

SN was supported by MRC Doctoral Training Partnership grant MR/N014294/1 and a Medical and Life Sciences Research fund award. NB was supported by a Ph.D. studentship to the Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership BBSRC grant BB/J014532/1 and by the Howard Dalton Centre at the University of Warwick under its small grant scheme award to support this work. SC was supported by MRC Infection and Immunity award MR/Z504245/1. Research in the laboratory of DR is supported by MRC grants MR/Z504245/1 and BBSRC grant BB/Y003187/1 and previously by a sabbatical Schaefer Research Scholars Program Awards to Columbia University NYC. Research in the laboratory of MW is supported by NIH Grant R35GM131767.

Materials & Methods

Spot Titre Assay

E. coli parent and *ftsEX* knockout strains (Supplementary Table 1) were gifted by Jonathan Cook, (Crow Lab, University of Warwick) and subsequently transformed with pET-DUET1-FtsEX construct containing the pneumococcal *ftsEX* gene cassette (Supplementary Table 2). Cells were subsequently cultured in LB (10g/L NaCl) broth with appropriate antibiotic selection up to an OD_{600} of around 1 before being serially diluted in PBS and spot plated onto LB agar or LB0N (0g/L NaCl) agar plates. Plates were incubated at 20°C overnight and imaged using ChemiDoc Imaging system (BioRad).

E. coli Growth and **Microscopy**

E. coli BL21 (DE3)$_{ftsZ\text{-mNEON}}$ cells were transformed with the construct(s) of interest. For growth curve analysis, cells were diluted to OD_{600} = 0.005 from overnight cultures and added to Cyto-One 96-well plates (StarLabs) in 2YT-AI media with appropriate antibiotics in triplicate. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 24hrs in a BioTek Cytation 5 plate reader (Agilent). OD_{600} readings were taken every 15mins over this period. Data visualised using ggplot package via R (V2024.04.1+748) For microscopy, overnight cultures were diluted in fresh LB 1:1 before being incubated with 1mg/ml D,L-Serine Hydroxymate for 90 min. Cells were washed in LB before being diluted to OD_{600} = 0.05, and cultured at 37°C with antibiotic selection in 2YT

autoinduction media (Formentum Cat#: AIM2YT0210) until OD_{600} = 0.8-1. The temperature

was then reduced to 20°C overnight to allow expression of proteins. The following morning,

cells were placed onto microscopy slides using a 1% agarose pad and imaged on Leica DMi8

Inverted Microscope.

Protein Expression and Purification

Constructs were transformed into BL21 (DE3) Star:pRosetta *E. coli* and grown overnight at

37°C. Cultures were then diluted into 2YT growth media with appropriate antibiotic selection

up to an OD_{600} = 0.4-0.6, at which point IPTG was added to 300 μM. The temperature was

reduced to 28°C and induction proceeded for 3 h prior to cell harvest at 6000 x *g*. Cells were

then resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, 3 mg/L Lysozyme

and sonicated to lyse the culture before cell debris was pelleted at 40,000g. The soluble

fraction of the cells was then loaded on a FastFlow 5ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been

pre-charged with 50mM Tris-HCL (pH 7.5), 500mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, 10mM

Imidazole. Column washing proceeded using 20%, 50% and 100% (50mM Tris-HCL (pH 7.5),

500mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, 500mM Imidazole) solutions, fractions of which were collected

and analysed for protein content via SDS-PAGE. Fractions containing purified protein were

buffer exchanged into 50mM Tris-HCL (pH7.5), 200mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, flash frozen and

stored at −80°C.

For PcsB purification from pneumococcal growth media, a *Spn* strain was created expressing

PcsB-His6x (strain IU18999). See the "*Streptococcus pneumoniae* Strain Construction"

methods section below, as well as Supplementary Table 3, for details. This strain was cultured

statically in BHI ± 1% choline chloride overnight at 37 °C + 5% CO_2. Pneumococcal cells were

removed by centrifugation at 4000g before the media was loaded onto a FastFlow 5ml

HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been pre-charged with 50mM Tris-HCL (pH 7.5), 500mM

NaCl, 10% Glycerol, 10mM Imidazole. Column washing proceeded using Tris-HCL (pH 7.5),

500mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, 50mM Imidazole solution before elution using Tris-HCL (pH 7.5),

500mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, 500mM Imidazole solution. Fractions containing purified protein

were buffer exchanged into 50mM Tris-HCL (pH7.5), 200mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, flash frozen

and stored at −80°C.

Light-Scattering Peptidoglycan Degradation Assay

Cell wall PG was purified from overnight cultures grown on respective agar plates (*E. coli* – LB, *S. pneumoniae* – BHI (+5% defibrinated horse blood), *M. leutus* and *M. smegatis* – Nutrient). Cells were collected via cotton swabs into vials containing 1M NaCl prior to being boiled for 1hr. Following centrifugation, and washing with sterile water, a DNase/RNase solution was added (15µg/ml and 60µg/ml respectively) and allowed to incubate. Further boiling the suspension(s) preceded centrifugation and sterile water washing before the final suspension as made up in 12.5mM $NaPO_4$ (pH 5.3). This cell wall was then added to a UV-vis quartz cuvette to a final concentration of 0.04% in 25mM KPO_4. Once a stable baseline was established using a Cary-200 Spectrophotometer, protein was added to a final concentration of 286µM and the initial rate recorded.

Thin Layer Chromatography

Purified $PcsB_{CHAP}$ was mixed with the synthetic pentapeptide $AiQKA_DA_D$ (Sigma) at a 1:5 molar ratio (69.2µM and 346µM respectively) and incubated at 37°C overnight. Samples were separated on aluminium plated silica-60 TLC plates (Fisher) and spots dried before being separated using the mobile phase 3:1:1 n-butanol:acetic acid:water. Bands were visualised using ninhydrin stain.

Mass Spectrometry

$PcsB_{CHAP}$ protein was incubated with synthetic pentapeptide $AiQKA_DA_D$ at a 1:5 protein:ligand molar equivalence overnight at 37°C. Protein was removed by centrifugal filtration using a 3kDa cut-off filter, allowing the peptide fragments to pass through. These were collected and analysed by direct spray ionisation mass spectroscopy in collaboration with Cleidiane Zampronio at WPH Proteomics Facility (University of Warwick). Samples were digested with Trypsin as per established protocols of the facility before being electrosprayed directly (~300 nL min-1) through a Triversa Nanomate nanospray source (Advion Biosciences, NY) into a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer (Q-OT-qIT, ThermoFisher Scientific, Germany) in positive ion mode. The gas flow was set to 0.3 psi and the voltage 1.7 kV. Data were acquired from 150 to 500 m/z with 120 K resolution (at 200 m/z). The precursor ions 218m/z, 289 m/z and 488 m/z were isolated and submitted to HCD fragmentation and detected on the Orbitrap. MS/MS analysis was performed using collision energy 35.

Surface Plasmon Resonance

Kinetic parameters for the interaction of PcsB$_{CHAP}$ with AEKA$_D$A$_D$, AiQKA$_D$A$_D$ (Sigma), MDP, iE-LYS, iE-DAP, M-TriDAP & M-TriLYS (Invitrogen) were measured by multicycle Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) on a Biacore T200 (GE Healthcare) employing a NTA Series S sensor chip (Cytiva). Prior to the analysis, the chip was conditioned with 350 mM EDTA and activated at the start of each cycle with 0.5 mM NiCl$_2$. Chip regeneration at the end of each cycle was achieved with 30 s injection of 350 mM EDTA. His-tagged PcsB$_{CHAP}$ (ligand) was buffer exchanged into running buffer (0.22 µm filtered PBS + 1% DMSO) using Zeba Spin desalting columns (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and diluted to a final concentration of 100 nM. PG fragments used as analytes were resuspended in running buffer to a final concentration of 10 mg/ml and eventually diluted to the starting concentration of 125 µM. Upon ligand capture, the analytes were injected in decreasing concentrations, following a 1:2 serial dilution from 125 µM to 7.812 µM. An injection of 0 µM analyte was employed to be used as blank during kinetics fitting. Contact time for the interaction was kept at 120 s, and the complex was left to dissociate by injecting running buffer for 600 s prior to regeneration. The same overall procedure was used to obtain a sensorgram overlay of the general binding between the analytes and PcsB$_{CHAP}$. In this method, 100 µM analyte was injected for 60 s onto the captured protein and let dissociate for 60 s.

Data analysis was performed on the Biacore Insight Evaluation software. Sensorgrams from the general binding method were overlayed and normalized after the capture level was assessed to counter the baseline shifting of the captured ligand and to allow an easier amplitude measurement. Kinetic parameters (k_a = association constant, k_d = dissociation constant, R_{max} = maximum response signal, KD = equilibration dissociation were obtained by employing a 1:1 Langmuir interaction fitting model to calculate the KD.

Protein Crystallisation and Structural Determination

Proteins were purified as described above and concentrated to 8-10mg/ml. Simultaneously, 80 µL of each sparce-screen condition (Structure-screen, JCSG+, Morpheus, MIDAS or ProPlex from Molecular Dimensions) was added to the reservoir of an MRC 2-drop plate (SWISSCI). Using the Formulatrix NT8 robot, protein samples were mixed with each reservoir

condition at either 1:1 or 1:2 (protein:condition) ratio by volume before being covered with

an optically clear sealing sheet (Molecular Dimensions) and incubated at 18°C. Each drop was

checked daily for the first 7 days, then once a week afterwards under an SZX16 microscope

(Olympus).

Identified crystals (PcsB$_{CHAP}$ grown in 100mM Sodium citrate(pH 5.6), 500mM NaCl, 4 % v/v

Polyethyleneimine (Molecular Dimensions Structure Screen condi8on G7; PcsB$_{CHAP\ C292A}$

grown in 100mM BICINE (pH 9), 10% w/v PEG 6000 (Molecular Dimensions JCSG+ conditon

E10)) were loop fished into 25% ethylene glycol (made up in crystallisation condition) and

flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for transport to Diamond Light Source in Uni-Pucks (Jena

Bioscience). Data was collected on the i04 beamline, with a total of 3600 images taken across

360° of rotation and auto-processed using the xia3 pipeline before being re-processed using

Aimless (61) to removed batches that correspond to crystal degradation. Following

determination of monomer number using Matthews (62), and generation of molecular

replacement template using Swiss-model (63–65), PhaserMR was used to generate a solution

structure based on the diffraction data that could then be refined using Coot (66–68) &

Refmac5 (69). Model quality was checked using in-built validation tools within Coot as well

as the B-average programme (66–68).

Size Exclusion Chromatography

Purified proteins were injected onto a Superdex 75 10/300 GL via an AKTA Pure system which

had previously been washed with 1 column volume (CV) water and 1 CV 25mM Tris-HCL (pH

7.5), 200mM NaCl at appropriate flow rates. Flow was carried out against gravity and

separation monitored at 280nm. Fractions were collected using an F9-C automated fractions

collector prior to peak fractions being analysed via SDS-PAGE.

Streptococcus pneumoniae **Strain Construction**

Streptococcus pneumoniae strains used in this study were derivates of serotype 2 strain D39W

(70) and are listed in Supplementary Table 3. *Spn* genomic DNA and oligonucleotides listed in

Supplementary Table 4 were used to perform overlapping fusion PCR and generate linear

amplicons. For transformation, *Spn* cells grown in brain heart infusion broth (BHI, BD Bacto

237500) at 37°C (all *Spn* incubations were done in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere without shaking) to

an OD_{620} ≈0.030 were diluted 1:10 in BHI broth containing 10% (v/v) heat-treated horse

serum, 10 mM glucose and 100 ng/mL synthetic competence-stimulating peptide 1 (CSP-1)

(71). Cells were incubated at 37°C for 10 m to induce competence before addition of 30-100

ng amplicon DNA, then incubated an additional 1 h. 100-800 µL cells were then added to 3

mL melted NB soft agar (0.8% (w/v) Nutrient Broth (BD Difco, 234000) and 0.7% (w/v) Agar

(BD Bacto, 214010)) containing selective antibiotics and plated on trypticase soy agar

containing 5% (v/v) defibrinated sheep blood (TSA-BA, BD BBL, 221261) and incubated

overnight at 37°C. Single colonies were isolated on two successive days to fresh TSA-BA plates

with selective antibiotics and incubated overnight at 37°C. For storage, a single colony was

grown at 37°C in 3 mL BHI broth with selective antibiotics to OD_{620} 0.1-0.15, mixed with

glycerol (final 15% (v/v)) and stored at -80°C. For antibiotic selection, 0.3 µg/mL erythromycin,

250 µg/mL streptomycin and 250 µg/mL kanamycin was used. Where necessary, cells were

supplemented throughout transformation, plating, single-colony isolation and storage with

0.4 mM $ZnCl_2$/0.04 mM $MnSO_4$ (Zn with one-tenth concentration Mn included to prevent Zn

toxicity, referred to elsewhere as "Zn inducer") to induce gene expression at the ectopic *bgaA*

locus through a zinc-inducible promoter (72).

Spn Growth and Microscopy

To make overnight cultures, frozen glycerol stocks were inoculated into 4 mL BHI broth,

serially diluted and incubated 12-16 h at 37°C. When needed, overnight cultures were

supplemented with 0.4 mM Zn inducer. For growth curve analysis in BHI broth, overnight

cultures in exponential phase (OD_{620} 0.1-0.4) were diluted to OD_{620} 0.003 in 6 mL fresh BHI

broth with or without 4 µM ComS* (73). Cultures were incubated at 37°C, and the OD_{620} was

monitored every 45 m. For growth curve analysis in C+Y media (74,75), 2 mL overnight cell

culture in exponential phase were centrifuged at 21,100 x *g* for 5 m at room temperature (RT),

washed in 1 mL C+Y media, centrifuged again, and resuspended in 4 mL fresh C+Y. Cultures

were diluted to OD_{620} 0.003 in 5 mL fresh C+Y with or without 0.2 mM Zn inducer, incubated

at 37°C, and OD_{620} monitored every 45 m.

For microscopy, 500 µL cultures at OD_{620} 0.1-0.15 were centrifuged at 21,100 x *g* for 3 m at

RT. 470 µL of the supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in the remaining

liquid with gentle pipetting. 1.25 µL of resuspension was placed on a glass microscope slide

and a glass coverslip was gently applied. A Nikon Eclipse E-400 microscope, Nikon NIS-

Elements BR imaging software, and a Nikon DS-Qi2 camera were used to capture phase-contrast images with 20-50 ms exposure time. Lengths and widths of >100 cells from 2 biological replicates were measured using Nikon NIS-Elements BR imaging software, and dimension parameters were compared between strains using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test (GraphPad Prism v10).

***Spn* Disc Diffusion Antibiotic Sensitivity Assay**

Performed as described previously (76), with slight modifications. Briefly, frozen glycerol stocks were inoculated in 3 mL BHI broth and incubated at 37°C. When OD_{620} reached 0.1-0.15, cultures were diluted to OD_{620} 0.009 in fresh BHI broth. 50 µL of cells were added to 3 mL melted NB soft agar, poured onto TSA-BA plates and incubated for 15 m at RT to solidify. After incubation, a paper disc containing an antibiotic (BD Sensi-Disc™, Penicillin 230918, Cefotaxime 231606, Tetracycline 230998, Fosfomycin 231709, Vancomycin 231034, Ceftriaxone 231634, Chloramphenicol 230733, Cefuroxime 231621) was placed in the center of each plate, and plates were incubated at 37°C. After 16 h, the diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured. Measurements were averaged from 3 biological replicates and compared to WT using an unpaired *t* test (GraphPad Prism).

***Spn* Quantitative Western Blotting**

Quantitative western blotting of *Spn* cell lysates was performed as described previously for anti-aPBP1a and anti-MpgA antibodies (77), with minor modifications. Briefly, overnight cultures were supplemented with 0.4 mM Zn inducer as needed, and overnight cultures in exponential phase were washed and resuspended as described previously and diluted to OD_{620} 0.003 in 5 mL fresh C+Y with or without 0.2 mM Zn inducer as needed. Cell growth, cell lysis, SDS-PAGE, transfer to nitrocellulose, total protein stain and blocking were carried out as described previously. The primary antibody used was a rabbit anti-PcsB antibody (1:1,000 dilution), generated by ThermoFisher Scientific using full-length *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39 PcsB (amino acid M1 to D392, with an additional N-terminal sequence of LEHHHHHH) expressed in *E. coli* and purified as described above. Secondary antibody blotting, washing, imaging and quantitation of protein amount was completed as described previously (77). Shown is a representative result from 2 biological replicates.

Bibliography

1.   Sham LT, Jensen KR, Bruce KE, Winkler ME. Involvement of FtsE ATPase and FtsX
Extracellular Loops 1 and 2 in FtsEX-PcsB Complex Function in Cell Division of
Streptococcus pneumoniae D39. mBio. 2013 Jul 16;4(4):10.1128/mbio.00431-13.

2.   Alcorlo M, Straume D, Lutkenhaus J, Håvarstein LS, Hermoso JA. Structural
Characterization of the Essential Cell Division Protein FtsE and Its Interaction with FtsX in
Streptococcus pneumoniae. mBio. 2020 Sep;11(5):10.1128/mbio.01488-20.

3.   Sham LT, Barendt SM, Kopecky KE, Winkler ME. Essential PcsB putative peptidoglycan
hydrolase interacts with the essential FtsXSpn cell division protein in Streptococcus
pneumoniae D39. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011 Nov 8;108(45):E1061–9.

4.   Bartual SG, Straume D, Stamsås GA, Muñoz IG, Alfonso C, Martínez-Ripoll M, et al.
Structural basis of PcsB-mediated cell separation in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Nat
Commun. 2014 May 8;5(1):3842.

5.   Chen Y, Guo D, Wang X, Zhang C, Chen Y, Luo Q, et al. Structural insights into the
mechanotransducing mechanism of FtsEX in cell division. MedComm. 2024;5(11):e688.

6.   Boersma MJ, Kuru E, Rittichier JT, VanNieuwenhze MS, Brun YV, Winkler ME. Minimal
Peptidoglycan (PG) Turnover in Wild-Type and PG Hydrolase and Cell Division Mutants of
Streptococcus pneumoniae D39 Growing Planktonically and in Host-Relevant Biofilms. J
Bacteriol. 2015 Sep 29;197(21):3472–85.

7.   Briggs NS, Bruce KE, Naskar S, Winkler ME, Roper DI. The Pneumococcal Divisome:
Dynamic Control of Streptococcus pneumoniae Cell Division. Front Microbiol [Internet].
2021 Oct 18 [cited 2024 Sep 10];12. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.737396
/full

8.   Adams DW, Errington J. Bacterial cell division: assembly, maintenance and disassembly
of the Z ring. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009 Sep;7(9):642–53.

9.   Dai K, Xu Y, Lutkenhaus J. Topological characterization of the essential Escherichia coli
cell division protein FtsN. J Bacteriol. 1996 Mar;178(5):1328–34.

10. Cell Cycle Machinery in Bacillus subtilis | SpringerLink [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 18].
Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-53047-5_3

11. Barák I, Muchová K, Wilkinson AJ, O'Toole PJ, Pavlendová N. Lipid spirals in Bacillus
subtilis and their role in cell division. Mol Microbiol. 2008;68(5):1315–27.

12. Rowland SL, Katis VL, Partridge SR, Wake RG. DivIB, FtsZ and cell division in. Mol
Microbiol. 1997;23(2):295–302.

13. Krupka M, Margolin W. Unite to divide: Oligomerization of tubulin and actin homologs
regulates initiation of bacterial cell division. F1000Research. 2018 Feb 28;7:235.

14. Egan AJF, Vollmer W. The physiology of bacterial cell division. Ann N Y Acad Sci.
2013;1277(1):8–28.

15. Hao A, Suo Y, Lee SY. Structural insights into the FtsEX-EnvC complex regulation on septal
peptidoglycan hydrolysis in Vibrio cholerae. Structure. 2024 Feb 1;32(2):188-199.e5.

16. Li J, Xu X, Shi J, Hermoso JA, Sham LT, Luo M. Regulation of the cell division hydrolase
RipC by the FtsEX system in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Commun. 2023 Dec
4;14(1):7999.

17. Du S, Henke W, Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. How FtsEX localizes to the Z ring and interacts
with FtsA to regulate cell division. Mol Microbiol. 2019;112(3):881–95.

18. Corbin BD, Wang Y, Beuria TK, Margolin W. Interaction between Cell Division Proteins
FtsE and FtsZ. J Bacteriol. 2007 Apr 15;189(8):3026–35.

19. Yang DC, Peters NT, Parzych KR, Uehara T, Markovski M, Bernhardt TG. An ATP-binding
cassette transporter-like complex governs cell-wall hydrolysis at the bacterial cytokinetic
ring. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011 Nov 8;108(45):E1052–60.

20. Pichoff S, Du S, Lutkenhaus J. Roles of FtsEX in cell division. Res Microbiol. 2019 Nov
1;170(8):374–80.

21. Meisner J, Montero Llopis P, Sham LT, Garner E, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. FtsEX is
required for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity during cell wall elongation in Bacillus
subtilis. Mol Microbiol. 2013;89(6):1069–83.

22. Cook J, Baverstock TC, McAndrew MBL, Stansfeld PJ, Roper DI, Crow A. Insights into
bacterial cell division from a structure of EnvC bound to the FtsX periplasmic domain.
Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020 Nov 10;117(45):28355–65.

23. Cook J, Baverstock TC, McAndrew MBL, Roper DI, Stansfeld PJ, Crow A. Activator-induced
conformational changes regulate division-associated peptidoglycan amidases. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 2023 Jun 13;120(24):e2302580120.

24. Tandukar S, Kwon E, Kim DY. Structural analysis of the peptidoglycan DL-endopeptidase
CwlO complexed with its inhibitory protein IseA. FEBS J. 2024;291(16):3723–36.

25. Uehara T, Parzych KR, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. Daughter cell separation is controlled by
cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. EMBO J. 2010 Apr 21;29(8):1412–22.

26. Xu X, Li J, Chua WZ, Pages MA, Shi J, Hermoso JA, et al. Mechanistic insights into the
regulation of cell wall hydrolysis by FtsEX and EnvC at the bacterial division site. Proc
Natl Acad Sci. 2023 May 23;120(21):e2301897120.

27. Alcorlo M, Martínez-Caballero S, Li J, Sham LT, Luo M, Hermoso JA. Modulation of the
lytic apparatus by the FtsEX complex within the bacterial division machinery. FEBS Lett.
2024;598(23):2836–51.

28. Pascale GD, Lloyd AJ, Schouten JA, Gilbey AM, Roper DI, Dowson CG, et al. Kinetic
Characterization of Lipid II-Ala:Alanyl-tRNA Ligase (MurN) from Streptococcus
pneumoniae using Semisynthetic Aminoacyl-lipid II Substrates *. J Biol Chem. 2008 Dec
12;283(50):34571–9.

29. York A, Lloyd AJ, Genio CI del, Shearer J, Hinxman KJ, Fritz K, et al. Structure-based
modeling and dynamics of MurM, a Streptococcus pneumoniae penicillin resistance
determinant present at the cytoplasmic membrane. Structure. 2021 Jul 1;29(7):731-
742.e6.

30. Aggarwal SD, Lloyd AJ, Yerneni SS, Narciso AR, Shepherd J, Roper DI, et al. A molecular
link between cell wall biosynthesis, translation fidelity, and stringent response in
Streptococcus pneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021 Apr 6;118(14):e2018089118.

31. Filipe SR, Severina E, Tomasz A. The murMN operon: a functional link between antibiotic
resistance and antibiotic tolerance in Streptococcuspneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2002 Feb 5;99(3):1550–5.

32. Miguel-Ruano V, Acebrón I, Lee M, Martín-Galiano AJ, Freton C, de José UP, et al.
Characterization of VldE (Spr1875), a Pneumococcal Two-State l,d-Endopeptidase with a
Four-Zinc Cluster in the Active Site. ACS Catal. 2024 Dec 20;14(24):18786–98.

33. Ng WL, Robertson GT, Kazmierczak KM, Zhao J, Gilmour R, Winkler ME. Constitutive
expression of PcsB suppresses the requirement for the essential VicR (YycF) response
regulator in Streptococcus pneumoniae R6. Mol Microbiol. 2003;50(5):1647–63.

34. Ng WL, Tsui HCT, Winkler ME. Regulation of the pspA Virulence Factor and Essential pcsB
Murein Biosynthetic Genes by the Phosphorylated VicR (YycF) Response Regulator in
Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Bacteriol. 2005 Nov;187(21):7444–59.

35. Mellroth P, Daniels R, Eberhardt A, Rönnlund D, Blom H, Widengren J, et al. LytA, major
autolysin of Streptococcus pneumoniae, requires access to nascent peptidoglycan. J Biol
Chem. 2012 Mar 30;287(14):11018–29.

36. Arends SJR, Kustusch RJ, Weiss DS. ATP-Binding Site Lesions in FtsE Impair Cell Division. J
Bacteriol. 2009 Jun 15;191(12):3772–84.

37. Schmidt KL, Peterson ND, Kustusch RJ, Wissel MC, Graham B, Phillips GJ, et al. A
Predicted ABC Transporter, FtsEX, Is Needed for Cell Division in Escherichia coli. J
Bacteriol. 2004 Feb;186(3):785–93.

38. De Leeuw E, Graham B, Phillips GJ, Ten Hagen-Jongman CM, Oudega B, Luirink J.
Molecular characterization of Escherichia coli FtsE and FtsX. Mol Microbiol.
1999;31(3):983–93.

39. Tosa T, Pizer LI. Effect of Serine Hydroxamate on the Growth of Escherichia coli. J
Bacteriol. 1971 Jun;106(3):966–71.

40. Gubellini F, Verdon G, Karpowich NK, Luff JD, Boël G, Gauthier N, et al. Physiological
Response to Membrane Protein Overexpression in E. coli*. Mol Cell Proteomics
[Internet]. 2011 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Sep 10];10(10). Available from:
https://www.mcponline.org/article/S1535-9476(20)30122-5/abstract

41. Wagner S, Baars L, Ytterberg AJ, Klussmeier A, Wagner CS, Nord O, et al. Consequences
of Membrane Protein Overexpression in Escherichia coli*. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2007 Sep
1;6(9):1527–50.

42. Ferullo DJ, Cooper DL, Moore HR, Lovett ST. Cell cycle synchronization of Escherichia coli
using the stringent response, with fluorescence labeling assays for DNA content and
replication. Methods San Diego Calif. 2009 May;48(1):8–13.

43. Schaub R, Dillard J. Digestion of Peptidoglycan and Analysis of Soluble Fragments. BIO-
Protoc [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2024 Sep 10];7(15). Available from: https://bio-
protocol.org/e2438

44. Ferraboschi P, Ciceri S, Grisenti P. Applications of Lysozyme, an Innate Immune Defense
Factor, as an Alternative Antibiotic. Antibiotics. 2021 Dec;10(12):1534.

45. Höltje JV. Bacterial lysozymes. EXS. 1996 Jan 1;75:65–74.

46. Maitra A, Nukala S, Dickman R, Martin LT, Munshi T, Gupta A, et al. Characterization of
the MurT/GatD complex in Mycobacterium tuberculosis towards validating a novel anti-
tubercular drug target. JAC-Antimicrob Resist. 2021 Mar 1;3(1):dlab028.

47. Morlot C, Straume D, Peters K, Hegnar OA, Simon N, Villard AM, et al. Structure of the
essential peptidoglycan amidotransferase MurT/GatD complex from Streptococcus
pneumoniae. Nat Commun. 2018 Aug 9;9(1):3180.

48. Petit JF, Adam A, Wietzerbin-Falszpan J, Lederer E, Ghuysen JM. Chemical structure of
the cell wall of Mycobacterium smegmatis. I. Isolation and partial characterization of the
peptidoglycan. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1969 May 22;35(4):478–85.

49. Host Recognition of Bacterial Muramyl Dipeptide Mediated through NOD2:
IMPLICATIONS FOR CROHN'S DISEASE. J Biol Chem. 2003 Feb 21;278(8):5509–12.

50. Fernandez EM, Valenti V, Rockel C, et al. Anti-inflammatory capacity of selected
lactobacilli in experimental colitis is driven by NOD2-mediated recognition of a specific
peptidoglycan-derived muropeptide. Gut. 2011;60:1050–9.

51. Chamaillard, M., Hashimoto, M., Horie, Y. et al. An essential role for NOD1 in host
recognition of bacterial peptidoglycan containing diaminopimelic acid. Nat Immunol.
2003;4:702–7.

52. van Heijenoort J. Peptidoglycan Hydrolases of Escherichia coli. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.
2011 Nov 29;75(4):636–63.

53. Vollmer W, Joris B, Charlier P, Foster S. Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein) hydrolases.
FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008 Mar 1;32(2):259–86.

54. Lyu Z, Yang X, Yahashiri A, Ha S, McCausland JW, Chen X, et al. E. coli FtsN coordinates
synthesis and degradation of septal peptidoglycan by partitioning between a synthesis
track and a denuded glycan track [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2025 Mar 10]. p.
2024.05.13.594014. Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.05.13.594014v3

55. Perez AJ, Villicana JB, Tsui HCT, Danforth ML, Benedet M, Massidda O, et al. FtsZ-Ring
Regulation and Cell Division Are Mediated by Essential EzrA and Accessory Proteins ZapA
and ZapJ in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2021 Dec 2 [cited
2024 Sep 10];12. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.780864
/full

56. Perez AJ, Boersma MJ, Bruce KE, Lamanna MM, Shaw SL, Tsui HCT, et al. Organization of
peptidoglycan synthesis in nodes and separate rings at different stages of cell division of
Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol Microbiol. 2021;115(6):1152–69.

57. Trouve J, Zapun A, Arthaud C, Durmort C, Guilmi AMD, Söderström B, et al. Nanoscale
dynamics of peptidoglycan assembly during the cell cycle of Streptococcus pneumoniae.
Curr Biol. 2021 Jul 12;31(13):2844-2856.e6.

58. Pichoff S, Du S, Lutkenhaus J. Disruption of divisome assembly rescued by FtsN-FtsA
interaction in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018 Jul 17;115(29):E6855–62.

59. Brunet YR, Wang X, Rudner DZ. SweC and SweD are essential co-factors of the FtsEX-
CwlO cell wall hydrolase complex in Bacillus subtilis. PLOS Genet. 2019 Aug
22;15(8):e1008296.

60. Ng WL, Kazmierczak KM, Winkler ME. Defective cell wall synthesis in Streptococcus
pneumoniae R6 depleted for the essential PcsB putative murein hydrolase or the VicR
(YycF) response regulator. Mol Microbiol. 2004;53(4):1161–75.

61. Evans PR, Murshudov GN. How good are my data and what is the resolution? Acta
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2013 Jul 1;69(7):1204–14.

62. Matthews BW. Solvent content of protein crystals. J Mol Biol. 1968 Apr 28;33(2):491–7.

63. Bienert S, Waterhouse A, de Beer TAP, Tauriello G, Studer G, Bordoli L, et al. The SWISS-
MODEL Repository—new features and functionality. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017 Jan
4;45(D1):D313–9.

64. Kiefer F, Arnold K, Künzli M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. The SWISS-MODEL Repository and
associated resources. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Jan 1;37(suppl_1):D387–92.

65. Schwede T, Kopp J, Guex N, Peitsch MC. SWISS-MODEL: an automated protein
homology-modeling server. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003 Jul 1;31(13):3381–5.

66. Casañal A, Lohkamp B, Emsley P. Current developments in Coot for macromolecular
model building of Electron Cryo-microscopy and Crystallographic Data. Protein Sci.
2020;29(4):1055–64.

67. Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D
Biol Crystallogr. 2004 Dec 1;60(12):2126–32.

68. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of *Coot*. Acta
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010 Apr 1;66(4):486–501.

69. Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, et al. *REFMAC*
5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
Crystallogr. 2011 Apr 1;67(4):355–67.

70. Lanie JA, Ng WL, Kazmierczak KM, Andrzejewski TM, Davidsen TM, Wayne KJ, et al.
Genome Sequence of Avery's Virulent Serotype 2 Strain D39 of Streptococcus
pneumoniae and Comparison with That of Unencapsulated Laboratory Strain R6. J
Bacteriol. 2007 Jan;189(1):38–51.

71. Håvarstein LS, Coomaraswamy G, Morrison DA. An unmodified heptadecapeptide
pheromone induces competence for genetic transformation in Streptococcus
pneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1995 Nov 21;92(24):11140–4.

72. Eberhardt A, Wu LJ, Errington J, Vollmer W, Veening JW. Cellular localization of choline-
utilization proteins in Streptococcus pneumoniae using novel fluorescent reporter
systems. Mol Microbiol. 2009;74(2):395–408.

73. Berg KH, Biørnstad TJ, Straume D, Håvarstein LS. Peptide-Regulated Gene Depletion
System Developed for Use in Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Bacteriol. 2011 Sep
13;193(19):5207–15.

74. Martin B, Garcia P, Castanié MP, Claverys JP. The recA gene of Streptococcus pneumoniae
is part of a competence-induced operon and controls lysogenic induction. Mol
Microbiol. 1995;15(2):367–79.

75. van Raaphorst R, Kjos M, Veening JW. Chromosome segregation drives division site
selection in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017 Jul 18;114(29):E5959–
68.

76. Tsui HCT, Joseph M, Zheng JJ, Perez AJ, Manzoor I, Rued BE, et al. Negative regulation of
MurZ and MurA underlies the essentiality of GpsB- and StkP-mediated protein
phosphorylation in Streptococcus pneumoniae D39. Mol Microbiol. 2023;120(3):351–83.

77. Perez AJ, Lamanna MM, Bruce KE, Touraev MA, Page JE, Shaw SL, et al. Elongasome core
proteins and class A PBP1a display zonal, processive movement at the midcell of
Streptococcus pneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2024 Jun 18;121(25):e2401831121.

78. Moore DA, Whatley ZN, Joshi CP, Osawa M, Erickson HP. Probing for Binding Regions of the FtsZ Protein Surface through Site-Directed Insertions: Discovery of Fully Functional FtsZ-Fluorescent Proteins. J Bacteriol. 2016 Dec 13;199(1):10.1128/jb.00553-16.