Title:

Structure and assembly of Borna disease virus 1 nucleoprotein–RNA complexes

Authors

Yukihiko Sugita (杉田征彦)[1,2,3,*,†], Yuya Hirai (平井悠哉)[4,*,†], Shinya H. Goto (後藤真也)[2,3], Keizo Tomonaga (朝長啓造)[5,6,7], Takeshi Noda (野田岳志)[2,3,8], Masayuki Horie (堀江真行)[1,5, 9,10,†]

Affiliations

[1] Hakubi Center for Advanced Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

[2] Laboratory of Ultrastructural Virology, Department of Virus Research, Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

[3] Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

[4] Department of Biology, Osaka Dental University, Hirakata, Osaka, 573-1121, Japan

[5] Laboratory of RNA Viruses, Department of Virus Research, Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

[6] Laboratory of RNA Viruses, Department of Mammalian Regulatory Network, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

[7] Department of Molecular Virology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

[8] Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan

[9] Laboratory of Veterinary Microbiology, Graduate School of Veterinary Science, Osaka Metropolitan University, Izumisano, Osaka, 598-8531, Japan

[10] Osaka International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka, 545-0051, Japan

[*] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†] Corresponding authors: sugita.yukihiko.8w@kyoto-u.ac.jp (YS), hirai-y@cc.osaka-dent.ac.jp (YH), mhorie@omu.ac.jp (MH)

Keywords

Borna disease virus 1; mononegavirus; negative-strand RNA virus; nucleoprotein; nucleocapsid; cryo-EM

Abstract

Structures of nucleoprotein (N)–RNA complexes of the *Bornaviridae*, a virus family in the order *Mononegavirales*, have remained unknown. Using cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), we characterized N structures of Borna disease virus 1 (BoDV-1), the type species of the *Bornaviridae,* which causes fatal human encephalitis. N forms complexes in both RNA-free and RNA-bound states, revealing conserved features throughout the order, as well as BoDV-1-specific stoichiometry, thereby offering insights into viral evolution. We redefine assembly principles governing N–RNA complexes with the discovery of a previously unrecognized, RNA-independent mechanism involving domain-swapping and truncated subunits. Mutational analyses identified residues essential for nucleocapsid formation and RNA synthesis. Cryo-EM of mutant complexes captured RNA-free assemblies, suggesting that initial N oligomerization precedes RNA binding. These findings explain several unknowns in N–RNA complex structure and suggest an alternative to canonical RNA-driven assembly models, offering a new conceptual framework for nucleocapsid formation.

Introduction

Viruses classified in the order *Mononegavirales* typically possess a linear, non-segmented, negative-strand, single-stranded RNA genome. They infect a wide range of host species in the kingdoms *Animalia*, *Plantae*, and *Fungi*[1]. Mononegaviruses infecting humans are classified into five families: *Filoviridae* (Ebola and Marburg viruses [EBOV, MARV]), *Paramyxoviridae* (measles and Nipah viruses [MV, NiV]), *Rhabdoviridae* (rabies virus [RABV]), *Pneumoviridae* (human respiratory syncytial virus [HRSV]), and *Bornaviridae*, represented by BoDV-1. RNA genomes of these viruses are encapsidated by multiple copies of the viral N molecule that form N–RNA complexes. N–RNA complexes are scaffolds for binding to the viral phosphoprotein (P) and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L), and for assembling into functional viral nucleocapsids responsible for viral RNA transcription and replication. In infected cells, biomolecular condensates known as viral inclusion bodies (IBs) are formed as membraneless compartments in which nucleocapsid assembly and viral RNA synthesis are spatially coordinated[2–5].

Given the essential roles of nucleocapsid architecture in viral replication, extensive structural studies have been conducted on mononegavirus N molecules. A previous X-ray crystallography study provided the first high-resolution structure of a negative-strand RNA virus N by resolving BoDV-1 N in an RNA-free, planar tetrameric complex[6]. This structure exhibited a unique bilobed α-helical fold, composed of N-terminal and C-terminal lobes connected by a linker and flanked by extended N- and C-terminal arm domains (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Structural studies of other mononegavirus families have confirmed the conservation of this bilobed core and extended-arm motif[7–17], with RNA-binding consistently occurring in a "cleft" between the lobes, as revealed by multiple N–RNA complex structures[7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18]. Furthermore, cryo-EM studies of various species have demonstrated structural conservation, particularly at the individual protein level in the same virus families[19–22], and revealed diverse, oligomeric arrangements of N complexes in both RNA-bound and RNA-free states[23–25].

BoDV-1, the type species of the family *Bornaviridae*, is a neurotropic pathogen of considerable public health concern, causing fatal encephalitis in various mammals, including humans[26]. Unlike other human mononegaviruses that replicate in the cytoplasm, BoDV-1 replicates in the nucleus of host cells, where the nucleocapsid associates with host chromatin, facilitating persistent infection through successive host cell divisions[27]. Given its public health relevance and a unique viral life cycle, structural characterization of BoDV-1 N is particularly important. Discovering structural variability, even in RNA-free states, provides critical insights into N complex dynamics, which are essential for understanding nucleocapsid assembly, genome encapsidation, and the unique nuclear replication strategy employed by BoDV-1. However, structural knowledge remains limited to a single RNA-free crystal structure for the family *Bornaviridae*[6]. Among the five families in *Mononegavirales* that include human-infecting viruses, *Bornaviridae* is the only one for which the N–RNA complex structure has not been characterized, representing a critical and long-standing gap in our understanding of the structural properties of viral N molecules. This gap has hindered comparative and evolutionary understanding of RNA encapsidation principles among mononegavirus families.

In addition, although previous N–RNA complex structures revealed overall architectures, they offered limited insight into how N oligomerizes and binds RNA during encapsidation. It remains unclear whether RNA is required to initiate the assembly process or whether N can form modular pre-assembled complexes that subsequently engage RNA.

To address this question, we employed single-particle cryo-EM to reveal high-resolution structures of BoDV-1 N complex in diverse RNA-free and RNA-bound oligomeric states. These analyses captured a broad range of assembly states and conformations, including unreported configurations such as N-terminally truncated subunits. Structure-guided mutational studies, including characterization of key residues, such as Lys164, have identified the RNA binding mechanism and the fundamental structural principle required for IB formation and viral RNA synthesis in host cells. These findings offer critical molecular details about unique assembly mechanisms of BoDV-1 N, contributing to our understanding of mononegavirus RNA encapsidation strategies and molecular evolution. Moreover, high-resolution structures obtained here provide a foundation for rational antiviral design targeting critical N–RNA interactions against BoDV-1 and related viruses.

Results

Protein purification and structural analysis of full-length BoDV-1 N complexes

Full-length BoDV-1 N with an N-terminal hexahistidine tag was expressed in *E. coli* and purified using affinity chromatography followed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) (Supplementary Fig. 1A-B). Purified N protein eluted predominantly as a single peak during SEC, and the peak fraction exhibited a low 260/280 nm absorbance ratio (0.66), indicating minimal RNA inclusion. Cryo-EM analysis revealed that this fraction predominantly contained planar tetrameric complexes, which closely resembled the RNA-free N tetrameric structure previously reported using X-ray crystallography (Supplementary Fig. 1C, D)[6].

In contrast, an earlier-eluting SEC fraction displayed a higher A260/A280 ratio of 1.36, suggesting the presence of substantial RNA. Cryo-EM analysis revealed structurally diverse N complexes (Supplementary Fig. 1E). Single-particle cryo-EM analysis identified complexes consisting of varying numbers of N subunits, including ring-like, S-shaped, and triangular configurations, ranging from tetramers to larger assemblies of up to thirteen subunits. Distinct densities attributable to RNA were observed in some of these complexes.

In total, we identified sixteen distinct N complex types, of which fifteen were reconstructed
to three-dimensional (3D) structures at resolutions ranging from 2.8 to 8.6 Å, excluding the
nonameric ring-like structure (Supplementary Fig. 1F-G and Supplementary Table 1). For
reconstructions better than 4 Å resolution, atomic models were built based on well-resolved
protein backbone, with side-chain densities frequently visible, allowing for detailed
interpretation of molecular architecture.

Furthermore, cryo-EM data showed considerable structural heterogeneity, with continuous
conformational variability observed in each oligomeric species (Supplementary Movie 1).
This heterogeneity suggests that N molecules and their assemblies possess intrinsic dynamic
flexibility.

Importantly, similar oligomeric assemblies were observed when N protein was expressed in
human cells (Supplementary Fig. 1H). These findings support the notion that such assemblies
can form under host cell conditions, underscoring their potential relevance during natural
infection.

Overall structures of RNA-free, ring-like N tetramers and pentamers

Crystal structures may differ from solution-state conformations due to crystal packing
constraints. To determine whether cryo-EM structures of RNA-free N complexes matched the
previously reported crystal structure, we examined their architecture and conformational
variability in solution.

Cryo-EM analysis revealed two slightly different conformations of the tetrameric N complex,
exhibiting cyclic 4-fold (C4) symmetry (Fig. 1A-B). Both conformations maintained a
conserved core architecture, characterized by interactions mediated by N- and C-terminal arm
domains between adjacent subunits. The primary structural difference between these two
conformations was observed in the relative orientation of the C-terminal domains, which
differed by an ~8.5° rotational shift (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Structural alignment
demonstrated high similarity between one cryo-EM tetramer conformation (class 1: "4-mer-
1") and the crystal structure at the monomeric level, with a backbone root-mean-square
deviation (RMSD) of 0.70 Å. However, the crystal structure appeared slightly more compact,
likely due to packing-induced constraints (Supplementary Fig. 2B). This similarity suggests
that crystal packing effects alone do not shape the tetrameric arrangement observed in the
crystal structure, but reflect an inherently stable configuration adopted by the protein in
solution.

The second tetrameric conformation (class 2: "4-mer-2") exhibited an RMSD of 1.27 Å
compared to the 4-mer-1 structure. In 4-mer-2, residue Arg341 at the boundary between
adjacent C-terminal lobes adopted a different rotamer conformation, resulting in
noSupplementary Table tructural divergence in inter-subunit distances (Fig. 1C, D).

We also identified and reconstructed the 3D structure of an RNA-free ring-like pentameric N
complex ("5-mer") at an overall resolution of 3.8 Å. This structure displays ring-like
morphology with pseudo-C5 symmetry (Fig. 1E). Similar to the tetramers, pentameric
subunits interact via their N- and C-terminal arms, with high structural conservation observed
in the core domain (residues 44-341). Despite this conservation, the 5-mer exhibits intrinsic
asymmetry, with subtle positional deviations among subunits (Fig. 1F).

In both tetrameric and pentameric configurations, several regions displayed reduced map
density indicative of intrinsic flexibility or disorder (Supplementary Fig. 2A-H). Specifically,
residues at approximately positions 1-24 and 316-323 consistently show low local resolution,
consistent with previous crystallographic observations. Additionally, the loop in the

protruding β strands (residues approximately 106-111) could not be modeled due to
insufficient map density.

Local resolution of the maps and *B*-factor of the models were also poor for residues 38-42,
which form the connecting part of the N-terminal arm and N-terminal lobe, residues 120-127,
a relatively long loop region largely exposed to the solution, and residues 347-354
surrounding the linker region between the C-terminal lobe and C-terminal arm helix. These
findings suggest enhanced local mobility in solution.

The low *B*-factor of the N-terminal arm was particularly pronounced in one subunit (N_5) of
the pentameric structure, contributing to asymmetry and local heterogeneity (Supplementary
Fig. 2E). This structural asymmetry and localized disorder likely reflect transient inter-
subunit interactions and inherent plasticity of these oligomeric complexes.

Collectively, these observations highlight the dynamic behavior of the N assemblies, which
may facilitate transitions required for nucleocapsid assembly.

N-N interactions in tetramers and pentamers
The previously reported crystal structure of the RNA-free tetrameric N complex identifies
four distinct N-N interaction clusters crucial for maintaining complex stability. These
interaction clusters comprise: (1) hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions between the N-
terminal arm of one subunit (N_n) and a shallow groove on the N-terminal lobe of the adjacent
subunit (N_{n+1}); (2) polar interactions between the N-terminal lobes of adjacent subunits (N_n
and N_{n+1}); (3) polar interactions between the C-terminal lobes of adjacent subunits (N_n and
N_{n-1}); and (4) hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions linking the C-terminal arm helix of
one subunit (N_n) with the C-terminal lobe of the neighboring subunit (N_{n-1}) (Fig. 1G).

To assess modes of N-N interactions in our RNA-free N complexes, we analyzed interfaces
in tetrameric and pentameric assemblies (Fig. 1G-I). The 4-mer-1 shows N-N interactions
consistent with those observed in the crystal structure, with all four interaction clusters
preserved. However, 4-mer-2 displays reduced contacts between adjacent C-terminal lobe
interfaces, which results from a rotational shift and torsion in domain orientation (Fig. 1H).

In the pentameric complex, interactions mediated by N- and C-terminal arm domains were
maintained, as in the tetramers. However, polar contacts between lobe domains are generally
reduced and vary notably across subunits, reflecting inherent asymmetry and structural
plasticity (Fig. 1I).

These cryo-EM observations indicate that the stability of RNA-free N complexes is primarily
governed by interactions involving terminal arm domains. More transient polar interactions
between lobe domains occur across solvent-exposed, loosely packed interfaces, suggesting a
dynamic equilibrium in solution. This behavior parallels observations in ring-like N–RNA
complexes of HRSV[10] and inter-strand N-N interactions in EBOV helical
nucleocapsids[16].

Structures of ring-like N–RNA complexes and N-RNA interactions
Previous structural studies on mononegavirus N–RNA complexes revealed distinct RNA
binding modes: RNA is located externally in complexes in the family *Paramyxoviridae*,
Pneumoviridae, and *Filoviridae*, but encapsidated internally in *Rhabdoviridae*
(Supplementary Fig. 3A-D). Despite these differences in RNA positioning, a conserved
feature across mononegaviruses is that RNA binds to a cleft formed between the N- and C-
terminal lobes of the N molecule. However, for BoDV-1, precise positioning has remained
controversial, with reports suggesting either an external location, opposite the cleft[6], or an
internal binding mode in the cleft[28].

To clarify the RNA binding mode of BoDV-1, we analyzed BoDV-1 hexameric, heptameric,
and octameric N–RNA complexes, termed "6-mer", "7-mer", and "8-mer", respectively.
These structures demonstrate conclusively that the RNA strand resides in the ring-like
complexes and binds to the inter-lobe cleft, a mode previously observed only in
Rhabdoviridae (Fig. 2A-D, S3D, E). The BoDV-1 N–RNA complex features a gear-like
round structure with abrupt changes in RNA backbone curvature, also similar to
rhabdoviruses, but distinct from other mononegaviruses (Fig. 2E and S3A-E).

Notably, BoDV-1 N exhibits unique RNA-binding stoichiometry, with each N subunit
binding eight nucleotides arranged in a sharply bending '4-bases-inside, 4-bases-outside'
configuration. This mode is unprecedented in the order *Mononegavirales* (Fig. 2F-G).

Consistent with other mononegaviruses, RNA binding in BoDV-1 N is predominantly
electrostatic, mediated by interactions between basic amino acid residues and RNA phosphate
groups (Fig. 2F). Sequence alignment in the genus *Orthobornavirus* shows that these
residues, including Lys154, Lys164, Arg165, Arg297, and Arg321, are highly conserved
(Supplementary Fig. 3F). These conserved interactions indicate that N primarily recognizes
RNA via its phosphate backbone and local conformation (Fig. 2G). This backbone-dependent
RNA recognition provides a mechanistic explanation for the previously reported sequence
preference in BoDV-1 N–RNA interactions. The apparent specificity likely arises from
structural complementarity between the RNA backbone and the binding cleft of N, rather
than from direct base-specific contacts[28].

Local conformational transition of N upon RNA binding

Mononegavirus N molecules cooperatively transition from monomeric RNA-free states to
oligomeric RNA-bound states during virus assembly (Supplementary Fig. 3G-J, L-O).
Therefore, it is crucial to examine how N molecules undergo conformational changes upon
RNA binding to identify the mechanism of nucleocapsid assembly.

To explore structural differences upon RNA encapsidation, we compared RNA-free and
RNA-bound BoDV-1 N complex structures, 4-mer-2 and 6-mer. The overall structure and
relative orientation of N- and C-terminal lobe domains (residues 44-341) remain consistent
between RNA-free and RNA-bound states, similar to observations in rhabdoviruses
(Supplementary Fig. 3J, O), excluding a hinge-like conformational change observed in
paramyxoviruses and pneumoviruses (Fig. 2H, S3G, H, L, M). Regions of poor local
resolution, indicative of high local flexibility, are also similar between the two states
(Supplementary Fig. 2D-G, S2I-J). However, a local structural transition was observed in the
loop region (residues 314-322) of the C-terminal lobe. This region, disordered in RNA-free
complexes, becomes ordered and adopts a short helix with a 3_{10} geometry upon RNA binding
(Fig. 2I). This loop-to-helix transition resembles that observed in filoviruses[29, 20],
suggesting a conserved RNA-binding mechanism across the *Mononegavirales*
(Supplementary Fig. 3I, K, N, P). The high-resolution cryo-EM map allowed unambiguous
modeling of this helix, confirming the loop-to-helix transition as a definitive structural
feature induced by RNA binding. Although this region does not consistently adopt a defined
secondary structure formation in other mononegaviruses, its recurrent alignment parallel to
the RNA supports its designation as the "RNA-binding loop", a structurally and functionally
conserved feature across the order (Supplementary Fig. 3Q-U).

In BoDV-1 N–RNA complexes, inter-subunit interactions primarily involve extended N- and
C-terminal arm domains (Fig. 2D), with variations in angles and distances between subunits,
analogous to RNA-free tetrameric and pentameric complexes (Fig. 2H). Compared to RNA-
free tetramers, RNA-bound hexamer displays a wider ring architecture due to the outward

swing of the arm domains upon RNA binding (Fig. 2H, bottom). This outward movement creates larger inter-subunit gaps and reduces the contact surface area between the core domains (Fig. 1G-I and 2J). This is accompanied by a flipping-in of Arg341 side chain at the inter-subunit interface, as also observed in 4-mer-2 complex (Fig. 1D, 2H, K).

Collectively, these findings indicate that the conformational transition of BoDV-1 N upon RNA encapsidation primarily involves local restructuring of the RNA-binding loop, while the overall oligomeric architecture remains largely preserved. The more open ring-like architecture observed in RNA-bound assemblies, characterized by increased inter-subunit spacing and a broadened internal channel, appears to reflect intrinsic conformational flexibility of N that enables RNA accommodation.

Conformational plasticity and RNA binding heterogeneity in hexameric N complexes

In addition to hexameric complexes displaying distinct RNA densities, we identified structurally variable hexameric ring-like assemblies that exhibit variable degrees of circularity (Fig. 3A-C). The most elongated form, hereafter referred to as the "Flat 6-mer", shows no detectable RNA density in the RNA-binding cleft, indicating that it represents an RNA-free state (Fig. 3A, D). A second, more oval-shaped assembly ("Oval 6-mer-1") contains additional density at its center that is not attributable to RNA, potentially corresponding to a folded polypeptide or proteinaceous component. Due to limited local resolution, the identity of this component remains unclear. This complex also lacks visible RNA in the canonical RNA-binding cleft (Fig. 3B, E). A third assembly, "Oval 6-mer-2", exhibits weak, but discernible RNA density in the cleft, suggesting the possibility of partial RNA occupancy or transient interactions (Fig. 3C, F). These structural variations collectively demonstrate that hexameric N complexes can adopt similar global architectures regardless of their RNA-bound state.

Cryo-EM density corresponding to the RNA-binding loop varied among the three hexamers. In the RNA-free Flat 6-mer, this region appears poorly resolved, consistent with increased conformational flexibility or disorder, as also observed in RNA-free tetramers and pentamers (Fig. 3D). In contrast, the Oval 6-mer-1 shows density indicative of a helical secondary structure, although some adjacent loop regions remain less well defined (Fig. 3E). The RNA-bound Oval 6-mer-2 exhibits a well-ordered helical conformation similar to that in both Oval 6-mer-1 and the fully RNA-bound 6-mer complex (Fig. 3F, G).

These findings suggest that the helical conformation in the RNA-binding loop region is not pre-formed, but is instead induced upon interaction with either an RNA molecule, as in Oval 6-mer-2, or possibly a proteinaceous component, as suggested in Oval 6-mer-1.

Discovery of noncanonical oligomeric states of N involving truncated C-terminal domains

Mononegavirus N molecules typically assemble into ring-like or helical structures. While these canonical assemblies are well-characterized, alternative oligomeric states remain poorly understood. To explore the full range of assembly possibilities, we sought to identify and characterize highly unconventional oligomeric assemblies of BoDV-1 N that deviate markedly from known architectures.

In addition to canonical ring-like structures, our cryo-EM analysis revealed highly unconventional RNA-free complexes exhibiting S-shaped and triangular morphologies, designated "S-shape-1", "S-shape-2", "Triangle-1", and "Triangle-2" (Fig. 4). These

complexes contain truncated N subunits composed solely of the C-terminal domain (N_{CTD}).
These act as bridging subunits connecting clusters of full-length N molecules, a configuration
not previously reported for other mononegaviruses.

Structural analysis identifies two unique inter-subunit interfaces in these assemblies (Fig.
4A): (1) a hydrophobic surface patch that is typically buried in full-length N becomes
exposed and mediates interaction between N_{CTD} subunits (Fig. 4B, S4C); and (2) polar loop
regions (residues 103–112 and 118–130) that form an additional contact site, potentially
involving electrostatic or hydrogen bonding interactions (Fig. 4C). These interfaces are
maintained across all distinct, novel RNA-free assemblies.

The cryo-EM density map of the octameric S-shape-1 complex shows that all full-length
subunits were connected via N-terminal arm domains (Fig. 4C). Notably, the connection
between the most spatially distant subunits features a highly flexible Gly-Gly-Ser sequence
(residues 39-41), located between the N-terminal arm and the core lobe of N, which permits a
pronounced bend between domains (Fig. 4C, S4D-E). The resulting modular architecture,
with distinct clusters bridged by full-length subunits, closely resembles that observed in
tetrameric and pentameric assemblies. This spatial correspondence suggests a domain-
swapping mechanism (Supplementary Fig. 4F), as observed in other mononegaviruses,
including vesicular stomatitis Indiana virus (VSIV)[7], measles virus[11], human
parainfluenza virus 5[30], and mumps virus[31].

SDS-PAGE analysis of highly concentrated, purified N samples from *E. coli* revealed a
prominent ~15-kDa band corresponding to the N_{CTD} fragment (Supplementary Fig. 4A).
Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) confirmed that this
fragment originates from cleavage at the boundary region between the N-terminal and C-
terminal lobes (Supplementary Fig. 4B).

Additionally, 3D reconstructions revealed two distinct assemblies composed of side-by-side
tetramers ("4-mer x2 parallel" and "4-mer x2 antiparallel") (Supplementary Fig. 4G, H). In
addition to these assemblies, 2D class averages also revealed cases in which a pentamer and a
tetramer, or a hexamer and a tetramer, appear nearby (Supplementary Fig. 1I). These
multimeric arrangements further support the idea that flexible inter-domain linkers enable
architectural transitions and may facilitate domain-swapping in BoDV-1 N.

Virological importance of RNA-binding basic residues

IB formation is a hallmark of many mononegavirus infections. In BoDV–1–infected cells,
these structures localize to the nucleus. Co-expression of N and P is sufficient to induce the
formation of IB-like structures in most mononegaviruses[32–36], including BoDV-1[37].
While our cryo-EM structures reveal how N recognizes RNA and assembles into ring-like
oligomers, they do not clarify whether RNA binding by N is strictly required for subsequent
steps in the replication cycle, such as RNA synthesis, and more specifically, formation of IBs.

To address this issue, we performed structure-guided alanine-scanning mutagenesis targeting
four key RNA-contacting residues of N: Lys154, Lys164, Arg297, and Arg321. Resulting
mutants, N(K154A), N(K164A), N(R297A), and N(R321A), were evaluated using a BoDV-1
minireplicon system and a nuclear IB reconstitution assay.

The minireplicon assay revealed that all four mutants were completely defective in
supporting viral RNA synthesis, indicating that these basic residues are essential for the
replication function of N (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, each mutation abolished the formation of
nuclear IB-like structures upon co-expression with the viral P in human cells (Fig. 5B).

These findings indicate that RNA binding by N is not only required for its replication
function, but also for forming biomolecular condensates involved in nucleocapsid assembly.

Stable ring-like assemblies in the absence of RNA

Nucleocapsid assembly has conventionally been considered RNA-dependent. However, the
precise contribution of RNA to the assembly of ring-like N oligomers in mononegaviruses,
including BoDV-1, remains unclear. To investigate whether BoDV-1 N can assemble into
ring-like structures in the absence of RNA, we analyzed mutants with diminished RNA-
binding capacity using single-particle cryo-EM.

We expressed and purified BoDV-1 N mutants, N(K154A), N(K164A), and N(R321A) in *E.*
coli (Supplementary Fig. 5A). The RNA content of purified mutant complexes was estimated
using their A260/A280 ratios, measured from SEC fractions corresponding to the elution
range of RNA-bound wild-type complexes. These ratios were 1.22 for N(K154A), 0.67 for
N(K164A), and 1.57 for N(R321A), compared to 1.36 for wild-type N. Although values vary
among mutants, they suggest differential levels of RNA association.

Subsequent cryo-EM analysis focused on the N(K164A) mutant, presumed to have the lowest
RNA-binding affinity. 3D reconstructions revealed various oligomeric assemblies that closely
resemble wild-type structures, but lack detectable RNA (Fig. 5C-N, S5B-E). The RNA-free
tetrameric structure closely matched the wild-type 4-mer-2 complex, with an RMSD of 0.62
Å, confirming that the Lys164Ala mutation does not disrupt protein folding (Fig. 5C-D).

Notably, RNA-free heptameric ring-like complexes, absent in wild-type preparations, appear
with other ring-like complexes exhibiting broader variations in circularity (Fig. 5M, N).
Triangular N_{CTD}-bridged complexes were not detected, possibly due to their low abundance.

These cryo-EM data demonstrate that BoDV-1 N has an inherent capacity to form
structurally diverse, RNA-free oligomers, including expanded ring-like architectures. This
implies that these rings arise from intrinsic self-assembly capacity of the N molecule, rather
than from RNA-mediated nucleation followed by dissociation, reinforcing the conclusion that
RNA binding is not a prerequisite for ring-like complex formation.

Discussion

Before this study, the BoDV-1 N–RNA complex was the only uncharacterized structure
among human mononegavirus families, highlighting the significance of these findings. Our
single-particle cryo-EM and functional analyses of the BoDV-1 N–RNA complex have
addressed this gap in the structural catalog of N molecules in the order *Mononegavirales*. Our
findings highlight evolutionary conservation across the *Mononegavirales*, while also
revealing lineage-specific adaptations that advance our understanding of nucleoprotein
biology. Extensive image classifications enable visualization of various conformational states
in solution, capturing both RNA-free and RNA-bound forms, including tetrameric,
pentameric, hexameric, larger ring-like assemblies, and non-canonical arrangements. These
states may fail to be detected by X-ray crystallography, which favors homogeneous, well-
ordered populations due to crystal packing. In contrast, cryo-EM reveals a broader
conformation ensemble that more closely reflects physiological diversity. The resolved
structures define key architectural principles of N oligomerization and correlate directly with
RNA encapsidation efficiency, as supported by structure-guided mutational analyses.
Collectively, they illustrate a previously unrecognized, stepwise assembly pathway for N–
RNA complexes (Fig. 6), in which N first oligomerizes independently of RNA, forming
intermediates that subsequently recruit RNA. Notably, this contrasts with canonical models in

which RNA initiates and guides N assembly, and instead supports a regulated pathway in
which RNA-free intermediates act as checkpoints for genome encapsidation.

Ring-like N assembly and its implications

Ring-like N–RNA complexes have been observed in several mononegaviruses, including
rhabdoviruses[7, 8] and pneumoviruses[10], before their biological relevance was fully
understood. Subsequent studies confirmed that these assemblies share the same RNA-binding
mode as helical nucleocapsids, demonstrating that they are not artifacts, but instead represent
the fundamental principle of viral nucleocapsid formation[38–41]. Cryo-EM analyses of
MuV N–RNA complexes revealed that N adopts nearly identical structures in ring-like and
helical complexes (RMSD ~0.83 Å), and that ring-like structures can transition into helices
upon exposure to cell-derived impurities[31]. This finding supports the idea that ring-like
assemblies are structurally representative and potentially dynamically convertible
intermediates. Cryo-electron tomography of HRSV-infected cells and virions further
confirmed the presence of such ring-like assemblies in a native setting[42], highlighting their
potential relevance during viral replication.

Our cryo-EM structural data demonstrate that BoDV-1 N forms stable ring-like assemblies,
exhibiting key architectural features conserved among mononegavirus N proteins. These
include extended N- and C-terminal arms that mediate inter-subunit interactions. The basic
ring-like architecture is preserved across a spectrum of oligomeric forms, including
hexamers, heptamers, and octamers, reflecting structural plasticity rather than a single
dominant configuration (Fig. 2A-C). The observed variability in ring size and symmetry,
together with the flexibility of polar interfaces and domain-swapping termini (Fig. 2H–J),
suggests that these assemblies are modular and reversible intermediates capable of
transitioning from ring-like to filamentous forms. Such plasticity may support dynamic
reorganization of the nucleocapsid during different stages of the viral life cycle, such as
replication, transcription, and packaging, and may reflect a conserved strategy across
mononegavirus families.

Working assembly model of ring-like N–RNA complexes

Our cryo-EM analyses revealed unexpected structural diversity in BoDV-1 N assemblies,
including symmetric and asymmetric complexes with varying RNA occupancy. These
findings highlight a remarkable degree of conformational plasticity and suggest that these
structures are not mere in vitro artifacts, but represent structurally and functionally relevant
intermediates in nucleocapsid assembly. Based on the oligomeric states observed, ranging
from RNA-free tetramers to RNA-bound hexamers and heptamers, we propose a working
assembly model for BoDV-1 N–RNA complexes (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Movie 2).

In this model, BoDV-1 N initially forms RNA-free tetramers or pentamers. These assemblies
act as precursors for subsequent fusion events, forming RNA-free S-shaped or triangular
complexes involving the N_{CTD} and domain swapping of N-terminal arm domains. Subsequent
removal of the N_{CTD}, along with domain-swapping of the C-terminal arm domain, may
facilitate the formation of RNA-free hexameric and heptameric ring-like complexes.

Upon interaction with RNA, potentially facilitated by a chaperone-like polypeptide
occupying the central channel, a local structural rearrangement occurs in the RNA-binding
loop (residues 314–322), transitioning from a disordered state into a structured helix (Fig. 2I,
3D-G). This transition stabilizes RNA encapsidation in the inter-lobe cleft.

Inter-subunit interactions mediated by N- and C-terminal arm domains remain largely
conserved between RNA-free and RNA-bound forms. However, RNA binding is associated
with outward displacement of arm domains and reduced numbers of core domain contacts,

resulting in more open and flexible ring-like complexes, suitable to accommodate RNA
strands. Repositioning of key residues such as Arg341 further supports stability of inter-
subunit spacing (Fig. 2H-J).

Further research is required to validate this model experimentally. While the N_{CTD}-bridging
mechanism appears to be stoichiometrically inefficient, likely sacrificing a subset of N
molecules for structural transitions (Fig. 4), it may serve as a regulatory checkpoint during
early stages of nucleocapsid formation by preventing uncontrolled oligomerization or non-
specific RNA binding, thereby serving as a stable intermediate that promotes controlled and
ordered nucleocapsid assembly.

Given that low-molecular-weight N-related products have also been observed in other
mononegaviruses[15, 43], it would be useful to investigate whether truncated N contributes to
N oligomerization in other members of the order.

Our structure-guided mutational analyses further support the notion that RNA binding occurs
after formation of ring-like N oligomers. Mutations that disrupted RNA interaction do not
prevent assembly of N complexes observed in the wild type (Fig. 5C–L), indicating that
oligomerization can proceed independently of RNA binding. This implies a sequential
assembly pathway in which N oligomerization precedes RNA encapsidation, allowing for
regulated nucleocapsid formation. Such a mechanism could prevent premature or nonspecific
RNA binding, ensuring that only properly assembled N oligomers participate in genome
encapsidation.

Comparative understanding of N–RNA complex structures and assemblies in the order
Mononegavirales

Mononegavirus N shares a conserved core architecture and function, yet the BoDV-1 N–
RNA complex exhibits notable distinctions compared to those of *Paramyxoviridae*,
Pneumoviridae, *Filoviridae*, and *Rhabdoviridae*. Structurally, all N proteins comprise two
lobed domains that encapsidate the RNA genome in a positively charged cavity, protecting
the genome and serving as the template for viral polymerase activity. BoDV-1 N follows this
general blueprint, with a conserved RNA-binding loop that runs parallel to the RNA-binding
cleft and that is critical for securing the genome. In BoDV-1 and filoviruses[16, 20], this loop
undergoes a conformational change upon RNA binding (Fig. 2H, I, S3I, K, N, P), acting like
a latch to lock the RNA in place. Mutations in the corresponding loop can impair RNA
synthesis.

Although RNA recognition by N is nucleotide sequence-independent in BoDV-1 and other
members of the *Mononegavirales*, relying on a network of backbone contacts rather than
recognizing specific base identities, RNA positioning and stoichiometry of N molecules vary
among families (Supplementary Table 3). BoDV-1 N encapsidates eight nucleotides per
subunit, in contrast to six in the *Paramyxoviridae* and *Filoviridae*, seven in the
Pneumoviridae, and nine in the *Rhabdoviridae*.

Another point of divergence is how N transitions from an RNA-free state to an RNA-bound
oligomer and the role of the P molecule in that process. In most mononegaviruses, newly
synthesized N proteins readily bind nonspecific RNA and self-assemble, requiring
chaperoning by P to prevent premature RNA encapsidation[44, 45] and inappropriate
oligomerization[13, 46]. BoDV-1 N, however, demonstrates exceptional stability in its RNA-
free state and coexists with its RNA-bound state, as evidenced by our cryo-EM observations,
suggesting reduced dependence on P for N oligomerization.

Evolutionarily, the mix of conserved and divergent features in N–RNA complexes among the
Mononegavirales reflects their shared ancestry and long-standing functional constraints. The

core structural framework appears to be ancient and highly conserved, highlighting the
enduring requirement of genome encapsidation. At the same time, divergence in nucleotide
stoichiometry and assembly patterns seems to have emerged gradually, likely driven by
lineage-specific constraints and neutral drift, possibly emerging from transient stochastic
variation in early assembly mechanisms.

The BoDV-1 N–RNA complex, when compared with its paramyxo-, pneumo-, filo-, and
rhabdovirus counterparts, provides a compelling case study of how different lineages in
Mononegavirales may have independently explored alternative structural solutions while
preserving core functionality.

In conclusion, our comprehensive structural and functional analyses of the BoDV-1 N
molecule not only address a critical knowledge gap regarding mononegavirus N structures,
but also provide insights into nucleocapsid assembly mechanisms. Our high-resolution cryo-
EM structures of the BoDV-1 N–RNA complex reveal intricate details of binding interfaces
and key residues mediating N-RNA interactions, identifying potential targets for antiviral
therapeutics, particularly in the context of Borna disease. Furthermore, these findings
contribute to a broader understanding of conserved N-RNA encapsidation and N-N
interaction mechanisms throughout the order *Mononegavirales*. Our results provide not only
a complete structural framework for mononegavirus N, but also a model of modular,
regulated encapsidation that may extend to other members of the order. By illuminating these
fundamental viral processes, our work supports future targeted interventions against BoDV-1
and enhances our preparedness for emerging mononegaviruses by revealing conserved
structural features that could be exploited for broad-spectrum antiviral strategies.

Data Availability

The cryo-EM density maps and atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Electron
Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) and Protein Data Bank (PDB), respectively. For wild-type
complexes, accession numbers are: EMD-61914 and PDB-ID 9JZI (4-mer-1); EMD-61915
and PDB-ID 9JZJ (4-mer-2); EMD-61916 and PDB-ID 9JZK (5-mer); EMD-61917 and
PDB-ID 9JZL (6-mer); EMD-61918 (7-mer); EMD-61919 (8-mer); EMD-61920 (Flat 6-
mer); EMD-61921 (Oval 6-mer-1); EMD-61922 (Oval 6-mer-2); EMD-61923 (S-shape-1);
EMD-61924 (S-shape-2); EMD-61925 (Triangle-1); EMD-61926 (Triangle-2); EMD-61927
(4-mer x2 parallel); EMD-61928 (4-mer x2 antiparallel). For mutant N(K164A) complexes:
EMD-61929 and PDB-ID 9JZN (4-mer); EMD-61930 (5-mer); EMD-61931 (6-mer); EMD-
61932 (7-mer); EMD-61933 (Flat 6-mer); EMD-61934 (Oval 6-mer); EMD-61935 (Flat 7-
mer); EMD-61936 (S-shape); EMD-61937 (Triangular 6-mer); EMD-61938 (4-mer x2
antiparallel). Cryo-EM raw images of wild-type N and mutant N(K164) have been deposited
in the Electron Microscopy Public Image Archive (EMPIAR) with accession number
EMPIAR-12716. Other data generated or analyzed during this study are available from the
corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 Structures of RNA-free, ring-like N tetramers and pentamers
(A, B) Cryo-EM reconstructions of tetrameric ring-like N complexes in two conformations:
(A) 4-mer-1 and (B) 4-mer-2. Subunits are uniquely colored in each structure. Left panels:
side views; Right panels: bottom views. Square boxes indicate relative angles between the
two lobes.

(C, D) Structural comparison of N subunit models between 4-mer-1 (grey) and 4-mer-2
(domain-colored as in Supplementary Fig. 1A). (C) Overall view of a subunit from inside of
the complex (Left) and from the bottom (right), highlighting major differences in the C-
terminal (CT) lobe and arm domains. (D) Close-up of inter-subunit region (dotted box in
panel C) showing flipped side chain of Arg341 and relative displacement of CT lobes (red
arrows).

(E) Cryo-EM reconstruction of 5-mer complex. Atomic models of N subunits (N_{1-5}) in warm
colors are superimposed on the cryo-EM map, forming an asymmetric pseudo-C5 structure
(orange frame) compared to a regular pentagon (dotted grey frame).

(F) Comparison of individual subunits within the pentamer, illustrating conserved core
domain (grey) and divergent NT and CT arms.

(G-I) Inter-subunit interactions in crystal structure (Xtal) (G), tetramers (4-mer-1, 4-mer-2)
(H), and pentamer (5-mer) (I). Surface representation of asymmetric subunit models with
interface clusters color-coded in four distinguishable pairs: (1) N-terminal arm (1-1: yellow)
and a shallow groove on N-terminal lobe (1-2: brown); (2) side area of N-terminal lobe (2-1:
pink, 2-2: red); (3) side area of C-terminal lobe (3-1: light green, 3-2: dark green); (4) C-
terminal arm (4-1: light blue) and a pocket on C-terminal lobe (4-2: dark blue). These cluster
numbers (1–4) correspond to the four types of N–N interaction interfaces described in the
main text. The comparison shows conserved interfaces in (1) and (4), and divergent interfaces
in (2) and (3). Scale bars: 20 Å.

Fig. 2 Structures of ring-like N–RNA complexes and N-RNA interactions

(A-C) Cryo-EM structure of the 6-mer (A), 7-mer (B), and 8-mer (C) ring-like N–RNA
complex reconstructed using C2, C1, and C2 symmetry, respectively. Asymmetric N subunits
are uniquely colored (white to blue), and RNA is shown in red. Scale bar: 20 Å.

(D) Atomic model of 6-mer complex in ribbon representation, built within the cryo-EM
density. Domains of N_I subunit are color-coded as in Supplementary Fig. 1A.

(E) Tube representation of 6-mer model, highlighting gear-like RNA structure positioned
inside the ring (red).

(F) Close-up of N–RNA interface viewed from inside 6-mer ring, showing key basic amino
acid residues involved in binding eight RNA nucleotides. Side chains are depicted and
labeled as sticks.

(G) Schematic of RNA configuration and N-RNA interactions in the complex. Inward-facing
RNA bases (red), outward-facing RNA bases (green), and phosphate groups (orange) are
illustrated. Putative hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions between N residues and
RNA are shown as dashed lines.

(H) Structural comparison of N subunits from RNA-free tetramers (crystal structure, 4-mer-1,
4-mer-2, grey colors) and those from 6-mer (colored) shown in tube representation. Arrows
indicate major structural differences, color-coded by domain for the N_I subunit. Dashed
boxes highlight the RNA-binding cleft (close-up in panel I) and the inter-subunit interface of
the C-terminal lobes (close-up in panel K).

(I) Close-up view of the RNA-binding cleft in ribbon representation, illustrating a local
structural transition in the C-terminal loop region to a helix (residues 314-322) upon RNA-
binding.

(J) Inter-subunit interfaces in 6-mer complex. Surface representation of asymmetric subunit
models, with interface clusters color-coded as in Fig. 1G. The RNA interface, highlighted in
orange. Scale bar: 20 Å.

(K) Close-up of Arg341 side chains of 6-mer complex and RNA-free tetramers, showing
flipping-in conformation, similar to that observed in 4-mer-2 (Fig. 1D).

Fig. 3 Oval hexameric complexes with different circularity, with or without RNA and
additional volume in the center

(A-C) Cryo-EM reconstructions of oval-shaped hexameric N complexes: (A) the most
elongated ring-like complex (Flat 6-mer), (B) an oval complex with extra central density
(Oval 6mer-1), and (C) oval complex with partial RNA density (Oval 6mer-2) reconstructed
with C1, C1, and C2 symmetry, respectively. Asymmetric subunits are uniquely colored
(white to blue) in all panels.

(D-G) Isolated cryo-EM maps of asymmetric N subunits, superimposed with atomic models
in ribbon representation: (D) Flat 6-mer, (E) Oval 6-mer-1, (F) Oval 6-mer-2, and (G) RNA-
bound 6-mer (reference for RNA cryo-EM density). For oval complexes, the tetramer (4-mer-
2) atomic model was initially fit as a rigid body, and then flexibly fit using molecular
dynamics flexible fitting (MDFF) as implemented in ISOLDE[47], within UCSF ChimeraX.

Fig. 4 S-shaped and triangular complexes containing N-terminally truncated bridging
subunits

Cryo-EM reconstructions of N complexes composed of full-length N molecules bridged by
N_{CTD} (C-terminal domain only). Each asymmetric subunit is color coded: full-length in blue
tones, and N_{CTD} in warm colors (e.g., orange or red).

(A) "S-shape-1": two trimeric units bridged by an N_{CTD} pair.

(B) Close-up of the interface between an N_{CTD} pair, showing direct interaction at the bridging
site.

(C) Close-up of the interface formed by an N-terminal arm, highlighting a distinct inter-
subunit contact.

(D) "S-shape-2": a trimer and a tetramer bridged by an N_{CTD} pair, arranged asymmetrically.

(E) "Triangle-1": three dimers arranged in a triangle and bridged by three N_{CTD} pairs along
each edge of the triangle.

(F) "Triangle-2": two dimers and a trimer bridged by three pairs of CTDs, again bridged by
three N_{CTD} pairs.

Scale bars: 50 Å.

Supplementary Fig. 5 Purification and structural analysis of N mutants
(A) SEC profiles for N mutants: N(K154A), N(K164A), and N(R321A). Arrows indicate
fractionation zones, with a cyan arrow marking the fraction subjected to cryo-EM analysis.

(B) Representative cryo-electron micrographs of purified N(K164A) complexes from
fractions that eluted earlier. Scale bar: 50 nm.

(C) Workflow of the single-particle cryo-EM analysis performed for N(K164A) complexes.

(D) Representative 2D class averages of N(K164A), demonstrating structural heterogeneity
and pleomorphism. Scale bar: 20 nm.

(E) Resolution estimation plots for cryo-EM reconstruction. Red curve: gold-standard FSC
between independent half-maps (threshold at FSC = 0.143). Black curve: FSC between the
full cryo-EM map and the atomic model (threshold at FSC = 0.5). Local resolution maps are
shown in each panel, with color scales ranging from blue to red in Å, as indicated by the bar.

Fig. 5. Structure-guided mutational assays

(A) Viral polymerase activity assessed by minireplicon assay using wild-type (WT) and
mutant BoDV-1 N. Activity is shown as the ratio of *Gaussia* luciferase (Gluc) to *Cypridina*
luciferase (Cluc) relative light units (RLU), normalized to 1.0 for the negative control [L (-)].
Mutations K154A, K164A, R297A, and R321A all caused marked reduction in activity.
Statistical analysis was not performed due to consistently severe activity loss in all mutants.

(B) Subcellular localization of WT or mutant N(K154A, K164A, R297A, or R321A) co-
expressed with WT P in U-2 OS cells, analyzed by confocal immunofluorescence
microscopy. Left panels: BoDV-1 P (grey); Center panels: BoDV-1 N (grey); Right panels:
merged images (N: red, P: green, and nuclei: blue). White arrowheads highlight nuclear IB-
like structures co-occupied by both N and P. Scale bars: 5 μm.

(C-M) Cryo-EM reconstructions of the N(K164A) reveal diverse oligomeric states. Colored
maps represent different structural classes: green for WT-like, yellow for WT-like, but
lacking RNA density, and orange/red for structures distinct from WT.

(C) Tetrameric (4-mer) structure of N(K164A) with an atomic model overlay. Subunits are
uniquely colored.

(D) Structural superposition of N(K164A) and WT 4-mer models in wire representation,
showing high overall similarity.

(E–H) Additional assemblies of N(K164A): (E) 5-mer, (F) S-shape complex, (G) Flat 6-mer,
and (H) 4-mer x2 antiparallel. Left panels: mutant maps; right panels: map-to-map
comparisons with WT (white).

(I) WT 6-mer cryo-EM map with rigid-body fitted model (N: Chain B, white; RNA: Chain R,
red). The fitted model confirms the presence of RNA in the binding cleft, serving as a
reference for RNA localization in subsequent comparisons.

(J–L) Ring-like assemblies of N(K164A): (J) 6-mer, (K) 7-mer, and (L) Oval 6-mer. Left
panels: mutant map; Center panels: map-to-map comparison with the WT (white); right
panels: map-to-model comparison using the WT N–RNA complex model (protein: white,
RNA: red). Core domains of WT model (Chain B of 6-mer) were rigid-body fitted into each
subunit region to assess RNA occupancy. In all structures, the RNA-binding cleft lacked
corresponding density, and fitted RNA models protrude from the map, indicating loss of
RNA binding.

(M, N) N(K164A)-specific assemblies: (M) Flat 7-mer and (N) Triangular 6-mer ring-like
complexes. Left panels: mutant maps; right panels: map-to-model comparison using the WT
N–RNA complex model (protein: white, RNA: red). Map-to-map comparison with WT was
not performed, as no equivalent conformations were observed in the WT dataset. As observed
in panels J–L, the RNA-binding cleft lacked density, as evidenced by RNA models
protruding outside the cryo-EM map.

Fig. 6. A model of ring-like N–RNA complex assembly

Cryo-EM analysis of BoDV-1 N protein reveals diverse oligomeric states, representing potential intermediate stages in nucleocapsid assembly. The model begins with RNA-free tetrameric or pentameric complexes, which serve as initial oligomerization cores. These structures may undergo fusion and reorganization, giving rise to asymmetric intermediates such as S-shaped and triangular assemblies, involving domain swapping and transient N_{CTD} bridging. Subsequent removal of N_{CTD} and structural realignment of arm domains lead to forming ring-like hexamers and heptamers. Upon RNA binding, a local loop-to-helix transition is induced in the C-terminal lobe (residues 314–322), stabilizing RNA encapsidation. The final structure is a fully assembled RNA-bound hexamer, with coordinated inter-subunit arm interactions and well-positioned RNA in the inter-lobe clefts. This model illustrates the stepwise and flexible nature of BoDV-1 nucleocapsid assembly, integrating both RNA-free self-assembly and RNA-guided structural stabilization.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Table 1. Cryo-EM analysis and atomic model building for wild-type N

Supplementary Table 2. Cryo-EM analysis and atomic model building for N(K164A)

Supplementary Table 3. Comparative structural features of N–RNA complexes across families in the order *Mononegavirales*

Supplementary Fig. 1. Expression, purification, and cryo-EM analysis of BoDV-1 N complexes

(A) Schematic of BoDV-1 N domain organization. Bold boxes indicate regions rich in secondary structure. Domain colors for the N-terminal (NT) arm, NT lobe, C-terminal (CT) lobe, and CT arm are consistent across all figures.

(B) Size-exclusion chromatography profile of the purified BoDV-1 N complex. Two peaks were fractionated (#1 and #2), with SDS-PAGE analysis shown for each. These fractions were used for subsequent cryo-EM analyses.

(C) Representative cryo-EM micrograph (left) and 2D class averages (right) of complexes from the #2 fraction. Data were acquired on a 200-kV Glacios cryo-TEM and analyzed with RELION4-beta. Scale bars: 50 nm (left) and 50 Å (right).

(D) 2D projections of the crystal structure (PDB ID: 1N93) simulated at 9 Å resolution for visual comparison, using the molmap command in UCSF Chimera X and the relion_projection command. Scale bar: 50 Å.

(E) Representative cryo-EM micrograph (left) and 2D class averages (right) of N complexes from the #1 fraction. The left image was acquired using a 200-kV Glacios cryo-TEM, and the right image represents the results of image analysis performed on data collected with a 300-kV Titan Krios microscope, processed using cryoSPARC. Scale bars: 50 nm (left), 50 Å (right).

(F) Workflow of single-particle cryo-EM analysis for N complexes in #1 fractions. Particles in cryo-EM images were picked, classified, and refined to generate 3D reconstructions. These fractions contained a mixture of oligomeric states, including tetramers (4-mers), pentamer (5-mer), hexamers (6-mers), heptamer (7-mer), octamers (8-mer, S-shape-1), nonamers (9-mer, S-shape-2), dodecamers (Triangle-1: 12-mer), and complex composed of 13 subunits (Triangle-2: 13-mer). Although the nonameric ring-like complex (9-mer) was observed in

raw micrographs and 2D class averages, a corresponding 3D reconstruction could not be
obtained, due to its sparse representation among the sampled assemblies. Fifteen cryo-EM
maps were reconstructed in the box shown in color at the bottom. In that box, the name of
each map (applied symmetry), overall resolution, and the number of particles used (ptcls) are
provided. Blue and red boxes represent RNA-free and RNA-bound complexes, respectively.

(G) FSC plots for resolution assessment: between independently refined half maps (half
maps, red) and full cryo-EM reconstruction and the atomic model (map vs model, black).
Thresholds: 0.143 (half maps) and 0.5 (map vs model). Local resolution maps are shown with
color scales from blue to red (Å).

(H) Expression and purification of BoDV-1 N complexes from HEK293T cells. Left: SDS-
PAGE after Ni-NTA affinity purification. Middle: negative-stain electron micrograph. Right:
zoomed-in view of ring-like structures indicated by the arrows in the middle panel. These are
consistent with complexes observed in the *E. coli* system. Scale bars: 50 nm (middle) and 10
nm (right).

(I) Representative 2D class averages showing oligomer pairs in proximity: a pentamer with a
tetramer ("5-mer + 4-mer", top panel) and a hexamer with a tetramer ("6-mer + 4-mer",
bottom panel). Scale bar: 10 nm.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Structural comparisons and local resolution of cryo-EM maps
(A) Left and Middle panels: bottom views of 4-mer-1 and 4-mer-2. Right panel: Schematic
illustrating the angular difference between C-terminal and N-terminal lobes.

(B) Structural alignment of 4-mer-1 (colored) with crystal structure (Xtal, transparent grey).
Atomic models are shown as Cα backbone wire representations. The crystal structure exhibits
a more compact conformation.

(C-E) Local resolution maps of cryo-EM reconstructions for (C) 4-mer-1, (D) 4-mer-2, and
(E) 5-mer complexes. For tetramers: complete complex maps (left panel) and segmented
asymmetric subunit maps (right panels). Color scale ranges from blue to red (Å), as indicated
by the color bar.

(F-H) Atomic models colored by *B*-factor for (F) 4-mer-1, (G) 4-mer-2, and (H) 5-mer. The
color scale ranges from blue (low *B*-factor, indicating more stable regions) to red (high *B*-
factor, indicating more flexible regions) in Å², as indicated by the color bar. In (H), a close-up
view highlights the region with the highest *B*-factors (dotted boxes); amino acid numbers of
the N-terminal arm are shown in the right panel.

(I, J) 6-mer complex: (I) Local resolution map and (J) atomic model colored by *B*-factor.
Color scales are the same as in panels (C-H).

Supplementary Fig. 3. RNA structures in viral N–RNA complexes in the order
Mononegavirales
(A-E) Atomic models of representative N–RNA complexes from five families in the
Mononegavirales, shown in surface representation. RNA is depicted in red using a ladder
representation in the top view to highlight the configuration of the RNA backbone and base
arrangement: (A) measles virus (MV, PDB-ID 4UFT[11]) and parainfluenza virus 5 (PIV5,
PDB-ID 4XJN[30]), *Paramyxoviridae*; (B) Human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV, PDB-
ID 2WJ8[10]) and human metapneumovirus (HMPV, PDB-ID 5FVC[15]), *Pneumoviridae*;
(C) Ebola virus (EBOV, PDB-ID 5Z9W[16]) and lloviu virus (LLOV, PDB-ID 7YPW[21]),
Filoviridae; (D) vesicular stomatitis Indiana virus (VSIV, PDB-ID 2GIC[7]) and Rabies virus
(RABV, PDB-ID 2GTT[8]), *Rhabdoviridae*; (E) BoDV-1 (6-mer, PDB-ID 9JZI, this study),

Bornaviridae. For the heptameric and octameric N–RNA complex, the NT-arm, core, and
CT-arm of a subunit in the hexameric model are independently fitted into cryo-EM maps as
rigid bodies.

(F) Amino acid sequence alignment of the N molecules from members in the genus
Orthobornavirus: Borna disease virus 1 (BoDV-1, Uniplot: UPO09291.1), Borna disease
virus 2 (BoDV-2, Uniplot: YP_009268917.1), estrildid finch bornavirus 1 (EsBV-1, Uniplot:
YP_009505423.1), variegated squirrel bornavirus 1 (VSBV-1, Uniplot: YP_009269413.1),
Loveridge's garter snake virus 1 (LGSV-1, Uniplot: YP_009055058.1), and parrot bornavirus
7 (PaBV-7, UniProt: YP_009268899.1). Conserved and similar residues are highlighted with
filled and hollow boxes, respectively. Blue arrowheads indicate positions of RNA-binding
basic residues. Secondary structure assignments are shown for the N protein in the RNA-free
4-mer-1 (PDB-ID 9JZJ) and the RNA-bound 6-mer (chain B, PDB-ID 9JZL). Solid lines
denote modeled regions, dotted lines indicate unmodeled regions, and dots mark alignment
gaps. Alpha helices and beta sheets are indicated by coils and arrows, respectively. Domain
colors follow the scheme in Supplementary Fig. 1A.

(G-K) Conformational shift and RNA-binding motifs of N molecules among members of the
order *Mononegavirales*. In each panel, the RNA-bound state (color) is overlaid with the
RNA-free state (grey) to facilitate structural comparison. (G) MV (N–RNA, PDB-ID 4UFT;
N0-P, PDB-ID 5E4V[12]), PIV-5 (N–RNA, PDB-ID 4XJN; N0-P, PDB-ID 5WKN[48]) and
Nipah virus (NiV; N–RNA, PDB-ID 7NT5[24]; N0-P, PDB-ID 4CO6[49]),
Paramyxoviridae. (H) HMPV (N–RNA, PDB-ID 5FVC; N0-P, PDB-ID 5FVD[15]),
Pneumoviridae. (I) EBOV (NP–RNA, PDB-ID 5Z9W[16]; NP0-VP35, PDB-ID 4YPI[14])
and Marburg virus (MARV; NP–RNA, PDB-ID 7F1M[20]; NP0-VP35, PDB-ID 5F5M),
Filoviridae. (J) VSIV (N–RNA, PDB-ID 2GIC; N0-P, PDB-ID 3PMK[50]) and RABV (N–
RNA, PDB-ID 8FFR[17]; N0-P, PDB-ID 8B8V[17]), *Rhabdoviridae*. (K) BoDV-1,
Bornaviridae. Note: In filoviruses, nucleoprotein and phosphoprotein are conventionally
abbreviated to NP and VP35, respectively.

(L-P) Schematic diagrams corresponding to panels (G-K), with annotated text highlighting
key structural differences between RNA-free and RNA-bound states for each virus.

(Q-U) Close-up of N–RNA binding interface for: (Q) MV, (R) HMPV, (S) EBOV, (T)
RABV, and (U) BoDV-1. Blue dashed lines indicate residues within hydrogen bond distance.
RNA and RNA-binding loops are colored and labeled, whereas other regions of the protein
are rendered in translucent white for clarity.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Complex assemblies and unique protein-protein interactions
involving N$_{CTD}$
(A) Purification and characterization of BoDV-1 N protein. Left: SEC profile of purified
BoDV-1 N protein. Blue dashed lines indicate fractions for further analysis. Right: SDS-
PAGE of SEC fractions. A band distinct from full-length N (arrow) was excised from
Fraction #2 and analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

(B) LC-MS/MS analysis of BoDV-1 N protein (Q Exactive Plus, Thermo Fisher Scientific).
Samples were digested with trypsin or chymotrypsin. Top: Histogram showing peptide
coverage across the N protein sequence. Bottom: Detected amino-acid sequences and their
counts in the boundary region between the C-terminal and N-terminal lobes. Residues
presumed to have been cleaved at the carboxy terminus by protease are highlighted in grey.
Putative CTD cleavage sites were identified at positions 214D-215F, 220K-221E, and 222F-
223M.

(C) Binding interface between the cleaved N_{CTD} in the S-shape-1 complex. Top: Surface
representation of the S-shape-1 complex, with bridging subunits N_4 and N_8 highlighted in
pink. The red outline indicates the area enlarged in the lower panels. Bottom:
hydrophobic/hydrophilic surface potential of the outlined region, calculated using the
Brasseur method[51], colored cyan (hydrophilic) and orange (hydrophobic).

(D, E) Structural comparison of subunits from the S-shape-1 and 4-mer-1. (D) N-terminal
arm in the S-shape-1 complex exhibits a sharp bend, (E) centered at a Gly-Gly-Ser motif
(residues 39-41).

(F) Spatial arrangement of subunits in the S-shaped, triangular complexes. Atomic models of
tetrameric complexes (cool colors) and pentameric complexes (warm colors) are
superimposed to show well-aligned architecture. Scale bar: 50 Å.

(G, H) Tetrameric complexes aligned in (G) parallel and (H) antiparallel configurations.
Scale bar: 50 Å.

Supplementary Movie 1 Visualization of continuous conformational heterogeneity in
BoDV-1 N complexes

3D Variability Analysis in CryoSPARC revealed the continuous conformational landscape of
the N complexes. Animation cycles through seven distinct assemblies of N complexes. This
approach complemented the distinct assembly states identified by 3D classification, revealing
structural heterogeneity that suggests continuous conformational changes within each state.
Scale bar: 10 Å.

Supplementary Movie 2 An assembly model of the hexameric ring-like N–RNA complex

This morph movie illustrates a possible assembly pathway of the BoDV-1 N–RNA complex,
starting from an RNA-free tetramer and sequentially transitioning through a twin tetramer, an
S-shaped complex, a flat hexamer, an oval hexamer, and finally a fully assembled ring-like
hexamer bound to RNA. Dynamic transformations highlight the structural plasticity of the N
protein and suggest potential intermediates in nucleocapsid assembly, providing insights into
possible stepwise assembly of the functional N–RNA complex.

819 Materials and methods

820 Protein expression and specimen purification

Expression and purification of the full-length N protein of the Borna disease virus 1 (the
species *Mammalian 1 orthobornavirus*, Uniplot: P0C796) was conducted with *E. coli* and
mammalian expression systems.

For the *E. coli* expression system, an N-terminal hexahistidine-tagged N was expressed in
Rosetta™ 2(DE3) pLysS competent cells (71403; Novagen, Inc., Madison, WI, USA)
through induction with 0.5 mM isopropyl β- d-1-thiogalactopyranoside at 16 °C overnight.
Pelleted cells were resuspended in a sonication buffer: Tris buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4,
150 mM NaCl) containing 10 mM imidazole and a protease inhibitor cocktail (25955-24;
Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Japan). After sonication, lysates were clarified by centrifugation at
$20,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. Then, supernatants were loaded onto a column containing
TALON® Metal Affinity Resin (635501; Takara Bio, Inc., Shiga, Japan). Resin was washed
with sonication buffer, and histidine-tagged proteins were eluted with sonication buffer
containing 300 mM imidazole. Samples were then applied to size-exclusion chromatography
using a Superdex 200 Increase10/300 GL column (Cytiva, USA) in Tris buffer. Fractions
were concentrated with Amicon® Ultra Centrifugal Filters (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt,

Germany) and stored at 4°C. Samples were subjected to mass spectrometry, negative-stain
transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and cryo-EM analysis.

For the mammalian expression system, human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK 293T) cells
were transfected with a pCAGGS plasmid coding the full-length N protein with an N-
terminal hexahistidine tag. Three days post-transfection, cell pellets were resuspended and
gently mixed on ice for 15 min in lysis buffer: Tris buffer containing 0.05% NP-40 substitute
(Wako, 141-08321), a protease inhibitor cocktail (EDTA-free) (Roche, 11836170001), and 2
mM Ribonucleoside-Vanadyl Complex (NEB, S1402S). Insoluble components were pelleted,
and supernatant was collected and mixed with pre-equilibrated TALON® Metal Affinity
Resin (TaKaRa, 635502) and incubated on ice for 20 min, followed by two washes with
binding buffer, Tris buffer containing 10 mM imidazole, and pelleting of the resin. The resin
was loaded onto a column, and histidine-tagged proteins were eluted with elution buffer: Tris
buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. Eluted samples were subjected to negative-stain TEM
to compare assembly of N complexes in different expression systems.

Negative-stain transmission electron microscopy

A 5-µL aliquot of the specimen was applied to freshly glow-discharged, carbon-coated 600-
mesh copper grids (Gilder Grids Ltd, UK). After allowing samples to adhere for 1 min,
excess liquid was gently blotted away using filter paper. Subsequently, grids were
immediately stained with three drops of 2% (w/v) phosphotungstic acid, adjusted to pH 7.0.
After carefully removing excess staining solution, grids were air-dried at room temperature
before imaging. Imaging was conducted on an HT-7700 transmission electron microscope
(Hitachi High-Tech, Japan) at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV, using an XR81-B CCD
camera (Advanced Microscopy Techniques, USA).

Cryo-EM specimen preparation and data acquisition

A 2-µL aliquot of specimen solution was applied twice onto glow-discharged Quantifoil
R1.2/1.3 Gold 300 mesh grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH, Germany). After blotting
excess solution on the grids with filter paper, specimens were rapidly frozen in liquid ethane
on a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Cryo-grids were initially screened
using a Glacios cryo-TEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) operated at an acceleration
voltage of 200 kV, equipped with a Falcon4 direct electron detector at the Institute for Life
and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University.

Cryo-EM data from wild-type N were collected on a pre-screened cryo-grid on a Titan Krios
cryo-TEM operated at 300 kV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), equipped with a Cs corrector
(CEOS GmbH, Germany) at the Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University. Image
acquisition was performed using a beam-image shift scheme with a 3×3 hole configuration
with two target positions per hole using the SerialEM software[52] with a nominal defocus
range of −0.6 to −1.6 μm in EFTEM nanoprobe mode. Images were acquired as 60-frame
movies using a Gatan BioQuantum energy filter with a slit width of 20 eV and a K3 direct
electron detector (Gatan, Inc., USA) in the electron-counting and correlated double sampling
(CDS) imaging mode. 29,340 movies were acquired at a dose rate of 9.0 e⁻/pixel/s, a pixel
size of 0.88 Å, and a cumulative exposure of 40 e⁻/Å². Detailed imaging conditions are
described in Supplementary Supplementary Table 1.

Cryo-EM data from the N(K164A) were collected on a pre-screened cryo-grid on a Glacios
cryo-TEM equipped with a Falcon4 camera (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) operated at 200
kV at the Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University. Image collection was
conducted using aberration-free image shift (AFIS) capability managed with EPU software
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with a nominal defocus range of −0.6 to −1.6 μm in

nanoprobe mode with electron event representation (EER) mode. 5,733 movies were acquired
at a dose rate of 9.0 e⁻/pixel/s, a pixel size of 0.724 Å, and a cumulative exposure of 43 e⁻/Å².
Detailed imaging conditions are described in Supplementary Table 2.

Cryo-EM image processing

For the wild-type N dataset, acquired images were chronologically split into six subsets. Gain
reference images were generated for each subset using all movie frames with
"relion_estimate_gain" command in RELION4-beta[53]. Subsequent image processing was
performed using the software cryoSPARC[54, 55]. Motion correction and gain normalization
were carried out using "Patch motion correction", and the contrast transfer function (CTF)
was estimated using "Patch CTF Estimation". Particle coordinates were registered with
Topaz using the pre-trained model ResNet16 (64 units)[56], and selected particles were
extracted into a 140×140-pixel box with a pixel size of 2.64 Å (binning 4). These particles
were subjected to four rounds of 2D classification to remove low-quality particles and to
assess and identify diverse, complex structures. Selected particles were then subjected to
reference-free 3D reconstruction using "Ab-initio Reconstruction" to generate 3D initial
maps. Reference-based 3D classification was performed using "Heterogeneous Refinement"
to classify complex structures roughly. A subsequent round of 2D classification was
performed to analyze particle population heterogeneity further and to remove remaining
contaminants and low-quality particles. Classes containing heterogeneous structures from six
subsets were combined and subjected to a subsequent reference-based 3D classification using
"Heterogeneous Refinement". This step was necessary as most classes still contained a
mixture of molecules with varying oligomeric states or conformations. These subsets were
categorized as follows: "4-mers", "5-mer and Flat 6-mer", "6-mer", "Oval 6-mers", "7-mer,
8-mer", and "S-shapes, and Triangles" classes. Resulting subsets were subjected to further
3D classification and particle sorting using multiple rounds of "Ab-initio Reconstruction".
This step effectively removed remaining low-quality particles and improved class
homogeneity. Concurrently, structural heterogeneity of each complex was visualized and
evaluated using "3D Valiability" during 3D classification (Supplementary Movie 1). Final
reconstructions were obtained using either "Homogeneous Refinement" or "Non-uniform
Refinement". A detailed image processing workflow is depicted in Supplementary Fig. 1E.

For the N(K164A) dataset, gain reference images were generated for each subset using all
movie frames with "relion_estimate_gain" command in RELION4-beta. Subsequent image
processing steps were conducted using cryoSPARC. The CTF was estimated using the "Patch
CTF Estimation". Particle coordinates were registered with "Blob Picker", and selected
particles were extracted into a 210×210-pixel box with a pixel size of 1.448 Å (binning 2).
These particles were subjected to several rounds of 2D classification to remove low-quality
particles and to assess and identify diverse, complex structures. Selected 2D classes were
employed as references, and "Template Picker" was conducted. Particles were re-extracted,
classified, and selected in the same manner, and selected particles were then subjected to
reference-free 3D reconstruction using "Ab-initio Reconstruction" to generate 3D initial
maps. Reference-based 3D classification was performed using "Heterogeneous Refinement"
to classify complex structures roughly. Another 2D classification was performed to examine
what structures were mixed and to remove additional low-quality particles. Structures with
high similarity were grouped and subjected to another reference-based 3D classification using
"Heterogeneous Refinement" since most of classes were yet a blend of molecules with
varying numbers of subunits or conformations, namely "4-mers", "5-mer and S-shape", "6-
mers", and "7-mers" classes. Classified structures and particles were subjected to final 3D
reconstruction using "Homogeneous Refinement" and "Non-uniform Refinement" (only for
4-mers). A detailed image processing workflow is depicted in Supplementary Fig. 5C.

Atomic model building and refinement

RNA-free N (PDB-ID 1N93) was used as the starting model, fitted as a rigid body into cryo-EM maps using UCSF ChimeraX[57]. The model was then manually adjusted to fit the map with *Coot*[58]. RNA was modeled de novo as uracil nucleotides using *Coot*. These models were refined with *REFMAC5*[59] in *Servalcat*[60], employing external restraints generated with *ProSMART*[61] and *LIBG*[62]. RNA-free tetramers (4-mer-1 and 4-mer-2) and RNA-bound hexamer (6-mer) models were refined with C4 and C2 symmetry constraints, respectively, consistent with the symmetry imposed during cryo-EM reconstruction.

Nuclear inclusion body reconstitution assay

U-2 osteosarcoma (OS) cells (92022711; European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures, Public Health England, London, England) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (08456; Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Japan) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. For transfection, cells were seeded on coverslips in 24-well culture plates and co-transfected with 250 ng of plasmid DNA encoding BoDV-1 N and 25 ng of plasmid DNA encoding BoDV-1 P using polyethylenimine "Max" (24765-1; Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, USA). At 24 h post-transfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized, and blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin containing 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 min. Viral proteins in these cells were then probed with primary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature (RT), followed by two washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Subsequently, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies and 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 1 h at RT, washed three times with PBS, and mounted with ProLong® Diamond Antifade Reagent (Life Technologies, P36961). Immunofluorescence images were acquired using a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM 700; Carl Zeiss AG, Switzerland) equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 63× objective lens (numerical aperture = 1.4). The following antibodies were used in this study: anti-BoDV-1 N mouse monoclonal antibody (HN132), anti-BoDV-1 P rabbit polyclonal antibody (HB03), goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) highly cross-adsorbed secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 568 (A-11031; Thermo Fisher Scientific), goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) highly cross-adsorbed secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (A-11034; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Minireplicon assay

For the minireplicon assay, 1.6×10^5 of 293T cells were seeded onto 24-well plates. The following day, cells were transfected with 150 ng of minigenome plasmid possessing the *Gaussia* luciferase (Gluc) gene as a reporter[63], 150 ng of pCAGGS-N (wild-type or mutants), 150 ng of pCAGGS-L, 15 ng of pCAGGS-P, and 35 ng of a control plasmid expressing secreted *Cypridina* luciferase (Cluc) under the control of an SV40 promoter (pSV40-Cluc), using Avalanche®-Everyday Transfection Reagent (EZ Biosystems). At 72 h post-transfection, culture supernatants were subjected to luciferase assays using Pierce™ Gaussia Luciferase Glow Assay Kit and Pierce™ Cypridina Luciferase Glow Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Polymerase activity was quantified by normalizing Gluc activity to Cluc activity, an internal control for transfection efficiency.

Data visualization

Molecular visualizations and structural representations were generated using UCSF Chimera X (version 1.8)[57]. Plots and graphs were created using gnuplot (version 6.0)[64]. Multiple sequence alignments of N were performed using MAFFT[65] with default parameters. Resulting alignments were visualized and formatted using the ESPript3 server[66]. All

figures were assembled, formatted, and annotated using Adobe Illustrator (version 28.7.1) to
ensure consistent style and labeling.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Cryo-EM image processing and model building were performed using standard single-
particle analysis methods. 3D reconstruction and resolution estimation were based on the
"gold-standard" Fourier shell correlation using the 0.143 criterion, where two independently
refined half-sets of the data are compared to minimize reference bias. Quality of final atomic
models was assessed using *MolProbity*. The model-to-map fit was evaluated using *Servalcat*
software.

Fluorescence microscopy data were obtained from multiple independent experiments, and
representative images were shown. Observed patterns were consistent among all replicates,
confirming reproducibility of results. To ensure comparability, image acquisition settings
were kept consistent among all samples in each experiment.

Minireplicon assays were performed in technical duplicates across three independent
biological replicates. These measurements showed a stark contrast between wild-type and
mutant samples. Negative control, L(-), values consistently ranged from 1/90 to 1/170 of
wild-type measurements, with mutant samples exhibiting values comparable to those of
negative controls. Given the magnitude and consistency of these differences among all
replicates, where mutant values were indistinguishable from background levels, the biological
significance of observed differences is evident from the raw data, and formal statistical
analysis was deemed unnecessary.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mika Hirose and Takayuki Kato (Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University)
for managing and providing user support at their cryo-EM facility; Yuzo Watanabe
(Proteomics Facility, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University) for assistance with
the mass spectrometry analysis, Steven D. Aird for editing the manuscript, and Yoshihiro
Kawaoka for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for the Ministry
of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) Leading Initiative for
Excellent Young Researchers; Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Scientific
Research (C) (21K07052); JSPS Transformative Research Areas (B) (21H05117); Japan
Science and Technology Agency (JST) FOREST Program (JPMJFR214S); research grant
from the Kazato Research Encouragement Prize (to YS); JSPS Scientific Research (C)
(23K06574 to YH) and (B) (21H01199, 23K20902 to MH, and 24K02284 to YS, YH, and
MH); JSPS Core-to-Core Program A, Advanced Research Networks (JPJSCCA20190008),
and AMED (24fm0208101j0008, 25fk0108694h0002) (to TN), Joint Research Project of the
Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo; the Takeda Science Foundation (to YS and
TN); and Joint Usage/Research Center Program of the Institute for Life and Medical Sciences
at Kyoto University(to YS, YH, TN, and MH), the Takeda Science Foundation (to YS and
TN).

The cryo-EM experiments were partially supported by the Platform Project for Supporting
Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (Basis for Supporting Innovative Drug Discovery
and Life Science Research (BINDS)) from AMED under Grant Number JP21am0101072
(support no. 3130) and JP23ama121001 (support no. 5890).

Author contributions

YS, YH, and MH conceptualized and designed the study and supervised all aspects of the research. YH and MH carried out gene cloning and protein expression. YS, YH, and SHG prepared specimens. YS conducted EM data collections, and YS and SHG analyzed cryo-EM data. YH performed fluorescent microscopy experiments, and MH performed the minireplicon assay. KT and TN provided essential resources and valuable advice throughout the study. YS and MH drafted the manuscript, which was further refined with input from all authors. All authors critically reviewed, edited, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Declarations

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Kuhn JH, Adkins S, Alkhovsky SV, et al (2022) 2022 taxonomic update of phylum Negarnaviricota (Riboviria: Orthornavirae), including the large orders Bunyavirales and Mononegavirales. Arch Virol 167:2857–2906. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-022-05546-z

2. Lahaye X, Vidy A, Pomier C, et al (2009) Functional characterization of Negri bodies (NBs) in rabies virus-infected cells: Evidence that NBs are sites of viral transcription and replication. J Virol 83:7948–7958. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00554-09

3. Hoenen T, Shabman RS, Groseth A, et al (2012) Inclusion bodies are a site of ebolavirus replication. J Virol 86:11779–11788. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01525-12

4. Cifuentes-Muñoz N, Branttie J, Slaughter KB, Dutch RE (2017) Human Metapneumovirus Induces Formation of Inclusion Bodies for Efficient Genome Replication and Transcription. J Virol 91:e01282-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01282-17

5. Rincheval V, Lelek M, Gault E, et al (2017) Functional organization of cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in cells infected by respiratory syncytial virus. Nat Commun 8:563. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00655-9

6. Rudolph MG, Kraus I, Dickmanns A, et al (2003) Crystal structure of the borna disease virus nucleoprotein. Struct Lond Engl 1993 11:1219–1226

7. Green TJ, Zhang X, Wertz GW, Luo M (2006) Structure of the vesicular stomatitis virus nucleoprotein-RNA complex. Science 313:357–360. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126953

8. Albertini AAV, Wernimont AK, Muziol T, et al (2006) Crystal structure of the rabies virus nucleoprotein-RNA complex. Science 313:360–363. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1125280

9. Green TJ, Luo M (2009) Structure of the vesicular stomatitis virus nucleocapsid in complex with the nucleocapsid-binding domain of the small polymerase cofactor, P. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:11713–11718. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903228106

10. Tawar RG, Duquerroy S, Vonrhein C, et al (2009) Crystal structure of a nucleocapsid-
like nucleoprotein-RNA complex of respiratory syncytial virus. Science 326:1279–
1283. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177634

11. Gutsche I, Desfosses A, Effantin G, et al (2015) Near-atomic cryo-EM structure of the
helical measles virus nucleocapsid. Science 348:704–707.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5137

12. Guryanov SG, Liljeroos L, Kasaragod P, et al (2015) Crystal Structure of the Measles
Virus Nucleoprotein Core in Complex with an N-Terminal Region of Phosphoprotein. J
Virol 90:2849–2857. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02865-15

13. Kirchdoerfer RN, Abelson DM, Li S, et al (2015) Assembly of the Ebola Virus
Nucleoprotein from a Chaperoned VP35 Complex. Cell Rep 12:140–149.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.003

14. Leung DW, Borek D, Luthra P, et al (2015) An Intrinsically Disordered Peptide from
Ebola Virus VP35 Controls Viral RNA Synthesis by Modulating Nucleoprotein-RNA
Interactions. Cell Rep 11:376–389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.03.034

15. Renner M, Bertinelli M, Leyrat C, et al (2016) Nucleocapsid assembly in pneumoviruses
is regulated by conformational switching of the N protein. eLife 5:e12627.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12627

16. Sugita Y, Matsunami H, Kawaoka Y, et al (2018) Cryo-EM structure of the Ebola virus
nucleoprotein-RNA complex at 3.6 Å resolution. Nature 563:137–140.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0630-0

17. Gérard FCA, Bourhis J-M, Mas C, et al (2022) Structure and Dynamics of the
Unassembled Nucleoprotein of Rabies Virus in Complex with Its Phosphoprotein
Chaperone Module. Viruses 14:2813. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14122813

18. Kirchdoerfer RN, Saphire EO, Ward AB (2019) Cryo-EM structure of the Ebola virus
nucleoprotein–RNA complex. Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Commun 75:340–
347. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053230X19004424

19. Zinzula L, Beck F, Klumpe S, et al (2021) Cryo-EM structure of the cetacean
morbillivirus nucleoprotein-RNA complex. J Struct Biol 213:107750.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2021.107750

20. Fujita-Fujiharu Y, Sugita Y, Takamatsu Y, et al (2022) Structural insight into Marburg
virus nucleoprotein–RNA complex formation. Nat Commun 13:1191.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28802-x

21. Hu S, Fujita-Fujiharu Y, Sugita Y, et al (2023) Cryoelectron microscopic structure of the
nucleoprotein–RNA complex of the European filovirus, Lloviu virus. PNAS Nexus
2:pgad120. https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgad120

22. Zinzula L, Beck F, Camasta M, et al (2024) Cryo-EM structure of single-layered
nucleoprotein-RNA complex from Marburg virus. Nat Commun 15:10307.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-54431-7

23. Song X, Shan H, Zhu Y, et al (2019) Self-capping of nucleoprotein filaments protects the
Newcastle disease virus genome. eLife 8:e45057. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.45057

24. Ker D-S, Jenkins HT, Greive SJ, Antson AA (2020) CryoEM structure of the Nipah virus
nucleocapsid assembly. Biophysics

25. Gonnin L, Desfosses A, Bacia-Verloop M, et al (2023) Structural landscape of the
respiratory syncytial virus nucleocapsids. Nat Commun 14:5732.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41439-8

26. Niller HH, Angstwurm K, Rubbenstroth D, et al (2020) Zoonotic spillover infections with
Borna disease virus 1 leading to fatal human encephalitis, 1999–2019: an
epidemiological investigation. Lancet Infect Dis 20:467–477.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30546-8

27. Matsumoto Y, Hayashi Y, Omori H, et al (2012) Bornavirus Closely Associates and
Segregates with Host Chromosomes to Ensure Persistent Intranuclear Infection. Cell
Host Microbe 11:492–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2012.04.009

28. Hock M, Kraus I, Schoehn G, et al (2010) RNA induced polymerization of the Borna
disease virus nucleoprotein. Virology 397:64–72.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2009.11.016

29. Sugita Y, Kawaoka Y, Noda T, Wolf M (2016) Structure of the Ebola Virus
Nucleocapsid Core by Single Particle Cryo-Electron Microscopy. Microsc Microanal
22:66–67. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1431927616001185

30. Alayyoubi M, Leser GP, Kors CA, Lamb RA (2015) Structure of the paramyxovirus
parainfluenza virus 5 nucleoprotein–RNA complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
112:E1792–E1799. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503941112

31. Shan H, Su X, Li T, et al (2021) Structural plasticity of mumps virus nucleocapsids with
cryo-EM structures. Commun Biol 4:833. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02362-0

32. García J, García-Barreno B, Vivo A, Melero JA (1993) Cytoplasmic Inclusions of
Respiratory Syncytial Virus-Infected Cells: Formation of Inclusion Bodies in
Transfected Cells That Coexpress the Nucleoprotein, the Phosphoprotein, and the 22K
Protein. Virology 195:243–247. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1993.1366

33. Chenik M, Chebli K, Gaudin Y, Blondel D (1994) In Vivo Interaction of Rabies Virus
Phosphoprotein (P) and Nucleoprotein (N): Existence of Two N-binding Sites on P
Protein. J Gen Virol 75:2889–2896. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-75-11-2889

34. Derdowski A, Peters TR, Glover N, et al (2008) Human metapneumovirus nucleoprotein
and phosphoprotein interact and provide the minimal requirements for inclusion body
formation. J Gen Virol 89:2698–2708. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.2008/004051-0

35. Zhang S, Chen L, Zhang G, et al (2013) An amino acid of human parainfluenza virus
type 3 nucleoprotein is critical for template function and cytoplasmic inclusion body
formation. J Virol 87:12457–12470. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01565-13

36. Zhou Y, Su JM, Samuel CE, Ma D (2019) Measles Virus Forms Inclusion Bodies with
Properties of Liquid Organelles. J Virol 93:e00948-19.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00948-19

37. Hirai Y, Tomonaga K, Horie M (2021) Borna disease virus phosphoprotein triggers the
organization of viral inclusion bodies by liquid-liquid phase separation. Int J Biol
Macromol 192:55–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.09.153

38. Ge P, Tsao J, Schein S, et al (2010) Cryo-EM Model of the Bullet-Shaped Vesicular
Stomatitis Virus. Science 327:689–693. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1181766

39. Zhou K, Si Z, Ge P, et al (2022) Atomic model of vesicular stomatitis virus and
mechanism of assembly. Nat Commun 13:5980. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-
33664-4

40. Jenni S, Horwitz JA, Bloyet L-M, et al (2022) Visualizing molecular interactions that
determine assembly of a bullet-shaped vesicular stomatitis virus particle. Nat Commun
13:4802. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32223-1

41. Riedel C, Vasishtan D, Pražák V, et al (2019) Cryo EM structure of the rabies virus
ribonucleoprotein complex. Sci Rep 9:9639. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46126-
7

42. Conley MJ, Short JM, Burns AM, et al (2022) Helical ordering of envelope-associated
proteins and glycoproteins in respiratory syncytial virus. EMBO J 41:e109728.
https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2021109728

43. Noda T, Kolesnikova L, Kolesnikova L, et al (2011) The Importance of the NP: VP35
Ratio in Ebola Virus Nucleocapsid Formation. J Infect Dis 204:S878–S883.
https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir310

44. Iseni F, Ruigrok RW, Blondel D, et al (1998) Characterization of rabies virus
nucleocapsids and recombinant nucleocapsid-like structures. J Gen Virol 79:2909–2919.
https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-79-12-2909

45. Esneau C, Raynal B, Roblin P, et al (2019) Biochemical characterization of the
respiratory syncytial virus N0-P complex in solution. J Biol Chem 294:3647–3660.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.006453

46. Liu B, Dong S, Li G, et al (2017) Structural Insight into Nucleoprotein Conformation
Change Chaperoned by VP35 Peptide in Marburg Virus. J Virol 91:e00825-17.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00825-17

47. Croll TI (2018) *ISOLDE* : a physically realistic environment for model building into low-
resolution electron-density maps. Acta Crystallogr Sect Struct Biol 74:519–530.
https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798318002425

48. Aggarwal M, Leser GP, Kors CA, Lamb RA (2018) Structure of the Paramyxovirus
Parainfluenza Virus 5 Nucleoprotein in Complex with an Amino-Terminal Peptide of
the Phosphoprotein. J Virol 92:e01304-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01304-17

49. Yabukarski F, Lawrence P, Tarbouriech N, et al (2014) Structure of Nipah virus unassembled nucleoprotein in complex with its viral chaperone. Nat Struct Mol Biol 21:754–759. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2868

50. Leyrat C, Yabukarski F, Tarbouriech N, et al (2011) Structure of the vesicular stomatitis virus N^0-P complex. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002248. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002248

51. Brasseur R (1991) Differentiation of lipid-associating helices by use of three-dimensional molecular hydrophobicity potential calculations. J Biol Chem 266:16120–16127

52. Mastronarde DN (2005) Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements. J Struct Biol 152:36–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.07.007

53. Zivanov J, Nakane T, Forsberg BO, et al (2018) New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. eLife 7:e42166. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42166

54. Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, Brubaker MA (2017) cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat Methods 14:290–296. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4169

55. Punjani A, Zhang H, Fleet DJ (2020) Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. Nat Methods 17:1214–1221. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00990-8

56. Bepler T, Morin A, Rapp M, et al (2019) Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. Nat Methods 16:1153–1160. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0575-8

57. Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, et al (2018) UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein Sci 27:14–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3235

58. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:486–501. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493

59. Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, et al (2011) *REFMAC* 5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 67:355–367. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444911001314

60. Yamashita K, Palmer CM, Burnley T, Murshudov GN (2021) Cryo-EM single-particle structure refinement and map calculation using *Servalcat*. Acta Crystallogr Sect Struct Biol 77:1282–1291. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798321009475

61. Nicholls RA, Fischer M, McNicholas S, Murshudov GN (2014) Conformation-independent structural comparison of macromolecules with *ProSMART*. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 70:2487–2499. https://doi.org/10.1107/S1399004714016241

62. Brown A, Long F, Nicholls RA, et al (2015) Tools for macromolecular model building
and refinement into electron cryo-microscopy reconstructions. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
Crystallogr 71:136–153. https://doi.org/10.1107/S1399004714021683

63. Reuter A, Horie M, Höper D, et al (2016) Synergistic antiviral activity of ribavirin and
interferon-α against parrot bornaviruses in avian cells. J Gen Virol 97:2096–2103.
https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000555

64. Thomas Williams, Colin Kelley, and many others (2024) Gnuplot: An Interactive Plotting
Program

65. Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version
7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

66. Robert X, Gouet P (2014) Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Res 42:W320–W324.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku316

1228

A

4-mer-1

NT domains

CT domains

N$_4$ N$_1$ N$_2$

90°

N$_1$

N$_2$

N$_4$

N$_3$

B

4-mer-2

90°

N$_1$

N$_2$

N$_4$

N$_3$

C

4-mer-1 vs 4-mer-2 (N$_1$ subunit)

NT lobe

NT arm

CT lobe

CT arm

90°

D

D

4-mer-1 vs 4-mer-2 (CT lobes of N$_{1-2}$ subunits)

N$_2$

90°

N$_2$

Arg341

N$_1$

Arg341

N$_1$

E

5-mer

N$_1$

N$_5$

N$_4$

N$_2$

N$_3$

90°

F

5-mer subunits (N$_{1-5}$)

Core domain

NT arms

CT arms

90°

Core domain

NT arms

CT arms

G

Xtal

1-1 1-2

2-1 2-2

3-1 3-2

4-2 4-1

180°

1-2

1-1

4-1

4-2

H

4-mer-1   4-mer-2

I

5-mer

N$_5$ N$_4$ N$_3$ N$_2$ N$_1$

Fig. 2

A 6-mer

90°

RNA

B 7-mer

C 8-mer

D N₃ = N₆   N₁ = N₄   N₂ = N₅

E

F Close-up view from inside the 6-mer complex

Lys164
Arg165
Lys154

90°

Arg165
Lys164
Lys154
Arg321
Arg297

Arg297
Arg321

G Outside the complex (N molecule)

Arg297   Lys154   Lys164   Arg165

Thr316
Arg321

Inside the complex

H 6-mer subunits (N₁₋₃) vs RNA-free N

N₃
N₁
N₂
4-mer-2
4-mer-1
Xtal

90°

4-mer-2
4-mer-1
N₁   Xtal
N₃
N₂

I 6-mer subunits (N₁₋₃) vs RNA-free N

322
314

J 6-mer subunits

N₁   N₂   N₃

1-1   1-2
RNA
3-1   3-2
4-2   4-1

180°

1-2
4-1   1-1
4-2

K

N₃
N₁
N₂
4-mer-2   Xtal
4-mer-1

Fig. 3

A Flat 6-mer

B Oval 6-mer-1

C Oval 6-mer-2

D Flat 6-mer subunits

N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4 N_5 N_6

Empty
Disorderd

E Oval 6-mer-1 subunits

N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4 N_5 N_6

Empty
Orderd

F Oval 6-mer-2 subunits

N_1 N_2 N_3

RNA
Orderd

G 6-mer subunits

N_1 N_2 N_3

A

S-shape-1 (8-mer)

B

C

Loop Phe118-Glu130

Loop Thr103-Val112

Gly39-Gly40-Ser41

N₃ N₅

D

S-shape-2 (9-mer)

E

Triangle-1 (12-mer)

F

Triangle-2 (13-mer)

A

Polymerase activity
(Gluc RLU/Cluc RLU)

L (-) WT K154A K164A R297A R321A

B

P   N   Merge+DAPI

WT

K154A

K164A

R297A

R321A

C 4-mer: N(K164A)

90°

D 4-mer: N(K164A) vs WT (4-mer-2)

E 5-mer: N(K164A) vs WT

F S-shape: N(K164A) vs WT (S-shape-1)

G Flat 6-mer: N(K164A) vs WT

H 4-mer antiparallele: N(K164A) vs WT

I 6-mer: WT

J 6-mer: N(K164A) vs WT

K 7-mer: N(K164A) vs WT

L Oval 6-mer: N(K164A) vs WT (oval 6-mer-2)

M Flat 7-mer: N(K164A)

N Triangular 6-mer: N(K164A)