Host-Specific Transcriptional Responses of *Phytophthora capsici* During Early Crown

Infection in Cucurbitaceous and Solanaceous Plants

Pablo Vargas-Mejía[1,2,3], Lino Sánchez Segura[4], Fernando U. Rojas-Rojas[1,2,], Harumi Shimada-

Beltrán[1,2] and Julio C. Vega-Arreguín[1,2*]

[1]Laboratorio de Ciencias AgroGenómicas, Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores Unidad

León, UNAM, Blvd. UNAM 2011, 37684, León, México.

[2]Laboratorio Nacional PlanTECC, Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores Unidad León,

UNAM, Blvd. UNAM 2011, 37684, León, México.

[3]Posgrado en Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, CDMX,

México.

[4]Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Unidad

Irapuato, Km. 9.6, Libramiento Norte, Carretera Irapuato-León, Guanajuato, 36824, México.

*Corresponding author: JCVA (jvega@enes.unam.mx)

Keywords: RNA-seq; *Phytophthora capsici*; Host-pathogen interactions; Effector regulation;

Metabolic reprogramming; Oomycete pathogenesis; Virulence strategies; Generalist pathogen

Abstract

Phytophthora capsici is a broad-host-range oomycete phytopathogen responsible for economic

losses in crops worldwide. Previous transcriptomic analyses have focused mainly on plant

responses to infection. However, the transcriptional adaptations of the phytopathogen to different

host species remain poorly understood. In this study, we investigated the transcriptomic response

of *P. capsici* during early infection in *Cucumis sativus, Cucumis melo, Capsicum annuum* CM334,

and *Solanum lycopersicum* by RNA-seq and multiphoton microscopy. Focusing on crown

infections since it is the natural entry point for the pathogen.

Our results reveal striking host-specific infection strategies. In tomato, rapid necrosis at 12-27

hours post-inoculation was associated with early metabolic reprogramming and effector

repression. In contrast, cucurbits exhibited delayed necrosis (36-102 hpi), supporting extensive

host intracellular colonization and upregulation of carbohydrate metabolism pathways. Partially
resistant CM334 chili pepper restricted pathogen proliferation, with *P. capsici* displaying
transcriptional signatures indicative of metabolic stress. In addition, effector expression is tightly
regulated, with the differential deployment of RxLR, NLP, and CRN families across hosts.

Comparative transcriptomics highlights metabolic reprogramming as a key determinant of
infection success, with *P. capsici* fine-tuning its gene expression to exploit host-specific
vulnerabilities. These findings provide novel insights into *P. capsici* adaptive strategies in soil-
borne infections and underscore the importance of targeting crown infection sites for disease
management. Future research should integrate host transcriptomics and functional studies to
elucidate the molecular determinants of host specificity.

1 Introduction

Phytophthora capsici is a notorious filamentous phytopathogenic oomycete responsible for severe
symptoms in vegetable, ornamental, and tropical crops, such as root and stem rot, lower size in
plants, and necrosis (Quesada-Ocampo et al., 2023). These symptoms produce a yield decrease
and, in severe cases, loss of crops around the world. (Lamour et al., 2012b). As a soil-borne
pathogen with a broad host range, *P. capsici* infects several species of *Cucurbitaceae* and
Solanaceae families, causing the first symptoms of diseases in the root, such as root rot and
subsequent stem blight and fruit rot. *P. capsici* displays adaptative strategies to colonize its hosts,
and it is considered a generalist phytopathogen; it has a highly adaptable infection strategy,
allowing it to overcome diverse plant defense mechanisms (Quesada-Ocampo et al., 2023). Despite
its significance, our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying host-specific infection
strategies remains incomplete. Most of the previous studies have been focused on plant
transcriptomic responses to *P. capsici*, and fewer have investigated how the pathogen modulates
its gene expression in response to different hosts and infection niches giving valuable insights into
pathogen adaptation and virulence (Chen et al., 2013; Jupe et al., 2013; Maillot et al., 2022).
Besides, most transcriptomic studies of *P. capsici* were focused on foliar infection, even though
this pathogen naturally enters plants mostly through soil and colonize roots and the crown region
(Hausbeck and Lamour, 2004). The crown infection niche presents unique physiological and
immunological features in terms of its structural characteristics, nutrient availability, and the

composition of host defense mechanisms that may influence pathogen gene expression and
virulence strategies (Knight and Sutherland, 2016; Stephens et al., 2008).

The early infection stage is particularly critical, as *P. capsici* must rapidly establish and grow
within host tissues while evading recognition by host immune receptors. Pathogen success during
this phase depends on the precise regulation of effector proteins, metabolic reprogramming, and
stress adaptation mechanisms (Judelson, 2017; Wang et al., 2023; Zuluaga et al., 2016). Notably,
effector proteins such as RxLRs and Crinklers (CRNs) play essential roles in suppressing plant
immune responses, while secreted hydrolytic enzymes facilitate tissue colonization (Chepsergon
et al., n.d.; Stam et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023; Zuluaga et al., 2016). On the other hand, metabolic
plasticity also plays a key role, as *P. capsici* must exploit host-derived nutrients while resisting
oxidative stress imposed by plant defenses (Judelson, 2017).

Despite these advances, the host-dependent transcriptomic responses of *P. capsici* remain poorly
characterized, particularly in the context of crown infections in multiple hosts. Comparative
transcriptomics of the pathogen across different plant species can reveal core virulence
determinants as well as host-specific adaptations that shape infection outcomes. Understanding
how *P. capsici* fine-tunes its gene expression in response to diverse host environments is crucial
for developing targeted disease management strategies.

The aim of this study was to elucidate the transcriptomic responses of *P. capsici* during infection
of four host species: two cucurbitaceous hosts (cucumber and melon) and two solanaceous hosts
(CM334 chili pepper and tomato). Notably, our experimental design focused on infections initiated
at the crown of the plant, rather than the more commonly examined foliar infections. This approach
is particularly relevant given that *P. capsici* is a soil-borne pathogen that exploits the crown region
to establish systemic colonization. By investigating the transcriptomic profiles of *P. capsici* in
these hosts at the early infection stage, we aimed to provide insights into the molecular
determinants of host-specific virulence, as well as the metabolic adaptations that facilitate
successful colonization.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Strains, Media and Culture Conditions

The *Phytophthora capsici* strain used in this study was D3 mating type A1 (Pons-Hernández et al., 2020; Sevillano-Serrano et al., 2024). *P. capsici* D3 was routinely grown on V8 agar in the dark at 24°C.

2.2 Infection Assay

To infect seedlings with *P. capsici* D3, 0.01 g of mycelium from 5 days-old cultures was harvested with tweezers and deposited in the crown of 5-8 weeks-old seedlings. Plants used as hosts in the present study were *Capsicum annuum* (Criollo de Morelos 334), *Cucumis melo*, *Cucumis sativus*, and *Solanum lycopersicum*. As a non-plant infection control, the same mycelium source was cultivated on V8 agar plates. At 24 hours post-inoculation, the accessible mycelium on the infected plant surface was recovered with tweezers for subsequent RNA extraction.

2.3 Multiphoton microscopy

Stems and roots were dissected from a 1 cm distance to the crown inoculation site. Samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and incubated during 2 h. Subsequently, the samples were washed with phosphate buffer 0.16 M at pH 7.2 and embedded in Sakura Tissue Tek, and frozen at -20°C. The samples were sectioned in a cryotome to a width of 100 μm. Tissue sections were stained with Propidium Iodine (IP) at a final concentration of 0.0003%. To stain the cell wall, the samples were treated with Solophenyl at 0.01%. The stained samples were visualized in multiphoton microscopy LSM 880-NLO Axio Imager Z2 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) coupled to a Ti:Sapphire infrared laser (Chameleon Vision II, COHERENT, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The excitation laser was set at 543 nm for Propidium iodine and 458 nm for Solophenyl, respectively. The emission was detected from 548 to 684 for IP and 502 to 558 nm for Solophenyl. All micrographs were captured in CZI format at 1131 × 1131 pixels and RGB color. The spectral graphs were analyzed in Sigma plot 12 (Systat Software, Inc.).

2.4 RNA Extraction and Illumina Sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using the Quick-RNA Plant Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol, omitting the Zymo-Spin III-HRC column in the final step.

Mycelia of 10 infected plants were pooled per extraction, and 0.1g of mycelia was used for each extraction. RNA quality was measured with a Bioanalyzer, and samples with RIN > 5 were used for sequencing. RNA-Seq libraries (15 libraries in total, representing five conditions with three biological replicates per condition) were prepared by selectively isolating mRNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Libraries were sequenced at Novogene (Sacramento, CA, USA) using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (Hayward, CA, USA), generating paired-end reads (2 × 150 bp) with an average yield of 50 million reads per library. The raw sequencing data have been deposited in the NCBI database under BioProject accession number PRJNA1207595.

2.5 Mapping and statistical analysis

Read trimming and adaptor removal were performed using BBDuk with the parameters `forcetrimleft=20` and `trimq=20` (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/). Mapping and quantification were conducted with Kallisto using the *P. capsici* LT1534 v11.0 transcriptome (available at MycoCosm: mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov) (Bray et al., 2016; Grigoriev et al., 2014; Lamour et al., 2012a), employing bias correction and 100 bootstrap replicates. Approximately 77% of reads were pseudoaligned to the transcriptome. Differential expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2, as described in (Love et al., 2014; Tanja, 2023). *P. capsici* grown on V8 agar served as the control condition. Transcripts with an adjusted p-value (p-adj) ≤ 0.05 and a log2FoldChange > 1 or < -1 were considered differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Co-expression analysis was executed with Clust 1.18, using the parameters `–no optimization` (Abu-Jamous and Kelly, 2018).

2.6 Functional enrichment

In order to identify Gene Ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathway enrichment, the reference transcriptome was re-annotated using eggNOG mapper v2.1.12 (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2019) and merged with existing MycoCosm annotations. Effector proteins, including RXLRs, CRNs, Elicitins, and Nep1-like proteins (NLPs), were retrieved from the literature and supplemented by identifying additional candidates using EffectorP v3.0. Additional secreted proteins were predicted using SignalP v6 (Sperschneider and Dodds, 2022; Teufel et al., 2022). Functional enrichment analysis was performed with clusterProfiler v4.12.6, employing an over-representation analysis

(ORA) approach and applying a *p*-value cutoff of ≤0.05 (Wu et al., 2021). Effectors were annotated

against various databases with BLAST using an evalue $< 1 \times 10^{-30}$.

2.7 Functional validation by RNAi

Functional validation by gene silencing of three effector genes was conducted by designing RNAi

sequences and their respective primers using SIREN v0.1.5

(https://github.com/pablovargasmejia/SIREN), and the T7 promoter was added to each primer

(Table S1). To avoid off-targets in the pathogen and host plants, an off-target database was

constructed with the cDNA sequences of *P. capsici* and the four host plants with the --targets option

in SIREN v0.1.5. Designed RNAi sequences were *in vitro* transcribed from *P. capsici* cDNA fused

with the T7 promoter using HighYield T7 RNAi Kit at 37°C for 4 h (Jena Bioscience, Germany).

RNAi experiments were performed as follows: 1 µg of synthetic dsRNA were incubated with 0.1

g of *P. capsici* mycelium for 2 h on ice to facilitate cellular uptake. Then, 0.01 g of treated

mycelium was used as inoculum for virulence assays on adult plant leaves or plantlet stems. As a

negative control for silencing, *P. capsici* mycelium was incubated as described with T7 reaction

components that excluded RNA production. To screen the disease phenotype of the silenced genes,

inoculated leaves and stems were cleared first in an acetic acid: ethanol (1:3, v/v) solution

overnight, then in an acetic acid: ethanol: glycerol (1:5:1, v/v/v) solution for 3 h. The samples were

subsequently incubated for 3 h in a staining solution of 0.01% (w/v) trypan blue and then stored

in 60% glycerol until examination.

3 Results

3.1 Host Susceptibility and Stem Colonization

To evaluate host susceptibility to *P. capsici* D3, we infected the crown of *C. annuum* (Criollo de

Morelos 334 chili pepper), *C. melo* (melon), *C. sativus* (cucumber), and *S. lycopersicum* (tomato)

plantlets with mycelia. Representative phenotypes of 5-8 weeks plants at 24 hours post inoculation

(hpi) are shown in Figure 1a-d. Among the tested hosts, cucumber exhibited the most pronounced

aerial mycelial growth (Figure 1a-d), followed by melon, and subsequently by chili pepper and

tomato. Interestingly, the extent of mycelial growth on the surface of the infected tissue was

contrary to the time required for the pathogen to induce necrosis in the cucumber melon and tomato

plants (Figure 1e).

Tomato was the most susceptible host; the first symptoms of necrosis were observed at 12 hpi for some individuals, and the median time for infected plants to show necrosis was 27 hpi, reflecting a rapid progression of the infection. In contrast, the cucurbitaceous hosts displayed symptoms of necrosis at later times post-inoculation. Melon plants started to show necrosis at 36 hpi, with the median time of symptom appearance in melon plants population at 54 hpi, while cucumber plants began to necrose at 48 hpi, reaching a median necrosis onset at 102 hpi. Notably, chili pepper (CM334), a genotype previously reported to be partially resistant to *P. capsici* infection, exhibited an even further delayed necrotic response, with first symptoms evident at 90 hpi and a median necrosis onset at 120 hpi (Figure 1e).

Despite the delayed necrosis induction, in cucurbitaceous plants, greater intercellular mycelial growth was observed in these hosts during the sampled period. At 24 hpi, stem cross-sections dissected from 1 cm above the inoculation area showed abundant intercellular *P. capsici* D3 mycelial growth particularly in vascular tissues and in apoplastic manner (Figure 1f, g; Figure S1). In the tissue, the solophenyl (channel green) and propidium iodide staining (channel red) stained cell walls of the plants and mycelium of *P. capsici* D3 respectively.

Tomato plants showed large mycelial growth. Contrary to the cucurbitaceous plants, the pathogen grew longitudinally into parenchyma tissue, surrounding but not invading the vascular tissue (Figure 1i). Interestingly, chili pepper plants did not show intercellular mycelial growth in stem tissues at 24hpi (Figure 1h). Further examination of the inoculation site, and 1cm distal stems at 48 hpi confirmed that *P. capsici* D3 could not penetrate or invade chili pepper tissues effectively at these time points prior to necrosis (Figure S2).

3.2 Tissue-Specific Infection Dynamics: Root and Stem

To evaluate the tissue-specific pattern infection, we examined intercellular mycelium growth in the roots of infected melon, and chili pepper seedlings. At 24 hpi, *P. capsici* exhibited low proliferation in the roots of melon and at 48 hpi pathogen showed a small increase in root colonization (Figure 2a). This could suggest that the pathogen showed more affinity to stems rather than roots (Figure 1f-i and 2c-d).

Consistent with observations at the stem, mycelial growth was not detectable in chili pepper roots

even when the pathogen developed appressorium at 24 and 48 hpi (Figure 2b and S3). At 96 hpi,

infection progression showed *P. capsici* mycelial growth extending into the aerial photosynthetic

parts of tomato, at 120 hpi in melon and cucumber, and at 144hpi in pepper chili plantlets. This

observation suggests that *P. capsici* tends to colonize the aerial portions of its hosts, despite initial

zoospore deposition being concentrated at the crown, roots, and stem base under field conditions

(Figure S4).

Figure 1: Dynamics of *P. capsici* infection. **a** Cucumber (24 hpi). **b** Melon (24 hpi). **c** Chili pepper (24 hpi). **d** Tomato (24 hpi). **e** Time to necrosis induction by the host. **f** Stem section of cucumber infected plant at 24 hpi **g** Stem section of melon infected with *P. capsici* at 24 hpi. **h** Chili pepper stem section infected (24 hpi). **i** Stem section of tomato infected with *P. capsici* (24 hpi). White arrows highlight portions of mycelium. The plant cell wall was stained with solophenyl flavine

(green channel) and the hyphae of *P. capsici* was stained with propidium iodide (red channel). For

each host left image presents both channels, right image only presents propidium iodine staining.

Figure 2: Root colonization of *P. capsici*. **a** Melon root at 24 hpi. **b** Chili pepper root at 24hpi. **c**

Melon root at 48 hpi. **d** Chili pepper root at 48 hpi. White arrows highlight portions of mycelium.

The plant cell wall was stained with solophenyl flavine (green channel) and the hyphae of *P. capsici*

was stained with propidium iodide (red channel). For each host left image presents both channels,

right image only presents propidium iodine staining.

3.3 Comparative Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals Host-Dependent Pathogenic Strategies

We decided to perform RNA-Seq of *P. capsici* infecting the Solanaceous and Cucurbitaceous hosts

to understand the transcriptional response of the pathogen to the diverse environments that each

host represents. Mapping to the reference transcriptome yielded an average mapping rate of 77%

for each library. After principal component analysis, we found an evident separation of the

transcriptome responses of the *Phytophthora* samples when the pathogen was infecting a host

compared to the pathogen growing in V8 agar media (Figure 3a). Also, PCA showed an

aggrupation of the transcriptomic responses for the cucurbits and tomato infections, although 1

tomato sample was grouped with the chili pepper samples (Figure 3a).

Table 1: Differentially expressed genes*

Condition	Total genes	Up regulated	Down regulated
Cucumber	2270	1281	989
Melon	2366	1167	1199
Chili pepper	2872	1268	1604
Tomato	2104	1104	1000
Total Unique	4470	2226	2307

*padj < 0.05 Log2FC > 1 OR < -1

Of the 19805 transcripts of *P. capsici*, 4470 genes were found as differentially expressed (DEGs =
padj < 0.05 and Log2FC > 1 OR < -1) among all conditions when compared to our control of
pathogen growing in V8 media (Table 1). We found on average around 2300 DEGs for each
sample, being the tomato infections where less differentially expressed genes were observed, and
chili pepper the condition with the most differentially expressed genes (Table 1). Of the 4470
DEGs we found that only 436 were induced among all conditions and 415 were down-regulated,
indicating that the core transcriptome of *P. capsici* infecting four different hosts is not composed
of a large number of genes (Figure 3b, c). This core set of DEGs shared across all *P. capsici*
infection conditions was subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway enrichment
analysis to uncover key biological processes and pathways involved in the plant-pathogen
interaction. Between biological processes, significant enrichment was observed for processes
associated with metabolic activity, including fatty acid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolic
processes, and glycolysis, alongside defense-related processes such as pathogenesis and electron
transport (Figure S5a). Additionally, terms related to cell wall modification and phosphate
transport were prominent, reflecting the infection and nutrient acquisition by the pathogen.

Pathway enrichment analysis showed a diverse range of metabolic pathways (Figure S5b). These
included fatty acid beta-oxidation, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, and amino acid metabolism,
suggesting a reconfiguration of primary metabolic networks during infection. Notably, pathways
associated with mobilization of nutrients from the host, such as glycerolipid and galactose
metabolism, were upregulated emphasizing the pathogen's strategy to exploit host resources. In

parallel, the enrichment of pathways related to defense mechanisms, including alkaloid
biosynthesis and gamma-hexachlorocyclohexane degradation, underscores the activation of plant
immune responses. The differential enrichment of these pathways in upregulated and
downregulated DEGs provides insights into the dynamic interplay between metabolic
reprogramming and adaptation to host defense during *P. capsici* infection.

The transcriptional response of *P. capsici* revealed a remarkable adaptability to the unique micro
ecological niches generated by its hosts. While some processes were shared, the pathogen's
specific enrichments varied considerably, reflecting its ability to exploit host-specific
vulnerabilities and overcome distinct physiological and defense barriers.

In solanaceous hosts, particularly tomato, *P. capsici* prioritized pathways associated with rapid
energy acquisition, such as glycolysis and fatty acid metabolism. This metabolic change was
probably evidenced by the faster strategy of colonization of the pathogen, which corresponds to
the host's early necrotic response. Interestingly, during tomato infection, *P. capsici* also showed
enrichment of alkaloid degradation pathways, suggesting that the pathogen may counteract host-
produced antimicrobial compounds, including alkaloids to establish infection. The capacity of *P.*
capsici to degrade these compounds evidences the intense chemical warfare between tomato and
P. capsici, with the pathogen leveraging its metabolic flexibility to neutralize host defenses (Figure
3d, e).

In contrast, infection of the partially resistant chili pepper CM334 exhibited a distinct pattern of
transcriptional enrichment in the pathogen (Figure 3). Processes related to cell wall modification
and lipid metabolism were notably upregulated in *P. capsici* during its attempted colonization of
chili pepper. These enrichments, including pathways such as terpenoid and glycerolipid
metabolism, suggest that *P. capsici* was preparing to breach structural barriers and colonize the
host. However, the absence of detectable pathogen growth within chili pepper tissues at 24hpi
(Figure 1c and h) implies that the mechanism of infection was insufficient to overcome the physical
and biochemical defenses of this plant. Additionally, the enrichment of nitrogen compound
metabolism pathways in *P. capsici* during chili pepper infection may indicate an effort to adapt to
nutrient scarcity, reflecting a limitation imposed by the host resistance mechanisms.

In cucurbitaceous hosts (melon and cucumber), *P. capsici* shifted its transcriptional priorities toward metabolic reprogramming to exploit the nutrient-rich environment provided by these hosts (Figure 3d, e). Melon infection was characterized by strong enrichment in carbohydrate metabolism, including galactose and glycerolipid pathways, and processes like nitrogen utilization and protein glycosylation (Figure 3d, e). These adaptations showed the capacity of the pathogen to use the host abundant resources to support its growth and effector production. Similarly, in cucumber, *P. capsici* exhibited significant enrichment in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, suggesting an intensified focus on extracting energy and carbon from the host. The pathways related to transport, such as phosphate and copper transport, were also highly enriched in cucurbitaceous hosts.

The stark differences in enrichment between partially resistant chili pepper CM334 and the more susceptible cucurbitaceous hosts emphasize the dynamic nature of the *P. capsici* infection strategies. In chili pepper, the pathogen appears to invest heavily in preparatory mechanisms to overcome structural and chemical defenses, albeit unsuccessfully in this case. Conversely, in more susceptible hosts, *P. capsici* capitalizes on the availability of nutrients and the relative lack of effective defensive barriers to drive its infection forward. These findings illustrate how *P. capsici* tailors its transcriptional profile to exploit or confront the unique challenges posed by each host.

d Biological process enrichment **e** Pathway enrichment

Figure 3: Transcriptional responses of *P. capsici* to different hosts. **a** Principal component analysis of *P. capsici* transcript expression. **b** Venn diagram of up-regulated DEGs. **c** Venn diagram of down-regulated DEGs. **d** Biological process and **e** KEGG Pathway enrichment of *P. capsici* differentially expressed genes.

3.4 Host-Dependent Effector Expression Profiles

We found a total of 70 RxLRs, 28 Elicitins, 18 CRNs, and 17 NLPs effectors differentially expressed. RxLR expression was mainly down-regulated except for 12 genes, and its expression was grouped according to the family of the infected host; the same behavior was found for the CRN effectors (Figure 4). However, Elicitins showed a clustering for melon, tomato, and chili pepper, out-grouping cucumber condition as the most different. NLPs expression showed a clustering for tomato, cucumber, and melon, with chili pepper as the most dissimilar condition for this effector family expression. NLPs were also mainly repressed, with just 5 NLPs overexpressed. A review of the annotated RxLR effectors in our dataset reveals a diverse arsenal with distinct expression patterns that align with previously reported functions. For example, several instances homolog to PsAvh328 (genes Pc123179, Pc128001, and Pc552887) have been shown in earlier studies to interfere with host defense signaling and modulate immune responses (Wang et al., 2011). Interestingly, while some Avh328 homologs remain unexpressed under some conditions, gene Pc123179 is induced in chili pepper, suggesting a specialized role in overcoming host-specific defenses. Similarly, PsAvh16 (Pc14944, Pc14948, and Pc533084) has been implicated in suppressing programmed cell death, thereby aiding the pathogen in establishing a biotrophic interaction (Fedkenheuer, 2016). Gene Pc13391, which encodes for the uncharacterized Avh166, consistently shows downregulation across all hosts, possibly indicating its involvement at earlier/later infection stages or under environmental cues not captured in our current sampling. Pc20942 showed marked downregulation across conditions may reflect a tightly regulated deployment. The effector PcAvh103 (gene Pc536383), has been linked to the manipulation of EDS1 thus facilitating virulence, however, in our data was downregulated at all hosts infections (Li et al., 2020).

Our expression analysis of elicitin-encoding genes in *P. capsici* reveals notable variability across host conditions. Several elicitins including genes Pc16186, Pc21853, and Pc127268 exhibit robust positive expression in all hosts. However, gene Pc508761 (annotated as INF2B) is strongly

repressed in melon and tomato, and gene Pc508770 (INF1-like) is not induced in cucumber, chili pepper, and tomato, but exhibits moderate repression in melon. INF1 is one of the best-characterized elicitins, known in *P. infestans* for its sterol-binding activity and its ability to elicit a hypersensitive response in a range of plant hosts (Ah-Fong et al., 2021; Du et al., 2017). Also, INF2B is related to triggering HR (Huitema et al., 2005). The observed repression of both INF1 and INF2B in melon and for INF2B also in tomato suggests that *P. capsici* may actively downregulate these elicitors to avoid triggering host defense responses and avoid necrosis during its biotrophic stage.

Crinkler (CRN) effectors form a large and diverse family in oomycetes that can manipulate host cell death and immune responses. In our dataset, the CRN125 homolog (gene Pc131973) is strongly expressed in cucumber and melon but is downregulated in chili pepper and not induced in tomato, hinting at a role tailored to the cucurbit host environment as proposed to interact with cucurbit TCP14-1 or TCP14-2 to enhance virulence (Stam et al., 2021). Multiple entries annotated as CRN15 show variable patterns: for example, gene Pc50894 is expressed in both cucumber and melon but not in the other hosts, while gene Pc106668 also annotated as CRN15 is upregulated in melon, chili pepper, and tomato. In contrast, CRN10 (gene Pc506739) is expressed solely in cucumber, and CRN10 homologs (genes Pc109378 and Pc80099) are downregulated in chili pepper and tomato, suggesting distinct regulatory controls even among closely related CRNs. Other CRN annotations, such as CRN77 (gene Pc128631) and CRN34 (gene Pc117855), exhibit modest expression in specific hosts (CRN77 in cucumber and melon, and CRN34 prominently in melon) whereas members like CRN5 (gene Pc540976) and CRN21 (gene Pc133238) show little to non-expression, possibly reflecting functions that are restricted to particular infection stages or conditions. Pc559084 was induced in all hosts, this gene was shown to be able to suppress HR triggered by INF1 but increase disease susceptibility on *N. benthamiana* plants (Chen et al., 2015). Many CRNs in *P. capsici* remain uncharacterized. The diverse expression profiles observed here underscore the potential for functional specialization within the CRN family and highlight the need for further research to unravel their roles in host-specific pathogenicity.

Nep1-like proteins (NLPs) are a diverse family of secreted effectors known to induce necrosis in dicotyledonous plants by binding to membrane glycosylinositol phosphorylceramides (GIPCs)

(Lenarčič et al., 2017). In our dataset, the expression profiles of several NLPs reveal distinct, host-

dependent regulation. For example, gene Pc9358 annotated as PcNLP14 is uniquely induced in

chili pepper while remaining unexpressed in cucumber, melon, and tomato. PcNLP14 was

previously shown to be one of the most expressed NLP effectors in chili pepper and *N.*

benthamiana and its agroinfiltration in plants showed induction of necrosis (Feng et al., 2014). In

contrast, gene Pc122048 shows moderate induction in both cucumber and melon but is absent in

chili pepper and tomato. Similarly, gene Pc129784 PcNLP2 another inductor of necrosis is induced

in cucumber exclusively, further supporting host-specific functions among NLPs (Chen et al.,

2018). On the other hand, several NLPs including gene Pc107869 and its close homolog gene

Pc108964 are consistently repressed in melon, chili pepper, and tomato indicating that their

expression could be tightly controlled to avoid premature host cell death (Chen et al., 2018). Other

NLPs, such as gene Pc108039 NLP4 and gene Pc119748 NLP6, exhibit strong repression

specifically in chili pepper and melon, whereas genes Pc18373 and Pc69122 are broadly

downregulated across all hosts.

Figure 4: Heatmap of differentially expressed *Phytophthora* RxLR, elicitin, CRN, and NLP

effectors

3.5 Host and Tissue-Specific Phenotypes Associated with Effector Gene Silencing

We investigated the role of four *P. capsici* genes by silencing via direct incubation with dsRNA. Targeted genes included the differentially expressed necrosis- and ethylene-inducing peptide-like protein (NLP Pc9358), induced exclusively in chili pepper; the RxLR effector Pc503811, induced in chili pepper and tomato; the RxLR effector Pc18476, induced across all susceptible hosts (cucumber, melon, and tomato) (Figure 4); and a non-differentially expressed conserved cell-cycle gene (Pc533030, encoding SDA1), known to be essential for sporangial morphology, mycelial growth, and virulence (Zhu et al., 2016). Pathogen mycelia were incubated with corresponding dsRNAs for 2 h prior to infection assays on detached leaves and plantlet stems, near to the crown to better mimic the conditions from previous experiments.

After 96 hpi control *P. capsici* showed growth on detached cucumber leaves. In contrast, all dsRNA treatments exhibited a decrease in pathogen growth: Pc503811 (18.24%), Pc9358 (65.29%), PcSDA1 (76.47%), and Pc18476 (78.82%) (Figure 5a). For tomato detached leaves, measured at 72 hpi, PcSDA1 dsRNA treatment unexpectedly showed a 10.58% increase in pathogen growth, whereas Pc503811 and Pc9358 treatments reduced growth by 76.51% and 84.6%, respectively (Figure 5b–c).

In plantlet stem infections (96 hpi), cucumber showed no differences between dsRNA treatments and control (Figure 5d). Similarly, melon stems infected with dsRNA-treated pathogen exhibited pathogen growth comparable to control, although dsRNA treatments notably prevented the appearance of necrosis. Conversely, in chili pepper and tomato stems, the PcSDA1 dsRNA-treated pathogen induced aggressive necrosis, surpassing control severity and causing complete tissue necrosis. In chili pepper, Pc18476 and Pc503811 dsRNA treatments resulted in reduced pathogen growth by 54% and 68%, respectively, yet failed to prevent necrosis. Notably, Pc9358 dsRNA treatment, while causing a more moderate 32% growth reduction, completely prevented necrosis in chili pepper stems (Figure 5d). In tomato stems, dsRNA treatments targeting Pc18476, Pc9358, and Pc503811 effectively prevented necrosis and exhibited pathogen growth reductions of 18%, 34%, and 9%, respectively, relative to control.

Figure 5: *P. capsici* gene silencing phenotypes. **a** Cucumber detached leaf inoculated with *P. capsici* treated with dsRNAs targeting PcSDA, Pc18476, Pc9358 and Pc503811 or control treated with dsRNA buffer without dsRNA production after 96hpi. **b** Tomato leaf inoculated with dsRNA treated *P. capsici* after 72hpi. **c** Tomato leaf inoculated with control *P. capsici* after 72hpi. **d** Trypan blue stained stems of cucumber, melon, tomato and chili pepper plantlets inoculated with control or dsRNA treated *P. capsici* after 96hpi.

3.6 Co-expression Analysis Uncovers Distinct Transcriptional Modules

The co-expression analysis of *P. capsici* during infection of cucumber, melon, chili pepper, and tomato revealed 10 distinct gene clusters with host-specific expression patterns and functional enrichments that together illuminate the adaptive strategies of the pathogen. For instance, the major

cluster 1 (1,999 genes) exhibits low expression in cucumber but is strongly induced in melon,
tomato, and chili pepper; it is enriched in processes such as ubiquitin-dependent protein
catabolism, vesicle-mediated transport and protein complex assembly. These functions, along with
pathways like propanoate and fatty acid metabolism as well as secretion components, suggest that
in hosts other than cucumber, the pathogen deploys rapid protein turnover and secretion
mechanisms to modulate its virulence arsenal effectively (Figure 5).

In contrast, cluster 2 (1,096 genes) is mostly active in cucumber, with intermediate levels in melon
and tomato and low expression in chili pepper. The enrichment of translation and nitrogen
metabolism within this cluster implies a heightened emphasis on protein synthesis and energy
acquisition during the more tolerant, delayed infection observed in cucumber. Complementing
these findings, a cluster 3 (832 genes) is specifically upregulated in chili pepper and shows strong
enrichment for central metabolic pathways including the tricarboxylic acid cycle, oxidative
phosphorylation, and carbohydrate transport which may reflect an attempt by *P. capsici* to
overcome the robust defense responses characteristic of this partially resistant host.

A distinct transcriptional signature is observed during tomato infection, where cluster 4 of 984
genes is predominantly induced. The overrepresentation of genes involved in protein amino acid
phosphorylation, the ubiquitin cycle, and microtubule-based movement in this group suggests that
rapid cytoskeletal reorganization and signal transduction are critical for the early, aggressive
colonization that leads to swift necrosis in tomato. Meanwhile, cluster 5 with 902 genes, highly
expressed in cucumber with intermediate levels in melon and chili pepper but low in tomato, is
enriched in pathways related to redox homeostasis, heme and pantothenate biosynthesis, and
glycolipid metabolism. This profile indicates that in cucumber, the pathogen may be fine-tuning
its lipid biosynthetic and redox-balancing processes to support a more prolonged infection.

Further complexity is added by cluster 6 of 741 genes that is upregulated in cucumber, melon, and
chili pepper but repressed in tomato; its enrichment in rRNA processing, ribosome biogenesis,
transcription, and tRNA processing underscores the importance of enhancing the pathogen's
translational machinery in hosts where colonization occurs over an extended period. Cluster 7 (603
genes) with moderate expression in cucumber and high expression in melon andchili pepper albeit

with fewer significant GO terms shows modulation of pathways such as porphyrin and pyrimidine

metabolism, hinting at a role in fine-tuning gene expression and nuclear-cytoplasmic transport in

response to host defenses.

Notably, a large set of 967 genes in cluster 8 is highly expressed in cucumber, melon, and tomato

but is downregulated in chili pepper. This group is enriched for processes involved in cell

communication, protein phosphorylation, phospholipid transport, and carbohydrate metabolism,

along with nucleotide sugar and sphingoglycolipid metabolism. Such enrichments may reflect the

active remodeling of membranes and signaling networks to optimize effector secretion and host

interaction in the more susceptible hosts. In addition, cluster 9 comprising 577 genes (upregulated

in cucumber, chili pepper, and tomato with low expression in melon) is enriched in pathways

including mismatch repair, defense response, glycolysis, cell wall modification, and pathogenesis,

suggesting these genes contribute to both virulence and the counteraction of host immune defenses

(Figure 5).

Finally, a smaller, melon-specific cluster 10 of 381 genes, despite yielding no robust enrichments,

likely represents a specialized set of factors that enable *P. capsici* to tailor its infection strategy to

the unique metabolic or immune landscape of melon. Collectively, these integrated expression

profiles illustrate how *P. capsici* differentially orchestrates metabolic, signaling, and structural

processes to adapt to the distinct challenges and opportunities presented by each host.

Figure 6: Clustering of co-expressed genes based on their expression profiles across infected host.

a Each panel represents a cluster (C1–C10) with the number of genes indicated in parentheses. **b**

Biological process and **c** KEGG Pathway enrichment of *P. capsici* clustered genes.

4 Discussion

4.1 Host-Specific Susceptibility and Pathogen Adaptation

Phytophthora capsici is a highly adaptable oomycete pathogen with a broad host range that includes economically important species from multiple plant families, notably Solanaceae and Cucurbitaceae. This wide host range is facilitated by its capacity to infect both root and aerial tissues, employ diverse infection strategies, and rapidly evolve virulence traits. However, the extent and nature of susceptibility vary markedly among host species, influenced by both preformed defenses and inducible immune responses (Quesada-Ocampo et al., 2023). Our study demonstrates that host susceptibility to *P. capsici* is not a uniform phenomenon but is distinctly modulated by host species. In tomato plants, a Solanaceous host, necrosis is visible as early as 12 hours post-inoculation for some individuals with a population median onset of 27 hpi, suggesting rapid cell death, which admits pathogen further colonization (Singh and Upadhyay, 2014). In contrast, cucurbitaceous hosts (cucumber and melon) exhibit delayed necrosis (first symptoms at 36–48 hpi with medians ranging from 54 to 102 hpi), yet these hosts support extensive intracellular colonization. This combination of delayed cell death and significant intracellular proliferation may reflect a more tolerant interaction. As defined in plant pathology, tolerance refers to a plant's ability to endure the presence of a pathogen without suffering significant loss in yield or quality, even though the pathogen may still infect and reproduce within the plant (Pagán and García-Arenal, 2018).

Our *Phytophthora* propagation findings in cucumber and melon align with previous studies that have documented a prolonged biotrophic phase in certain *Phytophthora* interactions. For example, in *P. infestans* infections of potato, a delayed necrotic induction is associated with sustained colonization that allows the pathogen to build up a critical biomass before a switch to necrotrophy (Lee and Rose, 2010; Schmelzer et al., 1995). In our system, the extensive vascular colonization observed in cucumber and melon via multiphoton microscopy suggests that these hosts may provide a relatively permissive environment that limits early defense activation. The result is a biotrophic scenario where *P. capsici* can establish a systemic infection while minimizing the rapid release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that typically trigger strong immune responses (Hernández-Chávez et al., 2017). Conversely, chili pepper (CM334), which has been

previously characterized as partially resistant (López-Martínez et al., 2011), shows delayed necrosis coupled with an absence of intracellular colonization. We indeed observe that *P. capsici* was unable to penetrate chili pepper plants. This indicates that in resistant hosts, preformed or induced defenses such as reinforced cell walls and rapid antimicrobial signaling effectively restrict pathogen entry and subsequent proliferation.

Recent transcriptomic studies have documented similar patterns. For instance (Maillot et al., 2022) compared the transcriptomes of *P. capsici* infecting partially resistant CM334 chili pepper with those infecting susceptible cultivars and found that in resistant tissues, the proliferation of pathogen was blocked early by rapid defense signaling. In contrast, in susceptible tissues, the pathogen showed the capacity to change the transcriptomic programming of the host responses to delay necrosis and establish more robust colonization. Our findings extend these observations to cucurbits, suggesting that the apparent tolerance in cucumber and melon could allow pathogen proliferation by a more extended period for nutrient use and colonization.

4.2 Unique Aspects of Crown Infection and Broader Implications

A novel focus of our study is the infection initiation at the stem base and root crown rather than in foliar tissues. Most studies on *Phytophthora* were focused on leaf infections (Chen et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2016). However, pathogens such as *P. capsici* are transmitted by soil and naturally target the crown region where roots and stem bases interface with the soil environment. By examining these infection areas, we have uncovered critical insights into the early stages of pathogen establishment. The crown region represents a crucial area with physical barriers and localized immune responses that determine the success of infection (Bostock et al., 2014; Piccini et al., 2019). In fact, results here exposed that *P. capsici* can establish extensive intracellular colonization in the crown of cucurbitaceous hosts without triggering rapid necrosis, suggesting that these tissues may offer a relatively protected niche that permits sustained pathogen growth and eventual systemic colonization.

Understanding the dynamics of crown infection is particularly important for developing targeted disease management strategies. In the case of cucurbits, in which the colonization is prolonged due to delayed necrosis, then early detection and intervention strategies could focus on these hidden infection sites before symptom development. From a theoretical perspective, breeding

programs that focus on enhancing crown resistance whether by reinforcing cell wall integrity or
amplifying localized immune responses could represent a promising avenue for achieving durable
resistance against *P. capsici* (Park et al., 2022). Additionally, differences in infection dynamics
between leaf and crown tissues may have broader implications for crop management strategies,
potentially influencing approaches to fungicide application and cultural practices. While these
concepts align with current understandings of plant defense mechanisms, further research would
be required to validate their practical effectiveness in disease control.

4.3 Metabolic Reprogramming and Nutrient Acquisition
The ability of *P. capsici* to reconfigure its metabolic networks in response to host-specific
conditions emerged as another central theme of our work. In tomato, the upregulation of glycolytic
and fatty acid metabolism pathways suggests a rapid mobilization of energy and biosynthetic
precursors to fuel aggressive colonization, a scenario commonly observed in necrotrophic
interactions (Kwaik and Bumann, 2015; Petrasch et al., 2019; Williams and Lorenz, 2020). In
cucurbits, however, our transcriptomic data revealed a pronounced enrichment of pathways related
to carbohydrate metabolism, galactose utilization, and glycerolipid metabolism. These alterations
may allow *P. capsici* to exploit the nutrient-rich vascular environment of cucumber and melon,
thereby supporting extensive intracellular colonization without triggering immediate cell death.
Such a strategy resembles the concept of "stealth colonization" wherein the pathogen minimizes
damage to host tissues to secure a longer-term nutritional niche (Petrasch et al., 2019; Williams
and Lorenz, 2020).

In chili pepper, (CM334, a genotype known to be partially resistant) the induction of metabolic
pathways associated with cell wall modification and nitrogen compound metabolism might reflect
adaptive responses to overcome the host's defensive mechanisms. It is probable that *P. capsici*
attempts to modify its own membranes and metabolic outputs to adapt better to a nutrient-scarce,
hostile environment imposed by robust host immunity. Such reprogramming may help to adapt to
a hostile microenvironment (Luo et al., 2021). This metabolic flexibility is emerging as a key
determinant of pathogen virulence and host adaptation, as also observed in other oomycetes (Ah-
Fong et al., 2017). The divergent metabolic signatures among hosts underscore the plasticity of *P.*
capsici physiology and its capacity to fine-tune nutrient acquisition strategies based on host-

derived signals. This versatility in metabolic reprogramming has been documented in other soil-
borne pathogens and is increasingly recognized as a key determinant of virulence and host
adaptation (Petrasch et al., 2019).

4.4 Effector Dynamics and Host-Specific Virulence

Effector proteins are key molecular tools employed by several pathogens to manipulate host
immunity and promote colonization. Oomycete effectors are broadly categorized into cytoplasmic
effectors and apoplastic effectors, depending on their localization and function (Bozkurt et al.,
2011). Our transcriptomic analysis revealed that *P. capsici* effector expression is tightly regulated
in a host-dependent manner. Among effector proteins, RxLR effectors are of particular interest for
their ability to translocate into host cells and suppress immune responses by targeting host
signaling pathways (Wang et al., 2023; Whisson et al., 2007). Our data show that while many
RxLR effectors are repressed, a subset is induced selectively depending on the host species. For
instance, homologs of PcAvh328 (Pc123179, Pc128001, and Pc552887), which have been shown
to interfere with host defense signaling, displayed differential expression patterns, with at least one
homolog being induced in chili pepper. Such induction in a typically resistant host may represent
a targeted effort by the pathogen to counteract strong basal defenses. Two previously
uncharacterized RxLR effectors (Pc503811 and Pc18476) were selected for functional analysis
using dsRNA-mediated silencing. Pc503811, induced specifically during infection in chili pepper
and tomato, and Pc18476, expressed in cucumber, melon, and tomato infections, displayed distinct
host-dependent roles. Silencing of Pc503811 resulted in a modest (18%) pathogen growth
reduction on detached cucumber leaves, whereas a substantial 76% growth reduction was observed
on detached tomato leaves compared to control treatments. During stem infections of cucumber
plantlets, silencing Pc503811 had no significant impact on pathogen growth. However, in melon
stems, although pathogen growth remained comparable to control, necrosis development was
prevented. Remarkably, in chili pepper stems, pathogen growth was notably reduced, and in tomato
stems, both pathogen growth reduction and necrosis prevention were observed. These results
suggest that Pc503811 function may be particularly relevant in interactions with solanaceous hosts.
The effector Pc18476, induced in all susceptible hosts, also showed host- and tissue-dependent
effects when silenced via dsRNA. On detached cucumber leaves, Pc18476 dsRNA treatment
resulted in a substantial 78% reduction in pathogen growth; however, this treatment had no

detectable effect on pathogen growth during infections of cucumber plantlet stems. In melon stems,

the pathogen growth was similar to controls, yet necrosis development was prevented by the

dsRNA treatment. In chili pepper stems, pathogen growth was reduced by 54%, whereas in tomato

stems, growth was modestly reduced by 18%, but importantly, necrosis was completely prevented.

PcAvh16 homologs (Pc14944, Pc14948, and Pc533084), implicated in suppressing programmed

cell death (Wang et al., 2011), are differentially regulated, suggesting that *P. capsici* tailors its

effector deployment to prolong its biotrophic phase, particularly in hosts with rapid necrotic

responses like tomato. In contrast, the consistent downregulation of effectors such as PcAvh166

across hosts may indicate roles confined to early infection events or environmental conditions not

captured at our 24 hpi sampling. Moreover, our observations on elicitins (INF1 and INF2B) and

Nep1-like proteins (NLPs) underscore the complexity of effector regulation. Canonical elicitins

are well known from *P. infestans* to induce hypersensitive responses (Derevnina et al., 2016; Du

et al., 2017; Huitema et al., 2005); their repression in cucurbits could be interpreted as a deliberate

suppression to avoid triggering host defenses prematurely. In contrast, the unique induction of

PcNLP14 in chili pepper may represent a specialized mechanism to manipulate host cell death

pathways and modulate immune responses (Chen et al., 2018).

The NLP effector Pc9358, specifically induced during infections of chili pepper, exhibited host-

and tissue-dependent phenotypes when silenced by dsRNA. On detached cucumber leaves, Pc9358

silencing caused a 65% reduction in pathogen growth, although no effect was observed on

cucumber plantlet stems. In melon stems, pathogen growth was unaffected by the dsRNA

treatment, but the induction of necrosis was completely prevented. In chili pepper and tomato

stems, Pc9358 silencing reduced pathogen growth by 32% and 34%, respectively, and prevented

necrosis development in both hosts. Interestingly, this was the only effector silencing treatment

that successfully prevented necrosis in chili pepper stems.

We also selected Pc533030, encoding the conserved cell-cycle regulator SDA1, as a control for

evaluating the efficacy of dsRNA treatments on pathogen growth. This gene was non-differentially

expressed in our transcriptomic datasets but was previously shown to be essential for sporangial

morphology, mycelial growth, and virulence during susceptible chili pepper leaf infections (Zhu

et al., 2016). Treatment of *P. capsici* with PcSDA1 dsRNA resulted in a 76% reduction of pathogen growth on cucumber detached leaves; however, pathogen growth unexpectedly increased by 10% in tomato leaves. In plantlet stems, PcSDA1 dsRNA treatment caused severe and aggressive necrosis in chili pepper and tomato, surpassing control infections and leading to complete tissue necrosis. No changes were observed in cucumber stems, whereas necrosis was prevented in melon stems.

The growth reduction observed in cucumber leaves is consistent with previous findings that SDA1 is critical for hyphal development and virulence. However, the increased growth in tomato leaves and the exacerbated necrosis in tomato and chili stems are unexpected and may reflect a compensatory or stress-induced hypervirulence response when the cell cycle is disrupted (Goncheva et al., 2019). This raises the possibility that interference with essential cellular functions in *P. capsici* might dysregulate effector release or stress signaling, enhancing host tissue damage in some contexts (Goncheva et al., 2019). Conversely, the absence of necrosis in melon stems might indicate a differential host response to weakened or developmentally impaired pathogen tissue. Altogether, these findings illustrate the complexity of targeting conserved genes and highlight that silencing even core regulators like SDA1 can lead to variable outcomes depending on the infection environment, host genotype, or tissue type.

Recent reviews have described that the spatial and temporal regulation of effectors is crucial for pathogen success (Wang et al., 2023). Our study extends these findings by demonstrating that the expression profiles of effectors are not only host-specific but may also be influenced by the infection niche in our case, the crown region comprising the stem base and roots. This localized deployment of effectors may reflect an adaptation to the unique defense barriers and nutrient landscapes encountered at the soil-plant interface, where rapid colonization of vascular tissues can be pivotal for systemic infection.

5 Conclusions

We found that *Phytophthora capsici* developed an adaptive strategy to colonize diverse plant species. In tomato, rapid necrosis limits pathogen spread, yet aggressive metabolic reprogramming supports early infection. On the other hand, the slow necrosis induction in cucumber and melon

coupled with extensive intercellular colonization, points to a tolerant interaction that may facilitate
prolonged pathogen proliferation. In partially resistant chili pepper CM334, robust defense
mechanisms inhibit intercellular colonization despite transcriptional changes in the pathogen. Our
comprehensive pathogen-centered transcriptomic analysis reveals that differential effector
deployment, metabolic reprogramming, and nutrient acquisition strategies are key components of
P. capsici adaptive virulence. This study highlights the value of examining the pathogen's
transcriptional dynamics, an approach often overlooked in favor of host-focused analyses. By
focusing on crown infections, this work expands our understanding of soil-borne infection
dynamics, a perspective that has been underrepresented in studies that predominantly target foliar
diseases.

Declaration of competing interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability
The raw reads generated during the current study are publicly available at NCBI under the
PRJNA1207595 accession number. Materials are available from the corresponding author upon
reasonable request.

Acknowledgments
This work forms part of the requirements for P.V.M. to obtain a Doctoral degree from the Posgrado
en Ciencias Biológicas program at Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). P.V.M.
receives a doctoral fellowship from Secretaria de Ciencia, Humanidades, Tecnología e Innovación
(SECIHTI). F.U.R.R. receives a posdoctoral fellowship from "Estancias Posdoctorales por México
2022" supported by SECIHTI. Funding was provided by PAPIIT-DGAPA-UNAM (project
IN218024) and SECIHTI (project A1-S-34759) to JV-A.

References

Abu-Jamous, B. & Kelly, S. (2018) Clust: automatic extraction of optimal co-expressed gene
clusters from gene expression data. *Genome Biology*, 19, 172.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1536-8.

Ah-Fong, A.M.V., Boyd, A.M., Matson, M.E.H. & Judelson, H.S. (2021) A Cas12a-based gene
editing system for Phytophthora infestans reveals monoallelic expression of an elicitor.
Molecular Plant Pathology, 22, 737–752. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13051.

Ah-Fong, A.M.V., Kim, K.S. & Judelson, H.S. (2017) RNA-seq of life stages of the oomycete
Phytophthora infestans reveals dynamic changes in metabolic, signal transduction, and
pathogenesis genes and a major role for calcium signaling in development. *BMC*
Genomics, 18, 198. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3585-x.

Bostock, R.M., Pye, M.F. & Roubtsova, T.V. (2014) Predisposition in Plant Disease: Exploiting the
Nexus in Abiotic and Biotic Stress Perception and Response. *Annual Review of*
Phytopathology, 52, 517–549. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-172902.

Bozkurt, T.O., Schornack, S., Win, J., Shindo, T., Ilyas, M., Oliva, R., et al. (2011) Phytophthora
infestans effector AVRblb2 prevents secretion of a plant immune protease at the
haustorial interface. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 20832–
20837. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112708109.

Bray, N.L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. (2016) Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq
quantification. *Nature Biotechnology*, 34, 525–527. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3519.

Chen, X., Li, Y., Xing, Y., Xu, J. & Tong, Y. (2015) Cloning, transcriptional profiles and roles of
Phytophthora capsici CRN-encoding genes in the interaction with host plants. *Acta*
Phytopathologica Sinica, 45, 384–394.
https://doi.org/10.13926/j.cnki.apps.2015.04.007.

Chen, X.-R., Huang, S.-X., Zhang, Y., Sheng, G.-L., Li, Y.-P. & Zhu, F. (2018) Identification and
functional analysis of the NLP-encoding genes from the phytopathogenic oomycete
Phytophthora capsici. *Molecular genetics and genomics: MGG*, 293, 931–943.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-018-1432-7.

Chen, X.-R., Xing, Y.-P., Li, Y.-P., Tong, Y.-H. & Xu, J.-Y. (2013) RNA-Seq Reveals Infection-Related
Gene Expression Changes in Phytophthora capsici. *PLOS ONE*, 8, e74588.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074588.

Chepsergon, J., Motaung, T.E. & Moleleki, L.N. "Core" RxLR effectors in phytopathogenic
oomycetes: A promising way to breeding for durable resistance in plants? *Virulence*, 12,
1921–1935. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2021.1948277.

Derevnina, L., Dagdas, Y.F., De la Concepcion, J.C., Bialas, A., Kellner, R., Petre, B., et al. (2016)
Nine things to know about elicitins. *New Phytologist*, 212, 888–895.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14137.

Du, J., Guo, X., Chen, L., Xie, C. & Liu, J. (2017) Proteomic analysis of differentially expressed
proteins of Nicotiana benthamiana triggered by INF1 elicitin from Phytophthora

749   infestans. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, 83, 66–77.
750   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-017-0699-6.

751   Fedkenheuer, K.E. (2016) Molecular Analysis of Oomycete Pathogens to Identify and Translate
752   Novel Resistance Mechanisms to Crops.

753   Feng, B.-Z., Zhu, X.-P., Fu, L., Lv, R.-F., Storey, D., Tooley, P., et al. (2014) Characterization of
754   necrosis-inducing NLP proteins in Phytophthora capsici. *BMC Plant Biology*, 14, 126.
755   https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-126.

756   Goncheva, M.I., Flannagan, R.S., Sterling, B.E., Laakso, H.A., Friedrich, N.C., Kaiser, J.C., et al.
757   (2019) Stress-induced inactivation of the Staphylococcus aureus purine biosynthesis
758   repressor leads to hypervirulence. *Nature Communications*, 10, 775.
759   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08724-x.

760   Grigoriev, I.V., Nikitin, R., Haridas, S., Kuo, A., Ohm, R., Otillar, R., et al. (2014) MycoCosm portal:
761   gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42, D699–D704.
762   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1183.

763   Hausbeck, M.K. & Lamour, K.H. (2004) Phytophthora capsici on Vegetable Crops: Research
764   Progress and Management Challenges. *Plant Disease*, 88, 1292–1303.
765   https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2004.88.12.1292.

766   Hernández-Chávez, M.J., Pérez-García, L.A., Niño-Vega, G.A. & Mora-Montes, H.M. (2017)
767   Fungal Strategies to Evade the Host Immune Recognition. *Journal of Fungi*, 3, 51.
768   https://doi.org/10.3390/jof3040051.

769   Huerta-Cepas, J., Szklarczyk, D., Heller, D., Hernández-Plaza, A., Forslund, S.K., Cook, H., et al.
770   (2019) eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated
771   orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
772   47, D309–D314. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1085.

773   Huitema, E., Vleeshouwers, V.G.A.A., Cakir, C., Kamoun, S. & Govers, F. (2005) Differences in
774   Intensity and Specificity of Hypersensitive Response Induction in Nicotiana spp. by INF1,
775   INF2A, and INF2B of Phytophthora infestans. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*, 18,
776   183–193. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-0183.

777   Judelson, H.S. (2017) Metabolic Diversity and Novelties in the Oomycetes. *Annual Review of*
778   *Microbiology*, 71, 21–39. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093609.

779   Jupe, J., Stam, R., Howden, A.J., Morris, J.A., Zhang, R., Hedley, P.E., et al. (2013) Phytophthora
780   capsici-tomato interaction features dramatic shifts in gene expression associated with a
781   hemi-biotrophic lifestyle. *Genome Biology*, 14, R63. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-
782   14-6-r63.

783 Knight, N.L. & Sutherland, M.W. (2016) Histopathological Assessment of Fusarium
784    pseudograminearum Colonization of Cereal Culms During Crown Rot Infections. *Plant*
785    *Disease*, 100, 252–259. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-04-15-0476-RE.

786 Kwaik, Y.A. & Bumann, D. (2015) Host Delivery of Favorite Meals for Intracellular Pathogens.
787    *PLOS Pathogens*, 11, e1004866. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004866.

788 Lamour, K.H., Mudge, J., Gobena, D., Hurtado-Gonzales, O.P., Schmutz, J., Kuo, A., et al. (2012a)
789    Genome sequencing and mapping reveal loss of heterozygosity as a mechanism for rapid
790    adaptation in the vegetable pathogen Phytophthora capsici. *Molecular plant-microbe*
791    *interactions: MPMI*, 25, 1350–1360. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-02-12-0028-R.

792 Lamour, K.H., Stam, R., Jupe, J. & Huitema, E. (2012b) The oomycete broad-host-range pathogen
793    Phytophthora capsici. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 13, 329–337.
794    https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00754.x.

795 Lee, S.-J. & Rose, J.K.C. (2010) Mediation of the transition from biotrophy to necrotrophy in
796    hemibiotrophic plant pathogens by secreted effector proteins. *Plant Signaling &*
797    *Behavior*, 5, 769–772. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.5.6.11778.

798 Lenarčič, T., Albert, I., Böhm, H., Hodnik, V., Pirc, K., Zavec, A.B., et al. (2017) Eudicot plant-
799    specific sphingolipids determine host selectivity of microbial NLP cytolysins. *Science*,
800    358, 1431–1434. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan6874.

801 Li, Q., Wang, J., Bai, T., Zhang, Ming, Jia, Y., Shen, D., et al. (2020) A Phytophthora capsici effector
802    suppresses plant immunity via interaction with EDS1. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 21,
803    502–511. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12912.

804 López-Martínez, N., Colinas-León, Ma.T., Peña-Valdivia, C.B., Salinas-Moreno, Y., Fuentes-
805    Montiel, P., Biesaga, M., et al. (2011) Alterations in peroxidase activity and
806    phenylpropanoid metabolism induced by Nacobbus aberrans Thorne and Allen, 1944 in
807    chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) CM334 resistant to Phytophthora capsici Leo. *Plant and Soil*,
808    338, 399–409. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0553-5.

809 Love, M.I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
810    for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, 15, 550.
811    https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

812 Luo, M., Sun, X., Qi, Y., Zhou, J., Wu, X. & Tian, Z. (2021) Phytophthora infestans RXLR effector
813    Pi04089 perturbs diverse defense-related genes to suppress host immunity. *BMC Plant*
814    *Biology*, 21, 582. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-021-03364-0.

815 Maillot, G., Szadkowski, E., Massire, A., Brunaud, V., Rigaill, G., Caromel, B., et al. (2022) Strive or
816    thrive: Trends in Phytophthora capsici gene expression in partially resistant pepper.
817    *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.980587.

Pagán, I. & García-Arenal, F. (2018) Tolerance to Plant Pathogens: Theory and Experimental
Evidence. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19, 810.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19030810.

Park, R.F., Boshoff, W.H.P., Cabral, A.L., Chong, J., Martinelli, J.A., McMullen, M.S., et al. (2022)
Breeding oat for resistance to the crown rust pathogen Puccinia coronata f. sp. avenae:
achievements and prospects. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 135, 3709–3734.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-022-04121-z.

Petrasch, S., Silva, C.J., Mesquida-Pesci, S.D., Gallegos, K., Abeele, C. van den, Papin, V., et al.
(2019) Infection Strategies Deployed by Botrytis cinerea, Fusarium acuminatum, and
Rhizopus stolonifer as a Function of Tomato Fruit Ripening Stage. *Frontiers in Plant
Science*, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00223.

Piccini, C., Parrotta, L., Faleri, C., Romi, M., Del Duca, S. & Cai, G. (2019) Histomolecular
responses in susceptible and resistant phenotypes of *Capsicum annuum* L. infected with
Phytophthora capsici. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 244, 122–133.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.09.051.

Pons-Hernández, J.L., Guerrero-Aguilar, B.Z., González-Chavira, M.M., González-Pérez, E.,
Villalobos-Reyes, S., Muñoz-Sánchez, C.I., et al. (2020) Phenotypic variability of
Phytophthora capsici isolates in Guanajuato. *Revista mexicana de ciencias agrícolas*, 11,
1891–1901. https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v11i8.2618.

Quesada-Ocampo, L.M., Parada-Rojas, C.H., Hansen, Z., Vogel, G., Smart, C., Hausbeck, M.K., et
al. (2023) Phytophthora capsici: Recent Progress on Fundamental Biology and Disease
Management 100 Years After Its Description. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 61, 185–
208. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-021622-103801.

Schmelzer, E., Naton, B., Freytag, S., Rouhara, I., Küster, B. & Hahlbrock, K. (1995) Infection-
induced rapid cell death in plants: a means of efficient pathogen defense. *Canadian
Journal of Botany*, 73, 426–434. https://doi.org/10.1139/b95-279.

Sevillano-Serrano, J., Larsen, J., Rojas-Rojas, F.U. & Vega-Arreguín, J.C. (2024) Increasing
virulence and decreasing fungicide sensitivity in Phytophthora capsici after continuous
metalaxyl-chlorothalonil exposure. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 106, 1583–1590.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-024-01713-0.

Singh, V.K. & Upadhyay, R.S. (2014) Fusaric acid induced cell death and changes in oxidative
metabolism of Solanum lycopersicum L. *Botanical Studies*, 55, 66.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s40529-014-0066-2.

Sperschneider, J. & Dodds, P.N. (2022) EffectorP 3.0: Prediction of Apoplastic and
Cytoplasmic Effectors in Fungi and Oomycetes. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*,
35, 146–156. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-08-21-0201-R.

Stam, R., Motion, G.B., Martinez-Heredia, V., Boevink, P.C. & Huitema, E. (2021) A Conserved
Oomycete CRN Effector Targets Tomato TCP14-2 to Enhance Virulence. *Molecular plant-*
microbe interactions: MPMI, 34, 309–318. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-20-0172-R.

Stephens, A.E., Gardiner, D.M., White, R.G., Munn, A.L. & Manners, J.M. (2008) Phases of
Infection and Gene Expression of Fusarium graminearum During Crown Rot Disease of
Wheat. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*, 21, 1571–1581.
https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-12-1571.

Tanja, S. (2023) Differential Gene Expression Analysis with Kallisto & DESeq2.

Teufel, F., Almagro Armenteros, J.J., Johansen, A.R., Gíslason, M.H., Pihl, S.I., Tsirigos, K.D., et al.
(2022) SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language
models. *Nature Biotechnology*, 40, 1023–1025. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-
01156-3.

Wang, Q., Han, C., Ferreira, A.O., Yu, X., Ye, W., Tripathy, S., et al. (2011) Transcriptional
Programming and Functional Interactions within the Phytophthora sojae RXLR Effector
Repertoire. *The Plant Cell*, 23, 2064–2086. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.086082.

Wang, S., McLellan, H., Boevink, P.C. & Birch, P.R.J. (2023) RxLR Effectors: Master Modulators,
Modifiers and Manipulators. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions: MPMI*, 36, 754–763.
https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-05-23-0054-CR.

Whisson, S.C., Boevink, P.C., Moleleki, L., Avrova, A.O., Morales, J.G., Gilroy, E.M., et al. (2007) A
translocation signal for delivery of oomycete effector proteins into host plant cells.
Nature, 450, 115–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06203.

Williams, R.B. & Lorenz, M.C. (2020) Multiple Alternative Carbon Pathways Combine To Promote
Candida albicans Stress Resistance, Immune Interactions, and Virulence. *mBio*, 11,
10.1128/mbio.03070-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03070-19.

Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., et al. (2021) clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal
enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *The Innovation*, 2.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141.

Zhu, C., Yang, X., Lv, R., Li, Z., Ding, X., Tyler, B.M., et al. (2016) hytophthora capsici homologue
of the cell cycle regulator 1 is required for sporangial morphology, mycelial growth and
plant infection. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 17, 369–387.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12285.

Zuluaga, A.P., Vega-Arreguín, J.C., Fei, Z., Ponnala, L., Lee, S.J., Matas, A.J., et al. (2016)
Transcriptional dynamics of Phytophthora infestans during sequential stages of
hemibiotrophic infection of tomato. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 17, 29–41.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12263.