Innate immune signaling in a sponge reveals ancient origin of host-symbiont recognition

Bin Yang[1]†§, Benedict Yuen[1]‡§, Huifang Yuan[1], Bernard M Degnan[1]* and Sandie M Degnan[1]*

[1]Centre for Marine Science and School of the Environment, The University of Queensland;

Brisbane 4072, Australia

†Present address: Garvan Institute of Medical Research; Sydney, Australia

§Contributed equally to this study

*Corresponding author. Email: s.degnan@uq.edu.au

*Corresponding author. Email: b.degnan@uq.edu.au

Abstract

Innate immunity in animals functions both to defend against pathogens and to regulate

interactions with beneficial microbes. Although these dual roles suggest deep evolutionary

roots, it remains unclear whether ancestral functions of the immune system were primarily for

protection or for maintaining symbiotic partnerships. Here, we address this question using the

marine sponge *Amphimedon queenslandica*, which belongs to an early-branching metazoan

lineage, by comparing cellular and transcriptional responses to symbiotic and non-symbiotic

bacteria. We show that symbionts are rapidly internalized across the epithelial boundary and

elicit a swift and pronounced activation of innate immune transcription factors (TFs), including

NF-κB, IRF, and STAT, as well as associated pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and signaling

pathways. Both IRF and NF-κB quickly translocate to the nuclei of amoebocytes that are

engulfing symbionts, consistent with conserved roles in host–symbiont recognition. In contrast,

non-symbiotic bacteria are internalized more slowly and fail to induce comparable immune

activation or TF nuclear translocation. These results indicate that the last common ancestor of

sponges and other animals already possessed a complex innate immune system capable of

distinguishing among bacterial partners, suggesting that immune regulation of symbiosis is an

ancient feature of animal evolution.

Introduction

The origin and evolution of animals took place in the continual presence of bacteria, which had long inhabited marine environments before the emergence of metazoans. Throughout their evolutionary history, animals have thus interacted continuously with bacteria in relationships that likely ranged from antagonistic to mutualistic. These interactions created an early selective pressure for mechanisms that enabled animals to distinguish beneficial from harmful microbes, in turn shaping the evolution of the innate immune system, the primary function of which is to discriminate self from non-self [1–11]. Consistent with this, all animals have inherited from a common ancestor many conserved components of innate immunity, including transcription factors (TFs), pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), signaling pathways, and core effector responses [3,12–14]. It is generally known that bacterial detection begins with extracellular or cell-surface PRRs that activate TF-mediated gene regulatory networks, ultimately driving appropriate transcriptional responses [11,15–18]. However, it remains unclear whether specific regulatory networks are conserved across the animal kingdom to distinguish among different types of bacterial associations, as the underlying mechanisms are still poorly understood outside of vertebrates [19–21].

In this context, we investigated the cellular responses and molecular pathways used by the marine demosponge *Amphimedon queenslandica* to distinguish its native symbiotic bacteria from foreign, non-symbiotic bacteria. Sponges are simple, sessile suspension feeders that efficiently and continuously pump large volumes of seawater through their bodies by the beating of flagellated choanocytes, each of which bears a microvillar collar that captures bacteria [22,23]. This mode of feeding suggests sponges possesses a constitutively active innate immune system capable of rapidly and differentially responding to diverse classes of filtered bacteria [24] . Like most other sponges, *A. queenslandica* filter feeds on bacteria while simultaneously housing large numbers of bacterial symbionts in the extracellular space adjacent to the choanocytes [10,25] so the need to rapidly distinguish symbionts from non-symbionts is paramount. Together, these ecological features make sponges valuable and experimentally tractable systems for investigating immune mechanisms used for differential handling of

bacteria. Further, sponges provide a valuable evolutionary framework to make comparisons

with innate immune mechanisms known in vertebrates. Because sponges represent one of the

earliest-diverging metazoan lineages, traits shared between sponges, vertebrates, and other

parahoxozoans are likely to have been present in their last common ancestor and to have

originated more than 700 million years ago.

Here, we use *A. queenslandica* as an early-branching metazoan model to investigate how

animals distinguish between different bacterial partners at the cellular and molecular levels.

We exposed actively feeding juvenile sponges to bacteria from different sources, to test the

hypothesis that this sponge employs distinct components of innate immunity to recognize and

respond to its own symbiotic bacteria, compared with foreign bacteria with which it has no

particular association. By integrating transcriptomic and cellular analyses, we identify signaling

and regulatory pathways involved in recognizing symbiotic versus foreign bacteria, specifically

revealing core roles for the TFs NF-κB, IRF and STAT. Because these same TFs play very similar

roles in vertebrate systems, our findings provide insight into the ancient regulation of animal–

microbe interactions and illuminate how the innate immune system may have evolved to

balance defense and symbiosis at the dawn of animal evolution.

Results

***Amphimedon* hosts a unique and simple symbiotic bacterial community**

Sponges have species-specific bacterial communities that differ from surrounding

environmental microbes, and tend to be either high or low in complexity and abundance

[10,26–28]. *Amphimedon queenslandica* (Fig. 1A, B) has a microbiome that is low in complexity

and abundance, dominated through its life cycle by three vertically-inherited symbiotic

gammaproteobacteria – hereafter referred to as *AqS1*, *AqS2* and *AqS3* – that together can

comprise up to 95% of the microbiome (Fig. 1C) [25,29]. Unhealthy *A. queenslandica*, which are

identified in the wild by lower cellular mass and paler coloration (Fig. 1B), support a reduced

relative abundance of the core symbionts consistent with microbiome dysbiosis (Fig. 1C and S1

Table). The sponge *Rhabdastrella globostellata*, which is co-located with *A. queenslandica* on

coral reefs, hosts a very different and more complex bacterial community that does not include

AqS1, *AqS2* or *AqS3* (Fig. 1C, D and S1 Table).

Fig. 1. Source and cellular fate of native and foreign microbes in experimental juvenile *A. queenslandica*. (**A-D**) Microbes inhabiting *Amphimedon queenslandica* and *Rhabdastrella globostellata.* (**A**) Healthy and (**B**) unhealthy *A. queenslandica in situ*. (**C**) The relative abundance of symbionts *AqS1*, *AqS2* and *AqS3* and other bacterial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in healthy and unhealthy *A. queenslandica*, and *R. globostellata* (see S1 Table for taxonomic lists). (**D**) *R. globostellata in situ.* (**E**) DIC and fluorescence micrograph of a DAPI-stained (blue) juvenile fed native bacteria labelled with CFDA-SE (green) at 8 hpe. (**F**) Confocal micrograph of

the area surrounding a single choanocyte chamber (Ch) from the same juvenile. Microbes are present in the ring of choanocytes comprising the chamber and a small amoeboid cell adjacent to the chamber (open white arrowhead) and an archaeocyte (red arrowhead). An archaeocyte without labelled microbes is marked with a white arrowhead. These three cells are outlined. (**G-I**) Fluorescence micrographs of juveniles at 2 hpe to microbes from healthy (**G**) and unhealthy *A. queenslandica* (**H**), and *R. globostellata* (**I**). Microbes are present in choanocyte chambers and small amoebocytes (open white arrowheads) in all three treatments (see S1 and S2 Figs). Scale bars, 25 µm. (**J**) The mean number (± standard error) of total and microbe-containing archaeocytes, and choanocyte chambers in juvenile optical sections from each treatment group (n = 20). Significant differences based in a one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey's HSD post-hoc test ($p < 0.01$) are denoted an asterisk (*).

Amphimedon rapidly internalise native bacteria

In addition to an epithelial boundary, which includes numerous chambers of choanocytes, sponges have an internal collagenous mesohyl layer that houses phagocytic, secretory, biomineralizing and stem cells that interact with bacterial food particles and symbionts [22,23,30–33].

CFDA-SE-labelled bacteria enriched from healthy and unhealthy *A. queenslandica* (referred to hereafter as healthy and unhealthy native bacteria) and from *R. globostellata* (referred to hereafter as foreign bacteria) were introduced separately into 0.2 µm filtered seawater (FSW) surrounding juvenile *A. queenslandica* (Fig. 1E). Within 30 min of exposure, both native and foreign bacteria were present in choanocytes – internal flagellated epithelial cells that draw water through the sponge body and capture bacteria [23,34] – and in amoeboid cells that appear to be in contact with the basal surface of the choanocytes (Fig. 1 and S1 Fig.). By 2 hours post-exposure (2 hpe), only native bacteria had been transferred to archaeocytes, larger phagocytic cells that reside in the internal mesohyl ($p < 0.01$) (Fig. 1G-I). At this time, more archaeocytes contained bacteria from healthy compared to unhealthy *A. queenslandica* ($p < 0.01$) (Fig. 1G, H, J and S2 Fig.), suggesting this sponge has a finely tuned capacity to rapidly discern not only native from foreign bacteria but also native communities that differ in content and state.

Native symbionts induce a dramatic and transient innate immune response

To characterise the rapid differential response of *A. queenslandica* juveniles to native and foreign bacteria at a molecular level, we compared transcriptomes of juveniles exposed to these bacterial communities for 2 and 8 hours (S2 Table). Principle component analysis (PCA) of biologically-replicated transcriptomes reveals that the sponge has markedly different responses to native and foreign microbes (Fig. 2A). Healthy native bacteria induce a rapid and transient transcriptional response, with about twice as many genes being differentially expressed compared to foreign bacterial treatments (DESeq2, *p*-adj < 0.05; Fig. 2B-E, S3 Fig. and S3 Table). This rapid transcriptional response largely reverts to pre-exposure (FSW) levels by 8 hpe. This is in stark contrast to the response to foreign bacteria, which is slower, more protracted and comprises a different gene set.

Bacteria from unhealthy *A. queenslandica* induced similar changes in gene expression compared to healthy native bacteria, except that the transcriptional response is markedly weaker and slower. This muted response correlates with a slower transfer of unhealthy native bacteria to archaeocytes (Fig. 1J) and is consistent with the host sponge being able to recognise subtle differences in its microbiome composition and to attenuate its response accordingly.

Native bacterial symbionts induce changes in the expression of over 80 TFs within 2 hpe (*p*-adj < 0.05), with most reverting back to pre-exposure transcript levels by 8 hpe (Fig. 2C). This timing correlates with the first transfer of native bacteria to archaeocytes (Fig. 1), indicating these juveniles are poised for a rapid transcriptional response to their native symbionts. These differentially expressed TFs are constitutively enriched in adult epithelial choanocytes and pinacocytes, but are also present in diverse internal mesohyl cell types (S5 Fig. and S5 Table). Choanocytes and pinacocytes are the first cells to contact external bacteria in both juveniles and adults, and these stages have similar gene expression profiles [35,36].

TFs that are transiently upregulated in response to native symbionts include NF-κB, two IRFs, STAT, two SMADs, AP-1, three Fox genes, a nuclear receptor (NR), and all members of ETS and 13 of 17 members of bZIP families. Most of these TFs have known roles in bilaterian innate

immicity. *NF-κB*, *IRF*, *STAT*, *SMAD1/5*, *SMAD3*, *ATF2*, *Oasis A* and *Oasis B* transcript levels induced by bacteria from unhealthy *A. queenslandica* increase more slowly, such that 8 hpe levels are similar to the 2 hpe response to bacteria from healthy individuals (Fig. 2C). This matches the overall prolonged transcriptional response and the slower cellular processing of these bacteria.

Fig. 2. Rapid and transient induction of immunity genes by native symbionts. (**A**) PCA of transcriptomes from juvenile *A. queenslandica* exposed to FSW (n = 28; grey; 0 hpe controls, circles); foreign bacteria (n = 8; FB, red); native bacteria from healthy (n = 10; HNB, blue) and unhealthy *A. queenslandica* (n = 10; UNB, cyan) at 2 (triangles) and 8 (squares) hpe. 95% confidence ellipses shown. (**B**) UpSet plot summarising the relationship of significantly differentially expressed coding sequences induced by native and foreign bacteria at 2 and 8 hpe relative to FSW (DESeq2; *p*-adj < 0.05). The number of differentially expressed genes in each

bacterial treatment is to the left in bold. The number of differentially expressed coding sequences intersecting between treatment groups at the top of each bar. The colour coding follows (A) except for gene intersecting between healthy and unhealthy native bacterial treatment (teal bars). Grey bars, intersections between native and foreign bacteria. (**C**) Hierarchically clustered heatmap of differentially expressed TF genes (p-adj < 0.05). TF names are shown to the left. (**D**) Slope charts of the variation in the number of the differentially expressed TFs, PRRs (SRCRs, GPCRs, IgSFs) and immunity signalling pathway components (ISPs) induced by bacterial at 2 and 8 hpe (see S3 Fig. for heatmap of differentially expressed PRRs and ISPs). (**E**) Genes encoding conserved enzymes involved in xenobiotic metabolism activated by foreign bacteria, with phases shown to the right. z-score for C and E shown in the middle. (**F**) Network diagram of the top 30 connected co-expressed genes induced by healthy native bacteria based on WGCNA. The degree of connectivity is indicated by the thickness of the edges/connections. The number of edges is represented by the size of the gene nodes. The nodes with black fill indicate genes identified by sPLS-DA as key differentiators between responses to native symbionts versus foreign bacteria (S4 Fig. and S4 Table). All genes are in WGCNA module 1 (blue), except the TRAF in brown (module 2) (S7 Fig., and S6 and S7 Tables).

PRR expression induced by native bacteria closely matches that of the TFs and includes the transient differential expression of 56 scavenger receptor cysteine-rich domain-containing (SRCR), 32 G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) and 50 immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF) genes (Fig. 2D, S3 Fig. and S3 Table). Two Toll-like receptors, AmqIgTIR1 and 2, which are comprised of extracellular IL1R-like Igs and an intracellular TLR-like Toll/interleukin1 domain [37] are uniquely regulated by native bacteria. Multiple sponge-specific GPCRs with close affinity to parahoxozoan γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors also are induced. GABA can be synthesised and degraded by *AqS1* and is involved in host-microbe interactions in other animal holobionts (S3 Fig.) [38–40]. By contrast, multiple CL163-L1 SRCRs are upregulated in *A. queenslandica* exposed to foreign bacteria; this SRCR is upregulated in mammalian macrophages during inflammation [41]. Both the GABA- and CL163-like receptors are most highly expressed in epithelial pinacocytes and choanocytes in adults, consistent with these cells constitutively expressing PRRs to detect and discriminate native from foreign bacteria (S5 Fig.).

Genes encoding conserved members of multiple innate immunity pathways are also transiently activated at 2 hpe by native symbionts from healthy *A. queenslandica*. These include eight TRAFs of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF pathway, and multiple components of Toll, Imd,

apoptosis, TGF-β and JAK-STAT pathways (Fig. 2D, S3 Fig. and S3 Table). Once again, most of these are expressed constitutively in adult epithelial pinacocytes and choanocytes (S5 and S6 Figs and S5 Table).

There are markedly fewer innate immunity genes induced by foreign bacteria, and these are largely expressed at lower levels and not transiently (Fig. 2, S3 Fig. and S3 Table). In addition to this weaker innate immune response, the prolonged response to foreign bacteria includes the activation of genes involved in xenobiotic metabolism (Fig. 2E). By contrast to innate immunity genes, xenobiotic genes activated by the foreign microbes are chiefly constitutively expressed in internal archaeocytes (S5 Fig. and S5 Table).

IRF, NF-κB and STAT appear central to sponge-symbiont interactions

To identify genes most likely to contribute to *A. queenslandica*'s rapid innate immune response to its native bacteria, we combined DESeq2 analyses with a multivariate sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis (sPLS-DA) and a weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). Two of the six WGCNA co-expression modules are comprised of genes induced at 2 hpe by native symbiotic bacteria, with module 1 including most of the activated immunity genes identified by DESeq2 and sPLS-DA (99% of the sPLS-DA identified genes overlap with DESeq2 identified genes; Fig. 2F, S7 Fig. and S6 Table). The most highly connected genes in this co-expression network are orthologues of bilaterian genes involved in innate immunity, endocytosis and vesicle trafficking, with IRF, NF-κB and STAT being the most connected TFs (Fig. 2F). Given this, we generated antibodies against these three TFs to experimentally test their potential roles in regulating immune gene expression when the juvenile contacts its native symbionts.

IRF, NF-κB and STAT are constitutively localised in the cytoplasm of juvenile choanocytes - IRF is uniquely enriched in the microvillar collar - and proximal ameobocytes (Fig. 3A-D and S8 Fig.). NF-κB is also constitutively nuclear localised in a subset of archaeocytes in the vicinity of choanocyte chambers in juveniles reared in filtered sea water. At 2 hpe, IRF translocates into the nuclei of proximal amoebocytes that have engulfed native bacteria. By contrast, at the

same time IRF co-localises with foreign bacteria in the cytoplasm of these cells; there is no evidence of nuclear localisation (Fig. 3E, I). This is consistent with IRF playing a central role in the initial discrimination of native and foreign bacteria. NF-κB co-localises with IRF in nuclei of amoebocytes that have engulfed native bacteria but are no longer in direct contact with choanocyte chambers (Fig. 3F-H, J-L), suggesting NF-κB nuclear localisation occurs after IRF in these cells. At the same time, only native bacteria induce STAT to translocate into the nuclei of a subset of amoebocytes that are not associated with choanocyte chambers and do not appear to have engulfed bacteria (Fig. 3G, H, K, L), suggesting this is occurs by intercellular signalling - JAK-STAT, Toll, Imd, FoxO, Wnt and TGF-β pathway components are upregulated at 2 hpe.

Fig. 3. Subcellular localization of IRF, STAT, and NF-κB in response to native and foreign bacteria. (**A-D**) Immunostained 2 hpe juveniles exposed to FSW; (**E-H**) foreign bacteria; and (**I-L**) healthy native bacteria (IRF, NF-κB or STAT, red; foreign or native bacteria, green; nuclei, blue): (**A,E,I**) IRF; (**B,F,J**) NF-kB; and (**C,G,K**) STAT. (**D,H,L**) Diagrams of a choanocyte chamber (Ch) with

proximal and two distant amoebocyte cell types (pAm, dAm1 and dAm2) and archeocytes (Ar) showing the nuclear localisation of IRF (green), NF-κB (orange) and STAT (blue) in juveniles reared in FSW (**D**), or exposed to foreign bacteria (**H**) or native bacteria (**L**). IRF localisation in the cytoplasm in distal amoebocytes dAM1 is shown in H. Red and blue speckled colouring demarcates the cells housing foreign and native bacteria at 2 hpe, respectively. Arrows and question marks indicate the unknown lineage relationship between amoebocytes to each other and to archaeoctyes, including transdifferentiation [36]. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Discussion

The responses of the sponge *Amphimedon queenslandica* to symbiotic and foreign bacteria are markedly different in speed and scale, and provide strong support for the innate immune system being critical in sponge-symbiont interactions. The sponge is poised to rapidly detect and transcriptionally respond to its symbionts, swiftly activating a suite of conserved innate immunity genes. This includes a wide array of TF families that have roles in innate immunity across the animal kingdom, such as IRF, STAT, NF-κB, AP-1 and other bZIPs, ETS, SMADs and NRs [19,21,42–46]. This suggests that the transcriptional regulation of holobiont fidelity by the innate immune system, such as NF-κB and Toll pathway, evolved early in metazoan evolution, and is consistent with the regulation and maintenance of living animal holobionts using a common set of mechanisms. Indeed, given holozoan-bacteria interactions likely predate the evolution of animal multicellularity [3,13,14] and many core immunity TFs are metazoan innovations (e.g. IRF, AP-1, SMAD, and ETS and NR families [47]), the animal innate immune system may have first evolved to maintain symbiotic relationships, and not to protect against pathogens. The muted, yet longer-lasting, activation of these genes by symbionts derived from unhealthy and dying sponges is consistent with the ancestral innate immune system being finely tuned to detect and respond to subtle dysbiotic conditions to maintain a beneficial microbiome. Interestingly, many of these innate immunity TFs also play developmental roles, which may have been co-opted later in metazoan evolution [24,37,48,49].

Sponges have no gut, nor nerve and muscle cells, but do have an epithelium and underlying phagocytes that are akin, and arguably homologous, to cell types present in other animals [23,30,32,50]. The constitutive expression of many conserved immunity TF, PRR and signalling genes in the epithelium – sponge pinacocytes and choanocytes – and the rapid activation of IRF

and NF-κB in adjacent phagocytes is consistent with these cells being poised to discriminate

native from foreign bacteria. Given this, and the tight alliance of symbionts and epithelia in

disparate animals [1,3,6,51], it appears that the epithelial boundary has been instrumental in

rapidly discriminating beneficial, harmful and neutral bacteria, and thus in shaping host-

symbiont interactions since before the origin of the gut.

IRF appears to be central to the differential response of the sponge to native and foreign

bacteria, immediately translocating to nuclei of phagocytes only in response to receiving native

symbionts from choanocytes. This suggests these cells are primed to discriminate native from

foreign bacteria and initiate a transcriptional response. By contrast, IRF remains in the

cytoplasm in sponge amoebocytes that have engulfed foreign bacteria, appearing to co-localise

with these bacteria as occurs in macrophages and other cell types, where IRF binds to MyD88

on the surface of endosomes and has a non-TF signalling role [44,52–54].

NF-κB translocates into the nuclei of symbiont-carrying amoebocytes shortly after IRF,

suggesting it also contributes to regulation of symbiont processing. Indeed, IRFs and NF-κB

regulate the swift transcriptional responses in other animal cells upon stimulation of Toll-like

receptors and other PRRs [44,55]. Our findings that these two TFs, along with STAT, appear

central to the transcriptional response of *A. queenslandica* to its symbionts, suggests that the

role of these TFs in discriminating beneficial symbionts from foreign bacteria also evolved

before the divergence of sponge and parahoxozoan lineages. Additionally, these amoebocytes

appear to have macrophage-like properties and play a role in sponge defence [32,33]

suggesting this cell type is also an ancestral conserved feature of sponges and parahoxozoans.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that sponges, like more complex animals, express innate

immunity TFs, PRRs and signalling pathways to regulate their microbiome. This occurs rapidly

and adjacent to the sponge epithelial layer, consistent with the immune system of aquatic

filter-feeding animals being poised to rapidly and differentially respond to beneficial symbiotic

bacteria. Given the phylogenetic position of sponges, these results also suggest that the earliest

animals primarily used the innate immune system to recognize symbionts to maintain holobiont

fidelity.

Materials and Methods

Enrichment and characterisation of sponge bacterial communities

Amphimedon queenslandica and *Rhabdastrella globostellata* were collected from Heron Reef,

Great Barrier Reef, Australia (23◦27'S, 151◦55'E) (Fig. 1A, B, D), and bacterial communities were

enriched from individual sponges as previously described [29,56]. The health of *A.*

queenslandica individuals was visually assessed in the field prior to collection. Healthy

individuals were grey and had high cellular mass, while unhealthy individuals had body regions

that were pale brown to olive colour and had a lower cell mass and regions of exposed

extracellular matrix (Fig. 1A, B). *A. queenslandica* and *R. globostellata* bacterial enrichments

were diluted in 0.2 μm filtered sea water (FSW) to approximate final concentration of 10^7

cells/ml. DNA was extracted from approximately 10^6 cells of these bacterial enrichments to

identify prokaryotic operational taxonomic units (OTUs) via 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequencing as previously described [25]. All field collections were conducted in accordance

with Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority Permit G16/38120.1 issued to BM Degnan ad SM

Degnan.

Rearing *A. queenslandica* larvae and juveniles

Naturally-released *A. queenslandica* larvae were collected in larval traps from 25 individuals,

pooled and maintained in ambient seawater for 6-8 h before being induced to settle on the

coralline alga *Amphiroa fragilissima* [57,58] Within 6 h of settling on *A. fragilissima*, *A.*

queenslandica postlarvae were detached from the algae and resettled individually onto glass

coverslips [36] . These were placed in sterile 24-well plates in 2 ml FSW and reared for 96 h at

25°C until reaching the juvenile stage with a functional aquiferous system [59].

Labelling and tracing bacteria in juvenile sponges

Bacterial enrichments were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min and resuspended in 1 ml of 5 μM

carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFDA-SE; Vybrant, Thermofisher Scientific) in

FSW. After incubating for 15 min at room temperature, the cell suspensions were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min. The pellets were washed twice in FSW, resuspended in 1 ml FSW and maintained at 4°C in the dark. Juvenile *A. queenslandica* were washed in FSW and the volume of FSW in each well was adjusted to 1.5 ml. 40 µL of the CFDA-SE labelled microbial enrichments from either a healthy or unhealthy *A. queenslandica*, or *R. globostellata* were introduced to the FSW in each well, yielding final concentrations of 2.8, 3.6 or 2.7×10^4 cells/ml, respectively. Control treatments were juveniles receiving 40 µl FSW. Juveniles were then cultivated in the presence of the labelled bacteria in the dark. Individual juveniles were fixed 0.5, 1, 2 and 8 h post-exposure (hpe) as previously described [60]. For the 8 hpe incubations, the wells were washed once with fresh FSW after 2 h and the juveniles were reared in FSW for the last 6 h. Fixed juveniles were labelled with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1:1000, Molecular Probes), mounted in ProLong Gold anti-fade reagent (Molecular Probes) and observed and photographed using a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope [61].

The number of choanocyte chambers, archaeocytes and microbe-containing archaeocytes were counted in four randomly-chosen confocal sections obtained from the inner cortex of five juveniles (n = 20) exposed to the bacterial enrichment for 0.5, 1, 2 and 8 h. A one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests were performed on the data obtained from juveniles fed with enrichments from health and unhealthy *A. queenslandica*, and *R. globostellata*.

CEL-seq2 analysis of juvenile transcriptomes

Individual juvenile *A. queenslandica* were exposed to bacterial enrichments from healthy or unhealthy *A. queenslandica* (native), *R. globostellata* (foreign), or FSW for 2 or 8 h as described above. At 0, 2 and 8 hpe, juveniles were individually transferred to 300 µl of RNALater (Sigma), stored overnight at 4°C and then at -20°C. RNA was extracted from each juvenile using the EZ Spin Column Total RNA Isolation Kit (BioBasic Inc., Toronto, Canada) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and amplified and sequenced using the CEL-seq2 method [62]. The CEL-seq2 samples were multiplexed, resulting in the generation of two libraries, which were sequenced over two sequencing runs on an Illumina HiSeq2500, with samples from each

treatment group and time point divided between the two runs (S2 Table). CEL-seq2 reads were

processed using a publicly available pipeline (https://github.com/yanailab/CEL-Seq-pipeline)

and the demultiplexed raw reads were mapped to the *A. queenslandica* genome and gene-level

read counts were generated using Aqu 2.1 gene models [63] (S2 Table). All data are available at

NCBI Bioproject PRJNA1121035.

Analysis of gene expression

Differential gene expression analysis was performed with the CEL-seq2 mapped read counts

using the Bioconductor package DESeq2 [64]. Genes with median read counts less than 10 were

filtered out before analysis. Blind variance stabilizing transformation (VST) and principal

component analysis (PCA) was conducted on the read counts [64]. The results of the

unsupervised PCA analysis were visualised by a scatter plot derived from ggplot2 [65].

Differentially expressed genes, with adjusted *p*-value < 0.05, were identified by pairwise

comparisons between each treatment group and the corresponding FSW control. An UpSet plot

generated by R packages ComplexUpset and ggplot2 was utilized to visualise the number of

differentially expressed genes within each treatment group and the intersection across groups

[65,66]. Heatmaps generated by ComplexHeatmap based on inter-group normalised VST counts

were used to visualise the relative expression changes of differentially expressed genes [67].

Sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis (sPLS-DA), a supervised machine learning

method integrated into the mixOmics package [68], was used to identify expressed genes

featured in juveniles exposed to bacterial enrichments. The predictive model was first trained

using the 2 hpe datasets by repeating the 3-fold cross-validation method 50 times. Then, the

trained model was used to predict the bacterial type of the 8 hpe bacterial datasets, and only

datasets with the correct bacterial type were retained. Finally, sPLS-DA was performed on the

combined datasets consisting of the retained 8 hpe datasets and the full 2 hpe datasets to

identify feature genes for native and foreign bacteria on each principal component level. The

scatter plot of samples with 95% confidence ellipses generated by the R package ggplot2 based

on the sPLS-DA model was used to visualise the differences among treatment groups and the

similarities within groups. The Bioconductor package ComplexHeatmap was used to generate

heatmaps based on VST counts, to visualise the relative expression variations of the two PCs

feature genes, respectively.

A weighted co-expression analysis of gene expression profiles was undertaken using the R

package WGCNA [69], on VST counts obtained from DESeq2 that first were filtered by mean

expression and variance; VST counts of a total of 8457 genes with median or median absolute

deviation ranking in the top 5000 were retained. With β of 3 as soft thresholding, genes with

distinct expression profiles were grouped into various modules, and a weighted co-expression

network of the genes within the module was identified. Specifically, these networks were

constructed based on the signed Nowick-type of topological overlap metric, which was used to

cluster the modules using the dynamic tree cut algorithm with a height of 0.15 and a minimum

module size of 100. Co-expressed gene modules were identified by the hierarchical clustering

method. The relative gene expression profile of each co-expressed gene module was visualised

by the R package ggplot2. Module eigengenes (MEs) were correlated to bacterial treatments

and time points, and modules with p-values < 0.05 were identified as those significantly

correlated with the corresponding groups. ME and group relationships were visualised using

ggplot2 and ComplexHeatmap, respectively [65,67].

GO and KEGG Pathway enrichment analyses

The gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) biological

pathway terms of all protein coding genes were obtained by annotating the predicted

proteome of *A. queenslandica* with the online genome functional annotation tool eggNOG-

mapper (http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de) [70]. Enrichment analysis of KEGG pathway terms was

performed for each module using a one-sided version of Fisher's exact test built in the

Bioconductor package clusterProfiler [71]. The p-value was adjusted by the FDR method to

obtain the corresponding q-value, which was less than 0.05 for significantly enriched KEGG

pathways. Based on the results of KEGG pathway enrichment analysis, the distribution of

significantly enriched terms across modules was visualised with heatmaps generated by ggplot2

[65].

Immunofluorescence

Juveniles were fixed at 2 hpe for immunofluorescence analyses using antisera raised against IRF, NF-kB and STAT peptide epitopes [72]. Rabbit polyclonal antisera against *A. queenslandica* IRF (TRSGSSADEQEPERPER), STAT (QRLFNHEDDPNRNE) and NF-κB (NGIDPSSLPEALIR) peptide epitopes were produced by GenScript (Piscataway, New Jersey, U.S). These antisera were individually diluted 1:500 in the fresh blocking buffer and incubated with the fixed juveniles overnight at 4°C as previously described [72].

Acknowledgments. The authors thank staff at the Heron Island Research Station for logistical assistance during field collections, and Nick Rhodes at QCIF for management of high-performance computing facilities.

Funding. This work was generously supported by The Australian Research Council Discovery Project scheme DP170102353, DP190102521, DP230102109 (SMD, BMD) and The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation Aquatic Symbiosis Initiative 9352 (SMD). The Funders played no role in the study design, data collection or analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions:

     Conceptualization: SMD, BMD

     Methodology: SMD, BMD, BY, BY, HY

     Investigation: BY, BY, HY, SMD, BMD

     Visualization: BY, BY

     Funding acquisition: SMD, BMD

     Project administration: SMD, BMD

     Supervision: SMD, BMD

     Writing – original draft: SMD, BMD, BY

     Writing – review & editing: SMD, BMD, BY, HY

Competing interests. Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability. Transcriptomes generated by CelSeq2 as part of this study are

available at NCBI Bioproject PRJNA1121035. All other data are available in the main text or the

Supporting Information.

References

1. Bosch TCG. Cnidarian-Microbe Interactions and the Origin of Innate Immunity in Metazoans.
Annu Rev Microbiol. 2012;67: 499–518. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155626

2. Bosch TCG, Blaser MJ, Ruby E, McFall-Ngai M. A new lexicon in the age of microbiome
research. Philos Trans R Soc B. 2024;379: 20230060. doi:10.1098/rstb.2023.0060

3. McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey HV, Domazet-Lošo T, Douglas AE, et al.
Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc National Acad Sci.
2013;110: 3229–3236. doi:10.1073/pnas.1218525110

4. Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG. Cellular Dialogues in the Holobiont. 2020; 287–290.
doi:10.1201/9780429277375-17

5. Gilbert SF, Bosch TCG, Ledon-Rettig C. Eco-Evo-Devo: developmental symbiosis and
developmental plasticity as evolutionary agents. Nat Rev Genet. 2015;16: 611–622.
doi:10.1038/nrg3982

6. Bosch TCG, Guillemin K, McFall-Ngai M. Evolutionary "Experiments" in Symbiosis: The Study
of Model Animals Provides Insights into the Mechanisms Underlying the Diversity of Host–
Microbe Interactions. Bioessays. 2019;41: 1800256. doi:10.1002/bies.201800256

7. Dierking K, Pita L. Receptors Mediating Host-Microbiota Communication in the
Metaorganism: The Invertebrate Perspective. Frontiers in Immunology. 2020;11: 185–17.
doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01251

8. Nyholm SV, McFall-Ngai MJ. A lasting symbiosis: how the Hawaiian bobtail squid finds and
keeps its bioluminescent bacterial partner. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19: 666–679.
doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00567-y

9. Schretter CE, Vielmetter J, Bartos I, Marka Z, Marka S, Argade S, et al. A gut microbial factor
modulates locomotor behaviour in Drosophila. Nature. 2018;563: 402–406.
doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0634-9

10. Hentschel U, Piel J, Degnan SM, Taylor MW. Genomic insights into the marine sponge
microbiome. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012;10: 641–654. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2839

11. Chu H, Mazmanian SK. Innate immune recognition of the microbiota promotes host-
microbial symbiosis. Nat Immunol. 2013;14: 668–675. doi:10.1038/ni.2635

12. Alegado RA, King N. Bacterial Influences on Animal Origins. Csh Perspect Biol. 2014;6:
a016162. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016162

13. Woznica A, Kumar A, Sturge CR, Xing C, King N, Pfeiffer JK. STING mediates immune
responses in the closest living relatives of animals. eLife. 2021;10: e70436.
doi:10.7554/elife.70436

14. Richter DJ, Levin TC. The origin and evolution of cell-intrinsic antibacterial defenses in
eukaryotes. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2019;58: 111–122. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2019.09.002

15. Nyholm SV, Graf J. Knowing your friends: invertebrate innate immunity fosters beneficial
bacterial symbioses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012;10: 815–827. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2894

16. Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O. Pathogen Recognition and Innate Immunity. Cell. 2006;124:
783–801. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.015

17. Bosch TCG, Adamska M, Augustin R, Domazet-Lošo T, Foret S, Fraune S, et al. How do
environmental factors influence life cycles and development? An experimental framework for
early-diverging metazoans. BioEssays. 2014;36: 1185–1194. doi:10.1002/bies.201400065

18. Bosch TCG, Augustin R, Anton-Erxleben F, Fraune S, Hemmrich G, Zill H, et al. Uncovering
the evolutionary history of innate immunity: The simple metazoan Hydra uses epithelial cells
for host defence. Dev Comp Immunol. 2009;33: 559–569. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2008.10.004

19. Silva RCMC, Gomes FM. Evolution of the Major Components of Innate Immunity in Animals.
J Mol Evol. 2024;92: 3–20. doi:10.1007/s00239-024-10155-2

20. Mansfield KM, Gilmore TD. Innate immunity and cnidarian-Symbiodiniaceae mutualism. Dev
Comp Immunol. 2019;90: 199–209. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2018.09.020

21. Williams LM, Inge MM, Mansfield KM, Rasmussen A, Afghani J, Agrba M, et al. Transcription
factor NF-κB in a basal metazoan, the sponge, has conserved and unique sequences, activities,
and regulation. Dev Comp Immunol. 2019;104: 103559. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2019.103559

22. Leys SP, Grombacher L, Field D, Elliott GRD, Ho VR, Kahn AS, et al. A morphological cell atlas
of the freshwater sponge Ephydatia muelleri with key insights from targeted single-cell
transcriptomes. EvoDevo. 2025;16: 1. doi:10.1186/s13227-025-00237-7

23. Leys SP, Hill A. Chapter one The Physiology and Molecular Biology of Sponge Tissues. Adv Mar Biol. 2012;62: 1–56. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-394283-8.00001-1

24. Williams LM, Gilmore TD. An innate ability: How do basal invertebrates manage their chronic exposure to microbes? Plos Pathog. 2022;18: e1010897. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010897

25. Fieth RA, Gauthier M-EA, Bayes J, Green KM, Degnan SM. Ontogenetic Changes in the Bacterial Symbiont Community of the Tropical Demosponge Amphimedon queenslandica: Metamorphosis Is a New Beginning. Frontiers Mar Sci. 2016;3: 3022–20. doi:10.3389/fmars.2016.00228

26. Thomas T, Moitinho-Silva L, Lurgi M, Björk JR, Easson C, Astudillo-García C, et al. Diversity, structure and convergent evolution of the global sponge microbiome. Nat Commun. 2016;7: 11870. doi:10.1038/ncomms11870

27. Fan L, Reynolds D, Liu M, Stark M, Kjelleberg S, Webster NS, et al. Functional equivalence and evolutionary convergence in complex communities of microbial sponge symbionts. Proc National Acad Sci. 2012;109: E1878–E1887. doi:10.1073/pnas.1203287109

28. Gloeckner V, Wehrl M, Moitinho-Silva L, Gernert C, Schupp P, Pawlik JR, et al. The HMA-LMA Dichotomy Revisited: an Electron Microscopical Survey of 56 Sponge Species. Biol Bull. 2014;227: 78–88. doi:10.1086/bblv227n1p78

29. Gauthier M-EA, Watson JR, Degnan SM. Draft Genomes Shed Light on the Dual Bacterial Symbiosis that Dominates the Microbiome of the Coral Reef Sponge Amphimedon queenslandica. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2016;3: 162–18. doi:10.3389/fmars.2016.00196

30. Ereskovsky A, Melnikov NP, Lavrov A. Archaeocytes in sponges: simple cells of complicated fate. Biol Rev. 2025;100: 790–814. doi:10.1111/brv.13162

31. Dunn CW, Leys SP, Haddock SHD. The hidden biology of sponges and ctenophores. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2015;30: 282–291. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.03.003

32. Musser JM, Schippers KJ, Nickel M, Mizzon G, Kohn AB, Pape C, et al. Profiling cellular diversity in sponges informs animal cell type and nervous system evolution. Science. 2021;374: 717–723. doi:10.1126/science.abj2949

33. Sebé-Pedrós A, Chomsky E, Pang K, Lara-Astiaso D, Gaiti F, Mukamel Z, et al. Early metazoan cell type diversity and the evolution of multicellular gene regulation. Nat Ecol Evol. 2018;2: 1–17. doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0575-6

34. Maldonado M. Choanoflagellates, choanocytes, and animal multicellularity. Invertebrate Biology. 2004;123: 1–22. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7410.2004.tb00138.x

35. Gaiti F, Jindrich K, Fernandez-Valverde SL, Roper KE, Degnan BM, Tanurdzic M. Landscape of
histone modifications in a sponge reveals the origin of animal cis-regulatory complexity. eLife.
2017;6: e366. doi:10.7554/elife.22194

36. Sogabe S, Hatleberg WL, Kocot KM, Say TE, Stoupin D, Roper KE, et al. Pluripotency and the
origin of animal multicellularity. Nature. 2019;570: 1–20. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1290-4

37. Gauthier MEA, Pasquier LD, Degnan BM. The genome of the sponge Amphimedon
queenslandica provides new perspectives into the origin of Toll-like and interleukin 1 receptor
pathways. Evol Dev. 2010;12: 519–533. doi:10.1111/j.1525-142x.2010.00436.x

38. Krishnan A, Dnyansagar R, Almén MS, Williams MJ, Fredriksson R, Manoj N, et al. The GPCR
repertoire in the demosponge Amphimedon queenslandica: insights into the GPCR system at
the early divergence of animals. BMC Evol Biol. 2015;14: 270. doi:10.1186/s12862-014-0270-4

39. Shekarabi A, Qureishy I, Puglisi CH, Dalseth M, Vuong HE. Host–microbe interactions:
communication in the microbiota–gut–brain axis. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2024;80: 102494.
doi:10.1016/j.mib.2024.102494

40. Xiang X. Investigating host-symbiont crosstalk in the coral reef demosponge Amphimedon
queenslandica. 2021. doi:10.14264/6cdf49e

41. Gorp HV, Delputte PL, Nauwynck HJ. Scavenger receptor CD163, a Jack-of-all-trades and
potential target for cell-directed therapy. Mol Immunol. 2010;47: 1650–1660.
doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2010.02.008

42. Platanitis E, Decker T. Regulatory Networks Involving STATs, IRFs, and NFκB in Inflammation.
Front Immunol. 2018;9: 2542. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.02542

43. Kusiak A, Brady G. Bifurcation of signalling in human innate immune pathways to NF-kB and
IRF family activation. Biochem Pharmacol. 2022;205: 115246. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2022.115246

44. Honda K, Taniguchi T. IRFs: master regulators of signalling by Toll-like receptors and
cytosolic pattern-recognition receptors. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006;6: 644–658.
doi:10.1038/nri1900

45. Glass CK, Natoli G. Molecular control of activation and priming in macrophages. Nat
Immunol. 2016;17: 26–33. doi:10.1038/ni.3306

46. Bejjani F, Evanno E, Zibara K, Piechaczyk M, Jariel-Encontre I. The AP-1 transcriptional
complex: Local switch or remote command? Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Rev Cancer.
2019;1872: 11–23. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2019.04.003

47. Sebé-Pedrós A, Mendoza A de, Lang BF, Degnan BM, Ruiz-Trillo I. Unexpected repertoire of metazoan transcription factors in the unicellular holozoan Capsaspora owczarzaki. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2011;28: 1241–1254. doi:10.1093/molbev/msq309

48. Gauthier M, Degnan BM. The transcription factor NF-κB in the demosponge Amphimedon queenslandica: insights on the evolutionary origin of the Rel homology domain. Dev Genes Evol. 2008;218: 23–32. doi:10.1007/s00427-007-0197-5

49. Williams LM, Gilmore TD. Looking Down on NF-κB. Mol Cell Biol. 2020;40: e00104-20. doi:10.1128/mcb.00104-20

50. Srivastava M, Simakov O, Chapman J, Fahey B, Gauthier MEA, Mitros T, et al. The Amphimedon queenslandica genome and the evolution of animal complexity. Nature. 2010;466: 720–726. doi:10.1038/nature09201

51. Maritan E, Quagliariello A, Frago E, Patarnello T, Martino ME. The role of animal hosts in shaping gut microbiome variation. Philos Trans R Soc B. 2024;379: 20230071. doi:10.1098/rstb.2023.0071

52. Honda K, Ohba Y, Yanai H, Negishi H, Mizutani T, Takaoka A, et al. Spatiotemporal regulation of MyD88–IRF-7 signalling for robust type-I interferon induction. Nature. 2005;434: 1035–1040. doi:10.1038/nature03547

53. Ikushima H, Negishi H, Taniguchi T. The IRF Family Transcription Factors at the Interface of Innate and Adaptive Immune Responses. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology. 2014;78: 105–116. doi:10.1101/sqb.2013.78.020321

54. Fitzgerald KA, Kagan JC. Toll-like Receptors and the Control of Immunity. Cell. 2020;180: 1044–1066. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.041

55. Ahmad Z, Kahloan W, Rosen ED. Transcriptional control of metabolism by interferon regulatory factors. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2024;20: 573–587. doi:10.1038/s41574-024-00990-0

56. Thomas T, Rusch D, DeMaere MZ, Yung PY, Lewis M, Halpern A, et al. Functional genomic signatures of sponge bacteria reveal unique and shared features of symbiosis. 2010;4: 1557–1567. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.74

57. Ueda N, Richards GS, Degnan BM, Kranz A, Adamska M, Croll RP, et al. An ancient role for nitric oxide in regulating the animal pelagobenthic life cycle: evidence from a marine sponge. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 37546. doi:10.1038/srep37546

58. Say TE, Degnan SM. Molecular and behavioural evidence that interdependent photo - and chemosensory systems regulate larval settlement in a marine sponge. Mol Ecol. 2020;29: 247–261. doi:10.1111/mec.15318

59. Degnan BM, Adamska M, Richards GS, Larroux C, Leininger S, Bergum B, et al. Porifera.
Springer Vienna; 2015. pp. 65–106. doi:10.1007/978-3-7091-1862-7_4

60. Larroux C, Fahey B, Adamska M, Richards GS, Gauthier M, Green K, et al. Whole-Mount In
Situ Hybridization in Amphimedon. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2008;2008: pdb.prot5096.
doi:10.1101/pdb.prot5096

61. Sogabe S, Nakanishi N, Degnan BM. The ontogeny of choanocyte chambers during
metamorphosis in the demosponge Amphimedon queenslandica. EvoDevo. 2016;7: 6.
doi:10.1186/s13227-016-0042-x

62. Hashimshony T, Senderovich N, Avital G, Klochendler A, Leeuw Y de, Anavy L, et al. CEL-
Seq2: sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-Seq. Genome Biol. 2016;17: 77.
doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0938-8

63. Fernandez-Valverde SL, Calcino AD, Degnan BM. Deep developmental transcriptome
sequencing uncovers numerous new genes and enhances gene annotation in the sponge
Amphimedon queenslandica. BMC Genomics. 2015;16: 720–11. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1588-
z

64. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

65. Wickham H. ggplot2, Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. R. 2016. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-
24277-4

66. Lex A, Gehlenborg N, Strobelt H, Vuillemot R, Pfister H. UpSet: Visualization of Intersecting
Sets. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph. 2014;20: 1983–1992. doi:10.1109/tvcg.2014.2346248

67. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 2847–2849.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313

68. Rohart F, Gautier B, Singh A, Cao K-AL. mixOmics: An R package for 'omics feature selection
and multiple data integration. PLoS Comput Biol. 2017;13: e1005752.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005752

69. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis.
BMC Bioinform. 2008;9: 559–559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

70. Cantalapiedra CP, Hernández-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J. eggNOG-mapper v2:
Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the Metagenomic
Scale. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38: 5825–5829. doi:10.1093/molbev/msab293

71. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool
for interpreting omics data. Innov. 2021;2: 100141. doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141

72. Yang B, Degnan BM, Degnan SM. An improved whole-mount immunofluorescence protocol
for juvenile Amphimedon queenslandica v1. 2024.
doi:10.17504/protocols.io.e6nvw1o2wlmk/v1

666