Metabolic basis of the astrocyte-synapse interaction governs dopaminergic-motor connection

Yanru Xu[1,2,3], Piaoping Kong[1,2,3], Mengqi Wang[2], Yanyun Mao[2], Zhiguo Ma[1,2]*

[1]Department of Neurobiology, Affiliated Mental Health Center and Hangzhou Seventh People's Hospital, School of Brain Science and Brain Medicine, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China
[2]Liangzhu Laboratory, MOE Frontier Science Center for Brain Science and Brain-machine Integration, State Key Laboratory of Brain-machine Intelligence, NHC and CAMS Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China
[3]These authors contributed equally
*Correspondence: zgma@zju.edu.cn

SUMMARY

Perisynaptic astrocyte processes are constitutive attachments of synapses in the central nervous system. However, the molecular mechanisms that control perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment and their implications in the wiring of neural circuits remain unclear. Here, we report that glycolysis controls astrocyte-synapse contact. In the *Drosophila* larval dopaminergic (DAergic) circuit, blocking astrocyte glycolysis stimulated perisynaptic ensheathment by attenuating astrocyte-to-DAergic neuron neuroligin 2-neurexin 1 signaling. As a result, the larvae executed more reorientation actions during locomotion. At the circuit level, behavioral alterations were found to arise from increased DAergic neuronal synaptogenesis and DAergic-motor connection. Our research uncovers an ancient metabolic basis that determines perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment abundance through a conserved neuroligin-neurexin signaling pathway and demonstrates the role of astrocyte glycolysis in controlling DAergic-motor circuit assembly and function.

KEYWORDS

perisynaptic astrocyte processes, astrocyte, glycolysis, dopaminergic circuit, neuroligin, neurexin

INTRODUCTION

Astrocytes have a complex morphology with dense branches ensheathing many synapses [1–3]. Consequently, perisynaptic astrocyte processes and synapses are positioned in immediate vicinity for astrocyte-synapse interaction. Astrocyte-synapse contact is modulated by synaptic activity and several cell-cell communication pathways such as cell-adhesion signaling [4–14].

Astrocytes are key non-neuronal cells that interact with the DAergic system [15–17]. The DAergic system comprises many subcircuits with patterned synaptic connectivity, each of which controls specific actions [18,19]. Imbalances in DAergic subcircuit connectivity are associated with both motor and non-motor deficits in neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and schizophrenia [20–24]. Perisynaptic astrocyte processes regulate neurotransmission at DAergic synapses [25–28]; however, whether they contribute to patterning synaptic connection of developing DAergic subcircuits is unknown.

Neuroligins (Nlgs) and neurexins (Nrxs) are ligand-receptor, cell-adhesion molecules (CAMs) responsible for regulating synapse assembly and function [29–32]. The Nlg-Nrx signaling was traditionally viewed as a neuron-to-neuron communication pathway. For instance, postsynaptic Nlg2 and Nlg3 instruct DAergic presynapse organization [33,34], and conditional knockouts of all Nrxs in DAergic neurons cause disproportionate co-transmission at DAergic synapses [35]. However, recent findings have provided new insights into the intercellular modalities of Nlg-Nrx signaling. Nonneuronal cells in the central nervous system (CNS), such as astrocytes, also express Nlgs [36,37]. In fact, astrocyte-neuronal Nlg-Nrx signaling is critical for excitation/inhibition balance and neural plasticity [8,38]. It is crucial to redefine the role of Nlg-Nrx signaling in the wiring of DAergic circuits; however, the question of how astrocyte-neuronal Nlg-Nrx signaling is regulated remains to be addressed.

Here, we show the metabolic regulation of astrocyte-neuronal Nlg-Nrx signaling in controlling perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment, DAergic-motor subcircuit wiring, and motor function. Our findings advance the understanding of astrocyte-synaptic mechanisms underlying the metabolic modulation of motor control by dopamine.

RESULTS

Astrocyte ensheathment of DAergic synapses

First, to trace perisynaptic ensheathment by astrocytes in the proximity of DAergic synapses (hereafter referred to as PEAPODs), we expressed membrane-tethered split GFP (spGFP) fragments, CD4-spGFP[1-10] and CD4-spGFP[11], in astrocytes and DAergic neurons, respectively, in *Drosophila* larvae, using two binary expression systems, namely Gal4/UAS and LexA/lexAop (Fig. S1A) [15,39–42]. As several previous studies have modeled astrocyte interactions with the monoaminergic, motor, and GABAergic systems in the larval ventral nerve cord (VNC), we also chose to focus on the VNC [15,38,43]. Using GFP reconstitution, we identified many astrocyte-DAergic neuronal contact sites, which disperse along DAergic neuronal processes. Many of them resembled synaptic boutons and adjoined or contained core active zone protein Bruchpilot (Brp), indicative of perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment of DAergic synapses (i.e., PEAPODs) (Fig. 1A; Supplemental video S1). To quantitate the astrocyte-DAergic synapse association, we examined PEAPODs and DAergic neurons expressing the presynaptic marker Bruchpilot[short]-mStraw (Brp.S-mStraw) at single-cell resolution using FLP-out [40,44]. As a result, we found that 87.5% ± 0.55% of Brp.S-mStraw puncta were associated with PEAPODs (Figs. 1B–1D).

Neuronal activity remodels astrocyte-synapse contact, and DAergic neurons spontaneously fire in bursts [15,45]. To determine how PEAPODs reorganize during DAergic neuronal activation, we performed dual-color live imaging of PEAPODs and measured the activity of DAergic neurons using the membrane-tethered Ca^{2+} indicator mR-GECO1 (Fig. S1B; Supplemental video S2) [46]. We recorded active DAergic neuronal branches that appeared to be transversal projections from vmTH neurons [47]. Spontaneous DAergic neuronal bursting

plotted a bell-shaped Ca^{2+} curve, for which we named three phases: rising, sustaining, and
decay periods (RP, SP, and DP, respectively) (Fig. 1E). The RP and DP Ca^{2+} levels (135.2% ±
12.21% vs. 152.1% ± 9.71%, maximum normalized difference in fluorescence levels between
any given time point and the basal state [dF/F]) were similar and dramatically lower than those
of the SP (512.1% ± 31.21%, maximum dF/F) (Fig. 1F). PEAPODs presented a multiple-peak
response during DAergic neuronal burst firing (Fig. 1E). In contrast to the bell-shaped DAergic
neuronal Ca^{2+} activity with a pair of symmetrical RP, DP shoulders, and an SP peak, the
PEAPODs exhibited equivalent increases in intensity during the RP, SP, and DP (9.2% ±
0.97%, 11.9% ± 1.85%, and 12.9% ± 1.94%, respectively; maximum dF/F) (Figs. 1G and 1H),
before returning to baseline. Therefore, spontaneous DAergic neuronal activity is not sufficient
to stabilize temporarily enhanced PEAPODs. Thermogenetic activation of DAergic neurons for
30 min using the cation channel TrpA1 did not change the intensity of the PEAPODs (Fig. 1I).
Finally, we inhibited DAergic neurons by hyperpolarizing the membrane potential using the
potassium inwardly rectifying channel mKcnj2 or by blocking synaptic transmission with the
tetanus toxin TNT-G, with none showing significantly altered PEAPODs intensity (Fig. 1I).
Taken together, these results suggest that astrocyte-DAergic synapse contact is transiently
stimulated during spontaneous DAergic neuronal burst firing, but that DAergic neuronal activity
is dispensable for PEAPODs.

Astrocytes determine the abundance of PEAPODs via glycolysis

Glycolysis breaks down one glucose molecule into two molecules of pyruvate (Fig. 2A).
Astrocytes exhibit high glycolytic activity [48,49]. We found that the knockdown (KD) of glycolytic
genes by RNAi, including *phosphofructokinase* (*pfk*), *enolase* (*eno*), and *pyruvate kinase* (*pyk*),
in astrocytes increased the intensity and number of PEAPODs by several folds: *pfk* RNAi (e.g.,
5.6 ± 0.12, 2.2 ± 0.02), *eno* RNAi (e.g., 7.0 ± 0.18, 2.4 ± 0.05), and *pyk* RNAi (e.g., 5.8 ± 0.10,
2.0 ± 0.02) (intensity, number; fold change). In addition, knocking down these genes in
astrocytes caused many PEAPODs to enlarge hundreds of times in volume (Figs. 2B–2E,
S2A–S2D).

Pyk is the final-step, rate-limiting enzyme that converts phosphoenolpyruvate to pyruvate
during glycolysis. Anti-Pyk antibody staining showed that Pyk was enriched in astrocytes (Fig.
2F), and astrocyte *pyk* KD diminished its expression (Fig. 2G). Next, we recovered two *pyk*
alleles by CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis [50–53], *pyk^{11}* and *pyk^{7}*, which carried 1-bp (*pyk^{11}*) and
17-bp (*pyk^{7}*) frameshift deletions in the largest *pyk* exon, respectively (Fig. S3A). Pyk
expression was severely reduced in the mutants (e.g., 27.7% ± 4.68% remained in *pyk$^{11/7}$*)
(Figs. S3B and S3C), which caused the mutants to die at the 2nd instar larval stage. Similar to
astrocyte *pyk* RNAi, *pyk* mutations also increased PEAPODs (Figs. 2H–2K). In conclusion,
astrocytes determine the PEAPODs abundance by glycolysis.

Glycolysis-deficient astrocytes promote DAergic neuron synaptogenesis

Astrocytes play a key role in synaptogenesis [54–59], and their processes infiltrate the neuropile
where they ensheath synapses [60,61]. We labeled astrocytes with membrane-tethered GFP (i.e.,
mCD8-GFP) [62] and observed equivalent amounts of astrocytes and normal neuropile
infiltration in the *pyk* mutant compared to the control (Figs. 3A and 3B). Using anti-Brp antibody
staining, we detected similar levels of Brp in the *pyk* mutant compared to the control,
suggesting that overall CNS synaptogenesis is not affected by glycolysis deficiency (Fig. 3C).
We showed that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency increased the abundance of PEAPODs.
Therefore, we next examined whether DAergic synaptogenesis was altered in turn. To this end,
we specifically labeled endogenous Brp in DAergic neurons with a flip-out cassette,
Brp>FSF>GFP, using STaR [63]. We created a *lexAop2-pyk^{RNAi}* transgenic line to knock down
pyk in astrocytes (Fig. S3D). Astrocyte *pyk* KD using the LexA/lexAop system showed identical
PEAPODs enhancement phenotypes (Figs. S3E–S3G). We observed that astrocyte *pyk* KD
increased endogenous Brp expression in DAergic neurons to 123.8% ± 1.75% of the control,

while the overall CNS synaptogenesis (α-Brp) remained unchanged (Figs. 3D and 3E). Thus,
astrocyte glycolysis deficiency promotes DAergic neuron synaptogenesis. It is unlikely that Brp
stimulates PEAPODs because the *brp* KD in DAergic neurons enhances PEAPODs (Figs.
S4A–S4C).

Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency leads to biased DAergic circuit wiring

We demonstrated that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency increased PEAPODs and DAergic
neuron synaptogenesis. However, whether this had a significant effect on DAergic circuit
assembly remains an open question. In *Drosophila*, postsynaptic connections with the DAergic
system remain largely unknown, particularly those in the larval VNC. To address this issue, we
profiled postsynaptic partners wired to DAergic neurons in the larval CNS. Briefly, we labeled
the postsynaptic cells with mtdTomato by transsynaptic tracing (i.e., *trans*-Tango) and pooled
mtdTomato$^+$ cells using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) [64,65]. Subsequently, we
performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and cell type annotation to identify the
cohort-based postsynaptic connectome of the larval DAergic system (Fig. 4A).

We dissected 50 CNSs each from the control and astrocyte *pyk* KD larvae, ultimately
obtaining 11815 and 13327 (control vs. astrocyte *pyk* KD) mtdTomato$^+$ cells, respectively,
which were sequenced. The higher yield of mtdTomato$^+$ cells from astrocyte *pyk* KD is in line
with our observation that KD led to stronger mtdTomato expression by *trans*-Tango, which
suggests that DAergic neurons make more postsynaptic connections (Figs. S4D and S4E).
These individual cells were grouped into 20 clusters in uniform manifold approximation and
projection (uMAP) analysis (Fig. S5A). *nsyb$^+$para$^+$* cells were selected as mature neurons [66,67],
which accounted for 47.3% (control) and 54.3% (astrocyte *pyk* KD) of all cells sequenced (Figs.
S5B–S5E). The mature neurons re-clustered in 12 groups (Fig. 4B). Next, using unique marker
genes [67,68], we performed cell type annotation and defined eight mature neuron populations
(Figs. S5F, S5G, and S6A–S6J; Table S1) [69]. Our annotation is of high accuracy; for instance,
93.9% *twit$^+$* motor neurons (MNs) can be sorted into known MN subclasses with an additional
marker gene, e.g., 53.6% glutamatergic type I (*vglut$^+$*), 18.2% octopaminergic type II (*tβh$^+$*),
and 22.1% neuropeptidergic type III (*phm$^+$*) (Table S2) [70,71]. Moreover, excitatory/inhibitory
populations appeared to be mutually exclusive in the uMAP analysis, e.g., glutamatergic vs.
GABAergic populations (Figs. S6B vs. S6C) and GABAergic vs. cholinergic populations (Figs.
S6C vs. S6D). We compared the cell numbers of each population in the control and astrocyte
pyk KD, with the astrocyte *pyk* KD often outnumbering the controls. The MNs, gathered in
cluster 12, had the highest fold change (>2.6), followed by the neuropeptidergic neurons (>1.5)
and DAergic neurons (>1.4) (Figs. 4C–4E). Therefore, enhanced DAergic synaptogenesis
caused by astrocyte glycolysis deficiency indeed gives rise to more postsynaptic connections.
Taken together, our data demonstrate that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency leads to biased
DAergic circuit wiring.

Biased DAergic-motor wiring alters motor output

Dopamine modulates motor circuit dynamics [72–76]. To examine whether biased DAergic-motor
wiring alters motor output, we tracked and analyzed *Drosophila* larval locomotion using the
frustrated total internal reflection (FTIR)-based imaging method [77,78]. This larval behavior
manifests as a series of active forward, as well as a few backward, crawling (i.e., peristalsis)
actions interrupted by stereotyped reorientation attempts (i.e., pauses and sweeps) (Fig. 5A)
[79–81]. Compared to the controls, the astrocyte *pyk* KD larvae travelled in densely branched
trajectories (Fig. 5B). They increased the likelihood of pausing (e.g., 16.4% ± 0.22% vs. 6.0% ±
0.27%, astrocyte *pyk* KD vs. ctrl) and sweeping (e.g., 16.8% ± 0.23% vs. 5.9% ± 0.26%,
astrocyte *pyk* KD vs. ctrl) without changing bending strength (Figs. 5C–5E). During the
peristaltic sessions, the RNAi larvae attained a higher maximum speed (e.g., 2.7 mm/s ± 0.04
mm/s vs. 1.9 mm/s ± 0.02 mm/s, astrocyte *pyk* KD vs. ctrl) and maintained similar peristalsis
efficiency and frequency (Figs. 5F–5H).

Glycolysis determines the astrocyte-DAergic synapse interaction by Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling

It remains to be determined how astrocytes transduce the glycolytic event into a means of cell-cell communication guiding astrocyte-DAergic synapse interaction. CAMs such as Nlgs and Nrxs modulate astrocyte-synapse contact and synaptogenesis [8,38]. We assayed the effect of KDs of Nlgs and Nrxs on PEAPODs by RNAi and found that both astrocyte *nlg2* KD and DAergic neuron *nrx1* KD increased PEAPODs, e.g., astrocyte *nlg2* RNAi (5.2 ± 0.08, 2.9 ± 0.04) and DAergic neuron *nrx1* RNAi (2.4 ± 0.04, 1.9 ± 0.03) (intensity, number; fold change) (Figs. 6A–6H). In addition, *nrx1* RNAi in DAergic neurons enhanced synaptogenesis to 112.8% ± 0.63% of the control (Figs. 6I–6J). In summary, these data suggest that astrocyte glycolysis and astrocyte-DAergic neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling are somehow in the same pathway responsible for controlling PEAPODs and DAergic synaptogenesis. Using anti-Nlg2 antibody staining, we observed massive intra-astrocyte membranous Nlg2 bodies in the *pyk* mutant (Figs. 6K and 6L). The Nlg2 bodies were decorated with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) luminal reporter GFP-KDEL, indicating that Nlg2 ER exit fails in *pyk* mutant astrocytes. Taken together, our findings support the notion that astrocyte glycolysis enables astrocyte-to-DAergic neuron Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling to restrict astrocyte-DAergic synapse contact and DAergic synaptogenesis.

DISCUSSION

Our data indicate a metabolic basis for perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment. Glycolysis is critical for proper Nlg2 trafficking in astrocytes, and astrocyte-DAergic neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling relies on astrocyte glycolysis. This metabolic signaling axis (glycolysis/Nlg2-Nrx1) restricts perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment; once it fails during development, the astrocyte-synapse contact rises and DAergic neurons increase synaptogenesis and their synaptic connection with motor neurons.

Nlg family proteins are transported to the cell surface where they bind Nrx receptors [82]. Nlgs contain many amino acid residues involved in N- and O-linked glycosylation [83,84]. Posttranslational glycosylation is crucial for Nlgs on their way to activating Nrxs, from protein folding to surface trafficking, as well as Nrx binding [85,86]. Autism-associated mutations of several *nlg* genes lead to differentially glycosylated Nlg proteins and their retention at the ER [87–89]. In this study, we showed that Nlg2 (mammalian Nlg1 homolog) is sequestered at the ER in glycolysis-deficient astrocytes. Modulations in glycolytic flux alter protein glycosylation outcomes [90–95]. Taken together, our findings suggest a similar mechanism for Nlg2 retention in the ER owing to aberrant glycosylation in glycolysis-deficient astrocytes. As a result, perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment increases at DAergic synapses.

In terms of how perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment abundance is relevant to synaptogenesis, previous studies have shown that astrocyte Nlg2 knockout reduced both perisynaptic astrocyte contact and glutamatergic synaptogenesis [8]. Additionally, the depletion of neuronal cell adhesion molecule (NRCAM) in astrocytes enhances astrocyte-synapse adhesion but decreases GABAergic synaptogenesis [10]. In contrast, our data indicate that astrocyte Nlg2 deficiency increases both perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment and DAergic synaptogenesis. In summary, these findings suggest that perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment abundance is a proxy for measuring astrocyte-synapse interaction but is not directly or inversely proportionally linked to synaptogenesis. Complex contact-mediated astrocyte-synaptic mechanisms regulate synaptogenesis, which involve the heterogeneity of synapses and neural circuits.

We mapped cohort-based postsynaptic connectomes of the *Drosophila* larval DAergic system using transsynaptic tracing, scRNA-seq, and cell type annotation. The connectomes comprise several heterogeneous populations. Most of the populations were annotated using defined marker genes associated with neurotransmitter types but not behavioral signatures.

Therefore, our current analyses have a limited depth with regard to analyzing DAergic
subcircuits associated with specific behaviors. However, the connectomes we constructed are
valuable reference landscapes for DAergic subcircuit mapping when unique marker genes
emerge in the midst of investigating dopamine signaling during *Drosophila* development. For
instance, in this study, we observed a single molecularly defined population, the MNs,
designated for locomotion. We found that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency led to more than
double the number of MNs in synaptic connection with the DAergic system. Notably, astrocyte
Nlg2 depletion extends the critical window of the larval motor circuit, which induces long-term
changes in motor circuit wiring and behavior during development [38]. Similarly, we showed that
astrocyte glycolysis deficiency causes astrocyte-neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling to fail. DAergic
neurons start to appear as early as embryogenesis stage 14, before the motor critical window
closes normally, beginning a few hours after larval hatching [38,96]. It remains unclear whether
larval motor circuit plasticity plays a role in favoring DAergic-motor connection due to astrocyte
glycolysis deficiency.

Primitive glycolysis is a fundamental metabolic process in astrocytes [97]. Our study opens
new opportunities for uncovering the neurometabolic implications in neural circuit connectivity,
particularly of the DAergic system.

260 RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

261 *Lead contact*

262 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be
263 fulfilled by the lead contact, Zhiguo Ma (zgma@zju.edu.cn).

264 *Materials availability*

265 All materials used in the analysis are available upon request.

267 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

268 We thank the core facilities of Liangzhu Laboratory and the core facilities of Zhejiang
269 University School of Medicine for the support in confocal microscopy. We acquired many
270 valuable fly strains from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, TsingHua Fly Center and
271 Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center, we are grateful for their efforts.

272 *Funding*

273 National Excellent Young Scientists Fund (Overseas)

274 Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences
275 (2023-PT310-01)

277 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Z.G.M.

Methodology: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.

Investigation: Z.G.M., Y.R.X., P.P.K., M.Q.W., Y.Y.M.

Validation: Z.G.M., Y.R.X., M.Q.W.

Visualization: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.

Formal analysis: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.

Data curation: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.

Resources: Z.G.M.

Software: Z.G.M.

Project administration: Z.G.M.

Funding acquisition: Z.G.M.

Supervision: Z.G.M.

Writing – original draft: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.

Writing – review & editing: Z.G.M.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Document S1. Figures. S1–S6 and Tables S1–S4

Video S1. Z-axis confocal sections showing DAergic neuronal branches (red),
PEAPODs (green) and the presynaptic marker Brp (magenta).

Video S2. Dual-color live imaging of spontaneous DAergic neuronal activation (shown
by mR-GECO1) and PEAPODs.

Data 1–9 (related to Figures 1–6, S1, S3, and S4)
303

FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1. Perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment of DAergic synapses.

(A) Third instar larval CNS with the VNC highlighted (scale bar: 50 μm). Reconstituted GFP
(reconst. GFP) reveals astrocyte contact sites (arrowheads and open arrowheads) with
DAergic neuronal processes labeled by myristoylated tdTomato (mtdTomato) (scale bars: 5
μm). Open arrowheads identify typical PEAPODs that resemble synaptic boutons on terminal
branches of DAergic neurons. Brp: Bruchpilot (presynaptic marker).

(B) Single-cell PEAPODs and synaptic labeling of the DAergic neuron (n = 11) (scale bars: 10
μm).

(C) Inset zoom in (B), arrowheads denote PEAPODs$^+$ Brp.S-mStraw puncta (scale bar: 2 μm).

(D) Schematic drawing of the PEAPODs. Note that GFP reconstitutes at the contact site
between the astrocyte sheath and DAergic synapse.

(E–H) PEAPODs intensity vs. spontaneous DAergic neuronal burst activation (Ca^{2+},
mR-GECO1) (n = 12). RP, SP, and DP: rising, sustaining, and decay periods, respectively.
The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to show the accumulative PEAPODs
response over time. max.: Maximum.

(I) PEAPODs intensity. Genetically manipulated DAergic neuronal activity (arrows, up or down)
as indicated (n = 8–12). *th*: DAergic neuron driver. *mKcnj2*, *TNT-G* inactive controls:
mKcnj2.nc, *TNT-Q*.

(F), (G), (H), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (I), unpaired t-test. **P < 0.01. ns: Not
significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 2. Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency increases PEAPODs abundance.

(A) Biochemical pathway of glycolysis. Dotted arrows indicate the intermediate steps not
shown. The double-headed arrow denotes reversible reactions.

(B–E) PEAPODs and abundance analysis (scale bars: 50 μm). Gene knockdown (KD) in the
astrocyte (astro) using RNAi were driven by the *alrm* driver. Genotypes as indicated (n =
10–12. In E, 784–2583 individual PEAPODs in total).

(F) Pyk (α-Pyk) was enriched in astrocytes (scale bars: 50 μm).

(G) *pyk* KD diminished Pyk expression (scale bars: 10 μm). In (F) and (G), mCD8-GFP labeled
astrocytes, and α-Repo stained glial cell nuclei.

(H–K) PEAPODs and abundance analysis (scale bars: 25 μm). Genotypes as indicated (n = 10.
In K, 88–180 individual PEAPODs in total).

(C), (D), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (I), (J), unpaired t-test. (E), (K),
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$. ns: Not
significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 3. Glycolysis-deficient astrocytes promote DAergic synaptogenesis.

(A) Astrocyte number. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12).

(B) Normal neuropile (marked by α-Brp) infiltration by the astrocyte in the $pyk^{11/7}$ mutant (scale
bars: 20 μm). xz confocal sections show the infiltration in the dorsal (d)-ventral (v) axis of the
VNC. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). *alrm*: Astrocyte driver, mCD8-GFP:
Membrane-tethered GFP, Brp: Bruchpilot (presynaptic marker).

(C) Mean Brp levels. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12).

(D and E) Endogenous Brp (Brp-GFP) in DAergic neurons (scale bars: 25 μm; 5 μm in the
inset zoom). Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown.

Unpaired t-test. **$P < 0.01$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 4. Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency causes biased DAergic wiring.

(A) Schematic protocol for profiling postsynaptic partners (labeled by *trans*-Tango) wired to
DAergic neurons. FACS: Fluorescence-activated cell sorting, *th*: DAergic neuron driver,
trans-Tango: Transsynaptic labeling system.

(B) Clustering of *nsyb*$^+$, *para*$^+$ mature neurons (ctrl, n = 5589; astrocyte [astro] *pyk* knockdown
[KD], n = 7236). Note that cluster 12 is distant to the others. uMAP: Uniform manifold
approximation and projection.

(C) Annotated MNs (ctrl, n = 50; astro *pyk* KD, n = 131) in the UMAP. MNs gathered in cluster
12.

(D) Close-up view of cluster 12 MNs.

(E) Plotting FCs in the cell number of annotated populations, astro *pyk* KD vs. ctrl. The MN
showed the highest FC and followed the neuropeptidergic (NP) neuron and the DAergic
neuron. glu: Glutamatergic, GABA: GABAergic, cho: Cholinergic, ser: Serotoninergic, OA/TA:
Octop-/tyr-aminergic, FC: Fold change.

Figure 5. Biased DAergic wiring alters locomotion patterns.

(A) Schematic illustration of *Drosophila* larval locomotive movements. Reorientation attempts
intervene with peristaltic sessions.

(B) Recorded larval locomotion trajectories (scale bars: 2 cm). Astrocyte *pyk* RNAi larvae
travelled in paths with dense branches; six larvae per recording. Genotypes as indicated (n =
30). *alrm*: Astrocyte driver.

(C–H) Quantitative analysis of larval locomotion. Genotypes as indicated (n = 30). Pausing
rate (C): The number of frames the larva pauses/the total number of frames the larva appears
× 100%, sweeping rate (D): The number of frames the larva sweeps/the total number of frames
the larva appears × 100%, bending strength (E): Median bending angle, max. velocity (F):
Maximum velocity, peristalsis efficiency (G): Distance per peristalsis, peristalsis frequency (H):
Peristalses per second). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **$P < 0.01$, ****$P < 0.0001$. ns:
Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 6. Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency disables astrocyte-DAergic neuronal
Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling.

(A–H) PEAPODs and abundance analysis (scale bars: 50 μm). Genotypes as indicated (n =
10–12; in D, 659–2286; in H, 1756–3975 individual PEAPODs in total). *alrm*: Astrocyte driver,
th: DAergic neuron driver, KD: Knockdown.

(I and J) Endogenous Brp (Brp-GFP) in DAergic neurons (scale bars: 25 μm; 5 μm in the inset
zoom). Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). Brp: Bruchpilot (presynaptic marker).

(K and L) Glycolysis deficiency led to intra-astrocyte Nlg2 bodies (arrowheads) (scale bars: 5
μm; 2 μm in the inset zoom). The dotted line marks the astrocyte soma. Genotypes as
indicated (n = 12). mCD8-GFP: Membrane-tethered GFP.

(M) The ER reporter GFP-KDEL decorated Nlg2 bodies (arrowheads) (scale bars: 2 μm).

(B), (C), (F), (G), (J), (L), unpaired t-test. (D), (H), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. ***$P < 0.001$,
****$P < 0.0001$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure S1. Trans astrocyte-DAergic neuronal GFP reconstitution, and PEAPODs
remodeling during spontaneous DAergic neuronal activation.

(A) Trans astrocyte-DAergic neuronal reconstituted GFP (reconst. GFP) (n = 12) (scale bars:
50 μm). α-HRP (horseradish peroxidase) stains neural tissues. The drivers *th-Gal4* (*th*) and
alrm-LexA (*alrm*) were used here. a.u.: Arbitrary unit.

(B) Dual-color live imaging of PEAPODs and spontaneous DAergic neuronal activation. Active
DAergic neuronal projections (labeled by mR-GECO1) and their associated PEAPODs.
Time-series dF (F_t–F_0) images show the differential fluorescence intensity at the time point of t
second as compared to the baseline (0 s) (scale bars: 10 μm). Imaging time interval, 0.7 s.

One-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. ****$P < 0.0001$. FC: Fold change.

Figure S2. Knockdown of glycolytic genes that stimulate PEAPODs.

(A–D) Three-dimensional segmentation and numbering of individual PEAPODs (scale bars: 25
μm). The 10 largest PEAPODs from the indicated genotypes were sorted by volume (vol.), and
they are delineated by the orange line (scale bars: 25 μm). astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown.

Figure S3. *pyk* mutagenesis using CRISPR-Cas9, *lexAop2-pyk^RNAi* construct assembly.

(A) Schematics of the *pyk* gene region, two predicted transcripts (*pyk*-RA, -RB), short guide
RNA (sgRNA) for guiding Cas9 to the *pyk* locus, and DNA lesions of the two mutated alleles,
pyk^11 and *pyk^7*. PAM: Protospacer adjacent motif.

(B and C) Western blotting using α-Pyk antibody (B), and relative Pyk protein levels (C).
Loading control, α-Tub (tubulin). Genotypes as indicated (n = 3).

(D) Schematic illustration of *lexAop2-pyk^RNAi* construct assembly. *dsRNA-GL00099* is the TRiP
RNAi amplicon (used in *pyk* RNAi line #1) for generating short hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeting
pyk. The *ftz* intron was purposed to facilitate shRNA nuclear export. The illustrated elements
(DNA sequence included in Table S4) arranged as such were cloned into the *pUASTattB*
backbone. Note that *5x UAS* was replaced by 13 copies of *lexAop2* in tandem (*13x lexAop2*).

(E–G) PEAPODs abundance analysis. Astrocyte (astro) *pyk* knockdown (KD) using the
LexA/lexAop system. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12; in G, 1970–3519 individual PEAPODs in
total).

(C), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (E), (F), unpaired t-test. (G), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.
***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure S4. PEAPODs in DAergic neuron *brp* knockdown (KD) transsynaptic (DAergic
synapse) tracing by *trans*-Tango.

(A–C) PEAPODs abundance analysis. Genotypes as indicated (n = 11–14; in C, 1267–2876
individual PEAPODs in total). *th*: DAergic neuron driver.

(D and E) mtdTomato intensity. The postsynaptic partners of DAergic neurons express
myristoylated tdTomato (mtdTomato) (scale bars: 50 µm). Arrowheads denote the neuron
somata. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). *trans*-Tango: Transsynaptic labeling system, astro:
Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown.

(A), (B), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (C), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. (E), unpaired t-test.
*$P < 0.05$, ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure S5. scRNA-seq and cell type annotation of postsynaptic partners connected to
DAergic neurons.

(A) Integrated clustering of all cells sequenced from ctrl (n = 11815) and astrocyte (astro) *pyk*
knockdown (KD) (n = 13327) in uMAP. uMAP: Uniform manifold approximation and projection.

(B and C) Single cells expressing *nsyb* (B), *para* (C). Note that the cells showed a tendency to
converge in the upper right groups, e.g., clusters 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 16, and 19. Color scale:
Normalized gene expression level.

(D) Highlighted *nsyb*+*para*+ cells in clusters.

(E) Percentages of *nsyb*-*para*- cells and *nsyb*+*para*+ mature neurons in all sequenced cells.

(F) Percentages of (un)annotated neurons in mature neurons.

(G) Percentages of defined populations in annotated mature neurons.

Figure S6. Annotated populations defined by unique marker genes.

(A and B) Motor neuron (MN) and marker gene *twit* (A). Glutamatergic neuron and marker
gene *vglut* (B). Note that MNs gathered in cluster 12, which was also *vglut*+.

(C) GABAergic neuron and marker gene *gad1*.

(D) Cholinergic neuron and marker gene *vacht*.

(E and F) Serotoninergic neuron and marker genes: *sert* (E), *trhn* (F).

(G and H) DAergic neuron and marker genes: *dat* (G), *ple* (H).

(I) Octop-/tyr-aminergic neuron and marker gene: *tdc2*.

(J) Neuropeptidergic neuron and marker gene: *phm*.

Integrated clustering, single cells from the ctrl and astrocyte *pyk* knockdown. uMAP: Uniform
manifold approximation and projection, color scale: Normalized gene expression level.

REFERENCES

1. Halassa, M. M., Fellin, T., Takano, H., Dong, J.-H. & Haydon, P. G. Synaptic islands defined by the territory of a single astrocyte. *J Neurosci* **27**, 6473–6477 (2007).

2. Tan, C. X. & Eroglu, C. Cell adhesion molecules regulating astrocyte–neuron interactions. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **69**, 170–177 (2021).

3. Aten, S. *et al.* Ultrastructural view of astrocyte arborization, astrocyte-astrocyte and astrocyte-synapse contacts, intracellular vesicle-like structures, and mitochondrial network. *Prog Neurobiol* **213**, 102264 (2022).

4. Wenzel, J., Lammert, G., Meyer, U. & Krug, M. The influence of long-term potentiation on the spatial relationship between astrocyte processes and potentiated synapses in the dentate gyrus neuropil of rat brain. *Brain Research* **560**, 122–131 (1991).

5. Genoud, C. *et al.* Plasticity of astrocytic coverage and glutamate transporter expression in adult mouse cortex. *PLoS Biol* **4**, e343 (2006).

6. Morel, L., Higashimori, H., Tolman, M. & Yang, Y. VGluT1+ neuronal glutamatergic signaling regulates postnatal developmental maturation of cortical protoplasmic astroglia. *J Neurosci* **34**, 10950–10962 (2014).

7. Bernardinelli, Y. *et al.* Activity-dependent structural plasticity of perisynaptic astrocytic domains promotes excitatory synapse stability. *Curr Biol* **24**, 1679–1688 (2014).

8. Stogsdill, J. A. *et al.* Astrocytic neuroligins control astrocyte morphogenesis and synaptogenesis. *Nature* **551**, 192–197 (2017).

9. Henneberger, C. *et al.* LTP Induction Boosts Glutamate Spillover by Driving Withdrawal of Perisynaptic Astroglia. *Neuron* **108**, 919-936.e11 (2020).

10. Takano, T. *et al.* Chemico-genetic discovery of astrocytic control of inhibition in vivo. *Nature* **588**, 296–302 (2020).

11. Lawal, O., Ulloa Severino, F. P. & Eroglu, C. The role of astrocyte structural plasticity in regulating neural circuit function and behavior. *Glia* **70**, 1467–1483 (2022).

12. Xie, Y. *et al.* Astrocyte-neuron crosstalk through Hedgehog signaling mediates cortical synapse development. *Cell Rep* **38**, 110416 (2022).

13. Nozawa, O. *et al.* Necl2/3-mediated mechanism for tripartite synapse formation. *Development* **150**, dev200931 (2023).

14. Thomas, C. I., Ryan, M. A., McNabb, M. C., Kamasawa, N. & Scholl, B. Astrocyte coverage of excitatory synapses correlates to measures of synapse structure and function in ferret primary visual cortex. *Glia* **72**, 1785–1800 (2024).

15. Ma, Z., Stork, T., Bergles, D. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuromodulators signal through astrocytes to alter neural circuit activity and behaviour. *Nature* **539**, 428–432 (2016).

16. Bazargani, N. & Attwell, D. Amines, Astrocytes, and Arousal. *Neuron* **94**, 228–231 (2017).

17. Corkrum, M. & Araque, A. Astrocyte-neuron signaling in the mesolimbic dopamine system: the hidden stars of dopamine signaling. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **46**, 1864–1872 (2021).

18. Bariselli, S., Glangetas, C., Tzanoulinou, S. & Bellone, C. Ventral tegmental area subcircuits process rewarding and aversive experiences. *J Neurochem* **139**, 1071–1080 (2016).

19. Garritsen, O., van Battum, E. Y., Grossouw, L. M. & Pasterkamp, R. J. Development, wiring and function of dopamine neuron subtypes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **24**, 134–152 (2023).

20. Grace, A. A. Dysregulation of the dopamine system in the pathophysiology of
schizophrenia and depression. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **17**, 524–532 (2016).

21. DiCarlo, G. E. *et al.* Autism-linked dopamine transporter mutation alters striatal dopamine
neurotransmission and dopamine-dependent behaviors. *J Clin Invest* **129**, 3407–3419
(2019).

22. McCutcheon, R. A., Abi-Dargham, A. & Howes, O. D. Schizophrenia, Dopamine and the
Striatum: From Biology to Symptoms. *Trends Neurosci* **42**, 205–220 (2019).

23. Benes, F. M. Emerging principles of altered neural circuitry in schizophrenia. *Brain Res
Brain Res Rev* **31**, 251–269 (2000).

24. DiCarlo, G. E. & Wallace, M. T. Modeling dopamine dysfunction in autism spectrum
disorder: From invertebrates to vertebrates. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **133**,
104494 (2022).

25. Corkrum, M. *et al.* Dopamine-Evoked Synaptic Regulation in the Nucleus Accumbens
Requires Astrocyte Activity. *Neuron* **105**, 1036-1047.e5 (2020).

26. Petrelli, F. *et al.* Dysfunction of homeostatic control of dopamine by astrocytes in the
developing prefrontal cortex leads to cognitive impairments. *Mol Psychiatry* **25**, 732–749
(2020).

27. Requie, L. M. *et al.* Astrocytes mediate long-lasting synaptic regulation of ventral
tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **25**, 1639–1650 (2022).

28. Petrelli, F. *et al.* Disruption of Astrocyte-Dependent Dopamine Control in the Developing
Medial Prefrontal Cortex Leads to Excessive Grooming in Mice. *Biol Psychiatry* **93**,
966–975 (2023).

29. Lisé, M.-F. & El-Husseini, A. The neuroligin and neurexin families: from structure to
function at the synapse. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **63**, 1833–1849 (2006).

30. Südhof, T. C. Synaptic Neurexin Complexes: A Molecular Code for the Logic of Neural
Circuits. *Cell* **171**, 745–769 (2017).

31. Hale, W. D., Südhof, T. C. & Huganir, R. L. Engineered adhesion molecules drive synapse
organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2215905120 (2023).

32. Connor, S. A. & Siddiqui, T. J. Synapse organizers as molecular codes for synaptic
plasticity. *Trends Neurosci* **46**, 971–985 (2023).

33. Uchigashima, M., Ohtsuka, T., Kobayashi, K. & Watanabe, M. Dopamine synapse is a
neuroligin-2-mediated contact between dopaminergic presynaptic and GABAergic
postsynaptic structures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 4206–4211 (2016).

34. Cho, W. *et al.* On-Site Formation of Functional Dopaminergic Presynaptic Terminals on
Neuroligin-2-Modified Gold-Coated Microspheres. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **16**,
3082–3092 (2024).

35. Ducrot, C. *et al.* Conditional deletion of neurexins dysregulates neurotransmission from
dopamine neurons. *Elife* **12**, e87902 (2023).

36. Bottos, A. *et al.* The synaptic proteins neurexins and neuroligins are widely expressed in
the vascular system and contribute to its functions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**,
20782–20787 (2009).

37. Sakers, K. & Eroglu, C. Control of neural development and function by glial neuroligins.
Curr Opin Neurobiol **57**, 163–170 (2019).

38. Ackerman, S. D., Perez-Catalan, N. A., Freeman, M. R. & Doe, C. Q. Astrocytes close a
motor circuit critical period. *Nature* **592**, 414–420 (2021).

39. Feinberg, E. H. *et al.* GFP Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (GRASP) defines cell
contacts and synapses in living nervous systems. *Neuron* **57**, 353–363 (2008).

40. Gordon, M. D. & Scott, K. Motor control in a Drosophila taste circuit. *Neuron* **61**, 373–384
(2009).

41. Doherty, J., Logan, M. A., Taşdemir, O. E. & Freeman, M. R. Ensheathing glia function as
phagocytes in the adult Drosophila brain. *J Neurosci* **29**, 4768–4781 (2009).

42. Berry, J. A., Cervantes-Sandoval, I., Chakraborty, M. & Davis, R. L. Sleep Facilitates
Memory by Blocking Dopamine Neuron-Mediated Forgetting. *Cell* **161**, 1656–1667 (2015).

43. Muthukumar, A. K., Stork, T. & Freeman, M. R. Activity-dependent regulation of astrocyte
GAT levels during synaptogenesis. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 1340–1350 (2014).

44. Fouquet, W. *et al.* Maturation of active zone assembly by Drosophila Bruchpilot. *J Cell Biol*
186, 129–145 (2009).

45. Sanghera, M. K., Trulson, M. E. & German, D. C. Electrophysiological properties of mouse
dopamine neurons: In vivo and in vitro studies. *Neuroscience* **12**, 793–801 (1984).

46. Ma, Z. & Freeman, M. R. TrpML-mediated astrocyte microdomain Ca2+ transients
regulate astrocyte-tracheal interactions. *Elife* **9**, e58952 (2020).

47. Vömel, M. & Wegener, C. Neuroarchitecture of Aminergic Systems in the Larval Ventral
Ganglion of Drosophila melanogaster. *PLOS ONE* **3**, e1848 (2008).

48. Pellerin, L. & Magistretti, P. J. Glutamate uptake into astrocytes stimulates aerobic
glycolysis: a mechanism coupling neuronal activity to glucose utilization. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **91**, 10625–10629 (1994).

49. Almeida, A., Jimenez-Blasco, D. & Bolaños, J. P. Cross-talk between energy and redox
metabolism in astrocyte-neuron functional cooperation. *Essays Biochem* **67**, 17–26
(2023).

50. Bassett, A. R., Tibbit, C., Ponting, C. P. & Liu, J.-L. Highly efficient targeted mutagenesis
of Drosophila with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Cell Rep* **4**, 220–228 (2013).

51. Wang, H. *et al.* One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by
CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **153**, 910–918 (2013).

52. Cong, L. *et al.* Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**,
819–823 (2013).

53. Ren, X. *et al.* Optimized gene editing technology for Drosophila melanogaster using germ
line-specific Cas9. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 19012–19017 (2013).

54. Rouget, M., Araud, D., Seite, R., Prochiantz, A. & Autillo-Touati, A. Astrocyte-regulated
synaptogenesis: an in vitro ultrastructural study. *Neurosci Lett* **150**, 85–88 (1993).

55. Slezak, M. & Pfrieger, F. W. New roles for astrocytes: regulation of CNS synaptogenesis.
Trends Neurosci **26**, 531–535 (2003).

56. Baldwin, K. T. & Eroglu, C. Molecular mechanisms of astrocyte-induced synaptogenesis.
Curr Opin Neurobiol **45**, 113–120 (2017).

57. Tan, C. X., Burrus Lane, C. J. & Eroglu, C. Role of astrocytes in synapse formation and
maturation. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **142**, 371–407 (2021).

58. Farizatto, K. L. G. & Baldwin, K. T. Astrocyte-synapse interactions during brain
development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **80**, 102704 (2023).

59. Irala, D. *et al.* Astrocyte-secreted neurocan controls inhibitory synapse formation and
function. *Neuron* **112**, 1657-1675.e10 (2024).

60. Stork, T., Sheehan, A., Tasdemir-Yilmaz, O. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuron-glia interactions
through the Heartless FGF receptor signaling pathway mediate morphogenesis of
Drosophila astrocytes. *Neuron* **83**, 388–403 (2014).

61. Chen, J. *et al.* Astrocyte growth is driven by the Tre1/S1pr1 phospholipid-binding G
protein-coupled receptor. *Neuron* **112**, 93-112.e10 (2024).

62. Lee, T. & Luo, L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) for *Drosophila*
neural development. *Trends in Neurosciences* **24**, 251–254 (2001).

63. Chen, Y. *et al.* Cell-type-specific labeling of synapses in vivo through synaptic tagging with
recombination. *Neuron* **81**, 280–293 (2014).

64. Harzer, H., Berger, C., Conder, R., Schmauss, G. & Knoblich, J. A. FACS purification of
Drosophila larval neuroblasts for next-generation sequencing. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1088–1099
(2013).

65. Talay, M. *et al.* Transsynaptic Mapping of Second-Order Taste Neurons in Flies by
trans-Tango. *Neuron* **96**, 783-795.e4 (2017).

66. Corrales, M. *et al.* A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of complete insect nervous systems
across multiple life stages. *Neural Dev* **17**, 8 (2022).

67. Dillon, N. *et al.* Single cell RNA-seq analysis reveals temporally-regulated and
quiescence-regulated gene expression in Drosophila larval neuroblasts. *Neural Dev* **17**, 7
(2022).

68. Corrales, M. *et al.* A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of complete insect nervous systems
across multiple life stages. *Neural Dev* **17**, 8 (2022).

69. Pliner, H. A., Shendure, J. & Trapnell, C. Supervised classification enables rapid
annotation of cell atlases. *Nat Methods* **16**, 983–986 (2019).

70. Hoang, B. & Chiba, A. Single-Cell Analysis of *Drosophila* Larval Neuromuscular Synapses.
Developmental Biology **229**, 55–70 (2001).

71. Pérez-Moreno, J. J. & O'Kane, C. J. GAL4 Drivers Specific for Type Ib and Type Is Motor
Neurons in Drosophila. *G3 (Bethesda)* **9**, 453–462 (2019).

72. Cooper, R. L. & Neckameyer, W. S. Dopaminergic modulation of motor neuron activity
and neuromuscular function in Drosophila melanogaster. *Comp Biochem Physiol B*
Biochem Mol Biol **122**, 199–210 (1999).

73. Dasari, S. & Cooper, R. L. Modulation of sensory-CNS-motor circuits by serotonin,
octopamine, and dopamine in semi-intact Drosophila larva. *Neurosci Res* **48**, 221–227
(2004).

74. Dodson, P. D. *et al.* Representation of spontaneous movement by dopaminergic neurons
is cell-type selective and disrupted in parkinsonism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**,
E2180-2188 (2016).

75. Engelhard, B. *et al.* Specialized coding of sensory, motor and cognitive variables in VTA
dopamine neurons. *Nature* **570**, 509–513 (2019).

76. Marquis, M. & Wilson, R. I. Locomotor and olfactory responses in dopamine neurons of
the Drosophila superior-lateral brain. *Curr Biol* **32**, 5406-5414.e5 (2022).

77. Risse, B. *et al.* FIM, a novel FTIR-based imaging method for high throughput locomotion
analysis. *PLoS One* **8**, e53963 (2013).

78. Risse, B., Otto, N., Berh, D., Jiang, X. & Klämbt, C. FIM imaging and FIMtrack: two new
tools allowing high-throughput and cost effective locomotion analysis. *J Vis Exp* 52207
(2014) doi:10.3791/52207.

79. Lahiri, S. *et al.* Two alternating motor programs drive navigation in Drosophila larva. *PLoS*
One **6**, e23180 (2011).

80. Zarin, A. A., Mark, B., Cardona, A., Litwin-Kumar, A. & Doe, C. Q. A multilayer circuit
architecture for the generation of distinct locomotor behaviors in Drosophila. *Elife* **8**,
e51781 (2019).

81. Loveless, J., Lagogiannis, K. & Webb, B. Modelling the mechanics of exploration in larval
Drosophila. *PLoS Comput Biol* **15**, e1006635 (2019).

82. Bogaciu, C. A. & Rizzoli, S. O. Membrane trafficking of synaptic adhesion molecules. *J*
Physiol (2024) doi:10.1113/JP286401.

83. Hoffman, R. C. *et al.* Structural characterization of recombinant soluble rat neuroligin 1:
mapping of secondary structure and glycosylation by mass spectrometry. *Biochemistry* **43**,
1496–1506 (2004).

84. Comoletti, D., Trobiani, L., Chatonnet, A., Bourne, Y. & Marchot, P. Comparative mapping
of selected structural determinants on the extracellular domains of cholinesterase-like
cell-adhesion molecules. *Neuropharmacology* **184**, 108381 (2021).

85. De Jaco, A. *et al.* Neuroligin trafficking deficiencies arising from mutations in the
alpha/beta-hydrolase fold protein family. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 28674–28682 (2010).

86. Jeong, J., Paskus, J. D. & Roche, K. W. Posttranslational modifications of neuroligins
regulate neuronal and glial signaling. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **45**, 130–138 (2017).

87. Bemben, M. A., Shipman, S. L., Nicoll, R. A. & Roche, K. W. The cellular and molecular
landscape of neuroligins. *Trends Neurosci* **38**, 496–505 (2015).

88. Cast, T. P. *et al.* An Autism-Associated Mutation Impairs Neuroligin-4 Glycosylation and
Enhances Excitatory Synaptic Transmission in Human Neurons. *J Neurosci* **41**, 392–407
(2021).

89. Benner, O. *et al.* Multiple N-linked glycosylation sites critically modulate the synaptic
abundance of neuroligin isoforms. *J Biol Chem* **299**, 105361 (2023).

90. Leno, G. H. & Ledford, B. E. ADP-ribosylation of the 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein
during nutritional stress. *Eur J Biochem* **186**, 205–211 (1989).

91. Maher, F. & Harrison, L. C. Stimulation of glucose transporter (GLUT1) mRNA and protein
expression by inhibitors of glycosylation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1089**, 27–32 (1991).

92. Tomás, M. *et al.* Ethanol impairs monosaccharide uptake and glycosylation in cultured rat
astrocytes. *J Neurochem* **83**, 601–612 (2002).

93. Xi, H., Kurtoglu, M. & Lampidis, T. J. The wonders of 2-deoxy-D-glucose. *IUBMB Life* **66**,
110–121 (2014).

94. Rudman, N., Gornik, O. & Lauc, G. Altered N-glycosylation profiles as potential
biomarkers and drug targets in diabetes. *FEBS Lett* **593**, 1598–1615 (2019).

95. Hawkinson, T. R. *et al.* In situ spatial glycomic imaging of mouse and human Alzheimer's
disease brains. *Alzheimers Dement* **18**, 1721–1735 (2022).

96. Tio, M., Toh, J., Fang, W., Blanco, J. & Udolph, G. Asymmetric cell division and Notch
signaling specify dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. *PLoS One* **6**, e26879 (2011).

97. Bonvento, G. & Bolaños, J. P. Astrocyte-neuron metabolic cooperation shapes brain
activity. *Cell Metab* **33**, 1546–1564 (2021).

681
682

STAR☐METHODS

Drosophila husbandry and stocks

Flies were cultured in cornmeal food at 25°C, unless stated otherwise. Detailed stock
information is provided in Table S3.

Molecular biology and transgenic flies

The construct *lexAop2-pyk[RNAi]* was assembled in alignment with attP/attB site-directed
transgene integration in *Drosophila*, as illustrated in Fig. S3D. The *lexAop2-pyk[RNAi]* transgenic
fly was obtained via standard germline microinjection.

Single-cell clone induction

The genotype of larvae for single-neuron PEAPODs and synaptic labeling: *alrm-LexA,*
lexAop-CD4-spGFP[11]/UAS-brp.S-mStraw; *hs-FLPG5, tub>Gal80>/th-Gal4,*
UAS-CD4-spGFP[1-10]. Eleven single VNC DAergic neurons (out of 120 3rd instar larval CNSs
dissected) were obtained by 37°C heatshock for 10 min at 22 h after egg laying. Confocal
images were taken using a Zeiss LSM 900 with Airyscan (objective lens, Plan-Apochromat
63×/1.40 NA, Oil, DIC M27).

Live imaging and data analysis

We followed the live imaging protocol described by Ma with minor modifications[15]. The 3rd
instar larval CNS was dissected in low Ca^{2+} (0.3 mM) live imaging buffer (110☐mM NaCl,
5.4☐mM KCl, 1.2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.8☐mM $MgCl_2$, 10☐mM D-glucose, 10☐mM HEPES, pH 7.2).
Next, the CNS was immediately transferred into a cell culture dish (Ø 28.2 mm; glass bottom,
Ø 20 mm) filled with standard Ca^{2+} (1.2 mM) live imaging buffer. Time-series movies were
taken with an inverted Olympus IXplore SpinSR spinning disk confocal super resolution
microscope (objective lens, UPLXAPO 40×/0.95 NA, Air) by alternating 488 nm and 561 nm
laser channels. The movies were corrected for drifts by Fast4Dreg, and the fluorescence
intensities of PEAPODs and mR-GECO1 were quantitated over time by TrackMate in Image J.
The dF/F was calculated, and data points were plotted in GraphPad Prism.

PEAPODs imaging and abundance analysis

The larval CNS was dissected and fixed as described below. After washing off the
fixative, samples were incubated in mounting medium (90% glycerol + 10% 1× phosphate
buffered saline [PBS]) overnight. Confocal images were taken using an Olympus FV3000
(objective lens, UPLAPO 60×/1.50 NA, Oil). The field of view (FOV) runs 212.1 μm × 212.1 μm,
which can accommodate all abdominal segments of the 3rd instar larval VNC. The PEAPODs
intensity was determined by measuring the mean intensity of the reconstituted GFP (confocal
z-stack projections by maximum intensity) in Image J. The Image J plugin 3D objects counter
was used for 3D segmentation, numbering, and volume sizing of PEAPODs. PEAPODs from
abdominal segments a1–a2 (cropping, 62.2 μm × 165.3 μm, width × length) are shown in Figs.
2B, 2H, 6A, 6E, and S2A–S2D.

Thermogenetic activation of DAergic neurons

Control and *th>TrpA1* larvae were cultured in an incubator at 18°C before thermogenetic
activation in a 29°C water bath. The larval cohort of each treatment (10-min, 20-min and
30-min) was kept in the water bath until the dissection was completed within the next 10 min.

<u>Binary expression systems for gene knockdown in astrocytes</u>

We used LexA/lexAop to knock down *pyk* in Figs. 3D, 3E, 4, S3E–S3G, S4D, S4E and
S5, while Gal4/UAS was used in the others.

pyk mutagenesis by CRISPR-Cas9

Flies carrying $pyk^{TKO.GS03070}$ (for the expression of short guide RNA targeting *pyk*) and
nos-Cas9 (for germline Cas9 expression) were crossed to obtain the F1 progeny. Next, F1
males were crossed to a balancer strain, and individual F3 stocks were created by mating
single F2 flies to the same balancer strain. Two frameshift deletions of *pyk* (i.e., alleles pyk^{11}
and pyk^{7}) were recovered in F3 stocks.

<u>Antibodies, immunohistochemistry, and western blotting</u>

The following primary antibodies were used in this study: α-Brp (1:100, nc82, DSHB),
α-Repo (1:100, 8D12, DSHB), Alexa Fluor 647 AffiniPure goat anti-HRP (1:200, 123-605-021,
Jackson Immunoresearch), α-tubulin (1:5000, ab52866, Abcam), α-Pyk (1:200–1:1000), and
α-Nlg2 (1:200). Polyclonal α-Pyk, α-Nlg2 antibodies were produced by immunizing rabbits with
synthetic peptides (Pyk, 199 a.a.–212 a.a., EVENGGSLGSRKGV; Nlg2, 295 a.a.–308 a.a.,
RQRRNTDDTTGEPK) conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH).

The following secondary antibodies were used in this study: AffiniPure goat anti-mouse
Alexa Fluor 647 (1:200, 115-605-062), AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:1000,
111-585-045), and peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit (1:5000, 111-035-003) (Jackson
Immunoresearch).

Immunohistochemistry: Briefly, larval CNSs were dissected in 1× PBS and fixed in 4%
PFA for 20 min. After washing off the PFA in 1× PBS, the samples were incubated in 0.3%
PBST (1× PBS + 0.3% Triton) for 2 h. Next, the samples were incubated in primary antibody
diluted in 0.1% PBST for 72 h at 4°C. The primary antibody was pipetted out, and the samples
were washed three times in 0.1% PBST for 10 min each time. The samples were then
incubated in secondary antibody diluted in 0.1% PBST for 4 h at room temperature. After
removing the secondary antibody, the samples underwent three 10-min washes in 0.1% PBST
and one 5-min wash in 1× PBS. Finally, the samples were mounted in Vectashield mounting
medium for confocal imaging.

Western blotting: The 2nd instar larval CNS was dissected and homogenized in RIPA
(89900, Thermo Fisher) supplemented with proteinase inhibitor cocktail. The lysates were
centrifuged (12,000 rpm) at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatants were collected and the total
protein concentrations were quantitated using BCA assays. Heat-denatured samples (1 µg/µl)
were resolved using 4%–12% gradient SDS-PAGE gels. We followed the standard western
blotting procedure for gel-to-membrane (PVDF) protein transfer, membrane blocking, primary
antibody incubation (α-Pyk, 1:1000; 4°C, overnight), and secondary antibody binding
(peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit; 1:5000, room temperature, 1 h) with necessary
washing in 1× PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 during each stepwise transition. ECL (34580, Thermo
Fisher) was applied on the membrane, and chemiluminescent signals were recorded using a
gel imager. Stripping was performed to remove the antibodies from the membrane. The above
steps, starting from the primary antibody incubation, were repeated to detect the loading
control using α-tubulin.

<u>FACS, scRNA-seq, data analysis, and cell type annotation</u>

Fifty 3rd instar larval CNSs per genotype were dissected in ice-cold Rinaldini's solution. The
sample collection, cell dissociation, and cell sorting for harvesting mtdTomato⁺ cells by FACS
were performed as described by Harzer[60]. The 10× Genomics scRNA-seq was conducted

following the manufacturer's guidelines. Briefly, the 10✕ library was constructed by amplifying
10✕ barcoded cDNA obtained by poly (T) RT-PCR from single-cell gel bead-in emulsions
(GEMs). For quality control, the insert sizes and the concentration of the 10✕ library were
validated using electrophoresis (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer) and qPCR (StepOnePlus
Real-Time PCR System), respectively. The 10✕ library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq
PE150 sequencer. The RNA sequencing reads were aligned to the released reference
Drosophila_melanogaster/dmel_r6.54. Data analysis and visualization were performed using
Cell Ranger and Seurat. We annotated cell types using customized marker genes from the
literature and Garnett software[62–65].

Larval tracking and locomotion analysis

The larval locomotion was recorded using frustrated total internal reflection (FTIR)-based
imaging (FIM) method at 10 fps for 90 s. For each indicated genotype, 30 larvae were
recorded (six larvae per recording × five recordings). The locomotion was analyzed to
calculate the sweeping rate, pausing rate, and maximum speed using the FIMTrack built-in
tools[73,74]. The bending strength (i.e., median bending angle), peristalsis efficiency (i.e.,
distance per peristalsis), and peristalsis frequency (i.e., peristalses per second) were
quantitated by pyFIM (Python package for analysis of FIMTrack data,
https://github.com/schlegelp/pyfim). The minimum track length in frames was set to 50. Data
filtering owing to larval collision, larval disappearance, and return in FOV may result in slightly
more or less than 30 data points per genotype depending on the analysis performed. The
sweeping rate was calculated as follows: the number of frames the larva sweeps/the total
number of frames the larva appears × 100%. The pausing rate was calculated as follows: the
number of frames the larva pauses/the total number of frames the larva appears × 100%.

Statistical analysis

Sample sizes were chosen to meet published standards. All experiments were
technically repeated at least twice (see figure legends for exact number) with similar results.
Regarding single-cell RNA sequencing, dataset integration was performed to correct for
technical differences between the control and astrocyte *pyk* knockdown. All data are presented
as the mean ± SEM. The *P*-value was obtained by performing one-way ANOVA analysis with
Tukey's test, unpaired t-test, or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in GraphPad Prism, as indicated in
the figures and figure legends.

A

mtdTomato
reconst. GFP
α-Brp

VNC

mtdTomato   PEAPODs

α-Brp   merge

B

single neuron
Brp.S-mStraw   PEAPODs   merge

C

inset zoom
Brp.S-mStraw
PEAPODs

Brp.S-mStraw

18.50% PEAPODs⁻
81.50% PEAPODs⁺

D

DAergic synapse

pre-   post-

astrocyte sheath

E

RP   SP   DP

dF/F₀

200 %

5 %

mR-GECO1
PEAPODs

F

ns

max. mR-GECO1
(dF/F, × 100 %)

**   **

RP   SP   DP

G

ns

ns   ns

max. PEAPODs
(dF/F, × 100 %)

RP   SP   DP

H

ns

ns   ns

AUC
(PEAPODs, FC)

RP   SP   DP

I

DAergic
neuronal
activity

↑   ↓   ↓

10'-20'   20'-30'   30'-40'

ns   ns   ns   ns   ns

PEAPODs intensity (FC)

ctrl th>TrpA1 ctrl th>TrpA1 ctrl th>TrpA1 ctrl th>mKcnj2 ctrl th>TNT-G

A

glycolysis pathway

glucose ⤑ fructose-6-P → Pfk → fructose-1,6-bisphosphate ⤑ 2-phosphoglycerate

Eno

pyruvate ← phosphoenolpyruvate

Pyk

B

ctrl   astro *pfk* KD

astro *eno* KD   astro *pyk* KD

C

alrm>

****

PEAPODs intensity (FC)

ctrl
*pfk*RNAi#1
*pfk*RNAi#2
*eno*RNAi#1
*eno*RNAi#2
*pyk*RNAi#1
*pyk*RNAi#2

D

alrm>

****

PEAPODs counts (FC)

ctrl
*pfk*RNAi#1
*pfk*RNAi#2
*eno*RNAi#1
*eno*RNAi#2
*pyk*RNAi#1
*pyk*RNAi#2

E

alrm>

cumulative frequency (%)

ctrl
*pfk*RNAi#1 ****
*pfk*RNAi#2 *
*eno*RNAi#1 ****
*eno*RNAi#2 ***
*pyk*RNAi#1 ****
*pyk*RNAi#2 ns

PEAPODs volume (FC)

F

astrocyte   α-Pyk

α-Repo   merge

G

astrocyte/α-Pyk/α-Repo

ctrl

astro *pyk* KD

H

ctrl

*pyk*11/7

I

**

PEAPODs intensity (FC)

ctrl
*pyk*11/7

J

*

PEAPODs counts (FC)

ctrl
*pyk*11/7

K

cumulative frequency (%)

ctrl
*pyk*11/7

ns

PEAPODs volume (FC)

A
astrocyte *nlg2* KD
ctrl

alrm>nlg2^RNAi

B *alrm>*
PEAPODs intensity (FC)
****
ctrl
nlg2^RNAi

C *alrm>*
PEAPODs counts (FC)
****
ctrl
nlg2^RNAi

D *alrm>*
cumulative frequency (%)
****
ctrl
nlg2^RNAi
PEAPODs volume (FC)

E
DAergic neuron *nrx1* KD
ctrl

th>nrx1^RNAi

F *th>*
PEAPODs intensity (FC)
****
ctrl
nrx1^RNAi

G *th>*
PEAPODs counts (FC)
****
ctrl
nrx1^RNAi

H *th>*
cumulative frequency (%)
ns
ctrl
nrx1^RNAi
PEAPODs volume (FC)

I
Brp-GFP   α-Brp   merge   inset zoom
ctrl

th>nrx1^RNAi

J *th>*
Brp-GFP   α-Brp
mean Brp intensity (FC)
***   ns
ctrl
nrx1^RNAi
ctrl
nrx1^RNAi

K
alrm>mCD8-GFP / α-Nlg2
ctrl   zoom   *pyk^11/7*   zoom
merge   merge

L
astrocytes w/ Nlg2 bodies (%)
****
ctrl
pyk^11/7

M
α-Nlg2
GFP-KDEL
merge