Metabolic basis of the astrocyte-synapse interaction governs dopaminergic-motor connection

Yanru Xu[1,2,3], Piaoping Kong[1,2,3], Mengqi Wang[2], Yanyun Mao[2], Zhiguo Ma[1,2]*

[1]Department of Neurobiology, Affiliated Mental Health Center and Hangzhou Seventh People's Hospital, School of Brain Science and Brain Medicine, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

[2]Liangzhu Laboratory, MOE Frontier Science Center for Brain Science and Brain-machine Integration, State Key Laboratory of Brain-machine Intelligence, NHC and CAMS Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

[3]These authors contributed equally

*Corresponding to: Zhiguo Ma

Email: zgma@zju.edu.cn

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: Z.G.M.; Methodology: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.; Investigation: Z.G.M., Y.R.X., P.P.K., M.Q.W., Y.Y.M.; Validation: Z.G.M., Y.R.X., M.Q.W.; Visualization: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.; Formal analysis: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.; Data curation: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.; Resources: Z.G.M.; Software: Z.G.M.; Project administration: Z.G.M.; Funding acquisition: Z.G.M.; Supervision: Z.G.M.; Writing – original draft: Z.G.M., Y.R.X.; Writing – review & editing: Z.G.M.

Competing Interest Statement: Authors declare that they have no competing interests

Abstract

Perisynaptic astrocyte processes are constitutive attachments of synapses in the central nervous system. However, the molecular mechanisms that control perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment and their implications in the wiring of neural circuits remain unclear. Here, we report that glycolysis controls astrocyte-synapse contact. In the *Drosophila* larval dopaminergic (DAergic) circuit, blocking astrocyte glycolysis stimulated perisynaptic ensheathment by attenuating astrocyte-to-DAergic neuron neuroligin 2-neurexin 1 signaling. As a result, the larvae executed more reorientation actions during locomotion. At the circuit level, behavioral alterations were found to arise from increased DAergic neuronal synaptogenesis and DAergic-motor connection. Our research uncovers an ancient metabolic basis that determines perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment abundance through a conserved neuroligin-neurexin signaling pathway and demonstrates the role of astrocyte glycolysis in controlling DAergic-motor circuit assembly and function.

Keywords: perisynaptic astrocyte processes; astrocyte; glycolysis; dopaminergic circuit; neuroligin-neurexin signaling

Main Text

Introduction

Astrocytes have a complex morphology with dense branches ensheathing many synapses[1–3]. Consequently, perisynaptic astrocyte processes and synapses are positioned in immediate vicinity for astrocyte-synapse interaction. Astrocyte-synapse contact is modulated by synaptic activity and several cell-cell communication pathways such as cell-adhesion signaling[4–14].

Astrocytes are key non-neuronal cells that interact with the DAergic system[15–17]. The DAergic system comprises many subcircuits with patterned synaptic connectivity, each of which controls specific actions[18,19]. Imbalances in DAergic subcircuit connectivity are associated with both motor and non-motor deficits in neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and schizophrenia[20–24]. Perisynaptic astrocyte processes regulate neurotransmission at DAergic synapses[25–28]; however, whether they contribute to patterning synaptic connection of developing DAergic subcircuits is unknown.

Neuroligins (Nlgs) and neurexins (Nrxs) are ligand-receptor, cell-adhesion molecules (CAMs) responsible for regulating synapse assembly and function[29–32]. The Nlg-Nrx signaling was traditionally viewed as a neuron-to-neuron communication pathway. For instance, postsynaptic Nlg2 and Nlg3 instruct DAergic presynapse organization[33,34], and conditional

knockouts of all Nrxs in DAergic neurons cause disproportionate co-transmission at DAergic
synapses[35]. However, recent findings have provided new insights into the intercellular
modalities of Nlg-Nrx signaling. Nonneuronal cells in the central nervous system (CNS), such
as astrocytes, also express Nlgs[36,37]. In fact, astrocyte-neuronal Nlg-Nrx signaling is critical for
excitation/inhibition balance and neural plasticity and regulates synaptogenesis in a circuit-
specific manner [8,38]. It is crucial to redefine the role of Nlg-Nrx signaling in the wiring of DAergic
circuits; however, the question of how astrocyte-neuronal Nlg-Nrx signaling is regulated
remains unclear.

Astocytes are efficient at glycolysis and supply the brain with glycolytic intermediates
essential for neural biomass growth including synaptogenesis[39], and the maintenance of
neuronal activity[40]. Consistent with the established functions of vertebrate astrocytes, studies
in *Drosophila* have demonstrated that astrocyte ablation impairs cholinergic synaptogenesis in
the pupal antennal lobes[41], while deficits in glial glycolysis lead to neuronal death in adult flies[42].
However, it remains to be elucidated whether the astrocyte-neuronal communication pathways,
specifically Nlg-Nrx signaling and glycolysis, converge to regulate synaptogenesis and the
precise wiring of neural circuit.

Here, using a previously unexplored *Drosophila* model addressing astrocyte-DAergic
synapse interactions, we show the metabolic regulation of astrocyte-neuronal Nlg-Nrx signaling
by glycolysis in controlling perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment, DAergic-motor subcircuit
wiring, and motor function. Our findings advance the understanding of astrocyte-synaptic
mechanisms underlying the metabolic modulation of motor control by dopamine.

Results

Astrocyte ensheathment of DAergic synapses

First, to trace perisynaptic ensheathment by astrocytes in proximity of DAergic synapses
(hereafter referred to as PEAPODs), we expressed membrane-tethered split GFP (spGFP)
fragments, CD4-spGFP[1-10] and CD4-spGFP[11], in astrocytes and DAergic neurons, respectively,
in *Drosophila* larvae, using two binary expression systems, namely Gal4/UAS and LexA/lexAop
(Fig. S1A)[15,43–46]. As several previous studies have modeled astrocyte interactions with the
monoaminergic, motor, and GABAergic systems in the larval ventral nerve cord (VNC), we also
chose to focus on the VNC[15,38,41]. Using GFP reconstitution, we identified many astrocyte-
DAergic neuronal contact sites, which disperse along DAergic neuronal processes and show
regional variations in intensity across the VNC and brain (Fig. S1B). Many of them resembled
synaptic boutons and adjoined or contained core active zone protein Bruchpilot (Brp), indicative

of perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment of DAergic synapses (i.e., PEAPODs) (Fig. 1A; Supplemental video S1). This differs from larval glutamatergic circuit where astrocyte-synapse contact is rare[47]. To quantitate the astrocyte-DAergic synapse association, we examined PEAPODs and DAergic neurons expressing the presynaptic marker Bruchpilot[short]-mStraw (Brp.S-mStraw) at single-cell resolution using FLP-out[44,48]. As a result, we found that 87.5% ± 0.55% of Brp.S-mStraw puncta were associated with PEAPODs in the VNC, and the number dropped to 41.9% ± 1.07% in the brain (Figs. 1B–1D; Fig. S1C).

Neuronal activity remodels astrocyte-synapse contact, and DAergic neurons spontaneously fire in bursts[15,49]. To determine how PEAPODs reorganize during DAergic neuronal activation, we performed dual-color live imaging of PEAPODs and measured the activity of DAergic neurons using the membrane-tethered Ca^{2+} indicator mR-GECO1 (Fig. S1D; Supplemental video S2)[50]. We recorded active DAergic neuronal branches that appeared to be transversal projections from vmTH neurons[51]. Spontaneous DAergic neuronal bursting plotted a bell-shaped Ca^{2+} curve, for which we named three phases: rising, sustaining, and decay periods (RP, SP, and DP, respectively) (Fig. 1E). The RP and DP Ca^{2+} levels (135.2% ± 12.21% vs. 152.1% ± 9.71%, maximum normalized difference in fluorescence levels between any given time point and the basal state [dF/F]) were similar and dramatically lower than those of the SP (512.1% ± 31.21%, maximum dF/F) (Fig. 1F). PEAPODs presented a multiple-peak response during DAergic neuronal burst firing (Fig. 1E). In contrast to the bell-shaped DAergic neuronal Ca^{2+} activity with a pair of symmetrical RP, DP shoulders, and an SP peak, the PEAPODs exhibited equivalent increases in intensity during the RP, SP, and DP (9.2% ± 0.97%, 11.9% ± 1.85%, and 12.9% ± 1.94%, respectively; maximum dF/F) (Figs. 1G and 1H), before returning to baseline. Therefore, spontaneous DAergic neuronal activity is not sufficient to stabilize temporarily enhanced PEAPODs. Thermogenetic activation of DAergic neurons for 30 min using the cation channel TrpA1 did not change the intensity of the PEAPODs (Fig. 1I). Finally, we inhibited DAergic neurons by hyperpolarizing the membrane potential using the potassium inwardly rectifying channel mKcnj2 or by blocking synaptic transmission with the tetanus toxin TNT-G, with none showing significantly altered PEAPODs intensity (Fig. 1I). Taken together, these results suggest that astrocyte-DAergic synapse contact is transiently stimulated during spontaneous DAergic neuronal burst firing, but that DAergic neuronal activity is dispensable for PEAPODs.

Astrocytes determine the abundance of PEAPODs via glycolysis

Glycolysis breaks down one glucose molecule into two molecules of pyruvate (Fig. 2A). Vertebrate astrocytes exhibit high glycolytic activity[52,53], and glial glycolysis has been shown critical for neuronal survival in adult flies[42]. We found that the knockdown (KD) of glycolytic genes by RNAi, including *phosphofructokinase* (*pfk*), *enolase* (*eno*), and *pyruvate kinase* (*pyk*), in astrocytes increased the intensity and number of PEAPODs by several folds: *pfk* RNAi (e.g.,

5.6 ± 0.12, 2.2 ± 0.02), *eno* RNAi (e.g., 7.0 ± 0.18, 2.4 ± 0.05), and *pyk* RNAi (e.g., 5.8 ± 0.10,
2.0 ± 0.02) (intensity, number; fold change). In addition, knocking down these genes in
astrocytes caused many PEAPODs to enlarge hundreds of times in volume (Figs. 2B–2E, S2A–
S2D).

Pyk is the final-step, rate-limiting enzyme that converts phosphoenolpyruvate to pyruvate
during glycolysis. Anti-Pyk antibody staining showed that Pyk was enriched in astrocytes (Fig.
2F), and astrocyte *pyk* KD diminished its expression (Fig. 2G). Next, we recovered two *pyk*
alleles by CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis[54–57], *pyk[11]* and *pyk[7]*, which carried 1-bp (*pyk[11]*) and 17-
bp (*pyk[7]*) frameshift deletions in the largest *pyk* exon, respectively (Fig. S3A). Pyk expression
was severely reduced in the mutants (e.g., 27.7% ± 4.68% remained in *pyk[11/7]*) (Figs. S3B and
S3C), which caused the mutants to die at the 2nd instar larval stage. Similar to astrocyte *pyk*
RNAi, *pyk* mutations also increased PEAPODs (Figs. 2H–2K). In conclusion, astrocytes
determine the PEAPODs abundance by glycolysis.

Glycolysis-deficient astrocytes promote DAergic neuron synaptogenesis

Drosophila astrocytes exhibit a striking homology with their vertebrate counterparts in
morphology and function. For example, astrocytes, characteristic of sophisticated bushy
processes in both species, infiltrate the neuropile where they ensheath synapses and play a
key role in synaptogenesis[41,58–65]. We labeled astrocytes with membrane-tethered GFP (i.e.,
mCD8-GFP) and observed equivalent amounts of astrocytes and normal neuropile infiltration
in the *pyk* mutant compared to the control (Figs. 3A and 3B). Using anti-Brp antibody staining,
we detected similar levels of Brp in the *pyk* mutant compared to the control, suggesting that
overall CNS synaptogenesis is not affected by glycolysis deficiency (Fig. 3C). To examine
astrocyte morphology, we conducted mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM)
to label single astrocytes[66]. We found *pyk* mutant astrocytes formed thick branchlets and
radiated out to the size of control (Figs. 3D and 3E).

We showed that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency increased the abundance of PEAPODs.
Therefore, we next examined whether DAergic synaptogenesis was altered in turn. To this end,
we specifically labeled endogenous Brp in DAergic neurons with a flip-out cassette,
Brp>FSF>GFP, using STaR[67]. We created a *lexAop2-pyk[RNAi]* transgenic line to knock down *pyk*
in astrocytes (Fig. S3D). Astrocyte *pyk* KD using the LexA/lexAop system showed identical
PEAPODs enhancement phenotypes (Figs. S3E–S3G). We observed that astrocyte *pyk* KD
increased endogenous Brp expression in DAergic neurons to 123.8% ± 1.75% of the control
(Figs. 3F and 3G), while the overall CNS synaptogenesis remained unchanged (α-Brp and
transmission electron microscopy; Figs. 3H and 3I). Thus, astrocyte glycolysis deficiency
promotes DAergic neuron synaptogenesis. However, we do not rule out that non-DAergic
synaptogenesis is also altered by astrocyte glycolysis deficiency. It is unlikely that Brp

stimulates PEAPODs because the *brp* KD in DAergic neurons enhances PEAPODs (Figs.
S4A–S4C).

Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency leads to biased DAergic circuit wiring

We demonstrated that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency increased PEAPODs and DAergic neuron
synaptogenesis. However, whether this had a significant effect on DAergic circuit assembly
remains an open question. In *Drosophila*, postsynaptic connections with the DAergic system
remain largely unknown, particularly those in the larval VNC. To address this issue, we profiled
postsynaptic partners wired to DAergic neurons in the larval CNS. Briefly, we labeled the
postsynaptic cells with mtdTomato by transsynaptic tracing (i.e., *trans*-Tango) and pooled
mtdTomato+ cells using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)[68,69]. Subsequently, we
performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and cell type annotation to identify the
cohort-based postsynaptic connectome of the larval DAergic system (Fig. 4A).

We dissected 50 CNSs each from the control and astrocyte *pyk* KD larvae, ultimately
obtaining 11815 and 13327 (control vs. astrocyte *pyk* KD) mtdTomato+ cells, respectively,
which were sequenced. The higher yield of mtdTomato+ cells from astrocyte *pyk* KD is in line
with our observation that KD led to stronger mtdTomato expression by *trans*-Tango, which
suggests that DAergic neurons make more postsynaptic connections (Figs. S4D and S4E).
These individual cells were grouped into 20 clusters in uniform manifold approximation and
projection (uMAP) analysis (Fig. S5A). *nsyb+para+* cells were selected as mature neurons[70,71],
which accounted for 47.3% (control) and 54.3% (astrocyte *pyk* KD) of all cells sequenced (Figs.
S5B–S5E). The mature neurons re-clustered in 12 groups (Fig. 4B). Next, using unique marker
genes[71,72], we performed cell type annotation and defined eight mature neuron populations
(Figs. S5F, S5G, and S6A–S6J; Table S1)[73]. Our annotation is of high accuracy: for instance,
93.9% *twit+* motor neurons (MNs) can be sorted into known MN subclasses with an additional
marker gene, e.g., 53.6% glutamatergic type I (*vglut+*), 18.2% octopaminergic type II (*tβh+*), and
22.1% neuropeptidergic type III (*phm+*) (Table S2)[74,75]. Moreover, excitatory/inhibitory
populations appeared to be mutually exclusive in the uMAP analysis, e.g., glutamatergic vs.
GABAergic populations (Figs. S6B vs. S6C) and GABAergic vs. cholinergic populations (Figs.
S6C vs. S6D). We compared the cell numbers of each population in the control and astrocyte
pyk KD, with the astrocyte *pyk* KD often outnumbering the controls. The MNs, gathered in
cluster 12, had the highest fold change (>2.6), followed by the neuropeptidergic neurons (>1.5)
and DAergic neurons (>1.4) (Figs. 4C–4E). Therefore, enhanced DAergic synaptogenesis
caused by astrocyte glycolysis deficiency indeed gives rise to more postsynaptic connections.
Taken together, our data demonstrate that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency leads to biased
DAergic circuit wiring.

Biased DAergic-motor wiring alters motor output

Dopamine modulates motor circuit dynamics[76–80]. To examine whether biased DAergic-motor wiring alters motor output, we tracked and analyzed *Drosophila* larval locomotion using the frustrated total internal reflection (FTIR)-based imaging method[81,82]. This larval behavior manifests as a series of active forward, as well as a few backward, crawling (i.e., peristalsis) actions interrupted by stereotyped reorientation attempts (i.e., pauses and sweeps) (Fig. 5A)[83–85]. Compared to the controls, the astrocyte *pyk* KD larvae travelled in densely branched trajectories (Fig. 5B). They increased the likelihood of pausing (e.g., 16.4% ± 0.22% vs. 6.0% ± 0.27%, astrocyte *pyk* KD vs. ctrl) and sweeping (e.g., 16.8% ± 0.23% vs. 5.9% ± 0.26%, astrocyte *pyk* KD vs. ctrl) without changing bending strength (Figs. 5C–5E). During the peristaltic sessions, the RNAi larvae attained a higher maximum speed (e.g., 2.7 mm/s ± 0.04 mm/s vs. 1.9 mm/s ± 0.02 mm/s, astrocyte *pyk* KD vs. ctrl) and maintained similar peristalsis efficiency and frequency (Figs. 5F–5H).

Glycolysis controls the astrocyte-DAergic synapse interaction by Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling

It remains to be determined how astrocytes transduce the glycolytic event into a means of cell-cell communication guiding astrocyte-DAergic synapse interaction. CAMs such as Nlgs and Nrxs modulate astrocyte-synapse contact and synaptogenesis[8,38]. We assayed the effect of KDs of Nlgs and Nrxs on PEAPODs by RNAi and found that both astrocyte *nlg2* KD and DAergic neuron *nrx1* KD increased PEAPODs, e.g., astrocyte *nlg2* RNAi (5.2 ± 0.08, 2.9 ± 0.04) and DAergic neuron *nrx1* RNAi (2.4 ± 0.04, 1.9 ± 0.03) (intensity, number; fold change) (Figs. 6A–6H). In addition, *nrx1* RNAi in DAergic neurons enhanced synaptogenesis to 112.8% ± 0.63% of the control (Figs. 6I–6J). In summary, these data suggest that astrocyte glycolysis and astrocyte-DAergic neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling are somehow in the same pathway responsible for controlling PEAPODs and DAergic synaptogenesis. Using anti-Nlg2 antibody staining, we observed massive intra-astrocyte membranous Nlg2 bodies in the *pyk* mutant (Figs. 6K and 6L). The Nlg2 bodies were decorated with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) luminal reporter GFP-KDEL, indicating that Nlg2 ER exit fails in *pyk* mutant astrocytes. Taken together, our findings support the notion that astrocyte glycolysis enables astrocyte-to-DAergic neuron Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling to restrict astrocyte-DAergic synapse contact and DAergic synaptogenesis.

Discussion

Our data indicate a metabolic basis for perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment. Glycolysis is critical for proper Nlg2 trafficking in astrocytes, and astrocyte-DAergic neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1

signaling relies on astrocyte glycolysis. This metabolic signaling axis (glycolysis/Nlg2-Nrx1) restricts perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment; once it fails during development, the astrocyte-synapse contact rises and DAergic neurons increase synaptogenesis and their synaptic connection with motor neurons.

Nlg family proteins are transported to the cell surface where they bind Nrx receptors[86]. Nlgs contain many amino acid residues involved in N- and O-linked glycosylation[87,88]. Posttranslational glycosylation is crucial for Nlgs on their way to activating Nrxs, from protein folding to surface trafficking, as well as Nrx binding[89,90]. Autism-associated mutations of several *nlg* genes lead to differentially glycosylated Nlg proteins and their retention at the ER[91–93]. In this study, we showed that Nlg2 (mammalian Nlg1 homolog) is sequestered at the ER in glycolysis-deficient astrocytes. Modulations in glycolytic flux alter protein glycosylation outcomes[94–99]. Taken together, our findings suggest a similar mechanism for Nlg2 retention in the ER owing to aberrant glycosylation in glycolysis-deficient astrocytes. As a result, perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment increases at DAergic synapses.

In terms of how perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment abundance is relevant to synaptogenesis, previous studies have shown that astrocyte Nlg2 knockout reduced both perisynaptic astrocyte contact and glutamatergic synaptogenesis[8]. Additionally, the depletion of neuronal cell adhesion molecule (NRCAM) in astrocytes enhances astrocyte-synapse adhesion but decreases GABAergic synaptogenesis[10]. In contrast, our data indicate that astrocyte Nlg2 deficiency increases both perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment and DAergic synaptogenesis. In summary, these findings suggest that perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment abundance is a proxy for measuring astrocyte-synapse interaction but is not directly or inversely proportionally linked to synaptogenesis. Complex contact-mediated astrocyte-synaptic mechanisms regulate synaptogenesis, which involve the heterogeneity of synapses and neural circuits.

We mapped cohort-based postsynaptic connectomes of the *Drosophila* larval DAergic system using transsynaptic tracing, scRNA-seq, and cell type annotation. The connectomes comprise several heterogeneous populations. Most of the populations were annotated using defined marker genes associated with neurotransmitter types but not behavioral signatures. Therefore, our current analyses have a limited depth with regard to analyzing DAergic subcircuits associated with specific behaviors. However, the connectomes we constructed are valuable reference landscapes for DAergic subcircuit mapping when unique marker genes emerge in the midst of investigating dopamine signaling during *Drosophila* development. For instance, in this study, we observed a single molecularly defined population, the MNs, designated for locomotion. We found that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency led to more than double the number of MNs in synaptic connection with the DAergic system. Notably, astrocyte Nlg2 depletion extends the critical window of *Drosophila* larval motor circuit, which induces long-term changes in motor circuit wiring and behavior during development[38]. Similarly, we showed that astrocyte glycolysis deficiency causes astrocyte-neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1 signaling to

fail and enhances DAergic synaptogenesis. Taken together, the data suggest that *Drosophila*
astrocytes control the wiring of DAergic circuit among others by glycolysis. DAergic neurons
start to appear as early as embryogenesis stage 14, before the motor critical window closes
normally, beginning a few hours after larval hatching[38,100]. It remains unclear whether larval
motor circuit plasticity plays a role in favoring DAergic-motor connection due to astrocyte
glycolysis deficiency.

Primitive glycolysis is a fundamental metabolic process in astrocytes[101]. Our study opens
new opportunities for uncovering the neurometabolic implications in neural circuit connectivity,
particularly of the DAergic system.

300
301

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Excellent Young Scientists Fund (Overseas) and in part
by Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2023-
PT310-01). We thank the core facilities of Liangzhu Laboratory and the core facilities of
Zhejiang University School of Medicine for the support in confocal microscopy and transmission
electron microscopy. We acquired many valuable fly strains from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock
Center, TsingHua Fly Center and Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center, we are grateful for their
efforts.

References

1. Halassa, M. M., Fellin, T., Takano, H., Dong, J.-H. & Haydon, P. G. Synaptic islands
defined by the territory of a single astrocyte. *J Neurosci* **27**, 6473–6477 (2007).
2. Tan, C. X. & Eroglu, C. Cell adhesion molecules regulating astrocyte–neuron interactions.
Current Opinion in Neurobiology **69**, 170–177 (2021).
3. Aten, S. *et al.* Ultrastructural view of astrocyte arborization, astrocyte-astrocyte and
astrocyte-synapse contacts, intracellular vesicle-like structures, and mitochondrial
network. *Prog Neurobiol* **213**, 102264 (2022).
4. Wenzel, J., Lammert, G., Meyer, U. & Krug, M. The influence of long-term potentiation on
the spatial relationship between astrocyte processes and potentiated synapses in the
dentate gyrus neuropil of rat brain. *Brain Research* **560**, 122–131 (1991).
5. Genoud, C. *et al.* Plasticity of astrocytic coverage and glutamate transporter expression
in adult mouse cortex. *PLoS Biol* **4**, e343 (2006).

6.   Morel, L., Higashimori, H., Tolman, M. & Yang, Y. VGluT1+ neuronal glutamatergic
signaling regulates postnatal developmental maturation of cortical protoplasmic astroglia.
J Neurosci **34**, 10950–10962 (2014).

7.   Bernardinelli, Y. *et al.* Activity-dependent structural plasticity of perisynaptic astrocytic
domains promotes excitatory synapse stability. *Curr Biol* **24**, 1679–1688 (2014).

8.   Stogsdill, J. A. *et al.* Astrocytic neuroligins control astrocyte morphogenesis and
synaptogenesis. *Nature* **551**, 192–197 (2017).

9.   Henneberger, C. *et al.* LTP Induction Boosts Glutamate Spillover by Driving Withdrawal
of Perisynaptic Astroglia. *Neuron* **108**, 919-936.e11 (2020).

10. Takano, T. *et al.* Chemico-genetic discovery of astrocytic control of inhibition in vivo.
Nature **588**, 296–302 (2020).

11. Lawal, O., Ulloa Severino, F. P. & Eroglu, C. The role of astrocyte structural plasticity in
regulating neural circuit function and behavior. *Glia* **70**, 1467–1483 (2022).

12. Xie, Y. *et al.* Astrocyte-neuron crosstalk through Hedgehog signaling mediates cortical
synapse development. *Cell Rep* **38**, 110416 (2022).

13. Nozawa, O. *et al.* Necl2/3-mediated mechanism for tripartite synapse formation.
Development **150**, dev200931 (2023).

14. Thomas, C. I., Ryan, M. A., McNabb, M. C., Kamasawa, N. & Scholl, B. Astrocyte
coverage of excitatory synapses correlates to measures of synapse structure and
function in ferret primary visual cortex. *Glia* **72**, 1785–1800 (2024).

15. Ma, Z., Stork, T., Bergles, D. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuromodulators signal through
astrocytes to alter neural circuit activity and behaviour. *Nature* **539**, 428–432 (2016).

16. Bazargani, N. & Attwell, D. Amines, Astrocytes, and Arousal. *Neuron* **94**, 228–231 (2017).

17. Corkrum, M. & Araque, A. Astrocyte-neuron signaling in the mesolimbic dopamine
system: the hidden stars of dopamine signaling. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **46**, 1864–
1872 (2021).

18. Bariselli, S., Glangetas, C., Tzanoulinou, S. & Bellone, C. Ventral tegmental area
subcircuits process rewarding and aversive experiences. *J Neurochem* **139**, 1071–1080
(2016).

19. Garritsen, O., van Battum, E. Y., Grossouw, L. M. & Pasterkamp, R. J. Development,
wiring and function of dopamine neuron subtypes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **24**, 134–152 (2023).

20. Grace, A. A. Dysregulation of the dopamine system in the pathophysiology of
schizophrenia and depression. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **17**, 524–532 (2016).

21. DiCarlo, G. E. *et al.* Autism-linked dopamine transporter mutation alters striatal dopamine
neurotransmission and dopamine-dependent behaviors. *J Clin Invest* **129**, 3407–3419
(2019).

22. McCutcheon, R. A., Abi-Dargham, A. & Howes, O. D. Schizophrenia, Dopamine and the
Striatum: From Biology to Symptoms. *Trends Neurosci* **42**, 205–220 (2019).

23. Benes, F. M. Emerging principles of altered neural circuitry in schizophrenia. *Brain Res*
Brain Res Rev **31**, 251–269 (2000).

24. DiCarlo, G. E. & Wallace, M. T. Modeling dopamine dysfunction in autism spectrum
disorder: From invertebrates to vertebrates. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **133**,
104494 (2022).

25. Corkrum, M. *et al.* Dopamine-Evoked Synaptic Regulation in the Nucleus Accumbens
Requires Astrocyte Activity. *Neuron* **105**, 1036-1047.e5 (2020).

26. Petrelli, F. *et al.* Dysfunction of homeostatic control of dopamine by astrocytes in the
developing prefrontal cortex leads to cognitive impairments. *Mol Psychiatry* **25**, 732–749
(2020).

27. Requie, L. M. *et al.* Astrocytes mediate long-lasting synaptic regulation of ventral
tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **25**, 1639–1650 (2022).

28. Petrelli, F. *et al.* Disruption of Astrocyte-Dependent Dopamine Control in the Developing
Medial Prefrontal Cortex Leads to Excessive Grooming in Mice. *Biol Psychiatry* **93**, 966–
975 (2023).

29. Lisé, M.-F. & El-Husseini, A. The neuroligin and neurexin families: from structure to
function at the synapse. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **63**, 1833–1849 (2006).

30. Südhof, T. C. Synaptic Neurexin Complexes: A Molecular Code for the Logic of Neural
Circuits. *Cell* **171**, 745–769 (2017).

31. Hale, W. D., Südhof, T. C. & Huganir, R. L. Engineered adhesion molecules drive
synapse organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2215905120 (2023).

32. Connor, S. A. & Siddiqui, T. J. Synapse organizers as molecular codes for synaptic
plasticity. *Trends Neurosci* **46**, 971–985 (2023).

33. Uchigashima, M., Ohtsuka, T., Kobayashi, K. & Watanabe, M. Dopamine synapse is a
neuroligin-2-mediated contact between dopaminergic presynaptic and GABAergic
postsynaptic structures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 4206–4211 (2016).

34. Cho, W. *et al.* On-Site Formation of Functional Dopaminergic Presynaptic Terminals on
Neuroligin-2-Modified Gold-Coated Microspheres. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **16**, 3082–
3092 (2024).

35. Ducrot, C. *et al.* Conditional deletion of neurexins dysregulates neurotransmission from
dopamine neurons. *Elife* **12**, e87902 (2023).

36. Bottos, A. *et al.* The synaptic proteins neurexins and neuroligins are widely expressed in
the vascular system and contribute to its functions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**,
20782–20787 (2009).

37. Sakers, K. & Eroglu, C. Control of neural development and function by glial neuroligins.
Curr Opin Neurobiol **57**, 163–170 (2019).

38. Ackerman, S. D., Perez-Catalan, N. A., Freeman, M. R. & Doe, C. Q. Astrocytes close a
motor circuit critical period. *Nature* **592**, 414–420 (2021).

39. Aerobic glycolysis in the primate brain: reconsidering the implications for growth and
maintenance | Brain Structure and Function.
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00429-013-0662-z.

40. Nortley, R. & Attwell, D. Control of brain energy supply by astrocytes. *Current Opinion in*
Neurobiology **47**, 80–85 (2017).

41. Muthukumar, A. K., Stork, T. & Freeman, M. R. Activity-dependent regulation of astrocyte
GAT levels during synaptogenesis. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 1340–1350 (2014).

42. Volkenhoff, A. *et al.* Glial Glycolysis Is Essential for Neuronal Survival in Drosophila. *Cell*
Metabolism **22**, 437–447 (2015).

43. Feinberg, E. H. *et al.* GFP Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (GRASP) defines cell
contacts and synapses in living nervous systems. *Neuron* **57**, 353–363 (2008).

44. Gordon, M. D. & Scott, K. Motor control in a Drosophila taste circuit. *Neuron* **61**, 373–384
(2009).

45. Doherty, J., Logan, M. A., Taşdemir, O. E. & Freeman, M. R. Ensheathing glia function as
phagocytes in the adult Drosophila brain. *J Neurosci* **29**, 4768–4781 (2009).

46. Berry, J. A., Cervantes-Sandoval, I., Chakraborty, M. & Davis, R. L. Sleep Facilitates
Memory by Blocking Dopamine Neuron-Mediated Forgetting. *Cell* **161**, 1656–1667
(2015).

47. MacNamee, S. E. *et al.* Astrocytic glutamate transport regulates a Drosophila CNS
synapse that lacks astrocyte ensheathment. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **524**,
1979–1998 (2016).

48. Fouquet, W. *et al.* Maturation of active zone assembly by Drosophila Bruchpilot. *J Cell*
Biol **186**, 129–145 (2009).

49. Sanghera, M. K., Trulson, M. E. & German, D. C. Electrophysiological properties of
mouse dopamine neurons: In vivo and in vitro studies. *Neuroscience* **12**, 793–801 (1984).

50. Ma, Z. & Freeman, M. R. TrpML-mediated astrocyte microdomain Ca2+ transients
regulate astrocyte-tracheal interactions. *Elife* **9**, e58952 (2020).

51. Vömel, M. & Wegener, C. Neuroarchitecture of Aminergic Systems in the Larval Ventral
Ganglion of Drosophila melanogaster. *PLOS ONE* **3**, e1848 (2008).

52. Pellerin, L. & Magistretti, P. J. Glutamate uptake into astrocytes stimulates aerobic
glycolysis: a mechanism coupling neuronal activity to glucose utilization. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **91**, 10625–10629 (1994).

53. Almeida, A., Jimenez-Blasco, D. & Bolaños, J. P. Cross-talk between energy and redox
metabolism in astrocyte-neuron functional cooperation. *Essays Biochem* **67**, 17–26
(2023).

54. Bassett, A. R., Tibbit, C., Ponting, C. P. & Liu, J.-L. Highly efficient targeted mutagenesis
of Drosophila with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Cell Rep* **4**, 220–228 (2013).

55. Wang, H. *et al.* One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by
CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **153**, 910–918 (2013).

56. Cong, L. *et al.* Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**,
819–823 (2013).

57. Ren, X. *et al.* Optimized gene editing technology for Drosophila melanogaster using germ
line-specific Cas9. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 19012–19017 (2013).

58. Rouget, M., Araud, D., Seite, R., Prochiantz, A. & Autillo-Touati, A. Astrocyte-regulated
synaptogenesis: an in vitro ultrastructural study. *Neurosci Lett* **150**, 85–88 (1993).

59. Slezak, M. & Pfrieger, F. W. New roles for astrocytes: regulation of CNS synaptogenesis.
Trends Neurosci **26**, 531–535 (2003).

60. Baldwin, K. T. & Eroglu, C. Molecular mechanisms of astrocyte-induced synaptogenesis.
Curr Opin Neurobiol **45**, 113–120 (2017).

61. Tan, C. X., Burrus Lane, C. J. & Eroglu, C. Role of astrocytes in synapse formation and
maturation. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **142**, 371–407 (2021).

62. Farizatto, K. L. G. & Baldwin, K. T. Astrocyte-synapse interactions during brain
development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **80**, 102704 (2023).

63. Irala, D. *et al.* Astrocyte-secreted neurocan controls inhibitory synapse formation and
function. *Neuron* **112**, 1657-1675.e10 (2024).

64. Stork, T., Sheehan, A., Tasdemir-Yilmaz, O. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuron-glia
interactions through the Heartless FGF receptor signaling pathway mediate
morphogenesis of Drosophila astrocytes. *Neuron* **83**, 388–403 (2014).

65. Chen, J. *et al.* Astrocyte growth is driven by the Tre1/S1pr1 phospholipid-binding G
protein-coupled receptor. *Neuron* **112**, 93-112.e10 (2024).

66. Lee, T. & Luo, L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) for *Drosophila*
neural development. *Trends in Neurosciences* **24**, 251–254 (2001).

67. Chen, Y. *et al.* Cell-type-specific labeling of synapses in vivo through synaptic tagging
with recombination. *Neuron* **81**, 280–293 (2014).

68. Harzer, H., Berger, C., Conder, R., Schmauss, G. & Knoblich, J. A. FACS purification of
Drosophila larval neuroblasts for next-generation sequencing. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1088–1099
(2013).

69. Talay, M. *et al.* Transsynaptic Mapping of Second-Order Taste Neurons in Flies by trans-
Tango. *Neuron* **96**, 783-795.e4 (2017).

70. Corrales, M. *et al.* A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of complete insect nervous systems
across multiple life stages. *Neural Dev* **17**, 8 (2022).

71. Dillon, N. *et al.* Single cell RNA-seq analysis reveals temporally-regulated and
quiescence-regulated gene expression in Drosophila larval neuroblasts. *Neural Dev* **17**, 7
(2022).

72. Corrales, M. *et al.* A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of complete insect nervous systems
across multiple life stages. *Neural Dev* **17**, 8 (2022).

73. Pliner, H. A., Shendure, J. & Trapnell, C. Supervised classification enables rapid
annotation of cell atlases. *Nat Methods* **16**, 983–986 (2019).

74. Hoang, B. & Chiba, A. Single-Cell Analysis of *Drosophila* Larval Neuromuscular
Synapses. *Developmental Biology* **229**, 55–70 (2001).

75. Pérez-Moreno, J. J. & O'Kane, C. J. GAL4 Drivers Specific for Type Ib and Type Is Motor
Neurons in Drosophila. *G3 (Bethesda)* **9**, 453–462 (2019).

76. Cooper, R. L. & Neckameyer, W. S. Dopaminergic modulation of motor neuron activity
and neuromuscular function in Drosophila melanogaster. *Comp Biochem Physiol B*
Biochem Mol Biol **122**, 199–210 (1999).

77. Dasari, S. & Cooper, R. L. Modulation of sensory-CNS-motor circuits by serotonin,
octopamine, and dopamine in semi-intact Drosophila larva. *Neurosci Res* **48**, 221–227
(2004).

78. Dodson, P. D. *et al.* Representation of spontaneous movement by dopaminergic neurons
is cell-type selective and disrupted in parkinsonism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**,
E2180-2188 (2016).

79. Engelhard, B. *et al.* Specialized coding of sensory, motor and cognitive variables in VTA
dopamine neurons. *Nature* **570**, 509–513 (2019).

80. Marquis, M. & Wilson, R. I. Locomotor and olfactory responses in dopamine neurons of
the Drosophila superior-lateral brain. *Curr Biol* **32**, 5406-5414.e5 (2022).

81. Risse, B. *et al.* FIM, a novel FTIR-based imaging method for high throughput locomotion
analysis. *PLoS One* **8**, e53963 (2013).

82. Risse, B., Otto, N., Berh, D., Jiang, X. & Klämbt, C. FIM imaging and FIMtrack: two new
tools allowing high-throughput and cost effective locomotion analysis. *J Vis Exp* 52207
(2014) doi:10.3791/52207.

83. Lahiri, S. *et al.* Two alternating motor programs drive navigation in Drosophila larva.
PLoS One **6**, e23180 (2011).

84. Zarin, A. A., Mark, B., Cardona, A., Litwin-Kumar, A. & Doe, C. Q. A multilayer circuit
architecture for the generation of distinct locomotor behaviors in Drosophila. *Elife* **8**,
e51781 (2019).

85. Loveless, J., Lagogiannis, K. & Webb, B. Modelling the mechanics of exploration in larval
Drosophila. *PLoS Comput Biol* **15**, e1006635 (2019).

86. Bogaciu, C. A. & Rizzoli, S. O. Membrane trafficking of synaptic adhesion molecules. *J*
Physiol (2024) doi:10.1113/JP286401.

87. Hoffman, R. C. *et al.* Structural characterization of recombinant soluble rat neuroligin 1:
mapping of secondary structure and glycosylation by mass spectrometry. *Biochemistry*
43, 1496–1506 (2004).

88. Comoletti, D., Trobiani, L., Chatonnet, A., Bourne, Y. & Marchot, P. Comparative
mapping of selected structural determinants on the extracellular domains of
cholinesterase-like cell-adhesion molecules. *Neuropharmacology* **184**, 108381 (2021).

89. De Jaco, A. *et al.* Neuroligin trafficking deficiencies arising from mutations in the
alpha/beta-hydrolase fold protein family. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 28674–28682 (2010).

90. Jeong, J., Paskus, J. D. & Roche, K. W. Posttranslational modifications of neuroligins
regulate neuronal and glial signaling. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **45**, 130–138 (2017).

91. Bemben, M. A., Shipman, S. L., Nicoll, R. A. & Roche, K. W. The cellular and molecular
landscape of neuroligins. *Trends Neurosci* **38**, 496–505 (2015).

92. Cast, T. P. *et al.* An Autism-Associated Mutation Impairs Neuroligin-4 Glycosylation and
Enhances Excitatory Synaptic Transmission in Human Neurons. *J Neurosci* **41**, 392–407
(2021).
93. Benner, O. *et al.* Multiple N-linked glycosylation sites critically modulate the synaptic
abundance of neuroligin isoforms. *J Biol Chem* **299**, 105361 (2023).
94. Leno, G. H. & Ledford, B. E. ADP-ribosylation of the 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein
during nutritional stress. *Eur J Biochem* **186**, 205–211 (1989).
95. Maher, F. & Harrison, L. C. Stimulation of glucose transporter (GLUT1) mRNA and
protein expression by inhibitors of glycosylation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1089**, 27–32
(1991).
96. Tomás, M. *et al.* Ethanol impairs monosaccharide uptake and glycosylation in cultured rat
astrocytes. *J Neurochem* **83**, 601–612 (2002).
97. Xi, H., Kurtoglu, M. & Lampidis, T. J. The wonders of 2-deoxy-D-glucose. *IUBMB Life* **66**,
110–121 (2014).
98. Rudman, N., Gornik, O. & Lauc, G. Altered N-glycosylation profiles as potential
biomarkers and drug targets in diabetes. *FEBS Lett* **593**, 1598–1615 (2019).
99. Hawkinson, T. R. *et al.* In situ spatial glycomic imaging of mouse and human Alzheimer's
disease brains. *Alzheimers Dement* **18**, 1721–1735 (2022).
100. Tio, M., Toh, J., Fang, W., Blanco, J. & Udolph, G. Asymmetric cell division and Notch
signaling specify dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. *PLoS One* **6**, e26879 (2011).
101. Bonvento, G. & Bolaños, J. P. Astrocyte-neuron metabolic cooperation shapes brain
activity. *Cell Metab* **33**, 1546–1564 (2021).
545
546

Figures

Figure 1. Perisynaptic astrocyte ensheathment of DAergic synapses.

(A) Third instar larval CNS with the VNC highlighted (scale bar: 50 µm). Reconstituted GFP
(reconst. GFP) reveals astrocyte contact sites (arrowheads and open arrowheads) with DAergic
neuronal processes labeled by myristoylated tdTomato (mtdTomato) (scale bars: 5 µm). Open
arrowheads identify typical PEAPODs that resemble synaptic boutons on terminal branches of
DAergic neurons. Brp: Bruchpilot (presynaptic marker).

(B) Single-cell PEAPODs and synaptic labeling of the DAergic neuron in larval ventral nerve
cord (n = 11) (scale bars: 10 µm).

(C) Inset zoom in (B), arrowheads denote PEAPODs^{+} Brp.S-mStraw puncta (scale bar: 2 µm).

(D) Schematic drawing of the PEAPODs. Note that GFP reconstitutes at the contact site
between the astrocyte sheath and DAergic synapse.

(E–H) PEAPODs intensity vs. spontaneous DAergic neuronal burst activation (Ca^{2+}, mR-
GECO1) (n = 12). RP, SP, and DP: rising, sustaining, and decay periods, respectively. The
area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to show the accumulative PEAPODs response over
time. max.: Maximum.

(I) PEAPODs intensity. Genetically manipulated DAergic neuronal activity (arrows, up or down)
as indicated (n = 8–12). *th*: DAergic neuron driver. *mKcnj2*, *TNT-G* inactive controls: *mKcnj2.nc*,
TNT-Q.

(F), (G), (H), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (I), unpaired t-test. **$P < 0.01$. ns: Not
significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 2. Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency increases PEAPODs abundance.

(A) Biochemical pathway of glycolysis. Dotted arrows indicate the intermediate steps not shown.
The double-headed arrow denotes reversible reactions.

(B–E) PEAPODs and abundance analysis (scale bars: 50 µm). Gene knockdown (KD) in the
astrocyte (astro) using RNAi were driven by the *alrm* driver. Genotypes as indicated (n = 10–
12. In E, 784–2583 individual PEAPODs in total).

(F) Pyk (α-Pyk) was enriched in astrocytes (scale bars: 50 µm).

(G) *pyk* KD diminished Pyk expression (scale bars: 10 µm). In (F) and (G), mCD8-GFP labeled
astrocytes, and α-Repo stained glial cell nuclei.

(H–K) PEAPODs and abundance analysis (scale bars: 25 μm). Genotypes as indicated (n = 10.
In K, 88–180 individual PEAPODs in total).

(C), (D), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (I), (J), unpaired t-test. (E), (K), Kolmogorov-
Smirnov test. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$, $****P < 0.0001$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold
change.

Figure 3. Glycolysis-deficient astrocytes promote DAergic synaptogenesis.

(A) Astrocyte number. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12).

(B) Normal neuropile (marked by α-Brp) infiltration by the astrocyte in the $pyk^{11/7}$ mutant (scale
bars: 20 μm). xz confocal sections show the infiltration in the dorsal (d)-ventral (v) axis of the
VNC. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). *alrm*: Astrocyte driver, mCD8-GFP: Membrane-tethered
GFP, Brp: Bruchpilot (presynaptic marker).

(C) Mean Brp levels. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12).

(D and E) Single astrocyte MARCM clone. Genotypes as indicated (n = 20–26). MARCM:
mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker.

(F and G) Endogenous Brp (Brp-GFP) in DAergic neurons (scale bars: 25 μm; 5 μm in the inset
zoom). Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown.

(H and I) Sections by transmission electron microscopy (scale bars: 500 nm). Color shade:
synapses, blue; astrocyte processes, orange. Note that perisynaptic astrocyte process
(arrowheads) were more often observed in astro *pyk* KD. Genotypes as indicated (n = 311–313
frames from 5 larval CNS). Frame size, 4.28 μm (width) × 4.41 μm (length). astro: Astrocyte,
KD: Knockdown.

Unpaired t-test. $**P < 0.01$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 4. Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency causes biased DAergic wiring.

(A) Schematic protocol for profiling postsynaptic partners (labeled by *trans*-Tango) wired to
DAergic neurons. FACS: Fluorescence-activated cell sorting, *th*: DAergic neuron driver, *trans*-
Tango: Transsynaptic labeling system.

(B) Clustering of *nsyb*+, *para*+ mature neurons (ctrl, n = 5589; astrocyte [astro] *pyk* knockdown
[KD], n = 7236). Note that cluster 12 is distant to the others. uMAP: Uniform manifold
approximation and projection.

(C) Annotated MNs (ctrl, n = 50; astro *pyk* KD, n = 131) in the UMAP. MNs gathered in cluster
12.

(D) Close-up view of cluster 12 MNs.

(E) Plotting FCs in the cell number of annotated populations, astro *pyk* KD vs. ctrl. The MN
showed the highest FC and followed the neuropeptidergic (NP) neuron and the DAergic neuron.
glu: Glutamatergic, GABA: GABAergic, cho: Cholinergic, ser: Serotoninergic, OA/TA: Octop-
/tyr-aminergic, FC: Fold change.

Figure 5. Biased DAergic wiring alters locomotion patterns.

(A) Schematic illustration of *Drosophila* larval locomotive movements. Reorientation attempts
intervene between peristaltic sessions.

(B) Recorded larval locomotion trajectories (scale bars: 2 cm). Astrocyte *pyk* RNAi larvae
travelled in paths with dense branches; six larvae per recording. Genotypes as indicated (n =
30). *alrm*: Astrocyte driver.

(C–H) Quantitative analysis of larval locomotion. Genotypes as indicated (n = 30). Pausing rate
(C): The number of frames the larva pauses/the total number of frames the larva appears $\times$
100%, sweeping rate (D): The number of frames the larva sweeps/the total number of frames
the larva appears $\times$ 100%, bending strength (E): Median bending angle, max. velocity (F):
Maximum velocity, peristalsis efficiency (G): Distance per peristalsis, peristalsis frequency (H):
Peristalses per second). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **$P < 0.01$, ****$P < 0.0001$. ns:
Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Figure 6. Astrocyte glycolysis deficiency disables astrocyte-DAergic neuronal Nlg2-Nrx1
signaling.

(A–H) PEAPODs and abundance analysis (scale bars: 50 μm). Genotypes as indicated (n =
10–12; in D, 659–2286; in H, 1756–3975 individual PEAPODs in total). *alrm*: Astrocyte driver,
th: DAergic neuron driver, KD: Knockdown.

(I and J) Endogenous Brp (Brp-GFP) in DAergic neurons (scale bars: 25 μm; 5 μm in the inset
zoom). Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). Brp: Bruchpilot (presynaptic marker).

(K and L) Glycolysis deficiency led to intra-astrocyte Nlg2 bodies (arrowheads) (scale bars: 5
μm; 2 μm in the inset zoom). The dotted line marks the astrocyte soma. Genotypes as indicated
(n = 12). mCD8-GFP: Membrane-tethered GFP.

(M) The ER reporter GFP-KDEL decorated Nlg2 bodies (arrowheads) (scale bars: 2 μm).

(B), (C), (F), (G), (J), (L), unpaired t-test. (D), (H), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. ***P < 0.001, ****P

< 0.0001. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Supporting Information (SI) for

Metabolic basis of the astrocyte-synapse interaction governs
dopaminergic-motor connection

Yanru Xu[#], Piaoping Kong[#], Mengqi Wang, Yanyun Mao, Zhiguo Ma[*]

[#]These authors contributed equally
[*]Corresponding to: zgma@zju.edu.cn
Email: zgma@zju.edu.cn

Materials and Methods

Drosophila husbandry and stocks

Flies were cultured in cornmeal food at 25°C, unless stated otherwise. Detailed stock information is provided in Table S4.

Molecular biology and transgenic flies

The construct _lexAop2-pyk^RNAi_ was assembled in alignment with attP/attB site-directed transgene integration in _Drosophila_, as illustrated in Fig. S3D. The _lexAop2-pyk^RNAi_ transgenic fly was obtained via standard germline microinjection.

Single-cell clone induction

The genotype of larvae for single-neuron PEAPODs and synaptic labeling: _alrm-LexA, lexAop-CD4-spGFP11/UAS-brp.S-mStraw_; _hs-FLPG5, tub>Gal80>/th-Gal4, UAS-CD4-spGFP1-10_. Eleven single VNC DAergic neurons (out of 120 3rd instar larval CNSs dissected) were obtained by 37°C heatshock for 10 min at 22 h after egg laying. Confocal images were taken using a Zeiss LSM 900 with Airyscan (objective lens, Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 NA, Oil, DIC M27). To quantitate astrocyte-DAergic synapse association: Image J plugin 3D objects counter was used to create two new image stacks by segmenting Brp.S-mStraw puncta and PEAPODs in confocal images from separate channels. The two image stacks (Brp.S-mStraw objects [BO], PEAPODs objects [PO]) were merged, and the association between BO and PO was examined.

Genotypes for generating clones by mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM): control, _alrm-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/repo-FLP; FRT82B/FRT82B, tub-Gal80_; _pyk_ mutant, _alrm-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/repo-FLP; FRT82B, pyk7/FRT82B, tub-Gal80_. We took confocal images with an inverted Olympus IXplore SpinSR spinning disk confocal super resolution microscope (objective lens, UPLXAPO 60×/1.5 NA, Oil) and measured astrocyte volume in Imaris software.

Live imaging and data analysis

We followed the live imaging protocol described by Ma et al. with minor modifications[1]. The 3rd instar larval CNS was dissected in low Ca^{2+} (0.3 mM) live imaging buffer (110 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.8 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM D-glucose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2). Next, the CNS was immediately transferred into a cell culture dish (Ø 28.2 mm; glass bottom, Ø 20 mm) filled with standard Ca^{2+} (1.2 mM) live imaging buffer. Time-series movies were taken with an inverted Olympus IXplore SpinSR spinning disk confocal super resolution microscope

(objective lens, UPLXAPO 40×/0.95 NA, Air) by alternating 488 nm and 561 nm laser channels. The movies were corrected for drifts by Fast4Dreg, and the fluorescence intensities of PEAPODs and mR-GECO1 were quantitated over time by TrackMate in Image J. The dF/F was calculated, and data points were plotted in GraphPad Prism.

PEAPODs imaging and abundance analysis

The larval CNS was dissected and fixed as described below. After washing off the fixative, samples were incubated in mounting medium (90% glycerol + 10% 1× phosphate buffered saline [PBS]) overnight. Confocal images were taken using an Olympus FV3000 (objective lens, UPLAPO 60×/1.50 NA, Oil). The field of view (FOV) runs 212.1 μm × 212.1 μm, which can accommodate all abdominal segments of the 3rd instar larval VNC. The PEAPODs intensity was determined by measuring the mean intensity of the reconstituted GFP (confocal z-stack projections by maximum intensity) in Image J. The Image J plugin 3D objects counter was used for 3D segmentation, numbering, and volume sizing of PEAPODs. PEAPODs from abdominal segments a1–a2 (cropping, 62.2 μm × 165.3 μm, width × length) are shown in Figs. 2B, 2H, 6A, 6E, and S2A–S2D.

Thermogenetic activation of DAergic neurons

Control and *th>TrpA1* larvae were cultured in an incubator at 18°C before thermogenetic activation in a 29°C water bath. The larval cohort of each treatment (10-min, 20-min and 30-min) was kept in the water bath until the dissection was completed within the next 10 min.

Binary expression systems for gene knockdown in astrocytes

We used LexA/lexAop to knock down *pyk* in Figs. 3F, 3G, 4, S3E–S3G, S4D, S4E and S5, while Gal4/UAS was used in the others.

***pyk* mutagenesis by CRISPR-Cas9**

Flies carrying $pyk^{TKO.GS03070}$ (for the expression of short guide RNA targeting *pyk*) and *nos-Cas9* (for germline Cas9 expression) were crossed to obtain the F1 progeny. Next, F1 males were crossed to a balancer strain, and individual F3 stocks were created by mating single F2 flies to the same balancer strain. Two frameshift deletions of *pyk* (i.e., alleles pyk^{11} and pyk^{7}) were recovered in F3 stocks.

Antibodies, immunohistochemistry, western blotting, and transmission electron microscopy

The following primary antibodies were used in this study: α-Brp (1:100, nc82, DSHB), α-Repo (1:100, 8D12, DSHB), Alexa Fluor 647 AffiniPure goat anti-HRP (1:200, 123-605-021, Jackson Immunoresearch), α-tubulin (1:5000, ab52866, Abcam), α-Pyk (1:200–1:1000), and α-Nlg2 (1:200). Polyclonal α-Pyk, α-Nlg2 antibodies were produced by immunizing rabbits with synthetic peptides (Pyk, 199 a.a.–212 a.a., EVENGGSLGSRKGV; Nlg2, 295 a.a.–308 a.a., RQRRNTDDTTGEPK) conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH).

The following secondary antibodies were used in this study: AffiniPure goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 (1:200, 115-605-062), AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:1000, 111-585-045), and peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit (1:5000, 111-035-003) (Jackson Immunoresearch).

Immunohistochemistry: Briefly, larval CNSs were dissected in 1× PBS and fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min. After washing off the PFA in 1× PBS, the samples were incubated in 0.3% PBST (1× PBS + 0.3% Triton) for 2 h. Next, the samples were incubated in primary antibody diluted in 0.1% PBST for 72 h at 4°C. The primary antibody was pipetted out, and the samples were washed three times in 0.1% PBST for 10 min each time. The samples were then incubated in secondary antibody diluted in 0.1% PBST for 4 h at room temperature. After removing the secondary antibody, the samples underwent three 10-min washes in 0.1% PBST and one 5-min wash in 1× PBS. Finally, the samples were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium for confocal imaging.

Western blotting: The 2nd instar larval CNS was dissected and homogenized in RIPA (89900, Thermo Fisher) supplemented with proteinase inhibitor cocktail. The lysates were centrifuged (12,000 rpm) at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatants were collected and the total protein concentrations were quantitated using BCA assays. Heat-denatured samples (1 μg/μl) were resolved using 4%–12% gradient SDS-PAGE gels. We followed the standard western blotting procedure for gel-to-membrane (PVDF) protein transfer, membrane blocking, primary antibody incubation (α-Pyk, 1:1000; 4°C, overnight), and secondary antibody binding (peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit; 1:5000, room temperature, 1 h) with necessary washing in 1× PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 during each stepwise transition. ECL (34580, Thermo Fisher) was applied on the membrane, and chemiluminescent signals were recorded using a gel imager. Stripping was performed to remove the antibodies from the membrane. The above steps, starting from the primary antibody incubation, were repeated to detect the loading control using α-tubulin.

Transmission electron microscopy: The 3rd instar larval CNS was dissected in 0.1 M PBS and immediately fixed overnight in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (diluted in 0.1 M PBS) at 4 °C. The samples were then rinsed in 0.1 M PBS (three times, 10 min each time) and post-fixed with 2% uranyl acetate for 30 min at room temperature. After a 5-min washing in dH$_2$O, the samples were gradually dehydrated in 50%, 70%, 90% (one time,15 min each time) and 100% (twice,

20 min each time) ethanol. The samples were then incubated in 100% acetone (twice, 20 min each time), infiltrated in 50%/50% Epon 812 resin/acetone mixture for 2 h and in 75%/25% Epon 812 resin/acetone mixture overnight. On next day, the samples were carefully orientated in 100% Epon 812 resin in plastic embedding molds and left at 65 °C for polymerization. Ventral nerve cords of the samples were ultrathin sectioned. The sections were placed on copper grids, contrasted in 4% uranyl acetate for 30 min and inspected with a Thermo Scientific Talos L120C TEM at 120 kV.

FACS, scRNA-seq, data analysis, and cell type annotation

Fifty 3rd instar larval CNSs per genotype were dissected in ice-cold Rinaldini's solution. The sample collection, cell dissociation, and cell sorting for harvesting mtdTomato$^+$ cells by FACS were performed as described by Harzer et al.[2]. The 10× Genomics scRNA-seq was conducted following the manufacturer's guidelines. Briefly, the 10× library was constructed by amplifying 10× barcoded cDNA obtained by poly (T) RT-PCR from single-cell gel bead-in emulsions (GEMs). For quality control, the insert sizes and the concentration of the 10× library were validated using electrophoresis (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer) and qPCR (StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System), respectively. The 10× library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq PE150 sequencer. The RNA sequencing reads were aligned to the released reference *Drosophila_melanogaster*/dmel_r6.54. Data analysis and visualization were performed using Cell Ranger and Seurat. We annotated cell types using customized marker genes from the literature and Garnett software[3–5].

Larval tracking and locomotion analysis

The larval locomotion was recorded using frustrated total internal reflection (FTIR)-based imaging (FIM) method at 10 fps for 90 s. For each indicated genotype, 30 larvae were recorded (six larvae per recording × five recordings). The locomotion was analyzed to calculate the sweeping rate, pausing rate, and maximum speed using the FIMTrack built-in tools[6,7]. The bending strength (i.e., median bending angle), peristalsis efficiency (i.e., distance per peristalsis), and peristalsis frequency (i.e., peristalses per second) were quantitated by pyFIM (Python package for analysis of FIMTrack data, https://github.com/schlegelp/pyfim). The minimum track length in frames was set to 50. Data filtering owing to larval collision, larval disappearance, and return in FOV may result in slightly more or less than 30 data points per genotype depending on the analysis performed. The sweeping rate was calculated as follows: the number of frames the larva sweeps/the total number of frames the larva appears × 100%. The pausing rate was calculated as follows: the number of frames the larva pauses/the total number of frames the larva appears × 100%.

Statistical analysis

Sample sizes were chosen to meet published standards. All experiments were technically repeated at least twice (see figure legends for exact number) with similar results. Regarding single-cell RNA sequencing, dataset integration was performed to correct for technical differences between the control and astrocyte *pyk* knockdown. All data were presented as the mean ± SEM. The *P*-value was obtained by performing one-way ANOVA analysis with Tukey's test, unpaired t-test, or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in GraphPad Prism, as indicated in the figures and figure legends.

Table S1. The summary list of cells identified by sc-RNA seq and cell type annotation

	ctrl (n)	astro *pyk* KD (n)
single cells sequenced	11815	13327
nsyb+*para*+ mature neuron	5589	7236
annotated mature neuron	3824	4793
motor neuron	50	131
glutamatergic neuron	527	629
GABAergic neuron	1205	1486
cholinergic neuron	1268	1424
serotoninergic neuron	55	79
DAergic neuron	142	174
octop-/tyr-aminergic neuron	34	43
neuropeptidergic neuron	543	827

astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown, n: Cell number.

Table S2. Motor neuron subclasses connected to *Drosophila* larval DAergic system

	ctrl (n)	astro *pyk* KD (n)
type I, *vglut+twit+*	20	77
type II, *tβh+twit+*	8	25
type III, *phm+twit+*	12	28

astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown, n: Cell number.

Table S3. The DNA sequence assembled for creating *lexAop2-pyk^RNAi* construct

13× lexAop2 *hsp70* promotor *dsRNA-GL00099* spacer *ftz* intron	AAGCTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACAT CCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACATCCATACAG TAAGTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGCGGAGACTCTAGCCCTAGGGCATGC CTGCAGGTTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAG TACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGCGGAGACTCTAGCGCTAGCGCATGCCTGC AGGTTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACT GTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACATCCATACAGTAAGTACTGTACATCC ATACAGTAAGCGGAGACTCTAGCACTAGTGACGTCGAGCGCCGGAGTATAA ATAGAGGCGCTTCGTCTACGGAGCGACAATTCAATTCAAACAAGCAAAGTG AACACGTCGCTAAGCGAAAGCTAAGCAAATAAACAAGCGCAGCTGAACAAG CTAAACAATCTGCAGTAAAGTGCAAGTTAAAGTGAATCAATTAAAAGTAACC AGCAACCAAGTAAATCAACTGCAACTACTGAAATCTGCCAAGAAGTAATTAT TGAATACAAGAAGAGAACTCTGCAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTAG CAGTCGCGTTGATTACTGTTAATAATAGTTATATTCAAGCATATTATTAACAG TAATCAACGCGGCGAATTCAGGCGAGACATCGGAGTTGAAACTAAAACTGA ATTACTAGAGTGGACATATGCACCTAGGACTAGAGCAAACTAGTTCTGATCT GCTAGACAATTGTTGGCATCAGGTAGGCATCACACACGATTAACAACCCCT AAAAATACACTTTGAAAATATTGAAAATATGTTTTTGTATACATTTTTGATATT TTCAAACAATACGCAGTTATAAAACTCATTAGCTAACCCATTTTTTCTTTGCT TATGCTTACAG

Table S4. Stock list

Name	Stock Number	Stock Center/Reference
UAS-pfk$^{RNAi\#1}$	34336	BDSC
UAS-pfk$^{RNAi\#2}$	TH201500692.S	THFC
UAS-eno$^{RNAi\#1}$	26300	BDSC
UAS-eno$^{RNAi\#2}$	TH02508.N	THFC
UAS-pyk$^{RNAi\#1}$	35218	BDSC
UAS-pyk$^{RNAi\#2}$	TH03685.N	THFC
lexAop2-pyk^{RNAi}	N/A	this study
UAS-nlg2^{RNAi}	28331	BDSC
UAS-nrx1^{RNAi}	27502	BDSC
UAS-brp$^{RNAi\#1}$	80449	BDSC
UAS-brp$^{RNAi\#2}$	25891	BDSC
w^{1118}	5905	BDSC
10× UAS-IVS-mtdTomato	32222	BDSC
th-Gal4	8848	BDSC
th-LexA	99051	BDSC
UAS-TrpA1	26263	BDSC
pyk$^{TKO.GS03070}$	78770	BDSC
nos-Cas9	TH00788.N	THFC
Brp-FSF-GFP	55753	BDSC
trans-Tango QUAS-mtdTomato-3× HA	90924	BDSC
hs-FLPG5	58356	BDSC
20× UAS-FLPG5	55806	BDSC
UAS-GFP-KDEL	9898	BDSC
UAS-mKcnj2	600376	BDSC
UAS-mKcnj2.nc	600377	BDSC
UAS-TNT-G	28838	BDSC

UAS-TNT-Q	28839	BDSC
tub>Gal80>	38881	BDSC
UAS-CD4-spGFP[1-10]	93017	BDSC
lexAop-CD4-spGFP[11]	93018	BDSC
alrm-LexA		Ma et al.[1]
alrm-Gal4		Doherty et al.[8]
UAS-brp.S-mStraw		Fouquet et al.[9]
UAS-mR-GECO1		Ma et al.[10]
repo-FLP		Stork et al.[11]
UAS-mCD8-GFP		Lee & Luo[12]

BDSC: Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, THFC: TsingHua Fly Center.

Movie S1 (separate file). Z-axis confocal sections showing DAergic neuronal branches (red), PEAPODs (green) and the presynaptic marker Brp (magenta)

Movie S2 (separate file). Dual-color live imaging of spontaneous DAergic neuronal activation (shown by mR-GECO1) and PEAPODs

SI References

1. Ma, Z., Stork, T., Bergles, D. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuromodulators signal through astrocytes to alter neural circuit activity and behaviour. *Nature* **539**, 428–432 (2016).

2. Harzer, H., Berger, C., Conder, R., Schmauss, G. & Knoblich, J. A. FACS purification of Drosophila larval neuroblasts for next-generation sequencing. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1088–1099 (2013).

3. Pliner, H. A., Shendure, J. & Trapnell, C. Supervised classification enables rapid annotation of cell atlases. *Nat Methods* **16**, 983–986 (2019).

4. Dillon, N. *et al.* Single cell RNA-seq analysis reveals temporally-regulated and quiescence-regulated gene expression in Drosophila larval neuroblasts. *Neural Dev* **17**, 7 (2022).

5. Corrales, M. *et al.* A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of complete insect nervous systems across multiple life stages. *Neural Dev* **17**, 8 (2022).

6. Risse, B. *et al.* FIM, a novel FTIR-based imaging method for high throughput locomotion analysis. *PLoS One* **8**, e53963 (2013).

7. Risse, B., Otto, N., Berh, D., Jiang, X. & Klämbt, C. FIM imaging and FIMtrack: two new tools allowing high-throughput and cost effective locomotion analysis. *J Vis Exp* 52207 (2014) doi:10.3791/52207.

8. Doherty, J., Logan, M. A., Taşdemir, O. E. & Freeman, M. R. Ensheathing glia function as phagocytes in the adult Drosophila brain. *J Neurosci* **29**, 4768–4781 (2009).

9. Fouquet, W. *et al.* Maturation of active zone assembly by Drosophila Bruchpilot. *J Cell Biol* **186**, 129–145 (2009).

10. Ma, Z. & Freeman, M. R. TrpML-mediated astrocyte microdomain Ca2+ transients regulate astrocyte-tracheal interactions. *Elife* **9**, e58952 (2020).

11. Stork, T., Sheehan, A., Tasdemir-Yilmaz, O. E. & Freeman, M. R. Neuron-glia interactions through the Heartless FGF receptor signaling pathway mediate morphogenesis of Drosophila astrocytes. *Neuron* **83**, 388–403 (2014).

12. Lee, T. & Luo, L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) for *Drosophila* neural development. *Trends in Neurosciences* **24**, 251–254 (2001).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. S1. Trans astrocyte-DAergic neuronal GFP reconstitution, and PEAPODs remodeling during spontaneous DAergic neuronal activation.

(A) Trans astrocyte-DAergic neuronal reconstituted GFP (reconst. GFP) (n = 12) (scale bars: 50 μm). α-HRP (horseradish peroxidase) stains neural tissues. The drivers *th-Gal4* (*th*) and *alrm-LexA* (*alrm*) were used here. a.u.: Arbitrary unit. (B) Regional variations in reconstituted GFP (reconst. GFP) intensity across the larval ventral nerve cord (VNC; thoracic segments, t1–t3; abdominal segments, a1–a8) and central brain (n = 3). a.u.: Arbitrary unit. (C) Single-cell PEAPODs and synaptic labeling of the DAergic neuron in larval brain (n = 10) (scale bars: 5 μm). (D) Dual-color live imaging of PEAPODs and spontaneous DAergic neuronal activation. Active DAergic neuronal projections (labeled by mR-GECO1) and their associated PEAPODs. Time-series dF (F_t–F_0) images show the differential fluorescence intensity at the time point of t second as compared to the baseline (0 s) (scale bars: 10 μm). Imaging time interval, 0.7 s.

One-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001. FC: Fold change.

Fig. S2. The knockdown of glycolytic genes that stimulate PEAPODs.

(A–D) Three-dimensional segmentation and numbering of individual PEAPODs (scale bars: 25 μm). Ten largest PEAPODs from the indicated genotypes were sorted by volume (vol.), and they are delineated by the orange line (scale bars: 25 μm). astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown.

Fig. S3. _pyk_ mutagenesis using CRISPR-Cas9, _lexAop2-pyk^{RNAi}_ construct assembly.

(A) Schematics of the _pyk_ gene region, two predicted transcripts (_pyk-RA_, _-RB_), short guide RNA (sgRNA) for guiding Cas9 to the _pyk_ locus, and DNA lesions of the two mutated alleles, _pyk¹¹_ and _pyk⁷_. PAM: Protospacer adjacent motif. (B and C) Western blotting using α-Pyk antibody (B), and quantitated relative Pyk protein levels (C). Loading control, α-Tub (tubulin). Genotypes as indicated (n = 3). (D) Schematic illustration of _lexAop2-pyk^{RNAi}_ construct assembly. _dsRNA-GL00099_ is the TRiP RNAi amplicon (used in _pyk_ RNAi line #1) for generating short hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeting _pyk_. The _ftz_ intron was purposed to facilitate shRNA nuclear export. The illustrated elements (DNA sequence included in Table S3) arranged as such were cloned into the _pUASTattB_ backbone. Note that _5× UAS_ was replaced by 13 copies of _lexAop2_ in tandem (_13× lexAop2_). (E–G) PEAPODs abundance analysis. Astrocyte (astro) _pyk_ knockdown (KD) using LexA/lexAop system. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12; in G, 1970–3519 individual PEAPODs in total).

(C), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (E), (F), unpaired t-test. (G), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. ***_P_ < 0.001, ****_P_ < 0.0001. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Fig. S4. PEAPODs in DAergic neuron *brp* knockdown (KD) transsynaptic (DAergic synapse) tracing by *trans*-Tango.

(A–C) PEAPODs abundance analysis. Genotypes as indicated (n = 11–14; in C, 1267–2876 individual PEAPODs in total). *th*: DAergic neuron driver. (D and E) mtdTomato intensity. The postsynaptic partners of DAergic neurons express myristoylated tdTomato (mtdTomato) (scale bars: 50 μm). Arrowheads denote the neuron somata. Genotypes as indicated (n = 12). *trans*-Tango: Transsynaptic labeling system, astro: Astrocyte, KD: Knockdown.

(A), (B), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (C), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. (E), unpaired t-test. *$P < 0.05$, ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$. ns: Not significant, FC: Fold change.

Fig. S5. scRNA-seq and cell type annotation of postsynaptic partners connected to DAergic neurons.

(A) Integrated clustering of all cells sequenced from ctrl (n = 11815) and astrocyte (astro) *pyk* knockdown (KD) (n = 13327) in uMAP. uMAP: Uniform manifold approximation and projection. (B and C) Single cells expressing *nsyb* (B), *para* (C). Note that the cells showed a tendency to converge in the upper right groups, e.g., clusters 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 16, and 19. Color scale: Normalized gene expression level. (D) Highlighted *nsyb*+*para*+ cells in clusters. (E) Percentages of *nsyb*-*para*- cells and *nsyb*+*para*+ mature neurons in all sequenced cells. (F) Percentages of (un)annotated neurons in mature neurons. (G) Percentages of defined populations in annotated mature neurons.

Figure S6. Annotated populations defined by unique marker genes.

(A and B) Motor neuron (MN) and marker gene *twit* (A). Glutamatergic neuron and marker gene *vglut* (B). Note that MNs gathered in cluster 12, which was also *vglut*⁺. (C) GABAergic neuron and marker gene *gad1*. (D) Cholinergic neuron and marker gene *vacht*. (E and F) Serotoninergic neuron and marker genes: *sert* (E), *trhn* (F). (G and H) DAergic neuron and marker genes: *dat* (G), *ple* (H). (I) Octop-/tyr-aminergic neuron and marker gene: *tdc2*. (J) Neuropeptidergic neuron and marker gene: *phm*.

Integrated clustering contains all annotated cells from the ctrl and astrocyte *pyk* knockdown. uMAP: Uniform manifold approximation and projection, color scale: Normalized gene expression level.