Overexpression of DnaJ chaperones in ameliorating toxicities associated with FUS and
TDP-43

Snehal Ahire[1], Tania Bose[1, #]

1: Dept. of Biotechnology, with jointly merged Institute of Bioinformatics and
Biotechnology (IBB), Savitribai Phule Pune University, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007,
India

#: corresponding author (ORCID 0000-0002-5478-0407)

Email: tania.bose@gmail.com; tbose@unipune.ac.in (Tania Bose, corresponding author)

Abstract

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is an adult-onset neurodegenerative disease. It primarily

affects the motor neurons, leading to muscle weakness and eventual death of patients. Various

factors, such as genetics, environment, age, etc, are involved in the etiopathogenesis of ALS.

As ALS is a highly challenging and complex disease that involves various pathogeneses linked

with progressive motor neuron degeneration, it is difficult to have a single therapeutic target

against this disease. ALS mutants of TDP-43 and FUS are showing slow growth as well as

protein aggregation in the cytoplasm in yeast. Our study involves cloning of 38 *Drosophila*

DnaJ domain chaperones and overexpressing them in a yeast ALS model. After the interaction

of mutants TDP-43 and FUS with the DnaJ domain chaperones, they were categorized into

suppressors and enhancers. The major hits on our screen were CG7872, Hsc70-4, and Mrj,

which rescued TDP-43 toxicity by reducing the protein aggregation and slow growth in yeast

cells. In the FUS, slow growth and protein aggregation is reduced with the chaperones

CG32641 and CG6693, resulting in a lowering of the FUS toxicity. On the other hand,

chaperones CG2911, CG2790, and CG8476 in the presence of TDP-43 overexpression, grow

more slowly with increasing punctae in yeast cells. These chaperones increase the toxicity of

TDP-43. Similarly, in FUS, Hsc20, CG7130, CG2911, and JdpC also showed a slow growth

phenotype and increased protein aggregation in yeast cells. These chaperones enhance the

toxicity of FUS.

This screening will enhance our understanding of ALS, and the chaperones identified in this

study can serve as neuroprotective agents for ALS.

Keywords: neurodegenerative disease, TDP-43, FUS, yeast, ALS, DnaJ domain chaperones,

Hsp40, ALS, RNA binding proteins

1.0 Introduction

ALS involves an interplay of different epigenetic genes associated with its pathogenesis. These

genes include SOD1, FUS, TARDBP, VAPB, VCP, and OPTN [1–4]. These genes are found in

all types of pathophysiology associated with ALS. ALS is found in two forms: sporadic and

familial. ALS also results from family history and lifestyle factors, including smoking and

dietary factors [5,6].

In ALS, RNA processing pathways and RNA-binding proteins are involved in the

etiopathogenesis [7,8]. The genes involved in disease conditions of ALS, TDP-43, and FUS

have RNA-binding domains, and after mutation, both these genes are found to be toxic in

multiple studies. In 2006, Arai and Newmann found TDP-43 to be an essential component of

ubiquitinated protein aggregates in FTLD-U and sporadic ALS[9]. In ALS, when TDP-43 is

derived from the damaged central nervous system, toxic TDP-43 is found in

hyperphosphorylated form, cleaved to obtain a C-terminal fragment, and ubiquitinated [10].

FUS also exhibits ubiquitous expression, similar to TDP-43, and its expression is independent.

After the missense mutation, FUS also forms cytoplasmic aggregates in neurons [11]. In 0.4%

of familial ALS cases, FUS/TLS mutations were found [11,12]. Though TDP-43 and FUS have

RNA-binding domains and the same cytoplasmic aggregation properties, their toxicity

mechanism differs subtly [13].

Previous studies show that positive inclusion, i.e., protein aggregation, was found in mutant

SOD-1 ALS cases [14]. Protein aggregation is due to the protein quality control system (PQC)

failure to handle folding and the missed degradation of misfolded protein [15]. Protein

aggregation is not toxic in every case. Sometimes, protein aggregation can be a protective

storage mechanism. However, inside the cell, the location of protein aggregates and the size of

the aggregates can be considered toxic [12].

Neurodegenerative diseases like ALS, Alzheimer's, Huntington's, and Parkinson's have a

protein aggregation trait, a specific protein that can fold into an alternative stable form, leading

to protein aggregation and accumulation as fibrillar deposits in tissues [17–19].

In our study, we have used the yeast model to focus on the mechanism of cellular toxicity and

aggregation phenotype in both TDP-43 and FUS [13,20,21].

Chaperones are the proteins that assist in the folding or unfolding of large proteins and

differentiate between misfolded proteins, unfolded proteins, and aggregated proteins with

specific biological functions [22]. The main cellular function of the chaperones is assisting in

the quality control of protein folding. Not all chaperones can prevent aggregation. Some

chaperones assist in restoring correct folding and denatured protein function and aid in the

assembly and disassembly of proteins. They also help fold *de-novo* proteins and, in the

translocation and disaggregation of protein aggregates [23]. Chaperones are classified into five

main families based on their molecular mass and sequence similarities [24].

In this study, we used *Drosophila* DnaJ domain chaperones, i.e., Hsp40, which is also called

DnaJ co-chaperone. They are the proteins that help chaperones assist in protein folding. These

non-client-binding molecules help to fold the proteins by binding Hsp70 or Hsp90, or both.

Co-chaperones can induce or inhibit the ATP hydrolysis activity of Hsp70 and Hsp90

chaperones [25]. They bring about conformational changes in chaperones or modify the

substrate and contribute to the chaperone's function [23]. There are 100 different co-chaperones

in mammals, many of which follow the categories based on their domain architecture [26].

Most of them are classified into two groups: J domain proteins and tetracopeptide repeats, i.e.,

TPR [27]. Together, chaperones and cochaperones form a network. To modulate their function,

each can identify a subset of misfolded proteins as a substrate and interact with other

chaperones and cochaperones [27].

In prokaryotes and eukaryotes, Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are ubiquitously conserved for the

survival of stressful conditions like heat stress [28]. It can cause harmful damage to cellular

architecture and interfere with vital cellular functions. To combat heat stress, the cell activates

its classical pathway, whereby it expresses heat shock proteins for a shorter period for cell

survival and maintains protein homeostasis [29].

Our observation supports enhanced and rescued protein aggregation and cellular growth by the

interaction of DnaJ domain chaperones with ALS mutants. We found potential suppressors and

enhancers with the interaction of DnaJ domain chaperones. To check our findings, we

expressed 38 DnaJ domain chaperones from flies in yeast [30,31].

Multiple studies have shown that heat shock proteins and chaperones help to reduce the toxicity

of mutant TDP-43 as well as FUS. In HEK293 cells, overexpression of HSF-

1/DNAJB21/HSP70 shows reduced cytoplasmic protein aggregation caused by mutant TDP-

43 [32]. Previous work has shown that HSP40 chaperone Sis1 plays a role in the folding of

proteins, the transport of misfolded proteins, as well as the catabolism of ubiquitin-dependent

misfolded proteins. When Sis1 is overexpressed in TDP-43 cells, it lowers the toxicity of TDP-

43. Its mammalian homolog, DnaJB1, when overexpressed in rodent primary cortex, also

lowers TDP-43 toxicity in neurons [33]. In the HeLa cell line, it was observed that HSP70

prevents amyloid aggregation caused by the mutant TDP-43 and prevents the liquid-to-solid

transition [34].

TDP-43 and FUS both undergo liquid-to-solid phase separation [35,36]. This process results in

liquid-like sections forming in the cytoplasm during stress and at the site of DNA damage. The

pHSP 40 chaperones Hdj2 (DNAJA1) and Hdj1 (DNAJB1) assist FUS in undergoing phase

separation. Additionally, Hdj1 aids the phase-separated FUS in inhibiting amyloid and protein

aggregation within the cell. The small heat shock protein Hsp27 is co-localized with FUS and

prevents their phase separation by binding FUS to the low complexity region, thereby helping

to avoid the formation of stress granules in the cell model [37].

Gitler and colleagues established yeast as a model to study ALS related to TDP-43 [20]. The

same group also reported using yeast for genome-wide screening of FUS to uncover the

mechanisms of the cellular pathways and the genes involved in FUS toxicity [13]. Taking cues

from these studies, we explore the interactions between TDP-43 and FUS with Drosophila

DnaJ domain chaperones, including their potential suppressors and enhancers.

Our study emphasizes protein aggregation and cellular toxicity in ALS mutants, involving the

overexpression of TDP-43 and FUS genes. We screened 38 DnaJ domain chaperones of

Drosophila. which includes: CG30156, CG5001, Mrj, DnaJ60, CG2911, CG6693, JdpC,

CG9828, CG4164, CG2887, CG12020, JdpA, CG10565, Tpr2, Csp, CG7394, CG7130,

CG8476, CG7872, Hsc20, Sec63, P58IPK, CG43322, CG7133, CG7556, CG14650, CG32641,

CG11035, CG10375, CG17187, DnaJ1, CG3061, CG8531 and CG7387. These include Hsc70

(Hsc70-1, Hsc70-4) and Hsp70 chaperones (Hsp70Aa and Hsp70Bb). We chose only those

chaperones that play a role in protein folding listed in **Table 2**. Their function and activity are

the same as the human homologs [38,39].

We found that chaperones CG7872, Mrj, and Hsc70 suppress the toxicity of TDP-43, and

chaperones CG32641 and CG6693 suppress the toxicity of FUS.

These chaperones, found to play a protective role in other disease conditions as well.

DNAJC25 is an orthologue of CG7872, localized in the cytoplasm, and has a role in hepatocarcinoma suppressor [40] Mrj is present ubiquitously in the cytosol. A mutation in DNAJB6 caused frontotemporal dementia and limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 1 case of the patient's brain sample [41]. It was also found that DNAJB6 inhibits polyQ aggregation and increases the life span in polyQ mice [42]. *Drosophila* Mrj helps Orb2 to reduce its prion conversion and also helps to reduce Htt aggregates [31]. In Parkinson's disease, DNAJB6 with cochaperones Hsp70 reduces the α-synuclein aggregation [43] Both Huntington's and Parkinson's disease have been found that Mrj suppress the toxicity of Htt and α-synuclein disease-causing proteins, but no such evidence has been found in the ALS-causing gene TDP-43. Also, Hsc70 is a co-chaperone of DnaJ domain chaperones and is also found to improve the TDP-43 toxicity in sporadic ALS patients [44,45]. *Drosophila* Hsc70-4 has a human orthologue of HSPA8 and is found in multiple studies in where it is involved in the clearance of misfolded proteins [46,47]

DNAJC9 is a human orthologue of CG6693 and has been found to play a role in cancer [48]. DNAJC9 also takes part in histone chaperone function with the help of the cochaperone Hsp70 [49]. DNAJB9 is also a human orthologue of chaperone CG32641 and is found associated with ER degradation machinery, and also interacts with CFTR and rescues the cystic fibrosis-causing gene Δ F508 [50,51]

Some chaperones can also enhance the toxic phenotype, specifically slow growth and protein aggregation in both mutant FUS and TDP-43. We found that chaperones CG2911, CG2790, and CG8476 are enhancers of TDP-43 toxicity, while chaperones Hsc20, CG2911, CG7130, and JDPC enhance FUS toxicity. Previously, they were also found to contribute to toxicity in certain disease conditions[52–55].

To develop TDP-43 and FUS models in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* we used galactose-inducible plasmids pRS426 Gal-TDP-43-GFP and pAG416 GalFUS-YFP, respectively. Mutant TDP-43 and FUS show slow growth and protein aggregation in yeast cells at permissive temperature, while the same time control shows normal growth and cytoplasmic diffusion. When these two mutants, expressed with 38 DnaJ domain chaperones, we found that some chaperones suppressed the toxicity while some chaperones enhanced the toxicity of the respective mutant, TDP-43 and FUS.

2.0 Results

2.1 Yeast model of TDP-43 and FUS: growth assay and cytoplasmic aggregation

We made TDP-43 and FUS yeast models from the TDP-43 and FUS plasmids pRS426 Gal-TDP-43-GFP and pAG416 Gal-FUS-YFP, respectively. This construct has a Ura marker with a GFP tag on the C-terminal and a galactose-inducible promoter. **(Supplementary Fig S1)**

The TDP-43 mutant results in slow growth and protein aggregation in yeast cells, indicating pathogenicity [20]. Similarly, the FUS mutant also shows slow growth and protein aggregation, indicating pathogenicity in yeast cells [13].

We used an empty vector as a control, and TDP-43 and FUS for determining ALS toxicity [57,58]. The spot dilution assay of TDP-43 and FUS mutants shows slow growth compared to the control in Gal-Ura. **(Supplementary Fig S2a)**

A quantitative study of both mutants was done using a 48-hour growth assay. TDP-43 and FUS cultures were added in triplicate in a 96-well plate at 30°C with orbital shaking. Growth assay of TDP-43 and FUS also showed a slowdown of TDP-43 and FUS growth in liquid medium, i.e, Gal-Ura, compared to the control. **(Supplementary Fig S2b)**

We further checked whether our mutants show protein aggregation under confocal microscopy.

For the microscopy, cultures were inoculated in SD-Ura, and on the following day, after a

raffinose wash was given and 20% Galactose was added. We find two morphologies in confocal

microscopy: diffused cytoplasm and punctae. In TDP-43 and FUS, we find more punctae,

indicating protein aggregation in the yeast cell, and in the control, we found a cytoplasmic

diffusion, which indicates no protein aggregation in the cell. **(Supplementary Fig S2c)**

As the TDP-43 and FUS mutant strains show a slow growth phenotype and aggregation of

proteins compared to the control, we further checked these mutants against 38 DnaJ domain

chaperones. We further screened the DnaJ domain chaperones and cochaperones to

check whether they show slow or rescue growth and their aggregation properties.

2.2 Enhancers and suppressors of TDP-43 and FUS w.r.t _Drosophila_ DnaJ domain

chaperones based on growth assay

We transformed 38 DnaJ domain chaperones with our ALS mutants, TDP-43 and FUS. These

transformed colonies of DnaJ domain chaperones were selected and inoculated in the SD-Trp-

Ura medium. On the second day, cultures were spotted onto SD-Trp-Ura and SGal-Trp-Ura

plates. SD-Trp-Ura is a control, and SGal-Trp-Ura serves as a test. Chaperones that enhance

the mutation effect are collectively called enhancers. In SGal-Trp-Ura plates, chaperones

CG2911, CG2790, and CG8476 grow more slowly than the control luciferase; they are

enhancers of TDP-43 toxicity **(Fig. 1a).** Whereas chaperones grow better in SGal-Trp-Ura

plates than in control, i.e., luciferase. These chaperones are enhancers of FUS **(Fig. 1f).**

Chaperones that made the ALS mutant grow better in SGal-Trp-Ura plates than the control,

i.e., luciferase suppresses the effect of the ALS mutation, and are referred to as a suppressor.

Chaperones Mrj, Hsc70-4, and CG7872 are suppressors of TDP-43 toxicity **(Fig.1a)** and in

SD-Trp-Ura they serve as control **(Supplementary Fig. S4).** Chaperones CG5001(previously

published) [30]. CG6693 and CG32641 grow better in SGal-Trp-Ura plates than luciferase.

These chaperones are suppressors of FUS toxicity (**Fig. 1f).** In SD-Trp-Ura plates show no

effect **(Supplementary Fig. S5).**

To quantify the growth effect, we did a 48-hour growth curve assay of DnaJ chaperones on

TDP-43 and FUS mutants. TDP-43 and FUS transformants were inoculated in an SD-Trp-Ura

medium for primary culture. The next day, O.D. was adjusted, and 20% galactose was added.

Cultures were added in triplicate in 96 96-well plate and placed in a Biotek Epoch

spectrophotometer for 48 hrs at 30^0C for occasional shaking. The data obtained from this

experiment were processed in GraphPad Prism 8.0. Similar to the spot dilution assay,

This 48-hour growth curve shows a growth difference compared to the luciferase.

Chaperones CG2911, CG2790, and CG8476 grow slower than luciferase in a 48-hour growth

curve. This indicates that these chaperones enhance the toxicity of mutant TDP-43. Chaperones

Mrj, Hsc70, and CG7872 grow better than luciferase, suggesting these chaperones rescue TDP-

43 toxicity (**Fig. 1 b)**.

In FUS, chaperones Hsc20, CG2911, CG7130, and JDPC with the FUS mutant grow slower

compared to luciferase. This indicates that these chaperones enhance the toxicity of FUS. Co-

expression of FUS with the chaperones CG6693 and CG5001 (previously published) has been

shown to rescue the slow growth phenotype. (**Fig.1g).** SD-Trp-Ura shows no effect

(Supplementary Fig. S5)

For the classification of the enhancers and suppressors of DnaJ domain chaperones in the 48-

hour growth curve, we calculated the area under the curve based on the values of the 48-hour

growth curve. The area under the curve values were normalized with luciferase. A graph was

plotted in GraphPad prism 8.0. Positive values of chaperones on the right-hand side are

considered suppressors. The negative values of chaperones on the left-hand side are called

enhancers (**Fig. 1c and 1h).**

2.3 Classification of weak enhancers and no effect on *Drosophila* DnaJ domain chaperone based on growth.

DnaJ domain chaperones of *Drosophila* were screened to see the effect on ALS mutant TDP-43 and FUS, after the yeast transformation of ALS mutants with DnaJ domain chaperones and Co-chaperones. Initially, we checked by using a serial dilution assay. Positive clones were selected from the transformation and inoculated in SD-Trp-Ura (dextrose, YNB, and Tryptophan and Uracil lacking medium) [14,20]. After 48 hrs, serially diluted cultures were spotted on SGal-Trp-Ura and SD-Trp-Ura plates. Plates with dextrose (SD) serve as a control **(Supplementary Fig. S4 and S5)**, while galactose plates are the test and were observed for growth, as ALS plasmids have galactose-inducible promoters.

To quantify the effect of mutants on DnaJ domain chaperones, we did 48 hrs growth curve assay. ALS mutant TDP-43 and FUS with control luciferase and transformed chaperones were subjected to induced 20% galactose. These were grown at 30°C for 48 hrs, and the O.D. $_{600}$ nm was measured every 30 minutes. Using 3 biological independent replicates, graphs were plotted in GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. In **(Fig.2),** the red line shows the mutants with transformed DnaJ domain chaperones, and the blue line shows the growth curve of ALS mutants with an empty vector. (n=3, $P<0.05$, paired t-test).

The area under the curves is calculated for each chaperone, including luciferase converted into logarithmic values. Each value was further normalized with luciferase. A graph was plotted in GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. Chaperones that grow slower with ALS mutants were aligned to the right-hand side with a positive value were called suppressors, and the chaperones that are aligned to the left-hand side with a negative value are called enhancers **(Fig.2c and 2f).** The classification of enhancers and suppressors of other chaperones is based on the values of the growth curve from the area under the curve.

In spot dilution assay and 48 hrs growth curve of TDP-43, chaperones CG12020, CG7394,

CG4164, DnaJ60, CG3061, Hsp70Aa, CG32641, DnaJ1, JdpC, CG2887, Hsc20 and JdpA,

grow less compared to Luciferase. These are classified as weak enhancers. Other chaperones

like CG14650, Tpr2, CG9828, CG7556, P58IPK, and Hsp70Bb grow equally to Luciferase and

show no effect on the growth (n=3, $P<0.05$, paired t-test) shown in (**Fig. 2a).**

In spot dilution assay and 48 hrs growth curve of FUS, chaperones CG9828, CG12020,

Hsp70Aa, Hsp70Bb, CG11035, CG3061, DnaJ60, CG7133, CG14650, CG2887, CG10375

grow less compared to luciferase, classified weak enhancer. Whereas chaperones CG7556,

CG17187, CG43322, Csp, Sec63, CG11035, and Hsc70-1 show no effect on growth in the

48-hr growth curve (**Fig. 2f).**

In the area under the curve of TDP-43, chaperones CG10565, CG12020, CG3061, DnaJ1,

CG2911, CG7133, CG4164, DnaJ60, Droj1, and CG7394, JdpA, and JdpC are aligned to the

left-hand side. These are classified as weak enhancers. Whereas chaperones CG14650, Tpr2,

CG9828, CG7556, P58IPK, and Hsp70Bb show no significant effect on growth. They are

either aligned to the right-hand side or left-hand side and may be classified as intermediate.

In the area under the curve of FUS, chaperones CG9828, CG12020, Hsp70Aa, Hsp70Bb,

CG11035, CG3061, DnaJ60, CG7133, CG14650, CG2887, and CG10375 are aligned to the

left-hand side and assigned a negative value. These are called weak enhancers. Chaperones

CG7556, CG17187, CG43322, Csp, Sec63, CG11035, P58IPK, and Hsc70-1 show no effect

on growth in the 48-hour growth curve. They are aligned either to the left-hand side or the

right-hand side. Therefore, they are classified as having no effect.

The area under the curve of these chaperones shows results in (**Fig.2c and 2h).**

2.4 Enhancers and suppressors of ALS mutant TDP-43 and FUS based on protein

aggregation in *S. cerevisiae*

Transformants of TDP-43 and FUS were induced with 20% galactose to express the ALS gene. Under a confocal microscope, GFP expression is observed in yeast cells [20]. TDP-43 and FUS showed puncta, a sign of protein aggregation, and the control showed diffused cytoplasm with no protein aggregation inside the cell.

Around 100-150 cells were counted for ALS mutant TDP-43, FUS, and control independently. These were scored for the percentage of punctae and diffused cytoplasm. Representative images are shown in (**Fig.1d and i).** Based on confocal images, cells were classified into two types: cells with diffused cytoplasm and cells with punctae, and compared to mutants with empty vector, luciferase. The graph was plotted in GraphPad Prism. Chaperones, when overexpressed with a mutant, have a more cytoplasmic diffusion than punctae compared to the empty vector. These chaperones are termed suppressors of the mutation. Those chaperones that showed more punctae than diffused cytoplasm were classified as enhancers. Chaperones Mrj, Hsc70-4, and CG7872 suppressed the effect of the mutation, and chaperones CG2911, CG2790, and CG8476 enhanced the mutation of TDP-43 in ALS. Shown in (**Fig.1e)**. Chaperones CG5001(previously published) and CG6693 suppressed the effect of FUS toxicity, and chaperones Hsc20, CG2911, CG7130, and JDPC enhanced the FUS toxicity in yeast cells. Shown in (**Fig. 1i**).

2.5 Classification of weak enhancers and no effect. Based on protein aggregation in TDP-43 and FUS

To check the protein aggregation of FUS and TDP-43 with the DnaJ domain chaperone, live cell imaging was done. Transformed cultures were inoculated in an SD-Trp-Ura medium (Dextrose, YNB, and Trp-Ura dropout). 20% galactose induction was given. The next day, microscopy was done. Chaperones that show more diffused cytoplasm than punctae are called suppressors, and chaperones CG10565, CG12020, CG3061, DnaJ1, CG2911, CG7133,

CG4164, DnaJ60, Droj1, and CG7394, JdpA, and JdpC that show more punctae than diffused

cytoplasm are called enhancers.

Microscopic images of TDP-43 with chaperones CG14650, Tpr2, CG9828, CG7556, P58IPK,

and Hsp70Bb have more punctae compared to luciferase. Chaperones are showing a mixed

phenotype **(Fig.2d)**

Microscopic images of FUS with chaperones CG9828, CG12020, Hsp70Aa, Hsp70Bb,

CG11035, CG3061, DnaJ60, CG7133, CG14650, CG2887, and CG10375 are showing punctae

than luciferase. Chaperones CG7556, CG17187, CG43322, Csp, Sec63, CG11035, P58IPK,

and Hsc70-1 showing mixed phenotype (**Fig.2i).**

For the quantitation of microscopic data, 100 cells were counted. Punctae and diffused

cytoplasm were counted for each transformant, and average values were plotted in GraphPad

prism. The graph shows puncta are more than diffused cytoplasm in each DnaJ domain

chaperone. Shown in both FUS (**Fig. 2j)** and TDP-43 (**Fig.2e).**

Chaperones of TDP-43, CG12020, CG7394, CG4164, DnaJ60, CG3061, Hsp70Aa, CG32641,

DnaJ1, JdpC, CG2887, Hsc20 and JdpA have a higher percentage of punctae than diffused

cytoplasm. Chaperones CG14650, Tpr2, CG9828, CG7556, P58IPK and Hsp70Bb are showing

a mixed phenotype

Chaperones of FUS CG9828, CG12020, Hsp70Aa, Hsp70Bb, CG11035, CG3061, DnaJ60,

CG7133, CG14650, CG2887, and CG10375 have more percentage of punctae compared to

luciferase. Chaperones CG7556, CG17187, P58IPK, CG43322, Csp, Sec63, CG11035,

CG10565 and Hsc70-1 shows mixed phenotype.

TDP-43		FUS	
Weak enhancer	No effect	Weak enhancer	No effect
CG12020	CG14650	CG9828	CG7556
CG7394	Tpr2	CG12020	CG17187
CG4164	CG7556	Hsp70Aa	P58IPK
Dnaj60	CG9828	Hsp70Bb	Csp
CG3061	Hsp70Bb	CG11035	Sec63
Hsp70Aa	P58IPK	CG3061	CG43322
CG32641		Dnaj60	CG11035
DnaJ1		CG7133	Hsc70-1
JdpC		CG3061	CG10565
CG2887		CG14650	
Hsc20		CG2887	
JDPA		CG10375	

Table 1. list of enhancers and no effect chaperones on ALS mutant TDP-43 and FUS

3.0 Discussion

RNA-binding proteins TDP-43 and FUS play a role in ALS pathogenesis. Both have some structural similarities, including the presence of an RNA-binding domain and a prion-like domain. They are both mutated in ALS [8,59].

Although they have certain structural similarities, both genes contribute independently to ALS pathogenesis [60]

As per Arai and Newmann 2006, TDP-43 is localized in the nucleus and later spreads out to form cytoplasmic aggregates in ALS and FTLD patients [9,10] .

 Yeast cells do not have a true TDP-43 homolog but have RNA-binding proteins of similar domain architecture. TDP-43 might aggregate and sequester these proteins, which will offset their normal function [[61].

In Parkinson's, Huntington's, Alzheimer's, and prion-related studies, the respective protein forms, intracellular aggregates, and toxicity in yeast cells. Similarly, TDP-43 also forms cytoplasmic aggregates and can be added to the list of human misfolding diseases of proteins [62].

FUS also forms cytoplasmic aggregates in neurons after a missense mutation [11]. FUS is normally located in the nucleus. After mutation, FUS forms pathogenic aggregates in motor neurons [63]

Pure forms of TDP-43 and FUS also form cytoplasmic aggregates in multiple studies of yeast [20,21,64]. In animals and cellular models ATX2 gene is found to contribute to TDP-43 toxicity and ALS pathogenesis. It is a polyglutamine protein, and the human orthologue of yeast is also found mutated in spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 [65]. Furthermore, it was observed that TDP-43 and ATX2 are associated in a complex [64]. In yeast, the pure form of the TDP-43 gene in vitro can form multiple aggregates in vivo. Additionally, a pure TDP-43 aggregates assay aids in identifying small molecules and cellular factors capable of impeding or reversing TDP-43

aggregates [21]. After dissecting the TDP-43 and expressing it in yeast, it was noticed that the C-terminal and RNA recognition motif contribute to TDP-43 toxicity [20].

Yeast is a genetically tractable model, and it is possible to reiterate multiple features of TDP-43 pathology in yeast [62].This includes screening of multiple genomes for modifiers of protein aggregation and their toxicity. This is one of the approaches that can be used to pursue effective diagnosis and treatment [20].

In our observations, TDP-43 and FUS mutants showed slow growth in yeast cells after spot dilution, indicating cellular stress [[13,20]. After 24 hours of galactose induction, these mutants show protein aggregates in the cell under the microscope.

Screening of these mutants with 38 DnaJ domain chaperones showed that some chaperones enhanced and some chaperones reduced the mutants' toxicity in ALS. We labelled them as enhancers and suppressors of the respective mutants, i.e, TDP-43 and FUS.

By screening *Drosophila* DnaJ domain chaperones, we found that chaperones CG7872, Mrj, and Hsc70-4 can rescue the toxicity of mutant TDP-43. HSc70-1 can also rescue the toxic phenotype of TDP-43, but not better like Hsc70-4 and CG32641 and CG6693 rescuing the toxicity of mutant FUS. Also, in our previous findings, CG5001 rescues both the mutants TDP-43 and FUS [30]. In human Hsp70, chaperones also found DNAJAs, DNAJBs, and DNAJCc chaperones rescue the toxicity of TDP-43 in ALS [66].

Also, chaperone CG5001(previously published) is a common suppressor in TDP-43 and FUS, and from our observation, chaperone CG2911 is a common enhancer in both TDP-43 and FUS. Chaperones CG7872, Hsc70-4, and Mrj demonstrate a more significant growth effect in mutant TDP-43. In the spot dilution assay and the growth curve, they grow comparatively better than the control. In microscopy, they exhibit a greater prevalence of the diffused cytoplasm phenotype than punctae; hence, we refer to them as suppressors of TDP-43.

It was found that Mrj's human orthologue is DNAJB6, known for its potent anti-aggregation

effect in mouse models [42]. DNAJC25 is an ortholog of CG7872, belonging to the HSP40

family C, and plays a role in various human diseases, including neurodegenerative

disorders[67]. Cochaperone Hsc70 influences TDP-43 toxicity in ALS patients[44]

Hsc70-4 is the human orthologue of HPSA8 and has been found in multiple studies of

autophagy-related work[68][47] Previously, it was also found it prevent necroptosis initiation

and bind to reverse RIP3 amyloid in mammalian cell culture[69]

In the case of mutant FUS, chaperones CG32641 and CG6693 are suppressors. Because they

show more growth than luciferase in both spot dilution and growth curve. Microscopic

quantitation shows more of the diffused cytoplasmic morphology and fewer punctae in the cell.

Therefore, they are referred to as suppressors of FUS toxicity.

From previous studies, it is known that DNAJC9 is the human orthologue of CG6693 and is

associated with cervical cancer. It is probably upregulated by reducing or protecting against

apoptosis in the cancer cell line [70]. One more study in the zebrafish model stated that

DNAJC9 acts in the downstream regulating pathway of the tumor suppressor gene p53 [71].

Also, CG32641 has a DNAJB9 human orthologue and is known for its ER-associated

degradation machinery [50]. In the mouse model, it was found that it rescues the cystic fibrosis-

causing gene Δ F508, and also directly interacts with CFTR [51].

From this study of Drosophila DnaJ domain chaperones, we also discovered certain enhancers

that exacerbate the toxic phenotype, specifically slow growth and protein aggregation in both

mutant FUS and TDP-43. Chaperones CG2911, CG2790, and CG8476 enhance TDP-43

toxicity and have also been shown to be toxic in multiple studies. DNAJC21 is the human

orthologue of CG2790, and its mutation is associated with bone marrow failure and cancer[72].

Meanwhile, DNAJB9 is the human orthologue of CG8476, whose normal function involves

protein folding. It is predominantly active in the endoplasmic reticulum and is found in the

miR-32 gene in myeloid acute leukaemia [55].

Whereas, chaperones Hsc20, CG2911, CG7130, and JDPC are found to be enhancers of

mutant FUS. They also have a role in other disorders like Hsc20 is also a mitochondrial

chaperone, and its human orthologue is HSCB; its mutation in *Drosophila* larvae causes

reduced iron-sulphur cluster enzyme activity[54]. JdpC has a human orthologue to DNAJC12,

and in whole-exome sequencing, it is found responsible for hyperphenylalaninemia and global

developmental delay in some children [53].

CG2911 is a common enhancer found in both TDP-43 and FUS, and its human orthologue is

DNAJC24, and malignancy is never been reported before recently, it was reported it involved

in hepatocellular carcinoma [52]. In our case, it also shows toxicity when overexpressed in

both the mutant TDP-43 and FUS.

We found in the screening of the TDP-43 background that chaperones CG12020, CG7394,

CG4164, DnaJ60, CG3061, Hsp70Aa, CG32641, DnaJ1, JdpC, CG2887, Hsc20 and JdpA are

weak enhancers. This may be due to the reason that in the growth and spot dilution assay, these

chaperones grow more slowly compared to the control. In microscopy, we find more punctae

in the cytoplasm than luciferase. Accordingly, we labelled them as weak enhancers as shown

in the **Table.1 (Fig. 2a, b, c, and e)**.

In the FUS strains, chaperones CG9828, CG12020, Hsp70Aa, Hsp70Bb, CG11035, CG3061,

DnaJ60, CG7133, CG14650, CG2887, and CG10375 are weak enhancers. Their growth and

spot dilution assay show slower growth compared to luciferase. Also, in microscopy, they show

more puncta than luciferase. In a graph of area under the curve, they are aligned on the left-

hand side, and in microscopic quantitation, they show more puncta. Therefore, they are

classified as weaker enhancers of FUS toxicity as shown in **Table.1 (Fig.2f, g, h, and i).**

Some chaperones do not show any significant change in the toxicity of mutant TDP-43 example, CG14650, Tpr2, CG9828, CG7556, P58IPK, and Hsp70Bb. Spot dilution and growth curve estimation show equal growth. It is difficult to conclude whether they are enhancers or suppressors.

Similarly, in mutant FUS also some chaperones, CG7556, CG17187, P58IPK, CG43322, Csp, Sec63, CG11035, CG10565, and Hsc70-1 do not show significant effects in spot dilution and growth curve. From these data, it is difficult to conclude whether these chaperones have any effect on FUS toxicity.

In our study, we used *Drosophila* DnaJ domain chaperones in a yeast background to look for potential suppressors and enhancers in both TDP-43 and FUS. Also, we find suppressors and enhancers that were previously unknown in ALS.

Based on the *Drosophila* DnaJ domain chaperones screening with these two mutants, we find that chaperone CG7872 reduces the toxicity of TDP-43 in yeast cells, which is previously unknown. There are many studies on Mrj, which show that it reduces the toxic aggregation in Huntington's and Parkinson's disease [31,42,43]. Based on our findings, we think Mrj possibly reduces the toxicity in ALS in a yeast model. Co-chaperone Hsc70 is found to reduce the toxic TDP-43 aggregation in sporadic ALS patients [44]. In yeast, this finding is also novel.

We also find that the CG32641 and CG6693 chaperones rescue the FUS toxicity in yeast cells, which is also not previously known.

The hypothetical model in **Fig.3** shows the mechanism of action

In this study, we have used a cloned library of 38 DnaJ domain chaperones of flies and expressed them in two ALS mutants, TDP-43 and FUS. This will help shed some light on the differences and similarities in the pathways regulated by TDP-43 and FUS in the pathogenesis of ALS.

4.0 Material and Methods

4.1 Cloning of DNAJ domain chaperones and co-chaperones (Hsp40, Hsc70 and Hsp70) library in yeast

Drosophila Hsp40 and Hsp70 proteins were cloned by the Topo-D-Entr cloning kit (Invitrogen) into the Topo-D-Entr vector. This was done post-PCR amplification using gene-specific primers. Constructs were confirmed by sequencing and were transferred to the destination vector pUASgHA for Hsp40 and pUASt-ccdB-FLAG (pTWF) for Hsp70.

For expression of Hsp40 and Hsp70 in yeast cells, Topo-D-Entr clones were cloned in the destination vector pAG424GALccdB-HA with a 3X HA tag at the C-terminal [73]. The protein expression of each isoform was confirmed by immunoblotting using either an anti-HA antibody or an anti-FLAG antibody accordingly.

4.2 Construction of yeast overexpression of DnaJ chaperones and co-chaperones in yeast TDP-43 and FUS strains backgrounds:

4.2.1 Bacterial Transformation

For the bacterial transformation, DH5αCells were made competent by using the calcium chloride method. 200µl competent cells were taken, and 1 µl plasmid DNA of ALS plasmids pRS426 Gal-TDP-43-GFP and pAG416 Gal-FUS-YFP were added. Incubated on ice for half an hour. Then, heat shock was given for 2 minutes at 42°C, and it was kept on ice for 1 minute. Then 900 µl Luria Broth was added to it, and it was incubated at 37°C for 30 to 45 minutes. The cells were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 3 minutes at room temperature. Then, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in 200 µl of Luria broth. They were plated with glass beads on LB amp plates, and finally, the plates were incubated overnight (maximum 18 hours) at 37°C. These plasmids were deposited by Aron Gilter, numbered 27467 and 29592, respectively.

4.2.2 Plasmid Isolation:

A single colony of transformed bacteria was inoculated in 5ml LB broth, and 5µl of Ampicillin was added and kept in a 37°C shaker overnight. The culture was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes, and 100µl of GET was added. The culture was incubated on ice for 2 minutes. 200µl of alkaline SDS was added to it. Incubated on ice for 2 minutes, and 150µl of acidic KoAc was added and incubated again on ice for 5 minutes. The whole culture was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was collected, and 400µl of phenol: chloroform solution was added. Centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. The aqueous phase was collected, and 1 mL of 100% ethanol was added. This was kept at -20°c for 15 minutes for precipitation and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was decanted, and the pellet was washed with 500µl of 70% ethanol by centrifuging it at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. Then, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was air-dried. Once it dried, the pellet was resuspended in 50µl of TE buffer. Finally, the plasmid was stored at 20°C.

4.2.3 Yeast Transformation:

Yeast strain *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (w303a, MATa, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3) cells were grown in 5 ml YPD (yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose) medium, i.e., Primary culture, and allowed to grow overnight at 30°C, aerobically. Then, 15 ml YPD media was added to the primary culture to make a volume of 20 ml and allowed to grow for another 3-4 hours. After spinning down the cells at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature, the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml autoclaved distilled water to wash off residual media and transferred to a 1.5 ml tube. The pellet was resuspended completely after spinning down in 500 µl LiOAc, from which 50 µl aliquots were made as per the number of transformations to be performed. Cells were centrifuged, and to each vial, 50% Polyethylene Glycol, 1 M Lithium acetate, 5µl ss DNA, and plasmid DNA were added [74]. The cell suspension was vortexed to ensure resuspension

and subjected to a heat shock at 42°C for 45 minutes in a water bath. The cell suspension was

centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature, and 200 µl autoclaved distilled water

was used to resuspend the pellet.

The plasmids TDP-43 and FUS are galactose inducible and have an Uracil marker and are

placed on SD-Ura, having a mixture of Sucrose, YNB, and amino acid lacking mixture Uracil.

The media used were from Clontech Laboratories. USA. The plates were incubated at 30°C for

3-4 days [75]

In the case of the DnaJ domain chaperone, double transformation was done with ALS mutants.

These chaperones have a Trp marker. Transformation was done on SD-Trp-Ura (YNB,

dextrose, agar, and amino acid mixture lacking Tryptophan and Uracil) medium.

4.3 Serial dilution assay:

A single colony was inoculated of the transformed cultures of TDP-43 and FUS in 500µl media

of SD-Ura and allowed to grow overnight on a shaking incubator at 30°C. Raf-Ura (YNB,

raffinose and amino acid mixture lacking Tryptophan and Uracil) washes were given, i.e.,

dextrose in the medium was replaced by raffinose, and their O.D was adjusted to 0.2 at 600 nm

and allowed to grow again in the same conditions. Next day, the O.D was adjusted to 0.2, and

the culture was diluted up to $1/10000^{th}$ dilution (10^0 to 10^{-5} dilutions) and 3-6 µl of each dilution

was spotted on approximate SD-Ura and SGal-Ura (galactose, YNB, Agar and amino acid

mixture lacking Tryptophan and Uracil) plates and incubated at 30^0C for 2-4 days as all the

plasmids used are galactose inducible.

For the double transformation of ALS mutant strains, two clones were selected and inoculated

into an SD-Trp-Ura medium. Further plated on SD-Trp-Ura and SGal-Trp-Ura plates with

control luciferase.

4.4 Growth Assay:

Transformed colonies of double transformants were inoculated in SD-Trp-Ura (Sucrose, YNB,

and amino acid lacking mixture Uracil) medium overnight on a shaking incubator at 30°C. The

next day, Raf-Trp-Ura (Raffinose, YNB, and amino acid lacking mixture Tryptophan Uracil)

washes were given, and their O.D. was adjusted to 0.2 at 600nm on the same day 20% galactose

was added to each culture in 540 µl Raf-Trp-Ura and the cultures were added in 96 well plates

in triplicates with blank (Raf-Trp-Ura + Gal).96-well plate was placed in an Epoch2 BioTek

Spectrophotometer for 48 hours at 30°C with continuous orbital shaking, and O.D. was

measured every 30 min. The data obtained after 48 hrs were plotted in GraphPad software, and

the Growth curve was plotted for each culture.

4.5 Microscopy:

By using fluorescence microscopy, we analysed the protein aggregation status of the yeast cells

as the FUS and TDP43 constructs had a GFP tag.All transformed *S. cerevisiae* strains were

grown overnight in an SD-Trp-Ura broth at 30°C. The next day, they were subjected to Raf-

Trp-Ura$^-$ wash for the removal of residual dextrose. O.D was adjusted to 0.2 in Raf-Trp-Ura$^-$.

After 2-3 hours, they were induced by 50 µl 20% galactose. Next day, they were centrifuged

and resuspended in the residual media, and smear was prepared and observed under a confocal

microscope under 60x objective and GFP laser. Images were processed using FIGI software.

4.5.1 Quantitation of Image Analysis:

Mutant strains transformed with different chaperones displaying two different morphology

punctae and diffused cytoplasm. These were counted in 3 biological replicates for each strain.

Chaperones that show more diffused cytoplasm and fewer punctae compared to luciferase are

classified as suppressors, and chaperones that show higher punctae and less diffused cytoplasm

compared to luciferase are classified as enhancers.

5.0 References: -

1. Ghasemi M, Brown RH. Genetics of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2018;8. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a024125

2. Rosen DR, Siddique T, Patterson D, Figlewicz DA, Sapp P, Hentati A, et al. Mutations in Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase gene are associated with familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Nature. 1993;362: 59–62. doi:10.1038/362059a0

3. Johnson JO, Mandrioli J, Benatar M, Abramzon Y, Van Deerlin VM, Trojanowski JQ, et al. Exome Sequencing Reveals VCP Mutations as a Cause of Familial ALS. Neuron. 2010;68: 857–864. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.036

4. Cirulli ET, Lasseigne BN, Petrovski S, Sapp PC, Dion PA, Leblond CS, et al. Exome sequencing in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis identifies risk genes and pathways. Science (1979). 2015;347: 1436–1441. doi:10.1126/science.aaa3650

5. Armon C. Smoking may be considered an established risk factor for sporadic ALS. Neurology. 2009;73: 1693–8. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c1df48

6. de Jong SW, Huisman MHB, Sutedja NA, van der Kooi AJ, de Visser M, Schelhaas HJ, et al. Smoking, alcohol consumption, and the risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population-based study. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176: 233–9. doi:10.1093/aje/kws015

7. Lagier-Tourenne C, Cleveland DW. Rethinking ALS: the FUS about TDP-43. Cell. 2009;136: 1001–4. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.006

8. Gitler AD, Shorter J. RNA-binding proteins with prion-like domains in ALS and FTLD-U. Prion. 2011;5: 179–187. doi:10.4161/pri.5.3.17230

9. Arai T, Hasegawa M, Akiyama H, Ikeda K, Nonaka T, Mori H, et al. TDP-43 is a component of ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusions in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2006;351: 602–611. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.10.093

10. Neumann M, Sampathu DM, Kwong LK, Truax AC, Micsenyi MC, Chou TT, et al. Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Science (1979). 2006;314: 130–133. doi:10.1126/science.1134108

11. Vance C, Rogelj B, Hortobágyi T, De Vos KJ, Nishimura AL, Sreedharan J, et al. Mutations in FUS, an RNA processing protein, cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis type 6. Science. 2009;323: 1208–1211. doi:10.1126/science.1165942

12. Kwiatkowski TJ, Bosco DA, LeClerc AL, Tamrazian E, Vanderburg CR, Russ C, et al. Mutations in the *FUS/TLS* Gene on Chromosome 16 Cause Familial Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Science (1979). 2009;323: 1205–1208. doi:10.1126/science.1166066

13. Sun Z, Diaz Z, Fang X, Hart MP, Chesi A, Shorter J, et al. Molecular determinants and genetic modifiers of aggregation and toxicity for the ALS disease protein FUS/TLS. PLoS Biol. 2011;9: e1000614. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000614

14. Watanabe M, Dykes-Hoberg M, Cizewski Culotta V, Price DL, Wong PC, Rothstein JD. Histological Evidence of Protein Aggregation in Mutant SOD1 Transgenic Mice

and in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Neural Tissues. Neurobiol Dis. 2001;8: 933–941. doi:10.1006/nbdi.2001.0443

15. Mogk A, Bukau B. Protein quality control systems: Mechanisms and applications. Microb Cell Fact. 2006;5: S8. doi:10.1186/1475-2859-5-S1-S8

16. Kampinga HH, Bergink S. Heat shock proteins as potential targets for protective strategies in neurodegeneration. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15: 748–759. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(16)00099-5

17. Carrell RW, Lomas DA. Conformational disease. Lancet. 1997;350: 134–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(97)02073-4

18. Goedert M. Tau protein and neurodegeneration. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2004;15: 45–49. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2003.12.015

19. Martindale D, Hackam A, Wieczorek A, Ellerby L, Wellington C, McCutcheon K, et al. Length of huntingtin and its polyglutamine tract influences localization and frequency of intracellular aggregates. Nat Genet. 1998;18: 150–154. doi:10.1038/ng0298-150

20. Johnson BS, McCaffery JM, Lindquist S, Gitler AD. A yeast TDP-43 proteinopathy model: Exploring the molecular determinants of TDP-43 aggregation and cellular toxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 6439–44. doi:10.1073/pnas.0802082105

21. Johnson BS, Snead D, Lee JJ, McCaffery JM, Shorter J, Gitler AD. TDP-43 Is Intrinsically Aggregation-prone, and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis-linked Mutations Accelerate Aggregation and Increase Toxicity. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2009;284: 20329–20339. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.010264

22. Beissinger M, Buchner J. How chaperones fold proteins. Biol Chem. 1998;379: 245–59.

23. Bar-Lavan Y, Shemesh N, Ben-Zvi A. Chaperone families and interactions in metazoa. Essays Biochem. 2016;60: 237–253. doi:10.1042/EBC20160004

24. Bascos NAD, Landry SJ. A History of Molecular Chaperone Structures in the Protein Data Bank. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20. doi:10.3390/ijms20246195

25. Tsai J, Douglas MG. A Conserved HPD Sequence of the J-domain Is Necessary for YDJ1 Stimulation of Hsp70 ATPase Activity at a Site Distinct from Substrate Binding. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1996;271: 9347–9354. doi:10.1074/jbc.271.16.9347

26. Cheetham ME, Caplan AJ. Structure, function and evolution of DnaJ: conservation and adaptation of chaperone function. Cell Stress Chaperones. 1998;3: 28. doi:10.1379/1466-1268(1998)003<0028:SFAEOD>2.3.CO;2

27. Caplan AJ. What is a co-chaperone? Cell Stress Chaperones. 2003;8: 105–7. doi:10.1379/1466-1268(2003)008<0105:wiac>2.0.co;2

28. Morimoto RI, Santoro MG. Stress–inducible responses and heat shock proteins: New pharmacologic targets for cytoprotection. Nat Biotechnol. 1998;16: 833–838. doi:10.1038/nbt0998-833

29. Lindquist S. Regulation of protein synthesis during heat shock. Nature. 1981;293: 311–314. doi:10.1038/293311a0

30. Deo A, Ghosh R, Ahire S, Marathe S, Majumdar A, Bose T. Two novel DnaJ chaperone proteins CG5001 and P58IPK regulate the pathogenicity of Huntington's disease related aggregates. Sci Rep. 2024;14: 20867. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-71065-3

31. Desai M, Hemant, Deo A, Naik J, Dhamale P, Kshirsagar A, et al. Mrj is a chaperone of the Hsp40 family that regulates Orb2 oligomerization and long-term memory in Drosophila. PLoS Biol. 2024;22: e3002585. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3002585

32. Vance C, Rogelj B, Hortobágyi T, De Vos KJ, Nishimura AL, Sreedharan J, et al. Mutations in FUS, an RNA Processing Protein, Cause Familial Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Type 6. Science (1979). 2009;323: 1208–1211. doi:10.1126/science.1165942

33. Park S-K, Hong JY, Arslan F, Kanneganti V, Patel B, Tietsort A, et al. Overexpression of the essential Sis1 chaperone reduces TDP-43 effects on toxicity and proteolysis. PLoS Genet. 2017;13: e1006805. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006805

34. Gu J, Wang C, Hu R, Li Y, Zhang S, Sun Y, et al. Hsp70 chaperones TDP-43 in dynamic, liquid-like phase and prevents it from amyloid aggregation. Cell Res. 2021;31: 1024–1027. doi:10.1038/s41422-021-00526-5

35. Molliex A, Temirov J, Lee J, Coughlin M, Kanagaraj AP, Kim HJ, et al. Phase Separation by Low Complexity Domains Promotes Stress Granule Assembly and Drives Pathological Fibrillization. Cell. 2015;163: 123–133. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.015

36. Li H-R, Chiang W-C, Chou P-C, Wang W-J, Huang J. TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) liquid–liquid phase separation is mediated by just a few aromatic residues. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2018;293: 6090–6098. doi:10.1074/jbc.AC117.001037

37. Liu Z, Zhang S, Gu J, Tong Y, Li Y, Gui X, et al. Hsp27 chaperones FUS phase separation under the modulation of stress-induced phosphorylation. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2020;27: 363–372. doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0399-3

38. Gaudet P, Livstone MS, Lewis SE, Thomas PD. Phylogenetic-based propagation of functional annotations within the Gene Ontology consortium. Brief Bioinform. 2011;12: 449–462. doi:10.1093/bib/bbr042

39. R. RK, N. S. N, S. P. A, Sinha D, Veedin Rajan VB, Esthaki VK, et al. HSPIR: a manually annotated heat shock protein information resource. Bioinformatics. 2012;28: 2853–2855. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts520

40. LIU T, JIANG W, HAN D, YU L. DNAJC25 is downregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and is a novel tumor suppressor gene. Oncol Lett. 2012;4: 1274–1280. doi:10.3892/ol.2012.903

41. Yabe I, Tanino M, Yaguchi H, Takiyama A, Cai H, Kanno H, et al. Pathology of frontotemporal dementia with limb girdle muscular dystrophy caused by a DNAJB6

mutation. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2014;127: 10–12.
doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2014.09.013

42. Kakkar V, Månsson C, de Mattos EP, Bergink S, van der Zwaag M, van Waarde
MAWH, et al. The S/T-Rich Motif in the DNAJB6 Chaperone Delays Polyglutamine
Aggregation and the Onset of Disease in a Mouse Model. Mol Cell. 2016;62: 272–283.
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.017

43. Aprile FA, Källstig E, Limorenko G, Vendruscolo M, Ron D, Hansen C. The molecular
chaperones DNAJB6 and Hsp70 cooperate to suppress α-synuclein aggregation. Sci
Rep. 2017;7: 9039. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-08324-z

44. Arosio A, Cristofani R, Pansarasa O, Crippa V, Riva C, Sirtori R, et al. HSC70
expression is reduced in lymphomonocytes of sporadic ALS patients and contributes to
TDP-43 accumulation. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. 2020;21:
51–62. doi:10.1080/21678421.2019.1672749

45. Richter K, Haslbeck M, Buchner J. The heat shock response: life on the verge of death.
Mol Cell. 2010;40: 253–66. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.10.006

46. Liu T, Daniels CK, Cao S. Comprehensive review on the HSC70 functions,
interactions with related molecules and involvement in clinical diseases and
therapeutic potential. Pharmacol Ther. 2012;136: 354–374.
doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2012.08.014

47. Stricher F, Macri C, Ruff M, Muller S. HSPA8/HSC70 chaperone protein. Autophagy.
2013;9: 1937–1954. doi:10.4161/auto.26448

48. Zhang Z, Jing J, Ye Y, Chen Z, Jing Y, Li S, et al. Characterization of the dual
functional effects of heat shock proteins (HSPs) in cancer hallmarks to aid
development of HSP inhibitors. Genome Med. 2020;12: 101. doi:10.1186/s13073-020-
00795-6

49. Hammond CM, Bao H, Hendriks IA, Carraro M, García-Nieto A, Liu Y, et al.
DNAJC9 integrates heat shock molecular chaperones into the histone chaperone
network. Mol Cell. 2021;81: 2533-2548.e9. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2021.03.041

50. Lai CW, Otero JH, Hendershot LM, Snapp E. ERdj4 Protein Is a Soluble Endoplasmic
Reticulum (ER) DnaJ Family Protein That Interacts with ER-associated Degradation
Machinery. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2012;287: 7969–7978.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.311290

51. Huang Y, Arora K, Mun KS, Yang F, Moon C, Yarlagadda S, et al. Targeting DNAJB9,
a novel ER luminal co-chaperone, to rescue ΔF508-CFTR. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 9808.
doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46161-4

52. Liu D, Zuo R, Liu W, He Y, Wang Y, Yue P, et al. <scp>DNAJC24</scp> acts directly
with <scp>PCNA</scp> and promotes malignant progression of <scp>LUAD</scp>
by activating phosphorylation of <scp>AKT</scp>. The FASEB Journal. 2024;38.
doi:10.1096/fj.202300667RR

53.   Veenma D, Cordeiro D, Sondheimer N, Mercimek-Andrews S. DNAJC12-associated developmental delay, movement disorder, and mild hyperphenylalaninemia identified by whole-exome sequencing re-analysis. European Journal of Human Genetics. 2018;26: 1867–1870. doi:10.1038/s41431-018-0237-9

54.   Uhrigshardt H, Rouault TA, Missirlis F. Insertion mutants in Drosophila melanogaster Hsc20 halt larval growth and lead to reduced iron–sulfur cluster enzyme activities and impaired iron homeostasis. JBIC Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry. 2013;18: 441–449. doi:10.1007/s00775-013-0988-2

55.   Thomson DW, Dinger ME. Endogenous microRNA sponges: evidence and controversy. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17: 272–283. doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.20

56.   Johnson BS, McCaffery JM, Lindquist S, Gitler AD. A yeast TDP-43 proteinopathy model: Exploring the molecular determinants of TDP-43 aggregation and cellular toxicity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2008;105: 6439–6444. doi:10.1073/pnas.0802082105

57.   Tomoyasu T, Mogk A, Langen H, Goloubinoff P, Bukau B. Genetic dissection of the roles of chaperones and proteases in protein folding and degradation in the *Escherichia coli* cytosol. Mol Microbiol. 2001;40: 397–413. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02383.x

58.   Mogk A. Identification of thermolabile Escherichia coli proteins: prevention and reversion of aggregation by DnaK and ClpB. EMBO J. 1999;18: 6934–6949. doi:10.1093/emboj/18.24.6934

59.   Lagier-Tourenne C, Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. TDP-43 and FUS/TLS: emerging roles in RNA processing and neurodegeneration. Hum Mol Genet. 2010;19: R46–R64. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq137

60.   Kwiatkowski TJ, Bosco DA, LeClerc AL, Tamrazian E, Vanderburg CR, Russ C, et al. Mutations in the *FUS/TLS* Gene on Chromosome 16 Cause Familial Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Science (1979). 2009;323: 1205–1208. doi:10.1126/science.1166066

61.   Gitler AD, Shorter J. RNA-binding proteins with prion-like domains in ALS and FTLD-U. Prion. 2011;5: 179–187. doi:10.4161/pri.5.3.17230

62.   Gitler AD. Beer and Bread to Brains and Beyond: Can Yeast Cells Teach Us about Neurodegenerative Disease? Neurosignals. 2008;16: 52–62. doi:10.1159/000109759

63.   Ju S, Tardiff DF, Han H, Divya K, Zhong Q, Maquat LE, et al. A Yeast Model of FUS/TLS-Dependent Cytotoxicity. PLoS Biol. 2011;9: e1001052. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001052

64.   Elden AC, Kim H-J, Hart MP, Chen-Plotkin AS, Johnson BS, Fang X, et al. Ataxin-2 intermediate-length polyglutamine expansions are associated with increased risk for ALS. Nature. 2010;466: 1069–1075. doi:10.1038/nature09320

65.   Lorenzetti D, Bohlega S, Zoghbi HY. The expansion of the CAG repeat in ataxin-2 is a frequent cause of autosomal dominant spinocerebellar ataxia. Neurology. 1997;49: 1009–1013. doi:10.1212/WNL.49.4.1009

66. Barbieri EM, Linsenmeier M, Whiteman KR, Cheng Y, Braganza S, Copley KE, et al. Scouring the human Hsp70 network uncovers diverse chaperone safeguards buffering TDP-43 toxicity. 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.05.10.653282

67. LIU T, JIANG W, HAN D, YU L. DNAJC25 is downregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and is a novel tumor suppressor gene. Oncol Lett. 2012;4: 1274–1280. doi:10.3892/ol.2012.903

68. Braell WA, Schlossman DM, Schmid SL, Rothman JE. Dissociation of clathrin coats coupled to the hydrolysis of ATP: role of an uncoating ATPase. J Cell Biol. 1984;99: 734–741. doi:10.1083/jcb.99.2.734

69. Wu E, He W, Wu C, Chen Z, Zhou S, Wu X, et al. HSPA8 acts as an amyloidase to suppress necroptosis by inhibiting and reversing functional amyloid formation. Cell Res. 2023;33: 851–866. doi:10.1038/s41422-023-00859-3

70. Incekara O, Acun T. DNAJC9 expression in basal-like and luminal A breast cancer subtypes predicts worse survival. Mol Biol Rep. 2023;50: 7275–7282. doi:10.1007/s11033-023-08654-4

71. Mandriani B, Castellana S, Rinaldi C, Manzoni M, Venuto S, Rodriguez-Aznar E, et al. Identification of p53-target genes in Danio rerio. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 32474. doi:10.1038/srep32474

72. Tummala H, Walne AJ, Williams M, Bockett N, Collopy L, Cardoso S, et al. DNAJC21 Mutations Link a Cancer-Prone Bone Marrow Failure Syndrome to Corruption in 60S Ribosome Subunit Maturation. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;99: 115–24. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.05.002

73. Hageman J, van Waarde MAWH, Zylicz A, Walerych D, Kampinga HH. The diverse members of the mammalian HSP70 machine show distinct chaperone-like activities. Biochemical Journal. 2011;435: 127–142. doi:10.1042/BJ20101247

74. Ito H, Fukuda Y, Murata K, Kimura A. Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J Bacteriol. 1983;153: 163–168. doi:10.1128/jb.153.1.163-168.1983

75. Gietz RD, Schiestl RH. High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. Nat Protoc. 2007;2: 31–34. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.13

6.0 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a research grant from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT-IYBA) (BT/09/IYBA/2015/03), (DBT RLS) (BT/RLF/Re-entry/54/2013) to TB. SA recipients of the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) senior research fellowship (SRF) (5/3/8/89/ITR-F/2020-ITR).

7.0 Declaration of interest

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

8.0 Figure Legends

Fig.1 Effect of DnaJ domain chaperones on the growth of ALS mutant TDP-43:

a. DnaJ domain chaperones transformed with ALS mutant TDP-43 were serially diluted and spotted on SD-Trp-Ura and SGal-Trp-Ura plates. In this figure, SGal-Trp-Ura was shown as a test with TDP-43, and luciferase was used as a control.

b. 48hrs growth curve of DnaJ domain chaperone transformed with TDP-43 mutant. Three biological replicate data were collected (n=3, P<0.001, paired t-test). The blue line indicates mutant TDP-43 with control luciferase, and the red line indicates DnaJ domain chaperones with the TDP-43 mutant.

c. Enhancers and suppressors of TDP-43 mutant based on the area under the curve values of the growth curve obtained using GraphPad Prism software. The area under the growth curve values is converted into the logarithmic scale and normalized. Chaperones on the right-hand side are the suppressors, and those on the left-hand side are enhancers.

Fig.1 Effect of DnaJ domain chaperones on the protein aggregation phenotype of the TDP-43 mutant of ALS

d. Microscopic images showing protein aggregation and diffused cytoplasm phenotype in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* model of ALS. Protein aggregation is seen in the form of bright GFP punctae in the cytoplasm after inducing with 20% Galactose.

e. Two different morphologies exhibited by the transformed DnaJ domain chaperones with the TDP-43 mutant. 100-150 cells were counted for each chaperone. The average score was plotted using GraphPad prism.

Fig.2 Effect of growth on ALS mutant due to chaperones (Spot dilution assay on Galactose medium)

a. Spot dilution assay on SGal-Trp-Ura medium of remaining DnaJ chaperones and
cochaperones mentioned in the study.

b. Effect of chaperones on the growth of ALS mutant TDP-43 (48-hour growth curve)

48-hr growth curve of the remaining 19 DnaJ domain chaperones with Luciferase as control.
Data shown in **Figure 2b** were obtained from three independent biological replicates (n=3,
$P<0.05$, paired t-test)

c. Enhancer and suppressor classification of the remaining 19 DnaJ domain chaperones with
TDP-43 mutant based on area under the curve values obtained from GraphPad prism. The
area under the curve values of each chaperone were converted to a logarithmic scale and
normalised. Plotted on GraphPad prism and obtained area under the curve graph on the right-
hand side are the suppressors, and the left-hand side are the enhancers.

d. Effect of chaperones on the protein aggregation phenotype of TDP-43 mutant.

19 different DnaJ chaperones and cochaperones showing protein aggregation in the
cytoplasm in the yeast model of ALS. After 20% galactose induction, microscopic images
were taken for each chaperone's protein aggregation was seen in the form of bright GFP
punctae.

e. Graph of 19 chaperones plotted in Graphpad prism shown in Figure **2c**. For each
chaperone, 100-150 cells were counted, and the average was plotted.

Fig. 1 Effect of DnaJ domain chaperones on the growth of ALS mutant:

f. DnaJ domain chaperones transformed with ALS mutant FUS were serially diluted and
spotted on SD-Trp-Ura and SGal-Trp-Ura plates. In this figure, SGal-Trp-Ura was shown as
a test with FUS luciferase as a control

g. 48-hour growth curve of DnaJ domain chaperone transformed with FUS mutant. Three
biological replicate data were collected (n=3, $P<0.001$, paired t-test). The blue line indicates
mutant FUS with control luciferase, and the red line indicates DNAJ domain chaperones with
the FUS mutant.

h.Enhancers and suppressors of TDP-43 mutant based on the area under the curve values of
the growth curve obtained using GraphPad prism software. The area under the growth curve

values is converted into the logarithmic scale and normalized. Chaperones on the right-hand

side are the suppressors, and on the left-hand side, chaperones are enhancers

Fig.1 Effect of DnaJ domain chaperones on protein aggregation of FUS mutant

i. Microscopic images showing protein aggregation and diffused cytoplasm phenotype in the

Saccharomyces cerevisiae model of ALS. Protein aggregation is seen in the form of bright

GFP punctae in the cytoplasm after inducing 20% Galactose.

j.Two different morphologies exhibited by the transformed DnaJ domain chaperones with the

FUS mutant. Counted 100-150 cells for each chaperone average was plotted on GraphPad

prism.

Fig.2 Effect of growth on ALS mutant FUS due to chaperones (Spot dilution assay on

Galactose medium)

f. Spot dilution assay on SGal-Trp-Ura medium of DnaJ chaperones and cochaperones with

the FUS mutant mentioned in this study shows a weak enhancer, and some show no effect.

g. 48-hour growth curve of DnaJ domain chaperone transformed with FUS mutant. Three

biological replicate data were collected (n=3, P<0.001, paired t-test). The blue line indicates

mutant FUS with control Luciferase, and the red line indicates DnaJ domain chaperones with

the FUS mutant described as a weak enhancer **a**nd some show no effect on the mutant FUS

h. Enhancer and suppressor classification of the remaining 21 DnaJ domain chaperones with

FUS mutant, based on the Area under the curve values obtained from GraphPad prism. The

area under the curve values of each chaperone were converted to a logarithmic scale and

normalized. Plotted on GraphPad prism and obtained Area Under the curve graph on the

right-hand side are the suppressors and on the left-hand side are the enhancers.

Fig 2. Effect of DNAJ domain chaperones on the protein aggregation phenotype of the FUS mutant of ALS.

f. Different HSP40 chaperones and cochaperones are showing protein aggregation in the cytoplasm in the yeast model of ALS. After 20% galactose induction for 24 hrs microscopic images were taken for each chaperone's protein aggregation was seen in the form of bright GFP punctae, indicating the weak enhancer. Some chaperones show both diffused cytoplasm and protein aggregation phenotype as per the growth assay, and microscopic analysis indicates they are either enhancers or suppressors and do not show a strong effect on the mutant FUS.

g. Graph of 21 chaperones plotted in Graphpad prism is shown in Figure 2j. For each chaperone counted 100-150 cells and the average was plotted.

Fig.3 Hypothetical model

This schematic diagram is the overall hypothesis of our research, in which the ALS mutant genes of TDP-43 and FUS, when mutated, form misfolded proteins, resulting in an aggregation of protein within the cell. by interacting with Hsp40 chaperones, i.e, Drosophila DnaJ domain chaperones with yeast cells, they either enhance the toxicity or rescue the toxicity of these two mutants. Toxic phenotype in yeast represents puncta in the cytoplasm, and resue represents cytoplasmic diffusion.

Supplementary Fig S1. TDP-43 and FUS plasmid.
ALS plasmid TDP-43 and FUS sequence map.

Supplementary Fig S2. ALS mutants TDP-43 and FUS and their effect on toxicity in yeast cells.

S2a. Spot dilution of plasmids TDP-43 and FUS with control after transformation in yeast.

S2b. 48hrs growth curve of TDP-43 and FUS, obtaining O.D. after 30 min at 600 nm (n=3, $P<0.05$, paired t-test).

S2b. Confocal Microscopy of GFP-tagged plasmids TDP-43 and FUS with control.

Supplementary Fig S3. DnaJ domain chaperones overexpression library construction.

 DnaJ domain chaperones overexpression library was created from flies, which are homologous to the DnaJ domain proteins of humans, by Gateway cloning.

Supplementary Fig S4. Effect of mutant TDP-43 and DnaJ chaperones on growth

S4a. Enhancers and suppressors of TDP-43 are characterized based on the spot dilution assay on the control plate SD-Trp-Ura.

S4b. Weak enhancer and suppressor classification based on spot dilution assay of TDP-43 chaperones on control SD-Trp-Ura.

Supplementary Fig S5. Effect of mutant FUS and DnaJ chaperones on growth

S4a. Enhancers and suppressors of FUS are characterized based on the spot dilution assay on the control plate SD-Trp-Ura.

S4b. Weak enhancer and suppressor classification of FUS chaperones on control SD-Trp-Ura.

Supplementary Fig S6. Phylogenetic tree for Hsp40 chaperones

A phylogenetic tree was created using the Mega12 tool by using multiple sequence alignment of the protein of chaperones across species.

Fig1 a

1c

1d

Fig2 a

SGal-Trp-Ura

2b

2c

2d

Luciferase

CG12020 CG7394 JDPC CG4164

Dnaj60 Droj1 CG14650 CG3061

Hsc70 aa Hsc70bb CG12020 Hsc20

Tpr2 CG9828 JdpC CG32641

CG7556 P58IPK JdpA

2e

Fig 1f

1g

1h

1i

1j

Fig 2f

Luciferase / CG7556

Luciferase / Csp

Luciferase / CG2790

Luciferase / CG17187

Luciferase / CG9828

Luciferase / CG2887

Luciferase / CG11035

Luciferase / Hsp70Bb

Luciferase / Mrj

Luciferase / CG3061

Luciferase / CG14650

Luciferase / DnaJ60

Luciferase / CG43322

Luciferase / CG7872

Luciferase / Hsp70Aa

Luciferase / CG7133

Luciferase / Sec63

Luciferase / CG10565

Luciferase / CG12020

Luciferase / P58IPK

Luciferase / CG10375

Luciferase / Hsc70-1

SGal-Trp-Ura

SGal-Trp-Ura

SGal-Trp-Ura

2g

2h

2i

Luciferase

CG7556	**CG7133**	**Csp**	**CG3061**	**Sec63**

CG2790	**CG14650**	**CG10565**	**CG17187**	**DnaJ60**

CG12020	**CG9828**	**CG43322**	**P58IPK**	**CG2887**

CG7872	**CG10375**	**CG11035**	**HSP70Aa**	**HSP70Bb**

Hsc70-1

2j