A

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 1)

- mock
- triDAP (1µg/mL)
- *Ct* (MOI ~2)

**** ****

hNOD1

B

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 1)

- mock
- triDAP (1µg/mL)
- *Ct* (MOI ~2)

**** ****

hNOD1

C

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 2)

- mock
- MDP (1µg/mL)
- *Ct* (MOI ~2)

ns ****

hNOD2

D

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 2)

- mock
- MDP (1µg/mL)
- *Ct* (MOI ~2)

**** ****

hNOD2

E

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to mock Infected)

- MDP (1 µg/mL)
- *Ct* (MOI ~2)
- *Ct* (MOI ~0.2)

hours post-infection (hpi)

A

B

C

A

MOI ~ 0.2

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 2)

mock: ns, ns, ns
aTc: ns, ns, ns

MOI ~ 2

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 2)

mock: ****, ****, ns
aTc: ***, **, ns

- MDP (1ug/mL)
- *Ct* pLCria (NT)
- *Ct* pLCria (amiA)
- *Ct* pLCRia-amiA_6xH (amiA)

B

MOI ~ 0.2

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 2)

mock/aTc: ns, ns, ****, ns

MOI ~ 2

ΔOD$_{650}$ (relative to Null 2)

mock/aTc: ns, ns, ns, ns

- MDP (1ug/mL)
- *Ct* pLCria (NT)
- *Ct* pLCria (amiA)
- *Ct* pLCRia-amiA_6xH (amiA)

C

pLCRia (NT)

pLCRia (amiA)

pLCRia-amiA_6xH (amiA)

A

C

A

B

C

D

A

Elementary body
Reticulate body
triDAP (NOD1)
MDP (NOD2)

Host cell lysis

Extrusion

Receptor

T3SS

EB to RB
(~8 hpi)
Initiation of
NOD1 signaling

RB to EB
(~24 hpi)
Initiation of
NOD2 signaling

AB formation
Early lytic
events lead to
NOD2 signaling

Autophagy ?
ATP synthase ?
ROS reduction ?

B

Lysosomal
fusion with RB-
containing
Inclusions

RB degradation
leading to MDP /
UDP-MD(T)P
release

Autophagy ?
Inflammasome
activation ?
Neutrophil killing?

Chlamydia trachomatis restricts signaling through NOD2 until late in the pathogen's developmental cycle.

Grace Overman[1, 2], Iris Loeckener[3], Zachary Williford[1, 2], Sung Davis[1, 2], Aissata Diallo[1,2], Beate Henrichfreise[3#], and George W. Liechti[1#]

[1] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD, United States of America.

[2] Henry Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Bethesda, MD, United States of America.

[3] Institute for Pharmaceutical Microbiology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany.

#Corresponding Authors:

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Uniformed Services University, 4301 Jones Bridge Road (B4100), Bethesda, MD. Tel: +1-301-295-8138 Email: george.liechti@usuhs.edu, bhenrich@uni-bonn.de

Key words: *Chlamydia*, Innate Immunity, peptidoglycan, NOD2, pathoadaptation, persistence

ABSTRACT

Pathogenic chlamydial species restrict their peptidoglycan (PG) to the division septum of their replicative forms. PG is a microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP) and two of its major pattern recognition receptors in human cells are nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing proteins 1 and 2 (NOD1 and NOD2, respectively). It has been proposed that this unique morphological feature is evidence of pathoadaptation by the microbe, permitting PG-dependent cell division while also reducing the bacterium's recognition by innate immune receptors. *Chlamydia trachomatis*-infected cells activate NOD1 signaling within 8-12 hours of exposure to the bacterium, roughly coinciding with the microbe's transition from its infectious to replicative forms. Here we report that, unlike NOD1 signaling, *Chlamydia*-induced NOD2 signaling does not occur until later in the pathogen's developmental cycle. Both *C. trachomatis* and the related murine pathogen *Chlamydia muridarum* signal late in infection in HEK293 reporter cell lines expressing either human or murine-derived NOD2 receptors. NOD2 signaling can be modulated by disruption of the chlamydial amidase enzyme, AmiA$_{CT}$, interrupting the microbe's developmental cycle, and inducing RB lysis with inhibitors of lipooligosaccharide or peptidoglycan biosynthesis / assembly. These results mirror prior observations with Chlamydia-induced TLR9 signaling, leading us to hypothesize that Chlamydia-induced NOD2 signaling results from RB lytic events that occur sporadically during the RB to EB transition. Given our finding that pre-treating cells with NOD2-stimulatory ligands reduces chlamydial inclusion size, we hypothesize that the microbe preferentially degrades its PG during development to reduce the generation of NOD2 ligands, at the cost of enhancing NOD1 signaling.

INTRODUCTION

Chlamydia trachomatis is the leading cause of bacterial sexually transmitted disease and infectious blindness in the world, with over 100 million estimated cases of sexually transmitted infection[1] and ~100 million people still at risk of trachoma-induced blindness[2]. This obligate, intracellular microbe has evolved a number of pathoadaptive means of circumventing the human immune system at the cellular level, and as a result, clearance of the organism is uncommon without intervention. Though this pathogen is susceptible to many commercial antibiotics, the estimated burden within the global population remains high due to the large majority of infections being asymptomatic[3,4], enabling the organism to spread in the absence of effective screening and treatment.

One of the adaptations made by chlamydial species to life inside of host organisms is the utilization of a biphasic developmental cycle[5]. Infectious forms, termed Elementary bodies (EBs) have reduced metabolic rates[6] and utilize lipooligosaccharide and gDNA with significantly reduced immunostimulatory properties[7-11]. Upon entering into host cells, chlamydial EBs transition into Reticulate bodies (RBs), and begin replicating via a unique cell division process[12] that is dependent on the synthesis and turnover of peptidoglycan (PG)[13-15]. In most other bacterial species, to include some chlamydial-related organisms[16], PG is a major component of the bacterial cell wall (termed a sacculus) that confers strength and rigidity to bacterial cells, while also allowing microbes to maintain or alter their overall shape[17-19]. Pathogenic chlamydial species lack sacculi, but synthesize PG at their division planes[13,15,20]. Paradoxically, because they lack FtsZ[21], the major organizer of septal PG in almost all bacterial species[22], they utilize the PG synthesis machinery primarily associated with sacculus construction in other bacterial species[15,23-29]. While encoding two PG synthase complexes primarily associated with either side-wall[30] or septal[31] PG biosynthesis, *C. trachomatis* utilizes both to modulate the dimensions of its 'PG ring' during the initial and terminal stages of its division process[32,33].

It has been proposed that the absence of PG sacculi in chlamydial species is evidence of

pathoadaptation by these organisms[13,15,34,35], due to PG being a major immunostimulatory molecule

that is recognized by a wide range of host cellular receptors[36]. As PG is only present in the

organism's replicative forms and in relatively low abundance when compared to the amount required

to generate sacculi, it stands to reason that reducing the abundance of PG-derived muropeptides

would directly impact *Chlamydia*-host cell interaction(s). This hypothesis is supported in part by the

characterization of a rudimentary PG-recycling pathway encoded by *C. trachomatis* that enables the

reabsorption of meso-diaminopimelic acid (mDAP)– containing muropeptides[37,38], further reducing its

immunostimulatory profile.

The two most well-studied immunoreceptors for bacterial PG recognition are the NOD-like

receptors (NLRs) NOD1 and NOD2[39]. NOD1 recognizes PG-derived peptides containing mDAP[40]

while NOD2 primarily recognizes peptides containing N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc), the principle

component of NOD2 / CARD15 stimulatory ligands[41]. While it has been over a decade since PG was

first demonstrated to be present in these organisms[13], the degree to which these receptors play a role

during Chlamydia infections is still in question[42]. Correlative studies examining patient cohorts have

noted an association between NOD1 functionality and bacterial clearance / disease pathology[43,44]

while a less robust association has been observed between reduced NOD2 functionality and an

increased risk of tubal pathology subsequent to chlamydial infection[45]. Both receptors are expressed

throughout the female reproductive tract[46,47], with some evidence that expression of NOD2 (but not

NOD1) is impacted by phases of the menstrual cycle[48]. NOD1 induction has been demonstrated to

have a significant role in inducing inflammation in vitro[49-51], and murine studies have linked both

receptors to Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress-Induced Inflammation during chlamydial infection and

NOD1/2-deficient mice exhibit a reduction in clearance rates[52,53], though it is presently unclear if

these phenotypes are associated with ligand-dependent or ligand-independent NOD1/2 signaling[54].

We have previously reported that PG can be visualized in cells infected with *C. trachomatis* as

early as 8 hours post-infection (hpi)[13], coinciding with the transition of EBs to RBs and transcriptome

data demonstrating the expression of chlamydial genes associated with PG biosynthesis and

turnover[55]. NOD1 signaling can be observed within 24 hpi in cells infected with *C. trachomatis*, and

we have previously demonstrated that treatment with various antibiotics, metal chelators, and host

cell cytokines can impact the intensity of chlamydia-induced NOD1 signaling[56]. Interestingly, while

NOD2-stimulatory ligands have been reported to be present in lysates generated from Chlamydia-

infected cells[57], no signaling was observed 24 hpi when reporter cells were infected directly, as noted

by Packiam (personal communication, April 2014). Given our recent work demonstrating that TLR9

signaling is delayed in Chlamydia-infected cells and appears to be dependent on either bacterial lysis

or transitioning between chlamydial forms[9], we reasoned that this could potentially explain the

discrepancy under these two conditions. We set out to establish the dynamics of Chlamydia-induced

NOD2 signaling, and determine what factor(s) impact its amplitude and temporal kinetics.

RESULTS

***Chlamydia trachomatis* signals via NOD2 late in the pathogen's developmental cycle.** We

utilized a secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter system to compare the relative

signaling of human (h)NOD1 and hNOD2 in cells infected with *C. trachomatis*. SEAP was detected in

the supernatants of infected cells at elevated levels at 24 and 48 hours post infection (hpi) for NOD1

(**Fig. 1A, B**), however, NOD2 signaling appeared to be delayed as SEAP was only present at

appreciable levels in cell supernatants at 48 hpi (**Fig. 1C, D**). In order to more closely follow the

kinetics of *C. trachomatis*-induced NOD2 signaling, we conducted real-time monitoring of SEAP

levels present in cell supernatants, beginning at 18hpi. Infected cells were incubated in HEK-Blue

Detection cell culture medium, allowing hydrolysis of substrate by SEAP to be measured via

microplate reader every 10 minutes for 28 hours. We found that SEAP begins to accumulate at

detectable levels ~19-20 hpi and that activity began to accelerate in a MOI-dependent manner at ~24

hpi (MOI ~2) and ~30 hpi (MOI ~0.2) (**Fig. 1E**). As these observations roughly overlap with the

pathogen's transition from its replicative to infectious form, we concluded that NOD2 ligand release

may be the result of *C. trachomatis* undergoing developmental phase conversion.

Chlamydia-specific NOD2 signaling in HEK293 cells occurs as a result of the RB-to-EB

conversion. We have previously reported that recognition of chlamydial species by TLR9 also occurs

late in infection, and we proposed that chlamydial DNA is likely released due to random lytic events

that occur during the transition from RBs to EBs[9]. To test whether interrupting the developmental

cycle could prevent / dampen NOD2-stimulatory muropeptide release, we infected hNOD2 cells with

C. trachomatis, and added chloramphenicol at various time points post infection to interrupt protein

synthesis and subsequently prevent developmental form conversion. When protein synthesis was

inhibited at 18 hpi, a time point prior to the RB-to-EB conversion[58], NOD2 signaling decreased, and

slowly increased when chloramphenicol was added at later and later time points (**Fig. 2A**). By

contrast, when chlamydial lysis was enhanced during the RB-to-EB transition with the use of a LpxC

inhibitor (LPC-011[59,60]), signaling was significantly increased, **(Fig. 2B,C)**. This enhancement did not

appear to significantly impact the timing of NOD2-ligand release, as signaling was only observed at

the 44hpi time point and only when higher MOIs were used. These observations, in addition to the

kinetics of Chlamydia-specific NOD2 signaling **(Fig 1E)**, support the premise that NOD2 ligand

release from *C. trachomatis* is likely a result of developmental form conversion.

Knock-down of *amiA$_{Ct}$* impacts NOD2 signaling. Assuming that RB lysis is the cause for the

release of NOD2-stimulatory ligands in Chlamydia-infected cells, it is not readily apparent why the

release of Chlamydia-specific, NOD1-stimulatory ligands is not similarly impacted. As mentioned

previously, *C. trachomatis* encodes a rudimentary peptidoglycan recycling pathway that enables it to

limit the amount of meso-DAP-containing peptidoglycan fragment release into the intracellular

environment[37,38], however, to date no pathway for the recycling of anhydroMurNAc has been

characterized in any Chlamydia species.

We questioned whether the molecular process(es) of PG degradation employed by the

pathogen potentially influence its NLR stimulatory potential. Chlamydia and chlamydia-related

organisms make use of two separate degradation enzymes in order to remodel their septal PG during

the initiation and termination of their division process: an amidase (AmiA) that cleaves the stem

peptide from MurNAc[61] and a lytic transglycosylase (SpoIID) that cleaves denuded glycan strands[62].

MurNAc alone is a poor stimulator of NOD2 receptors, and most stimulatory ligands require at least

two amino acids of the PG stem peptide to be present, in the correct spatial isomerism, in order for

MurNAc to bind sufficiently well to result in a signaling cascade[63]. We reasoned that under normal

conditions inherent to the PG turnover found in *Chlamydia* species, sufficient amidase activity would

effectively eliminate any and all amino acids attached to MurNAc, thus fundamentally skewing NLR

signaling towards NOD1 (which is mDAP-specific[40]) and away from NOD2.

In order to assess the impact of the chlamydial amidase on NOD2 signaling, we utilized a previously characterized CRIPRi-knockdown approach[64] in order to gauge how reducing amidase activity would impact NOD signaling in chlamydia-infected cells. *C. trachomatis* strain -pL2 was transformed with either an empty plasmid; pLCria (NT), a knockdown construct; pLCria (*aimA*), or a knockdown construct with a HIS-tagged complementation allele; pLCria-amiA_6xHIS (amiA) (Dannenberg *et al.*, in prep). Each strain was then tittered and used to infect hNOD2-expressing HEK293 reporter cells under either native or inducing conditions. Counter to our initial hypothesis, the transformant containing the AmiA knockdown plasmid appeared to exhibit slightly enhanced baseline NOD2 signaling when compared to control strains, a trend that reached statistical significance when higher MOIs were used (**Fig. 3A, right column**). When the three strains were compared using a lower MOI, the AmiA knockdown strain exhibited a decrease in NOD2 signaling (**Fig. 3B, left column**), a result that did not reach significance in either empty vector or complementation control strains. When examining the bacteria under non-induced conditions, RBs from the pLCria (*aimA*)-transformed strain appeared to be slightly enlarged **(Fig. 3C)**, indicating that the presence of the knockdown plasmid itself was likely adversely impacting the size (and potentially the development) of the microbe.

Inducers of persistence enhance Chlamydia-specific NOD2 signaling. Under stress-inducing conditions, chlamydial species are capable of entering a state of persistence in which their replicative forms stop dividing, and the developmental cycle will effective pause until the stress-inducing condition is lifted, after which the microbe will resume dividing and transition into its infectious form[65]. There is considerable debate as to whether this aberrant / persistent state is physiologically relevant in the context of active infections[65-71], but we have proposed that one of the defining characteristics of this state should be the degree to which it impacts host-microbial interactions at the cellular level[56]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that inducing chlamydial persistence can directly impact the

recognition of the organism by various TLRs and NLRs[9,56,72,73]. Given that chlamydia-induced NOD1-

signaling has been demonstrated to be impacted in persistently infected cells[56], we reasoned that

NOD2 would be similarly impacted. Upon the addition of D-cycloserine and ampicillin, which

respectively inhibit peptidoglycan precursor synthesis[74,75] and assembly[76], we found that NOD2

signaling in *C. trachomatis*-infected cells was significantly enhanced **(Fig. 4)**. D-cycloserine was

particularly impactful, as NOD2 signaling was observed in DCS-treated, *C. trachomatis*-infected cells

as early as 24 hpi **(Fig. 4A)** and was enhanced as well at later time points **(Fig. 4B)**. When NOD2

signaling was tracked over the span of the chlamydial developmental cycle, signaling from DCS-

treated cells began diverging from control and other treatment groups ~23-24 hpi, while ampicillin-

treated cells diverged at ~38 hpi **(Fig. 4C)**. We also observed enhanced NOD2 signaling in cells that

were treated with the iron chelator 2,2′-bipyridyl, an interesting observation, as this condition has

previously been demonstrated to significantly reduce chlamydia-specific NOD1 signaling at early

infection time points[56]. Overall, these results demonstrate that induction of aberrance / persistence

with the use of PG-targeting antibiotics and iron chelators can drastically impact the degree and

kinetics of chlamydia-induced NOD2 signaling.

Pre-treatment of NOD-expressing HepG2 cells marginally impacts the development of *C.*

trachomatis. Given the difference in signaling kinetics observed between NOD1 and NOD2-

expressing HEK293 cells infected with *C. trachomatis* and *C. muridarum*, we wanted to examine

whether the resulting signaling cascades adversely impact Chlamydial growth / development under

native expression conditions. We pre-treated HepG2 cells, which have been previously shown to

express both NOD1[77] and NOD2[78], with ligands that stimulate NOD1 (L-Ala-γ-D-Glu-mDAP; triDAP)

or NOD2 (muramyl dipeptide; MDP) and subsequently infected them with *C. trachomatis* at an MOI of

~4. At 24 hpi, we compared inclusion number and size to untreated controls. Inclusion numbers were

roughly equivalent between all control and test groups examined **(Fig. 5A, B)**, indicating that NOD-

stimulation did not appear to adversely impact inclusion formation. No significant differences were

observed when inclusion size was compared between all groups **(Fig. 5C)**, however, when

measurements were limited to inclusions $\geq$ 4 μm^3 (~2 μm in diameter) and $\geq$20 μm^3 (~3 μm in

diameter), cells pretreated with MDP exhibited slightly smaller inclusion sizes when compared to

untreated, MTP, and MDP + MTP-treated conditions **(Fig. 5D, E)**.

Both hNOD2 and mNOD2 recognize C. trachomatis and C. muridarum-specific muropeptides.

To conclude this study, we set out to determine whether NOD2 signaling kinetics in Chlamydia-

infected cells was unique to i) the human pathogen and/or ii) the human NOD2 receptor. Subsequent

experiments were carried out in tandem comparing the NOD2-stimulatory potential of *C. trachomatis*

with the murine pathogen *Chlamydia muridarum*. We found that, like *C. trachomatis*, *C. muridarum*-

induced hNOD2 expression also appeared to exhibit delayed signaling (**Fig. 6A, B**). Interestingly, *C.*

muridarum also appeared to induce hNOD2 to a greater extent than *C. trachomatis* (**Fig. 6B**). We

hypothesized that this heightened signaling from *C. muridarum* might be due to one of two factors:

differences in the rates of replication between the two pathogens[79] and / or differences in how each

pathogen's PG was recognized by hNOD2. To test these two non-exclusive possibilities, we

replicated our signaling study in HEK 293 cells expressing the murine version of the NOD2 receptor

(mNOD2). Both pathogens exhibited similar kinetics in mNOD2 signaling, with SEAP activity only

observable at the 44 hpi time point (**Fig. 6C,D**). Surprisingly, *C. trachomatis* induced higher signaling

than *C. muridarum* in mNOD2-expressing cells **(Fig. 6D)**, potentially indicating that species-specific

recognition, rather than differences in bacterial replication rates, is the driving force behind observed

differences in NOD2 signaling between these two species.

DISCUSSION

We have presented data indicating that NOD1 and NOD2 signaling in Chlamydia-infected cells is temporally distinct, and that the kinetics of signaling appear to be impacted by the mechanism(s) the organism utilizes to degrade its PG-derived muropeptides. While these differences appear to be significant, their potential impact on chlamydial development *in vitro* and *in vivo* is less clear. One potential impact of altering NLR signaling might be modulating signaling cascades in such a manner as to be beneficial to the pathogen. While NLRs are associated with upregulation of various cytokine responses[80], many of which are prevalent during chlamydial infections[81], one notable difference between NOD1/2 signaling involves a process of direct relevance to most intracellular pathogens: autophagy. NOD2^{-/-} mice exhibit hypersensitivity to viruses as a result of defects in autophagy, specifically mitophagy, resulting in NLRP3 inflammasome activation and the generation of enhanced levels of IL-18[82]. Conversely, chlamydia-infected cells demonstrate the promotion of autophagy[83-85] and the inhibition of apoptosis[86-89]. While autophagy has been demonstrated to occur in Chlamydia-infected cells in culture, significant levels are generally not observable until later in chlamydial development[83] and defects in autophagy have been demonstrated to enhance chlamydial growth[84,85].

NOD2 is generally thought to enhance cellular autophagy through ATG16L1 in a PI3K, ATG5, and ATG7-dependent manner[90]. ATG16L1 has been shown to restrict the expansion of the chlamydial inclusion, but is re-directed by the secreted chlamydial protein CT622/TaiP to enable vesicular traffic to the inclusion[91]. Given that we see a slight restriction in inclusion expansion in our MDP pre-treated HepG2 cells **(Fig. 5D,E)**, it is tempting to speculate that NOD2 signaling impacts chlamydial development by recruiting the majority of cellular ATG16L1 towards autophagy, thus leaving less free to be utilized by TaiP to recruit vesicular traffic to the growing chlamydial inclusion. The regulation of NOD2-stimulatory ligands by chlamydial species may also exhibit tissue-specific effects, as MDP has recently been shown to increase oxidative respiration and ATP production while

decreasing oxidative stress in human intestinal epithelial cells[92]. Experiments investigating these

interesting possibilities are currently ongoing.

The observation that *C. muridarum* and *C. trachomatis* appear to differ in the intensity of their

signaling in a host-specific manner is another notable finding. While both hNOD2 and mNOD2

recognize MDP and both have similar downstream signaling pathways, some differences have been

observed such as the degree to which mutant alleles are capable of suppressing IL-10 expression[93].

Species-specific differences have been noted for other TLRs and NLRs with regard to their

regulation, ligand specificity and function[94], and differences in ligand specificity and sensitivity have

been previously demonstrated for both hNOD1 and mNOD1[95].

As persistence in *Chlamydia* species often leads to a disruption in membrane integrity[96], we

were not surprised by the finding that NOD2 levels increased significantly as a result of persistence

induction. The finding that DCS substantially impacts not only the degree but also the timing of

Chlamydia-specific NOD2 signaling was particularly interesting. This observation matches those

previously made investigating Chlamydia-derived, NOD2-stimulatory ligands present in HeLa cell

lysates[57], indicating that the enhancement is not simply a result of the sonication steps involved in

preparing the material for evaluation. DCS is a D-alanine analog, originally isolated from

Streptomyces[97,98], and historically its mechanism of action has been understood to be the result of it

competitively binding to D-alanine racemase; Alr[75] and D-alanine-D-alanine ligase; Ddl[99]. Chlamydia

species lack canonical Alr and DadX homologs, but encode GlyA enzymes capable of D-Ala

racemase activity, which is also inhibited by DCS[100]. The inactivation of these two enzymes should

inhibit PG biosynthesis. As chlamydial species do not encode MpaA homologs that would normally

function to hydrolyze γ-D-glutamyl-diaminopimelic acid[101], the result of treatment should be the

accumulation of UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala-D-Glu-*meso*-DAP (UDP-MTP) in the chlamydial cytoplasm.

While muramyl tripeptide (MTP) is not well recognized by NOD2[95], the presence of a Uridine

Diphosphate (UDP) carrier group in the PG precursor molecule (UDP-MTP) substantially enhances

its recognition by NOD2 receptors[102]. This would support the hypothesis that NOD2 signaling from

DCS-treated Chlamydia-infected cells is likely due to the release of PG-precursors (namely UDP-

MTP) by aberrant RBs. Given that UDP-MTP is normally localized to the bacterial cytoplasm, this

would appear to indicate that chlamydial RBs under these conditions are undergoing appreciable

levels of cellular lysis. Alternatively, it has been recently suggested that DCS is capable of being

utilized as a substrate by both L,D- and D,D- transpeptidases and is capable of being incorporated

into the stem peptide of PG at the 4th and 5th position, respectively[103]. It is presently unclear whether

these DCS-containing peptide stems are capable of being crosslinked, or whether their presence

significantly impacts immunorecognition by NLRs.

The chlamydial amidase AmiA$_{CT}$ appears to meaningfully impact Chlamydia-induced NOD2

signaling (**Fig 3**). During PG turnover, AmiA$_{CT}$ cleaves stem peptides from MurNAc[61], and only once

this has occurred can lytic transglycosylases (ie. SpoIID$_{CT}$) bind to and subsequently degrade the

newly denuded PG glycan stands. However, we have also shown that disruptions in membrane

integrity, alterations in PG biosynthesis, and lytic events can all influence chlamydial-derived NOD2

signaling. The enhanced background signaling and slightly enlarged RBs present in our uninduced,

AmiA$_{CT}$ knockdown strain would appear to indicate low levels of dCAS9 expression. When baseline

NOD2 levels are equivalent between all three strains when using a lower MOI, we see a significant

decrease in NOD2 signaling upon induction specific to our knockdown strain **(Fig. 3B)**. Assuming that

SpoIID$_{CT}$ is unable to process glycan strands prior to amidase activity, it is somewhat puzzling that

the knockdown of amidase activity would impact NOD2 activity. As we observe elevated NOD2

signaling at higher MOIS and lower activity under induction conditions at lower MOIs, we speculate

that this data may represent different origins of NOD2-stimulatory ligands and potentially support the

hypothesis that PG fragments are not the principle NOD2-stimulatory ligands being released by *C.*

trachomatis. Given our DCS-treatment data (**Fig. 4**), we conclude that PG-precursors that still retain

their UDP carrier motif likely make up a substantial portion of the NOD2-stimulatory ligands present in Chlamydia-infected cells, though subsequent experimentation will be needed to further validate this.

While this study effectively demonstrates potential differences in the temporal kinetics of Chlamydia-induced NOD1 and NOD2 signaling, it is important to point out that these phenotypes will almost certainly differ in hematopoietic vs. non-hematopoietic cell types. While *C. trachomatis* may effectively delay NOD2 signaling until late in development by cleaving stem peptides during the natural PG degradative processes associated with its division cycle, this may not significantly impact the production of NOD2-stimulatory PG fragments generated by cellular responses to infection, such as the potential degradation of RBs within lysosomal compartments within hematopoietic cells **(Fig. 7)**. However, as only chlamydial RBs synthesize and maintain PG[13,15], its availability for NOD1/2 interactions in immune cells would largely depend on the kinetics of the pathogen trafficking to lysosomes. Given that the EB-to-RB transition occurs roughly 8 to 12 hpi, this is presumably plenty of time for EB-containing vesicles to traffic to lysosomes[104,105]. It is tempting to speculate that the origins of EB-to-RB transition kinetics may have arisen, in part, as a means of dampening potential immune responses generated in hematopoietic cells that are incompatible with chlamydial replication and development. Alternatively, as the major NOD2-stimulatory ligand (MDP) is a known activator of NALP3/NLRP3[106], its release during RB degradation could contribute to neutrophil killing via NLRP3 inflammasome[107]. Work investigating how the timing of inclusion-lysosomal fusion impacts these downstream processes in a cell-type specific manner is currently under active investigation.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we demonstrate that chlamydia infection can be detected by both NOD1 and NOD2 receptors *in vitro*, but that the kinetics of that signaling differs significantly with NOD2 signaling lagging behind that of NOD1. Additionally, we effectively demonstrate that the timing and intensity of NOD2 signaling can be impacted by a variety of factors: chlamydial / host species, incidence of chlamydial lysis, the relative activity of the chlamydial amidase enzyme, and the induction of aberrance / 'persistence' phenotypes. Our results strongly suggest that chlamydial PG precursors, rather than degraded PG fragments, are the primary stimulators of NOD2. Given NOD2's unique association with cellular autophagy induction, we reason that the delay in NOD2-induction by chlamydial species, either directly or indirectly, benefits the development of these obligate, intracellular pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents:

Anti-MOMP (LS-C123239) was purchased from LSBio. LpxC inhibitor LPC-011 was graciously

provided by Dr. Pei Zhou (Duke University).

Bacterial Strains and Cell Lines:

C. trachomatis serovar L2 strain 434/Bu, *C. muridarum* strain Nigg, and *E. coli* strain MG1655 were

provided by Anthony Maurelli (University of Florida). *C. trachomatis* and *C. muridarum* stocks were

generated utilizing HeLa-USU cells (also provided by Anthony Maurelli) unless otherwise noted. *C.*

trachomatis strains -pL2 transformed with pLCria (NT), pLCria (*aimA*), and pLCria-amiA_6xHIS

(amiA) vectors were provided by Scot Ouellette (University of Nebraska Medical Center) and are

characterized in Dannenberg *et al*. (manuscript in prep). Whole cell lysate ('crude') freezer stocks of

C. trachomatis and *C. muridarum* EBs were generated from HeLa cells 40 hours post infection and

stored at -80° C in sucrose phosphate glutamic acid buffer (7.5% w/v sucrose, 17 mM Na_2HPO_4,

3 mM NaH_2PO_4, 5 mM L-glutamic acid, pH 7.4) until use. Stocks were titered via inclusion forming unit

(IFU) assay (described below). HEK-Blue-hNOD1, -hNOD2, -mNOD2, and -Null1 cells were

purchased from InvivoGen and propagated according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell lines

were passaged in high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Gibco) and 10% fetal

bovine serum (FBS; HyClone). HepG2 cells were purchased from ATCC and propagated according to

their instructions. All cell lines were checked for mycoplasma contamination 2 passages after the

initial liquid nitrogen thaw, and every subsequent 10 passages.

HEK-Blue hNOD2, mNOD2, Null1 and Null2 NF-κB reporter assay: HEK-Blue cells expressing

human or murine NOD2 and carrying the NF-κB SEAP (secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase)

reporter gene (InvivoGen) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions and adapted to

assess NLR-specific NF-κB activity induced via live *C. trachomatis* and *C. muridarum*. Briefly,

3×10^5 cells/ml were plated in 96-well plates (total reaction volume of 200 μl per well [~6.0×10^4 cells

per well]) and allowed to settle/adhere overnight at 37°C. Media was then removed and replaced with

200 μl of medium containing either *C. trachomatis* or *C. muridarum* (at the MOIs indicated) or known

NOD-stimulatory ligands (triDAP and MDP). Plates were then centrifuged for 1 h at 2,000 × *g* and

subsequently incubated in a CO_2 incubator at 37°C. Cell supernatants were collected at indicated

time points for subsequent analysis of SEAP activity. A colorimetric reporter assay was then utilized

to quantify the abundance of SEAP in cell supernatants. Twenty microliters of supernatant collected

from infected cells was added to 180 μl of the SEAP detection solution (InvivoGen), followed by

incubation at 37°C for ~6 h. SEAP enzymatic activity was then quantified using a plate reader set to

650 nm. Infected cells were compared to uninfected cells (negative control) and cells treated with

triDAP / MDP (positive controls). To ensure that changes in alkaline phosphatase activity were NLR-

dependent under each of the experimental conditions tested, all experiments were carried out in

parallel in either HEK-Blue-Null1 or HEK-Blue-Null2 cell lines, which contains empty expression

vectors but lack NOD1/2. Each HEK-Blue SEAP reporter assays was always carried out in three

separate experiments, statistical analysis was conducted by either 1- or 2-way ANOVA, and

significance values were analyzed by utilizing Sidak's multiple-comparison test. For real-time tracking

of NOD2 signaling, hNOD2 reporter cells were plated, and infected as described above, but instead

of DMEM / 10% FBS, cells were incubated in HEK-Blue Detection cell culture medium. Plates were

placed in a Stratus microplate reader (Cerillo), which itself was placed in a CO_2 incubator at 37°C.

OD650 readouts were obtained beginning at 18hpi every 10 minutes for the subsequent 28 hours.

C. trachomatis Infections of HepG2 cells: For infecting HepG2 cells, ~2.5×10^5 cells / mL were

spun down and resuspended in infection medium containing ~1×10^6 IFU of *C. trachomatis* (MOI ~4).

2.5×10^5 cells were then added to each well of a 24 well plate and placed in the incubator for 24 or 44

hours. For pre-stimulation experiments, ~2.5 x 10^5 cells / mL were spun down and resuspended in infection medium + / - 20 µg/mL triDAP, 20 µg/mL muramyl dipeptide (MDP), or 10 ug/mL of each and incubated overnight at 37° C. The next morning, cells were spun down and resuspended in infection medium containing ~1x10^6 IFU of *C. trachomatis* (MOI ~4), 2.5 x 10^5 cells were then added to each well of a 24 well plate and placed in the incubator (at 37° C) for an additional 24 hours.

Fluorescence Microscopy / MOMP-labeling: Briefly, HeLa cells were infected with *C. trachomatis* L2 434/Bu as described above. At indicated time points infection medium was removed, and cells were washed three times with 1× PBS. Cells were fixed and permeabilized with methanol for 5 min and gently washed three times with 1× PBS. Cells were then further permeabilized and blocked as described above. Cells were then incubated with anti-MOMP (1:500) for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by several washes in 3% BSA, and subsequent incubation with anti-goat conjugated antibodies (1:1000 Alexa594). For visualizing cell nuclei, cells were incubated with Hoechst stain for ~10 min and then washed with 3% BSA and 1× PBS. Coverslips were mounted on slides with ProLong gold antifade mounting medium and stored in the dark at 4°C prior to imaging via structured-illumination (Elyra PS.1) microscopy. For inclusion size measurements, imaging was conducted on a Zeiss 980 confocal microscope. zStacks were acquired at random for ~10 fields of view per condition, and inclusion volume dimensions were calculated utilizing the 3D object counter addon (ImagJ / Fiji). In order to estimate inclusion diameters, the equations $d=2r$ and $r=(3(V/4\pi))^{1/3}$ were used with the assumption that inclusions most closely resemble spheres.

Data Availability: All data generated in this manuscript will be made available without restriction upon request. This includes all super resolution imaging data, all reporter cell line SEAP data, and chlamydial IFU calculations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Anthony Maurelli (University of Florida) and his laboratory for providing us

with the strains used in this work as well as helpful feedback during the development of this project.

We would like to thank Dr. Scot Ouellette (UNMC) for providing us with strains for conducting the

AmiA$_{CT}$ knockdown experiments. We would also like to thank Dr. Pei Zhou (Duke University) for

graciously providing us with the LpxC inhibitor used in this work. This work was supported by a MIRA

ESI award (R35 GM138202) and a USU faculty start up award (HP73LIEC18) to GL. Funding was

also provided by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation),

project-ID 398967434 —TRR261 (BH) and 390536577 (BH), and the funding scheme FEMHABIL,

Medical Faculty, University of Bonn (BH). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. The opinions and assertions

expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position

of the Uniformed Services University or the Department of Defense.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization and Design, IL, BH, GL; Data Curation and Formal analysis, GO, IL, ZW, SD, AD,

and GL; Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, IL, AD, GO, ZW, and GL, Writing –

original draft, GL; Writing – review & editing, GO, IL, ZW, SD, BH, and GL; Funding acquisition,

Project administration and Supervision, BH and GL. All authors read and approved the final

manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1. *Chlamydia trachomatis* signals via NOD2 late in the pathogen's developmental cycle.

 SEAP activity was measured from the supernatants of hNOD1 and hNOD2 and Null1/2 HEK 293

 reporter cells infected with *C. trachomatis* serovar L2 (strain Bu/434) at 24 **(A, C)** and 44 **(B, D)** hpi.

 Columns represent the mean value calculated for data acquired from three separate experiments

 (biological replicates) and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Groups were compared

 via one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ****; $p \leq 0.0001$, *; $p \leq 0.05$, ns; not significant. **(E)**

 SEAP activity was measured in the supernatants of infected NOD2-expressing HEK 293 reporter

 cells grown in HEK-Blue™ Detection media. OD_{650} values for each well were assessed every ten

 minutes beginning at 18 hpi, and values plotted are relative to mock infected control wells on the

 same plate. Data is representative of three wells per condition on the same plate (technical

 replicates). Positive control (muramyl dipeptide; MDP) was added to control wells at 18 hpi.

 Figure 2. Chlamydia-specific NOD2 signaling in HEK293 cells occurs as a result of the RB-to-

 EB conversion. (A) *C. trachomatis*-infected hNOD2-HEK293 reporter cells were treated with

 chloramphenicol (25 ug/mL; Cm_{25}) at the time points indicated and SEAP activity was measured at

 44hpi. **(B,C)** The effects of the LOS inhibitor LPC-011 on *C. trachomatis*-induced NOD2 signaling at

 24 **(B)** and 44 **(C)** hpi. All columns represent mean values calculated for data acquired from three

 biological replicates and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Groups were compared via

 two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ****; $p \leq 0.0001$, **; $p \leq 0.01$, *; $p \leq 0.05$, ns, not

 significant.

 Figure 3. Knockdown of *amiA*$_{ct}$ marginally impacts NOD2 signaling at lower MOIs. hNOD2-

 expressing HEK293 SEAP reporter cells were infected with *C. trachomatis* strains at MOIs of 2 and

 0.2 containing either pLCria (NT), pLCria (*aimA*), or pLCria-amiA_6xHIS (*amiA*). Infections were

carried out in the presence / absence of 1nM aTc and supernatants were tested for the presence for

SEAP activity 48 hpi. All columns represent mean values calculated for data acquired from three

biological replicates and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Groups were compared via

two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ****; $p \leq 0.0001$, ns, not significant. Data are presented

as comparisons between strains **(A)** and between conditions **(B). (C)** Representative images of *C.*

trachomatis strains transformed with pLCRia, pLCRia (amiA), and pLCRia-amiA_6xH (amiA)

plasmids under uninduced conditions 24hpi. Images are representative of 20 fields of view observed

over two separate experiments.

Figure 4. Inducers of persistence enhance Chlamydia-specific NOD2 signaling. SEAP activity

was measured from the supernatants of hNOD2 and Null2 HEK 293 reporter cells infected with *C.*

trachomatis serovar L2 (strain Bu/434) in the presence / absence of antibiotics that target the

assembly (ampicillin) and biosynthesis (D-cycloserine; DCS) of peptidoglycan at 24 **(A)** and 44 **(B)**

hpi. Columns represent the mean value calculated for data acquired from three separate experiments

(biological replicates) and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Groups were compared

via two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ****; $p \leq 0.0001$, **; $p \leq 0.01$, *; $p \leq 0.05$, ns; not

significant. **(C)** SEAP activity was measured in the supernatants of infected NOD2-expressing HEK

293 reporter cells grown in HEK-Blue™ Detection media in the presence / absence of peptidoglycan-

targeting antibiotics (ampicillin, DCS), and the iron-chelator 2'2 bipyridyl. OD_{650} values for each well

were assessed every ten minutes beginning at 18 hpi, and values plotted are relative to mock

infected control wells on the same plate. Data is representative of three technical replicates per

group.

Figure 5. Pre-treatment of NOD1 and NOD2-expressing HepG2 cells marginally impacts the

development of *C. trachomatis*. HepG2 cells were pretreated with muramyl tripeptide (MTP),

muramyl dipeptide (MDP), or both, and then infected with *C. trachomatis* L2 strain Bu/434. At 24 hpi,

cells were fixed, inclusions were labeled, counted, and measured. Data presented represent all

MOMP-labeled objects counted **(A)** and those > 20 µm^3; 3 µm across **(B)** per field of view. Each data

point represents a separate field of view counted. Lines represent the mean of the 10 imaging fields

counted per group. Significance was assessed via 1-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ns; not

significant. Approximate inclusion volume measurements were calculated from zStacks of MOMP-

labeled objects obtained from a Zeiss 700 confocal microscope. Data is presented for all MOMP-

labeled objects observed **(C)**, objects $\geq$ 4 µm^3; 2 µm across **(D)** and objects $\geq$ 20 µm^3; 3 µm across

(E). Each dot represents a single, MOMP-labeled object, and red lines represent the mean of all

MOMP-labeled objects within each group. Significance was assessed via 1-way ANOVA with multiple

comparisons. **; $p \leq 0.01$, *; $p \leq 0.05$, ns; not significant.

Figure 6. Both hNOD2 and mNOD2 recognize *C. trachomatis* and *C. muridarum*-specific

muropeptides. SEAP activity was measured from the supernatants of hNOD2, mNOD2, and Null2

HEK 293 reporter cells infected with *C. trachomatis* serovar L2 (strain Bu/434) at 24 **(A, C)** and 44 **(B,**

D) hpi. Columns represent the mean value calculated for data acquired from three separate

experiments (biological replicates) and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Groups were

compared via one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ****; $p \leq 0.0001$, ***; $p \leq 0.001$, **; $p \leq 0.01$,

*; $p \leq 0.05$, ns, not significant.

Figure 7. NOD2 signaling as a function of chlamydial RB lysis during the developmental cycle

and 'persistence'. (A) During normal developmental conditions, the chlamydial inclusion avoids

fusing with lysosomal compartments in the host cell. After RB conversion, the degradation of PG by

AmiA$_{CT}$ during chlamydial replication results in the release of NOD1-stimulatory peptides. Lytic events

triggered by the RB-to-EB conversion or 'persistence' inducers result in the release of partially

degraded PG as well as PG precursors, which activate NOD2 signaling. **(B)** In cases were the

chlamydial inclusion fuses with lysosomes (such as in phagocytic cells), RBs are degraded and

NOD2-stimulatory ligands released at a higher abundance, potentially leading to alterations in

inflammasome activation.

REFERENCES

Newman, L. *et al.* Global Estimates of the Prevalence and Incidence of Four Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections in 2012 Based on Systematic Review and Global Reporting. *PLoS One* **10**, e0143304 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143304

Organization, W. H. WHO Alliance for the Global Elimination of Trachoma: progress report on elimination of trachoma, 2023. 363-380 (2024).

Price, M. J. *et al.* The natural history of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women: a multi-parameter evidence synthesis. *Health Technol Assess* **20**, 1-250 (2016). https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20220

Farley, T. A., Cohen, D. A. & Elkins, W. Asymptomatic sexually transmitted diseases: the case for screening. *Prev Med* **36**, 502-509 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-7435(02)00058-0

Elwell, C., Mirrashidi, K. & Engel, J. Chlamydia cell biology and pathogenesis. *Nature reviews. Microbiology* **14**, 385-400 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.30

Omsland, A., Sager, J., Nair, V., Sturdevant, D. E. & Hackstadt, T. Developmental stage-specific metabolic and transcriptional activity of Chlamydia trachomatis in an axenic medium. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 19781-19785 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212831109

Ingalls, R. R. *et al.* The inflammatory cytokine response to Chlamydia trachomatis infection is endotoxin mediated. *Infect Immun* **63**, 3125-3130 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.63.8.3125-3130.1995

Yang, C. *et al.* Chlamydia trachomatis Lipopolysaccharide Evades the Canonical and Noncanonical Inflammatory Pathways To Subvert Innate Immunity. *mBio* **10** (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00595-19

Diallo, A., Overman, G., Sah, P. & Liechti, G. W. Recognition of Chlamydia trachomatis by Toll-like receptor 9 is altered during persistence. *Infect Immun* **92**, e0006324 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00063-24

Ouburg, S. *et al.* TLR9 KO mice, haplotypes and CPG indices in Chlamydia trachomatis infection. *Drugs Today (Barc)* **45 Suppl B**, 83-93 (2009).

Singer, M., de Waaij, D. J., Morre, S. A. & Ouburg, S. CpG DNA analysis of bacterial STDs. *BMC Infect Dis* **15**, 273 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1016-7

Abdelrahman, Y., Ouellette, S. P., Belland, R. J. & Cox, J. V. Polarized Cell Division of Chlamydia trachomatis. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005822 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005822

Liechti, G. W. *et al.* A new metabolic cell-wall labelling method reveals peptidoglycan in Chlamydia trachomatis. *Nature* **506**, 507-510 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12892

Jacquier, N., Viollier, P. H. & Greub, G. The role of peptidoglycan in chlamydial cell division: towards resolving the chlamydial anomaly. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **39**, 262-275 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuv001

Liechti, G. *et al.* Pathogenic Chlamydia Lack a Classical Sacculus but Synthesize a Narrow, Mid-cell Peptidoglycan Ring, Regulated by MreB, for Cell Division. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005590 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005590

Pilhofer, M. *et al.* Discovery of chlamydial peptidoglycan reveals bacteria with murein sacculi but without FtsZ. *Nat Commun* **4**, 2856 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3856

Navarro, P. P. *et al.* Cell wall synthesis and remodelling dynamics determine division site architecture and cell shape in Escherichia coli. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1621-1634 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01210-z

Turner, R. D., Hurd, A. F., Cadby, A., Hobbs, J. K. & Foster, S. J. Cell wall elongation mode in Gram-negative bacteria is determined by peptidoglycan architecture. *Nat Commun* **4**, 1496 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2503

557   19   Wang, S., Furchtgott, L., Huang, K. C. & Shaevitz, J. W. Helical insertion of peptidoglycan produces
558   chiral ordering of the bacterial cell wall. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
559   *States of America* **109**, E595-604 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117132109
560   20   Brown, W. J. & Rockey, D. D. Identification of an antigen localized to an apparent septum within
561   dividing chlamydiae. *Infect Immun* **68**, 708-715 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.2.708-715.2000
562   21   Ouellette, S. P., Lee, J. & Cox, J. V. Division without Binary Fission: Cell Division in the FtsZ-Less
563   Chlamydia. *J Bacteriol* **202** (2020). https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00252-20
564   22   Barrows, J. M. & Goley, E. D. FtsZ dynamics in bacterial division: What, how, and why? *Curr Opin*
565   *Cell Biol* **68**, 163-172 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2020.10.013
566   23   Gaballah, A., Kloeckner, A., Otten, C., Sahl, H. G. & Henrichfreise, B. Functional analysis of the
567   cytoskeleton protein MreB from Chlamydophila pneumoniae. *PLoS One* **6**, e25129 (2011).
568   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025129
569   24   Ouellette, S. P., Karimova, G., Subtil, A. & Ladant, D. Chlamydia co-opts the rod shape-determining
570   proteins MreB and Pbp2 for cell division. *Mol Microbiol* **85**, 164-178 (2012).
571   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08100.x
572   25   Ouellette, S. P. *et al.* Analysis of MreB interactors in Chlamydia reveals a RodZ homolog but fails to
573   detect an interaction with MraY. *Front Microbiol* **5**, 279 (2014).
574   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00279
575   26   Jacquier, N., Frandi, A., Pillonel, T., Viollier, P. H. & Greub, G. Cell wall precursors are required to
576   organize the chlamydial division septum. *Nat Commun* **5**, 3578 (2014).
577   https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4578
578   27   Kemege, K. E. *et al.* Chlamydia trachomatis protein CT009 is a structural and functional homolog to the
579   key morphogenesis component RodZ and interacts with division septal plane localized MreB. *Mol*
580   *Microbiol* **95**, 365-382 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12855
581   28   Ouellette, S. P., Rueden, K. J., AbdelRahman, Y. M., Cox, J. V. & Belland, R. J. Identification and
582   Partial Characterization of Potential FtsL and FtsQ Homologs of Chlamydia. *Front Microbiol* **6**, 1264
583   (2015). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01264
584   29   Harpring, M., Lee, J., Zhong, G., Ouellette, S. P. & Cox, J. V. FtsK is Critical for the Assembly of the
585   Unique Divisome Complex of the FtsZ-less Chlamydia trachomatis. *bioRxiv* (2025).
586   https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.24.620021
587   30   Meeske, A. J. *et al.* SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases. *Nature*
588   **537**, 634-638 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19331
589   31   Taguchi, A. *et al.* FtsW is a peptidoglycan polymerase that is functional only in complex with its
590   cognate penicillin-binding protein. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 587-594 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-
591   018-0345-x
592   32   Cox, J. V., Abdelrahman, Y. M. & Ouellette, S. P. Penicillin-binding proteins regulate multiple steps in
593   the polarized cell division process of Chlamydia. *Sci Rep* **10**, 12588 (2020).
594   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69397-x
595   33   Liechti, G. W. Localized Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis in Chlamydia trachomatis Conforms to the
596   Polarized Division and Cell Size Reduction Developmental Models. *Front Microbiol* **12**, 733850 (2021).
597   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.733850
598   34   Wong, W. F., Chambers, J. P., Gupta, R. & Arulanandam, B. P. Chlamydia and Its Many Ways of
599   Escaping the Host Immune System. *J Pathog* **2019**, 8604958 (2019).
600   https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8604958
601   35   Chen, H., Wen, Y. & Li, Z. Clear Victory for Chlamydia: The Subversion of Host Innate Immunity.
602   *Front Microbiol* **10**, 1412 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01412
603   36   Wolf, A. J. & Underhill, D. M. Peptidoglycan recognition by the innate immune system. *Nat Rev*
604   *Immunol* **18**, 243-254 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.136

37 Singh, R., Liechti, G., Slade, J. A. & Maurelli, A. T. Chlamydia trachomatis Oligopeptide Transporter
Performs Dual Functions of Oligopeptide Transport and Peptidoglycan Recycling. *Infect Immun* **88**
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00086-20
38 Reuter, J. *et al.* An NlpC/P60 protein catalyzes a key step in peptidoglycan recycling at the intersection
of energy recovery, cell division and immune evasion in the intracellular pathogen Chlamydia
trachomatis. *PLoS Pathog* **19**, e1011047 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011047
39 Franchi, L., Warner, N., Viani, K. & Nunez, G. Function of Nod-like receptors in microbial recognition
and host defense. *Immunol Rev* **227**, 106-128 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008.00734.x
40 Girardin, S. E. *et al.* Nod1 detects a unique muropeptide from gram-negative bacterial peptidoglycan.
Science **300**, 1584-1587 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084677
41 Girardin, S. E. *et al.* Nod2 is a general sensor of peptidoglycan through muramyl dipeptide (MDP)
detection. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 8869-8872 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200651200
42 Zou, Y., Lei, W., He, Z. & Li, Z. The role of NOD1 and NOD2 in host defense against chlamydial
infection. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **363** (2016). https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw170
43 Brankovic, I. *et al.* NOD1 in contrast to NOD2 functional polymorphism influence Chlamydia
trachomatis infection and the risk of tubal factor infertility. *Pathog Dis* **73**, 1-9 (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftu028
44 Jukema, J. B. *et al.* Can Previous Associations of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the TLR2,
NOD1, CXCR5, and IL10 Genes in the Susceptibility to and Severity of Chlamydia trachomatis
Infections Be Confirmed? *Pathogens* **10** (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10010048
45 den Hartog, J. E. *et al.* Do host genetic traits in the bacterial sensing system play a role in the
development of Chlamydia trachomatis-associated tubal pathology in subfertile women? *BMC Infect Dis*
6, 122 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-6-122
46 Hart, K. M. *et al.* Functional expression of pattern recognition receptors in tissues of the human female
reproductive tract. *J Reprod Immunol* **80**, 33-40 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2008.12.004
47 Hafner, L. M., Cunningham, K. & Beagley, K. W. Ovarian steroid hormones: effects on immune
responses and Chlamydia trachomatis infections of the female genital tract. *Mucosal Immunol* **6**, 859-
875 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2013.46
48 Lebedeva, O. P. The role of NOD1 and NOD2 receptors in recognizing pathogens in the female
reproductive tract. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 25-29 (2019).
49 Buchholz, K. R. & Stephens, R. S. The cytosolic pattern recognition receptor NOD1 induces
inflammatory interleukin-8 during Chlamydia trachomatis infection. *Infect Immun* **76**, 3150-3155
(2008). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00104-08
50 Opitz, B. *et al.* Nod1-mediated endothelial cell activation by Chlamydophila pneumoniae. *Circ Res* **96**,
319-326 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000155721.83594.2c
51 Welter-Stahl, L. *et al.* Stimulation of the cytosolic receptor for peptidoglycan, Nod1, by infection with
Chlamydia trachomatis or Chlamydia muridarum. *Cell Microbiol* **8**, 1047-1057 (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00686.x
52 Pham, O. H. *et al.* NOD1/NOD2 and RIP2 Regulate Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress-Induced
Inflammation during Chlamydia Infection. *mBio* **11** (2020). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00979-20
53 Shimada, K. *et al.* The NOD/RIP2 pathway is essential for host defenses against Chlamydophila
pneumoniae lung infection. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, e1000379 (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000379
54 Keestra-Gounder, A. M. *et al.* NOD1 and NOD2 signalling links ER stress with inflammation. *Nature*
532, 394-397 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17631
55 Belland, R. J. *et al.* Genomic transcriptional profiling of the developmental cycle of Chlamydia
trachomatis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100**,
8478-8483 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1331135100
56 Brockett, M. R. & Liechti, G. W. Persistence alters the interaction between Chlamydia trachomatis and
its host cell. *Infect Immun* (2021). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00685-20

57 Packiam, M., Weinrick, B., Jacobs, W. R., Jr. & Maurelli, A. T. Structural characterization of
muropeptides from Chlamydia trachomatis peptidoglycan by mass spectrometry resolves "chlamydial
anomaly". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**,
11660-11665 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514026112
58 Lee, J. K. *et al.* Replication-dependent size reduction precedes differentiation in Chlamydia trachomatis.
Nat Commun **9**, 45 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02432-0
59 Cram, E. D., Rockey, D. D. & Dolan, B. P. Chlamydia spp. development is differentially altered by
treatment with the LpxC inhibitor LPC-011. *BMC Microbiol* **17**, 98 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-0992-8
60 Nguyen, B. D. *et al.* Lipooligosaccharide is required for the generation of infectious elementary bodies
in Chlamydia trachomatis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America **108**, 10284-10289 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107478108
61 Klockner, A. *et al.* AmiA is a penicillin target enzyme with dual activity in the intracellular pathogen
Chlamydia pneumoniae. *Nat Commun* **5**, 4201 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5201
62 Jacquier, N. *et al.* A SpoIID Homolog Cleaves Glycan Strands at the Chlamydial Division Septum.
mBio **10** (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01128-19
63 Adamson, C., Liang, Y., Feng, S., Ng, A. W. R. & Qiao, Y. A closer look at ligand specificity for
cellular activation of NOD2 with synthetic muramyl dipeptide analogues. *Chem Commun (Camb)* **60**,
2212-2215 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc05807g
64 Ouellette, S. P., Blay, E. A., Hatch, N. D. & Fisher-Marvin, L. A. CRISPR Interference To Inducibly
Repress Gene Expression in Chlamydia trachomatis. *Infect Immun* **89**, e0010821 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00108-21
65 Panzetta, M. E., Valdivia, R. H. & Saka, H. A. Chlamydia Persistence: A Survival Strategy to Evade
Antimicrobial Effects in-vitro and in-vivo. *Front Microbiol* **9**, 3101 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03101
66 Bavoil, P. M. What's in a word: the use, misuse, and abuse of the word "persistence" in Chlamydia
biology. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **4**, 27 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2014.00027
67 Borel, N., Pospischil, A., Hudson, A. P., Rupp, J. & Schoborg, R. V. The role of viable but non-
infectious developmental forms in chlamydial biology. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **4**, 97 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2014.00097
68 Wyrick, P. B. Chlamydia trachomatis persistence in vitro: an overview. *J Infect Dis* **201 Suppl 2**, S88-
95 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1086/652394
69 Workowski, K. A., Lampe, M. F., Wong, K. G., Watts, M. B. & Stamm, W. E. Long-term eradication of
Chlamydia trachomatis genital infection after antimicrobial therapy. Evidence against persistent
infection. *JAMA* **270**, 2071-2075 (1993).
70 Kozusnik, T., Adams, S. E. & Greub, G. Aberrant Bodies: An Alternative Metabolic Homeostasis
Allowing Survivability? *Microorganisms* **12** (2024). https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12030495
71 Smith, E. P. & Valdivia, R. H. Chlamydia trachomatis: a model for intracellular bacterial parasitism. *J*
Bacteriol, e0036124 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00361-24
72 O'Connell, C. M., Ionova, I. A., Quayle, A. J., Visintin, A. & Ingalls, R. R. Localization of TLR2 and
MyD88 to Chlamydia trachomatis inclusions. Evidence for signaling by intracellular TLR2 during
infection with an obligate intracellular pathogen. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 1652-1659 (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M510182200
73 Yu, P. *et al.* STAT3-mediated TLR2/4 pathway upregulation in an IFN-gamma-induced Chlamydia
trachomatis persistent infection model. *Pathog Dis* **74** (2016). https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftw076
74 David, H. L., Takayama, K. & Goldman, D. S. Susceptibility of mycobacterial D-alanyl-D-alanine
synthetase to D-cycloserine. *Am Rev Respir Dis* **100**, 579-581 (1969).
https://doi.org/10.1164/arrd.1969.100.4.579
75 Lambert, M. P. & Neuhaus, F. C. Mechanism of D-cycloserine action: alanine racemase from
Escherichia coli W. *J Bacteriol* **110**, 978-987 (1972). https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.110.3.978-987.1972

76 Tipper, D. J. & Strominger, J. L. Mechanism of action of penicillins: a proposal based on their structural
similarity to acyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
States of America **54**, 1133-1141 (1965). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.54.4.1133
77 Gulzar, F. *et al.* ER stress aggravates NOD1-mediated inflammatory response leading to impaired
nutrient metabolism in hepatoma cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **735**, 150827 (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2024.150827
78 Body-Malapel, M. *et al.* NOD2: a potential target for regulating liver injury. *Lab Invest* **88**, 318-327
(2008). https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700716
79 Lyons, J. M., Ito, J. I., Jr., Pena, A. S. & Morre, S. A. Differences in growth characteristics and
elementary body associated cytotoxicity between Chlamydia trachomatis oculogenital serovars D and H
and Chlamydia muridarum. *J Clin Pathol* **58**, 397-401 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.2004.021543
80 Kienes, I., Weidl, T., Mirza, N., Chamaillard, M. & Kufer, T. A. Role of NLRs in the Regulation of
Type I Interferon Signaling, Host Defense and Tolerance to Inflammation. *Int J Mol Sci* **22** (2021).
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22031301
81 Murray, S. M. & McKay, P. F. Chlamydia trachomatis: Cell biology, immunology and vaccination.
Vaccine **39**, 2965-2975 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.03.043
82 Lupfer, C. *et al.* Receptor interacting protein kinase 2-mediated mitophagy regulates inflammasome
activation during virus infection. *Nat Immunol* **14**, 480-488 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2563
83 Pachikara, N., Zhang, H., Pan, Z., Jin, S. & Fan, H. Productive Chlamydia trachomatis
lymphogranuloma venereum 434 infection in cells with augmented or inactivated autophagic activities.
FEMS Microbiol Lett **292**, 240-249 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01494.x
84 Al-Younes, H. M. *et al.* Autophagy-independent function of MAP-LC3 during intracellular propagation
of Chlamydia trachomatis. *Autophagy* **7**, 814-828 (2011). https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.7.8.15597
85 Yasir, M., Pachikara, N. D., Bao, X., Pan, Z. & Fan, H. Regulation of chlamydial infection by host
autophagy and vacuolar ATPase-bearing organelles. *Infect Immun* **79**, 4019-4028 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05308-11
86 Waguia Kontchou, C. *et al.* Chlamydia trachomatis inhibits apoptosis in infected cells by targeting the
pro-apoptotic proteins Bax and Bak. *Cell Death Differ* **29**, 2046-2059 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-022-00995-0
87 Sharma, M. & Rudel, T. Apoptosis resistance in Chlamydia-infected cells: a fate worse than death?
FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol **55**, 154-161 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00515.x
88 Fan, T. *et al.* Inhibition of apoptosis in chlamydia-infected cells: blockade of mitochondrial cytochrome
c release and caspase activation. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **187**, 487-496 (1998).
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.187.4.487
89 Dean, D. & Powers, V. C. Persistent Chlamydia trachomatis infections resist apoptotic stimuli. *Infect*
Immun **69**, 2442-2447 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.69.4.2442-2447.2001
90 Henderson, P. & Stevens, C. The role of autophagy in Crohn's disease. *Cells* **1**, 492-519 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.3390/cells1030492
91 Hamaoui, D. *et al.* The Chlamydia effector CT622/TaiP targets a nonautophagy related function of
ATG16L1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **117**,
26784-26794 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2005389117
92 Tian, D., Cui, M. & Han, M. Bacterial muropeptides promote OXPHOS and suppress mitochondrial
stress in mammals. *Cell Rep* **43**, 114067 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114067
93 Noguchi, E., Homma, Y., Kang, X., Netea, M. G. & Ma, X. A Crohn's disease-associated NOD2
mutation suppresses transcription of human IL10 by inhibiting activity of the nuclear ribonucleoprotein
hnRNP-A1. *Nat Immunol* **10**, 471-479 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1722
94 Ariffin, J. K. & Sweet, M. J. Differences in the repertoire, regulation and function of Toll-like Receptors
and inflammasome-forming Nod-like Receptors between human and mouse. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **16**,
303-310 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2013.03.002

95 Magalhaes, J. G. *et al.* Murine Nod1 but not its human orthologue mediates innate immune detection of
tracheal cytotoxin. *EMBO Rep* **6**, 1201-1207 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400552
96 Frohlich, K. M. *et al.* Membrane vesicle production by Chlamydia trachomatis as an adaptive response.
Front Cell Infect Microbiol **4**, 73 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2014.00073
97 Harned, R. L., Hidy, P. H. & La Baw, E. K. Cycloserine. I. A preliminary report. *Antibiot Chemother*
(Northfield) **5**, 204-205 (1955).
98 Epstein, I. G., Nair, K. G. & Boyd, L. J. Cycloserine, a new antibiotic, in the treatment of human
pulmonary tuberculosis: a preliminary report. *Antibiotic Med Clin Ther (New York)* **1**, 80-93 (1955).
99 Prosser, G. A. & de Carvalho, L. P. Kinetic mechanism and inhibition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis
D-alanine:D-alanine ligase by the antibiotic D-cycloserine. *FEBS J* **280**, 1150-1166 (2013).
https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.12108
100 De Benedetti, S. *et al.* Characterization of serine hydroxymethyltransferase GlyA as a potential source
of D-alanine in Chlamydia pneumoniae. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **4**, 19 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2014.00019
101 Stephens, R. S. *et al.* Genome sequence of an obligate intracellular pathogen of humans: Chlamydia
trachomatis. *Science* **282**, 754-759 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5389.754
102 Girardin, S. E. *et al.* Peptidoglycan molecular requirements allowing detection by Nod1 and Nod2. *J*
Biol Chem **278**, 41702-41708 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307198200
103 Kuru, E. *et al.* Mechanisms of Incorporation for D-Amino Acid Probes That Target Peptidoglycan
Biosynthesis. *ACS Chem Biol* **14**, 2745-2756 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.9b00664
104 Sun, H. S. *et al.* Chlamydia trachomatis vacuole maturation in infected macrophages. *J Leukoc Biol* **92**,
815-827 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0711336
105 Al-Zeer, M. A., Al-Younes, H. M., Lauster, D., Abu Lubad, M. & Meyer, T. F. Autophagy restricts
Chlamydia trachomatis growth in human macrophages via IFNG-inducible guanylate binding proteins.
Autophagy **9**, 50-62 (2013). https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.22482
106 Faustin, B. *et al.* Reconstituted NALP1 inflammasome reveals two-step mechanism of caspase-1
activation. *Mol Cell* **25**, 713-724 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2007.01.032
107 Yang, C. *et al.* Chlamydia evasion of neutrophil host defense results in NLRP3 dependent myeloid-
mediated sterile inflammation through the purinergic P2X7 receptor. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5454 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25749-3
784
785