Title: PTBP1 Depletion in Mature Astrocytes Reveals Distinct Splicing Alterations Without

Neuronal Features

Authors: Min Zhang[1,2,#], Naoto Kubota[1,2,#], David Nikom[1,2,#], Ayden Arient[3], Sika Zheng[1,2,*]

Affiliations: [1]Division of Biomedical Sciences, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA,

United States; [2]Center for RNA Biology and Medicine, University of California, Riverside,

Riverside, CA, USA; [3]Department of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, University of California,

Riverside, Riverside, CA, United States

[#]Equal contribution

[*]Lead Contact and Correspondence: sikaz@ucr.edu

Abstract:

Astrocyte-to-neuron reprogramming via depletion of PTBP1, a potent repressor of neuronal

splicing, has been proposed as a therapeutic strategy, but its efficacy remains debated. While

some reported successful conversion, others disputed this, citing a lack of neuronal gene

expression as evidence of failed reprogramming. This interpretation was further challenged,

attributed to incomplete PTBP1 inactivation, fueling ongoing controversy. Mechanistic

understanding of the conversion, or the lack thereof, requires investigating, in conjunction with

lineage tracing, the effect of *Ptbp1* loss of function in mature astrocytes on RNA splicing, which

has not yet been examined. Here, we genetically ablated PTBP1 in adult Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2 Ai14

mice to determine whether lineage traced *Ptbp1* knockout astrocytes exhibited RNA splicing

alterations congruent with neuronal differentiation. We found no widespread induction of neurons,

despite a minuscule fraction of knockout cells showing neuron-like transcriptomic signatures.

Importantly, PTBP1 loss in mature astrocytes induced splicing alterations unlike neuronal splicing

patterns. These findings suggest that targeting PTBP1 alone is ineffective to drive neuronal

reprogramming and highlight the need for combining splicing and lineage analyses. Loss of

astrocytic PTBP1 is insufficient to induce neuronal splicing, contrasting with its well-known role in

other non-neuronal cells, and instead affects a distinct astrocytic splicing program.

Introduction:

Neurons in the adult central nervous system (CNS) possess limited regenerative capacity, posing a great challenge in restoring brain function in neurodegenerative disease. The irreversible nature of CNS damage has spurred a wave of effort to convert non-neuronal cells into functional neurons to compensate for those lost in disease[1]. The use of endogenous cells for direct neuronal conversion presents key advantages stemming from their proliferative capacity, maintenance of epigenetic and transcriptomic aging signatures lost upon reprogramming to stem cells, and bypassing a proliferative intermediate stage[2,3]. Diverse neuronal conversion protocols targeting microglia[4], fibroblasts[5,6], oligodendrocyte precursor cells[7], pericytes[8], and astrocytes[9] have shown feasibility of neural reprogramming. However, such approaches remain controversial for therapeutic application. *In vivo* neuronal reprogramming studies often achieve variable levels of success and provide inadequate evidence to explicitly show converted neurons originate from endogenous non-neuronal cells[10–12].

Recent reports have aimed to achieve neuronal reprogramming in the brain through depletion of polypyrimidine tract binding protein 1 (PTBP1) in glia[13]. PTBP1 is an RNA-binding protein that functions as a master repressor of neuronal splicing[14–17]. To date, seven studies have reported the success of glial *Ptbp1* depletion to induce neuronal conversion *in vivo*. Initial observations by Weinberg et al. demonstrated an apparent generation of functional striatal neurons from oligodendrocytes targeted by an oligotropic adeno-associated viral vector (AAV) encoding *Ptbp1* siRNAs in wild-type rats[18]. Qian et al. employed delivery of adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors encoding *Ptbp1* shRNA as well as *Ptbp1* antisense oligonucleotides (ASO) into the substantia nigra of 6-hydroxydopamine-treated (6-OHDA) mice modeling Parkinson's disease (PD), and reported generation of new neurons and reversal of PD phenotypes[19]. Zhou et al. delivered CRISPR-CasRx guide RNAs targeting *Ptbp1* mRNA to 6-OHDA mouse striatum and reported induction of dopaminergic neurons and rescue of motor deficits[20]. Maimon et al. used

intracerebroventricular (ICV) delivery of anti-*Ptbp1* ASO to generate new neurons in aged wild-

type mouse hippocampus and improve cognitive measures[21]. Yang et al. reported neuronal

reprogramming of astrocytes in a mouse model of spinal cord injury by viral GFAP promoter-

driven *Ptbp1* shRNA administration and accompanying recovery of motor function[22]. Yuan et al.

used viral delivery of GFAP promoter-driven *Ptbp1* shRNA into the cortex of an ischemic stroke

mouse model to induce astrocyte-to-neuron conversion and reported brain tissue repair of the

infarction site[23]. Fukui et al. virally transduced astrocytes with *AAV-pGFAP-CasRx-SgRNA-Ptbp1*

by tail vein injection into adult mice following ischemic stroke and reported generation of neurons

in the dentate gyrus and rescue of memory deficits[24]. However, some studies have called this

reprogramming approach into question. For example, Guo et al. failed to detect converted

hippocampal, striatal, or substantia nigral neurons upon *AAV-GFAP-shPtbp1* injection in both

wild-type and modeled Alzheimer's disease mice[25].

These studies exercised a variety of *Ptbp1* targeting approaches across a range of disease

models but did not demonstrate that newly converted neurons truly arose from resident glial cells.

Subsequent studies have conducted lineage tracing experiments to investigate the effects of

Ptbp1 loss on glia-to-neuron conversion. Wang et al. delivered AAVs encoding *Ptbp1* shRNA or

AAV-GFAP-CasRx-Ptbp1 and a fluorescent reporter to the striatum of an astrocyte lineage-

tracing mouse model and found no co-labeling of lineage-traced astrocytes with *Ptbp1*-depleted

neurons[26]. Chen et al. employed Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2 mice bred with hemagglutinin (HA) reporter

mice to lineage trace reactive astrocytes in the substantia nigra or striatum of 6-OHDA mice

treated with *AAV-shPtbp1* or Ptbp1 ASO and observed no neuronal conversion in traced glia[27].

Hoang et al. found similar results in the mouse retina upon genetic *Ptbp1* knockout (KO) by

tamoxifen induction in GLAST-Cre/ER^T2; Sun1-GFP*loxp/loxp*; *Ptbp1loxp/loxp* mice in Müller glia, with

only subtle changes in gene expression by single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis of

Ptbp1-depleted Müller glia[28]. A follow-up study using Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2; Sun1-GFPlox/lox;

Ptbp1[loxp/loxp] mice provided identical results by 2, 4, and 8 weeks following Cre induction in mouse

cortex, striatum, and substantia nigra[29]. Taken together, these independent studies have cast

doubt on *Ptbp1* loss of function approaches for neuronal reprogramming *in vivo*.

Counterarguments against the lack of astrocyte-to-neuron conversion have emerged, concerning

the degree of PTBP1 depletion and inconsistency between approaches[30]. First, insufficient

knockdown of *Ptbp1* using RNAi or CRISPR systems may result in ineffective loss of function.

Second, durations following PTBP1 depletion do not align precisely between studies. Even Hoang

et al.'s investigation using a genetic *Ptbp1* knockout was challenged by Hao et al. for

inefficiencies, who attributed the lack of gene expression changes based on the scRNA-seq data

obtained at 4 weeks after 4-OHT induction of knockout to ineffective PTBP1 depletion [29,30].

The controversy centers on the interpretation of the absence of gene expression changes: Hao

et al. interpreted this as an insufficient loss of PTBP1 function, while Hoang et al. viewed it as a

failure of astrocyte-to-neuron conversion. Indeed, no prior studies on astrocyte-to-neuron

conversion, including those reporting positive conversion, have investigated PTBP1's well-

established molecular function of regulating alternative splicing.

Thus, three key issues remain concerning the effectiveness of adult *Ptbp1* loss-of-function on

neuronal reprogramming in the brain. First, no study has simultaneously examined the impact of

Ptbp1 loss on both alternative splicing and cellular changes, which is needed to firmly establish,

or rule out, a direct relationship between PTBP1's molecular function and its influence on neuronal

reprogramming. The downstream effect of *Ptbp1* loss on alternative splicing in astrocytes remains

unknown. Second, additional *Ptbp1* genetic mutants are needed to rigorously evaluate *Ptbp1*

loss-of-function *in vivo,* as only one previous study has used genetic deletion. This is necessary

because Hao et al. argued that complete deletion of PTBP1 induced cell death and there could

be off-target effects of various knockdown approaches in prior publications. Third, thorough

investigation of transcriptomic changes upon astrocytic *Ptbp1* knockout for a longer period

matching those in reports of positive conversion is needed for a fair comparison.

Here, we address both the RNA splicing and cell type changes following PTBP1 depletion in

mature astrocytes using astrocyte-specific inducible *Ptbp1* conditional knockout (*Ptbp1* cKO)

mice carrying a different *Ptbp1* loxp allele than the one in Hoang's study[29]. We performed genetic

lineage tracing, and enriched the control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes in adult *Ptbp1$^{loxp/loxp}$;tdT+/-*

;Aldh1l1-Cre+/- mice for scRNA-seq analysis as well as for bulk RNA-seq and splicing analysis

following *Ptbp1* depletion. We observed efficient depletion of PTBP1 from astrocytes but no

neuronal conversion in *Ptbp1* cKO mice at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after *Ptbp1* depletion. Our RNA-

seq analysis of *Ptbp1*-depleted astrocytes showed minimal alterations in astrocytic gene

expression but obvious changes in RNA splicing profiles, confirming loss of PTBP1 function.

However, these splicing changes do not resemble the characteristics typically observed in

neuronal splicing. ScRNA-seq analysis further revealed limited astrocyte-to-neuron conversion

following genetic *Ptbp1* loss. We conclude that *Ptbp1* depletion in mature astrocytes effectively

alters RNA splicing but is insufficient to induce widespread neuronal conversion. Intriguingly, our

findings also indicate that PTBP1 in mature astrocytes, unlike in other non-neuronal cell types, is

a dispensable repressor of neuronal splicing and controls a distinct astrocytic splicing program.

Results:

Establishing an astrocyte-specific *Ptbp1* conditional KO (cKO) mouse model

To specifically knockout *Ptbp1* in mature astrocytes, we crossed *Ptbp1$^{loxp/loxp}$* mice containing

Loxp sites flanking *Ptbp1* exon 2[31,32] with the astrocyte-specific tamoxifen inducible mouse line

Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2[33], and with a Cre-dependent tdTomato reporter line Ai14 (LSL-tdTomato-

WPRE) (Figure 1A). This allows labeling of *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes (*Ptbp1$^{loxp/loxp}$;tdT+/-;Aldh1l1-*

Cre+/-) by the tdTomato reporter for downstream analyses. This *Ptbp1* loxp allele is different from

the previous astrocyte-to-neuron conversion study[29], in which the promoter and 1st exon were

removed. The Cre-dependent reporter is also different from Hoang et al.'s study, which used LSL-

Sun1-sfGFP. Therefore, our experiments provide an independent assessment of astrocyte-

specific *Ptbp1* cKO and monitoring of cKO cells.

Since astrocyte maturation persists in the first month following birth[34–37], we administered

tamoxifen by intraperitoneal (IP) injection for five consecutive days from postnatal day 35 to 39

(P35-39). We then collected and analyzed mouse brains at 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 12 weeks after

tamoxifen induction, following the schedule in Hao et al. study that reported positive conversion

(Figure 1A). We first analyzed the consistency of the Cre reporter expression between control

and *Ptbp1* cKO mice. We found that Cre reporter-positive tdTomato-expressing cells made up

approximately 6-7% of the cortical cell population 4 weeks after tamoxifen induction and persisted

for the duration of the 12 week analysis window (Figure 1B-D). The ratio of tdT$^+$ to DAPI$^+$ cells in

the cortex remained around 6% at 8 weeks and 12 weeks following tamoxifen induction and did

not change between control and *Ptbp1* cKO mice (Figure 1D). These results showed that the Cre

activity, indicated by tdTomato expression, already reached a plateau by 4 weeks after tamoxifen

injection and remained stable through 12 weeks. These findings demonstrate a reliable marker

for tracing the targeted population and suggest negligible cell death in *Ptbp1* cKO cells. Almost

all tdT$^+$ cells co-stained with astrocyte marker s100β, indicating the reliability of the tdTomato as

an astrocyte reporter in this mouse model (Figure 1–figure supplement 1).

Immunostaining for PTBP1 showed 90-96% of cortical tdT$^+$ astrocytes are PTBP1$^+$ in

Ptbp1$^{loxp/+}$;tdT+/-;Aldh1l1-Cre+/- or *Ptbp1$^{+/+}$;tdT+/-;Aldh1l1-Cre+/-* mice (Figure 1E). We found

that less than 0.8% of tdT$^+$ astrocytes co-stained with PTBP1 in *Ptbp1* cKO mice, indicating

efficient astrocyte-specific deletion of PTBP1 in the cortex (Figure 1E). The KO is specific to tdT$^+$

cells, as we observed no tdTomato expression in PTBP1$^+$ cells in the cKO animals (Figure 1C)

and the percentage of tdT[+]PTBP1^{+} cells decreased from around 36% to 0.2% of the total PTBP1

cells (Figure 1F). These findings further confirm the mutually exclusive expression pattern of

PTBP1 and tdTomato in *Ptbp1* cKO mice and high efficiency of *Ptbp1* cKO in tdT^{+} cells of the

cortex. Taken together, we find that *Ptbp1* cKO mice exhibit robust induction of astrocyte-specific

reporter expression, efficient and specific PTBP1 depletion, making it a reliable model to study

loss of PTBP1 function in mature astrocytes in the adult mouse brain.

156   *Ptbp1* depletion does not induce the astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in mouse cortex

A number of independent studies have claimed that knockdown of *Ptbp1* in mature

astrocytes/Müller glia in mouse brain, retina or spinal cord induces neuronal conversion

accompanied by functional recovery as late as 12 weeks after PTBP1 knockdown [19–24]. Others

have disputed these claims [25–29,38,39] arguing that observed conversion is biased by the use of less

rigorous cell type specific promoters[26]. Only one group from the latter studies used a genetic

Ptbp1 KO mouse model to test astrocyte-to-neuron conversion upon *Ptbp1* loss and obtained

negative results [28,29]. The pro-conversion group challenged this study for its short observation

window, i.e., examining *Ptbp1* mutant mice only up to 8 weeks after 4-OHT induction rather than

at 12 weeks.

Here, we employed an independent astrocyte-specific genetic *Ptbp1 c*KO mouse model for this

controversial topic. Among studies with positive reports, conversion was observed following AAV-

mediated *Ptbp1* KD up to 12 weeks[19,39]. For a fair comparison, we assessed the degree of

neuronal conversion at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after tamoxifen injection to fully cover previously

reported investigation windows. We confirmed the non-neuronal expression pattern of PTBP1 in

the adult wild-type mouse brain, showing little PTBP1 co-staining with NeuN^{+} cells in the cortex,

striatum or hippocampus (Figure 1–figure supplement 2). We found similar results in control and

Ptbp1 cKO mice, with less than 0.1% of NeuN^{+} cells co-staining with PTBP1 in the cortex across

the 4 to 12-week period (Figure 1G; Figure 1–figure supplement 3). In contrast, PTBP1 co-staining

with s100β, GFAP, and Iba1 showed PTBP1 expression in both astrocytes and microglia (Figure

1–figure supplements 2 and 3). However, we observed very little astrocyte-to-neuron conversion

(indicated by tdT$^+$NeuN$^+$ cells) upon *Ptbp1* cKO, even at 12 weeks after tamoxifen induction

(Figure 1H). Consistent with the lack of noticeable astrocyte-to-neuron conversion, the proportion

of cortical NeuN$^+$ cells to total cell counts remained unchanged between control and *Ptbp1* cKO

animals and throughout the 4 to 12-week period (Figure 1I).

***Ptbp1* depletion does not induce the astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in the striatum**

Reports of *Ptbp1* knockdown-induced glia-to-neuron conversion also included midbrain regions

[18–20,40]. Therefore, we asked whether astrocyte-specific *Ptbp1* cKO can induce neuron conversion

in the striatum at 4, 8, and 12 weeks following tamoxifen induction. We found persistent tdTomato

reporter labeling of striatal astrocytes at 4, 8, and 12 weeks following tamoxifen induction (Figure

2A-B, Figure 2–figure supplement 1). The proportion of tdT$^+$ cells in the striatum was slightly lower

than in the cortex, comprising 4-5% of total cells at 4, 8, and 12 weeks in both control and *Ptbp1*

cKO mice after tamoxifen administration (Figure 2C). We observed efficient PTBP1 depletion from

striatal tdT$^+$ cells in *Ptbp1* cKO mice (Figure 2D). Control mice showed PTBP1 expression in

approximately 95% of tdT$^+$ cells whereas *Ptbp1* cKO animals showed minimal PTBP1 expression

in tdT$^+$ cells at any time point (Figure 2D, Figure 2–figure supplement 1). Approximately 25-30%

of PTBP1$^+$ cells expressed tdTomato in the control mouse striatum, which was reduced to nearly

0% in *Ptbp1* cKO mice (Figure 2E). Both control and *Ptbp1* cKO mice exhibited less than 0.1% of

striatal NeuN$^+$ cells expressing PTBP1 (Figure 2F). These findings in the striatum indicate that

PTBP1 is efficiently depleted across multiple brain regions of *Ptbp1* cKO mice at 4, 8 and 12

weeks following tamoxifen induction.

We did not detect neuronal conversion of tdT$^+$ cells in the striatum by 12 weeks (Figure 2A-B).

Control mice exhibited less than 1% of tdT$^+$NeuN$^+$ cells across all time points, and *Ptbp1* cKO

mice did not have a significant increase (Figure 2G). *Ptbp1* cKO mice showed a statistically

significant decrease in tdT⁺NeuN⁺ cells at 4 weeks in the striatum, but the absolute changes

remain small. We found a slight increase in the proportion of NeuN⁺ cells in *Ptbp1* cKO mouse

striatum at 8 weeks, although this effect was not detectable at 4 or 12 weeks following tamoxifen

induction (Figure 2H). Given the absence of reporter labeling in this altered neuronal population

(Figure 2G), we do not attribute the increased ratio of NeuN⁺ to DAPI⁺ cells observed at 8 weeks

in *Ptbp1* cKO mice to potential neuronal conversion of striatal astrocytes induced by *Ptbp1*

depletion. We conclude that *Ptbp1* depletion for 4, 8, or 12 weeks is insufficient to induce efficient

neuronal conversion of astrocytes in the striatum.

Ptbp1 depletion does not induce the astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in substantia nigra

The substantia nigra is another midbrain region examined for the conversion of astrocytes to

neurons after knockdown of *Ptbp1*[19]. Loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra is a

pathological hallmark of Parkinson's disease that causes striatal dopamine deficiency[41]. Qian et

al. found that following RNAi-mediated *Ptbp1* knockdown, 20% of reporter-labeled cells

expressed NeuN at 3 weeks, 60% at 5 weeks, and approximately 80% at 10 weeks[19]. By 12

weeks, around 35% of reporter-positive cells exhibited markers for dopaminergic neurons,

including tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)[19].

To determine if *Ptbp1* cKO mice show astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in the substantia nigra, we

performed immunostaining on control and *Ptbp1* cKO samples for NeuN and TH. Both genotypes

demonstrated a strong induction of tdTomato expression, which did not co-localize with NeuN or

TH markers at 4, 8, or 12 weeks following tamoxifen treatment (Figure 3A-C). The lack of

tdT⁺NeuN⁺ or tdT⁺TH⁺ cells in substantia nigra suggests that the depletion of *Ptbp1* does not

facilitate the conversion of astrocytes to neurons, even after 12 weeks of *Ptbp1* deletion.

Widespread splicing changes in _Ptbp1_ cKO astrocytes

No studies have investigated the splicing landscape or confirmed the loss of PTBP1's splicing

regulatory function in astrocytes depleted of PTBP1. To investigate gene expression and splicing

changes resulting from genetic *Ptbp1* loss in astrocytes, we performed bulk RNA-seq on tdT^{+} cells

isolated from control and *Ptbp1* cKO animals. Cortices were isolated at postnatal week 9 from

animals injected with tamoxifen at postnatal week 5, and tdT^{+} cells were sorted by fluorescence-

activated cell sorting (FACS) followed by bulk RNA-seq to obtain >100 million reads per sample

(Figure 4A, Figure 4–figure supplement 1). RNA splicing analysis was conducted using the Shiba

pipeline to explore splicing changes upon *Ptbp1* loss in astrocytes[42].

Our analysis revealed a wide range of splicing changes, identifying 581 differentially spliced

events (DSEs) in 467 genes across eight types of alternative RNA splicing patterns (Figure 4B-

C). We confirmed that *Ptbp1* exon 2 was not expressed in the *Ptbp1* cKO samples (percent

spliced in [PSI] = 14.9), while it remained fully included in the control samples (PSI = 100),

demonstrating the high KO efficiency of *Ptbp1* in astrocytes and the purity of the isolated tdT[+]

cells (Figure 4D). Motif enrichment analysis revealed an overrepresentation of CU-rich

sequences—a characteristic motif of PTBP binding sites[15,16]—near the 3′ splice sites of

differentially spliced skipped exons (Figure 4E), further validating the loss of PTBP1 function.

Gene enrichment analysis for differentially spliced genes (DSGs) revealed enrichment in

pathways related to generic transcription, chromatin organization, DNA damage checkpoint

signaling, cell junction organization, and epigenetic regulation of gene expression (Figure 4F).

Notably, no ontologies associated with neurogenesis or neuronal differentiation were significantly

enriched, suggesting that *Ptbp1* loss in astrocytes does not drive a shift towards neuronal fate.

This finding suggests that the splicing alterations are specific to astrocyte physiology and function

rather than a change in cell fate, reinforcing the absence of astrocyte-to-neuron conversion upon

genetic loss of *Ptbp1*.

Splicing changes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes do not resemble those associated with the acquisition of neuronal fates

To further investigate the relationship between splicing changes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes and the splicing programs associated with the neuronal fate, we conducted principal component analysis (PCA) of the splicing profiles from the control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes as well as different stages of *in vitro* neuronal differentiation. The results showed close clustering of the control and cKO astrocyte samples, which were positioned separately from *in vitro* differentiated neurons (Figure 5A). Interestingly, on PC1 the control and cKO astrocytes align with day in vitro (DIV) −4 and DIV 0 cells, which are considered radial glia cells. PCA analysis of the control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes and developmental cortical tissue also showed the cKO samples do not deviate from the control astrocytes in a direction of neurogenesis (from E10 to P0, Figure 5–figure supplement 1).

We conducted pair-wise comparisons of the splicing profiles between control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes as well those observed in DIV 0 (radial glia) and DIV 28 (maturing neurons). Correlation analysis demonstrated that *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes retained a splicing profile very similar to the control astrocytes ($\rho = 0.92$), and to a lesser degree DIV 0 radial glia ($\rho = 0.80$), but distinct from that of DIV 28 neurons ($\rho = 0.24$). Control astrocytes also appeared more similar to DIV 0 radial glia than DIV 28 neurons ($\rho = 0.79$ and 0.24 for DIV 0 and DIV 28, respectively) (Figure 5B). These results show that mature astrocytes exhibit splicing profiles different from radial glia or neurons but substantially closer to those of radial glia than neurons. More importantly, despite inducing significant splicing changes, PTBP1 loss has not forced astrocytes to adopt a neuronal splicing pattern. Pairwise comparisons using developmental cortical tissue show similar correlation results that the control and cKO astrocytes are more similar to E10 cortices than P0 cortices (Figure 5–figure supplement 1).

Next, we compared the splicing changes induced by PTBP1 loss and those by differentiation. The

scatter plot of delta PSI (dPSI) in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes (cKO vs. Control) against the

developmental splicing changes in *in vitro* neuronal differentiation (DIV 28 vs. DIV 0) showed that

differentiation caused many more splicing alterations most of which were not affected by PTBP1

depletion in astrocytes (F2 and F6 in Figure 5C-D). Events with positive correlation (F1 and F5)

are not significantly more frequent than those with negative correlation (F3 and F7). F1 and F5

represent only 14.7% of total differential splicing events associated with neuronal differentiation,

vs. 11.8% for F3 and F7, and the magnitudes of splicing changes induced by PTBP1 loss are

substantially smaller than those occurring in differentiation. Indeed, only 17% of events in F1 and

F5 are significantly different between *Ptbp1* cKO and control (|dPSI| > 10, adjusted Fisher's exact

test P < 0.05, and Welch's t-test P < 0.05). Therefore, PTBP1 depletion in mature astrocytes has

caused an insignificant and incoherent effect of gaining characteristics of neuronal splicing.

Similar results were observed when analyzing splicing changes during cortical development

(Figure 5–figure supplement 1). In summary, splicing alterations upon *Ptbp1* deletion are primarily

astrocyte-specific and do not align with the typical neuron-related splicing patterns observed

during neuronal development.

Thorough examination of gene expression changes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes

The bulk RNA-seq data from purified tdT$^+$ cells (astrocytes) provided the sequencing depth to

thoroughly examine the gene expression changes after *Ptbp1* cKO. We found that only 11 genes

were identified as differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between control and *Ptbp1* cKO samples

(adjusted P < 0.05 and |log2 fold change| > 1) (Figure 6A). Among these, 7 genes were

upregulated: *Cfap4*, *Gm10722*, *Tdg-ps2*, *Zim1*, *Tmem72*, *Cpz*, and *Ntn4*. The 4 downregulated

genes were *Tdg*, *1190007I07Rik*/*Brawnin*, *Cep83os*, and *Mt2*. Notably, none of the identified

DEGs were markers of neurogenesis or any specific cell lineages, suggesting that *Ptbp1* loss

does not induce an astrocyte-to-neuron conversion or trigger the expression of genes associated

with neuronal lineages.

The PCA of gene expression profiles, combined with RNA-seq data from the *in vitro* differentiated

neurons, showed distinct clustering of control and cKO astrocytes from differentiating neurons

(Figure 6B). The two astrocyte samples were closely grouped together and resembled DIV 0-1

cells, or radial glia, on PC1, but were positioned separately from all neuronal samples on PC2

(Figure 6B). Correlation analysis demonstrated almost identical gene expression profiles between

control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes ($\rho = 0.99$) (Figure 6C). Control astrocytes appeared more

similar to DIV 0 radial glia than to DIV 28 neurons ($\rho = 0.43$ and 0.36 for DIV 0 and DIV 28,

respectively). This result highlights that mature astrocytes have more similarity to the expression

profile of radial glia than neurons, as well as splicing profiles, but are still quite distinct. These

patterns are not affected by PTBP1 depletion. Similar results were observed in samples of

developmental cortical tissue, where PCA demonstrated clear separation between astrocytes and

neuronal samples (Figure 6D), with no increase in correlation by PTBP1 loss (Figure 6E). These

findings indicate that, even with PTBP1 loss, cKO astrocytes retain a transcriptional profile very

distinct from that of neurons, underscoring that *Ptbp1* deficiency alone does not induce astrocyte-

to-neuron reprogramming at the transcriptomic level.

Single-cell RNA-seq analysis reveals limited astrocyte-to-neuron conversion following

genetic *Ptbp1* loss.

Prior scRNA-seq study of *Ptbp1* null astrocytes was conducted only at 2 and 4 weeks after

tamoxifen induction. To thoroughly assess the effects of *Ptbp1* loss in astrocytes at single-cell

resolution, we performed scRNA-seq on equal proportions of tdTomato$^+$ (Cre＋) and tdTomato$^-$

(Cre−) cells from the same animals 12 weeks after tamoxifen induction for the control and *Ptbp1*

cKO groups (Figure 7A). By evaluating both Cre＋ and Cre− populations in the cortices, we aimed

to accurately identify cells with *Ptbp1* loss and assess potential cell-type conversion through

clustering analysis. Following rigorous quality control and filtering, we obtained 10,851 cells from

control samples and 8,594 cells from *Ptbp1* cKO samples (Figure 7B-D). Based on gene

expression profiles, cells were classified into ten distinct cell types: astrocytes (Astro), excitatory

neurons (Exc), inhibitory neurons (Inh), microglia (Micro), immune cells (Immune),

oligodendrocytes (OL), endothelial cells (Endo), pericytes (Peri), vascular leptomeningeal cells

(VLMC), and ependymal cells (Ependymal) (Figure 7B). Control and *Ptbp1* cKO groups have

almost identical UMAP distribution (Figure 7C-D). We confirmed the Cre transgene expression

was predominantly limited to astrocytes (Figure 7E), ensuring that *Ptbp1* cKO was restricted to

this cell type. Cell counts based on cell clustering revealed no significant differences in cell type

proportions between control and *Ptbp1* cKO groups for both Cre− and Cre＋ cell populations

(Figure 7F-G), suggesting that the loss of *Ptbp1* in astrocytes does not grossly affect cell type

distribution in the cortex.

Although we observed no changes in cell type proportion, we identified a very small subset of

excitatory neurons expressing the Cre transgene (Figure 7F). Specifically, there were two distinct

excitatory neuron subpopulations, Exc-1 and Exc-2 (Figure 7B). Only the Exc-1 cluster contained

Cre＋ cells, all of which were exclusively from the *Ptbp1* cKO samples (Figure 7H). Out of the 30

cKO cells in the Exc-1 cluster, seven were Cre＋, suggesting a restricted and selective expression

pattern in this subset.

However, of all the Cre＋ cells from *Ptbp1* cKO samples ($n = 1,460$), only 0.48% (= 7/1,460) were

categorized as excitatory neurons, while 90.62% (= 1,323/1,460) were astrocytes (Figure 7G).

This observation shows that the loss of PTBP1 does not effectively drive astrocyte-to-neuron

conversion. The presence of these Cre＋ Exc-1 cells, exclusively in *Ptbp1* cKO but not in control

samples, hints at the potential of a very small subset of Aldh1l1+ astrocytes (<1%) for *Ptbp1* loss

to facilitate neuron-like identity. On the other hand, we cannot exclude the possibility of rare

neuronal expression of Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2 in individual animals, since in our lineage tracing

experiments we also observed a very small fraction (<1%) of tdT$^+$NeuN$^+$ cells in animals (Figure

1H, Figure 2G).

Discussion:

Using a genetic *Ptbp1* knockout approach in combination with lineage tracing and transcriptomic

analyses, we found little evidence to support that *Ptbp1* loss of function efficiently induces

astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in the adult mouse brain. Our lineage tracing data accord with

recent studies that examined the effects of *Ptbp1* loss through AAV, Cas13X, ASO, and genetic

Ptbp1 knockout approaches[25–29,43]. Our RNA-seq data from *Ptbp1*-depleted astrocytes shows no

enhancement of neuron-related gene expression, and our scRNA-seq analysis did not uncover

any widespread changes in cell type proportion at 12 weeks after *Ptbp1* depletion apart from a

very small subgroup of Cre＋ neurons with unknown origin.

Our study is the first to examine RNA splicing changes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes. In contrast to

minimal gene expression changes, *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes exhibit widespread splicing alterations,

confirming PTBP1's splicing regulatory function and a clear loss of PTBP1 function in our isolated

astrocytes. As a well-characterized repressor of neuronal RNA splicing, PTBP1 has been shown

to regulate brain-specific exons[44–46]. Our analysis in mature astrocytes suggests that PTBP1

regulates a core astrocytic splicing network distinct from its neuronal or developmental splicing

regulatory role. While the function of astrocyte-specific PTBP1 splicing regulation remains to be

understood, our data indicate that loss of *Ptbp1* in astrocytes is not sufficient to induce neuron-

specific splicing patterns, unlike its well-established effect in other non-neuronal cell types.

Previous genetic *Ptbp1* knockout studies have used mutant mice harboring LoxP sites flanking

the *Ptbp1* promoter and the first exon[28,47]. Our study produced similar findings by using a different

Ptbp1^loxp/loxp mouse line carrying loxP sites that flank the second exon. We confirmed our *Ptbp1*

depletion was exclusive and specific to astrocytes using the Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2 transgenic mice

and the Ai14 Cre reporter mice[33]. Thus, our genetic targeting approach mitigates concerns of

leaky neuronal Cre expression associated with GFAP-based reagents or promoters raised by

previous studies[1,26,28,29]. Our findings reinforce the conclusion that *Ptbp1* depletion in astrocytes

is not sufficient to induce neuronal conversion irrespective of the genetic targeting method

employed to induce *Ptbp1* loss. We did not detect broad induction of neuronal cell types among

Ptbp1 cKO astrocytes in our scRNA-seq analysis, but uncovered a very small subpopulation of

Cre+ excitatory neurons among *Ptbp1* cKO cells. These cells make up <0.5% *Ptbp1*-depleted

cells, indicating that while *Ptbp1* loss could promote acquisition of neuronal identity, such a

phenomenon is exceedingly rare or may be an experimental artifact.

<u>Limitations of current studies and future directions</u>

Molecular markers and transcriptomic analyses provide important assessment of key molecular

changes and alterations of transcriptome-defined cell states associated with *in vivo*

reprogramming but do not capture any cellular or morphological transformation. Continuous live

imaging of converted cells is necessary to document loss of glial morphology and acquisition of

neuronal cytoarchitecture during the conversion process, which has only recently been performed

in vivo[48]. While our data indicate that *Ptbp1* depletion in adult astrocytes is not sufficient to induce

efficient neuronal conversion, targeting other cell types for *Ptbp1* loss of function may be possible

to promote neurogenesis and rescue neurodegenerative dysfunction[49]. The use of genetic

knockout approaches, stringent lineage tracing analyses, and comprehensive transcriptomic and

splicing profiling remain critical for future studies of cellular reprogramming.

393 **Materials and Methods:**

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
strain, strain background (*Mus musculus, male, female*)	*"Ptbp1^{loxp/loxp};tdT+/-; Aldh1l1-Cre+/-"* *(Ptbp1* cKO*)*	This paper		See Materials and Methods, Mouse Maintenance
antibody	anti-S100B (mouse monoclonal)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: S2532; RRID:AB_477499	IF(1:500)
antibody	anti-PTBP1 (rabbit polyclonal)	gift from Dr. Douglas Black	Markovtsov et al., 2000	IF(1:1000)
antibody	anti-PTBP2 (rabbit polyclonal)	gift from Dr. Douglas Black	Sharma et al., 2005	IF(1:1000)
antibody	anti-NeuN (mouse monoclonal)	EMD Millipore	Cat#:MAB377;RRID:AB_2298772	IF(1:400)
antibody	anti-Iba1 (goat polyclonal)	Abcam	Cat#:ab5076; RRID:AB_2224402	IF(1:1000)
antibody	anti-tyrosine hydroxylase (chicken polyclonal)	Aves Labs	Cat#: TYH; RRID:AB_10013440	IF(1:1000)

antibody	anti-GFAP (mouse monoclonal)	Cell Signaling Technologies	Cat#: 3670; RRID:AB_561049	IF(1:500)
sequence-based reagent	PTBP1	This paper	PCR primers	Forward: TTGCCTCCTTTGAGCAACTT Reverse: TTTGCGACATTTCTCTGCAC
sequence-based reagent	Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2	This paper	PCR primers	Forward: CTTCAACAG GTGCCTTCCA Reverse: GGCAAACGG ACAGAAGCA
sequence-based reagent	Ai14 - WT	This paper	PCR primers	Forward: AAG GGA GCT GCAGTGGAGTA Reverse: CCG AAA ATC TGTGGGAAGTC
sequence-based reagent	Ai14 - Mutant	This paper	PCR primers	Forward: CTGTTCCTG TACGGCATG G Reverse: GGCATTAAA GCAGCGTATCC
other	DAPI stain	Sigma-Aldrich	D9542	2μg/mL

<u>Mouse Maintenance:</u>

Mice in this study were maintained, and the related experimental protocols were used in

compliance to the requirements of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) at

the University of California, Riverside. All mice were housed with a temperature at 22 ± 2°C and

a 12h light/dark cycle under the monitoring of the veterinary and staff. Both males and females

were used in this study. The three founder mouse lines are as below:

1. *Ptbp1[loxp/loxp]* line carries loxp sites flanking *Ptbp1* exon 2 was provided by Dr. Douglas

Black[31,32].

2. The tamoxifen inducible astrocyte specific cre line, Tg (Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2), was provided

by Dr. Todd Fiacco (https://www.jax.org/strain/029655).

3. The Cre-dependent tdTomato reporter line Ai14 (LSL-tdTomato-WPRE) was provided by

Dr. Martin M. Riccomagno (https://www.jax.org/strain/007914#).

<u>Mouse genotyping:</u>

Mouse genotyping was performed by extracting DNA from mouse toe or tail biopsy samples by

incubating samples in 100 μL lysis solution (Bioland, #GT0102) at 100C for 30 minutes, after

which the reaction was neutralized by adding 100 μL DNA stabilization solution (Bioland,

#GT0102). Genotyping was performed by PCR (94°C for 4 minutes, followed by 34 cycles of

amplification: 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds, then 72C for 5

minutes, and hold at 4°C) with the listed primer sets.

Primer Name	Species	Sequence-Forward	Sequence-Reverse
PTBP1	mouse	TTG CCT CCT TTG AGC AAC TT	TTT GCG ACA TTT CTC TGC AC
Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2	mouse	CTT CAA CAG GTG CCT TCC A	GGC AAA CGG ACA GAA GCA
Ai14 - WT	mouse	AAG GGA GCT GCA GTG GAG TA	CCG AAA ATC TGT GGG AAG TC
Ai14 - Mutant	mouse	CTG TTC CTG TAC GGC ATG G	GGC ATT AAA GCA GCG TAT CC

<u>Tamoxifen administration:</u>

Tamoxifen powder (Sigma #T5648) was dissolved in corn oil (Sigma #C8267) to 10mg/ml, kept

in 4°C in dark up to one week. To induce astrocyte specific Cre expression in adult mouse brain,

5 consecutive doses of tamoxifen were administrated by IP injection as 75mg/kg to mice from

P35 to 39.

Immunostaining:

At the desired time points, mice were anesthetized by CO2, perfused with cold PBS (pH 7.4) and

cold 4% paraformaldehyde (Acros Organics #AC416785000) in PBS. Mouse brains were

dissected out and post-fixed in 4% PFA at 4°C overnight. In the following day, the brains were

washed three times with pH7.4 PBS, embedded in 3% agarose in PBS and then sectioned to 100

μm using a Vibratome LEICA VT1000S (Leica). Immunostaining was carried out as previously

described. Briefly, brain sections were rinsed three time (30 min/each) with PBS, permeabilized

with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min, incubated in a blocking buffer (10% donkey serum, 2%

BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100 in pH7.4 PBS) for one hour at room temperature. Then the sections were

incubated with appropriate primary antibodies in blocking buffer at 4°C overnight. The primary

antibodies used in this study are mouse S100β (Sigma #S2532, 1:500), rabbit PTBP1 (1:1000,

gift from Dr. Douglas Black[50]), rabbit PTBP2 (1:1000, gift from Dr. Black, and from this study[51]),

mouse NeuN (EMD Millipore # MAB377, 1:400), goat Iba1 (Abcam #ab5076, 1:1000), chicken

tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, Aves labs #TYH, 1:1000), and mouse GFAP (Cell Signaling

Technology #3670, 1:500). On the second day, sections were rinsed three times with 0.3% Triton

X-100 in PBS and incubated in appropriate Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Life Technologies,

1:1000) in blocking buffer at 4°C overnight. On the third day, the sections were washed three

times with PBS, incubated with DAPI in PBS (Sigma #D9542, 1:500) for one hour at room

temperature, washed for three times again with PBS, and then mounted with ProLong Gold

Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific # P36930). Mounted sections were left in dark at RT

overnight and imaged with confocal microscopy LSM800 (Zeiss).

Imaging and quantification:

Single-focal plan or z-stack images were obtained from mouse brain sections by LSM800 (Zeiss)

and Zen blue software. Image processing and automated cell counting for DAPI and NeuN were

done using Zen blue software. The cell counting for tdT[+], PTBP1^{+} cells were done manually. All

data analysis were carried out using Excel. The significance was evaluated by *t-test* in Excel.

P<0.05 was considered as significant. *P<0.05* "*", *P<0.01* "**", *P<0.001* "***".

<u>Single cell isolation:</u>

To obtain the single cell suspension from adult mouse brain, we adapted the isolation method

from a previous publication[52] and then further purified the samples with the Debris Removal

reagent (Miltenyi Biotec #130-109-398) according to vendor's manual. To inhibit RNase activity,

we supplemented the cell dissociation buffer and resuspension buffer with RNase inhibitor (Sigma

#3335402001).

The mouse was perfused with 100ml cold PBS to thoroughly wash out blood. The desired brain

regions were dissected out, rinsed with cold HBSS, gently chopped into 1-2mm, and incubated in

2.5ml HBSS with 20U/ml papain (Worthington Biochemical #LK003176) and 0.005% DNase I

(Sigma #11284932001) in 37°C for 15min with gentle agitation every 5min. The tissue lysis was

triturated 4 times using a silanized glass pipet (Thermo Fisher Scientific #NC0319875) and then

another 15min incubation in 37°C as above. After the completion of the lysis, 2.5ml ice-cold

HBSS+ (HBSS with 0.2U/ul RNase inhibitor, 0.5% BSA and 2mM EDTA) was added and the

sample was centrifuged at 300g at 4°C for 5min. Then the supernatant was removed and 1ml ice-

cold HBSS+ was added to the cell pellets. The sample was triturated 3 times using fire polished

silanized glass pipet, transferred into a pre-chilled 15ml tube, centrifuge at 100g at 4°C for 15

seconds. The supernatant at step was considered as single cell suspension and transferred into

a clean 15ml tube on ice. A new 1ml ice-cold HBSS+ was added to the cell pellets, the above

steps were repeated 3-4 times, and the single cell suspension was pooled together (around 3-

4ml). The single cell suspension was filtered by 30 µm MACS® SmartStrainer (Miltenyi Biotec

#130098458) to remove cell debris and large clumps, and then was subjected to Debris Removal

reagent for further purification following vendor's manual. The single cells were resuspended in

500ul cold cell resuspension buffer (1X PBS with 0.5% BSA and 1.0U/µl RNase Inhibitor) and

followed by tdT$^+$ cell sorting.

<u>Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS):</u>

Cells were sorted by using MoFlo Astrios EQ Cell Sorter (Beckman Coulter) with Summit version

6.3 software. tdTomato was excited by a 561 nm laser, and detected by emissions spectra

between 561-579 nm. Total cell population was selected by forward and side scatter profiles, and

doublet populations were excluded by side scatter area vs. side scatter height plot gating only

singlets. Fluorescence gates were established by comparing tdT$^+$ and tdT$^-$ cells, with tdT$^+$ cells

sorted for RNA extraction into cold Trizol LS. The FAC sorting process was completed within 2-3

hours per sample.

<u>Bulk RNA-sequencing:</u>

For bulk RNA-sequencing, mice at 4 weeks after tamoxifen injection were collected for single tdT$^+$

cell sorting. Differently, the single cells were directly sorted into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube

containing 750 µL of Trizol LS (Thermo Fisher Scientific #10296028). Cell sorting in each tube

proceeded until volume reached 1mL and then a new tube with Trizol LS was replaced until the

end of the sorting. Total RNA was extracted according to manufacturer's instructions for Trizol

reagent. The RNA quality was monitored by Agilent TapeStation 4150 in the Genomics core

facility in UCR. The samples passed the quality check were sent to Novogene for library

preparation and sequencing.

For quality control and alignment of raw bulk RNA-seq reads from *Ptbp1* knockout samples, we

employed the Snakemake workflow "preprocessing_RNAseq.smk" from SnakeNgs (version

0.2.0) (https://github.com/NaotoKubota/SnakeNgs). In brief, low-quality reads were filtered using

fastp (version 0.23.4)[53], and the remaining reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome

(mm10) using STAR (version 2.7.11a)[54], with Ensembl transcript annotation (v102). Quality

metrics were then collected with Picard (version 3.1.1) (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) and

summarized using MultiQC (version 1.25)[55] . We applied Shiba (version 0.4.0)[56] to the mapped

reads with the default parameters for differential gene expression and splicing analysis. Shiba

internally employs DESeq2[57] for differential gene expression analysis. Genes with adjusted $P <$

0.05 and the absolute log2 fold change greater than 1 were considered DEGs. For differential

splicing analysis, events with adjusted Fisher's exact test $P < 0.05$, dPSI greater than 10, and

Welch's t-test $P < 0.05$ were considered DSEs. Enrichment analysis was performed for

differentially spliced genes (DSGs) using Metascape[58].

For comparison of the transcriptome signatures with our *Ptbp1* knockout samples, we analyzed

RNA-seq data from mouse cortex across various developmental stages and ages [59], as well as

from in vitro differentiated neurons (DIV-8 (embryonic stem cells), DIV-4 (neuroepithelial stem

cells), DIV0 (radial glia), DIV-1, DIV-7, DIV-16, DIV-21, and DIV-28)[60]. We employed the

Snakemake workflow "preprocessing_RNAseq.smk" for quality control and read alignment. Shiba

was used to perform differential gene expression and splicing analysis and to quantify transcript

per million (TPM) for each gene and PSI for each alternative splicing event. Correlations in gene

expression and splicing profiles were then assessed for DEGs and DSEs detected either in

astrocytes or neuronal samples.

Single-cell RNA sequencing:

Both mouse brain cortices were collected 12 weeks after tamoxifen injection and subjected to

single cell isolation. After cell sorting, the single cell suspension of tdT$^+$ cells were approximately

adjusted to 1000 cells/ul and the procedures were carried out according to the manufacturer's

instructions for Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' GEM, Library & Gel Bead Kit v3.1 (10x

Genomics # 1000128). To enrich the tdT$^+$ cells, for each animal, the cells subjected to 10x

procedure were mixed as 1:1 ratio of tdT$^+$ and tdT$^-$ from the same animal.

For quantification of unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) in our scRNA-seq reads from *Ptbp1*

knockout samples, we employed the Snakemake workflow "kb-nac.smk" from SnakeNgs (version

0.2.0). This workflow internally executes kb-python[61], a wrapper of kallisto and bustools[62], to

obtain UMI count matrix. We ran this workflow with customized genome and transcript annotation

files that contain tdTomato and Cre gene sequences as well as the reference Gencode mouse

genes. The UMI count matrix was then processed by Scanpy (version 1.9.5)[63]. We used Scrublet

[64] for cell doublets detection and Harmony [65] for batch-effect correction. Cell types were manually

assigned based on the expression level of marker genes.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the NIH Research Project Grant R01NS15276 (S.Z).

Animal ethics statement:

All animal procedures were approved by the University of California, Riverside Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC: #111), in accordance with the guidelines of the US

Department of Agriculture, the International Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of

Laboratory Animal Care, and the National Institutes of Health.

Data and code availability statement:

Raw fastq and processed files of bulk RNA-seq and scRNA-seq data have been deposited at

Gene Expression Omnibus under accession GSE294763 and GSE294768. The code used for

analyzing the sequencing data have been deposited at GitHub (https://github.com/Sika-Zheng-

Lab/Ptbp1_astrocyte_2025).

Permissions:

No previously published material has been adapted or reproduced in this manuscript.

Main figure legends

Figure 1. *Ptbp1* depletion does not effectively induce the astrocyte-to-neuron conversion
in mouse cortex

(A) Schematic workflow to genetically generate the adult astrocyte specific *Ptbp1* cKO mouse

model and timeline of tamoxifen administration and sample collection. (B) Representative images

of mouse cortex collected 12 weeks after tamoxifen injection. White boxes indicate the location

of images shown in Figure 1C (Scale bars are 100 μm). (C) Representative immunostaining

images of mouse cortex collected 12 weeks after tamoxifen IP injection. White arrowheads in the

control panels indicate the expression of PTBP1 in tdTomato$^+$ (tdT$^+$) astrocytes. Yellow

arrowheads indicate PTBP1 was successfully depleted in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes. The absence of

NeuN and tdTomato double-positive (NeuN$^+$tdT$^+$) cells demonstrates no astrocyte-to-neuron

conversion with *Ptbp1* depletion. Scale bars are 100 μm. (D) Quantification of tdT$^+$ astrocyte

proportion at 4, 8, and 12 weeks following tamoxifen induction. (E-F) Quantification of *Ptbp1*

knockout efficiency in control and *Ptbp1* cKO mouse cortex at 4, 8, and 12 weeks following

tamoxifen induction. (G) Quantification of PTBP1$^+$NeuN$^+$ double positive cells indicating PTBP1

is not expressed in neurons. (H) Quantification of NeuN$^+$tdT$^+$ cells indicating the absence of

astrocyte-to-neuron conversion. (I) Quantification of NeuN$^+$ cells at 4, 8, and 12 weeks following

tamoxifen induction showing no changes in the proportion of neurons in control or *Ptpb1* cKO

cortex. Animal numbers are n = 3 for both control and KO groups at all three time points. For

quantification, the individual cortical images taken per brain are N=20-24 for 4 weeks, 7-10 for 8

weeks and 10-20 for 12 weeks. The quantification results represent the average and *stdev* of

biological replicates (n). The significance test was carried out by *t-test*, *p< 0.05, **p< 0.01, ***p<

0.001 and "ns" means no difference with p>0.05.

Figure 2. *Ptbp1* depletion does not induce the astrocyte-to-neuron transition in striatum.

(A) Representative images of control and *Ptbp1* cKO mouse striatum collected 12 weeks after

tamoxifen injection. White boxes indicate the location of images shown in Figure 2B. Scale bars

are 100 μm. (B) Representative immunostaining images of mouse striatum collected 12 weeks

after tamoxifen induction. White arrowheads in the control panels indicate the expression of

PTBP1 in astrocytes (tdT$^+$ cells). Yellow arrowheads indicate the efficient PTBP1 depletion in

Ptbp1 cKO astrocytes. The absence of NeuN$^+$tdT$^+$ cells in the striatum demonstrates no astrocyte-

to-neuron conversion with *Ptbp1* depletion. Scale bars are 100 μm. (C) Quantification of striatal

tdT$^+$ astrocyte proportion at 4, 8, and 12 weeks following tamoxifen induction. (D-E) Quantification

of *Ptbp1* knockout efficiency in control and *Ptbp1* cKO mouse striatum at 4, 8, and 12 weeks

following tamoxifen induction. (F) Quantification of PTBP1$^+$NeuN$^+$ double positive cells indicating

PTBP1 is not expressed in striatal neurons. (G) Quantification of NeuN$^+$tdT$^+$ cells indicating

absence of astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in the striatum. (H) Quantification of NeuN$^+$ cells at 4,

8, and 12 weeks following tamoxifen induction showing minimal changes in the proportion of

neurons in control or *Ptbp1* cKO striatum. Animal numbers are n=3 for both control and KO groups

at all three time points. For quantification, the individual cortical images taken per brain are N=4-

6 for 4 weeks, 3-6 for 8 weeks and 4-6 for 12 weeks. The quantification results represent the

average and *stdev* of biological replicates (n). The significance test was carried out by *t-test*, *p<

0.05, **p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001 and "ns" means no difference with p>0.05.

Figure 3. *Ptbp1* depletion does not induce the astrocyte-to-neuron transition in substantia

nigra. (A-C) Representative images of the immunostaining results (substantia nigra) of the mouse

brains collected at 4 weeks (A), 8 weeks (B), 12 weeks (C) after tamoxifen induction. White

arrowheads indicate the locations of astrocytes (tdT^{+} cells). However, none of the tdT^{+} cells

express either NeuN or TH. The absence of NeuN or TH and tdTomato double positive cells

reveals no astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in *Ptbp1 c*KO. Scale bars are 100um. Animal numbers

are n=3 for both control and KO groups at all the three time points expect for the control group at

8 weeks (n=2).

Figure 4. Widespread splicing changes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes. (A) Schematic of the

experimental design of bulk RNA-seq. (B) Volcano plot of differential splicing analysis,

highlighting the significant exon 2 skipping in *Ptbp1* in the cKO samples. Upregulated events are

highlighted in pink and downregulated events in green. (C) Bar plot showing the number of

DSEs identified in eight types of alternative splicing events. SE: Skipped exon, FIVE: Alternative

5´ prime splice site, THREE: Alternative 3´ prime splice site, MXE: Mutually exclusive exons, RI:

Retained intron, AFE: Alternative first exon, ALE: Alternative last exon, MSE: Multiple skipped

exons. (D) Genome browser track of the *Ptbp1* gene locus and its exon 2 (E2) with RNA-seq

signals of control and *Ptbp1* cKO samples. (E) Enriched motifs in 3´ spliced sites of differentially

spliced skipped exons by *Ptbp1* cKO. (F) Gene ontology enrichment analysis of differentially

spliced genes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes. The coronal section drawing in (A) was created using

BioRender (https://www.biorender.com/).

Figure 5. Impact of *Ptbp1* loss on astrocyte splicing profiles. (A) PCA of PSI values across

control, *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes, and *in vitro* differentiated neuron samples at various differentiation

stages (DIV-8, DIV-4, DIV0, DIV1, DIV7, DIV16, DIV21, and DIV28). (B) Spearman's correlation

analysis of PSI values between control, *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes, and *in vitro* differentiated neuron

samples (DIV0 and DIV28). (C) Scatter plot of dPSI in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes (cKO vs. Control)

against splicing changes in *in vitro* differentiated neurons (DIV28 vs. DIV0). Splicing events are

categorized into eight functional groups (F1-F8). (D) Bar plot showing the number of alternative

splicing events in each functional category (F1-F8).

Figure 6. Minimal gene expression changes in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes. (A) Volcano plot

showing DEGs between control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocyte samples. Upregulated genes are

highlighted in pink and downregulated genes in green. (B) PCA of TPM values across control,

Ptbp1 cKO astrocytes, and *in vitro* differentiated neuron samples at various differentiation stages

(DIV-8, DIV-4, DIV0, DIV1, DIV7, DIV16, DIV21, and DIV28). (C) Spearman's correlation analysis

comparing TPM values between control, *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes, and in vitro differentiated neuron

samples (DIV0 and DIV28). (D) PCA of TPM values across control, *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes, and

cortical tissue samples at various developmental stages (E10, E11, E12, E13, E14, E15, E16,

and P0). (E) Spearman's correlation analysis comparing TPM values between control, *Ptbp1* cKO

astrocytes, and cortical tissue samples (E10 and P0).

Figure 7. Single-cell RNA-Seq analysis of *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes shows limited astrocyte-

to-neuron conversion. (A) Schematic of the experimental design of single-cell RNA-seq. (B)

UMAP plot of all identified cell types based on gene expression profiles. Cells were classified into

ten distinct cell types: astrocytes (Astro), excitatory neurons (Exc), inhibitory neurons (Inh),

microglia (Micro), immune cells (Immune), oligodendrocytes (OL), endothelial cells (Endo),

pericytes (Peri), vascular leptomeningeal cells (VLMC), and ependymal cells (Ependymal). Two

excitatory neuron subpopulations, Exc-1 and Exc-2, are highlighted. (C-D) UMAP plots showing

the distribution of cells in control (*n* = 10,851) (C) and *Ptbp1* cKO (*n* = 8,594) (D) samples. (E)

The Cre transgene expression projected on the UMAP plot. (F) Dot plot representing the

expression of marker genes across identified cell types. (G) Bar plot showing the proportion of

each cell type in control and *Ptbp1* cKO samples. (H) Bar plot showing the number of Cre-negative

and Cre-positive cells in Exc-1 and Exc-2 clusters for control and *Ptbp1* cKO samples. The coronal

section drawing in (A) was created using BioRender (https://www.biorender.com/).

Figure supplement legends

Figure 1–figure supplement 1. tdTomato expression in cortical and striatal astrocytes.

(A) *Aldh1l1*-Cre induced tdTomato$^+$ (tdT$^+$) cells are mostly s100β$^+$ astrocytes in the cortex. (B)

Cropped images of (A) show the cell type details in higher magnification. The image locations in

cortex are indicated by the white squares in (A). (C) Representative images of striatum from the

same animals of (B). Most of the tdT$^+$ cells are s100β$^+$ (solid white arrowheads). Although there

are a few s100β$^-$/tdT$^+$ (hollow white arrowheads) and s100β$^+$/tdTomato$^-$ (hollow yellow

arrowheads) cells, they exist in both controls and KOs and have no difference in both groups. The

time point is 4 weeks after tamoxifen injection. Animal numbers are n=2 for each group. Scale

bars are 100 μm.

Figure 1–figure supplement 2. PTBP1 is expressed in both astrocytes and microglia but

not in neurons in adult mouse brain.

(A) PTBP1 is not expressed in adult neurons. Neurons are visualized by NeuN antibody staining.

(B) PTBP1 expression in astrocytes stained by s100β. PTBP1 expression is not exclusive to

s100β$^+$ cells indicating its expression in other cell types. (C) PTBP1 expression in both astrocytes

(GFAP) and microglia (Iba1). The representative images in (A) and (B) are all single focal plane

images and in (C) are z-stack images acquired with 10 μm z and 1 μm/step. Two months old

BL6/C57 mice were used in this experiment and n=3. Scale bars are 100 μm.

Figure 1–figure supplement 3. PTBP1 depletion for 4 and 8 weeks does not induce

astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in the cortex.

(A-B) Representative low-magnification immunostaining images of mouse cortex collected at 4

weeks (A), and 8 weeks (B) after tamoxifen IP injection. Scale bars are 100 µm. (C-D)

Representative high-magnification images in panels A-B. White arrowheads in the control panels

indicate the well expression of PTBP1 in astrocytes (tdT^{+} cells). Yellow arrowheads indicate

PTBP1 was successfully depleted in astrocytes. Scale bars are 100 µm.

Figure 2–figure supplement 1. 4 and 8 weeks of PTBP1 depletion does not induce

astrocyte-to-neuron conversion in the striatum.

(A-B) Representative immunostaining images of mouse striatum collected at 4 weeks (A) and 8

weeks (B) after tamoxifen induction. White arrowheads in the control panels indicate the

expression of PTBP1 in astrocytes (tdTomato^{+} cells). Yellow arrowheads reveal the efficient

depletion of PTBP1 in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes. (C-D) Representative low-magnification images of

mouse striatum collected 4 (C) and 8 weeks (D) weeks after tamoxifen injection. White boxes

indicate the location of images shown in panels A-B. Scale bars are 100 µm.

Figure 4–figure supplement 1. Fluorescence activated cell sorting of tdTomato+ cells.

(A) Forward and side scatter profiles of a representative cell suspension isolated from

Ptbp1$^{+/+}$;tdT+/+;Aldh1l1-Cre+/- (control) cortex used to select whole cell population. (B) Side

scatter area and height profiles of cells from the previous gate in (A) with an overlaid gate to select

single cells and exclude doublets. (C) Side scatter and tdTomato fluorescence intensity profiles

of single cells from the previous gate in (B) with fluorescence gates showing the selection of

tdTomato+ and tdTomato- cells. (D) Histogram of fluorescence emission of the control single cell

population. (E) Forward and side scatter profiles of a representative cell suspension isolated from

Ptbp1$^{loxp/loxp}$;tdT+/-;Aldh1l1-Cre+/- (*Ptbp1* cKO) cortex used to select whole cell population. F)

Side scatter area and height profiles of cells from the previous gate in (E) with an overlaid gate to

select single cells and exclude doublets. (G) Side scatter and tdTomato fluorescence intensity

profiles of single cells from the previous gate in (F) with fluorescence gates showing the selection

of tdTomato+ and tdTomato- cells. (H) Histogram of fluorescence emission of the *Ptbp1* cKO

single cell population.

Figure 5–figure supplement 1. Comparison of splicing profiles between *Ptbp1* cKO

astrocytes and developmental cortical tissue. (A) PCA of PSI values for splicing events in

control and *Ptbp1* cKO samples as well as developmental mouse cortical tissue samples across

various developmental stages. (B) Spearman's correlation analysis comparing gene expression

profiles of control and *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes with embryonic (E10) and postnatal (P0) cortical

tissue samples. (C) Scatter plot of dPSI values in *Ptbp1* cKO astrocytes (cKO vs. Control) versus

developmental splicing changes in the cortical tissue (P0 vs. E10). Splicing events are

categorized into eight functional groups (F1-F8). (D) Bar plot showing the number of alternative

splicing events in each functional category (F1-F8).

References:

1. Bocchi, R., Masserdotti, G. & Götz, M. Direct neuronal reprogramming: Fast forward from

new concepts toward therapeutic approaches. *Neuron* **110**, 366–393 (2022).

2. Mertens, J. *et al.* Directly Reprogrammed Human Neurons Retain Aging-Associated

Transcriptomic Signatures and Reveal Age-Related Nucleocytoplasmic Defects. *Cell Stem*

Cell **17**, 705–718 (2015).

3. Yang, Y. *et al.* Enhanced Rejuvenation in Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Neurons

Compared with Directly Converted Neurons from an Aged Mouse. *Stem Cells Dev.* **24**,

2767–2777 (2015).

4. Matsuda, T. *et al.* Pioneer Factor NeuroD1 Rearranges Transcriptional and Epigenetic

Profiles to Execute Microglia-Neuron Conversion. *Neuron* **101**, 472-485.e7 (2019).

5. Yoo, A. S. *et al.* MicroRNA-mediated conversion of human fibroblasts to neurons. *Nature*

476, 228–231 (2011).

6. Torper, O. *et al.* Generation of induced neurons via direct conversion in vivo. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. **110**, 7038–7043 (2013).

7. Heinrich, C. *et al.* Sox2-Mediated Conversion of NG2 Glia into Induced Neurons in the

Injured Adult Cerebral Cortex. *Stem Cell Rep.* **3**, 1000 (2014).

8. Karow, M. *et al.* Direct pericyte-to-neuron reprogramming via unfolding of a neural stem cell-

like program. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 932–940 (2018).

9. Mattugini, N. *et al.* Inducing Different Neuronal Subtypes from Astrocytes in the Injured

Mouse Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron* **103**, 1086-1095.e5 (2019).

10. Rao, Y. *et al.* NeuroD1 induces microglial apoptosis and cannot induce microglia-to-neuron

cross-lineage reprogramming. *Neuron* **109**, 4094-4108.e5 (2021).

11. Qian, C., Dong, B., Wang, X.-Y. & Zhou, F.-Q. In vivo glial trans-differentiation for neuronal

replacement and functional recovery in central nervous system. *FEBS J.* **288**, 4773–4785

(2021).

12. Liu, S., Xu, X., Omari-Siaw, E., Yu, J. & Deng, W. Progress of reprogramming astrocytes

into neuron. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **130**, 103947 (2024).

13. Fu, X.-D. & Mobley, W. C. Therapeutic Potential of PTB Inhibition Through Converting Glial

Cells to Neurons in the Brain. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **46**, 145–165 (2023).

14. Vuong, C. K., Black, D. L. & Zheng, S. The neurogenetics of alternative splicing. *Nat. Rev.*

Neurosci. **17**, 265–281 (2016).

15. Keppetipola, N., Sharma, S., Li, Q. & Black, D. L. Neuronal regulation of pre-mRNA splicing

by polypyrimidine tract binding proteins, PTBP1 and PTBP2. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*

47, 360 (2012).

16. Vuong, J. K. *et al.* PTBP1 and PTBP2 serve both specific and redundant functions in

neuronal pre-mRNA splicing. *Cell Rep.* **17**, 2766–2775 (2016).

17. Zheng, S. *et al.* PSD-95 is post-transcriptionally repressed during early neural development

by PTBP1 and PTBP2. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 381–388, S1 (2012).

18. Weinberg, M. S., Criswell, H. E., Powell, S. K., Bhatt, A. P. & McCown, T. J. Viral Vector

Reprogramming of Adult Resident Striatal Oligodendrocytes into Functional Neurons. *Mol.*

Ther. **25**, 928 (2017).

19. Qian, H. *et al.* Reversing a model of Parkinson's disease with in situ converted nigral

neurons. *Nature* **582**, 550–556 (2020).

20. Zhou, H. *et al.* Glia-to-Neuron Conversion by CRISPR-CasRx Alleviates Symptoms of

Neurological Disease in Mice. *Cell* **181**, 590-603.e16 (2020).

21. Maimon, R. *et al.* Therapeutically viable generation of neurons with antisense

oligonucleotide suppression of PTB. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 1089–1099 (2021).

22. Yang, R.-Y. *et al.* Knockdown of polypyrimidine tract binding protein facilitates motor

function recovery after spinal cord injury. *Neural Regen. Res.* **18**, 396 (2023).

23. Yuan, M., Tang, Y., Huang, T., Ke, L. & Huang, E. In situ direct reprogramming of astrocytes

to neurons via polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1 knockdown in a mouse model of

ischemic stroke. *Neural Regen. Res.* **19**, 2240 (2023).

24. Fukui, Y. *et al.* Suppression of PTBP1 in hippocampal astrocytes promotes neurogenesis

and ameliorates recognition memory in mice with cerebral ischemia. *Sci. Rep.* **14**, 20521

(2024).

25. Guo, T. *et al.* Downregulating PTBP1 Fails to Convert Astrocytes into Hippocampal Neurons

and to Alleviate Symptoms in Alzheimer's Mouse Models. *J. Neurosci.* **42**, 7309 (2022).

26. Wang, L.-L. *et al.* Revisiting astrocyte to neuron conversion with lineage tracing in vivo. *Cell*

184, 5465-5481.e16 (2021).

27. Chen, W., Zheng, Q., Huang, Q., Ma, S. & Li, M. Repressing PTBP1 fails to convert reactive

astrocytes to dopaminergic neurons in a 6-hydroxydopamine mouse model of Parkinson's

disease. *eLife* **11**, e75636 (2022).

28. Hoang, T. *et al.* Genetic loss of function of *Ptbp1* does not induce glia-to-neuron conversion

in retina. *Cell Rep.* **39**, 110849 (2022).

29. Hoang, T. *et al.* Ptbp1 deletion does not induce astrocyte-to-neuron conversion. *Nature* **618**,

E1–E7 (2023).

30. Hao, Y. *et al.* Reply to: Ptbp1 deletion does not induce astrocyte-to-neuron conversion.

Nature **618**, E8–E13 (2023).

31. Yeom, K.-H. *et al.* Polypyrimidine tract-binding protein blocks miRNA-124 biogenesis to

enforce its neuronal-specific expression in the mouse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**,

E11061 (2018).

32. Stork, C., Li, Z., Lin, L. & Zheng, S. Developmental Xist induction is mediated by enhanced

splicing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, 1532–1543 (2019).

33. Srinivasan, R. *et al.* New transgenic mouse lines for selectively targeting astrocytes and for

studying calcium signals in astrocyte processes in situ and in vivo. *Neuron* **92**, 1181–1195

(2016).

34. Felix, L., Stephan, J. & Rose, C. R. Astrocytes of the early postnatal brain. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*

54, 5649–5672 (2021).

35. Laywell, E. D., Rakic, P., Kukekov, V. G., Holland, E. C. & Steindler, D. A. Identification of a

multipotent astrocytic stem cell in the immature and adult mouse brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. **97**, 13883 (2000).

36. Moroni, R. F. *et al.* Proliferative cells in the rat developing neocortical grey matter: new

insights into gliogenesis. *Brain Struct. Funct.* **223**, 4053–4066 (2018).

37. Qian, X. *et al.* Timing of CNS cell generation: a programmed sequence of neuron and glial

cell production from isolated murine cortical stem cells. *Neuron* **28**, 69–80 (2000).

38. Leib, D., Chen, Y. H., Monteys, A. M. & Davidson, B. L. Limited astrocyte-to-neuron

conversion in the mouse brain using NeuroD1 overexpression. *Mol. Ther.* **30**, 982–986

(2022).

39. Xie, Y., Zhou, J. & Chen, B. Critical examination of Ptbp1-mediated glia-to-neuron

conversion in the mouse retina. *Cell Rep.* **39**, 110960 (2022).

40. Boisvert, M. M., Erikson, G. A., Shokhirev, M. N. & Allen, N. J. The Aging Astrocyte

Transcriptome from Multiple Regions of the Mouse Brain. *Cell Rep.* **22**, 269–285 (2018).

41. Poewe, W. *et al.* Parkinson disease. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer* **3**, 1–21 (2017).

42. Kubota, N., Chen, L. & Zheng, S. Shiba: a versatile computational method for systematic

identification of differential RNA splicing across platforms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **53**, gkaf098

(2025).

43. Yang, G. *et al.* Ptbp1 knockdown failed to induce astrocytes to neurons in vivo. *Gene Ther.*

30, 801–806 (2023).

44. Boutz, P. L. *et al.* A post-transcriptional regulatory switch in polypyrimidine tract-binding

proteins reprograms alternative splicing in developing neurons. *Genes Dev.* **21**, 1636–1652

(2007).

45. Llorian, M. *et al.* Position-dependent alternative splicing activity revealed by global profiling

of alternative splicing events regulated by PTB. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17**, 1114–1123

(2010).

46. Makeyev, E. V., Zhang, J., Carrasco, M. A. & Maniatis, T. The MicroRNA miR-124 Promotes

Neuronal Differentiation by Triggering Brain-Specific Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing. *Mol.*

Cell **27**, 435–448 (2007).

47. Shibayama, M. *et al.* Polypyrimidine tract-binding protein is essential for early mouse

development and embryonic stem cell proliferation. *FEBS J.* **276**, 6658–6668 (2009).

48. Xiang, Z. *et al.* Two-photon live imaging of direct glia-to-neuron conversion in the mouse

cortex. *Neural Regen. Res.* **19**, 1781 (2024).

49. Böck, D. *et al.* Base editing of Ptbp1 in neurons alleviates symptoms in a mouse model for

Parkinson's disease. *eLife* **13**, (2024).

50. Markovtsov, V. *et al.* Cooperative Assembly of an hnRNP Complex Induced by a Tissue-

Specific Homolog of Polypyrimidine Tract Binding Protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 7463 (2000).

51. Sharma, S., Falick, A. M. & Black, D. L. Polypyrimidine Tract Binding Protein Blocks the 5′

Splice Site Dependent Assembly of U2AF and the Prespliceosomal E Complex. *Mol. Cell*

19, 485 (2005).

52. Swartzlander, D. B. *et al.* Concurrent cell type–specific isolation and profiling of mouse

brains in inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. *JCI Insight* **3**, (2018).

53. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics **34**, i884–i890 (2018).

54. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

55. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for

multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–3048 (2016).

56. Kubota, N., Chen, L. & Zheng, S. Shiba: A unified computational method for robust

identification of differential RNA splicing across platforms. (2024)

doi:10.1101/2024.05.30.596331.

57. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

58. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-

level datasets. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1523 (2019).

59. Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S. M. *et al.* Precise temporal regulation of alternative splicing during

neural development. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2189 (2018).

60. Hubbard, K. S., Gut, I. M., Lyman, M. E. & McNutt, P. M. Longitudinal RNA sequencing of

the deep transcriptome during neurogenesis of cortical glutamatergic neurons from murine

ESCs. *F1000Research* **2**, 35 (2013).

61. Sullivan, D. K. *et al.* kallisto, bustools, and kb-python for quantifying bulk, single-cell, and

single-nucleus RNA-seq. *bioRxiv* 2023.11.21.568164 (2024)

doi:10.1101/2023.11.21.568164.

62. Melsted, P. *et al.* Modular, efficient and constant-memory single-cell RNA-seq

preprocessing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 813–818 (2021).

63. Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression

data analysis. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 15 (2018).

64. Wolock, S. L., Lopez, R. & Klein, A. M. Scrublet: Computational Identification of Cell

Doublets in Single-Cell Transcriptomic Data. *Cell Syst.* **8**, 281-291.e9 (2019).

65. Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony.

Nat. Methods **16**, 1289–1296 (2019).

Figure 1

Figure 7