**Article Type:  Research**

**Title:**

**D1/D5 Receptor Activation Promotes Long-Term Potentiation and Synaptic Tagging/Capture in Hippocampal Area CA2**

*Kevin Chua[1, 2], Yee Song Chong[1] and Sreedharan Sajikumar [1, 2,3*]*

[1]*Department of Physiology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine National University of Singapore; Singapore, 117593, Singapore*
[2]*Healthy Longevity Translational Research Programme, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine National University of Singapore; Singapore, 117456, Singapore*
[3]*Neurobiology Programme, Life Sciences Institute, National University of Singapore; Singapore, 117456, Singapore*

Running Title: D1/D5 Receptors Enhance LTP and STC in CA2

Address for Correspondence:
Sreedharan Sajikumar
Doctor of Philosophy
Department of Physiology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, 10 Medical Drive (S)117597
+65 6516 5886
phssks@nus.edu.sg

# Abstract

Hippocampal area CA2 plays an important role in social memory formation. However, CA2 is characterised by plasticity-resistant Schaffer collateral-CA2 (SC-CA2) synapses and highly plastic entorhinal cortex-CA2 (EC-CA2) synapses. Despite abundant dopaminergic input, the relationship between dopamine signalling and area CA2 synaptic plasticity remains unexplored. Here, we show that SKF-38393-mediated Dopamine D1-like receptor (dopamine $D_1$ and $D_5$ receptors) activation differentially primes CA2 inputs in an NMDAR and protein synthesis-dependent manner. We defined an inverted-U shape relationship between SKF-38393 concentration and EC-CA2 potentiation. Additionally, we observed a priming effect on SC-CA2 plasticity with 50μM SKF-38393, relieving plasticity resistance. We also demonstrated that this effect follows canonical protein kinase A (PKA) signalling. Collectively, our results show that D1R activation primes the CA2 for synaptic plasticity. Thus, we propose a link between neuropsychiatric diseases related to impaired dopamine transmission and deficits in hippocampus-dependent social memory.

## 1   Introduction

The hippocampal area CA2 is involved in the formation of social recognition memory, social

aggression, and regulating CA1 excitability [1-5]. Experimental silencing of the CA2 led to

impaired social memory formation. In these experiments, mice were unable to differentiate

novel mice from familiar ones [1,6]. At the circuit level, changes in CA2 firing pattern in

response to social stimulation and novel objects were proposed to be a mechanism for how

the CA2 encodes the social elements of memory [2,7]. However, it remains unclear how the

CA2 integrates social information with episodic memories.

The CA2 exhibits plasticity resistance at Schaffer Collateral-CA2 synapses (SC-CA2) in the

*stratum radiatum* (SR) and robust plasticity at Entorhinal Cortex-CA2 synapses (EC-CA2) in

the *stratum lacunosum moleculare* (SLM) [8,9]. By possessing such unique synaptic properties,

the CA2 was proposed to act as a filter to prevent excessive CA1 activity [8]. For example,

post-mortem hippocampal slices of schizophrenic patients exhibited a selective decrease in

CA2 inhibitory drive [10]. This supports accounts of hippocampal hyperactivity in the

schizophrenia pathophysiology [11]. Apart from intrahippocampal projections, the CA2 also

receives various neuromodulatory inputs from extrahippocampal structures that modulate

synaptic efficacy [2,9]. These include vasopressinergic projections from the paraventricular

nucleus, cholinergic projections from the medial septum and diagonal bands of Broca, and

dopaminergic projections from the locus coeruleus (LC) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) [12-

16]. Neuromodulators like vasopressin 1b receptor agonists were shown to promote slow-onset

potentiation (SOP) lasting up to 25min in CA2 whole-cell recordings [17]. However, the effect

of dopaminergic modulation on CA2 plasticity remains unclear. The dorsal CA2 robustly

expresses Dopamine D1-like receptors (D1R, including dopamine $D_1$ and $D_5$ receptors)

relative to the CA1 and CA3 [18]. Studies in the CA1 report the involvement of D1R activation

in novelty-associated memory, memory consolidation, and spatial memory [15,16,19-26].

Furthermore, dysfunctions in dopaminergic transmission underlie schizophrenia and autism

spectrum disorder [27-30]. The high density of dopaminergic receptors in CA2, coupled with

impaired dopaminergic signalling in schizophrenia, suggests a potential role for CA2 in the

pathophysiology of the disorder.

Long-term potentiation (LTP) is a well-regarded correlate for memory formation and is

described as a persistent increase in synaptic efficacy [31,32]. LTP consists of two phases: a

short-lasting protein synthesis-independent early phase (early-LTP) and a long-lasting protein

synthesis-dependent late phase (late-LTP). In the context of electrically induced LTP, the

intensity of tetanic stimulation determines the extent of LTP phase progression, e.g., strong

tetanisation (STET) results in the expression of late-LTP. In contrast, weak tetanisation

(WTET) results in early-LTP expression. Besides electrical stimulation, neuromodulation

differentially facilitates LTP induction in the hippocampus [24,33-37]. For example, the

application of the D1R agonist SKF-38393 with test stimulations resulted in SOP that

resembles late-LTP in the CA1 [24,38,39]. Furthermore, D1R-mediated signalling leads to the

synthesis of plasticity-related products (PRPs) [31,40-42]. PRPs are crucial for both LTP

maintenance and the associative properties of LTP. Associativity enables a weakly stimulated

pathway – unable to express late-LTP on its own – to do so by accessing resources activated

by an independent strongly stimulated pathway in close spatiotemporal proximity. This

property is well-illustrated by the synaptic tagging and capture (STC) framework [43,44]. The

STC framework proposes that LTP induction leads to two events: (1) tag setting and (2) PRP

synthesis [31,43,45]. While both WTET and STET are sufficient in synaptic 'tag' setting, only

STET initiates *de novo* PRP synthesis. These newly synthesized PRPs can be 'captured' by

'tagged' synapses to transform initially transient LTP into a more persistent form. Since the

activity of a synapse can influence another, it suggests that synaptic plasticity itself is

malleable, a phenomenon called metaplasticity. Metaplasticity refers to the alteration of the

magnitude and length of plasticity due to prior activity-dependent changes in synapses [46]. Put

simply, the LTP induction threshold of synapses can be altered based on prior activity,

essentially priming synapses for LTP. This priming effect relies on diverse biochemical

mechanisms that create suitable conditions for LTP induction and maintenance.

Newly synthesised PRPs are essential to maintain potentiated synaptic activity. Among the

PRPs, Protein Kinase A (PKA) plays an integral role in LTP induction and maintenance,

where its downstream targets include Striatum-enriched protein Tyrosine Phosphatase (STEP)

and Extracellular signal-regulated Kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) [47,48]. In the CA1, ERK1/2 activation

via cyclic adenosine monophosphate-protein kinase A (cAMP-PKA) signalling leads to PRP

synthesis [49-51]. STEP is a tyrosine phosphatase highly expressed in the CA2 [52,53]. As a

homeostatic regulator of synaptic plasticity, STEP exerts a tonic brake on LTP induction via

ERK1/2 dephosphorylation [53]. Interestingly, D1R activation in the striatum regulates STEP

activity in a cAMP/PKA-dependent manner [54]. However, it is unclear whether these

mechanisms are similar in the CA2.

In this paper, we investigated the effects of SKF-38393-mediated D1R priming in rat

hippocampal CA2 via field electrophysiology. Firstly, we illustrated an inverted-U shape

dose-response curve of D1R activation on EC-CA2 plasticity. Secondly, we showed that D1R

activation primes the SC-CA2 for LTP in a *de novo* protein synthesis- and N-methyl-D-

aspartate receptor (NMDAR)-dependent manner. Thirdly, we showed that LTP induction in

the SC-CA2 is dependent on EC-CA2 activity. Finally, we showed that SC-CA2 priming

involves PKA, STEP, and ERK1/2. Our study points to the role of dopamine signalling in

learning and memory, and its possible implications in social-related neuropsychiatric

disorders.

## Materials and Methods

*Animals and Preparation of Hippocampal Slices*

In this study, a total of 185 hippocampal slices from 59 male Wistar rats (5-7 weeks old) were used for experiments. Wistar rats were obtained from InVivos Pte Ltd (Singapore). All rats were housed in the institutional animal housing facility under 12h light/dark cycle with food and water available *ad libitum*. Animal procedural protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the National University of Singapore. Rats were briefly anaesthetized with $CO_2$, decapitated and the brains were quickly isolated into 2-4°C artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing the following (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 2 $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 1.25 $NaH_2PO_4$, 26 $NaHCO_3$ and 17 D-Glucose. These concentrations were adapted and modified from Chevaleyre and Siegelbaum [8]. The aCSF pH was kept between pH 7.2-7.4 and saturated with carbogen (95% $O_2$ and 5% $CO_2$). Right hippocampi were isolated and sliced transversely into 400μM slices via a manual chopper (Stoelting, Wood Dale, Illinois). These transverse hippocampal slices were laid onto a nylon net in an interface chamber (Scientific Systems Design) and incubated at 32±1°C (PTC03, Scientific System Design Inc., Canada) with an aCSF flow rate of 1ml/min and constant carbogen bubbling for at least 3-4h before any experiment.

*Field Potential Recordings*

For two-pathway experiments, three monopolar lacquer-coated stainless-steel electrodes (5 MΩ; AM-Systems, Sequim, Washington) were placed in the CA2. Among the three electrodes, two were utilised as stimulating electrodes, one positioned in the CA2 SR layer to stimulate CA3→CA2 fibres (SC-CA2) and the other positioned in the CA2 SLM layer to stimulate EC→CA2 fibres (EC-CA2) (Figure 1a). Field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) derived from stimulation of the EC-CA2 and SC-CA2 inputs were recorded from

the CA2 dendritic region between these two inputs via the recording electrode (Figure 1a).

The recordings were amplified via a differential amplifier (Model 1700, A-M Systems) and

digitized via an analog-to-digital converter (Power1401, Cambridge Electronic Design). The

initial slope value (mV/ms) of fEPSPs was recorded and displayed through the custom-

developed Intracell (IfN) software. A paired-pulse facilitation protocol was conducted to test

the pathway independence of the two inputs [55,56]. After a 3-4h preincubation period for slice

recovery, an input-output curve was generated (afferent stimulation intensity against fEPSP

slope value) for each stimulated input. The test stimulation strength was determined based on

the fEPSP response at 40% of the maximal fEPSP slope value. A stable baseline was recorded

for 30min at this intensity before any pharmacological intervention or tetanic stimulation.

Four sweeps of 0.2Hz biphasic, constant current pulses (pulse duration of 0.1ms) were given

every 5min for baseline and post-induction recording. The average slope value from the four

sweeps was considered as one point for the fEPSP (%) against time. A weak tetanisation

(WTET) protocol comprising of one high-frequency stimulation (HFS) at 100Hz, 21 biphasic

current pulses, biphasic burst with a 0.2ms pulse duration. This protocol was shown to be able to

induce a transient and protein synthesis-independent form of LTP that typically lasts for around 2-

3h before returning to baseline [42]. This protocol was used for all SC-CA2 WTET induction. A

strong tetanisation (STET) protocol comprising three trains (10-min intervals) of 100Hz, 100

pulses, biphasic burst with 0.2ms pulse duration. In the CA1, pathway independence for

D1R-mediated SOP could be achieved by selectively silencing test stimulations to a pathway

[24,39,40]. Therefore, for the silenced EC-CA2 experiment, a stable baseline of EC-CA2 was

recorded before test stimulations were silenced. The stimulations were turned back on only

after 30min post-WTET to prevent EC-CA2 SOP. This was to ensure minimal influence from

EC-CA2 onto SC-CA2 D1R priming.

 *Pharmacology*

 D1R partial agonist SKF-38393 (#D047, Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared as a 50mM stock diluted

 in 0.1% DMSO and stored at -20°C. DMSO at 0.1% does not affect basal transmission and does

 not affect control recordings [57]. Before drug application, the stock solution was diluted to a final

 concentration of either 25μM, 50μM or 100μM in aCSF. SKF-38393 was bath applied to the

 interface chamber at ~1ml/min for 5min with a 5-min interval of aCSF perfusion (Figure 1a).

 This paradigm was suggested to emulate spaced HFS-like LTP induction in hippocampal CA1

 neurons [39,58]. The protein synthesis inhibitor emetine dihydrochloride (#E2375, Sigma-Aldrich)

 was stored as a 20mM stock prepared in de-ionised water and diluted to a 20μM working solution

 in aCSF before application. NMDAR antagonist D-AP5 (#A8054, Sigma-Aldrich) was stored as

 a 50mM stock prepared in de-ionised water and diluted to a 50μM working solution in aCSF

 before application. Based on the experiment, either Emetine or AP5 was bath applied to the

 interface chamber for a total of 60min after baseline. 30min into the drug application, 50μM

 SKF-38393-drug mixture was used as in the protocol mentioned above. However, instead of

 aCSF perfusion during the spaced interval, it was substituted with either Emetine- or D-AP5-

 aCSF respectively.

 All concentrated stocks were made freshly every week before experimentation and used within

 the week.

 *Western Blot*

 Control and SKF-38393-treated slices were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -

 80°C until use. The CA2 was apportioned under a microscope from these flash-frozen slices.

 Each sample contained 8 slices. Total protein was extracted using T-PER Tissue Protein

 Extraction Kit (Prod#78510, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc., USA) and HALT[TM] Protease

 Inhibitor Cocktail Kit (Prod#78840, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc., USA). A colourimetric

 assay dye concentrate was used to quantify protein levels in samples (Quick Start Bradford

 Protein Assay, 5000205, Bio-Rad, CA., USA). 20μg of protein extracts were separated in

10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and wet transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF)

transfer membranes. The membranes were blocked for 1h with 5% bovine serum albumin

(A2153, Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) and subsequently incubated with the respective primary

antibodies overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-phosphorylated-

PKA C (Thr197) (1:1 000, #4781, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), rabbit anti-PKA Cα (1:1

000, #4782, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), rabbit anti-phosphorylated-p44/42 MAPK

Thr202/Tyr204 (1:1 000, #9101, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), rabbit anti-p44/42 MAPK

(1:1 000, #9102, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), mouse anti-STEP (1:200, sc-23892, Santa

Cruz Biotechnology), and mouse anti-GAPDH (1:3 000, #97166, Cell Signaling Technology,

USA), and incubated with their respective secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish

peroxidase (rabbit and mouse, Cell Signaling Technology, USA). The protein bands were

detected via chemiluminescence (SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate

Kit, Thermo Scientific, USA) and imaged via a chemiluminescence imaging machine (Azure

400, Azure Biosystems, USA). ImageJ was utilised to quantify the protein bands [59], with

bands normalised to their corresponding total protein or GAPDH.

*Statistical Analysis*

The fEPSP slope values per time point were expressed as a percentage against the average 30min

baseline values in all experiments. GraphPad Prism 10.0 was used to plot graphs and perform

statistical analyses on the average time-matched, normalised data across replicated experiments.

The fEPSP graphs are plotted against time as 'mean ± standard error of mean (SEM)'. All

experiments were subjected to non-parametric tests as normality cannot be assumed at small

sample sizes. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used when comparisons were made within a group.

Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare values between groups. For multiple comparisons

between three or more groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Dunnett's post hoc test was used

to determine differences between control and experimental groups. Unpaired t-test was used to

analyse western blot results. The statistical significance was assumed from $P<0.05$ ($P<0.05$: *,

$P<0.01$: **, $P<0.001$: ***), and the number of slices used were denoted as 'n'. Every

experimental group consists of a minimum of six biological variants.

## Results

**50μM SKF-38393 induces slow-onset potentiation in EC-CA2 but not SC-CA2**

Since most studies in the CA1 use a range between 50μM to 100μM SKF-38393 [24,38,39,50,60], we

decided to use 50μM as the midpoint concentration to gauge the response of the CA2 between

lower (25μM) and higher (100μM) concentrations. There was no significant potentiation

observed in EC-CA2 and SC-CA2 from the 25μM and 100μM groups (Figure 1c & 1e). In

contrast, 50μM SKF-38393 induced SOP in the EC-CA2 that lasted throughout the recording

(Figure 1d, 130.1±11.5% vs 97.9±1.2% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P=0.0156$, n=7). The Kruskal-

Wallis test revealed a significant difference between the 50μM SKF-38393 EC-CA2 endpoint

(240min) mean fEPSP slope value and the EC-CA2 endpoints of 25μM and 100μM (Figure 1b,

$H=9.844$, $P=0.0029$). Dunnett's post hoc test revealed no significant difference between 25μM

and 100μM groups ($P>0.9999$) but a significant difference between 25μM and 50μM ($P=0.0332$),

and 100μM and 50μM ($P=0.0150$). There were no significant differences in SC-CA2 baseline and

endpoint potentiation for 50μM SKF-38393 (98.8±6.4% vs 100.8±1.7% (baseline), Wilcoxon test,

$P=0.5781$, n=7). Furthermore, the Kruskal-Wallis test revealed no significant difference between

SC-CA2 endpoint potentiations across all concentrations (Figure 1b, $H=9.844$, $P=0.6008$). Since

SOP was not observed in the SC-CA2 upon SKF-38393 application, it affirms its plasticity-

resistant nature.

These observations show that 50μM SKF-38393 sufficiently induces SOP resembling late-LTP in

EC-CA2. As such, this concentration was used for the remainder of the study.

**D1R activation primes the SC-CA2 for late-LTP**

Since SKF-38393 did not induce SOP in the SC-CA2, we wanted to determine if the SC-CA2

was primed by D1R activation. A WTET on its own induced a transient potentiation that returned

to baseline level within minutes (Figure 2a, 101.9±2.9% vs 99.7±0.5% (baseline), Wilcoxon test,

$P$=0.5469, n=8). When the WTET was delivered to the SC-CA2 synapse after SKF-38393 bath

application, a priming effect occurred as there was a significant difference between SC-CA2

endpoint potentiation compared to its baseline (Figure 2b, 140.7±8.1% vs 101.7±1.9% (baseline),

Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.0156, n=7). Also, EC-CA2 potentiation at the end of 240min was

significantly different from the baseline (Figure 2b, 120.4±7.6% vs 99.7±1.6% (baseline),

Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.0313, n=7). Additionally, there was a significant difference when endpoint

potentiations were compared between untreated WTET SC and SKF+WTET SC (Figure 2c,

Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=7, $P$=0.0140). This suggests that D1R activation had primed SC-CA2

synapses, allowing WTET to induce late-LTP, when it would normally induce a transient

potentiation.

Similar to WTET, delivery of STET only induced transient potentiation (Figure 2d, 90.0±8.1% vs

98.5±2.5% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.2188, n=9) in untreated SC-CA2. When STET was

delivered to the SKF-38393 bath-applied SC-CA2 synapses, it potentiated with the endpoint

significantly different from baseline (Figure 2e, 163.0±9.3% vs 99.4±1.2% (baseline), Wilcoxon

test, $P$=0.0156, n=9). Also, EC-CA2 potentiation at the end of 240min was significantly different

from baseline (Figure 2e, 114.8±5.7% vs 98.9±1.4% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.0469, n=9).

Additionally, there was a significant difference when endpoint potentiations were compared

between untreated STET SC and SKF+STET SC (Figure 2f, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=1,

$P$=0.0012). This reaffirms that plasticity resistance was lifted upon D1R priming.

To investigate if D1R priming enhanced LTP induction, baseline potentiation was compared to

the 90th min. There were significant differences in SC-CA2 potentiation between 90th min vs

baseline in SKF+WTET SC (135.0±9.2% vs 101.6±1.9% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.0313,

n=7) and SKF+STET SC (174.5±15.2% vs 97.9±1.9% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.0039, n=9).

Similarly, a significant difference was found in EC-CA2 potentiation between 90th min vs

baseline potentiation for SKF+WTET SC (122.3±6.5% vs 100.3±1.8% (baseline), Wilcoxon test,

$P$=0.0313, n=7) and SKF+STET SC (121.0±6.5% 98.6±1.6% (baseline), Wilcoxon test,

$P$=0.0039, n=9). Furthermore, significant differences were found in SC-CA2 potentiation

between WTET SC vs SKF+WTET SC (Figure 2c, 103.4±4.2% vs 135.0±9.2%, Mann-Whitney

U test, $U$=8, $P$=0.0205) and STET SC vs SKF+STET SC (Figure 2f, 100.9±6.3% vs

174.5±15.3%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=2, $P$=0.0007). Similarly, significant differences were

found in EC-CA2 90th min potentiation between WTET SC vs SKF+WTET SC (Figure 2c,

98.4±2.8% vs 122.3±6.5%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=7, $P$=0.0013) and STET SC vs SKF+STET

SC (Figure 2f, 95.7±6.2% vs 121.3±6.5%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=10, $P$=0.0229). Together,

these results imply that D1R priming with SKF-38393 reduced the LTP induction threshold in

SC-CA2. Regarding LTP maintenance, there was no significant difference in endpoint

potentiation between SC-CA2 from the SKF+WTET SC and SKF+STET SC (136.2±10.1% vs

161.1±7.7%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=17, $P$=0.1416). Perhaps the priming effect of SKF-38393

was not strong enough such that different HFS intensities produced no difference in the

potentiation magnitude of LTP maintenance. Nevertheless, these results suggest that both EC- and

SC-CA2 benefitted from D1R priming.

**D1R priming of the CA2 is dependent on EC-CA2 activity, *de novo* protein synthesis,**
**and NMDAR activation**

The induction and maintenance of LTP requires the co-activation of dopaminergic and

glutamatergic receptors in the CA1 [39,40,61]. We reasoned that D1R agonist application is

similar to the strong tetanisation of synapses [38,39]. Therefore, to achieve input specificity

between EC-CA2 and SC-CA2, we selectively silenced the EC-CA2 during SKF-38393 bath

application, 60min before and after WTET SC-CA2, for a total of 120min [24]. This

distinguishes whether the SC-CA2 can recruit its own PRPs or utilise PRPs from activated

EC-CA2. As shown in Figure 3b, there was no statistical difference between baseline and

endpoint potentiation for both EC-CA2 (Figure 3b, 94.6±7.3% vs 99.9±1.4% (baseline),

Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.6953, n=11) and SC-CA2 (Figure 3b, 104.5±6.1% vs 98.8±0.5% (baseline),

Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.4258, n=11). Between the SC-CA2 synapses of SKF+WTET and Silenced

EC SKF+WTET groups, there were significant differences during the induction (Figure 3e,

174.2±13.8% vs 116.3±5.4%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=3, $P$=0.0004) and maintenance

(Figure 3e, 136.2±10.1% vs 104.5±6.1%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=13, $P$=0.0204) phases.

These observations suggest that SC-CA2 LTP induction requires EC-CA2 activity such as

PRP synthesis, on top of D1R activation. However, the presence of a trend in SC-CA2

potentiation suggests that there may be some priming activity that is just not statistically

significant (Figure 3b).

To investigate whether D1R priming is dependent on *de novo* protein synthesis, protein synthesis

inhibitor Emetine was applied for 60min after baseline and during SKF-38393 application. There

was no statistical difference between endpoint potentiation and baseline for EC-CA2 (Figure 3c,

97.2±7.0% vs 98.77±0.9% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.7422, n=8) and SC-CA2 (Figure 3c,

90.0±5.2% vs 98.7±1.8% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.2500, n=8), thus implying that D1R

priming depends on *de novo* protein synthesis. Furthermore, there were significant differences

during the induction (Figure 3f, 174±13.8% vs 115.7±8.3%, Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=3,

$P$=0.0041) and maintenance (Figure 3f, 136.2±10.1% vs 89.8±5.2%, Mann-Whitney U test,

$U$=3, $P$=0.0022) phases compared to SKF+WTET SC. Together, it shows that (1) D1R priming

is protein synthesis-dependent and (2) D1R-primed SC-CA2 likely recruits PRPs but alone is

inadequate for LTP.

To investigate whether D1R priming of CA2 is NMDAR-dependent, 50μM NMDAR

antagonist D-AP-5 was co-applied with SKF-38393. Similar observations were made that

there was no statistical difference between endpoint potentiation and baseline of EC-CA2

(Figure 3d, 95.2±8.4% vs 97.3±1.2% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.9453, n=8) and SC-CA2

(Figure 3d, 96.7±5.9% vs 99.8±1.8% (baseline), Wilcoxon test, $P$=0.6406, n=8). There were

significant differences during the induction (Figure 3g, 174.2±13.4% vs 102.1±3.2%, Mann-

Whitney U test, $U$=0, $P$=0.0003) and maintenance (Figure 3g, 136.2±10.1% vs 96.69±5.9%,

Mann-Whitney U test, $U$=6, $P$=0.0093) phases compared to SKF+WTET SC. As such, D1R

priming of the CA2 is indeed NMDAR-dependent, consistent with previous reports in the CA1 [38-

40].

To conclude, these observations show that (1) D1R activation primes the SC-CA2, (2) this

priming effect is dependent on both D1R activation and EC-CA2 activity, and (3) also protein

synthesis- and NMDAR-dependent.

**D1R priming in SC-CA2 follows canonical PKA signalling and attenuates STEP activity**
Similar to the CA1, D1R and NMDAR co-activation results in SOP in the CA2 (results

shown here). However, it is unknown whether D1R activation in the CA2 follows the

canonical PKA signalling cascade. As such, we asked whether the CA2 adheres to this

canonical signalling cascade after D1R activation. We have shown in an earlier study that

D1R activation via SKF-38393 in the CA1 induces ERK1/2-dependent SOP in a dose-

dependent manner [24]. To investigate the mechanism of priming the SC-CA2, only the SC-

CA2 was test stimulated for untreated and SKF-38393-treated slices. We made the following

assumptions, (1) any intracellular activity related to priming the SC-CA2 is localised to the

test stimulation site, and (2) without test stimulation, the EC-CA2 will not induce PRPs

required for SOP as we have shown in the Silenced EC experiment. Indeed, D1R priming via

SKF-38393 in the area CA2 follows canonical PKA signalling via the upregulation of p-PKA

(Figure 4b, 1.8±0.2 vs 0.9±0.1 (control), $P$=0.0022) and p-ERK1/2 (Figure 4d, 1.5±0.2 vs

1.0±0.01 (control), $P$=0.0433), and attenuation of STEP (Figure 4f, 0.7±0.1 vs 1.0±0.003

(control), $P$=0.0010).

## Discussion

Dopaminergic modulation is critical for learning and memory [37,39]. Numerous works investigating the effect of dopaminergic signalling in the hippocampus regarding memory consolidation and synaptic plasticity have almost been exclusive to the area CA1 and dentate gyrus [19,22,24,38,62-66]. However, the effects of D1R-mediated plasticity in the area CA2 have not been characterised until now. Our study provides the first compelling evidence that D1R priming facilitates LTP in the area CA2. Furthermore, this effect involves PKA signalling and is dependent on *de novo* protein synthesis and NMDAR activation. We also show that this effect of priming is dependent on the dynamics between EC-CA2 and SC-CA2 activity.

We demonstrated for the first time that the relationship between D1R agonist SKF-38393 concentration and the magnitude of potentiation in the EC-CA2 can be described in an inverted U-shape dose-response curve as only 50μM SKF-38393 was seen to produce significant SOP. 25μM SKF-38393 was likely insufficient to induce SOP in EC-CA2, an effect similarly observed in the CA1 [24]. While the reason for the lack of SOP in 100μM SKF-38393 remains unclear, future work could explore possible negative feedback mechanisms in the CA2. In contrast, SC-CA2 did not potentiate across all concentrations. Instead, D1R activation primes the SC-CA2 for persistent LTP expression in response to WTET or STET, which normally only elicits transient potentiation as seen in the untreated groups. This implies that D1R activation triggers a metaplastic shift in the CA2 LTP threshold within a 'goldilocks' level of dopaminergic stimulation. In contrast, SKF-38393 bath application to the CA1 exhibits a linear dose-response relationship [24]. This reiterates the intrinsic differences in plasticity expression between the CA1 and CA2 and supports the notion that the CA2 is an information filter, only allowing specific social-related information to be encoded [67,68]. Various studies suggest that CA2 plasticity is heavily influenced by robust feedforward inhibition from the CA3 and the pro-inhibitory CA2 milieu – e.g., dense perineuronal nets and parvalbumin-positive interneuron population, and high calcium ion

buffering and extrusion [8,67,69-73]. As such, a myriad of internal and external factors regulate

CA2 plasticity [67,74]. Interestingly, chronic silencing of CA2 transmission results in

hippocampal hyperexcitability. These findings poise the CA2 as a key regulator of

hippocampal excitability by balancing excitatory and inhibitory dynamics [8,73,75]. Since this

property is observed in normal physiological states, it suggests that plasticity suppression in

the CA2 has functional importance, essentially responding to the right signals at the right

time. This is congruent with our findings that SC-CA2 plasticity is dependent on D1R-mediated

EC-CA2 activity as silencing the EC-CA2 during SKF-38393 application abolished LTP in SC-

CA2. It implies that the effects of D1R activation at SC-CA2 synapses alone were insufficient to

initiate adequate PRP synthesis for capture, resulting in the loss of the phenotype. Together, these

observations suggest that the CA2 is selective in processing information.

Regulator of G protein Signaling (RGS14) is commonly regarded as a major suppressive

factor of plasticity selectively enriched in the CA2 [67]. However, RGS14's inhibitory effect on

LTP is independent of $G_{i/o}$-cAMP signalling [76]. Instead, extensive RGS14-mediated calcium

extrusion and buffering restrict CA2 plasticity [67]. Similarly, Purkinje Cell Protein 4 (PCP4), a

calmodulin modulator selectively enriched in the CA2, was proposed to limit plasticity by

regulating calcium extrusion via plasma membrane ATPases [2]. Collectively, multiple

plasticity-suppressing proteins and the pro-inhibitory CA2 milieu strictly regulate CA2

plasticity [2,75,77]. However, as we were interested in the effects of dopaminergic signalling on

CA2 plasticity, we looked at mediators of plasticity downstream of D1R signalling. D1Rs are

$G_{\alpha s}$ protein-coupled receptors widely expressed in rat hippocampal CA2 [78,79]. Activation of

D1Rs upregulates PKA activity in a cAMP-dependent manner [61,66,80,81]. PKA activation

upregulates α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPAR)

synthesis, trafficking, insertion, and conductance, while also increasing NMDAR transients

and overall neuronal excitability for LTP [47,48,54,81-84]. Together, increased PKA activity and

NMDAR channel conductance elevate intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ levels, leading to the nuclear

translocation of ERK1/2. This ultimately leads to cAMP-response element binding protein

(CREB)-dependent transcription of PRPs required for late-LTP [85]. Consequently, PKA has

been proposed to be involved in tag setting and is crucial for the early-LTP to late-LTP

transition in the CA1 [31,86]. Furthermore, persistent D1R activation promotes late-LTP in an

ERK1/2-dependent manner in the CA1 [24,87]. However, STEP suppresses LTP induction by

inhibiting ERK1/2, resulting in enhanced NMDAR and AMPAR internalisation from the

synaptosomal surface membrane [52-54,88-90]. D1R activation relieves STEP-mediated inhibition

on ERK1/2 via PKA, thus enabling LTP expression [54,88,91]. Our results reveal that these

mechanisms are also prevalent in the CA2. Sufficient dopaminergic and glutamatergic co-

activation differentially modulate CA2 plasticity in a protein synthesis- and NMDAR-dependent

manner. For the EC-CA2, this co-activation is sufficient to set tags, initiate PRP synthesis and

capture them for late-LTP, albeit slowly as PKA activity gradually accumulates. For the SC-CA2,

prior D1R priming enables LTP induction only upon WTET/STET. This effect involves

upregulated PKA and ERK1/2 activity and downregulated STEP activity. These primed and

tetanised SC-CA2 synapses capture available PRPs for LTP expression. Since silencing the EC-

CA2 abolishes this effect, it suggests that the SC-CA2 is unable to recruit adequate PRPs for LTP.

Thus, the SC-CA2 relies on EC-CA2-generated PRPs for induction and sustained potentiation.

This way, both EC-CA2 and SC-CA2 enjoy the benefits of synaptic cooperation.

It is imperative to elucidate the effects of dopamine signalling in the CA2 as the social deficits

associated with schizophrenia involve crosstalk between the hippocampus and dopaminergic

sources in the brain [92-95]. Interestingly, chlorpromazine-mediated antagonism of Dopamine-2

receptors (D2R) also results in upregulated PKA activity while attenuating STEP in the

striatum [96,97]. The interplay between STEP and PKA mediates the beneficial effects of

neuroleptics for treating schizophrenia [93,98,99]. In contrast to D1Rs, D2Rs are $G_{i/o}$ protein-

coupled receptors that exert an inhibitory effect - consequently, D2R activation in the CA1

results in impaired LTP and deficits in hippocampus-dependent learning [100]. Given the

distinct affinities of D1Rs and D2Rs for dopamine, this area presents a compelling avenue for

future research [101]. While earlier studies supported the dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia,

as the efficacy of antipsychotics like chlorpromazine depends on its affinity for D2R, they do

not wholly explain reports of NMDAR antagonist-induced schizophrenia-like behaviour

[89,96,97]. Recent reports highlight the involvement of intracellular targets like PKA, STEP and

ERK1/2 as essential players in mediating beneficial neuroleptic effects in the striatum [89,99].

Since dopaminergic and glutamatergic signalling converges on STEP, it validates our

observations in the hippocampal area CA2.

In the non-pathological state, the CA2 interneuron population is relatively denser than the

other hippocampal subfields [102]. However, post-mortem studies from schizophrenic patients

report a great loss of parvalbumin-positive interneurons in the CA2 [103]. The CA2 alone is

capable of driving CA1 firing [8]. If not kept in check by feedforward inhibition from the CA3,

CA2 firing may lead to CA1 hyperactivity. Furthermore, dopamine and glutamate

dysfunction in the hippocampus are associated with schizophrenia pathophysiology

[10,21,27,30,92,104,105]. Consequently, the loss of CA2 inhibitory interneuron population coupled

with dopaminergic hyperactivity may contribute to CA1 malfunction and hippocampus-

related behavioural deficits seen in schizophrenia. Despite socially rewarding experiences,

schizophrenic patients have impairments in forming or updating their internal representations of

social information [106]. Consequently, they exhibit social withdrawal and reclusive behaviour [107].

Given the crucial role of the CA2 in social memory, it is likely that dopaminergic projections that

innervate the area CA2 influence social memory consolidation and subsequent social behaviour,

or the lack thereof.

A limitation of *in vitro* field electrophysiology is that behavioural outcomes cannot be ascertained. Therefore, the behavioural consequences of dysregulated dopaminergic transmission in the CA2 remain uncertain. Additionally, direct dopamine agonist application and the brain slice interface chamber cannot fully recapitulate the physiological setting. As such, future studies could trace dopaminergic innervations to the CA2 via retrograde viral infection, monitor *in vivo* dopaminergic activity via G-protein-coupled receptor-activation-based dopamine ($GRAB_{DA}$), and investigate changes in social memory-related behaviour before and after excitatory optogenetic activation. Our findings here set the groundwork for elucidating the effect of dopaminergic transmission in the area CA2 and thereafter the development of meaningful therapies for social-related neuropsychiatric disorders.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NUHS seed fund (NUHSRO/2024/089/T1/Seed-Mar24/02) and Ministry of Health (MOH-000641-00) to SS, and NUS Research Scholarship to KC.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

Hitti, F. L. & Siegelbaum, S. A. The hippocampal CA2 region is essential for social memory. *Nature* **508**, 88-92 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13028

Dudek, S. M., Alexander, G. M. & Farris, S. Rediscovering area CA2: unique properties and functions. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience* **17**, 89-102 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2015.22

Piskorowski, R. A. *et al.* Age-dependent specific changes in area CA2 of the hippocampus and social memory deficit in a mouse model of the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Neuron* **89**, 163-176 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.036

Meira, T. *et al.* A hippocampal circuit linking dorsal CA2 to ventral CA1 critical for social memory dynamics. *Nature Communications* **9**, 4163 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06501-w

Lopez-Rojas, J., de Solis, C. A., Leroy, F., Kandel, E. R. & Siegelbaum, S. A. A direct lateral entorhinal cortex to hippocampal CA2 circuit conveys social information required for social memory. *Neuron* **110**, 1559-1572.e1554 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2022.01.028

Stevenson, E. L. & Caldwell, H. K. Lesions to the CA2 region of the hippocampus impair social memory in mice. *The European journal of neuroscience* **40**, 3294-3301 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12689

Alexander, G. M. *et al.* Social and novel contexts modify hippocampal CA2 representations of space. *Nature Communications* **7**, 10300 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10300

Chevaleyre, V. & Siegelbaum, S. A. Strong CA2 pyramidal neuron synapses define a powerful disynaptic cortico-hippocampal loop. *Neuron* **66**, 560-572 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.04.013

Middleton, S. J. & McHugh, T. J. CA2: A Highly Connected Intrahippocampal Relay. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **43**, 55-72 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-080719-100343

Benes, F. M., Kwok, E. W., Vincent, S. L. & Todtenkopf, M. S. A reduction of nonpyramidal cells in sector CA2 of schizophrenics and manic depressives. *Biological Psychiatry* **44**, 88-97 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223(98)00138-3

Heckers, S. & Konradi, C. GABAergic mechanisms of hippocampal hyperactivity in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research* **167**, 4-11 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.09.041

Yoshida, K. & Oka, H. Topographical projections from the medial septum-diagonal band complex to the hippocampus: a retrograde tracing study with multiple fluorescent dyes in rats. *Neuroscience Research* **21**, 199-209 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-0102(94)00852-7

Chafai, M., Corbani, M., Guillon, G. & Desarménien, M. G. Vasopressin Inhibits LTP in the CA2 Mouse Hippocampal Area. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e49708 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049708

Cui, Z., Gerfen, C. R. & Young, W. S. Hypothalamic and Other Connections with the Dorsal CA2 Area of the Mouse Hippocampus. *The Journal of comparative neurology* **521**, 1844-1866 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23263

Takeuchi, T. *et al.* Locus coeruleus and dopaminergic consolidation of everyday memory. *Nature* **537**, 357-362 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19325

Wagatsuma, A. *et al.* Locus coeruleus input to hippocampal CA3 drives single-trial learning of a novel context. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E310-E316 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1714082115

Pagani, J. H. *et al.* Role of the vasopressin 1b receptor in rodent aggressive behavior and synaptic plasticity in hippocampal area CA2. *Molecular psychiatry* **20**, 490-499 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2014.47

Cembrowski, M. S., Wang, L., Sugino, K., Shields, B. C. & Spruston, N. *Hipposeq: a comprehensive RNA-seq database of gene expression in hippocampal principal neurons*, 2016).

Matthies, H. *et al.* Dopamine D1-deficient mutant mice do not express the late phase of hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Neuroreport* **8**, 3533-3535 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199711100-00023

Jay, T. M. Dopamine: a potential substrate for synaptic plasticity and memory mechanisms. *Progress in Neurobiology* **69**, 375-390 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(03)00085-6

Lisman, J. E. & Grace, A. A. The hippocampal-VTA loop: controlling the entry of information into long-term memory. *Neuron* **46**, 703-713 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.05.002

Granado, N. *et al.* D1 but not D5 Dopamine Receptors Are Critical for LTP, Spatial Learning, and LTP-Induced arc and zif268 Expression in the Hippocampus. *Cerebral Cortex* **18**, 1-12 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm026

Kempadoo, K. A., Mosharov, E. V., Choi, S. J., Sulzer, D. & Kandel, E. R. Dopamine release from the locus coeruleus to the dorsal hippocampus promotes spatial learning and memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 14835-14840 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616515114

Shivarama, M., Gopinadhan, S. & Sajikumar, S. Dopamine D1/D5 receptor signaling regulates synaptic cooperation and competition in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons via sustained ERK1/2 activation. *Hippocampus* **26**, 137-150 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.22497

Lewis, R. G., Florio, E., Punzo, D. & Borrelli, E. The Brain's Reward System in Health and Disease. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* **1344**, 57-69 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81147-1_4

Yu, M. *et al.* Liver kinase B-1 modulates the activity of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area and regulates social memory formation. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience* **17** (2024). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2024.1289476

Brisch, R. *et al.* The Role of Dopamine in Schizophrenia from a Neurobiological and Evolutionary Perspective: Old Fashioned, but Still in Vogue. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **5**, 47 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00047

Pavăl, D. A Dopamine Hypothesis of Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Developmental Neuroscience* **39**, 355-360 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1159/000478725

DiCarlo, G. E. *et al.* Autism-linked dopamine transporter mutation alters striatal dopamine neurotransmission and dopamine-dependent behaviors. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **129**, 3407-3419 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI127411

McCutcheon, R. A., Abi-Dargham, A. & Howes, O. D. Schizophrenia, Dopamine and the Striatum: From Biology to Symptoms. *Trends in Neurosciences* **42**, 205-220 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2018.12.004

Redondo, R. L. & Morris, R. G. M. Making memories last: the synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **12**, 17-30 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2963

Bliss, T. V. P., Collingridge, G. L., Morris, R. G. M. & Reymann, K. G. Long-term potentiation in the hippocampus: discovery, mechanisms and function. *Neuroforum* **24**, A103-A120 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1515/nf-2017-A059

Huang, S. Y. *et al.* Pull-Push Neuromodulation of LTP and LTD Enables Bidirectional Experience-Induced Synaptic Scaling in Visual Cortex. *Neuron* **73**, 497-510 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.023

Dasgupta, A. *et al.* Substance P induces plasticity and synaptic tagging/capture in rat hippocampal area CA2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, E8741-E8749 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711267114

Palacios-Filardo, J. & Mellor, J. R. Neuromodulation of hippocampal long-term synaptic plasticity. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **54**, 37-43 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.08.009

Benoy, A., Bin Ibrahim, M. Z., Behnisch, T. & Sajikumar, S. Metaplastic Reinforcement of Long-Term Potentiation in Hippocampal Area CA2 by Cholinergic Receptor Activation. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **41**, 9082-9098 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2885-20.2021

Speranza, L., di Porzio, U., Viggiano, D., de Donato, A. & Volpicelli, F. Dopamine: The Neuromodulator of Long-Term Synaptic Plasticity, Reward and Movement Control. *Cells* **10**, 735 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10040735

Huang, Y. Y. & Kandel, E. R. D1/D5 receptor agonists induce a protein synthesis-dependent late potentiation in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **92**, 2446-2450 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.7.2446

Navakkode, S., Sajikumar, S. & Frey, J. U. Synergistic requirements for the induction of dopaminergic D1/D5-receptor-mediated LTP in hippocampal slices of rat CA1 in vitro. *Neuropharmacology* **52**, 1547-1554 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2007.02.010

O'Carroll, C. M. & Morris, R. G. M. Heterosynaptic co-activation of glutamatergic and dopaminergic afferents is required to induce persistent long-term potentiation. *Neuropharmacology* **47**, 324-332 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2004.04.005

Sajikumar, S. & Frey, J. U. Late-associativity, synaptic tagging, and the role of dopamine during LTP and LTD. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* **82**, 12-25 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2004.03.003

Sajikumar, S., Navakkode, S. & Frey, J. U. Identification of Compartment- and Process-Specific Molecules Required for "Synaptic Tagging" during Long-Term Potentiation and Long-Term Depression in Hippocampal CA1. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **27**, 5068-5080 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4940-06.2007

Frey, U. & Morris, R. G. M. Synaptic tagging and long-term potentiation. *Nature* **385**, 533-536 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1038/385533a0

Bin Ibrahim, M. Z., Wang, Z. & Sajikumar, S. Synapses tagged, memories kept: synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis in brain health and disease. *Philosophical Transactions B* **379**, 20230237 (2024).

Frey, U. & Morris, R. G. M. Synaptic tagging: implications for late maintenance of hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Trends in Neurosciences* **21**, 181-188 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(97)01189-2

Abraham, W. C. & Bear, M. F. Metaplasticity: the plasticity of synaptic plasticity. *Trends in neurosciences* **19**, 126-130 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-2236(96)80018-x

Nayak, A., Zastrow, D. J., Lickteig, R., Zahniser, N. R. & Browning, M. D. Maintenance of late-phase LTP is accompanied by PKA-dependent increase in AMPA receptor synthesis. *Nature* **394**, 680-683 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1038/29305

Park, P. *et al.* PKA drives an increase in AMPA receptor unitary conductance during LTP in the hippocampus. *Nature Communications* **12**, 413 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20523-3

Impey, S. *et al.* Stimulation of cAMP response element (CRE)-mediated transcription during contextual learning. *Nature Neuroscience* **1**, 595-601 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1038/2830

Roberson, E. D. *et al.* The Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Cascade Couples PKA and PKC to cAMP Response Element Binding Protein Phosphorylation in Area CA1 of Hippocampus. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **19**, 4337-4348 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-11-04337.1999

Sweatt, J. D. Mitogen-activated protein kinases in synaptic plasticity and memory. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **14**, 311-317 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2004.04.001

Boulanger, L. M. *et al.* Cellular and molecular characterization of a brain-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **15**, 1532-1544 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15-02-01532.1995

Pelkey, K. A. *et al.* Tyrosine phosphatase STEP is a tonic brake on induction of long-term potentiation. *Neuron* **34**, 127-138 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00633-5

Paul, S. *et al.* The Dopamine/D1 Receptor Mediates the Phosphorylation and Inactivation of the Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase STEP via a PKA-Dependent Pathway. *Journal of Neuroscience* **20**, 5630-5638 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-15-05630.2000

Li, Q. *et al.* Making Synapses Strong: Metaplasticity Prolongs Associativity of Long-Term Memory by Switching Synaptic Tag Mechanisms. *Cerebral Cortex* **24**, 353-363 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs315

Sajikumar, S., Morris, R. G. M. & Korte, M. Competition between recently potentiated synaptic inputs reveals a winner-take-all phase of synaptic tagging and capture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 12217-12221 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1403643111

Navakkode, S., Sajikumar, S. & Frey, J. U. The Type IV-Specific Phosphodiesterase Inhibitor Rolipram and Its Effect on Hippocampal Long-Term Potentiation and Synaptic Tagging. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **24**, 7740-7744 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1796-04.2004

Navakkode, S. *et al.* Bidirectional modulation of hippocampal synaptic plasticity by Dopaminergic D4-receptors in the CA1 area of hippocampus. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 15571 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15917-1

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**, 671-675 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

Navakkode, S., Sajikumar, S., Korte, M. & Soong, T. W. Dopamine induces LTP differentially in apical and basal dendrites through BDNF and voltage-dependent

calcium channels. *Learning & Memory (Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.)* **19**, 294-299 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.026203.112

Frey, U., Huang, Y. Y. & Kandel, E. R. Effects of cAMP simulate a late stage of LTP in hippocampal CA1 neurons. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **260**, 1661-1664 (1993). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8389057

Du, H. *et al.* Dopaminergic inputs in the dentate gyrus direct the choice of memory encoding. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E5501-E5510 (2016).

Duszkiewicz, A. J., McNamara, C. G., Takeuchi, T. & Genzel, L. Novelty and Dopaminergic Modulation of Memory Persistence: A Tale of Two Systems. *Trends in Neurosciences* **42**, 102-114 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2018.10.002

Francis, T. & Leri, F. Role of dopamine D1 receptor in the modulation of memory consolidation by passive and self-administered heroin and associated conditioned stimuli. *Scientific Reports* **13**, 12614 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-39380-3

Li, S., Cullen, W. K., Anwyl, R. & Rowan, M. J. Dopamine-dependent facilitation of LTP induction in hippocampal CA1 by exposure to spatial novelty. *Nature Neuroscience* **6**, 526-531 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1049

Otmakhova, N. A. & Lisman, J. E. D1/D5 dopamine receptor activation increases the magnitude of early long-term potentiation at CA1 hippocampal synapses. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **16**, 7478-7486 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-23-07478.1996

Evans, P. R. *et al.* RGS14 Restricts Plasticity in Hippocampal CA2 by Limiting Postsynaptic Calcium Signaling. *eNeuro* **5** (2018). https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0353-17.2018

Tzakis, N. & Holahan, M. R. Social memory and the role of the hippocampal CA2 region. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience* **13**, 233 (2019).

Mercer, A., Botcher, N. A., Eastlake, K. & Thomson, A. M. SP–SR interneurones: A novel class of neurones of the CA2 region of the hippocampus. *Hippocampus* **22**, 1758-1769 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.22010

Botcher, N. A., Falck, J. E., Thomson, A. M. & Mercer, A. Distribution of interneurons in the CA2 region of the rat hippocampus. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* **8**, 104 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2014.00104

Carstens, K. E., Phillips, M. L., Pozzo-Miller, L., Weinberg, R. J. & Dudek, S. M. Perineuronal Nets Suppress Plasticity of Excitatory Synapses on CA2 Pyramidal Neurons. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **36**, 6312-6320 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0245-16.2016

Robert, V., Cassim, S., Chevaleyre, V. & Piskorowski, R. A. Hippocampal area CA2: properties and contribution to hippocampal function. *Cell and Tissue Research* **373**, 525-540 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-017-2769-7

Boehringer, R. *et al.* Chronic loss of CA2 transmission leads to hippocampal hyperexcitability. *Neuron* **94**, 642-655. e649 (2017).

Simons, S. B., Escobedo, Y., Yasuda, R. & Dudek, S. M. Regional differences in hippocampal calcium handling provide a cellular mechanism for limiting plasticity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 14080-14084 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904775106

Carstens, K. E. & Dudek, S. M. Regulation of synaptic plasticity in hippocampal area CA2. *Current opinion in neurobiology* **54**, 194-199 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.07.008

76 Squires, K. E. *et al.* Human genetic variants disrupt RGS14 nuclear shuttling and regulation of LTP in hippocampal neurons. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **296** (2021). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.ra120.016009

77 Zhao, M., Choi, Y.-S., Obrietan, K. & Dudek, S. M. Synaptic plasticity (and the lack thereof) in hippocampal CA2 neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* **27**, 12025-12032 (2007).

78 Fremeau, R. T. *et al.* Localization of D1 dopamine receptor mRNA in brain supports a role in cognitive, affective, and neuroendocrine aspects of dopaminergic neurotransmission. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **88**, 3772-3776 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.9.3772

79 Khan, Z. U. *et al.* Dopamine D5 receptors of rat and human brain. *Neuroscience* **100**, 689-699 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(00)00274-8

80 Abel, T. & Nguyen, P. V. Regulation of hippocampus-dependent memory by cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase. *Progress in brain research* **169**, 97-115 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(07)00006-4

81 Jones-Tabah, J., Mohammad, H., Paulus, E. G., Clarke, P. B. S. & Hébert, T. E. The Signaling and Pharmacology of the Dopamine D1 Receptor. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **15**, 806618 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2021.806618

82 Huang, T., McDonough, C. B. & Abel, T. Compartmentalized PKA signaling events are required for synaptic tagging and capture during hippocampal late-phase long-term potentiation. *European journal of cell biology* **85**, 635-642 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2006.02.005

83 Varela, J. A., Hirsch, S. J., Chapman, D., Leverich, L. S. & Greene, R. W. D1/D5 Modulation of Synaptic NMDA Receptor Currents. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **29**, 3109-3119 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4746-08.2009

84 Jürgensen, S. *et al.* Activation of D1/D5 Dopamine Receptors Protects Neurons from Synapse Dysfunction Induced by Amyloid-β Oligomers. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **286**, 3270-3276 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.177790

85 Impey, S. *et al.* Cross talk between ERK and PKA is required for Ca2+ stimulation of CREB-dependent transcription and ERK nuclear translocation. *Neuron* **21**, 869-883 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80602-9

86 Park, A. J. in *Synaptic Tagging and Capture: From Synapses to Behavior* 121-141 (Springer, 2024).

87 Navakkode, S., Sajikumar, S. & Frey, J. U. Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase-Mediated Reinforcement of Hippocampal Early Long-Term Depression by the Type IV-Specific Phosphodiesterase Inhibitor Rolipram and Its Effect on Synaptic Tagging. *Journal of Neuroscience* **25**, 10664-10670 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2443-05.2005

88 Zhang, Y. *et al.* The Tyrosine Phosphatase STEP Mediates AMPA Receptor Endocytosis after Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Stimulation. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **28**, 10561-10566 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2666-08.2008

89 Fitzpatrick, C. J. & Lombroso, P. J. The Role of Striatal-Enriched Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase (STEP) in Cognition. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* **5** (2011). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2011.00047

90 Li, R. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of ERK dephosphorylation by striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase. *Journal of Neurochemistry* **128**, 315-329 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.12463

Goebel-Goody, S. M. *et al.* Therapeutic Implications for Striatal-Enriched Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase (STEP) in Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Pharmacological Reviews* **64**, 65-87 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.110.003053

Lisman, J. E. & Otmakhova, N. A. Storage, recall, and novelty detection of sequences by the hippocampus: Elaborating on the SOCRATIC model to account for normal and aberrant effects of dopamine. *Hippocampus* **11**, 551-568 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.1071

Grace, A. A. Dopamine System Dysregulation by the Hippocampus: Implications for the Pathophysiology and Treatment of Schizophrenia. *Neuropharmacology* **62**, 1342-1348 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.05.011

Garrido Zinn, C. *et al.* Major neurotransmitter systems in dorsal hippocampus and basolateral amygdala control social recognition memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E4914-E4919 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1609883113

Schafer, M. & Schiller, D. The Hippocampus and Social Impairment in Psychiatric Disorders. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology* **83**, 105-118 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2018.83.037614

Seeman, P., Chau-Wong, M., Tedesco, J. & Wong, K. Brain receptors for antipsychotic drugs and dopamine: direct binding assays. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **72**, 4376-4380 (1975). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.72.11.4376

Creese, I., Burt, D. R. & Snyder, S. H. Dopamine receptor binding predicts clinical and pharmacological potencies of antischizophrenic drugs. *Science* **192**, 481-483 (1976). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3854

Jentsch, J. D. & Roth, R. H. The neuropsychopharmacology of phencyclidine: from NMDA receptor hypofunction to the dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **20**, 201-225 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0893-133x(98)00060-8

Carty, N. *et al.* The tyrosine phosphatase STEP: implications in schizophrenia and the molecular mechanism underlying antipsychotic medications. *Translational psychiatry* **2**, e137-e137 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2012.63

Espadas, I. *et al.* Dopamine D2R is Required for Hippocampal-dependent Memory and Plasticity at the CA3-CA1 Synapse. *Cerebral Cortex* **31**, 2187-2204 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhaa354

Martel, J. C. & Gatti McArthur, S. Dopamine receptor subtypes, physiology and pharmacology: new ligands and concepts in schizophrenia. *Frontiers in pharmacology* **11**, 1003 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.01003

Piskorowski, R. A. & Chevaleyre, V. Hippocampal area CA2: interneuron disfunction during pathological states. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* **17** (2023). https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2023.1181032

Zhang, Z. J. & Reynolds, G. P. A selective decrease in the relative density of parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the hippocampus in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **55**, 1-10 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0920-9964(01)00188-8

Schobel, S. A. *et al.* Imaging Patients with Psychosis and a Mouse Model Establishes a Spreading Pattern of Hippocampal Dysfunction and Implicates Glutamate as a Driver. *Neuron* **78**, 81-93 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.011

Lieberman, J. *et al.* Hippocampal dysfunction in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia: a selective review and hypothesis for early detection and intervention. *Molecular psychiatry* **23**, 1764-1772 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.249

Kring, A. M. & Caponigro, J. M. Emotion in Schizophrenia: Where Feeling Meets Thinking. *Current directions in psychological science* **19**, 255-259 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721410377599

Green, M. F. *et al.* Social cognition and social motivation in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: are impairments linked to the disorder or to being socially isolated? *Psychological Medicine*, 1-9 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291724000102

# Figure Legends

**Figure 1.**
50μM SKF-38393 induces SOP in EC-CA2. (a) (Top) Schematic representation of a hippocampal slice showing the location of electrodes in the CA2 region. Recording electrode was positioned within the CA2 dendritic region. The stimulating electrodes flanked the recording electrode in the SR (SC-CA2) and SLM (EC-CA2). (Bottom) Timeline for the SKF-38393 protocol. (b) A histogram of mean fEPSP percentage in EC-CA2 and SC-CA2 for 25μM, 50μM, 100μM SKF-38393 application at the 240th min. When all three concentrations were compared together, there was a significant difference in EC-CA2 fEPSP percentage at the 240th min (Kruskal-Wallis test, $P$=0.0029). Dunnett's post hoc test showed no significant difference between 25μM and 100μM SKF-38393 groups ($P$>0.9999) but a significant difference between 25μM and 50μM ($P$=0.0332), and 100μM and 50μM ($P$=0.0150). No significant change in SC-CA2 fEPSP was observed. Asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (Kruskal-Wallis test, *$P$<0.05, **$P$<0.01, ***$P$<0.001). Error bars indicate ±SEM. (c) Application of 25μM SKF-38393 did not result in significant potentiation in EC-CA2 (red circles, n=6). (d) Application of 50μM SKF-38393 did not result in significant potentiation in EC-CA2 (red circles, n=7). (e) Application of 100μM SKF-38393 did not result in significant potentiation in EC-CA2 (red circles, n=6). Three dotted arrows represent SKF-38393 5-min application intervals. Scale bars for all the traces vertical: 3mV; horizontal: 5ms.

**Figure 2.**
D1R activation via 50μM SKF-38393 primes SC-CA2 for LTP. (a) Delivery of WTET to untreated control SC-CA2 resulted in a transient spike in potentiation that quickly decays back to baseline (blue circles, n=8). (b) Delivery of WTET to 50μM SKF-38393-treated SC-CA2 resulted in late-LTP (blue circles, n=7). SOP was observed in EC-CA2 that lasted until the end of the recording (red circles, n=7). (c) A histogram of mean fEPSP percentage in EC-

CA2 and SC-CA2 between untreated WTET control and SKF+WTET SC at the 90th and 240th min. There was a significant difference in EC-CA2 potentiation between untreated WTET and SKF+WTET SC at 90th min ($P$=0.0013) and 240th min ($P$=0.0313). There was a significant difference in SC-CA2 potentiation between untreated WTET and SKF+WTET SC at 90th min ($P$=0.0205) and 240th min ($P$=0.0156). (d) Delivery of STET to untreated control SC-CA2 resulted in a transient spike in potentiation that quickly decays back to baseline (blue circles, n=7). (e) Delivery of STET to 50μM SKF-38393-treated SC-CA2 resulted in late-LTP (blue circles, n=9). SOP was observed in EC-CA2 that lasted until the end of the recording (red circles, n=9). (f) A histogram of mean fEPSP percentage in EC-CA2 and SC-CA2 between untreated STET control and SKF+STET SC at the 240th min. There was a significant difference in EC-CA2 potentiation between untreated STET and SKF+STET SC at 90th min ($P$=0.0229) and 240th min ($P$=0.0469). There was a significant difference in SC-CA2 potentiation between untreated STET and SKF+STET SC at 90th min ($P$=0.0007) and 240th min ($P$=0.0012). Asterisks indicate significant differences between untreated and SKF-treated groups at the 90th min or 240th min (Mann-Whitney U test, *$P$<0.05, **$P$<0.01, ***$P$<0.001). One solid arrow represents WTET for the induction of early□LTP. Three solid arrows represent STET for the induction of late□LTP. Error bars indicate ±SEM. Scale bars for all the traces vertical: 3mV; horizontal: 5ms.

**Figure 3.**
D1R priming effect in SC-CA2 requires EC-CA2 activity, *de novo* protein synthesis and NMDAR activation. (a) Delivery of WTET to 50μM SKF-38393-treated SC-CA2 resulted in late-LTP (blue circles, n=7). SOP was observed in EC-CA2 that lasted until the end of the recording (red circles, n=7). (b) Delivery of WTET to 50μM SKF-38393-treated SC-CA2 did not result in LTP when EC-CA2 was silenced during SKF-38393 bath application and WTET (blue circles, n=11). (c) Delivery of WTET to 50μM SKF-38393-treated SC-CA2 did not

result in LTP when protein synthesis inhibitor Emetine (Eme) was applied before and during SKF-38393 bath application (blue circles, n=8). (d) Delivery of WTET to 50μM SKF-38393-treated SC-CA2 did not result in LTP when NMDAR inhibitor AP-5 was applied before and during SKF-38393 bath application (blue circles, n=8). (e-g) Histograms of mean fEPSP percentage in SC-CA2 between SKF+WTET SC and either Silenced EC SKF+WTET SC, Eme/SKF+WTET SC or D-AP5/SKF+WTET SC at the point of induction (61$^{st}$ min) and maintenance (240$^{th}$ min). (e) There was a significant decrease in potentiation between SKF+WTET SC and Silenced EC SKF+WTET SC during induction ($P$=0.0004) and maintenance ($P$=0.0204). (f) There was a significant decrease in potentiation between SKF+WTET SC and Eme/SKF+WTET SC during induction ($P$=0.0041) and maintenance ($P$=0.0022). (g) There was a significant decrease in potentiation between SKF+WTET SC and D-AP5 SKF+WTET SC during induction ($P$=0.0003) and maintenance ($P$=0.0093). Asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (Mann-Whitney U test, *$P$<0.05, **$P$<0.01, ***$P$<0.001). One solid arrow represents WTET for the induction of early□LTP. Error bars indicate ±SEM. Scale bars for all the traces vertical: 3mV; horizontal: 5ms.

**Figure 4.**
D1R priming effect upregulates PKA and ERK activity while downregulates STEP activity. (a) Western blot analysis of p-PKA, Total PKA, p-ERK, Total ERK and STEP levels between untreated control and SKF-38393-treated groups (n=6 for all groups). (b) p-PKA was significantly increased in the SKF-38393-treated group compared to the untreated group ($P$=0.0022). (c) There was no significant difference between total PKA levels ($P$=0.3528). (d) p-ERK was significantly increased in the SKF-38393-treated group compared to the untreated group ($P$=0.0433). (e) There was no significant difference between total ERK levels ($P$=0.7621). (f) STEP was significantly decreased in the SKF-38393-treated group compared to the untreated group ($P$=0.0010). (b-e) The values of the individual groups were calculated

in relation to the control group while GAPDH serves as a loading control. Asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (unpaired t-test, *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001). Error bars indicate ±SEM.

# Figures

**Figure 1.**

**Figure 2.**

**Figure 3.**

**Figure 4.**

# Possible Mechanism Behind D$_1$/D$_5$ Receptor-Mediated Plasticity in SC-CA2 Synapses