Analysis of Essential Genes in *Clostridioides difficile* by CRISPRi and Tn-seq

Maia E. Alberts[1,3], Micaila P. Kurtz[1], Ute Müh[1], Jonathon P. Bernardi[1], Kevin W. Bollinger[1], Horia A. Dobrila[1,4], Leonard Duncan, Hannah M. Laster[1], Andres J. Orea[1,5], Anthony G. Pannullo[1,6], Juan G. Rivera-Rosado[1], Facundo V. Torres[1,7], Craig D. Ellermeier[1,2]*, David S. Weiss[1,2]*

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

[2]Graduate Program in Genetics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

[3]Present address: Department of Anesthesiology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.

[4]Present address: Departments of Medicine and Medical Microbiology & Immunology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

[5]Present address: Department of Pharmacology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

[6]Present address: JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA

[7]Present address: Weill Institute for Cell & Molecular Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

*Corresponding authors: david-weiss@uiowa.edu, craig-ellermeier@uiowa.edu

Maia E. Alberts, Micaila P. Kurtz, and Ute Müh contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined alphabetically.

Running title: Essential Genes in *Clostridioides difficile*
Keywords: CRISPRi, Tn-seq

ABSTRACT

Essential genes are interesting in their own right and as potential antibiotic targets. To date, only one report has identified essential genes on a genome-wide scale in *Clostridioides difficile*, a problematic pathogen for which treatment options are limited. That foundational study used large-scale transposon mutagenesis to identify 404 protein-encoding genes as likely to be essential for vegetative growth of the epidemic strain R20291. Here, we revisit the essential genes of strain R20291 using a combination of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and transposon-sequencing (Tn-seq). First, we targeted 181 of the 404 putatively essential genes with CRISPRi. We confirmed essentiality for >90% of the targeted genes and observed morphological defects for >80% of them. Second, we conducted a new Tn-seq analysis, which identified 346 genes as essential, of which 283 are in common with the previous report and might be considered a provisional essential gene set that minimizes false positives. We compare the list of essential genes to those of other bacteria, especially *Bacillus subtilis*, highlighting some noteworthy differences. Finally, we used fusions to red fluorescent protein (RFP) to identify 18 putative new cell division proteins, three of which are conserved in Bacillota but of largely unknown function. Collectively, our findings provide new tools and insights that advance our understanding of *C. difficile*.

IMPORTANCE

Clostridioides difficile is an opportunistic pathogen for which better antibiotics are sorely needed. Most antibiotics target pathways that are essential for viability. Here we use saturation transposon mutagenesis and gene silencing with CRISPR interference to identify and characterize genes required for growth on laboratory media. Comparison to the model organism *B. subtilis* reveals many similarities and a few striking differences that warrant further study and may include opportunities for developing antibiotics that kill *C. difficile* without decimating the healthy microbiota needed to keep *C. difficile* in check.

INTRODUCTION

Clostridioides difficile infections (CDI) kill close to 13,000 people a year in the United States (1). Treating CDI is challenging because the antibiotics effective against *C. difficile* also impact the normal intestinal microbiota needed to keep *C. difficile* in check (2-4). There is a need

for improved antibiotics that inhibit *C. difficile* more selectively. Most clinically useful antibiotics
target proteins or pathways that are essential for viability, so a deeper understanding of the
essential genes in *C. difficile* might provide foundational knowledge to guide antibiotic
development. Essential genes are also interesting in their own right, as they provide insights into
the most fundamental aspects of bacterial physiology.

Transposon sequencing (Tn-seq) identifies essential genes on a genome-wide scale
based on the absence of insertions following saturation transposon mutagenesis (5, 6). However,
several caveats must be kept in mind when interpreting the output of a Tn-seq experiment. For
instance, insertion mutants that are viable but grow slowly will be lost from the mutant pool during
outgrowth, so some apparently essential genes can be deleted. This caveat underscores the fact
that binary categorization of genes as essential or nonessential is useful but an oversimplification.
Tn-seq might also erroneously classify non-essential genes as essential due to polarity onto *bona*
fide essential genes or because the random nature of Tn insertions means genes might be missed
for stochastic reasons. Finally, Tn-seq does not provide insight into the actual function of essential
genes because the phenotypic defects of the corresponding insertion mutants are not observed.
Despite these caveats and limitations, Tn-seq is a powerful tool for prioritizing genes to investigate
by more laborious methods.

CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) is a complementary approach for genome-wide
interrogation of essential genes in bacteria (7-12). CRISPRi uses a single guide RNA (sgRNA) to
direct a catalytically inactive Cas9 protein (dCas9) to a gene of interest, thereby repressing
transcription (13). As the organism continues to grow and divide it becomes depleted of the
targeted protein, potentially revealing phenotypic changes that precede cell death. Thus, CRISPRi
provides functional information that Tn-seq cannot. However, CRISPRi shares with Tn-seq the
problem of polarity, which has to be taken into consideration when interpreting phenotypes.

In 2015 Dembek et al. used Tn-seq to identify 404 protein-encoding genes as essential
for vegetative growth in *C. difficile* strain R20291 on BHI media (14). As expected, most of these
genes encode proteins involved in core biological processes and cell surface biogenesis, but
some are of unknown function or not expected to be essential. Here, we revisit the essential genes
of strain R20291 using a combination of CRISPRi and Tn-seq. First, we targeted 181 of the 404
putatively essential genes with CRISPRi to vet essentiality and identify terminal phenotypes. We
confirmed essentiality for >90% of the targeted genes and observed morphological defects for
>80% of them. Second, we conducted a new and more thorough Tn-seq analysis to identify genes
essential for vegetative growth on TY media. We classified 346 protein-coding genes as essential,
of which 283 (~80%) were also essential in the previous study. Finally, we conducted a

microscopy-based screen to identify potential cell division proteins. We discuss our findings in
light of what is known about essential genes and cell division in other bacteria, particularly *Bacillus*
subtilis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A library for CRISPRi knockdown of 181 putative essential genes

Our *C. difficile* CRISPRi plasmid has been described (15). It expresses *dCas9* from a
xylose-inducible promoter (P_{xyl}) and a sgRNA from a constitutively-active glutamate
dehydrogenase promoter (P_{gdh}). Constructing a knockdown library involved several steps:
selecting the genes to be targeted, designing the sgRNAs, cloning those sgRNAs into the
CRISPRi plasmid, and moving the finished plasmids from *E. coli* into *C. difficile* by conjugation.
Because conjugation efficiencies are low, plasmids have to be moved from *E. coli* into *C. difficile*
one-by-one. This step imposes a bottleneck that made it impractical to target all 404 essential
genes identified previously. We therefore trimmed the gene list by excluding all transposon and
phage-related genes (because these are not part of the core genome), most genes for tRNA
synthetases and ribosomal proteins (to limit redundancy), and most genes for small proteins,
defined here as fewer than 80 amino acids (240 nucleotides). Short genes are small targets for
Tn insertion, so a disproportionate fraction are likely to be false positives. At this point we were
left with 252 genes. Because CRISPRi is polar (7, 13, 16, 17), there is little to be gained by
targeting multiple genes in an operon, so in most cases we targeted only one gene per
transcription unit as annotated in BioCyc (v28.5, release Dec 2025) (18).
In the end, we selected a total of 181 putatively essential genes for CRISPRi knockdown
(Table S1). We constructed a library of individual sgRNA clones, using two sgRNAs per gene for
a total of 362 CRISPRi plasmids (Table S2). As negative controls, we constructed 20 CRISPRi
plasmids with scrambled sgRNAs that do not target anywhere in the R20291 genome (Table S2).
Plasmids were confirmed by sequencing across the P_{gdh}::sgRNA element in *E.coli* and after
conjugation into *C. difficile*. Of the genes targeted for knockdown, 86 have an essential ortholog
in *Bacillus subtilis*, 62 have a non-essential ortholog in *B. subtilis*, and 33 have no *B. subtilis*
ortholog, including four hypothetical genes*.* However, the number of genes of unknown function
is larger than four because many of the non-hypotheticals have homology to domains with such
broadly or ill-defined functions that it is not obvious what these genes do or why they would be
essential (e.g., "glycosyltransferase," "two-component response regulator," or "DUF1846").

Considering that 110 of the targeted genes are predicted to be in operons with other apparently
essential genes, our study encompasses 281 of the 404 genes identified as essential by Tn
mutagenesis, close to 70% of the total (14).

Essentiality determined by CRISPRi knock-down largely agrees with Tn-seq data

The entire CRISPRi library was screened for viability defects by conducting spot titer
assays on TY plates containing thiamphenicol at 10 µg/ml (hereafter TY-Thi10) and 1% xylose.
Control plates lacked xylose. Using a 10-fold viability defect or small colony phenotype with at
least one sgRNA as the cut-off, 167 of the 181 genes (92%) were confirmed as essential by
CRISPRi, while 14 were not essential (Fig. 1A; Table S1). Similar results were obtained with both
sgRNAs for 174 of the 181 genes tested (Table S1). None of the 20 non-targeting control sgRNAs
caused a growth defect, indicating off-target effects are rare. We conclude that the vast majority
of the genes Dembek et al. identified as essential by Tn-seq are also essential by CRISPRi (14).

Terminal phenotypes due to CRISPRi knockdown of genes of known function

To look for morphological abnormalities that might facilitate provisional assignment of
essential genes to functional pathways, cells were scraped from the last culture dilution that grew
on the 1% xylose plates and examined by phase-contrast microscopy. As the project progressed,
we added staining with FM4-64 to visualize the cytoplasmic membrane and Hoechst 33342 to
visualize DNA. The morphological defects associated with CRISPRi silencing of all 181 genes are
listed in Table S1.
CRISPRi knockdown of genes of known function often provoked expected morphological
defects, such as filamentation in the case of cell division genes and aberrant nucleoid staining in
the case of DNA replication genes (Fig. 2, Fig. S1, Table 1, Table S1). Also as expected,
knockdown of DNA replication genes sometimes resulted in filamentation, presumably due to
induction of the SOS response (19, 20). However, we also observed morphological defects that
were not expected and are difficult to rationalize. For instance, knockdown of *rpoB* (β subunit of
RNA polymerase) or *era* (GTPase involved in ribosome assembly) caused severe filamentation,
while knockdown of *guaA* (synthesis of guanosine ribonucleotides) caused a mild chaining
phenotype. To address whether the unexpected morphological abnormalities are an artifact of
working with cells scraped from plates, we reexamined the filamentation phenotype of four non-
division genes in broth about six doublings after inducing CRISPRi: *dnaH*, *rpoB*, *prfB* and *tilS*. We

observed elongated cells in each case (Fig. S2). Thus, at least for this phenotype and these four
genes, morphologies determined using plates are reliable.

Because morphological defects were only loosely associated with the function of well-
studied genes, we conclude that CRISPRi is not sufficient for assigning genes of unknown
function to physiological pathways. We are not the first to report unanticipated complexity among
terminal phenotypes in a CRISPRi screen. For example, CRISPRi knockdown of the RNA
polymerase gene *rpoC* and the phospholipid synthesis genes *psd* and *plsB* caused filamentation
in *E. coli* (8). In addition, knockdown of multiple genes with no direct role in envelope biogenesis
caused morphological defects in *B. subtilis* (7). These reports contrast with the narrower spectrum
of morphological defects induced by antibiotics that target specific pathways (21-23). Antibiotics
might be less subject to secondary effects because cells are visualized at early times after
exposure and polarity is not an issue.

Terminal phenotypes due to CRISPRi knockdown of genes of unknown function

Our CRISPRi library targeted 11 genes that could not be assigned to a functional category
and were confirmed as essential in our own Tn-seq analysis as will be described below. CRISPRi
caused a viability defect in nine cases, often accompanied by abnormal morphologies (Table 2).
Examples include *cdr20291_0481* and *cdr20291_0828* (elongation), the *cdr20291_1053-1057*
cluster (short, swollen, phase-bright cells and chaining), *cdr20291_1124* (chaining and many
misshapen phase-bright cells) and *cdr20291_2526* (a few misshapen cells). The phenotype
resulting from knockdown of *cdr20291_1124* could be due to reverse polarity onto the upstream
gene *alaS*, which encodes an alanyl-tRNA synthetase. These genes warrant further investigation.

Rationale for decision to conduct Tn-seq

As noted above, our CRISPRi screen was based on the only published genome-wide
analysis of gene essentiality in *C. difficile*. That study made essentiality calls based on a single
pool of mutants containing ~77,000 unique Tn insertions plated on BHI media (14). We reasoned
that an independently-derived list of essential genes based on multiple biological replicates and
larger insertion libraries would serve as a useful resource to the *C. difficile* community. We also
thought a new Tn-seq dataset might serve as a "tie-breaker" for the 14 putatively essential genes
that did not appear to be essential by CRISPRi, i.e., failure to recover insertions in those genes

would suggest our sgRNAs were ineffective, while recovery of insertions would suggest the genes
are non-essential and were missed in the previous study for stochastic reasons.
213
214 **Generation of Tn insertion libraries and identification of essential genes**
215
We used the same R20291 strain and mariner-based transposon as in the previous study
(14). Mariner is a good choice for *C. difficile* because it inserts at TA dinucleotides and the genome
G+C content is 29% (24, 25). However, our experimental design differed from Dembek et al. in
three noteworthy respects: (i) we used TY media, (ii) we constructed three independent insertion
libraries, (iii) and we determined insertion profiles at both an early and a late timepoint because
gradual loss of slow-growing mutants from the pools influences perceptions of gene essentiality.
Our early timepoint consisted of primary insertion libraries recovered directly from selection plates
after ~18 hours of incubation. For a later timepoint, libraries were sub-cultured in duplicate into
TY and harvested after seven generations of outgrowth.
Insertion profiles were analyzed using TRANSIT2 and the *C. difficile* R20291 reference
genome NC_013316.1 (26, 27). Depending on the experimental replicate, insertions were
identified in 117,217 to 204,061 of the 502,945 unique TA dinucleotides in the R20291 genome
(Table 3). A total of 289,505 TA sites sustained at least one Tn insertion across the three libraries.
TRANSIT2 makes essentiality calls by comparing the observed frequency of Tn insertions to the
availability of potential TA insertion sites. Genes are classified as essential (E or EB, depending
on the model for statistical analysis), not essential (NE), or unclear (U) (28). Genes with too few
TA sites for statistical analysis are designated S. After inspecting the output from TRANSIT2, we
manually reclassified eleven NE or U genes as essential, giving them the designation Ei for
"essential by inspection." Ten of these genes had a large number of TA sites but very few
insertions. An example is the tRNA-synthetase *valS* (CDR20291_3114), with insertions in only
four of the possible 266 TA dinucleotides after outgrowth (Table S3A). For comparison,
TRANSIT2 scored the cell division gene *ftsZ* as essential even though there were insertions in
three out of 110 TA sites. All ten genes that we moved to Ei based on few insertions are
considered essential in *C. difficile* and *B. subtilis* (14, 29). The final Ei gene, *murJ2*
(CDR20291_3335), had a large number of insertions but almost all of these were at the 3' end of
the gene (Fig. 3A). *murJ2* was previously classified as essential in *C. difficile* by Dembek et al.
but its ortholog is not essential in *B. subtilis* due to functional redundancy (29, 30).
Of the 3673 annotated protein-coding genes in R20291, 346 were scored as essential for
vegetative growth in the initial libraries and/or after outgrowth (Table S3A). We grouped these

genes into functional categories similar to those used in previous studies of *B. subtilis* and *S.*
aureus (Table 4; Table S3B) (31, 32). As expected, over half are involved in DNA metabolism (25
genes), RNA metabolism (24 genes), protein synthesis (113 genes) or cell envelope biogenesis
(76 genes). Also as expected, the majority of *C. difficile's* essential genes are conserved; BioCyc
assigned a *B. subtilis* ortholog for 272 of the 346 genes, of which 169 are essential (Table S3A,
B) (29).

Comparison of our Tn-seq data to Dembek et al. and to CRISPRi

There is good overall agreement between our Tn-seq essentiality calls and those made
previously. Of the 346 genes identified as essential in our experiments, 283 (82%) were also
essential for Dembek et al. (Fig. 1B; Table S3A). As expected based on the larger size of our
insertion libraries, we scored fewer genes as essential, 346 versus 404 (Fig. 1B). Our list of
essential genes includes 53 considered nonessential by Dembek et al., while those investigators
identified 121 essential genes that did not make our cutoffs. Of these, 12 encode proteins that are
not annotated in the genome sequence we used and were thus invisible to our analysis. An
additional 6 were scored as S and 29 as U or U/NE. That leaves 74 *bona fide* discrepancies,
genes that were essential for Dembek et al. but not essential for us. Several of these differences
will be discussed below, but the most likely explanations have to do with statistical cut-offs that
factor into essentiality calls and the stochastic nature of Tn mutagenesis.
There is also good overall agreement between our CRISPRi and Tn-seq data sets. Of the
141 genes for which CRISPRi elicited a strong or moderate viability defect, 129 (~90%) scored
as essential in our Tn-seq (Fig. 1C; Table S3A). Conversely, only 4 out of 14 genes (~30%) that
appeared to be nonessential by CRISPRi nevertheless scored as essential in our Tn-seq. These
four genes are an uncharacterized DNA helicase (CDR20291_1171), a sporulation-associated
phosphatase (*ptpB*), an acetyl-CoA thiolase (*thlA2*), and a putative exported Ca^{2+}-chelating
protein (*ykwD*). None of these has an essential ortholog in *B. subtilis*. Two labs have constructed
null mutants of *ptpB*, indicating it is not essential (33, 34). One study reported a growth defect
(34), which might explain why *ptpB* appears to be essential by Tn-seq.

DNA metabolism

Some DNA replication proteins have different names in *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*. Where there
are conflicts, we adopted the names used in *B. subtilis*, which in some cases differ from the names

used in BioCyc. We identified 16 widely conserved DNA replication genes as essential in *C.*
difficile. All but *pcrA* and *polA* were previously classified as essential in *C. difficile*, and all but *polA*
is essential in *B. subtilis* (14, 29). Interestingly, *polA* is domain essential in *C. difficile*—Tn
insertions were recovered in the C-terminal 3' to 5' exonuclease and DNA polymerase domains
but not in the N-terminal 5' to 3' exonuclease domain, which removes Okazaki fragments (Fig.
3B). Similar restricted essentiality of the *polA* 5' to 3' exonuclease domain has been reported in
Streptococcus and *Haemophilus* (35, 36). Organisms like *B. subtilis* in which the entire *polA* gene
is dispensable have an RNAse H that can remove Okazaki fragments (37).

Interestingly, *C. difficile* lacks *dnaB* (38). DnaB is an essential protein in *B. subtilis*, where
it works together with DnaD and DnaI to load the replicative helicase DnaC onto *oriC* DNA (39).
DnaB and DnaD are structurally related. It has been proposed that in *C. difficile* the DnaD ortholog
(CDR20291_3512) fulfills the functions of both DnaB and DnaD (38).

C. difficile has four essential DNA packaging and segregation genes, all of which are also
essential in *B. subtilis*. In addition, there are three essential DNA recombination and repair genes,
none of which are essential in *B. subtilis*.

LexA, which represses genes involved in the SOS response, is required for viability in *C.*
difficile but not in *B. subtilis*. A *C. difficile lexA* Clostron insertion mutant has been described and
grows poorly, so its apparent essentiality by Tn-seq may be due to slow growth rather than lack
of viability *per se* (19). However, the strong viability defect we observed upon CRISPRi
knockdown of *lexA* (Table S1) raises the possibility that the reported mutant retains partial
function or acquired a suppressor.

RNA metabolism

As expected, the core subunits and major sigma factor (σ^{70}) of RNA polymerase are all
essential. Surprisingly, the omega subunit (*rpoZ*) is also essential according to Tn-seq, even
though it is not essential in *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* or *E. coli* (29, 40, 41). The apparent essentiality
of *rpoZ* is likely to be an artifact of polarity because it is predicted to be co-transcribed with three
widely conserved essential genes: *dapF*, *gmk*, *coaBC*. The elongation factor *greA* and three
termination/anti-termination factors (*nusA*, *nusG* and *rho*) are essential. Of these, only *nusA* is
essential in *B. subtilis* (29, 42). In *C. difficile rho* mutations have been reported, including an early
frameshift, but the gene could not be deleted, possibly because the mutant is too sick (43).

Fifteen genes for enzymes that modify RNA were essential in our analysis, of which twelve
were essential or ambiguous for Dembek et al., but only eight are considered essential in *B.*

subtilis. Most of these genes encode proteins needed to generate mature tRNAs or rRNAs from precursor transcripts.

Protein synthesis

There are 55 annotated ribosomal proteins in BioCyc (Dec 18, 2024), 52 of which scored as essential by our Tn-seq. Most of these were also identified as essential by Dembek et al. and are essential in *B. subtilis*. Instances of ribosomal protein genes scored as essential in *C. difficile* but as non-essential in *B. subtilis* could reflect polarity. Five widely conserved small GTPases involved in ribosome assembly are essential, as are ten translation factors, including *smpB*, which encodes a component of the SsrA tagging complex that rescues stalled ribosomes by trans-translation (44). We confirmed essentiality of *smpB* by CRISPRi (Table S1). The essentiality of *smpB* is unlikely to be an artifact of polarity because it is not predicted to be co-transcribed with any other genes. SmpB is essential in *S. aureus* (32, 45) but not in *E. coli*, *Streptococcus sanguinis*, or *B. subtilis* (29, 46, 47). An interesting omission from the list of essential translation factors is elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu), which is essential in *B. subtilis* (29). This difference can be explained by the presence of two EF-Tu genes in *C. difficile*, *tufA* and *tufB*, which are 100% identical at the DNA level. Simultaneous knockdown of *tufA* and *tufB* with CRISPRi caused a strong viability defect, demonstrating EF-Tu is indeed required for viability (Table S1).

We identified 24 essential tRNA synthetases, all of which are also essential according to Dembek et al. There are several noteworthy differences in comparison to *B. subtilis*. First, synthetases for asparagine (*asnS*), threonine (*thrS*) and tyrosine (*tyrS*) are essential in *C. difficile* but not *B. subtilis*, which has alternative routes for generating the corresponding charged tRNAs (48-50). Second, although *glnS* is essential in *C. difficile*, this gene does not exist in *B. subtilis* or most other gram-positive bacteria, which generate Gln-tRNA^{Gln} by a different route. Namely, *C. difficile* charges tRNA^{Gln} directly with glutamine, as in *E. coli*, while most Gram-positive bacteria generate glutaminyl-tRNA^{Gln} by (mis)charging tRNA^{Gln} with glutamate, which is then amidated to glutamine (51, 52). Lastly, *C. difficile* has two annotated genes for ligating proline to tRNA^{pro}, the essential gene *proS1* (CDR20291_0038) and the non-essential gene *proS2* (CDR20291_0039). According to RNA-sequencing, both are expressed during vegetative growth (53). *B. subtilis* has only a single *proS* gene, which is essential and more similar to *C. difficile proS1* than *proS2*.

Five proteases appear to be important for viability in *C. difficile*: *clpX*, *htrA*, *lon, prp* and the M16 family protease *cdr20291_1161*. Of these, only *prp* is essential in *B. subtilis*. Prp is a cysteine protease needed to remove an N-terminal extension from ribosomal protein L27 (54).

The apparent essentiality of *lon* and *cdr20291_1161* in *C. difficile* are likely to be artifacts of
polarity onto *engB* and *dapG*, respectively. ClpX is a component of the ClpXP protease complex,
one of the major housekeeping proteases in bacteria (55). *C. difficile* has only one *clpX* gene but
two genes for ClpP, which might explain why *clpX* is essential but *clpP1* and *clpP2* are not. HtrA
proteases are involved in protein quality control (56). TRANSIT2 scored *htrA* as essential despite
a high number of Tn insertions (67 out of 127 TA sites) and this gene was not essential for Dembek
et al.

In bacteria, protein synthesis begins with *N*-formyl methionine (fMet). Peptide deformylase
(*def*) and methionine aminopeptidase (*map*) are essential enzymes that work sequentially to
remove the formyl group from about 90% of proteins and the initiating methionine from about half
of proteins. *E. coli* has only one *def* and one *map* gene, both of which are essential (57). *C. difficile*
has two predicted *map* genes and two predicted *def* genes. Of these, only *map1* is essential by
Tn-seq. This situation is reminiscent of *B. subtilis*, which also has two *def* and two *map* genes.
The *def* genes are functionally redundant and at least one must be present for viability (58, 59).
The essentiality of the *map* genes *in B. subtilis* is less clear. One study found *mapA* is essential
but *mapB* is not (60), while another found neither is individually essential (29).

Bacteria have a plethora of systems for exporting proteins out of the cytoplasm, of which
the three most important are the General Secretion (Sec) system, the Twin Arginine Translocation
(Tat) system, and the Signal Recognition Particle (SRP) system (61). There is no Tat system in
C. difficile, but the genes for the Sec and SRP systems are present and essential. The Sec system
uses an ATPase named SecA to power export of proteins through a membrane channel
composed of SecEYG. Interestingly, *C. difficile* has two *secA* paralogs, which handle different
protein substrates and are both essential (62). The SRP system works together with SecEYG to
integrate proteins into the cytoplasmic membrane. Three genes associated with the SRP system
(*ffh*, *ftsY* and *srpM*) were scored as essential, although the apparent essentiality of *srpM* might
result from polarity onto *ffh*; *srpM* is not essential in *B. subtilis*.

Cell envelope

Numerous genes involved in membrane biogenesis are essential in *C. difficile*. An
unexpected exception is the *accBCDA* gene cluster for synthesis of malonyl-CoA, the substrate
for fatty acid synthesis. This result is difficult to explain and probably incorrect because the *acc*
cluster is essential according to Dembek et al. and we confirmed essentiality by CRISPRi (Table
S1). Moreover, *acc* genes are also essential in *B. subtilis* (29). Nevertheless, the *acc* cluster

sustained numerous Tn insertions in our study (e.g., ten of the 48 TA sites in *accB*, the first gene

in the operon). We identified three membrane biogenesis genes that are essential in *C. difficile*

but not in *B. subtilis*: *fabH*, *yqhY*, and *gpsA*. The *fabH* discrepancy can be explained by the

presence of two *fabH* genes in *B. subtilis* (63). *B. subtilis* Δ*yqhY* mutants are not stable (64),

implying *yqhY* is quasi essential in that organism. Regarding *gpsA*, although Koo et al. reported

it is dispensable in *B. subtilis* (29), an earlier study found it is essential (65), which agrees with

what we see in *C. difficile*.

C. difficile synthesizes isoprenoids via the methylerythritol (MEP) pathway (66).

Accordingly, *dxr* and *ispDEFGH* were all essential by Tn-seq. Isoprenoids are essential in

bacterial because they are precursors for quinones and carrier lipids such as undecaprenyl

phosphate (Und-P) required for synthesis of peptidoglycan and teichoic acids (67). *C. difficile*

lacks quinones (68) so the essentiality of the MEP pathway presumably reflects the importance

of Und-P. Consistent with this inference, the predicted undecaprenyl pyrophosphate synthase

UppS1 is essential, although that conclusion comes with a caveat because insertions in *uppS1*

are probably polar onto the essential phospholipid biosynthesis gene *cdsA* (69). Interestingly, *C.*

difficile has a non-essential *uppS* paralog called *uppS2* that might be involved in synthesis of the

wall teichoic acid PS-II (70). UppS2 is not essential by Tn-seq, and RNA-sequencing implies

expression of *uppS2* is ~60-fold lower in vegetative cells compared to *uppS1* (53).

The *C. difficile* cell has a unique proteinaceous surface-layer (S-layer) and a unique wall

teichoic acid, PS-II, whose structure is very different from the wall teichoic acids of other Gram-

positive bacteria (71). Both the S-layer and PS-II are essential by Tn-seq, although the existence

of (unhealthy) null mutants of *slpA* indicates the S-layer is not strictly required for viability (72, 73).

Multiple studies point to essentiality of PS-II (70, 74, 75). Whether PS-II is essential because it

plays a critical role in cell envelope integrity or because disruption of the PS-II gene cluster

depletes the pool of Und-P needed for peptidoglycan synthesis remains to be determined (76,

77).

The universal precursor for peptidoglycan synthesis is lipid II, a disaccharide-pentapeptide

attached to Und-P (78). As expected, many lipid II genes are essential, including six *dap* genes

for biosynthesis of lysine and diaminopimelic acid, and nine *mur* genes for various steps in lipid II

assembly. Lipid II is transported across the cytoplasmic membrane by flippases, of which there

are two known families, MurJ and Amj (30, 79). BLAST searches indicate *C. difficile* lacks Amj

but has two MurJ orthologs, both of which are essential. MurJ1 is part of the PS-II gene cluster

and proposed to transport a lipid-linked precursor for PS-II synthesis (74), which leaves MurJ2 as

the likely lipid II flippase for peptidoglycan synthesis. Some non-essential proteins distantly related

to MurJ can be identified using HHPred and could also potentially transport lipid II (80, 81). Further work is needed to establish the functions of the two clear MurJ paralogs and rule out the presence of alternative or additional lipid II transporters (30, 82, 83).

The final steps of peptidoglycan synthesis involve incorporation of new disaccharide-pentapeptide subunits into the existing wall by sequential glycosyltransferase (GTase) and transpeptidase (TPase) reactions (84, 85). These reactions are catalyzed by two types of penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (86). Class A PBPs (aPBPs) are bifunctional enzymes with both a GTase domain and a TPase domain, while class B PBPs (bPBPs) have a TPase domain and form a complex with a SEDS-family GTase (87-89). *C. difficile* encodes one aPBP (PBP1), three bPBPs (PBP2, PBP3, and SpoVD), and two SEDS proteins (RodA and SpoVE). Of these proteins, we confirmed by Tn-seq that PBP1, PBP2 and RodA are essential for vegetative growth (14). Although *spoVE* was also classified as essential, it sustained Tn insertions in about half the available TA sites (Table S3A) and the gene has been deleted previously (90). In confirmation and extension of previous reports (15, 91), CRISPRi knockdown of PBP1 caused filamentation, while CRISPRi knockdown of PBP2 and RodA resulted in formation of short, swollen, phase-bright cells, with some chaining (Fig. S1). These morphologies implicate PBP1 in cell division and PBP2 in elongation, respectively. We also examined red fluorescent protein (RFP) fusions to the PBPs and observed that both localize to division sites (Fig. 4). Septal localization of PBP1 has been reported by Shen's group, who showed it is the primary synthase for septal peptidoglycan (91). Septal localization of PBP2 suggests the RodA/PBP2 complex might also contribute to cell division, as further suggested by the mild chaining phenotypes caused by CRISPRi knockdown. Both RFP-PBP1 and RFP-PBP2 exhibited some fluorescence along the cell cylinder, which could indicate they contribute to elongation, especially in the case of PBP2. However, localization to the cell cylinder is not diagnostic of a function in elongation because this is the default location of divisome proteins when they are not at the septum. Finally, it should be noted that non-canonical 3-3 crosslinks made by L,D-transpeptidases (LDTs) are essential for vegetative growth in *C. difficile*, but none of the five LDTs in the *C. difficile* genome is individually essential owing to functional redundancy (92).

Our Tn-seq identified two cell envelope-related regulatory loci as essential: *walRK* and *ddlR*. These regulators were also essential for Dembek et al. *walRK* is a two-component system known to be essential for cell wall homeostasis and viability in numerous Bacillota, including *C. difficile* (53, 93). DdlR is essential for peptidoglycan synthesis because it activates expression of the D-alanyl-D-alanine ligase *ddl* (94).

Cell shape and division

In rod-shaped bacteria, the essential peptidoglycan synthases work in the context of loosely defined complexes known as the elongasome and the divisome (84, 85). The *C. difficile* elongasome appears to comprise the RodA/PBP2 bipartite peptidoglycan synthase and four Mre proteins (MreB1, MreB2, MreC and MreD). All of these are essential by Tn-seq and CRISPRi, although this inference will need to be revisited with non-polar deletions. CRISPRi knockdown implicates these genes primarily in elongation, because the predominant terminal morphologies include short, swollen cells with some chaining (Fig. S1, Table S1).

Among canonical divisome proteins, only *ftsZ* and its assembly factors *sepF* and *zapA* are essential in *C. difficile*. Neither *sepF* nor *zapA* is essential in *B. subtilis* (95-97). The greater importance of *sepF* and *zapA* in *C. difficile* might be due to the absence of an *ftsA* ortholog (98). As noted above, the primary septal peptidoglycan synthase is the class A enzyme PBP1 (91). Consistent with that inference, CRISPRi against *pbp1* induces filamentation, however additional morphological defects such as bending and chaining suggest PBP1 might contribute to elongation as well (15). Curiously, the division site placement genes *minCDE* are essential in *C. difficile*. This result might be an artifact of polarity onto the essential SEDS gene *rodA*, because the Min system is not essential in *B. subtilis* or most other bacteria (99). Tn-seq identified *maf* as essential. Maf is a nucleotide pyrophosphatase whose overproduction causes filamentation in both *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*, but Maf is not essential in either organism (100-102). The DNA-binding protein WhiA was essential for Dembek et al. and we observed a weak viability defect and modest cell elongation by CRISPRi, but *whiA* is not essential in our Tn-seq experiments. WhiA is conserved in monoderms and essential in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* but not *Streptomyces* or *B. subtilis*, where it has been linked to cell division and chromosome segregation (103-107).

Use of RFP fusions to identify new divisome proteins

We have a long-standing interest in bacterial cell division, so we extended our studies to include a screen for divisome proteins (108-112). Using CRISPRi knockdown to identify divisome proteins by screening for a filamentous phenotype comes with two major caveats—polarity onto a *bona fide* division gene will generate false positives and depletion of non-essential divisome proteins might not cause cells to become longer than normal. A more direct approach is to use fluorescent tags to screen for proteins that localize to the division site. Here the major caveat is that the tag might interfere with proper localization. We used BLAST searches to identify

homologs of known morphogenesis proteins, which were fused to a codon-optimized red
fluorescent protein (RFP) and produced from a plasmid under control of the xylose-inducible
promoter, P_{xyl} (15). Some of these proteins are encoded in (predicted) operons with proteins of
unknown function, so we constructed RFP fusions to several of these as well. Although septal
localization is strong evidence for a role in cell division, lack of septal localization is uninformative
because we did not test whether our RFP fusions are functional. We screened a total of 25
proteins, of which 18 localized and are discussed below (Fig. 4). The seven that did not localize
are MreB1, MreB2, FtsL, FtsB, SpoVE, CDR_3330, and CDR_2504.

Seven enzymes for peptidoglycan synthesis exhibited convincing midcell localization,
including the two essential PBPs (PBP1 and PBP2), one essential SEDS protein (RodA), one
non-essential monofunctional glycosyltransferase related to PBPs (Mgt), and three non-essential
LDTs (Ldt1, Ldt4 and Ldt5). Of these, PBP1 was already known to localize to sites of cell division
(91), but septal localization of the remaining enzymes is new and suggests they too contribute to
synthesis of septal peptidoglycan. Somewhat surprisingly, the canonical elongasome proteins
MreC and MreD localized strongly to the midcell, even though our fusions to MreB1 and MreB2
did not. Mre proteins have been reported to localize transiently at or near the midcell in a few
other bacteria (113-116). Further work is warranted to investigate the role of the Mre proteins in
C. difficile and the possibility that MreC and MreD localize independently of MreB, for which there
is precedent from non-rod-shaped bacteria that have MreC and MreD but lack MreB (116, 117).

C. difficile orthologs of five widely-conserved divisome proteins localized to the midcell:
FtsZ, FtsK, FtsQ, SepF, DivIVA, as did CDR_3331, a unique protein with limited structural
similarity to both FtsL and FtsB, which in *C. difficile* are used for asymmetric division during
sporulation (14, 91). Septal localization of *C. difficile* FtsZ has been reported previously (118).
Septal localization of FtsQ is new but probably misleading because *C. difficile ftsQ* is a sporulation
gene and not expressed during vegetative growth (14, 53, 90), whereas we produced RFP-FtsQ
from P_{xyl}. Immediately downstream of *ftsQ* are two genes of unknown function, *ylxW* and *ylxX*,
that according to RNA-sequencing are expressed in vegetative cells (53). YlxW and YlxX are
encoded downstream of *ftsQ* in many Bacillota and have been proposed on this basis to play a
role in envelope biogenesis (119). Our observation that these proteins localize to the midcell
argues they are involved in cell division. Another novel divisome protein identified in our screen
is YlmG, a small membrane protein encoded in the *sepF* operon of many Gram-positive bacteria
and Cyanobacteria (98). Mutants of *ylmG* have been constructed in several organisms and exhibit
thin septa, poor sporulation, and/or aberrant nucleoid compaction and segregation, depending on
the species (98). In closing, and for completeness, we note that four additional proteins have been

shown previously to localize to the division site in *C. difficile*: ZapA, MldA, MldB and MldC (112,

120). This brings total number of documented divisome proteins to 22.

Metabolism

For an insightful overview of energy metabolism in *C. difficile*, readers are referred to a

review by Neumann-Schaal et al. (121). Briefly, *C. difficile* is an obligate anaerobe that generates

energy through fermentation of sugars and amino acids, the latter by a process known as

Stickland reactions (122, 123). There is no electron transport chain. Hence, the five genes that

are essential for menaquinone biosynthesis in *B. subtilis* are not found in *C. difficile's* genome.

The TCA cycle is incomplete and is used to generate precursor metabolites rather than energy.

Fermentation pathways generate ATP directly by substrate level phosphorylation but can also be

used via electron bifurcation and the Rnf complex to generate a motive force across the

cytoplasmic membrane (124, 125). Whether this is a proton or a sodium-ion motive force is not

yet known; we will assume protons for simplicity, i.e., a PMF. *C. difficile* has an F_0F_1-type ATP

synthase, which, depending on the needs of the organism, can consume the PMF to generate

ATP or hydrolyze ATP to generate a PMF.

Few of the genes involved in these various pathways scored as essential by Tn-seq.

Genes for the TCA cycle, acetate kinase, and the major Stickland reductases for glycine, proline

and leucine are all non-essential, as are the genes for the RNF complex and three electron

bifurcation complexes (*etf* genes). The essentiality of genes for glycolysis is less clear because

eight of these were essential for Dembek et al. but only two (*eno*, *tpiA*) were essential in our

experiments. Glycolysis might have more of a contribution on BHI, which contains glucose, than

on TY. Differences in slow growth and statistical cutoffs that impact essentiality calls may also

factor into the discrepancies. In support of this explanation, we observed a small colony

phenotype when we used CRISPRi to knock down expression of four glycolysis genes (*fba*, *gapB*,

pgi, and *pfkA*) that were essential for Dembek et al. but not in our Tn-seq (Table S1). A further

point to keep in mind is that glycolysis genes could be more important for supplying precursor

metabolites rather than energy in *C. difficile*.

A noteworthy discrepancy concerns the ten gene operon for the F-type ATPase. Dembek

et al. scored nine of the genes as essential, but all ten were non-essential in our Tn-seq

experiments. This gene cluster is too large to have escaped Tn insertions by chance. The most

likely explanation for this discrepancy has to do with how slow growth affects perceptions of

essentiality because we observed that CRISPRi knockdown of *atpB* and *atpD* resulted in a small

colony phenotype (Fig. 3C). We also tested the effect of knockdowns in TY broth using one

sgRNA that caused a small colony phenotype (*atpD*) and one that did not (*atpF*). Interestingly,

both knockdowns caused a strong growth defect, but only if cultures were pre-grown overnight in

1% xylose to deplete the AtpD or AtpF proteins before sub-culturing (Fig. 3D). As an aside, we

found that all four *atp* operon knockdowns were sensitized to subinhibitory concentrations of the

uncoupler carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP, Fig. 3E), which hints at the

potential for using our CRISPRi library to study drug targets in *C. difficile* (7, 12).

Three genes (*hisC*, *ilvB* and *ilvC*) involved in amino acid biosynthesis were identified as

essential despite utilization of growth medium rich in tryptone. These genes were not essential

for Dembek et al. Note, however, that there are seven essential lysine biosynthesis genes, which

we categorized under cell envelope rather than metabolism owing to their role in synthesis of

diaminopimelate for peptidoglycan. Finally, the global regulator CodY is essential by Tn-seq.

CodY is widely conserved in Bacillota and senses GTP and branched chain amino acids to

regulate gene expression in response to the energetic and nutritional needs of the cell. In *C.*

difficile CodY represses hundreds of genes during exponential growth, and a *codY* null mutant

grows poorly upon entry into stationary phase (126-128), which likely explains the Tn-seq result.

Nucleotides and cofactors

We identified eleven genes essential for nucleotide biosynthesis. All eleven were also

essential or ambiguous for Dembek et al. and five are essential in *B. subtilis* as well. One

interesting difference is that an anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase encoded by *nrdD* and *nrdG* is

essential in *C. difficile*, but these genes are not found in *B. subtilis*, which has instead an aerobic

ribonucleotide reductase encoded by *nrdE* and *nrdF* that are not found in *C. difficile* (129). Two

additional exceptions are *guaA* (GMP synthase) and *thyA* (thymidylate synthase), which are

essential in *C. difficile* and *S. aureus* but not *B. subtilis* (14, 29, 32). Two genes for regulatory

nucleotides appear to be essential in *C. difficile*, the cyclic-di-GMP phosphodiesterase *yybT* and

the (pp)pGpp synthase *relA*. Essentiality of *relA* was confirmed by CRISPRi (Table S1). The *B.*

subtilis paralogs of these genes are not essential (29). Essentiality of *yybT* is likely to be an artifact

of polarity onto *rplI* or *dnaC*, but the genes transcribed with *relA* are not essential. RelA has been

studied in *C. difficile* 630Δ*erm*, where it is called *relQ* and synthesizes exclusively pGpp (130). A

relQ deletion mutant is viable but grows very poorly (131). As an aside, we note that cyclic-di-

AMP is essential in *C. difficile* growing on rich media, but c-di-AMP synthases were not identified

by Tn-seq because there are two of them, neither of which is individually essential (132).

Twenty-four genes are essential for synthesis of cofactors despite utilization of media
containing tryptone and yeast extract. All but two of these were also essential or ambiguous for
Dembek et al., and 14 have an essential ortholog in *B. subtilis*. Curiously, neither we nor Dembek
et al. scored dihydrofolate reductase (*dfrA*) as essential. Dihydrofolate reductase is the target of
several important antibiotics and essential in *E. coli*, *B. subtilis*, *S. sanguinis*, and *S. aureus* (29,
32, 46, 47).

Phage and Transposon-related genes

The *C. difficile* genome has a remarkably high content of mobile genetic elements (25,
133). Mobile genetic elements are not part of the core genome and thus should not be essential
for viability. Nevertheless, twenty-one genes classified as essential appear to reside on a
prophage or a transposon. Some of these might be false positives because only eight were also
essential or ambiguous for Dembek et al. Even the eight genes classified as essential in both
studies are likely due to indirect effects such as induction of a lytic prophage.

Transporters

Six genes for transporters were classified as essential in our Tn-seq, three of which were
also essential for Dembek et al. and were confirmed by CRISPRi (Table S1). These encode a
predicted Ktr potassium transporter and a predicted CorA-like divalent metal ion transporter. In *B.*
subtilis, there are two Ktr systems, which are not essential but improve growth at high osmolarity
(134).

Sporulation

Curiously, both we and Dembek et al. classified the sporulation-associated phosphatases
ptpA and *ptpB* as ambiguous or essential for vegetative growth. Two labs have reported null
mutants of these genes, so they are not formally essential (33, 135, 136). Loss of *ptpA* or *ptpB*
enhances sporulation, which we confirmed using CRISPRi against *ptpB* (Table S1). We presume
that *ptp* genes are essential by Tn-seq because enhanced sporulation reduces vegetative growth.

Genes of unknown function

Our Tn-seq analysis identified 28 putatively essential genes that could not be assigned to
a functional pathway. None of these genes have an essential ortholog in *B. subtilis*, although in
five cases BioCyc identified a non-essential ortholog. Eleven of these genes were not essential
for Dembek et al. and in two cases (*cdr20291_3519* and *cdr20291_3520*) essentiality is likely due
to polarity onto *rplI or dnaC*. That leaves 15 genes that are essential or ambiguous in two
independent Tn-seq studies and are therefore likely to be *bona fide* essential genes. As noted
above in the discussion of our CRISPRi experiments, we silenced expression of eleven of these
genes and observed a viability defect for nine of them, often accompanied by abnormal
morphologies (Table 2, Table S1). The apparently essential genes of unknown function constitute
a high value gene set from the perspectives of bacterial physiology and antibiotic development.
629
630   **Conclusions**
631
In summary, we identified 346 protein-encoding genes that by Tn mutagenesis are
essential for vegetative growth of *C. difficile* strain R20291 on TY media. Of these, 283 were also
identified as essential by Tn mutagenesis in a previous study (14) and 169 have an essential
ortholog in *B. subtilis* (29). Overall, these results are broadly consistent with studies of gene
essentiality in model organisms such as *E. coli*, *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* (29, 32, 45, 46, 57). The
283 *C. difficile* genes identified as essential in two independent Tn mutagenesis studies can be
regarded as a consensus "essentialome" that minimizes false positives. Most of these genes play
key roles in foundational cellular processes such as DNA replication, transcription, translation and
cell envelope biogenesis. But the consensus essentialome also includes 15 genes that could not
be assigned to any functional pathway (Table 2, Table S3A, B). These genes might be targets for
antibiotics that kill *C. difficile* without decimating the healthy microbiota needed to keep *C. difficile*
in check.
We also used CRISPRi knockdown to investigate 181 genes that had been identified as
essential in a previous Tn-seq analysis (14). Our goals were to vet essentiality and screen for
morphological defects that would facilitate assigning genes of unknown function to physiological
pathways. Our CRISPRi platform used a plasmid that expresses *dCas9* from a xylose-inducible
promoter (P_{xyl}) and an sgRNA from a strong constitutive promoter (P_{gdh}) (15). CRISPRi resulted
in reduced plating efficiencies and/or small colony phenotypes on TY-xylose plates for 167 of the
181 genes targeted, a very high confirmation rate of 92%. The 14 genes for which no viability
defect was observed could be false positives from the previous report or genes for which our
sgRNAs were ineffective. Of these genes, ten sustained insertions in our Tn-seq experiments, so

we infer they are non-essential. Four did not sustain Tn insertions and are therefore likely to be
essential genes that were poorly repressed by our sgRNAs. Importantly, no growth defects were
observed using 20 control sgRNAs that did not target anywhere in the genome, indicating off-
target effects are rare.

Microscopy of surviving cells scraped from the TY-xylose plates revealed most
knockdowns resulted in morphological abnormalities (151 out of 181 genes, 83%).
Disappointingly, however, the utility of these defects for making functional assignments was
limited by the observation that repressing genes of known function often resulted in non-intuitive
defects. For example, repressing RNA polymerase gene *rpoB* resulted in severe filamentation
suggestive of a cell division defect, while repressing the nucleotide biosynthesis gene *guaA*
caused a chaining phenotype suggestive of a daughter cell separation defect. Non-intuitive
phenotypes have also been reported in other CRISPRi screens (7, 8).

The findings and resources presented here should help guide future studies of *C. difficile*.
First, our results can be used to prioritize genes for more rigorous but labor-intensive investigation
using depletion strains with in-frame deletions (137). The 15 apparently essential genes that could
not be assigned to a functional pathway seem like a good place to start. Second, our CRISPRi
library can be leveraged to investigate antibiotic sensitivities (7, 12, 138), which might illuminate
gene function and reveal vulnerabilities that can be exploited to improve treatment of *C. difficile*
infections. Third, the identification of 18 proteins that localize to the midcell raises new questions
related to *C. difficile* morphogenesis. For example, septal localization of the canonical elongation
proteins MreC and MreD suggests they contribute to cell division and/or *C. difficile* elongates by
inserting new peptidoglycan near the midcell. In addition, our discovery that YlmG, YlxW and YlxX
localize to the division site provides the most direct evidence to date that these conserved but
enigmatic proteins play a role in cell division.

METHODS

Strains, media, and growth conditions. Most bacterial strains used in this study are listed in
Table S4. Strains and plasmids constructed for the CRISPRi library are summarized separately
in Table S2. *C. difficile* strains were derived from R20291 (139). *C. difficile* was routinely grown in
tryptone-yeast extract (TY) medium, supplemented as needed with thiamphenicol at 10 μg/ml
(TY-Thi10). TY medium consisted of 3% tryptone, 2% yeast extract, and 2% agar (for plates).
Brain heart infusion (BHI) media was prepared per manufacturer's (DIFCO) instructions. *C.*
difficile strains were maintained at 37°C in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products) in

an atmosphere of 2% H_2, 5% CO_2, and 93% N_2. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in LB medium

at 37°C with chloramphenicol at 10 µg/ml and/or ampicillin at 100 µg/ml as needed. LB medium

contained 1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, and 1.5% agar (for plates). OD_{600}

measurements were made with the WPA Biowave CO8000 tube reader in the anaerobic chamber.

Plasmid and strain construction. Plasmids are listed in Table S5 and were constructed with

HiFi DNA Assembly from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). Oligonucleotide primers (Table S6)

were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). CRISPRi plasmids were

constructed as described in (15). Regions constructed by PCR were verified by DNA sequencing.

Plasmids were propagated in *E. coli* HB101/pRK24 and conjugated into *C. difficile* R20291

according to (53). Final R20291 CRISPRi strains were verified by PCR amplifying and sequencing

the guide region. Details relevant to other plasmid construction are provided in Table S5.

CRISPRi screen. Overnight cultures grown in TY-Thi10 were serially diluted 10-fold in TY, and 5

µL spotted on TY-Thi10 and TY-Thi10 1% (w/v) xylose plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C

overnight and imaged the following morning (~18 h). Cells were scraped from select spots (usually

the last spot with growth) and resuspended in 50 µL TY. Cell suspensions were supplemented

with 5 µg/mL FM4-64 (red fluorescent membrane stain, Thermo Scientific) and 15 µg/mL Hoechst

33342 (blue fluorescent DNA stain, Invitrogen) and imaged by phase-contrast and fluorescence

microscopy.

Protein localization. R20291 harboring plasmids that expressed RFP-tagged proteins under

xylose control were grown in TY-Thi10 overnight, subcultured into TY-Thi10 with 0.1% or 1%

xylose, grown to an OD_{600} of about 0.6, and fixed with 4% buffered paraformaldehyde as

described (53, 120, 140). Fixed cells were photographed under phase-contrast and (red)

fluorescence. Septal localization was scored manually by inspecting cells for the presence of a

fluorescent band near the midcell. MicrobeJ was used to keep track of cells scored positive or

negative for septal localization (141).

Microscopy. Cells were immobilized using thin agarose pads (1% w/v agarose). Phase-contrast

micrographs were recorded on an Olympus BX60 microscope equipped with a 100× UPlanApo

objective (numerical aperture, 1.35). Micrographs were captured with a Hamamatsu Orca Flash

4.0 V2+ complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) camera. Excitation light was

generated with an X-Cite XYLIS LED light source. Red fluorescence was detected with the

Chroma filter set 49008 (538 to 582 nm excitation filter, 587 nm dichroic mirror, and a 590 to 667

nm emission filter). Blue fluorescence was detected with the Olympus filter set U-MWU (330-385

nm excitation filter, 400 nm dichroic mirror and a 420 nm barrier emission filter).

Transposon library construction. Plasmid pRPF215 is a quasi-suicide plasmid that harbors the

Himar1 mariner transposase gene under control of P$_{tet}$ (14). The gene for TetR does not have a

terminator and transcription reads through into the origin of replication, presumably disrupting

plasmid replication. Addition of anhydrotetracycline therefore both induces the transposase and

causes plasmid loss. A single colony of R20291/pRPF215 was used to inoculate a 2 mL overnight

culture in TY-Thi10. Twenty independent overnight cultures were grown for each transposon

library construction. After overnight growth, each was then sub-cultured 1:50 into 2 mL TY and

grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.3. From each subculture an aliquot was removed and spread on to two

large (15 cm diameter) plates of TY agar with 80 µg/mL lincomycin (RPI) and 100 ng/mL

anhydrotetracycline (Sigma), for a total of 40 plates. We used higher concentrations of lincomycin

than originally published (14) because we found 80 µg/mL lincomycin decreased the number of

false positives. The amount of subculture to plate was experimentally determined to give roughly

5000-8000 colonies. Typically, we used 220 µL of subculture diluted with TY to 600 µL, a volume

suitable for spreading evenly on a large plate. A dilution series of one subculture was also plated

on TY to calculate plating efficiency. Selection plates typically grew one colony for every 500

plated (i.e., an efficiency of about 2 x 10^{-3}). Plates were incubated for 20 hours at 37˚C. Cells were

then scraped off the plates with 5 mL TY each, pooled, amended to 10% DMSO, aliquoted and

stored at -80˚C. This material was referred to as the primary transposon library. Suspensions of

the primary libraries typically had an OD$_{600}$ of about 6. The concentration of viable cells was

quantitated by plating aliquots on TY plates and was typically around 3 x 10^8 CFU/mL. Three

independent libraries were constructed on different days.

Tn-seq sample preparation. DNA samples were prepared directly from 1 mL of primary library

or from 10 mL culture that had been grown for an additional 7 doublings in TY. To avoid creating

a bottleneck, 10 mL TY was inoculated with 2.2 x 10^7 CFU. There are 502,945 possible TA

insertion sites in the R20291 chromosome, thus cultures were started with a ratio of about 45

CFU per TA site. DNA libraries for Illumina sequencing were prepared based on modifications of

Karash et al. (142). Briefly, regions adjacent to any transposon insertion were amplified by single

primer extension. The resulting products were extended with a cytosine-tail, which then allowed

further amplification by PCR. The upstream primer recognizes the transposon sequence,

incorporates the P5 sequence for Illumina sequencing and a sample-specific barcode; the
downstream primer recognizes the C-tail and incorporates the P7 sequence.
Genomic DNA was prepared using the Monarch Genomic DNA purification kit from NEB,
using the protocol for Gram positive bacteria. A maximum of 2 x 10^9 cells were pelleted. Lysis
was facilitated through the addition of 0.5 mg hen egg white lysozyme (Boehringer Mannheim)
and 20 U mutanolysin (Sigma), and DNA was eluted in 35 µL with a typical yield of 200 ng/µL.
Linear extension PCR was performed on 100 ng DNA in 50 µL with Taq polymerase (NEB) and
primer Tn-ermB-2 (anneal: 30 s at 55˚C, extend 30 s at 68˚C, 50 cycles). The resulting product
was spin-column purified (Zymo Research Clean & Concentrator kit) and eluted in 12 µL. A C-tail
was added by extending with terminal transferase (NEB) in a 20 µL reaction, using 1.25 mM dCTP
(NEB) and 50 µM ddCTP (MilliporeSigma/Roche). The product was again spin-column purified
and eluted in 10 µL. Final PCR amplification used 1 µL of C-tailed DNA in a 35 µL reaction mixture,
Taq polymerase and primers P7-16G and P5-Tn-Px (x: variable barcode; anneal: 30 s at 62˚C,
extend 30 s at 68˚C, 35 cycles). The resulting product was separated on a 1.5% agarose gel in
Tris Acetate EDTA buffer (TAE). Fragments of 300-500 base pair length were excised, purified
with the Zymo Research Gel DNA recovery kit, and eluted in 10 µL. DNA concentration was
quantitated with the Qubit dsDNA assay and was typically around 5 ng/µL. Four samples with
distinct barcodes were combined and submitted for sequencing (Illumina HiSeq X, 150-bp PE
reads) with Admera Health Biopharma Services (South Plainfield, NJ). Samples were spiked with
5% PhiX DNA to improve data quality.
775

Sequencing data processing.
Raw sequencing files were first trimmed with Trimmomatic to eliminate poor quality reads (143).
The first four bases before the barcodes were then removed using Trim Sequences and the
resulting files were de-multiplexed using the Barcode splitter, both on Galaxy (144). Reads were
aligned to the reference genome of R20291 (NC_013316.1 or ASM2710v1) using the Burrows-
Wheeler Aligner (BWA) provided in TRANSIT (27). Finally, the resulting Wig files were compared
in TRANSIT2 which evaluates gene essentiality both by Gumbel analysis and binomial analysis
(145). The former makes essentiality calls based on insertion gaps, i.e. consecutive TA sites
lacking transposon insertions, using the Gumbel distribution (146). The latter calls essentiality for
small genes lacking insertions which can be difficult to detect by the more conservative Gumbel
algorithm (28). Essentiality calls are either "E" when identified by Gumbel or "EB" when identified
by the Binomial analysis. Table S3 lists genes that were called essential in primary insertion
libraries using cells scraped from plates, or after an additional 7 generations of growth. The library

dataset was generated from three independently constructed transposon libraries. The outgrowth

dataset was generated from two independent growth cultures from each of the three independent

libraries. We present both the separate data output as well as a combined essentiality call (Table

S3). The latter was further hand-edited by including 11 genes (indicated as "Ei" for "essential by

inspection") that appeared to have mistakenly called non-essential by TRANSIT2. Ten of these

genes had very few insertions despite numerous possible TA sites, while the eleventh had a large

number of insertions but mostly at the 3' end of the gene.

Acknowledgements

798   This work was supported by Public Health Service Grants R21 AI159071 (D.S.W) and R01

799   AI155492 (C.D.E. and D.S.W). A.J.O. and F.V.T. were supported by NSF REU DBI-1852070.

800   H.M.L. and J.G.R.-R. were supported by NSF REU DBI-2244169. We thank members of the

801   Ellermeier and Weiss laboratories for helpful discussions and John Cronan for information on

802   *gpsA*.

REFERENCES

1.   CDC. 2019. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention, Atlanta, GA.
2.   Miller AC, Arakkal AT, Sewell DK, Segre AM, Tholany J, Polgreen PM, Group CDCM-H. 2023.
Comparison of Different Antibiotics and the Risk for Community-Associated Clostridioides
difficile Infection: A Case-Control Study. Open Forum Infect Dis 10:ofad413.
3.   Theriot CM, Koenigsknecht MJ, Carlson PE, Jr., Hatton GE, Nelson AM, Li B, Huffnagle GB, J ZL,
Young VB. 2014. Antibiotic-induced shifts in the mouse gut microbiome and metabolome
increase susceptibility to *Clostridium difficile* infection. Nat Commun 5:3114.
4.   Cole SA, Stahl TJ. 2015. Persistent and Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Colitis. Clin Colon Rectal
Surg 28:65-9.
5.   Cain AK, Barquist L, Goodman AL, Paulsen IT, Parkhill J, van Opijnen T. 2020. A decade of
advances in transposon-insertion sequencing. Nat Rev Genet 21:526-540.
6.   van Opijnen T, Camilli A. 2013. Transposon insertion sequencing: a new tool for systems-level
analysis of microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol 11:435-42.
7.   Peters JM, Colavin A, Shi H, Czarny TL, Larson MH, Wong S, Hawkins JS, Lu CHS, Koo BM, Marta E,
Shiver AL, Whitehead EH, Weissman JS, Brown ED, Qi LS, Huang KC, Gross CA. 2016. A
Comprehensive, CRISPR-based Functional Analysis of Essential Genes in Bacteria. Cell 165:1493-
1506.
8.   Silvis MR, Rajendram M, Shi H, Osadnik H, Gray AN, Cesar S, Peters JM, Hearne CC, Kumar P,
Todor H, Huang KC, Gross CA. 2021. Morphological and Transcriptional Responses to CRISPRi
Knockdown of Essential Genes in *Escherichia coli*. mBio 12:e0256121.

9.   Liu X, Gallay C, Kjos M, Domenech A, Slager J, van Kessel SP, Knoops K, Sorg RA, Zhang JR,
Veening JW. 2017. High-throughput CRISPRi phenotyping identifies new essential genes in
Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol Syst Biol 13:931.

10.   Shields RC, Walker AR, Maricic N, Chakraborty B, Underhill SAM, Burne RA. 2020. Repurposing
the *Streptococcus mutans* CRISPR-Cas9 System to Understand Essential Gene Function. PLoS
Pathog 16:e1008344.

11.   de Wet TJ, Winkler KR, Mhlanga M, Mizrahi V, Warner DF. 2020. Arrayed CRISPRi and quantitative
imaging describe the morphotypic landscape of essential mycobacterial genes. Elife 9.

12.   Ward RD, Tran JS, Banta AB, Bacon EE, Rose WE, Peters JM. 2024. Essential gene knockdowns
reveal genetic vulnerabilities and antibiotic sensitivities in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. mBio
15:e0205123.

13.   Qi LS, Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Doudna JA, Weissman JS, Arkin AP, Lim WA. 2013. Repurposing
CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Cell
152:1173-83.

14.   Dembek M, Barquist L, Boinett CJ, Cain AK, Mayho M, Lawley TD, Fairweather NF, Fagan RP.
2015. High-throughput analysis of gene essentiality and sporulation in *Clostridium difficile*. mBio
6:e02383.

15.   Müh U, Pannullo AG, Weiss DS, Ellermeier CD. 2019. A Xylose-Inducible Expression System and a
CRISPR Interference Plasmid for Targeted Knockdown of Gene Expression in *Clostridioides*
difficile. J Bacteriol 201:e00711-18.

16.   Rousset F, Cui L, Siouve E, Becavin C, Depardieu F, Bikard D. 2018. Genome-wide CRISPR-dCas9
screens in *E. coli* identify essential genes and phage host factors. PLoS Genet 14:e1007749.

17.   Wang T, Guan C, Guo J, Liu B, Wu Y, Xie Z, Zhang C, Xing XH. 2018. Pooled CRISPR interference
screening enables genome-scale functional genomics study in bacteria with superior
performance. Nat Commun 9:2475.

18.   Karp PD, Billington R, Caspi R, Fulcher CA, Latendresse M, Kothari A, Keseler IM, Krummenacker
M, Midford PE, Ong Q, Ong WK, Paley SM, Subhraveti P. 2019. The BioCyc collection of microbial
genomes and metabolic pathways. Brief Bioinform 20:1085-1093.

19.   Walter BM, Cartman ST, Minton NP, Butala M, Rupnik M. 2015. The SOS Response Master
Regulator LexA Is Associated with Sporulation, Motility and Biofilm Formation in *Clostridium*
difficile. PLoS One 10:e0144763.

20.   Kawai Y, Moriya S, Ogasawara N. 2003. Identification of a protein, YneA, responsible for cell
division suppression during the SOS response in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 47:1113-22.

21.   Nonejuie P, Burkart M, Pogliano K, Pogliano J. 2013. Bacterial cytological profiling rapidly
identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
110:16169-74.

22.   Htoo HH, Brumage L, Chaikeeratisak V, Tsunemoto H, Sugie J, Tribuddharat C, Pogliano J,
Nonejuie P. 2019. Bacterial Cytological Profiling as a Tool To Study Mechanisms of Action of
Antibiotics That Are Active against *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63.

23.   Quach D, Sharp M, Ahmed S, Ames L, Bhagwat A, Deshpande A, Parish T, Pogliano J, Sugie J.
2025. Deep learning-driven bacterial cytological profiling to determine antimicrobial
mechanisms in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 122:e2419813122.

24.   Lampe DJ, Grant TE, Robertson HM. 1998. Factors affecting transposition of the *Himar1* mariner
transposon *in vitro*. Genetics 149:179-87.

25.   Sebaihia M, Wren BW, Mullany P, Fairweather NF, Minton N, Stabler R, Thomson NR, Roberts AP,
Cerdeno-Tarraga AM, Wang H, Holden MT, Wright A, Churcher C, Quail MA, Baker S, Bason N,
Brooks K, Chillingworth T, Cronin A, Davis P, Dowd L, Fraser A, Feltwell T, Hance Z, Holroyd S,
Jagels K, Moule S, Mungall K, Price C, Rabbinowitsch E, Sharp S, Simmonds M, Stevens K, Unwin

L, Whithead S, Dupuy B, Dougan G, Barrell B, Parkhill J. 2006. The multidrug-resistant human
pathogen *Clostridium difficile* has a highly mobile, mosaic genome. Nat Genet 38:779-86.
26. He M, Sebaihia M, Lawley TD, Stabler RA, Dawson LF, Martin MJ, Holt KE, Seth-Smith HM, Quail
MA, Rance R, Brooks K, Churcher C, Harris D, Bentley SD, Burrows C, Clark L, Corton C, Murray V,
Rose G, Thurston S, van Tonder A, Walker D, Wren BW, Dougan G, Parkhill J. 2010. Evolutionary
dynamics of *Clostridium difficile* over short and long time scales. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
107:7527-32.
27. DeJesus MA, Ambadipudi C, Baker R, Sassetti C, Ioerger TR. 2015. TRANSIT--A Software Tool for
Himar1 TnSeq Analysis. PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004401.
28. Choudhery S, Brown AJ, Akusobi C, Rubin EJ, Sassetti CM, Ioerger TR. 2021. Modeling Site-
Specific Nucleotide Biases Affecting Himar1 Transposon Insertion Frequencies in TnSeq Data
Sets. mSystems 6:e0087621.
29. Koo BM, Kritikos G, Farelli JD, Todor H, Tong K, Kimsey H, Wapinski I, Galardini M, Cabal A, Peters
JM, Hachmann AB, Rudner DZ, Allen KN, Typas A, Gross CA. 2017. Construction and Analysis of
Two Genome-Scale Deletion Libraries for *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell Syst 4:291-305 e7.
30. Meeske AJ, Sham LT, Kimsey H, Koo BM, Gross CA, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2015. MurJ and a
novel lipid II flippase are required for cell wall biogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A 112:6437-42.
31. Kobayashi K, Ehrlich SD, Albertini A, Amati G, Andersen KK, Arnaud M, Asai K, Ashikaga S,
Aymerich S, Bessieres P, Boland F, Brignell SC, Bron S, Bunai K, Chapuis J, Christiansen LC,
Danchin A, Debarbouille M, Dervyn E, Deuerling E, Devine K, Devine SK, Dreesen O, Errington J,
Fillinger S, Foster SJ, Fujita Y, Galizzi A, Gardan R, Eschevins C, Fukushima T, Haga K, Harwood CR,
Hecker M, Hosoya D, Hullo MF, Kakeshita H, Karamata D, Kasahara Y, Kawamura F, Koga K, Koski P,
Kuwana R, Imamura D, Ishimaru M, Ishikawa S, Ishio I, Le Coq D, Masson A, Mauel C, et al. 2003.
Essential *Bacillus subtilis* genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:4678-83.
32. Chaudhuri RR, Allen AG, Owen PJ, Shalom G, Stone K, Harrison M, Burgis TA, Lockyer M, Garcia-
Lara J, Foster SJ, Pleasance SJ, Peters SE, Maskell DJ, Charles IG. 2009. Comprehensive
identification of essential *Staphylococcus aureus* genes using Transposon-Mediated Differential
Hybridisation (TMDH). BMC Genomics 10:291.
33. Underwood S, Guan S, Vijayasubhash V, Baines SD, Graham L, Lewis RJ, Wilcox MH, Stephenson
K. 2009. Characterization of the sporulation initiation pathway of *Clostridium difficile* and its role
in toxin production. J Bacteriol 191:7296-305.
34. Edwards AN, McBride SM. 2017. Determination of the in vitro Sporulation Frequency of
Clostridium difficile. Bio Protoc 7.
35. Diaz A, Lacks SA, Lopez P. 1992. The 5' to 3' exonuclease activity of DNA polymerase I is essential
for *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Mol Microbiol 6:3009-19.
36. Bayliss CD, Sweetman WA, Moxon ER. 2005. Destabilization of tetranucleotide repeats in
Haemophilus influenzae mutants lacking RnaseHI or the Klenow domain of PolI. Nucleic Acids
Res 33:400-8.
37. Fukushima S, Itaya M, Kato H, Ogasawara N, Yoshikawa H. 2007. Reassessment of the in vivo
functions of DNA polymerase I and RNase H in bacterial cell growth. J Bacteriol 189:8575-83.
38. van Eijk E, Paschalis V, Green M, Friggen AH, Larson MA, Spriggs K, Briggs GS, Soultanas P, Smits
WK. 2016. Primase is required for helicase activity and helicase alters the specificity of primase
in the enteropathogen *Clostridium difficile*. Open Biol 6.
39. Smits WK, Goranov AI, Grossman AD. 2010. Ordered association of helicase loader proteins with
the *Bacillus subtilis* origin of replication in vivo. Mol Microbiol 75:452-61.

40. Weiss A, Moore BD, Tremblay MHJ, Chaput D, Kremer A, Shaw LN. 2017. The omega Subunit
Governs RNA Polymerase Stability and Transcriptional Specificity in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J
Bacteriol 199.
41. Gentry DR, Burgess RR. 1989. *rpoZ*, encoding the omega subunit of *Escherichia coli* RNA
polymerase, is in the same operon as spoT. J Bacteriol 171:1271-7.
42. Jayasinghe OT, Mandell ZF, Yakhnin AV, Kashlev M, Babitzke P. 2022. Transcriptome-Wide Effects
of NusA on RNA Polymerase Pausing in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 204:e0053421.
43. Trzilova D, Anjuwon-Foster BR, Torres Rivera D, Tamayo R. 2020. Rho factor mediates flagellum
and toxin phase variation and impacts virulence in *Clostridioides difficile*. PLoS Pathog
16:e1008708.
44. Karzai AW, Roche ED, Sauer RT. 2000. The SsrA-SmpB system for protein tagging, directed
degradation and ribosome rescue. Nat Struct Biol 7:449-55.
45. Santiago M, Matano LM, Moussa SH, Gilmore MS, Walker S, Meredith TC. 2015. A new platform
for ultra-high density *Staphylococcus aureus* transposon libraries. BMC Genomics 16:252.
46. Yamamoto N, Nakahigashi K, Nakamichi T, Yoshino M, Takai Y, Touda Y, Furubayashi A, Kinjyo S,
Dose H, Hasegawa M, Datsenko KA, Nakayashiki T, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2009. Update
on the Keio collection of *Escherichia coli* single-gene deletion mutants. Mol Syst Biol 5:335.
47. Xu P, Ge X, Chen L, Wang X, Dou Y, Xu JZ, Patel JR, Stone V, Trinh M, Evans K, Kitten T, Bonchev D,
Buck GA. 2011. Genome-wide essential gene identification in *Streptococcus sanguinis*. Sci Rep
1:125.
48. Nair N, Raff H, Islam MT, Feen M, Garofalo DM, Sheppard K. 2016. The *Bacillus subtilis* and
Bacillus halodurans Aspartyl-tRNA Synthetases Retain Recognition of tRNA(Asn). J Mol Biol
428:618-630.
49. Putzer H, Gendron N, Grunberg-Manago M. 1992. Co-ordinate expression of the two threonyl-
tRNA synthetase genes in *Bacillus subtilis*: control by transcriptional antitermination involving a
conserved regulatory sequence. EMBO J 11:3117-27.
50. Williams-Wagner RN, Grundy FJ, Raina M, Ibba M, Henkin TM. 2015. The *Bacillus subtilis tyrZ*
gene encodes a highly selective tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase and is regulated by a MarR regulator
and T box riboswitch. J Bacteriol 197:1624-31.
51. Strauch MA, Zalkin H, Aronson AI. 1988. Characterization of the glutamyl-tRNA(Gln)-to-
glutaminyl-tRNA(Gln) amidotransferase reaction of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 170:916-20.
52. Curnow AW, Hong K, Yuan R, Kim S, Martins O, Winkler W, Henkin TM, Soll D. 1997. Glu-tRNAGln
amidotransferase: a novel heterotrimeric enzyme required for correct decoding of glutamine
codons during translation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:11819-26.
53. Müh U, Ellermeier CD, Weiss DS. 2022. The WalRK Two-Component System Is Essential for
Proper Cell Envelope Biogenesis in *Clostridioides difficile*. J Bacteriol 204:e0012122.
54. Wall EA, Caufield JH, Lyons CE, Manning KA, Dokland T, Christie GE. 2015. Specific N-terminal
cleavage of ribosomal protein L27 in *Staphylococcus aureus* and related bacteria. Mol Microbiol
95:258-69.
55. Sauer RT, Baker TA. 2011. AAA+ proteases: ATP-fueled machines of protein destruction. Annu Rev
Biochem 80:587-612.
56. Clausen T, Kaiser M, Huber R, Ehrmann M. 2011. HTRA proteases: regulated proteolysis in
protein quality control. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 12:152-62.
57. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL,
Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the
Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2:2006 0008.

58.   Haas M, Beyer D, Gahlmann R, Freiberg C. 2001. YkrB is the main peptide deformylase in Bacillus
subtilis, a eubacterium containing two functional peptide deformylases. Microbiology (Reading)
147:1783-1791.

59.   Cai Y, Chandrangsu P, Gaballa A, Helmann JD. 2017. Lack of formylated methionyl-tRNA has
pleiotropic effects on *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiology (Reading) 163:185-196.

60.   You C, Lu H, Sekowska A, Fang G, Wang Y, Gilles AM, Danchin A. 2005. The two authentic
methionine aminopeptidase genes are differentially expressed in *Bacillus subtilis*. BMC Microbiol
5:57.

61.   Green ER, Mecsas J. 2016. Bacterial Secretion Systems: An Overview. Microbiol Spectr 4.

62.   Fagan RP, Fairweather NF. 2011. *Clostridium difficile* has two parallel and essential Sec secretion
systems. J Biol Chem 286:27483-93.

63.   Choi KH, Heath RJ, Rock CO. 2000. beta-ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthase III (FabH) is a
determining factor in branched-chain fatty acid biosynthesis. J Bacteriol 182:365-70.

64.   Todter D, Gunka K, Stulke J. 2017. The Highly Conserved Asp23 Family Protein YqhY Plays a Role
in Lipid Biosynthesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Front Microbiol 8:883.

65.   Morbidoni HR, de Mendoza D, Cronan JE, Jr. 1995. Synthesis of sn-glycerol 3-phosphate, a key
precursor of membrane lipids, in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 177:5899-905.

66.   Heuston S, Begley M, Gahan CGM, Hill C. 2012. Isoprenoid biosynthesis in bacterial pathogens.
Microbiology (Reading) 158:1389-1401.

67.   Manat G, Roure S, Auger R, Bouhss A, Barreteau H, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Touze T. 2014.
Deciphering the metabolism of undecaprenyl-phosphate: the bacterial cell-wall unit carrier at
the membrane frontier. Microb Drug Resist 20:199-214.

68.   Monot M, Boursaux-Eude C, Thibonnier M, Vallenet D, Moszer I, Medigue C, Martin-Verstraete I,
Dupuy B. 2011. Reannotation of the genome sequence of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630. J Med
Microbiol 60:1193-1199.

69.   Zbylicki BR, Murphy CE, Petsche JA, Müh U, Dobrila HA, Ho TD, Daum MN, Pannullo AG, Weiss
DS, Ellermeier CD. 2024. Identification of *Clostridioides difficile* mutants with increased
daptomycin resistance. J Bacteriol 206:e0036823.

70.   Willing SE, Candela T, Shaw HA, Seager Z, Mesnage S, Fagan RP, Fairweather NF. 2015.
Clostridium difficile surface proteins are anchored to the cell wall using CWB2 motifs that
recognise the anionic polymer PSII. Mol Microbiol 96:596-608.

71.   Kirk JA, Banerji O, Fagan RP. 2017. Characteristics of the *Clostridium difficile* cell envelope and its
importance in therapeutics. Microb Biotechnol 10:76-90.

72.   Kirk JA, Gebhart D, Buckley AM, Lok S, Scholl D, Douce GR, Govoni GR, Fagan RP. 2017. New class
of precision antimicrobials redefines role of *Clostridium difficile* S-layer in virulence and viability.
Sci Transl Med 9.

73.   Wang S, Courreges MC, Xu L, Gurung B, Berryman M, Gu T. 2024. Revealing roles of S-layer
protein (SlpA) in *Clostridioides difficile* pathogenicity by generating the first *slpA* gene deletion
mutant. Microbiol Spectr 12:e0400523.

74.   Chu M, Mallozzi MJ, Roxas BP, Bertolo L, Monteiro MA, Agellon A, Viswanathan VK, Vedantam G.
2016. A *Clostridium difficile* Cell Wall Glycopolymer Locus Influences Bacterial Shape,
Polysaccharide Production and Virulence. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005946.

75.   Malet-Villemagne J, Yucheng L, Evanno L, Denis-Quanquin S, Hugonnet JE, Arthur M, Janoir C,
Candela T. 2023. Polysaccharide II Surface Anchoring, the Achilles' Heel of *Clostridioides difficile*.
Microbiol Spectr 11:e0422722.

76.   Jorgenson MA, Young KD. 2016. Interrupting Biosynthesis of O Antigen or the Lipopolysaccharide
Core Produces Morphological Defects in *Escherichia coli* by Sequestering Undecaprenyl
Phosphate. J Bacteriol 198:3070-9.

77.   D'Elia MA, Millar KE, Beveridge TJ, Brown ED. 2006. Wall teichoic acid polymers are dispensable
1016   for cell viability in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 188:8313-6.

1017   78.   Bouhss A, Trunkfield AE, Bugg TD, Mengin-Lecreulx D. 2008. The biosynthesis of peptidoglycan
1018   lipid-linked intermediates. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:208-33.

1019   79.   Sham LT, Butler EK, Lebar MD, Kahne D, Bernhardt TG, Ruiz N. 2014. Bacterial cell wall. MurJ is
1020   the flippase of lipid-linked precursors for peptidoglycan biogenesis. Science 345:220-2.

1021   80.   Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam SZ, Rau D, Kubler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Söding J, Lupas AN,
1022   Alva V. 2018. A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a New HHpred
1023   Server at its Core. J Mol Biol 430:2237-2243.

1024   81.   Elhenawy W, Davis RM, Fero J, Salama NR, Felman MF, Ruiz N. 2016. The O-Antigen Flippase Wzk
1025   Can Substitute for MurJ in Peptidoglycan Synthesis in Helicobacter pylori and Escherichia coli.
1026   PLoS One 11:e0161587.

1027   82.   Englehart K, Dworkin J. 2025. *Bacillus subtilis* MurJ and Amj Lipid II flippases are not essential for
1028   growth. J Bacteriol doi:10.1128/jb.00078-25:e0007825.

1029   83.   Mohammadi T, van Dam V, Sijbrandi R, Vernet T, Zapun A, Bouhss A, Diepeveen-de Bruin M,
1030   Nguyen-Disteche M, de Kruijff B, Breukink E. 2011. Identification of FtsW as a transporter of
1031   lipid-linked cell wall precursors across the membrane. EMBO J 30:1425-32.

1032   84.   Rohs PDA, Bernhardt TG. 2021. Growth and Division of the Peptidoglycan Matrix. Annu Rev
1033   Microbiol 75:315-336.

1034   85.   Egan AJF, Errington J, Vollmer W. 2020. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling.
1035   Nat Rev Microbiol 18:446-460.

1036   86.   Sauvage E, Kerff F, Terrak M, Ayala JA, Charlier P. 2008. The penicillin-binding proteins: structure
1037   and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:234-58.

1038   87.   Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, Walker S, Kruse AC, Bernhardt
1039   TG, Rudner DZ. 2016. SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases.
1040   Nature 537:634-638.

1041   88.   Sjodt M, Rohs PDA, Gilman MSA, Erlandson SC, Zheng S, Green AG, Brock KP, Taguchi A, Kahne D,
1042   Walker S, Marks DS, Rudner DZ, Bernhardt TG, Kruse AC. 2020. Structural coordination of
1043   polymerization and crosslinking by a SEDS-bPBP peptidoglycan synthase complex. Nat Microbiol
1044   5:813-820.

1045   89.   Taguchi A, Welsh MA, Marmont LS, Lee W, Sjodt M, Kruse AC, Kahne D, Bernhardt TG, Walker S.
1046   2019. FtsW is a peptidoglycan polymerase that is functional only in complex with its cognate
1047   penicillin-binding protein. Nat Microbiol 4:587-594.

1048   90.   Shrestha S, Dressler JM, McNellis ME, Shen A. 2024. Penicillin-binding proteins exhibit catalytic
1049   redundancy during asymmetric cell division in *Clostridioides difficile*. bioRxiv
1050   doi:10.1101/2024.09.26.615255.

1051   91.   Shrestha S, Taib N, Gribaldo S, Shen A. 2023. Diversification of division mechanisms in
1052   endospore-forming bacteria revealed by analyses of peptidoglycan synthesis in *Clostridioides*
1053   *difficile*. Nat Commun 14:7975.

1054   92.   Bollinger KW, Müh U, Ocius KL, Apostolos AJ, Pires MM, Helm RF, Popham DL, Weiss DS,
1055   Ellermeier CD. 2024. Identification of a family of peptidoglycan transpeptidases reveals that
1056   *Clostridioides difficile* requires noncanonical cross-links for viability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
1057   121:e2408540121.

1058   93.   Takada H, Yoshikawa H. 2018. Essentiality and function of WalK/WalR two-component system:
1059   the past, present, and future of research. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 82:741-751.

1060   94.   Bouillaut L, Newton W, Sonenshein AL, Belitsky BR. 2019. DdlR, an essential transcriptional
1061   regulator of peptidoglycan biosynthesis in *Clostridioides difficile*. Mol Microbiol 112:1453-1470.

95. Gueiros-Filho FJ, Losick R. 2002. A widely conserved bacterial cell division protein that promotes
assembly of the tubulin-like protein FtsZ. Genes Dev 16:2544-56.
96. Hamoen LW, Meile JC, de Jong W, Noirot P, Errington J. 2006. SepF, a novel FtsZ-interacting
protein required for a late step in cell division. Mol Microbiol 59:989-99.
97. Ishikawa S, Kawai Y, Hiramatsu K, Kuwano M, Ogasawara N. 2006. A new FtsZ-interacting protein,
YlmF, complements the activity of FtsA during progression of cell division in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol
Microbiol 60:1364-80.
98. White ML, Eswara PJ. 2021. *ylm* Has More than a (Z Anchor) Ring to It! J Bacteriol 203.
99. Lee S, Price CW. 1993. The *minCD* locus of *Bacillus subtilis* lacks the *minE* determinant that
provides topological specificity to cell division. Mol Microbiol 7:601-10.
100. Briley K, Jr., Prepiak P, Dias MJ, Hahn J, Dubnau D. 2011. Maf acts downstream of ComGA to
arrest cell division in competent cells of *B. subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 81:23-39.
101. Butler YX, Abhayawardhane Y, Stewart GC. 1993. Amplification of the *Bacillus subtilis maf* gene
results in arrested septum formation. J Bacteriol 175:3139-45.
102. Jin J, Wu R, Zhu J, Yang S, Lei Z, Wang N, Singh VK, Zheng J, Jia Z. 2015. Insights into the cellular
function of YhdE, a nucleotide pyrophosphatase from *Escherichia coli*. PLoS One 10:e0117823.
103. Bosch B, DeJesus MA, Poulton NC, Zhang W, Engelhart CA, Zaveri A, Lavalette S, Ruecker N,
Trujillo C, Wallach JB, Li S, Ehrt S, Chait BT, Schnappinger D, Rock JM. 2021. Genome-wide gene
expression tuning reveals diverse vulnerabilities of *M. tuberculosis*. Cell 184:4579-4592 e24.
104. DeJesus MA, Gerrick ER, Xu W, Park SW, Long JE, Boutte CC, Rubin EJ, Schnappinger D, Ehrt S,
Fortune SM, Sassetti CM, Ioerger TR. 2017. Comprehensive Essentiality Analysis of the
Mycobacterium tuberculosis Genome via Saturating Transposon Mutagenesis. mBio 8.
105. Bush MJ, Bibb MJ, Chandra G, Findlay KC, Buttner MJ. 2013. Genes required for aerial growth,
cell division, and chromosome segregation are targets of WhiA before sporulation in
Streptomyces venezuelae. mBio 4:e00684-13.
106. Bohorquez LC, de Sousa J, Garcia-Garcia T, Dugar G, Wang B, Jonker MJ, Noirot-Gros MF, Lalk M,
Hamoen LW. 2023. Metabolic and chromosomal changes in a *Bacillus subtilis whiA* mutant.
Microbiol Spectr 11:e0179523.
107. Surdova K, Gamba P, Claessen D, Siersma T, Jonker MJ, Errington J, Hamoen LW. 2013. The
conserved DNA-binding protein WhiA is involved in cell division in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol
195:5450-60.
108. Weiss DS, Chen JC, Ghigo JM, Boyd D, Beckwith J. 1999. Localization of FtsI (PBP3) to the septal
ring requires its membrane anchor, the Z ring, FtsA, FtsQ, and FtsL. J Bacteriol 181:508-20.
109. Schmidt KL, Peterson ND, Kustusch RJ, Wissel MC, Graham B, Phillips GJ, Weiss DS. 2004. A
predicted ABC transporter, FtsEX, is needed for cell division in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol
186:785-93.
110. Arends SJ, Williams K, Scott RJ, Rolong S, Popham DL, Weiss DS. 2010. Discovery and
characterization of three new *Escherichia coli* septal ring proteins that contain a SPOR domain:
DamX, DedD, and RlpA. J Bacteriol 192:242-55.
111. Yahashiri A, Babor JT, Anwar AL, Bezy RP, Piette EW, Arends SJR, Muh U, Steffen MR, Cline JM,
Stanek DN, Lister SD, Swanson SM, Weiss DS. 2020. DrpB (YedR) Is a Nonessential Cell Division
Protein in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 202.
112. Ransom EM, Williams KB, Weiss DS, Ellermeier CD. 2014. Identification and characterization of a
gene cluster required for proper rod shape, cell division, and pathogenesis in Clostridium
difficile. J Bacteriol 196:2290-300.
113. Gitai Z, Dye N, Shapiro L. 2004. An actin-like gene can determine cell polarity in bacteria. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:8643-8.

114. Fenton AK, Gerdes K. 2013. Direct interaction of FtsZ and MreB is required for septum synthesis
and cell division in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J 32:1953-65.
115. Velazquez-Suarez C, Valladares A, Luque I, Herrero A. 2022. The Role of Mre Factors and Cell
Division in Peptidoglycan Growth in the Multicellular Cyanobacterium *Anabaena*. mBio
13:e0116522.
116. Land AD, Winkler ME. 2011. The requirement for pneumococcal MreC and MreD is relieved by
inactivation of the gene encoding PBP1a. J Bacteriol 193:4166-79.
117. Tavares AC, Fernandes PB, Carballido-Lopez R, Pinho MG. 2015. MreC and MreD Proteins Are
Not Required for Growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*. PLoS One 10:e0140523.
118. Buckley AM, Jukes C, Candlish D, Irvine JJ, Spencer J, Fagan RP, Roe AJ, Christie JM, Fairweather
NF, Douce GR. 2016. Lighting Up *Clostridium Difficile*: Reporting Gene Expression Using
Fluorescent Lov Domains. Sci Rep 6:23463.
119. Megrian D, Taib N, Jaffe AL, Banfield JF, Gribaldo S. 2022. Ancient origin and constrained
evolution of the division and cell wall gene cluster in Bacteria. Nat Microbiol 7:2114-2127.
120. Ransom EM, Ellermeier CD, Weiss DS. 2015. Use of mCherry Red fluorescent protein for studies
of protein localization and gene expression in Clostridium difficile. Appl Environ Microbiol
81:1652-60.
121. Neumann-Schaal M, Jahn D, Schmidt-Hohagen K. 2019. Metabolism the Difficile Way: The Key to
the Success of the Pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*. Front Microbiol 10:219.
122. Stickland LH. 1934. Studies in the metabolism of the strict anaerobes (genus *Clostridium*): The
chemical reactions by which *Cl. sporogenes* obtains its energy. Biochem J 28:1746-59.
123. Johnstone MA, Self WT. 2022. d-Proline Reductase Underlies Proline-Dependent Growth of
Clostridioides difficile. J Bacteriol 204:e0022922.
124. Buckel W, Thauer RK. 2018. Flavin-Based Electron Bifurcation, A New Mechanism of Biological
Energy Coupling. Chem Rev 118:3862-3886.
125. Muller V, Chowdhury NP, Basen M. 2018. Electron Bifurcation: A Long-Hidden Energy-Coupling
Mechanism. Annu Rev Microbiol 72:331-353.
126. Dineen SS, McBride SM, Sonenshein AL. 2010. Integration of metabolism and virulence by
Clostridium difficile CodY. J Bacteriol 192:5350-62.
127. Dineen SS, Villapakkam AC, Nordman JT, Sonenshein AL. 2007. Repression of *Clostridium difficile*
toxin gene expression by CodY. Mol Microbiol 66:206-19.
128. Daou N, Wang Y, Levdikov VM, Nandakumar M, Livny J, Bouillaut L, Blagova E, Zhang K, Belitsky
BR, Rhee K, Wilkinson AJ, Sun X, Sonenshein AL. 2019. Impact of CodY protein on metabolism,
sporulation and virulence in *Clostridioides difficile* ribotype 027. PLoS One 14:e0206896.
129. Hartig E, Hartmann A, Schatzle M, Albertini AM, Jahn D. 2006. The *Bacillus subtilis nrdEF* genes,
encoding a class Ib ribonucleotide reductase, are essential for aerobic and anaerobic growth.
Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5260-5.
130. Poudel A, Pokhrel A, Oludiran A, Coronado EJ, Alleyne K, Gilfus MM, Gurung RK, Adhikari SB,
Purcell EB. 2022. Unique Features of Alarmone Metabolism in *Clostridioides difficile*. J Bacteriol
204:e0057521.
131. Malik A, Oludiran A, Poudel A, Alvarez OB, Woodward C, Purcell EB. 2024. RelQ-mediated
alarmone signaling regulates growth, sporulation, and stress-induced biofilm formation in
Clostridioides difficile. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2024.02.14.580318.
132. Oberkampf M, Hamiot A, Altamirano-Silva P, Belles-Sancho P, Tremblay YDN, DiBenedetto N,
Seifert R, Soutourina O, Bry L, Dupuy B, Peltier J. 2022. c-di-AMP signaling is required for bile salt
resistance, osmotolerance, and long-term host colonization by *Clostridioides difficile*. Sci Signal
15:eabn8171.

133. Brouwer MS, Roberts AP, Mullany P, Allan E. 2012. In silico analysis of sequenced strains of
Clostridium difficile reveals a related set of conjugative transposons carrying a variety of
accessory genes. Mob Genet Elements 2:8-12.
134. Holtmann G, Bakker EP, Uozumi N, Bremer E. 2003. KtrAB and KtrCD: two K$^+$ uptake systems in
Bacillus subtilis and their role in adaptation to hypertonicity. J Bacteriol 185:1289-98.
135. Edwards AN, Wetzel D, DiCandia MA, McBride SM. 2022. Three Orphan Histidine Kinases Inhibit
Clostridioides difficile Sporulation. J Bacteriol 204:e0010622.
136. Childress KO, Edwards AN, Nawrocki KL, Anderson SE, Woods EC, McBride SM. 2016. The
Phosphotransfer Protein CD1492 Represses Sporulation Initiation in *Clostridium difficile*. Infect
Immun 84:3434-3444.
137. Ng YK, Ehsaan M, Philip S, Collery MM, Janoir C, Collignon A, Cartman ST, Minton NP. 2013.
Expanding the repertoire of gene tools for precise manipulation of the *Clostridium difficile*
genome: allelic exchange using *pyrE* alleles. PLoS One 8:e56051.
138. Li S, Poulton NC, Chang JS, Azadian ZA, DeJesus MA, Ruecker N, Zimmerman MD, Eckartt KA,
Bosch B, Engelhart CA, Sullivan DF, Gengenbacher M, Dartois VA, Schnappinger D, Rock JM. 2022.
CRISPRi chemical genetics and comparative genomics identify genes mediating drug potency in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Microbiol 7:766-779.
139. Stabler RA, He M, Dawson L, Martin M, Valiente E, Corton C, Lawley TD, Sebaihia M, Quail MA,
Rose G, Gerding DN, Gibert M, Popoff MR, Parkhill J, Dougan G, Wren BW. 2009. Comparative
genome and phenotypic analysis of *Clostridium difficile* 027 strains provides insight into the
evolution of a hypervirulent bacterium. Genome Biol 10:R102.
140. Ransom EM, Weiss DS, Ellermeier CD. 2016. Use of mCherryOpt Fluorescent Protein in
Clostridium difficile. Methods Mol Biol 1476:53-67.
141. Ducret A, Quardokus EM, Brun YV. 2016. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell
detection and quantitative analysis. Nat Microbiol 1:16077.
142. Karash S, Jiang T, Samarth D, Chandrashekar R, Kwon YM. 2019. Preparation of Transposon
Library and Tn-Seq Amplicon Library for *Salmonella* Typhimurium. Methods Mol Biol 2016:3-15.
143. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-20.
144. Blankenberg D, Gordon A, Von Kuster G, Coraor N, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Galaxy T. 2010.
Manipulation of FASTQ data with Galaxy. Bioinformatics 26:1783-5.
145. Ioerger TR. 2022. Analysis of Gene Essentiality from TnSeq Data Using Transit. Methods Mol Biol
2377:391-421.
146. DeJesus MA, Zhang YJ, Sassetti CM, Rubin EJ, Sacchettini JC, Ioerger TR. 2013. Bayesian analysis
of gene essentiality based on sequencing of transposon insertion libraries. Bioinformatics
29:695-703.

1192

Table 1. CRISPRi phenotypes of functional pathways

Pathway	Genes[a]	Phenotype[b]
Cell division	***ftsZ**, maf, **minC**, minD, whiA, **zapA***	Filaments, few septa, lysis, misshapen cells (swollen or bent), phase-bright cells, chaining, mostly normal chromosome morphology.
DNA replication	***dnaD**, dnaE, dnaF, dnaG, dnaL, **dnaX**, holA, priA, ssb*	Filamentous, few septa, condensed chromosomes and regions devoid of DNA.
Fatty acid and phospholipid biosynthesis	*accB, acpS, cdsA, fabF, fabG2, **fabK**, fabZ, **fapR**, pgsA, plsC, plsY, yqhY*	Highly variable, including: mostly normal morphology, short cells, elongated cells, misshapen cells (swollen or bent), phase-bright cells.
Nucleotides	***guaA**, guaB, nrdD, pyrH, thyA, tmk*	Mostly normal morphology, chaining, filamentous cells with areas of condensed chromosomes or devoid of DNA.
Peptidoglycan biosynthesis	***rodA**, **pbp1**, **pbp2**, murJ2*	*pbp1*: filamentous cells with few septa; *pbp2* or *rodA*: short, swollen cells, often phase-bright, chaining. *murJ2*: misshapen cells (swollen or bent).
Peptidoglycan precursor biosynthesis	*ddl, glmU, mraY, murB, **murE**, **murF**, **murG**, murl*	Short cells, phase-bright cells, misshapen cells (swollen or bent), mild elongation, lysis.
Protein synthesis	*argS, cpgA, efp, **era**, fusA, infC, obg, prfA, prfB, rbgA, rimM, rlmL, rnrY, rplC, **rplT**, rpsM, **serS1**, smpB, thrS, tilS, tsf, tufA, **tufB***	Normal morphology, elongation, misshapen cells (swollen or bent), chaining, condensed chromosomes.
Teichoic acid biosynthesis	*cdr_2657, cdr_2663, **cdr_2665**, **gtaB**, murJ1, pgm2, rkpK, **tuaA**, tuaG*	Chains of short, swollen cells, sometimes phase-bright, sometimes mild elongation.
Transcription	***rpoA**, **rpoB***	*rpoA*: misshapen cells, a few modestly elongated; *rpoB*: long aseptate filaments, chromosome morphology normal.

[a]Depletion phenotypes for genes in bold are shown in Figure 2 and Figure S1.

[b]Phenotypes reported encompass the range observed across the genes listed. The phenotypic defects often differed for different genes from the same functional pathway. Major phenotypes caused by repression of each gene are listed in Table S1.

Table 2. Essential genes not assigned to a physiological pathway[a]

Locus tag	Annotation	Size	CRISPRi viability defect	CRISPRi terminal morphology
CDR20291_0351	Phosphoesterase	230 a.a.[b]	Weak	Normal
CDR20291_0481	Sugar isomerase/endonuclease	251 a.a.	Weak	Elongated
CDR20291_0828	DUF1846 domain	501 a.a.	Strong	Elongated
CDR20291_1053	Pyrophosphokinase	373 a.a.	Strong	Chaining, short cells, swollen cells, phase-bright
CDR20291_1054	Putative exported protein	291 a.a.	Strong	See CDR20291_1053
CDR20291_1055	Family 2 glycosyl transferase	230 a.a.	Strong	See CDR20291_1053
CDR20291_1056	Glycosyl transferase family protein	274 a.a.	Strong	See CDR20291_1053
CDR20291_1057	DUF3866 domain	355 a.a.	Not targeted	
CDR20291_1124	Putative membrane protein	723 a.a.	Moderate	Chaining, curved cells, phase-bright
CDR20291_1171	UvrD/REP type DNA helicase	593 a.a.	None	Normal
CDR20291_1418B	None	113 a.a.	Not targeted	Not done
CDR20291_2521	PDZ, Radical SAM and DUF512 domains	466 a.a.	Not targeted	Not done
CDR20291_2526	Two-component response regulator	230 a.a.	Moderate	Mostly normal, a few curved
CDR20291_2569	Putative calcium-chelating exported protein	308 a.a.	None	Normal
CDR20291_3525	Conserved hypothetical protein	61 a.a.	Not targeted	Not done

[a]These proteins were classified as essential in our Tn-seq and either essential or ambiguous by Dembek et al., 2015. CDR20219_3519 and CDR20219_3520 are omitted because their essentiality is likely due to polarity onto *dnaC* and/or *rplI*.

[b]a.a., amino acids

Table 3. Number of unique transposon insertions from experimental replicates[a]

Replicate	Unique TA sites hit	Fraction of total TA sites
Library A	178,325	0.355
Library B	168,519	0.335
Library C	143,213	0.285
Combined Libraries A-C	289,505	0.576
Outgrowth A1	135,217	0.269
Outgrowth A2	117,217	0.233
Outgrowth B1	127,947	0.254
Outgrowth B2	135,056	0.269
Outgrowth C1	167,894	0.334
Outgrowth C2	204,061	0.406

[a]Total TA sites = 502,945

Table 4. Tn-seq essential genes by category

Category	Count
Cell envelope	**76**
Cell division	7
Cell shape	4
Diaminopimelate biosynthesis	7
Fatty acid biosynthesis	7
Isoprenoid biosynthesis	7
Peptidoglycan biosynthesis	5
Peptidoglycan precursor biosynthesis	13
Phospholipid biosynthesis	6
Regulation	3
S-layer	2
Teichoic acid biosynthesis	14
Other	1
Cofactors	**24**
CoA	6
Fe-S cluster	1
Folate	7
Heme	1
NAD	4
Riboflavin	1
SAM	1
Thiamine	3
DNA metabolism	**25**
DNA packaging and segregation	4
DNA recombination and repair	4
DNA replication	16
Other	1

Category	Count
Metabolism	**15**
Amino acid biosynthesis	3
Glycolysis	2
Pentose phosphate pathway	1
Phosphate metabolism	1
Other	8
Nucleotides	**11**
dNTP biosynthesis	2
Purine biosynthesis	3
Pyrimidine biosynthesis	4
Regulatory nucleotides	2
Other/unknown	**58**
Phage-related	4
Sporulation	2
Transporter	6
Transposon-related	17
Other	1
Unknown	28
Protein synthesis	**113**
Protein degradation	4
Protein folding	2
Protein modification	2
Protein translocation	7
Ribosomal proteins	52
Ribosome biogenesis	12
Translation factors	10
tRNA synthetases	24
RNA metabolism	**24**
Basic transcription machinery	5
Regulation of RNA synthesis	4
RNA processing and degradation	6
tRNA modification	9
Total	**346**

Fig. 1. Summary of gene essentiality determined by CRISPRi and Tn-seq. (A) CRISPRi-induced viability defects were determined from spot titer assays on TY-thiamphenicol with 1% xylose. Viability defects were scored as strong (≥ 1000-fold), moderate (≥100-fold), weak (≥10-fold or full viability but colonies were small), or none (full viability, normal colony size). In cases where the two sgRNAs produced different results, the stronger viability defect was used. (B) Comparison of Tn-seq datasets. Of the 346 genes determined to be essential in our study, 283 were essential in the Dembek et al. set, and 10 were called ambiguous. Conversely, of the 404 Dembek et al. essential genes, 283 were essential in our dataset, 74 were non-essential, 12 were not found owing to use of different genome annotations, and 35 were ambiguous (Here, ambiguous combines three categories from Supplemental Table 3: unclear (11 genes), short (6 genes), unclear/non-essential (18 genes)). (C) Viability defects in CRISPRi correlate with likelihood a gene will be scored as essential by Tn-seq. Viability defects are from Table S1. Tn-seq calls come from Table S3.

Fig. 2. Morphology of CRISPRi strains with sgRNAs targeting genes in select functional pathways. Left: pathway. Middle: Predicted transcription unit. Targeted genes are boxed and indicated above the operon diagrams. Numbers are R20291 locus tags. Genes are color coded to indicate essentiality based on Tn-seq calls in Table S3. Blue: essential. Light blue: ambiguous. White: non-essential. Operon structure is not to scale. Right: Morphological changes based on phase contrast and fluorescence micrographs of cells scraped from viability plates. Membranes were stained with FM4-64 and DNA was stained with Hoechst 33342. Size bars are 5 μm. The control strain expressed an sgRNA that does not target anywhere in the genome. Micrographs are representative of at least two experiments. Figure S1 shows microscopy of more genes.

Fig. 3. Essentiality follow-up. (A) Transposon insertion profile for *murJ2*. Vertical lines represent mapped insertion sites and are scaled to indicate the number of sequence reads mapping to that site. Although *murJ2* sustained numerous insertions, ~80% were in the last 10% of the gene, suggesting the non-essentiality call by TRANSIT2 is incorrect. (B) Transposon insertion profile for *polA* indicating that only the N-terminal domain is essential. Read frequency was scaled to 5 to highlight the absence of reads in the N-terminal domain. The average number of reads per *polA* site with at least one read was 173. (C) Spot titer assays of CRISPRi strains targeting genes in the *atp* operon. Serial dilutions of overnight cultures were spotted on TY-Thi10 plates with 1% xylose. Plates were imaged after incubation at 37˚C for ~18h. Silencing *atpB* and *atpD* resulted in small colonies, while growth after silencing *atpI* and *atpF* was comparable to the negative control. (D) Pre-depletion of ATP synthase proteins impairs growth. Starter cultures were grown overnight in TY-Thi10 without (left) or with (right) 1% xylose, then subcultured into TY-Thi10 with 1% xylose and growth was followed by measuring optical density at 600 nm. To prolong growth, cultures in the left panel were back-diluted at 7h. (E) Zone of inhibition assays reveal CRISPRi knockdown of the *atp* operon increases sensitivity to CCCP. Plates were imaged after incubation at 37˚C for ~18h. Novobiocin (Novo) served as a control. Guides in panels C-E were: *atpI* (5531), *atpB* (5583), *atpF* (5581), *atpD* (5579) or a negative control that does not target anywhere in the gemone.

Fig. 4. Representative fluorescence micrographs of fixed cells that produced the indicated proteins fused to RFP. Percentages indicate the fraction of cells scored positive for septal localization (n ≥ 202 cells). Space bar = 10 µm.