Integrative Chemical Genetics Platform Identifies Condensate Modulators Linked to Neurological Disorders

Dylan Poch,[1] Chandrayee Mukherjee,[1] Sunanda Mallik,[1] Vanessa Todorow,[1] E.F. El-siena Kuiper,[1,2] Nalini Dhingra,[3] Yulia V Surovtseva,[3] Christian Schlieker.[1,4,*]

[1]Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA.
[2]Max Planck Institute of Biophysics, Mechanisms of Cellular Quality Control, Frankfurt a. M., Germany
[3]Yale Center for Molecular Discovery, Yale University, West Haven, CT 06516, USA.
[4]Department of Cell Biology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.

*Correspondence: christian.schlieker@yale.edu

HIGHLIGHTS

- MLF2 emerges as a disease-agnostic condensate biomarker co-localizing with TDP-43 and G3BP1
- FDA-approved drugs target condensates linked to perturbed proteostasis.
- RNF26 and ZNF335 are identified as modulators of nuclear phase transitions.
- Microcephaly patient disease alleles fail to counteract aberrant condensates.

ABSTRACT

Aberrant biomolecular condensates are implicated in multiple incurable neurological disorders, including Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD), and DYT1 dystonia. However, the role of condensates in driving disease etiology remains poorly understood. Here, we identify myeloid leukemia factor 2 (MLF2) as a disease-agnostic biomarker for phase transitions, including stress granules and nuclear condensates associated with dystonia. Exploiting fluorophore-derivatized MLF2 constructs, we developed a high-content platform and computational pipeline to screen modulators of NE condensates across chemical and genetic space. We identified RNF26 and ZNF335 as protective factors that prevent the buildup of nuclear condensates sequestering K48-linked polyubiquitinated proteins. Chemical screening identified four FDA-approved drugs that potently modulate condensates by resolving polyubiquitinated cargo and MLF2 accumulation. Our exploratory integrated chemical-genetics approach suggests that modulation of zinc, and potentially autophagy and oxidative stress, is critical for condensate modulation and nuclear proteostasis, offering potential therapeutic strategies for neurological disorders. Application of our platform to a genome-wide CRISPR KO screen identified strong enrichment of candidate genes linked to primary microcephaly and related neurodevelopmental disorders. Two hypomorphic microcephaly-associated alleles of ZNF335 failed to rescue nuclear condensate accumulation in ZNF335 KO cells, suggesting that aberrant condensates and impaired nuclear proteostasis may contribute to the pathogenesis of microcephaly.

KEYWORDS

INTRODUCTION

Aberrant biomolecular condensates are drivers for many neurological disorders, including Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD), and DYT1 dystonia.[1–5] Biomolecular condensates, often made from a milieu of hundreds of different proteins, consist of a thermodynamically favorable dense phase and dilute phase.[6,7,8,9] When properly regulated, biomolecular condensates allow efficient, fine-tuned microenvironments, ensuring maintenance of cellular homeostasis while buffering against external perturbations.[10–12] However, in pathological states sometimes referred to as condensatopathies, these condensates exhibit reduced dynamicity and undergo aberrant phase-transitions, leading to protein aggregation or amyloid formation that is not easily reversible.[13–15] It is estimated that up to one-third of common diseases can be potentially linked to condensate-forming proteins.[16] Which mechanisms and cellular activities establish and maintain condensates in a functional state are currently critical questions. A deeper understanding of these processes is essential for devising therapeutic strategies that tune condensate properties to counteract transitions to non-functional or toxic states.

One "favorable" phase-state is observed in the central channel of the nuclear pore complex (NPC). The NPC serves as the intermediary gate at which nuclear transcription is separated from cytoplasmic translation in eukaryotic organisms. Crudely, the NPC features ring-like structures that surround a central transport channel enriched in phenylalanine-glycine nucleoporins (FG-nups) with a high number of intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs). The IDR FG-repeat moieties of the FG-nups, along with importins and exportins, constitute a "phase" state (described as a hydrogel-like, polymer brush or as a selective phase model, among others), contributing to the selective barrier of the NPC.[17–23] Recently, it has been proposed that this phase state results in an optimal environment that counteracts protein aggregation and promotes folding.[24] However, disruptions to NPC assembly or nucleocytoplasmic transport have been strongly implicated in neurological diseases, including Alzheimer's disease, ALS/FTD, Huntington's disease, and dystonia.[1,25–29] As neurons are primarily limited to interphase "inside-out" NPC biogenesis,[30,31] dysregulation of this mode of NPC biogenesis can pathologically sensitize neurons toward disease.[1,32]

DYT1 dystonia is a debilitating and currently incurable movement disorder char-
acterized by involuntary muscle contractions and painful sustained postures,[33,34] which
has been linked to NPC perturbation.[1,32] There are no curative or preventative treat-
ments for dystonia, current interventions remain palliative.[33,34] DYT1 dystonia is caused
by an autosomal dominant GAG deletion (ΔE) within the TOR1A gene that disrupts
ATPase activation in this AAA+ ATPase.[35–39] The ΔE mutation or deletion of TorsinA re-
sults in aberrant deposition of both FG-nups and enrichment of K48-linked ubiquitinated
(K48-Ub) proteins within nuclear envelope (NE) condensates,[1,40] resulting from aberrant
NPC assembly,[41] leading to mislocalization and delay of NPC biogenesis in mouse
models of dystonia.[32] This NE condensate phenotype or other NE and nuclear transport
abnormalities are recapitulated in various disease models, including *Caenorhabditis ele-
gans*,[42] *Drosophila melanogaster*,[43] and human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)
lines.[44] Previously, our lab developed a cell-based DYT1 model system by deleting
TOR1A and its functionally redundant paralogs (TOR1B, TOR2A, TOR3A) in HeLa cells
(4TorKO cells),[41] resulting in an NE condensate phenotype analogous to those ob-
served in the DYT1 dystonia mutation.[1,40,45]

We identified two major proteotoxic outcomes of these aberrant NE condensates
in 4TorKO cells and primary mouse neurons: (i) the accumulation of ubiquitinated and
potentially proteotoxic proteins at the NE and (ii) the sequestration of a chaperone net-
work (HSPA1A, HSC70, DNAJB6, and DNAJB2).[1,40,46] We discovered that these ubiq-
uitylated proteins can evade proteasomal degradation by accumulating in NE conden-
sates, leading to a significantly increased half-life, potentially contributing to protein ag-
gregation.[1] Furthermore, the near-complete sequestration of specific chaperones into
NE condensates hinders their ability to maintain cellular protein homeostasis (proteosta-
sis). Therefore, modulating NE condensates by either direct dissolution or modulation of
its proteotoxic properties could represent a novel therapeutic approach, an undertaking
that would be greatly facilitated by suitable biomarkers for aberrant condensates. Mye-
loid leukemia factor 2 (MLF2) might be a suitable biomarker candidate for several rea-
sons: (1) MLF2 is a major constituent of NE condensates in 4TorKO cells.[40], and it is (2)
highly enriched in Methionine and Arginine,[1] residues which have been suggested to
engage in interactions with aromatic side chains in FG-nups.[47] MLF2 readily immerses

into FG-nup phases *in vitro* and is required for the interacting protein DNAJB6 to be re-
cruited to NE condensates in cells.[1] We exploited these properties to visualize proteo-
toxic condensates using fluorescence imaging and designed doxycycline (Dox) induci-
ble fluorophore-derivatized variants of MLF2 as a condensate biomarker.[1,40]

In this study, we establish MLF2 as a disease-agnostic marker of aberrant con-
densates, enriched in proteotoxic nuclear condensates and stress granules, as indi-
cated by strong co-localization with TDP-43 and G3BP1. High-content screening using
an MLF2-GFP readout identified both pharmacological and previously uncharacterized
genetic modulators of aberrant phase transitions. Importantly, we identified several
FDA-approved small molecules (pyrithione zinc, celastrol, plumbagin, and disulfiram)
along with a zinc-dependent mechanism that decreases nuclear condensate formation
in torsin-deficient cells associated with DYT1 dystonia. A genome-wide CRISPR knock-
out (KO) screen identified RNF26 and ZNF335 as key regulators protecting against ab-
errant condensate formation. Strikingly, 15 out of the top 50 gene hits are strongly asso-
ciated with neurodevelopmental disorders, including seven directly linked to primary mi-
crocephaly or related developmental disorders. Indeed, hypomorphic disease alleles of
ZNF335 implicated in microcephaly progression failed to rescue condensate accumula-
tion in ZNF335 KO cells, suggesting a hitherto unknown connection between nuclear
condensates, perturbed nuclear proteostasis, and neurodevelopmental disorders.
82

RESULTS
MLF2 is a Biomarker for Aberrant Condensates Associated with ALS/FTD and
DYT1 Dystonia.
Having previously established the connection between impaired protein quality control
(PQC) and perinuclear condensates in cell-based dystonia models (Figure 1A),[1] we
aimed to more broadly identify modulators of nuclear condensates and protein homeo-
stasis. Since at least a subset of condensates share aromatic side chains as part of
their "molecular grammar",[48] we reasoned that MLF2 might localize to additional aber-
rant condensates. Dysregulation of stress granules (SGs) has been pathologically asso-
ciated with several neurological disorders, including ALS and FTD.[13] It is well estab-
lished that exposing cells to oxidative stress with sodium arsenite (NaAsO$_2$) causes SG

formation.[49–52] To assess the potential co-localization of MLF2 with stress granules, we

expressed two common stress granule biomarkers, G3BP1 and TDP-43, after exposing

WT HeLa cells to 0.5 mM $NaAsO_2$ for 30 min. Strikingly, MLF2 exhibited strong co-local-

ization with both G3BP1-GFP and TDP-43-tdTomato, regardless of $NaAsO_2$ exposure

Fig. 1 | **MLF2 is a biomarker for aberrant phase transitions across neurological disorders.** (A) Nuclear envelope condensates develop in DYT1 dystonia models due to torsinA deficiency. MLF2, K48-Ubiquitin enriched proteins, and a network of heat shock proteins are tightly sequestered into the condensates; these can be exploited for high-content imaging platforms. (B) MLF2 co-localizes with both G3BP1 (top panel) and TDP-43 (middle panel) before and after stress granule formation in response to oxidative stress ($NaAsO_2$). MLF2 remains primarily within nuclear envelope condensates in Torsin-deficient cells after oxidative stress (bottom panel, upper (G3BP1) and mid (TDP-43) Z-planes shown for representation). Scale bars, 5 μm. (C) Schematic of computational screening workflow.

(Figure 1B). However, while G3BP1 and TDP-43 did not visibly co-localize with NE con-

densates in 4TorKO HeLa cells after NaAsO$_2$ treatment, we observed partial trafficking

of MLF2 into SGs, though the majority remained confined to pre-formed NE conden-

sates. These findings suggest that MLF2 serves as a robust biomarker for multiple

types of aberrant condensates in a disease-agnostic manner.

Establishing MLF2-GFP as a Robust Readout of Aberrant Condensates for High-

Content Screening

Leveraging the robust condensate biomarker properties of MLF2-GFP, we devel-

oped a miniaturized, high-content microscopy assay using Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP

cells in a 384-well format. This facilitated the design of phenotypic, high-content arrayed

screens to identify chemical and genetic perturbations that modulate nuclear conden-

sates. Specifically, each well contained either a small molecule applied to 4TorKO cells

or synthetic single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting a single human gene, delivered to

Cas9-integrated WT cells, to either suppress or promote nuclear condensates, respec-

tively. After obtaining 10,368 high-resolution images/plate, we employed our customized

computational suite, *CondenScreen—a CellProfiler* and *R* script condensate screening

analysis pipeline—for rapid quantification and statistical analysis of aberrant conden-

sates (Figure 1C).

While many robust computational resources are available for monitoring conden-

sates (see *PhaseMetrics* and *Punctatools*)[53,54], *CondenScreen* is specifically designed

for large-scale, high-content screening analysis using a customizable *CellProfiler 4* [55,56]

pipeline to determine the number of condensate foci per identified cellular compartment

and quantify the robustness of the screen. Each condensate marker is then clustered

into its corresponding single cell. To analyze images in parallel, we developed an op-

tional Bash script that executes multiple headless *CellProfiler* instances on a Simple

Linux Utility for Resource Management (SLURM) cluster. This enables efficient, autono-

mous analysis of over 1 million high-content TIFF images—amounting to multiple tera-

bytes of data—within hours.

Extracted data was analyzed using our custom *R*-script that iteratively groups

each cell and returns the aggregated statistics of each perturbation. Results include the

average number of foci per cell, size of each foci, percent viability (function of cell count

and nuclear area/shape), normalized Z-score (signal "ON" screens) or BZ-score (signal

"OFF" screens), and a suite of automatically generated figures. Additionally, it returns

plate-wise statistics, including the assay quality score (Z'-score),[57] signal-to-background

noise (SB), and the standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) of the con-

trols. *CondenScreen* provides a simple graphical user interface (GUI), allowing the user

to select plate size, layout, and choose whether their screen was signal "ON" vs a signal

"OFF", altering downstream statistics (Figure 1C). To avoid false positives, we quanti-

fied the potential influence of differential transcriptional/translational levels by empirically

deriving a condensate normalization score (CNS) equation (see methods). Integrating

the MLF2-GFP inducible system with our *CondenScreen* analysis pipeline enables rapid

phenotypic identification of hits that modulate aberrant condensates.

High-Content Screen Identifies FDA-approved Drugs that Modulate NE Conden-

sates

We screened 1760 drugs from the Pharmakon library in MLF2-GFP inducible 4TorKO

HeLa cells, comprising 1360 US and 400 international drugs used at a 10 µM working

concentration. One day after seeding 4TorKO HeLa cells in 384-well plates, we induced

MLF2-GFP expression (Dox, 0.5 µg/ml) for 6 h before adding compounds. This enabled

expression of the MLF2-GFP reporter before adding compounds to minimize false posi-

tives due to differential reporter expression.

Compounds were added 2 h before fixation, followed by staining, imaging, and

analyzing the resulting high-content images with *CondenScreen*. To measure the quality

of the screen, we calculated the Z' value to measure the separation between the posi-

tive (DMSO-treated WT HeLa) and negative (DMSO-treated 4TorKO HeLa) controls.

Our average Z' value was 0.73, well above the literature standard of Z' > 0.5, indicating

a robust assay.[57] Additionally, the ratio between the negative and positive controls (SB)

was > 930, while the SD and CV of DMSO-treated cells were 2.1% and 9.2%, respec-

tively, further confirming assay robustness.

Fig. 2 | **Drug screen identifies compounds decreasing MLF2-GFP accumulation within NE condensates** (A) Scatter plot of compounds modulating MLF2-GFP accumulation of 4TorKO HeLa cells. Drugs (circles) passing significance threshold (dotted-line, BZ-score > 3) are labeled purple, while red-labeled dots denote drugs selected for downstream validation. (B) Histogram of the distribution in the average MLF2-GFP foci/cell. (C) Histogram of the percent decrease of MLF2-GFP foci per cell normalized to the mean of the screening population. (D) Calculated IC_{50} values of four drugs with prominent dose-response relationships. Determined IC_{50} from 12-point dose response (four orders of magnitude, n = 4). Black line represents % effect (decrease in MLF2-GFP foci), red line represents % toxicity relative to DMSO (four-parameter-log-logistic model). (E) Interaction network of proteins predicted to interact with plumbagin, disulfiram, PZ, and celastrol (triterpene) using STITCH analysis. Limited to top 50 high confidence (0.7) predicted interactions. Gray and green lines represent protein-protein and protein-chemical interactions, respectively. Thicker lines represent stronger associations. (F) Heatmap of the 20 top biological processes (rows) and enriched predicted interactors (columns) from STITCH analysis. GO analysis compared enriched predicted proteins against the org.Hs.eg.db gene set. Color scale legend indicates -log(10) Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value, with lighter regions being more significant. Corresponding drugs at top in light purple (celastrol), black (disulfiram), or orange (plumbagin). Euclidian distance hierarchical clustering for GO-enriched biological pathways and genes products are shown with the left and top dendrogram, respectively.

To control for plate-specific column, row, and edge, we assigned each compound

a BZ-score based on its normalized effect on reducing the number of MLF2-GFP foci

(Figure 2A, see methods). The distribution of the data indicated a slight negative skew

in the average number of MLF2-GFP foci per condition (Figures 2B, 2C). Using a cutoff

BZ-score of three, we identified 20 putative hit compounds that significantly depleted

MLF2-GFP NE condensate foci. The top ranked compounds included plumbagin, san-

guinarium chloride, thiram, piroctone olamine, penfluridol, bronopol, thimerosal, triclo-

san, disulfiram, celastrol, dihydrocelastryl diacetate, and pyrithione zinc (PZ). A full list

of the screened drugs can be found in the supplementary materials (Table S1).

Top-scoring compounds were repurchased and resolubilized to construct a 12-

point dose-response (n = 4 replicates), covering over 5 orders of magnitude to deter-

mine the calculated IC_{50}. (Figure 2D, Figure S1A). The normalized percent effect and

percent toxicity (calculated as a function of viability and changes to nuclear area and

morphology relative to DMSO control) were quantified for each concentration to deter-

mine potential therapeutic windows. Out of the tested compounds, the four structurally

diverse drugs with the greatest dose-dependent relationship were celastrol (IC_{50} = 124.3

nM), PZ (IC_{50} = 601.6 nM), plumbagin (IC_{50} = 7.4 µM), and disulfiram (IC_{50} = 10.8 µM)

(Figure 2D).

We used the search tool for interactions of chemical (STITCH) database to probe

high-confidence potential direct or indirect functional partners of the four most promising

compounds (Figure 2E)[58] Based on the top predicted functional partners, we used Gene

Ontology (GO) to identify enriched biological processes with the highest statistical sig-

nificance (q-value < 0.05) (Figure 2F).[59,60] Pathways were further manually classified

into the following seven categories: Response to Oxygen/Oxidative Stress, Response to

Heat, Response to Chemical Stimulus, Apoptotic Signaling, Cellular Migration, Cellular

Proliferation, and Other (Figure S1B). While exploratory, these data suggest modulation

of stress may critically alter the properties of NE condensates, consistent with our ear-

lier observation of NE condensate enlargement following heat shock.[61]

Pyrithione Zinc Depletes NE Condensate Biomarkers Independent of Differential

Protein Expression

To determine the optimal concentration, we tested each of the four candidate com-

pounds—celastrol, PZ, plumbagin, and disulfiram—at four tighter concentrations, includ-

ing the IC_{50} itself, at 2x above/below the IC_{50}, and 8x above the IC_{50} concentrations, us-

ing a 3 h compound treatment. The resulting boxplot reflects each compound's effect at

the single-cell level (Figure 3A). Consistent with the significant cell-cycle dependency of

NE condensate formation previously demonstrated by our lab,[40] we observed significant

variability in the number of MLF2-GFP foci/cells. However, all compounds significantly

reduced perinuclear MLF2-GFP accumulation at 2x and 8x their respective IC_{50}.

To ensure complete reduction of condensate markers, we tested the effect of

each drug on reducing the endogenous NE condensate biomarker, K48-linked ubiquitin

(K48), using slightly elevated concentrations. Our lab previously reported that 4TorKO

cells have reduced protein turnover, resulting in the accumulation of polyubiquitinated

proteins within NE condensates.[1,40] Using immunofluorescence staining with α-K48 anti-

bodies, all four compounds demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in endoge-

nous, perinuclear K48 foci in addition to MLF2-GFP (Figure 3B). Given the optimal con-

centration profile of PZ and celastrol (Figure 2D), we confirmed that each compound re-

sults in the redistribution of both MLF2-GFP and endogenous K48 foci using confocal

microscopy (Figure 3C). Importantly, this data validates that the mechanism of action

(MOA) either directly or indirectly mediates endogenous protein levels within conden-

sates, independent of the inducible MLF2-GFP biomarker system.

Fig. 3 | Four FDA-approved drugs decrease NE condensate markers in torsin-deficient cells. (A) Drug treatment decreases nuclear MLF2-GFP foci. Torsin-deficient cells expressing MLF2-GFP (8 h) were treated at four different concentrations (2x below IC_{50}, at IC_{50}, 2x above IC_{50}, and 8x above IC_{50}) for 3 h with either PZ, celastrol, plumbagin, or disulfiram. Nuclear MLF2-GFP foci were compared between treated and DMSO (orange boxplot) conditions. Each dot represents the number of MLF2-GFP foci for a single cell, while the horizontal lines indicate the median foci/condition. (B) Drugs modulate K48-Ub orthogonal NE condensate biomarker. Dots depict the number of MLF2-GFP foci (left, 6h Dox) and endogenous α-K48-ubiquitin (right) foci/cell after treatment with drug or DMSO. Asterisks indicate Bonferroni-corrected p-values determined by Mann-Whitney U testing (***: p <= 0.001 ****: p <= 0.0001). (C) Representative immunofluorescence confocal images of MLF2-GFP (green) and α-K48-ubiquitin (red) in torsin-deficient cells treated with DMSO compared to either PZ (3 μM) or celastrol (6 μM). (D) Schematic of inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A construct without (top) or with (bottom) Dox. (E) Confocal images of torsin-deficient cells expressing MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato comparing DMSO or 1.5 μM PZ treatments (6h). Scale bars, 5 μm.

A significant limitation to phenotype screening efforts is the identification of false positives that inhibit biomarker expression by non-specifically disrupting cellular protein transcription or translation. To circumvent this, we designed a HeLa cell line with an inducible bicistronic MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato reporter (Figure 3D). The P2A site induces ribosomal skipping, allowing the translation of a single open reading frame (ORF) to yield two separate polypeptides: an N-terminal MLF2-GFP and a C-terminal tdTomato, both derived from the same mRNA transcript.[62]

Furthermore, we developed an empirical metric, the Condensate Normalization Score (CNS), to quantify the effects of candidate condensate modulators. The CNS is calculated by comparing the reduction in the condensate biomarker (MLF2-GFP foci) to the integrated fluorescent intensity of a secondary reporter (tdTomato), both normalized to control conditions. A high CNS indicates compounds that effectively reduce condensate biomarkers with minimal impact on overall protein expression. In contrast, a low CNS suggests a non-specific or off-target effect such as decreased transcription, protein expression, or increased turnover.

After FACS sorting the MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato 4TorKO HeLa cells (Figure S1C), we induced MLF2-GFP expression and treated cells with either 0.75 µM/1.5 µM of PZ or 1.5 µM/3 µM of celastrol for a total of 6 h. This was compared with either uninduced cells treated with DMSO vehicle control, induced cells treated with DMSO, and induced cells treated with 100 µg/mL cycloheximide. Concentrations of both celastrol and PZ at 1.5 µM had a significant decrease in the number of MLF2-GFP foci/cell compared with the DMSO control (Figure S1D). While PZ did not reduce the expression of secondary-tdTomato reporter, celastrol slightly, albeit at a non-statistically significant level, decreased secondary reporter expression compared with DMSO-treated cells (Figure S1E). After normalizing to the DMSO control, both celastrol and PZ-treated cells had a significantly increased median condensate normalization score (CNS) (Figure S1F) compared to all controls, indicating a mechanism of action (MOA) independent of altered global protein expression. However, visualization of condensates in 4TorKO cells using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) did not reveal full dissolution of NE condensates after a 3 h treatment of 2 µM PZ (Figure S1G). Further testing of additional

concentrations and time points may reveal differential kinetics between condensate res-
olution compared to modulation of condensate content (MLF2/K48-Ub-proteins).

Despite lack of complete NE condensate resolution, both celastrol and PZ treat-
ment lead to the redistribution of endogenous K48 NE condensate biomarkers (Figures
3B, 3C). Given the short incubation period (3 h) and the high half-life of proteins within
NE condensates,[1] it is very unlikely that either compound significantly alters the concen-
tration of endogenous condensate proteins solely by reduced protein expression. Col-
lectively, these findings strongly suggest that these drugs operate through a mechanism
independent of differential protein expression. Importantly, our small-scale screening ef-
fort serves as proof of concept and motivates additional screening efforts.

Whole-Genome CRISPR Screen Reveals Key Autophagy, Cell Division, and Pro-
tein Transport Pathways in Condensate Modulation

Identifying proteins involved in protecting cells from aberrant condensate for-
mation has direct therapeutic potential for diseases such as ALS, FTD, and dystonia. To
determine genes safeguarding against aberrant condensate formation, we completed a
genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 knock-out (KO) screen in WT HeLa cells using our estab-
lished high-content imaging platform with MLF2-GFP as a condensate biomarker. We
screened the Horizon Discovery Edit-R synthetic sgRNA library, which is an arrayed li-
brary targeting 19,195 human genes comprising Drug Targets, Druggable Genome, and
Human Genome libraries.

To increase KO efficiency, we designed and FACS-sorted a WT HeLa cell line
that constitutively expresses Cas9 with Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP. Each well was re-
verse-transfected in a 384-well plate format using a pool of 3 sgRNAs targeting different
regions of the same gene (Figure 4A). Cells were incubated for 72 h, and MLF2-GFP
was induced with Dox 16 h before fixation. After running all high-content images through
our *CondenScreen* pipeline, the average Z' value was 0.52, demonstrating a dynamic
range between the positive (TOR1A/B sgRNAs) and negative (non-targeting (NT)
sgRNA) controls. The calculated SB was 4.9, and the SD and CV of the NT control were
3.1 and 9.7, respectively. We determined the assay quality score to be sufficiently ro-
bust as indicated by similar scores in the literature.[51,63]

Fig. 4 | Identification of genes that safeguard against NE condensates. (A) Schematic workflow of the genome-wide CRISPR KO screen in WT HeLa cells. Representative output plates indicating the number of MLF2-foci/cell (top plate) and cell count per image (bottom plate). (B) Scatter plot of candidate genes after CRISPR KO. A significance threshold was set (Z-score > 2, dotted line) and compounds surpassing a Z-score > 3 are highlighted in purple. Red dots indicate genes of great interest; green dots represent the TorsinA and TorsinB KO conditions; orange dots represent genes linked to microcephaly. (C) Histogram of the average MLF2-GFP foci/cell distribution for each KO condition. (D) Histogram of the percent increase in MLF2-GFP foci/cell normalized to the average across the screening population. (E) Heatmap of the 30 highest-scoring biological processes (rows) and associated genes (columns) from the top 100 candidate genes. GO analysis compared enriched CRISPR candidate genes against the org.Hs.eg.db gene set. Color scale legend indicates -log(10) Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value, with lighter regions representing higher significance. Scaled boxes at top indicate each gene's significance (Z-score), with darker orange indicating a higher Z-score. Euclidean distance hierarchical clustering for GO-enriched biological pathways and genes is shown with the left and top dendrogram, respectively.

We defined a candidate gene as any gene deletion that resulted in a Z-score > 2

(Figure 4B). The top 10-ranked candidate genes were: RNF26, WAC, ZNF335,

NCAPG2, NCAPH2, ECT2, ASRGL1, SETD1B, ASB6, and FOXS1. The entire ranked

list of screened genes can be found in the supplementary materials (Table S2). Overall,

the screen resulted in a near-normal distribution of the average number of MLF2-GFP

foci per cell (Figures 4C, 4D). Notably, among these hits, we observed several genes

linked to neurodevelopmental disorders, with especially high enrichment of microceph-

aly-associated genes (orange, Figure 4B), including ZNF335.[64,65]

As defects in lipid metabolism and nucleocytoplasmic transport have been linked

to torsin-deficiency,[28,66,67] three additional candidate genes of interest include APOL3

(Z-score = 2.5), a putative regulator of membrane dynamics with detergent-like bacteri-

cidal properties,[68,69] and the GTP-binding nuclear protein, Ran (Z-score = 2.8), and

Nup50 (Z-score = 2.5), associated with NPC biogenesis and ALS/FTD. [70,71] Additionally,

a high percentage of the Condensin-2 complex (NCAPG2, NCAPH2, NCAPD3, and

SMC2) indicates a potential role of either liquid-like condensates or MLF2 in chromoso-

mal condensation. Recently, DEAD-box ATPases have been linked to the regulation of

phase-separated membranelles organelles.[72] A key DEAD-box RNA helicase, EIF4A3

(also known as DDX48, Z-score = 3.8), scored as a top candidate gene, indicating a po-

tential novel role of EIF4A3 in modulating phase transitions. The condensate pheno-

types of each gene deletion condition represent areas where future research is war-

ranted.

To determine if candidate genes are clustered into enriched genetic pathways,

we grouped the top 100 gene hits (< top 1% of screen hits) and hierarchically clustered

the top 30 enriched biological processes (q-value < 0.05) using GO analysis (Figure 4E;

interactive webpage available on CondenScreen GitHub:

)

and illustrated pathway interconnectedness (Figure S2A). Groups were delineated using

unsupervised machine learning clustering (*pheatmap*, *R*, Figure 4E), before being man-

ually clustered into the following five categories: Autophagy, Cell Cycle, Chromosomal

Regulation, Protein Transport, and Other (Figure S2B). Each enriched pathway was

mapped to its corresponding genes (Figure S2C). Consistent with these enriched path-

ways, loss of protein homeostasis,[1,73] a cell-cycle dependency of condensates,[40] and

disruption to nucleocytoplasmic transport[28,44] have all been documented in DYT1 dysto-

nia models. Beyond the enrichment of individual gene hits linked to microcephaly, en-

cephalopathies, and other neurodevelopmental disorders, additional enriched pathways

of interest included organelle fission and positive regulation of neuroblast proliferation.

RNF26 but not ZNF335 Condensates Co-localize with Nucleoporin Marker

Among candidate genes, RNF26 (Z-score = 8.0) and ZNF335 (Z-score 5.5) stood out

due to their high significance. Of interest, RNF26 (RING finger protein 26) is an E3-ubiq-

uitin ligase that has been implicated in the integral regulation of the endosomal system,

serving as a "gatekeeper" for vesicles in the perinuclear ER cloud.[74,75] Furthermore, it

ubiquitinates SQSTM1[74] and has recently been tied to ER-sheet morphology and

maintenance.[75] ZNF335 (zinc finger protein 335) has been tied to neurogenesis, micro-

cephaly, and potentially modulation of cholesterol.[76–81] The AlphaFold 3 (AF)[82] structure

for ZNF335 predicts high intrinsic disorder along with 13-C2H2 zinc finger domains (Al-

phaFold model: AF-Q9H4Z2-F1-v4). However, the predicted structure is of low confi-

dence with a high number of pLDDT regions <50.

As it is known that torsin-deficient cells form perinuclear condensates within

omega-shaped membrane herniations[32,41], we investigated whether RNF26 and

ZNF335-deficient cells shared a similar phenotype. To determine potential co-localiza-

tion with NPC-localized FG-nups, we performed immunofluorescence staining using α-

mAb414, which detects Nup62, Nup153, Nup214, and Nup358. To our surprise, RNF26

KO HeLa cells showed prominent MLF2-GFP co-localization with mAb414, similar to

condensates linked with stalled NPCs found in torsin-deficient cells (Figure 5A). In con-

trast, analysis of confocal Z-stacks revealed that condensates in ZNF335 KO cells

rarely shared significant co-localization with mAb414 staining (Figure 5A, 5B). Both RNF26 and ZNF335 KO cells accumulated K48-ubiquitin within their condensates, analogous to torsin-deficient cells as determined by expression of MLF2-GFP and K48-Ub immunostaining (Figure 5C).

We deleted the three highest candidate genes, RNF26, ZNF335, and the WW Domain Containing Adaptor With Coiled-Coil (WAC), and binned them according to the number of nuclear MLF2-GFP foci/cell (5-15, 15-30, or >30 foci/cell) (Figure 5D). RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO cells displayed pronounced increases in nuclear MLF2-GFP, while WAC depletion had only a modest effect. It should be noted that we consistently documented mild background MLF2-GFP foci across NT-treated conditions, possibly due to stress caused by the NT sgRNA or transfection reagents; however, all screen results were normalized to account for this observation.

To test if MLF2-GFP accumulation represents condensates rather than aggregates, we exposed cells with depletions of RNF26, ZNF335, and TOR1A/B to 1,6-hexanediol, a common reagent known to non-specifically disrupt liquid-liquid phase separation events.[83] Indeed, 1,6-hexanediol, but not 2,5-hexanediol, dissolved all three types of condensates (Figure S3A). Strikingly, and consistent with immunofluorescence data, TEM imaging demonstrated RNF26 KO cells contain condensates between the NE similar to those found in torsin-deficient cells using TEM (Figure 5E, shaded yellow). Additionally, RNF26 KO cells feature pronounced NE invaginations analogous to nucleoplasmic reticulum formation (Figure 5E).[84,85] While we observed several NE invaginations contiguous with the inner nuclear membrane (INM), further electron tomographic studies are needed to determine if all invaginations are contiguous with the NE. Together, these results indicate that while both RNF26 and ZNF335 have a direct or indirect role in safeguarding against aberrant condensate formation, only RNF26 may be implicated in NPC biogenesis or NE/NPC homeostasis.

Fig. 5 | RNF26 KO and ZN335 KO result in nuclear condensate formation. (A) A higher number of condensates from RNF KO compared to ZNF335 KO cells co-localize with NPC marker. Representative confocal immunofluorescence images of Cas9-integrated WT HeLa cells transfected with either (from left to right) NT, dual TOR1A + TOR1B, RNF26, or ZNF335 sgRNAs. Condensates are visualized using Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP (green) and stained with α-Mab414 antibody (red) to determine co-localization (yellow). (B) Z-stack projections of cells treated with the same conditions as (A). (C) RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO condensates co-localize with K48-Ubiquitin. Immunofluorescence images comparing co-localization of MLF2-GFP (green) with α-K48-Ubiquitin staining (red). (D) Binned quantification of the number of MLF2-GFP foci after CRISPR KO of select candidate genes. RNF26 KO, ZNF335 KO, and WAC KO HeLa cells were compared against NT control. Bars indicate percent of cells with 5-15 foci MLF2-GFP foci/cell (orange), 15-30 foci (purple), or >30 foci (black). (E) TEM images of RNF26 KO cells depicting pronounced nuclear invaginations. Condensates are shaded yellow, while the INM and ONM are outlined in magenta and cyan, respectively. Black arrows draw attention to the interconnectedness of finger-like nuclear protrusions with INM; black asterisks indicate putative NPCs; red asterisks indicate omega-shaped herniations N, nucleus; C, cytoplasm; IF scale bars at 10 μm (main) and 2 μm (inset); EM scale bars at 250 nm (main) and 100 nm (inset).

Microcephaly Disease Alleles of ZNF335 Fail to Fully Rescue Condensate Accumulation.

Seven out of the top 50 gene hits have been linked to microcephaly, including ZNF335.[86,87] Genetic studies identified several hypomorphic ZNF335 mutations in microcephaly patients. Two mutations leading to severe microcephaly, p.Cys467Arg and p.Arg1111His, result in either structural disruption of a conserved C2H2 zinc finger motif or altered amino acid composition combined with defects in the 5' splice site within the 13th predicted zinc finger domain, respectively (Figure 6A).[65,77,78] We expressed cDNA-HA constructs of ZNF335 with each of these mutations in ZNF335 KO HeLa cells to test their ability to rescue condensate accumulation relative to WT ZNF335. As determined using immunostaining against the ZNF335-HA cDNA constructs, overexpression of WT ZNF335, but not either of the microcephaly-associated mutants, rescued the ZNF335 deletion by reducing the number of condensates to a level comparable to those observed in WT HeLa cells transfected with NT sgRNAs (Figure 6B, 6C). Additionally, to further validate the RNF26 KO, we overexpressed either WT RNF26 or an inactive mutant p.Ile382Arg RNF26.[88] Only WT, but not the I382R mutation, of RNF26 fully rescued condensate accumulation in RNF26 KO HeLa cells (Figure 6C, Figure S3B).

Condensates in TOR1A, RNF26, and ZNF335-Deficient Cells are Modulated by Pyrithione Zinc.

As pyrithione zinc (PZ) was identified as a top hit from our small molecule screen, we set out to differentiate whether the condensate modifying properties of PZ were due to the pyrithione moiety (2-Mercaptopyridine N-oxide) or the zinc ion. To this end, we treated 4TorKO HeLa cells with either DMSO vehicle control, PZ alone, or PZ with zinc

chelator TPEN (N,N,N',N'- *tetrakis*-(2-Pyridylmethyl)ethylenediamine) at a 3 molar

equivalent.[89] After zinc chelation with TPEN, the pyrithione compound did not reduce

MLF2-GFP condensate accumulation in 4TorKO cells (Figure 6D). Treatment with

TPEN alone resulted in increased cytosolic MLF2-GFP accumulation (Figure 6D). Fur-

thermore, we tested whether zinc alone is sufficient to deplete nuclear MLF2-GFP con-

densate foci. Due to the lower membrane permeability, 4TorKO cells were treated with

high concentrations of zinc sulfate monohydrate ($ZnSO_4$); studies indicate greater than

a 50- to 500-fold molar excess of $ZnSO_4$ may be needed to have the same effect as

PZ.[90,91] Treatment with 2 mM $ZnSO_4$ alone resulted in a robust decrease in MLF2-GFP

foci (Figure S4A, S4B) while maintaining tdTomato expression (Figure S4C), resulting in

a high CNS value (Figure 6E).

Finally, since PZ demonstrated a potent ability to modulate the MLF2-GFP con-

densate marker in torsin-deficient cells, we tested whether it could similarly modulate

NE condensates in RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO cells. In both KO conditions, treatment

with 2 µM PZ significantly reduced nuclear condensate formation as marked by MLF2-

GFP accumulation (Figure 6F, 6G). These data indicate that PZ works in a zinc-depend-

ent manner and acts as a potent modulator of condensate formation in RNF26 KO and

ZNF335 KO cells, as well as in the pathological NE condensates of torsin-deficient cells

associated with DYT1 dystonia.

Fig. 6 | Condensate rescue is impaired by microcephaly mutations but modulated by pyrithione zinc. (A) Structural representation of ZNF335 with two microcephaly patient disease alleles. The p.Cys467Arg mutation (left image, purple residue) disrupts the second conserved C2H2 zinc finger motif of ZNF335. The p.Arg1111His mutation (right image, red residue) results in splicing defects. (B) Only WT ZNF335 rescues the condensate formation of ZNF335 KO cells. Hela cells with a ZNF335 KO were transfected with either WT ZNF335-HA, C467R ZNF335-HA, or R1111H ZNF335-HA. Cells were immunostained against HA (red), and MLF2-GFP was used as a condensate marker. (C) Quantification comparing rescue of WT vs hypomorphic alleles for ZNF335 KO and RNF26 KO cells. HeLa cells were transfected with either non-targeting (NT), RNF26, or ZNF335 sgRNAs before attempted rescue with empty vector control (EV), WT, or associated loss-of-function alleles. See (B) and Figure S3B for representative ZNF335 KO and RNF26 KO immunofluorescence images, respectively. (D) TPEN negates the effect of PZ treatment. Confocal images of 4TorKO cells expressing MLF2-GFP (green) and stained with Hoechst (nucleus, blue). Cells were treated with either DMSO, 6 μM TPEN, 2 μM PZ, or 6 μM TPEN + 2 μM PZ (3 h). Scale bar, 5 μm. (E) Zinc sulfate is sufficient for condensate modulation. Quantification indicates no statistically significant difference between the CNS-value of 4TorKO treated with either 2 μM PZ, 500 μM ZnSO4, 2 mM ZnSO4, or 10 mM ZnSO4. Corresponding confocal images in Figure S4A. (F) PZ modulates condensates of RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO cells. HeLa expressing MLF2-GFP (green) were treated with NT, Tor1A/B, RNF26, or ZNF335 sgRNAs and 2 μM PZ or DMSO for 3 h. Scale bar, 5 μm. (G) Quantification of MLF2-GFP foci in CRISPR KO cells after PZ treatment. Boxplots outlined in black or blue were treated with DMSO or 2 μM PZ, respectively. Asterisks indicate Bonferroni-corrected p-values by Mann-Whitney U testing (****: p <= 0.0001). Scale bars at 10 μm (6B) and 5 μm (6D,6F).

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to establish a high-throughput platform suitable for identifying small

molecules and genes that modulate aberrant condensates, which have increasingly

been linked to neurological disorders.[14,16,92] Starting with our established readout for

aberrant condensates in a cell-based model for primary dystonia,[40] we validate our plat-

form through the identification of compounds that tune key properties of aberrant phase

transitions. We further exploited our platform to conduct a genome-wide CRISPR KO

screen and identified a set of genes that recently emerged from sequencing patients

suffering from dystonia and neurodevelopmental disorders, suggesting yet unappreci-

ated commonalities in the cellular pathology underlying these conditions.[93,94] Given that

deleting one of our top hits, ZNF335, previously linked to microcephaly,[64,65,77,79] induces

nuclear condensates (Fig. 5) and that disease alleles fail to rescue this phenotype (Fig.

6 B, 6C), we suggest a role for aberrant nuclear condensate formation in microcephaly.

To our knowledge, a link between microcephaly and aberrant condensation has not

been reported. Thus, our study puts forward a list of genes tied to neurological disorders

that deserve future scrutiny from the perspective of condensate biology, possible impair-

ment of nuclear proteostasis, and potential drug targets.

A key advance from our results is the establishment of MLF2 as a robust and dis-

ease-agnostic marker for aberrant condensates. The known proclivity of MLF2 toward

immersing into aberrant condensates[1] has enabled us to perform both pharmacological

and genomic screening. Not only is MLF2 a primary biomarker for DYT1 dystonia-asso-

ciated NE condensates, but MLF2 is also recruited to stress granules associated with

ALS/FTD, as demonstrated by its co-localization with TDP-43 and G3BP1 (Figure 1B).

Thus, our high-content imaging platform and *CondenScreen* analysis pipeline can be

readily adapted to support a range of condensate screening campaigns, even when the

affected disease allele product is not itself a condensate constituent, as exemplified by

Torsin or ZNF335 mutations, which induce aberrant condensates via indirect mecha-

nisms.[1,46]

Inspired by recent condensate-modifying (c-mod) campaigns,[51,52,95,96] we

screened for drugs that could modulate condensates either directly or indirectly. Several

of our identified drug hits caused robust re-localization of both expressed (MLF2-GFP)

and endogenous (K48-Ub) nuclear condensate markers (Figure 3C, 3E), without signifi-

cantly altering reporter expression. Divalent zinc influences the aggregation of TIA-1,[97]

stress granule formation,[97] liquid-liquid phase separation of tau,[98] and activation of au-

tophagy.[99] We found that pyrithione zinc acts through a divalent zinc-dependent mecha-

nism (Figure 6D), further indicating the importance of tight intracellular zinc in regulation

of phase transitions.

Additionally, celastrol, plumbagin, and disulfiram are all linked to increased au-

tophagy, oxidative stress, and inhibition of NF-κB activation.[100–107] Additionally, celastrol

has been linked to upregulation of the heat shock response and lipid metabolism.[108,109]

These data align with prior observations that impaired proteostasis and stress response

pathways, including the heat shock response, autophagy, and the integrated stress re-

sponse (ISR) pathway, are tied to protein aggregation and have been previously impli-

cated in DYT1 dystonia.[1,73,110–115] A recent screen identified ritonavir, an ISR inducer,

that restores the mislocalization of mutant TorsinA and abnormal physiological brain

readouts connected to dystonia.[116] Collectively, these findings exemplify the utility of our

platform to uncover both established and novel therapeutic pathways. Notably, a subset

of these compounds, including pyrithione zinc and disulfiram, was recently identified in

parallel efforts to modulate condensate linked to ALS,[52] underscoring that despite many

differences in disease onset and progression, shared etiological principles may never-

theless exist.

To identify cellular activities that are critical for modulating nuclear condensate

formation, we conducted a genome-wide CRISPR KO screen. Gene ontology analysis

indicated enrichment of gene hits clustered in pathways, including autophagy, protein

transport, chromosomal regulation, and cell cycle (Figure 2E, S2B). Key hits from these

pathways include ZNF335, RNF26, APOL3, NUP50, EIF4A3, and RAN. The top-scoring

hit, RNF26, was previously implicated in ER positioning, endosome retention, and

Sec62-mediated recovERphagy.[74,75,88] Cells deficient in RNF26 demonstrated colocali-

zation of MLF2-GFP condensates with FG-nups (Figure 5A, 5B), similar to condensates

associated with stalled NPCs seen in torsin-deficient cells.[32,41] Furthermore, RNF26-de-

ficient cells had abnormal nuclear envelope invaginations (Figure 5E) similar to those

found in torsin-deficient motor neurons derived from DYT1 dystonia patient iPSCs.[44]

Some NE invaginations share high similarity with canonical type 1 and type 2 nucleo-

plasmic reticulum,[84,85] warranting further EM analysis to assess NE connectivity. Given

RNF26's role in modulating autophagy via spatiotemporal control of endosomes,[74] and

the known or proposed involvement of autophagy in clearing nuclear pore complexes

(NPCs) and potentially nuclear aggregates,[117–120] further investigation is warranted to

determine whether RNF26 contributes to NPC biogenesis or their turnover through au-

tophagy.

A recent study investigated whole-exome sequencing from a large dystonia pa-

tient cohort (1,825 index patients).[94] Two dystonia patients from this cohort had disease

alleles of ZNF335 (c.1603C>T, c.3486_3487del), and one patient had a disease allele in

WAC (c.381+1G>T).[94] We discovered that depletion of ZNF335, a C2H2-type zinc fin-

ger protein highly expressed in the brain[76], results in nuclear condensate formation (Fig-

ure 5A-5D). Mutations or aberrant splice variants of ZNF335 result in severe microceph-

aly and, often, reduced life expectancy.[65,76–80] Moreover, knockdown of ZNF335 inhibits

neuronal differentiation and has been reported to regulate the REST/NRSF transcrip-

tional repressor.[65] In addition to ZNF335, pathogenic allelic variants of 15 out of the top

50 gene hits are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders according to human test

results from an Online Catalog of Human Genes and Genetic Disorders (OMIM)[86] and

the NIH Genetic Testing Registry (GTR)[87] (Figure 7, top panel). Strikingly, 7/15 of these

genes (ZNF335, SPDL1, NCAPD3, CDK5, SIN3A, SHH, and CIT) are linked to micro-

cephaly, holoprosencephaly, or lissencephaly (OMIM, GTR), along with additional find-

ings implicating NCAPG2, NCAPH2, and EP300 with microcephaly.[65,121–125]

Gene	Z-Score	Disorder	Gene	Z-Score	Disorder
RNF26	7.99	—	LGALS9C	3.29	—
WAC	6.31	Desanto-Shinawi Syndrome	NAPRT	3.27	—
ZNF335	5.46	Microcephaly	MAP3K1	3.25	46, XY sex reversal 6
NCAPG2	5.33	Khan-Khan-Katsanis Syndrome	C9orf114	3.23	Neurodevelopmental disorder with poor growth, seizures, and brain abnormalities
NCAPH2	4.88	Cardioencephalomyopathy, Myopia	ESPL1	3.21	—
ECT2	4.58	—	CAPN5	3.18	Vitreoretinopathy, neovascular inflammatory
ASRGL1	4.22	—	TOR1B	3.18	—
SETD1B	4.16	Intellectual Developmental Disorder with Seizures and Language Delay	POLD4	3.16	—
ASB6	4.15	—	EP300	3.16	Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome 2, Menke-Hennekam Syndrome 2, Colorectal Cancer
FOXS1	4.08	—	PRPF40A	3.13	—
SIN3A	3.94	Witteveen-Kolk Syndrome (WITKOS)	CCDC157	3.13	—
BRSK2	3.93	Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Susceptibility*	ZBED8	3.11	—
EIF4A3	3.78	Robin Sequence with Cleft Mandible and Limb Anomalies	TMEM25	3.11	—
SPDL1	3.77	Severe Primary Microcephaly, Neonatal Death	GJA4	3.08	—
ZNF783	3.66	—	CNOT3	3.07	Intellectual developmental disorder with speech delay, autism, dysmorphic facies
MKI67	3.64	Long QT Syndrome	CD248	3.04	—
SLC29A2	3.6	Bipolar disorder susceptibility*	ACOD1	3.02	Lymphatic Malformation
VEGFC	3.57	Lymphatic malformation	BTBD18	3.01	—
ACY3	3.51	—	CIT	2.99	Primary Microcephaly
CDK5	3.42	Isolated Microcephaly, Nonsyndromic Microcephaly, Lissencephaly	PHF23	2.99	—
NCAPD3	3.4	Primary Microcephaly	AK2	2.98	Reticular Dysgenesis
PTGES	3.35	—	TCEA2	2.98	—
C9orf129	3.32	—	C5orf60	2.95	—
TUBB4B	3.29	Leber Congenital Amaurosis with Early-Onset Deafness	SHH	2.94	Holoprosencephaly, Schizencephaly, Solitary Median Maxillary Central Incisor Syndrome, Microphthalmia
ACTL7A	3.29	Spermatogenic failure; breast cancer susceptibility*	LDLRAD1	2.93	—

Microcephaly/Congenital Brain Malformations	Other Neurodevelopmental Disorders	Cardiovascular, Lymphatic, Other	Ophthalmological Disorders

Pathophysiological State

Aberrant Condensate

Ubiquitinated/ Aggregated Protein

???

Microcephaly

Microcephaly
DYT1 Dystonia
Holoprosencephaly/Schizencephaly/Lissencephaly
Other Neurodevelopmental Disorders:
(Desanto-Shinawi Syndrome, Khan-Khan-Katsanis Syndrome, Witteveen-Kolk Syndrome, Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome, Menke-Hennekam Syndrome, etc.)

Pharmacological Perturbation

Chemical prevention?

Folded Protein

Neural Homeostasis

Homeostatic Condensate

Fig. 7 | Targeting aberrant condensates as a therapeutic approach for neurodevelopmental disorders. (top) Candidate genes from CRISPR KO screen are implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders. Top 50 candidate genes (left columns), their significance score (Z-Score, middle columns), and associated diseases (right columns) are color-coded based on disorders. Rows labeled yellow indicate established link to microcephaly/congenital brain malformations, orange indicates other neurodevelopmental disorders, purple indicates ophthalmological disorders, and red indicates cardiovascular, lymphatic, or other disorders. Genes denoted with an asterisk indicate evidence from genome-wide association studies. Gene relevance to disease was determined based on allelic variants obtained from OMIM and GTR. (**bottom**) Schematic representation depicting the relationship between aberrant condensates and neurodevelopmental disorders. Genes involved in the etiology of primary microcephaly and encephalopathies were highly enriched, potentially indicating a role of condensate formation as a driver for these disorders. These condensates can be modulated using small molecules (such as pyrithione zinc and celastrol) or genetic perturbations. Targeting condensates could potentially lead to preserving neuronal homeostasis. Arrows indicate possible intervention points and potential outcomes.

Indeed, microcephaly-associated ZNF335 mutants failed to rescue nuclear condensates

found in ZNF335-deficient cells (Figure 6B, 6C), suggesting a potential regulatory role of

condensate formation driving microcephaly (Figure 7).

Several of the microcephaly-associated gene hits identified in our screen are also

involved in chromosomal condensation, including several independently identified subu-

nits of the condensin complex. Microcephaly has previously been labeled as a "con-

densinopathy", not to be confused with condensatopathies, reflecting prior work that es-

tablished a relationship between chromosomal condensation defects and reduced cere-

bral cortex size.[121] Thus, our work inspires future studies to explore the interplay be-

tween aberrant condensate formation and machinery normally involved in chromosomal

regulation.

The insights gleaned from this chemical-genetic screening approach pave the

way for a deeper mechanistic understanding and the exploration of novel therapeutic

strategies targeting impaired proteostasis and altered phase transitions in dystonia, mi-

crocephaly, and related neurological disorders. As there is a paucity of generic phase-

separation markers, this work identifies MLF2 as a versatile and novel disease-agnostic

biomarker and reports several chemical modulators of specific aberrant phase transi-

tions. Limitations include compounds exhibiting high toxicity at longer incubation

timepoints or higher concentrations. Nevertheless, this screen represents an optimal

starting point toward the derivatization of structurally analogous compounds with lower

toxicity profiles.

Beyond the implications for disease, our data may offer insights into fundamental

nuclear organization processes, including transcriptional regulation. Recent work has

demonstrated that transcriptional condensates, formed via phase separation mecha-

nisms, orchestrate RNA polymerase II dynamics, 3D genome architecture, and

transcriptional regulation even in healthy cells.[126,127] Previous work showed that the *Dro-*

sophila melanogaster homolog of human myeloid leukemia factors (MLFs) associates

with chromatin and alters gene expression by modulating transcription factor stabil-

ity.[128,129] Our findings, linking MLF2 to condensin and aberrant condensates, lay the

groundwork for future studies aimed at uncovering the function of these poorly charac-

terized proteins.

While the role of condensates in microcephaly and related disorders remains to

be fully elucidated, the ability of pyrithione zinc to modulate nuclear condensates in

ZNF335 knockout cells suggests that a similar chemical-modulator screening approach

could be potentially leveraged to identify therapeutics for microcephaly. Our screening

platform and analysis pipeline can be rapidly repurposed to screen novel chemical

space for pharmacological therapeutics of alternative phase transitions, including stress

granules. In conclusion, this study provides a genetic framework that will aid in the iden-

tification of cellular pathology to rationalize previous and ongoing sequencing efforts of

patients and inspire future investigations aimed at restoring cellular proteostasis in dis-

eases driven by aberrant biomolecular condensates.

Figure S1. Validation of putative chemical hits from the Pharmakon 1760 screen. (A) Calculated IC_{50} values of additional compounds with a dose-dependent relationship. The black and red curves represent % effect and % toxicity relative to the DMSO control. The calculated IC_{50} values were extrapolated from a four-parameter-log-logistic model. (B) Manual clustering of GO biological pathways of chemical screen. High-confidence functional protein partners of chemical screen hits were analyzed using GO, and the statistically significant top 30 pathways were manually clustered within seven categories. Percentage indicates what fraction of the top 30 genes is associated with each cluster. (C) Distribution of FACS results for 4TorKO MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato HeLa cell sorting. Cells within the upper-middle of quadrant 2 (Q2) were gated and sorted. Quantification of differences between the mean MLF2-GFP foci/cell (D) and tdTomato integrated intensity/cell (E) between untreated or DMSO, CHX, celastrol, or PZ treated 4TorKO cells. (F) Barplot of average CNS-values/cell across treatment conditions, normalized to the DMSO control. Higher CNS-values correspond with higher effect and lower repression against protein expression reporter (tdTomato). Asterisks indicate Bonferroni-corrected p-values determined by Mann-Whitney U testing (**: p <= 0.01; ***: p <= 0.001; ****: p <= 0.0001). (G) PZ does not result in complete NE condensate resolution despite modulation of condensate cargo. TEM images of 4TorKO HeLa cells treated with either 0.1% DMSO or 2 µM PZ for 3 h. Insets show NE condensates between the inner and outer nuclear envelopes. Scale bars at 500 nm; inset scale bars at 100 nm. Asterisk, putative nuclear pores; black arrowhead, outer nuclear envelope; white arrow, inner nuclear envelope; C, cytoplasm; N, nucleus.

A

B

C

GO Pathways (gene count)
1. mitotic nuclear division (9)
2. positive regulation of chromosome separation (4)
3. mitotic sister chromatid segregation (7)
4. regulation of chromosome separation (5)
5. positive regulation of cell cycle process (8)
6. regulation of chromosome condensation (3)
7. regulation of chromosome segregation (6)
8. nuclear division (10)
9. positive regulation of protein import into nucleus (4)
10. regulation of autophagy (9)
11. chromosome separation (5)
12. positive regulation of cell division (5)
13. organelle fission (10)
14. sister chromatid segregation (7)
15. nuclear chromosome segregation (8)
16. chromosome segregation (9)
17. positive regulation of cell cycle (8)
18. regulation of nucleocytoplasmic transport (5)
19. regulation of protein import into nucleus (4)
20. positive regulation of nucleocytoplasmic transport (4)
21. regulation of cell division (6)
22. positive regulation of chromosome segregation (3)
23. mitotic cell cycle phase transition (9)
24. protein autoprocessing (3)

25. regulation of protein localization to nucleus (5)
26. protein localization to nucleus (7)
27. regulation of intracellular protein transport (6)
28. positive regulation of neuroblast proliferation (3)
29. mitotic cytokinesis (4)
30. negative regulation of autophagy (4)

Figure S2. Enriched gene pathways of candidate hits that promote NE condensate formation. (A) Upset plot depicting relationships between the top 30 GO-enriched biological process pathways. Horizontal bars (left) indicate the number of genes for each GO pathway, with terms ranked by gene count. Vertical bars (top) indicate the size of each intersecting gene set, representing the number of genes shared among multiple GO terms. The dot matrix below indicates shared genes among the corresponding GO terms (black dots connected by black line); single dots represent GO terms with unique gene sets. Red dots highlight autophagy-related pathways. (B) Manual clustering of GO biological pathways from the genome-wide KO screen in WT HeLa. The top 30 statistically significantly enriched for in the highest scoring 100 candidate genes were manually clustered within seven categories. Percentage indicates the fraction of genes within the top 30 pathways associated with each cluster. (B) Chord diagram mapping genes to GO terms. Each gene (outer labels, top) is linked to its corresponding GO terms (outer labels, bottom), with colored ribbons indicating relationships.

Figure S3. Condensates resulting from CRISPR KO can be dissolved by 1,6-Hexanediol and rescued. (A) Fluorescent microscopy images of KO cells were exposed to either an equivalent volume of DMSO (top), 5% 1,6-hexanediol (middle), or 5% 2,5-hexanediol (bottom) for 5 min. WT cells with ZNF335, RNF26, APOL3, and TOR1A/TOR1B knocked out demonstrated dissolution of NE condensates marked by MLF2-GFP (green) after exposure to 5% 1,6-hexanediol (middle) but not DMSO (top) or negative control 5% 2,5-hexanediol (bottom). (B) Only WT RNF26 rescues KO phenotype. Expression of WT RNF26-HA (top) but not inactive RING domain mutant RNF26 I382R-HA (bottom) rescues and decreases condensate formation in RNF26 KO HeLa cells. Condensates were marked by MLF2-GFP (green) and cells immunostained against α-HA (red). Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure S4. Zinc is sufficient for modulating NE condensates in 4TorKO cells. (A) Figure 6B's associated confocal microscopy images. (B) Quantification of MLF2-GFP foci reduction and (C) of the tdTomato integrated intensity. The fluorescence intensity of the secondary tdTomato reporter (red) and number of MLF2-GFP (green) foci per 4TorKO cell were measured following treatment with 2 μM PZ, 500 μM ZnSO4, 2 mM ZnSO4, or 10 mM ZnSO4 and compared to DMSO-treated controls (3 h). Scale bar, 2 μM. For quantification, black dots represent the number of MLF2-GFP foci per cell (B) or upper quartile integrated tdTomato intensity per cell (C). Asterisks indicate Bonferroni corrected p-values using a Mann-Whitney U test (*: p <= 0.05; **: p <= 0.01; ***: p <= 0.001; ****: p <= 0.0001).

METHODS

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Tissue culture

Adherent cultured cell lines (HeLa and HEK293T) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 100 units (U) /mL penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were maintained in either T75 flasks or 10 cm dishes at 37℃ (95% humidity; 5% CO_2) and passaged every third day. For splitting, the culture medium was removed, washed once with 1xPBS (Gibco), trypsinized with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Gibco), and transferred to new dishes (diluted 1:5 or 1:10).

Plasmid Constructs

Unless otherwise stated, all plasmid constructs were subcloned into a pcDNA™3.1 (+) Mammalian Expression Vector (Invitrogen, V79020) using standard Gibson Assembly protocols. The cDNA for the MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato construct (MLF2 GenBank accession no. BC000898) was subcloned into the pRetroX-Tight-Pur-GOI (gene of interest) vector (Takara Bio) and co-transfected with a pRetroX-Tet-On advanced inducible expression construct (Takara Bio). Viral constructs were co-transfected with both a VSV-G viral envelope plasmid (Addgene #12259) and a psPAX2 viral packaging plasmid (Addgene #12260). For generation of HeLa cells expressing Cas9-mCherry, an mCherry tag was inserted into the lentiviral plasmid containing Cas9-Flag (Addgene plasmid #52962) immediately after the Flag tag into the BamHI cloning site. Both the sequences for ZNF335 (KEGG entry hsa: 63925) and RNF26 (KEGG entry hsa: 79102) were subcloned along with a1x-HA tag into a pcDNA3.1(+) vector. Point mutations of ZNF335 (p.Cys467Arg and p.Arg1111His) and RNF26 (p.Ile382Arg) were made using the QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (#200521, Agilent) following standard QuikChange procedures.

Lentivirus Production

Lentivirus production was carried out as previously described.[130,131] Briefly, to generate Cas9-flag lentivirus, low-passage HEK293T cells were plated in a 10 cm dish with a density of 3.5×10^6 cells per dish. Medium was replaced the next day with 6 mL fresh (antibiotic-free) DMEM and transfected with three plasmids encoding: MMLV gag/pol (2 µg), viral envelope protein VSV-G (1 µg), and lenti-Cas9-Flag-mCherry (6 µg) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 3:1 ratio of DNA to Lipofectamine. After 72 h, supernatants containing the virus encoding with Cas9-mCherry were harvested and filtered through a 0.45 µm Steriflip filter unit (MilliporeSigma), aliquoted into screw-cap tubes, and stored at -80°C or used for transduction immediately. For the Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato system, two separate viruses were produced using three plasmids encoding: MMLV gag/pol (2 µg), viral envelope protein VSV-G (1 µg), and either pRetroX-Tight-MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato or pRetroX-Tet-On (6 µg) using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 2:1.5 ratio supplemented with Lipofectamine P3000 (2.5:1). After 72 h, supernatants were collected, stored, or used, as described.

Generation of stable HeLa cell lines

The detailed protocol regarding the Tor1A/1B/2A/3A KO and integration of a Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP reporter in HeLa cells has been extensively described by our lab (Laudermilch et al. 2016; Rampello et al. 2020).[40,41] The Cas9-flag-mCherry HeLa and Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato 4TorKO HeLa cell lines were generated by seeding Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP WT HeLa or 4TorKO cells in six-well plates. The next day, media was replaced with DMEM (antibiotic-free) supplemented with 4 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 µl of either the Cas9-Flag-mCherry virus (WT HeLa) or both 100 µL of the MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato and pRetro-Tet-On viruses (4TorKO) added dropwise to wells. Medium was replaced 48 hours after transduction (complete DMEM) and grown for another 24 hours. Cells were collected in sorting buffer (ice-cold 1xPBS, Gibco) and filtered through a 40 µm nylon mesh filter. Using a BD FACS Aria III, cells were sorted as single cells by selecting those high in either mCherry (WT HeLa) or MLF2-GFP/tdTomato (4TorKO, 16 h after 0.5ug/mL Dox induction) fluorescence

intensity. A stringent gating strategy was applied to enrich for cells positive for the re-

spective fluorophores while excluding those with extremely high signal intensity, to ob-

tain a pure yet physiologically representative population.

High-content phenotypic screening strategy

All high-content screening was performed at Yale's Center for Molecular Discovery

(YCMD). The Horizon Discovery Edit-R synthetic sgRNA library was used in the whole

genome CRISPR KO screen, targeting 19,195 human genes, and comprising of the

Drug Targets library (3,683 genes, GA-004650-E2, Lot 20126), the Druggable Genome

library (4,643 genes, GA-004670-E2, Lot 20120), and the Human Genome library

(10,869 genes, GA-006500-E2, Lot 20130). Each screening plate included 16 replicates

of the negative control (NT sgRNA) and 16 replicates of the positive control (combined

Tor1A and Tor1B sgRNAs). To ensure robust KO, a pool of three sgRNAs targeting the

same gene at different regions was pre-plated into each arrayed well of 384-well glass-

bottom plates (Cellvis, #384-1.5H-N). Addition of sgRNA to screening plates was done

using the Labcyte Echo 550 Acoustic Dispenser (Labcyte, now Beckman) at a final con-

centration of 25 nM.

Reverse transfection was performed using DharmaFECT1 Transfection Reagent

(Horizon/Dharmacon), which was diluted at 1:500 in Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scien-

tific) and mixed with sgRNA. The mixture of sgRNA and transfection reagent was incu-

bated for 30 minutes, following which, 2,000 cells/well (WT HeLa integrated with

Cas9/MLF2-GFP) in antibiotic-free DMEM were added using a Thermo MultiDrop

Combi (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The plates were then incubated for 72 hours at 37°C.

To induce MLF2-GFP reporter expression, 0.5 µg/mL Dox was added to each well 16 h

before fixation. Media was removed and cells washed once with PBS and fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 minutes. Cells were washed twice with PBS, permea-

bilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 minutes, and washed again with PBS. Cells were

stained with Hoechst 33342 dye (1:1000) (Invitrogen, H1399) and HCS CellMask Deep

Red Stain (Invitrogen, H32721) (1:5000 of 10 mg/mL, Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted

in PBS for 30 minutes, and washed a final time in PBS. The Molecular Devices ImageX-

press 4.0 high-throughput fluorescent microscope (40x magnification) was used to

obtain 9-image sets (each consisting of DAPI, MLF2-GFP, and Deep Red CellMask im-

ages) per well, resulting in 10,368 TIFF images/plate. Images were uploaded to Yale's

McCleary high-performance computing cluster (Yale Center for Research Computing)

for analysis.

For chemical screening, the Pharmakon 1760 drug library (MicroSource Discov-

ery Systems, Inc.) was used, consisting of 1360 FDA-approved drugs and 400 Interna-

tional drugs on six 384-well plates. Each screening plate consisted of 16 replicates of

the negative control (4TorKO HeLa cells treated with DMSO [0.1% v/v]) and 16 repli-

cates of the positive control (WT HeLa cells treated with DMSO [0.1% v/v]). Plates were

seeded with 4,000 HeLa cells/well (Multidrop Combi) in 20 µL of DMEM before incubat-

ing at 37°C for 24 h. MLF2-GFP expression was induced by adding 0.5 µg/mL of Dox

into each well 8 h prior to fixation. Compounds were resuspended in DMSO and added

to each well 2 h prior to fixation using a Labcyte Echo 550 Acoustic Dispenser (Labcyte,

now Beckman), at a final concentration of 10 µM. Cells were washed, fixed, stained,

and imaged using the methods outlined above.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Image segmentation of phenotypic screen data

We created a custom analysis pipeline to segment condensate foci into single cells us-

ing the open-source software *CellProfiler 4.2.6*.[55,56] and downstream statistical analysis

using *R Studio* (Version 2023.12.1+402).[132] Individual nuclei were distinguished by

shape for objects between 30-100 (+4) pixels using an adaptive (40 pixels) Minimum

Cross-Entropy thresholding (0.01-1.0 threshold) in *CellProfiler 4*. The cytoplasm of each

nucleus was delineated as a secondary object of each nucleus using a watershed algo-

rithm with global Otsu thresholding (three classes, foreground; 0-1.0 threshold, thresh-

old correction factor = 0.7).[56,133] Each GFP-channel image was enhanced using a white

top-hat transform (speckles; maximum 10 pixels), allowing each condensate foci be-

tween 1-15 pixels to be detected using adaptive (50 pixels) Otsu thresholding (three

classes, foreground; 0.0175-1.0 threshold, threshold correction factor = 1.2). Groups of

condensate foci were then distinguished using local intensity and related to their corre-
sponding cytoplasm/nuclei.

For parallel analysis, a Bash script was developed allowing the execution of mul-
tiple headless CellProfiler instances on a SLURM-managed compute cluster. Specifi-
cally, after constructing the analysis pipeline in the CellProfiler GUI, the CreateBatch-
Files module is used to generate a batch configuration file that defines image metadata
and processing instructions. This batch file is then imported to the cluster environment,
and all images are registered within a single CellProfiler project in array format, with im-
ages from each plate corresponding to a separate SLURM job. This approach enables
autonomous analysis of over 1 million high-content images.TIFF images—amounting to
multiple terabytes of data—within a matter of hours. Both the CellProfiler pipeline and
Bash scripts are open source and available publicly on the SchliekerLab GitHub page
as part of the CondenScreen pipeline (https://github.com/SchliekerLab/Condenscreen-
v1.1).

Statistical analysis of screen data
We developed a condensate screening using a combined *CellProfiler* and *R* pipeline,
CondenScreen, to statistically analyze all screen data. The *R*-script features an interac-
tive GUI allowing the user to select plate size (6-well to 384-well format), plate layout,
and input whether the data was taken from a signal "ON" or a signal "OFF" screen. With
limited user input, it then automatically iterates through images from each plate and as-
signs groups of image sets into their corresponding wells using string parsing. It quanti-
fies the average number of condensate foci/cell, the area of condensate relative to total
cell area (optional), and the average number of nuclei/image. To control for variations in
transfection efficiencies that could inadvertently impact downstream scoring, the pro-
gram has an optional filter to select only the top 25% of cells showing the highest (or
lowest) signal using the modest assumption that at least 1 out of 4 cells, on average,
will have received the sgRNAs of interest. Additionally, for both screens, the image with
the highest score was filtered out, as there were several conditions where one image-
set had screening aberrations skewing the condition toward a false positive result. For
each plate, aggregate statistics of the respective positive and negative controls are

compared, including signal-to-background (SB), standard deviation (SD) of the controls, and the coefficient of variation (CV) of the controls, among others. To determine separation between positive and negative controls, a Z' assay quality score was calculated:

$Z' = 1 - (3(\sigma_{PC} + \sigma_{NC})/|\mu_{PC} - \mu_{NC}|)$,[57] where σ_{PC} and σ_{NC} is the SD and μ_{PC} and μ_{NC} is the condensate mean of the positive and negative controls, respectively.

The percent effect of each condition was quantified by either $E\% = ((\overline{x} - \mu)/\mu) \cdot 100$ (for signal "OFF" selection) or $E\% = ((\mu - \overline{x})/\mu) \cdot 100$ (for signal "ON" selection) where $\overline{x}$ is the average number of condensate foci/cell across the screening population of the plate, and μ, is the average number of condensate foci/cell per tested condition. The significance scoring function is automatically changed depending on selection of a signal "ON" vs a signal "OFF" screen, although this can be adjusted.

For signal "ON" screens, the significance of each condition was calculated using the Z-Score to normalize for intraplate variations: $Z = (E\% - \mu_{E\%})/\sigma_{E\%}$, where $\mu_{E\%}$ and $\sigma_{E\%}$ represent the population average percent effect and standard deviation, respectively. Since signal "OFF" screens can often have increased variability, the significance of each well was quantified by calculating the BZ-Score. First, B-Scores were computed by applying Tukey's median polish to each plate to remove row, column, and edge effects. The residuals from the median polish were then scaled by the median absolute deviation (MAD) to produce a robust per-well B-score. Then, the BZ-Score was calculated using the following formula: B$Z = (BScore - \mu_{BScore})/\sigma_{BScore}$, where μ_{BScore} and σ_{BScore} are the mean and standard deviation of the B-Scores over the entire plate.

To determine how chemical or genetic perturbations alter cell viability, we derived a function to extrapolate differential cellular health by morphological characterization of the nucleus. Specifically, we added several modules to *CellProfiler* to measure the size, shape, intensity, and texture of each nucleus.[55] This is crucial as several compound concentrations had a non-significant effect in changing the total cell count, but greatly disrupted nuclear morphology based on visual inspection. After testing the effects of multiple parameters, we derived the following empirical formula which best represented the data to estimate nuclear health: $NucHealth = \Delta_{CC} + ((100 + \Delta_{CC})/100) \cdot \sum(\Delta_{Area} + \Delta_{FF})/2$, where Δ_{CC}, Δ_{Area}, and Δ_{FF} are the normalized difference in cell count, nuclear area, and nuclear FormFactor (measurement of nuclear irregularity)

compared to the screening population (or DMSO for dose-response), respectively. Nor-
malization was calculated according to: $\Delta_v = ((V - \mu_V)/\mu_V) \cdot 100$, in which V is the varia-
ble of interest. The equation was derived with the following assumptions and limitations.
(1) Changes to the area and FormFactor should be weighted equally, and (2) cell death
should be given a higher impact weight over morphological changes.

CondenScreen provides additional downstream inter-plate and intra-plate visuali-
zations using open-source libraries, including *ggplot2* and *ggplate*. The output includes
a ranked list of hits, plots of the screening distributions (raw condensate foci/cell and
%effect), a Z/BZ-score plot, and individual plate heatmaps for each 384-well plate. Fi-
nally, top hits in both screens were inspected manually to ensure quality control and rule
out any additional potential screening aberrations. Notably, manual inspection was
rarely a reliable measure of hit robustness. In several cases, hits that were initially over-
looked during first-pass screening (false negatives) were later validated and correctly
identified by the program. The *CondenScreen* platform is publicly available on the
SchliekerLab GitHub page: https://github.com/SchliekerLab/Condenscreen-v1.1.

Solubilization of hit compounds
All putative hit compounds were resuspended up to 100 mM in DMSO. The following
compounds were solubilized: pyrithione zinc (PZ) (CAS 13463-41-7), celastrol (CAS
34157-83-0), nerol (CAS 106-25-2), spiramycin (CAS 8025-81-8), choline chloride (CAS
67-48-1), disulfiram (CAS 97-77-8), bronopol (CAS 52-51-7), penfluridol (CAS 26864-
56-2), plumbagin (CAS 481-42-5), dyphylline (CAS 479-18-5), econazole nitrate (CAS
24169-02-6), ethacrynic acid (CAS 58-54-8), piroctone olamine (CAS 68890-66-4), dihy-
drocelastryl (CAS 80-97-7), fenipentol (CAS 583-03-9), triclosan (CAS 3380-34-5),
eprodisate disodium (CAS 36589-58-9), L-phenylalanine (CAS 63-91-2), nabumetone
(CAS 42924-53-8), edrophonium chloride (CAS 116-38-1), josamycin (CAS 16846-24-
5), mevastatin (CAS 73573-88-3), clofibric acid (CAS 882-09-7), docosahexaenoic acid
(CAS 6217-54-5).

Dose-dependent validation of small molecules

Based on the change in MLF2-GFP foci, viability, and structural diversity, the 22 top-scoring putative hit compounds were resuspended to 100 mM in DMSO. Using analogous methods described in the "High-content screening strategy" section, a 12-point dose response was constructed with concentrations ranging from < 100 nM to 100 µM of each compound, with a final working DMSO concentration of 0.1%. All compounds were tested using four replicates, and 12-positive (WT HeLa) and 12-negative (DMSO) controls were included on each screening plate to ensure assay robustness. MLF2-GFP was induced with 0.5 µg/mL of Dox 8 h before fixation, and compounds were added 3 h prior to fixation. Cells were washed, fixed, stained, and imaged as described above. All dose-response images were analyzed using an analogous *CellProfiler* + *R*-script pipe-line.

The effect of each concentration was interpolated (*R*, *drc* & *ggplot*) using a four-parameter log-logistic function for each compound: $f(x) = \min + (\max - \min)/(1 + e^{h(\log(x) - \log(IC_{50}))})$, where x is the concentration, min represents the lower asymptote, max represents the higher asymptote, IC_{50} is the concentration at which response is 50% of the way between min and max, and h is the hill coefficient.[134,135] The percent toxicity was calculated by fitting the additive inverse of the nuclear health function values to the same model.

Pathway enrichment analysis

The Search Tool for Interactions of Chemicals (STITCH) database was used to predict proteins that directly or indirectly interact with the hit compounds at high confidence.[58] STITCH integrates chemical-chemical and chemical-protein interactions through experimental databases, pathway databases, and natural language text-mining processing approaches. A minimum interaction confidence score of >0.7 ("high confidence") was applied for a total maximum of 50 primary interactors for the combined set of tested compounds.

Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis was used for all primary predicted interacting proteins from the chemical screen and the top 100 CRISPR gene hits.[59] The gene/chemical hits were separately analyzed in *R* to determine enriched biological

process pathways using the enrichGO function (*clusterProfiler*).[60] Enriched pathways

were compared against an annotation database (org.Hs.eg.db) with a p-value cutoff of

0.05, after controlling for false discovery rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) proce-

dure. The top 30 pathways were then plotted using the *pheatmap* function and addition-

ally plotted to an interactive .html graphic using heatmaply.[136] Pathways were grouped

by hierarchical clustering using Euclidean distance with complete linkage. To explore

the interactive heatmap, please visit the SchliekerLab GitHub page and download the

corresponding .html files under the "Interactive Figures" folder.

Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy:

HeLa cells were grown on 13 mm round coverslips and fixed with 4% (v/v) paraformal-

dehyde (Thermo Fisher Scientific), washed with 1x PBS (Gibco), permeabilized with

0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 minutes, and blocked with a PBS mixture

containing 2% (w/v) BSA and 2% (w/v) glycine. Primary antibodies were diluted in

blocking buffer and added dropwise to coverslips for a one-hour incubation. After wash-

ing 3x with blocking buffer, fluorescent secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer

were added for an additional hour, followed by a 1x PBS wash. Coverslips were then

stained using a 1:2000 dilution of Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies). Cells were then

washed 3x with PBS before mounting onto slides (Fluoromount-G, Southern Biotech).

Primary antibodies were diluted 1:500: anti-HA produced in rat (11867423001, Roche),

anti-K48 produced in rabbit (ZRB2150, Millipore Sigma), and anti-Mab414 produced in

mouse. Secondary antibodies were conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Life Technologies).

Epifluorescence images were captured using a Zeiss AXIO Observer Z1 inverted

fluorescence microscope using a ×63/1.40 oil objective. All confocal images were ac-

quired at Yale's Imaging Facility on Science Hill using a Zeiss LSM 880 laser scanning

confocal microscope with a C Plan-Apochromat ×63/1.40 oil objective. Images were an-

alyzed with *CondenScreen* and compiled for publication using Zeiss v3.4 and Image J

(Fiji) software.

Validation of hit compounds

Based on the dose-response results, we focused validation efforts on four compounds: PZ (IC_{50} = 601.6 nM), celastrol (IC_{50} = 124.3 nM), disulfiram (IC_{50} = 10.8 μM), and plumbagin (IC_{50} = 7.41 μM). To determine the optimal concentrations using a tighter set of concentrations, MLF2-GFP expression was induced in 4TorKO HeLa cells (0.5 μg/mL Dox, 8 h) and treated with either DMSO or one of four different concentrations/compounds: 2x below the IC_{50}, IC_{50}, 2x above the IC_{50}, or 8x above the IC_{50}. Compounds were added 3 h before fixation. For each condition, images (n > 50 cells/condition) were captured on a Zeiss AXIO Observer Z1 inverted fluorescence microscope and analyzed using our *CellProfiler* pipeline. *R Studio* was used to cluster MLF2-GFP foci into single cells grouped by treatment condition and construct jittered boxplots. To determine the effect of compounds on K48-ubiquitinated proteins accumulation within condensates, we incubated cells with compounds for 3h (PZ, 3 μM; celastrol, 3 μM; plumbagin, 15 μM; and disulfiram, 50 μM), followed by immunofluorescent labeling with α-K48 primary antibody (1:500). Images were captured and analyzed as described above. Statistical comparisons between each condition and the DMSO vehicle control were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test, with Bonferroni correction applied for multiple comparisons. High-resolution representative images were acquired using confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 880).

To determine differential protein expression, we constructed a 4TorKO HeLa cell line with a Dox inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato marker, where tdTomato serves as a diffuse secondary reporter for global protein synthesis. Cells were induced with 0.5 μg/mL Dox and treated with their respective compound for 6 h before fixation. Imaging was carried out on the Zeiss AXIO and the Zeiss LSM 880 for quantification and high-resolution, respectively. The integrated intensity of both GFP and tdTomato signal was quantified (n > 50) with *CellProfiler* and *R*. To ascertain the relative influence of global protein expression inhibition on the reduced number of MLF2-GFP foci, we derived the following empirical equation to determine the condensate normalization score ($CNS = (1 - (\overline{F}_x/\overline{F}_{DMSO})) \cdot (\overline{II}_x/\overline{II}_{DMSO})^2$, where $\overline{F}$ and $\overline{II}$ are the average number of NE condensate foci and the average integrated intensity of the secondary marker, respectively, for either the tested condition (x) or the DMSO control. The scoring system is designed

to prioritize compounds that robustly reduce condensate foci without affecting tdTomato

reporter expression. It assigns moderate scores to compounds that significantly deplete

foci but also modestly reduce tdTomato intensity, and penalizes those that have little ef-

fect on foci or substantially suppress reporter expression. The weighting is particularly

sensitive to changes in tdTomato intensity due to the squared exponent, thereby

strongly penalizing compounds which impair protein expression.

Guide RNA-mediated KO and rescue experiments:

Each gene KO consisted of a pool of 3 distinct, synthetic sgRNAs, each targeting a dif-

ferent portion of the same gene (Horizon Discovery). Gene knock-out was conducted

using either DharmaFECT or DharmaFECT Duo Transfection Reagent (Horizon discov-

ery) using Tor1A KO + Tor1B KO as a positive control and NT sgRNAs as a negative

control (Horizon discovery). The sgRNAs were transfected into stably-integrated Cas9

expressing HeLa cells and incubated for 72 h with a final sgRNA concentration of 50

nM. The cells were treated with 0.5 µg/mL Dox to induce the MLF2-GFP expression 6 h

before fixation.

The pooled sgRNA sequences used were: ZNF335 sgRNAs (SG-016173, Hori-

zon Discovery), GATGATGGTGGCGCCGCCCA, GTGCCAGGGTGATCTGCGGT, AC-

CAAATGGACCAGGTGCCA; RNF26 sgRNAs (SG-007060, Horizon Discovery),

TATCATGGAGGCAGTGTACC, GCATGACATCCTCTCGAAGA, CAGTGTACCTGG-

TAGTGAAT; TOR1A sgRNAs (SG-011023, Horizon Discovery)

TATGAACATGGCTTTCTGGT, TATGAAGATGGACCTCGCAC, AGTG-

CAATGTGGCCACAAAC; and TOR1B sgRNAs (SG-014203-01, Horizon Discovery),

AGTGCAGAGTCGATACAAAC, CAAGAGCCGTCTTAGTTATA, TTGGCGGGCCG-

GAAGAAAGA.

Rescue experiments of the KO phenotypes were assessed by overexpression of

either the WT RNF26-HA or WT ZNF225-HA constructs or the corresponding HA-

tagged mutants. The number of MLF2-GFP foci for each condition was quantified by us-

ing immunofluorescence microscopy with cells being stained against α-HA. Approxi-

mately 200 cells per condition were quantified.

Sodium arsenite and 1,6-hexanediol treatment

To determine the co-localization of MLF2 into stress granules, Lipofectamine 2000 was used to co-transfect MLF2 with either G3BP1-GFP (Addgene #135997) + MLF2-HA, TDP-43-tdTomato-HA (Addgene #28205) + MLF2-GFP-Flag (Addgene #230986), 3X FLAG-FUS-WT (Addgene #44985) + MLF2-HA, or pcDNA3.1 empty vector + MLF2-GFP (stained against TIA1/TIAR, Rabbit anti-TIAR Cell Signaling 5137S, 1:50 dilution). The next day (16 h post-transfection), HeLa cells were treated with $NaAsO_2$ (0.5 mM) and incubated for 30 min. Cells were fixed for 20 min with PFA, stained, and imaged as outlined. For hexanediol treatment, cells were incubated in complete DMEM medium containing 5% (v/v) 1,6-hexanediol (CAS 629-11-8) or 5% (v/v) 2,5-hexanediol (CAS 2935-44-6) for 5 min before fixation and immunofluorescence staining as described.

Electron Microscopy:

Electron microscopy was performed at the Center for Cellular and Molecular Imaging, Yale School of Medicine, using our previously described workflow (Laudermilch et al., 2016).[41] Briefly, cells were fixed for 1 h in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium caco-dylate buffer, pH 7.4. Cells were rinsed and scraped in 1% gelatin and centrifuged in a 2% agar solution. Chilled cell blocks were processed with osmium and thiocarbohydra-zide-osmium ligand as described (West et al., 2010), and samples were embedded in Durcupan ACM resin (Electron Microscopy Science). Polymerization was performed by incubating samples at 60°C overnight. These blocks were cut into 60 nm sections with a Leica UltraCut UC7 and stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate on Formvar/car-bon-coated grids. Samples were visualized with an FEI Tecnai Biotwin TEM at 80 kV, and pictures were taken with Morada CCD and iTEM (Olympus) software.

CONTRIBUTIONS

D.P., C.M., E.F.E.K., Y.V.S., and C.S. conceptualized and designed experiments. D.P., C.M., S.M., E.F.E.K., V.T., and N.D. performed the experiments. D.P., C.M., Y.V.S., and C.S. analyzed and interpreted data. D.P., and V.T., developed the computational analy-sis pipeline. D.P. and C.S. wrote the original manuscript. D.P., C.M., S.M., V.T., E.F.E.K., N.D., Y.V.S., and C.S. edited the manuscript.

AWKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the DOD PR200788 (C.S.) awarded by the U.S. Department of Defense, Department of the Army, along with support from the Dystonia Medical Research Foundation. Additionally, this work was supported by the NIH T32 GM145469 (D.P.), the European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO) ALTF 910-2022 (E.F.F.K), and the Dutch Research Council (NWO) 019.222EN.007 (E.F.E.K.) This work utilized ImageXpress Micro 4 high content imager that was purchased with funding from a National Institutes of Health SIG grant 1S10OD032384. We thank Morven Graham and the Center for Cellular and Molecular Imaging (CCMI) Electron Microscopy Facility for the collection of TEM images and Shawn Ferguson for sharing stress granule marker reagents. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Prophet, S.M., Rampello, A.J., Niescier, R.F., Gentile, J.E., Mallik, S., Koleske, A.J., and Schlieker, C. (2022). Atypical nuclear envelope condensates linked to neurological disorders reveal nucleoporin-directed chaperone activities. Nat. Cell Biol. *24*, 1630–1641. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-022-01001-y.

2. Mackenzie, I.R., Nicholson, A.M., Sarkar, M., Messing, J., Purice, M.D., Pottier, C., Annu, K., Baker, M., Perkerson, R.B., Kurti, A., et al. (2017). TIA1 Mutations in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Dementia Promote Phase Separation and Alter Stress Granule Dynamics. Neuron *95*, 808-816.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.025.

3. Alberti, S., and Dormann, D. (2019). Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Disease. Annu. Rev. Genet. *53*, 171–194. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-112618-043527.

4. Kedersha, N., Stoecklin, G., Ayodele, M., Yacono, P., Lykke-Andersen, J., Fritzler, M.J., Scheuner, D., Kaufman, R.J., Golan, D.E., and Anderson, P. (2005). Stress granules and processing bodies are dynamically linked sites of mRNP remodeling. J. Cell Biol. *169*, 871–884. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200502088.

5. Portz, B., Lee, B.L., and Shorter, J. (2021). FUS and TDP-43 Phases in Health and Disease. Trends Biochem. Sci. *46*, 550–563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2020.12.005.

6. Alberti, S., Gladfelter, A., and Mittag, T. (2019). Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. Cell *176*, 419–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.035.

7. Mittag, T., and Pappu, R.V. (2022). A conceptual framework for understanding phase separation and addressing open questions and challenges. Mol. Cell *82*, 2201–2214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2022.05.018.

8. Lafontaine, D.L.J., Riback, J.A., Bascetin, R., and Brangwynne, C.P. (2021). The nucleolus as a multiphase liquid condensate. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *22*, 165–182. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0272-6.

9. Jain, S., Wheeler, J.R., Walters, R.W., Agrawal, A., Barsic, A., and Parker, R. (2016). ATPase-Modulated Stress Granules Contain a Diverse Proteome and Substructure. Cell *164*, 487–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.12.038.

10. Brangwynne, C.P., Eckmann, C.R., Courson, D.S., Rybarska, A., Hoege, C., Gharakhani, J., Jülicher, F., and Hyman, A.A. (2009). Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. Science *324*, 1729–1732. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172046.

11. Schmidt, H.B., and Görlich, D. (2016). Transport Selectivity of Nuclear Pores, Phase
Separation, and Membraneless Organelles. Trends Biochem. Sci. *41*, 46–61.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2015.11.001.

12. Li, P., Banjade, S., Cheng, H.-C., Kim, S., Chen, B., Guo, L., Llaguno, M., Hol-
lingsworth, J.V., King, D.S., Banani, S.F., et al. (2012). Phase transitions in the as-
sembly of multivalent signalling proteins. Nature *483*, 336–340.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10879.

13. Wolozin, B., and Ivanov, P. (2019). Stress granules and neurodegeneration. Nat.
Rev. Neurosci. *20*, 649–666. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-019-0222-5.

14. Alberti, S., and Hyman, A.A. (2021). Biomolecular condensates at the nexus of cellu-
lar stress, protein aggregation disease and ageing. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *22*,
196–213. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-00326-6.

15. Yan, X., Kuster, D., Mohanty, P., Nijssen, J., Pombo-García, K., Morato, J.G., Ri-
zuan, A., Franzmann, T.M., Sergeeva, A., Ly, A.M., et al. (2025). Intra-condensate
demixing of TDP-43 inside stress granules generates pathological aggregates. Cell
0. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2025.04.039.

16. Vendruscolo, M., and Fuxreiter, M. (2022). Protein condensation diseases: thera-
peutic opportunities. Nat. Commun. *13*, 5550. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-
32940-7.

17. Ng, S.C., and Görlich, D. (2022). A simple thermodynamic description of phase sep-
aration of Nup98 FG domains. Nat. Commun. *13*, 6172.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33697-9.

18. Kozai, T., Fernandez-Martinez, J., van Eeuwen, T., Gallardo, P., Kapinos, L.E., Ma-
zur, A., Zhang, W., Tempkin, J., Panatala, R., Delgado-Izquierdo, M., et al. (2023).
Dynamic molecular mechanism of the nuclear pore complex permeability barrier.
bioRxiv, 2023.03.31.535055. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.31.535055.

19. Dekker, M., Van der Giessen, E., and Onck, P.R. (2023). Phase separation of intrin-
sically disordered FG-Nups is driven by highly dynamic FG motifs. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. *120*, e2221804120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2221804120.

20. Cowburn, D., and Rout, M. (2023). Improving the hole picture: towards a consensus
on the mechanism of nuclear transport. Biochem. Soc. Trans. *51*, 871–886.
https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20220494.

21. Frey, S., Richter, R.P., and Görlich, D. (2006). FG-rich repeats of nuclear pore pro-
teins form a three-dimensional meshwork with hydrogel-like properties. Science
314, 815–817. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132516.

22. Hülsmann, B.B., Labokha, A.A., and Görlich, D. (2012). The Permeability of Recon-
stituted Nuclear Pores Provides Direct Evidence for the Selective Phase Model.
Cell *150*, 738–751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.019.

23. Denning, D.P., Patel, S.S., Uversky, V., Fink, A.L., and Rexach, M. (2003). Disorder
in the nuclear pore complex: The FG repeat regions of nucleoporins are natively
unfolded. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *100*, 2450–2455.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0437902100.

24. Mallik, S., Poch, D., Burick, S., and Schlieker, C. (2024). Protein folding and quality
control during nuclear transport. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *90*, 102407.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2024.102407.

25. Coyne, A.N., and Rothstein, J.D. (2022). Nuclear pore complexes — a doorway to
neural injury in neurodegeneration. Nat. Rev. Neurol. *18*, 348–362.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-022-00653-6.

26. Chou, C.-C., Zhang, Y., Umoh, M.E., Vaughan, S.W., Lorenzini, I., Liu, F., Sayegh,
M., Donlin-Asp, P.G., Chen, Y.H., Duong, D.M., et al. (2018). TDP-43 pathology
disrupts nuclear pore complexes and nucleocytoplasmic transport in ALS/FTD. Nat.
Neurosci. *21*, 228–239. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0047-3.

27. Grima, J.C., Daigle, J.G., Arbez, N., Cunningham, K.C., Zhang, K., Ochaba, J.,
Geater, C., Morozko, E., Stocksdale, J., Glatzer, J.C., et al. (2017). Mutant Hun-
tingtin Disrupts the Nuclear Pore Complex. Neuron *94*, 93-107.e6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.023.

28. Pappas, S.S., Liang, C.-C., Kim, S., Rivera, C.O., and Dauer, W.T. (2018). TorsinA
dysfunction causes persistent neuronal nuclear pore defects. Hum. Mol. Genet. *27*,
407–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx405.

29. Zbinden, A., Pérez-Berlanga, M., De Rossi, P., and Polymenidou, M. (2020). Phase
Separation and Neurodegenerative Diseases: A Disturbance in the Force. Dev.
Cell *55*, 45–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2020.09.014.

30. Kuiper, E.F.E., Prophet, S.M., and Schlieker, C. (2023). Coordinating nucleoporin
condensation and nuclear pore complex assembly. FEBS Lett.
https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.14725.

31. Toyama, B.H., Arrojo e Drigo, R., Lev-Ram, V., Ramachandra, R., Deerinck, T.J.,
Lechene, C., Ellisman, M.H., and Hetzer, M.W. (2018). Visualization of long-lived
proteins reveals age mosaicism within nuclei of postmitotic cells. J. Cell Biol. *218*,
433–444. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201809123.

32. Kim, S., Phan, S., Tran, H.T., Shaw, T.R., Shahmoradian, S.H., Ellisman, M.H., Ve-
atch, S.L., Barmada, S.J., Pappas, S.S., and Dauer, W.T. (2024). TorsinA is essen-
tial for neuronal nuclear pore complex localization and maturation. Nat. Cell Biol.
26, 1482–1495. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-024-01480-1.

33. Fan, Y., Si, Z., Wang, L., and Zhang, L. (2023). DYT-TOR1A dystonia: an update on
pathogenesis and treatment. Front. Neurosci. *17*, 1216929.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2023.1216929.

34. Balint, B., Mencacci, N.E., Valente, E.M., Pisani, A., Rothwell, J., Jankovic, J.,
Vidailhet, M., and Bhatia, K.P. (2018). Dystonia. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer *4*, 1–23.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0023-6.

35. Ozelius, L.J., Hewett, J.W., Page, C.E., Bressman, S.B., Kramer, P.L., Shalish, C.,
de Leon, D., Brin, M.F., Raymond, D., Corey, D.P., et al. (1997). The early-onset
torsion dystonia gene (DYT1) encodes an ATP-binding protein. Nat. Genet. *17*, 40–
48. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0997-40.

36. Liang, C.-C., Tanabe, L.M., Jou, S., Chi, F., and Dauer, W.T. (2014). TorsinA hypo-
function causes abnormal twisting movements and sensorimotor circuit neuro-
degeneration. J. Clin. Invest. *124*, 3080–3092. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI72830.

37. Zhao, C., Brown, R.S.H., Chase, A.R., Eisele, M.R., and Schlieker, C. (2013). Regu-
lation of Torsin ATPases by LAP1 and LULL1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *110*,
E1545–E1554. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300676110.

38. Brown, R.S.H., Zhao, C., Chase, A.R., Wang, J., and Schlieker, C. (2014). The
mechanism of Torsin ATPase activation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *111*, E4822–E4831.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415271111.

39. Demircioglu, F.E., Sosa, B.A., Ingram, J., Ploegh, H.L., and Schwartz, T.U. (2016).
Structures of TorsinA and its disease-mutant complexed with an activator reveal
the molecular basis for primary dystonia. eLife *5*, e17983.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.17983.

40. Rampello, A.J., Laudermilch, E., Vishnoi, N., Prophet, S.M., Shao, L., Zhao, C.,
Lusk, C.P., and Schlieker, C. (2020). Torsin ATPase deficiency leads to defects in
nuclear pore biogenesis and sequestration of MLF2. J. Cell Biol. *219*, e201910185.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201910185.

41. Laudermilch, E., Tsai, P.-L., Graham, M., Turner, E., Zhao, C., and Schlieker, C.
(2016). Dissecting Torsin/cofactor function at the nuclear envelope: a genetic
study. Mol. Biol. Cell *27*, 3964–3971. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E16-07-0511.

42. VanGompel, M.J.W., Nguyen, K.C.Q., Hall, D.H., Dauer, W.T., and Rose, L.S.
(2015). A novel function for the Caenorhabditis elegans torsin OOC-5 in nucleo-
porin localization and nuclear import. Mol. Biol. Cell *26*, 1752–1763.
https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-07-1239.

43. Jokhi, V., Ashley, J., Nunnari, J., Noma, A., Ito, N., Wakabayashi-Ito, N., Moore,
M.J., and Budnik, V. (2013). Torsin Mediates Primary Envelopment of Large Ribo-
nucleoprotein Granules at the Nuclear Envelope. Cell Rep. *3*, 988–995.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.03.015.

44. Ding, B., Tang, Y., Ma, S., Akter, M., Liu, M.-L., Zang, T., and Zhang, C.-L. (2021).
Disease Modeling with Human Neurons Reveals LMNB1 Dysregulation Underlying
DYT1 Dystonia. J. Neurosci. *41*, 2024–2038. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEURO-
SCI.2507-20.2020.

45. Goodchild, R.E., Kim, C.E., and Dauer, W.T. (2005). Loss of the Dystonia-Associ-
ated Protein TorsinA Selectively Disrupts the Neuronal Nuclear Envelope. Neuron
48, 923–932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.11.010.

46. Kuiper, E.F.E., Gallardo, P., Bergsma, T., Mari, M., Kolbe Musskopf, M., Kuipers, J.,
Giepmans, B.N.G., Steen, A., Kampinga, H.H., Veenhoff, L.M., et al. (2022). The
chaperone DNAJB6 surveils FG-nucleoporins and is required for interphase nu-
clear pore complex biogenesis. Nat. Cell Biol. *24*, 1584–1594.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-022-01010-x.

47. Frey, S., Rees, R., Schünemann, J., Ng, S.C., Fünfgeld, K., Huyton, T., and Görlich,
D. (2018). Surface Properties Determining Passage Rates of Proteins through Nu-
clear Pores. Cell *174*, 202-217.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.045.

48. Martin, E.W., Holehouse, A.S., Peran, I., Farag, M., Incicco, J.J., Bremer, A., Grace,
C.R., Soranno, A., Pappu, R.V., and Mittag, T. (2020). Valence and patterning of
aromatic residues determine the phase behavior of prion-like domains. Science
367, 694–699. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw8653.

49. Colombrita, C., Zennaro, E., Fallini, C., Weber, M., Sommacal, A., Buratti, E., Silani,
V., and Ratti, A. (2009). TDP-43 is recruited to stress granules in conditions of oxi-
dative insult. J. Neurochem. *111*, 1051–1061. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-
4159.2009.06383.x.

50. Palangi, F., Samuel, S.M., Thompson, I.R., Triggle, C.R., and Emara, M.M. (2017).
Effects of oxidative and thermal stresses on stress granule formation in human in-
duced pluripotent stem cells. PLOS ONE *12*, e0182059.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0182059.

51. Fang, M.Y., Markmiller, S., Vu, A.Q., Javaherian, A., Dowdle, W.E., Jolivet, P.,
Bushway, P.J., Castello, N.A., Baral, A., Chan, M.Y., et al. (2019). Small-Molecule
Modulation of TDP-43 Recruitment to Stress Granules Prevents Persistent TDP-43
Accumulation in ALS/FTD. Neuron *103*, 802-819.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neu-
ron.2019.05.048.

52. Uechi, H., Sridharan, S., Nijssen, J., Bilstein, J., Iglesias-Artola, J.M., Kishigami, S.,
Casablancas-Antras, V., Poser, I., Martinez, E.J., Boczek, E., et al. (2025). Small-
molecule dissolution of stress granules by redox modulation benefits ALS models.
Nat. Chem. Biol., 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-025-01893-5.

53. Bergsma, T., Steen, A., Kamenz, J.L., Otto, T.A., Gallardo, P., and Veenhoff, L.M.
(2024). Imaging-based quantitative assessment of biomolecular condensates

in vitro and in cells. J. Biol. Chem. *301*, 108130.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.108130.

54. Baggett, D.W., Medyukhina, A., Tripathi, S., Shirnekhi, H.K., Wu, H., Pounds, S.B.,
Khairy, K., and Kriwacki, R. (2022). An Image Analysis Pipeline for Quantifying the
Features of Fluorescently-Labeled Biomolecular Condensates in Cells. Front. Bio-
informa. *2*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbinf.2022.897238.

55. Stirling, D.R., Swain-Bowden, M.J., Lucas, A.M., Carpenter, A.E., Cimini, B.A., and
Goodman, A. (2021). CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability.
BMC Bioinformatics *22*, 433. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-021-04344-9.

56. Carpenter, A.E., Jones, T.R., Lamprecht, M.R., Clarke, C., Kang, I.H., Friman, O.,
Guertin, D.A., Chang, J.H., Lindquist, R.A., Moffat, J., et al. (2006). CellProfiler: im-
age analysis software for identifying and quantifying cell phenotypes. Genome Biol.
7, R100. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2006-7-10-r100.

57. Zhang, J.-H., Chung, T.D.Y., and Oldenburg, K.R. (1999). A Simple Statistical Pa-
rameter for Use in Evaluation and Validation of High Throughput Screening As-
says. J. Biomol. Screen. *4*, 67–73. https://doi.org/10.1177/108705719900400206.

58. Szklarczyk, D., Santos, A., von Mering, C., Jensen, L.J., Bork, P., and Kuhn, M.
(2016). STITCH 5: augmenting protein–chemical interaction networks with tissue
and affinity data. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, D380–D384.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1277.

59. Ashburner, M., Ball, C.A., Blake, J.A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J.M., Davis,
A.P., Dolinski, K., Dwight, S.S., Eppig, J.T., et al. (2000). Gene Ontology: tool for
the unification of biology. Nat. Genet. *25*, 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/75556.

60. Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., Feng, T., Zhou, L., Tang, W.,
Zhan, L., et al. (2021). clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpret-
ing omics data. The Innovation *2*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141.

61. Prophet, S.M., Naughton, B.S., and Schlieker, C. (2022). p97/UBXD1 Generate
Ubiquitylated Proteins That Are Sequestered into Nuclear Envelope Herniations in
Torsin-Deficient Cells. Int. J. Mol. Sci. *23*, 4627.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23094627.

62. Liu, Z., Chen, O., Wall, J.B.J., Zheng, M., Zhou, Y., Wang, L., Ruth Vaseghi, H.,
Qian, L., and Liu, J. (2017). Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning
multi-genes in a polycistronic vector. Sci. Rep. *7*, 2193.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02460-2.

63. Martin, H.L., Adams, M., Higgins, J., Bond, J., Morrison, E.E., Bell, S.M., Warriner,
S., Nelson, A., and Tomlinson, D.C. (2014). High-Content, High-Throughput
Screening for the Identification of Cytotoxic Compounds Based on Cell Morphology

and Cell Proliferation Markers. PLOS ONE *9*, e88338. https://doi.org/10.1371/jour-
nal.pone.0088338.

64. Patel, D.D., Gripp, K.W., Wadman, E., Mishra, I., and Kandula, V. (2024). Novel bial-
lelic ZNF335 variant causing primary microcephaly: A case report and radiological
review. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. *194*, e63593. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.63593.

65. Yang, Y.J., Baltus, A.E., Mathew, R.S., Murphy, E.A., Evrony, G.D., Gonzalez, D.M.,
Wang, E.P., Marshall-Walker, C.A., Barry, B.J., Murn, J., et al. (2012). Microceph-
aly Gene Links Trithorax and REST/NRSF to Control Neural Stem Cell Proliferation
and Differentiation. Cell *151*, 1097–1112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.043.

66. Grillet, M., Gonzalez, B.D., Sicart, A., Pöttler, M., Cascalho, A., Billion, K., Diaz,
S.H., Swerts, J., Naismith, T.V., Gounko, N.V., et al. (2016). Torsins Are Essential
Regulators of Cellular Lipid Metabolism. Dev. Cell *38*, 235–247.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.06.017.

67. Rampello, A.J., Prophet, S.M., and Schlieker, C. (2020). The Role of Torsin AAA+
Proteins in Preserving Nuclear Envelope Integrity and Safeguarding Against Dis-
ease. Biomolecules *10*, 468. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10030468.

68. Lecordier, L., Heo, P., Graversen, J.H., Hennig, D., Skytthe, M.K., Cornet d'Elzius,
A., Pincet, F., Pérez-Morga, D., and Pays, E. (2023). Apolipoproteins L1 and L3
control mitochondrial membrane dynamics. Cell Rep. *42*, 113528.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113528.

69. Gaudet, R.G., Zhu, S., Halder, A., Kim, B.-H., Bradfield, C.J., Huang, S., Xu, D., Ma-
miñska, A., Nguyen, T.N., Lazarou, M., et al. (2021). A human apolipoprotein L with
detergent-like activity kills intracellular pathogens. Science *373*, eabf8113.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf8113.

70. Buchwalter, A.L., Liang, Y., and Hetzer, M.W. (2014). Nup50 is required for cell dif-
ferentiation and exhibits transcription-dependent dynamics. Mol. Biol. Cell *25*,
2472–2484. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e14-04-0865.

71. Megat, S., Mora, N., Sanogo, J., Roman, O., Catanese, A., Alami, N.O., Freischmidt,
A., Mingaj, X., De Calbiac, H., Muratet, F., et al. (2023). Integrative genetic analysis
illuminates ALS heritability and identifies risk genes. Nat. Commun. *14*, 342.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35724-1.

72. Hondele, M., Sachdev, R., Heinrich, S., Wang, J., Vallotton, P., Fontoura, B.M.A.,
and Weis, K. (2019). DEAD-box ATPases are global regulators of phase-separated
organelles. Nature *573*, 144–148. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1502-y.

73. Shroff, K., Caffall, Z.F., and Calakos, N. (2021). DYT-TOR1A subcellular proteomics
reveals selective vulnerability of the nuclear proteome to cell stress. Neurobiol. Dis.
158, 105464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105464.

74. Cremer, T., Jongsma, M.L.M., Trulsson, F., Vertegaal, A.C.O., Neefjes, J., and Ber-
lin, I. (2021). The ER-embedded UBE2J1/RNF26 ubiquitylation complex exerts
spatiotemporal control over the endolysosomal pathway. Cell Rep. *34*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108659.

75. Cremer, T., Voortman, L.M., Bos, E., Jongsma, M.L., ter Haar, L.R., Akkermans,
J.J., Talavera Ormeño, C.M., Wijdeven, R.H., de Vries, J., Kim, R.Q., et al. (2023).
RNF26 binds perinuclear vimentin filaments to integrate ER and endolysosomal re-
sponses to proteotoxic stress. EMBO J. *42*, e111252.
https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022111252.

76. Li, D., Quan, Z., Ni, J., Li, H., and Qing, H. (2023). The many faces of the zinc finger
protein 335 in brain development and immune system. Biomed. Pharmacother.
165, 115257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115257.

77. Stouffs, K., Stergachis, A.B., Vanderhasselt, T., Dica, A., Janssens, S., Vandervore,
L., Gheldof, A., Bodamer, O., Keymolen, K., Seneca, S., et al. (2018). Expanding
the clinical spectrum of biallelic ZNF335 variants. Clin. Genet. *94*, 246–251.
https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.13260.

78. Sato, R., Takanashi, J., Tsuyusaki, Y., Kato, M., Saitsu, H., Matsumoto, N., and
Takahashi, T. (2016). Association Between Invisible Basal Ganglia and ZNF335
Mutations: A Case Report. Pediatrics *138*, e20160897.
https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-0897.

79. Caglayan, A.O., Yaghouti, K., Kockaya, T., Kemer, D., Cankaya, T., Ameziane, N.,
Cogulu, O., Coker, M., and Yalcinkaya, C. (2021). Biallelic ZNF335 mutations
cause basal ganglia abnormality with progressive cerebral/cerebellar atrophy. J.
Neurogenet. *35*, 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/01677063.2020.1833006.

80. Nishida, T., Mihara, T., Horiki, T., and Ka, K. (2016). Anaesthesia and orphan dis-
ease: primary autosomal recessive microcephaly-10 caused by a mutation in the
ZNF335 gene. Eur. J. Anaesthesiol. *33*, 543–545.
https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000000358.

81. Theusch, E., Ting, F.Y., Qin, Y., Stevens, K., Naidoo, D., King, S.M., Yang, N.V.,
Orr, J., Han, B.Y., Cyster, J.G., et al. (2024). Participant-derived cell line tran-
scriptomic analyses and mouse studies reveal a role for ZNF335 in plasma choles-
terol statin response. Genome Med. *16*, 93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-024-
01366-9.

82. Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., Ronneberger,
O., Willmore, L., Ballard, A.J., Bambrick, J., et al. (2024). Accurate structure predic-
tion of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature *630*, 493–500.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w.

83. Kroschwald, S., Maharana, S., and Simon, A. (2017). Hexanediol: a chemical probe
to investigate the material properties of membrane-less compartments. In Matters
https://doi.org/10.19185/matters.201702000010.

84. Drozdz, M.M., and Vaux, D.J. (2016). Shared mechanisms in physiological and
pathological nucleoplasmic reticulum formation. Nucleus *8*, 34–45.
https://doi.org/10.1080/19491034.2016.1252893.

85. Drozdz, M.M., Jiang, H., Pytowski, L., Grovenor, C., and Vaux, D.J. (2017). For-
mation of a nucleoplasmic reticulum requires de novo assembly of nascent phos-
pholipids and shows preferential incorporation of nascent lamins. Sci. Rep. 7,
7454. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07614-w.

86. Amberger, J.S., Bocchini, C.A., Schiettecatte, F., Scott, A.F., and Hamosh, A.
(2015). OMIM.org: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM®), an online cata-
log of human genes and genetic disorders. Nucleic Acids Res. *43*, D789–D798.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1205.

87. Rubinstein, W.S., Maglott, D.R., Lee, J.M., Kattman, B.L., Malheiro, A.J., Ovetsky,
M., Hem, V., Gorelenkov, V., Song, G., Wallin, C., et al. (2013). The NIH genetic
testing registry: a new, centralized database of genetic tests to enable access to
comprehensive information and improve transparency. Nucleic Acids Res. *41*,
D925–D935. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1173.

88. Jongsma, M.L.M., Berlin, I., Wijdeven, R.H.M., Janssen, L., Janssen, G.M.C.,
Garstka, M.A., Janssen, H., Mensink, M., van Veelen, P.A., Spaapen, R.M., et al.
(2016). An ER-Associated Pathway Defines Endosomal Architecture for Controlled
Cargo Transport. Cell *166*, 152–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.078.

89. Wang, W.-M., Liu, Z., Liu, A.-J., Wang, Y.-X., Wang, H.-G., An, D., Heng, B., Xie, L.-
H., Duan, J.-L., and Liu, Y.-Q. (2015). The Zinc Ion Chelating Agent TPEN Attenu-
ates Neuronal Death/apoptosis Caused by Hypoxia/ischemia Via Mediating the
Pathophysiological Cascade Including Excitotoxicity, Oxidative Stress, and Inflam-
mation. CNS Neurosci. Ther. *21*, 708–717. https://doi.org/10.1111/cns.12428.

90. Mann, J.J., and Fraker, P.J. (2005). Zinc pyrithione induces apoptosis and increases
expression of Bim. Apoptosis *10*, 369–379. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-005-
0811-9.

91. Lamore, S.D., and Wondrak, G.T. (2011). Zinc pyrithione impairs zinc homeostasis
and upregulates stress response gene expression in reconstructed human epider-
mis. Biometals Int. J. Role Met. Ions Biol. Biochem. Med. *24*, 875–890.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-011-9441-6.

92. Mathieu, C., Pappu, R.V., and Taylor, J.P. (2020). Beyond aggregation: Pathological
phase transitions in neurodegenerative disease. Science *370*, 56–60.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb8032.

93. Thomsen, M., Ott, F., Loens, S., Kilic-Berkmen, G., Tan, A.H., Lim, S.-Y., Lohmann,
E., Schröder, K.M., Ipsen, L., Nothacker, L.A., et al. (2024). Genetic Diversity and
Expanded Phenotypes in Dystonia: Insights from Large-Scale Exome Sequencing.
medRxiv, 2024.12.02.24316741. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.02.24316741.

94. Zech, M., Dzinovic, I., Skorvanek, M., Harrer, P., Necpal, J., Kopajtich, R., Kittke, V.,
Tilch, E., Zhao, C., Tsoma, E., et al. (2025). Combined genomics and proteomics
unveils elusive variants and vast aetiologic heterogeneity in dystonia. Brain,
awaf059. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaf059.

95. Mitrea, D.M., Mittasch, M., Gomes, B.F., Klein, I.A., and Murcko, M.A. (2022). Modu-
lating biomolecular condensates: a novel approach to drug discovery. Nat. Rev.
Drug Discov. *21*, 841–862. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-022-00505-4.

96. Patel, A., Mitrea, D., Namasivayam, V., Murcko, M.A., Wagner, M., and Klein, I.A.
(2022). Principles and functions of condensate modifying drugs. Front. Mol. Biosci.
9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2022.1007744.

97. Rayman, J.B., Karl, K.A., and Kandel, E.R. (2018). TIA-1 Self-Multimerization,
Phase Separation, and Recruitment into Stress Granules Are Dynamically Regu-
lated by Zn2+. Cell Rep. *22*, 59–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.036.

98. Singh, V., Xu, L., Boyko, S., Surewicz, K., and Surewicz, W.K. (2020). Zinc pro-
motes liquid–liquid phase separation of tau protein. J. Biol. Chem. *295*, 5850–5856.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.AC120.013166.

99. Kim, K.-R., Park, S.E., Hong, J.-Y., Koh, J.-Y., Cho, D.-H., Hwang, J.J., and Kim, Y.-
H. (2022). Zinc enhances autophagic flux and lysosomal function through transcrip-
tion factor EB activation and V-ATPase assembly. Front. Cell. Neurosci. *16*,
895750. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2022.895750.

100. Liu, X., Zhao, P., Wang, X., Wang, L., Zhu, Y., Song, Y., and Gao, W. (2019).
Celastrol mediates autophagy and apoptosis via the ROS/JNK and Akt/mTOR sig-
naling pathways in glioma cells. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. *38*, 184.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-019-1173-4.

101. Hu, Y., Qian, Y., Wei, J., Jin, T., Kong, X., Cao, H., and Ding, K. (2021). The Disul-
firam/Copper Complex Induces Autophagic Cell Death in Colorectal Cancer by Tar-
geting ULK1. Front. Pharmacol. *12*, 752825.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.752825.

102. Li, Y.-C., He, S.-M., He, Z.-X., Li, M., Yang, Y., Pang, J.-X., Zhang, X., Chow, K.,
Zhou, Q., Duan, W., et al. (2014). Plumbagin induces apoptotic and autophagic cell
death through inhibition of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway in human non-small cell
lung cancer cells. Cancer Lett. *344*, 239–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.can-
let.2013.11.001.

103. Xu, K.-H., and Lu, D.-P. (2010). Plumbagin induces ROS-mediated apoptosis in hu-
man promyelocytic leukemia cells in vivo. Leuk. Res. *34*, 658–665.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2009.08.017.

104. Cen, D., Gonzalez, R.I., Buckmeier, J.A., Kahlon, R.S., Tohidian, N.B., and
Meyskens, F.L. (2002). Disulfiram induces apoptosis in human melanoma cells: a
redox-related process. Mol. Cancer Ther. *1*, 197–204.

105. Bernier, M., Harney, D., Koay, Y.C., Diaz, A., Singh, A., Wahl, D., Pulpitel, T., Ali,
A., Guiterrez, V., Mitchell, S.J., et al. (2020). Elucidating the mechanisms by which
disulfiram protects against obesity and metabolic syndrome. Npj Aging Mech. Dis.
6, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41514-020-0046-6.

106. Cascão, R., Fonseca, J.E., and Moita, L.F. (2017). Celastrol: A Spectrum of Treat-
ment Opportunities in Chronic Diseases. Front. Med. *4*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2017.00069.

107. Petrocelli, G., Marrazzo, P., Bonsi, L., Facchin, F., Alviano, F., and Canaider, S.
(2023). Plumbagin, a Natural Compound with Several Biological Effects and Anti-
Inflammatory Properties. Life *13*, 1303. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13061303.

108. Westerheide, S.D., Bosman, J.D., Mbadugha, B.N.A., Kawahara, T.L.A., Matsu-
moto, G., Kim, S., Gu, W., Devlin, J.P., Silverman, R.B., and Morimoto, R.I. (2004).
Celastrols as Inducers of the Heat Shock Response and Cytoprotection. J. Biol.
Chem. *279*, 56053–56060. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M409267200.

109. Wang, C., Shi, C., Yang, X., Yang, M., Sun, H., and Wang, C. (2014). Celastrol
suppresses obesity process via increasing antioxidant capacity and improving lipid
metabolism. Eur. J. Pharmacol. *744*, 52–58.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.09.043.

110. Calakos, N., and Caffall, Z.F. (2024). The integrated stress response pathway and
neuromodulator signaling in the brain: lessons learned from dystonia. J. Clin. In-
vest. *134*. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI177833.

111. Calakos, N., and Zech, M. (2025). Emerging Molecular-Genetic Families in Dysto-
nia: Endosome-Autophagosome-Lysosome and Integrated Stress Response Path-
ways. Mov. Disord. *40*, 7–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.30037.

112. Gonzalez-Latapi, P., Marotta, N., and Mencacci, N.E. (2021). Emerging and con-
verging molecular mechanisms in dystonia. J. Neural Transm. *128*, 483–498.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-020-02290-z.

113. Martin, J.N., Bair, T.B., Bode, N., Dauer, W.T., and Gonzalez-Alegre, P. (2009).
Transcriptional and proteomic profiling in a cellular model of DYT1 dystonia. Neuro-
science *164*, 563–572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.07.068.

114. Bjørkøy, G., Lamark, T., Brech, A., Outzen, H., Perander, M., Overvatn, A., Sten-mark, H., and Johansen, T. (2005). p62/SQSTM1 forms protein aggregates de-graded by autophagy and has a protective effect on huntingtin-induced cell death. J. Cell Biol. *171*, 603–614. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200507002.

115. Wang, Z., and Zhang, H. (2019). Phase Separation, Transition, and Autophagic Degradation of Proteins in Development and Pathogenesis. Trends Cell Biol. *29*, 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.01.008.

116. Caffall, Z.F., Wilkes, B.J., Hernández-Martinez, R., Rittiner, J.E., Fox, J.T., Wan, K.K., Shipman, M.K., Titus, S.A., Zhang, Y.-Q., Patnaik, S., et al. (2021). The HIV protease inhibitor, ritonavir, corrects diverse brain phenotypes across development in mouse model of DYT-TOR1A dystonia. Sci. Transl. Med. *13*, eabd3904. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abd3904.

117. Rose, A., and Schlieker, C. (2012). Alternative nuclear transport for cellular protein quality control. Trends Cell Biol. *22*, 509–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2012.07.003.

118. Mannino, P.J., and Lusk, C.P. (2022). Quality control mechanisms that protect nu-clear envelope identity and function. J. Cell Biol. *221*, e202205123. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202205123.

119. Tomioka, Y., Kotani, T., Kirisako, H., Oikawa, Y., Kimura, Y., Hirano, H., Ohsumi, Y., and Nakatogawa, H. (2020). TORC1 inactivation stimulates autophagy of nucle-oporin and nuclear pore complexes. J. Cell Biol. *219*, e201910063. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201910063.

120. Lee, C.-W., Wilfling, F., Ronchi, P., Allegretti, M., Mosalaganti, S., Jentsch, S., Beck, M., and Pfander, B. (2020). Selective autophagy degrades nuclear pore complexes. Nat. Cell Biol. *22*, 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-019-0459-2.

121. Martin, C.-A., Murray, J.E., Carroll, P., Leitch, A., Mackenzie, K.J., Halachev, M., Fetit, A.E., Keith, C., Bicknell, L.S., Fluteau, A., et al. (2016). Mutations in genes encoding condensin complex proteins cause microcephaly through decatenation failure at mitosis. Genes Dev. *30*, 2158–2172. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.286351.116.

122. Shaheen, R., Maddirevula, S., Ewida, N., Alsahli, S., Abdel-Salam, G.M.H., Zaki, M.S., Tala, S.A., Alhashem, A., Softah, A., Al-Owain, M., et al. (2019). Genomic and phenotypic delineation of congenital microcephaly. Genet. Med. *21*, 545–552. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-018-0140-3.

123. Pavinato, L., Carestiato, S., Trajkova, S., Sorasio, L., Mantovani, G., De Sanctis, L., Kerkhof, J., McConkey, H., Rzasa, J., Todd, E., et al. (2025). Skipping of Exon 20 in EP300: A Novel Variant Linked to Rubinstein–Taybi Syndrome With Atypical

and Severe Clinical Manifestations. Clin. Genet. *107*, 354–358.
https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.14654.

124. Roessler, E., Belloni, E., Gaudenz, K., Jay, P., Berta, P., Scherer, S.W., Tsui, L.C.,
and Muenke, M. (1996). Mutations in the human Sonic Hedgehog gene cause hol-
oprosencephaly. Nat. Genet. *14*, 357–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1196-357.

125. Loo, C.K.C., Pearen, M.A., and Ramm, G.A. (2021). The Role of Sonic Hedgehog
in Human Holoprosencephaly and Short-Rib Polydactyly Syndromes. Int. J. Mol.
Sci. *22*, 9854. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22189854.

126. Demmerle, J., Hao ,Siyuan, and Cai, D. (2023). Transcriptional condensates and
phase separation: condensing information across scales and mechanisms. Nu-
cleus *14*, 2213551. https://doi.org/10.1080/19491034.2023.2213551.

127. Hnisz, D., Shrinivas, K., Young, R.A., Chakraborty, A.K., and Sharp, P.A. (2017). A
phase separation model predicts key features of transcriptional control. Cell *169*,
13–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.007.

128. Fouix, S., Martin-Lannerée, S., Sanial, M., Morla, L., Lamour-Isnard, C., and Ples-
sis, A. (2003). Over-expression of a novel nuclear interactor of Suppressor of
fused, the Drosophila myelodysplasia/myeloid leukaemia factor, induces abnormal
morphogenesis associated with increased apoptosis and DNA synthesis. Genes
Cells Devoted Mol. Cell. Mech. *8*, 897–911. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
2443.2003.00685.x.

129. Dyer, J.O., Dutta, A., Gogol, M., Weake, V.M., Dialynas, G., Wu, X., Seidel, C.,
Zhang, Y., Florens, L., Washburn, M.P., et al. (2017). Myeloid Leukemia Factor
acts in a chaperone complex to regulate transcription factor stability and gene ex-
pression. J. Mol. Biol. *429*, 2093–2107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.10.026.

130. Tsai, P.-L., Cameron, C.J.F., Forni, M.F., Wasko, R.R., Naughton, B.S., Horsley,
V., Gerstein, M.B., and Schlieker, C. (2022). Dynamic quality control machinery
that operates across compartmental borders mediates the degradation of mamma-
lian nuclear membrane proteins. Cell Rep. *41*, 111675.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111675.

131. Tsai, P.-L., Zhao, C., and Schlieker, C. (2019). Methodologies to monitor protein
turnover at the inner nuclear membrane. Methods Enzymol. *619*, 47–69.
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2018.12.033.

132. Ihaka, R., and Gentleman, R. (1996). R: A Language for Data Analysis and
Graphics. J. Comput. Graph. Stat. *5*, 299–314.
https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.1996.10474713.

133. Vincent, L., and Soille, P. (1991). Watersheds in digital spaces: an efficient algo-
rithm based on immersion simulations. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. *13*,
583–598. https://doi.org/10.1109/34.87344.

134. Ritz, C., Baty, F., Streibig, J.C., and Gerhard, D. (2015). Dose-Response Analysis
Using R. PLOS ONE *10*, e0146021. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146021.

135. Wickham, H. (2011). ggplot2. WIREs Comput. Stat. *3*, 180–185.
https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.147.

136. Galili, T., O'Callaghan, A., Sidi, J., and Sievert, C. (2018). heatmaply: an R pack-
age for creating interactive cluster heatmaps for online publishing. Bioinformatics
34, 1600–1602. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx657.

1441