Integrative Chemical Genetics Platform Identifies Condensate Modulators Linked to Neurological Disorders

Dylan Poch,[1] Chandrayee Mukherjee,[1] Sunanda Mallik,[1] Vanessa Todorow,[1] E.F. Elsiena Kuiper,[1,2] Nalini Dhingra,[3] Yulia V Surovtseva,[3] Christian Schlieker.[1,4,†]

[1]Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA.

[2]Max Planck Institute of Biophysics, Mechanisms of Cellular Quality Control, Frankfurt a. M., Germany

[3]Yale Center for Molecular Discovery, Yale University, West Haven, CT 06516, USA.

[4]Department of Cell Biology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.

[†]Correspondence: christian.schlieker@yale.edu

ABSTRACT

Aberrant biomolecular condensates are implicated in multiple incurable neurological disorders, including Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Frontotemporal Dementia, and DYT1 dystonia. However, the role of condensates in driving disease etiology remains incompletely understood. Here, we establish myeloid leukemia factor 2 (MLF2) as a disease-agnostic biomarker of phase transitions. Using MLF2 as a high-content screening readout enables the identification of condensate modulators across both chemical and genetic perturbations. We uncover FDA-approved drugs that potently modulate condensates, validating our pipeline for facile drug discovery. Leveraging our methodology for a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen reveals genes linked to primary microcephaly and related neurodevelopmental disorders that result in proteotoxic condensates. Machine learning establishes two phenotypic clusters, with RNF26 deletion provoking nuclear envelope condensates reminiscent of nuclear pore defects, while loss of ZNF335, a known microcephaly-causative gene, drives accumulation of distinct nucleoplasmic condensates. Our study establishes a link between aberrant phase transitions and microcephaly, highlighting corrective chemical modulators.

KEYWORDS

Biomolecular condensates, high-content screening, microcephaly, dystonia, ubiquitin, MLF2, nuclear pore, pyrithione zinc, machine learning, neurodegeneration, stress granules, ubiquitin ligase.

TEASER

Chemical genetic methods uncover link between aberrant biomolecular condensates and neurodevelopmental disorders.

INTRODUCTION

Dysregulation of physiological phase transitions is a driver of many neurological disorders, including Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD), and DYT1 dystonia.[1–5] Biomolecular condensates rely on a thermodynamically favorable separation between a dense and dilute phase.[6, 7] When properly regulated, biomolecular condensates allow efficient, fine-tuned microenvironments often containing diverse components, ensuring maintenance of cellular homeostasis while buffering against external perturbations.[8–10] However, in pathological states referred to as condensatopathies, these condensates exhibit reduced dynamicity and undergo aberrant phase transitions, leading to protein aggregation or amyloid formation that is not easily reversible.[11–13] According to some estimates, up to one-third of common diseases can be linked to condensate-forming proteins.[14] Determining the mechanisms and cellular activities of aberrant phase transitions is essential for devising therapeutic strategies that tune condensate properties to counteract pathological transitions.

One favorable phase-state is observed in the central channel of the nuclear pore complex (NPC). The NPC serves as the intermediary gate at which nuclear transcription is separated from cytoplasmic translation in eukaryotic organisms. Within the central channel of the NPC, phenylalanine-glycine nucleoporins (FG-nups) have a high proportion of intrinsically disordered regions that set up a favorable phase, contributing to the selective barrier of the NPC.[15–18] Recently, our lab proposed that this phase may additionally represent an optimal environment that counteracts protein aggregation and promotes folding.[19] However, disruptions to NPC assembly or nucleocytoplasmic transport have been strongly implicated in neurological diseases, including ALS and DYT1 dystonia,[1, 20–22] a debilitating movement disorder characterized by involuntary muscle contractions and painful sustained postures.[23, 24]

DYT1 dystonia is caused by a mutation within the TOR1A gene that disrupts activation of the encoded AAA+ ATPase,[25–29] TorsinA, resulting in the formation of pathological nuclear envelope condensates.[1, 20, 21, 30, 31] As with many condensatopathies, there are only palliative interventions, with no curative or preventative treatments for dystonia.[23, 24] These nuclear envelope condensates accumulate K48-linked polyubiquitinylated proteins that evade proteasomal degradation and sequester

chaperone proteins, causing cellular proteotoxicity.(*1*, *21*, *32*) Thus, modulation of aber-

rant nuclear condensates by either direct dissolution or modulation of their proteotoxic

properties represents potential therapeutic approaches. At present, limitations to high-

throughput screening campaigns targeting condensatopathies include a lack of well-de-

fined condensate targets and broadly applicable condensate biomarkers.

In this study, we identify Myeloid leukemia factor 2 (MLF2) as a disease-agnostic

marker of aberrant condensates. Previously found to be enriched in DYT1 dystonia-re-

lated nuclear envelope condensates linked to NPC assembly,(*21*) we discover MLF2

also accumulates in proteotoxic nuclear condensates and co-localizes with TDP-43 and

G3BP1 in stress granules. Using high-content screening with MLF2-GFP as our

readout, we uncovered both pharmacological and previously uncharacterized genetic

modulators of aberrant phase transitions. Several FDA-approved small molecules mod-

ulate nuclear condensates, providing a tractable methodological framework for discover-

ing therapeutics for condensatopathies. Combining CRISPR knockout (KO) genetic

screening with deep learning approaches allowed us to identify RNF26 and ZNF335 as

protective factors against phenotypically distinct aberrant condensates. Notably, 15 out

of the top 50 genes in our screen are strongly associated with neurodevelopmental dis-

orders, including seven directly linked to primary microcephaly or related congenital

brain malformations. This work establishes a connection between nuclear condensates,

perturbed nuclear proteostasis, and neurodevelopmental disorders and establishes a

robust drug discovery platform to facilitate the development of innovative pharmacologi-

cal strategies.

RESULTS

MLF2 is a Biomarker for Aberrant Condensates Associated with ALS/FTD and

DYT1 Dystonia.

Having previously established a connection between impaired protein quality control

and condensates in a torsin-deficient DYT1 dystonia HeLa cell model (4TorKO cells),(*1*,

21) we aimed to develop biomarkers to facilitate the identification of condensate modu-

lators across other condensatopathies. MLF2 represents a major protein constituent of

nuclear envelope condensates within 4TorKO cells(*21*) and readily immerses into FG-

nup condensates *in vitro*, likely due to its highly enriched Methionine and Arginine resi-

dues.(*1*) Since many condensates share aromatic side chains as part of their molecular

grammar,(*33*) we reasoned that MLF2 might localize to additional aberrant conden-

sates, likely through π-cation interactions.

Dysregulation of stress granules (SGs) has been pathologically associated with

several neurological disorders, including ALS and FTD.(*11*) To asses if MLF2 localizes

with SGs, we co-expressed G3BP1 and TDP-43 in wild-type (WT) HeLa cells treated

with 0.5 mM sodium arsenite (NaAsO$_2$) for 30 minutes, a well-established condition for

SG induction.(*34–37*) MLF2 exhibited strong co-localization with both G3BP1-GFP and

TDP-43-tdTomato, regardless of NaAsO$_2$ exposure (Figure 1A). These findings suggest

that MLF2 serves as a robust biomarker for aberrant condensates associated with dis-

tinct neurological disorders. To exploit the propensity of MLF2 to immerse into both sta-

ble (nuclear envelope condensates) and transient (SGs) aberrant phases, we designed

doxycycline (Dox) inducible fluorophore-derivatized variants of MLF2 as condensate bi-

omarkers. This enabled us to develop high-throughput chemical and genetic assays to

screen for condensate modulators (Figure 1B).

Figure 1, *Poch et al.*

Fig. 1. MLF2 is a biomarker for aberrant phase transitions across neurological disorders. (**A**) Utilizing MLF2 as a SG reporter. MLF2 co-localizes with G3BP1 (top panels) and TDP-43 (bottom panels) before and after SG formation in response to oxidative stress (0.5 mM NaAsO$_2$, 30 min). (**B**) MLF2 detects aberrant condensates associated with multiple disease states. The ability of MLF2 to immerse into condensates can be leveraged for high-content imaging platforms to systematically profile additional disease-relevant phase transitions. Arrows represent potential opportunities to manipulate disease phenotypes using chemical genetic methods. Representative images captured during high-content screening of WT HeLa (left) and 4TorKO HeLa (right) cells expressing MLF2-GFP.

Establishing MLF2-GFP as an Aberrant Condensates Reporter for High-

Content Screening

Leveraging the condensate biomarker properties of MLF2, we developed a miniaturized,

high-content assay using Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP cells in a 384-well format. This facili-

tated the design of phenotypic, high-content arrayed screens to identify chemical and

genetic modulators of nuclear condensates. Specifically, each well contained either a

small molecule applied to 4TorKO cells or synthetic single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) deliv-

ered to Cas9-integrated WT cells, designed to suppress or promote nuclear conden-

sates, respectively. To analyze the high-resolution images (over 10k images/plate), we

developed a computational condensate screening suite, *CondenScreen*. This open-

source CellProfiler and R script was designed for the rapid quantification and statistical

analysis of aberrant condensates (Figure S1A).

While many robust computational resources are available for monitoring conden-

sates (see *PhaseMetrics* and *Punctatools*)(*38*, *39*), *CondenScreen* is specifically de-

signed for large-scale, high-content screening analysis using a customizable, open-

source *CellProfiler 4* (*40*, *41*) pipeline. Beyond quantifying the number of condensates

at both single-cell and aggregate levels, *CondenScreen* automatically computes the ro-

bustness of the screen using statistical indicators such as the Z'-Score (and BZ'-Score),

signal-to-background (SB), normalized effect, and the coefficient of variation (CV). *Con-*

denScreen provides a simple graphical user interface (GUI), allowing the user to select

plate size, layout, and choose whether the user's readout is signal "ON" vs signal

"OFF", altering downstream statistics (Figure S1A). Using our corresponding Bash

script, multiple headless *CellProfiler* instances can be executed simultaneously on a

Simple Linux Utility for Resource Management (SLURM) cluster. Integrating the MLF2-

GFP inducible system with our *CondenScreen* analysis pipeline ensures rapid identifica-

tion of phase-transition modulators.

High-Content Screen Identifies FDA-approved Drugs that Modulate Condensates

To identify chemical matter that can modulate aberrant nuclear envelope condensates,

we employed our MLF2-GFP inducible 4TorKO DYT1 cell model. We screened 1760

drugs from the Pharmakon library, comprising 1360 US and 400 international drugs, at

a 10 µM working concentration. To minimize false positives due to differential reporter

expression, Dox (0.5 µg/ml) was added for four hours, followed by an additional 2 hours

with compound incubation.

CondenScreen reported an average Z'-score of 0.73, indicating pronounced sep-

aration between the positive (0.1% DMSO-treated WT HeLa) and negative (0.1%

DMSO-treated 4TorKO HeLa) controls.(*42*) Additionally, the ratio between the negative

and positive controls (SB) was 930, while the SD and CV of DMSO-treated cells were

2.1% and 9.2%, respectively, further confirming assay robustness.

To control for plate-specific column, row, and edge effects, we assigned each

compound a BZ-score based on its ability to decrease the number of nuclear MLF2-

GFP foci (Figure 2A, see methods). The distribution of the average number of MLF2-

GFP foci per condition was near-normally distributed (Figures 2B, 2C). Using a standard

BZ-score cutoff of three, we identified 20 putative hit compounds that significantly de-

pleted MLF2-GFP condensate foci. Top compounds included plumbagin, sanguinarium

chloride, thiram, piroctone olamine, penfluridol, bronopol, thimerosal, triclosan, disulfi-

ram, celastrol, dihydrocelastryl diacetate, and pyrithione zinc (PZ). A full list of the

screened drugs can be found in the supplementary materials (Table S1).

Top-scoring compounds were resolubilized to construct a 12-point dose-re-

sponse (n = 4 replicates), covering over 5 orders of magnitude to determine the calcu-

lated IC_{50}. (Figure 2D, Figure S1B). The normalized percent effect and percent toxicity

(calculated as a function of viability, nuclear area, and nuclear morphology) were quanti-

fied for each concentration to determine potential therapeutic windows. Four structurally

diverse drugs demonstrated a potent dose-dependent relationship, which included

celastrol (IC_{50} = 124.8 nM), PZ (IC_{50} = 601.6 nM), plumbagin (IC_{50} = 7.4 µM), and disulfi-

ram (IC_{50} = 10.8 µM) (Figure 2D).

Figure 2, *Poch et al.*

Fig. 2. Chemical screen identifies drugs that modulate nuclear envelope condensates. (A) Drugs from Pharmakon 1760 screen decreases nuclear MLF2-GFP accumulation in 4TorKO HeLa cells. Compounds (circles) passing significance threshold (dotted-line, BZ-score > 3) are labeled purple, while red-labeled dots denote drugs selected for downstream validation. (**B**) Distribution in the average number of MLF2-GFP foci/cell and (**C**) percent decrease of MLF2-GFP foci per cell, normalized to the screening population. (**D**) Hit compounds are dose dependent. Determined IC_{50} from 12-point dose response (four orders of magnitude, n = 4). Black line represents % effect (decrease in MLF2-GFP foci), red line represents % toxicity relative to DMSO (four-parameter-log-logistic model). (**E**) Drugs modulate accumulation of K48-Ub proteins. Dots depict the number of MLF2-GFP (left, 6h Dox) or α-K48-ubiquitin (right) foci/cell after treatment with drug or DMSO. Asterisks indicate Bonferroni-corrected p-values calculated by Mann-Whitney U testing (***: p <= 0.001 ****: p <= 0.0001). (**F**) Representative immunofluorescence images of MLF2-GFP (green) and α-K48-ubiquitin (red) in torsin-deficient cells treated with DMSO compared to either PZ (3 μM) or celastrol (6 μM). (**G**) Schematic of inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A construct without (top) or with (bottom) Dox. (**H**) Confocal images of torsin-deficient cells expressing MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato treated with either DMSO or 1.5 μM PZ treatments (6h). Scale bars, 5 μm.

Pyrithione Zinc Disrupts K48-Ubiquitinylated Protein Accumulation within Nuclear Condensates

141
142

To determine the optimal concentration, we tested each of the four candidate com-

pounds—celastrol, PZ, plumbagin, and disulfiram—at four concentrations, including the

IC_{50} itself, at 2x above/below the IC_{50}, and 8x above the IC_{50} concentrations, using a 3 h

compound treatment. The resulting boxplot reflects each compound's effect at the sin-

gle-cell level (Figure S1C). Consistent with the significant cell-cycle dependency of NE

condensate formation previously demonstrated,(*21*) we observed significant variability

in the number of MLF2-GFP foci/cells. However, all compounds significantly reduced

perinuclear MLF2-GFP accumulation at 2x and 8x their respective IC_{50} (Figure S1C).

To ensure consistent reduction across different condensate markers, we used

K48-linked ubiquitin (K48) as an independent and endogenous biomarker since 4TorKO

cells have reduced protein turnover resulting in the accumulation of polyubiquitinylated

proteins within nuclear envelope condensates.(*1, 21*) Using immunofluorescence stain-

ing with α-K48 antibodies, all four compounds demonstrated a statistically significant de-

crease in endogenous, perinuclear K48 foci, replicating our results at reducing MLF2-

GFP accumulation (Figure 2E). Given the optimal concentration profile of PZ and celas-

trol (Figure 2D), we confirmed that each compound results in the redistribution of both

MLF2-GFP and endogenous K48 foci using confocal microscopy (Figure 2F). Im-

portantly, this data validates that the mechanism of action either directly or indirectly

mediates endogenous protein cargo within the nuclear envelope condensates of DYT1

dystonia.

A significant limitation to phenotype screening is the prevalence of identification

of false positives that inhibit biomarker expression by non-specifically disrupting cellular

protein transcription or translation. To circumvent this, we constructed a HeLa cell line

with an inducible bicistronic MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato reporter (Figure 2G). The P2A

site induces ribosomal skipping, allowing the translation of two separate polypeptides,

an N-terminal MLF2-GFP and a C-terminal tdTomato, both derived from the same

mRNA transcript.(*43*) We selected MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato 4TorKO cells using Fluo-

rescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) (Figure S1D), before inducing MLF2-GFP ex-

pression and treating cells with either PZ (0.75 µM or 1.5 µM, 6 h) or celastrol (1.5 µM

or 3 µM, 6 h) . We quantified the number of MLF2-GFP foci and tdTomato expression

between either uninduced cells treated with DMSO vehicle control, induced cells treated

with DMSO, and induced cells treated with either 100 µg/mL cycloheximide, PZ, or

celastrol. Concentrations of both celastrol and PZ at 1.5 µM had a significant decrease

in the number of MLF2-GFP foci/cell compared with the DMSO control (Figure S1E).

While PZ did not reduce the expression of secondary-tdTomato reporter (Figures 2H,

S1F), celastrol slightly, albeit at a non-statistically significant level, decreased secondary

reporter expression compared with DMSO-treated cells (Figure S1F).

180   To quantify the potential effects of a compound inhibiting reporter expression, we

developed an empirical metric, the Condensate Normalization Score (CNS). The CNS is

based on the differential fluorescence between the condensate biomarker and second-

ary reporter. A high CNS-value indicates a reduction in condensate biomarkers with

minimal impact on overall protein expression (see methods). Both celastrol and PZ-

treated cells had a significantly increased median CNS (Figure S1G) compared to all

controls, indicating a modulatory mechanism independent of reporter expression. Re-

maining 4TorKO-induced nuclear envelope condensates could be visualized using

transmission electron microscopy (TEM) after a 3 h treatment of 2 µM PZ (Figure S1H).

Further testing of additional concentrations and time points may reveal differential kinet-

ics between condensate resolution compared to modulation of condensate cargo

(MLF2/K48-Ub-proteins).

192   To identify the structural features responsible for the condensate-modifying activ-

ity of PZ, we examined whether this effect originates from its pyrithione moiety (2-Mer-

captopyridine N-oxide) or the zinc ion. To this end, we treated 4TorKO cells with either

DMSO vehicle control, PZ alone, or PZ with zinc chelator TPEN (N,N,N',N'- *tetrakis*-(2-

Pyridylmethyl)ethylenediamine) at a 3 molar equivalent.(*44*) After treating with TPEN to

chelate zinc, PZ failed to reduce MLF2-GFP condensate accumulation in 4TorKO cells

(Figures S2A, S2B). Furthermore, we tested whether zinc alone is sufficient to deplete

nuclear MLF2-GFP condensate foci. Due to the lower membrane permeability, 4TorKO

cells were treated with higher concentrations of zinc sulfate monohydrate ($ZnSO_4$).

Treatment with 2 mM $ZnSO_4$ alone decreased MLF2-GFP foci (Figure S2C, S2D) while

maintaining tdTomato expression (Figure S2E), resulting in a high CNS value (Figure

S2F). Thus, PZ modulates nuclear condensates through a zinc-dependent mechanism.

Genome-Wide CRISPR Screening Uncovers Condensate Modulators Implicated in

Microcephaly and Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Having established a robust screening pipeline, we next performed a genome-

wide CRISPR/Cas9 KO screen in WT HeLa cells to identify genes linked to phase mod-

ulation. Genetic hits that promote nuclear condensate formation may shed light on yet

unknown cellular activities as well as facilitating the identification of pharmacological tar-

gets. We screened an arrayed library of 19,195 human genes using the Horizon Discov-

ery Edit-R synthetic sgRNA collection. To increase KO efficiency, we designed and

FACS-sorted a WT HeLa cell line that constitutively expresses Cas9 with Dox-inducible

MLF2-GFP. Each well was reverse-transfected in a 384-well plate format using a pool of

3 sgRNAs targeting different regions of the same gene (Figure 3A). Cells were incu-

bated for 72 h, and MLF2-GFP was induced with Dox 16 h before fixation. Using *Con-*

denScreen to quantify the number of MLF2-GFP foci per nucleus, and then comparing

that number between the positive (TOR1A/B sgRNAs) and negative (non-targeting (NT)

sgRNA) controls, we calculated an average Z' value of 0.52. The calculated SB was 4.8,

and the SD and CV of the NT control were 3.1 and 9.7, respectively. We determined the

assay quality score to be sufficiently robust as indicated by similar scores in the litera-

ture.(*36*, *45*)

Figure 3, *Poch et al.*

Fig. 3. CRISPR KO Screen reveals genes that modulate nuclear condensates. (**A**) Schematic workflow of the genome-wide CRISPR KO screen using WT HeLa cells. Plates represent direct outputs provided by *CondenScreen*, indicating the number of MLF2-foci/cell (top plate) and cell count per image (bottom plate). (**B**) Genes hits from CRISPR KO screen associated with microcephaly modulate nuclear condensates. Dotted line represents significance threshold (Z-Score > 2) while highlighted and labeled genes have a Z-Score > 3. Green dots represent the TorsinA and TorsinB KO conditions; orange dots represent genes linked to microcephaly; and red dots indicate genes of additional interest. (**C**) Distribution of the average number of MLF2-GFP foci/cell and (**D**) percent increase in MLF2-GFP foci/cell, normalized to the screening population. (**E**) Heatmap of the 30 highest scoring GO biological processes (rows) and associated genes (columns) from the top 100 candidate CRISPR KO hits. Vertical scale legend indicates -log(10) Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value, with lighter regions representing higher significance. Scaled boxes (top) indicate the Z-Score of each gene from the CRISPR Screen, with darker colors indicating a higher Z-score (horizontal scale bar). Euclidean distance hierarchical clustering for GO-enriched biological pathways and genes is shown with the left and top dendrogram, respectively.

We defined a candidate gene as any gene deletion that resulted in a Z-score > 2

(Figure 3B). The top 10-ranked candidate genes were: RNF26, WAC, ZNF335,

NCAPG2, NCAPH2, ECT2, ASRGL1, SETD1B, ASB6, and FOXS1 (see Table S2 for

comprehensive list). Overall, the screen resulted in a near-normal distribution of the

number of MLF2-GFP foci per cell (Figures 3C, 3D). Notably, we observed several

genes linked to neurodevelopmental disorders, with high enrichment of microcephaly-

associated genes (orange labeled dots, Figure 3B).(*46, 47*) Both homozygous and com-

pound heterozygous mutations to one of our top hits, zinc finger protein 335 (ZNF335,

also identified as MCPH10 or NIF1) have been documented to cause primary micro-

cephaly.(*48–53*) ZNF335 has been tied to the regulation of neurogenesis through modu-

lation of the REST complex.(*47*)

Additional top hits of interest include, RNF26, an E3-ubiquitin ligase that has

been implicated in regulating the endosomal system, serving as a "gatekeeper" for vesi-

cles in the perinuclear ER cloud.(*54, 55*) Furthermore, RNF26 ubiquitinylates

SQSTM1(*54*) and has recently been tied to ER-sheet morphology and maintenance.(*55*)

Other hits include APOL3 (Z-Score = 2.5), a putative regulator of membrane dynamics

with detergent-like bactericidal properties;(*56, 57*) Ran (Z-Score = 2.8), a GTP-binding

nuclear protein; and EIF4A3/DDX48 (Z-Score 3.8), a DEAD-box ATPase. DEAD-box

ATPases have recently been linked to the regulation of phase-separated membranelles

organelles,(*58*) indicating a potential role of EIF4A3 in modulating phase transitions.

The condensate phenotypes defined by nuclear MLF2 accumulation observed across

gene deletions reveal key entry points into previously unexplored biology and highlight

promising avenues for therapeutic discovery.

To determine if candidate genes are clustered into enriched genetic pathways,

we hierarchically clustered the top 100 gene hits with their corresponding 30 enriched

biological processes (q-value < 0.05) using Gene Ontology (GO) analysis(*59*) (Figure

3E; interactive webpage available, see methods) and illustrated pathway interconnect-

edness (Figures S3A-S3C). We observed enrichment (10 out of 30 GO terms) of chro-

mosomal and chromatid condensation, separation, or segregation pathways (Figures

3E, S3A). GO pathways were manually clustered into the following five categories: Au-

tophagy, Cell Cycle, Chromosomal Regulation, Protein Transport, and Other (Figure

S3B). Consistent with these enriched pathways, loss of protein homeostasis,(*1, 60*) a

cell-cycle dependency of condensates,(*21*) and disruption to nucleocytoplasmic

transport(*61, 62*) have been documented in condensatopathies. Additionally, dysregula-

tion of both cell cycle checkpoints and chromosomal regulation has been linked to pri-

mary microcephaly.(*63–65*) Indeed, seven out of the top 50 gene hits have been linked

to microcephaly.(*66, 67*) Beyond the enrichment of individual gene hits linked to micro-

cephaly, encephalopathies, and other neurodevelopmental disorders, additional en-

riched pathways of interest included organelle fission and positive regulation of neuro-

blast proliferation.

To validate the three highest candidate genes, we knocked out ZNF335, RNF26,

and the WW Domain Containing Adaptor with Coiled-Coil (WAC) and binned them ac-

cording to the number of nuclear MLF2-GFP foci/cell (5-15, 15-30, or >30 foci/cell) (Fig-

ure S3D). While WAC depletion had only a modest effect, RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO

cells displayed pronounced nuclear condensate formation as indicated by MLF2-GFP

accumulation (Figure S3D), with ZNF335-deficient cells resulting in the greatest number

of condensates.

Supervised Machine Learning Links Genetic Perturbations to Distinct Condensate

Phenotypes

To capture subtle condensate phenotype variations between different gene KO condi-

tions that may be overlooked using either conventional quantification methods, we de-

veloped a supervised machine learning model. (Figure S4A) This is based on a deep re-

sidual convolutional neural network (ResNet18),(*68*) trained on high-content imaging

data from our CRISPR KO screen (Figure 4A). To initially train our model, we seg-

mented screening images into single-cell patches containing either RNF26 KO or

ZNF335 KO cells, due to their high significance and our previous validation (Figure

S3D), along with cells treated with NT sgRNAs-treated as a control. We then employed

the ResNet18 convolutional residual neural network backbone (ImageNet)(*69*) to learn a

regression mapping anchored by three reference points: RNF26 KO (-1), non-targeting

(0), and ZNF335 KO (+1). Based on phenotypic similarity to each anchor condition, indi-

vidual cells were assigned a continuous regression score from -1 to +1. This was de-

rived from 512-dimensional feature embeddings capturing both nuclear and condensate

morphology, along with the number and spatial distribution of nuclear condensates.

After optimization, the model was refined until it correctly predicted 70% of indi-

vidual cells being correctly classified as closest to their respective anchor condition (Fig-

ure 4B). The model achieved a robust Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteris-

tic curve (AUC ROC) of 0.94 (Figure 4C), a minimum loss of 0.14 (Figure S4B), and a

true vs predicted correlation of 0.73 (Figure S4C). While the similarity distribution of la-

beled cells (Figure 4D) demonstrated overlap between conditions, with only ~55% of

RNF26 cells being identified as true RNF26 KO cells (Figure 4E), this outcome was ex-

pected given the high variability in transfection efficiency. Fewer than 2% of RNF26 KO

cells were misclassified as ZNF335 KO cells and vice versa, strengthening our convic-

tion of the model's ability to discriminate and report biologically meaningful results

against technical noise (Figure 4E).

Once trained, our model produced embeddings extracted from the ResNet fea-

ture space and prediction scores for each CRISPR KO hit that achieved a Z-Score > 2

(358 genes). For each KO condition, the model selected up to 500 randomly sampled

single-cell embeddings, and similarity metrics were calculated against RNF26, ZNF335,

and NT control anchors. The centroid embedding vector for each gene KO condition

was visualized in 2D with UMAP, an unsupervised dimensionality reduction method,(*70*)

revealing three distinct clusters with KMeans clustering. A total of three clusters were

chosen based on a silhouette coefficient of 0.53 (Figures S4D, S4E). The resulting

UMAP plot separated NT controls (cluster 1), RNF26 KO cells (cluster 2), and ZNF335

KO cells (cluster 3), allowing genes to be classified by their phenotypic similarity to ei-

ther the ZNF335 and RNF26 KO conditions, or to be further scrutinized as potential

false positives (cluster 1) (Figure 4F).

Figure 4, *Poch et al.*

Fig. 4. Deep learning model differentiates and clusters distinct condensate phenotypes. (**A**) RNF26 and ZNF335 have distinct MLF2-GFP condensate phenotypes. Representative confocal imaging of RNF26 KO (left) or ZNF335 KO (right) HeLa cells expressing MLF2-GFP (green). Scale bars, 10 μm. (**B**) Accuracy curve of deep residual convolutional neural network during training. Accuracy reflects the percentage of validation cells whose predicted class (RNF26 KO, ZNF335 KO, or NT control) matches the true label. Peak validation accuracy (0.70) was achieved at epoch 21. (**C**) Receiver operating characteristic curve of optimized model. Distinguishes true positives (y-axis) vs false positives (x-axis) with a calculated AUC of 0.94. (**D**) Correlation histogram indicating the similarity for each trained cell (x-axis) to one of three conditions (-1 = RNF26 KO, 0 = NT Ctrl, +1 = ZNF335 KO). Cells are colored by their true knockout condition (light orange = RNF26 KO; red = NT Ctrl; purple = ZNF335 KO). (**E**) Confusion matrix depicting the predicted label (x-axis) of each cell in the validation set compared to its true label (y-axis), based on rounding its similarity score to the nearest integer. (**F**) Top scoring CRISPR KO genes cluster into three distinct groups. Gene-level centroid embeddings of the top 358 genes (Z-Score > 2) were extracted before UMAP dimensionality reduction. K-means clustering partitions genes into three phenotypic clusters: cluster 1 (NT-like), cluster 2 (RNF26-like), and cluster 3 (ZNF335-like). (**G**) Hierarchical clustering heatmap showing phenotypic similarity of the top 50 gene knockouts to RNF26 or ZNF335 anchors. Lighter colors indicate greater similarity to the corresponding anchor (RNF26 KO, left; ZNF335 KO, middle; NT control, right). The RNF26 and ZNF335 KO conditions are labeled in green, while the non-SMC condensin II subunits are labeled in red with an asterisk.

We clustered gene-level similarity profiles using hierarchical clustering and con-
structed a heatmap of the top 50 genes sharing high phenotypic similarity to RNF26 KO
and ZNF335 KO conditions (Figure 4G). Of interest, the condensate phenotype that
arose from knocking out any of the non-SMC subunits of the condensin II complex
(NCAPG2, NCAPD3, and NCAPH2)(*71*) ranked highly phenotypically similar to the
ZNF335 KO condition (Figure 4G); knocking out the condensin II SMC2 subunit was
also ranked more similar to the ZNF335 KO, albeit further down on the ranked list. Fur-
thermore, NCAPG2 was the only gene to share a primary pairwise clade with ZNF335
(Figure 4G), indicating high phenotypic similarity.
323
RNF26 and ZNF335 Knockouts Exhibit Distinct Phenotypes but Share Accumula-
tion of K48-Ubiquitin
To confirm our deep learning results suggesting that the condensates that arise
from knocking out RNF26 and ZNF335 are distinct, we used confocal microscopy to de-
termine if the condensates accumulate polyubiquitinylated proteins. Condensates in
both RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO cells displayed co-localization with K48-Ub using im-
munofluorescence imaging (Figure 5A). Next, we assessed whether both condensate
conditions co-localize with nucleoporins by performing immunofluorescence staining us-
ing α-mAb414, which detects nucleoporins associated with the NPC. Similar to conden-
sates observed in torsin-deficient cells with stalled NPCs (Figure 5B), both RNF26 KO,
and to a lesser extent, ZNF335 KO cells demonstrated MLF2 co-localization with nucle-
oporins (Figure 5B).(*72*) Supporting the prediction that RNF26 and ZNF335 KO condi-
tions promote different condensate phenotypes, confocal Z-stack analysis revealed dis-
tinct spatial-localization of condensates (Figure 5C). Cells deficient in RNF26 had

MLF2-GFP foci overlapping with nucleoporin staining (α-mAb414) that resembled invag-

inations of the nuclear membrane (Figure 5C). Consistent with immunofluorescence

data, TEM imaging demonstrated RNF26 KO cells contain condensates between the

nuclear envelope (Figure 5D, shaded yellow), with pronounced nuclear envelope invagi-

nations reminiscent of nucleoplasmic reticulum formation (Figure 5D).(*73*, *74*) While we

observed several nuclear envelope invaginations contiguous with the inner nuclear

membrane (INM), further electron tomographic studies are needed to determine if all in-

vaginations are contiguous with the nuclear envelope. As 1,6-hexanediol has been re-

ported to disrupt liquid-liquid phase separation events,(*75*) we treated RNF26, ZNF335,

or TOR1A/B depleted cells with 5% 1,6-hexanediol for five minutes. We found 1,6-hex-

anediol, but neither DMSO nor 2,5-hexanediol, dissolved all three types of condensates

(Figure S5). Together, these results indicate that both RNF26 and ZNF335 have a role

in safeguarding against aberrant condensate formation within the nucleus.(*21*, *30*)

Condensates Induced from Genetic KO Conditions Are Modulated by the Chemi-

cal Hit Pyrithione Zinc

Finally, we tested whether compounds identified in our chemical screen could

modulate the condensate phenotypes arising from the genetic perturbations, including

those linked to microcephaly. Due to the ability of PZ to potently modulate MLF2-GFP

condensate markers in torsin-deficient cells (Figures 2D, 2E), we tested whether it could

similarly modulate nuclear envelope condensates in WT HeLa cells with either RNF26

or ZNF335 knocked out. In both KO conditions, treatment with 2 μM PZ significantly re-

duced accumulation of nuclear MLF2-GFP condensate foci (Figures 5E, S6). Thus,

FDA-approved drugs, including PZ, can be repurposed to modulate multiple aberrant

phase transitions across phenotypically distinct genetic perturbations.

Figure 5, *Poch et al.*

Fig. 5. Knockout of RNF26 or ZNF335 induces proteotoxic nuclear condensate formation. (**A**) K48-ubiquitinylated proteins accumulate within nuclear condensates. Immunofluorescence confocal images comparing co-localization of MLF2-GFP (green) with α-K48-Ub staining (red) in Cas9-integrated HeLa cells transfected with either (from left to right) NT, TOR1A/B, RNF26 or ZNF335 sgRNAs. (**B**) Condensates of RNF26 KO cells co-localize with NPC markers. Both RNF26 and TOR1A/B KO conditions demonstrated strong co-localization (yellow) of MLF2-GFP (green) with α-Mab414 staining (red). (**C**) Z-stack confocal projections of cells treated with the same conditions as (B). (**D**) TEM images of RNF26 KO cells depicting pronounced nuclear invaginations. Condensates are shaded yellow, while the INM and ONM are outlined in magenta and cyan, respectively. Black arrows draw attention to the interconnectedness of finger-like nuclear protrusions with INM; black asterisks indicate putative NPCs; red asterisks indicate omega-shaped herniations N, nucleus; C, cytoplasm. (**E**) Quantification of MLF2-GFP foci in CRISPR KO cells after PZ treatment. HeLa expressing MLF2-GFP (green) were treated with NT, Tor1A/B, RNF26, or ZNF335 sgRNAs along with either 2 μM PZ or DMSO for 3 h. KO conditions treated with DMSO are shown on the left (black outline) while those treated with PZ are shown to the right (gray outline with shaded boxes). Asterisks indicate Bonferroni-corrected p-values by Mann-Whitney U testing (****: $p \leq 0.0001$). IF scale bars at 10 μm (main) and 2 μm (inset); EM scale bars at 250 nm (main) and 100 nm (inset).

DISCUSSION

This study establishes a versatile high-throughput platform optimized for identifying

chemical and genetic modulators of aberrant condensates that are increasingly recog-

nized as contributors to neurological disorders.(*12, 14, 76*) Starting with our established

pathological condensate readout using a DYT1 dystonia model,(*21*) we validate our

platform through the identification of FDA-approved drugs capable of tuning aberrant

phase transitions across genetic knockout conditions. Combining a genome-wide

CRISPR KO screen with machine learning approaches, we identified key genes re-

quired to protect against distinct aberrant nuclear condensates. Notably, mutations in

several of these genes have been identified in patients with microcephaly, dystonia, and

related neurodevelopmental disorders. This highlights previously unappreciated com-

monalities in the cellular pathology underlying these conditions and suggests a potential

novel role of aberrant nuclear condensate formation in microcephaly. Thus, our study

advances a set of high-priority genetic candidates linking atypical phase transitions to

neurodevelopmental disorders and provides insight into potential therapeutic targets.

Exploiting the known proclivity of MLF2 toward immersing into aberrant conden-

sates,(*1*) we here establish MLF2 as a robust and disease-agnostic marker for aberrant

condensates to perform both pharmacological and genomic screening. Not only is MLF2

a primary biomarker for DYT1 dystonia-associated condensates, but we discovered

MLF2 is also recruited to stress granules associated with ALS/FTD (Figure 1B). Thus,

our high-content imaging platform and *CondenScreen* analysis pipeline can be readily

adapted to support a range of condensate screening campaigns, even when the af-

fected disease allele product is not itself a condensate constituent. This is exemplified

by TOR1A/B or ZNF335 KO conditions, which induce aberrant condensates as

indicated by MLF2 via indirect mechanisms. This represents a key strength, since con-

densates are typically studied using overexpression of fluorophore-derivatized disease

alleles, which can disrupt physiologically relevant equilibria. Moreover, the sensitivity of

MLF2 enables visualization of condensates from single torsin deletions that remain un-

detectable by conventional markers such as EM or K48-Ub staining.(*30*)

Inspired by recent condensate-modifying (c-mod) campaigns,(*36, 37, 77, 78*) we

screened drugs that could modulate condensates either directly or indirectly. Several of

our identified drug hits caused robust re-localization of both our MLF2-GFP biomarker

and endogenous (K48-Ub) nuclear condensate markers (Figures 2E, 2F), without signif-

icantly altering reporter expression. Additionally, we found that pyrithione zinc acts

through a divalent zinc-dependent mechanism (Figure S2A-S2F), further underscoring

previous research on the importance of tight intracellular zinc regulation in phase transi-

tions.(*79, 80*)

To determine the ability to repurpose our chemical hits for other condensato-

pathies, we compared our top 20 drug hits with two recent screens that identified modu-

lators of stress granules.(*36, 37*) A total of 50% of our drug hits (10 out of 20), including

PZ, celastrol, and disulfiram, were all identified between the top 100 hits of these stress

granule screens. Moreover, our hits penfluridol, thimerosal, and disulfiram were identi-

fied across all three screens.(*36, 37*) The ability of identical chemical matter modulating

disparate condensates in distinct disease phenotypes underscores the potential of

shared etiological principles across aberrant phase transitions.

Two major obstacles toward developing therapeutics for condensatopathies are

the lack of well-defined, druggable condensate targets and the incomplete understand-

ing of the mechanisms driving condensate formation. To address these limitations, we

performed a genome-wide CRISPR KO screen in WT HeLa cells expressing MLF2-GFP

to identify genes whose loss promotes nuclear condensate formation. Using a deep re-

sidual convolutional neural network to probe subtle changes to both nuclear and con-

densate morphology of the top genetic screen hits, we identified two distinct phenotypic

clusters around either the RNF26 KO or ZNF335 KO condition (Figure 4F). To distin-

guish these clusters, we performed downstream confocal and electron microscopy on

the RNF26 KO and ZNF335 KO conditions. Previous work has implicated RNF26 in ER

positioning, endosome retention, and Sec62-mediated recovERphagy.(*54, 55, 81*) While

condensates from both knockout conditions accumulated K48-Ub (Figure 5A), indicating

cellular protein quality control dysregulation, cells deficient in RNF26 demonstrated par-

ticularly strong condensate colocalization with nucleoporins (Figure 5B, 5C) and abnor-

mal nuclear envelope invaginations (Figures 5C, 5D). These results intersect with previ-

ous DYT1 dystonia research demonstrating that torsin deficiency promotes nuclear con-

densates that co-localize with nucleoporins,(*1, 21*) and abnormal nuclear envelope in-

vaginations.(*61*)

Depletion of ZNF335, a C2H2-type zinc finger and component of the trithorax

H3K4-methylation complex,(*47*) resulted in the most pronounced condensate pheno-

type, with the average number of condensate foci exceeding that found in either the

RNF26 or TOR1A KO conditions (Figure S3D). Mutations or aberrant splice variants of

ZNF335 results in severe microcephaly and, often, reduced life expectancy.(*47–52*)

Moreover, ZNF335 has been reported to regulate the REST/NRSF complex with its

knockdown inhibiting neuronal differentiation (*47*)

In addition to ZNF335, pathogenic allelic variants of 15 out of the top 50 gene

hits are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders according to human test results

from OMIM(*66*) and the NIH Genetic Testing Registry.(*67*) (Figure 6A). Strikingly, 7/15

of these genes (ZNF335 [MCPH10], CIT [MCPH17], NCAPD3 [MCPH22], CDK5,

SIN3A, SHH, and SPDL1) are linked to microcephaly, holoprosencephaly, or lissen-

cephaly (OMIM, GTR), along with additional findings implicating NCAPG2, NCAPH2,

and EP300 with microcephaly.(*47, 63, 82–85*) Our high-content screening and deep

learning approaches uncovered that knocking out either the established microcephaly

gene CASC5 (MCPH4),(*86*) or CNTROB, a putative microcephaly gene not yet associ-

ated with humans,(*65, 87*) produces nuclear condensates that are highly phenotypically

similar to those observed in ZNF335 KO cells. Given that whole-exome sequencing fails

to identify causal disease-causing mutations in more than 70% of microcephaly pa-

tients,(*88*) our curated list of MLF2 condensate-associated genes provides a valuable

resource for prioritizing candidates in future patient genetic studies.

Several of the microcephaly-associated gene hits identified in our screen are also

involved in chromosomal condensation, including several independently identified

subunits of the condensin II complex. Microcephaly has previously been labeled as a

"condensinopathy",(*63*) not to be confused with condensatopathies, reflecting prior work

that established a relationship between chromosomal condensation defects and re-

duced cerebral cortex size.(*63*) Our deep learning model uncovered a unusually similar

nuclear condensate phenotype between cells lacking ZNF335 and those deficient in all

non-SMC subunits of the condensin II complex, NCAPG2, NCAPH2, and NCAPD3.

Since nuclear MLF2-containing condensates accumulate upon disruption of four out of

five condensin II subunits (Figures 3B, 4G), it is reasonable to suggest a potential func-

tional link between MLF2 and the condensin II machinery. Interestingly, MLF2 was re-

cently suggested to act as a modulator of SWI/SNF (BAF) complex assembly.(*89*)

Therefore, our work may inspire future functional studies at the intersection of MLF2,

condensin biology, and phase separation.

While our study highlights several chemical and genetic modulators of disease-

linked condensates, opportunities exist for further refinement. Pyrithione zinc markedly

resolved the proteotoxic condensate cargo in torsin-deficient cells, while complete reso-

lution of the underlying condensates was not observed at the tested concentration (Fig-

ure S1H). Extended incubation times may result in condensate resolution, but increased

cytotoxicity limited this approach. Similarly, while our genetic screen uncovered an en-

richment of neurodevelopmentally-linked genes, highly redundant pathways, or those

strictly requiring a neuronal context, are likely to be underrepresented and we filtered

out conditions that resulted in severe cytotoxicity. Future large-scale screening of opti-

mized compound libraries and testing genetic redundancy through combinatorial pertur-

bations will likely identify additional condensate modulators. Finally, while we discov-

ered MLF2 as a hallmark of condensates across multiple disease contexts, including

nuclear envelope condensates in DYT1 dystonia,(*21*) cytoplasmic stress granules in

ALS and FTD, and nucleoplasmic condensates linked to microcephaly genes, further

studies are warranted to distinguish reversible from aggregated states and to determine

whether additional disease contexts involve condensates enriched for MLF2.In conclu-

sion, our study lays the foundation for exploring what cellular role biomolecular conden-

sates have in microcephaly or related neurodevelopmental disorders (Figure 6B). Fur-

thermore, we overcome the scarcity of generic phase-separation markers by

establishing MLF2 as a versatile and disease-agnostic condensate biomarker and re-

port several chemical modulators of aberrant phase transitions. This reinforces similar

chemical-modulator screening as a general strategy to identify therapeutic interventions

across condensatopathies. Our screen and analysis pipeline are readily adaptable to

explore diverse pathological phase transitions, including stress granules or other dis-

ease-relevant condensates. This study uncovers a connection between aberrant con-

densates and neurodevelopmental disorders, inspiring pharmacological strategies to

correct aberrant phase transitions.

A

Gene	Z-Score	Disorder	Gene	Z-Score	Disorder
RNF26	7.99	—	LGALS9C	3.29	—
WAC	6.31	Desanto-Shinawi Syndrome	NAPRT	3.27	—
ZNF335	5.46	Microcephaly	MAP3K1	3.25	46, XY sex reversal 6
NCAPG2	5.33	Khan-Khan-Katsanis Syndrome	C9orf114	3.23	Neurodevelopmental disorder with poor growth, seizures, and brain abnormalities
NCAPH2	4.88	Cardioencephalomyopathy, Myopia	ESPL1	3.21	
ECT2	4.58	—	CAPN5	3.18	Vitreoretinopathy, neovascular inflammatory
ASRGL1	4.22		TOR1B	3.18	—
SETD1B	4.16	Intellectual Developmental Disorder with Seizures and Language Delay	POLD4	3.16	—
ASB6	4.15		EP300	3.16	Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome 2, Menke-Hennekam Syndrome 2, Colorectal Cancer
FOXS1	4.08	—	PRPF40A	3.13	—
SIN3A	3.94	Witteveen-Kolk Syndrome (WITKOS)	CCDC157	3.13	—
BRSK2	3.93	Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Susceptibility*	ZBED8	3.11	—
EIF4A3	3.78	Robin Sequence with Cleft Mandible and Limb Anomalies	TMEM25	3.11	—
SPDL1	3.77	Severe Primary Microcephaly, Neonatal Death	GJA4	3.08	—
ZNF783	3.66	—	CNOT3	3.07	Intellectual developmental disorder with speech delay, autism, dysmorphic facies
MKI67	3.64	Long QT Syndrome	CD248	3.04	
SLC29A2	3.6	Bipolar disorder susceptibility*	ACOD1	3.02	Lymphatic Malformation
VEGFC	3.57	Lymphatic malformation	BTBD18	3.01	—
ACY3	3.51		CIT	2.99	Primary Microcephaly
CDK5	3.42	Isolated Microcephaly, Nonsyndromic Microcephaly, Lissencephaly	PHF23	2.99	—
NCAPD3	3.4	Primary Microcephaly	AK2	2.98	Reticular Dysgenesis
PTGES	3.35	—	TCEA2	2.98	—
C9orf129	3.32	—	C5orf60	2.95	—
TUBB4B	3.29	Leber Congenital Amaurosis with Early-Onset Deafness	SHH	2.94	Holoprosencephaly, Schizencephaly, Solitary Median Maxillary Central Incisor Syndrome, Microphthalmia
ACTL7A	3.29	Spermatogenic failure; breast cancer susceptibility*	LDLRAD1	2.93	—
Microcephaly/Congenital Brain Malformations			**Other Neurodevelopmental Disorders**		

B

Figure 6, *Poch et al.*

Fig. 6. Targeting aberrant condensates as a therapeutic approach for neurodevelopmental disorders. (**A**) CRISPR KO hits en-
riched for genes implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders. Top 50 candidate genes (left columns), their significance score (Z-Score,
middle columns), and associated conditions (right columns) are color-coded based on disorders. Rows labeled purple indicate an es-
tablished link to microcephaly/congenital brain malformations, with dark gray indicating additional neurodevelopmental disorders.
Genes denoted with an asterisk indicate evidence from genome-wide association studies. Gene relevance to disease was determined
based on allelic variants obtained from OMIM and GTR. (**B**) Chemical and genetic modulation of aberrant condensates in neurodevel-
opmental disorders. Genes involved in the etiology of primary microcephaly and encephalopathies were highly enriched in our screen.
Condensates can be modulated using small molecules or genetic perturbations. Targeting condensates could potentially lead to pre-
serving neuronal homeostasis. Arrows indicate possible intervention points and potential outcomes.

496 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

Figure S1, *Poch et al.*

Fig. S1. Validation of chemical hits from the Pharmakon 1760 screen. (**A**) Schematic of the computational *CondenScreen* Initial cell segmentation and foci detection uses custom *CellProfiler* script and while downstream statistical analysis is completed with *R*. (**B**) Calculated IC_{50} values of additional compounds with dose-dependent relationship (four-parameter-log-logistic). The black and red curves represent % effect and % toxicity, respectively, relative to the DMSO control. (**C**) Drug treatment decreases nuclear MLF2-GFP accumulation. Torsin-deficient cells expressing MLF2-GFP (8 h) were treated at four different concentrations (2x below IC_{50}, at IC_{50}, 2x above IC_{50}, and 8x above IC_{50}) for 3 h with either PZ, celastrol, plumbagin, or disulfiram. Nuclear MLF2-GFP foci were compared between treated and DMSO (orange boxplot) conditions. Each dot represents the number of MLF2-GFP foci for a single cell, while the horizontal lines indicate the median foci/condition. (**D**) Distribution of FACS results for 4TorKO MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato HeLa cell sorting. Cells within quadrant 2 were sorted. Quantification of the number of MLF2-GFP foci/cell (**E**) and tdTomato integrated intensity/cell (**F**). Cells (4TorKO) were treated with either only Dox (untreated) or Dox with a combination of DMSO, CHX, celastrol, or PZ. (**G**) Average CNS-value/cell across treatment conditions normalized to the DMSO control. Asterisks indicate Bonferroni-corrected p-values determined by Mann-Whitney U testing (**: $p \leq 0.01$; ****: $p \leq 0.0001$). (**H**) PZ modulates condensate cargo but lacks full nuclear envelope condensate resolution. TEM images of 4TorKO HeLa cells treated with either 0.1% DMSO or 2 µM PZ for 3 h. Insets show nuclear envelope condensates between the inner and outer nuclear envelopes. Scale bars at 500 nm; inset scale bars at 100 nm. Asterisk, putative nuclear pores; black arrowhead, outer nuclear envelope; white arrow, inner nuclear envelope; C, cytoplasm; N, nucleus.

Figure S2, *Poch et al.*

Fig. S2. Zinc is sufficient for modulating nuclear condensates in 4TorKO cells. (A) TPEN negates the effect of PZ treatment. Confocal images of 4TorKO cells expressing MLF2-GFP (green) and stained with Hoechst (nucleus, blue). Cells were treated with either DMSO, 6 µM TPEN, 2 µM PZ, or 6 µM TPEN + 2 µM PZ (3 h). **(B)** Barplot quantification of (A) showing the average number of MLF2-GFP foci per cell for each condition. **(C)** Zinc sulfate is sufficient to modulate nuclear condensates. Dox-induced 4TorKO were treated with either DMSO, 2 µM PZ, 500 µM ZnSO4, or 2 mM ZnSO4. Representative confocal images demonstrating distribution of MLF2-GFP, tdTomato, and DAPI are shown by the green, red, and blue channels, respectively. The corresponding barplot quantifications of each condition are shown representing **(D)** the average number of MLF2-GFP foci per cell **(E)** the average tdTomato integrated intensity per cell, and **(F)** the average CNS-score per condition. Scale bars, 5 µm. Asterisks indicate Bonferroni corrected p-values using a Mann-Whitney U test (*: p <= 0.05; **: p <= 0.01; ***: p <= 0.001; ****: p <= 0.0001).

Figure S3, *Poch et al.*

Fig. S3. Validation and enriched gene pathways of CRISPR KO hits that promote condensate formation. (A) Enrichment of pathways related to chromosomal and chromatid regulation. Upset plot depicting relationships between the top 30 GO-enriched biological process pathways. Horizontal bars (left) indicate number of genes for each GO pathway, with terms ranked by gene count. Vertical bars (top) indicate size of each intersecting gene set of genes sharing multiple GO terms. The dot matrix below indicates shared genes among the corresponding GO terms (black dots connected by black line); single dots represent GO terms with unique gene sets. Red dots highlight pathways related to chromosomal or chromatid-related activities, (**B**) Manual clustering of GO biological pathways from the genome-wide KO screen in WT HeLa. The top 30 enriched pathways for the 100 highest-scoring candidate genes were manually clustered within five categories. Percentage represents the fraction of GO pathways within each cluster. (**C**) Chord diagram mapping each gene to its specific GO terms. Each gene (outer labels, top) is linked to its corresponding GO terms (bottom labels), with colored ribbons denoting relationships. (**D**) Binned quantification of the MLF2-GFP foci count after CRISPR KO of select candidate genes. RNF26 KO, ZNF335 KO, and WAC KO HeLa cells were compared against NT control. Bars indicate percent of cells with 5-15 foci MLF2-GFP foci/cell (orange), 15-30 foci (violet), or >30 foci (dark purple).

Figure S4, *Poch et al.*

Fig. S4. Validation of deep learning model to detect subtle variations in condensate phenotypes. (A) Schematic workflow of the deep learning-based condensate phenotype analysis pipeline. The model was trained on raw images from the CRISPR screen, which were resized to single-cell patches and labeled. A residual convolutional neural network (ResNet18) was optimized to distinguish variations in condensate morphology. Centroid feature vectors from the top CRISPR hits (Z-score > 2) were extracted and mapped using UMAP and k-means clustering. (**B**) Training and validation loss curves based on Huber loss (nn.SmoothL1Loss). Loss of each epoch for both the training set (purple) and validation set (orange) are reported with a minimum loss (0.14) occurring on epoch 21. (**C**) Correlation scatter plot of validation set. Each dot represents the similarity score (-1 = RNF26 KO; 0 = NT Ctrl; +1 = ZNF335 KO) of a single cell from the validation set. The predicted value (y-axis) is compared against the true value (x-axis), with a Pearson correlation score of 0.73. (**D**) Elbow (scree) plot showing the within-cluster sum of squares across cluster numbers (k = 1-10). The inflection at k = 3 indicates the optimal number of clusters. (**E**) Silhouette plot confirming three clusters (k = 3) as an optimal number identified in (D). The average silhouette score (0.53) is indicated by the dashed vertical line.

Figure S5, *Poch et al.*

Fig. S5. Condensates resulting from CRISPR KO can be dissolved by 1,6-Hexanediol. (A) Fluorescent microscopy images of Cas9-integrated WT HeLa cells treated with sgRNAs against either NT control, TOR1A/B, RNF26, or ZNF335 (from left to right) for 72 h. Cells were then exposed to either DMSO (top), 5% 1,6-hexanediol (middle), or 5% 2,5-hexanediol (bottom) for 5 min. WT cells with ZNF335, RNF26, or TOR1A/TOR1B knocked out demonstrated dissolution of condensates marked by MLF2-GFP (green) only after exposure to 5% 1,6-hexanediol (middle), but not DMSO (top) or 5% 2,5-hexanediol (bottom) controls.

Figure S6, *Poch et al.*

Fig. S6. Pyrithione zinc modulates aberrant nuclear condensates across genetic perturbations. Cas9-integrated WT HeLa cells treated with sgRNAs against either NT control, TOR1A/B, RNF26, or ZNF335 (from left to right) for 72 h. Cells were then induced with MLF2-GFP (6 h Dox) and treated with either 0.1% DMSO (top panel) or 2 µM PZ (bottom panel) for 3 h. Representative confocal images show MLF2-GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 5 µm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue culture

Adherent cultured cell lines (HeLa and HEK293T) were maintained in Dulbecco's modi-

fied Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated fetal bo-

vine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 100 units (U) /mL penicillin-streptomycin

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were maintained in either T75 flasks or 10 cm dishes at

37℃ (95% humidity; 5% CO_2) and passaged every third day. For splitting, the culture

medium was removed, washed once with 1xPBS (Gibco), trypsinized with 0.25% tryp-

sin-EDTA (Gibco), and transferred to new dishes (diluted 1:5 or 1:10).

Plasmid Constructs

Unless otherwise stated, all plasmid constructs were subcloned into a pcDNA™3.1 (+)

Mammalian Expression Vector (Invitrogen, V79020) using standard Gibson Assembly

protocols. The cDNA for the MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato construct (MLF2 GenBank ac-

cession no. BC000898) was subcloned into the pRetroX-Tight-Pur-GOI (gene of inter-

est) vector (Takara Bio) and co-transfected with a pRetroX-Tet-On advanced inducible

expression construct (Takara Bio). Viral constructs were co-transfected with both a

VSV-G viral envelope plasmid (Addgene #12259) and an MMLV gag/pol viral packaging

plasmid.For generation of HeLa cells expressing Cas9-mCherry, an mCherry tag was

inserted into the lentiviral plasmid containing Cas9-Flag (Addgene plasmid #52962) im-

mediately after the Flag tag into the BamHI cloning site.

Viral Production

Lentivirus production was carried out as previously described.(*90*, *91*) Briefly, to gener-

ate Cas9-flag lentivirus, low-passage HEK293T cells were plated in a 10 cm dish with a

density of $3.5\text{x}10^6$ cells per dish. Medium was replaced the next day with 6 mL fresh

(antibiotic-free) DMEM and transfected with three plasmids encoding: psPAX2

(Addgene# 12260) (2 µg), viral envelope protein VSV-G (1 µg), and lenti-Cas9-Flag-

mCherry (6 µg) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 3:1 ratio of

DNA to Lipofectamine. After 72 h, supernatants containing the virus encoding with

Cas9-mCherry were harvested and filtered through a 0.45 µm Steriflip filter unit

(MilliporeSigma), aliquoted into screw-cap tubes, and stored at -80°C or used for trans-

duction immediately. For the Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato system, two sep-

arate retroviruses were produced using three plasmids encoding: MMLV gag/pol (2 µg),

viral envelope protein VSV-G (1 µg), and either pRetroX-Tight-MLF2-GFP-P2A-

tdTomato or pRetroX-Tet-On (6 µg) using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

at a 2:1.5 ratio supplemented with Lipofectamine P3000 (2.5:1). After 72 h, superna-

tants were collected, stored, or used, as described.

Generation of stable HeLa cell lines

The detailed protocol regarding the Tor1A/1B/2A/3A KO and integration of a Dox-induci-

ble MLF2-GFP reporter in HeLa cells has been extensively described by our lab

(Laudermilch et al. 2016; Rampello et al. 2020).(*21*, *30*) The Cas9-flag-mCherry HeLa

and Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato 4TorKO HeLa cell lines were generated by

seeding Dox-inducible MLF2-GFP WT HeLa or 4TorKO cells in six-well plates. The next

day, media was replaced with DMEM (antibiotic-free) supplemented with 4 µg/mL

polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 µl of either the Cas9-Flag-mCherry virus (WT HeLa)

or both 100 µL of the MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato and pRetro-Tet-On viruses (4TorKO)

added dropwise to wells. Medium was replaced 48 hours after transduction (complete

DMEM) and grown for another 24 hours. Cells were collected in sorting buffer (ice-cold

1xPBS, Gibco) and filtered through a 40 µm nylon mesh filter. Using a BD FACS Aria III,

cells were sorted as single cells by selecting those high in either mCherry (WT HeLa) or

MLF2-GFP/tdTomato (4TorKO, 16 h after 0.5ug/mL Dox induction) fluorescence inten-

sity. A stringent gating strategy was applied to enrich for cells positive for the respective

fluorophores while excluding those with extremely high signal intensity, to obtain a pure

yet physiologically representative population.

High-content phenotypic screening strategy

All high-content screening was performed at Yale's Center for Molecular Discovery

(YCMD). The Horizon Discovery Edit-R synthetic sgRNA library was used in the whole

genome CRISPR KO screen, targeting 19,195 human genes, and comprising of the

Drug Targets library (3,683 genes, GA-004650-E2, Lot 20126), the Druggable Genome

library (4,643 genes, GA-004670-E2, Lot 20120), and the Human Genome library

(10,869 genes, GA-006500-E2, Lot 20130). Each screening plate included 16 replicates

of the negative control (NT sgRNA) and 16 replicates of the positive control (combined

Tor1A and Tor1B sgRNAs). To ensure robust KO, a pool of three sgRNAs targeting the

same gene at different regions was pre-plated into each arrayed well of 384-well glass-

bottom plates (Cellvis, #384-1.5H-N). Addition of sgRNA to screening plates was done

using the Labcyte Echo 550 Acoustic Dispenser (Labcyte, now Beckman) at a final con-

centration of 50 nM.

Reverse transfection was performed using DharmaFECT1 Transfection Reagent

(Horizon/Dharmacon), which was diluted at 1:500 in Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scien-

tific) and mixed with sgRNA. The mixture of sgRNA and transfection reagent was incu-

bated for 30 minutes, following which, 2,000 cells/well (WT HeLa integrated with

Cas9/MLF2-GFP) in antibiotic-free DMEM were added using a Thermo MultiDrop

Combi (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The plates were then incubated for 72 hours at 37°C.

To induce MLF2-GFP reporter expression, 0.5 µg/mL Dox was added to each well 16 h

before fixation. Media was removed and cells washed once with PBS and fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 minutes. Cells were washed twice with PBS, permea-

bilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 minutes, and washed again with PBS. Cells were

stained with Hoechst 33342 dye (1:1000) (Invitrogen, H1399) and HCS CellMask Deep

Red Stain (Invitrogen, H32721) (1:5000 of 10 mg/mL, Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted

in PBS for 30 minutes, and washed a final time in PBS. The Molecular Devices ImageX-

press 4.0 high-throughput fluorescent microscope (40x magnification) was used to ob-

tain 9-image sets (each consisting of DAPI, MLF2-GFP, and Deep Red CellMask im-

ages) per well, resulting in 10,368 TIFF images/plate. Images were uploaded to Yale's

McCleary high-performance computing cluster (Yale Center for Research Computing)

for analysis.

For chemical screening, the Pharmakon 1760 drug library (MicroSource Discov-

ery Systems, Inc.) was used, consisting of 1360 FDA-approved drugs and 400 Interna-

tional drugs on six 384-well plates. Each screening plate consisted of 16 replicates of

the negative control (4TorKO HeLa cells treated with DMSO [0.1% v/v]) and 16 repli-

cates of the positive control (WT HeLa cells treated with DMSO [0.1% v/v]). Plates were

seeded with 4,000 HeLa cells/well (Multidrop Combi) in 20 μL of DMEM before incubat-

ing at 37°C for 24 h. MLF2-GFP expression was induced by adding 0.5 μg/mL of Dox

into each well 8 h prior to fixation. Compounds were resuspended in DMSO and added

to each well 2 h prior to fixation using a Labcyte Echo 550 Acoustic Dispenser (Labcyte,

now Beckman), at a final concentration of 10 μM. Cells were washed, fixed, stained,

and imaged using the methods outlined above.

Image segmentation of phenotypic screen data

We created a custom analysis pipeline to segment condensate foci into single cells us-

ing the open-source software *CellProfiler 4.2.6.*(*40*, *41*) and downstream statistical anal-

ysis using *R Studio* (Version 2023.12.1+402).(*92*) Individual nuclei were distinguished

by shape for objects between 30-100 (+4) pixels using an adaptive (40 pixels) Minimum

Cross-Entropy thresholding (0.01-1.0 threshold) in *CellProfiler 4*. The cytoplasm of each

nucleus was delineated as a secondary object of each nucleus using a watershed algo-

rithm with global Otsu thresholding (three classes, foreground; 0-1.0 threshold, thresh-

old correction factor = 0.7).(*41*, *93*) Each GFP-channel image was enhanced using a

white top-hat transform (speckles; maximum 10 pixels), allowing each condensate foci

between 1-10 pixels to be detected using adaptive (50 pixels) Otsu thresholding (three

classes, foreground; 0.0175-1.0 threshold, threshold correction factor = 1.2). Groups of

condensate foci were then distinguished using local intensity and related to their corre-

sponding cytoplasm/nuclei. The same image analysis pipeline was applied across all

imaging experiments, with only minor adjustments (e.g., cell size or foci intensity thresh-

olds). Any parameter changes were applied uniformly across all conditions and controls

within a given experiment.

For parallel analysis, a Bash script was developed allowing the execution of mul-

tiple headless CellProfiler instances on a SLURM-managed compute cluster. Specifi-

cally, after constructing the analysis pipeline in the CellProfiler GUI, the CreateBatch-

Files module is used to generate a batch configuration file that defines image metadata

and processing instructions. This batch file is then imported to the cluster environment,

and all images are registered within a single CellProfiler project in array format, with im-

ages from each plate corresponding to a separate SLURM job. This approach enables

autonomous analysis of over 1 million high-content images.TIFF images—amounting to

multiple terabytes of data—within a matter of hours. Both the CellProfiler pipeline and

Bash scripts are open source and available publicly on the SchliekerLab GitHub page

as part of the CondenScreen pipeline (https://github.com/SchliekerLab/Condenscreen-

v1.1.git).

Statistical analysis of screen data

We developed a condensate screening using a combined *CellProfiler* and *R* pipeline,

CondenScreen, to statistically analyze all screen data. The *R*-script features an interac-

tive GUI allowing the user to select plate size (6-well to 384-well format), plate layout,

and input whether the data was taken from a signal "ON" or a signal "OFF" screen. With

limited user input, it then automatically iterates through images from each plate and as-

signs groups of image sets into their corresponding wells using string parsing. To con-

trol for variations in transfection efficiencies that could inadvertently impact downstream

scoring, the program has an optional filter to select only the top 25% of cells showing

the highest (or lowest) signal using the modest assumption that at least 1 out of 4 cells,

on average, will have received the sgRNAs of interest. Additionally, for both screens,

the image with the highest score was filtered out, as there were several conditions

where one image-set had screening aberrations skewing the condition toward a false

positive result. For each plate, aggregate statistics of the respective positive and nega-

tive controls are compared, including signal-to-background (SB), standard deviation

(SD) of the controls, and the coefficient of variation (CV) of the controls, among others.

To determine separation between positive and negative controls, a Z' assay quality

score was calculated: $Z' = 1 - (3(\sigma_{PC} + \sigma_{NC})/|\mu_{PC} - \mu_{NC}|)$,(*42*) where σ_{PC} and σ_{NC} is

the SD and μ_{PC} and μ_{NC} is the condensate mean of the positive and negative controls,

respectively.

The percent effect of each condition was quantified by either $E\% = \left(\frac{\mu - \overline{x}}{\mu}\right) \cdot 100$

(for signal "OFF" selection) or $E\% = \left(\frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{\mu}\right) \cdot 100$ (for signal "ON" selection) where $\overline{x}$ is

the is the average number of condensate foci/cell per tested condition, and μ is the av-

erage number of condensate foci/cell across the screening population of the plate. The

significance scoring function is automatically changed depending on selection of a sig-

nal "ON" vs a signal "OFF" screen, although this can be adjusted.

For signal "ON" screens, the significance of each condition was calculated using

the Z-Score to normalize for intraplate variations: $Z = (E\% - \mu_{E\%})/\sigma_{E\%}$, where $\mu_{E\%}$ and

$\sigma_{E\%}$ represent the population average percent effect and standard deviation, respec-

tively. Since signal "OFF" screens can often have increased variability, the significance

of each well was quantified by calculating the BZ-Score. First, B-Scores were computed

by applying Tukey's median polish to each plate to remove row, column, and edge ef-

fects. The residuals from the median polish were then scaled by the median absolute

deviation (MAD) to produce a robust per-well B-score. Then, the BZ-Score was calcu-

lated using the following formula: $BZ = (BScore - \mu_{BScore})/\sigma_{BScore}$, where μ_{BScore} and

σ_{BScore} are the mean and standard deviation of the B-Scores over the entire plate.

To determine how chemical or genetic perturbations alter cell viability, we derived

a function to extrapolate differential cellular health by morphological characterization of

the nucleus. Specifically, we added several modules to *CellProfiler* to measure the size,

shape, intensity, and texture of each nucleus.(*40*) This is crucial as several compound

concentrations had a non-significant effect in changing the total cell count, but greatly

disrupted nuclear morphology based on visual inspection. After testing the effects of

multiple parameters, we derived the following empirical formula which best represented

the data to estimate nuclear health: $NucHealth = \Delta_{CC} + ((100 + \Delta_{CC})/100) \cdot$

$\sum(\Delta_{Area} + \Delta_{FF})/2$, where Δ_{CC}, Δ_{Area}, and Δ_{FF} are the normalized difference in cell

count, nuclear area, and nuclear FormFactor (measurement of nuclear irregularity) com-

pared to the screening population (or DMSO for dose-response), respectively. Normali-

zation was calculated according to: $\Delta_v = ((V - \mu_V)/\mu_V) \cdot 100$, in which *V* is the variable

of interest. The equation was derived with the following assumptions and limitations. (1)

Changes to the area and FormFactor should be weighted equally, and (2) cell death

should be given a higher impact weight over morphological changes.

CondenScreen provides additional downstream inter-plate and intra-plate visuali-

zations using open-source libraries, including *ggplot2* and *ggplate*. The output includes

a ranked list of hits, plots of the screening distributions (raw condensate foci/cell and

%effect), a Z/BZ-score plot, and individual plate heatmaps for each 384-well plate.

Finally, top hits in both screens were inspected manually to ensure quality control and

rule out any additional potential screening aberrations. Notably, manual inspection was

rarely a reliable measure of hit robustness. In several cases, hits that were initially over-

looked during first-pass screening (false negatives) were later validated and correctly

identified by the program. The *CondenScreen* platform is publicly available on the

SchliekerLab GitHub page: https://github.com/SchliekerLab/Condenscreen-v1.1.git

Development of phenotypic machine learning model to distinguish condensate

We developed a supervised machine learning model to differentiate between subtle

phenotypic differences in nuclear condensates. The model was built around the Res-

Net18 architecture(*68*) with pretrained initial starting weights borrowed from ImageNet1k

IMAGENET1K_V1(*69*) using torchvision(*94*) on Python 3.11.8 (conda-forge). Using im-

ages directly from the CRISPR KO screen, 4,523 single cells were extracted, cropped

(100x100) and resized (224x224) before centering (DAPI-channel) each cell. Single

cells were labeled in accordance with their file names, with NT Ctrl cells = 0, RNF26 KO

cells = -1, and ZNF335 KO cells = +1. To ensure even sampling numbers across condi-

tions, cells from the overrepresented NT Ctrl conditioned were downsampled to match

KO conditions, with only the MLF2-GFP channel selected for analysis. Data were split

80:20 into train and validation sets (sklearn.model_selection: train_test_split)(*95*). Ten-

sors were packaged (torch.utils.data) before employing the following parameters during

model training (PyTorch(*96*)): AdamW (lr = 1e-4, weight decay = 5e-2), dropout = 0.5,

hidden dimension = 64, SmoothL1Loss, CosineAnnealingLR, 100 max epochs, and

early stopping (patience = 25). Model performance was evaluated using several mod-

ules from sklearn.metrics and scipy.stats,(*95, 97*) including: mean_absolute_error();

r2_score(); pearsonr(); accuracy_score(); confusion_matrix(); roc_curve();

roc_auc_score(); and ConfusionMatrixDisplay().

After optimization, all CRISPR KO gene hits with a Z-Score > 2 were cropped,

resized, and centered before randomly downsampled to 500 cells per condition (ac-

counting for differences in cell viability). Cells were then analyzed using the optimized

ResNet18 model and regression scores trained to align with condition labels (–1, 0, +1)

along with latent representation embeddings (512-dimensional latent embeddings per

cell, e.g., condensate morphology, size, intensity, etc.) were extracted in separate ar-

rays and feature vectors, respectively. Relative centroid vector scores were computed

based on the cosine similarity to each anchored centroid (RNF26/NT Ctrl/ZNF335) for

each condition and exported to an .xlsx file (see Table S3).

For visualization, dimensionality reduction was performed with UMAP (n_neigh-

bors = 15, min_dist = 0.1). The optimal cluster number was selected using the elbow

method (KMeans, k = 1–10) and silhouette analysis (sklearn.metrics: silhouette_sam-

ples | silhouette_score), yielding three distinct clusters. Embeddings were reduced to

two dimensions using UMAP (n_neighbors = 15, min_dist = 0.1, cosine metric), and

KMeans clustering with *k* = 3 was applied on the UMAP coordinates to assign genes to

discrete clusters. Finally, a hierarchical heatmap was constructed using pandas, sea-

born.clustermap, and matplotlib.pyplot. For a full list of modules imported and model

training parameters, please refer to our source script: https://github.com/Schliek-

erLab/Condenscreen-v1.1.git

Solubilization of hit compounds

All putative hit compounds were resuspended up to 100 mM in DMSO. The following

compounds were solubilized: pyrithione zinc (PZ) (CAS 13463-41-7), celastrol (CAS

34157-83-0), nerol (CAS 106-25-2), spiramycin (CAS 8025-81-8), choline chloride (CAS

67-48-1), disulfiram (CAS 97-77-8), bronopol (CAS 52-51-7), penfluridol (CAS 26864-

56-2), plumbagin (CAS 481-42-5), dyphylline (CAS 479-18-5), econazole nitrate (CAS

24169-02-6), ethacrynic acid (CAS 58-54-8), piroctone olamine (CAS 68890-66-4), dihy-

drocelastryl (CAS 80-97-7), fenipentol (CAS 583-03-9), triclosan (CAS 3380-34-5),

eprodisate disodium (CAS 36589-58-9), L-phenylalanine (CAS 63-91-2), nabumetone

(CAS 42924-53-8), edrophonium chloride (CAS 116-38-1), josamycin (CAS 16846-24-

5), mevastatin (CAS 73573-88-3), clofibric acid (CAS 882-09-7), docosahexaenoic acid

(CAS 6217-54-5).

Dose-dependent validation of small molecules

Based on the change in MLF2-GFP foci, viability, and structural diversity, the 22 top-

scoring putative hit compounds were resuspended to 100 mM in DMSO. Using

analogous methods described in the "High-content screening strategy" section, a 12-

point dose response was constructed with concentrations ranging from < 100 nM to 100

µM of each compound, with a final working DMSO concentration of 0.1%. All com-

pounds were tested using four replicates, and 12-positive (WT HeLa) and 12-negative

(DMSO) controls were included on each screening plate to ensure assay robustness.

MLF2-GFP was induced with 0.5 µg/mL of Dox 8 h before fixation, and compounds

were added 3 h prior to fixation. Cells were washed, fixed, stained, and imaged as de-

scribed above. All dose-response images were analyzed using an analogous *CellPro-*

filer + *R*-script pipeline.

The effect of each concentration was interpolated (*R*, *drc* & *ggplot*) using a four-

parameter log-logistic function for each compound: $f(x) = \min + (\max - \min)/(1 +$

$e^{h(\log(x) - \log(IC_{50}))})$, where x is the concentration, min represents the lower asymptote,

max represents the higher asymptote, IC_{50} is the concentration at which response is

50% of the way between min and max, and h is the hill coefficient.(*98, 99*) The percent

toxicity was calculated by fitting the additive inverse of the nuclear health function val-

ues to the same model.

Pathway enrichment analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis was used for the top 100 CRISPR gene

hits.(*59*) The gene/chemical hits were separately analyzed in *R* to determine enriched

biological process pathways using the enrichGO function (*clusterProfiler*).(*100*) En-

riched pathways were compared against an annotation database (org.Hs.eg.db). The

top 30 pathways were then plotted using the pheatmap function and additionally plotted

to an interactive .html graphic using heatmaply.(*101*) Pathways were grouped by hierar-

chical clustering using Euclidean distance with complete linkage. To explore the interac-

tive heatmap, please visit the Schlieker Lab GitHub page and download the correspond-

ing .html files under the "Interactive Figures" folder.

Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy:

HeLa cells were grown on 13 mm round coverslips and fixed with 4% (v/v) paraformal-

dehyde (Thermo Fisher Scientific), washed with 1x PBS (Gibco), permeabilized with

0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 minutes, and blocked with a PBS mixture

containing 2% (w/v) BSA and 2% (w/v) glycine. Primary antibodies were diluted in

blocking buffer and added dropwise to coverslips for a one-hour incubation. After wash-

ing 3x with blocking buffer, fluorescent secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer

were added for an additional hour, followed by a 1x PBS wash. Coverslips were then

stained using a 1:2000 dilution of Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies). Cells were then

washed 3x with PBS before mounting onto slides (Fluoromount-G, Southern Biotech).

Primary antibodies were diluted 1:500: anti-HA produced in rat (11867423001, Roche),

anti-K48 produced in rabbit (ZRB2150, Millipore Sigma), and anti-Mab414 produced in

mouse. Secondary antibodies were conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Life Technologies).

Epifluorescence images were captured using a Zeiss AXIO Observer Z1 inverted

fluorescence microscope using a ×63/1.40 oil objective. All confocal images were ac-

quired at Yale's Imaging Facility on Science Hill using a Zeiss LSM 880 laser scanning

confocal microscope with a C Plan-Apochromat ×63/1.40 oil objective. Images were an-

alyzed with *CondenScreen* and compiled for publication using Zeiss v3.4 and Image J

(Fiji) software.

Validation of hit compounds

Based on the dose-response results, we focused validation efforts on four compounds:

PZ (IC_{50} = 601.6 nM), celastrol (IC_{50} = 124.3 nM), disulfiram (IC_{50} = 10.8 μM), and plum-

bagin (IC_{50} = 7.41 μM). To determine the optimal concentrations using a tighter set of

concentrations, MLF2-GFP expression was induced in 4TorKO HeLa cells (0.5 μg/mL

Dox, 8 h) and treated with either DMSO or one of four different concentrations/com-

pounds: 2x below the IC_{50}, IC_{50}, 2x above the IC_{50}, or 8x above the IC_{50}. Compounds

were added 3 h before fixation. For each condition, images (n > 50 cells/condition) were

captured on a Zeiss AXIO Observer Z1 inverted fluorescence microscope and analyzed

using our *CellProfiler* pipeline. *R Studio* was used to cluster MLF2-GFP foci into single

cells grouped by treatment condition and construct jittered boxplots. To determine the

effect of compounds on K48-ubiquitinylated proteins accumulation within condensates,

we incubated cells with compounds for 3h (PZ, 3 μM; celastrol, 3 μM; plumbagin, 15

μM; and disulfiram, 50 μM), followed by immunofluorescent labeling with α-K48 primary

antibody (1:500). Images were captured and analyzed as described above. High-resolu-

tion representative images were acquired using confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 880).

To determine differential protein expression, we constructed a 4TorKO HeLa cell

line with a Dox inducible MLF2-GFP-P2A-tdTomato marker, where tdTomato serves as

a diffuse secondary reporter for global protein synthesis. Cells were induced with 0.5

µg/mL Dox and treated with their respective compound for 6 h before fixation. Imaging

was carried out on the Zeiss AXIO and the Zeiss LSM 880 for quantification and high-

resolution, respectively. The integrated intensity of both GFP and tdTomato signal was

quantified (n > 50) with *CellProfiler* and *R*. To ascertain the relative influence of global

protein expression inhibition on the reduced number of MLF2-GFP foci, we derived the

following empirical equation to determine the condensate normalization score ($CNS =$

$(1 - (\overline{F}_x/\overline{F}_{DMSO})) \cdot (\overline{II}_x/\overline{II}_{DMSO})^2$, where $\overline{F}$ and $\overline{II}$ are the average number of NE con-

densate foci and the average integrated intensity of the secondary marker, respectively,

for either the tested condition (x) or the DMSO control. The scoring system is designed

to prioritize compounds that robustly reduce condensate foci without affecting tdTomato

reporter expression and penalizes those that have little effect on foci or substantially

suppress reporter expression. It penalizes modulators that have either little effect on foci

or those that substantially suppress reporter expression. The weighting is particularly

sensitive to changes in tdTomato intensity due to the squared exponent, thereby

strongly penalizing compounds which impair protein expression. A low CNS-score sug-

gests non-specific or off-target activities, such as decreased transcription, translation, or

increased biomarker turnover.

Guide RNA-mediated KO experiments:

Each gene KO consisted of a pool of 3 distinct, synthetic sgRNAs, each targeting a dif-

ferent portion of the same gene (Horizon Discovery). Gene knock-out was conducted

using either DharmaFECT or DharmaFECT Duo Transfection Reagent (Horizon discov-

ery) using Tor1A KO + Tor1B KO as a positive control and NT sgRNAs as a negative

control (Horizon discovery). The sgRNAs were transfected into stably-integrated Cas9

expressing HeLa cells and incubated for 72 h with a final sgRNA concentration of 50

nM. The cells were treated with 0.5 µg/mL Dox to induce the MLF2-GFP expression 6 h

before fixation.

The pooled sgRNA sequences used were: ZNF335 sgRNAs (SG-016173, Hori-

zon Discovery), GATGATGGTGGCGCCGCCCA, GTGCCAGGGTGATCTGCGGT, AC-

CAAATGGACCAGGTGCCA; RNF26 sgRNAs (SG-007060, Horizon Discovery),

TATCATGGAGGCAGTGTACC, GCATGACATCCTCTCGAAGA, CAGTGTACCTGG-

TAGTGAAT; TOR1A sgRNAs (SG-011023, Horizon Discovery)

TATGAACATGGCTTTCTGGT, TATGAAGATGGACCTCGCAC, AGTG-

CAATGTGGCCACAAAC; and TOR1B sgRNAs (SG-014203-01, Horizon Discovery),

AGTGCAGAGTCGATACAAAC, CAAGAGCCGTCTTAGTTATA, TTGGCGGGCCG-

GAAGAAAGA.

Sodium arsenite and 1,6-hexanediol treatment

To determine the co-localization of MLF2 into stress granules, Lipofectamine 2000 was

used to co-transfect MLF2 with either G3BP1-GFP (Addgene #135997) + MLF2-HA,

TDP-43-tdTomato-HA (Addgene #28205) + MLF2-GFP-Flag (Addgene #230986), or

pcDNA3.1 empty vector control. Next day (16 h post-transfection), HeLa cells were

treated with $NaAsO_2$ (0.5 mM) and incubated for 30 min. Cells were fixed for 20 min with

PFA, stained, and imaged as outlined. For hexanediol treatment, cells were incubated in

complete DMEM medium containing 5% (v/v) 1,6-hexanediol (CAS 629-11-8) or 5%

(v/v) 2,5-hexanediol (CAS 2935-44-6) for 5 min before fixation and immunofluorescence

staining as described.

Electron Microscopy:

Electron microscopy was performed at the Center for Cellular and Molecular Imaging,

Yale School of Medicine, using our previously described workflow (Laudermilch et al.,

2016).(*30*) Briefly, cells were fixed for 1 h in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium caco-

dylate buffer, pH 7.4. Cells were rinsed and scraped in 1% gelatin and centrifuged in a

2% agar solution. Chilled cell blocks were processed with osmium and thiocarbohydra-

zide-osmium ligand as described (West et al., 2010), and samples were embedded in

Durcupan ACM resin (Electron Microscopy Science). Polymerization was performed by

incubating samples at 60°C overnight. These blocks were cut into 60 nm sections with a

Leica UltraCut UC7 and stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate on Formvar/car-

bon-coated grids. Samples were visualized with an FEI Tecnai Biotwin TEM at 80 kV,

and pictures were taken with Morada CCD and iTEM (Olympus) software.

Statistical Analysis:

Genetic and chemical hits were ranked based on their Z-Score or BZ-Score, respec-

tively, as described in detail within the *Statistical analysis of screen data* section. GO

pathways were ranked using a p-value cutoff of 0.05, after controlling for false discovery

rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure. The significance of all chemical and

genetic validation experiments was assessed using a Bonferroni multiple comparisons

corrected Mann–Whitney U test with > 50 cells per condition individually analyzed. Un-

less otherwise stated, asterisks indicate Bonferroni corrected p-values using a Mann-

Whitney U test where *: $p <= 0.05$; **: $p <= 0.01$; ***: $p <= 0.001$; and ****: $p <= 0.0001$.

AWKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding:

This work was funded by the DOD PR200788 (CS) awarded by the U.S. Department of

Defense, Department of the Army, along with support from the Dystonia Medical Re-

search Foundation. Additionally, this work was supported by the NIH T32 GM145469

(DP), the European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO) ALTF 910-2022 (EFFK), a

Bachmann-Strauss Dystonia Fellowship (SM), and the Dutch Research Council (NWO)

019.222EN.007 (EFEK) This work utilized ImageXpress Micro 4 high content imager

that was purchased with funding from a National Institutes of Health SIG grant

1S10OD032384. We thank Morven Graham and the Center for Cellular and Molecular

Imaging (CCMI) Electron Microscopy Facility for the collection of TEM images and

Shawn Ferguson for sharing stress granule marker reagents. The authors thank Robert

Niescier, Anthony J Koleske, and Matthew Simon for their expert advice and feedback.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to pub-

lish or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: DP, CM, EFEK, YVS, CS
Methodology: DP, CM, SM, EFEK, VT, ND
Investigation: DP, CM, SM, EFEK, VT, ND, CS
Computational Pipeline: DP, VT
Machine Learning: DP
Visualization: DP
Supervision: CS
Writing—original draft: DP
Writing—review & editing: DP, CS, EFEK, CM, SM, ND, YVS, CS

Competing interests:

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability:

All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials. The computational *CondenScreen* pipeline and machine learning source code are available on the Schlieker Lab GitHub page: https://github.com/SchliekerLab/Condenscreen-v1.1.git. At the time of publication, all raw screening images will be uploaded to the EMBL-EBI BioImage Archive: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/bioimage-archive/.

REFERENCES

1. S. M. Prophet, A. J. Rampello, R. F. Niescier, J. E. Gentile, S. Mallik, A. J. Koleske, C. Schlieker, Atypical nuclear envelope condensates linked to neurological disorders reveal nucleoporin-directed chaperone activities. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **24**, 1630–1641 (2022).

2. I. R. Mackenzie, A. M. Nicholson, M. Sarkar, J. Messing, M. D. Purice, C. Pottier, K. Annu, M. Baker, R. B. Perkerson, A. Kurti, B. J. Matchett, T. Mittag, J. Temirov, G.-Y. R. Hsiung, C. Krieger, M. E. Murray, M. Kato, J. D. Fryer, L. Petrucelli, L. Zinman, S. Weintraub, M. Mesulam, J. Keith, S. A. Zivkovic, V. Hirsch-Reinshagen, R. P. Roos, S. Züchner, N. R. Graff-Radford, R. C. Petersen, R. J. Caselli, Z. K. Wszolek, E. Finger, C. Lippa, D. Lacomis, H. Stewart, D. W. Dickson, H. J. Kim, E. Rogaeva, E. Bigio, K. B. Boylan, J. P. Taylor, R. Rademakers, TIA1 Mutations in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Dementia Promote Phase Separation and Alter Stress Granule Dynamics. *Neuron* **95**, 808-816.e9 (2017).

3. S. Alberti, D. Dormann, Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Disease. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **53**, 171–194 (2019).

4. N. Kedersha, G. Stoecklin, M. Ayodele, P. Yacono, J. Lykke-Andersen, M. J. Fritzler, D. Scheuner, R. J. Kaufman, D. E. Golan, P. Anderson, Stress granules and processing bodies are dynamically linked sites of mRNP remodeling. *J. Cell Biol.* **169**, 871–884 (2005).

5. B. Portz, B. L. Lee, J. Shorter, FUS and TDP-43 Phases in Health and Disease. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **46**, 550–563 (2021).

6. S. Alberti, A. Gladfelter, T. Mittag, Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell* **176**, 419–434 (2019).

7. T. Mittag, R. V. Pappu, A conceptual framework for understanding phase separation and addressing open questions and challenges. *Mol. Cell* **82**, 2201–2214 (2022).

8. C. P. Brangwynne, C. R. Eckmann, D. S. Courson, A. Rybarska, C. Hoege, J. Gharakhani, F. Jülicher, A. A. Hyman, Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science* **324**, 1729–1732 (2009).

9. H. B. Schmidt, D. Görlich, Transport Selectivity of Nuclear Pores, Phase Separation, and Membraneless Organelles. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **41**, 46–61 (2016).

10. P. Li, S. Banjade, H.-C. Cheng, S. Kim, B. Chen, L. Guo, M. Llaguno, J. V. Hollingsworth, D. S. King, S. F. Banani, P. S. Russo, Q.-X. Jiang, B. T. Nixon, M. K. Rosen, Phase transitions in the assembly of multivalent signalling proteins. *Nature* **483**, 336–340 (2012).

11. B. Wolozin, P. Ivanov, Stress granules and neurodegeneration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **20**, 649–666 (2019).

12. S. Alberti, A. A. Hyman, Biomolecular condensates at the nexus of cellular stress, protein aggregation disease and ageing. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **22**, 196–213 (2021).

13. X. Yan, D. Kuster, P. Mohanty, J. Nijssen, K. Pombo-García, J. G. Morato, A. Rizuan, T. M. Franzmann, A. Sergeeva, A. M. Ly, F. Liu, P. M. Passos, L. George, S.-H. Wang, J. Shenoy, H. L. Danielson, B. Ozguney, A. Honigmann, Y. M. Ayala, N. L. Fawzi, D. W. Dickson, W. Rossoll, J. Mittal, S. Alberti, A. A. Hyman, Intra-condensate demixing of TDP-43 inside stress granules generates pathological aggregates. *Cell* **188** (2025).

14. M. Vendruscolo, M. Fuxreiter, Protein condensation diseases: therapeutic opportunities. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5550 (2022).

15. S. C. Ng, D. Görlich, A simple thermodynamic description of phase separation of Nup98 FG domains. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6172 (2022).

16. T. Kozai, J. Fernandez-Martinez, T. van Eeuwen, P. Gallardo, L. E. Kapinos, A. Mazur, W. Zhang, J. Tempkin, R. Panatala, M. Delgado-Izquierdo, B. Raveh, A. Sali, B. T. Chait, L. M. Veenhoff, M. P. Rout, R. Y. H. Lim, Dynamic molecular mechanism of the nuclear pore complex permeability barrier. *bioRxiv*, 2023.03.31.535055 (2023).

17. D. Cowburn, M. Rout, Improving the hole picture: towards a consensus on the mechanism of nuclear transport. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **51**, 871–886 (2023).

18. S. Frey, R. P. Richter, D. Görlich, FG-rich repeats of nuclear pore proteins form a three-dimensional meshwork with hydrogel-like properties. *Science* **314**, 815–817 (2006).

19. S. Mallik, D. Poch, S. Burick, C. Schlieker, Protein folding and quality control during nuclear transport. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **90**, 102407 (2024).

20. S. Kim, S. Phan, H. T. Tran, T. R. Shaw, S. H. Shahmoradian, M. H. Ellisman, S. L. Veatch, S. J. Barmada, S. S. Pappas, W. T. Dauer, TorsinA is essential for neuronal nuclear pore complex localization and maturation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **26**, 1482–1495 (2024).

21. A. J. Rampello, E. Laudermilch, N. Vishnoi, S. M. Prophet, L. Shao, C. Zhao, C. P. Lusk, C. Schlieker, Torsin ATPase deficiency leads to defects in nuclear pore biogenesis and sequestration of MLF2. *J. Cell Biol.* **219** (2020).

22. A. N. Coyne, J. D. Rothstein, Nuclear pore complexes — a doorway to neural injury in neurodegeneration. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **18**, 348–362 (2022).

23. Y. Fan, Z. Si, L. Wang, L. Zhang, DYT-TOR1A dystonia: an update on pathogene-
sis and treatment. *Front. Neurosci.* **17**, 1216929 (2023).

24. B. Balint, N. E. Mencacci, E. M. Valente, A. Pisani, J. Rothwell, J. Jankovic, M.
Vidailhet, K. P. Bhatia, Dystonia. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer* **4**, 1–23 (2018).

25. L. J. Ozelius, J. W. Hewett, C. E. Page, S. B. Bressman, P. L. Kramer, C. Shalish,
D. de Leon, M. F. Brin, D. Raymond, D. P. Corey, S. Fahn, N. J. Risch, A. J. Buck-
ler, J. F. Gusella, X. O. Breakefield, The early-onset torsion dystonia gene (DYT1)
encodes an ATP-binding protein. *Nat. Genet.* **17**, 40–48 (1997).

26. C.-C. Liang, L. M. Tanabe, S. Jou, F. Chi, W. T. Dauer, TorsinA hypofunction
causes abnormal twisting movements and sensorimotor circuit neurodegeneration.
J. Clin. Invest. **124**, 3080–3092 (2014).

27. C. Zhao, R. S. H. Brown, A. R. Chase, M. R. Eisele, C. Schlieker, Regulation of
Torsin ATPases by LAP1 and LULL1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, E1545–
E1554 (2013).

28. R. S. H. Brown, C. Zhao, A. R. Chase, J. Wang, C. Schlieker, The mechanism of
Torsin ATPase activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, E4822–E4831
(2014).

29. F. E. Demircioglu, B. A. Sosa, J. Ingram, H. L. Ploegh, T. U. Schwartz, Structures
of TorsinA and its disease-mutant complexed with an activator reveal the molecular
basis for primary dystonia. *eLife* **5**, e17983 (2016).

30. E. Laudermilch, P.-L. Tsai, M. Graham, E. Turner, C. Zhao, C. Schlieker, Dissect-
ing Torsin/cofactor function at the nuclear envelope: a genetic study. *Mol. Biol. Cell*
27, 3964–3971 (2016).

31. R. E. Goodchild, C. E. Kim, W. T. Dauer, Loss of the Dystonia-Associated Protein
TorsinA Selectively Disrupts the Neuronal Nuclear Envelope. *Neuron* **48**, 923–932
(2005).

32. E. F. E. Kuiper, P. Gallardo, T. Bergsma, M. Mari, M. Kolbe Musskopf, J. Kuipers,
B. N. G. Giepmans, A. Steen, H. H. Kampinga, L. M. Veenhoff, S. Bergink, The
chaperone DNAJB6 surveils FG-nucleoporins and is required for interphase nu-
clear pore complex biogenesis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **24**, 1584–1594 (2022).

33. E. W. Martin, A. S. Holehouse, I. Peran, M. Farag, J. J. Incicco, A. Bremer, C. R.
Grace, A. Soranno, R. V. Pappu, T. Mittag, Valence and patterning of aromatic res-
idues determine the phase behavior of prion-like domains. *Science* **367**, 694–699
(2020).

34. C. Colombrita, E. Zennaro, C. Fallini, M. Weber, A. Sommacal, E. Buratti, V. Silani,
A. Ratti, TDP-43 is recruited to stress granules in conditions of oxidative insult. *J.*
Neurochem. **111**, 1051–1061 (2009).

35. F. Palangi, S. M. Samuel, I. R. Thompson, C. R. Triggle, M. M. Emara, Effects of oxidative and thermal stresses on stress granule formation in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *PLOS ONE* **12**, e0182059 (2017).

36. M. Y. Fang, S. Markmiller, A. Q. Vu, A. Javaherian, W. E. Dowdle, P. Jolivet, P. J. Bushway, N. A. Castello, A. Baral, M. Y. Chan, J. W. Linsley, D. Linsley, M. Mercola, S. Finkbeiner, E. Lecuyer, J. W. Lewcock, G. W. Yeo, Small-Molecule Modulation of TDP-43 Recruitment to Stress Granules Prevents Persistent TDP-43 Accumulation in ALS/FTD. *Neuron* **103**, 802-819.e11 (2019).

37. H. Uechi, S. Sridharan, J. Nijssen, J. Bilstein, J. M. Iglesias-Artola, S. Kishigami, V. Casablancas-Antras, I. Poser, E. J. Martinez, E. Boczek, M. Wagner, N. Tomschke, A. M. de Jesus Domingues, A. Pal, T. Doeleman, S. Kour, E. N. Anderson, F. Stein, H. O. Lee, X. Zhang, A. W. Fritsch, M. Jahnel, J. Fürsch, A. C. Murthy, S. Alberti, M. Bickle, N. L. Fawzi, A. Nadler, D. C. David, U. B. Pandey, A. Hermann, F. Stengel, B. G. Davis, A. J. Baldwin, M. M. Savitski, A. A. Hyman, R. J. Wheeler, Small-molecule dissolution of stress granules by redox modulation benefits ALS models. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **21**, 1–12 (2025).

38. T. Bergsma, A. Steen, J. L. Kamenz, T. A. Otto, P. Gallardo, L. M. Veenhoff, Imaging-based quantitative assessment of biomolecular condensates in vitro and in cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **301**, 108130 (2024).

39. D. W. Baggett, A. Medyukhina, S. Tripathi, H. K. Shirnekhi, H. Wu, S. B. Pounds, K. Khairy, R. Kriwacki, An Image Analysis Pipeline for Quantifying the Features of Fluorescently-Labeled Biomolecular Condensates in Cells. *Front. Bioinforma.* **2** (2022).

40. D. R. Stirling, M. J. Swain-Bowden, A. M. Lucas, A. E. Carpenter, B. A. Cimini, A. Goodman, CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. *BMC Bioinformatics* **22**, 433 (2021).

41. A. E. Carpenter, T. R. Jones, M. R. Lamprecht, C. Clarke, I. H. Kang, O. Friman, D. A. Guertin, J. H. Chang, R. A. Lindquist, J. Moffat, P. Golland, D. M. Sabatini, CellProfiler: image analysis software for identifying and quantifying cell phenotypes. *Genome Biol.* **7**, R100 (2006).

42. J.-H. Zhang, T. D. Y. Chung, K. R. Oldenburg, A Simple Statistical Parameter for Use in Evaluation and Validation of High Throughput Screening Assays. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **4**, 67–73 (1999).

43. Z. Liu, O. Chen, J. B. J. Wall, M. Zheng, Y. Zhou, L. Wang, H. Ruth Vaseghi, L. Qian, J. Liu, Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning multi-genes in a polycistronic vector. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 2193 (2017).

44. W.-M. Wang, Z. Liu, A.-J. Liu, Y.-X. Wang, H.-G. Wang, D. An, B. Heng, L.-H. Xie, J.-L. Duan, Y.-Q. Liu, The Zinc Ion Chelating Agent TPEN Attenuates Neuronal Death/apoptosis Caused by Hypoxia/ischemia Via Mediating the

Pathophysiological Cascade Including Excitotoxicity, Oxidative Stress, and Inflammation. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* **21**, 708–717 (2015).

45. H. L. Martin, M. Adams, J. Higgins, J. Bond, E. E. Morrison, S. M. Bell, S. Warriner, A. Nelson, D. C. Tomlinson, High-Content, High-Throughput Screening for the Identification of Cytotoxic Compounds Based on Cell Morphology and Cell Proliferation Markers. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e88338 (2014).

46. D. D. Patel, K. W. Gripp, E. Wadman, I. Mishra, V. Kandula, Novel biallelic ZNF335 variant causing primary microcephaly: A case report and radiological review. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* **194**, e63593 (2024).

47. Y. J. Yang, A. E. Baltus, R. S. Mathew, E. A. Murphy, G. D. Evrony, D. M. Gonzalez, E. P. Wang, C. A. Marshall-Walker, B. J. Barry, J. Murn, A. Tatarakis, M. A. Mahajan, H. H. Samuels, Y. Shi, J. A. Golden, M. Mahajnah, R. Shenhav, C. A. Walsh, Microcephaly Gene Links Trithorax and REST/NRSF to Control Neural Stem Cell Proliferation and Differentiation. *Cell* **151**, 1097–1112 (2012).

48. D. Li, Z. Quan, J. Ni, H. Li, H. Qing, The many faces of the zinc finger protein 335 in brain development and immune system. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **165**, 115257 (2023).

49. K. Stouffs, A. B. Stergachis, T. Vanderhasselt, A. Dica, S. Janssens, L. Vandervore, A. Gheldof, O. Bodamer, K. Keymolen, S. Seneca, I. Liebaers, D. Jayaraman, H. E. Hill, J. N. Partlow, C. A. Walsh, A. C. Jansen, Expanding the clinical spectrum of biallelic ZNF335 variants. *Clin. Genet.* **94**, 246–251 (2018).

50. R. Sato, J. Takanashi, Y. Tsuyusaki, M. Kato, H. Saitsu, N. Matsumoto, T. Takahashi, Association Between Invisible Basal Ganglia and ZNF335 Mutations: A Case Report. *Pediatrics* **138**, e20160897 (2016).

51. A. O. Caglayan, K. Yaghouti, T. Kockaya, D. Kemer, T. Cankaya, N. Ameziane, O. Cogulu, M. Coker, C. Yalcinkaya, Biallelic ZNF335 mutations cause basal ganglia abnormality with progressive cerebral/cerebellar atrophy. *J. Neurogenet.* **35**, 23–28 (2021).

52. T. Nishida, T. Mihara, T. Horiki, K. Ka, Anaesthesia and orphan disease: primary autosomal recessive microcephaly-10 caused by a mutation in the ZNF335 gene. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* **33**, 543–545 (2016).

53. E. Theusch, F. Y. Ting, Y. Qin, K. Stevens, D. Naidoo, S. M. King, N. V. Yang, J. Orr, B. Y. Han, J. G. Cyster, Y.-D. I. Chen, J. I. Rotter, R. M. Krauss, M. W. Medina, Participant-derived cell line transcriptomic analyses and mouse studies reveal a role for ZNF335 in plasma cholesterol statin response. *Genome Med.* **16**, 93 (2024).

54.   T. Cremer, M. L. M. Jongsma, F. Trulsson, A. C. O. Vertegaal, J. Neefjes, I. Berlin,
The ER-embedded UBE2J1/RNF26 ubiquitylation complex exerts spatiotemporal
control over the endolysosomal pathway. *Cell Rep.* **34** (2021).

55.   T. Cremer, L. M. Voortman, E. Bos, M. L. Jongsma, L. R. ter Haar, J. J. Akker-
mans, C. M. Talavera Ormeño, R. H. Wijdeven, J. de Vries, R. Q. Kim, G. M.
Janssen, P. A. van Veelen, R. I. Koning, J. Neefjes, I. Berlin, RNF26 binds peri-
nuclear vimentin filaments to integrate ER and endolysosomal responses to proteo-
toxic stress. *EMBO J.* **42**, e111252 (2023).

56.   L. Lecordier, P. Heo, J. H. Graversen, D. Hennig, M. K. Skytthe, A. Cornet d'Elzius,
F. Pincet, D. Pérez-Morga, E. Pays, Apolipoproteins L1 and L3 control mitochon-
drial membrane dynamics. *Cell Rep.* **42**, 113528 (2023).

57.   R. G. Gaudet, S. Zhu, A. Halder, B.-H. Kim, C. J. Bradfield, S. Huang, D. Xu, A.
Mamiñska, T. N. Nguyen, M. Lazarou, E. Karatekin, K. Gupta, J. D. MacMicking, A
human apolipoprotein L with detergent-like activity kills intracellular pathogens. *Sci-
ence* **373** (2021).

58.   M. Hondele, R. Sachdev, S. Heinrich, J. Wang, P. Vallotton, B. M. A. Fontoura, K.
Weis, DEAD-box ATPases are global regulators of phase-separated organelles.
Nature **573**, 144–148 (2019).

59.   M. Ashburner, C. A. Ball, J. A. Blake, D. Botstein, H. Butler, J. M. Cherry, A. P. Da-
vis, K. Dolinski, S. S. Dwight, J. T. Eppig, M. A. Harris, D. P. Hill, L. Issel-Tarver, A.
Kasarskis, S. Lewis, J. C. Matese, J. E. Richardson, M. Ringwald, G. M. Rubin, G.
Sherlock, Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* **25**, 25–29
(2000).

60.   K. Shroff, Z. F. Caffall, N. Calakos, DYT-TOR1A subcellular proteomics reveals se-
lective vulnerability of the nuclear proteome to cell stress. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **158**,
105464 (2021).

61.   B. Ding, Y. Tang, S. Ma, M. Akter, M.-L. Liu, T. Zang, C.-L. Zhang, Disease Model-
ing with Human Neurons Reveals LMNB1 Dysregulation Underlying DYT1 Dysto-
nia. *J. Neurosci.* **41**, 2024–2038 (2021).

62.   S. S. Pappas, C.-C. Liang, S. Kim, C. O. Rivera, W. T. Dauer, TorsinA dysfunction
causes persistent neuronal nuclear pore defects. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **27**, 407–420
(2018).

63.   C.-A. Martin, J. E. Murray, P. Carroll, A. Leitch, K. J. Mackenzie, M. Halachev, A. E.
Fetit, C. Keith, L. S. Bicknell, A. Fluteau, P. Gautier, E. A. Hall, S. Joss, G. Soares,
J. Silva, M. B. Bober, A. Duker, C. A. Wise, A. J. Quigley, S. R. Phadke, T. D. D. D.
Study, A. J. Wood, P. Vagnarelli, A. P. Jackson, Mutations in genes encoding con-
densin complex proteins cause microcephaly through decatenation failure at mito-
sis. *Genes Dev.* **30**, 2158–2172 (2016).

64. M. Trimborn, S. M. Bell, C. Felix, Y. Rashid, H. Jafri, P. D. Griffiths, L. M. Neumann, A. Krebs, A. Reis, K. Sperling, H. Neitzel, A. P. Jackson, Mutations in Microcephalin Cause Aberrant Regulation of Chromosome Condensation. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **75**, 261–266 (2004).

65. F. Jean, A. Stuart, M. Tarailo-Graovac, Dissecting the Genetic and Etiological Causes of Primary Microcephaly. *Front. Neurol.* **11** (2020).

66. J. S. Amberger, C. A. Bocchini, F. Schiettecatte, A. F. Scott, A. Hamosh, OMIM.org: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM®), an online catalog of human genes and genetic disorders. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, D789–D798 (2015).

67. W. S. Rubinstein, D. R. Maglott, J. M. Lee, B. L. Kattman, A. J. Malheiro, M. Ovetsky, V. Hem, V. Gorelenkov, G. Song, C. Wallin, N. Husain, S. Chitipiralla, K. S. Katz, D. Hoffman, W. Jang, M. Johnson, F. Karmanov, A. Ukrainchik, M. Denisenko, C. Fomous, K. Hudson, J. M. Ostell, The NIH genetic testing registry: a new, centralized database of genetic tests to enable access to comprehensive information and improve transparency. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D925–D935 (2013).

68. K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, J. Sun, Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. *arXiv*, doi: 1512.03385 (2015).

69. J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, L. Fei-Fei, "ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database" in *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 248–255.

70. L. McInnes, J. Healy, J. Melville, UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. arXiv arXiv:1802.03426 [Preprint] (2020). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1802.03426.

71. T. Hirano, Condensins: Organizing and Segregating the Genome. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, R265–R275 (2005).

72. L. I. Davis, G. Blobel, Identification and characterization of a nuclear pore complex protein. *Cell* **45**, 699–709 (1986).

73. M. M. Drozdz, D. J. Vaux, Shared mechanisms in physiological and pathological nucleoplasmic reticulum formation. *Nucleus* **8**, 34–45 (2016).

74. M. M. Drozdz, H. Jiang, L. Pytowski, C. Grovenor, D. J. Vaux, Formation of a nucleoplasmic reticulum requires de novo assembly of nascent phospholipids and shows preferential incorporation of nascent lamins. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 7454 (2017).

75. S. Kroschwald, S. Maharana, A. Simon, Hexanediol: a chemical probe to investigate the material properties of membrane-less compartments. *Matters*, doi: 10.19185/matters.201702000010 (2017).

76. C. Mathieu, R. V. Pappu, J. P. Taylor, Beyond aggregation: Pathological phase
transitions in neurodegenerative disease. *Science* **370**, 56–60 (2020).

77. D. M. Mitrea, M. Mittasch, B. F. Gomes, I. A. Klein, M. A. Murcko, Modulating bio-
molecular condensates: a novel approach to drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Dis-*
cov. **21**, 841–862 (2022).

78. A. Patel, D. Mitrea, V. Namasivayam, M. A. Murcko, M. Wagner, I. A. Klein, Princi-
ples and functions of condensate modifying drugs. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **9** (2022).

79. J. B. Rayman, K. A. Karl, E. R. Kandel, TIA-1 Self-Multimerization, Phase Separa-
tion, and Recruitment into Stress Granules Are Dynamically Regulated by Zn2+.
Cell Rep. **22**, 59–71 (2018).

80. V. Singh, L. Xu, S. Boyko, K. Surewicz, W. K. Surewicz, Zinc promotes liquid–liquid
phase separation of tau protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 5850–5856 (2020).

81. M. L. M. Jongsma, I. Berlin, R. H. M. Wijdeven, L. Janssen, G. M. C. Janssen, M.
A. Garstka, H. Janssen, M. Mensink, P. A. van Veelen, R. M. Spaapen, J. Neefjes,
An ER-Associated Pathway Defines Endosomal Architecture for Controlled Cargo
Transport. *Cell* **166**, 152–166 (2016).

82. R. Shaheen, S. Maddirevula, N. Ewida, S. Alsahli, G. M. H. Abdel-Salam, M. S.
Zaki, S. A. Tala, A. Alhashem, A. Softah, M. Al-Owain, A. M. Alazami, B. Abadel,
N. Patel, T. Al-Sheddi, R. Alomar, E. Alobeid, N. Ibrahim, M. Hashem, F. Abdul-
wahab, M. Hamad, B. Tabarki, A. H. Alwadei, F. Alhazzani, F. A. Bashiri, A.
Kentab, S. Şahintürk, E. Sherr, B. Fregeau, S. Sogati, S. A. M. Alshahwan, S.
Alkhalifi, Z. Alhumaidi, S. Temtamy, M. Aglan, G. Otaify, K. M. Girisha, M. Tulbah,
M. Z. Seidahmed, M. A. Salih, M. Abouelhoda, A. A. Momin, M. A. Saffar, J. N.
Partlow, S. T. Arold, E. Faqeih, C. Walsh, F. S. Alkuraya, Genomic and phenotypic
delineation of congenital microcephaly. *Genet. Med.* **21**, 545–552 (2019).

83. L. Pavinato, S. Carestiato, S. Trajkova, L. Sorasio, G. Mantovani, L. De Sanctis, J.
Kerkhof, H. McConkey, J. Rzasa, E. Todd, M. Balzo, S. Cardaropoli, A. Bruselles,
S. De Rubeis, J. D. Buxbaum, M. Tartaglia, B. Sadikovic, G. B. Ferrero, A. Brusco,
Skipping of Exon 20 in EP300: A Novel Variant Linked to Rubinstein–Taybi Syn-
drome With Atypical and Severe Clinical Manifestations. *Clin. Genet.* **107**, 354–358
(2025).

84. E. Roessler, E. Belloni, K. Gaudenz, P. Jay, P. Berta, S. W. Scherer, L. C. Tsui, M.
Muenke, Mutations in the human Sonic Hedgehog gene cause holoprosencephaly.
Nat. Genet. **14**, 357–360 (1996).

85. C. K. C. Loo, M. A. Pearen, G. A. Ramm, The Role of Sonic Hedgehog in Human
Holoprosencephaly and Short-Rib Polydactyly Syndromes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **22**,
9854 (2021).

86. A. Genin, J. Desir, N. Lambert, M. Biervliet, N. Van Der Aa, G. Pierquin, A. Killian, M. Tosi, M. Urbina, A. Lefort, F. Libert, I. Pirson, M. Abramowicz, Kinetochore KMN network gene CASC5 mutated in primary microcephaly. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **21**, 5306–5317 (2012).

87. Y. A. Ogungbenro, T. C. Tena, D. Gaboriau, P. Lalor, P. Dockery, M. Philipp, C. G. Morrison, Centrobin controls primary ciliogenesis in vertebrates. *J. Cell Biol.* **217**, 1205–1215 (2018).

88. P. Rump, O. Jazayeri, K. K. van Dijk-Bos, L. F. Johansson, A. J. van Essen, J. B. G. M. Verheij, H. E. Veenstra-Knol, E. J. W. Redeker, M. M. A. M. Mannens, M. A. Swertz, B. Z. Alizadeh, C. M. A. van Ravenswaaij-Arts, R. J. Sinke, B. Sikkema-Raddatz, Whole-exome sequencing is a powerful approach for establishing the etiological diagnosis in patients with intellectual disability and microcephaly. *BMC Med. Genomics* **9**, 7 (2016).

89. H. Schwaemmle, H. Soldati, N. M. R. Lykoskoufis, M. Docquier, A. Hainard, S. M. G. Braun, CRISPR screen decodes SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex assembly. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 5011 (2025).

90. P.-L. Tsai, C. J. F. Cameron, M. F. Forni, R. R. Wasko, B. S. Naughton, V. Horsley, M. B. Gerstein, C. Schlieker, Dynamic quality control machinery that operates across compartmental borders mediates the degradation of mammalian nuclear membrane proteins. *Cell Rep.* **41**, 111675 (2022).

91. P.-L. Tsai, C. Zhao, C. Schlieker, Methodologies to monitor protein turnover at the inner nuclear membrane. *Methods Enzymol.* **619**, 47–69 (2019).

92. R. Ihaka, R. Gentleman, R: A Language for Data Analysis and Graphics. *J. Comput. Graph. Stat.* **5**, 299–314 (1996).

93. L. Vincent, P. Soille, Watersheds in digital spaces: an efficient algorithm based on immersion simulations. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **13**, 583–598 (1991).

94. S. Marcel, Y. Rodriguez, "Torchvision the machine-vision package of torch" in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Multimedia* (ACM, Firenze Italy, 2010; https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1873951.1874254), pp. 1485–1488.

95. F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, A. Müller, J. Nothman, G. Louppe, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, É. Duchesnay, Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. arXiv arXiv:1201.0490 [Preprint] (2018). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1201.0490.

96. A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga, A. Desmaison, A. Köpf, E. Yang, Z. DeVito, M. Raison, A. Tejani, S. Chilamkurthy, B. Steiner, L. Fang, J. Bai, S. Chintala, PyTorch: An

Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library. arXiv
arXiv:1912.01703 [Preprint] (2019). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.01703.

97.　P. Virtanen, R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy, D. Cournapeau,
E. Burovski, P. Peterson, W. Weckesser, J. Bright, S. J. van der Walt, M. Brett, J.
Wilson, K. J. Millman, N. Mayorov, A. R. J. Nelson, E. Jones, R. Kern, E. Larson,
C. J. Carey, İ. Polat, Y. Feng, E. W. Moore, J. VanderPlas, D. Laxalde, J. Perktold,
R. Cimrman, I. Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, C. R. Harris, A. M. Archibald, A. H. Ri-
beiro, F. Pedregosa, P. van Mulbregt, S. 1 0 Contributors, SciPy 1.0--Fundamental
Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).

98.　C. Ritz, F. Baty, J. C. Streibig, D. Gerhard, Dose-Response Analysis Using R.
PLOS ONE **10**, e0146021 (2015).

99.　H. Wickham, ggplot2. *WIREs Comput. Stat.* **3**, 180–185 (2011).

100.　T. Wu, E. Hu, S. Xu, M. Chen, P. Guo, Z. Dai, T. Feng, L. Zhou, W. Tang, L.
Zhan, X. Fu, S. Liu, X. Bo, G. Yu, clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for
interpreting omics data. *The Innovation* **2** (2021).

101.　T. Galili, A. O'Callaghan, J. Sidi, C. Sievert, heatmaply: an R package for creat-
ing interactive cluster heatmaps for online publishing. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 1600–
1602 (2018).

1296