Title: Methane-fed microbial communities enriched from field-grown rice support diverse
heterotrophic bacteria

Running title: Methane-fed enrichments from rice

Authors: Elena K. Perry[a], Aqib Hasnain[a], Benjamin J. Cole[b], Hans K. Carlson[a], Adam M.
Deutschbauer[a], Dawn Chiniquy[a#]

Author affiliations:

[a]Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology Division, Lawrence Berkeley National
Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

[b]DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

[#]Correspondence: dmchiniquy@lbl.gov

Abstract

Rice paddies naturally host aerobic methanotrophic bacteria, due to the production of

methane in flooded soils. However, relatively little is known about how the activity of

methanotrophs impacts the structure of the broader microbial community in this globally important

agricultural environment. To address this question, we passaged 51 aerobic microbial enrichment

cultures from rice rhizosphere, root, and stem samples in a chemically-defined medium with

methane as the primary carbon source and electron donor. We profiled the cultures over time by

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and sequenced the genomes of 40 isolates from the

enrichments to gain functional insights. Taxa whose relative abundance increased during

community growth on methane represented more than a dozen families, many of which are not

known to utilize methane or other one-carbon substrates. Despite the selective pressure imposed

by the culture condition, the final community structures were taxonomically varied rather than

converging to a common composition. Genomic analysis of the sequenced isolates revealed

considerable variation in likely carbon source utilization repertoires, as well as the capacity for

nitrogen fixation or denitrification. Taken together, these findings support the view that

methanotrophy represents a key link in the microbial food web of rice fields, with the potential for

downstream effects on the abundance and activity of a wide range of community members.

Importance

Rice paddies produce the primary staple food for more than half of the world's population,

but are also a major source of methane emissions. Methane-oxidizing bacteria known as

methanotrophs naturally occur in rice paddies, where they consume methane that would otherwise

escape to the atmosphere. Enhancing the activity of native methanotrophs could improve the

sustainability of rice cultivation. However, large gaps remain in our knowledge of how increased

methanotrophic activity could impact other members of rice paddy microbial communities, leaving

open the possibility of unintended effects on other important microbial ecosystem functions, such

as nitrogen cycling. This study sheds light on the taxonomic range and metabolic capacities of

rice-associated bacteria that can benefit from the activity of native methanotrophs, laying the

foundation for a broader understanding of how methanotrophs impact microbiome assembly and

nutrient cycling in rice paddies.

Introduction

Microbes that oxidize one-carbon compounds, such as methane and methanol, play a key role in global nutrient cycles. In particular, aerobic methanotrophs in the phylum Pseudomonadota are the most widespread functional guild contributing to microbial methane oxidation. Aerobic methanotrophs are classically divided into two major groups, type I (Gammaproteobacteria) and type II (Alphaproteobacteria); type I methanotrophs use the ribulose monophosphate (RuMP) pathway for carbon assimilation into biomass, while type II use the serine pathway. Because these bacteria can use methane as a sole carbon and energy source, they are found in a variety of environments where methane is abundant, such as wetlands, freshwater lake sediments, and landfill cover soils (1). Besides contributing to carbon cycling via methane oxidation, methanotrophs may also play an important role in the global nitrogen cycle: many proteobacterial methanotrophs are capable of nitrogen fixation (2), although conversely, methanotrophs have also been shown to stimulate the activity of denitrifying bacteria (3, 4).

Consistent with the latter observation, it is thought that methanotrophs often interact metabolically with other members of their native microbial communities (5). Methanotrophs are notoriously difficult to isolate (6), and culturing methanotrophs in the presence of other heterotrophic bacteria frequently increases methane oxidation rates and overall biomass yields (7, 8). Such partnerships may benefit the methanotrophs by preventing the accumulation of inhibitory metabolic byproducts or providing essential vitamins (7, 9). Methanotrophs can in turn provide benefits to their neighbors. Studies using stable-isotope probing (SIP) have demonstrated that carbon derived from methane by methanotrophs in a variety of environments can end up in the biomass of diverse other organisms (10–15). Thus, methanotrophs contribute to local microbial food webs by transforming methane into other, more bioavailable carbon sources.

While the existence of metabolic exchanges between methanotrophs and other bacteria is well-established, relatively little is known about how methanotrophs influence the microbial communities of methane-rich wetland environments, including rice paddies (16). Recently, methanotrophs have been shown to stimulate both nitrogen fixation and denitrification in rice paddies, depending on the environmental conditions (12, 17), but the full range of their impact on the rice microbiome remains unclear. Given the roles of methanotrophs in both carbon and nitrogen cycling, an improved understanding of their ecological influence in rice paddies could have significant implications for improving the productivity and sustainability of rice cultivation. This issue is of global importance since rice is one of the world's most widely-consumed staple crops (18). The most concrete evidence that specific taxa might preferentially benefit from methane-derived carbon in rice fields has come from DNA-SIP studies on microcosms of paddy soils or rice roots (10, 12). In these studies, the bacteria that incorporated the ^{13}C label mainly belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria, although the results varied at lower taxonomic levels. However, the sensitivity of DNA-SIP is limited and the results can be significantly affected by experimental parameters such as incubation time (19), thus motivating the use of complementary approaches to determine the range of microbial taxa that may benefit from methanotrophic activity in rice fields.

Here, we performed 16S rRNA gene amplicon-based profiling on methane-fed enrichment cultures, complemented by whole-genome sequencing of strains isolated from the enrichments, to gain new insights into how methanotrophs from rice paddies influence their native communities. Specifically, we addressed the following questions: 1) What is the taxonomic diversity of rice-associated bacteria that can be supported by methane-derived carbon? 2) To what extent is the community composition of methane-fed enrichment cultures affected by external variables such

as the part of the rice plant used for the inoculum? 3) Is the impact of methanotrophs on the rice

microbiome predictable, as indicated by recurring community assembly patterns (if any) during

growth on methane as the sole exogenous carbon source? 4) What carbon sources are the most

likely drivers of non-methanotrophic growth in methane-fed, methanotroph-anchored

communities?

Results

Methane-fed enrichment cultures maintained high alpha diversity

We established 51 enrichment cultures in chemically-defined media with methane as the

sole electron donor and oxygen as the major electron acceptor, inoculated with rice rhizosphere,

root, and stem samples collected from two field locations in California, as described in Materials

and Methods. The cultures were sampled weekly over 12 weeks for 16S rRNA gene amplicon-

based profiling of community composition, resulting in a total of 534 sequenced samples

(excluding those that failed to meet our threshold for minimum reads; see Materials and Methods);

the complete amplicon sequence variant (ASV) abundance table and sample metadata are available

in Tables S1 and S2, respectively. At the final time point, 16 families belonging to three phyla

(Pseudomonadota, Bacteroidota, and Verrucomicrobiota) achieved a relative abundance of >10%

in at least two enrichments (Fig. 1A). Two additional higher-level taxonomic groups, consisting of

ASVs that could not be classified beyond the order Rhizobiales or the domain Bacteria, met the

same criteria for abundance and prevalence. By far the most prominent families across the final

enrichment communities, when considering both prevalence and relative abundance, were

Comamonadaceae (>10% in 33/51 enrichments, mean relative abundance 16.3%) and

Methylocystaceae (>10% in 29/51 enrichments, mean relative abundance 22.4%).

Comamonadaceae does not contain any known methanotrophs, but Methylocystaceae represents the Type II methanotrophs. Type I methanotrophs, represented by the family Methylococcaceae, were less prevalent in the final enrichments (>10% in 4/51 enrichments).

Interestingly, while all of the final enrichment communities contained a detectable level of at least one putative methanotroph ASV classified as Methylocystaceae or Methylococcaeae, methanotrophs were not always the most abundant community members. Methanotrophs represented the majority of reads in just eight out of the 51 final communities. In 16 out of the 51 enrichments (nearly 1/3) the final total methanotroph relative abundance was <10%, and the lowest final total methanotroph relative abundance was just 0.2%. Inspecting the relative abundances of putative methanotroph ASVs over time revealed that in many of the enrichments, methanotroph abundances fluctuated or dropped after reaching a peak prior to the final time point, rather than steadily increasing over time—especially for many of the Type I methanotrophs (Fig. 1B). Additionally, in most of the enrichments only one or two methanotroph ASVs were abundant at any given time (Fig. 1B-C). By contrast, individual enrichments supported up to 80 non-methanotroph ASVs (with a median of 39) at the final time point (Fig. 1C).

We also assessed how overall alpha diversity was affected by time, sample type, and initial inoculum dilution. For rhizosphere and root enrichments, the number of ASVs observed per enrichment initially dropped noticeably compared to the inoculum, but subsequently fluctuated around the value reached by the end of week one, rather than continuing to decline, despite the 1:10 dilution transfers that were performed after week four and week eight (Fig. 1D). For stem enrichments, the number of observed ASVs dropped only slightly in the first week, and fluctuated around the original value thereafter (Fig. 1D). Community evenness followed generally similar patterns over time for the different sample types (Fig. 1E). At the final time point, the number of

observed ASVs was significantly lower for stem enrichments compared to rhizosphere and root

enrichments, but did not differ between the latter two groups (Fig. 1F). Initial inoculum dilution

had no statistically significant effect on the final number of observed ASVs (Fig. 1G).

Beta diversity arose primarily from individual variation between enrichments rather than external

variables

The taxa bar plots for the final time point indicated considerable variability in community

composition across the enrichments (Fig. 1A). We therefore performed additional analyses to

assess the impact of three external variables on beta diversity: time since inoculation, field site of

origin, and original sample type. PERMANOVA analysis indicated that time had a significant

effect on beta diversity regardless of whether ASV abundances and phylogenetic relationships

were accounted for (p = 0.001 for both Jaccard and weighted UniFrac distances) (Table 1).

However, the effect was relatively small (R^2 = 0.04 and 0.08 for Jaccard and weighted UniFrac

distances, respectively), and the respective PCoA plots suggested that the effect was not

particularly consistent over time or across different enrichments (Fig. 2A). Indeed, the time to

reach a stable community composition varied across the enrichments, with some appearing to

largely stabilize following the first 1:10 dilution transfer after week four; some experiencing

another dramatic shift following the second 1:10 dilution transfer after week eight; and a few

appearing to undergo a more or less continuous shift starting after the first transfer and continuing

to the end of the experiment (Fig. S1). Additionally, PERMDISP analysis indicated that the sample

dispersions differed significantly across different time points (p = 0.001 for both Jaccard and

weighted UniFrac distances) (Table 1), which can cause a significant PERMANOVA result even

if there is no difference in the group centroids.

The field site of origin (Biggs versus Staten Island) also had a statistically significant effect

on community composition (PERMANOVA $p = 0.001$ for both Jaccard and weighted UniFrac

distances, permuting only within each time point given the significant effect of time) (Table 1).

However, while the PCoA plot based on Jaccard distances revealed an almost perfect separation

between enrichments from the two fields, this was not evident with weighted UniFrac distances

(Fig. 2B), and the overall effect of field site was again quite small in both cases ($R^2 = 0.05$ and

0.03 for Jaccard and weighted UniFrac distances, respectively). Original sample type (rhizosphere,

root, or stem) also had a significant effect on community composition (PERMANOVA $p = 0.001$

for both Jaccard and weighted UniFrac distances, permuting only within each time point), with

slightly larger effect sizes compared to field site ($R^2 = 0.07$ and 0.13 for Jaccard and weighted

UniFrac distances, respectively). Indeed, while the PCoA plots suggested a high degree of overlap

among rhizosphere and root enrichments, the stem enrichments clustered separately to a moderate

degree based on both Jaccard and weighted UniFrac distances (Fig. 2C). However, PERMDISP

indicated that as with the effect of time, sample dispersions also differed for the two field sites and

across the different sample types ($p = 0.001$ for both Jaccard and weighted UniFrac distances)

(Table 1).

In contrast to the relatively small effects of the above variables, by far the greatest

proportion of the beta diversity was explained by individual enrichment identity, i.e. the unique

combination of field site, sample type, replicate, and dilution (PERMANOVA $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.44$

for weighted UniFrac) (Table 1). The marginal effects of replicate and dilution were very small

($R^2 = 0.01$ and 0.02 respectively), although still statistically significant ($p = 0.001$) (Table 1). We

therefore also asked whether enrichments derived from the same original sample but with different

inoculum dilutions ("within sample") ended up more similar to each other at the final time point

than enrichments derived from different original samples ("between sample"). In the case of Jaccard distances (which take into account only the presence/absence of each ASV), there was a statistically significant difference for the "within sample" versus "between sample" comparison (Fig. 2D). This intuitively makes sense as enrichments derived from the same original sample would be expected to share a higher proportion of ASVs, given the lack of opportunities for dispersal between the enrichments over time. Notably, however, based on the weighted UniFrac metric, which provides an arguably better representation of overall differences in community structure, there was no statistically significant difference for "within sample" versus "between sample" distances.

Stochastic processes dominated the enrichment community assembly

Given the high degree of variability in final community composition, even across individual enrichments derived from the same original sample, we hypothesized that stochastic processes had contributed significantly to community assembly in the enrichments. We therefore sought to quantitatively infer the contribution of stochastic versus deterministic ecological processes (i.e. drift versus selection), using two related statistical methods known as QPEN ("Quantifying assembly Processes based on Entire-community Null model analysis") and iCAMP ("infer Community Assembly Mechanisms by Phylogenetic-bin-based null model analysis") (20–22). Both approaches are founded on the observation that more closely related species tend to occupy more similar niches; thus, if the abundance-weighted phylogenetic relationships between two communities' members are on average significantly closer than expected by chance given the full set of taxa observed across all communities, this suggests that deterministic selection is at work in similar environments. Consistent with our hypothesis, QPEN suggested that ecological drift was the dominant process for 75% of all pairwise comparisons among the final enrichment

communities, while homogenous selection was the dominant ecological process for just 5%.

Similarly, iCAMP indicated that drift was the dominant process for the majority of phylogenetic

bins in 70% of the pairwise comparisons, while dispersal limitation and homogenous selection

were dominant in 8% and 5% of the comparisons respectively.

As an orthogonal approach to assess the potential contribution of deterministic forces to

the assembly of the enrichment communities, we performed consensus clustering analysis on the

final communities after collapsing the taxonomy abundance table to the family level. Consensus

clustering is a method of estimating how many subclasses (in this case, types of community

structures) are present in a dataset (23), and has previously been used to infer whether deterministic

forces contributed to variation across different enrichment communities (24). Specifically, if the

number of inferred community types is much less than the number of samples, this would suggest

some degree of determinism (non-randomness) in the community assembly process. Inspection of

the delta area plot (in which lower values represent lesser gains in cluster stability with increasing

k), as well as the cumulative distribution functions and heatmaps of the consensus matrices for

different k's (numbers of clusters), suggested that the optimal number of clusters was 9 (Fig. 3A-

C, Fig. S2). However, clusters 5, 6, 7, and 9 were represented by only a single enrichment each.

Organizing the taxonomy abundance bar plots by cluster identity suggested that cluster 1 (the

largest cluster) was driven by a relatively high abundance of Methylocystaceae, whereas cluster 2

(the second-largest cluster) was driven by a high abundance of Comamonadaceae paired with a

lower abundance of Methylocystaceae (Fig. 3D). Cluster 3 was appeared to be driven by a high

abundance of ASVs that could not be classified beyond the domain Bacteria, cluster 4 was driven

by a high abundance of Methylococcaceae, and cluster 8 was driven by a high abundance of

Chromobacteriaceae. The samples in the four other clusters did not fall into any of these categories.

Taken together, these results suggest that despite the uniform culture conditions and

theoretically strong selective force imposed by the use of minimal media with methane as the only

added electron donor, stochastic processes (such as chance colonization—i.e. which strains were

present at time zero—and random extinction) were important drivers of community assembly in

our enrichments. Accordingly, even though certain types of community structures were more

prevalent than others among the final enrichments (as revealed by the consensus clustering

analysis), unpredictable and unique community structures also emerged.

Putative parasitic bacteria belonging to the Candidate Phylum Radiation transiently bloomed in

multiple independent enrichments

We were intrigued by the observation that ASVs that could not be classified beyond the

domain Bacteria were abundant in several of the enrichments. This was particularly striking in the

case of the final timepoint of the Staten Island root 2 10^{-2} enrichment, where the relative abundance

of a single unclassified bacterial sequence, ASV607, was 40.9%. Besides ASV607, five other

unclassified bacterial ASVs appeared at a relative abundance of >5% at least three times in our

enrichment time course dataset: ASV611, ASV616, ASV684, ASV697, and ASV891 (Fig. 4A). To

gain insight into the possible nature of these taxa, we used BLAST to search the respective ASV

sequences against the NCBI core nucleotide database (Table S3). For ASV697, the best named hit

was uncultured member of Chlamydiota detected in Chinese rice paddy soil, while for ASV684,

the best named hit was an uncultured member of Lentisphaerota detected in lake sediment (also

located in China), another environmental source of methane. For ASV616, there was no named hit

with coverage >50%, but the top overall hits were from environments such as subsurface water, a

sewage stabilization pond, and floodplain soil. For all three of the remaining sequences, the top

named hits belonged to the members of the Candidate Phylum Radiation (CPR)—specifically, the

candidate phyla Altimarinota (a.k.a. Gracilibacteria; ASV607 and ASV611) and

Peregrinibacteriota (ASV891). Notably, the top overall hit for ASV891 was a 100% match to an

unclassified 16S sequence from water that had been used to rinse rice grains; the second-best

overall hit for ASV607 was a 97.83% match to an unclassified 16S sequence from the "heavy"

isotopic fraction of activated sludge that had been incubated with ^{13}C-labeled methane; and the top

overall hits for ASV611 included sequences from lake sediment and a wetland. Taken together,

these results suggest that bacteria closely related to the unclassified sequences in our enrichments

might commonly occur in environments where methane is abundant.

Given that ASV607 was the most prevalent and abundant unclassified ASV in our

enrichments (Fig. 4A), we focused on this taxon for further analysis. Members of the CPR, to

which ASV607 putatively belongs, are mostly uncultivated but are thought to primarily be ultra-

small (~200-500 nm diameter) episymbiotic parasites of other bacteria (25, 26). To determine

whether such bacteria were present in a sample containing ASV607, we performed scanning

electron microscopy (SEM) on the Staten Island root 2 10^{-2} enrichment after regrowing it from a

frozen glycerol stock under the same conditions as the original enrichment; we focused on this

enrichment because it had the highest relative abundance of ASV607 at the end of the original time

course. Strikingly, we found multiple examples of such a bacterium in this culture: small (~340

nm diameter) cocci or diplococci that in all cases ($n = 4$) appeared to be directly attached to a larger

host (Fig. 4B). Although we cannot be sure that these bacteria represent ASV607, no other 16S

rRNA gene sequences belonging to the CPR or to other putative episymbionts were detected in the

original enrichment. Together, these observations lend credence to the possibility that ASV607 is

an episymbiont, similar to other studied members of the CPR.

Finally, we attempted to identify of the potential host(s) of ASV607 by looking for other

ASVs whose abundances were correlated with this taxon. Since ASV607 might by chance not

occur in every enrichment where its host was present, we first subsetted our enrichment time course

dataset to only the enrichments where ASV607 was detected at least once. We then used two

complementary methods of correlation analysis: SparCC, which was designed to handle some of

the challenging characteristics of microbiome data (e.g. compositionality and sparsity) (27), and

Pearson correlation, the method with the highest sensitivity for detecting parasitic interactions in

a prior study based on simulated data (28). Importantly, the latter study also demonstrated that

parasitic interactions between two taxa usually present as positive correlations (i.e. co-occurrence)

rather than negative correlations in microbial abundance data (28). Only two ASVs were

significantly positively correlated with ASV607 according to both methods: ASV7 (classified as

Methylophilaceae) and ASV441 (classified as Spartobacteria). When we highlighted the

abundances of these ASVs in the enrichments where ASV607 occurred, it became clear that

ASV607 occurred at relatively high abundances in multiple enrichments where ASV441 was

consistently below detection (Fig. 4C). By contrast, ASV7 was detected at least once in all of the

enrichments where ASV607 was abundant, and at many timepoints these two taxa co-occurred

with similar relative abundances (Fig. 4C). Thus, although we currently cannot rule out the

possibility that ASV441 (or other taxa) could be a host for ASV607, ASV7 (Methylophilaceae)

appears to be the most likely candidate for the primary host.

Strains from the enrichments possess variable repertoires for metabolism of carbon and nitrogen

Given that the enrichment cultures were fed only with methane, the abundance and

diversity of non-methanotrophic strains raised the question of what carbon sources could be

supporting their growth. Methanotrophic activity has been shown to contribute to the pool of

organic acids in rice paddy soils (12). Additionally, it has been previously reported that some

methanotrophs can cross-feed methanol (the first product of methane oxidation) to non-

methanotrophic methylotrophs (29). We therefore investigated whether organic acids and/or

methanol could plausibly support the growth of members of the enrichment communities.

To do so, we isolated a total of 64 strains from the enrichments (Table S4). Of these isolates,

three are methanotrophs (*Methylosinus* DMC42, *Methylosinus* DMC45, and *Methylocystis*

DMC101), while the rest are non-methane-utilizing heterotrophs. The isolates represent 12 of the

16 families that had reached a final relative abundance of >10% in at least two enrichments

(missing representatives only for Verrucomicrobiaceae, Methylococcaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae,

and Prolixibacteraceae). Three isolates belonging to the genus *Methyloraptor*, in the family

Ancalomicrobiaceae, matched a similarly prevalent and abundant ASV that had been classified

only to order level as Rhizobiales. We also obtained isolates for several other families that were

less   prevalent   and/or   abundant   in   the   final   enrichments,   including   Moraxellaceae,

Sphingomonadaceae, Xanthobacteraceae, Caulobacteraceae, Devosiaceae, Microbacteriaceae,

Sphaerotilaceae, and additional members of Rhizobiaceae (one of which had dominated a Biggs

stem enrichment).

To obtain strain-level insights into the potential catabolic capabilities of the enrichment

community members, we generated draft genome assemblies for a diverse selection of isolates

representing most of the families in our collection. We then assessed the non-methanotrophic

isolates' genomic potential for the consumption of 62 common carbon sources, using the program

GapMind (30). We considered a strain likely to be able to use a carbon source if GapMind

identified a complete pathway with only high-confidence hits, and a "maybe" if GapMind

identified a complete pathway but at least one step was at best a medium-confidence hit. Strains

were considered unlikely to be able to use a carbon source if any of the best hits in the respective

pathway were low-confidence, i.e. "gaps." This analysis revealed that nearly all of the sequenced

isolates are likely to be able to use fumarate as a carbon source, and most of the isolates (with the

exception of members of Methylophilaceae and *Cloacibacterium*) are also likely to be able to use

multiple other organic acids, such as succinate, malate, and acetate (Fig. 5; Table S5). By

comparison, the capacity to utilize sugar-related compounds and amino acids as carbon sources

appeared to be less widespread.

We also assessed whether the non-methanotrophic isolates could potentially utilize

methanol, which is not included in GapMind. To do so, we searched the genomes for homologs of

the four known types of methanol dehydrogenases (calcium-dependent Mxa; lanthanide-

dependent Xox; the type I alcohol dehydrogenase Mdh2; and NAD-dependent Mdh) (Fig. 6A;

Table S5). For strains that possessed at least one putative methanol dehydrogenase, we also looked

for homologs of the genes needed for the ribulose monophosphate (RuMP) cycle, the serine cycle,

and the Calvin cycle, which are the three known pathways for assimilation of C1 units by

methylotrophic bacteria (31) (Fig. 6B; Table S5). The results were mostly consistent with what has

been reported for the respective taxonomic groups: members of *Methylovorus*, *Methylophilus*,

Methylobacterium, Methyloraptor, Oharaeibacter, and *Xanthobacter* are all known methylotrophs,

and all sequenced isolates from these genera possessed both Mxa and Xox, as well as a complete

C1 assimilation pathway. However, one isolate from a genus not known to be methylotrophic also

showed possible genomic capacity for methylotrophy: *Pinisolibacter* sp. DMC19 possesses a

homolog of XoxF (though it seemingly lacks other Xox genes), as well as a complete Calvin cycle.

Another *Pinisolibacter* isolate also possesses XoxF and a near-complete Calvin cycle, but appears

to be missing glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; while *Oryzibacter oryziterrae* DMC98

possesses XoxF along with a near-complete serine cycle, but appears to be missing

phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase. A few other isolates from genera not typically known to

consume methanol contained putative homologs of XoxF, Mdh2, and/or NAD-dependent Mdh,

but lacked multiple steps in each of the C1 assimilation pathways, suggesting that they are unlikely

to use methanol as a carbon source.

Finally, given that methanotrophic activity has previously been shown to impact nitrogen

cycling in rice paddy soils (12, 17), we assessed the genomic capacity for each isolate, including

methanotrophs, to fix nitrogen and/or perform denitrification. Several strains possessed *nifD*, *nifK*,

and *nifH*, indicating that they can likely fix nitrogen (Fig. 7; Table S5); these belonged to the genera

Pseudacidovorax, *Burkholderia*, *Xanthobacter*, *Aquabacter*, *Pinisolibacter*, *Methyloraptor*,

Methylophilus, and *Methylosinus* (which is methanotrophic). Among these, to our knowledge the

nitrogen-fixing potential of *Pinisolibacter* and *Methylophilus* has not been previously reported

(although a metagenome-assembled genome classified under the family Methylophilaceae, to

which *Methylophilus* belongs, was recently found to contain a *nif* locus (32)). Only two out of

three sequenced isolates of *Methylophilus* possessed the *nif* genes, indicating that nitrogen fixing

capabilities vary within this genus. As for denitrification potential, we found a variety of

combinations of genes involved in the different steps of denitrification (Fig. 7; Table S5). Only

Pinisolibacter sp. DMC100 possessed a complete denitrification pathway. Some strains appeared

to be capable of only nitrate reduction; others only had the genes for nitrous oxide reduction. Still

others lacked the genes for nitrate reduction but possessed all other steps of denitrification, or

conversely had all steps except for nitrous oxide reduction. Additionally, three strains only

possessed the genes for non-consecutive steps (nitrate and nitric oxide reduction, nitrate and

nitrous oxide reduction, or nitrite and nitrous oxide reduction).

Discussion

In this study, we utilized methane-fed enrichment cultures to determine which bacterial members of the rice microbiota can be supported by methane-derived carbon, revealing considerable taxonomic diversity among the potential beneficiaries of methanotrophic activity. We found that the composition of rice-derived, methane-supported microbial communities is significantly affected by the type of plant part used as the inoculum (with stem enrichments exhibiting lower alpha diversity than root and rhizosphere enrichments), but is less affected by field site (Fig. 2, Table 1). The lower alpha diversity of the stem enrichments is consistent with prior studies indicating that the stem or leaf microbiotas of rice and other plants tend to have lower alpha diversity compared to the rhizosphere and roots (33, 34). While many of our enrichments had a high abundance of type II methanotrophs and/or members of Comamonadaceae, a variety of overall community structures emerged (Fig. 1, Fig. 3), suggesting a limited contribution of deterministic forces to community assembly during growth on methane. Finally, genomic analysis indicated that organic acids and methanol are likely carbon sources that may support the growth of many non-methanotrophs in methane-fed communities (Fig. 5, Fig. 6). Taken together, these results shed new light on the contribution of methanotrophs to the rice microbiome.

The diversity of non-methanotrophic taxa that were enriched alongside methanotrophs in our cultures, representing at least 14 families, greatly expands the knowledge of which taxa may benefit from methanotrophic activity in rice paddies. In prior DNA-SIP studies where rice roots or paddy soils were incubated with isotopically-labeled methane, relatively few taxa were identified (10–12), possibly due to the limited sensitivity of this technique and the presence of alternative carbon sources in those experimental setups. In our enrichments, as in the DNA-SIP studies, some

carbon from the soil or plant material would have been present at the start of the experiment, but

any such nutrients would have then been diluted by the two sequential transfers, if not already fully

consumed in the first few weeks of incubation. Thus, with the possible exception of a few

enrichments where the final abundance of putative methanotrophs appeared to be extremely low

(which may have occurred due to stochastic extinction), taxa that were abundant at the final

timepoint of our enrichments were likely primarily supported by methane-derived carbon. Among

the non-methanotrophic taxonomic groups that were particularly prevalent and/or abundant in our

enrichments, Comamonadaceae, Methylophilaceae, Rhizobiales, and Sphingomonadales have also

been labeled with methane-derived carbon in DNA-SIP studies (10, 12), providing further

evidence that these taxa genuinely benefit from methanotrophic activity in rice fields.

The heterogeneity of our enrichments indicated a significant contribution of stochastic

ecological forces to community assembly during growth under methane. While this may in part

have been driven by the particulars of our experimental setup (e.g., the use of a dilution series, and

the relatively long four-week incubation time between transfers), the variety of observed

community structures, together with the diversity of the enriched taxa, suggests that the impact of

altering methanotrophic activity in rice microbiomes might not be easily predicted. That being said,

our enrichments also bore some taxonomic resemblance to previous studies on methane-supported

microbial communities from other environments. For example, *Methylophilus*, *Pseudomonas*, and

Flavobacterium, three prominent genera in our enrichments (Fig. 1), were also prevalent in

methane-fed enrichments from lake sediment (35, 36). Moreover, a study on naturally-occurring

methane-supported biofilms in a mineral spring cave reported the presence of Flavobacteriales,

Verrucomicrobia, Rhizobiales, Sphingomonadales, Pseudomonadales, and Xanthomonodales,

among other non-methanotrophic taxa (37), all of which were abundant in at least two of our

enrichments (note that Verrucomicrobia also encompasses methanotrophic acidophiles belonging to the genera *Methylacidiphilum* and *Methylacidimicrobium* (38), but these were not detected in either the mineral spring cave or our enrichments). Thus, it appears that the assembly of methane-supported communities is not entirely random, and many of the taxonomic groups in our enrichments may be associated with methanotrophs not only in rice paddies, but also more broadly.

Another notable finding was the prevalence of CPR bacteria in our enrichments (Fig. 4, Table S3). To our knowledge, this phenomenon has not previously been explicitly reported in a methane-supported microbial ecosystem. However, many of the closest sequenced relatives for the putative CPR bacteria in our enrichments were also derived from environments where methane is abundant, indicating that our detection of CPR members in methanotrophic communities is not an anomaly. Given that the DNA of one such organism was labeled with methane-derived carbon in a DNA-SIP study (see Table S3), some of these bacteria may be genuine beneficiaries of the activity of methanotrophs. This interpretation is further supported by the positive correlation between ASV607 (a putative CPR member) and ASV7 (a member of the methylotrophic family *Methylophilaceae*) in our enrichments (Fig. 4C). Importantly, standard 16S primer pairs are a poor match for many CPR members (39). Thus, these organisms may be even more prevalent and abundant in rice microbiomes and other methane-supported microbial communities than is reflected in currently available data. Detailed studies on CPR bacteria are challenging due to the difficulty of isolating these organisms. Nevertheless, future attempts to confirm the identity of the host(s) for rice-associated CPR bacteria, and to ascertain the nature of the host-epibiont relationship (parasitic, predatory, or commensal), may help improve the understanding of rice microbiome dynamics.

Regarding the question of how non-methanotrophic growth is supported in methane-fed

communities, the prevalence of pathways for organic acid catabolism in isolates from our

enrichments (Fig. 5) is consistent with the idea that organic acids are likely a key class of cross-

fed metabolites, corroborating prior evidence that methanotrophs contribute to organic acid

production in paddy soils (12). Whether this contribution is primarily direct or indirect remains

unclear, but certain type I and type II methanotrophs have been shown to excrete organic acids in

pure cultures during growth on methane under low oxygen conditions (40–42). Low oxygen

conditions likely prevailed in our enrichment cultures over time, as the initial molar ratio of oxygen

to methane in the headspace was less than 1 (see Materials and Methods), whereas two oxygen

molecules are required to oxidize one molecule of methane all the way to carbon dioxide (43).

Additionally, the abundance of several methylotrophic taxa in our enrichments (Fig. 1, Fig. 6)

suggests that methanol may also have been cross-fed from methanotrophs, as has been previously

demonstrated in pairwise co-cultures of a methanotroph and a methylotroph (29). However,

organic acids and methanol may not be the only cross-fed carbon sources in methanotroph-

supported communities. Several taxa enriched in our cultures belong to the phylum Bacteroidota,

members of which are best known for their ability to degrade complex carbohydrates (44).

Consequently, it has previously been suggested that Bacteroidota members that frequently co-

occur with methanotrophs (e.g., *Flavobacterium* species) may be supported by extracellular

polysaccharides produced by other community members, though direct evidence of such

interactions is still lacking (5). These taxa proved difficult to isolate from our enrichments, and

thus we only have one representative genome sequence so far, from a strain of *Cloacibacterium*.

Potentially consistent with the extracellular polysaccharide hypothesis, this strain does not possess

high-confidence pathways for the usage of either organic acids or methanol (Fig. 5), suggesting

that it may indeed have been supported by other compounds in the enrichment cultures. We also

cannot rule out that nutrients may also have been released by cell lysis over the course of our

experiment, potentially supporting some of the taxa that only persisted at a very low relative

abundance in the enrichments. While beyond the scope of the current study, future work will be

directed at more precisely identifying the carbon sources exchanged among members of

methanotroph-supported microbial communities.

Finally, the presence of genes related to nitrogen cycling in the majority of isolates from

the enrichments is consistent with previous indications of functional links between methanotrophy,

nitrogen fixation, and denitrification in rice paddy microbial communities (12, 45). Whether the

activity of methanotrophs tends to stimulate nitrogen fixation or denitrification more strongly *in*

situ is unknown; the balance between the two presumably depends not only on the makeup of a

given community, but also environmental parameters such as local oxygen gradients and

application of fertilizers (45, 46). With respect to denitrification, we observed that many strains

possessed only partial pathways (Fig. 7), as is common in many natural environments (47),

suggesting that cross-feeding of not only carbon sources (electron donors) but also denitrification

intermediates (electron acceptors) may occur in methanotroph-supported communities under low

oxygen tensions. As mentioned above, oxygen tension was likely low in our enrichments for much

of the time course, making nitrate an important alternative electron acceptor that could have shaped

the emergent community structures.

In summary, our findings suggest that methanotrophs can help support a wide range of

metabolically-diverse heterotrophic bacteria in the microbial ecosystem of rice paddies, with the

potential for impacts on important ecosystem functions such as nitrogen fixation and

denitrification. Furthermore, our collection of 64 taxonomically-diverse isolates, more than half of

which have draft genomes, represents a valuable resource for future mechanistic studies on how

rice-associated methanotrophs interact with their native neighbors. Given that methanotrophs

affect both carbon cycling and nitrogen cycling in rice paddies, it will be worthwhile to continue

gaining a deeper understanding of how methanotrophic bacteria influence the structure and

function of the rice microbiome under different conditions, so as to support the long-term

sustainability and productivity of this globally-important staple crop.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research & Development program

at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory [award number 23-071 to D.C.]. We thank the

Sundaresan and Schuppenhauer labs for providing the field-grown rice plants, Deepika Awasthi

for assistance with establishing a methane gassing station, Morgan Price for assistance with data

processing, and Misun Kang and the staff at the University of California Berkeley Electron

Microscope Laboratory for advice and assistance with electron microscopy sample preparation

and imaging.

Materials and Methods

Time course of methane-fed enrichment cultures

Six rice plants were collected from two fields (three plants per field), located in Biggs,

California (39.4643, -121.7340) and Staten Island, California (38.1235, -121.5490), in the summer

of 2022. The Biggs samples were collected in late July, and the Staten Island samples were

collected in late August. Each plant was collected from a different area of the respective field and

had the entire root structure dug up with the attached soil. The collected plants were placed in

individual clean zipper-top plastic bags in 5-gallon buckets and transported back to the laboratory.

The protocol for establishing methane-fed cultures from the rhizosphere was based on previous

work (48), with modifications made to include the root and stem. For each plant, after removing

soil not tightly bound to roots, a portion of the roots was dissected and placed in a 15 mL conical

tube containing 10 mL of dilute nitrate mineral salts (dNMS) media, made according to the recipe

of (48) except that the vitamin solution was procured from the American Type Culture Collection

(catalog no. MD-VS). The complete media composition is provided in Table S6. The roots were

vigorously vortexed to generate the rhizosphere samples. Then, a portion of the roots was ground

in 1 mL dNMS for 45 s using a sterilized stainless-steel grinding jar (Qiagen, catalog no. 69985)

in a Qiagen TissueLyser II. Stem samples were processed in a similar manner. 0.5 mL of the

homogenized samples, representing the week 0 time point, was spun down at 4000 rpm for 20 min

in a tabletop centrifuge, and the pellets were stored at -20°C until DNA extraction. The remainder

of each sample was diluted 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, and 10^{-4} in dNMS (Staten Island stem samples were only

diluted up to 10^{-3}), and 0.5 mL of the resulting suspensions was injected into sealed, autoclaved

Balch tubes containing 5 mL of dNMS. Approximately 5 mL of the headspace was removed by

syringe and replaced with 5 mL of methane to create a 20% methane headspace. The cultures were

incubated upright with shaking at 24°C. Every seven days, 0.5 mL was collected from each culture

and pelleted for later DNA extraction as above. Additionally, 70 µL of each culture was used to

make a frozen stock in 15% glycerol, stored at -80°C. At the same time, 5 mL of headspace was

withdrawn and replaced with another 5 mL of methane. Every four weeks, 0.5 mL of each culture

was transferred to a new Balch tube containing 5 mL of fresh dNMS. The experiment was

terminated after a total of 12 weeks. Note that in this setup, the cultures were most likely electron

acceptor-limited rather than methane-limited. The initial quantities of methane and oxygen per

tube were 210 μmol and 140 μmol respectively, whereas two moles of oxygen are required to

completely oxidize one mole of methane to carbon dioxide (43), involving the transfer of 8 electron

equivalents (dioxygen can accept 4 electron equivalents per mole). Even if nitrate was used as an

alternative electron acceptor by some community members, only 12.5 μmol of nitrate was supplied

(which can accept 5 electron equivalents per mole via complete denitrification).

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from pelleted samples using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood &

Tissue kit (catalog no. 69506), following the manufacturer's protocol for Gram-positive bacteria.

The extracted DNA was PCR amplified using dual-index 16S V4-V5 primers (515F/926R) (49).

A peptide nucleic acid (PNA) PCR clamp was used to block the amplification of plastid and

mitochondrial DNA (50). The resulting libraries were quantified using the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay

kit (Invitrogen, catalog no. Q32853), pooled, cleaned using a PCR purification kit, and sequenced

on an Illumina MiSeq (PE 2x300). The paired-end reads were assembled using PEAR (51), and

reads with more than one expected error were detected using USEARCH (52) and discarded, along

with rare sequences (fewer than 4 reads in any sample). Chimera removal and error correction

were performed with the UNOISE3 algorithm (53). Taxonomy was assigned using SINTAX (54)

with the RDP training set v18.

16S sequencing data analysis

The following initial analysis steps were performed in Qiime2 (version amplicon-2024.5):

Samples were rarefied to 900 reads (based on the histogram of reads per sample), a phylogenetic

tree was constructed using MAFFT alignment and FastTree, core alpha and beta diversity metrics

were calculated, and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) matrices were generated. SparCC and

Pearson correlation analyses were also performed in Qiime2, using the SCNIC plugin (55). All

other analyses were performed in R. PERMANOVA analyses were performed with the adonis2

function from the package vegan (version 2.6-10) (56). PERMDISP tests were performed with the

permutest function in conjunction with the betadisper function, also from vegan. When testing the

effect of time point, permutations were constrained within each enrichment and the data were

treated as a series. When testing the effect of field site or sample type, permutations were

constrained within time point. Assessment of the contribution of stochastic versus deterministic

processes during community assembly was performed using the functions qpen and icamp.big in

the iCAMP package (20). Consensus clustering was performed using the package

ConsensusClusterPlus, with maxK = 17, reps = 100, pItem = 0.8, pFeature = 1, and clusterAlg =

"hc" (57). Except for the consensus clustering plots, which were produced by the

ConsensusClusterPlus package, all plots were made with the R package ggplot2 (58).

Scanning electron microscopy

To prepare the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) sample (Electron Microscopy

Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley), the Staten Island root 2 10^{-2} enrichment was

regrown, from a frozen glycerol stock, in dNMS with 20% methane (shaking at 125 rpm at 25°C).

The regrown culture was sub-cultured twice at a 1:100 dilution ratio on day 15 and day 23. On day

29, 10 mL of the culture was pelleted (5000 g for 10 min), and the cells were gently resuspended

in a fixative solution (2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2). The sample

was placed at 4°C on a rotator overnight and subsequently stored at 4°C in the dark (standing) until

further processing. Prior to imaging, the sample was washed 3x in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer,

pH 7.2, postfixed 3x in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2 for 1 h,

and then washed 3x in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2. Samples were dehydrated in a

graded ethanol series up to 100%. Samples were then transferred onto 0.1% (w/v) poly-L-lysine–

coated coverslips and subjected to critical point drying (Tousimis, Mayland, USA). The dried

coverslips were mounted onto aluminum stubs using conductive carbon tape and sputter-coated

with a gold/palladium alloy (Safematic GmbH, Switzerland). SEM imaging was performed using

a Zeiss Crossbeam 550 (Carl Zeiss Microsystems GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany).

Isolation of strains from the enrichment cultures

Strains were isolated from the original enrichment cultures, as well as enrichments regrown

from frozen glycerol stocks, by plating a 10-fold dilution series onto dNMS with 1.5% agarose

and 0.2% methanol; dNMS agarose under a mixed methane/air atmosphere; or 0.1x R2A with 1.5%

agar. To obtain the *Methylocystis* isolate, a 10-fold dilution series (10^{-1} to 10^{-8}) of an enrichment

regrown from the frozen stock was set up in a 48-well plate and incubated statically under 20%

methane at room temperature for 4 weeks; then, a loopful of the culture in the 10^{-8} dilution well

was streaked onto dNMS agarose and incubated under a mixed methane/air atmosphere. The mixed

methane/air atmosphere was achieved by flooding a mostly-closed BD EZ Incubator Container

with methane for 30-60s and then quickly latching the lid. All plates were incubated at room

temperature, and morphologically distinct colonies were picked and restreaked 3-4 times until the

colony morphologies appeared uniform. The 16S rRNA gene was then amplified by colony PCR,

using   Platinum   Hot   Start   Master   Mix   (Invitrogen)   with   the   primers   27F

(AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG) and 1492R (TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT). The PCR

products were Sanger sequenced at Elim Biopharm, Inc. with the same 27F and 1492R primers.

The resulting forward and reverse sequences were aligned in Geneious Prime and subjected to

BLAST against the NCBI core nucleotide database.

Genomic analysis of isolated strains

Genomic DNA was isolated from selected strains using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue

kit (catalog no. 69506), following the manufacturer's protocol for Gram-positive bacteria. Library

preparation and whole-genome sequencing was performed by Novogene on an Illumina NovaSeq

X Plus. The raw reads were cleaned with Trimmomatic on KBase (59), using the default settings.

Draft genomes were assembled with SPAdes (v3.15.3), with the minimum contig size set to 1000

bp, and annotated with Prokka (v1.145), also on KBase. Genomes were checked for completeness

and contamination with CheckM. Taxonomy was assigned using the Microbial Genomes Atlas

pipeline against the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB, version r214) (60, 61). Annotation of

common carbon source utilization pathways was performed using the online GapMind tool (30).

Detection of homologs of known methanol dehydrogenases was performed using DIAMOND with

the "sensitive" setting (62); a hit was considered a homolog if it had >40% identity to the reference

sequence, with at least 80% coverage. The list of reference methanol dehydrogenase sequences

was obtained from a prior publication (63). For C1 assimilation pathways, DIAMOND with the

same settings failed to detect complete pathways in some of the known methylotrophs. We

therefore instead used HMMER (version 3.4) (64) with the HMM profiles from the same prior

publication (63), requiring hits to have at least 80% coverage of the respective model to be

considered a homolog. Genes related to nitrogen cycling were detected using the application

"Search with HMMs of MicroTrait Bioelement families - v1" on KBase (59, 65).

Data availability

The raw sequence data for 16S rRNA gene amplicons from the enrichments are available on the

NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the accession PRJNA1310742. Draft genome

assemblies have been deposited DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession PRJNA1310743.

References

1. Hanson RS, Hanson TE. 1996. Methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiol Rev 60:439–471.

2. Auman AJ, Speake CC, Lidstrom ME. 2001. nifH Sequences and Nitrogen Fixation in Type
    I and Type II Methanotrophs. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:4009–4016.

3. Eisentraeger A, Klag P, Vansbotter B, Heymann E, Dott W. 2001. Denitrification of
    groundwater with methane as sole hydrogen donor. Water Research 35:2261–2267.

4. Knowles R. 2005. Denitrifiers associated with methanotrophs and their potential impact on
    the nitrogen cycle. Ecological Engineering 24:441–446.

5. Chistoserdova L, Kalyuzhnaya MG. 2018. Current Trends in Methylotrophy. Trends in
    Microbiology 26:703–714.

6. Whittenbury R, Phillips KC, Wilkinson JF. 1970. Enrichment, Isolation and Some
    Properties of Methane-utilizing Bacteria. Journal of General Microbiology 61:205–218.

7. Ho A, De Roy K, Thas O, De Neve J, Hoefman S, Vandamme P, Heylen K, Boon N. 2014.
    The more, the merrier: heterotroph richness stimulates methanotrophic activity. The ISME
    Journal 8:1945–1948.

8. Stock M, Hoefman S, Kerckhof F-M, Boon N, De Vos P, De Baets B, Heylen K, Waegeman
    W. 2013. Exploration and prediction of interactions between methanotrophs and
    heterotrophs. Research in Microbiology 164:1045–1054.

9. Iguchi H, Yurimoto H, Sakai Y. 2011. Stimulation of Methanotrophic Growth in Cocultures
    by Cobalamin Excreted by Rhizobia. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:8509–8515.

10. Qiu Q, Conrad R, Lu Y. 2009. Cross-feeding of methane carbon among bacteria on rice
    roots revealed by DNA-stable isotope probing. Environ Microbiol Rep 1:355–361.

11.   Murase J, Frenzel P. 2007. A methane-driven microbial food web in a wetland rice soil.

Environmental Microbiology 9:3025–3034.

12.   Chen K-H, Feng J, Bodelier PLE, Yang Z, Huang Q, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Cai P, Tan W,

Liu Y-R. 2024. Metabolic coupling between soil aerobic methanotrophs and denitrifiers in

rice paddy fields. Nat Commun 15:3471.

13.   Osaka T, Ebie Y, Tsuneda S, Inamori Y. 2008. Identification of the bacterial community

involved in methane-dependent denitrification in activated sludge using DNA stable-isotope

probing: Characterization of a MDD ecosystem by SIP. FEMS Microbiology Ecology

64:494–506.

14.   Dumont MG, Pommerenke B, Casper P, Conrad R. 2011. DNA-, rRNA- and mRNA-based

stable isotope probing of aerobic methanotrophs in lake sediment. Environmental

Microbiology 13:1153–1167.

15.   Hutchens E, Radajewski S, Dumont MG, McDonald IR, Murrell JC. 2004. Analysis of

methanotrophic bacteria in Movile Cave by stable isotope probing. Environmental

Microbiology 6:111–120.

16.   Bridgham SD, Cadillo-Quiroz H, Keller JK, Zhuang Q. 2013. Methane emissions from

wetlands: biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global

scales. Global Change Biology 19:1325–1346.

17.   Cao W, Cai Y, Bao Z, Wang S, Yan X, Jia Z. 2022. Methanotrophy Alleviates Nitrogen

Constraint of Carbon Turnover by Rice Root-Associated Microbiomes. Front Microbiol

13:885087.

18. Muthayya S, Sugimoto JD, Montgomery S, Maberly GF. 2014. An overview of global rice

production, supply, trade, and consumption. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences

1324:7–14.

19. Neufeld JD, Dumont MG, Vohra J, Murrell JC. 2007. Methodological Considerations for

the Use of Stable Isotope Probing in Microbial Ecology. Microbial Ecology 53:435–442.

20. Ning D, Yuan M, Wu L, Zhang Y, Guo X, Zhou X, Yang Y, Arkin AP, Firestone MK, Zhou

J. 2020. A quantitative framework reveals ecological drivers of grassland microbial

community assembly in response to warming. Nat Commun 11:4717.

21. Stegen JC, Lin X, Fredrickson JK, Chen X, Kennedy DW, Murray CJ, Rockhold ML,

Konopka A. 2013. Quantifying community assembly processes and identifying features that

impose them. The ISME Journal 7:2069–2079.

22. Stegen JC, Lin X, Fredrickson JK, Konopka AE. 2015. Estimating and mapping ecological

processes influencing microbial community assembly. Front Microbiol 6:370.

23. Monti S, Tamayo P, Mesirov J, Golub T. 2003. Consensus Clustering: A Resampling-Based

Method for Class Discovery and Visualization of Gene Expression Microarray Data.

Machine Learning 52:91–118.

24. Celiker H, Gore J. 2014. Clustering in community structure across replicate ecosystems

following a long-term bacterial evolution experiment. Nat Commun 5:4643.

25. Méheust R, Burstein D, Castelle CJ, Banfield JF. 2019. The distinction of CPR bacteria

from other bacteria based on protein family content. Nat Commun 10:4173.

26. Ji Y, Zhang P, Zhou S, Gao P, Wang B, Jiang J. 2022. Widespread but Poorly Understood

Bacteria: Candidate Phyla Radiation. Microorganisms 10:2232.

27. Friedman J, Alm EJ. 2012. Inferring Correlation Networks from Genomic Survey Data.

678   PLOS Computational Biology 8:e1002687.

679   28. Weiss S, Van Treuren W, Lozupone C, Faust K, Friedman J, Deng Y, Xia LC, Xu ZZ, Ursell

680   L, Alm EJ, Birmingham A, Cram JA, Fuhrman JA, Raes J, Sun F, Zhou J, Knight R. 2016.

681   Correlation detection strategies in microbial data sets vary widely in sensitivity and

682   precision. ISME J 10:1669–1681.

683   29. Krause SMB, Johnson T, Samadhi Karunaratne Y, Fu Y, Beck DAC, Chistoserdova L,

684   Lidstrom ME. 2017. Lanthanide-dependent cross-feeding of methane-derived carbon is

685   linked by microbial community interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114:358–363.

686   30. Price MN, Deutschbauer AM, Arkin AP. 2022. Filling gaps in bacterial catabolic pathways

687   with computation and high-throughput genetics. PLoS Genet 18:e1010156.

688   31. Chistoserdova L. 2011. Modularity of methylotrophy, revisited. Environmental

689   Microbiology 13:2603–2622.

690   32. Yu L, Jia R, Liu S, Li S, Zhong S, Liu G, Zeng RJ, Rensing C, Zhou S. 2024. Ferrihydrite-

691   mediated methanotrophic nitrogen fixation in paddy soil under hypoxia. ISME

692   Communications 4:ycae030.

693   33. Wagner MR, Lundberg DS, del Rio TG, Tringe SG, Dangl JL, Mitchell-Olds T. 2016. Host

694   genotype and age shape the leaf and root microbiomes of a wild perennial plant. Nat

695   Commun 7:12151.

696   34. Zhang X, Ma Y-N, Wang X, Liao K, He S, Zhao X, Guo H, Zhao D, Wei H-L. 2022.

697   Dynamics of rice microbiomes reveal core vertically transmitted seed endophytes.

698   Microbiome 10:216.

35. Oshkin IY, Beck DAC, Lamb AE, Tchesnokova V, Benuska G, McTaggart TL,

Kalyuzhnaya MG, Dedysh SN, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L. 2015. Methane-fed

microbial microcosms show differential community dynamics and pinpoint taxa involved in

communal response. The ISME Journal 9:1119–1129.

36. Van Grinsven S, Sinninghe Damsté JS, Harrison J, Polerecky L, Villanueva L. 2021. Nitrate

promotes the transfer of methane-derived carbon from the methanotroph *Methylobacter* sp.

to the methylotroph *Methylotenera* sp. in eutrophic lake water. Limnology & Oceanography

66:878–891.

37. Karwautz C, Kus G, Stöckl M, Neu TR, Lueders T. 2018. Microbial megacities fueled by

methane oxidation in a mineral spring cave. The ISME Journal 12:87–100.

38. Schmitz RA, Peeters SH, Versantvoort W, Picone N, Pol A, Jetten MSM, Op den Camp

HJM. 2021. Verrucomicrobial methanotrophs: ecophysiology of metabolically versatile

acidophiles. FEMS Microbiol Rev 45:fuab007.

39. Brown CT, Hug LA, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Castelle CJ, Singh A, Wilkins MJ, Wrighton

KC, Williams KH, Banfield JF. 2015. Unusual biology across a group comprising more

than 15% of domain Bacteria. Nature 523:208–211.

40. Vecherskaya M, Dijkema C, Saad HR, Stams AJM. 2009. Microaerobic and anaerobic

metabolism of a Methylocystis parvus strain isolated from a denitrifying bioreactor.

Environmental Microbiology Reports 1:442–449.

41. Tays C, Guarnieri MT, Sauvageau D, Stein LY. 2018. Combined effects of carbon and

nitrogen source to optimize growth of proteobacterial methanotrophs. Front Microbiol

9:2239.

42. Kalyuzhnaya MG, Yang S, Rozova ON, Smalley NE, Clubb J, Lamb A, Gowda GAN,

Raftery D, Fu Y, Bringel F, Vuilleumier S, Beck DAC, Trotsenko YA, Khmelenina VN,

Lidstrom ME. 2013. Highly efficient methane biocatalysis revealed in a methanotrophic

bacterium. Nat Commun 4:2785.

43. Reis PCJ, Tsuji JM, Weiblen C, Schiff SL, Scott M, Stein LY, Neufeld JD. 2024. Enigmatic

persistence of aerobic methanotrophs in oxygen-limiting freshwater habitats. The ISME

Journal 18:wrae041.

44. Gavriilidou A, Gutleben J, Versluis D, Forgiarini F, van Passel MWJ, Ingham CJ, Smidt H,

Sipkema D. 2020. Comparative genomic analysis of Flavobacteriaceae: insights into

carbohydrate metabolism, gliding motility and secondary metabolite biosynthesis. BMC

Genomics 21:569.

45. Cao W, Cai Y, Bao Z, Wang S, Yan X, Jia Z. 2022. Methanotrophy Alleviates Nitrogen

Constraint of Carbon Turnover by Rice Root-Associated Microbiomes. Front Microbiol 13.

46. Ishii S, Ikeda S, Minamisawa K, Senoo K. 2011. Nitrogen Cycling in Rice Paddy

Environments: Past Achievements and Future Challenges. Microbes and Environments

26:282–292.

47. Roothans N, van Loosdrecht MCM, Laureni M. 2025. Metabolic labour division trade-offs

in denitrifying microbiomes. The ISME Journal 19:wraf020.

48. Rahalkar MC, Khatri K, Pandit P, Bahulikar RA, Mohite JA. 2021. Cultivation of Important

Methanotrophs From Indian Rice Fields. Front Microbiol 12:669244.

49. Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi A,

Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vázquez-Baeza Y,

González A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Zech Xu Z, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J, Zhu Q, Jin

Song S, Kosciolek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G, Owens SM,

Hampton-Marcell J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Clauset A, Stevens

RL, Shade A, Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA, Knight R. 2017. A

communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457–463.

50. Lundberg DS, Yourstone S, Mieczkowski P, Jones CD, Dangl JL. 2013. Practical

innovations for high-throughput amplicon sequencing. Nat Methods 10:999–1002.

51. Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A. 2014. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina

Paired-End reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics 30:614–620.

52. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.

53. Edgar RC. 2016. UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon

sequencing. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/081257.

54. Edgar RC. 2016. SINTAX: a simple non-Bayesian taxonomy classifier for 16S and ITS

sequences. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/074161.

55. Shaffer M, Thurimella K, Sterrett JD, Lozupone CA. 2023. SCNIC: Sparse correlation

network investigation for compositional data. Molecular Ecology Resources 23:312–325.

56. Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB,

Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H, Barbour M, Bedward M, Bolker B,

Borcard D, Borman T, Carvalho G, Chirico M, Caceres MD, Durand S, Evangelista HBA,

FitzJohn R, Friendly M, Furneaux B, Hannigan G, Hill MO, Lahti L, Martino C, McGlinn

D, Ouellette M-H, Cunha ER, Smith T, Stier A, Braak CJFT, Weedon J. 2025. vegan:

Community Ecology Package (2.6-10).

57. Wilkerson MD, Hayes DN. 2010. ConsensusClusterPlus: a class discovery tool with

confidence assessments and item tracking. Bioinformatics 26:1572–1573.

58. Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New

York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

59. Arkin AP, Cottingham RW, Henry CS, Harris NL, Stevens RL, Maslov S, Dehal P, Ware D,

Perez F, Canon S, Sneddon MW, Henderson ML, Riehl WJ, Murphy-Olson D, Chan SY,

Kamimura RT, Kumari S, Drake MM, Brettin TS, Glass EM, Chivian D, Gunter D, Weston

DJ, Allen BH, Baumohl J, Best AA, Bowen B, Brenner SE, Bun CC, Chandonia J-M, Chia

J-M, Colasanti R, Conrad N, Davis JJ, Davison BH, DeJongh M, Devoid S, Dietrich E,

Dubchak I, Edirisinghe JN, Fang G, Faria JP, Frybarger PM, Gerlach W, Gerstein M,

Greiner A, Gurtowski J, Haun HL, He F, Jain R, Joachimiak MP, Keegan KP, Kondo S,

Kumar V, Land ML, Meyer F, Mills M, Novichkov PS, Oh T, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Parrello

B, Pasternak S, Pearson E, Poon SS, Price GA, Ramakrishnan S, Ranjan P, Ronald PC,

Schatz MC, Seaver SMD, Shukla M, Sutormin RA, Syed MH, Thomason J, Tintle NL,

Wang D, Xia F, Yoo H, Yoo S, Yu D. 2018. KBase: The United States Department of

Energy Systems Biology Knowledgebase. Nat Biotechnol 36:566–569.

60. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Chaumeil P-A, Hugenholtz P. GTDB: an

ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically consistent,

rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy.

61. Rodriguez-R LM, Gunturu S, Harvey WT, Rosselló-Mora R, Tiedje JM, Cole JR,

Konstantinidis KT. 2018. The Microbial Genomes Atlas (MiGA) webserver: taxonomic and

gene diversity analysis of Archaea and Bacteria at the whole genome level. Nucleic Acids

Res 46:W282–W288.

62. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using

DIAMOND. Nat Methods 12:59–60.

63. Salcher MM, Schaefle D, Kaspar M, Neuenschwander SM, Ghai R. 2019. Evolution in

action: habitat transition from sediment to the pelagial leads to genome streamlining in

Methylophilaceae. ISME J 13:2764–2777.

64. Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. PLOS Computational Biology

7:e1002195.

65. Karaoz U, Brodie EL. 2022. microTrait: A Toolset for a Trait-Based Representation of

Microbial Genomes. Front Bioinform 2.

Figure 1. Taxonomic diversity and dynamics of methane-fed enrichment cultures. A) Taxa

bar plot showing the overall diversity and major families in the enrichment cultures at the final

sequenced timepoint. Individual ASVs within each family are separated by white lines. **B)**

Relative abundance of putative methanotroph ASVs over time in each enrichment. Under each

header (e.g. "Biggs rhizo 1"), the enrichments are arranged from left to right in order of

increasing inoculum dilution (i.e., 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}). **C)** The number of detected ASVs that were

classified as methanotrophs or non-methanotrophs in each enrichment at the final timepoint. **D-**

E) The number of ASVs detected (D) or Pielou evenness (E) of the enrichments over time,

separated by sample type. **F)** The number of ASVs detected in the enrichments at the final

timepoint, separated by sample type or by inoculum dilution. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$, ANOVA

followed by Tukey HSD.

Figure 2. Impact of external variables on enrichment community beta diversity. A) PCoA

plots of all sequenced enrichment samples, colored by time point. **B)** The same plots as in (A),

but colored by field site. **C)** The same plots as in (A), but colored by sample type. **D)** Jaccard and

weighted UniFrac distances for enrichments inoculated from different replicate plant parts

818   ("between") versus enrichments inoculated from the same replicate plant part (albeit at different

819   dilutions; "within").

Figure 3. Consensus clustering analysis of enrichment communities. A) Delta area plot

showing the relative change in area under the cumulative distribution function (CDF) curve for k

versus $k − 1$. The optimal number of clusters is usually considered to be the value of k at which

there is no longer an appreciable increase in area under the CDF. **B)** The CDFs of the consensus

matrix for each k (indicated by colors), estimated by a histogram of 100 bins. **C)** The consensus

matrix for $k = 9$. Darker blues in the matrix indicate higher consensus. **D)** Taxa bar plots for the

samples in each consensus cluster for $k = 9$.

Figure 4. Presence of CPR bacteria in methane-fed enrichments. A) Taxa bar plot highlighting the six unclassified bacterial ASVs that appeared at a relative abundance of >5% at least three times (whether in different enrichments or multiple timepoints of a single enrichment). **B)** SEM images showing the putative CPR epibiont, marked with a white arrow.

835   Scale bar = 300 nm. **C)** Taxa bar plots highlighting ASV607 along with the two candidates for its

836   host based on correlation analysis.

Figure 5. Putative carbon source utilization pathways in non-methanotrophic isolates from methane-fed enrichments. The presence of pathways for utilizing 62 common carbon sources was assessed for 38 isolate genomes using GapMind. Pathways were considered high, medium, or low confidence/missing according to the lowest confidence level for any step in the pathway (e.g. a pathway in which only a low-confidence hit was found for at least one step was considered low confidence overall).

Figure 6. Genomic capacity for methanol catabolism in non-methanotrophic isolates from methane-fed enrichments. Presence of homologs of known methanol dehydrogenases and the genes needed for the three known bacterial C1 assimilation pathways. "Alt. MDH" = alternative methanol dehydrogenase other than the classical Mxa and Xox types. Only strains possessing at least one putative methanol dehydrogenase are shown. Homologs were detected using DIAMOND for methanol dehydrogenases (cutoffs: >40% identity and >80% coverage, with "maybe" denoting a hit with 37-39% ID and >80% coverage, or >40% identity and 75-79% coverage), and HMMER for C1 assimilation genes (cutoffs: e-value < 10^{-5} and coverage >80%).

Figure 7. Genomic capacity for nitrogen fixation and denitrification in isolates from methane-fed enrichments. Presence of homologs of key genes needed for nitrogen fixation and the four steps of denitrification. Homologs were detected using microTrait on KBase. Nap and Nar are alternative nitrate reductases—i.e. only one of the two is needed to reduce nitrate to nitrite. Similarly, for reduction of nitrite to nitric oxide, either NirK or NirS is sufficient.

863 **Table 1. PERMANOVA and PERMDISP statistical test results.**

Distance metric	Test	Independent variable	R^2	Pseudo-F	Pr(>F)
Jaccard	PERMANOVA	Timepoint	0.04	2.0427	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMANOVA	Timepoint	0.08	3.8124	0.001
Jaccard	PERMDISP	Timepoint	NA	5.8664	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMDISP	Timepoint	NA	8.0389	0.001
Jaccard	PERMANOVA	Field site	0.05	25.623	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMANOVA	Field site	0.03	14.035	0.001
Jaccard	PERMDISP	Field site	NA	50.335	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMDISP	Field site	NA	19.947	0.001
Jaccard	PERMANOVA	Sample type	0.07	20.108	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMANOVA	Sample type	0.13	39.414	0.001
Jaccard	PERMDISP	Sample type	NA	51.113	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMDISP	Sample type	NA	17.582	0.001
Jaccard	PERMANOVA	Enrichment ID	0.52	10.412	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMANOVA	Enrichment ID	0.44	7.4642	0.001
Jaccard	PERMANOVA	Replicate (marginal effect*)	0.01	7.9084	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMANOVA	Replicate (marginal effect*)	0.01	7.9996	0.001
Jaccard	PERMANOVA	Dilution (marginal effect*)	0.02	6.1119	0.001
Weighted UniFrac	PERMANOVA	Dilution (marginal effect*)	0.02	7.8126	0.001

864 *Marginal effect indicates the effect of the term after taking into account all other terms in the
865 model (the terms were timepoint, field site, sample type, replicate, and dilution).