Lipid interactions are important for the Tol-Pal complex in maintaining outer membrane lipid homeostasis

Nadege Zi-Lin Lim[1,2], Robin A Corey[3,4], Wei-Chuen Poh[1], Phillip J Stansfeld[5], and Shu-Sin Chng[1,6,*]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543

[2]National University of Singapore Graduate School for Integrative Sciences and Engineering, Singapore 117456

[3]Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QU, United Kingdom

[4]Current address: School of Physiology, Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TD, United Kingdom

[5]School of Life Sciences and Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

[6]Singapore Center for Environmental Life Sciences Engineering, National University of Singapore (SCELSE-NUS), Singapore 117456

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

E-mail: chmchngs@nus.edu.sg

<u>Abstract:</u>

Gram-negative bacteria are intrinsically resistant to many antibiotics in part due to the
asymmetric architecture and barrier function of their outer membrane (OM). To establish
proper lipid asymmetry, cells need to ensure an intricate balance of constituent OM
components, especially lipids. In this regard, the conserved, trans-envelope Tol-Pal complex
plays a primary role in maintaining OM lipid homeostasis, thus OM integrity, possibly via
retrograde phospholipid transport. However, mechanistic details for this process are unknown,
owing to the lack of evidence for direct lipid binding. In this study, we discover that the
periplasmic protein TolB, a key component of the Tol-Pal system, associates directly with
membranes in vitro, via specific interactions with cardiolipin (CL). Using coarse-grained
molecular dynamics simulations, we identify a CL-binding site on TolB; a single amino acid
mutation at this site abolishes in vitro membrane interaction, consequently impairing cellular
Tol-Pal function in maintaining OM homeostasis in *Escherichia coli*. Curiously, we find that the
functional requirement for TolB-CL interactions can be bypassed in cells lacking CL,
suggesting compensatory effects through other lipids. Our findings reveal a previously
unappreciated lipid-binding role for TolB, and provide novel insights into how the Tol-Pal
complex may facilitate phospholipid transport across the cell envelope. Our work will inform
future strategies towards developing new antibiotics against Gram-negative bacteria.

<u>Significance:</u>

The integrity of a biological membrane enables the definition of cellular boundaries, and of life.
In Gram-negative bacteria, the outer membrane presents a formidable barrier against
antibiotics, making it a key contributor to intrinsic antimicrobial resistance. While the Tol-Pal
complex is known to ensure outer membrane stability and lipid homeostasis, its precise
mechanism remains elusive. This study reveals a direct lipid-binding role for TolB, a
periplasmic component of the complex, and identifies cardiolipin as a critical interacting partner.
Our work establishes a novel dimension of Tol-Pal function in outer membrane lipid
homeostasis and transport, opening new avenues for targeting envelope maintenance
systems in Gram-negative pathogens.

Introduction:

Gram-negative infections pose a significant threat to global health due to existing/intrinsic and emerging/acquired resistance to antibiotics.[1] A large part of such antibiotic resistance stems from the formidable barrier conferred by the complex cell envelope, particularly the outer membrane (OM), which encapsulates the peptidoglycan layer and the inner membrane (IM).[2] Consequently, a great many efforts have been focused on understanding Gram-negative OM biogenesis and homeostasis, culminating in well-established mechanisms for the assembly of major OM components, including β-barrel proteins,[3] lipoproteins,[4] and lipopolysaccharides (LPS).[5] Even so, little is known about the bidirectional transport of bulk phospholipids (PLs), the most basic building block of any bilayer, across the cell envelope.[6-8] In this regard, AsmA superfamily proteins and the Tol-Pal complex have only recently been implicated in anterograde (IM-to-OM) and retrograde (OM-to-IM) PL transport, respectively.[9-13]

The Tol-Pal complex is critically important for OM stability and lipid homeostasis.[10, 11] In the absence of the Tol-Pal complex, cells accumulate excess PLs in the OM; such lipid imbalance gives rise to OM instability and permeability defects, characterized by hypervesiculation, and severe sensitivity to antibiotics and detergents.[14-17] In fact, *tol-pal* deletion cells exhibit slower retrograde PL transport, suggesting critical involvement in the process.[11] The Tol-Pal complex is also involved in cell division; constituent components are enriched at mid-cell during division, and mutants display defects in OM constriction, and/or septal cell wall separation (under hypoosmotic conditions).[18, 19] However, it has now been established that septal localization of the Tol-Pal complex is not required for OM integrity, underscoring its primary role in OM lipid homeostasis and transport.[10]

The Tol-Pal complex comprises the TolQRA and TolB-Pal sub-complexes at the IM and the OM, respectively.[20] Pal is an OM lipoprotein that either binds the cell wall,[21-23] thus tethering the OM, or interacts with the periplasmic protein TolB.[21, 24] The TolQRA sub-complex harnesses the proton motive force (pmf) to transduce a force on the TolB-Pal sub-complex, through TolA-TolB interaction across the cell envelope.[20] While such force transduction is believed to be important for localization of Pal to the division site, and is hijacked by colicins for cellular entry,[20] how the same mechanism contributes to the main function of the Tol-Pal complex in OM lipid homeostasis is unclear.[10, 11, 18, 25] It has been proposed that the Tol-Pal complex directly mediates retrograde transport of bulk PLs.[11] Yet, there is no evidence of lipid binding by any of the Tol-Pal components.

In this study, we establish that the function of TolB requires direct interaction with membranes, with specificity for a particular PL species, cardiolipin (CL). While trying to characterize TolB-

Pal interaction at the membrane in vitro, we serendipitously discover that TolB alone binds

directly to liposomes. In addition, we find that this membrane association depends on the

presence of CL, both in vitro and in silico. Furthermore, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

revealed a putative CL-binding site on TolB; we demonstrate that a specific mutation at this

site disrupts TolB interactions with CL-containing membranes, as well as its cellular function.

Our work underscores the functional relevance of TolB-membrane association, and provides

novel insights into how the Tol-Pal complex may mediate PL transport.

Results:

TolB interacts with membranes.

We initially set out to study how TolB interacts with Pal in a native environment. The strong

interaction between TolB and Pal was previously observed using purified full-length TolB and

truncated Pal proteins that are entirely soluble in aqueous solution.[24] However, full-length Pal

is a lipoprotein anchored to the inner leaflet of the OM via its N-terminal triacyl modification,

and it is not known how the TolB-Pal interaction may be affected at/near a lipid bilayer.

Therefore, we reconstituted Pal into liposomes, and examine its interaction with TolB using

isothermal calorimetry (ITC). In our proteoliposomes derived from *E. coli* polar lipids, ~80% of

Pal is anchored on the surface, accessible to TolB added in the external solution (Fig S1). We

first showed that control titrations of TolB into buffer or buffer into Pal proteoliposomes gave

negligible background heat changes. Interestingly, we also did not observe significant signals

between TolB and Pal proteoliposomes, in contrast to strong heat changes detected between

TolB and soluble Pal under identical conditions (Fig 1A).[24] This unexpected result suggests

either that TolB cannot bind Pal at the membrane, or that other interactions, possibly with the

bilayer, mask TolB-Pal binding.

We hypothesized that TolB may interact with membranes, therefore titrated TolB into empty

liposomes made from *E. coli* polar lipids, comprising phosphatidylethanolamine (PE):

phosphatidylglycerol (PG):CL in a ratio of ~67:23:10. Remarkably, we detected endothermic

heat changes between TolB and liposomes. As a control, the soluble domain of the OM

lipoprotein LolB (sLolB) exhibited no interaction with empty liposomes (Fig 1B).[4] Furthermore,

we found that TolB, but not sLolB, affected the sedimentation of liposomes in an in vitro assay

(Fig S2). Taken together, our results demonstrate that TolB interacts directly with the lipid

bilayer.

TolB exhibits specificity for CL binding.

To understand the nature of interaction between TolB and the lipid bilayer, we also examined TolB binding to empty liposomes derived from synthetic lipids representing major species in *E. coli* (PE:PG 3:1). Interestingly, we did not observe any heat changes when TolB was titrated into these synthetic lipid liposomes (Fig 1B). TolB also did not impact these liposomes during sedimentation in vitro (Fig S2), consistent with the lack of interaction. A notable distinction between liposomes derived from synthetic lipids or *E. coli* polar lipids is the presence of CL in the latter, suggesting that TolB interacts with membranes in a CL-dependent manner.

To explore the possibility of TolB-CL interaction, we conducted interaction studies in silico using coarse-grained (CG) molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. We positioned TolB ~8 nm from membranes approximating our in vitro setup, namely PE:PG:CL at 67:23:10 (+CL), or PE:PG at 75:25 (-CL). By running 5 x 10 μs simulations in each condition, we were able to follow prospective interactions of TolB with either membrane. In the absence of CL, the distance between TolB and the bilayer fluctuated constantly, indicating brief and unstable interactions (Fig 2A). In stark contrast, when CL is present, TolB rapidly binds to the bilayer and stays bound throughout the simulations (Fig 2A). Averaging the overall time that TolB remains bound to the membrane (in all binding poses) over the 5 runs revealed an extremely high membrane binding likelihood in the presence of CL, ca. 91% vs 39% when CL was absent. These data corroborate our experimental observation that TolB interacts with membranes in a CL-dependent manner.

Disrupting the CL binding ability of TolB abolishes its function in OM lipid homeostasis.

We employed PyLipID, a software tool designed for predicting lipid binding sites within proteins, to identify possible CL binding sites on TolB based on our CG-MD data.[26, 27] PyLipID enables the calculation of residence times and binding probabilities between proteins and lipid molecules from MD simulations. Our analysis revealed that TolB indeed harbors a CL-binding site, which remained occupied with CL for ~81% of the total simulation time, clustering on average ~4.4 CL molecules via their head groups (Fig 2B). Considering binding through this site alone, membrane binding likelihood of TolB effectively reduced to zero in the absence of CL (Fig 2C). TolB contains a C-terminal six-bladed β-propeller domain linked to a short peptide, termed the 'TolA box', at the N terminus, via a α/β globular domain.[28] The TolA box and the β-propeller domain interact with TolA and Pal, respectively.[24, 28, 29] The putative CL-binding site comprises residues from 4 adjacent loop regions in the β-propeller domain of TolB, collectively presenting 4 positively charged side chains (Arg^{223}, Arg^{244}, Lys^{264}, Arg^{287}) for interaction with

the phosphate moieties in the CL head groups (Fig 2D).[27] These Arg/Lys residues, along with
neighbouring side chains, all exhibit ~60% median CL occupancy (Fig 2B).

To investigate the importance of the putative CL-binding site, we substituted each Arg/Lys
position with either alanine or glutamate, and assessed whether these mutations perturbed
TolB function. The alanine variants behaved similarly to wildtype TolB (Fig 3A). Remarkably,
we found that R244E rendered this TolB charge-swapped variant incapable of rescuing
sensitivity to SDS-EDTA and vancomycin in the ΔtolB strain (Fig 3A, 4A), as well as the
increased cell width phenotype associated with OM lipid dyshomeostasis (Fig 4B).[10]
Importantly, this mutation did not impact TolB stability (Fig S3A), its ability to facilitate colicin A
entry (Fig S3B), nor its cellular interaction with Pal (Fig S4), indicating a true and specific loss
of function in maintaining OM integrity. In fact, we demonstrated that TolB[R244E] failed to restore
OM defects in a strain expressing a chimeric version of the Tol-Pal complex, whose only
function is in OM lipid homeostasis along the cell periphery (Fig 3B).[10] These findings indicate
that the functional impairment caused by the R244E mutation is most likely directly linked to
the disruption of TolB-CL binding.

R244 occupies a central position within the predicted CL-binding site. Therefore, we
hypothesize that changing this positively charged residue to a negative one (R244E) could
introduce repulsive interactions with the negatively charged head groups of CL, and disrupt
binding. Consistent with this idea, introduction of R244E in silico significantly reduced the
binding likelihood of TolB to CL-containing membranes, to ~49% chance (Fig 3C). We further
demonstrated that TolB[R244E] no longer exhibits significant heat changes when titrated into
liposomes derived from *E. coli* polar lipids (Fig 3D), indicating loss of its ability to bind CL.
TolB[R244E] also does not affect liposome sedimentation (Fig S5). Taken together, we conclude
that CL binding via R244 is critical for TolB function as part of the Tol-Pal complex to maintain
OM lipid homeostasis in wildtype cells.

The requirement for TolB-CL binding for OM lipid homeostasis is bypassed in strains
lacking CL.

Since CL binding is important for TolB function, one might expect CL-deficient strains to exhibit
phenotypes associated with an impaired Tol-Pal complex. However, we found that ΔclsABC
mutations (Δcls) do not cause apparent OM permeability defects (Fig S6). These observations
suggest that the presence of CL may not be strictly essential for Tol-Pal function. To further
test this idea, we examined whether the CL binding ability of TolB is still required in the Δcls
mutant. TolB[R244E] was produced at comparable levels to wildtype TolB in ΔtolB strains (Fig S7).
To our surprise, TolB[R244E] was able to rescue sensitivity of Δcls ΔtolB strain to SDS-EDTA and

vancomycin, to a large extent (Fig 4A). This mutation is even able to rescue the width defect

in Δ*tolB* cells in the absence of CL (Fig 4B), overall demonstrating that TolB^{R244E} remains

functional in OM lipid homeostasis in cells lacking CL. Collectively, our results suggest that

although CL-binding is important for TolB activity in wild-type cells, this requirement is

bypassed when CL is absent, potentially due to compensatory changes in the membrane

environment.

TolB-CL binding is important for proper cell division

Despite having a primary function in maintaining OM lipid homeostasis, the Tol-Pal complex

is recruited to mid-cell to facilitate OM invagination and/or septal cell wall separation. These

roles during cell division are thought to involve Pal-mediated OM-cell wall tethering,

modulation of cell wall enzymes, and/or possible OM lipid remodelling.[10, 18, 19] We examined

the impact of TolB^{R244E} on cell division phenotypes, and found that cells expressing this variant

alone exhibited impaired growth and cell chaining under low osmolarity conditions (Fig S8).

Using TolB-sfGFP constructs expressed at comparable levels (Fig S9), we showed that R244E

did not affect enrichment of TolB to the mid cell, under normal osmolarity conditions (Fig 5A).

Furthermore, Pal-mCherry still localized to the cell septum in the presence of TolB^{R244E}, albeit

less strongly than in strains expressing wildtype TolB (Fig 5C). Interestingly, removing CL (Δ*cls*)

also enabled TolB^{R244E} to partially rescue growth defects, though not cell chaining, under low

osmolarity conditions (Fig S7). While strains lacking CL overall exhibited increased Pal

localization to mid cell, it was still weaker for TolB^{R244E}-expressing cells (Fig 5B and D).

Regardless of whether CL was available, however, Pal recruitment to the division site was still

significant when TolB^{R244E} was present, as opposed to no enrichment in the absence of TolB

(Fig 5C and D), to facilitate OM-cell wall tethering. We conclude that loss of TolB-CL binding

may impact cell division instead via its effect on OM lipid homeostasis.

Discussion:

How the Tol-Pal complex maintains OM lipid homeostasis has remained elusive. While it has

been implicated in retrograde transport of bulk PLs, there has been a lack of evidence for any

protein-lipid interaction within the Tol-Pal complex.[10, 11] In this study, we have uncovered the

ability of the periplasmic protein, TolB, to bind lipid membranes in a CL-specific manner. We

have shown that disruption of a putative CL-binding site on TolB abolished binding of CL-

containing membranes, and impaired overall cellular function in OM lipid homeostasis.

Interestingly, CL-binding became dispensable for Tol-Pal function in cells lacking CL,

suggesting possible compensatory effects of other membrane lipids. Overall, our work
provides critical insights into the direct involvement of the Tol-Pal complex in lipid transport.

The discovery of TolB-CL interaction facilitates new ideas on how the Tol-Pal complex may
maintain OM lipid homeostasis and transport. Due to its cone-shaped structure, CL is generally
believed to be a non-bilayer lipid, which may preferentially localize to membrane regions of
high (negative) curvature.[30] This property is often attributed for why CL is enriched at the cell
poles,[31] albeit along with PG, a cylindrical bilayer lipid. In this vein, it is possible that CL would
be found in other highly curved or strained membrane regions around the cell periphery. In
cells lacking the Tol-Pal complex, excess PLs accumulate in the OM,[11] which may induce local
"ruffling" of the membrane, particularly in the inner leaflet. We speculate that CL may localize
to such bulges in the OM, presumably of high curvature, therefore marking sites of excess
lipids for TolB recognition. This process could be aided by Pal binding to TolB, positioning TolB
closer to the inner leaflet of the OM. Binding of TolB to CL at these sites may facilitate
subsequent interactions with TolA, which exerts a pulling force via the TolQR motor-stator
complex at the IM. Therefore, CL may serve as a spatial cue for TolB recruitment, enabling
the Tol-Pal complex to possibly mediate lipid transport, and maintain OM homeostasis. In the
absence of CL, and perhaps only so, we reason that other non-bilayer lipids, e.g. PA or PE,
could play compensatory role(s), rendering TolB-CL interactions non-essential. Further
(biophysical) characterization of TolB-membrane binding will be critical. Given the essential
role of TolB in OM homeostasis, its membrane-binding activity could be exploited as a potential
drug target.

The primary function of the Tol-Pal complex is to maintain OM lipid homeostasis, possibly via
retrograde PL transport.[10, 11] In this context, given its ability to bind membranes, TolB is quite
likely the main effector. Interestingly, the identified binding site to CL lies in the C-terminal β-
propeller domain of TolB, lining the region(s) where TolB interacts with colicins or with Pal.[24,
32] We propose that TolB binding to the membrane bulges may allow its N-terminal "TolA box"
to become accessible (to TolA), similar to the effect of binding to colicins, instead of Pal. The
pulling force thus transduced by the TolQRA complex on TolB and its associated OM leaflet
could bring this assembly closer to the IM, eventually leading to hemifusion, and possibly
retrograde diffusion of PLs across a membrane bridge.[13] Here, the role of Pal as a cell wall
anchor may define permissive sites across the peptidoglycan mesh, and facilitate such trans-
envelope membrane dynamics while preserving overall cell envelope integrity. This
mechanistic working model, while speculative, provides a useful framework for our continued
understanding of the Tol-Pal complex and its function in OM lipid homeostasis and transport
in Gram-negative bacteria.

Material and Methods:

Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

The strains, plasmids and primers used were summarized in Table S1-4. All strains were grown in lysogeny broth (LB – 1% (w/v) tryptone and 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract with or without 1% (w/v) NaCl) liquid culture at 37 °C or on solid LB agar plates (1.5% (w/v) Bacto-Agar added to LB media) supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/mL), spectinomycin (20 μg/mL), chloramphenicol (30 μg/mL), 1 mM Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The gene deletion alleles were constructed using λ Red recombination and transduced into strains using P1 transduction.[33] Plasmids expressing TolB-sfGFP, Pal-mCherry, TolB-Pal-mCherry were constructed using Gibson assembly.[34]

Isothermal Calorimetry (ITC)

TolB, sPal and Pal proteoliposomes in PBS were used for ITC. All proteins used in the experiment were in the same buffer (20 mM phosphate, 300 mM NaCl) to ensure no heat release from the mixture of different buffers. Prior to performing ITC, samples were centrifuged (10,000 x g, 4 °C, 5mins) to remove any precipitate. ITC was carried using NanoITC Microcalorimeter (TA Instruments). 50 μL of TolB at concentration of 140 μM (7 nmol) was injected into 20μM (166 μL, 3.32 nmol) of sPal or Pal proteoliposomes over 48 injections with an interval of 220 seconds. Experiments were carried out at 25 °C. 4.15 nmol of Pal proteins were used to generate Pal proteoliposomes, with ~80% surface-exposed (~3.32 nmol).

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations

Membrane binding of TolB was assayed using coarse-grained (CG) simulations. The monomeric TolB crystal structure was used as an input [Protein Data Bank ID code 2W8B; chain A.[24] Protein atoms were converted to the CG Martini 3 force field[35] using the martinize2 method.[36] Additional bonds of 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2} were applied between all protein backbone beads within 1 nm. Proteins were oriented randomly using the Gromacs *editconf* tool, with a different starting orientation for each repeat. Proteins were placed 8 nm from a membrane (centre-of-mass distance), which were built using the insane protocol.[37] Simulation boxes were 11 x 11 x 21 nm. All systems were solvated with Martini waters and Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions to a neutral charge and 150 mM. Systems were minimized using the steepest descents method, followed by 1 ns equilibration with 5 fs time steps, then by 100 ns equilibration with 20 fs time steps, before 10 x 10 μs production simulations using 20 fs time steps, all in the NPT ensemble at 323 K with the V-rescale thermostat (τ_t = 1.0 ps) and semi-isotropic Parrinello-Rahman pressure coupling at 1 bar (τ_p = 12.0 ps). The Reaction-Field method was used to model long-range electrostatic interactions. Bond lengths were constrained to their equilibrium values

286 using the LINCS algorithm. Simulations were run in Gromacs 2020.3.[38, 39] Plots were made

287 using Prism 10. MD snapshots were made using VMD.[40] Data were analysed using Gromacs

288 tools and the PyLipID package.[26]

Efficiency of plating (EOP)

Strains were grown to mid-log (OD_{600} ~0.6) and normalized to an OD_{600} of 0.1. 10-fold dilution

was performed to obtain a range of concentration of strains. 5µL of these diluted cultures were

spotted on LB agar plates containing 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)/ 0.5 mM

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 60 µg/mL vancomycin or without NaCl, unless

otherwise stated. The LB agar plates were then incubated at 37 ^{o}C (for SDS EDTA and

vancomycin plates) or at 42 ^{o}C (for plates without NaCl) for approximately 18hrs. All results

shown are representative of at least three independent replicates.

Microscopy

Overnight cultures of the strains were grown in LB and diluted (1:2000) in fresh media. The

sub-cultures were grown to mid-log (~ 3 hrs) and concentrated 10-fold by centrifugation (3,000

x *g*, 5 mins). 10 µL of the sample was spotted on an agarose pad (1% agarose, in LB medium)

and viewed under a confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000 Confocal Microscope). Images

were analysed using ImageJ/FIJI (version 1.53c) and the MicrobeJ (version 5.13I) plugin.[41, 42]

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Jiang Hui Wu for her initial contributions in identifying residues

for TolB-Pal crosslinking. We are grateful to Denis Duché (CNRS, Aix-Marseille Université) for

providing the α-TolB and α-Pal antisera. We also thank Wee Boon Tan for useful and critical

discussions. N.Z.-L.L. was supported by the National University of Singapore Graduate

School of Integrative Sciences and Engineering Scholarship (ISEP). This work was supported

by the Singapore Ministry of Health National Medical Research Council under its Open Fund

Individual Research Grant (MOH-000145) and the Singapore Ministry of Education Academic

Research Fund Tier 1 grant (National University of Singapore-Faculty of Science Preparatory

Grant Scheme) (both to S.-S.C.). RAC was funded by Wellcome (208361/Z/17/Z). PJS's lab

was funded by Wellcome, MRC, BBSRC, EPSRC, NIH, JPIAMR and the Howard Dalton

Centre. This project made use of time on ARCHER2 granted via the UK High-End Computing

Consortium for Biomolecular Simulation, HECBioSim (http://www.hecbiosim.ac.uk), supported

by EPSRC (grant no. EP/R029407/1). PJS would like to thank the SCRTP at Warwick for use

of the computing infrastructure. P.J.S. acknowledges Sulis at HPC Midlands+, which was

funded by the EPSRC on grant EP/T022108/1.

References:

1. Organization, W. H., *WHO bacterial priority pathogens list, 2024: bacterial pathogens*
of public health importance, to guide research, development, and strategies to
prevent and control antimicrobial resistance. World Health Organization: 2024.
2. Nikaido, H., Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev **2003,** *67* (4), 593-656.
3. Tomasek, D.; Kahne, D., The assembly of β-barrel outer membrane proteins. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol **2021,** *60*, 16-23.
4. Okuda, S.; Tokuda, H., Lipoprotein Sorting in Bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*
2011, *65* (Volume 65, 2011), 239-259.
5. Simpson, B. W.; May, J. M.; Sherman, D. J.; Kahne, D.; Ruiz, N., Lipopolysaccharide
transport to the cell surface: biosynthesis and extraction from the inner membrane.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **2015,** *370*
(1679), 20150029.
6. Jones, N. C.; Osborn, M. J., Translocation of phospholipids between the outer and
inner membranes of Salmonella typhimurium. *J Biol Chem* **1977,** *252* (20), 7405-12.
7. Donohue-Rolfe, A. M.; Schaechter, M., Translocation of phospholipids from the inner
to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **1980,** *77* (4),
1867-71.
8. Langley, K. E.; Hawrot, E.; Kennedy, E. P., Membrane assembly: movement of
phosphatidylserine between the cytoplasmic and outer membranes of Escherichia
coli. *J Bacteriol* **1982,** *152* (3), 1033-41.
9. Ruiz, N.; Davis, R. M.; Kumar, S., YhdP, TamB, and YdbH Are Redundant but Essential
for Growth and Lipid Homeostasis of the Gram-Negative Outer Membrane. *mBio*
2021, *12* (6), e0271421.
10. Tan, W. B.; Chng, S.-S., Primary role of the Tol-Pal complex in bacterial outer
membrane lipid homeostasis. *Nature Communications* **2025,** *16* (1), 2293.
11. Shrivastava, R.; Jiang, X. E.; Chng, S.-S., Outer membrane lipid homeostasis via
retrograde phospholipid transport in Escherichia coli. *Molecular Microbiology* **2017,**
106 (3), 395-408.
12. Douglass, M. V.; McLean, A. B.; Trent, M. S., Absence of YhdP, TamB, and YdbH leads
to defects in glycerophospholipid transport and cell morphology in Gram-negative
bacteria. *PLoS Genet* **2022,** *18* (2), e1010096.
13. Tan, W. B.; Chng, S. S., How Bacteria Establish and Maintain Outer Membrane Lipid
Asymmetry. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **2024,** *78* (1), 553-573.
14. Bernadac, A.; Gavioli, M.; Lazzaroni, J. C.; Raina, S.; Lloubès, R., Escherichia coli
tol-pal mutants form outer membrane vesicles. *J Bacteriol* **1998,** *180* (18), 4872-8.
15. Lloubès, R.; Cascales, E.; Walburger, A.; Bouveret, E.; Lazdunski, C.; Bernadac, A.;
Journet, L., The Tol-Pal proteins of the Escherichia coli cell envelope: an energized
system required for outer membrane integrity? *Res Microbiol* **2001,** *152* (6), 523-9.
16. Lopes, J.; Gottfried, S.; Rothfield, L., Leakage of periplasmic enzymes by mutants of
Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium: isolation of "periplasmic leaky"
mutants. *J Bacteriol* **1972,** *109* (2), 520-5.
17. Kowata, H.; Tochigi, S.; Kusano, T.; Kojima, S., Quantitative measurement of the
outer membrane permeability in Escherichia coli lpp and tol–pal mutants defines the
significance of Tol–Pal function for maintaining drug resistance. *The Journal of*
Antibiotics **2016,** *69* (12), 863-870.

18. Gerding, M. A.; Ogata, Y.; Pecora, N. D.; Niki, H.; de Boer, P. A., The trans-envelope
Tol-Pal complex is part of the cell division machinery and required for proper outer-
membrane invagination during cell constriction in E. coli. *Mol Microbiol* **2007,** *63* (4),
1008-25.
19. Yakhnina, A. A.; Bernhardt, T. G., The Tol-Pal system is required for peptidoglycan-
cleaving enzymes to complete bacterial cell division. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **2020,** *117* (12), 6777-6783.
20. Szczepaniak, J.; Press, C.; Kleanthous, C., The multifarious roles of Tol-Pal in Gram-
negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **2020,** *44* (4), 490-506.
21. Bouveret, E.; Bénédetti, H.; Rigal, A.; Loret, E.; Lazdunski, C., In vitro
characterization of peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL)-peptidoglycan and
PAL-TolB interactions. *J Bacteriol* **1999,** *181* (20), 6306-11.
22. Lazzaroni, J. C.; Portalier, R., The excC gene of Escherichia coli K-12 required for cell
envelope integrity encodes the peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL). *Mol*
Microbiol **1992,** *6* (6), 735-42.
23. Parsons, L. M.; Lin, F.; Orban, J., Peptidoglycan recognition by Pal, an outer
membrane lipoprotein. *Biochemistry* **2006,** *45* (7), 2122-8.
24. Bonsor, D. A.; Hecht, O.; Vankemmelbeke, M.; Sharma, A.; Krachler, A. M.;
Housden, N. G.; Lilly, K. J.; James, R.; Moore, G. R.; Kleanthous, C., Allosteric β-
propeller signalling in TolB and its manipulation by translocating colicins. *The EMBO*
Journal **2009,** *28* (18), 2846-2857-2857.
25. Nagel de Zwaig, R.; Luria, S. E., Genetics and physiology of colicin-tolerant mutants
of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **1967,** *94* (4), 1112-23.
26. Song, W.; Corey, R. A.; Ansell, T. B.; Cassidy, C. K.; Horrell, M. R.; Duncan, A. L.;
Stansfeld, P. J.; Sansom, M. S. P., PyLipID: A Python Package for Analysis of Protein–
Lipid Interactions from Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Journal of Chemical*
Theory and Computation **2022,** *18* (2), 1188-1201.
27. Corey, R. A.; Song, W.; Duncan, A. L.; Ansell, T. B.; Sansom, M. S. P.; Stansfeld, P. J.,
Identification and assessment of cardiolipin interactions with E. coli inner
membrane proteins. *Sci Adv* **2021,** *7* (34).
28. Abergel, C.; Bouveret, E.; Claverie, J. M.; Brown, K.; Rigal, A.; Lazdunski, C.;
Bénédetti, H., Structure of the Escherichia coli TolB protein determined by MAD
methods at 1.95 A resolution. *Structure* **1999,** *7* (10), 1291-300.
29. Szczepaniak, J.; Holmes, P.; Rajasekar, K.; Kaminska, R.; Samsudin, F.; Inns, P. G.;
Rassam, P.; Khalid, S.; Murray, S. M.; Redfield, C.; Kleanthous, C., The lipoprotein
Pal stabilises the bacterial outer membrane during constriction by a mobilisation-
and-capture mechanism. *Nature Communications* **2020,** *11* (1), 1305.
30. Beltrán-Heredia, E.; Tsai, F.-C.; Salinas-Almaguer, S.; Cao, F. J.; Bassereau, P.;
Monroy, F., Membrane curvature induces cardiolipin sorting. *Communications*
Biology **2019,** *2* (1), 225.
31. Oliver, P. M.; Crooks, J. A.; Leidl, M.; Yoon, E. J.; Saghatelian, A.; Weibel, D. B.,
Localization of anionic phospholipids in Escherichia coli cells. *J Bacteriol* **2014,** *196*
(19), 3386-98.
32. Zhang, Y.; Li, C.; Vankemmelbeke, M. N.; Bardelang, P.; Paoli, M.; Penfold, C. N.;
James, R., The crystal structure of the TolB box of colicin A in complex with TolB
reveals important differences in the recruitment of the common TolB translocation
portal used by group A colicins. *Mol Microbiol* **2010,** *75* (3), 623-36.

33. Datsenko, K. A.; Wanner, B. L., One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
<i>Escherichia coli</i> K-12 using PCR products. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **2000,** *97* (12), 6640-6645.
34. Gibson, D. G.; Young, L.; Chuang, R.-Y.; Venter, J. C.; Hutchison, C. A.; Smith, H. O.,
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature*
Methods **2009,** *6* (5), 343-345.
35. Souza, P. C. T.; Alessandri, R.; Barnoud, J.; Thallmair, S.; Faustino, I.; Grünewald,
F.; Patmanidis, I.; Abdizadeh, H.; Bruininks, B. M. H.; Wassenaar, T. A.; Kroon, P. C.;
Melcr, J.; Nieto, V.; Corradi, V.; Khan, H. M.; Domański, J.; Javanainen, M.; Martinez-
Seara, H.; Reuter, N.; Best, R. B.; Vattulainen, I.; Monticelli, L.; Periole, X.; Tieleman,
D. P.; de Vries, A. H.; Marrink, S. J., Martini 3: a general purpose force field for coarse-
grained molecular dynamics. *Nature Methods* **2021,** *18* (4), 382-388.
36. Kroon, P. C.; Grunewald, F.; Barnoud, J.; van Tilburg, M.; Souza, P. C. T.; Wassenaar,
T. A.; Marrink, S. J., Martinize2 and Vermouth: Unified Framework for Topology
Generation. eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd: 2024.
37. Wassenaar, T. A.; Ingólfsson, H. I.; Böckmann, R. A.; Tieleman, D. P.; Marrink, S. J.,
Computational Lipidomics with insane: A Versatile Tool for Generating Custom
Membranes for Molecular Simulations. *Journal of Chemical Theory and*
Computation **2015,** *11* (5), 2144-2155.
38. Abraham, M. J.; Murtola, T.; Schulz, R.; Páll, S.; Smith, J. C.; Hess, B.; Lindahl, E.,
GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level
parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **2015,** *1-2*, 19-25.
39. Berendsen, H. J. C.; van der Spoel, D.; van Drunen, R., GROMACS: A message-
passing parallel molecular dynamics implementation. *Computer Physics*
Communications **1995,** *91* (1), 43-56.
40. Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K., VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of*
Molecular Graphics **1996,** *14* (1), 33-38.
41. Schindelin, J.; Arganda-Carreras, I.; Frise, E.; Kaynig, V.; Longair, M.; Pietzsch, T.;
Preibisch, S.; Rueden, C.; Saalfeld, S.; Schmid, B.; Tinevez, J.-Y.; White, D. J.;
Hartenstein, V.; Eliceiri, K.; Tomancak, P.; Cardona, A., Fiji: an open-source platform
for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* **2012,** *9* (7), 676-682.
42. Ducret, A.; Quardokus, E. M.; Brun, Y. V., MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput
bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nature Microbiology* **2016,** *1* (7),
16077.

<u>Figures:</u>

Fig 1: TolB interacts with lipid bilayers in vitro. (A) Representative ITC data for TolB (140

µM, 7 nmol) titrated into (*left*) soluble Pal (sPal, 20 µM, 3.32 nmol) or (*right*) Pal

proteoliposomes (25 µM, 3.32 nmol surface-exposed) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS),

with indicated control titrations. (B) Representative ITC data for (*left*) TolB or (*right*) LolB (140

µM, 7 nmol) titrated into empty liposomes (5 mg lipids) composed of *E. coli* polar lipid extract

(PE:PG:CL ~67:23:10) or synthetic lipids (PE:PG 3:1), with indicated control titrations. Each

titration was performed at 25 °C, and repeated more than 3 times.

Fig 2: TolB interacts with CL in silico via a putative binding site. (A) Analysis of TolB-
membrane distance over time in a representative 10-µs coarse-grain MD simulation, in the
presence or absence of CL. Membrane binding likelihood, averaged over 5 repeat runs,
considering all binding poses, is shown on the right. Two-tailed Student's t-test was used to
test for statistical significance. (B) The most representative pose of how TolB (*grey*) interacts
with CL in coarse-grain simulations, showing residues (colored spheres) in contact with CL
molecules (*green/cyan/tan* sticks)*,* and their percentage CL occupancies (in table). (C)
Membrane binding likelihood, just considering the binding pose in (B). (D) Contact residues
are mapped onto a cartoon representation of TolB structure. Basic or other residues are
colored *blue* (with side chain) or *black* (backbone only), respectively.

Fig 3: Mutation within putative CL-binding site resulted in loss of TolB function. (A) Efficiency of plating (EOP) of MG1655 wild type (WT), and $\Delta tolB$ strains, containing either pET22/42 empty vector or the plasmid expressing TolB and its mutants, on LB agar plates supplemented with SDS/EDTA (0.5% SDS/0.5 mM EDTA) or vancomycin (60 µg/mL) at 37°C. (B) EOP of MG1655 Δtol-pal strain expressing a peripheral version of the TolQRA complex that remains randomly distributed along the cell periphery, and is known to restore OM defects,[10] containing either pBAD43-$tolB$-pal or pBAD43-$tolB^{R244E}$-pal, on LB agar plates supplemented with SDS/EDTA (0.3% SDS/0.3 mM EDTA) or vancomycin (30 µg/mL) at 37 $^{\circ}$C. Peripheral TolQRA is engineered using a chimeric TonB-TolA that complexes with ExbBD (homolog of TolQR). (C) Membrane binding likelihood, averaged over 5 x 10 µs MD runs, of wildtype TolB and TolB^{R244E} binding to CL-containing membrane. (D) Representative ITC data of TolB and TolB^{R244E} (140 µM, 7 nmol) titrated into *E. coli* or synthetic liposomes (5 mg lipids) at 25 $^{\circ}$C, with indicated control titrations.

Fig 4: TolB^{R244E} regains partial function in strains without CL. (A) EOP of MG1655 wild type (WT), Δ*tolB*, Δ*cls*, and Δ*cls* Δ*tolB* strains, containing either pET22/42 empty vector or the plasmid expressing TolB and its mutants, on LB agar plates supplemented with SDS/EDTA (0.5% SDS/0.5 mM EDTA) or vancomycin (60 μg/mL) at 37 °C. (B) Differential interference contrast (DIC) microscope images of indicated strains from (A) grown in LB at 37 °C. Scale bar represent 2 μm. Cropped images are representative of at least three different uncropped field-of-view. (C) Quantification of the cell width of 100 individual cells of same strains (numbered) shown in (B). Box plot defines the median (center) and the 25th and 75th percentile (lower and upper hinge); the whisker represents the range excluding the outliers (outlier defined by sample with value further than 1.5 interquartile-range from the corresponding hinge). A two-sided Wilcoxon ranked sum test was used to test for statistical significance.

Fig 5: TolB and Pal still localize to cell septa in the presence of _tolB^{R244E}_ mutation, and/or in the absence of CL. (_top_) Fluorescence microscopy images of (A) MG1655 Δ_tolB::kan_ and (B) Δ_cls_ Δ_tolB::kan_ strains, expressing TolB-sfGFP or TolB^{R244E}-sfGFP from pET22/42, and of (C) MG1655 Δ_tolB-pal::kan_ and (D) Δ_cls_ Δ_tolB-pal::kan_ strains, expressing Pal-mCherry, TolB/Pal-mCherry or TolB^{R244E}/Pal-mCherry from pBAD43. Scale bar represents 2 µm. (_middle_) Demographic representation of the fluorescence signals in the indicated strains measured along the long axis of individual cells, sorted according to cell length and aligned to mid-cell.

502   (*bottom*) Average percentage distribution of the fluorescence signals along the long axis of all

503   cells measured, where the relative axial position of 0.5 corresponds to mid-cell, and 0 to 1

504   correspond to the poles.