MORC2 directs transcription-dependent CpG methylation of human LINE-1 transposons in

early neurodevelopment

Fereshteh Dorazehi,[1] Caterina Francesconi,[1]# Ninoslav Pandiloski,[1,2]# Laura Castilla-
Vallmanya,[2]# Anna Albecka,[3,4] Symela Koutounidou,[1] Sivani Bala Mohan,[1] Anita Adami,[2]
Angelos Katsikas,[1] Jana Matijević,[1] Ofelia Karlsson,[2] Carrie Davis-Hansson,[2] Jenny G.
Johansson,[2] Yorgo Modis,[3] Johan Jakobsson,[2] Christopher H. Douse[1]*

# these authors contributed equally

* correspondence: christopher.douse@med.lu.se

1. Lab of Epigenetics and Chromatin Dynamics, Lund Stem Cell Center and Section of
Neurobiology, Department of Experimental Medical Science, Lund University, Sweden.
2. Lab of Molecular Neurogenetics, Lund Stem Cell Center and Section of Neurobiology,
Department of Experimental Medical Science, Lund University, Sweden.
3. Molecular Immunity Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, MRC
Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.
4. Present address: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.

ABSTRACT.

Methylation of CpG dinucleotides is essential for silencing genomic repeats such as LINE-1

retrotransposons (L1s) in the germline and soma. Evolutionarily-young L1s are transcribed in

human pluripotent stem cells, but how CpG methylation is patterned to these L1s upon exit

of pluripotency is unknown. Here we investigate the critical functions of chromatin regulator

MORC2 in epigenome reprogramming of the repetitive genome in early human

neurodevelopment. We find that reversible ATP-dependent dimerization is required for

MORC2 accumulation over L1s but not gene promoters. Mutation of the MORC2 ATPase

module, causative for neurodevelopmental disorders, severely disrupts the distribution of

MORC2 chromatin binding, leading to simultaneous loss of L1 transcriptional control and

hyper-repression of clustered ZNF genes in human pluripotent stem cells. Upon neural

differentiation these phenotypes persist due to striking, targeted defects in CpG methylation

patterning. Together our results define the vital role of MORC2 in safeguarding the somatic

human genome upon exit of pluripotency by directing CpG methylation patterning over

transcriptionally-active retrotransposons in a manner analogous to the piRNA pathway in the

germline.

INTRODUCTION.

Transposable elements (TEs) – in particular, retrotransposons such as long interspersed nuclear element 1 (L1) – have accumulated in mammalian genomes and account for at least half of human DNA.[1] Out of more than half a million fixed L1s in the reference genome, around two thousand hominoid-specific copies retain an intact internal promoter and are thus competent for transcription. These elements are a source of novel transcripts in human tissues.[2–5] A smaller subset of around 100 human-specific L1s encode proteins that enable transposition of their own and other TE transcripts to new sites, resulting in polymorphisms within and between individuals.[6,7] Older L1 fragments lacking their own promoter often reside in introns of transcribed genes where they can influence mRNA processing and gene expression.[8–11] L1 activity is therefore responsible for substantial genetic and transcriptional variation in the human population.

Unrestricted mobilization of L1s and other TEs is deleterious and transcription of intact sequences is controlled by promoter CpG methylation in somatic mammalian cells.[12–15] As part of the epigenetic reprogramming that happens during germ cell and embryonic development, when TE transcription is transiently tolerated and in certain cases functionally important,[16–20] DNA methylation patterns are reestablished after periods of global erasure. In the germline, the piRNA pathway licenses transcription-dependent TE methylation.[21] In embryonic stem cells, the most studied mechanism of restriction works via the KRAB zinc-finger (KRAB-ZNF) family of transcription factors, which bind to specific TE DNA sequences and recruit the TRIM28 corepressor that promotes histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylation (H3K9me3) and CpG methylation.[22,23] KRAB-ZNF genes have rapidly diversified by segmental duplication during vertebrate evolution to recognize TE and repeat family sequences.[24,25] However, in the human setting, it is thought the youngest L1 subfamilies have evaded this DNA-directed silencing system: changes in the ancestral L1PA3 promoter allowed subsequent, evolutionarily-younger L1 copies to escape silencing by ZNF93.[26,27] In human pluripotent stem cells, L1 expression is indeed mostly restricted to human-specific (L1HS) and hominoid-specific (L1PA2/3) elements, which correlates with relative promoter hypomethylation and varies between individual integrants.[28–30] Although lineage-specific L1-driven transcripts exist, and intronic L1s are passively transcribed in pre-mRNA of thousands of genes, CpG methylation mostly silences the promoters of the youngest, intact L1s in somatic human

tissues.[13,31] How methylation is patterned to young L1 promoters upon exit of pluripotency

and differentiation has been an open question but is not thought to depend solely on the

KRAB-ZNF/TRIM28 system.

A key player in the regulatory relationship (sometimes referred to as an 'arms race'[26])

between L1s and KRAB-ZNF genes is the chromatin remodelling ATPase MORC2.[8,32] In

collaboration with the human silencing hub (HUSH) complex, MORC2 is recruited to repress

transcriptionally-active L1s and repetitive gene clusters, including those encoding KRAB-ZNFs,

at H3K9me3-marked sites.[8,32–35] ChIP-seq experiments in cancer cell lines also identified a

second class of MORC2 peaks over transcription start sites, the functional significance of

which is unknown.[32] MORC2 contains an N-terminal ATPase module that reversibly dimerizes

upon ATP binding and hydrolysis, and a C-terminal coiled coil domain that forms constitutive

dimers.[36–38] Elegant recent experiments with purified components have shown that MORC2

binds and entraps free and nucleosomal DNA between these dimerization domains in a length

but not sequence-dependent manner, forming loops and multimeric assemblies that compact

the underlying chromatin.[36] This mechanism of MORC chromatin remodelling appears to be

conserved at least across the animal kingdom[39] and perhaps more deeply – MORCs are

encoded in plant, basal eukaryote and even prokaryote genomes.[40–43]

MORC2 functions are vital to human health: predicted loss of function alleles are strongly

selected against in the population[44] and heterozygous missense mutations in the MORC2

ATPase module cause severe neurodevelopmental disorders with symptoms including

intellectual disability and cerebellar ataxia.[45–47] Patient mutations cause changes to the

protein's enzymatic activity and hyperrepression of clustered KRAB-ZNF genes in cancer cell

lines.[32] A recent paper profiling peripheral blood and fibroblasts from patients with MORC2

mutations revealed an 'episignature' corresponding to hypermethylation and repression of

genes including KRAB-ZNFs, showing that MORC2 neurodevelopmental disorders are

characterized by striking epigenetic changes in cells outside the nervous system.[47] Notably,

the functional consequences of removing MORC2 depends substantially on the cellular

context. For example, loss of MORC2 in the globally-hypomethylated K562 line causes

massive upregulation of young L1 subfamilies, together with changes in KRAB-ZNF

expression.[8] In contrast, we recently found that removal of MORC2 in a robustly-methylated

neural progenitor cell line had only mild effects on L1 expression – indeed, only when DNA

methylation was experimentally removed did MORC2 bind to the activated L1s and dampen

their expression.[33] Thus, when young L1 promoters are methylated and silenced, MORC2

ignores these sequences and is bound to either older, intronic L1s (transcribed as part of genic

pre-mRNA) or other transcribed lineage-specific repeats – in the nervous system, data from

our lab and others suggest these to be primarily alpha-satellite repeats and clustered

protocadherin genes.[33,34]

Together these observations underscore the layered regulation of repeats in somatic cells and

suggest critical functions of MORC2 early in development when the genome is relatively

hypomethylated. In this study we use a combination of chromatin profiling, long-read DNA

methylation analysis and iPSC modelling to demonstrate (i) how ATPase mutations affect the

distribution of MORC2 chromatin binding in the neural lineage, and (ii) the critical function of

MORC2 chromatin binding in directing CpG methylation patterns over hominoid-specific L1s

and repetitive gene clusters in early neurodevelopment. Together our results define the vital

role of MORC2 in safeguarding the somatic human genome upon exit of pluripotency by

ensuring CpG methylation patterning over repeats in a transcription-dependent manner,

analogous to the piRNA pathway in the germline.

RESULTS.

MORC2 binds to distinct chromatin states in neural cell lines

MORC2 is a potent and avid dsDNA binding protein.[36,37] The prevailing biochemical model is

that reversible ATP-dependent dimerization of the N-terminal ATPase module coupled to

constitutive C-terminal dimerization via coiled coil domain 3 enables chromatin compaction

by trapping DNA between these domains (**Figure 1A**).[36,39] We first profiled MORC2 chromatin

binding using an optimized CUT&RUN (cleavage under targets and release using nuclease)

protocol in SHSY5Y cells and embryo-derived human neural progenitor cells (**Figure 1B, Supp**

Fig 1A,B).[48] In each cell type we clustered MORC2 binding regions by assessing peak shape

and co-occupancy with three histone modifications in control cells: H3K9me3, H3K4me3 and

H3K27Ac (**Figure 1C**). We observed broad MORC2 peaks in transcriptionally active, H3K9me3-

positive regions such as intronic full-length (>6 kb) L1 retrotransposons and 3' exons of

expressed zinc finger (ZNF) genes (**Figure 1D, Supp Fig 1C**). We also observed widespread

evidence of MORC2 binding to bivalent chromatin i.e. H3K4me3/H3K27Ac-marked promoters

of expressed genes embedded in H3K9me3-positive chromatin, such as clustered ZNF and

protocadherin genes. In our hands a minority of narrow MORC2 peaks were identified over

gene regulatory elements marked by H3K27Ac and/or H3K4me3 in H3K9me3-negative

chromatin contexts. Taken together, in neural cells MORC2 localizes to distinct chromatin

states characterized by transcriptional activity and either broad or narrow occupancy,

exemplified by L1 retrotransposons and repetitive clustered genes such as ZNFs.

Figure 1. MORC2 binds to distinct chromatin states in neural cell lines. (A) MORC2 domain structure (top) and
schematic of ATP-dependent N-terminal dimerization (bottom). **(B)** CUT&RUN workflow for epigenome profiling
of SHSY5Y and hNPC cell lines. **(C)** Heatmap illustrating the epigenomic context of distinct MORC2 targets by
CUT&RUN signal in SHSY5Y (left) and NPC (right) cell lines with indicated antibodies. Displayed are the genomic
regions spanning ± 10 kb from the center. K-means clustering defined H3K9me3-negative, MORC2-narrow peaks
(top) and H3K9me3-positive, MORC2-broad peaks (bottom) in each cell type. **(D)** Genome browser tracks
showing CUT&RUN enrichment profiles of MORC2 and histone modification over examples MORC2 targets with

distinct epigenomic associations. Data are representative of at least two replicates for MORC2, H3K9me3 and
H3K4me3 in each line, while H3K27Ac profiling was conducted once in SHSY5Y cells and twice in hNPCs.

ATPase dimerization mutants abolish L1 binding and disrupt the balance of MORC2 chromatin occupancy

Mutations in patients with MORC2 neurodevelopmental disorders all cluster to the N-
terminal ATPase module.[46] To interrogate how reversible ATP-dependent dimerization
impacts MORC2 chromatin binding we made use of two mutants that we previously found
shift this equilibrium in opposite directions: Y18A, a structure-guided mutation that prevents
ATPase dimer formation, and S87L, a patient mutation that leads to constitutive ATPase
dimerization (**Figure 2A**).[37] We reintroduced HA-tagged proteins to clonal MORC2-KO SHSY5Y
cells (**Figure 2B**) and first confirmed that WT, Y18A and S87L MORC2 variants were expressed
and nuclear as assessed by Western blotting (**Figure 2C**) and imaging (**Supp Fig 2**). CUT&RUN
analysis revealed that both Y18A and S87L variants were completely lost over L1s bound by
the WT protein (**Figure 2D,E**). Importantly, these effects were reproducible using antibodies
raised against the MORC2 protein itself and its HA epitope tag. Both variants were lost from
3' exons of ZNF genes but remained bound to the promoters of those genes, suggesting that
ATPase dimerization is not required for promoter occupancy. Notably, the binding of S87L
MORC2 to ZNF promoters was reproducibly enhanced relative to WT, suggesting that this
mutation causes relocalization of the protein away from L1s and onto gene promoters (**Figure
2F,G**). Indeed, peak calling revealed a substantially greater overlap of S87L MORC2 with
promoters when compared to the WT distribution (**Figure 2H**). Taken together, these results
demonstrate that ATPase dimerization mutants disrupt the balance of MORC2 chromatin
occupancy. Reversible ATP-dependent dimerization is required for broad MORC2
accumulation over L1s but not promoters of target genes (**Figure 2I**). The S87L mutation
directs the protein away from L1s and other broad MORC2 targets and increases binding over
gene promoters.

Figure 2. ATPase dimerization mutants abolish L1 binding and disrupt the balance of MORC2 chromatin occupancy. (A) Schematic representation of MORC2 protein domains and the position of ATPase disease mutations (top) and the effect of the mutations on the N-terminal dimerization equilibrium (bottom). **(B)** Schematic workflow of MORC2 complementation experiments and subsequent analyses. **(C)** Western blot validating the expression of complemented HA-tagged MORC2 variants. **(D)** Heatmap showing CUT&RUN enrichment of MORC2 binding over full length (FL, >6 kb) LINE1 elements bound by MORC2 WT in KO and complemented cell lines (+WT MORC2, +Y18A MORC2, +S87L MORC2) as detected using HA-tag antibody (left) and MORC2 antibody (right). Shown are the LINE1 loci scaled to 6 kb with 10 kb up- and down-stream of the elements. Unique mapping was enforced and experiments were repeated at least once with similar results. **(E)**

Genome browser tracks of CUT&RUN enrichment signal for MORC2 binding (KO and complemented cell lines)
and histone modifications (H3K27ac, H3K4me3, H3K9me3) over an example LINE1 element. Unique mapping
was enforced. **(F)** Heatmap showing CUT&RUN enrichment of MORC2 binding over all ZNF genes (n=503, hg38)
in KO and complemented cell lines (+WT MORC2, +Y18A MORC2, +S87L MORC2) as detected using HA-tag
antibody (left) and MORC2 antibody (right). Shown are the scaled ZNF genes ± 5 kb. K-means clustering on the
S87L MORC2 sample identified 5'-enriched (top) and 3'-enriched (bottom) ZNF genes. **(G)** Genome browser
tracks of CUT&RUN enrichment signal for MORC2 binding (KO and complemented cell lines) and histone
modifications (H3K27ac, H3K4me3, H3K9me3) over a ZNF gene cluster on chr19. **(H)** Genome overlaps of WT
and S87L HA-MORC2 peaks. **(I)** Schematic of the requirements for reversible N-terminal dimerization over
different target classes of MORC2. MORC2 accumulation over broad peaks is found over regions enriched in
H3K9me3 signal, such as LINE1s and ZNF 3´exons, and requires reversible N-terminal dimerization. Narrow
MORC2 binding to gene regulatory elements such as ZNF gene promoters is found in regions enriched in
H3K4me3 and H3K27ac signal, and does not require reversible N-terminal dimerization.

MORC2 ATPase mutations cause loss of L1 silencing and ZNF hyperrepression in iPSCs

Next we sought to explore the functional impact of these observations on transcriptional

regulation in a relevant developmental cell model. We used CRISPR editing to derive induced

pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) clones bearing the S87L mutation described above and a second

ATPase mutation, E27K, which also causes a severe neurodevelopmental phenotype and

would be predicted to similarly disrupt ATPase activity based on its structural position at the

N-terminal ATP-induced dimerization interface.[37,46] We note here that though patients carry

heterozygous mutations, our study sought to provide mechanistic insight into how ATPase

mutations affect MORC2 function over a developmental timecourse and as such we induced

homozygous *MORC2* editing (**Figure 3A, Supp Fig 3A**). We subjected unedited controls to the

same clonal expansion procedures and confirmed that each iPSC clone expressed

pluripotency markers and that the copy number and genomic integrity at predicted off-

targets was not affected by the editing (**Figure 3B, Supp Fig 3B,C**). Transcriptomic analysis

using paired-end (150x150-bp) polyA+ RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) showed that the patient

mutations both cause significant derepression of evolutionarily-young, hominoid-specific L1

subfamilies, with the S87L mutation having a more pronounced effect (**Figure 3C, D**).

CUT&RUN profiling of the H3K4me3 mark mapped to individual full-length L1 elements

provided orthogonal validation of young L1 expression **(Figure 3E)**. In line with chromatin

binding data above illustrating complete loss of L1 binding, the S87L mutation caused L1

transcriptional activation at comparable levels to a loss of function control in which we used

a validated CRISPRi vector [33] to robustly knock down MORC2 expression in iPSCs (**Figure 3E,**

Supp. Fig 3D-G). L1 derepression was further confirmed in Western blots and

immunofluorescence experiments as measured by L1-encoded protein orf1p in the mutant

and CRISPRi conditions relative to controls (**Figure 3F, Supp Fig 3H**). We also observed pronounced derepression of L1 fusion genes where evolutionarily-young L1s act as alternative promoters (**Supp Fig 4A**). Several of these genes have documented or predicted functions in the brain. For example, *GABRR1*, whose promoter is shared with a L1PA2 element, encodes a key factor involved in GABAergic neurotransmission in the central nervous system[49] and *DYNC1I1*, which contains an intronic L1PA3, encodes a neuron-enriched dynein intermediate chain (**Supp Fig 4B**). Notably, and in line with the chromatin binding data, alongside L1 loss of function we observed simultaneous hyperrepression of zinc finger genes in E27K (n=22), S87L (n=11) or both (n=19) MORC2 mutants (log2 fold-change < -2, padj < 0.05, DESeq2) (**Figure 3G,H**). This effect was a gain of function associated with the S87L and E27K mutants that was not observed in the MORC2-CRISPRi cells. Taken together these data show how MORC2 ATPase mutations change the dynamics of chromatin target engagement, leading to both loss and gain of function effects on transcriptional control of its distinct targets.

Figure 3. MORC2 ATPase mutations cause loss of L1 silencing and ZNF hyperrepression in iPSCs. (A) Schematic of CRISPR editing and subsequent analyses. Induced homozygous mutations were c79G>A and c260C>T for E27K and S87L mutants, respectively. **(B)** Immunocytochemestry of pluripotency markers (NANOG, OCT4, SOX2) for a representative hiPSC clone of each MORC2 genotype. **(C)** Volcano plot showing the results of the differential expression analysis (DESeq2) on a TE subfamily level using TEtranscripts. Differentially expressed LINE1 subfamilies are highlighted in red, other differentially expressed subfamilies are presented in grey (log2FoldChange ≠0, padj <0.05). Two experimental replicates per clone were sequenced and data from each clone pooled prior to differential analysis (n=4 WT vs n=4 E27K vs n=4 S87L). **(D)** Genome browser tracks showing H3K4me3 and bulk RNA-seq data over two example loci of derepressed hominoid-specific full-length L1s. Unique mapping was enforced for all data over individual loci. Also shown are representative data from MORC2 CRISPRi

(n=3) and Control (n=3) hiPSCs, see also Supp Fig 3. **(E)** Heatmap of the CUT&RUN signal enrichment of H3K4me3
and non-targeting IgG controls over reference L1 insertions belonging to the evolutionarily-youngest subfamilies
(L1HS, L1PA2-4) in MORC2 mutant and CRISPRi cell lines. For each WT and mutant cell line, cells from two clones
were pooled prior to CUT&RUN, which was conducted at least twice with similar results. Experiments with
control and MORC2 CRISPRi cells were repeated twice with similar results. Unique mapping was enforced for
CUT&RUN data shown. **(F)** Western Blot showing expression of MORC2 and of L1 ORF1p in MORC2 mutant and
CRISPRi cell lines. The experiment was repeated once with similar results. **(G)** Volcano plot showing the results
of the differential gene expression analysis (DESeq2). Differentially expressed ZNF genes are highlighted in red,
other differentially expressed genes are presented in grey (padj <0.05). Two experimental replicates per clone
were sequenced and data from each clone pooled prior to differential analysis (n=4 WT vs n=4 E27K vs n=4 S87L).
(H) Genome browser tracks showing H3K4me3 and bulk RNA-seq data over example loci of two hyperrepressed
ZNF genes. Unique mapping was enforced for all data over individual loci. Also shown are data from MORC2
CRISPRi (n=3) and Control (n=3) hiPSCs, see also Supp Fig 3.

Transcriptional phenotypes of MORC2 mislocalization persist upon neural differentiation

To model how these transcriptional phenotypes change upon exit from pluripotency and

neural differentiation, we set out to differentiate the iPSC lines to neural progenitor cells

(NPCs). Using a previously-described 2D NPC differentiation protocol[50] we observed

widespread cell death in the MORC2-CRISPRi and S87L lines, precluding further analysis. As

an alternative we made use of an undirected 15-day cerebral organoid protocol as a model

for human brain development in 3D (**Figure 4A**). At this timepoint our previous data showed

that organoids are largely composed of NPCs, enabling detailed analysis of L1 and gene

regulation in bulk.[28] 3D differentiation indeed improved cell survival and cells bearing both

MORC2 mutations or carrying the MORC2 CRISPRi lentiviral vector could form characteristic

neural rosettes after 15 days (**Figure 4B**). Single nucleus RNA sequencing confirmed that NPCs

and early neurons constituted the major cell populations in these preparations across

multiple batches and clones (**Supp Fig 4A-D**). Differential expression analysis on day-15

organoids from at least two clones and three experimental batches showed that the

transcriptional phenotype observed in hiPSCs – that is, L1 derepression in mutant and CRISPRi

lines and ZNF hyperrepression specifically in the mutants – persisted upon differentiation

(**Figure 4C-G**). We found that the promoters of young L1 integrants identified to be

derepressed in hiPSCs were highly enriched with the H3K4me3 active mark in MORC2-S87L

and MORC2-CRISPRi day 15 organoids (**Figure 4F**). We also observed upregulation of lineage-

specific clustered protocadherin genes in MORC2 mutant organoids, while this effect was

stronger in MORC2-CRISPRi samples (**Supp Fig 5A,B**). Taken together, our data illustrate that

the transcriptional phenotypes of MORC2 targets in the pluripotent state persist upon exit

from pluripotency and early neurodevelopment.

Figure 4. Transcriptional phenotypes of MORC2 mislocalization persist upon neural differentiation. (A) Schematic of differentiation of MORC2 mutant and CRISPRi cell lines from hiPSCs to cerebral organoids. Two batches of organoids were produced for both S87L and E27K clones and three for WT clones. One batch was produced for MORC2 CRISPRi and its corresponding control cell line in which hiPSCs were transduced with a non-targeting lentiviral CRISPRi vector. **(B)** Representative bright field images and immunohistochemistry of cerebral organoid formation in a control line at different time points (top). Immunohistochemistry of PAX6 (green) and ZO1 (red) in day 15 organoid sections (bottom). **(C)** Scaled heatmap showing the normalized read counts of individual full length (FL) LINE1 from youngest subfamilies (L1HS, L1PA2-4) that had been derepressed in MORC2 E27K and S87L mutant and MORC2 CRISPRi hiPSCs (log2FoldChange >0, padj <0.05). Data are representative of

three replicates of two clone across two batches (n=9 WT vs n=9 E27K vs n=9 S87L). Unique mapping was
enforced. **(D)** Genome browser tracks showing CUT&RUN H3K4me3 enrichment and bulk RNA-seq data over an
example derepressed full-length L1. Unique mapping was enforced. **(E)** Heatmap of the CUT&RUN signal
enrichment of H3K4me3 and non-targeting IgG control over reference full-length L1 insertions belonging to the
to the youngest subfamilies (L1HS, L1PA2-4) that were expressed in MORC2 mutants and CRISPRi hiPSCs. For WT
and mutant genotypes, organoids from two clones were pooled prior to CUT&RUN, which was conducted at
least twice with similar results. Unique mapping was enforced **(F)** Scaled heatmap showing the normalized read
counts over ZNF genes hyperrepressed in MORC2 E27K and S87L mutants hiPSCs (log2FoldChange <0, padj
<0.05). **(G)** Genome browser tracks showing CUT&RUN H3K4me3 enrichment and bulk RNA-seq data over a
hyper-repressed ZNF cluster on chr19. Unique mapping was enforced.

MORC2 directs CpG methylation patterning over the repetitive human genome

As the observed transcriptional phenotypes persisted from the pluripotent state to day-15

organoids, we hypothesized a defect in CpG methylation patterning over MORC2 targets. To

investigate methylation changes over MORC2-targeted repetitive elements we used whole-

genome Oxford Nanopore long-read sequencing (**Figure 5A**). While genome-wide

methylation was robust and stable across all conditions (**Figure 5B**), the S87L mutation in

MORC2 caused a catastrophic methylation loss over the promoter of the youngest L1Hs and

L1PA2 integrants (**Figure 5C-E**). Loss of methylation over young L1 promoters was mirrored

in the MORC2-CRISPRi organoids and across organoid preparations derived from independent

clones, confirming the effect over these targets was reproducible and a direct loss of function

effect (**Figure 5C-E, Supp Fig 6A**). Leveraging the Nanopore data to call polymorphic L1

insertions absent from the hg38 reference, we also observed striking loss of methylation over

these youngest copies in both genetic backgrounds tested (**Supp Fig 6B**). Importantly, we

found that the effect was most striking to the L1 elements transcribed in the pluripotent state,

illustrating a transcription dependence of the methylation patterning directed by MORC2

(**Figure 5F**). We also observed hypermethylation over zinc finger gene clusters that were

specific to the MORC2 mutant but not CRISPRi organoids – in particular over the promoter of

the ZNF genes hyperrepressed in pluripotent cells **(Figure 5G,H).** As major alterations of the

methylation are present across the ZNF gene clusters (**Supp Fig 6C**), including gene bodies,

we speculate that this could be driven by effects on 3D chromatin structure in MORC2 mutant

cells, though this remains to be tested systematically. Together our observations demonstrate

the critical role of MORC2 in directing CpG methylation patterning over its repetitive targets

upon exit of pluripotency and early neurodevelopment.

Figure 5. MORC2 directs CpG methylation patterning over the repetitive human genome. (A) Schematic of DNA methylation analysis workflow. For each clone and cell line, DNA from three organoids was extracted and pooled for Oxford Nanopore sequencing (ONT). **(B)** Boxplots showing the CpG methylation levels across the whole genome and **(C)** over the first 900-bp of full length (FL) evolutionary young LINE1 elements (L1HS, L1PA2-4), which corresponds to the 5' UTR promoter region. P-value as calculated by a two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction (p-values adjusted following Benjamini–Hochberg correction). **(D)** Composite plot showing CpG methylation levels of all reference FL-L1HS elements greater than 6 kb (hg38) over the entire element in the given conditions. **(E)** Aligned Nanopore reads showing loss of CpG methylation over the promoter of an example L1HS locus in S87L and MORC2i organoids. Methylated and non-methylated CpGs are represented by filled black circles and open (coloured) circles, respectively. **(F)** Heatmap showing the CpG methylation level over the promoter of FL-L1HS, sorted by expression level in hiPSC S87L mutants as assessed by promoter H3K4me3 level. Methylation changes are anti-correlated to their expression in hiPSCs. **(G)** CpG methylation level of the promoter of ZNF genes downregulated in MORC2-mutant iPSCs. **(H)** Aligned Nanopore reads showing hypermethylation over the promoter and general methylation alteration over the body of a hyperrepressed ZNF gene. ns, non-significant; *, p–value < 0.05; **, p–value < 0.01; ***, p–value < 0.001.

DISCUSSION.

In this study we report and relate two key mechanistic insights on the role of the MORC2 ATPase in epigenetic reprogramming of the repetitive genome. First, we find that reversible ATP-dependent dimerization is required for broad MORC2 accumulation over L1 retrotransposons, but not required for its binding to gene promoters, and that mutation of the ATPase module titrates MORC2 occupancy between these target classes. Second, we find

that MORC2 chromatin binding directs CpG methylation patterns over its targets upon exit of

pluripotency and early human neurodevelopment. The model is summarized in **Figure 6**.

Figure 6. Model for MORC2-directed CpG methylation patterning over repetitive targets during the exit from
pluripotency and early human brain development.

The physiological relevance of MORC2 ATP-dependent dimerization is evident from clinical

genetics reports over the past ten years, where missense mutations in patients with severe

neurodevelopmental disorders all map to the ATPase module.[45–47] Our previous biochemical

and structural studies identified that these mutations change the ATPase activity and

dimerization dynamics of the purified protein,[37] and here we provide a framework to explain

how this translates at the level of chromatin binding. MORC2 has two main chromatin binding

modes – broad accumulation over H3K9me3-marked sites, and narrow binding to gene

regulatory elements marked by H3K4me3/H3K27Ac.[32] When reversible ATP-dependent

dimerization is perturbed by mutation of the ATPase module, MORC2 fails to accumulate over

broad sites but binding is maintained or enhanced at narrow sites. Crucially, mutations that

shift the equilibrium of N-terminal dimerization in either direction cause loss of function over

L1s – supporting the notion that the lifetime of ATP-dependent MORC dimers is a critical

parameter in driving chromatinization of certain targets.[36,37,39] The observation that binding

of MORC2 mutants to gene promoters is maintained or enhanced explains the hyper-

repression phenotype observed in engineered cell lines or patient samples,[32,47] and in the

stem cell models of early brain development that we characterize here. That many of the

genes hyperrepressed in MORC2 mutant cells encode KRAB-ZNFs, themselves associated with

epigenetic TE silencing, highlights the central and multifaceted role of MORC2 in TE biology.

Many of the hyperrepressed ZNFs in MORC2 mutant lines are transcription factors or

repressors that each target hundreds of genomic locations, illustrating enormous potential

for pleiotropic, indirect effects on chromatin and transcription of disrupting the balance of

MORC2 chromatin binding sites via ATPase mutation. These complex gene regulatory

networks require further investigation, but our data suggest that TE transcription and TE-

induced chromatin structure are likely important molecular phenotypes to consider in

patients with MORC2 disorders.

Work by us and others has established that the HUSH-MORC2 corepressor targets transcribed

and relatively hypomethylated repeats with little apparent preference for particular DNA

sequence motifs.[8,33,51,52] The prevailing model is that the complex is recruited to long,

intronless units with some A-rich bias in the sense strand.[51] In human pluripotent stem cells,

the primary targets of MORC2 are the hominoid-specific LINE-1 retrotransposons that we

recently reported to be transcribed and relatively hypomethylated at steady-state.[28] This is

likely the critical way that the HUSH-MORC2 axis preserves genome stability in early

development: a subset of the youngest L1s remain competent for autonomous transposition

and their encoded proteins provide the molecular apparatus for mobilization of other TEs.

Transcription-dependent TE targeting is in contrast to the KRAB-ZNF/TRIM28 pathway, which

is recruited to particular DNA sequence motifs in repeats via rapidly-evolving ZNF domains.[22–

26] Such complementary modes of targeting help explain why HUSH-MORC2 and KRAB-ZNFs

may regulate overlapping yet distinct sets of genomic repeats and genes in different cellular

contexts.[30,35] The situation at the youngest human L1s is of particular interest: while

numerous KRAB-ZNFs (and TRIM28) are competent to bind L1HS and L1PA2 subfamilies

through conserved sequences in the L1 ORFs,[24,25] deletion of 129 bp in the ancestral L1PA3

promoter region within the ZNF93 binding site may have evaded promoter methylation by

this factor in evolutionarily-younger copies.[26,27] Our data supports the idea that the HUSH-

MORC2 corepressor is recruited to these youngest, transcriptionally-active elements for which a new KRAB-ZNF has yet to evolve.[51]

The interplay of these epigenetic silencing systems is of particular interest in early development, when methylation patterns of the germline are globally erased and re-established.[12] The KRAB-ZNF/TRIM28 system is thought to protect against erasure of germline methylation at certain targets: for example, ZFP57 and ZFP445 bind DNA sequences at imprinting control regions, recruiting TRIM28 and DNMTs to maintain methylation at paternal or maternal imprints.[53,54] Many TEs are also resistant to demethylation, but it is thought the youngest human L1s are demethylated early post-fertilization, maintaining relative hypomethylation throughout pre-implantation development.[27] Although our primed iPSC models reflect a post-implantation developmental stage, we note that *MORC2* is also robustly expressed in the pre-implantation human embryo[55] and our data suggest that the protein is a key factor that directs methylation over these youngest L1 copies. Whether MORC2 protects against erasure of germline methylation or promotes re-establishment of human L1 methylation following erasure is challenging to answer, but the transcription-dependence of HUSH-MORC2 recruitment to L1s strongly favours a reestablishment model. Nevertheless, the underlying mechanism and timing of human L1 promoter methylation warrants further investigation and it would be exciting to leverage new blastoid models in this effort.[56]

Taken together, our study reveals how ATP-dependent dimerization of MORC2 is coupled to chromatin binding and DNA methylation patterning. The precise sequence of how establishment and/or maintenance DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) are recruited to MORC2 targets in early development remains an interesting open question. MORC2-driven chromatin compaction is known to correlate with H3K9me3 deposition at certain sites,[8,32,33] which may in turn recruit maintenance methyltransferase DNMT1.[57,58] However, since aberrant MORC2 mutant binding to certain (H3K9me3-negative) gene promoters also results in promoter hypermethylation, we suggest that MORC2 may be directly involved in licensing DNA methylation its target sites. This is somewhat reminiscent of a recent study in mouse gonocytes where Morc1, a germline-restricted TE repressor,[59] was suggested to make distinct complexes leading to piRNA-directed H3K9me3 and DNA methylation at different TEs.[60] Our data reinforce the model that the transcriptional activity of a locus determines its sensitivity to MORC2 binding and, we show here, targeted CpG methylation upon differentiation. Such

a model could explain how the transcription factor yin-yang 1 (YY1) functions as both

activator[61] and repressor[31] of human L1s: YY1 drive transcription initiation of these young

elements in the pluripotent state, which marks the locus for MORC2-directed methylation. It

is also noteworthy that certain young L1s are demethylated and transcriptionally-active in

both organoids and human brain tissue.[3,28,31] Further work is required to determine how

MORC2-directed methylation may be avoided over these elements – or whether the elements

are subject to active demethylation upon neural differentiation. How the mechanisms we

describe here play out in terminally differentiated cells such as neurons also promises to be a

fruitful area of research.

METHODS.

Cell culture.

hiPSCs. Two human iPSC lines were used in this study: Ctrl-14 hiPSCs, which were

reprogrammed by the Cell and Gene Therapy Core at Lund Stem Cell Center from human

fibroblasts via mRNA mediated reprogramming as described elsewhere,[62] and a

commercially-available line from RIKEN (RBRC-HPS0328 606A1). hiPSCs were cultured based

on previously developed protocols.[50,63] Briefly, cells were maintained in multi-well plates

coated with Biolaminin 521 (0.7 mg cm^{-2}), and fed daily with StemMACS iPS-Brew XF

containing 0.5% penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco). hiPSCs were passaged upon reaching 70-

80% confluency. Firstly, cells were rinsed with DPBS (Gibco), dissociated using Accutase

(Gibco) for 5-7 min at 37°C then washed off by DMEM-F12 (Gibco) and KnockOut Serum

Replacement (KSR) (Gibco) and centrifuged at 400g for 5 min. Rock inhibitor (10 uM, Miltenyi)

was added to the media following cell thawing, lentiviral transduction, FACS and CUT&RUN

experiments.

SH-SY5Y cells. SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells (ATCC CRL-2266) were a gift from Harvey

McMahon (MRC-LMB). Cells were cultured in flasks and fed every 3-4 days with complete

culture medium containing DMEM-F12, 10% FBS, MEM non-essential amino acids solution

and 1x penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). When 80% confluent, cells were rinsed with DPBS

(Gibco), incubated with trypsin (Gibco) for 5-7 minutes at 37°C to detach, and washed off with

the media to quench the enzyme. To maintain a Mycoplasma-free culture, cells were routinely

tested and returned negative.

Human unguided cerebral organoids culture. Human cerebral brain organoids were generated

using the STEMdiff Cerebral organoid kit (Stem Cell Technologies) following the

manufacturer's instructions and based on a previously established protocol.[64] First, embryoid

bodies (EB) were generated following disassociation and resuspension of hiPSCs in EB seeding

medium (STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids Basal Medium 1 and STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids

Supplement A, supplemented with 10 μM of Y-27632) in round bottom ultra-low attachment

96-well plates. On day 5, to avoid EB fusion individual EBs were distributed into single wells

of ultra-low attachment 24-well plates and incubated with Induction Medium (STEMdiff™

Cerebral Organoids Basal Medium 1 and STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids Supplement B) for

48 h at 37°C. On day 7, organoids were then embedded into Matrigel (Stem Sell Technologies)

and cultured in ultra-low attachment 6-well plates (10-12 organoids/well) with Expansion

medium (STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids Basal Medium 2, STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids

Supplement C, STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids Supplement D). Up until day 15, organoids

were fed twice (on day 10 &13) with Maturation media (STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids Basal

Medium 2, STEMdiff™ Cerebral Organoids Supplement E). Organoids were harvested on day

15 for downstream analysis such as bulk RNA-seq (3 snap-frozen organoids per replicate),

snRNA-seq (4-5 organoids per replicate), whole genome Oxford Nanopore sequencing (3-4

organoids per replicate), immunostaining (3-4 organoids) and CUT&RUN (3-4 organoids per

replicate).

Lentiviral vectors.

Lentiviral vectors were produced according to a standard protocol described previously.[65]

Briefly, HEK293T cells with a confluency of ~80% were co-transfected with three packaging

plasmids (pMDL, psRev, and pMD2G) and the relevant transfer plasmid using

polyethyleneimine (PEI; Polysciences), in DPBS. Cell culture media containing lentiviral

particles was harvested 48 h after transfection, filtered, and centrifuged at 25,000xg for 1.5h

at 4 °C. After removing the supernatant, pellets were gently resuspended in cold PBS and

incubated overnight at 4°C. Finally, the lentivirus was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C until use.

Lentiviral titration was determined using qPCR targeting the viral WPRE sequence and

comparison to a reference virus batch with known titre.

CRISPR approaches.

CRISPR KO. To generate MORC2 KO SHSY5Y cells two sgRNA were cloned into pSpCas9(BB)-

2A-Puro vector (Addgene #48139). sg21 (GGGATCAATATAGAGCACAG) targets exon 9 and

sg22 (GCGGACATTAGAAGTACGCCT) exon 11 of *MORC2*. 8 mg of the plasmids was

electroporated into $2x10^6$ cells using 100ul tips from Neon electroporation system and placed

into 25 cm flasks. After 24 h, 4 mg/mL of puromycin was added to select for electroporated

cells. After 10 days single cells were sorted into 96-well plates. Chosen clones were first

analysed for the presence of the MORC2 band using Western blotting. Cells were lysed for 30

min at 4°C in buffer containing 300 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP40,

10% glycerol supplemented with protease inhibitors. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation

and supernatant was used for Western blotting using MORC2 (anti-rabbit MORC2, Bethyl),

HA (Mouse HA11 901501, Biolegend) and actin antibodies (Mouse α-β-actin, ab8226).

DyLight-680 or 800-conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used at

1:10,000 dilution and blots were imaged on the Odyssey near-infrared system (LI-COR).

Following isolation of genomic DNA (Qiagen), the knockout was confirmed using Sanger

sequencing and TIDE analysis.

CRISPR-editing. To introduce MORC2 S87L and E27K mutations in Ctrl-14 hiPSCs, HiFi Cas9v3,

relevant gRNAs and desired sequences to target the MORC2 gene were delivered to cells as

Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) and single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) via

nucleofection (P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector X Kit, Lonza) (**Table 1**). CRISPR editing

efficiency was estimated in bulk using genomic PCR and restriction enzyme digestion followed

by clonal expansion. Individual clones were collected and primarily tested for the presence of

the edit using genomic PCR and restriction enzyme digestion followed by Sanger sequencing.

The selected clones with the desired edits underwent karyotyping and sequencing of the 5

top-predicted off-targets. Once these quality controls were passed, immunostaining of

pluripotency markers OCT4, NANOG and SOX2 was performed on selected clones.

Genotype	gRNA	ssODN	hiPSC clone numbers
WT	-	-	P14, 14.1, 14.2, 14.3
MORC2 E27K	ACCAAACAAGAATTCGTGAG	TTATCAACCAGTTCAGCAAGGGCACCAA ACAAGAATTTGTGAGTGGTTCTGAAATG ATAAATACTAAAAGTCAGCAAAAG	27.3, 27.5
MORC2 S87L	CTTCCCAAACTGGATCACAC	GACTCAGGTGTTCGCTTGGCCAACTTCC CAAACTGGATCACACTAGCAGCATCACC TGAAAGGGCAGA CACAAGAGAAAG	87.18, 87.22

510 **Table 1. CRISPR editing of *MORC2*.**

CRISPR interference. A previously-described protocol for CRISPR interference was used to silence *MORC2* transcription in hiPSCs.[66] gRNAs (**Table 2**) were cloned into a dCas9-KRAB-T2A-GFP lentiviral backbone containing both the gRNA under the U6 promoter and dCas9-KRAB-T2A-GFP under the Ubiquitin C promoter (pLV hU6-sgRNA hUbC-dCas9-KRAB-T2a-GFP) using annealed oligos and the BsmBI cloning site. An identical CRISPRi vector containing a gRNA sequence absent from the human genome (LacZ) was used as a non-targeting control. hiPSCs were transduced with MOI 5 and GFP-positive cells were sorted using FACS (FACSAria, BD sciences) on day 10 at 10°C. Sorted cells were centrifuged at 400x*g* for 7 min and pellets were snap frozen on dry ice and kept at −80°C for downstream analysis, or maintained in culture.

CRISPRi gRNA target	20-bp targeting sequence (5'-3')
LacZ non-targeting control	TGCGAATACGCCCACGCGAT
MORC2	GCTTCCAAGGACCGGATCGA

Table 2. CRISPRi gRNA sequences.

RT-qPCR.

RT-qPCR was used to check the efficiency of MORC2 CRISPRi. 500 ng RNA was extracted from hiPSCs and used for reverse transcription to generate cDNA with random hexamer primers and the Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Green I master (Roche) on a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). Data were analysed with the ΔΔCt method normalized to housekeeping gene *ACTB*. Primer sequences are given below.

Target	Forward (5'-3')	Reverse (5'-3')
MORC2	ACATGAAGACGCAGGAAGAG	ACTTCCAAGGGCAATTTCTT
ActB	CCTTGCACATGCCGGAG	GCACAGAGCCTCGCCTT

Table 3. RT-qPCR primer sequences.

Lentiviral expression of HA-MORC2 variants.

To express WT and mutant versions of MORC2 on the SHSY5Y MORC2-KO background, we cloned MORC2 into the lentiviral pHRSIN-pSFFV-WPRE-pPGK-Hygro vector (a gift from Paul Lehner, University of Cambridge) with an N-terminal HA-tag. For lentiviral production 293T cells were co-transfected with lentiviral MORC2 vector, pCMVΔR8.91 and pMD.G using the TransIT-293 transfection reagent (Mirus). Supernatants were collected two days post-

transfection and cleared by filtering through 0.45 mm membranes. 1x10^6 of cells were
transduced by centrifugation for 90 min at 1000xg in the presence of 300 μL lentivirus-
containing supernatant and 5 μg/mL of polybrene. The following day, cells were transferred
into 10cm dishes and hygromycin at 400 μg/mL added for selection.

Quantitative genotyping qPCR.

Quantitative genotyping PCR (qgPCR) was performed to rule out unintended CRISPR-induced
on-target effects (onTEs) at the edited sites. We followed the protocol described in Weisheit
et al., 2021.[67] In brief, genomic DNA was extracted from iPSC cells using the DNeasy Blood &
Tissue kit (QIAGEN). IDTPrimerQuest was used to design primer pairs to amplify the regions
surrounding the edits and FAM-labeled target-specific probes and purchased from IDT (see
Table 4). We use the TERT Taqman Copy Number Reference assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
labeled with VIC as a control assay. The qgPCR reaction mix was prepared using the Prime-
Time Gene Expression Master Mix (IDT). Each sample was run in technical triplicates on a
LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). Protocol guidelines were followed to perform the Ct
values analysis and total allele number calculation.

Primers	Sequence (5'-3')
MORC2_E27K-gqPCR-F	AGTTGGGGAGCTCTGGAAAG
MORC2_E27K-gqPCR-F	AGGGAAGTGGTTTTGGAGGT
MORC2_E27K-FAM-probe	56-FAM/TTGTTTGGT/ZEN/GCCCTTGCT
MORC2_S87L-gqPCR-F	ACCCTGGGAAAGAAATGCAAA
MORC2_S87L -gqPCR-F	GCTACTCGAGAAACCACCACT
MORC2_ S87L -FAM-probe	56-FAM/TGGGCAGTA/ZEN/CGGGAATGG

Table 4. Primers for quantitative genotyping qPCR.

Bulk RNA sequencing and analysis.

RNA was extracted from cells and organoids using the RNeasy mini and QIAshredder kits
(Qiagen) respectively. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded
mRNA Library prep kit optimized for long fragments and subsequently sequenced on a
NovoSeq X Plus platform with paired end reads (2x150bp) sequencing.

Genes and repetitive element subfamilies quantification. The generated reads were mapped
to the human reference genome hg38 (GRCh38) with STAR aligner (v 2.6.0)[68] with modified
parameters (--outFilterMultimapNmax 100 and --winAnchorMultimapNmax 200). BAM files

were sorted using SAMtools (v 1.16.1).[69] For visualisation, BigWig files were created from the

BAM files using bamCoverage (v 2.5.4) applying RPKM normalization. Gene quantification was

conducted with Subread featureCounts (v1.6.3; flags -p and -s 2 for paired-end reads and

strand-specific reads, respectively) using the reference annotation GENCODE version 38.

Repetitive elements quantification at a subfamily level was conducted with TEtranscripts (v

2.2.3) using default options and using a curated annotation file.[70]

Individual repetitive elements quantification. To obtain an accurate quantification of

individual repetitive elements, alignment of the reads to the reference genome with STAR

aligner was conducted to allow only for uniquely mapped reads. To this end, the reads were

allowed to map only to a single locus (--outFilterMultimapNmax 1) and the allowed

mismatched ratio was decreased (--outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.03) compared to default

settings. After sorting the obtained BAM files with SAMtools, the quantification was

conducted with featureCounts (v1.6.3) using the curated annotation file provided within the

TEtranscripts package. Generation of the BigWig files was conducted as described above.

Differential expression analysis. Differential expression analysis was conducted with DESeq2

(v 1.40.2)[71] using as input the count matrices obtained from featureCounts and TEtranscripts.

Raw reads were normalized with DESeq2's median-of-ratios normalization method and fold

changes were shrunk using DESeq2's lfcShrink. For creating the heatmaps, the mean of

replicates for each condition + 0.5 was used and further visualized with pheatmap.

Nuclear isolation.

Nuclei extraction was performed from day-15 organoids according to previously described

protocols.[72,73] Briefly, organoids were dissociated in cold lysis buffer (0.32 M sucrose, 5 mM

$CaCl_2$, 3 mM MgAc, 0.1 mM Na_2EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT) using a 1ml tissue

douncer (Wheaton). Isolation was sucrose gradient-based, thus the lysate was layered

carefully on top of a sucrose cusion (1.8 M sucrose, 3 mM MgAc, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, and

1 mM DTT diluted in milliQ water) and then centrifuged for 2h and 15 minutes at 30,000xg 4

°C. Supernatant was thoroughly aspirated, pellet was soften for 10 minutes by adding nuclear

storage buffer (15% sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 70 mM KCl, and 2 mM $MgCl_2$, all diluted

in milliQ water), resuspended in dilution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 70 mM KCl, and 2 mM

$MgCl_2$, diluted in milliQ water) and filtered using a 70um cell strainer. Finally, nuclei were

sorted using FACS (Aria, BD Biosciences) at low flow rate and 4° C.

Single-nuclei RNA-sequencing and analysis.

Approximately 8,500 to 10,000 nuclei per sample were prepared for single-nucleus RNA sequencing and loaded onto the Chromium Next GEM Chip G using the Single Cell Kit, along with the reverse transcription mastermix, following the manufacturer's protocol for the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit (10X Genomics, PN-1000268). Single-cell gel beads in emulsion (GEMs) were generated during this process. cDNA was then amplified according to guidelines from 10X Genomics, using 13 PCR cycles for the 3' libraries. Sequencing libraries were constructed with unique dual indices (TT set A), pooled, and sequenced on a NovaSeq X Plus system using a 100-cycle kit with 28-10-10-90 read lengths. Cell Ranger count (v 6.0.0) was run with default settings,[74] with an mRNA and pre-mRNA reference for single-cell samples (generated using 10x Genomics Cell Ranger 6.0.0 guidelines) for single-nucleus samples. Samples clustering was analyzed using Seurat (version 5.1.0).[75] Each sample was filtered according to two criteria, I) nuclei with percentage of mitochondrial content over 2% (perc_mitochondrial) and II) nuclei with number of features (n_Feature) lower than 600 and greater than 2 standard deviations were filtered out. The counts were normalized using Seurat's LogNormalize (NormalizeData) and clusters were defined with a resolution of 0.1 (FindClusters). Standard Seurat functions (e.g. DimPlot and DotPlot) were used for all downstream visualization of the data.

Oxford Nanopore sequencing and analysis.

For each sample, high molecular weight DNA was extracted from pooled organoids (n = 3) using the Nanobind HMW DNA extraction kit (PacBio) according to manufacturer´s instructions. Extracted DNA was then depleted of short DNA fragments (< 10 kb) and sheared to 10 kb using the Short Reads Eliminator (SRE) XS kit (PacBio) and the g-TUBE (Covaris), respectively. The sequencing libraries for LacZ control and MORC2 CRISPRi samples were prepared using the Ligation Sequencing Kit V14 (SQK-LSK114 - ONT), while for the WT control (clones P14 and 14.3) and S87L MORC2 mutant (clones 87.18 and 87.22) the library was prepared, and the clones were barcoded and using the Native Barcoding Kit 24 V14 (SQK-NBD114.24 - ONT). All libraries were sequenced on FLO-PRO114M flow cells on a PromethION device (ONT) for 72 h. Basecalling and alignment to the human reference genome hg38 was conducted with Dorado (v0.7.1) using the DNA model dna_r10.4.1_e8.2_400bps_sup@v4.3.0

and the DNA modification model dna_r10.4.1_e8.2_400bps_sup@v4.3.0_5mCG_5hmCG@v1

for the detection of CpG methylation. Resulting BAM files were sorted with SAMtools (v1.18).

Methylartist (v1.3.0) was used for the subsequent analysis of the methylation data visualised

through Methylartist and R (v4.4.2).[76] Modkit (v0.3.3) and BedGraphToBigWig (v4) were used

to produce bedGraph from the BAM files and BigWigs from the bedGraph files, respectively.

The BigWig files were then used for visualisation of the methylation with computeMatrix and

plotHeatmap (DeepTools v2.5.4).

CUT&RUN.

Two different CUT&RUN approaches were followed. For profiling histone modifications

(H3K4me3, H3K9me3, H3K27Ac), a standard CUT&RUN protocol was used. To profile MORC2

chromatin binding, a crosslinked protocol was used.

Standard CUT&RUN. The CUT&RUN protocol used here has previously been described.[77,78]

Briefly, 500k cells were harvested as described above (see cell culture: hiPSCs or SHSY5Y) and

washed three times using Wash buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5

mM spermidine, 1x Roche cOmplete protease inhibitors. Cells were then incubated and

attached to 10 uL pre-activated ConA-coated magnetic beads (Bangs Laboratories) on an end-

to-end rotator for 7-10 min. To activate ConA-coated magnetic beads, they were washed

twice with binding buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM

$MnCl_2$. Bead-bound cells then were incubated with primary antibody solution (20 mM HEPES

pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.5 mM Spermidine, 1x Roche complete protease inhibitors, 0.05% w/v

digitonin, 2 mM EDTA and 1:100 primary antibody) overnight at 4 °C. On the second day,

bead-bound cells were washed twice using digitonin buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM

NaCl, 0.5 mM Spermidine, 1x Roche cOmplete protease inhibitors, 0.05% digitonin) then

incubated with pAG-MNase enzyme resuspended in digitonin buffer at 4 °C for 1 h with a

gentle mixing. Bead-bound cells were then washed twice with digitonin buffer, resuspended

in 100μL digitonin buffer, chilled to 0 °C for 5 min then incubated for 30 min at 0 °C with 2 mM

CaCl2 to activate genome cleavage. Finally, the reaction was quenched by adding 100 mL 2x

stop buffer (0.35 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 4mM EGTA, 0.05% digitonin, 50 ng/mL glycogen, 50

ng/mL RNase A) and gentle vortexing followed by a 30 min incubation at 37 °C to release the

genomic fragments. The supernatant was collected and purified using PCR clean-up spin

columns (Macherey-Nagel).

Day-15 organoid CUT&RUN. 3-6 organoids per cell line was used. Organoids were collected

on day-15 of differentiation, washed with PBS, and incubated 3 minutes with Accutase on a

shaker at 37 °C to dissociate cells. They were then gently resuspended in Accutase and

incubated on the shaker for another 4 minutes followed by a 5-minute centrifugation at 400xg.

Finally, the cell suspension was filtered to remove Matrigel. The standard *CUT&RUN* protocol

was followed as described above.

Crosslinked CUT&RUN. The approach was same as above with addition of the followings: a

cross-linking step, an increase in cell number and a slightly higher centrifugation force. 900K-

1M cells were collected by centrifugation at 600xg for 3 minutes and crosslinked for 1 min

using 1% formaldehyde (in cell culture media, diluted from a 16% solution, Thermo Scientific).

Cross-linked cells were then washed three times with wash buffer containing 20 mM HEPES

pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM spermidine, 1x Roche complete protease inhibitors, 1% Triton

X-100, 0.05% SDS. To avoid loss of the crosslinked cell/nuclear pellet during washes, a slight

increase in centrifugation speed (1000-1300xg) was applied. Cells were incubated with ConA

beads for 7-10 minutes as above and then resuspended in primary antibody buffer (20 mM

HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM spermidine, 1x Roche complete protease inhibitors, 1%

Triton X-100, 0.05% SDS, 0.05% digitonin, 2 mM EDTA). After an overnight incubation at 4 °C

with the primary antibody, bead-bound cells were thoroughly washed two times with

digitonin buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Spermidine, 1x Roche complete

protease inhibitors, 1% Triton X-100, 0.05% SDS, 0.05% digitonin). Following the 37 °C

incubation to release genomic fragments, 0.09% SDS and 0.22 mg/ml proteinase K (Thermo

Scientific) was added to supernatant to reverse the crosslinking reaction. Finally, DNA was

purified using PCR clean-up spin column (Macherey-Nagel).

CUT&RUN sequencing and analysis.

Hyperprep kit (KAPA) with unique dual indexed adaptors were used to prepare sequencing

libraries which were then pooled and sequenced on NovaSeqX plus machine

(Illumina). Paired-end reads (2×75) were aligned to the human genome (GRCh38) using

bowtie2 (v 2.4.5) (–local –very-sensitive-local –no-mixed –no-unal –no-discordant –phred33

-I 10 -X 700),[79] converted to BAM files and further sorted with SAMtools (v 1.16.1). Reads per

kilobase per million mapped reads (RPKM)-normalized BigWig coverage tracks were made

with bamCoverage (DeepTools, v 2.5.4). Heatmaps matrices were created by computeMatrix

(DeepTools v2.5.4) using called peaks as the input regions and RPKM normalized bigwigs as

input signal. Matrices were then visualized using plotHeatmap (DeepTools, v2.5.4), adjusting

the upstream and downstream visualization parameters (-a and -b) and splitting the signal in

heatmaps (--kmeans 2).

Immunostaining.

hiPSCs immunostaining. Cells cultured on 24-well ibidi plates were rinsed three times with

DPBS and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. Before blocking with

TKPBS (KPBS with 0.25% Triton X-100) and 5% Normal Donkey Serum (NDS), cells were

washed another three times with DPBS. After 1h blocking, they were incubated overnight at

4 °C with primary antibody or TKPBS + 5% NDS for negative controls. The next day cells were

rinsed in TKPBS twice for 5 minutes each and once in TKBPS/ 5% NDS. They were then

incubated with secondary antibody solution (TKPBS + 5% NDS) at room temperature for 2 h

followed by a 5-minute incubation with DAPI (1:1000, Sigma D817) diluted in TKPBS. After

two washes with KPBS, cells were imaged using a Zeiss 780 confocal microscope.

Day-15 organoid immunostaining. Organoids harvested at day 15 of differentiation were

gently rinsed once with DPBS (Gibco) in 24-well plates then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde

(PFA) for 90-120 minutes at room temperature. After fixation, organoids were washed three

times with KPBS for 10 minutes each time and incubated overnight with 30% sucrose at 4 °C

overnight on gentle shake. The following day, organoids were immersed in OCT (catalog no.

45830, HistoLab), snap frozen on dry ice and stored in -20°C until use. Before staining,

organoids were sliced into 20-μm sections using a cryostat (Thermo Scientific Cryostar NX70)

at -20°C and placed onto Superfrost Plus microscope slides. Borders of each slide were

defined using a hydrophobic PAP Pen before starting the immunostaining. After two washes

with KPBS, slides were incubated with blocking solution (TKPBS & 5% NDS) for 1 h at room

temperature. They were then incubated with primary antibody solution (relevant primary

antibody diluted in TKPBS & 5% NDS) overnight at 4 °C. The following day slides were washed

three times with KPBS and incubated with secondary antibody solution for 1 h at room

temperature. Finally, after three more washes, slides were incubated with DAPI, rinsed off

with KPBS, mounted using FluorSave mounting medium and imaged with a Zeiss 780 Confocal

microscope. Further image adjustments were performed in batch on Image J.

STORM.

Cells were seeded on glass 8-well Ibidi dishes and next day fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS

for 15 min. Membranes were permeabilised with 0.1% Triton-X100 in PBS for 5 min. For

staining, wells were first blocked with 10% FBS in PBS then incubated with primary anti-HA

antibody at 1/500 followed by secondary Alexa Fluor 647 at 1/1000. After washing samples

were fixed again for 5 min, washed and kept in PBS at 4°C till the imaging. STORM data was

acquired using Nikon N-STORM inverted microscope with 647 nm laser line, Apochromat TIRF

100×/1.49 NA oil immersion objective and single-photon detection iXon Ultra DU897 EMCCD

camera (Andor). Samples were washed with PBS and then STORM buffer (7mM NaOH, 100

mM mercaptoethylamine (MEA) in PBS) was added for the imaging. Samples were illuminated

with the 647 nm laser at 2–3 kW·cm^{-2} and 100 000 frames were collected for each field of

view at a frame rate of 50–70 Hz. Data was processed in NIS elements Advanced Research

with N-STORM module. Further image processing and cropping was performed using ImageJ.

Western blot.

Cell lysis was performed using either RIPA (Sigma-Aldrich) containing complete protease

inhibitor cocktail or 1:100 benzonase in 1% SDS on ice for 30 minutes and pelleted for 20

minutes at 4 °C and 12,000x*g*. Before running on a 4–12% Tris-glycine SDS-PAGE gel (200 V,

40-45 min, RT) (Life Technologies), supernatant was aspirated into new tubes and mixed with

loading dye (Novex LDS 4x) and reducing agent (Thermo) then boiled for 10 minutes at 70 °C.

To transfer proteins from gel to membrane, pre-made transfer stacks were used (Transblot-

Turbo Transfer system, BioRad) according to manufacturer's instructions. Membrane was

briefly rinsed with TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween) and blocked in 5% skimmed

milk diluted in TBS-T (MTBST) for 1h at RT on a shaker. Membrane was rinsed once in TBS-T

and incubated at 4 °C overnight (for abundant targets e.g., Actin 1h incubation at RT is

sufficient) with primary antibody diluted in MTBST. The following day membrane was washed

three times in TBS-T each time for 5-10 minutes with shaking. It was then incubated with HRP-

conjugated anti-mouse (Cell signalling) or anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, 1:5,000) diluted in MTBST. Before protein detection, membrane was washed

three times in TBS-T each time for 5-10 minutes with shaking and once with TBS. Protein was

detected by chemiluminescence using ECL Select reagents (Cytiva) according to

manufacturer's instructions and imaged on a Chemi-Doc system (BioRad). Finally, β-actin

staining was performed by stripping the membrane using the Restore PLUS Western Blot

Stripping Buffer (Thermo) as per instructions, and re-blocking for 1 h in MTBST.

Antibodies.

CUT&RUN: Goat anti-rabbit IgG (abcam ab97047), Rabbit anti-H3K4me3 (Active Motif 39159),

Rabbit anti-MORC2(A300-149A, Bethyl), Rabbit anti HA-Tag (C29F4) mAb 3724, Rabbit anti-

H3K9me3 (abcam 8898), Rabbit anti-H3K27ac (MABE647 clone RM172) (1:50-1:100 dilution).

Immunostaining: Rabbit anti-NANOG (1:600 dilution, Abcam, RRID: AB446437), Mouse anti-

OCT3/4 (1:300 dilution,Santa Cruz, RRID: AB628051), Rabbit anti-Sox2 1:500 (Merck AB5603,

RRID: AB2286686), DAPI (1:1000 dilution, Sigma-Aldrich), Mouse anti-ORF1p, clone 4H1

(1:300 dilution, Sigma-Aldrich, RRID: RRID:AB2941775), Rabbit anti-PAX6 (1:300, Biolegend,

901301), Mouse anti-ZO1 (1:300, Invitrogen, 339100), Mouse anti-HA11 (Biolegend,

901501) ,Donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (1:500 dilution, Jackson Lab), Donkey anti-rabbit Alexa647

(1:500 dilution,Jackson Lab), Alexa-488 anti-mouse (Thermofisher, A21202, RRID AB141607),

Alexa-568 anti-rabbit (Thermofisher A10042, RRID AB2534017).

Western Blot: Rabbit anti-MORC2 (A300-149A, Bethyl), Rabbit mAb HA-Tag (C29F4), Anti

LINE-1 ORF1p clone 4H1 (Merck Millipore, MABC1152), HRP-472 conjugated anti-β-actin

(1:50000 dilution Sigma A3854), HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:10000, Cell signalling

7076P2), HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Mouse α-β-

actin (abcam ab8226).

DATA AVAILABILITY.

There are no restrictions on data availability. The RNA and DNA sequencing data presented in

this study have been deposited at GEOs: GSEXXXXX.

REFERENCES.
782

1.   Nurk, S. *et al.* The complete sequence of a human genome. *Science (1979)* **376**, (2022).

2.   Ewing, A. D. *et al.* Nanopore Sequencing Enables Comprehensive Transposable Element
Epigenomic Profiling. *Mol Cell* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.10.024.

3.   Garza, R. *et al.* LINE-1 retrotransposons drive human neuronal transcriptome
complexity and functional diversification. *Sci Adv* **9**, (2023).

4.   Criscione, S. W. *et al.* Genome-wide characterization of human L1 antisense promoter-
789   driven transcripts. *BMC Genomics* **17**, (2016).

790   5.   Rangwala, S. H., Zhang, L. & Kazazian, H. H. Many LINE1 elements contribute to the
791   transcriptome of human somatic cells. *Genome Biol* **10**, (2009).

792   6.   Beck, C. R. *et al.* LINE-1 retrotransposition activity in human genomes. *Cell* (2010)
793   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.05.021.

794   7.   Brouha, B. *et al.* Hot L1s account for the bulk of retrotransposition in the human
795   population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, (2003).

796   8.   Liu, N. *et al.* Selective silencing of euchromatic L1s revealed by genome-wide screens
797   for L1 regulators. *Nature* **553**, 228–232 (2018).

798   9.   Ilık, İ. A. *et al.* Autonomous transposons tune their sequences to ensure somatic
799   suppression. *Nature* **626**, (2024).

800   10.   Marasca, F. *et al.* LINE1 are spliced in non-canonical transcript variants to regulate T
801   cell quiescence and exhaustion. *Nat Genet* **54**, (2022).

802   11.   Attig, J. *et al.* Heteromeric RNP Assembly at LINEs Controls Lineage-Specific RNA
803   Processing. *Cell* (2018) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.001.

804   12.   Greenberg, M. V. C. & Bourc'his, D. The diverse roles of DNA methylation in mammalian
805   development and disease. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* vol. 20
806   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0159-6 (2019).

807   13.   Jönsson, M. E. *et al.* Activation of neuronal genes via LINE-1 elements upon global DNA
808   demethylation in human neural progenitors. *Nat Commun* (2019) doi:10.1038/s41467-
809   019-11150-8.

810   14.   Deniz, Ö., Frost, J. M. & Branco, M. R. Regulation of transposable elements by DNA
811   modifications. *Nature Reviews Genetics* vol. 20 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-
812   0106-6 (2019).

813   15.   Walsh, C. P., Chaillet, J. R. & Bestor, T. H. Transcription of IAP endogenous retroviruses
814   is   constrained   by   cytosine   methylation   [4].   *Nature   Genetics*   vol.   20
815   https://doi.org/10.1038/2413 (1998).

816   16.   Oomen, M. E. *et al.* An atlas of transcription initiation reveals regulatory principles of
817   gene and transposable element expression in early mammalian development. *Cell* **188**,
818   1156-1174.e20 (2025).

819   17.   Grow, E. J. *et al.* Intrinsic retroviral reactivation in human preimplantation embryos and
820   pluripotent cells. *Nature* **522**, (2015).

821   18.   Jachowicz, J. W. *et al.* LINE-1 activation after fertilization regulates global chromatin
822   accessibility in the early mouse embryo. *Nat Genet* **49**, (2017).

823   19.   Macfarlan, T. S. *et al.* Embryonic stem cell potency fluctuates with endogenous
824   retrovirus activity. *Nature* **487**, (2012).

825   20.   Percharde, M. *et al.* A LINE1-Nucleolin Partnership Regulates Early Development and
826   ESC Identity. *Cell* (2018) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.043.

827   21.   Ozata, D. M., Gainetdinov, I., Zoch, A., O'Carroll, D. & Zamore, P. D. PIWI-interacting
828   RNAs: small RNAs with big functions. *Nature Reviews Genetics* vol. 20
829   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0073-3 (2019).

830   22.   Rowe, H. M. *et al.* KAP1 controls endogenous retroviruses in embryonic stem cells.
831   *Nature* (2010) doi:10.1038/nature08674.

832   23.   Turelli, P. *et al.* Interplay of TRIM28 and DNA methylation in controlling human
833   endogenous retroelements. *Genome Res* (2014) doi:10.1101/gr.172833.114.

834   24.   Imbeault, M., Helleboid, P. Y. & Trono, D. KRAB zinc-finger proteins contribute to the
835   evolution of gene regulatory networks. *Nature* (2017) doi:10.1038/nature21683.

836   25.   de Tribolet-Hardy, J. *et al.* Genetic features and genomic targets of human KRAB-zinc
837   finger proteins. *Genome Res* **33**, (2023).

838   26.   Jacobs, F. M. J. *et al.* An evolutionary arms race between KRAB zinc-finger genes
839   ZNF91/93 and SVA/L1 retrotransposons. *Nature* (2014) doi:10.1038/nature13760.

840   27.   Smith, Z. D. *et al.* DNA methylation dynamics of the human preimplantation embryo.
841   *Nature* **511**, (2014).

842   28.   Adami, A. *et al.* LINE-1 retrotransposons regulate the exit of human pluripotency and
843   early   brain   development.   *bioRxiv*   2025.01.17.633315   (2025)
844   doi:10.1101/2025.01.17.633315.

845   29.   Klawitter, S. *et al.* Reprogramming triggers endogenous L1 and Alu retrotransposition
846   in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Commun* **7**, (2016).

847   30.   Lanciano, S. *et al.* Locus-level L1 DNA methylation profiling reveals the epigenetic and
848   transcriptional interplay between L1s and their integration sites. *Cell Genomics* **4**,
849   (2024).

850   31.   Sanchez-Luque, F. J. *et al.* LINE-1 Evasion of Epigenetic Repression in Humans. *Mol Cell*
851   (2019) doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.024.

852   32.   Tchasovnikarova, I. A. *et al.* Hyperactivation of HUSH complex function by Charcot-
853   Marie-Tooth disease mutation in MORC2. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1035–1044 (2017).

33.   Pandiloski, N. *et al.* DNA methylation governs the sensitivity of repeats to restriction by
the HUSH-MORC2 corepressor. *Nat Commun* **15**, 7534 (2024).

34.   Hagelkruys, A. *et al.* The HUSH complex controls brain architecture and protocadherin
fidelity. *Sci Adv* **8**, (2022).

35.   Robbez-Masson, L. *et al.* The hush complex cooperates with trim28 to repress young
retrotransposons and new genes. *Genome Res* (2018) doi:10.1101/gr.228171.117.

36.   Fendler, N. L. *et al.* Identification and characterization of a human MORC2 DNA binding
region that is required for gene silencing. *Nucleic Acids Res* **53**, gkae1273 (2025).

37.   Douse, C. H. *et al.* Neuropathic MORC2 mutations perturb GHKL ATPase dimerization
dynamics and epigenetic silencing by multiple structural mechanisms. *Nat Commun*
(2018) doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03045-x.

38.   Xie, H. Y., Zhang, T. M., Hu, S. Y., Shao, Z. M. & Li, D. Q. Dimerization of MORC2 through
its C-terminal coiled-coil domain enhances chromatin dynamics and promotes DNA
repair. *Cell Communication and Signaling* **17**, (2019).

39.   Kim, H. J. *et al.* The Gene-Silencing Protein MORC-1 Topologically Entraps DNA and
Forms Multimeric Assemblies to Cause DNA Compaction. *Mol Cell* (2019)
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.07.032.

40.   Harris, C. J. *et al.* Arabidopsis AtMORC4 and AtMORC7 Form Nuclear Bodies and
Repress a Large Number of Protein-Coding Genes. *PLoS Genet* **12**, (2016).

41.   Weiser, N. E. *et al.* MORC-1 Integrates Nuclear RNAi and Transgenerational Chromatin
Architecture to Promote Germline Immortality. *Dev Cell* **41**, 408–423 (2017).

42.   Moissiard, G. *et al.* MORC family ATPases required for heterochromatin condensation
and gene silencing. *Science* **336**, 1448–51 (2012).

43.   Iyer, L. M., Abhiman, S. & Aravind, L. MutL homologs in restriction-modification
systems and the origin of eukaryotic MORC ATPases. *Biol Direct* **3**, 8 (2008).

44.   Chen, S. *et al.* A genomic mutational constraint map using variation in 76,156 human
genomes. *Nature* **625**, (2024).

45.   Sevilla, T. *et al.* Mutations in the MORC2 gene cause axonal Charcot-Marie-Tooth
disease. *Brain* **139**, 62–72 (2016).

46.   Guillen Sacoto, M. J. *et al.* De Novo Variants in the ATPase Module of MORC2 Cause a
Neurodevelopmental Disorder with Growth Retardation and Variable Craniofacial
Dysmorphism. *Am J Hum Genet* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.06.013.

47.   Peymani, F. *et al.* Pleiotropic effects of MORC2 derive from its epigenetic signature.
Brain awaf159 (2025) doi:10.1093/brain/awaf159.

48. Tailor, J. *et al.* Stem cells expanded from the human embryonic hindbrain stably retain
regional specification and high neurogenic potency. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**, (2013).

49. Hanley, J. G., Koulen, P., Bedford, F., Gordon-Weeks, P. R. & Moss, S. J. The protein
MAP-1B links GABAC receptors to the cytoskeleton at retinal synapses. *Nature* **397**, 66–
69 (1999).

50. Grassi, D. A. *et al.* Profiling of lincRNAs in human pluripotent stem cell derived forebrain
neural progenitor cells. *Heliyon* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e03067.

51. Seczynska, M., Bloor, S., Cuesta, S. M. & Lehner, P. J. Genome surveillance by HUSH-
mediated silencing of intronless mobile elements. *Nature* (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-
021-04228-1.

52. Douse, C. H. *et al.* TASOR is a pseudo-PARP that directs HUSH complex assembly and
epigenetic transposon control. *Nat Commun* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18761-6.

53. Li, X. *et al.* A Maternal-Zygotic Effect Gene, Zfp57, Maintains Both Maternal and
Paternal Imprints. *Dev Cell* **15**, (2008).

54. Takahashi, N. *et al.* ZNF445 is a primary regulator of genomic imprinting. *Genes Dev* **33**,
(2019).

55. Petropoulos, S. *et al.* Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals Lineage and X Chromosome
Dynamics in Human Preimplantation Embryos. *Cell* **165**, (2016).

56. Kagawa, H. *et al.* Human blastoids model blastocyst development and implantation.
Nature **601**, (2022).

57. Janssen, S. M. & Lorincz, M. C. Interplay between chromatin marks in development and
disease. *Nature Reviews Genetics* vol. 23 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-021-00416-
x (2022).

58. Ren, W. *et al.* Direct readout of heterochromatic H3K9me3 regulates DNMT1-mediated
maintenance DNA methylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, (2020).

59. Pastor, W. A. *et al.* MORC1 represses transposable elements in the mouse male
germline. *Nat Commun* **5**, (2014).

60. Uneme, Y. *et al.* Morc1 reestablishes H3K9me3 heterochromatin on piRNA-targeted
transposons in gonocytes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **121**,
e2317095121 (2024).

61. Athanikar, J. N., Badge, R. M. & Moran, J. V. A YY1-binding site is required for accurate
human LINE-1 transcription initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, (2004).

62. Uhlin, E. *et al.* Derivation of human iPS cell lines from monozygotic twins in defined and
xeno free conditions. *Stem Cell Res* **18**, (2017).

63.   Nolbrant, S., Heuer, A., Parmar, M. & Kirkeby, A. Generation of high-purity human
ventral midbrain dopaminergic progenitors for in vitro maturation and intracerebral
transplantation. *Nat Protoc* (2017) doi:10.1038/nprot.2017.078.

64.   Lancaster, M. A. & Knoblich, J. A. Generation of cerebral organoids from human
pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Protoc* **9**, (2014).

65.   Zufferey, R., Nagy, D., Mandel, R. J., Naldini, L. & Trono, D. Multiply attenuated lentiviral
vector achieves efficient gene delivery in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol* (1997)
doi:10.1038/nbt0997-871.

66.   Johansson, P. A., Adami, A. & Jakobsson, J. CRISPRi-mediated transcriptional silencing
in iPSCs for the study of human brain development. *STAR Protoc* **3**, (2022).

67.   Weisheit, I. *et al.* Simple and reliable detection of CRISPR-induced on-target effects by
qgPCR and SNP genotyping. *Nat Protoc* **16**, (2021).

68.   Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* (2013)
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

69.   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* (2009)
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

70.   Jin, Y., Tam, O. H., Paniagua, E. & Hammell, M. TEtranscripts: A package for including
transposable elements in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq datasets.
Bioinformatics (2015) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv422.

71.   Love, M. I., Anders, S. & Huber, W. *Differential Analysis of Count Data - the DESeq2*
Package. Genome Biology (2014). doi:110.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

72.   Södersten, E. *et al.* A comprehensive map coupling histone modifications with gene
regulation in adult dopaminergic and serotonergic neurons. *Nat Commun* **9**, (2018).

73.   Jönsson, M. E. *et al.* Activation of endogenous retroviruses during brain development
causes an inflammatory response. *EMBO J* (2021) doi:10.15252/embj.2020106423.

74.   Zheng, G. X. Y. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells.
Nat Commun **8**, (2017).

75.   Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, (2019).

76.   Cheetham, S. W., Kindlova, M. & Ewing, A. D. Methylartist: tools for visualizing modified
bases from nanopore sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **38**, (2022).

77.   Skene, P. J., Henikoff, J. G. & Henikoff, S. Targeted in situ genome-wide profiling with
high efficiency for low cell numbers. *Nat Protoc* (2018) doi:10.1038/nprot.2018.015.

78.   Meers, M. P., Bryson, T. D., Henikoff, J. G. & Henikoff, S. Improved cut&run chromatin
profiling tools. *Elife* **8**, (2019).

79. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* (2012) doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

We thank A. Hammarberg, S. da Rocha Baez, E. Monni, M. Persson-Vejgården, J. Nelander and S. Tesson for their technical assistance, S. Henikoff for the gift of the pA-MNase protein used in initial hNPC CUT&RUN experiments and the pAG-MNase plasmid (Addgene #123461) for in house production of the pAG-MNase used in all subsequent experiments. We thank P. Lehner for providing lentiviral vectors to knockout MORC2 and re-express MORC2 variants, the SCC Cell and Gene Therapy Core at Lund University and iPSC core at Karolinska Institute for generation of MORC2 edited iPSC clones. We acknowledge Clinical Genomics Lund and the Center for Translational Genomics (CTG) at Lund University for providing expertise and service with sequencing and analysis. This work was supported by the Swedish Government Initiative for Strategic Research Areas (MultiPark & StemTherapy) and grants from the Swedish Research Council (VR, 2022-02673 to J.J., 2021-03494 to C.H.D.), the Swedish Brain Foundation (Hjärnfonden, FO2023-0232, FO2023-0229 to C.H.D.), the Swedish Society for Medical Research (SSMF, S19-0100 to C.H.D.), Cancerfonden (222185Pj to J.J.), Barncancerfonden (PR2023-0099 to J.J.) and the Wellcome Trust (101908/Z/13/Z and 217191/Z/19/Z to Y.M.). This project has been made possible in part by grant 2023-331773 to C.H.D. from the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative DAF, an advised fund of the Silicon Valley Community Foundation. Finally, we also acknowledge The Royal Physiographic Society of Lund (project grant to F.D.), the Craoord Foundation and Bente-Rexed-Gerstedt Foundation (project grants to C.H.D.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS.

AA made and validated the SHSY5Y MORC2 KO cell line and complemented cell lines. FD and LC-V designed and performed iPSC culture and cerebral organoid differentiations. FD, SK, SB and AK performed CUT&RUN experiments. FD, LC-V and CD-H performed quality control analysis of iPSC lines. CF prepared DNA for Nanopore sequencing and performed bioinformatic analysis of the data. CF, NP and SK processed Illumina sequencing experiments and performed bioinformatic analysis of the data. JM, OEK and CD-H tested neural differentiation protocols. FD, LC-V and AAd performed nuclear isolation. JGJ prepared all

987   Illumina sequencing libraries for bulk and single-nuclei RNA-seq and CUT&RUN experiments.

988   CHD conceived and designed the study. YM, JJ and CHD supervised the study and acquired

989   funding. FD, CF and CHD wrote the manuscript and made figures with assistance from NP. All

990   authors reviewed the final version.

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES.**

1012

1013 **Supplementary Figure 1. (A)** Workflow for generating the MORC2 KO SHSY5Y cell line. **(B)** Validation of MORC2
1014 KO by Western blot. **(C)** Further examples of the different chromatin states characterizing MORC2 targets, see
1015 also Fig 1.

1016

Nuclear STORM imaging of SHSY5Y MORC2 KO cells complemented with HA-MORC2 (WT, Y18A, S87L)

Scale bar 3 µm

1017

1018 **Supplementary Figure 2.** Nuclear STORM imaging of SHSY5Y MORC2 KO cells complemented with HA-MORC2
1019 (WT, Y18A, S87L) targeting the HA epitope tag.

Supplementary Figure 3. (A) Electropherogram of the Sanger sequencing confirming the CRISPR-directed MORC2 mutations (E27K and S87L) in representative clones **(B)** Copy number analysis showing allele count for each MORC2 mutant clone and WT hiPSC lines. **(C)** Unscaled heatmap showing normalized read counts of pluripotency and neural marker genes as calculated by DESeq2 in the hiPSCs used in the study. Two batches of RNA from each clone was used for WT (n=4), E27K (n=4) and S87L (n=4) genotypes. **(D)** Schematic workflow of MORC2 CRISPRi experiment in hiPSCs and subsequent analyses. **(E)** Validation of CRISPRi through RT-qPCR analysis of MORC2 expression in control (n=3) and CRISPRi (n=3) hiPSCs. **(F)** Immunohistochemistry of pluripotency markers (NANOG, OCT4) for MORC2 control and CRISPRi cell lines. **(G)** Volcano plot showing the results of the differential expression analysis (DESeq2) for genes (left) and TE subfamilies using TEtranscripts (right) in the MORC2 CRISPRi cell line (n=3) compared to control (n=3). Differentially expressed genes and LINE1 subfamilies are highlighted in red, other differentially expressed genes and subfamilies are presented in grey (padj <0.05). **(H)** Immunohistochemistry of L1-ORF1p (green) in E27K and S87L MORC2 mutant and CRISPRi cell lines with their respective controls. Experiments were performed at least twice with similar results.

1034

1035 **Supplementary Figure 4. (A)** Unscaled heatmap showing the normalized read counts of L1 fusion genes in the
1036 E27K (n=4) and S87L (n=4) MORC2 mutant iPSC lines as compared to WT controls (n=4) and MORC2 CRISPRi
1037 (n=3) iPSCs compared to its control (n=3). **(B)** Genome browser snapshots showing two examples of L1 fusion
1038 genes derepressed in MORC2 mutant and CRISPRi treatments.

1039

Supplementary Figure 5. Cell composition of day 15, unguided cerebral organoids. **(A)** Left/Middle: UMAP
showing the cell types identified in MORC2-WT (4-5 organoids from n=4 batches/clones), MORC2-E27K (4-5
organoids from n=2 batches/clones) and MORC2-S87L mutant (4-5 organoids from n=2 batches/clones)
unguided cerebral organoids. Bottom: bar plot showing percentage of the cell type composition of the MORC2
WT and MORC2-S87L mutant cerebral organoids. **(B)** Dot plot showing neuronal and NPC gene markers used to
characterize the cell clusters. Dot size corresponds to the proportion of cells expressing the gene. Dot color
indicates average expression in each cell type. **(C)** Left/Middle: UMAP showing the cell types identified in control
(4-5 organoids from n=1 batch) and MORC2 CRISPRi (4-5 organoids from n=1 batch) unguided cerebral organoids.
Bottom: bar plot showing percentage of the cell type composition of the control and MORC2 CRISPRi cerebral
organoids. **(D)** Dot plot showing neuronal and NPC gene markers used to characterize the cell clusters. Dot size
corresponds to the proportion of cells expressing the gene. Dot color indicates average expression in each cell
type.

1052

A Clustered PCDHs: normalized read counts in day 15 organoids

B Clustered PCDHs: transcription and epigenetic regulation in hiPSCs and day 15 organoids

1053

Supplementary Figure 6. (A) Unscaled heatmap showing normalized read counts of bulk RNA-seq data for
clustered protocadherin genes (PCDHα, PCDHβ and PCDHγ) as calculated by DESeq2 in WT (n=9 samples from a
total of 27 organoids differentiated from 3 iPSC lines across 3 batches,) E27K (n=9 samples from a total of 27
organoids differentiated from 2 iPSC lines across 2 batches) and S87L (n=9 samples from a total of 27 organoids
differentiated from 2 iPSC lines across 2 batches) cell lines, and from Control (n=3 samples derived from 9
organoids differentiated from 1 bulk transduced cell line across 1 batch) and MORC2 CRISPRi (n=3 samples
derived from 9 organoids differentiated from 1 bulk transduced cell line across 1 batch). **(B)** Genome browser

 view of bulk RNA-seq and H3K4me3 CUT&RUN from organoid experiments of the PCDHγ cluster (top) and PCDHα,
 PCDHβ and PCDHγ clusters (center), and their mean percentage methylation profile (bottom). Data are
 representative of all replicates performed.

 Supplementary Figure 7. (A) Aligned Nanopore reads showing CpG methylation across clones belonging to the
 WT (n=2) and S87L (n=2) cell lines over example L1 and ZNF gene loci. **(B)** Aligned Nanopore reads showing CpG
 methylation over examples of non-reference LINE1 insertions in WT and S87L cell lines, and Ctrl and MORC2
 CRISPRi cell lines. **(C)** Aligned Nanopore reads showing long-range dysregulated CpG methylation over a ZNF
 gene cluster.