Digital PCR detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and HIV-1 co-localization

in spinal tuberculosis biopsies

<u>Authors</u>:

Robyn Waters[1,2,*], Adrian R Martineau[3], Maritz Laubscher[1], Robert N Dunn[1], Michael Held[1],

Melissa-Rose Abrahams[2,4,#], Anna K Coussens[2,5,6,7,#,*]

<u>Author affiliations</u>:

[1]Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Health Sciences, University

of Cape Town, South Africa.

[2]Wellcome Discovery Platforms in Infection, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular

Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa.

[3]Centre for Immunobiology, Blizard Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary

University of London.

[4]Division of Medical Virology, Department of Pathology, Institute of Infectious Disease and

Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa.

[5]Infectious Diseases and Immune Defence Division, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical

Research (WEHI), Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

[6]Division of Medical Microbiology, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences,

University of Cape Town, South Africa.

[7]Department of Medical Biology (WEHI), Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences,

University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

#Equal contribution

*Co-corresponding authors

Contacts:

Anna Coussens, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research (WEHI), 1G Royal Parade,

Parkville, 3052, Australia; Tel: +61 3 39452699; Email: coussens.a@wehi.edu.au

Robyn Waters, Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Cape Town, Anzio Rd,

Observatory, 7925, South Africa; Email: robyn.waters@uct.ac.za

ABSTRACT

Background. The paucibacillary nature of spinal tuberculosis (STB) makes diagnosis challenging,

particularly in people living with HIV-1 (PLWH). It is suggested that HIV-1 and *Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis (*Mtb*) co-infection in tissues favours reciprocal replication, infection and reservoir

expansion. Yet, confirmation of this detrimental synergism in diseased tissues is scant.

Methods. In a prospective study of 25 adults (13 (52%) people living with HIV-1 (PLWH) on

antiretroviral treatment (ART)) undergoing spinal biopsy investigation for STB in South Africa,

droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) was used to detect and quantify *Mtb* complex (MTBC) DNA (*rpoB*

and *IS6110*) in 93 biopsy segments, and HIV-1 DNA (*pol* and *gag*) in 41 segments from PLWH.

ddPCR sensitivity for *Mtb* was validated against Xpert-Ultra and culture. Relationships between

pathogen DNA abundance, co-detection, human cellularity, HIV status, and peripheral viral load

(VL) were evaluated.

Findings. ddPCR detected MTBC DNA in 19/25 (76%) patients (range: 8-

59,144 *rpoB* copies/biopsy), with increased detection in those with confirmed STB and a history

of TB. MTBC *rpoB* copies/million cells were higher in biopsies from PLWH (p=0.0096) and

positively correlated with matched biopsy segment HIV-1 *pol* copies/million cells (r=0.40;

p=0.0003), but not peripheral VL. HIV-1 DNA was detected in all biopsies from PLWH, four with

undetectable VL. HIV-1 *pol* copies/million cells were higher in biopsy segments with MTBC DNA

co-detected (p=0.011) and strongly correlated with VL (r=0.91; p=0.0003).

Interpretation. ddPCR has high sensitivity for *Mtb* and HIV-1 DNA quantification in STB

biopsies. *Mtb* tissue abundance correlated with localised but not systemic HIV-1 abundance.

Increased HIV-1 DNA detection at sites co-localised with *Mtb* supports further investigating the

TB microenvironment as a site for HIV-1 reservoir persistence and expansion in PLWH on ART.

Funding. Wellcome (203135Z/16/Z); Academy of Science of SA (ASSAf); ORU, UCT; UCT

Merit Award.

Keywords: ddPCR, diagnostic, *Mtb*, TB-HIV co-infection, HIV reservoir, biopsy.

INTRODUCTION

In persons living with HIV (PLWH), the presence of HIV-1 co-infection can complicate the diagnosis of tuberculosis (TB), with atypical radiographic findings, reduced sensitivity of diagnostic tools[1], and TB often manifesting in extrapulmonary sites which are difficult to access without invasive procedures.[2] In South Africa (SA), TB-HIV coinfection rates are high, and development of tuberculosis in the spine (STB) is one of the most common EPTB sites in PLWH.[3-6] *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) infection of the spine, and associated inflammatory damage to the spinal architecture, is painful and debilitating. Rapid diagnosis on biopsy, using highly sensitive techniques, and prompt initiation of anti-tuberculous treatment, are crucial to reduce morbidity and life-long disability in STB patients.[4, 7-11]

The utility of digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) to detect *Mtb* in clinical samples has recently been demonstrated using DNA derived from whole blood and formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples from EP sites[12-16], although utility for the specific detection of *Mtb* in bone and joint biopsy samples has yet to be explored. Recent findings in CD34+ stem cells from the whole blood of healthy individuals have implicated the bone marrow as a potential niche for *Mtb* infection, with MTBC DNA more frequently detected by ddPCR in circulating CD34+ cells than CD34-, with increased MTBC DNA detection in PLWH.[16] The bone marrow, as a deep anatomical tissue site, has also been implicated as a viral tissue reservoir that enables the persistence of HIV-1 infection in PLWH on ART[17], although much remains unclear about the mechanisms of this persistence. HIV reservoir quantification is essential for evaluating efficacy of HIV cure strategies. Multiplexed ddPCR assays also provide the unique opportunity to quantitate the HIV-1 reservoir, ensuring sensitive, and specific quantification of viral genomes from multiple HIV-1 subtypes including subtype C, which dominates in SA and globally, and may thus provide valuable

insights into tissue reservoir dynamics following initiation of ART[18-21] and the impact of and on

tissue co-localised *Mtb* infection.

We and others have previously hypothesized that not only may active HIV-1 infection increase

Mtb replication at co-infection sites precipitating TB development, but that the inflammatory tissue

microenvironment at the site of *Mtb* infection may reciprocally increase HIV-1 reservoir size and

activation, by driving viral replication and increasing the pool of infection susceptible cells. Such

a relationship may be particularly evident when TB develops within an HIV-1

sanctuary/anatomical reservoir site.[22, 23] Counter to the often paucibacillary nature of pulmonary

TB (PTB), in PLWH, we recently found PLWH on ART had no significant difference in spinal

biopsy total *Mtb* abundance, using surrogate measures of time to culture positivity or Xpert

MTB/RIF Ultra cycle threshold (Ct), compared to HIV-1 uninfected patients.[24] We also found

Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra to have a higher sensitivity than culture in detecting *Mtb* in both open and

percutaneous CT-guided biopsies.[24]

The aim of this study was therefore to optimize and evaluate the utility of ddPCR to detect and

accurately quantify *Mtb* and HIV-1 DNA in both open and percutaneous CT-guided spinal biopsy

tissue, to determine i) if ddPCR is more sensitive than clinically validated tools for *Mtb* detection

in STB biopsies, ii) if HIV-1 proviral DNA can be detected at the site of spinal TB in ART

established PLWH, iii) if tissue localised presence and/or abundance of HIV-1 associates with *Mtb*

abundance in spinal tissues, and iv) whether peripheral HIV-1 viral load (VL) is associated with

spinal tissue *Mtb* and/or HIV-1 abundance.

METHODS

Study design

This is a sub-study of a prospective, longitudinal, observational cohort previously described.[24] Adults (>18 years) with suspected STB were recruited at Groote Schuur Hospital, a public hospital servicing a population of low socio-economic backgrounds, and low-income households in densely populated vulnerable communities in Cape Town, South Africa, between November 2020 and December 2021. Based on diagnostic results of Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra (GXPU), MGIT TB culture (TBCUL) and histology/histopathology (HIST) from the main study[24], patients were divided into three groups: *Culture-confirmed STB* (TBCUL+GXPU+HIST+), *Xpert Ultra-confirmed STB* (TBCUL-GXPU+HIST+), *Not STB* (TBCUL-GXPU-HIST-, alternate diagnosis Supplemental Table S1).

Study Approval

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee, University of Cape Town (HREC 606/2019). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Study procedures

Clinical data were collected as previously described[24] and detailed in Supplemental Methods. Spinal biopsy samples were collected via percutaneous CT-guided biopsy or open biopsy in theatre, as previously described.[24] Tissues were immediately submerged in DNA/RNA Shield™ (Zymo Research, diluted 1:1 nuclease-free water (Sigma)), maintained at 4°C overnight, and stored at -80°C . Tissue homogenization workflow, DNA extraction and quantification is detailed in Supplemental Methods and Supplemental Figure S1. Briefly, after thawing, larger open biopsies

were cut into four equal sized tissue segments measuring approximately 1 cm^2 each homogenized

independently for DNA extraction (Supplemental Figure S2). A fifth sample comprising of

combined left-over biopsy homogenate from each of the four segments was also prepared for a

separate DNA extraction. Small CT-guided biopsy cores, ranging from 2-7 mm long by 1 mm

wide, were used in their entirety as a single sample (Supplemental Figure S3).

Three separate ddPCR reactions were prepared for the detection of MTBC (duplex: *rpoB* and

IS6110), HIV-1 (duplex: *pol* and *gag*) and human housekeeping gene ribonuclease P protein

subunit p30 (single-plex: *RPP30*) using a total of 1 µg total DNA each for MTBC and HIV-1

assays and 100 ng for the *RPP30* assay. The inclusion of two *Mtb* targets, *rpoB* and *IS6110*,

enhances sensitivity and aids discrimination between high and low mycobacterial loads,

characterized by double and single positive results, respectively. [13] The ddPCR method applied for

HIV-1 quantification was previously developed for use in blood-derived cells[19], *RPP30* was

included to quantify and normalise for total human cell count variations across spine biopsy

sampling and validating the efficiency of DNA extraction and amplification of MTBC and HIV-1

DNA. Information about ddPCR assay set-up, accuracy and calculation of limit of detection of

MTBC DNA ddPCR assay and representative ddPCR amplification plots for samples and no

template controls are detailed in Supplemental Methods.

All pathogen ddPCR data are presented as either total copies/biopsy, or biopsy segment, and gene

copy number per million human cells (copies/million cells), unless otherwise specified. Human

cell number was calculated estimating two copies of *RPP30* DNA represents one human nucleated

cell (see Supplemental Methods). Normalising to cell number allowed pathogen abundance

comparison across varying sample types/sizes . *RPP30* copies/µg were compared between biopsy

sample types for assessment of tissue cellularity and the relationship to pathogen abundance. For

each sample, MTBC and HIV-1 ddPCR results were considered positive if DNA of either gene

was detectable above background signal (i.e.: *rpoB* or *IS6110* and *gag* or *pol*, respectively).

Statistics

Descriptive statistics included median values and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous

variables and absolute number (n) and frequency (%) for categorical variables. To assess

significant differences between groups, Fisher's exact or Kruskal–Wallis tests and Wilcoxon rank

sum tests were used for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. A p-value of <0.05 was

considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism

Version 9.3.1. For the duplex *rpoB/IS6110* and *gag/pol* assays, the categorical variable was the

proportion of patients in whom DNA of either gene was detected, and the continuous variable was

the number of copies of *rpoB* and IS*6110*/million cells. Sample size was calculated for the original

study [24] and samples used in this study represent a convivence sample, such that a formal power

calculation was not performed.

Role of the funding source

The funding sources were not involved in the study design nor the execution of the study.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics and total DNA samples for ddPCR derived from spinal biopsies

Of the 31 patients enrolled into the parent study, 25 had sufficient additional tissues collected for

the ddPCR sub-study, 10 diagnosed *culture-confirmed STB*, 6 *Xpert Ultra-confirmed STB*, and 9

were diagnosed *Not STB* (Figure 1). Patient demographic, clinical and diagnostic characteristics

are presented in Table 1 and Supplemental Tables S1-2. Half (8/16) of the patients with confirmed

STB, and a third (3/9) of those diagnosed *Not* STB, were males. Those with confirmed SPTB were

significantly younger than those without (median age: 40 years *culture-confirmed STB*, 42 years

Xpert-confirmed STB, 53 years *Not STB*, p=0.02). Of the 25 patients, thirteen (52%) were also

PLWH (100% ART established), with no difference in HIV status by TB diagnostic group

(p=0.22). Despite all 13 PLWH reporting ART adherence, seven (54%) had detectable peripheral

VLs (median copies/ml: 446 (IQR: 294-676)), and 4/13 (31%) were virally suppressed (VL data

unavailable for 2). Seventeen (68%) patients underwent open surgery for mechanical stabilization,

from which a large tissue biopsy specimen was collected, whilst eight (32%) underwent

percutaneous CT-guided biopsy, from which a single core biopsy specimen was collected.

Higher human nucleated cell content in open vs. CT-guided spinal biopsies

From the 25 patients, a total of 93 DNA samples were assayed: open biopsies were portioned into

five individual segments/patient (n=17 patients, 85 DNA samples) and CT-guided biopsy were

analysed as one sample/patient (n=8 patients, 8 DNA samples) (Table 1, Supplemental Figure S1).

Open biopsy samples were variable in tissue size and constitution with diseased hard and soft bone,

and other types of diseased tissue (hereafter referred to as 'other') retrieved (Supplemental Table

S2). Images of each biopsy are presented in Supplemental Figures S2 and S3. Open biopsy samples

had approximately 100-fold higher total DNA recovered and total human nucleated cells

(cellularity quantified as two *RRP30* copies/cell), compared to CT-guided biopsies (p<0.0001);

median total DNA was 149.5 μg (IQR: 38.1 - 217.6 μg) *vs.* 1.865 μg (IQR: 0.69-2.49 μg) and

median total cells was 9.94×10^6 (IQR: 4.0×10^6 - 2.5×10^7) *vs.* 8.73×10^4 (IQR: 4.1×10^4 - 2.12

$\times 10^5$), respectively. There was no significant difference in the amount of DNA recovered or human

cell content between different open biopsy tissue types (Supplemental Figure S4A-D). There was

also no significant difference in total cellularity between biopsies from confirmed STB and Not

STB patients for either open biopsies (p=0.1088) or CT-guided biopsies (p=0.6429) (Supplemental

Figure S4E) nor by patient HIV-1 status (p=0.6885) (Supplemental Figure S4F).

Detection and quantification of MTBC *rpoB* and IS*6110* in total DNA derived from spinal

biopsy tissues

Assay validation experiments determined both *rpoB* and *IS6110* DNA targets were readily

detectable down to 10 fg purified *Mtb* H37Rv DNA (≈2 bacilli equivalent) with LODs determined

as 2.29 gene copies/20μl reaction for single-copy *rpoB* and 9.67 copies/20μl reaction for multi-

copy *IS6110* (Supplemental Figures S5-S6). In total, 87/93 (94%) biopsy segments produced valid

MTBC ddPCR data (>10,000 droplets) (Supplemental Table S2). Mean droplet counts from biopsy

tissue reactions with >10,000 droplets measured and mean total volume of droplets/reaction are

presented in Supplemental Table S3. 1 μg of total DNA was recovered for MTBC ddPCR reactions

for 62/93 (67%) samples. In contrast, 31 (33%) samples (from 17 patients) had low total extracted

DNA/segment, resulting in less than 1μg of total DNA added per MTBC ddPCR reaction

(minimum added was 46 ng). The minimum amount of DNA loaded/reaction, for which a positive

MTBC DNA signal was detected >LOD (*rpoB* or *IS6110*), was 90 ng.

Twenty-nine of 87 (34%) biopsy segments with valid data had detectable levels of both *rpoB* and

IS6110, 16 (18%) segments had only *rpoB* (15) or *IS6110* (1) detected and 42/87 (48%) had

undetectable levels of both *rpoB* and *IS6110* (Supplemental Table S4). The higher detection of

rpoB single positives may be explained by the brighter fluorophore used for this probe. MTBC

DNA was detected in 29/39 (74%) segments from *Xpert Ultra-confirmed* and 11/14 (79%)

segments from *culture-confirmed* STB patients. Five (15%) of 34 *Not STB* segments had detectable

rpoB or *IS6110*, of which 2/34 (6%) tissue segments (from two separate patients) were double

positive for *rpoB* and *IS6110* (Figure 2A). These five samples came from four patients, all of whom

had a previous treated PTB episode, one of whom also had previous treated lymph node TB

episode (Supplemental Tables S1-S2). Representative ddPCR amplification plots for samples and

no template controls are shown in Supplemental Figures S7-9.

For open biopsy tissues, MTBC DNA double positive samples (*rpoB+IS6110+*) were mostly

found in segments with >10µg total DNA extracted (Figure 2A). Both STB diagnostic groups had

significantly higher *rpoB* and *IS6110* copies/million cells detected than the *Not STB* group

(p≤0.0002) (Figure 2B). For *culture-confirmed* patients, genes detected ranged from 10-6,558

copies/million cells for *rpoB* and 55-119,698 copies/million cells for *IS6110*. For *Xpert Ultra-*

confirmed patients, *rpoB* ranged from 86-389 copies/million cells and *IS6110* ranged from 72-

4,045 copies/million cells. For the four *Not STB* patients with detectable MTBC DNA, *rpoB* ranged

from 16-61 copies/million cells and *IS6110* from 115-154 copies/million cells (Figure 2B).

Analysing samples combined from all patients, *IS6110* and *rpoB* copies/million cells were

positively correlated (r=0.814, p<0.0001, Figure 2C). However, when separating by STB category,

correlations remained significant for *culture-confirmed* patients (r=0.8265, p<0.0001) and *Not STB*

patients (r=0.642, p<0.0001), but not *Xpert Ultra-confirmed* patients (r=0.1264, p=0.6637). This

may be reflective of more *Xpert Ultra-confirmed* patients initiated on TB therapy before biopsy

(Table 1), such that more degraded DNA from dead bacteria was detected.

As Xpert Ultra and TB culture testing is based on total biopsy sample input, and not on a segment

or several segments of a biopsy, we next combined results from the multiple segments obtained

from each biopsy. Total gene copies/biopsy ranged from 8-59,144 for *rpoB* and 30-1,001,775 for

IS6110 (Figure 3A) and the total gene copies for the two genes were positively correlated

(r=0.8628, p<0.0001, Figure 3B). There was a significant difference in total gene copies/biopsy

for both *rpoB* and *IS6110* between those diagnosed as *Not STB* with *culture-confirmed* patients,

but not *Xpert Ultra-confirmed* patients (Figure 3C-D). MTBC DNA (*rpoB* or *IS6110*) was

detected in at least one biopsy segment from 19 (76%) of 25 suspected STB patients (Supplemental

Table S2 and S4). Stratification by STB diagnostic grouping revealed MTBC DNA was detected

>LOD in biopsy tissue from all *culture-confirmed* patients (10/10, 100%), 5/6 (83%) *Xpert Ultra-*

confirmed patients and 4/9 (44%) *Not STB* patients (Supplemental Table S2). As noted above, the

four positive *Not STB* patients had previous TB. Two of these four patients subsequently died, both

were *rpoB* DNA positive and one was *rpoB* and *IS6110* double positive (Supplemental Table S1,

Figure 3C-D).

HIV-1 DNA is detectable in spinal biopsy tissue from PLWH, irrespective of STB diagnosis

and peripheral viral load

Next, we investigated the ability of ddPCR to detect HIV-1 DNA in spinal biopsy tissues from the

13 PLWH, finding HIV-1 DNA (*pol* and/or *gag*) was detectable all 13 biopsies (Supplemental

Table S6). Thirty-four of 37 (92%) tissue segments had detectable HIV-1 DNA, of which 22/37

(60%) were positive for both *pol* and *gag,* 10/37 (27%) were positive for *gag* only, and 2/37 (5%)

were positive for *pol* only. In patients who underwent open biopsy, HIV-1 DNA (*gag* or *pol*) was

detected in all 5 segments from 4/7 (57%) (Supplemental Table S2, Figure 4A). Of the three

patients with HIV-1 DNA detected in less than 5 biopsy segments, two had a lower than detectable

(LDL) blood VL, and one had VL of 60 copies/ml. In patients who underwent percutaneous CT-

guided biopsies, 4/6 (67%) were positive for both *pol* and *gag,* 1/6 (17%) was positive for *gag*

only, and 1/6 (17%) positive for *pol* only, with two having LDL blood VL (Supplemental Table

S2). When results from PLWH were stratified by STB diagnostic group, HIV-1 DNA was detected

in 20/22 (91%) segments from *culture-confirmed STB*, 4/4 (100%) *Xpert Ultra-confirmed* (all CT-

guided), and 10/11 (91%) segments from those diagnosed *Not STB* (Supplementary Table S2).

Similar to the results for MTBC DNA, double positive segments (Figure 4A, *pol+gag+*, green

data points) were mostly those with >10μg total DNA extracted, reflecting high tissue cellularity.

Overall, HIV-1 *pol* and *gag* copies detected varied at both the patient and sample-type level (Figure

4A). Median detected *pol* copies/million cells were 97 (IQR: 39 - 305) and for *gag* were 254 (IQR:

75 -519) and *gag* levels were significantly higher than *pol* levels (p=0.0051) (Figure 4B). However,

pol and *gag* copy numbers/million cells were positively correlated across all biopsy segments

(r=0.33, p=0.04) (Figure 4C). Furthermore, restricting the analysis to HIV-1 *pol* and *gag* double

positive segments (potentially representing a higher proportion of intact genomes relative to single

positive segments, although not directly measurable by the current assay), the positive correlation

improved (r=0.7698, p<0.0001).

Analysing the relationship between blood and tissue viral abundance, there was also a strong

positive correlation between HIV-1 blood VL and the maximum *pol* copies/million cells detected

across the biopsy segments tested for each patient (r=0.9072, p=0.0003, Figure 4D). However,

there was no significant correlation between maximum detected copies of *gag*/million cells and

patient blood VL (r=0.09305, p=0.7856, Figure 4E). This may be due to more *gag* single positive

segments, indicative of defective virus, with *gag* being closer to the 5' end of the HIV-1 genome,

and/or greater sensitivity of the *gag* ddPCR reaction. HIV-1 DNA, *pol* or *gag* DNA, was detected

in all four HIV-positive patients with LDL VL (2 CT-guided biopsies and 2 open surgeries). Of

these, three patients had double positive detection of both *pol* and *gag* in one of their biopsy

segments (Figure 4A).

MTBC and HIV-1 abundance are increased in spinal tissue segments where both pathogens

are detected

Analysing the impact of HIV-1 co-infection on overall ddPCR MTBC DNA detection, we found

no significant difference by HIV-1 status, with *IS6110* and/or *rpoB* detected in biopsy tissues from

11/13 (85%) PLWH and from 8/12 (67%) HIV-1 uninfected patients (p=0.378) (Supplemental

Table S7). In line with our previous findings for *Mtb* abundance measured by culture and Xpert

Ultra[24], there was also no difference by HIV-1 status in MTBC *rpoB* or *IS6110* total DNA copies

detected per biopsy (Figure 5A). However, when analysing results normalised to biopsy segment

cellularity, *rpoB* and *IS6110* copies/million cells were significantly higher in biopsies from PLWH

(Figure 5B-C, p=0.0096 and p=0.0118, respectively).

To further investigate the interaction between HIV-1 and *Mtb* at the site of disease we next

investigated the presence and abundance of both pathogens in each biopsy segment. Valid ddPCR

data for both MTBC and HIV-1 DNA detection were obtained for 35/41 biopsy segments from 13

PLWH (the remaining 6 tissue segments had <10,000 droplets for either MTBC or HIV-1

detection). Eleven of the 13 (92%) patients had co-detection of MTBC and HIV-1 DNA in at least

one of their open biopsy tissue segments (n=7) or in the whole percutaneous biopsy (n=4) (Figure

5D). 20/35 (57%) segments had both MTBC and HIV-1 DNA detected (*rpoB* and/or *IS6110* AND

pol and/or *gag*). Of these 16/20 (80%) were double positive for MTBC genes (*rpoB+/IS6110+),*

the remaining four were *rpoB* positive only, and 16/20 (80%) samples were double positive for

HIV-1 genes (*pol+/gag+),* with two samples single positive for *pol* and two for *gag*. In total, 14/20

(70%) samples were double positive for both MTBC *rpoB+/IS6110+* and HIV-1 *pol+/gag+*.

Within individual biopsy segments, single copy MTBC gene *rpoB* copies/million cells were also

positively correlated with detected HIV-1 *pol* DNA copies/million cells (r=0.3963, p=0.0003) in

the same segment, whilst there was no significant correlation between MTBC *rpoB* and HIV-1

gag copies/million cells (p=0.3595) (Figure 5E-F). As we found the maximum biopsy segment

HIV-1 *pol* DNA copies/million cells, was strongly correlated with blood VL, we also analysed the

relationship of VL to total biopsy MTBC *rpoB* copies and maximum biopsy segment *rpoB*

copies/million cells. Both were not significantly correlated with blood VL, indicating *Mtb*

abundance at STB biopsy sites is positively correlated with local HIV-1 abundance and not

peripheral VL (Figure 5G-H). Finally, we tested whether the abundance of HIV-1 DNA in spinal

tissue segments from PLWH on ART is increased in tissues where MTBC DNA was detected. On

a tissue segment basis*, pol* gene copies/million cells were significantly higher in samples where

MTBC DNA was detected (p=0.0110), whilst there was no significant difference for *gag*

copies/million cells by MTBC DNA presence (p=0.6002) (Figure 5I-J).

DISCUSSION

Here we report the findings of the first study, to our knowledge, to test for MTBC-complex DNA and the presence of HIV-1 DNA in spinal biopsy tissue from patients investigated for STB, living in a setting with a high incidence of both TB and HIV-1 infection. A high proportion of our patients were PLWH (52%) consistent with a previous local study of STB reporting a HIV-1-infectivity rate of 50%.[25] The ddPCR assay successfully detected and quantified MTBC DNA in biopsy tissues, confirming MTBC detection in 94% of patients with positive Xpert Ultra results. The ddPCR assay also detected MTBC DNA in biopsies from four patients in which *Mtb* could not be detected by culture or Xpert Ultra, all of whom had a previous TB diagnosis. Furthermore HIV-1 DNA was successfully detected in the spinal tissues of all PLWH, all of whom were established on ART, including four who were peripherally virally suppressed, with HIV-1 *pol* copies/million cells correlating with blood VL. We found MTBC *rpoB* and *IS6110* copies/million cells were significantly higher in spinal tissue segments from PLWH. Moreover, HIV-1 *pol* copies/million cells were significantly higher in spinal tissue segments in which MTBC DNA was co-detected and positively correlated with co-detected MTBC *rpoB* copies/million cells. Finally, we determined that tissue *Mtb* abundance quantified by *rpoB* copies/million cells positively correlated with tissue HIV-1 *pol* copies and not blood VL, suggestive of a localised relationship between these co-infecting pathogens.

We provide evidence for the sensitive detection of MTBC-specific DNA, using a previously described assay for assessing the LOD for each gene[16, 26], within spinal biopsy tissues of 19 of 25 suspected STB patients. We expect that *Mtb* will be predominantly intracellular, but may also be extracellular, and thus comparing the abundance of *Mtb* relative to human cellular abundance, may

inform the cellularity of the tissue within which high amounts of *Mtb* DNA is found. We also

postulated that with *Mtb* infection, tissues at the site of disease may be more abundant with

recruited and infiltrated cells, however, neither the abundance of *Mtb* infection in the spine nor the

abundance of HIV-1 co-infection was associated with the cellularity of the biopsy obtained

although there were trends observed. However normalising *Mtb* abundance to biopsy human cell

numbers, identified a significant increase in *Mtb* abundance at the site of STB in PLWH, that was

not previously found when merely comparing *Mtb* abundance based on total biopsy culture or

Xpert.[24]

The high sensitivity of ddPCR, also enabled low-level detection of MTBC DNA in four patients

who were clinically diagnosed STB negative based on culture and Xpert Ultra, all of whom had

previous PTB and two were PLWH. Notably, 68% of the patients with *Mtb* DNA detected in their

biopsies by ddPCR had a previous TB episode. *Mtb* may have seeded in the spine via a

haematogenous route following pulmonary or lymph node disease. In the case of the four patients

diagnosed *Not STB,* the detected MTBC DNA may have arisen from this previous haematogenous

seeding of *Mtb* in the spine that was no longer viable so culture negative, or it represents a

misdiagnosis by culture and Xpert Ultra of a new TB episode detectable by ddPCR. It cannot be

ruled out that the positive result was due to cross-contamination or non-specific amplification,

however all 14 biopsy segments from those diagnosed *Not STB* with no previous TB were *rpoB*

and *IS6110* negative as were no template controls.

Comparing our level of MTBC DNA detection to that in other TB studies, our calculated mean of

367 *IS6110* copies/20µl is within the range of 10 - 1000 *IS6110* copies/20µl reported by Belay *et*

al.[16] Two other MTBC DNA ddPCR studies reported ~10 copies of *IS6110*/20µl in plasma from

EPTB patients[27] and a contrasting high equivalent average of 3686 copies *IS6110*/20μl from whole

blood-derived DNA samples from patients with PTB/EPTB (n=12 patients with bone TB).[28] The

insertion sequence (IS) has been used successfully for the diagnosis of *Mtb,* however, some *Mtb*

clinical strains are IS6110-negative, leading to false negative results.[29] Use of double-gene target

assays, including *IS6110* and other MTBC specific genes, *IS1081* and *rpoB*[15, 16], in paucibacillary

samples, enhances sensitivity and aids discrimination between high and low mycobacterial loads,

characterized by double and single positive results, respectively.[13]

Gag and *pol* DNA was successfully detected in biopsy tissues of all PLWH in our study. To our

knowledge, this is the first study to use ddPCR to detect and quantify HIV-1 at the site of STB

disease. HIV-1 DNA was detected in biopsies from patients with no detectable viral loads in the

blood, potentially indicating tissue-specific presence and persistence. It is well known that HIV-1

integrates into the host genome as a provirus and can establish latency shortly after infection.[30] As

ART only inhibits actively replicating virus, it cannot eliminate the virus from latently infected

cells, which persist through mechanisms such as homeostatic proliferation [31] and represent a source

of viral rebound, if treatment is interrupted.[32] In a recent review[22], we presented a rationale for

how the inflammatory state during active *Mtb* infection has the potential to both enhance viral

replication, by driving transcription of HIV-1 genes from the HIV long terminal repeat promoter

region, and to create an expanded cellular niche that is susceptible to HIV-1 infection. This may

contribute to detectable viral loads[22], albeit at low levels as ART adherence should prevent ongoing

rounds of viral replication and rebound. While multiple factors can influence suppression of HIV

VL, based on findings in our study, we hypothesize that HIV-1 tissue reservoirs at the site of STB

inflammation may contribute to HIV-1 transcriptional activation and increased detection of

circulating HIV-1 in these patients. However, since the HIV reservoir is composed of

predominantly replication defective virus[33], only a limited proportion of latently infected cells can

give rise to replication-competent viruses. Thus, more may be at play in the context of co-infection,

driving ongoing HIV viral replication. Identifying tissue-based and specific cellular reservoirs of

HIV-1 in patients on ART is critical to finding a cure for the virus. Recently, Chaillon *et al.*,[34]

analysed HIV-1 reservoirs in both the blood, prior to death, and from various anatomical tissue

sites, during post-mortems, of PLWH using ddPCR and showed evidence of the persistence of

HIV in 27 deep anatomical sites including the colon, lymph nodes, and spleen. A prior study

examined HIV-1 persistence in ART-treated individuals with undetectable plasma HIV-1 RNA

levels and found PCR evidence of HIV-1 DNA in freshly isolated CD34+ bone marrow cells in

44% of patients.[35] In addition, the detection of HIV proviral DNA (median: 62 copies/million cells)

in bone marrow, using Realtime quantitative PCR (qPCR), was reported for Zambian individuals

suppressed on ART in the first study to characterize HIV persistence in different anatomical sites[36].

The Last Gift study analysed post-mortem tissue samples for the presence of HIV deep tissue

reservoirs, and utilised ddPCR to measure HIV proviral copy number in the spinal cord and

reported unexpectedly high levels of HIV at this site.[37] These reservoirs could be targeted for

therapy either through cell-based drug delivery or by taking advantage of their close interaction

with CD4+ cells, which are known to be a target of HIV-1 infection.[38]

Overall, significant relationships were highlighted using the *pol* gene over the *gag* gene in samples

where MTBC DNA was also detected and a strong positive correlation between *pol* and *rpoB*

copies/million cells. Overall *gag* copy numbers appeared to be higher than *pol*. We cannot rule out

that either the *pol* primer/probe set was less sensitive to provirus detection or that the *gag*

primer/probe set may have produced off-target, background amplification signal, resulting in the

discrepancies. As stated, the vast majority of HIV-1 proviral genomes are defective (either

hypermutated or harbouring large internal deletions)[33], and copy numbers of these two genes are

not expected to be highly correlated. Most HIV-1 studies have focussed on whole blood and bone

marrow aspirates for the detection of HIV-1 DNA. The Intact Proviral DNA Assay (IPDA) is a

potential future improvement to our method, as it permits discrimination of defective proviral

populations through amplification of targets that have an increased statistical likelihood of being

present together only in intact genomes.[18] Since viral reactivation and rebound would originate

from the pool of cells harbouring intact proviral genomes, this could provide an opportunity to

quantify the number of cells that can produce HIV in tissues that are also positive for *Mtb*. In

future, isolation of specific cell populations from spinal biopsy tissues and flow cytometry or single

cell omics in combination with ddPCR methods that distinguish intact viral genomes from those

that are defective, as mentioned above, will aid in characterization of HIV-1 in these populations

and specific cellular reservoirs. This will ultimately guide the improvement of TB and HIV-1 cure

strategies that are specifically tailored to treat this potentially deadly syndemic.

The small sample size is a limitation of our study. This was due to the impact of the COVID-19

pandemic and the change in hospital seeking nature of our patients during enrolment period. In

addition, the study was conducted at a single site. The study did not have a true TB negative control

group, given the high rates of previous pulmonary TB in the *Not STB* group, confounding whether

detection of MTBC DNA in the spine of those diagnosed as *Not STB* was due to current mis-

diagnosis or migration of the previous infection that was no longer viable to the new clinical

presentation. Future work should include the assessment of MTBC 16S mRNA as a

complementary analysis to the detection of *rpoB* and *IS6110* DNA using ddPCR to inform

potential viability of *Mtb*. More parallel studies using larger sample sizes, targeting more

sequences, or involving different stages of disease, are needed to further clarify the diagnostic

value of ddPCR for STB. ddPCR is not yet widely available, and widespread implementation has

been hindered by the relatively high current cost per ddPCR reaction, compared with standard

qPCR and more complex infrastructure and specialized personnel requirements.[39, 40] However,

recent innovations in chip-based vs droplet generation systems will likely overcome these factors.

Future work should also compare the detection of MTBC and HIV-1 DNA in the peripheral blood,

with the site of disease and how these may change with treatment. This would only be possible in

patients who have repeated biopsies after they have been initiated on anti-tuberculous therapy for

a certain level of time. Finally, we did not measure HIV RNA or proteins in tissue biopsies. Such

assays could determine whether local replication of HIV is induced at sites of TB co-infection to

confirm the TB inflammatory microenvironment facilitates HIV-1 reservoir persistence and

activation in those ART established and peripherally suppressed.

In conclusion, rapid diagnosis of TB is crucial for patient treatment and outcome and for infection

control. We report ddPCR can provide simple and rapid diagnosis of *Mtb* infection, including in

the context of HIV-1 infection, and may have superior sensitivity over Xpert Ultra, detecting low

levels of DNA in 4 patients not diagnosed with STB by conventional molecular microbiological

testing. We also report the co-localisation of both pathogens facilitates expansion of the other at

the site of TB disease and this needs to be considered with detection methods and tailored treatment

strategies that ensure penetrance into anatomical sites such as the spine. The contribution of the

TB microenvironment as both a reservoir and site of HIV reservoir activation requires further

investigation.

DATA SHARING

Deidentified data from this study will be made available upon request with investigator support, after approval of a proposal, and with a signed data access agreement. For data access, please contact the corresponding author.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

Nomsa Yekiso, Nosipho Mncwabe, Dr Qonita Hartley, Dr Chad Centner, Ms Nawaal Adikary and Zeenat Hoosen, orthopaedic surgical teams and our patients for helping, participating and contributing to global evidence. The following reagent was obtained through the NIH HIV Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: HIV-1 Lymphadenopathy-Associated Virus (LAV)-Infected 8E5 Cells, ARP-95, contributed by Dr Thomas Folks.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

CONTRIBUTORS

RW collected tissues, performed assays, analysed data and drafted the manuscript. ACK, MRA, MH, and ARM contributed to study design, supported data collection and analysis, and edited the manuscript. RND and ML aided study design, input and sample collection. For the purposes of open access, the authors have applied a CC-BY public copyright to any author-accepted manuscript arising from this submission.

REFERENCES

1.   Perkins MD, Cunningham J. Facing the crisis: improving the diagnosis of tuberculosis in the HIV era. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2007;196(Supplement_1):S15-S27.

2.   Davies P, Pai M. The diagnosis and misdiagnosis of tuberculosis [State of the art series. Tuberculosis. Edited by ID Rusen. Number 1 in the series]. The International Journal of Tuberculosis Lung Disease. 2008;12(11):1226-34.

3.   Adeyemo S, Sangotola A, Korosteleva O. Modeling transmission dynamics of tuberculosis–HIV co-infection in South Africa. Epidemiologia. 2023;4(4):408-19.

4.   Rajasekaran S, Soundararajan DCR, Shetty AP, Kanna RM. Spinal Tuberculosis: Current Concepts. Global Spine Journal. 2018;8(4 Suppl):96s-108s.

5.   Govender S, Annamalai K, Kumar K, Govender U. Spinal tuberculosis in HIV positive and negative patients: immunological response and clinical outcome. International orthopaedics. 2000;24:163-6.

6.   Dunn R, Husien MB. Spinal tuberculosis: review of current management. The bone & joint journal. 2018;100(4):425-31.

7.   Dunn R. The medical management of spinal tuberculosis. SA Orthopaedic Journal. 2010;9:37-41.

8.   Held M, Laubscher M, Dix-Peek S, Zar H, Dunn R, editors. Accuracy of GeneXpert PCR Testing in Osteoarticular TB. Orthopaedic Proceedings; 2014: The British Editorial Society of Bone & Joint Surgery.

9.   Held M, Laubscher M, Zar HJ, Dunn RN. GeneXpert polymerase chain reaction for spinal tuberculosis: an accurate and rapid diagnostic test. Bone Joint Journal. 2014;96-b(10):1366-9.

10.   Dunn R, Zondagh I. Spinal tuberculosis: Diagnostic biopsy is mandatory. SAMJ: South African Medical Journal. 2008;98(5):360-2.

11.   Dunn RN, Ben Husien M. Spinal tuberculosis: review of current management. Bone Joint

Journal. 2018;100-b(4):425-31.

12.   Cao Z, Wu W, Wei H, Gao C, Zhang L, Wu C, et al. Using droplet digital PCR in the

detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in FFPE samples. International Journal of

Infectious Diseases. 2020;99:77-83.

13.   Antonello M, Scutari R, Lauricella C, Renica S, Motta V, Torri S, et al. Rapid Detection

and Quantification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in paraffinized samples by droplet digital

PCR: a preliminary study. Frontiers in microbiology. 2021;12.

14.   Yang J, Han X, Liu A, Bai X, Xu C, Bao F, et al. Use of Digital Droplet PCR to Detect

Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in Whole Blood-Derived DNA Samples from Patients with

Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;7:369.

15.   Nyaruaba R, Mwaliko C, Kering KK, Wei H. Droplet digital PCR applications in the

tuberculosis world. Tuberculosis (Edinb). 2019;117:85-92.

16.   Belay M, Tulu B, Younis S, Jolliffe DA, Tayachew D, Manwandu H, et al. Detection of

Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex DNA in CD34-positive peripheral blood mononuclear cells

of asymptomatic tuberculosis contacts: an observational study. The Lancet Microbe. 2021.

17.   Karris MA, Smith DM. Tissue-specific HIV-1 infection: why it matters. Future virology.

2011;6(7):869-82.

18.   Buchholtz N, Nühn M, de Jong T, Stienstra T, Reddy K, Ndung'u T, et al. Development

of a highly sensitive and specific intact proviral DNA assay for HIV-1 subtype B and C. Virology

Journal. 2024;21(1):1-11.

19.   Strain MC, Lada SM, Luong T, Rought SE, Gianella S, Terry VH, et al. Highly precise

measurement of HIV DNA by droplet digital PCR. J PloS one. 2013;8(4):e55943.

20.   Bruner KM, Wang Z, Simonetti FR, Bender AM, Kwon KJ, Sengupta S, et al. A

quantitative approach for measuring the reservoir of latent HIV-1 proviruses. Nature.

2019;566(7742):120-5.

21.   Cassidy NA, Fish CS, Levy CN, Roychoudhury P, Reeves DB, Hughes SM, et al. HIV

reservoir quantification using cross-subtype multiplex ddPCR. iScience. 2022;25(1).

22.   Waters R, Ndengane M, Abrahams M-R, Diedrich CR, Wilkinson RJ, Coussens AK. The

Mtb-HIV syndemic interaction: why treating M. tuberculosis infection may be crucial for HIV-1

eradication. Future virology. 2020;15(02):101-26.

23.   He X, Eddy JJ, Jacobson KR, Henderson AJ, Agosto LM. Enhanced human

immunodeficiency virus-1 replication in CD4+ T cells derived from individuals with latent

mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2020;222(9):1550-60.

24.   Waters R, Laubscher M, Dunn RN, Adikary N, Coussens AK, Held M. Higher sensitivity

of Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra over Tuberculosis Culture for the diagnosis of spinal tuberculosis with

Open or computed tomography–guided biopsies. Open Forum Infectious Diseases. 2024;11(1).

25.   Mann TN, Davis JH, Walzl G, Beltran CG, Du Toit J, Lamberts RP, et al. Candidate

biomarkers to distinguish spinal tuberculosis from mechanical back pain in a tuberculosis endemic

setting. Frontiers in Immunology. 2021:4903.

26.   Armbruster DA, Pry T. Limit of blank, limit of detection and limit of quantitation. The

clinical biochemist reviews. 2008;29(Suppl 1):S49.

27.   Lyu L, Li Z, Pan L, Jia H, Sun Q, Liu Q, et al. Evaluation of digital PCR assay in detection

of M. tuberculosis IS6110 and IS1081 in tuberculosis patients plasma. BMC Infectious Diseases.

2020;20(1):1-9.

28.   Yang J, Han X, Liu A, Bai X, Xu C, Bao F, et al. Use of digital droplet PCR to detect

Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in whole blood-derived DNA samples from patients with

pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis. Frontiers in cellular infection microbiology.

2017;7:369.

29.   Zhou L, Ma C, Xiao T, Li M, Liu H, Zhao X, et al. A new single gene differential biomarker

for Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and non-tuberculosis mycobacteria. Frontiers in

microbiology. 2019;10:1887.

30.   Chavez L, Calvanese V, Verdin E. HIV latency is established directly and early in both

resting and activated primary CD4 T cells. PLoS pathogens. 2015;11(6):e1004955.

31.   Chomont N, El-Far M, Ancuta P, Trautmann L, Procopio FA, Yassine-Diab B, et al. HIV

reservoir size and persistence are driven by T cell survival and homeostatic proliferation. Nature

medicine. 2009;15(8):893-900.

32.   Price A, Shearman AD, Hamilton TW, Alvand A, Kendrick B, Bone C-NSTJ, et al. 30-day

outcome after orthopaedic surgery in patients assessed as negative for COVID-19 at the time of

surgery during the peak of the pandemic. 2020;1(8):474-80.

33.   Bruner KM, Murray AJ, Pollack RA, Soliman MG, Laskey SB, Capoferri AA, et al.

Defective proviruses rapidly accumulate during acute HIV-1 infection. Nature medicine.

2016;22(9):1043-9.

34.   Chaillon A, Gianella S, Dellicour S, Rawlings SA, Schlub TE, De Oliveira MF, et al. HIV

persists throughout deep tissues with repopulation from multiple anatomical sources. The Journal

of clinical investigation. 2020;130(4):1699-712.

35.   Carter CC, Onafuwa-Nuga A, McNamara LA, Riddell IV J, Bixby D, Savona MR, et al.

HIV-1 infects multipotent progenitor cells causing cell death and establishing latent cellular

reservoirs. Nature medicine. 2010;16(4):446-51.

36.   Liu Z, Julius P, Kang G, West JT, Wood C. Subtype C HIV-1 reservoirs throughout the

body in ART-suppressed individuals. JCI insight. 2022;7(20).

37.   Riggs PK, Chaillon A, Jiang G, Letendre SL, Tang Y, Taylor J, et al. Lessons for

Understanding Central Nervous System HIV Reservoirs from the Last Gift Program. J Current

HIV/AIDS Reports. 2022:1-14.

38.   Araínga M, Su H, Poluektova LY, Gorantla S, Gendelman HE. HIV-1 cellular and tissue

replication patterns in infected humanized mice. Scientific reports. 2016;6(1):23513.

39.   Hindson BJ, Ness KD, Masquelier DA, Belgrader P, Heredia NJ, Makarewicz AJ, et al.

High-throughput droplet digital PCR system for absolute quantitation of DNA copy number. Anal

Chem. 2011;83(22):8604-10.

40.   Campomenosi P, Gini E, Noonan DM, Poli A, D'Antona P, Rotolo N, et al. A comparison

between quantitative PCR and droplet digital PCR technologies for circulating microRNA

quantification in human lung cancer. BMC biotechnology. 2016;16(1):1-10.

Table 1. Study patient characteristics, stratified by patient diagnostic group.

	Overall	TBCUL+GXPU+HIST+ (Culture confirmed)	TBCUL-GXPU+HIST+ (Xpert Ultra confirmed)	TBCUL-GXPU-HIST- (Not STB)
Total Patients	25 (100%)	10 (40%)	6 (24%)	9 (36%)
Age, years	48 (36-53)	40 (29 – 49)	42 (38-44)	53 (49-58)
Male sex	11 (44%)	5 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (33%)
HIV-1 positive	13 (52%)	6 (60%)	4 (67%)	3 (33%)
Detectable HIV-1 viral load	7/11[A] (64%)	3/5[a] (60%)	2/3[A] (67%)	2/3 (67%)
Viral load	446 (294-791)	372 (292 -391)	1137 and 49959	60 and 676
Previous TB history	14 (56%)	5 (50%)	4 (67%)	5 (56%)
TB therapy initiated before biopsy	9 (28%)	3 (30%)	5 (83%)	1 (11%)
TB culture positive	10 (40%)	10 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra +	16 (64%)	10 (100%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)
Histopathology +	12/19[B] (63%)	7/7 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	0/7 (0%)
AFB +	2/18[C] (11%)	2/8 (25%)	0/5 (0%)	0/5 (0%)
Open biopsy	17 (68%)	8 (80%)	2 (33%)	7 (78%)
CT-guided biopsy	8 (32%)	2 (20%)	4 (67%)	2 (22%)
Total DNA samples	93 (100%)	42 (45%)	14 (15%)	37 (40%)

580 Data are proportion (n) and (%) or median (IQR). [A]n=2 unavailable VL results (1 culture confirmed and 1 Xpert
581 Ultra confirmed); n=4 lower than detectable limit (LDL); [B]No histology report available in n=6 patient (3 culture
582 confirmed, 1 Xpert Ultra confirmed, 2 Not STB); [C]No ZN staining report available in n=7 patients. +: positive; -:
583 negative; AFB: acid fast bacilli; ART, antiretroviral therapy; CT: Computed tomography; CUL culture; GXPU:
584 Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra; HIST: histology; TB: Tuberculosis.

585
586

590 **Figure 1. Flow diagram of study patients including diagnostic, surgical, and ddPCR sample categories**.
591 *GXPU: Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra; TBCUL: MGIT TB culture; HIST: histology including histopathology and Ziehl-*
592 *Neelsen stain for acid fast bacilli.*
593

594
595

Figure 2. MTBC *rpoB* and *IS6110* copies detected by ddPCR. **A)** MTBC DNA detection in total DNA extracted per segment of open biopsy patients, stratified by STB diagnostic group**.** Individual dots represent total DNA extracted per biopsy segment (n=5 open biopsy, n=1 CT-guided biopsy). Double positives (*rpoB+IS6110+*, green dots; no *rpoB* or *IS6110* detected (*rpoB-IS6110-,* white dots with black border); single positives (*rpoB+IS6110-,* orange dots; single positives (*rpoB-IS6110+,* purple dots). X-axis labelled with patient ID. **B)** Gene copies in biopsy segments, stratified by STB diagnostic grouping**.** *rpoB* and *IS6110* copy numbers per million human cells for n=87 segments with valid ddPCR data. <LOD was 'undetectable'. p-values were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis Test with FDR = 0.1, two-stage step-up (Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli). Lines show median values with IQR. **C)** *IS6110* versus *rpoB* copies/million cells for the 87 biopsy segments with valid ddPCR data. X and Y axes are log10. Spearman Correlation coefficients and p values were calculated using Spearman's test. *GXPU: Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra, HIST: Histology, LOD: Limit of detection, TBCUL: MGIT TB culture.*

616
Figure 3. Total MTBC gene copies per biopsy from adult patients investigated for STB, undergoing either
percutaneous CT-guided biopsy or open biopsy in theatre. A) Total *rpoB* and *IS6110* copies, per total biopsy, **B**)
Spearman's correlation between *IS6110* and *rpoB* total copies per biopsy, **C**) Total *rpoB* and D) *IS6110* copies per
biopsy, stratified by STB diagnostic group. Grey dots represent deceased patients who passed away after diagnosis.
Data are presented as median and IQR. Individual dots represent entire combined biopsy results for each patient.
Kruskal-Wallis test with FDR=0.1 two-stage step-up (Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli) was used. *GXPU: Xpert*
MTB/RIF Ultra, HIST: Histology, LOD: Limit of detection, TBCUL: MGIT TB culture.
624

626
Figure 4. Detection of HIV-1 DNA in spinal biopsy tissue from PLWH and the relationship to viral load. A)
HIV-1 DNA detection in total DNA extracted per segment of open biopsy from PLWH, stratified by STB diagnostic
group and type of tissue extracted during surgery. Individual dots represent total DNA extracted per five biopsy
segments from each patient. X axis is patient study ID. *Pol* and *gag*: HIV-1 genes. Invalid ddPCR: samples with
droplet counts <10 000, no detection by software. * on PID indicates patient had blood viral load lower than detectable
levels. **B)** Detection and **C)** correlation of HIV-1 *pol* and *gag* gene copies per million cells in DNA from biopsy tissues
of adult suspected spinal tuberculosis patients. Correlation between spinal biopsy maximum detected **D)** *pol* and **E)**
gag, copies per million cells across tested biopsy segments vs. HIV-1 VL in the blood. Correlation coefficients and p-
values were calculated with Spearman's test. n=2 patients had unknown viral loads and were excluded from D and E
analysis. *GXPU: Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra; HIST: Histology; TBCUL: MGIT TB culture.*

640
641
Figure 5. Relationship between MTBC DNA abundance and HIV-1-status, gene copies, and co-detection of
MTBC DNA in spinal TB biopsy tissues. A) Total *rpoB* and *IS6110* copies, per total biopsy tissue by HIV status.
MTBC *rpoB* (**B**) and *IS6110* (**C**) copy numbers/million cells in DNA from biopsy segments by patient HIV status.
(**D**) Detection of both MTBC and HIV-1 DNA in biopsy segments from open and CT-guided biopsy from PLWH,
stratified by STB diagnostic group. Individual dots represent total DNA extracted per biopsy segment for either open
(n=5/patient) or CT-guided biopsies (n=1/patient). MTBC+ = detection of (*rpoB or IS6110) and HIV-1+ (pol or gag)*
X axis is MUTI patient ID. MUTI016 was on empiric anti-tuberculous therapy at the time for concurrent pulmonary
TB with undetectable MTBC DNA yet detectable HIV-1 DNA. Correlation between HIV *pol* (**E**) and *gag* (**F**) copy
numbers/million cells with *rpoB* gene copies per million cells in each biopsy segment. Correlation between patient
HIV-1 VL in the blood and spinal biopsy (**G**) total detected *rpoB* copies **D**) and **E**) maximum *rpoB* copies per million
cells detected across tested biopsy segments. HIV-1 gene copies/million cells for (**I**) *pol* and (**J**) *gag* in biopsy samples
stratified by whether MTBC DNA (either *rpoB or IS6110*) was detected by ddPCR. P values were calculated with
Mann-Whitney tests (A-C, I-J). Correlation coefficients and p-values were calculated with Spearman's test (E-H)).
Lines show median values with interquartile range (IQR). Dots in all graphs coloured by STB diagnostic group, as per
A-C. *GXPU: Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra; MTBC: Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex; TBCUL: MGIT TB culture. LOD:*
limit of detection.