Genomic adaptations of novel halotolerant bacteria from extreme North Greenland

Short title: "Halophiles from Northern Greenland"

Miguel Ángel Salinas García[1,2,3], *Anders Priemé[2,1,3]

[1] Centre for Exolife Sciences (CELS), Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Jagtvej 155A, DK-2200, Copenhagen, Denmark

[2] Center for Volatile Interactions (VOLT), Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

[3] Section of Microbiology, Department of Biology University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

***Corresponding author**: Anders Priemé (aprieme@bio.ku.dk). Mailing address: Center for Volatile Interactions (VOLT), Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Keywords: extremophile, Greenland, biosynthetic gene cluster, halotolerant, genome, novel strains

ABSTRACT

Peary Land, northern-most Greenland, is a cold desert region whose soil microbiome remains underexplored. This is the first study to explore the halotolerant soil microbiome of this region with culture-dependent methods. Forty-nine taxonomically diverse bacterial isolates were obtained from dry biological soil crust, surface soil and permafrost soil. Nine isolates were selected for whole genome sequencing; these genomes showed adaptations to their cold, saline native environment, such as cold shock proteins and a large number of osmoprotectant transporters. The genomes also contained several biosynthetic gene clusters, including a large variety of clusters involved in the production of antimicrobial compounds. Furthermore, most of the sequenced genomes showed low digital DNA-DNA hybridisation to their closest relatives, suggesting that they represent novel species. Overall, this study demonstrates the unexplored potential of High Arctic deserts as a source of novel halotolerant bacteria and their secondary metabolites.

INTRODUCTION

Peary Land in extreme North Greenland is the northern-most landmass of our planet. The interior of this remote and uninhabited peninsula is characterized by very sparse vegetation, very low precipitation and very low temperatures [1]. Due to the low precipitation, most of Peary Land is ice-free and vegetation is mainly found along the moist northern coast bordering the icy Arctic Ocean and in small depressions in the landscape fed by water from melting snowpack. In addition, Peary Land is home to one of the oldest permafrost formations in the world, located in Kap København and around 2 million years old [2]. Other notable locations include Cape Morris Jessup, the most northern point of mainland Greenland, and Citronen Fjord, which contains rich deposits of zinc and lead and is being considered for exploitation (Ironbark Zinc ltd.).

Due to the low precipitation and sparse vegetation, the soils in Peary Land are generally thin, alkaline and salt-rich, and poor in carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus [3]. Surface soils of the High Arctic desert of Peary Land tend to harden and crack due to lack of water and evaporation, creating the typical patterns found in deserts [4]. In contrast to warm or hot deserts, UV radiation is relatively low, with noon UV index averages of 2-3 in July [5, 6].

Biological soil crusts are common in hot and cold deserts and have been defined as communities of organisms dwelling on the soil surface. These communities create an almost living 'skin' of intimate associations between soil particles and photoautotrophic (cyanobacteria, algae, lichens, bryophytes) and heterotrophic (bacteria, fungi, archaea) organisms (Weber et al. 2022). Biological soil crusts regularly experience low water activity and are hence expected to harbour halophilic, salt-loving, and halotolerant taxa. These biological crusts prevent soil erosion and retain moisture, properties relevant in desert regions where bioremediation of biological soil crusts could be particularly effective [7].

Permafrost soil remains frozen for at least two consecutive years and is covered by the active layer, i.e., soil that thaws during summer. Despite the frozen conditions, permafrost soils are home to a large diversity of microorganisms [8]. In permafrost and other frozen environments, liquid brine inclusions (cryopegs) form due to salt fractionation [9]. In contrast to the bulk permafrost, nutrients and microorganisms can move in the brine, enabling microbial activity. These brines can reach salt concentrations near saturation, but nevertheless provide a liquid environment for microorganisms to inhabit [10]. Permafrost soil, and especially the cryopegs within, has been a source of many halophilic microorganisms described in the literature [11–13]. Microorganisms isolated from these environments can serve as models in

astrobiology [14], and their enzymes and metabolites have biotechnological and industrial
applications [15, 16].

Microbial life in Peary Land soils require special adaptations to the low
temperatures, low water activity and low nutrient conditions; including modifications to cellular
membranes, proteins, genetic material and metabolism [17]. The extremophiles that thrive
under these conditions are known as psychrophiles or psychrotolerant (organisms that grow
below 10°C) and xerotolerant (organisms that can grow at water activity below 0.8, where 1 is
pure water) [18]. Halophiles are a group of xerotolerants that have evolved to grow under high
salt conditions with low water activity [19]. Halophilic microorganisms have previously been
isolated from non-Polar deserts such as the Atacama Desert [20], but very little is known about
halophilic and halotolerant microorganisms in Polar deserts.

Previous studies have shown that the Greenland Ice Sheet is a promising source
of biosynthetic gene clusters [21]. With this study, we aim to extend this potential to different
Peary Land soils, whose microbiome remains underexplored and may harbour novel bacterial
isolates with potential applications in biotechnology. We hypothesize that i) permafrost soil,
biological soil crust and surface soil in Peary Land are inhabited by novel culturable halophilic
and halotolerant bacteria, ii) the genomes of these bacteria reveal adaptations to life at low
water activity and iii) Northern Greenland represents a potential source of secondary bacterial
metabolites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling sites

The Kap København formation (sampled at N82.341460, W21.256961, 160 meters
above sea level, m.a.s.l.) (**Fig. 1a**), consists of a 100-m thick succession of shallow marine
sediments which were deposited during an interglacial period 2 million years ago. The deposits
were later uplifted and exposed subaerially about 1 million years ago, at which time the
deposits became permafrost [2]. Samples were extracted using a sterilized shovel from the
permafrost ca. 4 meter below the surface accessed from the side of the formation, which was
exposed due to recent erosion. Within minutes of extraction, the extracted permafrost material
was opened using a sterile chisel and subsamples from the freshly exposed material were
immediately transferred to sterile plastic tubes where they were kept until initiation of the
isolation work at our laboratories.

At Citronen Fjord (**Fig. 1b**), conditions are desert-like with very sparse vegetation

consisting of scattered specimens of Arctic Willow (*Salix arctica*) and Entire-leaved Mountain

Avens (*Dryas integrifolia*). Here, samples of biological soil crust were obtained from a site

devoid of vegetation (N83.085782, W28.2496755, 28 m.a.s.l.). Biological soil crust was

scooped from the surface using a sterile spoon and transferred to sterile plastic tubes.

Due to its proximity to the Arctic Ocean, Cape Morris Jessup (**Fig. 1c**) harbours

more dense vegetation (5-15 percent ground cover) compared to Citronen Fjord. The only

weather station in Peary Land, WMO 04301 in Cape Morris Jessup, reported a mean

temperature of -14°C, mean annual precipitation of 234 mm, and temperature maxima of

around 12°C in the summer for the period 2020-2023 [22]. The vegetation is dominated by

Purple Saxifrage (*Saxifraga oppositifolia*) and Arctic Willow. At N83.657236, W33.368495, 5

m.a.s.l., we sampled biological soil crust (as described above) and soil from 0-4 cm depth by

hammering sterile steel cores (4.5 cm diameter) into the soil. A subsample from each soil core

was transferred to sterile plastic tubes.

Figure 1. Sampling sites at Kap København (a) where permafrost soil samples were obtained at the erosion front left of the snowpack, Citronen Fjord (b) and Cape Morris Jessup (c). d: Location of Peary Land within Greenland and sampling sites: Cape Morris Jessup (magenta diamond), Citronen Fjord (red triangle) and Kap København (dark green circle). Greenland map: Uwe Dedering, CC BY-SA 3.0. Peary Land map: Blue Green Atlas, CC BY 4.0.

Strain isolation

Samples were stored at 4ºC for a period between one and three months, which included the travel time from the sample sites in Peary Land to Copenhagen, Denmark, and pre-incubation of the samples, known as cold enrichment, which has been shown to increase the number of isolated bacteria from permafrost [23].

After pre-incubation, the samples were plated on agar plates. Under sterile conditions, approximately 1 gram of soil was suspended in 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution supplemented with 10% NaCl. This buffer was chosen to initially select for bacteria that were resistant to shock from high osmolarity. After gentle mixing for 5 minutes, the samples were briefly centrifuged to remove solid particles from suspension. 100µL of the supernatant were plated on agar plates (see below) and left to grow. For the permafrost samples, the supernatant was diluted 100 and 1000 times prior to plating. The plates were incubated at either 4ºC, 10ºC or 25ºC, and checked weekly and daily, respectively. New colonies were randomly selected based on unique morphologies, then plated into fresh agar plates and incubated again. After incubation, a single colony was picked, plated and incubated. This process was repeated several times until only a single type of colony was observed, at which point we considered that the culture was axenic. Single colonies from the axenic plates were transferred into liquid medium and incubated overnight. 1mL of these cultures was mixed with a glycerol stock (20% v/v final concentration) and frozen at -70ºC for long-term storage.

For isolation of halotolerant and halophilic bacteria, three saline media were used. The first was a modified HM medium [24], referred to in this manuscript HM10 for its 10% w/v content of NaCl, and containing, in g L^{-1}: NaCl (100) $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (1), $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (0,36), KCl (2), KBr (0,27), $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0,002), $NaHCO_3$ (0,06), Proteose-peptone no. 3 (5), yeast extract (10), glucose (1) and Bacto agar (20, when applicable) adjusted to pH 7,8-8. The second was a medium devised for this study named OHAM (Oligotrophic Halophilic Actinobacteria Medium) containing, in g L^{-1}: soluble starch (20), KNO_3 (0.2), NaCl (100), $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (0.5), K_2HPO_4 (0.5), $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (0.01), sodium pyruvate (0.05) and Bacto agar (15, when applicable), and a final pH of 7.2. The third was R2A medium (Sigma Aldritch, Germany) supplemented with 10% NaCl.

In addition, a non-saline medium, Gauze Medium 1 (GM1), was also used, containing in g/L: Soluble starch (20), KNO_3 (1), NaCl (0.5), $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (0.5), K_2HPO_4 (0.5), $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (0.01) and bacto agar (15, when applicable). Final pH was adjusted to 7.2-7.4. This medium has been used in previous works to isolate bacterial strains from permafrost [25].

151   Premade marine agar (MarA, Sigma Aldritch, Germany) and Tryptic Soy Broth
152 (TSA, Sigma Aldritch, Germany) were used for routine culturing of the isolates after axenic
153 cultures were obtained.

Determination of the effect of NaCl on growth

To test the NaCl tolerance of the selected isolates, 96-well plates were prepared
with HM in different concentrations of NaCl, from 0% (w/v) to 20% in increments of 2.5%. The
plates were inoculated with overnight cultures to a constant initial OD_{600}, blanks were made for
each different NaCl concentration. The plates were then incubated at 25ºC in a BioTek Synergy
H1 Multimode Reader (Agilent, USA) for 5 days with constant rotation and OD measurements
every 30 minutes. Range of growth was determined based on the observed growth in the wells
at the end of the experiment. To calculate the effect of NaCl on growth, blanks were subtracted
and each replicate was fit to a Gompertz model using the R package *growthrates* [26] version
0.8.4. The resulting parameters were used to calculate the area under the curve using the R
package *pracma* [27] version 2.4.4.

16S rRNA gene sequencing and analysis

Total DNA was isolated from overnight cultures using the DNeasy PowerSoil Pro
Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The 16S rRNA gene was then amplified with the PCRBio kit (PCR
Biosystems, UK) using the universal primers 27F (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG-3') and
1492R (5'-ACG GYT ACC TTG TTA CGA CTT-3') purchased from TAG Copenhagen (www.tagc.
com). After the size and quality of the amplicons was assessed with electrophoresis on agarose
gel and ethidium bromide staining, the amplified product was sent for Sanger sequencing to
Eurofins (Germany) with the same primers, to obtain both forward and reverse reads.

The forward and reverse reads were aligned in MEGA11 [28] using the MUSCLE
algorithm [29] to produce the near full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences. Then, BlastN [30] was
used to identify the strains to the genus level; this search was restricted to type strain
sequences. To study phylogenetic relationships, an alignment file was produced in MEGA11
using the MUSCLE algorithm with the near full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences and the full-
length 16S rRNA gene sequences of related type strains. A phylogenetic tree was constructed
with this alignment file using IQ-TREE 2 [31] with ModelFinder [32] and ultrafast bootstrap [33].
Lastly, the tree was drawn with FigTree v1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) and
edited using Inkscape v 1.3.2 (https://inkscape.org/).

Genome sequencing and assembly

Total genomic DNA was isolated from overnight cultures using the DNeasy PowerSoil Pro Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Short read libraries were prepared using the DNA-Seq Enz kit for MagicPrep (Tecan, USA). The quality of the libraries was checked using a 5200 Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent, USA) before sequencing of the DNA fragments using Miseq2 (Illumina, Germany). The quality of the reads was examined with FastQC v.0.12.0 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and Trimmomatic v0.5.1 [34] was used to remove adapter sequences and low quality reads. Unicycler v0.5.1 [35] was used with SPAdes v4.0.0 [36] to assemble the genomes. The basic statistics and quality of the assemblies were determined with QUAST v5.2 [37], checkM v1.2.3 [38] and BUSCO v5.7.1 [39]. To sequence the genome of *Arthrobacter halodurans* DSM 21081^T, genomic DNA was directly ordered from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ) and sequenced as described above.

Genome analysis

The phylogeny of the assembled genomes was determined with the Type (Strain) Genome Server (TYGS) at DSMZ (tygs.dsmz.de), with nomenclature provided by the List of Prokaryotic Names with Standing in Nomenclature (LPSN) [40, 41]. TYGS calculates DNA-DNA-Hybridation (dDDH) distances to the closest type strains of the query genome using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator d_4 formula (GGDC formula 2). The strains were assigned to the genus of the closest type strain, and they were assigned to a species if dDDH distance was above 70% [42].

The genomes were annotated with Bakta [43] and their metabolic pathways were explored by obtaining KEGG Orthology (KO) numbers using the BlastKOALA and Reconstruct tools of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [44]. AntiSMASH [45] in relaxed mode was used to find biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) in the assemblies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacterial isolates

A total of 49 bacterial isolates were obtained from the samples (**Table 1**). The soil samples from Citronen Fjord (CF) and Kap København (KK) were the most prolific, while a lower number of isolates was obtained from Cape Morris Jessup soil (CMS) and biological crust (CMC). In addition to bacterial colonies, fungal colonies were observed in plates from all

samples. We focused on the bacterial isolates and fungal isolates were not further

investigated.

The 17 strains isolated with saline media were capable of growth under a wide

range of salt concentrations and showed different responses to salt (**Fig. 2**). Three of these

isolates, KK5.5, CF3.5 and CF4.19 showed the optimal growth at 0% w/v NaCl, while other

isolates such as CF1.1, CF4.1, CF4.2 or CMS1.3 showed almost or no growth without NaCl. The

growth of all isolates was impaired at high salt concentrations, but eight isolates showed some

level of growth at the maximum concentration tested, 20% w/v NaCl. Interestingly, some

isolates belonging to the same genus, e.g., *Nesterenkonia*, showed similar responses to NaCl,

while both *Arthrobacter* isolates, KK5.5 and KK5.7, showed slightly different growth patterns as

NaCl concentrations increased. Halophiles are commonly classified as such if their growth

optimum is above 2% w/v NaCl, while halotolerant organisms grow optimally below 2% and can

tolerate a wide range of salinities [46]. Based on this classification, the tested isolates

consisted of a mixture of halotolerant (CF3.5, CF4.19 and KK5.5) and halophiles (the rest of the

strains).

Figure 2. Growth of strains isolated in saline media at 0 to 20% w/v NaCl. Concentrations with
no data indicate no measurable growth. Y axis is Area Under the Curve of a Gompertz model
fitted to the data to indicate total growth at 72 hours. Black dots represent datapoints. Lines
indicate LOESS fit to data with a span of 0.75. Shaded area is the 95% confidence interval.
Colour of lines indicates genus. Isolates whose genomes were sequenced are highlighted in
bold and italics.

16S rRNA gene sequencing

Based on their 16S rRNA gene sequence, the affiliation of the isolates with known type strains was visualized (**Fig. 3**). Full details of each isolate and accession numbers are available in Table S1. The isolates mainly belonged to three phyla: Actinomycetota, Bacillota and Pseudomonadota, while Bacteroidota was only represented by one isolate, *Acidovorax* sp. CMS1.1 (Fig. 3B). All isolates demonstrated the ability to grow at 4ºC. Many of the strains were isolated in saline media, thus demonstrating their halotolerance. These included all the Bacillota, as well as isolates belonging to the genus *Nesterenkonia*. Some strains isolated in non-saline media also proved to be halotolerant, as they grew on MarA (containing 1.945% w/v NaCl), including most members of *Arthrobacter* and *Pseudomonas* sp. KK6.1. However, other isolates, like *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 and *Flavobacterium* sp. CMS1.2 were unable to grow in medium supplemented with >1% NaCl (data not shown).

The most abundant genera were *Arthrobacter*, predominantly isolated from permafrost soil, and *Streptomyces* and *Nesterenkonia*, obtained from biological crust. Previous studies have shown that these genera, as well as other genera isolated in this study, are commonly found in polar environments. For example, Singh and colleagues [47] reported that *Arthrobacter* and *Pseudomonas* were the most commonly isolated genera in permafrost soil from Svalbard, Norway, where they also isolated strains belonging to *Nesterenkonia, Bacillus*, and others. Interestingly, the authors also obtained isolates belonging to *Streptomyces*, while none of our isolates in this genus were obtained from permafrost soil. *Streptomyces* and other Actinomycetota are commonly found in arid and desert soils [48] as well as polar environments [49], and extremophilic and extremotolerant *Streptomyces* have drawn attention as potential producers of novel antibacterial compounds and other compounds of interest [50]. In addition, *Nesterenkonia* has been isolated from Arctic permafrost soil [51], as well as other cold environments, like soils of Antarctica and the Tibetan plateau [52, 53].

Arthrobacter is commonly found in permafrost soil with both culture-dependent [47, 54] and culture-independent methods [55], the latter of which have shown that *Arthrobacter spp.* can constitute up to 4% of the total bacterial community [56]. This suggests that either *Arthrobacter spp.* are especially adapted to survive in permafrost soil, or that they can quickly adapt to newly forming permafrost. The *Arthrobacter* pangenome [57] revealed great potential adaptability, which could explain its prevalence in permafrost soil. The same study showed the halotolerance of several *Arthrobacter* strains, a similar observation to our *Arthrobacter* isolates, as many of them could grow on MarA or were directly isolated in saline

medium. Based on its prevalence in a variety of environments, some authors have used

Arthrobacter as a model to study bacterial adaptations in soil [55, 58]. In our study, the isolated

Arthrobacter strains were initially isolated in either GM1 or OHAM, media with no nitrogen

source other than nitrate, and with glycogen as the only carbon source (along with pyruvate in

OHAM). Some members of this genus are known to be able to assimilate nitrate and nitrite for

growth [59, 60]. Based on our results, GM1 may be an effective selective medium to isolate

Arthrobacter strains from permafrost soil.

276   The diversity of isolates obtained from Kap København, Cape Morris Jessup and

277   Citronen Fjord, and the displayed halotolerance of the isolates, show that the sampled sites are

278   inhabited by a wide range of halotolerant bacteria, thus partially failing to reject our first

279   hypothesis. In the next section, we discuss the novelty of the isolates.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic affiliation of isolates. **A**: Consensus cladogram based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences of the isolates and selected type strains calculated with TIM2+F+I+G4. Bootstrap values (as percentage of 10000 repetitions) are shown at the branch points. Phyla are highlighted with colours as indicated in panel B. Within Actinomycetota, genera are further highlighted with colours as indicated in panel B. The markers indicate the source of isolation: Citronen Fjord (black circle), Kap København (white circle), and Cape Morris Jessup soil (semicircle), and crust (dots). Type strains have no markers. Aquifex pyrophilus DSM 6858 was used as the outgroup. **B**: Number of isolates of each detected genus, and colours used to highlight groups in panel A.

Genus	Phylum	Isolates (saline media)	Isolates (non-saline media)
Aeromicrobium	Actinomycetota	2	-
Arthrobacter	Actinomycetota	2	13
Micrococcus	Actinomycetota	-	1
Nesterenkonia	Actinomycetota	6	-
Paenarthrobacter	Actinomycetota	-	1
Pseudarthrobacter	Actinomycetota	1	2
Streptomyces	Actinomycetota	-	8
Jeotgalibacillus	Bacillota	2	-
Oceanobacillus	Bacillota	3	-
Salipaludibacillus	Bacillota	2	-
Flavobacterium	Bacteroidota	-	1
Acidovorax	Pseudomonadota	-	1
Massilia	Pseudomonadota	-	1
Pseudomonas	Pseudomonadota	-	3

Figure 3. Phylogenetic affiliation of isolates. **A**: Consensus cladogram based on the 16S rRNA gene

sequences of the isolates and selected type strains calculated with TIM2+F+I+G4. Bootstrap values (as

percentage of 10000 repetitions) are shown at the branch points. Phyla are highlighted with colours as

indicated in panel B. Within Actinomycetota, genera are further highlighted with colours as indicated in

panel B. The markers indicate the source of isolation: Citronen Fjord (black circle), Kap København (white

circle), and Cape Morris Jessup soil (semicircle), and crust (dots). Type strains have no markers. Aquifex

pyrophilus DSM 6858 was used as the outgroup. **B**: Number of isolates of each detected genus, and

colours used to highlight groups in panel A.

Genomes and functional analyses of selected isolates

From the 16S rRNA gene-based phylogeny, nine isolates were selected for whole

genome analysis (**Table 2**), of which eight were halotolerant. *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 was

determined to not be halotolerant, as it was only able to grow in GM1 with <1% NaCl, but was

chosen for genome sequencing (a) as a Gram-negative and non-halotolerant representative and

(b) to investigate its adaptations to its natural environment as a non-halotolerant bacterium. In

the case of *Arthrobacter* sp. KK5.5, its closest strain was *A. halodurans* DSM 21081[T], whose

genome was not available in any database. To confirm the phylogeny of our isolate, we

sequenced the genome of *A. halodurans* DSM 21081[T]. All genomes showed completeness

above 98% and contamination below 2%, which was determined sufficient to proceed to the

next part of the analysis. Six of the nine sequenced isolates showed dDDH similarities to their

closest relatives below 30%, well below the established threshold of 70% to distinguish

between different species (**Table 2**). Following the phylogenetic analysis, we conducted a

functional analysis of the genomes using the KEGG database and KEGG Orthology numbers

Adaptations to high salinity and low water activity

All sequenced isolates, except for *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1, possessed orthologs of

genes encoding importers of osmoprotectants like proline, ectoine and betaine choline (**Fig. 4**

and **Table S3**). Osmoprotectants are small, neutral organic solutes that do not interfere with

cellular processes, and can thus be accumulated to mitigate osmotic and low-temperature

stress [61]. The ability to import osmoprotectants from the environment offers flexibility,

allowing bacteria to adapt to fluctuating salinity. This strategy reduces the energy cost

associated with de novo synthesis, providing a competitive advantage. Interestingly, the non-

halotolerant *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 possessed only one ortholog of osmoprotectant transporters

(*yaaJ*), whereas its close relative, *Telluria aromaticivorans*, has 16 transporters and 9 synthesis-

related genes, despite its low salt tolerance [62]. Conversely, *Salipaludibacillus neizhouensis*

CF4.18 had 33 orthologues related to osmoprotectant transport, almost twice that of the type

strain of its species, revealing large intra-species variation. These findings suggests that

halotolerance may not be directly corelated with the copy number of genes related to

osmoprotectant synthesis and import.

Other abundant groups were orthologues related to ion transport (including *trkA*,

kdpABC, *tlyC*, and *nhaAC*). Ion transporters are very common in bacteria and are not

necessarily indicative of halotolerance, as evidenced by similar numbers of ion transporter

orthologues in non-halotolerant strains (**Fig. 4**). However, ion transporters may have specific

adaptations that aid with halotolerance. For example, the cyanobacterium *Aphanothece*

halophytica has ion transporters with different ion specificities that allow it to tolerate high

salinity and pH [63]. In the halophilic bacterium *Halomonas beimenensis*, the genes *nqrA*, *trkA*,

atpC, *nadA*, and *gdhB* — related to ion transport — along with *spoT*, *prkA*, *mtnN*, *rsbV*, *lon*,

smpB, *rfbC*, *rfbP*, *tatB*, *acrR1*, and *lacA* — related to cellular signalling, quorum sensing,

transcription/translation, and cell motility — are critical for halotolerance, and their deletion

impairs growth at high salinity [64]. Similarly, the genes *trkA2*, *smpB*, *nadA*, *mtnN2*, *rfbP*, *lon*,

and *atpC* are upregulated in high salinity in *Virgibacillus chiguensis* [65]. Orthologues of many of

these genes were found in varying numbers in the sequenced isolates (**Table S3**), suggesting

their potential role in the observed halotolerance across the bacteria isolated in this study.

Cold temperatures can lead to similar stress variables than high salinity. For

example, water activity is reduced as ice crystals form, leading to osmotic stress, and brines

can form as salt ions are excluded from ice crystals [9]. Thus, the halotolerance-related genes

discussed may also aid the isolates against the low temperatures in their native Northern

Greenland. In addition, the genomes also contained several orthologues of cold shock proteins

(*cspA*). These proteins have a protective effect against the stresses associated with low

temperatures by binding to single stranded nucleic acids and regulating transcription and

translation [66].

Figure 4. KEGG Orthology count numbers of groups of genes that have been described to be important for halotolerance in the sequenced genomes and in genomes of close relatives. The complete dataset is available in Table S3. The close relatives are as follows, from left to right: *Aer. h., Aeromicrobium halocynthiae* JCM 15749[T]; *Art. h., Arthrobacter halodurans* DSM 21081[T]; *Nes. h., Nesterenkonia halotolerans* DSM 15474[T]; *Nes. aur., Nesterenkonia aurantiaca* DSM 27373[T]; *Oce. r., Oceanobacillus rekensis* PT11[T]; *Sal. n., Salipaludibacillus neizhouensis* DSM 19794[T]; *Tel. a., Telluria aromaticivorans* ML15P13[T].

Other metabolic pathways

Some of the sequenced genomes contained genes encoding enzymes related to nitrogen and sulphur cycling. *S. neizhouensis CF*4.18 and *Oceanobacillus* sp. CF4.6 had a complete assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway, while *N. aurantiaca* CMS1.6 and *N. halotolerans* CF4.12 lacked this pathway completely. The rest of the sequenced isolates had an incomplete pathway, lacking the enzymes to reduce sulphate to sulphite. However, all genomes contained transporters for alkanesulfonates, and most genomes contained genes encoding for alkanesulfonate monooxigenases. This alternative source of sulphur may aid against sulphur starvation [67], as the low amount of organic carbon in Peary Land soils could limit the amount of sulphur available from organic matter [3]. However, sulphur may not be a limiting nutrient in the permafrost at Kap København, as it consists mostly of marine deposits, which are typically enriched in sulphur [68, 69].

Nesterenkonia sp. CF4.4, *Aeromicrobium* sp. CF3.5, *Arthrobacter* sp. KK5.5, *S. neizhouensis* CF4.18 and *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 had genes encoding for both nitrate and nitrate reductases, while these were not found in the other isolates. Interestingly, *Oceanobacillus* sp. CF4.6 was the only isolate whose genome contained *nirK*, which encodes for a nitric oxide-producing nitrite reductase. The reduction of nitrite to nitric oxide (NO) is the second step in denitrification after the reduction of nitrate to nitrite. NO is then reduced to nitrous oxide (N_2O), which is finally reduced to N_2. NO and N_2O have significant impact on atmospheric chemistry, as they can induce the formation of tropospheric ozone and form NO_X; furthermore, N_2O is a potent greenhouse gas. In arid areas, especially in the polar regions, nitrification and denitrification remain poorly understood [70]. There are no available studies on the nitrification or denitrification rates, or on the emission of NO and N_2O, from Northern Greenland, but ecosystem N losses from Arctic soils through N_2O emission are generally small, which may be linked to the N limitation of these soils [71, 72]. *Oceanobacillus* sp. CF4.6 may play a role in denitrification and ecosystem loss of nitrogen in its native environment, although environmental studies are needed to characterise the soil nitrogen cycle in the High Arctic desert of Northern Greenland.

All the sequenced genomes encoded pathways for the synthesis of terpenoid backbones. Apart from *Oceanobacillus* sp. CF4.6, whose genome encoded for the mevalonate pathway, the sequenced genomes encoded for the MEP/DOXP pathway for isoprenoid backbone synthesis. Interestingly, the pathway in *N. halotolerans* CF4.12 is incomplete, as it is missing 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate cytidylyltransferase (EC 2.7.7.60) encoded by *IspD*, and 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 2,4-cyclodiphosphate synthase (EC 4.6.1.12), encoded by

IspF [73]. *N. halotolerants* DSM 15474^T shows the same missing enzymes in its draft genome.

These findings suggest that *N. halotolerans* may contain undescribed isoforms of these

enzymes. The synthesis of terpenoid backbones is an important pathway to produce essential

and secondary metabolites like undecaprenol phosphate and carotenoids. In fact, all isolates

apart from *Oceanobacillus* sp. CF4.6 and the two *Aeromicrobium* sp. had BGCs encoding for

terpene-related products, with many of them encoding for carotenoids (see next section). This

pathway may be of particular relevance in *Nesterenkonia*, as all isolates belonging to this genus

had a bright red or orange colour, suggesting a significant content of carotenoids.

The adaptations discussed in this section allow the isolates to sustain activity in

their cold, nutrient-poor, and water-scarce environment. Furthermore, most of the sequenced

genomes showed very low dDDH percentages towards their closest known relative, suggesting

that they represent previously undescribed species. Thus, we fail to reject hypotheses i and ii.

Biosynthetic gene clusters in the genomes of the sequenced isolates

The sequenced genomes were found to contain several BGCs (**Fig. 5** and **Tables**

S4-S12), including several with no hits to known BGCs. 32% of the identified BGCs putatively

encoded for antimicrobial compounds, such as the lassopeptide paeninodin found in *S.*

neizhouensis CF4.18 and *Oceanobacillus* sp. CF4.6, ε-Poly-L-lysine synthesis clusters found in

N. halotolerans CF4.12 and *Nesterenkonia* sp. CF4.4, and other BGCs encoding for lactones

found in several isolates. Most of these putatively antimicrobial clusters encoded for polyketide

synthases (PKS) and non-ribosomal peptide synthases (NRPS). Antimicrobial compounds and

antimicrobial resistance are widely distributed in soils, where competition for available space

and resources exerts significant selective pressure [74]. The production of antimicrobial

compounds typically incurs a high metabolic cost; for example, Schlatter and Kinkel [75] found

trade-offs between efficient growth, antimicrobial resistance and antimicrobial production in

Streptomyces spp., and suggested that a "super-killer" phenotype results in a reduction in

niche width. The most common strategy in *Streptomyces spp.* was the simultaneous

production of different kinds of antimicrobial compounds to increase their synergistic effect

and slow down the evolution of resistance. However, in carbon-poor soils, as is the case in

Peary Land, nutrient limitation may present an obstacle for this strategy. On the other hand,

nutrient scarcity may increase evolutionary pressure to inhibit potential competitors. Many

studies have demonstrated the production of antibacterial and antifungal compounds by

bacteria isolated from arid soils (e.g., Saadoun et al. 2008; Nasfi et al. 2018), and even the

hyper-arid Atacama desert is a potential source of novel antimicrobials [48]. Polar desert soils,

although not as explored, have also demonstrated this potential [78]. In permafrost, where
biomass can be higher and environmental conditions are stable, antibiotic resistance is
widespread, even in ancient permafrost [79, 80]. Although measuring the production of
antimicrobial compounds of our isolates was beyond the scope of this study, the diversity of
BGCs encoding for antimicrobial compounds found in the sequenced genomes suggest a
competitive environment where it may be advantageous to have different antimicrobial
strategies available.

Desert soils often have higher pH compared to soils from forests and other
biomes. The high pH is caused by accumulation of minerals and salts that are not washed away
by rain. Peary Land soil is no exception, with soils at Cape Morris Jesup and Citronen Fjord
having pH of 7.8 and 9.2, respectively. At these pH values, the bioavailability of many metals is
lowered. Thus, bacteria in desert soils need effective metal-acquiring systems such as
siderophores. Siderophores allow for the solubilisation of iron essential for the production of,
e.g., heme proteins. Both *Aeromicrobium* isolates, as well as *Arthrobacter* sp. KK5.5 had BGCs
encoding for NRPS-independent siderophores; their genomes also contained orthologs of
fepBDG, which encode for Fe-siderophore complex transporters, and both *Aeromicrobium*
isolates additionally had *fepC*. Notably, *N. halotolerans* CF4.12 also had a complete set of
fepBCDG, while the other two *Nesterenkonia* isolates had orthologues of *fepCG*. This absence
of siderophore synthesis genes, while transport genes are present, may be due to a variety of
causes. One possibility is that these bacteria rely on siderophores produced by other bacteria
for iron uptake [81].

Lastly, *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 had a BGC whose putative product is a homoserine
lactone. While it had no hits to known clusters, it contained, among others, a protein with an
acyltransferase domain; this suggests that this BGC may encode for an N-Acyl homoserine
lactone, a group of signalling molecules involved in quorum sensing and biofilm formation. This
aligns with the possible ability of *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 to form biofilms. Indeed, the genome of
this isolate contained several genes involved in quorum sensing and biofilm formation, such as
orthologues of *lasIR* and *wspBEFR*, as well as *pilGHIJ*, which are involved in type IV pilus
formation. Young (<24h) colonies of *Massilia* sp. CMS3.1 were orange, soft and easily pickable,
but older (especially >48h) colonies became darker, hard, and difficult to disaggregate, which
suggests the formation of a hard extracellular matrix that may be mediated by these genes.
Biofilm formation has been shown to be a successful strategy to improve survivability against
extreme environmental factors like temperature and pH (high and low), aridity and high salinity

[82]. For example, biofilms are employed by epiphytic bacteria to survive periods of extreme
cold and freeze-thaw cycles [83].

Other types of BGCs found in the genomes included an ectoine synthesis cluster
and terpenoid synthesis clusters, which were discussed in the previous section; the terpenoid
clusters encoded mainly for carotenoids.

It is possible that some BGCs were missed by antiSMASH. Indeed, BGC detection
on contig-level assemblies can be challenging, as BGCs may be split into different contigs [84].
This is evidenced by a few BGCs that were found at the beginning or the end of a contig,
suggesting that these BGCs are incomplete. This, combined with the significant amount of
BGCs that had no hits with known BGCs, suggest that Peary Land is indeed a source of
potentially novel secondary metabolites, as per hypothesis iii; however, further investigation *in*
vivo will be necessary to fully characterise these secondary metabolites.

Figure 5. Biosynthetic Gene Clusters (BGCs) found in the assembled genomes. The dotted
pattern represents BGCs with no hits to known BGCs. NRP: Non-Ribosomal Peptide. RiPP:
Ribosomally synthesized and Post-translationally modified Peptide.

CONCLUSIONS

We isolated 49 bacteria from three different sites in Peary Land, northern Greenland, representing some of the most northernly bacterial isolates, and the first strains isolated from these areas. We showed the diversity of culturable halotolerant bacteria at the three sites and found potentially novel BGCs that suggest a competitive environment with many antimicrobial compounds present. The isolates showed adaptations to their cold and saline native environments, including a variety of ion transporters and genes related to osmoprotectant synthesis and transport. These findings underscore the importance of osmoprotectants in cold and saline environments and expand our understanding of microbial life in extreme environments. Overall, this work not only provides the first insights into the diversity of culturable halotolerant bacteria of northern-most Greenland, but also suggests that the region harbours untapped microbial resources that may hold significant biotechnological value.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All sequences obtained and used in this study, including near-complete 16S rRNA gene sequences, genome assemblies, and short-read libraries, are available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database under the BioProject accession number PRJNA1156031.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Lorrie Maccario for her help in the library preparation and sequencing of the genomes, and Anette Hørdum Løth and Ayoe Lüchau for their indispensable assistance in the lab. We would also like to thank Magnus Per Damsø Jeppesen for his help in the collection of some of the salt response data. We are grateful to the Greenlandic authorities for granting permission to sample in the Northeast Greenland National Park (Expedition Permit C-21-549) and to export the soil samples to Denmark for scientific work (Non-exclusive license number G21-031 for utilization of Greenlandic genetic resources). We gratefully acknowledge the Ministry of Business, Mineral Resources, Energy, Justice and Gender Equality of the Government of Greenland for granting permission to deposit the strains in DSMZ and BCCM/LMG under conditions that ensure compliance with the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes.

FUNDING

This project was funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation under the NNF Interdisciplinary Synergy Program (grant number NNF19OC0057374): Effects of bacteria on atmospheres of Earth, Mars, and exoplanets -- adapting and identifying life in extraterrestrial environments. This project was also supported by The Danish National Research Foundation within the Center for Volatile Interactions (VOLT, DNRF168). The bacteria in this study were isolated from samples collected during the Leister Around North Greenland 2021 Expedition financed by the Leister Stiftung, Switzerland.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Mølgaard P. Temperature Observations in High Arctic Plants in Relation to Microclimate in the Vegetation of Peary Land, North Greenland. *Arctic and Alpine Research* 1982;**14**:105–115. https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1982.12004287

2. Fernandez-Guerra A et al. A 2-million-year-old microbial and viral communities from the Kap København Formation in North Greenland. 2023. 2023.

3. Stimmler P et al. Arctic soil respiration and microbial community structure driven by silicon and calcium. *Science of The Total Environment* 2022;**838**:156152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156152

4. Charlier RH. The Geographic Distribution of Polar Desert Soils in the Northern Hemisphere. *Geol Soc America Bull* 1969;**80**:1985. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1969)80%255B1985:TGDOPD%255D2.0.CO;2

5. Acker JG, Leptoukh G. Online analysis enhances use of NASA Earth science data. *EoS Transactions* 2007;**88**:14–17. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007eo020003

6. Hovila J, Arola A, Tamminen J. OMI/Aura Surface UVB Irradiance and Erythemal Dose Daily L3 Global 1.0x1.0 deg Grid. 2009. NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center, 2009.

7.   Guida G et al. A review on effects of biological soil crusts on hydrological processes. *Earth-*
Science Reviews 2023;**243**:104516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104516

8.   Jansson JK, Taş N. The microbial ecology of permafrost. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2014;**12**:414–
425. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3262

9.   Iwahana G et al. Intra-ice and intra-sediment cryopeg brine occurrence in permafrost near
Utqiaġvik (Barrow). *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* 2021;**32**:427–446.
https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2101

10. Steven B et al. Microbial ecology and biodiversity in permafrost. *Extremophiles*
2006;**10**:259–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-006-0506-3

11. Niederberger TD et al. Virgibacillus arcticus sp. nov., a moderately halophilic, endospore-
forming bacterium from permafrost in the Canadian high Arctic. *International Journal of*
Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 2009;**59**:2219–2225.
https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.002931-0

12. Shcherbakova VA et al. Novel halotolerant bacterium from cryopeg in permafrost:
Description of Psychrobacter muriicola sp. nov. *Microbiology* 2009;**78**:84–91.
https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261709010111

13. Spirina EV et al. Halophilic-psychrotrophic bacteria of an Alaskan cryopeg—a model for
astrobiology. *Paleontol J* 2017;**51**:1440–1452.
https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030117120036

14. Nicholson WL et al. Growth of Carnobacterium spp. from permafrost under low pressure,
temperature, and anoxic atmosphere has implications for Earth microbes on Mars. *PNAS*
2013;**110**:666–671. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1209793110

15. Flores-Gallegos AC et al. Chapter 13 - Hydrolases of Halophilic Origin With Importance for
the Food Industry. In: Kuddus M (ed.), Enzymes in Food Biotechnology. Academic Press,
2019, 197–219.

16. Parvizpour S et al. Psychrophilic enzymes: structural adaptation, pharmaceutical and

industrial applications. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2021;**105**:899–907.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-11074-0

17. Sarma J et al. Microbial adaptations in extreme environmental conditions. Bacterial Survival

in the Hostile Environment. Elsevier, 2023, 193–206.

18. Lebre PH, De Maayer P, Cowan DA. Xerotolerant bacteria: surviving through a dry spell. *Nat*

Rev Microbiol 2017;**15**:285–296. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.16

19. Stevenson A et al. Is there a common water-activity limit for the three domains of life? *ISME*

J 2015;**9**:1333–1351. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.219

20. Ruginescu R et al. Exploring the hydrolytic potential of cultured halophilic bacteria isolated

from the Atacama Desert. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 2019;**366**:fnz224.

https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnz224

21. Jaarsma AH et al. The undiscovered biosynthetic potential of the Greenland Ice Sheet

microbiome. *Front Microbiol* 2023;**14**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1285791

22. Meteostat. Kap Morris Jesup | Weather History & Climate. *Meteostat*.

https://meteostat.net/en/station/04301?t=2020-01-01/2023-12-31. .

23. Vishnivetskaya T et al. Low-temperature recovery strategies for the isolation of bacteria

from ancient permafrost sediments. *Extremophiles* 2000;**4**:165–173.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s007920070031

24. Ventosa A et al. Numerical Taxonomy of Moderately Halophilic Gram-negative Rods.

Microbiology 1982;**128**:1959–1968. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-128-9-1959

25. Wang H et al. Massilia violaceinigra sp. nov., a novel purple-pigmented bacterium isolated

from glacier permafrost. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*

2018;**68**:2271–2278. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002826

26. Petzoldt T. growthrates: Estimate Growth Rates from Experimental Data. 2016. 2016. , 0.8.4

27. Borchers HW. pracma: Practical Numerical Math Functions. 2011. 2011. , 2.4.4

28. Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version

11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2021;**38**:3022–3027.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120

29. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

Nucleic Acids Res 2004;**32**:1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340

30. Sayers EW et al. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information.

Nucleic Acids Res 2022;**50**:D20–D26. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1112

31. Minh BQ et al. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in

the Genomic Era. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2020;**37**:1530–1534.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015

32. Kalyaanamoorthy S et al. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic

estimates. *Nat Methods* 2017;**14**:587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285

33. Hoang DT et al. UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Molecular*

Biology and Evolution 2018;**35**:518–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281

34. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.

Bioinformatics 2014;**30**:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

35. Wick RR et al. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long

sequencing reads. *PLOS Computational Biology* 2017;**13**:e1005595.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595

36. Prjibelski A et al. Using SPAdes De Novo Assembler. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*

2020;**70**:e102. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.102

37. Mikheenko A et al. Versatile genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics*

2018;**34**:i142–i150. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty266

38. Parks DH et al. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from

isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res* 2015;**25**:1043–1055.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114

39.   Manni M et al. BUSCO Update: Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and

Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes.

Molecular   Biology   and   Evolution   2021;**38**:4647–4654.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab199

40.   Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M. TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-

the-art   genome-based   taxonomy.   *Nat   Commun*   2019;**10**:2182.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3

41.   Meier-Kolthoff JP et al. TYGS and LPSN: a database tandem for fast and reliable genome-

based   classification   and   nomenclature   of   prokaryotes.   *Nucleic   Acids   Research*

2022;**50**:D801–D807. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab902

42.   Meier-Kolthoff JP et al. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence

intervals   and   improved   distance   functions.   *BMC   Bioinformatics*   2013;**14**:60.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-60

43.   Schwengers O et al. Bakta: rapid and standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via

alignment-free   sequence   identification.   *Microbial   Genomics*   2021;**7**:000685.

https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000685

44.   Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for Functional

Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *Journal of Molecular Biology*

2016;**428**:726–731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006

45.   Blin K et al. antiSMASH 7.0: new and improved predictions for detection, regulation,

chemical   structures   and   visualisation.   *Nucleic   Acids   Research*   2023;**51**:W46–W50.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad344

46.   Ollivier B et al. Anaerobic bacteria from hypersaline environments. *Microbiological Reviews*

1994;**58**:27. https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.58.1.27-38.1994

47. Singh P et al. Bacterial communities in ancient permafrost profiles of Svalbard, Arctic.

Journal of Basic Microbiology 2017;**57**:1018–1036.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201700061

48. Bull AT, Asenjo JA. Microbiology of hyper-arid environments: recent insights from the

Atacama Desert, Chile. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 2013;**103**:1173–1179.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-013-9911-7

49. Abirami B et al. Diversity and Bioprospecting Potentials of Antarctic (Polar) Microbes.

Microbiome-Host Interactions. CRC Press, 2021.

50. Sivalingam P et al. Extreme Environment *Streptomyces*: Potential Sources for New

Antibacterial and Anticancer Drug Leads? *International Journal of Microbiology*

2019;**2019**:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5283948

51. Singh P et al. Comparative Genomic Analysis of Arctic Permafrost Bacterium

Nesterenkonia sp. PF2B19 to Gain Insights into Its Cold Adaptation Tactic and Diverse

Biotechnological Potential. *Sustainability* 2021;**13**:4590.

https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084590

52. Finore I et al. *Nesterenkonia aurantiaca* sp. nov., an alkaliphilic actinobacterium isolated

from Antarctica. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*

2016;**66**:1554–1560. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000917

53. Dai D et al. Comparative Genomic Analyses of the Genus *Nesterenkonia* Unravels the

Genomic Adaptation to Polar Extreme Environments. *Microorganisms* 2022;**10**:233.

https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10020233

54. Hinsa-Leasure SM et al. Characterization of a bacterial community from a Northeast

Siberian seacoast permafrost sample. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 2010;**74**:103–113.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00945.x

55. Shen L et al. Linking genomic and physiological characteristics of psychrophilic

Arthrobacter to metagenomic data to explain global environmental distribution.

Microbiome 2021;**9**:136. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01084-z

56. Dsouza M et al. Genomic and phenotypic insights into the ecology of *Arthrobacter* from

Antarctic soils. *BMC Genomics* 2015;**16**:36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1220-2

57. Gushgari-Doyle S et al. Genotype to ecotype in niche environments: adaptation of

Arthrobacter to carbon availability and environmental conditions. *ISME Communications*

2022;**2**:32. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00113-8

58. Chauhan A et al. Physiological and Comparative Genomic Analysis of *Arthrobacter* sp. SRS-

W-1-2016 Provides Insights on Niche Adaptation for Survival in Uraniferous Soils. *Genes*

2018;**9**:31. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9010031

59. He T et al. Characteristics of nitrogen transformation and intracellular nitrite accumulation

by the hypothermia bacterium *Arthrobacter arilaitensis*. *Science of The Total Environment*

2020;**701**:134730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134730

60. Liu Y et al. Spatial and temporal conversion of nitrogen using *Arthrobacter* sp. 24S4–2, a

strain   obtained   from   Antarctica.   *Front   Microbiol*   2023;**14**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1040201

61. Pathania S et al. Adaptation to Cold Environment: The Survival Strategy of Psychrophiles.

In: Goel R et al. (eds), Survival Strategies in Cold-adapted Microorganisms. Singapore:

Springer, 2022, 87–111.

62. Son J et al. Massilia aromaticivorans sp. nov., a BTEX Degrading Bacterium Isolated from

Arctic Soil. *Curr Microbiol* 2021;**78**:2143–2150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-021-

02379-y

63. Wutipraditkul N et al. Halotolerant Cyanobacterium Aphanothece halophytica Contains

NapA-Type Na+/H+ Antiporters with Novel Ion Specificity That Are Involved in Salt Tolerance

at Alkaline pH. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2005;**71**:4176–4184.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.8.4176-4184.2005

64. Chen Y-H et al. Revealing the Saline Adaptation Strategies of the Halophilic Bacterium

Halomonas beimenensis through High-throughput Omics and Transposon Mutagenesis

Approaches. *Sci Rep* 2017;**7**:13037. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13450-9

65. Chen Y-H, Shyu Y-T, Lin S-S. Characterization of candidate genes involved in halotolerance

using high-throughput omics in the halotolerant bacterium Virgibacillus chiguensis. *PLOS*

ONE 2018;**13**:e0201346. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201346

66. Horn G et al. Structure and function of bacterial cold shock proteins. *Cell Mol Life Sci*

2007;**64**:1457. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-007-6388-4

67. Ellis HR. Mechanism for sulfur acquisition by the alkanesulfonate monooxygenase system.

Bioorganic Chemistry 2011;**39**:178–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2011.08.001

68. Funder S et al. The Kap København Formation, a late Cainozoic sedimentary sequence in

North Greenland. *Rapport Grønlands Geologiske Undersøgelse* 1984;**120**:9–18.

https://doi.org/10.34194/rapggu.v120.7851

69. Francois R. A study of sulphur enrichment in the humic fraction of marine sediments during

early diagenesis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 1987;**51**:17–27.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(87)90003-2

70. Ramond J-B et al. Microbial Biogeochemical Cycling of Nitrogen in Arid Ecosystems.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2022;**86**. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00109-21

71. Tamm CO. Nitrogen-Limited and Nitrogen-Depleted Terrestrial Ecosystems: Ecological

Characteristics. Nitrogen in Terrestrial Ecosystems. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin

Heidelberg, 1991, 34–49.

72. Elser JJ et al. Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in

freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters* 2007;**10**:1135–1142.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x

73. Phillips MA et al. The plastidial MEP pathway: unified nomenclature and resources. *Trends*
in Plant Science 2008;**13**:619–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2008.09.003

74. Mullis MM et al. Diversity, Ecology, and Prevalence of Antimicrobials in Nature. *Front*
Microbiol 2019;**10**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02518

75. Schlatter DC, Kinkel LL. Do tradeoffs structure antibiotic inhibition, resistance, and
resource use among soil-borne Streptomyces? *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 2015;**15**:186.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-015-0470-6

76. Saadoun I et al. Recovery of soil streptomycetes from arid habitats in Jordan and their
potential to inhibit multi-drug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogens. *World J*
Microbiol Biotechnol 2008;**24**:157–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9451-2

77. Nasfi Z et al. Soil Bacteria Isolated From Tunisian Arid Areas Show Promising Antimicrobial
Activities Against Gram-Negatives. *Front Microbiol* 2018;**9**.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02742

78. van Dorst J, Benaud N, Ferrari B. New Insights into the Microbial Diversity of Polar Desert
Soils: A Biotechnological Perspective. In: Chénard C, Lauro FM (eds), Microbial Ecology of
Extreme Environments. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, 169–183.

79. Mindlin SZ, Petrova MA. On the Origin and Distribution of Antibiotic Resistance: Permafrost
Bacteria Studies. *Mol Genet Microbiol Virol* 2017;**32**:169–179.
https://doi.org/10.3103/S0891416817040048

80. Gomathinayagam S, Muthukaliannan GK. Profiling Antibiotic Resistance Determinants in
Ancient Permafrost Microbiomes. 2024. bioRxiv, 2024. , 2024.05.20.595077

81. Kramer J, Özkaya Ö, Kümmerli R. Bacterial siderophores in community and host
interactions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2020;**18**:152–163. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-
0284-4

82. Khan A, Rao TS. Chapter 13 - Natural biofilms: Structure, development, and habitats. In:

Das S, Kungwani NA (eds), Understanding Microbial Biofilms. Academic Press, 2023, 187–

206.

83. Wu Z et al. Biofilm, ice recrystallization inhibition and freeze-thaw protection in an epiphyte

community. *Appl Biochem Microbiol* 2012;**48**:363–370.

https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683812040138

84. Meleshko D et al. BiosyntheticSPAdes: reconstructing biosynthetic gene clusters from

assembly graphs. *Genome Res* 2019;**29**:1352–1362.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.243477.118

TABLES

Table 1. Number of bacterial isolates obtained from each site and medium. The total number of bacterial isolates was 49. Saline media are marked with an asterisk (*).

Medium	Citronen Fjord (biological crust)	Cape Morris Jessup (soil)	Cape Morris Jessup (biological crust)	Kap København (permafrost soil)
GM1	10	4	2	16
HM10*	7	0	0	0
R2A+10% NaCl*	4	2	0	0
OHAM*	2	0	0	2
Total	23	6	2	18

Table 2 Isolates selected for genome sequencing, information on the assemblies, and similarity to their closest relatives bases on digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH).

Completeness and contamination were calculated with CheckM. Completeness calculated by BUSCO is available in Table S2. GGDC: Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator.

DSMZ: German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures. BCCM/LMG: Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-organisms / Laboratory of Microbiology, Ghent University.

Isolate	NaCl range (% w/v) (optimum)	Genome size (Mbp)	No. of contigs	N50	GC content (%)	Completeness (%)	Contamination (%)	Number of CDS	Closest match (dDDH)	Similarity to closest dDDH match (GGDC formula 2, %)	DSMZ and BCCM/LMG collection numbers	GenBank accession number
CF4.18	0-15 (5)	5.27	159	76384	37.32	99.34	1.77	5087	*Salipaludibacillus neizhouensis* DSM 19794	74.7	DSM 120330, LMG 34123	GCA_051262595
CF4.6	0-15 (10)	4.07	39	241120	37.48	99.33	1.22	4050	*Oceanobacillus rekensis* PT-11[T]	27.1	DSM 121130, LMG 34124	GCA_051262635
CMS3.1	0-1 (0)	5.37	85	300246	62.92	100	0.95	4727	*Massilia litorea* LPB0304[T]	29.4	DSM 120441, LMG 34125	GCA_051262535
CF4.12	0-20 (2.5)	2.94	13	734929	66.33	99.66	0.43	2687	*Nesterenkonia halotolerans* DSM 15474[T]	81.8	DSM 120325, LMG 34126	GCA_051262615
CF4.4	0-20 (5)	3.31	19	389302	66.90	99.24	0.61	3018	*Nesterenkonia aurantiaca* DSM 27373[T]	31.8	DSM 120326, LMG 34127	GCA_051262655
CMS1.6	0-20 (7.5)	3.05	37	330592	67.29	99.11	0.46	2775	*Nesterenkonia aurantiaca* DSM 27373[T]	82.6	DSM 120324, LMG 34128	GCA_051262575
KK5.5	0-20 (0)	3.34	40	190007	68.49	99.77	0	3053	*Arthrobacter halodurans* DSM 21081[T]	22.6	DSM 120323, LMG 34129	GCA_051262515
CF3.5	0-12.5(0)	3.40	29	432807	68.19	99.74	0	3303	*Aeromicrobium fastidiosum* NRBC 14897[T]	20.3	DSM 120427, LMG 34130	GCA_051262695
CF4.19	0-15 (0)	3.49	21	441989	70.42	98.45	0.52	3442	*Aeromicrobium halocynthiae* JCM15749[T]	21.2	DSM 120428 LMG 34131	GCA_051262555

1. Sampling sites

Types of samples

| Soil crust |
| Soil |
| Permafrost |

2. Isolation on agar plates

Sample

Saline media + Non-saline media

3. Diverse bacterial isolates

- **16S rRNA gene sequencing**
- **Whole genome sequencing**
- **Metabolic pathways**
- **Biosynthetic Gene Clusters**

4. Adaptations to cold and saline environments